1 **Shift in worker physiology and gene expression pattern from reproductive to diapause-like**

2 **with colony age in the bumble bee *Bombus impatiens***

3 Erin D. Treanore, Jacklyn M. Kiner, Mackenzie E. Kerner, Etya Amsalem

4 Department of Entomology, Center for Chemical Ecology, Center for Pollinator Research, Huck

5 Institutes of the Life Sciences, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802 U.S.A.

Abstract

Insects maximize their fitness by exhibiting predictable and adaptive seasonal patterns in response to changing environmental conditions. These seasonal patterns are often expressed even when insects are kept in captivity, suggesting they are functionally and evolutionary important.

In this study we examined whether workers of the eusocial bumble bee *Bombus impatiens* maintained a seasonal signature when kept in captivity. We used an integrative approach and compared worker egg-laying, ovarian activation, body size and mass, lipid content in the fat body, cold tolerance and expression of genes related to cold tolerance, metabolism, and stress throughout colony development.

We found that bumble bee worker physiology and gene expression patterns shift from reproductive-like to diapause-like as the colony ages. Workers eclosing early in the colony cycle had increased egg-laying and ovarian activation, and reduced cold tolerance, body size, mass, and lipid content in the fat body, in line with a reproductive-like profile, while late-eclosing workers exhibited the opposite characteristics. Furthermore, expression patterns of genes associated with reproduction and diapause differed between early- and late-eclosing workers, partially following the physiological patterns.

We suggest that a seasonal signature, innate to individual workers, the queen or the colony is used by workers as a social cue determining the phenology of the colony and discuss possible implications for understanding reproductive division of labor in bumble bee colonies and the evolutionary divergence of female castes in the genus *Bombus*.

Introduction

Insects maximize their fitness by exhibiting predictable and adaptive seasonal patterns in their physiology. Examples of the two most extensively studied phenomena are seasonal migratory movement and preparation for the winter-diapause. These phenomena allow an organism to survive unsuitable environmental conditions either through movement (migration) or avoidance (diapause) and are often characterized by shifts in metabolism, reproduction, and increased tolerance to environmental stressors (Kostal, 2006; Kostal and Denlinger, 2011; Ramenofsky and Wingfield, 2007). These adaptations evolved in response to changing environmental conditions, such as natural cycles of temperature, photoperiod, humidity and resource availability, leading to changes in insect abundance, mating, reproductive and dispersal habits as the season progresses (Denlinger, 2002; Gotthard, 2001). For example, decreased photoperiod and fluctuating temperatures can induce a reproductive diapause in the monarch butterfly (*Danaus plexippus)*, and reduced photoperiod leads to increased lipid accumulation in bean bugs (*Riptortus clavatus)* (Goehring and Oberhauser, 2002; Morita et al., 1999). Similarly, reduced resource availability induces dispersal by insects to areas more suitable for nest foundation and reproduction (Benton and Bowler, 2012) and reduced temperatures induce migratory behavior in butterflies and dragonflies (Chapman et al., 2015; Mikkola, 2003; Wikelski et al., 2006), overall allowing organisms to avoid an otherwise unsuitable environmental conditions and to synchronize their life history with other members of the population.

Insects often exhibit cyclic patterns associated with seasonality even when they are held in controlled conditions, provided with unlimited food or kept in captivity (Blake, 1960; Mao and Henderson, 2007; Miyazaki et al., 2014; Nisimura and Numata, 2003). Such a seasonal signature that is maintained regardless of the external conditions suggests functional importance, and highlights aspects related to the evolutionary history of species.

Social insects are a fascinating model in which to study these seasonal signatures in the wild and in the laboratory since seasonal changes may evolve to be used as social cues determining social behavior and the phenology of the colony. For example, honey bee *(Apis mellifera)* workers go through physiological changes throughout the season. Workers eclosing in the spring have reduced ovarian activation as compared to their summer and fall-eclosing sisters, likely a result of both

reduced larval nutrition and cooler springtime temperatures (Hoover et al., 2006). Interestingly,

honey bee workers exhibit these seasonal patterns even when reared in the laboratory under

controlled conditions, with spring and fall workers possessing different nutritional requirements,

aligning with the colony's activities and needs across its yearly cycle (DeGrandi-Hoffman et al.,

2018). Likewise, bumble bee workers (*Bombus terrestris*) eclosing in older laboratory colonies

(comparable to late season colonies) show increased levels of constitutive immune defenses as

compared to younger colonies (Moret and Schmid-Hempel, 2009). Ants provide several other

fascinating examples: *Formica* ants reared in the laboratory under constant temperatures show

distinct developmental patterns (Kipyatkov, 1993), and fire ant (*Solenopsis invicta*) workers from

colonies collected in the summer and fall and maintained under laboratory conditions show distinct

physiological phenotypes, with fall ants accumulating significantly more lipids (Cook et al., 2016).

However, the extent to which the seasonal and the social cues are conflated and whether social

cues are tied to innate seasonal signatures, that are independent from the surrounding conditions,

is unknown.

In this study we examined whether workers of the eusocial bumble bee *Bombus impatiens*

maintained a seasonal signature when kept in captivity. Bumble bees provide an excellent and

tractable model system to examine this question for two reasons. First, bumble bees are annual

eusocial species that go through a predictable life history trajectory as the colony ages. The newly

mated queens disperse by late summer and enter a winter diapause lasting 6-9 months during which

they are metabolically suppressed. Prior to diapause queens will mate, accumulate fat reserves

such as lipids and their ovaries will remain inactive until the end of diapause. The transition to

diapause is further characterized with a shift in hormone levels (e.g., Juvenile hormone) and gene

expression associated with metabolism, stress and reproduction (Amsalem et al, 2015a). A single

queen will then found a colony in early spring and the worker force is progressively built up to

reach a size of several hundreds of workers by late summer (Amsalem et al., 2015b). The life cycle

of the colony can be divided into two major social phases starting from the eclosion of the first

worker (Duchateau and Velthuis, 1988). During the first phase (the pre-competition phase) that

lasts approximately 4-5 weeks, the queen is the sole producer of eggs and workers function as

helpers, whereas during the second phase ("competition phase"), workers may exhibit aggression

and attempt to lay eggs, sexuals are produced and the social organization breaks down. While the

triggers for the competition phase and the production of sexuals are not fully clear, it was suggested

that workers modify their reproduction in response to changes in the queen (Almond et al., 2019;

Amsalem et al., 2015a), the brood (Starkey et al., 2019a; Starkey et al., 2019b) and the demography

of the colony (i.e., the number of workers) (Amsalem and Hefetz, 2011; Bloch, 1999; Van Doorn

and Heringa, 1986). While earlier studies considered workers as a 'clean slate' (Amsalem and

Hefetz, 2010), proposing that workers are morphologically, physiologically and behaviorally

identical regardless of the time they eclosed during the life cycle, later studies show that workers

eclosing at different times vary in intrinsic quality (Blacher et al., 2017; Couvillon et al., 2010;

Shpigler et al., 2013). However, these investigations were limited to qualities such as body size

and reproduction and did not explore further characteristics. Furthermore, it is unknown if these

changes are driven by colony- or individual-level shifts in worker physiology.

Secondly, all bumble bees evolved from a eusocial species where all females were likely

morphologically identical into a species where only large, fecund queens, but not workers, undergo

a winter diapause (Cameron et al., 2007; Danforth et al., 2013; Hines, 2008; Wheeler, 1986).

Bumble bee workers are, on average, three times smaller than the queens, do not mate, remain

largely sterile and lack the physiological capacity to accumulate the fat body reserves necessary to

survive the winter diapause (Amsalem et al., 2015b; Couvillon and Dornhaus, 2010). Thus, the

differentiation of female castes could have been influenced by seasonal pressures shaping female

physiology, an idea originally proposed for wasps where caste differences were suggested to be

driven by nutritionally-sensitive ancestral genetic and physiological signatures that bifurcate

during larval development in seasonal environments (the Diapause Ground Plan Hypothesis)

(Hunt, 2006). This is further supported by the most recent reconstruction of the *Bombus* phylogeny

suggesting that bumble bees were subjected to extensive period of cooling and are assumed to have

radiated during the Miocene (Hines, 2008; Prokop et al., 2017), an epoch associated with gradual

cooling that could have potentially shaped bumble bee social evolution. Overall, the maintenance

and expression of a seasonal signature in females (both queens versus workers and within workers

across the season) may generate predictions about the evolutionary trajectory of bumble bee caste

divergence as a function of the environmental conditions.

To examine whether workers kept in captivity maintain a seasonal signature that corresponds to

the age of the colony, we compared worker physiology and gene expression patterns throughout

colony development. We hypothesized that bumble bee worker physiology changes as the colony

ages and discuss different explanations underlying the observed changes. Specifically, we discuss

four explanations for the physiological differences found in workers throughout the life cycle: (1)

Worker physiology changes over time regardless of external conditions since they carry a signature

indicative of their evolutionary history. Thus, the physiological signature is innate to workers.

Here, we discuss The Diapause Ground Plan Hypothesis (Hunt, 2006) suggesting that social insect

castes (sterile, short lived workers versus fecund long lived queens), diverged due to seasonal

pressures; (2) Worker physiology is influenced by the social environment. Thus, the observed

physiological changes are determined by the colony demography, can be controlled by changing

the social environment and are not innate; (3) Workers evolved to use seasonal cues as social cues

determining female reproduction. Thus, the observed physiological changes are innate to the

colony; and (4) Worker physiology is dictated by the queen's age at the time of egg-laying. Thus,

the observed changes are innate to the queen.

To address the main question, we used an integrative approach and compared worker egg-laying,

ovarian activation, body size and mass, lipid content in the fat body, cold tolerance and expression

of genes related to cold tolerance, metabolism, and stress throughout colony development in

colonies reared under controlled conditions. Genes were selected based on their role in

physiological processes associated with seasonality, mainly diapause and reproduction. We

examined the expression levels of (1) *foxo*: a forkhead transcriptional factor in the insulin pathway

also shown to be down-regulated during diapause (Sim and Denlinger, 2008); (2) *menin*: a

regulator of general stress response previously found to be up-regulated during diapause in *B.*

terrestris queens (Amsalem et al., 2015a); (3) *hsp70:* a heat shock protein that is produced in

response to cold in insects (Rinehart et al., 2007) and based on previous studies is expected to be

up-regulated during diapause; (4) *pepck*: a metabolic enzyme involved in gluconeogenesis (Yang

et al., 2009) that is expected to be down-regulated during diapause; (5) *hex1:* hexamerin storage

protein that is produced in preparation for diapause (Hunt et al., 2007) and is expected to be up-

regulated during diapause; (6) *mfe*: the final enzyme in the synthesis of Juvenile hormone (JH),

the main gonadotropin in insects (Daimon and Shinoda, 2013) that is predicted to be

downregulated during diapause and up-regulated in reproductives; and (7) *vitellogenin*: the major

egg yolk protein female insects invest in the ovaries (Tufail et al., 2014) that is predicted to be

down-regulated during diapause and up-regulated in reproductives.

Materials and Methods

Bumble bee rearing

B. impatiens colonies (n=13, Table S1 includes information on development and size of colonies

used in this study, as well as the number of workers sampled from different colonies for each of

the different analyses describe below) were obtained from BioBest at the age of 2-3 weeks after

the eclosion of the first worker or reared in the laboratory from gynes produced in BioBest colonies

using a standard CO_2 treatment protocol. Briefly, newly mated queens are placed in a closed (but

not sealed) plastic cage. A steady stream of pure CO_2 is applied to the cage through a designated

hole for 1 minute. Under these conditions CO_2 reaches 100% within approximately 20 seconds

(the time it takes bees to lose mobility) and remains this way for as long as the stream lasts. Queens

are then kept in the cage for additional 30 minutes until they revived (Amsalem and Grozinger,

2017). Two more colonies were reared from queens caught in the wild in spring of 2018 in Newport

and Landisville, Pennsylvania. All colonies were kept in constant darkness, 60% humidity and 28-

30°C and manipulated under red light, that bees are unable to see. Bees were not allowed to leave

the colony and pollen and 60% sucrose solution were provided *ad-libitum.* Bumble bees produce

sexuals using a 'bang bang' strategy: an abrupt switch from the exclusive production of workers

to the exclusive production of sexuals (Duchateau and Velthuis, 1988). Thus, in all experiments,

workers were collected from queenright colonies (i.e., queen is present) throughout the natural

development of the colonies until sexuals appeared. Workers eclosing early and late in the colony

cycle (measured from the eclosion of the first worker) were considered early-and late-season

workers, respectively.

Bumble bee sampling

Sampling of workers from the parental colonies began at approximately two weeks after the

eclosion of the first worker and continued until workers were no longer produced; colonies were

queenright for the entire sampling period. Colonies were terminated when only males or gynes

were exclusively produced for five consecutive days. Worker age was differentially controlled for

in the different experiments. Age was not controlled for in the experiments examining ovary size,

body mass and size, was partially documented in the cold tolerance experiment (in 2 out of 6

colonies) in order to verify our method of sampling (see below), was controlled for in egg laying

assays (all workers were 10 days old), lipid content experiment (workers were 2-11 days old), and

gene expression assays (all workers were 3 days old). In experiments where age was partially

controlled or not controlled for, sampling occurred at a high-rate ensuring a constant turnover of

individual workers in the colony and colony size of 20-30 workers at all times. To verify that our

sampling method maintained a constant turnover of workers in the colony, we individual marked

all workers (n=975) upon eclosion from a subset of the colonies used in our analyses and found

that 92% of workers were sampled within a week of eclosion. We collected information about

colony life span, the date of the production of first sexuals (males and gynes), the number of

workers each colony produced, and which colonies were used in each analysis (Table S1). Overall,

two colonies were used to examine worker body mass, size and ovary activation (n=472), four

colonies were used to examine egg-laying in same-age workers (n=422), four colonies were used

to examine fat body lipids (n=90), and six colonies were used to examine cold tolerance (n=2,417),

and fat body gene expression (n=17).

Ovary activation, body mass and size

To examine the reproductive potential of workers across the colony's development (Table 1),

groups of eight randomly sampled workers were removed from their parental queenright colonies

every 2-4 days throughout the colony's natural life cycle (weeks 3-13) and kept at -20° C until

analysis. Age was not controlled for; however, sampling was done at a high-rate (the 2 colonies

were sampled 59 times, 8 workers at a time, during a period of 10 weeks) ensuring a constant

turnover of individual workers in the colony and a colony size of 20-30 workers at any time point.

The length of the terminal oocyte in the three largest ovarioles was measured with a scaled ocular.

B. impatiens females possess four ovarioles per ovary and at least one ovariole per ovary was

measured. Mean terminal oocyte length for each bee was used as an index of ovarian activation.

The same workers were measured for their wet body mass using an electronic scale and for their

body size by measuring the width of the head as in Amsalem and Hefetz (2010).

Egg laying

To examine the physiological aptitude of queen-less workers (i.e. queen is absent) to lay eggs, we
counted the number of eggs laid by same-age (10-day old) workers sampled from four colonies
throughout the entire life cycle (weeks 2-10). Two newly-eclosed workers of similar size were
removed from their parental colony and placed into a cage (11 cm diameter x 7 cm tall) where they
were provided *ad libitum* pollen and 60% sucrose syrup. Cages were inspected for the total number
of eggs laid by workers at the end of the 10-day period. Post analysis, the data were divided to
three time points (earlier than week 5, weeks 6-8 and week 9 or later), based on the division
observed in the ovary experiment where these groups clustered together. These timepoint also
matched the life cycle phases of *B. impatiens* (pre competition, post competition, and sexual
production).

Cold tolerance

To examine cold tolerance of workers across the colony's development, we used a modified
protocol from Karsai and Hunt (2002). Groups of 10 workers were randomly sampled from their
parental colony throughout the entire colony cycle (weeks 3-13). In two colonies all workers were
individually marked daily upon eclosion and put back into the colony, making up a total of 40%
of all workers analyzed for cold tolerance. Workers that had their age documented were 2-21 days
old at the time of sampling and 92% of them were sampled within 7 days of eclosion. Workers
were placed in a 28 x 22 x 5 cm cardboard box and into a refrigerator mimicking diapause-like
conditions (70% humidity, total darkness, and 4°C). Sampling frequency varied depending on
colony growth, but a minimum of 10 workers were sampled from every colony for every week of
the experiment. To assess survival of workers, boxes were removed from the fridge every 48 hours
and were exposed to ambient light and temperature for ten minutes. Workers were lightly prodded
with forceps to verify whether they were alive and any that showed no sign of movement in 10
minutes were considered dead and stored at -20° C until further analyses. Live workers were placed
back into the fridge. In order to identify potential confounding factors leading to mortality in cold,
other than the colony age, we examined the correlation between survival length and worker age,
body mass and oocyte size in a subset of the dead workers (Table S2).

Lipid analyses

To examine worker fat body lipid content, we compared lipid amounts in early-season (weeks 3-5) and late-season (weeks 8-11) workers, taken from four colonies. Workers were individually marked upon eclosion and worker age upon sampling was recorded (2-11 days). To control for size variation, worker body and eviscerated abdomen mass were measured prior to dissections. Lipid analysis was done as in Amsalem (2015a) and Amsalem and Grozinger (2017). Briefly, the abdomen was separated from the thorax and eviscerated of all content except the fat body (i.e., the ovaries, gut and sting complex were removed), and placed in 2% sodium sulphate. Two hundred microliters of the fat body homogenate were added to glass vials containing 2.8 mL chloroform/methanol mix. Vials were centrifuged (x3000g) and the supernatant was mixed with 2 mL of distilled H_2O separating between the upper aqueous fraction and the lower organic fraction (lipids). The lower organic lipid fraction was separated and quantified using a vanillin-phosphoric acid reaction. A standard curve for lipids was created using five different concentrations of 0.1% vegetable oil diluted in chloroform. Absorbance values (OD 525) for each sample were measured with a Nanodrop One™ and converted to micrograms per worker, based on a formula calculated from the regression line derived from the calibration curve. The amounts of lipids are presented as percentages of the abdomen mass to correct for body size.

Gene expression

Expression of genes was compared in 3-day old workers sampled from early season colonies during weeks 2-4 (8 workers taken from 3 colonies) and from late-season colonies during weeks 8-10 (9 workers taken from 3 colonies; Table S1). Expression levels of *foxo, hsp70, hex1, menin, vg, mfe,* and *pepck* were examined in the workers' fat body. Target genes were selected based on their biological relevance to cold tolerance, lipid metabolism, stress and reproduction (Amsalem et al., 2015a; Hunt et al., 2007; Jedlicka et al., 2016; Spacht et al., 2018). Design of forward and reverse primers for each gene was done using the primerBLAST (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/) and are provided in Table S3. Primers were designed to target the most conserved region between sequences.

Workers were individually marked in their parental colonies upon eclosion, flash-frozen 72 hours later and stored at -80° C until use. To isolate the fat body tissue, workers were dissected using RNAlater to separate the abdomen from the thorax and remove all abdominal contents, including

ovary tissue, sting complex, and the gut. The remaining carcass and attached fat body were

immediately placed in lysis buffer and stored at -80° C. Total RNA samples were extracted using

the RNAeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacture instructions.

cDNA was synthesized following the manufacturer's instructions using 200 ng of RNA (Applied

Biosystems).

Gene expression was measured on a QuantStudio 5. For real time PCR reactions, 2 µL of diluted

cDNA were combined with 5 µL SYBR Green (Bioline, Luckenwalde, Germany), 0.2 µL of each

forward and reverse primer and 4.6 µL DEPC-water. To control for PCR efficiency and individual

differences across samples, we used two reference genes: *Arginine kinase* and *Phospholipase A2*.

These genes have been previously used in several studies with *B. impatiens* (Amsalem et al.,

2015a; Amsalem and Grozinger, 2017). Reactions were performed in triplicate for each of the

samples and averaged for use in statistical analyses. Negative control samples and a water control

were present on each plate. Expression levels of candidate genes were normalized to the geometric

mean of the two housekeeping genes using the $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ technique (Vandesompele et al., 2002). For

visual presentation, expression levels were normalized to the treatment with the lowest expression

level.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were conducted using JMP Pro. software v.14.0.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary,

NC, USA). Data were tested for normality using Goodness of fit test prior to the statistical analysis

and were analyzed using an ANOVA mixed model or a generalized linear model (GLM),

accordingly. The effect of parental colony was examined and included in the model if found

significant. Oocyte size data were analyzed using a generalized linear model (GLM) with colony

age as the fixed effect, Poisson distribution, and log as the link function. Egg laying and worker

size data were analyzed using an ANOVA mixed model with parental colony as a random effect

followed by a post-hoc analysis using Tukey's HSD Test. Worker mass was analyzed using a GLM

with colony age as the fixed effect, a normal distribution, and log as the link function. Cold

tolerance data were examined using Kaplan-Meier survival analyses. Lipid data were normally

distributed following a log-transformation and analyzed using an ANOVA mixed model with

parental colony as a random effect. Gene expression data were examined using either a t-test or a

Wilcoxon ranked test, depending on the distribution of the data.

Results

The effect of colony age on worker oocyte size and egg laying

Worker oocyte size (Figure 1) was significantly affected by colony age (GLM, χ^2_{10}=85.88,

p<0.001) but not by the parental colony (GLM, χ^2_1=1.64, p=0.20). The data clustered to three time

points, separating between early season (<5 weeks), mid-season (6-9 weeks) and late season (>9

weeks). Post-hoc contrast tests were performed to compare early, mid, and late-season workers.

Early-season workers (weeks 3-5) had significantly smaller oocyte size compared with mid-season

(6-9 weeks) workers (0.31±0.04 mm in early vs. 0.83±0.06 mm in mid-season, means ± SEM), in

line with the pre-competition and competition phases of the colony (Duchateau and Velthuis,

1989). However, late-season workers (weeks 10-12) also showed smaller oocyte size (0.36±0.03

mm) compared with mid-season, despite the inability of the queen to inhibit reproduction at this

stage (Duchateau and Velthuis, 1988; Duchateau and Velthuis, 1989). The effect of colony age on

worker oocyte size was the same regardless if worker body mass or worker size were included in

the model.

Since the presence of the queen may mask the differences in worker reproduction early in the

colony's life cycle, we also examined the effect of colony age on the physiological aptitude of

workers to lay eggs in queen-less conditions (Figure 2). We placed pairs of newly eclosed workers

in plastic cages for 10 days (n=422 workers) and compared their cumulative egg laying. Workers

were collected from three time points during colony development, based on the findings in the

previous experiment (weeks 2-5, 6-8 and >9 weeks) and the overall longevity of the colonies used

for these experiment (colonies were terminated at the age of 9-10 weeks, thus week 9 was

considered late season). The average number of eggs was significantly affected by colony age

($f_{2,206}$= 6.35, p=0.002). On average, workers that eclosed after week nine laid significantly fewer

eggs (16.77 ± 1.5) compared to the number of eggs laid by workers that eclosed during weeks 2-5

(23.4±1.32) or weeks 6-8 (25.41±1.42). The parental colony did not significantly affect the number

of eggs laid by workers ($f_{2,206}$= 4.51, p=0.23), and thus was not included in the model.

The effect of colony age on workers cold survival

On average, workers survived 11 days under diapause-like conditions (3-5°C, 70% humidity)

(Figure 3). Colony age (in weeks) significantly affected the number of days workers survived in

diapause-like conditions (Kaplan-Meier log-rank test χ^2_{10}=81.5, p<0.001), with a difference of

approximately three days between the average survival in the earliest week (week 3, 10.05±0.45

days) compared with the latest week (week 13, 13.15±0.50 days). Significant differences were also

found in the average survival of workers from wild and commercial colonies, with workers from

wild-caught colonies surviving on average 11.59±0.2 days while workers from commercial

colonies survived on average 10.8±0.1 days (Kaplan-Meier log-rank test, χ^2_1=17.34, p<0.001). The

parental colony had a significant effect on worker survival in both wild (Kaplan-Meier log-rank

test, χ^2_1=9.85, p<0.001) and commercial colonies (Kaplan-Meier log-rank test, χ^2_3=133.57,

p<0.001), with a difference of three days on average between the colonies that survived the longest

and the shortest.

To test whether mortality in cold could be attributed to factors other than colony age, we examined

the correlations between the number of days survived in cold and several potential confounding

factors. Worker's age was documented in two colonies where all workers were individually

marked upon eclosion. We found a significant, though weak, negative correlation between worker

age and cold survival in both colonies (Colony 3: Pearson's r= -0.14, n=232, p=0.03; Colony 4:

Pearson's = -0.21, n=743, p<0.001). The body mass of workers upon death was examined in all

six colonies but was weakly positively correlated with survival only in two colonies (Colony 1:

Pearson's r=0.13, n=322, p=0.02; Colony 3: Pearson's r=0.22, n=233, p<0.001). Finally, no

significant correlation was found between oocyte size upon death and survival in any of the six

colonies (Table S2).

The effect of colony age on worker body mass and size

There was a significant effect of colony age on worker size ($f_{1,471}$=3.89, p=0.05) and worker body

mass (GLM, χ^2_{10}=125.19, p<0.001; Figures 4a and 4b, respectively), though the size effect was

slightly weaker than the mass effect. There was an increase in both size and mass over time, up to

week 12, and a slight decrease in the last week (week 13). Colonies typically start showing signs

of collapse after weeks 9-10 (see also Table S1 for the average survival in weeks of all colonies).

Thus, we attributed the decrease in mass/size in week 13 to the final stage of the colonies and

believe the consistent trend of increasing body size and mass in weeks 2-12 reflect the colony's

normal development more accurately than the last week. Neither body mass (GLM, $\chi^2{}_1$=2.76,

p=0.10) or size were affected by the parental colony ($f_{1,470}$=4.03, p=0.84).

The effect of colony age on worker fat body lipids

352 Lipid content in the fat body (normalized to eviscerated abdomen mass) was significantly higher

353 in late-season compared with early-season workers (ANOVA Mixed Model: $f_{1,85.1}$=5.41, p=0.023,

354 Figure 5). Lipid content was not affected by the parental colony ($f_{1,85.1}$=5.41, p=0.33), and no

355 significant correlation was found between worker age and fat body lipid content (Pearson's r=0.08,

356 n=90, p=0.42).

The effect of colony age on worker gene expression

Expression levels of selected genes were examined in 3-day old workers (Figure 6). We chose 3-

day old workers since they are too young to activate their ovaries, ensuring that gene expression

differences are not the result of a different reproductive state. Gene expression levels were

compared using a t-test or Wilcoxon ranked test, depending on whether the data were distributed

normally or not (see Methods). Expression levels of *menin, mfe* and *pepck* were significantly

higher in early- compared with late-season same-age workers (*menin*: *t*-test: t(10.88)=2.79,

p=0.008; *mfe*: Wilcoxon ranked test: $\chi^2{}_1$=5.36, p=0.02; *pepck*: *t*-test: t(12.16)=2.25, p=0.02).

Expression levels of *hex1* were significantly higher (Wilcoxon ranked test: $\chi^2{}_1$=3.57, p=0.05) and

expression levels of *hsp70* were marginally insignificantly higher (*t*-test: t(8.67)=1.5, p=0.08) in

late- vs. early-season workers. The effect size found in our study, although not very high (typically

2-3 times higher in significantly upregulated genes), is equivalent to findings in previous studies.

For example, in Amsalem et al, (2015a) where we compared the expression of *foxo* in diapausing

vs. non diapausing queens in *B. terrestris*, the expression levels were 2 times higher during

diapause. *Foxo* is a well conserved gene known to be upregulated during diapause, so the size

effect in this study for most of the genes is not out of norm. No significant differences were found

in the expression levels of *vg* ($\chi^2{}_1$=1.12, p=0.29) or *foxo* ($\chi^2{}_1$=2.08, p=0.15).

Discussion

Our study demonstrates that bumble bee workers exhibit physiological and gene expression pattern shifts from reproductive to a diapause-like profile as the colony ages, despite being reared under controlled temperature and humidity and provided unlimited food. Overall, workers eclosing late in the colony cycle had less activated ovaries under queen-right conditions and laid fewer eggs under queen-less conditions compared with workers eclosing early in the life cycle. On average, late-eclosing workers were larger, heavier, stored more lipids and were able to tolerate cold longer compared with early-eclosing workers. These observations are in line with an overall reproductive-like physiology early in the life cycle and a diapause-like physiology late in the life cycle.

These results are also summarized in Table 1. The main question to be answered is why maintaining and expressing these signatures is adaptive for bumble bee workers. Although not mutually exclusive, below we discuss four possible explanations for this phenomenon.

1. Physiological shift in workers is innate to workers: Late-season worker physiology reflects an ancient diapause signature

Circannual patterns regulating developmental and physiological processes in individual insects, although uncommon, have been documented across numerous taxa (Miyazaki et al., 2014; Saunders, 2009). Perhaps the most well-known example is the carpet beetle (*Anthrenus verbasci),* whose larvae possess an endogenous annual rhythm that controls the onset of diapause (Blake, 1960; Nisimura and Numata, 2003). Dragonflies also use a circannual rhythm to alternate between phases of diapause and development over the course of a year (Norling, 1984; Saunders, 2010). In social insects, termites (*Coptotermes formosanus*) were shown to a have circannual rhythm regulating the developmental time of the soldier caste (Mao and Henderson, 2007). As circannual patterns allow an insect to synchronize their activities, physiology, and behavior with annual environmental fluctuations, its adaptive value may be particularly important in seasonal environments where insects must survive unfavorable periods.

In species that inhabit seasonal environments, larger females are better suited to survive the winter-diapause, a physiologically demanding period during their life cycle, and found a colony the following year. Selection based on body-size can lead to the divergence of female castes and to

fixed morphological differences between longer-lived, larger, diapause-capable queens and
shorter-lived, smaller, helper workers that are unable to survive the winter. Our finding that late-
eclosing workers exhibit a more diapause-like profile compared to early-eclosing workers is
similar to solitary multivoltine bee species (e.g., several Xylocopa species) whereby the early-
season generation enter the reproductive cycle immediately following eclosion, and the late-season
generation refrain from reproduction and enter diapause. This may suggest that the shift from
immediate reproduction ('reproduce now') to diapause ('reproduce later') played an important role
in the divergence of female castes in bumble bees, an idea that was formulated as the Diapause
Ground Plan Hypothesis (DGPH) (Hunt, 2006).

The DGPH proposes that caste differences are driven by nutritionally-sensitive ancestral genetic
and physiological signatures that bifurcate during larval development in seasonal environments,
resulting in two trajectories: (1) The 'G1 pathway' where early-season, poorly-fed larvae develop
slowly into adults with low-fat and protein stores exhibiting a reproductive-like physiology. These
adults are predicted to seek protein upon eclosion and attempt to reproduce right away ('reproduce
now'); (2) The 'G2 pathway' where late-season, well-fed larvae develop faster into adults with
high-fat and protein stores and exhibiting a diapause-like physiology that allows them to postpone
reproduction until the following year ('reproduce later'). These two trajectories are hypothesized
to give rise to a solitary ancestor with a facultative physiological and genetic signature from which
small females, incapable of entering diapause (workers) and large, diapause-capable females
(queens) have evolved.

Empirical support for the DGPH in insects is scarce and mostly indirect. Gyne-destined larvae of
Polistes wasps (potential future queens) carry a diapause-signature, exhibiting a longer
developmental period and higher levels of hexamerin storage proteins that are associated with
preparation for diapause (Hunt et al., 2007). Study in *B. terrestris* showed that while the
physiological and molecular changes associated with diapause are well-conserved across species
(Amsalem et al., 2015a; Ragland and Keep, 2017), genetic pathways regulating diapause in social
insects have been co-opted to regulate the divergence of castes, evidenced by substantial overlap
between genes related to caste determination and diapause in bumble bees, and a shift in the role
of key genes regulating diapause in *B. terrestris* compared with Diptera species (Amsalem et al.,
2015a; Ragland et al., 2010). Finally, a recent phylogeny demonstrated that the most recent

common ancestor of all bees likely exhibited developmental diapause, and that an ancestral shift from developmental diapause to adult diapause was an important preadaptation to sociality in bees (Santos et al., 2019).

Bumble bee female castes are fixed and are determined during larval development, leading to queens that are three time larger than workers (Couvillon and Dornhaus, 2010). These queens, unlike workers, are capable of surviving winter diapause and consequently of initiating a colony in the next spring. Our study did not directly examine the differences between queens and workers, but may provide insights into the evolutionary history of bumble bee castes by examining the shift in the seasonal signature workers expressed. Bumble bee workers show large variability in body size (Couvillon and Dornhaus, 2010), which increases toward the end of the season (Knee and Medler, 1965; Shpigler et al., 2013), and also exhibit a shift between reproductive to diapause-like profile as the colony ages. These correlations may suggest that co-option of pathways regulating diapause to generate reproductive and non-reproductive phenotypes may have facilitated the evolution of the worker caste in species that evolved in seasonal environments. In support of that, late-eclosing workers in our study were larger and heavier (Fig. 4), and also had higher amounts of lipids in their fat body (Fig. 5), both of which are characteristic of the diapause phenotype. They also showed higher cold tolerance (Fig. 3) and lower reproductive potential (Fig. 1-2). Furthermore, genes that are predicted to be altered in preparation to diapause were differentially regulated in early vs. late-eclosing workers, in line with the DGPH (Fig. 6).

Environmental factors affecting caste divergence have been demonstrated in several species. For example, the production of discrete phenotypes in ants of temperate climates is influenced by interaction between genetics and temperature (Libbrecht et al., 2013; Schwander and Keller, 2008), and the effect of nutritional cues during development on caste determination was demonstrated in bees, wasps, ants and termites (Corona et al., 2016). These examples and the data presented in this study suggest that the DGPH warrants further direct investigation. Future studies examining how seasonality affects larval development and body size across social species and manipulation of nutritional cues in larvae could further elucidate whether the diapause ground plan was a prerequisite for the development of reproductive and non-reproductive castes. A large-scale examination of genes that vary with seasonality in comparison with genes regulating diapause

461   across species will further elucidate how conserved these pathways are and the role diapause may
462   have played in the evolution of sociality and castes.

2. Physiological shift in workers is not innate: Worker physiology is influenced by the social
environment

The observed shift in worker physiology could also be a result of the social environment during
larval development (i.e., the number of workers, the amount of food fed to the larvae and the
presence of older nestmates). However, we reject this explanation for several reasons. In several
of our experiments (Fig. 1-5) we didn't sample workers before weeks 2-3 (i.e., until sufficient
number of workers has accumulated) and controlled for the number of workers in the colony at the
time of sampling, maintaining no more than 20-30 workers and the queen throughout the colony
cycle. Therefore, the larger and heavier workers produced by the end of life cycle were not taken
care of by a higher number of individuals during their larval development. It should be noted,
however, that a previous study found that *B. terrestris* worker body size was influenced by the
social environment, with smaller-size workers produced when reared by one versus ten queen-less
workers (Shpigler et al., 2013). However, in the same study, no such difference was found under
queen-right conditions that better reflect our experimental design: workers that were reared by the
queen and >10 workers were not larger than workers reared by a single worker in two out of three
replicates (Shpigler et al., 2013). Interestingly, the sheer number of workers in late-stage colonies
was proposed as a possible explanation for the decrease in queen dominance and the initiation of
worker reproduction (Van Doorn and Heringa, 1986). However, this was not supported by our data
showing that the shift in worker ovarian activation occurred despite the controlled colony size.

A previous study conducted in *B. terrestris* showed a pattern of workers ovary activation
throughout colony development similar to the one we found (Fig. 1), with lower ovary activation
in workers in both very early and very late in the colony cycle (Bloch and Hefetz, 1999). The
authors suggested that early inhibition of worker reproduction is induced by the queen while the
later inhibition is caused by nestmate workers. This explanation, too, does not line up with our
data since the frequent sampling of workers likely prevented the formation of such a hierarchy
among workers.

Lastly, in all experiments we provided the bees with unlimited food of the same quality,
eliminating the possibility that fluctuations in resource abundance or quality can explain the
differences we observed. All colonies and individuals were kept in darkness, 60% humidity and
28-30° C, and overall, despite the control of worker demography, external conditions and queen
presence we still found clear differences in the physiology of early- and late-eclosing workers.
However, our data are correlative in nature as they were collected from normally developing
colonies. Thus, we cannot preclude the potential effect of other internal factors (e.g., brood
number, brood composition, queen age, etc.) that were not controlled for.

3. Physiological shift in workers is innate to the colony: Workers evolved to use seasonal cues
as social cues determining female reproduction

A bumble bee colony typically contains hundreds of workers that emerge progressively over a
period of approximately three months, followed by dispersal of reproductives and dissolution of
the colony (Michener, 1974). In nature, this period is characterized by progressive decreases in
floral resource availability (pollen and nectar), ambient temperatures, and photoperiod. During the
first phase of the colony cycle the queen exerts full control over worker reproduction but later in
the season workers start activating their ovaries and laying eggs (Amsalem et al., 2015a;
Duchateau and Velthuis, 1988). Workers are unmated, thus can only produce haploid eggs that
develop into males and depend on the queen to produce the worker force to support the rearing of
sexuals and to switch into rearing gynes, two events that are controlled solely by the queen (Cnaani
et al., 2000; Duchateau and Velthuis, 1988). In *B. terrestris* it was demonstrated that workers
'eavesdrop' on the queen by possibly monitoring her age and reproductive output and waiting for
her to lay eggs that develop into gynes before attempting to lay eggs (Alaux et al., 2006). Workers
further closely monitor the queen's fecundity and initiate aggressiveness towards her when her
productivity decreases (Almond et al., 2019), often resulting in matricide (Bourke, 1994).
Therefore, it is beneficial for workers to be physiologically prepared to lay eggs in the event that
the queen dies prematurely.

Seasonal limitations dictate that if workers wait too long to reproduce, even if successful in laying
eggs, their eggs are unlikely to complete development due to decreased floral resources and
temperatures. Furthermore, even in the case that eggs are fully developed to adults, the eclosion of

males that were laid too late in the season is unlikely to synchronize with the eclosion of gynes

resulting in inability to find a mate. Therefore, while investing in reproduction early in the season

is beneficial, investing in reproduction late in the season is likely to be futile.

In accordance with this explanation, we found that workers exhibit a higher reproductive capacity

and output early in the life cycle and a diapause-like profile later in the life cycle. Likewise, the

expression levels of *mfe*, a gene encoding the final enzyme in the biosynthesis of JH and

associated with fertility (Jedlicka et al., 2016) was down-regulated in late compared to early

eclosing workers. Additionally, *hex1, a* gene related to diapause preparation (Denlinger, 2002;

Hunt et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2006), was up-regulated in workers eclosing later in the life cycle.

However, not all genes followed the expected trend. *Vg*, for example, is a yolk-precursor protein

whose expression is expected to increase in reproductives (thus, in early-eclosing workers).

However, no significant differences were found in *vg* as the colonies age. The lack of differences

in *vg* could be due to the association of *vg* with aggressive behavior in bumble bees rather than

solely with reproduction (Amsalem et al., 2014). The lack of differences in the expression levels

of the other three genes (*foxo*, *hsp70*, and *menin*) may be explained in the fact that RNA expression

do not always track the protein levels, and some genes show higher individual variability in their

expression than others (in a way that our sample size may have not captured). Diapause related

genes are also sometimes characterized with a complex expression pattern. *Foxo*, for example,

while down-regulated in *B. terrestris* queens during diapause, is actually up-regulated in queens

prior to entering diapause and right after diapause (Amsalem et al., 2015a). Additionally, *menin*, a

regulator of heat shock proteins and a general stress response (Zhao and Jones, 2012), was

significantly down-regulated in *B. terrestris* queens prior to entering diapause compared with

queens already in diapause (Amsalem et al., 2015a).

With this in mind, we suggest that worker physiology throughout colony development matches the

cascade of seasonal events occurring in the wild. Workers are expected to invest in their own

reproduction when it is likely to be successful and invest in a diapause-like physiology (likely an

attempt to survive the winter) when reproduction is unlikely to be successful. This explanation is

fully supported by the physiological data but only partially supported by the gene expression

results.

4. Physiological shift in workers are innate to the queen: queens lay eggs of different quality as they age

A final explanation we propose is that the observed shift in worker physiology results from the age of the queen. As social insect queens age, their reproductive output may shift to maximize their own fitness or due to stressors associated with aging. Bumble bee queens control their reproduction to delay production of gynes until later in the colony cycle, thereby reducing the time available for worker reproduction (Alaux et al., 2005). Studies examining the factors regulating gyne production have shown through cross-fostering experiments that the production of gynes can be accelerated by placing older queens (post-competition) in young colonies, suggesting that this event is controlled by the queen (Alaux et al., 2005; Alaux et al., 2006). *B. terrestris* queens also decrease in fecundity following the switch point in the colony cycle (i.e., the transition of queen to laying male eggs), reducing the frequency of egg-laying events as well as the number of eggs laid per cell (Almond et al., 2019). Queen age-induced shifts in offspring number and quality has also been identified in honey bees *(A. mellifera caucasica)* where colonies containing two-year old queens had 50% lower levels of brood production, reduced frames of adult bees and reduced brood quality compared to colonies headed by queens younger than one-year old (Akyol et al., 2007). Furthermore, mortality of larvae increased with queen age, as do the size of the eggs produced (Al-Lawati and Bienefeld, 2009).

Overall, while these studies suggest that offspring physiology may shift as the queen ages, the function of producing diapause-like workers later in the season in bumble bees is not immediately clear since the shifts we observed in worker physiology over the colony's development do not necessarily indicate a reduction in the quality of the worker. In fact, with the exception of week 13, workers in older colonies had an increased size and mass and contained higher levels of fat body lipids, which could be interpreted as signs of increased worker quality. With that in mind, it should be noted that honey bee (*A. mellifera*) queens in smaller colonies or experiencing periods of nutritional stress were shown to produce larger eggs, likely as a way to increase the chance of their survival (Amiri et al., 2020). Although we did not control for the age of queen, our control of the environmental conditions and worker demography likely reduced the probability that the queen was simply responding to the colony environment but cannot preclude the possibility that the physiological shift in workers is due to increased queen age.

Conclusions

Bumble bee workers were demonstrated to express a physiological signature corresponding to the age of the colony with a shift towards a diapause-like profile in late-eclosing workers. These findings may indicate that worker physiology is innate, but whether the signature is innate to workers, the colony or the queen warrants further study.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Amsalem laboratory and Professor Abraham Hefetz for helpful discussions and critical reading of earlier drafts of the manuscript. We further thank two reviewers whose comments largely improved the manuscript.

References

Akyol, E., Yeninar, H., Karatepe, M., Karatepe, B. and Özkök, D. (2007). Effects of queen ages on Varroa (Varroa destructor) infestation level in honey bee (*Apis mellifera* caucasica) colonies and colony performance. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci* **6**, 143-149.

Al-Lawati, H. and Bienefeld, K. (2009). Maternal age effects on embryo mortality and juvenile development of offspring in the honey bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Ann Entomol Soc Am* **102**, 881-888.

Alaux, C., Jaisson, P. and Hefetz, A. (2005). Reproductive decision-making in semelparous colonies of the bumblebee *Bombus terrestris*. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* **59**, 270-277.

Alaux, C., Jaisson, P. and Hefetz, A. (2006). Regulation of worker reproduction in bumblebees (*Bombus terrestris*): workers eavesdrop on a queen signal. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* **60**, 439-446.

Almond, E. J., Huggins, T. J., Crowther, L. P., Parker, J. D. and Bourke, A. F. G. (2019). Queen Longevity and Fecundity Affect Conflict with Workers over Resource Inheritance in a Social Insect. *Am Nat* **193**, 256-266.

Amiri, E., Le, K., Melendez, C. V., Strand, M. K., Tarpy, D. R. and Rueppell, O. (2020). Egg-size plasticity in *Apis mellifera*: honey bee queens alter egg size in response to both genetic and environmental factors. *J Evolution Biol*.

Amsalem, E., Galbraith, D. A., Cnaani, J., Teal, P. E. and Grozinger, C. M. (2015a). Conservation and modification of genetic and physiological toolkits underpinning diapause in bumble bee queens. *Mol Ecol* **24**, 5596-615.

Amsalem, E. and Grozinger, C. M. (2017). Evaluating the molecular, physiological and behavioral impacts of CO2 narcosis in bumble bees (*Bombus impatiens*). *J Insect Physiol* **101**, 57-65.

Amsalem, E., Grozinger, C. M., Padilla, M. and Hefetz, A. (2015b). The physiological and genomic bases of bumble bee social behaviour. In *Genomics, Physiology and Behaviour of Social Insects*, vol. 48 eds. Z. Amro and F. K. Clement), pp. 37-93. Adv In Insect Phys: Academic Press.

Amsalem, E. and Hefetz, A. (2010). The appeasement effect of sterility signaling in dominance contests among *Bombus terrestris* workers. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* **64**, 1685-1694.

Amsalem, E. and Hefetz, A. (2011). The effect of group size on the interplay between dominance and reproduction in *Bombus terrestris*. *PLoS One* **6**, e18238.

Amsalem, E., Malka, O., Grozinger, C. and Hefetz, A. (2014). Exploring the role of juvenile hormone and vitellogenin in reproduction and social behavior in bumble bees. *BMC Evol Biol* **14**, 45.

Benton, T. and Bowler, D. (2012). Dispersal in invertebrates: influences on individual decisions. In *Dispersal Ecology and Evolution*, eds. J. Clobert M. Baguette T. G. Benton and J. M. Bullock), pp. 41-49: Oxford Scholarship.

Blacher, P., Huggins, T. J. and Bourke, A. F. G. (2017). Evolution of ageing, costs of reproduction and the fecundity–longevity trade-off in eusocial insects. *P Roy Soc B-Biol Sci* **284**.

Blake, G. M. (1960). Decreasing Photoperiod inhibiting Metamorphosis in an Insect. *Nature* **188**, 168-169.

Bloch, G. (1999). Regulation of queen-worker conflict in bumble-bee (*Bombus terrestris*) colonies. *Proc R Soc Lond B* **266**, 2465-9.

Bloch, G. and Hefetz, A. (1999). Regulation of reproduction by dominant workers in bumblebee (*Bombus terrestris*) queenright colonies. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* **45**, 125-135.

Bourke, A. F. G. (1994). Worker Matricide in Social Bees and Wasps. *J Theor Biol* **167**, 283-292.

Cameron, S. A., Hines, H. M. and Williams, P. H. (2007). A comprehensive phylogeny of the bumble bees (Bombus). *Biol J Linn Soc* **91**, 161-188.

Chapman, J. W., Reynolds, D. R. and Wilson, K. (2015). Long-range seasonal migration in insects: mechanisms, evolutionary drivers and ecological consequences. *Ecol Lett* **18**, 287-302.

Cnaani, J., Robinson, G. E. and Hefetz, A. (2000). The critical period for caste determination in
Bombus terrestris and its juvenile hormone correlates. *J Comp Physiol A* **186**, 1089-94.
Cook, S. C., Eubanks, M. D., Gold, R. E. and Behmer, S. T. (2016). Summer and fall ants have
different physiological responses to food macronutrient content. *J Insect Physiol* **87**, 35-44.
Corona, M., Libbrecht, R. and Wheeler, D. E. (2016). Molecular mechanisms of phenotypic
plasticity in social insects. *Curr Opin Insect Sci* **13**, 55-60.
Couvillon, M. J. and Dornhaus, A. (2010). Small worker bumble bees (*Bombus impatiens*) are
hardier against starvation than their larger sisters. *Insectes Soc* **57**, 193-197.
Couvillon, M. J., Jandt, J. M., Duong, N. and Dornhaus, A. (2010). Ontogeny of worker body size
distribution in bumble bee (*Bombus impatiens*) colonies. *Ecol Entomol* **35**, 424-435.
Daimon, T. and Shinoda, T. (2013). Function, diversity, and application of insect juvenile
hormone epoxidases (CYP15). *Biotechnol Appl Biochem* **60**, 82-91.
Danforth, B. N., Cardinal, S., Praz, C., Almeida, E. A. and Michez, D. (2013). The impact of
molecular data on our understanding of bee phylogeny and evolution. *Annu Rev Entomol* **58**, 57-78.
DeGrandi-Hoffman, G., Gage, S. L., Corby-Harris, V., Carroll, M., Chambers, M., Graham, H.,
Watkins deJong, E., Hidalgo, G., Calle, S., Azzouz-Olden, F. et al. (2018). Connecting the nutrient
composition of seasonal pollens with changing nutritional needs of honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.)
colonies. *J Insect Physiol* **109**, 114-124.
Denlinger, D. L. (2002). Regulation of diapause. *Annu Rev Entomol* **47**, 93-122.
Duchateau, M. J. and Velthuis, H. H. W. (1988). Development and reproductive strategies in
Bombus terrestris colonies. *Behavior* **107**, 186-207.
Duchateau, M. J. and Velthuis, H. H. W. (1989). Ovarian Development and Egg-Laying in
Workers of *Bombus terrestris*. *Entomol Exp Appl* **51**, 199-213.
Goehring, L. and Oberhauser, K. S. (2002). Effects of photoperiod, temperature, and host plant
age on induction of reproductive diapause and development time in Danaus plexippus. *Ecol Entomol* **27**,
674-685.
Gotthard, K. (2001). Growth strategies of ectothermic animals in temperate environments. In
Environment and animal development, eds. D. Atkinson and M. Thorndyke), pp. 287–304: Oxford BIOS
Scientific.
Hines, H. M. (2008). Historical biogeography, divergence times, and diversification patterns of
bumble bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Bombus). *Syst Biol* **57**, 58-75.
Hoover, S. E., Higo, H. A. and Winston, M. L. (2006). Worker honey bee ovary development:
seasonal variation and the influence of larval and adult nutrition. *J Comp Physiol B* **176**, 55-63.
Hunt, J. H. (2006). Evolution of castes in Polistes. *Ann Zool Fenn* **43**, 407-422.
Hunt, J. H., Kensinger, B. J., Kossuth, J. A., Henshaw, M. T., Norberg, K., Wolschin, F. and
Amdam, G. V. (2007). A diapause pathway underlies the gyne phenotype in Polistes wasps, revealing an
evolutionary route to caste-containing insect societies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 14020-5.
Jedlicka, P., Ernst, U. R., Votavova, A., Hanus, R. and Valterova, I. (2016). Gene Expression
Dynamics in Major Endocrine Regulatory Pathways along the Transition from Solitary to Social Life in a
Bumblebee, *Bombus terrestris*. *Front Physiol* **7**, 574.
Kipyatkov, V. E. (1993). Annual cycles of development in ants: diversity, evolution, regulation. In
Proceedings of the Colloquia on Social Insects, vol. 2, pp. 25-48.
Knee, W. and Medler, J. (1965). The seasonal size increase of bumblebee workers
(Hymenoptera: Bombus). *Can Entomol* **97**, 1149-1155.
Kostal, V. (2006). Eco-physiological phases of insect diapause. *J Insect Physiol* **52**, 113-27.
Kostal, V. and Denlinger, D. L. (2011). Dormancy and developmental arrest in invertebrates
Introduction. *J Insect Physiol* **57**, 537-537.

Libbrecht, R., Corona, M., Wende, F., Azevedo, D. O., Serrao, J. E. and Keller, L. (2013).
Interplay between insulin signaling, juvenile hormone, and vitellogenin regulates maternal effects on
polyphenism in ants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **110**, 11050-11055.
Mao, L. and Henderson, G. (2007). A case for a free-running circannual rhythm in soldier
developmental time of Formosan subterranean termites. *Ins* **54**, 388-392.
Michener, C. D. (1974). The social behavior of the bees. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard
University Press.
Mikkola, K. (2003). Red admirals Vanessa atalanta (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) select northern
winds on southward migration. *Entomol Fenn* **14**, 15-24.
Miyazaki, Y., Nisimura, T. and Numata, H. (2014). Circannual Rhythms in Insects. In *Annual,*
Lunar, and Tidal Clocks: Patterns and Mechanisms of Nature's Enigmatic Rhythms, eds. H. Numata and
B. Helm), pp. 333-350. Tokyo: Springer Japan.
Moret, Y. and Schmid-Hempel, P. (2009). Immune responses of bumblebee workers as a
function of individual and colony age: senescence versus plastic adjustment of the immune function.
Oikos **118**, 371-378.
Morita, A., Soga, K., Hoson, T., Kamisaka, S. and Numata, H. (1999). Changes in mechanical
properties of the cuticle and lipid accumulation in relation to adult diapause in the bean bug, *Riptortus*
clavatus. *J Insect Physiol* **45**, 241-247.
Nisimura, T. and Numata, H. (2003). Circannual control of the life cycle in the varied carpet
beetle *Anthrenus verbasci*. *Funct Ecol*, 489-495.
Norling, U. (1984). Life history patterns in the northern expansion of dragonflies. *Advances in*
Odonatology **2**, 127-156.
Prokop, J., Dehon, M., Michez, D. and Engel, M. S. (2017). An early miocene bumble bee from
Northern Bohemia (Hymenoptera, Apidae). *Zookeys* **710**, 43-63.
Ragland, G. J., Denlinger, D. L. and Hahn, D. A. (2010). Mechanisms of suspended animation are
revealed by transcript profiling of diapause in the flesh fly. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 14909-14.
Ragland, G. J. and Keep, E. (2017). Comparative transcriptomics support evolutionary
convergence of diapause responses across Insecta. *Physiol Entomol* **42**, 246-256.
Ramenofsky, M. and Wingfield, J. C. (2007). Regulation of migration. *Bioscience* **57**, 135-143.
Rinehart, J. P., Li, A., Yocum, G. D., Robich, R. M., Hayward, S. A. and Denlinger, D. L. (2007).
Up-regulation of heat shock proteins is essential for cold survival during insect diapause. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci USA **104**, 11130-11137.
Santos, P. K. F., Arias, M. C. and Kapheim, K. M. (2019). Loss of developmental diapause as
prerequisite for social evolution in bees. *Biol Lett* **15**, 20190398.
Saunders, D. (2010). Controversial aspects of photoperiodism in insects and mites. *J Insect*
Phyiol **56**, 1491-1502.
Saunders, D. S. (2009). Circadian rhythms and the evolution of photoperiodic timing in insects.
34, 301-308.
Schwander, T. and Keller, L. (2008). Genetic compatibility affects queen and worker caste
determination. *Science* **322**, 552.
Shpigler, H., Tamarkin, M., Gruber, Y., Poleg, M., Siegel, A. J. and Bloch, G. (2013). Social
influences on body size and developmental time in the bumblebee *Bombus terrestris*. *Behav Ecol*
Sociobiol **67**, 1601-1612.
Sim, C. and Denlinger, D. L. (2008). Insulin signaling and FOXO regulate the overwintering
diapause of the mosquito *Culex pipiens*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **105**, 6777-81.
Spacht, D. E., Teets, N. M. and Denlinger, D. L. (2018). Two isoforms of Pepck in *Sarcophaga*
bullata and their distinct expression profiles through development, diapause, and in response to
stresses of cold and starvation. *J Insect Physiol* **111**, 41-46.

Starkey, J., Brown, A. and Amsalem, E. (2019a). The road to sociality: brood regulation of
worker reproduction in the simple eusocial bee *Bombus impatiens*. *Anim Behav* **154**, 57-65.
Starkey, J., Derstine, N. and Amsalem, E. (2019b). Do Bumble Bees Produce Brood
Pheromones? *J Chem Ecol* **45**, 725-734.
Tufail, M., Nagaba, Y., Elgendy, A. M. and Takeda, M. (2014). Regulation of vitellogenin genes
in insects. *Entomol Sci* **17**, 269-282.
Van Doorn, A. and Heringa, J. (1986). The ontogeny of a dominance hierarchy in colonies of the
bumblebee *Bombus terrestris* (Hymenoptera, Apidae). *Insectes Soc* **33**, 3-25.
**Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A. and
Speleman, F.** (2002). Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric
averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome biol* **3**.
Wheeler, D. E. (1986). Developmental and Physiological Determinants of Caste in Social
Hymenoptera - Evolutionary Implications. *Am Nat* **128**, 13-34.
Wikelski, M., Moskowitz, D., Adelman, J. S., Cochran, J., Wilcove, D. S. and May, M. L. (2006).
Simple rules guide dragonfly migration. *Biol Lett* **2**, 325-9.
Yang, J., Kalhan, S. C. and Hanson, R. W. (2009). What is the metabolic role of
phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase? *J Biol Chem* **284**, 27025-27029.
Zhao, L. and Jones, W. A. (2012). Expression of heat shock protein genes in insect stress
responses. *Invertebrate Survival Journal* **9**, 93-101.
Zhou, X., Oi, F. M. and Scharf, M. E. (2006). Social exploitation of hexamerin: RNAi reveals a
major caste-regulatory factor in termites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 4499-504.

Captions to figures

Figure 1: The effect of colony age on *B. impatiens* worker oocyte size. Workers were sampled
from two colonies during weeks 3-13 (calculated from the eclosion of the first worker) and
immediately frozen (n=472 workers). Numbers in columns represent the number of individuals
and letters in bars denote statistical differences at α=0.05. Data are presented as means ± SE.

Figure 2: The effect of colony age on the average cumulative number of eggs laid by queen-less
B. impatiens workers. Newly eclosed workers were sampled from four colonies during weeks 2-
11 (calculated from the eclosion of the first worker) and kept in pairs for 10 days (n=211 cages).
Numbers in columns represent the number of cages and letters above bars denote statistical
differences at α=0.05. Data are presented as means ± SE.

Figure 3: The effect of colony age on *B. impatiens* worker survival in diapause-like conditions
(3-5°C, 70% humidity). Group of 10 workers were sampled every few days during weeks 3-13
from six colonies (four commercial and two wild-caught, n=2,417 workers). The figure to the right
represents the distribution of the number days workers survived in cold in the total sample size.
Numbers in brackets represent the total number of workers sampled per week. Statistical
significance was accepted at α=0.05. Data are presented as means ± SE.

Figure 4: The effect of colony age on *B. impatiens* worker head width size (A) and body-mass
(B). Workers were randomly sampled from two colonies during weeks 3-13 (calculated from the
eclosion of the first worker, n=472 workers). Numbers in columns represent the number of cages
and letters above bars denote statistical differences at α=0. Data are presented as means ± SE.

Figure 5: The effect of colony age on the average percentage of fat body lipids in *B. impatiens*
worker's abdomens. Workers (n=90 workers) were sampled from four colonies during weeks 3-5
(early-eclosing) versus weeks 8-11 (late-eclosing). Numbers in columns represent the numbers of
individuals and different letters above bars denote statistical significance at α=0.05. Data are
presented as means ± SE.

Figure 6: The effect of colony age on the expression levels of several candidate genes in 3-day
old *B. impatiens* workers. Workers were sampled from 6 colonies during weeks 2 and 10 (n=17

workers). Different values above bars denote statistical significance at α=0.05. Data are presented

as means ± SE.

Table 1: The list of examined variables and findings in this study

VARIABLE		FUNCTION AND PREDICTIONS	RESULTS OF THIS STUDY
PHYSIOLOGY	Oocyte size	Females with a higher reproductive potential exhibit higher oocyte size	Smaller oocyte size in late-eclosing workers
	Egg laying	Females with higher reproductive output lay more eggs	Lower number of eggs laid in late-eclosing workers
	Cold tolerance	Females exhibit higher cold tolerance in preparation to diapause	Higher cold tolerance in late-eclosing workers
	Body size	Females more adapted to diapause conditions are larger	Larger body size in late-eclosing workers
	Body mass	Females more adapted to diapause conditions are heavier	Larger body mass in late-eclosing workers
	Fat body lipid	Females more adapted to diapause conditions have higher fat storage	Higher fat storage in late-eclosing workers
GENE EXPRESSION	*vitellogenin* levels	Positively associated with female fertility. Reproductive females show higher *vg* expression compared with non-reproductives	No differences
	mfe levels	Positively associated with female fertility. Reproductive females have higher JH levels compared with non-reproductives	Higher in early-eclosing workers
	hex1 levels	Encodes to storage proteins that accumulate in individuals during diapause	Higher in late-eclosing workers
	hsp70 levels	Encodes to heat shock protein that is higher in individuals during diapause	No differences
	menin levels	A regulator of heat shock proteins and a general stress response, higher in individuals during diapause	Higher in early-eclosing workers
	foxo levels	A transcriptional factor in the insulin pathway, lower during diapause	No differences
	pepck levels	A gene coding to a metabolic enzyme used during gluconeogenesis. Reduced gluconeogenesis and a conservation of energy stores is typical to individuals during diapause	Higher in early-eclosing workers