Predictability of the antigenic evolution of human influenza A H3 viruses

Authors: R. Aguas[1]*, N.M. Ferguson[1]

Affiliations:

[1]MRC Centre for Outbreak Analysis and Modelling, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Imperial College London, Faculty of Medicine, Norfolk Place, London, UK.

Abstract

The current influenza A antigenic evolution paradigm suggesting that antigenic evolution is highly constrained, with successful new viruses being near optimal at maximizing their antigenic distance from past strains. This begs the question of whether influenza's antigenic evolution is fundamentally predictable, or if it takes place on a much higher dimensional antigenic space with multiple possible trajectories. We tackle this issue by building a genotype to phenotype map validated on historical hemagglutination inhibition assay data by using machine learning methods. This map uses amino acid physiochemical properties for inference, learning the expected antigenic distance given the differences in polarity and hydrophobicity observed across any two viral sequences, and is thus applicable to newly sampled viruses with previously unseen amino acids. This allows us to accurately blindly predict the antigenic relevance of soon to be vaccine viral strains. We couple the genotype to phenotype map with a molecular evolutionary simulation algorithm to explore the limits of influenza's antigenic evolution and infer to what extent it is in fact predictable. Although we do uncover some canalization of antigenic trajectories, we find that multiple antigenic lineages are equally viable at any one point in time even though typically only one of those trajectories is actually realized.

Introduction

Seasonal influenza epidemics remain a major public health concern, resulting in 250,000 to 500,000 deaths per year [1]. Human influenza A evolution is characterized by rapid evolution yet limited extant genetic diversity at any point in time – resulting in a characteristic single trunk phylogeny [2,3]. At the molecular level, antigenic drift is mainly determined by mutations in two viral segments encoding for surface proteins hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA), which are in direct contact with the host's immune system [4,5]. Hemagglutination inhibition (HI) assays provide an empirical representation of antigenic drift by measuring differential antibody neutralizing responses across a panel of viruses. Antigenic maps built from HI data reveal a punctuated evolutionary pattern, with periods of relative stasis (defining antigenic clusters) followed by selective sweeps by antigenically novel strains (cluster jumps)[3]. The linearity of these antigenic maps suggests that antigenic evolution is highly constrained, with successful new viruses being near optimal at maximizing their antigenic distance from past strains. One crucial standing question is then the extent to which antigenic evolution is fundamentally predictable, or if antigenic evolution takes place on a much higher dimensional antigenic space with multiple possible trajectories. Here we address these questions using machine learning methods to predict antigenic distances from differences in physiochemical properties in influenza HA1 sequences. The genotype to phenotype (GP) map thus formulated for influenza antigenicity can reproduce the observed features of the antigenic evolution of influenza A/H3N2 viruses and accurately predicts the antigenic novelty of viruses one year ahead. By coupling our GP map with a molecular evolution algorithm, we unveil how HA1 as a whole has evolved near neutrally whilst epitope sites have undergone strong positive selection and give insights into the predictability of flu antigenic evolution. Our results suggest that

influenza's observed evolutionary pathway is but one of many plausible trajectories, with mutations in antigenically relevant sites generating a breadth of antigenically novel viruses later subject to epidemiological selection pressures that limit the observed viral diversity.

Modelling studies have generated competing hypotheses about the key evolutionary and epidemiological determinants of observed influenza A evolutionary patterns [6–9], but definitive understanding is lacking. Influenza A phylodynamics have been simulated assuming comparably parsimonious, yet fundamentally different mechanistic processes: short-term subtype transcending immunity [6]; positive selection associated with cluster transitions in an otherwise neutrally evolving network [7]; reuse of a limited number of antigenic combinations [8]; accumulation of deleterious mutations genetically linked to beneficial ones. More recently, a more phenomenological model has successfully reproduced observed trends in influenza evolution and epidemiology [10].

One important question where existing models differ is the extent to which antigenic evolution is fundamentally predictable [10,11] – or even deterministic [10] – or if the space of possible trajectories is much higher dimensional, with but one realized trajectory (e.g. due to stochasticity [7] or pruning of alternative lineages [6]). In a more narrow sense, recent studies have put forward algorithmic predictions of which genetic variants of an emerging viral set [12,13] will come to dominate circulating viruses in the next year or two (and thus be suitable vaccine candidate strains). However, predicting the antigenic properties of previously unseen strains multiple years ahead remains an open challenge. Whether or not it's possible to predict *de novo* which strains will emerge in the future ahead of their identification at even low frequency is

completely unclear; the answer fundamentally depends on the extent to which influenza

evolution is deterministic.

Here we address these questions using machine learning methods to predict the expected

antigenic distance between two influenza A/H3N2 viruses given the differences in

physiochemical properties across their respective HA1 sequences. We focused on HA1 since it

appears particularly relevant for viral antigenic evolution, being the principal target of antibody

mediated immunity [14,15] and containing several empirically established antigenic sites

[16,17].

Results

Genotype to Phenotype Map

The HI assay outputs a viral titer which indicates the number of times the sera needed to be

diluted for hemagglutination of the antigen and red blood cells to occur [18]. This suggests that

there are electrostatic interactions between antigen and antibodies which are disrupted when the

serum is too diluted. We thus postulated that physiochemical property differences between the

amino acid sequences of a given antigen/serum pair can serve as a proxy for the antigenic

distances observed in HI assays, thus formalizing a genotype-to-phenotype (GP) map. To do so,

we implemented a machine learning algorithm that was informed on how differences in 54

distinct physiochemical properties relate to empirical HI titre measurements – more detailed

description of this method can be found in S1 Text). Out of those 54 properties, a subset of 7 (α

helix, β sheet, β turn, bulkiness, coil, polarity and hydrophobicity) emerged as the most relevant

in explaining the observed phenotype, with polarity and hydrophobicity being the most

significant. S1 Figure clearly shows that differences in hydrophobicity and polarity found in

HA1 have a very high degree of concordance with genetic distances across influenza H3 viral

sequences collected over time as well as historic antigenic maps [3]. By using vectors of amino

acid hydrophobicity and polarity rather than the actual amino acid sequences, we allow the

resulting GP map to be used to predict the antigenic characteristics of novel influenza strains

with previously unseen amino acid polymorphisms. We constructed the GP map using historic

HI data [3] and corresponding HA1 sequences (S1 Table), by training a Random Forest

Algorithm (RFA) to learn the expected antigenic distances between two viruses (measured in

integer 2-fold dilutions given by the HI assays) given the differences in amino acid polarity and

hydrophobicity across their respective viral sequences. The resulting classifier is able to predict

the measured antigenic distances exactly for ~90% of the antigen/serum pairs (Figure 1A) and

successfully reproduces the antigenic map of the H3N2 subtype (Figure 1B).

Figure 1. Classification accuracy of the RFA using hydrophobicity and polarity as antigenic proxy variables. (A) Surface plot showing the error in prediction of antigenic

distance (distance measured on a log-2 scale) for every antigen-serum pair. Antigen-

serum pairs are grouped by measured antigenic distance on the horizontal axis. The

colour of each rectangular pixel illustrates the distribution of predictive errors for pairs

with a particular measured antigenic. A measure of 90% for prediction error 0 indicates

that 90% of antigen/serum pairs antigenic distances are predicted exactly. (B)

Superimposed 2-dimensional antigenic maps generated from the RFA predicted antigenic

distances (in colour) and from the HI titre data (in grey). See Methods for details of the

maximum-likelihood algorithm used to construct these maps.

Predicting the antigenic novelty of previously unseen viruses

While Figure 1 demonstrates the ability of the GP map to reproduce the data on which it was

trained, this approach is also able to predict the antigenic characteristics of strains not used to

train the RFA. To determine whether newly sampled viruses are antigenically novel, we train the

RFA up to a specific point in time and predict the antigenic distance between all antigen/serum

pairs constituted by an element of the current antigenic cluster and another element collected in

the year following the reference time point. Mean one year ahead antigenic distances predicted

for each year of collection show a remarkable agreement with the measured antigenic distances,

particularly highlighting the antigenic novelty of future vaccine strains – Figure 2. These mean

values are a compound of predicted distances to future members of the current antigenic cluster

and to members of new yet unobserved clusters, since some viruses collected in cluster transition

years such as 2002 e.g., can still be members of the currently circulating cluster at the time of

prediction (SY97 in this example) - S2 Table.

Figure 2. Predicting the antigenic novelty of newly sequenced viruses one year

ahead. The black line shows the mean predicted antigenic distance between antigens

collected in each year and sera collected in the three previous years, given an RFA

trained on HI data containing antigen/serum pairs sampled up to the previous year. The

colored dots identify the mean predicted antigenic distances referring to antigens which

turned out to be vaccine strains, whereas the blue line represents the mean empirical

antigenic distances observed for all antigen/serum pairs used for prediction each year.

The different colors map vaccine strains onto their antigenic cluster, longitudinally

represented as colored blocks.

S2 Figure further illustrates the RFA prediction errors resulting from out-of-sample predictions,

particularly across clusters. Given a GP map trained up to data collected in 1995, predicted

antigenic distances for viruses appearing one year into the future are very similar to the measured

distances (>75% having an error of ±1 log-2 unit of antigenic distance or less), as might be

expected given antigenic variation is quite limited within the same antigenic cluster (for

reference, the mean antigenic distance between viruses in adjacent clusters is 4.46 (±1.3)). The

following year saw a cluster transition (WU95→SY97), which reduced predictive accuracy

somewhat since cluster transitions are typically associated with the fixation of previously

unrecorded alleles [11,19], meaning the RFA is potentially blinded to the significance of

mutations in those amino acids. Hence, while just under 70% of predicted distances are within 1

log-2 unit of the observed antigenic distance when looking 2 to 8 years into the future, a small

proportion of observations have higher prediction errors associated with them, and this

proportion increases slowly the further ahead one tries to predict. However, our GP map can

predict the qualitative pattern of antigenic evolution even across two antigenic cluster jumps

(S2C Figure).

Influenza's molecular evolution and selection for differences in physiochemical properties

The biological plausibility of our approach can be investigated by comparing the amino-acid

positions in HA1 which the RFA identifies as significant in predicting antigenicity, with those of

known epitopes (Figure 3). We find that the set of significant positions identified by the RFA as

antigenically relevant, maps closely onto HA1 sites with the highest ratio of non-synonymous to

synonymous mutations, with most lying in epitopes (the 25 amino acid positions with the highest

significance are listed in S3 Table), providing an improved view of the physio-chemical

constraints at play in influenza antigenic evolution [20]. Furthermore, we uncover a significant

amount of antigenically relevant interactions in H3 hemagglutinin, as suggested previously

[21,22]. We show that the importance of many amino acid positions depends on the time frame

of the training set (Figure 3), with some locations being significant throughout. The predicted

RFA significance for each amino acid is quite closely related to the total number of non-

synonymous mutations observed at those positions, reinforcing the suggestion that positive

selection is operating on a relatively small subset of HA1 amino acids – S3 Figure. This apparent

association of accumulation of non-synonymous mutations with increased phenotypic plasticity

deserves further consideration. Examination of the genetic evolutionary trajectories of epitopic

and non-epitopic sites in HA1 reveals that if HA1 amino acids are mutating exclusively under

neutrality assumptions (using a Kimura model with 2:1 transition to transversion ratio and equal

mutation probability for each position), the simulated viruses generally increase their differences

in polarity and hydrophobicity when compared to their ancestral HK69 strain in a liner fashion.

Framing those neutral expectations with the observed trends, we see that while HA1 as a whole

has evolved near neutrally (S4A Figure), epitopes have undergone strong positive selection,

optimizing their polarity and hydrophobicity differences relative to the ancestral HK68 virus

(S4B Figure).

Figure 3. Mapping the positions with significance in explaining the observed antigenic distances between antigen/sera pairs of sequences. RFAs were performed using training data encompassing different time spans. If a particular HA1 position was significant in an RFA trained on data collected from a specific time point onwards, that position is colored orange. If that position is significant in the RFA trained on data from 1968 up to that time point, the position is colored red. If the position is significant in both of those time frames, it is shown in yellow. The significant positions in the RFA map closely to positions with a high ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous mutations, with most occurring on known HA1 epitopes (highlighted in white above).

It seems then that there are a few key amino acids in HA1 displaying a larger than expected

phenotypic plasticity, evident in the range of observed polarity and hydrophobicity differences as

well (S5 Figure), which seem to be under positive selection. A detailed analysis of how

mutations are distributed across HA1 reveals a surprisingly stable pattern, with approximately

50% of all mutations across any antigen/serum pair falling on this subset of highly

phenotypically relevant sites identified by the RFA – S6 Figure. Other epitopes are under-

represented, whilst about a third of mutations lies on non-epitopic polymorphic sites.

Exploring evolutionary trajectories and the limits of antigenic evolution predictability

Having demonstrated that it is possible to predict the antigenic characteristics of new H3N2

strains several years into the future with a good but not perfect level of accuracy (Figures 2 and

S2), we now consider whether it is possible to predict which strains will arise in the future. The

linearity of the antigenic map suggests the existence of a strong immune-mediated selection

compelling novel influenza viruses to maximize their antigenic distance to previously circulating

viruses [3,10]. Above, we show how this is manifested as an uneven distribution of non-

synonymous distributions across HA1, resulting in accumulation of differences in physio-

chemical properties at key sites. Furthermore, a look at how genetic and antigenic evolutionary

trajectories match, indicates that both antigenic and genetic differences are much smaller within

members of the same cluster than between members of adjacent clusters (S7 Figure). This

suggests there is a limited number of genetic evolutionary trajectories which translate into cluster

jumps, but does not help resolve whether the observed antigenic evolutionary trends are a result

of:

-    structural constraints limiting the physio-chemical plasticity of new viruses ("plasticity").

-     immunological selection pressure, forcing new viruses to accrue physio-chemical

213   differences relative to circulating ones ("antigenic filtering").

214   -   seeding effects, with apparent "canalization" of antigenic and genetic evolutionary

215   trajectories resulting from the expansion of a single viral lineage in a susceptible

216   population ("seeding").

-     All the above.

Here, we consider how such constraints shape the joint genetic/antigenic evolution of H3 and

how they translate into the possible evolutionary trajectories the virus might follow. We try to

investigate these effects independently to address their significance in recovering the observed

antigenic maps, specifically trying to reproduce cluster jumps. To do so we use a genetic

simulator algorithm coupled with a GP map and compare the predicted antigenic distances

between simulated viruses and both the ancestral strain and sampled viruses belonging to the

following antigenic cluster. In our genetic simulator we explore 3 molecular evolutionary

models, expressing quite different mutation distribution profiles: a) 7-site model, in which

mutations are restricted to the sites identified as cluster determinants by Koel and colleagues

[23]; b) RFA 25-site model, where mutations are restricted to the 25 most significant amino acid

positions as predicted by the random forest trained on the full dataset; c) Generalized model

where mutations are distributed according to probabilities directly derived from S6 Figure.

Whilst the latter model is in agreement with the 'canalized' antigenic evolution [10] and the

antigenic recycling [8] hypotheses, since the majority of mutations is directed at a small subset of

positions, it also allows for new, previously antigenically irrelevant, alleles to become significant

[19] and epistatic interactions between antigenically significant sites and other loci [24].

We first compare the accuracy of the GP map obtained when including all sites in the training set

with that of the antigenic maps resulting from antigenic distances predicted by RFAs trained

exclusively on the two limited sets of sites listed above. The 25-site RFA model performed

comparably to the generalized model in reproducing the training set and in terms of predictive

accuracy unlike the 7-site model that, while broadly capturing cluster transitions, was

substantially poorer at reproducing the precise size and shape of antigenic clusters (S8 Figure).

Thus, while 7-site may be sufficient to explain much about cluster transitions, our analysis

suggests that more sites contribute to the fine detail of antigenic variation within clusters, and

consequently, finer level antigenic novelty leading to antigenic cluster transitions.

To ascertain the validity of our joint GP map and molecular sequence generating tool, we

simulated thousands of viruses from a single SY97 cluster ancestor using the generalized

evolutionary model and attempt to replicate the antigenic location of the FU02 cluster. Given

perfect information as to what positions mutate in the future and using GP map trained on the

complete dataset we can almost exactly retrieve the observed antigenic map (S9 Figure). Whilst

still intercepting the antigenic space occupied by the empirical future cluster, blinded predictions

reflect a degree of uncertainty as to the antigenic trajectory undertaken by flu viruses, with a

range of antigenically distinct viruses equally likely to emerge. Indeed, less than 1% of the

simulated viruses were within 2 units of antigenic distance of the empirically realized FU02

cluster. Looking deeper into the genetic relationship between simulated sequences and historic

ones, we can see that only a minority of the antigenically plausible sequences are genetically

very similar to the observed FU02 antigenic cluster (S10 Figure).

It then seems that "antigenic filtering" alone cannot reconcile genetic and antigenic H3

evolution, so we next examine what roles "plasticity" and "seeding" might have. To do so, we

compare how the expected mean antigenic distance of simulated *n*-point mutants to their

ancestral strain, and between themselves fits with those observed in the empirical data. We

introduce "plasticity", "antigenic filtering", and "seeding" effects sequentially, thus always

limiting the physio-chemical plasticity of each HA1 site and stacking the other effects in

succession. We start with a strain in the SY97 cluster as the ancestor (see S6 Figure for another

starting point) and train the RFA only on strains from SY97 and earlier clusters. Figure 4A

illustrates how the predicted antigenic distance between simulated viruses with a specific number

of mutations to the ancestral strain compares with the predicted antigenic distance between pairs

of equally evolved simulated viruses (with same number of mutation to the ancestral). The mean

antigenic distance between simulated viruses is consistently larger than their respective antigenic

distances to the common ancestor if no "antigenic filtering" is imposed, i.e. in the absence of

immune pressure. When antigenic selection is introduced (Figure 4B), the simulated viruses

somewhat converge antigenically, being, on average, more similar to each other than to viruses

in the ancestral cluster. This effect is more a consequence of the forced increase in the mean

antigenic distance between simulated viruses and their ancestor resulting from the immune

selection filter (S11 Figure) than a true antigenic convergence, since there isn't a significant

decrease in the pairwise antigenic differences between simulated viruses. This would suggest

that selection for antigenic novelty could result in any one of many antigenically distinct viral

lineages. Whilst these results are clear for both the generalized and 25-site models, they are

much less so for the 7-site model (S11 Figure) due its antigenic sensitivity to changes in a very

small number of sites. Although mutations can occur in up to 25 amino acids in the later model,

only changes in 7 of those actually result in an antigenic effect which then tends to be quite

strong (these sites have all been associated with cluster jumps), making general extrapolations on

the effect of immune selection difficult with this model.

Figure 4. Unfiltered (A) and filtered step-wise (B) antigenic evolution from a given

ancestral strain. The violin plots illustrate the kernel density estimates for two predicted

antigenic distances (horizontal lines represent the mean) on sets of simulated viruses with

X amino acid mutation relative to an ancestral strain (a member of the SY97 cluster). The

dark blue violin plots represent the distribution of antigenic distances (with the respective

means as horizontal lines) between simulated viruses with X amino acid mutations

relative to a virus in SY97. The red violin plots give the predicted antigenic distances

across simulated viruses. Light blue violin plots give the empirical antigenic distances

between viruses in SY97 and FU02, whilst the orange plots refer to the measured

antigenic distances within the FU02 cluster. (C) Antigenic map of one simulated

292  antigenic cluster arising from SY97 (see S1 Text for description of simulation methods).

293  (D, E) Antigenic maps including two simulated clusters both 3-5 antigenic distance units

294  away from their SY97 ancestor, on different spatial embeddings. The 2-Dimensional

295  embedding is unable to properly resolve the antigenic distances between the simulated

296  clusters in contrast with the 3-Dimensional representations (E).

298 When we simulate a gradual evolutionary process akin to what has been proposed previously

299 [25–27], with multiple related lineages co-circulating globally with occasional expansion of one

300 of those lineages in temperate locations following migration, here termed 'seeding', we observed

301 much stronger antigenic convergence. Quite strikingly, the mean predicted antigenic distance

302 between simulated viruses only matches the observed mean difference among FU02 cluster

303 samples when this stepwise process was implemented (Figures 4B, S8).

304 ***Predicting cluster jumps and implications for vaccine updates***

305 If 'seeding' is indeed a critical process, we need to better characterize which viruses can serve as

306 seeds to a cluster jump. We found that any one of the intermediate simulated viruses resulting

307 from the second mutational step could seed an antigenic cluster which would look nearly

308 identical to the FU02 cluster on an antigenic map (Figure 4C). This is not an indication of the

309 similarity of this simulated cluster with the FU02 cluster but rather a reflection of how the

310 geometry of antigenic maps is mainly determined by large antigenic distances between observed

311 viruses and their antisera. The similar antigenic map location of the observed FU02 cluster and

312 several simulated clusters (we only show a representative one) is solely a result of those clusters

313 sharing the same distance to their ancestor. If two new lineages were to emerge simultaneously,

314 the resulting map would show substantial antigenic separation between them (Figure 4D). We

find that the accuracy of the 2-dimensional map when reproducing pairwise distances between

antigen and sera substantially worsens when mapping multiple simulated antigenically divergent

clusters of viruses, with a 3-dimensional representation being preferred (Figure 4E). The

adequacy of a 2-dimensional representation thus results from the single lineage seen in H3N2

HA evolution, rather than necessarily being the fundamental underlying dimensionality of the

antigenic shape space which influenza evolution explores [28] – S12 Figure.

We show that we can reproduce the observed antigenic patterns of relatedness among simulated

viruses and between simulated and sampled viruses, as well as identify viruses that are

antigenically novel and likely seeds of new clusters. Next, we push the boundaries of

evolutionary predictability by trying to prospectively replicate observed cluster jumps. To do so,

we take a late sample (collected the year prior to the establishment of a new cluster) of a given

antigenic cluster and simulate viruses according to the generalized model, including all the

evolutionary constraints listed above ('plasticity', 'filtering', and 'seeding'). The GP map uses a

RFA which is trained on data only up to the year of collection of the strain used as a seed, but

here we also calculate distances to sampled viruses in the future cluster, thus comparing how

predicted clusters fit with the realized antigenic trajectory.

Figure 5. Evolutionary trajectories in antigenic space. The panels illustrate 4 cluster jump predictions. From a given cluster an RFA is trained on data up to a specific date when the chosen ancestral strain was collected, and 100,000 viruses are genetically evolved according to the generalized model. The simulated viruses are classified into distinct groups according to their respective predicted antigenic distances to the observed future cluster and all preceding clusters. The simulated viral groups (or antigenic lineages) are colored purple, yellow and brown in descending order of antigenic similarity to the observed future cluster, respectively.

Strikingly, all simulated cluster jumps reveal the same patterns, with 3 quite distinct antigenic

trajectories being theoretically possible, out of which only one resembles the observed cluster

(Figure 5). We can identify specific mutations generating pitchforks in the antigenic trajectory

followed by simulated viruses – see S1 text for more details. From the set of 6-14 positions

highlighted as significant to explain antigenic lineage segregation, there is consistently at least

one that has previously been identified (19) as a cluster jump determining mutation – S13 Figure.

Discussion

Here, we have shown how machine-learning based feature selection methods can be used to

generate an explicit genotype to phenotype (GP) map that can reproduce the observed features of

A/H3N2 influenza antigenic evolution and offers insights into its predictability. Our results

indicate that the antigenic distance of newly isolated viruses from their ancestors can be

accurately predicted from their HA1 sequence with the methods presented here. This suggests

that such GP maps could usefully supplement experimental antigenic characterization of newly

sampled viruses in routine virological surveillance for vaccine strain selection.

However, the predictability of phenotype from genotype [11,13,23,29] does not imply influenza

evolution is fundamentally predictable with a narrow set of possible antigenic trajectories the

virus can undertake at a point in time. In contrast, our analysis suggests that influenza's observed

evolutionary pathway is but one of a limited set of antigenic trajectories, with mutations in

antigenically relevant sites (particularly HA1 epitopes, but not exclusively) generating a breadth

of antigenically novel viruses later subject to epidemiological selection pressures which limit

overall viral diversity [6,10,27]. We conclude that selection for immune escape does not tightly

constrain influenza's antigenic evolutionary trajectory but rather that epidemiological selection

(with marked winter epidemics and summer bottlenecks) coupled with strong seeding effects

(largely determined by the existing intense global mobility network) determine the observed

antigenic trajectory. For all the counterfactual antigenic trajectories to be observed, a much more

intense and constant sampling effort would be required.

Clearly there are limitations to the use of GP maps, in that they can only be trained on what has

been observed. While we partially mitigated this limitation by training our RFA model on

changes in physiochemical properties of HA amino-acids rather than the amino-acid sequences

directly, mechanistic models predicting the conformation and antigenicity of HA from its

sequence would clearly be preferable. However, these remain a long-term goal. Our work

indicates that machine-learning derived GP maps, coupled with judicious animal studies [23], are

now able to give substantial scientific insight into the phenotypic evolution of influenza viruses

as well of being of practical benefit in enhancing virological surveillance.

Materials and Methods

Genotype-to-phenotype (GP) map: We trained a Random Forest Algorithm (RFA) on a dataset

of pairwise differences in physiochemical properties between the amino acid sequences of

antigen/serum pairs and the respective antigenic distances measured by the HI assay. The RFA is

an ensemble classifier consisting of multiple low correlation decision trees, which aggregate into

a low bias and low variance "forest". Each tree in a random forest is trained on a random subset

of the data, and each tree branch contains randomly chosen variables from all available variables

(in this case, a subset of positions in the amino acid sequences for each branch). Final

classification of each sample results from aggregating the votes of all trees in the forest, with the

importance measure for each variable being the loss of classification accuracy caused by the

random permutation of attribute values for that variable. Overall, RFA displays excellent

performance in classification tasks [30,31] and provides direct measures of both variable

importance and classification error. We used the RandomForest R package to implement the

RFA. For more information see S1 Text.

Antigenic mapping: Antigenic mapping [3,28], by offering a simple intuitive representation of

large volumes of HI assay data, is a useful tool for unveiling the antigenic evolution of a

pathogen. It is fundamentally a multidimensional scaling (MDS), and more specifically, a metric

unfolding problem (due to the sparseness of the data). Assuming the empirical HI data is directly

related to Euclidian distances in an antigenic space, we can view the problem of map creation as

that of the minimization of an error function, E:

396
$$E = \sum_{i,j=1}^{i,j=M,N} (D_{ij}^{data} - D_{ij}^{map})^2 \qquad ; \qquad D_{ij}^{map} = \left| X_i - X_j \right|^2$$

where D_{ij}^{data} correspond to the measured antigenic distances, and D_{ij}^{map} to the Euclidean distances

computed between the location, X_i, of the ith of M antigens in shape space, and X_j, the location

of the jth of N antisera.

To create an antigenic map we choose an embedding dimension, d, and then fit the $d(N+M-1)$

parameters specifying the locations of the N antigens and M sera (fixing one location at the

origin). The map thus created not only provides a visual interpretation of measured antigenic

distances, but also implicitly predicts unmeasured distances antigen/serum pairs. See S1 Text for

more information on our implementation of a maximum-likelihood based multidimensional

scaling algorithm for antigenic mapping.

HA1 genetic evolutionary model: Molecular evolution simulations are rooted on a mutational

algorithm that generates non-synonymous mutations in specific codons with a 2:1 transition to

transversion ratio, following Kimura's nucleotide evolution model [32]. In practice, a given

number of mutations is assigned to a particular subset of amino acid positions and for each

mutation up to 2 nucleotides from the respective codon are chosen to undergo mutation (keeping

with a 2:1 the transition to transversion ratio). The procedure is repeated if necessary until one

gets a non-synonymous substitution. This algorithm was implemented in Matlab® R2016a. We

can thus generate hundreds of thousands of simulated viruses from any sampled H3 virus. We

apply this DNA mutation model to 3 different genetic evolutionary frameworks expressing quite

different mutation distribution profiles ranging from a model where mutations are restricted to

only 7 amino acids [23]; to one where mutations are allocated to the 25 most significant amino

acid positions as predicted by the RFA; and a generalized model where mutations are assigned to

different amino acids according to a 4:1:2 ratio (RFA significant sites/other epitopes/other

polymorphic sites).

HA1 antigenic evolutionary model:

Throughout this paper we try to ascertain the determinants of observed H3 antigenic

evolutionary trends and consider the roles of physio-chemical plasticity ("plasticity"),

immunological selection pressure ("antigenic filtering"), epidemiological seeding effects

("seeding").

The imposed physio-chemical plasticity is bounded by what is observed in the data, with

mutations in simulated viruses having to comply to the observed minima and maxima in each

amino acid position for the considered physio-chemical properties – S5 Figure.

Since the mean antigenic distance between viruses in two adjacent antigenic clusters is 4.46

(±1.3) on average), simulations complying to the "antigenic filtering" effect impose boundaries

on simulated viruses' predicted antigenic distances to past clusters, according to two simple

rules: 1) the simulated viruses must have predicted antigenic distance values within the 3-5 range

relative to all viruses within the reference cluster; 2) predicted distances to viruses in all other

past clusters must be greater than 6. We thus discard any simulated viruses predicted to have an

antigenic distance to viruses of the reference antigenic cluster greater than 5 or lower than 3, and

simultaneously a predicted antigenic distance lower than 6 to members of any other past cluster.

We implemented the "seeding" effect as a 3-step mutational process (4-6 mutations in each step)

where at the end of the 1st and 2nd steps one simulated virus is selected as a seed for the next

simulation step.

Acknowledgments: We thank the EU FP7 EMPERIE project, the NIGMS MIDAS programme

and the MRC for research funding.

References:

1.   Nair H, Brooks WA, Katz M, Roca A, Berkley JA, Madhi SA, et al. Global burden of respiratory
infections due to seasonal influenza in young children: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
Lancet. 2011;378: 1917–1930. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61051-9
2.   Bush RM. Predicting the Evolution of Human Influenza A. Science (80-). 1999;286: 1921–1925.
doi:10.1126/science.286.5446.1921
3.   Smith DJ, Lapedes AS, de Jong JC, Bestebroer TM, Rimmelzwaan GF, Osterhaus ADME, et al.
Mapping the antigenic and genetic evolution of influenza virus. Science. American Association for
the Advancement of Science; 2004;305: 371–6. doi:10.1126/science.1097211
4.   Sato K, Morishita T, Nobusawa E, Tonegawa K, Sakae K, Nakajima S, et al. Amino-acid change
on the antigenic region B1 of H3 haemagglutinin may be a trigger for the emergence of drift strain
of influenza A virus. Epidemiol Infect. 2004;132: 399–406. doi:10.1017/s0950268803001821
5.   Raymond FL, Caton AJ, Cox NJ, Kendal AP, Brownlee GG. The antigenicity and evolution of
influenza H1 haemagglutinin, from 1950–1957 and 1977–1983: Two pathways from one gene.
Virology. 1986;148: 275–287. doi:10.1016/0042-6822(86)90325-9
6.   Ferguson NM, Galvani AP, Bush RM. Ecological and immunological determinants of influenza
evolution. Nature. 2003;422: 428–433. doi:10.1038/nature01509
7.   Koelle K, Cobey S, Grenfell B, Pascual M. Epochal Evolution Shapes the Phylodynamics of
Interpandemic Influenza A (H3N2) in Humans. Science (80-). 2006;314: 1898–1903.
doi:10.1126/science.1132745
8.   Recker M, Pybus OG, Nee S, Gupta S. The generation of influenza outbreaks by a network of host
immune responses against a limited set of antigenic types. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2007;104: 7711–
7716. doi:10.1073/pnas.0702154104
9.   Koelle K, Rasmussen DA. The effects of a deleterious mutation load on patterns of influenza
A/H3N2's antigenic evolution in humans. Elife. 2015;4: e07361. doi:10.7554/eLife.07361
10.   Bedford T, Rambaut A, Pascual M. Canalization of the evolutionary trajectory of the human

469   influenza virus. BMC Biol. BioMed Central; 2012;10: 38. doi:10.1186/1741-7007-10-38

470   11.   Shih AC-C, Hsiao T-C, Ho M-S, Li W-H. Simultaneous amino acid substitutions at antigenic sites
471   drive influenza A hemagglutinin evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2007;104: 6283–6288.
472   doi:10.1073/pnas.0701396104

473   12.   Du X, Dong L, Lan Y, Peng Y, Wu A, Zhang Y, et al. Mapping of H3N2 influenza antigenic
474   evolution in China reveals a strategy for vaccine strain recommendation. Nat Commun. Nature
475   Publishing Group; 2012;3: 709. doi:10.1038/ncomms1710

476   13.   Luksza M, Lassig M. A predictive fitness model for influenza. Nature. 2014/02/28. 2014;507: 57–
477   61. doi:10.1038/nature13087nature13087 [pii]

478   14.   Knossow M, Skehel JJ. Variation and infectivity neutralization in influenza. Immunology.
479   2006;119: 1–7. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2567.2006.02421.x

480   15.   Skehel JJ, Wiley DC. Receptor Binding and Membrane Fusion in Virus Entry: The Influenza
481   Hemagglutinin. Annu Rev Biochem. 2000;69: 531–569. doi:10.1146/annurev.biochem.69.1.531

482   16.   Wilson IA, Cox NJ. Structural Basis of Immune Recognition of Influenza Virus Hemagglutinin.
483   Annu Rev Immunol. 1990;8: 737–787. doi:10.1146/annurev.iy.08.040190.003513

484   17.   Wiley DC, Wilson IA, Skehel JJ. Structural identification of the antibody-binding sites of Hong
485   Kong influenza haemagglutinin and their involvement in antigenic variation. Nature. Nature
486   Publishing Group; 1981;289: 373–378. doi:10.1038/289373a0

487   18.   HIRST GK. THE AGGLUTINATION OF RED CELLS BY ALLANTOIC FLUID OF CHICK
488   EMBRYOS INFECTED WITH INFLUENZA VIRUS. Science (80-). 1941;94: 22–23.
489   doi:10.1126/science.94.2427.22

490   19.   Steinbrück L, McHardy AC. Allele dynamics plots for the study of evolutionary dynamics in viral
491   populations. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011;39: e4–e4. doi:10.1093/nar/gkq909

492   20.   Meyer AG, Wilke CO. Geometric Constraints Dominate the Antigenic Evolution of Influenza
493   H3N2 Hemagglutinin. Bloom JD, editor. PLOS Pathog. Public Library of Science; 2015;11:
494   e1004940. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004940

495   21.   Koel BF, Burke DF, Vliet S van der, Bestebroer TM, Rimmelzwaan GF, Osterhaus ADME, et al.
496   Epistatic interactions can moderate the antigenic effect of substitutions in hemagglutinin of
497   influenza H3N2 virus. bioRxiv. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; 2018; 506030.
498   doi:10.1101/506030

499   22.   Kryazhimskiy S, Dushoff J, Bazykin GA, Plotkin JB. Prevalence of Epistasis in the Evolution of
500   Influenza A Surface Proteins. Malik HS, editor. PLoS Genet. 2011;7: e1001301.
501   doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001301

502   23.   Koel BF, Burke DF, Bestebroer TM, van der Vliet S, Zondag GC, Vervaet G, et al. Substitutions
503   near the receptor binding site determine major antigenic change during influenza virus evolution.
504   Science (80-). 2013/11/23. 2013;342: 976–979. doi:10.1126/science.1244730342/6161/976 [pii]

505   24.   Tria F, Pompei S, Loreto V. Dynamically correlated mutations drive human Influenza A evolution.
506   Sci Rep. 2013;3: 2705. doi:10.1038/srep02705

507   25.   Bahl J, Nelson MI, Chan KH, Chen R, Vijaykrishna D, Halpin RA, et al. Temporally structured
508   metapopulation dynamics and persistence of influenza A H3N2 virus in humans. Proc Natl Acad
509   Sci. 2011;108: 19359–19364. doi:10.1073/pnas.1109314108

510   26.   Bedford T, Cobey S, Beerli P, Pascual M. Global Migration Dynamics Underlie Evolution and
511   Persistence of Human Influenza A (H3N2). Ferguson NM, editor. PLoS Pathog. Public Library of
512   Science; 2010;6: e1000918. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000918

513   27.   Russell CA, Jones TC, Barr IG, Cox NJ, Garten RJ, Gregory V, et al. The Global Circulation of
514   Seasonal Influenza A (H3N2) Viruses. Science (80-). American Association for the Advancement
515   of Science; 2008;320: 340–346. doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.1154137

516   28.   LAPEDES A, FARBER R. The Geometry of Shape Space: Application to Influenza. J Theor Biol.
517   2001;212: 57–69. doi:10.1006/jtbi.2001.2347

518   29.   Hensley SE, Das SR, Bailey AL, Schmidt LM, Hickman HD, Jayaraman A, et al. Hemagglutinin
519   receptor binding avidity drives influenza A virus antigenic drift. Science (80-). 2009/11/11.

2009;326: 734–736. doi:10.1126/science.1178258326/5953/734 [pii]
30.   Breiman L. Random Forests. Mach Learn. Kluwer Academic Publishers; 2001;45: 5–32.
doi:10.1023/A:1010933404324
31.   Díaz-Uriarte R, Alvarez de Andrés S. Gene selection and classification of microarray data using
random forest. BMC Bioinformatics. BioMed Central; 2006;7: 3. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-7-3
32.   Kimura M. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through
comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol. Springer-Verlag; 1980;16: 111–120.
doi:10.1007/BF01731581

Author Contributions: RA and NMF conceived the study. RA implemented the genotype to

phenotype map and developed the molecular simulation algorithm. RA and NMF wrote the

paper.

S1 Figure. **Correspondence between genetic distances and sum in polarity and**

hydrophobicity across the sequences. (A) ML phylogenetic tree of all antigen sequences. (B)

Dendrogram built from a distance matrix derived from the sum of differences in polarity and

hydrophobicity in the antigen sequences. (C) MDS using the same matrix as in (B).

S2 Figure. **Prospective predictive power of the RFA algorithm with predictions made from a training set with data collected up to 1995.** (A) Cumulative curves representing the distribution of the prediction errors (on a log-2 scale) for the different prediction time windows. (B) Box plots of the prediction error for specific values of measured antigenic distance. For each box, the central mark represents the median, the edges mark the 25th and 75th percentiles, and the whiskers extend to the most extreme data points not considered outliers. Here the whiskers extend to +/–2.7*variance (corresponding to 99.3 coverage assuming the data are normally distributed). Each colour represents an incremental prediction time window as in (A). (C)

550   Predicted antigenic map with predictions in colour overlaid on the map generated with full

551   hindsight (in grey).

S3 Figure. Correlation between the total number of mutations recorded at each position and the respective RFA (trained on the full dataset) significance. Amino acid positions with high interaction indices are highlighted in red.

S4 Figure. **Evolution in HA1.** Each box plot in black show the variation in the sum of differences in amino-acid polarity and hydrophobicity between 100,000 viruses and their ancestral HK68 virus (given a specific number of mutations). The black circle in each box identifies the median, the edges mark the 25th and 75th percentiles, and the whiskers extend to the most extreme data points not considered outliers. (A) Shows differences across the full HA1 sequence, and (B) across epitope positions only. Isolated viruses are color-coded according to their assigned antigenic cluster.

S5 Figure. **HA1 physiochemical plasticity**. Displays the observed difference in hydrophobicity

(top) and polarity (bottom) for all antigen/serum pairs (rows) in each amino acid position

(columns). Black indicates no difference, whereas blue and red represent the most extreme

values (negative and positive, respectively).

S6 Figure. **HA1 mutational profile**. Shows the distribution of mutations across three subsets of amino acids. Blue illustrates mutations that fall on the subset of RFA 25 most significant sites, in red we represent the known epitopes which are not included in the blue subset, and in orange the remaining polymorphic sites (not included in any of the other subsets).

S7 Figure. **H3 Antigenic and Genetic Evolutionary patterns**. This sketch illustrates mean differences between members of the same cluster (white background boxes) and between viruses belonging to contiguous clusters (black background). The mean antigenic differences shown here are calculated from the HI titers measured in the considered antigen/sera pairs. The mean genetic differences are merely the mean number of amino acid differences between sequences of the same cluster or across contiguous clusters.

S8 Figure

S8 Figure. **Evolutionary model accuracy**. Classification accuracy of the RFA looking at hydrophobicity and polarity differences in each of the considered evolutionary models: the generalized (A); the RFA 25 most significant sites (B) or the 7 sites model proposed by Koel *et al* (C). Superimposed 2-dimensional antigenic maps generated from the predicted antigenic distances (in colour) - when training the RFA on the respective subsets of amino acids - and from the HI titre data (in grey). The top panels give the prediction errors for each of the observed antigenic distance bins.

S9 Figure. **Antigenic maps of influenza's predicted antigenic trajectories.** The contour lines

represent the density probability that simulated viruses will fall on the respective delineated

antigenic space. The simulated viruses were generated from mutations in: (A) significant

positions to explain antigenic differences between clusters BE92 to FU02; (B) the polymorphic

sites across the SY97and FU02 clusters. Each simulated virus has a total of 12-18 amino acid

mutations relative to its ancestor.

S10 Figure. Antigenic trajectory unpredictability. Antigenic map of influenza's predicted

antigenic trajectory using the generalized model (A). We implemented a mutational process

similar to that in S9 Figure and impose an antigenic filter that only accepts simulated viruses

with predicted antigenic distances to the ancestral viral cluster in [3, 5] and of over 6 to all

preceding clusters. The contour lines represent the density probability that simulated viruses will

fall on the respective delineated antigenic space. A maximum likelihood neighbor joining tree

shows the genetic relationship between simulated viruses that did not meet the antigenic filter

requirements (white), those that did (yellow), and the empirical viruses (colored according to

their antigenic cluster as in all other plots). Note the empirical FU02 cluster in orange amidst the

simulated viruses.

S11 Figure. Antigenic trajectories and underlying evolutionary processes. Unfiltered (top

row); filtered (middle row); and filtered step-wise (bottom row) antigenic evolution from a

specific ancestral strain under the 3 evolutionary models. The results for the generalized, 25-site,

and 7-site models are depicted left to right respectively. The dark blue violin plots represent the

distribution of antigenic distances (with the respective means as horizontal lines) between

simulated viruses with X amino acid mutations relative to a virus in SY97. The red violin plots

give the predicted antigenic distances across simulated viruses. Light blue violin plots give the

empirical antigenic distances between viruses in SY97 and FU02, whilst the orange plots refer to

the measured antigenic distances within the FU02 cluster.

630 **S12 Figure**. **Antigenic map precision on different embeddings**. Comparison of the MDS error

631 for the 2D and 3D representations of an antigenic map with two simulated lineages.

S13 Figure. **Genetic determinants of simulated viral lineages.** Each panel relates to the

associated cluster jump in Figure 5 of the main text. The left plot of each panel shows the DAPC

resulting discrimination of the separate groups given the genetic information. The contribution of

each allele for the segregation of the viruses into groups is shown on the plots to the right. The

dashed line serves only as an arbitrary threshold above which the individual allele labels are

shown.

644 **S14 Figure**. **Uncertainty of the antigenic mapping algorithm of historic H3 influenza.** Each

645 ellipsis represents the dispersal in the final proposed coordinates for each antigen (over 100

646 bootstrap runs of the mapping algorithm). Colors match the cluster assignments in .

S15 Figure. Classification accuracy of the RFA using hydrophobicity and polarity as antigenic proxy variables for an extended dataset. (A) Surface plot showing the error in prediction of antigenic distance (distance being measured on a log-2 scale) for every antigen-serum pair. Antigen-serum pairs are grouped by measured antigenic distance on the horizontal axis. The colour of each rectangular pixel shows the proportion of pairs with at a particular measured antigenic distance with a particular prediction error. (B) Mean measure antigenic distance between antigen/serum pairs composed of elements of different antigenic clusters. The sparseness of this matrix of measurements increases significantly for more recent data. (C)

658   Superimposed 2-dimensional antigenic maps generated from the RFA predicted antigenic

659   distances (in colour) and from the HI titre data (in grey).