Genome-wide changes in genetic diversity in a population of *Myotis lucifugus* affected by white-nose syndrome

Lilley TM [1,2], Wilson IW [1], Field KA [3], Reeder DA [3], Turner G [4], Kurta A [5], Blomberg AS [6], Hoff S [7], Herzog C [7], Paterson S [1].

[1]Institute of Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom. [2]Finnish Museum of Natural History, University of Helsinki, Finland. [3]Biology Department, Bucknell University, 1 Dent Drive, Lewisburg, PA 12837, USA. [4]Pennsylvania Game Commission, Harrisburg, PA, USA. [5]Department of Biology, Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti, MI, USA. [6]Department of Biology, University of Turku, Finland. [7]Wildlife Diversity Unit, State Department of Environmental Conservation, Albany, NY, USA.

Corresponding author: tmlill@liverpool.ac.uk

Abstract

Novel pathogens can cause massive declines in populations, but seldom lead to extirpation of hosts. Rather, disease can act as a selective pressure on survivors, driving the evolution of resistance or tolerance. Bat white-nose syndrome (WNS) is a rapidly spreading wildlife disease in North America. The fungus causing the disease invades skin tissues of hibernating bats, resulting in disruption of hibernation behavior, premature energy depletion, and subsequent death. We use whole-genome sequencing to investigate changes in allele frequencies within a population of *Myotis lucifugus* in eastern North America, to scan for genetic resistance to WNS. Our results show low F_{ST} values within the population across time, i.e. prior to WNS (Pre-WNS) compared to population that has survived WNS (Post-WNS). However, when dividing the population with an arbitrary geographical cut-off between the states of Pennsylvania and New York, a sharp increase in values on scaffold GL429776 is evident in the Post-WNS samples. The genes present in the diverged area cannot be directly associated with selection caused by WNS based on previous literature. Thus, we conclude that WNS has not subjected *M. lucifugus* to selective pressure but may have allowed the rise of a selection for alleles in Pennsylvania through decreased gene flow within the population. Therefore, the existence of remnant sub-populations in the aftermath of WNS is likely due to other factors in bat life history besides genetic adaption.

Keywords: Opportunistic pathogen, white-nose syndrome, Selective pressure, Genetic resistance.

1. Introduction

The emergence and spread of multiple infectious wildlife diseases during recent decades has had devastating consequences for biodiversity (Daszak et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2006). Unfortunately, for many taxa, anthropogenic activities and climate change appear to be the main causes of exposure to sources of infection (Gallana et al., 2013; Tompkins et al., 2015). With shifting pathogen ranges, disease-related declines in naïve wildlife often threaten the persistence of populations. Examples include chytridiomycosis, which has wiped out populations of amphibians globally (Daszak et al., 1999; Lips et al., 2006), and avian malaria, which caused the sharp decline of island populations of birds (van Riper et al., 1986). More recently, white-nose syndrome (WNS) has been described as one of the most rapidly spreading wildlife diseases ever recorded (Blehert et al., 2009; Frick et al., 2010). Since the discovery of WNS in North America in 2006, ten species of bats have been diagnosed with the disease in 33 U.S. states and 7 Canadian provinces (www.whitenosesyndrome.org, 2019). Genetic evidence suggests that *Pseudigymnoascus destructans*, the causative agent of WNS, was introduced to North America from Europe (Campana et al., 2017; Lorch et al., 2013; Minnis and Lindner, 2013; Ren et al., 2012; Wibbelt et al., 2010), where affected species do not experience associated mortality (Harazim et al., 2018; Puechmaille et al., 2011; Warnecke et al., 2012; Wibbelt et al., 2013; Zukal et al., 2016).

When a disease enters a naïve host population, the initial wave of infection often causes epizootics that result in mass mortality, which may extirpate local host populations or even cause species extinction (Daszak et al., 1999; De Castro and Bolker, 2005; Frick et al., 2010). However, extinctions due to a disease appear to occur seldomly, and a disease can act as a

selective pressure on survivors, driving the evolution of tolerance or resistance, which may transform a disease from being epizootic to being enzootic (Boots et al., 2009; Karlsson et al., 2014; Robinson et al., 2012). This may occur through rapid changes in the distribution of genetic variants associated with disease susceptibility over short timescales (Gallana et al., 2013). These powerful selective pressures pathogens exert on naïve host populations (Sironi et al., 2015) and genetic signatures of infection-related selective sweeps may be detectable for generations (Deschamps et al., 2016; Di Gaspero et al., 2012; Groot et al., 2002). Detection of selective sweeps on particular genes or gene families can confirm or exclude potential mechanisms of host susceptibility or pathogen virulence (Campbell and Tishkoff, 2008). Rapid, extreme bottlenecks (such as those caused by panzootic events), meanwhile, are associated with a more stochastic loss of alleles, which does not necessarily indicate selection (Luikart et al., 1998). Much of the research on disease-induced selection has focused on the major histocompatibility complex (MHC), and indeed, diseases can drive the evolution and maintenance of MHC diversity in natural populations (Davy et al., 2017a; Jeffery and Bangham, 2000; Paterson et al., 1998; Spurgin and Richardson, 2010; Teacher Amber G. F. et al., 2009; Zeisset and Beebee, 2014). However, factors not directly associated with interactions between host and pathogen, such as environmental conditions and competition with other species, can have a considerable influence on the manifestation of a disease (Scholthof, 2007).

The psychrophilic (cold-growing) fungus *P. destructans*, (Lorch et al., 2011; Minnis and Lindner, 2013; Warnecke et al., 2008) acts as an opportunistic pathogen of bats, invading the skin tissues of hibernating hosts (Cryan et al., 2010; Meteyer et al., 2012). Susceptible species, such as *M. lucifugus* and *M. septentrionalis*, have shown population declines greater than 90% in affected hibernacula (Frick et al., 2015). The infection disrupts the hibernation behaviour of

the bats and leads to more frequent arousals from torpor, evaporative water loss, premature energy depletion, and death of susceptible individuals due to emaciation (McGuire et al., 2017; Reeder et al., 2012; Verant et al., 2014; Warnecke et al., 2013; Willis et al., 2011). Naïve infected *M. lucifugus* upregulate genes involved in immune pathways during hibernation (Davy et al., 2017b; Field et al., 2015, 2018; Lilley et al., 2017). These responses are weak during torpor but are robust after arousal, supporting the hypothesis that periodic arousals are necessary to initiate immune responses to pathogens or to augment responses that occur at low levels during torpor (Field et al., 2018; Luis and Hudson, 2006). Therefore, increased arousals may be attempts by the host to fight off the pathogen, in addition to quenching thirst, expelling waste and possibly foraging (Bernard and McCracken, 2017; Willis et al., 2011) and supplementing electrolytes (Cryan et al., 2013). White-nose syndrome is a prime example of a disease which is manifested when factors associated with the environment (i.e. temperature and humidity of the hibernaculum), the host (and the host's response to infection) and the pathogen correlate favourably (Scholthof, 2007). Therefore bat species, and populations within species, are affected differently according to hibernation behaviour and prevailing micro-climate conditions in available hibernacula (Grieneisen et al., 2015; Johnson et al., 2014; Langwig et al., 2015). As such, with a wildlife disease dependent on outside host interactions, such as WNS, loci not associated with MHC diversity or other immune response-associated factors, such as the amount of body fat prior to hibernation (Cheng et al., 2019), may also affect survival of species and populations. This highlights the importance of examining the genome as a whole (Sparks et al., 2019).

The initial impacts of WNS on six species of hibernating bats in the northeastern and midwestern USA vary from almost complete extirpation to arrested population growth (Turner

et al., 2011). After the initial decline caused by WNS in *M. lucifugus*, reports have surfaced describing stabilisation at smaller sizes or even increases in numbers of individuals (Dobony and Johnson, 2018; Frick et al., 2017; Langwig et al., 2015; Maslo et al., 2015). Models parameterised with long-term data on fungal loads, infection intensity and counts of bats at hibernacula have suggested development of either tolerance or resistance in these persisting populations (Frick et al., 2017), which is supported by reports on infected bats not arousing from torpor as frequently as during the acute phase of the zoonosis (Lilley et al., 2016). Since WNS has inflicted massive population declines in some species, there is a possibility that selection could occur for alleles conferring resistance or tolerance from the standing genetic variation, which would confer to resistance or tolerance could occur. Indeed, Maslo and Feffermann (2015) suggested the occurrence of evolutionary rescue occurring and Donaldson et al. (2017) described changes in an immunome across *M. lucifugus* populations obtained through a sequence capture method, which may be attributed to selection by WNS. A study suggests the initially affected *M. lucifugus* population is beginning to show signs of resistance to the pathogen (Langwig et al., 2017). However, the possible selective pressures WNS has exerted on the population, which may not even be related to immune responses (e.g. Cheng et al., 2019), are yet to be described at the whole-genome level using high-depth sequencing depth (although see Gignoux-Wolfsohn et al., 2018).

Here, we utilise high-throughput whole-genome sequencing on the WNS-affected species *M. lucifugus*, allowing us to look at entire genomes via single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). We compare genetic patterns (F_{ST} and heterozygosity) before and after the spread of WNS in the eastern North American population to gain insight into whether the disease is causing selection of major loci in surviving bats. We hypothesise that, due to the massive population

declines caused by WNS and the fact that the affected population is now beginning to stabilise or slightly increase in size (Langwig et al., 2017), a soft selective sweep based on standing genetic variation has resulted in differentiation in one or many regions of the genome (Messer and Petrov, 2013). Adaptation could therefore be in the process of driving the evolutionary rescue of a population, in which individuals resistant or tolerant to the disease survived a bottleneck and passed these traits to their offspring (Carlson et al., 2014). Alternatively, survival may be due to factors not related to selection for WNS-resistant alleles, such as microbiome adaptation (Ange-Stark et al., 2019; Lemieux-Labonté et al., 2017) or stochasticity in hibernation site microhabitat. This study provides a foundation for future work on genome-wide effects of WNS in *M. lucifugus* and other affected species of bats.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Ethics Statement

This study was carried out on bats from non-endangered species in strict accordance with recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. All methods were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Bucknell University (protocol DMR-016). The bats were collected under Pennsylvania Game Commission Special Use Permit #33085, and New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Permit #427.

2.2. Sample collection and DNA extraction

We conducted whole-genome sequencing on a population of *M. lucifugus* prior to, and up to 10 years after, the onset of WNS. White-nose syndrome in bats was first observed in upstate

New York, at Howe's Cave during the winter of 2006-2007 (Blehert et al., 2009). Our historic samples were obtained from individuals consisting of the eastern population of *M. lucifugus* (Miller-Butterworth et al., 2014; Vonhof et al., 2015) from New York (NY) (from the first batch of dead bats found at Howe's Cave in 2006) and from bats caught in central Pennsylvania (PA) in 2005-2006 (Table 1.,Supp. Table 1.). Bats in PA became affected by the disease in roughly 2008–2009. The remnants of the eastern North American population in NY and PA were sampled again in 2016, and genetic diversity was compared before and after WNS (Table 1., Supp. Table 1.). We sampled wing tissue from frozen specimens of known origin (Supp. Table 1.) that were collected and stored by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (Delmar, NY) and D. Reeder to obtain Pre-WNS samples. Post-WNS bats were captured using mist nets and harp traps during 2015 -2016. In addition to samples from PA and NY, we also collected two samples from Upper-Peninsula Michigan (UPMI) for polymorphic site selection (see Section 2.3.) to increase the diversity of sites for our samples. Tissue samples were collected using either 3.0 mm or 5.0 mm biopsy punches (Integra Milltex, Plainsboro, NJ) and stored in 95% ethanol until extraction. We extracted DNA using QIAmp DNA Mini Kits (Qiagen, Hilden Germany) and stored DNA at -80°C until sequencing.

2.3. Sequencing methods

Sequencing libraries were made for two sets of data: (1) Six individuals from PA (N = 2), NY (N = 2) and UPMI (N= 2) in order to initially identify a set of polymorphic sites within the *M. lucifugus* genome within the focal population (See Supp. Table 1. for information on individuals). Individual sequencing increases the confidence of SNP discovery, relative to pooled sequencing, since individuals exhibit discrete variation in the number of alleles they

carry at each potential SNP site; (2) Equimolar pools of DNA from multiple individuals from within our north-eastern US population (NY and PA) at each of two timepoints (pre- and post-WNS) to give four pooled sequencing libraries. TruSeq Nano libraries with a 350 bp insert size were prepared from all samples and run on a HiSeq4000 platform to generate 2x 150 bp reads providing ~15 Gbp of sequence data (~7.5x coverage) for each individual and ~90 Gbp of sequence data (~45x coverage) for each pool. Adapter sequences were removed with Cutadapt v1.2.1 and trimmed with Sickle 1.200 with a minimum quality score of 20.

2.4. Bioinformatics methods

Reads from the six individuals were mapped onto the *M. lucifugus* reference genome (https://www.broadinstitute.org/brown-bat/little-brown-bat-genome-project) using Bowtie2 v2.2.5 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012), with the '—sensitive-local' preset. Reads were sorted using SAMtools v1.1 (Li et al., 2009) and combined by read group (with duplicates removed using a 'lenient' validation stringency setting) using Picard-tools v2.5.0 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard). SNPs were called across all six individuals with the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) v3.4 HaplotypeCaller (McKenna et al., 2010) using default parameters. Within this SNP set, high-quality bivalent SNPs were selected that met selection criteria across the six individuals (Quality Score > 100; AF<1; 30>=DP>=100) and were input to the SAMtools mpileup function as a list of sites at which pileup was to be generated.

Reads from the pooled samples were mapped to the *M. lucifugus* reference genome as above and SAMtools mpileup was used to count alleles at high-quality SNP sites identified above (i.e. reads matching either the reference or alternate allele for bivalent loci). Sites with coverage in the bottom 10% or top 10% quantiles summed across the pooled samples were excluded

(since these could reflect inaccuracies in the reference genome), leaving about 13.5 million sites.

Average heterozygosity within the pooled samples was calculated using npstats (Ferretti et al., 2013), which controls for number of individuals within each sequencing pool and sequencing depth, thus allowing robust comparisons between pooled samples. One million SNPs were randomly picked and permuted, with 1000 sets of 1000 SNPs used to calculate the observed heterozygosity within each pooled set of individuals and, from these, we produced the median and 95% confidence intervals on heterozygosity.

Using allele counts at each locus to estimate allele frequencies, F_{ST} was calculated without weighting for sampling effort ($F_{ST} = \left(\bar{p}(1-\bar{p}) - \overline{p(1-p)}\right)/\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})$, where p is the allele frequency and either averaged between populations ($\bar{p}$) or the value $p(1-p)$ averaged across populations). F_{ST} was separately calculated between the Pre-WNS and Post-WNS samples and between subsections thereof, specifically PA pre- vs post-WNS, NY pre- vs post-WNS, PA pre- vs NY pre-WNS, PA post- vs NY post-WNS, and all PA vs all NY). Selection is expected to elevate F_{ST} at specific genomic regions relative to background levels due to sampling noise or genetic drift, which are expected to act equally across the genome. To identify such regions across the genome, a moving window was applied to calculate median pairwise F_{ST} values for groups of 500 consecutive SNPs at a time to even out sampling effects. Moving windows shifted 100 SNPs at a time, thus providing the best balance between fine detail and practicality. Allele frequency estimates were weighted by the number of individuals in each pool. This was done using a custom R script (see Data availability section), run using R v3.4.1 (R Development Core Team, 2011). Results were confirmed using Popoolation2 with a 10 kb

sliding window and with defined pool sizes. This gave the same qualitative results, but higher levels of background noise (Kofler et al., 2011). Additionally, Popoolation2 was used to perform Fishers Exact Tests on individual SNPs in order to test whether any very highly significant SNPs were missed by the moving window approach.

From the comparison of the NY and PA pooled samples (i.e. the comparison that produced the highest F_{ST} values), we visually identified across the whole genome windows with median F_{ST} values greater than 5 standard deviations from the mean, as well as those with F_{ST} values greater than 0.05, indicating at least 'moderate' genetic differentiation (Wright, 1978). The numbers of such complete windows within gene models were counted for each gene to identify genes potentially under selective pressure.

3. Results

3.1. Pre-WNS vs Post-WNS comparisons

To detect regions of the genome that may be under selection, we plotted F_{ST} across the *M. lucifugus* genome, calculated from allele counts within moving windows covering 500 SNPs and shifting 100 SNPs at a time. Comparing Pre- and Post-WNS samples revealed an overall low level of population differentiation due to genetic structure (F_{ST} median of 0.0059; Table 2). Whilst a small number of windows have F_{ST} values slightly beyond our arbitrary cut-off of 5 standard deviations above the mean, none have F_{ST} values exceeding 0.05, suggesting that there is not even moderate selection in any region of the genome in Post-WNS samples compared to Pre-WNS samples (Fig. 2, Table 2)(Wright, 1978). Similarly, using Fisher exact tests from Popoolation2 at a threshold of $p < 10^{-6}$, no individual SNPs were found to be consistently associated with differences Pre- versus Post-WNS among the four sets of samples.

3.2. Comparisons within US states

Due to our sampling and sequencing setup, we were also able to separately look at individuals of the same population from two discrete geographical locations within their range. In our case an arbitrary divide was made between bats sampled in NY and PA. Although population genetic analysis based on a considerably lower number of genetic markers assigns *M. lucifugus* on the eastern coast of North America to the same population (Vonhof et al., 2015), the species shows high degrees of philopatry (Davis and Hitchcock, 1965; Norquay et al., 2013), warranting the examination of these arbitrarily assigned pools of individuals outside the mean dispersal range of the species using more accurate, whole-genome sequencing based population genetic analyses.

A number of minor differences (F_{ST} > 5 stdevs above mean, but F_{ST} < 0.05) between these arbitrary sets of samples are evident prior to arrival of WNS, as well as a peak in scaffold GL429835 with an F_{ST} value exceeding 0.05 (Fig. 3a). The high peak consists of 4 windows the midpoints of which span an 81,887 bp region within a coding sequence consisting of 47 exons, which encodes the NIPBL cohesion loading factor (NCBI Gene ID: 102430106). The highest peak in a comparison of PA populations Pre- and Post-WNS (Fig. 3b) lies in a similar position within this coding region, as does a peak in a similar comparison of NY samples (Fig. 3c), though neither exceed the F_{ST} value cut-off of 0.05.

3.3. Post-WNS comparisons between US states

When comparing the Post-WNS individuals sampled from PA with the Post-WNS individuals from NY, the peak on scaffold GL429835 is no longer visible, but a far more pronounced spike

in F_{ST} values can be clearly seen in scaffold GL429776 (Fig. 4a), indicating relatively large allele frequency differences between the sample sets in this region of the genome. This is also seen, to a lesser extent, when comparing all samples from NY with all samples from PA (Fig. 4b). However, this significant peak in this comparison of all samples collected does not affect average fixation across the genome relative to the other comparison of all samples, Pre-WNS vs Post-WNS (Table 2).

We examined scaffold GL429776 more closely to compare the F_{ST} values between individuals from the arbitrarily selected sample sets from NY Post-WNS and PA Post-WNS (Fig. 4a). Genes within scaffold GL429776 containing windows with fixation values > 0.05 between the NY Post-WNS and PA Post-WNS samples, are presented in Table 4 and Fig. 4a.

To clarify why we saw no fixation at this site when comparing Pre-WNS and Post-WNS populations (Fig. 3a), nor when we compared Pre-WNS samples from both geographical origins (Figs. 3b and 3c), we calculated for the relevant subpopulations the proportion of reference alleles called at each site included in the F_{ST} window analyses (Fig. 5). This revealed a small decrease over time in the proportion of the reference alleles seen at this locus in the PA subpopulation (Fig. 5a), commensurate with an increase in proportion of reference alleles in the NY sample set (Fig. 5b). As such, existing differences between the NY and PA sample sets were insufficient to cause a relative rise in fixation prior to WNS spreading through the population (Fig 5c). Conversely, Post-WNS, allele proportions at this site in both sample sets had not changed sufficiently to suggest selection *within* the sample set but demonstrated clear differences *between* the NY and PA sample sets (Fig. 5d).

In the Post-WNS comparison, peaks which were above our arbitrary threshold of 5 standard deviations above the mean across the whole genome, but below F_{ST} = 0.05, can also be observed in small scaffolds on the right-hand side of the F_{ST} plots. The 63 windows comprising these peaks lie in 47 scaffolds. Of these, high F_{ST} windows lie in only 6 protein-coding regions across 6 scaffolds (Table 3). Two of these encode partial gene models. Of the 4 remaining models containing high F_{ST} regions, all but 1 is described on NCBI as a "Low Quality Protein". The exception is a gene encoding the ankyrin 2 protein, within which 7 high F_{ST} windows lie.

3.4. Measures of heterozygosity within subpopulations

We examined heterozygosity across the genome in the subpopulations divided by time and location to measure whether the epizootic reduces genetic diversity. We found the following values: PA pre-WNS: Median: 0.263 (0.252 – 0.274 95% CI); PA post-WNS: 0.247 (0.239 – 0.255); NY pre-WNS: 0.255 (0.247 – 0.263); and NY post-WNS: 0.256 (0.247 -0.265).

4. Discussion

Examining our data as a whole, we found low F_{ST} values between the Pre- and Post-WNS individuals of our eastern North American *M. lucifugus* population, the absence of fixation suggesting that WNS has not decreased the population in size sufficiently for the disease to have an effect on genetic diversity. Also, we found no significant changes in within-population heterozygosity Post-WNS, despite the massive population declines caused by WNS over the past decade, and found overall high heterozygosity across the genome. These results are in accordance with a similar, low-coverage study conducted by Gignoux-Wolfsohn et al. (2018). Panmixia of populations in evolutionary time in bats to the east of the Rocky Mountains has

been previously documented (Vonhof et al., 2016; Wilder et al., 2015). Gignoux-Wolfsohn *et al.* (2018) present evidence for a soft selective sweep, where selection acts on standing genetic variation, between Pre- and Post-WNS populations. Their analysis is based on a change in minor allele frequencies due to selection, distinguished from background demography using a simulation approach; and it shows a complex picture of potentially up to 85 unlinked SNPs, each exhibiting small effects and with little or no selective signal at adjacent SNPs. In contrast, a recent case study of selection on standing genetic variation, by myxoma virus in rabbits, showed that soft selective sweeps caused concerted changes in allele frequencies at sets of linked SNPs around coding loci (Alves et al., 2019).

The species originally described as *M. lucifugus* in North America (Fenton and Barclay, 1980) has since been divided into five non-sister species (Morales and Carstens, 2018). All of the individuals sampled in our study belong to *M. lucifugus senso stricto*, with a range extending from the east coast of North America to Alaska, and furthermore, to the same previously assigned population (Miller-Butterworth et al., 2014). Previous nuclear genetic studies show that differentiation is low, and there is no evidence for any major barriers to nuclear gene flow across the range of *M. lucifugus*.

Due to our sampling design, we were able to separate bats geographically within our sampling range. We made the arbitrary cut-off point for a more in-depth investigation of bats sampled in the states of PA compared to NY. Here, we see low F_{ST} values between the two groups of bats prior to the onset of WNS, indicating an absence of selection across the genome, with the exception of a small peak in scaffold GL429835, which lies within a gene model encoding the NIPBL cohesion loading factor. Relatively high F_{ST} values are also visible within this region

when comparing the PA population before and after the spread of WNS, as well as the NY population pre- and post-WNS. However, this peak is not evident when comparing PA and NY post-WNS (Fig. 4a). This suggests that this coding sequence was under selective pressures, manifesting as different allelic fixations, in both subpopulations prior to the arrival of WNS, but that any selection was nullified by the population-level impact of WNS (or another event occurring during the same timeframe). The protein NIPBL, as described in a review by Peters et al. (2008) plays an important role in the function of the cohesin complex, which is vital for chromosome segregation, DNA damage repair, and gene expression regulation. As these results suggest the loss of low-level functional diversity at this locus in our subpopulations, it would be interesting to further investigate what impact this will have on the population as a whole.

The F_{ST} comparison of Post-WNS individuals sampled from PA and NY depicts considerable genetic differentiation within scaffold GL429776; differentiation which did not exist in the Pre-WNS comparison of individuals sampled from these geographical areas. This is a difference which would have gone unnoticed had we not made the arbitrary comparison between bats sampled in NY and PA, or used fewer genetic markers instead of a whole-genome approach (Miller-Butterworth et al., 2014; Vonhof et al., 2015). The region's appearance post-WNS when considering all geographical locations together is not, however, reflected when the data are broken down into representatives of each state. A comparison of allele frequencies of individual SNPs within this region shows that individuals sampled from PA showed an increase in deviation from the reference genome over time, whilst a greater proportion of reference alleles were seen in NY samples Post-WNS compared with Pre-WNS. The differences in

proportions, growing as they were over time, were insufficient to exhibit a high fixation value Pre-WNS, but were notably different after the disease had spread through the population.

This region within the scaffold containing the peak contains six annotated genes with very different functions. Two, POC1B and CEP290, are involved in cilia development and maintenance. The ATP2B1 gene encodes a protein which belongs to the family of P-type primary ion transport ATPases, whereas TMTC3 is involved in the control of endoplasmic reticulum stress response. MGAT4C is a glycosyltransferase that participates in the transfer of N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) to the core mannose residues of N-linked glycans. Finally, KITLG produces a protein involved in mast cell development, migration and function, and melanogenesis. KITLG has been documented as a target of evolution in recent studies and in humans it has been linked with thermoregulation (Yang et al., 2018), which is a critical component of bat life history (Studier and O'Farrell, 1972). In fact, upregulation of KITLG at low temperature helps promote the production of brown fat for heat generation (Huang et al., 2014). Bats utilise brown adipose tissue in arousals to normothermia from different degrees of torpor (Thomas et al., 1990). There is also evidence of parallel evolution of pigmentation in sticklebacks and humans linked to KITLG (Miller et al., 2007), as well as its role in determining resistance/susceptibility to swine respiratory diseases in Erhulian pigs (Huang et al., 2017). KITLG, by influencing mast cell development, could also play a role in either protective or pathological immune responses to *P. destructans*, which may involve IgE-mediated recognition of secreted fungal proteins. As such, temporal changes we found in allele frequencies at this locus could, for instance, be linked to differences in hibernation site temperatures, and/or thermoregulatory needs in bats sampled at different locations (Humphries et al., 2002; Thomas et al., 1990). Spatial patterns, as seen here between the arbitrarily pooled

samples from PA and NY, could be due to local adaptation, in which strong selective sweeps may be linked to gene variants favoured in local interactions (Hansen et al., 2012; Kyle et al., 2014; Rico et al., 2015; Schoville et al., 2012). Whilst we lack data concerning migration patterns of the bats studied here, as well as the environmental conditions within their microfugia, future work would benefit from these data in a bid to understand whether bats repeatedly frequent habitats with similar conditions and whether, indeed, there is a significant difference in conditions across these Eastern states that explains the rapid rise in fixation at this locus.

The increase in F_{ST} values in this scaffold GL429776 could, alternatively, be due to the massive population decline caused by WNS (Langwig et al., 2017). Although not supported by existing data, the decline could potentially have resulted in a decrease in connectivity and gene flow between remnant local populations allowing for a local adaptation to arise (Bohonak, 1999; Lilley, Anttila, & Ruokolainen, 2018). Genetically resolved examples of local adaptations are rare (Hoekstra et al., 2006) and, in our focal system, pinpointing the causality leading to local adaptation is especially difficult due to the cryptic life history of bats. Determining how these variants are spread or localised among populations is essential to understanding and managing the emergence of new selective pressures (Eizaguirre et al., 2012; Kyle et al., 2014).

The ankyrin 2 protein encoding gene, lying within the observed small scaffolds on the right-hand side of the F_{ST} plots has a wide range of attributed Gene Ontology functions (The UniProt Consortium, 2019), which reinforce the ubiquitous nature and far-reaching roles of ankyrin-repeat-containing genes across organisms (Voronin and Kiseleva, 2007). Alternatively, the peaks in this area may have arisen because only relatively few SNPs were called there given

the small size of the scaffolds, thus limiting our ability to generate high-quality windows, hence the greater sampling noise.

We cannot fully exclude the possibility of WNS selecting for alleles in our scaffold of interest through. It is likely that there is concurrent selection occurring across multiple populations, and that selection is not acting to the same degree or even on the same loci in different geographic areas affected by WNS (Messer and Petrov, 2013). This also applies to interpreting the results on a smaller scale: the samples had not been collected from exactly the same locations before and after WNS, which may contribute to our results. Individuals sampled during the winter, at their hibernacula, may not inhabit the vicinity of these locations during the summer, although dispersal distances are often short (Davis & Hitchcock, 1965; but see Norquay et al., 2013).

Despite not seeing a genetic signal associated with resistance in *M. lucifugus*, remnant populations appear to be surviving year after year and even increasing in size notwithstanding infection loads during the hibernation period (Langwig et al., 2017; Lilley et al., 2016). The fact that the intensity of infection with *P. destructans* appears to be significantly lower for remnant populations compared to colonies in the midst of the epidemic phase and massive declines may suggest the development of resistance in these populations (Bernard et al., 2017; Langwig et al., 2017). However, the role of environmental interactions during the hibernation period, when the bat hosts are most vulnerable to the pathogen, have been largely neglected, although the effect of humidity and temperature on the growth of the fungal pathogen and survival of the host have been separately studied (Grieneisen et al., 2015; Marroquin et al., 2017; Verant et al., 2012). Modelling also suggests that environmental factors, including the

cave microbiome, have an impact on the proliferation and infectivity of *P. destructans* (Hayman, Pulliam, Marshall, Cryan, & Webb, 2016; Lilley et al., 2018). This is supported by the discovery of microbes in hibernacula environments and bats that are able to retard the growth of the fungus (Micalizzi et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2014, 2015). In light of our results and considering bat life history as a whole, adaptation and evolutionary rescue may not be a fast track for recovery of bat populations affected by WNS (Maslo and Fefferman, 2015). More likely the variability in hibernation site environmental conditions and strong selection for microbial taxa that inhibit *P. destructans* could explain why populations are recovering from the zoonosis (Cheng et al., 2016; Lemieux-Labonté, Simard, Willis, & Lapointe, 2017; Lilley et al., 2018).

It is still unclear how frequently genetic adaptation occurs in natural populations and under what circumstances it is promoted (Schoville et al., 2012). Many studies have recorded phenotypic changes in natural populations and attributed them to host-pathogen interactions (Frank et al., 2014; Kilpatrick, 2006; Langwig et al., 2017; Råberg et al., 2009). However, it is difficult to determine conclusively whether changes in phenotype are the result of selection in the genome or a result of phenotypic plasticity (Paterson et al., 2010; Routtu and Ebert, 2015). Also, the assumed benefit of change (i.e. adaptation) is often not tested experimentally, which would allow for inference of causality with the pathogen through exclusion of other potential drivers.

To conclude, our results suggest WNS has not yet subjected populations of *M. lucifugus* to selective pressures leading to genetic adaptation in remnant populations. No signs of selection in comparisons of genomes of Pre-WNS and ten years Post-WNS populations were observed,

although recent research has produced contradicting results (Donaldson et al., 2017; Gignoux-Wolfsohn et al., 2018). As for our results, this is something that is not unexpected with a species in which the effective population size most likely numbered in tens of millions of individuals prior to the onset of WNS. Our results indicate that the existence and recent growth of remnant populations of *M. lucifugus* are more likely attributable to other factors, such as microbiome adaptation and hibernation site selection among others, rather than genetic adaption. However, we found increased variability in a specific area of the genome in a comparison of Post-WNS samples from our two different study states, relative to Pre-WNS samples. We suggest this is due to weakened connectivity between bats at different locations allowing for local adaptations to enrich in the absence of gene flow. Although genes in the high F_{ST} region of the genome were identified, and genes such as KITLG provide interesting avenues of research, further investigation into the processes in bat life history to which these genes are related are required to expound upon the existing gene annotations.

Acknowledgements

We thank Svenska Kulturfonden, H2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (TML, 706196) and the Natural Environment Research Council, UK for funding the study; staff at the Centre for Genomic Research, University of Liverpool, UK for DNA sequencing expertise; Jenni Prokkola and Riley F. Bernard for proofreading the manuscript; and Alice Lilley, Cali Wilson, Beth Rogers and Mike Scafini for assisting in sample collection.

Author contributions

TML and SP designed the research. TML, DMR, KAF, AK, GGR and CH collected the samples. TML prepared samples for sequencing. TML, IWW and SP analysed the data. TML,

IWW and SP wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and all authors contributed to the final version.

Data availability statement

Raw data are available at ENA accession XXXXX and bioinformatics code at https://github.com/scottishwormboy/myoluc.

References

Alves, J.M., Carneiro, M., Cheng, J.Y., Matos, A.L. de, Rahman, M.M., Loog, L., Campos, P.F., Wales, N., Eriksson, A., Manica, A., et al. (2019). Parallel adaptation of rabbit populations to myxoma virus. Science *363*, 1319–1326.

Ange-Stark, M., Cheng, T.L., Hoyt, J.R., Langwig, K.E., Parise, K.L., Frick, W.F., Kilpatrick, A.M., MacManes, M.D., and Foster, J.T. (2019). White-nose syndrome restructures bat skin microbiomes. BioRxiv 614842.

Bernard, R.F., and McCracken, G.F. (2017). Winter behavior of bats and the progression of white-nose syndrome in the southeastern United States. Ecol. Evol. *7*, 1487–1496.

Bernard, R.F., Willcox, E.V., Parise, K.L., Foster, J.T., and McCracken, G.F. (2017). White-nose syndrome fungus, Pseudogymnoascus destructans, on bats captured emerging from caves during winter in the southeastern United States. BMC Zool. *2*, 12.

Blehert, D.S., Hicks, A.C., Behr, M., Meteyer, C.U., Berlowski-Zier, B.M., Buckles, E.L., Coleman, J.T.H., Darling, S.R., Gargas, A., Niver, R., et al. (2009). Bat white-nose syndrome: an emerging fungal pathogen? Science *323*, 227–227.

Bohonak, A.J. (1999). Dispersal, gene flow, and population structure. Q. Rev. Biol. *74*, 21–45.

Boots, M., Best, A., Miller, M.R., and White, A. (2009). The role of ecological feedbacks in the evolution of host defence: what does theory tell us? Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. *364*, 27–36.

Campana, M., Kurata, N., Foster, J.T., Helgen, L., Reeder, D., Fleischer, R., and Helgen, K.M. (2017). White-nose syndrome fungus in a 1918 bat specimen from France. Emerg. Infect. Dis. J. *23*, 1–1.

Campbell, M.C., and Tishkoff, S.A. (2008). African Genetic Diversity: Implications for Human Demographic History, Modern Human Origins, and Complex Disease Mapping. Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet. *9*, 403–433.

Carlson, S.M., Cunningham, C.J., and Westley, P.A.H. (2014). Evolutionary rescue in a changing world. Trends Ecol. Evol. *29*, 521–530.

Cheng, T.L., Mayberry, H., McGuire, L.P., Hoyt, J.R., Langwig, K.E., Nguyen, H., Parise, K.L., Foster, J.T., Willis, C.K.R., Kilpatrick, A.M., et al. (2016). Efficacy of a probiotic bacterium to treat bats affected by the disease white-nose syndrome. J. Appl. Ecol. *54*, 701–708.

Cheng, T.L., Gerson, A., Moore, M.S., Reichard, J.D., DeSimone, J., Willis, C.K.R., Frick, W.F., and Kilpatrick, A.M. (2019). Higher fat stores contribute to persistence of little brown bat populations with white-nose syndrome. J. Anim. Ecol. *0*.

Cryan, P.M., Meteyer, C.U., Boyles, J.G., and Blehert, D.S. (2010). Wing pathology of white-nose syndrome in bats suggests life-threatening disruption of physiology. Bmc Biol. *8*, 135.

Cryan, P.M., Meteyer, C.U., Blehert, D.S., Lorch, J.M., Reeder, D.M., Turner, G.G., Webb, J., Behr, M., Verant, M., Russe, R.E., et al. (2013). Electrolyte depletion in white-nose syndrome bats. J. Wildl. Dis. *49*, 398–402.

Daszak, P., Berger, L., Cunningham, A.A., Hyatt, A.D., Green, D.E., and Speare, R. (1999). Emerging infectious diseases and amphibian population declines. Emerg. Infect. Dis. *5*, 735–748.

Daszak, P., Cunningham, A.A., and Hyatt, A.D. (2000). Emerging infectious diseases of wildlife-threats to biodiversity and human health. Science *287*, 443–449.

Davis, W.H., and Hitchcock, H.B. (1965). Biology and migration of the bat, *Myotis lucifugus*, in New England. J. Mammal. *46*, 296–313.

Davy, C.M., Donaldson, M.E., Rico, Y., Lausen, C.L., Dogantzis, K., Ritchie, K., Willis, C.K.R., Burles, D.W., Jung, T.S., McBurney, S., et al. (2017a). Prelude to a panzootic: Gene flow and immunogenetic variation in northern little brown myotis vulnerable to bat white-nose syndrome. FACETS.

Davy, C.M., Donaldson, M.E., Willis, C.K.R., Saville, B.J., McGuire, L.P., Mayberry, H., Wilcox, A., Wibbelt, G., Misra, V., Bollinger, T., et al. (2017b). The other white-nose syndrome transcriptome: Tolerant and susceptible hosts respond differently to the pathogen Pseudogymnoascus destructans. Ecol. Evol. 7161–7170.

De Castro, F., and Bolker, B. (2005). Mechanisms of disease-induced extinction. Ecol. Lett. *8*, 117–126.

Deschamps, M., Laval, G., Fagny, M., Itan, Y., Abel, L., Casanova, J.-L., Patin, E., and Quintana-Murci, L. (2016). Genomic Signatures of Selective Pressures and Introgression from Archaic Hominins at Human Innate Immunity Genes. Am. J. Hum. Genet. *98*, 5–21.

Di Gaspero, G., Copetti, D., Coleman, C., Castellarin, S.D., Eibach, R., Kozma, P., Lacombe, T., Gambetta, G., Zvyagin, A., Cindrić, P., et al. (2012). Selective sweep at the Rpv3 locus during grapevine breeding for downy mildew resistance. TAG Theor. Appl. Genet. Theor. Angew. Genet. *124*, 277–286.

Dobony, C.A., and Johnson, J.B. (2018). Observed resiliency of little brown myotis to long-term white-nose syndrome exposure. J. Fish Wildl. Manag. *9*, 168–179.

Donaldson, M.E., Davy, C.M., Willis, C.K.R., McBurney, S., Park, A., and Kyle, C.J. (2017). Profiling the immunome of little brown myotis provides a yardstick for measuring the genetic response to white-nose syndrome. Evol. Appl. *10*, 1076–1090.

Eizaguirre, C., Lenz, T.L., Kalbe, M., and Milinski, M. (2012). Divergent selection on locally adapted major histocompatibility complex immune genes experimentally proven in the field. Ecol. Lett. *15*, 723–731.

Fenton, M.B., and Barclay, R.M.R. (1980). *Myotis lucifugus*. Mamm. Species 1–8.

Ferretti, L., Ramos-Onsins, S.E., and Pérez-Enciso, M. (2013). Population genomics from pool sequencing. Mol. Ecol. *22*, 5561–5576.

Field, K.A., Johnson, J., Lilley, T., Reeder, S., Rogers, E., Behr, M., and Reeder, D. (2015). The white-nose syndrome transcriptome: activation of anti-fungal host responses in wing tissue of hibernating bats. Plos Pathog. *11*, e1005168.

Field, K.A., Sewall, B.J., Prokkola, J.M., Turner, G.G., Gagnon, M., Lilley, T.M., White, J.P., Johnson, J.S., Hauer, C.L., and Reeder, D.M. (2018). Effect of torpor on host transcriptomic responses to a fungal pathogen in hibernating bats. Mol. Ecol.

Frank, C.L., Michalski, A., McDonough, A.A., Rahimian, M., Rudd, R.J., and Herzog, C. (2014). The resistance of a North American bat species (Eptesicus fuscus) to White-Nose Syndrome (WNS). PLoS ONE *9*, e113958.

Frick, W.F., Pollock, J.F., Hicks, A.C., Langwig, K.E., Reynolds, D.S., Turner, G.G., Butchkoski, C.M., and Kunz, T.H. (2010). An Emerging disease causes regional population collapse of a common North American bat species. Science *329*, 679–682.

Frick, W.F., Puechmaille, S.J., Hoyt, J.R., Nickel, B.A., Langwig, K.E., Foster, J.T., Barlow, K.E., Bartonička, T., Feller, D., Haarsma, A.-J., et al. (2015). Disease alters macroecological patterns of North American bats. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. *24*, 741–749.

Frick, W.F., Cheng, T.L., Langwig, K.E., Hoyt, J.R., Janicki, A.F., Parise, K.L., Foster, J.T., and Kilpatrick, A.M. (2017). Pathogen dynamics during invasion and establishment of white-nose syndrome explain mechanisms of host persistence. Ecology *98*, 624–631.

Gallana, M., Ryser-Degiorgis, M.-P., Wahli, T., and Segner, H. (2013). Climate change and infectious diseases of wildlife: Altered interactions between pathogens, vectors and hosts. Curr. Zool. *59*, 427–437.

Gignoux-Wolfsohn, S.A., Pinsky, M.L., Kerwin, K., Herzog, C., Hall, M., Bennett, A.B., Fefferman, N.H., and Maslo, B. (2018). Genomic signatures of evolutionary rescue in bats surviving white-nose syndrome. BioRxiv 470294.

Grieneisen, L.E., Brownlee-Bouboulis, S.A., Johnson, J.S., and Reeder, D.M. (2015). Sex and hibernaculum temperature predict survivorship in white-nose syndrome affected little brown myotis (Myotis lucifugus). R. Soc. Open Sci. *2*, 140470.

Groot, N.G. de, Otting, N., Doxiadis, G.G.M., Balla-Jhagjhoorsingh, S.S., Heeney, J.L., Rood, J.J. van, Gagneux, P., and Bontrop, R.E. (2002). Evidence for an ancient selective sweep in the MHC class I gene repertoire of chimpanzees. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *99*, 11748–11753.

Hansen, M.M., Olivieri, I., Waller, D.M., and Nielsen, E.E. (2012). Monitoring adaptive genetic responses to environmental change. Mol. Ecol. *21*, 1311–1329.

Harazim, M., Horáček, I., Jakešová, L., Luermann, K., Moravec, J.C., Morgan, S., Pikula, J., Sosík, P., Vavrušová, Z., Zahradníková, A., et al. (2018). Natural selection in bats with historical exposure to white-nose syndrome. BMC Zool. *3*, 8.

Hayman, D.T.S., Pulliam, J.R.C., Marshall, J.C., Cryan, P.M., and Webb, C.T. (2016). Environment, host, and fungal traits predict continental-scale white-nose syndrome in bats. Sci. Adv. *2*, e1500831.

Hoekstra, H.E., Hirschmann, R.J., Bundey, R.A., Insel, P.A., and Crossland, J.P. (2006). A single amino acid mutation contributes to adaptive beach mouse color pattern. Science *313*, 101–104.

Huang, X., Huang, T., Deng, W., Yan, G., Qiu, H., Huang, Y., Ke, S., Hou, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Z., et al. (2017). Genome-wide association studies identify susceptibility loci affecting respiratory disease in Chinese Erhualian pigs under natural conditions. Anim. Genet. *48*, 30–37.

Huang, Z., Ruan, H.-B., Xian, L., Chen, W., Jiang, S., Song, A., Wang, Q., Shi, P., Gu, X., and Gao, X. (2014). The stem cell factor/Kit signalling pathway regulates mitochondrial function and energy expenditure. Nat. Commun. *5*, 4282.

Humphries, M.M., Thomas, D.W., and Speakman, J.R. (2002). Climate-mediated energetic constraints on the distribution of hibernating mammals. Nature *418*, 313–316.

Jeffery, K.J., and Bangham, C.R. (2000). Do infectious diseases drive MHC diversity? Microbes Infect. *2*, 1335–1341.

Johnson, J.S., Reeder, D.M., McMichael, J.W., Meierhofer, M.B., Stern, D.W.F., Lumadue, S.S., Sigler, L.E., Winters, H.D., Vodzak, M.E., Kurta, A., et al. (2014). Host, pathogen, and environmental characteristics predict white-nose syndrome mortality in captive little brown myotis (*Myotis lucifugus*). Plos One *9*, e112502.

Karlsson, E.K., Kwiatkowski, D.P., and Sabeti, P.C. (2014). Natural selection and infectious disease in human populations. Nat. Rev. Genet. *15*, 379–393.

Kilpatrick, A.M. (2006). Facilitating the evolution of resistance to avian malaria in Hawaiian birds. Biol. Conserv. *128*, 475–485.

Kofler, R., Pandey, R.V., and Schlötterer, C. (2011). PoPoolation2: identifying differentiation between populations using sequencing of pooled DNA samples (Pool-Seq). Bioinformatics *27*, 3435–3436.

Kyle, C.J., Rico, Y., Castillo, S., Srithayakumar, V., Cullingham, C.I., White, B.N., and Pond, B.A. (2014). Spatial patterns of neutral and functional genetic variations reveal patterns of local adaptation in raccoon (Procyon lotor) populations exposed to raccoon rabies. Mol. Ecol. *23*, 2287–2298.

Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S.L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat. Methods *9*, 357–359.

Langwig, K.E., Frick, W.F., Reynolds, R., Parise, K.L., Drees, K.P., Hoyt, J.R., Cheng, T.L., Kunz, T.H., Foster, J.T., and Kilpatrick, A.M. (2015). Host and pathogen ecology drive the seasonal dynamics of a fungal disease, white-nose syndrome. Proc. R. Soc. B-Biol. Sci. *282*, 20142335.

Langwig, K.E., Hoyt, J.R., Parise, K.L., Frick, W.F., Foster, J.T., and Kilpatrick, A.M. (2017). Resistance in persisting bat populations after white-nose syndrome invasion. Phil Trans R Soc B *372*, 20160044.

Lemieux-Labonté, V., Simard, A., Willis, C.K.R., and Lapointe, F.-J. (2017). Enrichment of beneficial bacteria in the skin microbiota of bats persisting with white-nose syndrome. Microbiome *5*, 115.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl. *25*, 2078–2079.

Lilley, T., Anttila, J., and Ruokolainen, L. (2018). Landscape structure and ecology influence the spread of a bat fungal disease. Funct. Ecol. *0*.

Lilley, T.M., Johnson, J.S., Ruokolainen, L., Rogers, E.J., Wilson, C., Schell, S.M., Field, K.A., and Reeder, D.M. (2016). White-nose syndrome survivors do not exhibit frequent arousals associated with *Pseudogymnoascus destructans* infection. Front. Zool. *13*, 12.

Lilley, T.M., Prokkola, J.M., Johnson, J.S., Rogers, E.J., Gronsky, S., Kurta, A., Reeder, D.M., and Field, K.A. (2017). Immune responses in hibernating little brown myotis (*Myotis lucifugus*) with white-nose syndrome. Proc R Soc B *284*, 20162232.

Lips, K.R., Brem, F., Brenes, R., Reeve, J.D., Alford, R.A., Voyles, J., Carey, C., Livo, L., Pessier, A.P., and Collins, J.P. (2006). Emerging infectious disease and the loss of biodiversity in a Neotropical amphibian community. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *103*, 3165–3170.

Lorch, J.M., Meteyer, C.U., Behr, M.J., Boyles, J.G., Cryan, P.M., Hicks, A.C., Ballmann, A.E., Coleman, J.T.H., Redell, D.N., Reeder, D.M., et al. (2011). Experimental infection of bats with *Geomyces destructans* causes white-nose syndrome. Nature *480*, 376-U129.

Lorch, J.M., Muller, L.K., Russell, R.E., O'Connor, M., Lindner, D.L., and Blehert, D.S. (2013). Distribution and environmental persistence of the causative agent of white-nose syndrome, *Geomyces destructans*, in bat hibernacula of the Eastern United States. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. *79*, 1293–1301.

Luikart, G., Allendorf, F.W., Cornuet, J.-M., and Sherwin, W.B. (1998). Distortion of allele frequency distributions provides a test for recent population bottlenecks. J. Hered. *89*, 238–247.

Luis, A.D., and Hudson, P.J. (2006). Hibernation patterns in mammals: a role for bacterial growth? Funct. Ecol. *20*, 471–477.

Marroquin, C.M., Lavine, J.O., and Windstam, S.T. (2017). Effect of Humidity on Development of Pseudogymnoascus destructans, the Causal Agent of Bat White-Nose Syndrome. Northeast. Nat. *24*, 54–64.

Maslo, B., and Fefferman, N.H. (2015). A case study of bats and white-nose syndrome demonstrating how to model population viability with evolutionary effects. Conserv. Biol. *29*, 1176–1185.

Maslo, B., Valent, M., Gumbs, J.F., and Frick, W.F. (2015). Conservation implications of ameliorating survival of little brown bats with white-nose syndrome. Ecol. Appl. *25*, 1832–1840.

McGuire, L.P., Mayberry, H.W., and Willis, C.K.R. (2017). White-nose syndrome increases torpid metabolic rate and evaporative water loss in hibernating bats. Am. J. Physiol.-Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. *313*, R680–R686.

McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., Garimella, K., Altshuler, D., Gabriel, S., Daly, M., et al. (2010). The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. *20*, 1297–1303.

Messer, P.W., and Petrov, D.A. (2013). Population genomics of rapid adaptation by soft selective sweeps. Trends Ecol. Evol. *28*, 659–669.

Meteyer, C.U., Barber, D., and Mandl, J.N. (2012). Pathology in euthermic bats with white nose syndrome suggests a natural manifestation of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome. Virulence *3*, 583–588.

Micalizzi, E.W., Mack, J.N., White, G.P., Avis, T.J., and Smith, M.L. (2017). Microbial inhibitors of the fungus *Pseudogymnoascus destructans*, the causal agent of white-nose syndrome in bats. PLOS ONE *12*, e0179770.

Miller, C.T., Beleza, S., Pollen, A.A., Schluter, D., Kittles, R.A., Shriver, M.D., and Kingsley, D.M. (2007). Cis-regulatory changes in Kit ligand expression and parallel evolution of pigmentation in sticklebacks and humans. Cell *131*, 1179–1189.

Miller-Butterworth, C.M., Vonhof, M.J., Rosenstern, J., Turner, G.G., and Russell, A.L. (2014). Genetic structure of little brown bats (Myotis lucifugus) corresponds with spread of white-nose syndrome among hibernacula. J. Hered. esu012.

Minnis, A.M., and Lindner, D.L. (2013). Phylogenetic evaluation of Geomyces and allies reveals no close relatives of Pseudogymnoascus destructans, comb. nov., in bat hibernacula of eastern North America. Fungal Biol. *117*, 638–649.

Morales, A.E., and Carstens, B.C. (2018). Evidence that Myotis lucifugus “Subspecies” are Five Nonsister Species, Despite Gene Flow. Syst. Biol. *67*, 756–769.

Norquay, K.J.O., Martinez-Nuñez, F., Dubois, J.E., Monson, K.M., and Willis, C.K.R. (2013). Long-distance movements of little brown bats (Myotis lucifugus). J. Mammal. *94*, 506–515.

Paterson, S., Wilson, K., and Pemberton, J.M. (1998). Major histocompatibility complex variation associated with juvenile survival and parasite resistance in a large unmanaged ungulate population (Ovis aries L.). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *95*, 3714–3719.

Paterson, S., Vogwill, T., Buckling, A., Benmayor, R., Spiers, A.J., Thomson, N.R., Quail, M., Smith, F., Walker, D., Libberton, B., et al. (2010). Antagonistic coevolution accelerates molecular evolution. Nature *464*, 275–278.

Peters, J.-M., Tedeschi, A., and Schmitz, J. (2008). The cohesin complex and its roles in chromosome biology. Genes Dev. *22*, 3089–3114.

Puechmaille, S.J., Wibbelt, G., Korn, V., Fuller, H., Forget, F., Mühldorfer, K., Kurth, A., Bogdanowicz, W., Borel, C., Bosch, T., et al. (2011). Pan-European distribution of white-nose syndrome fungus (*Geomyces destructans*) not associated with mass mortality. PLoS ONE *6*, e19167.

R Development Core Team (2011). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

Råberg, L., Graham, A.L., and Read, A.F. (2009). Decomposing health: tolerance and resistance to parasites in animals. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. *364*, 37–49.

Reeder, D.M., Frank, C.L., Turner, G.G., Meteyer, C.U., Kurta, A., Britzke, E.R., Vodzak, M.E., Darling, S.R., Stihler, C.W., Hicks, A.C., et al. (2012). Frequent arousal from hibernation

linked to severity of infection and mortality in bats with white-nose syndrome. Plos One *7*, e38920.

Ren, P., Haman, K.H., Last, L.A., Rajkumar, S.S., Keel, M.K., and Chaturvedi, V. (2012). Clonal Spread of Geomyces destructans among Bats, Midwestern and Southern United States. Emerg. Infect. Dis. *18*, 883–885.

Rico, Y., Morris-Pocock, J., Zigouris, J., Nocera, J.J., and Kyle, C.J. (2015). Lack of Spatial Immunogenetic Structure among Wolverine (Gulo gulo) Populations Suggestive of Broad Scale Balancing Selection. PLOS ONE *10*, e0140170.

van Riper, C., van Riper, S.G., Goff, M.L., and Laird, M. (1986). The Epizootiology and Ecological Significance of Malaria in Hawaiian Land Birds. Ecol. Monogr. *56*, 327–344.

Robinson, S.J., Samuel, M.D., Johnson, C.J., Adams, M., and McKenzie, D.I. (2012). Emerging prion disease drives host selection in a wildlife population. Ecol. Appl. Publ. Ecol. Soc. Am. *22*, 1050–1059.

Routtu, J., and Ebert, D. (2015). Genetic architecture of resistance in *Daphnia* hosts against two species of host-specific parasites. Heredity *114*, 241–248.

Scholthof, K.-B.G. (2007). The disease triangle: pathogens, the environment and society. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. *5*, 152–156.

Schoville, S.D., Bonin, A., François, O., Lobreaux, S., Melodelima, C., and Manel, S. (2012). Adaptive Genetic Variation on the Landscape: Methods and Cases. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. *43*, 23–43.

Sironi, M., Cagliani, R., Forni, D., and Clerici, M. (2015). Evolutionary insights into host–pathogen interactions from mammalian sequence data. Nat. Rev. Genet. *16*, 224–236.

Smith, K.F., Sax, D.F., and Lafferty, K.D. (2006). Evidence for the Role of Infectious Disease in Species Extinction and Endangerment. Conserv. Biol. *20*, 1349–1357.

Sparks, A.M., Watt, K., Sinclair, R., Pilkington, J.G., Pemberton, J.M., McNeilly, T.N., Nussey, D.H., and Johnston, S.E. (2019). The genetic architecture of helminth-specific immune responses in a wild population of Soay sheep (Ovis aries). BioRxiv 628271.

Spurgin, L.G., and Richardson, D.S. (2010). How pathogens drive genetic diversity: MHC, mechanisms and misunderstandings. Proc. Biol. Sci. *277*, 979–988.

Studier, E.H., and O'Farrell, M.J. (1972). Biology of Myotis thysanodes and M. lucifugus (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae). I. Thermoregulation. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A *41*, 567–595.

Teacher Amber G. F., Garner Trenton W. J., and Nichols Richard A. (2009). Population genetic patterns suggest a behavioural change in wild common frogs (Rana temporaria) following disease outbreaks (Ranavirus). Mol. Ecol. *18*, 3163–3172.

The UniProt Consortium (2019). UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. Nucleic Acids Res. *47*, D506–D515.

Thomas, D.W., Dorais, M., and Bergeron, J.-M. (1990). Winter Energy Budgets and Cost of Arousals for Hibernating Little Brown Bats, Myotis lucifugus. J. Mammal. *71*, 475–479.

Tompkins, D.M., Carver, S., Jones, M.E., Krkošek, M., and Skerratt, L.F. (2015). Emerging infectious diseases of wildlife: a critical perspective. Trends Parasitol. *31*, 149–159.

Turner, G.G., Reeder, D.M., and Coleman, J.T.H. (2011). A five-year assessment of mortality and geographic spread of white-nose syndrome in North American bats and a look to the future. Bat Res. News *52*, 13–27.

Verant, M.L., Boyles, J.G., Waldrep, W., Wibbelt, G., and Blehert, D.S. (2012). Temperature-dependent growth of *Geomyces destructans*, the fungus that causes bat white-nose syndrome. Plos One *7*, e46280.

Verant, M.L., Meteyer, C.U., Speakman, J.R., Cryan, P.M., Lorch, J.M., and Blehert, D.S. (2014). White-nose syndrome initiates a cascade of physiologic disturbances in the hibernating bat host. BMC Physiol. *14*, 10.

Vonhof, M.J., Russell, A.L., and Miller-Butterworth, C.M. (2015). Range-wide genetic analysis of little brown bat (Myotis lucifugus) populations: estimating the risk of spread of White-nose syndrome. PLoS ONE *10*, e0128713.

Vonhof, M.J., Amelon, S.K., Currie, R.R., and McCracken, G.F. (2016). Genetic structure of winter populations of the endangered Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis) prior to the white nose syndrome epidemic: implications for the risk of disease spread. Conserv. Genet. *17*, 1025–1040.

Voronin, D.A., and Kiseleva, E.V. (2007). [Functional role of proteins containing ankyrin repeats]. Tsitologiia *49*, 989–999.

Warnecke, L., Turner, J.M., and Geiser, F. (2008). Torpor and basking in a small arid zone marsupial. Naturwissenschaften *95*, 73–78.

Warnecke, L., Turner, J.M., Bollinger, T.K., Lorch, J.M., Misra, V., Cryan, P.M., Wibbelt, G., Blehert, D.S., and Willis, C.K.R. (2012). Inoculation of bats with European *Geomyces destructans* supports the novel pathogen hypothesis for the origin of white-nose syndrome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *109*, 6999–7003.

Warnecke, L., Turner, J.M., Bollinger, T.K., Misra, V., Cryan, P.M., Blehert, D.S., Wibbelt, G., and Willis, C.K.R. (2013). Pathophysiology of white-nose syndrome in bats: a mechanistic model linking wing damage to mortality. Biol. Lett. *9*, 20130177.

Wibbelt, G., Kurth, A., Hellmann, D., Weishaar, M., Barlow, A., Veith, M., Prueger, J., Goerfoel, T., Grosche, L., Bontadina, F., et al. (2010). White-nose syndrome fungus (*Geomyces destructans*) in Bats, Europe. Emerg. Infect. Dis. *16*, 1237–1243.

Wibbelt, G., Puechmaille, S.J., Ohlendorf, B., Muehldorfer, K., Bosch, T., Goerfoel, T., Passior, K., Kurth, A., Lacremans, D., and Forget, F. (2013). Skin lesions in European hibernating bats associated with *Geomyces destructans*, the etiologic agent of white-nose syndrome. Plos One *8*, e74105.

Wilder, A.P., Kunz, T.H., and Sorenson, M.D. (2015). Population genetic structure of a common host predicts the spread of white-nose syndrome, an emerging infectious disease in bats. Mol. Ecol. *24*, 5495–5506.

Willis, C.K.R., Menzies, A.K., Boyles, J.G., and Wojciechowski, M.S. (2011). Evaporative water loss is a plausible explanation for mortality of bats from white-nose syndrome. Integr. Comp. Biol. *51*, 364–373.

Wright, S. (1978). Evolution and the Genetics of Populations, Volume 4. Variability within and among Natural Populations. (Chicago: University of Chicago Press).

www.whitenosesyndrome.org (2019). White-nose syndrome occurance map - by year (2019). Data last updated: 3/15/2019. Available at: https://www.whitenosesyndrome.org/resources/map.

Yang, Z., Shi, H., Ma, P., Zhao, S., Kong, Q., Bian, T., Gong, C., Zhao, Q., Liu, Y., Qi, X., et al. (2018). Darwinian Positive Selection on the Pleiotropic Effects of KITLG Explain Skin Pigmentation and Winter Temperature Adaptation in Eurasians. Mol. Biol. Evol. 1–12.

Zeisset, I., and Beebee, T.J.C. (2014). Drift Rather than Selection Dominates MHC Class II Allelic Diversity Patterns at the Biogeographical Range Scale in Natterjack Toads Bufo calamita. PLOS ONE *9*, e100176.

Zhang, T., Victor, T.R., Rajkumar, S.S., Li, X., Okoniewski, J.C., Hicks, A.C., Davis, A.D., Broussard, K., LaDeau, S.L., Chaturvedi, S., et al. (2014). Mycobiome of the bat white nose syndrome affected caves and mines reveals diversity of fungi and local adaptation by the fungal pathogen *Pseudogymnoascus (Geomyces) destructans*. PLOS ONE *9*, e108714.

Zhang, T., Chaturvedi, V., and Chaturvedi, S. (2015). Novel *Trichoderma polysporum* strain for the biocontrol of *Pseudogymnoascus destructans*, the fungal etiologic agent of bat white nose syndrome. PLOS ONE *10*, e0141316.

Zukal, J., Bandouchova, H., Brichta, J., Cmokova, A., Jaron, K.S., Kolarik, M., Kovacova, V., Kubátová, A., Nováková, A., Orlov, O., et al. (2016). White-nose syndrome without borders:

Pseudogymnoascus destructans infection tolerated in Europe and Palearctic Asia but not in North America. Sci. Rep. *6*, 19829.

Table captions

Table 1. Samples used in study. Pre-WNS-sites in grey circles and Post-WNS-sites in white circles. 1) Aitkin Cave, Mifflin County, PA; 2) Snyder County, Penn, PA; 3) Lewisburg, Union Co, PA; 4) Northumberland County, PA; 5) Howes Cave, County Schoharie, NY; 6) Allentown, Lehigh County, PA; 7) Woodward, Centre County, PA; 8) Alexandria, Huntingdon County, PA; 9) Lackawaxen, Pike County, PA; 10) Oley, County Berks, PA; 11) Frankstown, County Blair, PA; 12) Williams Preserve Mine, NY; 13) Hoopers Mine, NY; 14) Delmar, Albany County, NY; and 15) Willsboro, Essex County, NY.

Table 2. Summary of individual SNP F_{ST} values across the *M. lucifugus* genome when comparing Pre-WNS with Post-WNS; and samples from PA and NY (both Pre- and Post-WNS).

Table 3. Genes within the *M. lucifugus* genome – excluding scaffold GL429776 – with windows possessing F_{ST} values >= 0.05 when comparing PA and NY samples Post-WNS

Table 4. Genes within scaffold GL429776 with windows possessing F_{ST} values >= 0.05 when comparing PA and NY samples Post-WNS.

Figure captions

Fig. 1. *M. lucifugus* sampling locations in Pennsylvania (PA) and New York (NY). Sampling sites sampled before the arrival of white-nose syndrome indicated with +, whereas sampling locations for Post-WNS individuals (PA, NY) indicated with ●.

Fig. 2. Fixation indices (F_{ST}) across the *M. lucifugus* genome, comparing the population before and after the arrival of white-nose syndrome. Scaffold lengths presented in green and blue. Solid red line indicates cut-off for F_{ST} values of 5 standard deviations from the mean across the whole genome; dotted red line indicates cut-off of F_{ST}=0.05.

Fig 3. Fixation indices (F_{ST}) across the *M. lucifugus* genome between geographically separated individuals of the study population a) comparing individuals from PA to individuals from NY before the arrival of WNS; b) comparing individuals from PA before and after the arrival of WNS; and c) comparing individuals from NY before and after the arrival of WNS. Solid red line indicates cut-off for F_{ST} values of 5 standard deviations from the mean across the whole genome; dotted red line indicates cut-off of F_{ST}=0.05.

Fig 4. Fixation indices (F_{ST}) across the *M. lucifugus* genome between geographically separated individuals of the study population a) comparing individuals from PA to individuals from NY after the arrival of WNS, with a zoomed plot of scaffold GL429776 and gene models therein containing windows demonstrating F_{ST} values < 0.05; and b) comparing individuals from PA to individuals from NY with Pre- and Post-WNS data combined. Solid red line indicates cut-off for F_{ST} values of 5 standard deviations from the mean across the whole genome; dotted red line indicates cut-off of F_{ST}=0.05.

Fig 5. Proportions of reference alleles called at individual SNP sites for the region of scaffold GL429776 demonstrating high F_{ST} values when comparing a) individuals from Pennsylvania before and after the arrival of WNS; b) individuals from NY before and after the arrival of WNS; c) individuals from PA to individuals from NY before the arrival of WNS; and d) individuals from PA to individuals from NY after the arrival of WNS.

Table 1.

Sample age	Classification	Total number of samples	Pennsylvania*	New York*
2006	Pre-WNS	49	25	24
2007	Pre-WNS	3	3	
2015	Post-WNS	28	28	
2016	Post-WNS	139	82	57

* WNS first detected in New York in 2007 and PA in 2009

Table 2.

	Pre- vs post-WNS	**Between site (combining pre- and post-WNS)**
Median (Interquartile range)	0.0059 (0.0013 – 0.0171)	0.0057 (0.0013 – 0.0165)
Mean (s.d.)	0.0127 (0.0173)	0.0123 (0.0170)

Table 3.

Scaffold	Location range (bp)	Gene ID	Protein Function	Number of overlapping high F_{ST} windows
NW_005871715.1	288334 - 351644	102435296	bifunctional heparan sulfate N-deacetylase/N-sulfotransferase 4-like (partial)	4
NW_005871771.1	26662 - 48938	102441697	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase TRIM7	1
NW_005871823.1	81353 - 213965	102428352	Ankyrin 2	7
NW_005871905.1	175 - 139344	102428043	calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase type II subunit delta-like	10

NW_005872026.1	72042 - 101269	102443179	N-deacetylase and N-sulfotransferase 4 (partial)	1
NW_005872062.1	33266 - 86607	102428048	zinc finger GRF-type containing 1	1

Table 4.

Gene ID	F_{ST}	No of windows	No of windows	Start pos.	End pos.	Details

			(per 10kb)			
MGAT4C	0.0612	78	1.08	8999210	9724150	Glycosyltransferase that participates in the transfer of N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) to the core mannose residues of N-linked glycans
CEP290	0.0679	12	1.01	10709418	10827912	Involved in early and late steps in cilia formation
ATP2B1	0.0826	12	0.89	12228178	12362389	The protein encoded by this gene belongs to the family of P-type primary ion transport ATPases characterised by the formation of an aspartyl phosphate intermediate during the reaction cycle. These enzymes remove bivalent calcium ions from eukaryotic cells against very large concentration gradients and play a critical role in intracellular calcium homeostasis.

KITLG	0.0706	10	1.13	11127130	11215731	Plays an essential role in the regulation of cell survival and proliferation, hematopoiesis, stem cell maintenance, gametogenesis, mast cell development, migration and function, and in melanogenesis.
POC1B	0.0686	7	0.74	12044678	12139811	Plays an important role in centriole assembly and/or stability and ciliogenesis
TMTC3	0.0872	6	1.00	10827719	10887502	Involved in the positive regulation of proteasomal protein degradation in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), and the control of ER stress response.
GeneID:111824954	0.0693	5	1.14	11756928	11800690	uncharacterised LOC111824954
CUNH12orf50	0.0588	2	0.67	10663328	10693373	chromosome unknown C12orf50 homolog
GeneID:111824948	0.0816	2	1.13	11375011	11392720	uncharacterised LOC111824948

GeneID:111824949	0.0635	2	1.14	11802704	11820273	uncharacterised LOC111824949
CUNH12orf29	0.0488	1	0.71	10696290	10710421	chromosome unknown C12orf29 homolog
DUSP6	0.0603	1	2.26	11976039	11980455	Inactivates MAP kinases.
GeneID:111824955	0.0459	1	1.57	12101754	12108133	uncharacterised LOC111824955

Fig 1.



Fig. 2.


0.125
0.100
0.075
0.050
0.025
0.000
FST
0.0e+00
5.0e+08
1.0e+09
1.5e+09
Position on genome

Fig. 3.


a)
FST
0.125
0.100
0.075
0.050
0.025
0.000
0.0e+00
5.0e+08
1.0e+09
1.5e+09
Position on genome
b)
FST
0.125
0.100
0.075
0.050
0.025
0.000
0.0e+00
5.0e+08
1.0e+09
1.5e+09
Position on genome
c)
FST
0.125
0.100
0.075
0.050
0.025
0.000
0.0e+00
5.0e+08
1.0e+09
1.5e+09
Position on genome

Fig 4.

a)
FST
0.125
0.100
0.075
0.050
0.025
0.000
0.0e+00
5.0e+08
1.0e+09
1.5e+09
2.81e+08
2.82e+08
2.83e+08
2.84e+08
2.85e+08
Position on genome
MGAT4C
CUNH12orf50
CEP290
LOC111824948
KITLG
LOC111824954
POC1B
ATP2B1
LOC111824955
CUNH12orf29
TMTC3
LOC111824949
DUSP96
b)
FST
0.125
0.100
0.075
0.050
0.025
0.000
0.0e+00
5.0e+08
1.0e+09
1.5e+09
Position on genome

Fig 5.


a)
b)
c)
d)
Proportion of reference alleles
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0
8.0e+06
1.0e+07
1.2e+07
1.4e+07
Position on scaffold GL429776
Pennsylvania Pre–WNS
Pennsylvania Post–WNS
New York Pre–WNS
New York Post–WNS