Testosterone and cortisol are negatively associated with ritualized bonding behavior in male macaques

Alan V. Rincon[a,b], Michael Heistermann[c], Oliver Schülke[a,b,d], Julia Ostner[a,b,d]

[a]*Department of Behavioral Ecology, Johann-Friedrich-Blumenbach Institute for Zoology and Anthropology, University of Goettingen, Goettingen, Germany*

[b]*Leibniz ScienceCampus Primate Cognition, Goettingen, Germany*

[c]*Endocrinology Laboratory, German Primate Center, Leibniz Institute for Primate Research, Goettingen, Germany*

[d]*Research Group Social Evolution in Primates, German Primate Center, Leibniz Institute for Primate Research, Goettingen, Germany*

Corresponding author: Alan V. Rincon, Department of Behavioral Ecology, University of Goettingen, Kellnerweg 6, 37077 Goettingen, Germany

Email: avrincon1@gmail.com

Abstract

Neuroendocrine research on the formation of social bonds has primarily focused on the

role of nonapeptides, however, steroid hormones often act additionally to either inhibit or

facilitate bonding. Testosterone is proposed to mediate a trade-off between male

reproductive competition and nurturing; therefore, low levels are predicted during periods

of nurturing infant care and social bonding. In species where social bonding and support

regulates hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activity, we also expect glucocorticoid levels

to be low during bonding periods. Here we investigated how urinary testosterone and

cortisol were related to male-infant-male interactions – a ritualized male bonding behavior

– as well as infant care in male Barbary macaques. We collected >3000 hours of behavioral

observation data during full-day focal animal follows from 14 adult males and analyzed

testosterone and cortisol levels from 650 urine samples. As predicted both testosterone

and cortisol levels were negatively correlated with rates of male-infant-male interactions.

Infant care was positively correlated to testosterone and cortisol levels. The observed

negative relationship between testosterone male-infant-male interactions may be

beneficial to lower competitive tendencies between adult males and to not inhibit bond

formation. Concurrently, lowered cortisol could reflect increased bonding and perceived

social support as male-infant-male interactions predict future coalition formation in this

species. Alternatively, lowered cortisol levels may be reflective of buffered tensions

between males. The positive relationship of testosterone and cortisol with infant care

suggests it may be done under competitive rather than nurturing contexts in this species.

Collectively our results suggest that low steroid levels may be beneficial to same-sex

bonding. Measuring steroid hormones in relation to bonding and nurturing can help us

interpret behaviors within the ecological contexts that they occur.

Keywords: Steroid/peptide theory, testosterone, cortisol, social bond, male-infant-male

interaction, Barbary macaque

1   Introduction

Steroid and nonapeptide hormones are involved in regulating animal behavior with the potential for cross-communication between different regulatory axes, allowing for fine-tuning of behavioral responses to different social contexts (Neumann, 2002; Neumann and Landgraf, 2012; van Anders et al., 2011; Viau, 2002). In particular, the steroid/peptide theory of social bonds (S/P theory) proposes that testosterone (regulated by the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis; HPG axis) and oxytocin (regulated by the oxytocinergic system) interact to promote different behavioral goals (van Anders et al., 2011). The oxytocinergic system likely evolved to promote mother-offspring bonds in mammals, yet the system was co-opted to also promote bonds between adults in both sexual and non-sexual contexts (Ross and Young, 2009; Ziegler and Crockford, 2017). The HPG axis regulates reproduction, with testosterone – the major androgen produced in males – promoting sexual contact and competition (Wingfield et al., 1990). Thus, while high oxytocin levels promote bonding and intimacy, variation in testosterone levels in conjunction with high oxytocin should be sensitive to different types of intimacy. For example, the S/P theory predicts that high oxytocin and low testosterone levels promote nurturing intimacy, whereas high oxytocin and high testosterone levels promote sexual intimacy (van Anders et al., 2011).

The S/P theory (van Anders et al., 2011) builds on the challenge hypothesis (Wingfield et al., 1990), which proposes that high testosterone levels promote male reproductive competition and is traded-off against paternal care. Across several vertebrate species males exhibit testosterone levels much higher than required for sperm production when competition for mates is high, such as during the mating season or territorial defense, and low testosterone levels during periods of paternal care (Desjardins et al., 2006; Gettler et al., 2011; Hirschenhauser and Oliveira, 2006; Lynn, 2008; Muller, 2017; Oliveira et al., 2002; Wingfield et al., 1990). High testosterone potentially inhibits paternal care as experimentally increasing testosterone levels can reduce rates of offspring provisioning and time spent incubating nests in various bird species (Lynn, 2008), including when such increases are within the natural range secreted by an individual (Goymann and Flores, 2017). However, testosterone levels are positively related to paternal care when it is

competitive in nature, such as when defending offspring from conspecifics (Lynn, 2008;

Moore et al., 2019; Muller, 2017). In some species, particularly fish, paternal care primarily

involves defending nests and is a behavior positively selected for by females (Stiver and

Alonzo, 2009). In such cases there is no trade-off between paternal care and mating effort

as high testosterone levels promote both (Moore et al., 2019; Stiver and Alonzo, 2009). The

S/P theory resolves this inconsistency in how testosterone relates to paternal care by

proposing that high testosterone levels inhibit nurturing behaviors and intimacy in general

rather than paternal care per se (van Anders, 2013; van Anders et al., 2011).

Beyond competition and nurture, testosterone is also relevant to bonding between same-

sex adults. Social bonds between same-sex adults are usually characterized by regular

affiliative contact and mutual social support (Massen et al., 2010; Ostner and Schülke,

2018) and share many qualities that are similar to nurturing infant care. Therefore,

testosterone may not only be detrimental to nurturing paternal care, but also detrimental

to the formation of adult bonds. Same-sex adults may see each other as reproductive rivals

and as such, high testosterone levels may interfere with the bonding process by cognitively

priming individuals to be competitive rather than nurturing (Eisenegger et al., 2011).

Consequently, the S/P theory predicts that the ideal physiological conditions to induce the

formation of social bonds are high levels of oxytocin coupled with low levels of

testosterone (van Anders et al., 2011). There is provisional support for this prediction in

same-sex adults as high oxytocin and lowered testosterone has been linked to meat-sharing

in male chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*: Sobolewski et al., 2012; Wittig et al., 2014), a

behavior that may be used as a tool to form social bonds (Mitani and Watts, 2001; Wittig et

al., 2014). Similarly, both low basal levels of testosterone, as well as decreases in levels

from baseline, were associated with increased feelings of closeness following a friendship

formation task in same-sex adult human dyads (Ketay et al., 2017). Further studies are

needed to see if these results are replicated in other species that form strong same-sex

bonds.

The HPG axis and the oxytocinergic system are also involved in cross-communication with

the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis (Neumann, 2002; Salvador, 2012; Viau,

2002), including in contexts relevant to social bonds. The HPA axis regulates the release of

glucocorticoid (GC) steroids and is responsive to actual or perceived stressors (Sapolsky,

2002). The presence of or interaction with closely bonded partners during a potentially

stressful event often mitigates HPA axis activity, a phenomenon known as social buffering

that may be moderated by oxytocin (Hennessy et al., 2009; Hostinar et al., 2014; Smith and

Wang, 2014). Being well socially integrated or being able to count on others for social

support may additionally help to regulate HPA axis activity even in the immediate absence

of stressors (Brent et al., 2011; Rosal et al., 2004; Stocker et al., 2016; Wittig et al., 2016). As

with testosterone, cortisol levels also decline in humans after increasing feelings of

personal closeness with a task (Ketay et al., 2019, 2017), and individuals with low cortisol

had *partners* that desired to be closer to them (Ketay et al., 2017). Thus, while not explicitly

part of the S/P theory, mutually lowered GCs and testosterone may have synergistic

facilitative effects in the context of same-sex bonding.

The aim of this study was to test predictions of the S/P theory (van Anders et al., 2011)

regarding nurturing and bonding in male Barbary macaques (*Macaca sylvanus*) in relation

to testosterone levels. Given the potential cross-talk between the HPG axis and the

oxytocinergic system with the HPA axis, we also explored the relationship between GCs

with nurturing and bonding. The male Barbary macaque is a good model for investigating

same-sex relationships because males of this species form strong, equitable and stable

social bonds with other males (Young et al., 2014b) that physiologically buffer strongly

bonded males, leading to a reduced GC (i.e. cortisol) response during stressful situations

(Young et al., 2014a). Furthermore, there are two types of interactions relevant to the S/P

theory that male Barbary macaques regularly engage in. First, triadic male-infant-male

interactions are one proposed mechanism for bonding between males of this species (Deag,

1980; Paul et al., 1996). Male-infant-male interactions are ritualistic and involve two males

sitting in body contact while holding an infant in-between them, sharing affiliative facial

signals and often inspecting the infant's genitals (Deag, 1980; Deag and Crook, 1971). Male-

infant-male interactions occur primarily during the non-mating season and predict

coalition formation in the following mating season (Berghänel et al., 2011). Since male

Barbary macaques prefer to form coalitions with closely bonded partners (Young et al.,

2014b) this supports the notion that male-infant-male interactions indeed serve to form

social bonds (Kalbitz et al., 2017; Paul et al., 1996). Another proposed function for male-
infant-male interactions is that infants may be used as an 'agonistic buffer', to allow two
males to approach each other in a non-threatening context (Deag and Crook, 1971; Paul et
al., 1996). Grooming between adult males is much rarer than male-infant-male interactions
(Paul et al., 1996) and mostly occurs with adult females, and is thus expected to play a
comparatively minor role in adult male-male bonding. As per the S/P theory we would
predict high oxytocin and low testosterone levels to be associated with male-infant-male
interactions. In a previous study, we found mixed results for a positive relationship
between male-infant-male interactions and oxytocin levels (Rincon, Deschner, et al., 2019).
To complement this study, we now test the other half of the S/P theory and predicted that
urinary testosterone levels would be negatively correlated with rates of male-infant-male
interactions. Given the potential bonding and/or agonistic buffering function of male-
infant-male interactions, we additionally predicted that this behavior would be negatively
correlated with urinary cortisol levels.

Infant care is the second interaction relevant to the S/P theory that male Barbary macaques
take part in. Independent of male-infant-male interactions, male care involves huddling,
carrying, and grooming infants (Whitten, 1987). In this (Kubenova et al., 2019b) and other
primate species, males bias their care based on the likelihood of paternity, either genetic
paternity or past mating success (Buchan et al., 2003; Kerhoas et al., 2016; Langos et al.,
2013; Moscovice et al., 2010; Ostner et al., 2013). As the behaviors displayed by males
towards infants can be classified as nurturing in nature, we predicted that urinary
testosterone levels would be negatively correlated with infant care. A previous study in this
species found that male infant care was positively correlated with fecal GC levels (Henkel et
al., 2010). Here we tried to replicate this finding and predicted a positive correlation
between infant care and urinary cortisol, the primary GC excreted by the HPA axis in
Barbary macaques. We link urinary hormone levels with rates of behavior exhibited during
6 to 13 hours preceding urination from full-day follows of a single individual. This
approach matches hormones to behavior rates over a much shorter period than traditional
methods that average behavior rates over days, weeks or longer.

2   Materials and methods

2.1   Study site and animals

Study subjects belonged to one group of Barbary macaques (group C) living in semi-free ranging conditions within 14.5 ha of enclosed forest at Affenberg Salem, Germany (de Turckheim and Merz, 1984). Monkeys were provisioned once a day with fruits, vegetables, grains and had *ad libitum* access to water and monkey chow. Data were collected from 31 March to 26 October 2016 during one non-mating season. The study group consisted of 14 adult males (7 to 25 years old), 20 adult females (5 to 27 years old), 2 large subadult males (6 years old), 8 immature males (1 to 4 years old), 10 immature females (1 to 4 years old) and 1 newborn infant male (<1 years old). The oldest adult male died during the study period on 20 August 2016. All group members could be individually recognized by observers though a combination of unique characteristics including body size, coat color and condition, facial spots and scars, gait and identification tattoos on the inner thigh.

2.2   Behavioral data collection and dominance hierarchy

Behavioral data were collected from 14 adult males using continuous focal animal sampling (Martin and Bateson, 2007) during individual full-day focal animal follows (total = 3276 hours, mean ± standard deviation = 234 ± 19 hours per individual). The occurrence of all social interactions, including the identity of social partner(s) were recorded.

To construct a dominance hierarchy, we used agonistic interactions recorded during continuous focal animal sampling and *ad libitum* sampling. An agonistic interaction was defined by the occurrence of at least one aggressive (open-mouth threat, stare, lunge, charge, chase, physical aggression) and/or submissive (make room, give ground, flee) behavior. Aggressive and submissive behaviors occurring in quick succession of each other were considered as part of the same conflict. We calculated one dominance hierarchy for the entire study period using normalized David's score (de Vries et al., 2006) in R (version 3.5.3; R Core Team, 2018) using the function DS from the package EloRating (version 0.46.8; Neumann and Kulik, 2019). A higher David's score indicates higher dominance rank. The dominance hierarchy was stable throughout the whole study period.

2.3   Urine sample collection

Urine samples were collected from focal males whenever possible by catching it with a

plastic bag or otherwise from leaves, plant litter or ground, using a disposable plastic

pipette to transfer the sample to 2 ml cryotubes. When pipetting was not possible we

absorbed urine using a salivette (Salivette Cortisol, Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) (Danish

et al., 2015). Samples were only collected if they were not contaminated with fecal matter

or urine from other individuals. Both samples in cryotubes and salivettes were stored in a

thermos container filled with ice while in the field. At the end of the day, samples collected

by salivette were centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 minutes to extract the urine, which was

afterwards transferred to 2 ml cryotubes. All samples were then stored in a freezer at -

20°C. At the end of the field season samples were transported on dry ice to the University

of Göttingen where they were stored again at -20°C until analysis.

Based on radiometabolism studies assessing the time for hormone excretion in macaque

urine, we considered behaviors that occurred up to six hours prior to sample collection to

affect urinary testosterone levels (Möhle et al., 2002) and behaviors that occurred within

the same day (up to 13.5 hours) prior to sample collection to affect urinary cortisol levels

(Bahr et al., 2000). We excluded samples from which we had < 2 hours of observation time

prior to sample collection (N = 60), leaving a total of 650 urine samples for analysis. Table 1

shows descriptive information for each study subject.

Table 1: Descriptive information per study subject for the study period

Male ID	Observation days	Observation hours	Dominance rank (norm David's score)	Male-infant-male interactions (per obs hr.)	Infant care (% obs time)	Age (years)	Urine samples (N)
W3	29	237	14.7	0.85	3.46	12	24
U2	29	242	13.1	0.47	1.17	13	42
M3	30	234	12.6	0.06	2.17	21	20
T3	31	242	11.1	0.59	2.42	14	56
M4	30	247	10.9	0.10	0.09	21	49
W7	28	223	10.3	0.33	0.66	12	44
B5	30	237	7.9	1.93	6.70	7	66
B6	29	247	7.3	0.66	2.14	7	61
B7	30	240	7.2	1.75	3.25	7	49
Z5	28	238	5.2	0.09	0.15	9	49
Z6	28	238	4.4	0.37	1.19	9	73
A6	28	237	3.9	0.19	0.06	8	59
I1	14	171	2.2	0.01	0.03	25	13
B1	28	244	2.0	0.28	0.19	7	45

2.4   Hormone analysis

The measurement of cortisol and testosterone from the urine of male Barbary macaques

has been previously validated (Rincon, Ostner, et al., 2019). For the accurate quantification

of testosterone, but not cortisol, urine samples needed to be enzymatically hydrolyzed

prior to hormone measurement (Rincon, Ostner, et al., 2019). Hydrolysis was carried out

by mixing 20 - 100 µl urine of each sample with 40 µl β-Glucuronidase (K12 strain

Escherichia coli, Prod. No. BGALS-RO, Sigma-Aldrich) and 900 μl phosphate buffer

(composed of 6.0 g NaH_2PO_4 x H_2O + 14.5 g Na_2HPO_4 x 2 H_2O dissolved in 500 ml water; pH

6.9) and incubating in a shaking water bath at 37°C overnight. Hydrolyzed samples were

then purified and extracted using solid phase extraction cartridges (Chromabond HR-X,

30mg, 1ml, Macherey-Nagel, Dueren, Germany) placed onto a 12-port vacuum manifold.

Prior to sample loading, cartridges were conditioned first with 1 ml of MeOH, followed by 1

ml H_2O and 1 ml phosphate buffer. Columns were not allowed to run dry at this step.

Hydrolyzed urine samples were then pipetted into pre-labelled cartridges and the solution

was let to sink in. Cartridges were subsequently rinsed three times with 1 ml H_2O followed

by two times with 1 ml MeOH/H_2O (40/60) solution, after which cartridges were dried by

applying a vacuum. Steroids were finally eluted with 1 ml absolute MeOH followed by 1 ml

ethyl acetate, and eluates were then evaporated to dryness at 40°C under pressurized air.

Then, 1 ml hydrolysis phosphate buffer was added to the dried sample eluates and

vortexed for 5 min. Afterwards 150 μl 10% K_2CO_3 buffer and 5 ml tert. butyl methyl ether

(TBME) was added to each tube for extraction of the liberated steroids. Tubes were sealed

with caps and parafilm, vortexed for 10 min on a multi-tube vortexer, then centrifuged for

5 min at 2000 rpm and subsequently store at -20°C until the aqueous layer had frozen out.

The ether phase was then poured into new collection tubes, the ether was evaporated to

around 1 ml, and briefly vortexed to concentrate steroids in the bottom of the tube, and

finally evaporated to dryness. Dried samples were reconstituted in 1 ml absolute MeOH by

vortexing for 10 min. Extracts were then transferred into 2 ml eppendorf safe-lock tubes

and stored at -20°C until analysis for testosterone concentrations. The efficiency of the

combined hydrolysis and extraction procedure was assessed using internal controls of

testosterone glucuronide run together with each set of samples as described earlier

(Rincon, Ostner, et al., 2019). The combined hydrolysis/extraction efficiency was 62.1 ±

4.2% mean ± SD (range: 50-70%; N = 36).

We measured immunoreactive urinary testosterone from samples following extraction and

hydrolysis (described above), and immunoreactive urinary cortisol from unprocessed

diluted urine by using enzyme immunoassays as described in detail in Rincon, Ostner, et al.

(2019). For the testosterone assay, sensitivity at 90% binding was 3.0 pg; intra-assay CVs

of high and low value quality controls were <10%, while figures for inter-assay CVs were

13.2% (high) and 19.5% (low). For the cortisol assay, sensitivity at 90% binding was 0.6

pg; intra-assay CVs of high and low value quality controls were <10%, while figures for

inter-assay CVs were 9.2% (high) and 10.1% (low). To account for differences in the

volume and concentration across urine samples, urinary testosterone and cortisol levels

were corrected for creatinine and are expressed as ng/mg Cr (Bahr et al., 2000).

2.5   Statistical analyses

To test whether male-infant-male interactions influenced urinary testosterone and cortisol

levels, we fitted two Bayesian multilevel linear regression models with a Gaussian response

distribution and identity link function. Urinary testosterone (model 1) and urinary cortisol

(model 2) were our response variables. Both hormone values had a strong positive skew so

we did a natural log transformation to achieve a more symmetrical distribution and used

these values in analysis. Our test predictors included a count of male-infant-male

interactions and male infant care, defined as the time males spent in body contact with

infants including huddling, carrying and grooming in both models. We included duration of

grooming, count of male-male aggression, dominance rank (normalized David's score), and

time of sample collection (daytime) as control predictors in both models. Counts of male-

infant-male interactions and aggression, and duration of infant care were corrected for the

observation time of the urine sample window. Each male had one dominance rank score for

the entire study period (Table 1). All predictors were z-transformed to a mean of 0 and

standard deviation of 1 to improve model convergence and interpretation of results

(Schielzeth, 2010).

We fitted models in R (version 3.5.2; R Core Team, 2018) using the function brm from the

package brms (version 2.9.0; Bürkner, 2017). The package brms calls on the computational

framework Stan (https://mc-stan.org) to fit Bayesian models (Bürkner, 2017). We included

male identity as a random effect in all models to control for multiple observations (urine

samples) per subject. We also included random slopes and correlation parameters between

random intercepts and random slopes for all predictors because they had at least three

unique values in over half of subjects (Barr et al., 2013; Schielzeth and Forstmeier, 2009).

However, we had to exclude correlations between random intercepts and random slopes in

the testosterone model (model 1) to ensure there were no divergent transitions after

warm-up. We ran all models with 5000 iterations over four MCMC chains, which included

1000 "warm up" iterations for each chain, resulting in a total of 16000 posterior samples

(Bürkner, 2017). In all models, there were no divergent transitions during warm up, all

Rhat values were equal to 1.00 and visual inspection of a plot of the chains showed that

they were able to converge. We used weakly informative priors to improve convergence,

guard against overfitting and regularize parameter estimates (Lemoine, 2019; McElreath,

2016). As a prior for the intercept and beta coefficients we used a normal distribution with

mean 0 and standard deviation 10; for the standard deviation of group level (random)

effects and sigma we used a Half-Cauchy distribution with location 0 and scale parameter

1; for the correlation between random slopes we used LKJ Cholesky prior with eta 2.

We report model estimates as the mean of the posterior distribution with 95% credible

intervals (CI). To decide whether predictor variables were substantially affecting the

response (hormone levels), we calculated the proportion (Pr) of posterior samples that fell

on the same side of 0 as the mean. The Pr ranges from 0.5 to 1.0, with Pr = 1.00 indicating

that, given the model, the effect of a predictor was entirely positive or negative, whereas Pr

= 0.5 indicates that the effect was centered around 0. We considered Pr > 0.95 as

substantial evidence that a predictor was associated with an outcome.

3   Results

We recorded a total of 1832 triadic male-infant-male interactions during 3276 hours of

observation in one non-mating season. All males of the study group were observed to

partake in male-infant-male interactions at least once (Table 1). The majority (66%) of

male-infant-male interactions involved the one newborn male, 25% involved yearlings and

9% involved juveniles. Rates of male-infant-male interactions were negatively correlated

with both testosterone (Table 2; Fig. 1 *a*, *b*) and cortisol (Table 3; Fig. 2, *a*, *b*) levels.

As with triadic interactions, all males of the group were observed caring for infants (≤ 1

years old), including time spent huddling, carrying, or grooming (Table 1). Male infant care

was positively correlated with both testosterone (Table 2; Fig. 1, *a*, *c*) and cortisol (Table 3;
Fig. 2, *a*, *c*) levels.

Table 2: Urinary testosterone levels in relation to male-infant-male interactions and male
infant care (model 1). Male identity was included as a random effect, N subjects = 14, N
urine samples = 650. CI = 95% credible intervals, Pr = proportion of the posterior samples
that fall on the same side of 0 as the mean.

	Estimate	SD	CI lower	CI upper	Pr
Intercept	3.04	0.09	2.85	3.22	1.00
Test predictors					
Male-infant-male	-0.18	0.06	-0.32	-0.07	>0.99
Infant care	0.10	0.05	-0.00	0.20	0.97
Control predictors					
Grooming	0.03	0.04	-0.04	0.11	0.82
Aggression	-0.02	0.04	-0.10	0.06	0.68
Dominance rank	-0.17	0.08	-0.33	-0.01	0.98
Daytime	-0.03	0.03	-0.09	0.04	0.79

308   Table 3: Urinary cortisol levels in relation to male-infant-male interactions and male infant

309   care (model 2). Male identity was included as a random effect, N subjects = 14, N urine

310   samples = 650. CI = 95% credible intervals, Pr = proportion of the posterior samples that

311   fall on the same side of 0 as the mean.

	Estimate	SD	CI lower	CI upper	Pr
Intercept	5.17	0.12	4.94	5.42	1.00
Test predictors					
Male-infant-male	-0.32	0.15	-0.67	-0.08	0.99
Infant care	0.08	0.05	-0.02	0.20	0.95
Control predictors					
Grooming	0.00	0.06	-0.12	0.12	0.53
Aggression	-0.03	0.03	-0.10	0.03	0.83
Dominance rank	-0.18	0.10	-0.38	0.01	0.97
Daytime	-0.23	0.04	-0.31	-0.15	1.00

Fig. 1: Urinary testosterone levels in relation to male-infant-male interactions and infant care (model 1). a) Posterior probability distribution of the slope estimate. Pr = proportion of the posterior samples that fall on the same side of 0 as the mean. b) and c) Model fitted values (solid line) and 95% credible intervals (shaded area) when all other predictors are at their mean. Cr = creatinine. Circles indicate raw data points (urine samples). Note that the fitted model line is based on model results with additional controls that are not reflected in the raw data points.

Fig. 2: Urinary cortisol levels in relation to male-infant-male interactions and infant care (model 2). a) Posterior probability distribution of the slope estimate. Pr = proportion of the posterior samples that fall on the same side of 0 as the mean. b) and c) Model fitted values (solid line) and 95% credible intervals (shaded area) when all other predictors are at their mean. Cr = creatinine. Circles indicate raw data points (urine samples). Note that the fitted model line is based on model results with additional controls that are not reflected in the raw data points.

4   Discussion

In this study, we investigated how testosterone and cortisol levels related to social bonding and nurturing in male Barbary macaques, testing predictions of the steroid/peptide theory of social bonds (S/P theory: van Anders et al., 2011). As predicted, urinary testosterone and cortisol levels were negatively correlated with triadic male-infant-male interactions, a ritualized behavior hypothesized to promote and/or maintain social bonds in male macaques (Berghänel et al., 2011; Kalbitz et al., 2017; Paul et al., 1996). We also investigated whether male care for infants was negatively associated with testosterone (van Anders et al., 2011) and positively associated with cortisol levels (Henkel et al., 2010). Our predictions were partially supported as both testosterone and cortisol levels were positively correlated with male infant care, suggesting that infant care may be done under competitive, rather that nurturing contexts.

Our finding that urinary testosterone levels were negatively correlated with male-infant-male interactions in Barbary macaques supports predictions of the S/P theory that low testosterone levels are conducive to nurturing relationships and bonding (van Anders, 2013; van Anders et al., 2011). These results are also in line with previous studies in humans and chimpanzees, which found that behaviors promoting bond formation between same-sex adults are associated with lowered testosterone levels (Ketay et al., 2017; Sobolewski et al., 2012). Low testosterone during bond formation in same-sex dyads may reduce competitive tendency and avoid expression of behaviors that are incompatible with social bonding. For example, testosterone has an inhibitory role in trust (Boksem et al., 2013; Bos et al., 2010), facial mimicry (Hermans et al., 2006) and empathy (Ronay and Carney, 2013; van Honk et al., 2011). Human, chimpanzee and macaque males readily form close social bonds and long-term cooperative relationships (Apicella and Silk, 2019; Kalbitz et al., 2016; Watts, 2002; Young et al., 2014b). As these cooperative relationships are likely adaptive (Aktipis et al., 2018; Schülke et al., 2010; Watts, 2002; Young et al., 2013a), males may have been under selective pressure to down-regulate testosterone during periods of affiliation to facilitate bond formation. It would be interesting to test whether lowered testosterone after affiliation between same-sex dyads is only found in species where long-term cooperative relationships increase fitness. Beyond the formation of same-sex social

bonds, high testosterone levels may also be detrimental to the maintenance of these bonds

in the long term. For example, territory holder male manakins (*Pipra filicauda*), a lekking

bird species, must perform cooperative display with floater males to attract females (Ryder

et al., 2009). Territory holders whose testosterone levels are too high fail to attract and

maintain stable display partnerships with floater males (Ryder et al., 2019), reducing their

ability to compete for females.

We additionally found that urinary cortisol levels were negatively correlated with rates of

male-infant-male interactions in male Barbary macaques. One explanation for this finding

is that male-infant-male interactions decrease tensions between adult males, as per the

agonistic buffering hypothesis (Deag and Crook, 1971; Paul et al., 1996), and consequently

reduce cortisol levels. Another non-mutually exclusive explanation relates to the

potentially bond-formation properties of male-infant-male interactions, resulting in

integration into the male social network (Henkel et al., 2010) and increased social support

(Berghänel et al., 2011). Social support is a powerful regulator of the HPA axis and thus of

GC levels (Hennessy et al., 2009; Kikusui et al., 2006). Merely the presence of closely

bonded conspecifics during a stressor is enough to buffer HPA axis activity in a variety of

species (Hennessy et al., 2009; Kikusui et al., 2006). Indeed male Barbary macaques with

strong social bonds show attenuated GC responses to social and environmental stressors

(Young et al., 2014a). Across primates, subordinate individuals exhibit higher GC levels

than dominants only in societies in which they are harassed by dominants but lack access

to social support (Abbott et al., 2003). Having reliable social support may also help to

downregulate HPA activity even in the immediate absence of stressors (Brent et al., 2011;

Fürtbauer et al., 2014; Rosal et al., 2004; Wittig et al., 2016), possibly due to increased

psychological wellbeing (Lakey and Orehek, 2011; Massen et al., 2010; Thoits, 2011). Thus

on days when the study males engaged in male-infant-male interactions most frequently,

they may have perceived themselves as having strengthened their social bonds and ability

to call on support if needed (Berghänel et al., 2011), consequently lowering cortisol.

The process of bonding itself is likely driven by hormones other than testosterone and

cortisol. For instance, the S/P theory predicts that high oxytocin levels are needed to

promote social bonding (van Anders et al., 2011). In a previous study on the same group of

Barbary macaques we did not find an increase in oxytocin levels following male-infant-
male interactions in general but found that oxytocin levels were only higher after male-
infant-male interactions with non-bond partners (Rincon, Deschner, et al., 2019). If it is the
combination of low testosterone and high oxytocin that is responsible for the bonding
effects, then male-infant-male interactions may function to form bonds selectively between
non-bonded partners while physiologically not affecting existing bonds between bond
partners. In this interpretation, male-infant-male interactions are a tool for bond formation
rather than bond maintenance, although, low testosterone levels after interactions with
bond partners may still be beneficial to avoid damaging existing relationships. In our study
subjects, 45% of male-infant-male interactions occurred with non-bond partners [Rincon
et al. unpublished data] indicating that there is substantial variation in partner identity and
thus the potential to bond with previously non-bonded partners is high. Finally, the low
levels of testosterone associated with male-infant-male interactions occur independently of
infant care, suggesting that it is not merely the presence of an infant that lowers
testosterone or cortisol levels but that the male-infant-male interaction itself is what is
salient.

Low cortisol in conjunction with low testosterone may act synergistically to reduce tension
and facilitate bonding. During a dyadic friendship formation task in humans, participants
desired to be closer to their partners if they (their partner) had low cortisol levels (Ketay et
al., 2017), suggesting that affiliative interactions in a relaxed state are beneficial for both
partners. While low testosterone and low cortisol may be beneficial to bond formation
between same-sex adults, this pattern may not generalize to other types of dyads or
contexts. Our findings are in contrast to a previous study in humans where testosterone
levels were negatively related to friendship *formation* and positively related to friendship
maintenance within a social network, whereas the opposite was true for cortisol levels,
suggesting that testosterone and cortisol have opposite effects with regard to friendship
formation and maintenance (Kornienko et al., 2016). One difference between our study and
Kornienko et al. (2016) is that the social network comprised a mixed sex group. Steroid
dynamics with relation to opposite-sex bonding may differ from that for same-sex bonding
due to opposite-sex dyads having greater potential for a sexual relationship and same-sex

dyads having greater potential to be reproductive competitors. For example, high

testosterone levels promote men to affiliate with women (van der Meij et al., 2012) and

facilitate the initial stage of sexual or romantic relationships (Roney and Gettler, 2015).

Although high testosterone levels may be detrimental to satisfaction in long-term romantic

relationships (Edelstein et al., 2014; Roney and Gettler, 2015), it is unclear if this is also the

case for long-term opposite-sex friendships.

Contrary to our predictions, male infant care was positively correlated with testosterone

levels. The same was true for cortisol levels, corroborating a previous finding in this

species (Henkel et al., 2010). These results suggest that, from the male's perspective, infant

care is neither nurturing nor relaxing and may instead be done under a competitive

context. Infant caretakers have a protective role in the majority of Old World nonhuman

primates (Muller, 2017), and male care most likely evolved as an adaptation against

infanticide, even if it is no longer the main function of the behavior (Paul et al., 2000). The

threat of infanticide has been shown to elicit positive testosterone and GC responses in

primates (Cheney et al., 2015; Muller, 2017; Ostner et al., 2008). Infanticide is rare in

Barbary macaques and most likely reflects effective counter measures employed by

females to induce paternity confusion such as promiscuity (Small, 1990), reproductive

synchrony (Young et al., 2013a) and post-conception copulations (Young et al., 2013b).

Nevertheless, males do prefer to interact with infants based on their past mating success

with the mother (Kubenova et al., 2019b), which may be indicative of paternal investment

and serve as motivation to defend or support an infant against conspecifics. Infant care

during the non-mating season also predicts future copulations with the mother in the

following mating season in Barbary macaques (Kubenova et al., 2019b; Ménard et al.,

2001). Thus, male infant care in Barbary macaques could also be considered as mating

effort. Whether infant care is done as a protective role or as part of mating effort, a positive

relationship with testosterone and cortisol could be beneficial in both cases.

Another motivation for males to care for infants may be to engage in affiliative contact with

other males (Henkel et al., 2010; Paul et al., 1996) with the ultimate purpose of

strengthening male bonds, forging alliances and increasing/maintaining status. For

instance, infant-holders are more likely to initiate male-infant-male interactions with males

who are higher ranking or adjacent in rank to themselves (Kubenova et al., 2019a; Paul et

al., 1996) – males that would constitute the best coalitionary allies. Therefore, infants are

attractive commodities and could create competition among males to gain access to them

due to there being only a limited number of infants relative to adult males. Once an infant is

in a male's 'possession' they may need to fend off interest from other group members and

scout their social environment for potential affiliation opportunities with preferred males.

In this scenario, caring for infants could be rather stressful and/or requiring a state of

alertness. Moderate increases in testosterone and cortisol during infant care may not only

help retain the infant in possession but also promote the use of infants as tools to affiliate

with other males. For instance, in humans, testosterone promotes males to behave in such

a way to increase/maintain social status even if such behaviors are prosocial in nature

(Boksem et al., 2013; Eisenegger et al., 2011; Terburg and van Honk, 2013). Similarly,

moderate increases in GC levels serve to promote seeking of affiliative contact in group-

living species with complex social systems (Raulo and Dantzer, 2018). Therefore, elevated

testosterone and cortisol levels during infant care are not necessarily a 'cost' to enter the

male network as previously suggested (Henkel et al., 2010), but a beneficial endocrine

profile that allows males to better navigate their social environment.

Collectively our results provide support for the S/P theory which stipulates that high

testosterone levels reflect mating effort and low levels are ideal for nurturing relationships

including in same-sex adult bonding. Social behavior and dynamics is a complex process.

Theoretical frameworks that integrate multiple endocrine systems, such as the S/P theory

(van Anders et al., 2011) and others (e.g. Soares et al., 2010), are useful in painting a more

complete picture of the social context and ultimate function of particular social

interactions.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ellen Merz and Roland Hilgartner for permission to conduct the study at

Affenberg Salem. We thank Lauren Cassidy, Tatjana Kaufmann and Lilah Sciaky for help

with urine sample and behavioral data collection. We are grateful to Andrea Heistermann

for assistance with hormone analyses. This research was funded by the Deutsche

Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - Project number
254142454 / GRK 2070.

References

References

Abbott, D.H., Keverne, E.B., Bercovitch, F.B., Shively, C.A., Mendoza, S.P., Saltzman, W.,

Snowdon, C.T., Ziegler, T.E., Banjevic, M., Garland, T., Sapolsky, R.M., 2003. Are subordinates

always stressed? A comparative analysis of rank differences in cortisol levels among

primates. Horm. Behav. 43, 67–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0018-506X(02)00037-5

Aktipis, A., Cronk, L., Alcock, J., Ayers, J.D., Baciu, C., Balliet, D., Boddy, A.M., Curry, O.S.,

Krems, J.A., Muñoz, A., Sullivan, D., Sznycer, D., Wilkinson, G.S., Winfrey, P., 2018.

Understanding cooperation through fitness interdependence. Nat. Hum. Behav. 2, 429–431.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-018-0378-4

Apicella, C.L., Silk, J.B., 2019. The evolution of human cooperation. Curr. Biol. 29, R447–

R450. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.03.036

Bahr, N.I., Palme, R., Möhle, U., Hodges, J.K., Heistermann, M., 2000. Comparative aspects of

the metabolism and excretion of cortisol in three individual nonhuman primates. Gen.

Comp. Endocrinol. 117, 427–438. https://doi.org/10.1006/gcen.1999.7431

Barr, D.J., Levy, R., Scheepers, C., Tily, H.J., 2013. Random effects structure for confirmatory

hypothesis testing: Keep it maximal. J. Mem. Lang. 68, 255–278.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2012.11.001

Berghänel, A., Ostner, J., Schröder, U., Schülke, O., 2011. Social bonds predict future

cooperation in male Barbary macaques, *Macaca sylvanus*. Anim. Behav. 81, 1109–1116.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2011.02.009

Boksem, M.A.S., Mehta, P.H., Van den Bergh, B., van Son, V., Trautmann, S.T., Roelofs, K.,

Smidts, A., Sanfey, A.G., 2013. Testosterone inhibits trust but promotes reciprocity. Psychol.

Sci. 24, 2306–2314. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797613495063

Bos, P.A., Terburg, D., Honk, J. van, 2010. Testosterone decreases trust in socially naïve

humans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107, 9991–9995. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0911700107

Brent, L.J.N., Semple, S., Dubuc, C., Heistermann, M., MacLarnon, A., 2011. Social capital and

physiological stress levels in free-ranging adult female rhesus macaques. Physiol. Behav.

102, 76–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2010.09.022

Buchan, J.C., Alberts, S.C., Silk, J.B., Altmann, J., 2003. True paternal care in a multi-male

primate society. Nature 425, 179–181. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01866

Bürkner, P.-C., 2017. Brms: An R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. J. Stat.

Softw. 80, 1–28. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v080.i01

Cheney, D.L., Crockford, C., Engh, A.L., Wittig, R.M., Seyfarth, R.M., 2015. The costs of

parental and mating effort for male baboons. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 69, 303–312.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-014-1843-3

Danish, L.M., Heistermann, M., Agil, M., Engelhardt, A., 2015. Validation of a novel collection

device for non-invasive urine sampling from free-ranging animals. PLoS ONE 10, e0142051.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0142051

Deag, J.M., 1980. Interactions between males and unweaned Barbary macaques: Testing the

agonistic buffering hypothesis. Behaviour 75, 54–80.

https://doi.org/10.1163/156853980X00564

Deag, J.M., Crook, J.H., 1971. Social behaviour and "agonistic buffering" in the wild Barbary

macaque *Macaca sylvana* L. Folia Primatol. 15, 183–200.

https://doi.org/10.1159/000155378

Desjardins, J.K., Hazelden, M.R., Van der Kraak, G.J., Balshine, S., 2006. Male and female

cooperatively breeding fish provide support for the "challenge hypothesis". Behav. Ecol. 17,

149–154. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arj018

de Turckheim, G., Merz, E., 1984. Breeding Barbary macaques in outdoor open enclosures,

in: Fa, J.E. (Ed.), The Barbary Macaque: A Case Study in Conservation. Springer US, Boston,

MA, pp. 241–261. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-2785-1_10

de Vries, H., Stevens, J.M.G., Vervaecke, H., 2006. Measuring and testing the steepness of

dominance hierarchies. Anim. Behav. 71, 585–592.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2005.05.015

Edelstein, R.S., van Anders, S.M., Chopik, W.J., Goldey, K.L., Wardecker, B.M., 2014. Dyadic

associations between testosterone and relationship quality in couples. Horm. Behav. 65,

401–407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2014.03.003

Eisenegger, C., Haushofer, J., Fehr, E., 2011. The role of testosterone in social interaction.

Trends Cogn. Sci. 15, 263–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2011.04.008

Fürtbauer, I., Heistermann, M., Schülke, O., Ostner, J., 2014. Low female stress hormone

levels are predicted by same- or opposite-sex sociality depending on season in wild

Assamese macaques. Psychoneuroendocrinology 48, 19–28.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2014.05.022

Gettler, L.T., McDade, T.W., Feranil, A.B., Kuzawa, C.W., 2011. Longitudinal evidence that

fatherhood decreases testosterone in human males. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108, 16194.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1105403108

Goymann, W., Flores, D.P., 2017. Acute peaks of testosterone suppress paternal care:

Evidence from individual hormonal reaction norms. Proc. R. Soc. B 284, 20170632.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.0632

Henkel, S., Heistermann, M., Fischer, J., 2010. Infants as costly social tools in male Barbary

macaque networks. Anim. Behav. 79, 1199–1204.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2010.02.005

Hennessy, M.B., Kaiser, S., Sachser, N., 2009. Social buffering of the stress response:

Diversity, mechanisms, and functions. Front. Neuroendocrinol. 30, 470–482.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2009.06.001

Hermans, E.J., Putman, P., van Honk, J., 2006. Testosterone administration reduces

empathetic behavior: A facial mimicry study. Psychoneuroendocrinology 31, 859–866.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2006.04.002

Hirschenhauser, K., Oliveira, R.F., 2006. Social modulation of androgens in male

vertebrates: Meta-analyses of the challenge hypothesis. Anim. Behav. 71, 265–277.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2005.04.014

Hostinar, C.E., Sullivan, R.M., Gunnar, M.R., 2014. Psychobiological mechanisms underlying

the social buffering of the HPA axis: A review of animal models and human studies across

development. Psychol. Bull. 140. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0032671

Kalbitz, J., Ostner, J., Schülke, O., 2016. Strong, equitable and long-term social bonds in the

dispersing sex in Assamese macaques. Anim. Behav. 113, 13–22.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2015.11.005

Kalbitz, J., Schülke, O., Ostner, J., 2017. Triadic male-infant-male interaction serves in bond

maintenance in male Assamese macaques. PLoS ONE 12, e0183981.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183981

Kerhoas, D., Kulik, L., Perwitasari-Farajallah, D., Agil, M., Engelhardt, A., Widdig, A., 2016.

Mother-male bond, but not paternity, influences male-infant affiliation in wild crested

macaques. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 70, 1117–1130. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-016-

2116-0

Ketay, S., Welker, K.M., Beck, L.A., Thorson, K.R., Slatcher, R.B., 2019. Social anxiety, cortisol,

and early-stage friendship. J. Soc. Pers. Relat. 36, 1954–1974.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407518774915

Ketay, S., Welker, K.M., Slatcher, R.B., 2017. The roles of testosterone and cortisol in

friendship formation. Psychoneuroendocrinology 76, 88–96.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.11.022

Kikusui, T., Winslow, J.T., Mori, Y., 2006. Social buffering: Relief from stress and anxiety.

Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B 361, 2215–2228. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2006.1941

Kornienko, O., Schaefer, D.R., Weren, S., Hill, G.W., Granger, D.A., 2016. Cortisol and

testosterone associations with social network dynamics. Horm. Behav. 80, 92–102.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2016.01.013

Kubenova, B., Ostner, J., Schülke, O., Majolo, B., Smilauer, P., Konecna, M., 2019a. The effect
of dominance rank on the distribution of different types of male-infant-male interactions in
Barbary macaques *Macaca sylvanus*. Int. J. Primatol. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-019-
00086-x

Kubenova, B., Ostner, J., Schülke, O., Majolo, B., Smilauer, P., Waterman, J., Tkaczynski, P.,
Konecna, M., 2019b. The male and female perspective in the link between male infant care
and mating behaviour in Barbary macaques. Ethology 0, 1–11.
https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.12948

Lakey, B., Orehek, E., 2011. Relational regulation theory: A new approach to explain the link
between perceived social support and mental health. Psychol. Rev. 118, 482–495.
https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023477

Langos, D., Kulik, L., Mundry, R., Widdig, A., 2013. The impact of paternity on maleInfant
association in a primate with low paternity certainty. Mol. Ecol. 22, 3638–3651.
https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12328

Lemoine, N.P., 2019. Moving beyond noninformative priors: Why and how to choose
weakly informative priors in Bayesian analyses. Oikos 128, 912–928.
https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.05985

Lynn, S.E., 2008. Behavioral insensitivity to testosterone: Why and how does testosterone
alter paternal and aggressive behavior in some avian species but not others? Gen. Comp.
Endocrinol. 157, 233–240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2008.05.009

Martin, P., Bateson, P., 2007. Measuring behaviour: An introductory guide, 3rd ed.
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Massen, J., Sterck, E., Vos, H. de, 2010. Close social associations in animals and humans:
Functions and mechanisms of friendship. Behaviour 147, 1379–1412.
https://doi.org/10.1163/000579510X528224

McElreath, R., 2016. Statistical rethinking: A Bayesian course with examples in R and Stan.
Chapman and Hall/CRC. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315372495

Ménard, N., von Segesser, F., Scheffrahn, W., Pastorini, J., Vallet, D., Gaci, B., Martin, R.D.,

Gautier-Hion, A., 2001. Is maleInfant caretaking related to paternity and/or mating

activities in wild Barbary macaques (*Macaca sylvanus*)? C. R. Acad. Sci. III, Sci. Vie 324, 601–

610. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0764-4469(01)01339-7

Mitani, J.C., Watts, D.P., 2001. Why do chimpanzees hunt and share meat? Anim. Behav. 61,

915–924. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2000.1681

Moore, I.T., Hernandez, J., Goymann, W., 2019. Who rises to the challenge? Testing the

challenge hypothesis in fish, amphibians, reptiles, and mammals. Horm. Behav.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2019.06.001

Moscovice, L.R., Di Fiore, A., Crockford, C., Kitchen, D.M., Wittig, R., Seyfarth, R.M., Cheney,

D.L., 2010. Hedging their bets? Male and female chacma baboons form friendships based on

likelihood of paternity. Anim. Behav. 79, 1007–1015.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2010.01.013

Möhle, U., Heistermann, M., Palme, R., Hodges, J., 2002. Characterization of urinary and fecal

metabolites of testosterone and their measurement for assessing gonadal endocrine

function in male nonhuman primates. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 129, 135–145.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-6480(02)00525-7

Muller, M.N., 2017. Testosterone and reproductive effort in male primates. Horm. Behav.

91, 36–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2016.09.001

Neumann, C., Kulik, L., 2019. EloRating: Animal dominance hierarchies by Elo rating.

Neumann, I.D., 2002. Involvement of the brain oxytocin system in stress coping:

Interactions with the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis, in: Poulain, D., Oliet, S.,

Theodosis, D. (Eds.), Progress in Brain Research, Vasopressin and Oxytocin: From Genes to

Clinical Applications. Elsevier, pp. 147–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-

6123(02)39014-9

Neumann, I.D., Landgraf, R., 2012. Balance of brain oxytocin and vasopressin: Implications

for anxiety, depression, and social behaviors. Trends Neurosci. 35, 649–659.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2012.08.004

Oliveira, R.F., Hirschenhauser, K., Carneiro, L.A., Canario, A.V.M., 2002. Social modulation of

androgen levels in male teleost fish. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B, Biochem. Mol. Biol. 132,

203–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1096-4959(01)00523-1

Ostner, J., Kappeler, P., Heistermann, M., 2008. Androgen and glucocorticoid levels reflect

seasonally occurring social challenges in male redfronted lemurs (*Eulemur fulvus rufus*).

Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 62, 627–638. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-007-0487-y

Ostner, J., Schülke, O., 2018. Linking sociality to fitness in primates: A call for mechanisms,

in: Naguib, M., Barrett, L., Healy, S.D., Podos, J., Simmons, L.W., Zuk, M. (Eds.), Advances in

the Study of Behavior. Academic Press, pp. 127–175.

https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.asb.2017.12.001

Ostner, J., Vigilant, L., Bhagavatula, J., Franz, M., Schülke, O., 2013. Stable heterosexual

associations in a promiscuous primate. Anim. Behav. 86, 623–631.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2013.07.004

Paul, A., Kuester, J., Arnemann, J., 1996. The sociobiology of male-infant interactions in

Barbary macaques, *Macaca sylvanus*. Anim. Behav. 51, 155–170.

https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1996.0013

Paul, A., Preuschoft, S., van Schaik, C.P., 2000. The other side of the coin: Infanticide and the

evolution of affiliative male-infant interactions in Old World primates, in: van Schaik, C.P.,

Janson, C.H. (Eds.), Infanticide by Males and Its Implications. Cambridge University Press,

Cambridge, pp. 269–292. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511542312.014

Raulo, A., Dantzer, B., 2018. Associations between glucocorticoids and sociality across a

continuum of vertebrate social behavior. Ecol. Evol. 0. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4059

R Core Team, 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation

for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/

Rincon, A.V., Deschner, T., Schülke, O., Ostner, J., 2019. Oxytocin increases after affiliative
interactions in male Barbary macaques. bioRxiv 695064. https://doi.org/10.1101/695064

Rincon, A.V., Ostner, J., Heistermann, M., Deschner, T., 2019. Measuring urinary cortisol and
testosterone levels in male Barbary macaques: A comparison of EIA and LCMS. Gen. Comp.
Endocrinol. 281, 117–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2019.05.017

Ronay, R., Carney, D.R., 2013. Testosterone's negative relationship with empathic accuracy
and perceived leadership ability. Soc. Psychol. Personal Sci. 4, 92–99.
https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550612442395

Roney, J.R., Gettler, L.T., 2015. The role of testosterone in human romantic relationships.
Curr. Opin. Psychol., Relationship science 1, 81–86.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2014.11.003

Rosal, M.C., King, J., Ma, Y., Reed, G.W., 2004. Stress, social support, and cortisol: Inverse
associations? Behav. Med. 30, 11–22. https://doi.org/10.3200/BMED.30.1.11-22

Ross, H.E., Young, L.J., 2009. Oxytocin and the neural mechanisms regulating social
cognition and affiliative behavior. Front. Neuroendocrinol. 30, 534–547.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2009.05.004

Ryder, T.B., Dakin, R., Vernasco, B.J., Evans, B.S., Horton, B.M., Moore, I.T., 2019.
Testosterone modulates status-specific patterns of cooperation in a social network. bioRxiv
453548. https://doi.org/10.1101/453548

Ryder, T.B., Parker, P.G., Blake, J.G., Loiselle, B.A., 2009. It takes two to tango: Reproductive
skew and social correlates of male mating success in a lek-breeding bird. Proc. R. Soc. B
276, 2377–2384. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.0208

Salvador, A., 2012. Steroid hormones and some evolutionary-relevant social interactions.
Motiv. Emot. 36, 74–83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-011-9265-2

Sapolsky, R.M., 2002. Endocrinology of the stress-response., in: Becker, J.B., Breedlove, S.M.,
Crews, D., McCarthy, M.M. (Eds.), Behavioral Endocrinology. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, pp.
409–450.

Schielzeth, H., 2010. Simple means to improve the interpretability of regression

coefficients. Methods Ecol. Evol. 1, 103–113. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-

210X.2010.00012.x

Schielzeth, H., Forstmeier, W., 2009. Conclusions beyond support: Overconfident estimates

in mixed models. Behav. Ecol. 20, 416–420. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arn145

Schülke, O., Bhagavatula, J., Vigilant, L., Ostner, J., 2010. Social bonds enhance reproductive

success in male macaques. Curr. Biol. 20, 2207–2210.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.10.058

Small, M.F., 1990. Promiscuity in Barbary macaques (*Macaca sylvanus*). Am. J. Primatol. 20,

267–282. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.1350200403

Smith, A.S., Wang, Z., 2014. Hypothalamic oxytocin mediates social buffering of the stress

response. Biol. Psychiatry 76, 281–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.09.017

Soares, M.C., Bshary, R., Fusani, L., Goymann, W., Hau, M., Hirschenhauser, K., Oliveira, R.F.,

2010. Hormonal mechanisms of cooperative behaviour. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B 365, 2737–

2750. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0151

Sobolewski, M.E., Brown, J.L., Mitani, J.C., 2012. Territoriality, tolerance and testosterone in

wild chimpanzees. Anim. Behav. 84, 1469–1474.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2012.09.018

Stiver, K.A., Alonzo, S.H., 2009. Parental and mating effort: Is there necessarily a trade-off?

Ethology 115, 1101–1126. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0310.2009.01707.x

Stocker, M., Munteanu, A., Stöwe, M., Schwab, C., Palme, R., Bugnyar, T., 2016. Loner or

socializer? Ravens' adrenocortical response to individual separation depends on social

integration. Horm. Behav. 78, 194–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2015.11.009

Terburg, D., van Honk, J., 2013. ApproachAvoidance versus dominanceSubmissiveness: A

multilevel neural framework on how testosterone promotes social status. Emot. Rev. 5,

296–302. https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073913477510

Thoits, P.A., 2011. Mechanisms linking social ties and support to physical and mental

health. J. Health. Soc. Behav. 52, 145–161. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146510395592

van Anders, S.M., 2013. Beyond masculinity: Testosterone, gender/sex, and human social

behavior in a comparative context. Front. Neuroendocrinol. 34, 198–210.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2013.07.001

van Anders, S.M., Goldey, K.L., Kuo, P.X., 2011. The steroid/peptide theory of social bonds:

Integrating testosterone and peptide responses for classifying social behavioral contexts.

Psychoneuroendocrinology 36, 1265–1275.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2011.06.001

van der Meij, L., Almela, M., Buunk, A.P., Fawcett, T.W., Salvador, A., 2012. Men with

elevated testosterone levels show more affiliative behaviours during interactions with

women. Proc. R. Soc. B 279, 202–208. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.0764

van Honk, J., Schutter, D.J., Bos, P.A., Kruijt, A.-W., Lentjes, E.G., Baron-Cohen, S., 2011.

Testosterone administration impairs cognitive empathy in women depending on second-

to-fourth digit ratio. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108, 3448–3452.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1011891108

Viau, V., 2002. Functional cross-talk between the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal and -

adrenal axes. J. Neuroendocrinol. 14, 506–513. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-

2826.2002.00798.x

Watts, D.P., 2002. Reciprocity and interchange in the social relationships of wild male

chimpanzees. Behaviour 139, 343–370.

Whitten, P.L., 1987. Infants and adult males, in: Smuts, B.B. (Ed.), Primate Societies.

University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp. 343–357.

Wingfield, J.C., Hegner, R.E., Dufty, A.M., Ball, G.F., 1990. The "challenge hypothesis":

Theoretical implications for patterns of testosterone secretion, mating systems, and

breeding strategies. Am. Nat. 136, 829–846. https://doi.org/10.1086/285134

Wittig, R.M., Crockford, C., Deschner, T., Langergraber, K.E., Ziegler, T.E., Zuberbühler, K.,

2014. Food sharing is linked to urinary oxytocin levels and bonding in related and

unrelated wild chimpanzees. Proc. R. Soc. B 281, 20133096.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.3096

Wittig, R.M., Crockford, C., Weltring, A., Langergraber, K.E., Deschner, T., Zuberbühler, K.,

2016. Social support reduces stress hormone levels in wild chimpanzees across stressful

events and everyday affiliations. Nat. Commun. 7, 13361.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13361

Young, C., Hähndel, S., Majolo, B., Schülke, O., Ostner, J., 2013a. Male coalitions and female

behaviour affect male mating success independent of dominance rank and female receptive

synchrony in wild Barbary macaques. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 67, 1665–1677.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-013-1577-7

Young, C., Majolo, B., Heistermann, M., Schülke, O., Ostner, J., 2014a. Responses to social and

environmental stress are attenuated by strong male bonds in wild macaques. Proc. Natl.

Acad. Sci. 111, 18195–18200. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1411450111

Young, C., Majolo, B., Heistermann, M., Schülke, O., Ostner, J., 2013b. Male mating behaviour

in relation to female sexual swellings, socio-sexual behaviour and hormonal changes in

wild Barbary macaques. Horm. Behav. 63, 32–39.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.11.004

Young, C., Majolo, B., Schülke, O., Ostner, J., 2014b. Male social bonds and rank predict

supporter selection in cooperative aggression in wild Barbary macaques. Anim. Behav. 95,

23–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.06.007

Ziegler, T.E., Crockford, C., 2017. Neuroendocrine control in social relationships in non-

human primates: Field based evidence. Horm. Behav., Field endocrinology of nonhuman

primates and humans 91, 107–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2017.03.004