Differential modulation of ventral tegmental area circuits by the nociceptin/orphanin FQ system

Joseph R. Driscoll[1,2]; Tanya L. Wallace[1]; William J. Martin[1]; Elyssa B. Margolis[2]

[1]BlackThorn Therapeutics
780 Brannan Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

[2]Department of Neurology
Wheeler Center for the Neurobiology of Addiction
University of California, San Francisco
675 Nelson Rising Lane
Box 0444
San Francisco, CA 94143, USA

JRD, TLW, WJM and EBM designed the studies; JRD and EBM collected the data; JRD analyzed the data; JRD, TLW, WJM and EBM wrote the manuscript.

Corresponding Author:
Elyssa B. Margolis
elyssa.margolis@ucsf.edu
University of California, San Francisco
675 Nelson Rising Lane
Box 0444
San Francisco, CA 94143, USA
Phone: (415) 353-4793

Abstract

The neuropeptide nociceptin/orphanin FQ (N/OFQ) system can be activated under conditions of stress and is associated with disorders of emotional regulation and reward processing. N/OFQ and its cognate receptor, NOP, are highly enriched in dopaminergic pathways, and intra-ventricular agonist delivery decreases dopamine levels in the dorsal striatum, nucleus accumbens (NAc), and the ventral tegmental area (VTA). We used whole cell electrophysiology in acute rat brain slices to investigate synaptic actions of N/OFQ in VTA neurons. Consistent with previous reports, we found that N/OFQ is primarily inhibitory, causing outward currents in both immunocytochemically identified dopaminergic (tyrosine hydroxylase positive (TH(+)) and non-dopaminergic (TH(-)) VTA neurons (effect at 1 μM: 20 $\pm$ 4 pA). A smaller population of VTA neurons responded to low dose N/OFQ with small inward currents (effect at 10 nM: -11 $\pm$ 2 pA). Application of 100 nM N/OFQ resulted in a markedly diminished response to a second N/OFQ application, consistent with receptor desensitization (14 $\pm$ 10% of first response). N/OFQ generated outward currents in VTA neurons that project to the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), but inward currents in a subset of VTA neurons that project to posterior anterior cingulate cortex. Interestingly, while N/OFQ inhibited VTA cell bodies that project to the NAc, it had little effect on electrically or optogenetically evoked dopamine released from terminals in the NAc measured *ex vivo* with fast scan cyclic voltammetry. These results extend our understanding of the N/OFQ system in dopaminergic pathways implicated in many neurobehavioral disorders.

Introduction

Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ (N/OFQ) and its receptor (NOP) make up a neuropeptide system de-orphaned in 1995 (Meunier et al. 1995; Reinscheid et al. 1995) that is engaged under conditions of stress (Ciccocioppo et al. 2000; Devine et al. 2001; Fernandez et al. 2004; Green et al. 2007; Green and Devine 2009; Leggett et al. 2006, 2007; Nativio et al. 2012; Nicholson et al. 2002). The NOP is a G-protein coupled 7-transmembrane domain receptor that canonically signals through Gi/o proteins, post-synaptically activating G-protein coupled inward-rectifying potassium channels (GIRKs), or pre-synaptically reducing probability of neurotransmitter release via inhibition of N-type calcium channels (Hawes et al. 2000; Knoflach et al. 1996; New and Wong 2002; Vaughan and Christie 1996). While amino acid sequence homology has led some to categorize the NOP as an opioid receptor (Bunzow et al. 1994; Meunier et al. 1995; Mollereau et al. 1994; Wang et al. 1994), NOP activation is not blocked by naloxone, a non-selective opioid receptor antagonist that was originally used to classify responses as belonging to the opioid family, blocking activation at mu, delta, and kappa opioid receptors (MOPs, DOPs, and KOPs, respectively) (Gintzler et al. 1997; Mogil and Pasternak 2001; Reinscheid et al. 1995, 1996). Furthermore, the endogenous opioid ligands (dynorphins, enkephalins, and endorphins) do not bind to the NOP, and N/OFQ does not bind to the MOP, DOP, or KOP (Ma et al. 1997; Meng et al. 1996; Sim et al. 1996). Because of these distinct pharmacological properties, N/OFQ and the NOP are most appropriately subclassified as non-classical members of the opioid family (Cox et al. 2015; Toll et al. 2016).

N/OFQ and the NOP are highly enriched in the ventral tegmental area (VTA), dorsal striatum, nucleus accumbens (NAc), medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), and central nucleus of the amygdala (Berthele et al. 2003; Neal et al. 1999; Parker et al. 2019). The VTA is the major

source of dopamine to limbic forebrain regions and plays a key role in brain networks that
coordinate motivation and learned appetitive behaviors (Fields et al. 2007). Activity of VTA
dopamine neurons is associated with salience and reward prediction, while destruction of these
neurons results in motivation deficits (Fields et al. 2007; Kim et al. 2012; Mohebi et al. 2019;
Morales and Margolis 2017; Tsai et al. 2009; Ungerstedt 1971; Wise 2005; Witten et al. 2011).
Intracerebroventricular (ICV) injections of N/OFQ produce a decrease in extracellular dopamine
in the dorsal striatum and NAc, and some midbrain putative dopamine cell bodies are inhibited
by NOP activation (Di Giannuario and Pieretti 2000; Lutfy et al. 2001; Murphy et al. 1996;
Murphy and Maidment 1999; Vazquez-DeRose et al. 2013; Zheng et al. 2002).
Dysregulation of the N/OFQ system has been associated with disorders of motivated
responding (Civelli 2008), and the N/OFQ system has been investigated as a novel target for
major depressive disorder and alcohol use disorder (Witkin et al. 2019), however understanding
the involvement of the N/OFQ system in these behaviors remains a challenge. In fact, in some
cases, activation and inhibition of NOPs paradoxically produce the same behavioral outcomes,
such as with alcohol consumption (Ciccocioppo et al. 2014; Kuzmin et al. 2007; Rorick-Kehn et
al. 2016) and anxiety-related behaviors (Dautzenberg et al. 2001; Fernandez et al. 2004; Gavioli
et al. 2002; Green et al. 2007; Jenck et al. 1997; Kamei et al. 2004; Varty et al. 2008; Vitale et
al. 2006). Such observations may be explained by off-target effects of N/OFQ, activation of
N/OFQ sensitive neural circuits that compete for behavioral control, or receptor desensitization.
Here we investigated the basic physiology of N/OFQ responses in VTA neurons to better
characterize how N/OFQ may contribute to reward processing. To confirm that our
physiological responses to N/OFQ were due to NOP activation we utilized the selective NOP
antagonist BTRX-246040 (Toledo et al. 2014) to block N/OFQ responses. We observed similar
N/OFQ effects on both dopamine and non-dopamine VTA neurons, and provide
neurophysiological evidence that moderate doses of N/OFQ cause functional desensitization of
the NOP, preventing responding to subsequent agonist application. Importantly, we found that
responses to N/OFQ differ depending upon the projection target of the VTA neuron.
Furthermore, although NAc-projecting cell bodies were inhibited by NOP activation, N/OFQ did
not inhibit dopamine release at their terminals in the NAc. In addition, N/OFQ induced small
inward currents in the VTA neurons that project to posterior anterior cingulate cortex (pACC).
Each of these actions must be considered to understand the biological relevance of the
nociceptin/NOP system and to guide further research.
77
Materials and Methods
Electrophysiology: Male Sprague Dawley rats, p22 – p36, were anesthetized with
isoflurane, and brains were removed. Horizontal brain slices (150 μm thick) containing the VTA
were prepared using a Vibratome (Leica Instruments, Nussloch, Germany). Slices were
submerged in Ringer's solution containing (in mM): 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.3 MgSO$_4$, 1.0
NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 2.5 CaCl$_2$, 26.2 NaHCO$_3$, and 11 glucose saturated with 95% O$_2$–5% CO$_2$ and
allowed to recover at 35°C for at least 1 hr before recordings were initiated.
Individual slices were visualized under an Olympus BX50WI microscope (Olympus Life
Science Solutions, Waltham, Massachusetts) with differential interference contrast optics and
near infrared illumination, using an Andor xIon+ camera, and Andor Solis imaging software
(Andor Technology Ltd, Belfast, Northern Ireland). Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were

made at 33°C using 2.5– 4M pipettes containing (in mM): 123 K-gluconate, 10 HEPES, 0.2
EGTA, 8 NaCl, 2 MgATP, and 0.3 Na$_3$GTP, pH 7.2, osmolarity adjusted to 275 mOsm. Biocytin
(0.1%) was added to the internal solution for post hoc identification.
Recordings were made using an Axopatch 1-D (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA),
filtered at 2 kHz, and collected at 20 kHz using IGOR Pro (Wavemetrics, Lake Oswego, OR).
Liquid junction potentials were not corrected during recordings. Hyperpolarization-activated
cation currents (I_h) were recorded by voltage clamping cells and stepping from -60 to -40, -50, -
70, -80, -90, -100, -110, and - 120 mV. The I_h magnitude was measured as the difference
between the initial response to the voltage step after the capacitive peak and the final current
response.
Pharmacology experiments were completed in voltage-clamp mode (V = -60 mV) to
measure changes in membrane current. Series resistance was monitored online by measuring
the peak of the capacitance transient in response to a −4 mV voltage step applied at the onset
of each sweep. Input resistance was measured using the steady state response to the same
voltage step. Upon breaking into the cell, at least 10 min was allowed for the cell to stabilize
and for the pipette internal solution to dialyze into the cell. Drugs were applied via bath perfusion
at a flow rate of 2 mL/min or pressure ejection nearby the recorded cell (within ~200 μm) using a
SmartSquirt micro-perfusion system (AutoMate Scientific, Berkeley, CA). N/OFQ (1 nM to 10
μM) was bath applied (5-7 min) only after a 5-min stable baseline was achieved. To test that
observed N/OFQ-mediated effects were specific to NOP, the selective NOP antagonist BTRX-
246040 (10 or 100 nM) was applied for 10 min prior to N/OFQ.
Stock solutions of drugs were made in advance, stored at -20°C, and diluted into aCSF
immediately before application. N/OFQ was obtained from Tocris (Minneapolis, MN) and diluted
to a 100 μM stock solution in ddH$_2$0. Stock BTRX-246040 was obtained from BlackThorn
Therapeutics and diluted in DMSO (10 mM).
Retrograde Tracer Injections: Male Sprague Dawley rats, 21–100 d old, were
anesthetized with isoflurane. A glass pipette (30- to 50-μm tip) connected to a Nanoject
II/Nanoliter 2000 microinjector (Drummond Scientific Co.) was stereotaxically placed in the
mPFC (from bregma [in mm]: anteroposterior [AP], +2.6; mediolateral [ML], ±0.8; ventral [DV],
−4.0 from skull surface), the pACC (AP, -1.6; ML, ± 0.6; V, −3.5), or the NAc (AP, +1.5; ML, ±
0.8; V, −6.7). Neuro-Dil (7% in ethanol; Biotium) was slowly injected, 50.6 nL per side. Animals
were allowed to recover for 5 to 7 days while the retrograde tracer transported back to the cell
bodies. On the day of recording, the experimenter was blinded to the location of retrograde
tracer injection (mPFC, pACC, or NAc) and slices were prepared as above. Projection neurons
were chosen by selecting cells observed as labeled using epiflorescent illumination. All injection
sites were histologically confirmed by a third party blinded to the results to avoid bias. N/OFQ
responses were analyzed prior to unblinding. Animals with improper injection placements or
significant diffusion outside of the target region were rejected.
Immunohistochemistry: Slices were pre-blocked for 2 h at room temperature in PBS with
0.2% BSA and 5% normal goat serum, then incubated at 4°C with a rabbit anti-TH polyclonal
antibody (1:100). Slices were then washed thoroughly in PBS with 0.2% BSA before being
agitated overnight at 4°C with Cy5 anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:100) and FITC streptavidin
(6.5 μL/mL). Sections were rinsed and mounted on slides using Bio-Rad Fluoroguard Antifade
Reagent mounting media and visualized with an Axioskop FS2 Plus microscope with an

Axiocam MRm running Neurolucida (MBF Biosciences). Neurons were only considered TH(−) if there was no colocalization of biocytin with TH signal and the biocytin soma was in the same focal plane as other TH(+) cell bodies. Primary antibodies were obtained from Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents or Millipore, secondary antibodies were obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, and all other reagents were obtained from Sigma Chemical.

Fast Scan Cyclic Voltammetry: Male Sprague Dawley rats, 21–26 d old, or *Th::Cre* transgenic rats (Witten et al. 2011), 46-51 d old at the time of virus injection, were used in these studies. Th::Cre rats were injected with the Cre dependent ChR2 expressing virus (AAV2-Ef1a-DIO-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry, titer 5.1×10^{12} viral particles/mL, UPenn viral core) bilaterally into the VTA 500 nL per side (AP, −5.3; ML, ± 0.4; DV, −8.2 mm from bregma). Five weeks later, coronal slices (400 μm) containing the NAc were prepared for voltammetry measurements. The use of Cre dependent ChR2 expression allowed selective optical control of VTA dopamine terminals in the NAc.

Extracellular dopamine release was achieved using either electrical (in wild-type Sprague Dawley rats) or 488 nm light (in Th::Cre rats) stimulation. Stimulation parameters were the same for both electrical and optical stimulation (10 Hz, 2 pulses, 4 ms). Electrochemical recordings were made using carbon fiber electrodes fabricated from T-650 carbon fiber (7 μm diameter, gift from Dr. Leslie Sombers (NCSU)) that was aspirated into a borosilicate glass capillary (0.6 × 0.4 mm or 1.0 × 0.5 mm diameter, King Precision Glass Inc., Claremont, CA) and pulled using a PE-22 puller (Narishige, Tokyo, Japan). Carbon fiber electrodes were positioned 80 μm into the tissue either between the bipolar tips of the stimulating electrode or directly in front of an optical fiber connected to an LED emitting 488 nm light (7-10 mW). The potential of the carbon fiber electrode was held at -0.4 V relative to the Ag/AgCl reference electrode. A triangle wave form was passed through the carbon fiber driving the potential from -0.4 V to +1.3 V and back to -0.4 V at a rate of 400 V/s, at 60 Hz for conditioning and 10 Hz for data collection. Data were collected with a WaveNeuro FSCV potentiostat (Pine Research, Durham, NC) using HDCV acquisition software package (freely available through UNC Department of Chemistry). HDCV Acquisition Software was used to output the electrochemical waveform and for signal processing (background subtraction, signal averaging, and digital filtering (4-pole Bessel filter, 2.5 kHz)). Dopamine release was stimulated at 2 min intervals for electrical stimulation and 3 min intervals for optical stimulation to minimize rundown of the dopamine release signal. Mean background currents from 1 sec of data prior to stimulation were removed by subtraction of cyclic voltammograms for each trial.

Data Analysis: For electrophysiology, effects of N/OFQ were statistically evaluated in each neuron by binning data into 30 s data points and comparing the last eight baseline binned points to the last eight binned points drug application using Student's unpaired *t* test. $P < 0.05$ was required for significance in all analyses. Violin plots were constructed by calculating the kernal density estimate, made using a Scott estimator for the kernal bandwidth estimation. The kernel size was determined by multiplying the Scott bandwidth factor by the standard deviation of the data within each bin. Each individual violin plot was normalized to have an equal area under the curve. Time course figures are averages of the binned current traces for all cells time locked to the start of drug application. Results are presented as mean and standard error of the mean (SEM). Differences between neuron populations were tested using two-tailed permutation analyses unless otherwise indicated.

Results

N/OFQ effects on holding current in VTA dopamine and non-dopamine neurons

To test the postsynaptic responses of VTA neurons to N/OFQ, we made *ex vivo* whole cell voltage clamp recordings (V_m = -60 mV). N/OFQ application changed the holding current in 71% (60/85) of neurons tested in the VTA (10 nM; Fig. 1A,B). The majority of responses were relatively small outward currents (73% of responsive neurons, 44/60; 54% of all neurons tested, 44/85; mean response = 15 $\pm$ 2 pA; Fig. 1D), consistent with the opening of inhibitory GIRK channels. Using post-hoc immunocytochemistry, we analyzed TH content in each recovered neuron and found that N/OFQ inhibited both confirmed dopamine and non-dopamine neurons in similar proportions (of 44 inhibited neurons, 23 were identified: TH(+): 10/23; TH(-): 13/23). The magnitudes of responses were also similar between confirmed dopamine and non-dopamine neurons (TH(+): 12 $\pm$ 2 pA (n = 10); TH(-): 10 $\pm$ 2 pA (n = 13); Fig. 1C). The EC_{50} for these outward currents is in the low nM range (8 $\pm$ 6 nM; Fig. 1E).

Figure 1: N/OFQ induced outward currents in a subset of VTA neurons. A: Example voltage clamp recording ($V_{holding}$ = -60 mV) of a VTA neuron that responded to N/OFQ with an outward current. B: Across recordings in control rats (46/86), the majority of VTA neurons responded to 10 nM N/OFQ application. Approximately 2/3s of these responses were outward currents. C: A subset of recorded neurons were recovered following whole cell recording and immunocytochemically identified for TH content, a marker for dopamine neurons. Outward currents of similar magnitudes were observed in TH(+) and TH(-) neurons. D: The time courses and maximal effects of bath application of 10 nM and 100 nM N/OFQ were similar. E: Concentration response relationship for VTA neurons showing a positive change, both significant and not significant, in holding current with N/OFQ application (grey dots include all neurons with a change > 0 pA; median shown in white dots; black bars show 25 and 75 percentiles; 1 nM: n = 1/6; 10 nM: n = 55/80; 100 nM: n = 20/25; 1 μM: n = 7/7; 10 μM: n = 3/3).

To confirm responses were due to activation of the NOP and were not off-target effects, we tested whether these inhibitions were blocked by the selective NOP antagonist BTRX-246040. In neurons responding to N/OFQ with an outward current (10 nM mean response = 14

194   ± 3 pA) BTRX-246040 (100 nM) was applied for 10 min followed by N/OFQ application again.
195   BTRX-246040 consistently blocked N/OFQ-induced outward currents (baseline N/OFQ
196   response: 14 ± 3 pA; N/OFQ response in BTRX-246040: -1 ± 2 pA; n = 15; p<0.05; Fig. 2).

Figure 2: BTRX-246040 consistently blocks N/OFQ induced currents. A: Example recording of a N/OFQ (10 nM) responsive neuron where the selective NOP antagonist BTRX-246040 (100 nM) blocked the response to a subsequent N/OFQ application. B: BTRX-246040 blocked N/OFQ responses across VTA neurons, including both TH(+) and TH(-) neurons (n = 6 and 3, respectively; n = 6 no TH data; mean ± SEM in black). **P < 0.01.

197
We also observed a subpopulation of neurons that responded to N/OFQ application with
a small inward current, consistent with an excitatory effect (10 nM mean response = -16 ± 6 pA)
(Fig. 3A,B). Inward currents were observed in approximately 27% (16/60) of the neurons that
were responsive to N/OFQ (1-100 nM) and 19% of all tested VTA neurons (16/85) (Fig. 3C,D).
Among neurons responding to N/OFQ with an inward current and immunocytochemically
identified, 4/12 were TH(+) and 8/12 were TH(-) (Fig. 3E). These N/OFQ evoked excitatory
responses were only observed at low concentrations (< 100 nM; Fig. 3D); at higher
concentrations inhibitory outward currents were observed (Fig. 1E, 3D).
206
Concentration dependent desensitization of NOP
Given the inconsistencies in the reports of behavioral effects of NOP agonists and
antagonists, we tested whether N/OFQ causes rapid NOP desensitization at moderate doses.
We observed a concentration-dependent diminished response to a second application of
N/OFQ when the first application of N/OFQ was ≥ 100 nM (n = 12; *p* = 0.00003; Fig. 4A,B). This
is consistent with NOP desensitization, and observed in both TH(+) and TH(-) neurons (Fig. 4B).
In contrast, following administration of 10 nM N/OFQ, no difference in response was observed
between the first and second applications (n = 10; *p* = 0.13; Fig. 4C,D). Therefore, the
remaining experiments were conducted using a concentration of 10 nM N/OFQ to avoid
confounds from desensitization.
217
N/OFQ effects on VTA neurons vary with projection target
As described above, we observed heterogeneity in responses of VTA neurons to
N/OFQ. Given that other pharmacological responses of VTA neurons, including to kappa opioid
receptor activation (Ford et al. 2006; Margolis et al. 2006) vary with projection target, we
investigated whether the N/OFQ responses would be more consistent within subpopulations of
VTA neurons that share a projection target. Accordingly, we recorded N/OFQ (10 nM)
responses in VTA neurons that were retrogradely labeled by tracer injections into mPFC, pACC,
or medial NAc (Fig. 5A,B). Recordings were conducted with the investigator blinded to the
injection site.
The majority of mPFC-projecting VTA neurons, 67% (8/12), were significantly inhibited
by N/OFQ (Fig. 5C), responding with an outward current (11 ± 3 pA; Fig. 5D). No excitations

Figure 3: Low dose N/OFQ induced small inward currents in a subset of VTA neurons. A: Example voltage clamp recording ($V_{holding}$ = -60 mV) of a VTA neuron that responded to N/OFQ with an inward current. B: The mean $\pm$ SEM time course across neurons with inward currents shows the onset of this response is time locked to the initiation of drug application (n = 13). C: Across all VTA neurons from control rats that were tested for 10 nM N/OFQ responses, 19% responded with a significant inward current. D: Grey dots indicate each neuron showing a positive change, both significant and not significant, in holding current with N/OFQ application (grey dots include all neurons with a change < 0 pA; median shown in white dots; black bars show 25 and 75 percentiles; 1 nM: n = 5/6; 10 nM: n = 25/80; 100 nM: n = 5/25; 1 μM: n = 0/7; 10 μM: n = 0/3) Significant inward currents were observed at 10 nM, while higher concentrations only generated outward currents (see Fig. 1E). E: Inward currents were observed in both immunocytochemically identified TH(+) and TH(-) neurons.

229   were observed in mPFC-projecting neurons. Five mPFC-projecting neurons were recovered and
230   processed for TH immunoreactivity; two were TH(+), and 3 were TH(-); all of these responded to
231   N/OFQ with an outward current (Fig. 5D).
232
VTA projections to different cortical targets, including the pACC, arise from largely
different VTA neurons (Chandler et al. 2013). The pACC-projecting neurons are concentrated in
different parts of the VTA, and fewer of them are dopaminergic compared to the projection to
mPFC (Breton et al. 2019). Interestingly, many of the VTA neurons comprising this projection
responded to N/OFQ with an inward current (4/6 inward current, -24 $\pm$ 12 pA, 1/6 outward
current; Fig. 5C,D). These N/OFQ excited, pACC-projecting VTA neurons included both TH(+)
and TH(-) cells (Fig. 5D).
Half of NAc-projecting VTA neurons (7/14) responded to N/OFQ with outward currents (9
$\pm$ 1 pA; Fig. 5C,D). No excitations were observed in this projection. Of the 7 NAc-projecting
neurons that responded to N/OFQ, 2 were confirmed TH(+) and 3 were TH(-) (Fig. 5D).
Together, these data indicate that similar N/OFQ inhibitory effects occur in VTA neurons that
project to mPFC and NAc, but these effects are opposed to those on VTA projections to pACC,
some of which responded to N/OFQ with an inward current.
246

Figure 4: Moderate doses of N/OFQ cause functional desensitization in VTA neurons. A: Example voltage clamp recording ($V_{holding}$ = -60 mV) where 100 nM is sufficient to prevent a subsequent response to 1 μM application of N/OFQ. B: A summary across VTA neurons where the first N/OFQ application was ≥ 100 nM, the response to the second application was consistently smaller (p = 0.00003), in both TH(+) and TH(-) neurons (n = 2 and 5, respectively; n = 5 no TH data). C: Example voltage clamp recording ($V_{holding}$ = -60 mV) showing that 10 nM N/OFQ does not impair responses to subsequent N/OFQ application. In the same cell, 100 nM did prevent additional responding. D: Summary across VTA neurons shows similar magnitudes of responses to the second application of N/OFQ when the first application was 10 nM (p = 0.13; TH(+) n = 4, TH(-) n = 2, TH no data n = 2).

Figure 5: N/OFQ effects in VTA neurons vary with projection target. A: Cartoon showing the experimental approach: 7 days prior to recording, the retrograde tracer DiI was stereotaxically injected into mPFC, pACC, or medial NAc. DiI neurons were identified during whole cell recordings (inset). B: Example image of a neuron filled with biocytin during recording (color), the retrograde tracer (red) and was immunocytochemically identified as TH(+) (green). (fill in after pACC data added) C: The overall percentage of neurons that responded to N/OFQ was greatest among pACC-projecting neurons and lowest among NAc-projecting neurons. D: Graph of magnitudes of significant N/OFQ responses, showing that only pACC-projecting neurons respond to N/OFQ with an inward current.

N/OFQ has little effect on terminal dopamine release in the NAc

ICV or intra-VTA N/OFQ decreases dopamine levels in the NAc (Murphy et al. 1996;

Murphy and Maidment 1999). Consistent with this result, we found that N/OFQ directly inhibits

a subset of the VTA dopamine NAc-projecting somata. N/OFQ may also inhibit dopamine
release in the NAc at the terminals; to test if NOPs on dopamine terminals in the NAc also
contribute to an N/OFQ-induced decrease in NAc dopamine levels, we used FSCV to detect
changes in stimulated dopamine release in NAc slices (Fig. 6A). In tissue from control SD rats,
we stimulated dopamine release with a bipolar electrode. To limit stimulation to dopaminergic
axons, we expressed ChR2 in Th::Cre rats and stimulated with 488 nm light pulses. N/OFQ (10
nM or 100 nM) had a marginal effect on evoked dopamine release in the NAc (10 nM N/OFQ
effect on electrically evoked dopamine release: $90 \pm 4\%$ of baseline, n = 6; 100 nM N/OFQ
effect on electrically evoked dopamine release: $94 \pm 8\%$ of baseline in N/OFQ, n = 7; 100 nM
N/OFQ effect on optically evoked dopamine release: $77 \pm 5\%$ of baseline in N/OFQ, n = 3; Fig.
6B). As a positive control, we applied the selective kappa opioid receptor agonist U69593 (1
μM), previously shown to inhibit dopamine release in the NAc (Di Chiara and Imperato 1988a;
Ebner et al. 2010; Karkhanis et al. 2016; Spanagel et al. 1992; Werling et al. 1988), at the end
of each experiment, after N/OFQ. U69593 caused a substantial decrease in stimulated
dopamine release (electrical: $66 \pm 15\%$ of baseline (in N/OFQ), n = 10, and optical: $52 \pm 3\%$ of
baseline (in N/OFQ), n = 3, respectively; Fig. 6B). Therefore, the NOP modulation of this
dopaminergic circuit is predominantly in the somadendritic region and the terminals in the NAc
are relatively insensitive to NOP activation. These results contrast with the kappa opioid control
of these neurons, which strongly inhibits release but does not directly hyperpolarize the cell
bodies of these neurons (Fig. 6C).
270
Discussion
The results presented here demonstrate that N/OFQ affects both dopaminergic and non-
dopaminergic VTA neurons, through activation of the NOP, and primarily causes inhibitory
outward currents. These data are consistent with an early report by Zheng et al. 2002, who
showed N/OFQ inhibited putative dopamine and putative GABA neurons in midbrain slice
recordings. In a small population of neurons, low concentrations of N/OFQ induced an inward
current consistent with excitation. N/OFQ effects in these neurons were blocked by the NOP
selective antagonist BTRX-246040, confirming its action at NOP. Importantly, neuronal
responses to N/OFQ desensitized at concentrations $\geq$ 100 nM. In addition to providing a basic
characterization of the range of postsynaptic N/OFQ responses in VTA neurons, we
demonstrated differential responding of subsets of VTA neurons to NOP activation related to
projection target: mPFC-projecting and NAc-projecting VTA neurons responded to N/OFQ with
outward currents (inhibitory), while pACC-projecting VTA neurons responded with mostly inward
currents (excitatory). Within the dopaminergic projection to the NAc, N/OFQ caused outward
currents at the somatodendritic region of these neurons, and release at the terminals was not
inhibited by NOP activation. Together, these data show that N/OFQ effects in VTA neurons
differ depending upon their projection target, and that at higher concentrations of N/OFQ only
inhibitions are observed, followed by desensitization of NOP function.
Unexpectedly, a small population of neurons in the VTA, both TH(+) and TH(-),
responded to low concentrations of N/OFQ with an inward current, consistent with excitation.
This finding presents a novel mechanism by which N/OFQ could selectively activate specific
VTA circuits, while inhibiting the majority of VTA outputs. This effect was only observed in VTA
neurons projecting to the pACC, but not those projecting to the NAc or mPFC, consistent with

Figure 6: N/OFQ does not inhibit dopamine release at NAc terminals. We used FSCV in acute, coronal slices containing the NAc to test for N/OFQ effects on terminal release of dopamine. Dopamine release was evoked in slices from control rats with bipolar electrodes locally in the NAc. Recordings were made on the NAc shell-core border. Alternatively, to limit stimulation to dopamine fibers, Th::Cre rats were injected with (AAV2-Ef1a-DIO-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry) in the VTA at least 4 weeks prior to recordings, during which 488 nm light pulses were used to stimulate dopamine release. A: Example color plots of FSCV measurement of electrically evoked dopamine release. Inset: background subtracted cyclic voltammogram at peak of putative dopamine release. B: Across slices, 10 and 100 nM N/OFQ had minimal effects on either electrically or light evoked dopamine release in the NAc. In contrast, the kappa opioid receptor agonist U69593 (1 μM) effectively inhibited evoked dopamine release in the same recording slices. White dots represent median values. C: Summary diagram shows the contrast between NOP and KOP function in NAc-projecting VTA dopamine neurons. While NOP activation inhibits the somatodendritic compartment only, KOP induced inhibition is limited to dopaminergic axon terminals in these neurons. Further, NOP activation inhibits NAc-projecting non-dopaminergic VTA cell bodies, which are insensitive to KOP activation.

this circuit-selection proposition. The fact that this effect was only observed at low doses
indicates that very robust N/OFQ release into the VTA, on the other hand, would likely have a
broad inhibitory effect on the vast majority of VTA neurons, regardless of circuit. While the
canonical response of NOPs is inhibitory through activation of Gi/o proteins, there is a growing
literature suggesting that classic opioid receptors can signal through a variety of mechanisms,
and these phenomena may encompass the NOP as well. Activation of postsynaptic mu or delta
opioid receptors results in a $Ca_v2.1$ channel-mediated depolarization in subsets of VTA neurons
(Margolis et al. 2014, 2017). Further, the mu opioid receptor agonist DAMGO increases $Ca_v2.1$
currents in cerebellar Purkinje neurons (Iegorova et al. 2010) and morphine activates adenylyl
cyclase in the corpus striatum and olfactory bulb (Onali and Olianas 1991; Puri et al. 1975).
While this is the first report of N/OFQ-mediated excitations in an acute brain slice preparation,
intracellular increases in Ca^{2+} have been observed in a cultured human neuroblastoma cell line
in response to N/OFQ in the presence of the cholinergic agonist carbachol (Connor et al. 1996).
Therefore, while there are few reports of excitatory actions of N/OFQ, the observation is not
unprecedented.
We also found that in neurons treated with a higher concentration of N/OFQ ($\geq$ 100 nM)
no longer responded to subsequent applications of N/OFQ. This finding indicates that in the
VTA, N/OFQ may act as a functional antagonist at NOP by desensitizing these responses when
higher concentrations of N/OFQ are present. This is different from postsynaptic responses to

agonists at the mu and delta opioid receptor in VTA neurons, where repeated application of
saturating doses of selective agonists generate responses of similar magnitudes (Margolis et al.
2014, 2017). The apparent NOP desensitization observed here is consistent with previous
studies showing that high concentrations or repeated sustained exposure to NOP agonists
causes desensitization in cell culture (Connor et al. 1996; Mandyam et al. 2000, 2002; Thakker
and Standifer 2002). In addition, NOPs internalize fairly rapidly (Corbani et al. 2004;
Spampinato et al. 2001, 2002; Zhang et al. 2012) at the same concentrations that we observed
desensitization. *In vivo*, N/OFQ administration can result in dose dependent performance
changes in behavioral spatial memory, locomotor, and anxiety tasks, with low concentration
N/OFQ having the opposite effects compared to high doses (Florin et al. 1996; Jenck et al.
1997; Sandin et al. 2004). One possible explanation for these opposing behavioral outcomes is
that N/OFQ may be acting as an agonist at low concentrations and a functional antagonist at
high concentrations.
N/OFQ inhibited both dopamine and non-dopamine neurons in the VTA that project to
the NAc. This finding is consistent with the observation that N/OFQ administered ICV or into the
VTA results in a decrease in extracellular dopamine in the NAc (Murphy et al. 1996; Murphy and
Maidment 1999). A prominent proposal in the literature is that a decrease in NAc dopamine
produces aversion (McCutcheon et al. 2012). Therefore, one would expect ICV injection of
N/OFQ to be aversive. However, this manipulation generates no response in the place
conditioning paradigm (Devine et al. 1996). On the other hand, optogenetic or chemogenetic
stimulation of N/OFQ containing inputs to the VTA can be aversive and decrease reward
seeking (Parker et al. 2019). One possible explanation for this lack of clear motivational effect is
the combination of inhibition of both dopamine and non-dopamine neurons: dopamine and non-
dopamine neurons originating in the VTA synapse onto different types of neurons in the NAc,
therefore affecting behavior in different ways. For instance, VTA glutamate neurons synapse
onto parvalbumin containing interneurons in the NAc and optogenetic activation of these NAc-
projecting glutamate neurons is aversive (Qi et al. 2016). Activation of NAc-projecting VTA
GABA neurons causes a pause in cholinergic interneuron activity (Brown et al. 2012). These
neurons modulate associative learning but are insufficient to drive preference or aversion
independently (Collins et al. 2019). N/OFQ inhibition of dopamine, GABA, and glutamate
neurons projecting to the NAc, therefore, may result in no net hedonic value and a lack of
preference in a place preference paradigm. Further, various reports indicate that decreasing
activity at dopamine receptors in the NAc with microinjections of antagonists does not produce
aversion (Baker et al. 1996, 1998; Fenu et al. 2006; Josselyn and Beninger 1993; Laviolette and
van der Kooy 2003; Morutto and Phillips 1998; Spina et al. 2006) but see (Shippenberg et al.
1991), and aversive outcomes can even be observed following manipulations that increase
dopamine levels in the NAc (Devine et al. 1993b; Shippenberg and Bals-Kubik 1995). Add to
this the N/OFQ effects on other circuits following ICV injection, including other VTA neurons,
and the possibility that the most robust, long lasting effect is receptor desensitization at higher
doses of agonist, together making it potentially less surprising that ICV N/OFQ was not reported
to generate aversion.
N/OFQ's effect on the VTA to NAc circuit provides an interesting point of comparison for
how the NOP may be functionally distinct from the structurally related KOP. *In vivo*, systemic or
ICV administration of N/OFQ or a KOP agonist each causes a decrease in extracellular

dopamine in the NAc (Devine et al. 1993a; Di Chiara and Imperato 1988b; Murphy et al. 1996;
Murphy and Maidment 1999). However, these two receptors function very differently in the
dopamine neurons that project to the NAc. We show here that N/OFQ inhibits VTA cell bodies
that project to the NAc, but has little effect on the dopamine terminals within the NAc. Kappa
opioid receptor activation, on the other hand, has no effect on the cell bodies of NAc-projecting
VTA dopamine neurons, but strongly inhibits dopamine release at the terminals in the NAc (Britt
and McGehee 2008; Margolis et al. 2006) (Fig. 5C). One implication for this organization is that
whether or not the respective endogenous peptides, N/OFQ and dynorphin, affect NAc-
projecting dopamine neurons will depend upon the brain region of peptide release. There is
also evidence for dopamine release in the NAc that is independent of action potential firing in
midbrain dopamine neurons (Cachope et al. 2012; Mohebi et al. 2019). In this organization of
differential receptor effects localized to somadendritic regions vs terminals, dynorphin has
control over this terminal activity while N/OFQ does not. Together these observations bring into
focus the critical importance of understanding precisely where receptors are functional in brain
circuits and their specific actions at each site.
We found opposing effects of N/OFQ on the VTA projections to mPFC and pACC, which
may contribute to the reported N/OFQ impact on behavioral measures associated with cortical
dopamine function such as working memory, learning, and behavioral flexibility (Gonzalez et al.
2014; Huang et al. 2018; Ott and Nieder 2019; Puig et al. 2014; Tzschentke 2001; Winter et al.
2009). Our results also show that the non-dopamine VTA projection to cortical regions are
affected by N/OFQ as well; while the majority of the VTA neurons that project to these cortical
regions are not dopaminergic (Breton et al. 2019), little is currently known regarding their
contribution to behavior. Preclinical studies show that ICV administration of N/OFQ impairs
working memory (Hiramatsu and Inoue 1999) and associative learning and memory (Goeldner
et al. 2009), and blocking NOP with an antagonist or genetic knockout enhances both working
memory and learning (Jinsmaa et al. 2000; Nagai et al. 2007; Noda et al. 1999). How and why
such an ongoing break on learning and memory by N/OFQ contributes to normal behavioral
adaptation, and whether dopamine or other VTA outputs play a role, remains to be determined.
One provocative possibility is that it is this degradation of working memory function that is the
primary mechanism underlying the lack of place conditioning in response to central N/OFQ,
rather than that this treatment is affectively neutral. This interpretation is consistent with work
showing that N/OFQ blocks opioid induced conditioned place preference yet has no effect on
opioid self-administration as well (Sakoori and Murphy 2004; Walker et al. 1998).
The results of this study extend our understanding of the NOP system biology and
provide considerations for additional investigation into NOP function within limbic circuits.
These findings clarify that strong NOP desensitization occurs in neurons at moderate
concentrations of the endogenous agonist N/OFQ. Importantly, not only does the nature of the
NOP response vary with the projection target of VTA neurons, but the NOP function is largely
sequestered to the somatodendritic compartment of VTA dopamine neurons that project to the
NAc, demonstrating two different kinds of circuit level organization of this receptor system.
Building on this groundwork, future studies of these VTA circuits during different behavioral
states and tasks related to motivation and cognition will help to elucidate the differences
between the normal and dysfunctional NOP-N/OFQ system, improving the potential for
therapeutic targeting.

Acknowledgements
We would like to thank Benjamin J. Snyder, Kasra Mansourian, and Venkat Ganesh for their technical assistance. We also thank Howard L. Fields for helpful comments on the manuscript.

Conflict of interest
Yes, TLW and WJM are employees of and shareholders in BlackThorn Therapeutics, which is evaluating BTRX-246040 for the treatment of neurobehavioral disorders.

Funding
This work was supported through a sponsored research agreement from BlackThorn Therapeutics, Inc. to the University of California, San Francisco.

References

Baker DA, **Fuchs RA**, **Specio SE**, **Khroyan TV**, **Neisewander JL**. Effects of intraaccumbens administration of SCH-23390 on cocaine-induced locomotion and conditioned place preference. *Synapse* 30: 181–93, 1998.

Baker DA, **Khroyan TV**, **O'Dell LE**, **Fuchs RA**, **Neisewander JL**. Differential effects of intra-accumbens sulpiride on cocaine-induced locomotion and conditioned place preference. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 279: 392–401, 1996.

Berthele A, **Platzer S**, **Dworzak D**, **Schadrack J**, **Mahal B**, **Büttner A**, **Aßmus HP**, **Wurster K**, **Zieglgänsberger W**, **Conrad B**, **Tölle TR**. [3h]-nociceptin ligand-binding and nociceptin opioid receptor mrna expression in the human brain. *Neuroscience* 121: 629–640, 2003.

Breton JM, **Charbit AR**, **Snyder BJ**, **Fong PTK**, **Dias EV**, **Himmels P**, **Lock H**, **Margolis EB**. Relative contributions and mapping of ventral tegmental area dopamine and GABA neurons by projection target in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 527: 916–941, 2019.

Britt JP, **McGehee DS**. Presynaptic opioid and nicotinic receptor modulation of dopamine overflow in the nucleus accumbens. *J Neurosci* 28: 1672–1681, 2008.

Brown MT, **Tan KR**, **O'Connor EC**, **Nikonenko I**, **Muller D**, **Lüscher C**. Ventral tegmental area GABA projections pause accumbal cholinergic interneurons to enhance associative learning. *Nature* 492: 452–6, 2012.

Bunzow JR, **Saez C**, **Mortrud M**, **Bouvier C**, **Williams JT**, **Low M**, **Grandy DK**. Molecular cloning and tissue distribution of a putative member of the rat opioid receptor gene family that is not a μ, δ or κ opioid receptor type. *FEBS Letters* 347: 284–288, 1994.

Cachope R, **Mateo Y**, **Mathur BN**, **Irving J**, **Wang HL**, **Morales M**, **Lovinger DM**, **Cheer JF**.
Selective activation of cholinergic interneurons enhances accumbal phasic dopamine release:
setting the tone for reward processing. *Cell Rep* 2: 33–41, 2012.

Chandler DJ, **Lamperski CS**, **Waterhouse BD**. Identification and distribution of projections from
monoaminergic and cholinergic nuclei to functionally differentiated subregions of prefrontal
cortex. *Brain Res* 1522: 38–58, 2013.

Ciccocioppo R, **Angeletti S**, **Panocka I**, **Massi M**. Nociceptin/orphanin FQ and drugs of abuse.
Peptides 21: 1071–1080, 2000.

Ciccocioppo R, **Stopponi S**, **Economidou D**, **Kuriyama M**, **Kinoshita H**, **Heilig M**, **Roberto M**,
Weiss F, **Teshima K**. Chronic treatment with novel brain-penetrating selective NOP receptor
agonist MT-7716 reduces alcohol drinking and seeking in the rat. *Neuropsychopharmacology*
39: 2601–2610, 2014.

Civelli O. The Orphanin FQ/Nociceptin (OFQ/N) System. In: *Orphan G Protein-Coupled Receptors*
and Novel Neuropeptides, edited by Civelli O, Zhou Q-Y. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, p. 1–25.

Collins AL, **Aitken TJ**, **Huang I-W**, **Shieh C**, **Greenfield VY**, **Monbouquette HG**, **Ostlund SB**,
Wassum KM. Nucleus Accumbens Cholinergic Interneurons Oppose Cue-Motivated Behavior.
Biol Psychiatry , 2019. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.02.014.

Connor M, **Yeo A**, **Henderson G**. The effect of nociceptin on Ca2+ channel current and
intracellular Ca2+ in the SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cell line. *Br J Pharmacol* 118: 205–207,
1996.

Corbani M, **Gonindard C**, **Meunier J-C**. Ligand-Regulated Internalization of the Opioid Receptor-
Like 1: A Confocal Study. *Endocrinology* 145: 2876–2885, 2004.

Cox BM, **Christie MJ**, **Devi L**, **Toll L**, **Traynor JR**. Challenges for opioid receptor nomenclature:
IUPHAR Review 9. *Br J Pharmacol* 172: 317–323, 2015.

Dautzenberg FM, **Wichmann J**, **Higelin J**, **Py-Lang G**, **Kratzeisen C**, **Malherbe P**, **Kilpatrick GJ**,
Jenck F. Pharmacological Characterization of the Novel Nonpeptide Orphanin FQ/Nociceptin
Receptor Agonist Ro 64-6198: Rapid and Reversible Desensitization of the ORL1 Receptor in
Vitro and Lack of Tolerance in Vivo. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 298: 812–819, 2001.

Devine DP, **Leone P**, **Pocock D**, **Wise RA**. Differential involvement of ventral tegmental mu,
delta and kappa opioid receptors in modulation of basal mesolimbic dopamine release: in vivo
microdialysis studies. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 266: 1236–46, 1993a.

Devine DP, **Leone P**, **Wise RA**. Mesolimbic dopamine neurotransmission is increased by
administration of mu-opioid receptor antagonists. *Eur J Pharmacol* 243: 55–64, 1993b.

Devine DP, **Reinscheid RK**, **Monsma FJ**, **Civelli O**, **Akil H**. The novel neuropeptide orphanin FQ
fails to produce conditioned place preference or aversion. *Brain Research* 727: 225–229, 1996.

Devine DP, **Watson SJ**, **Akil H**. Nociceptin/orphanin FQ regulates neuroendocrine function of
the limbic–hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis. *Neuroscience* 102: 541–553, 2001.

Di Chiara G, **Imperato A**. Drugs abused by humans preferentially increase synaptic dopamine
concentrations in the mesolimbic system of freely moving rats. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 85:
5274–5278, 1988a.

Di Chiara G, **Imperato A**. Opposite effects of mu and kappa opiate agonists on dopamine
release in the nucleus accumbens and in the dorsal caudate of freely moving rats. *J Pharmacol*
Exp Ther 244: 1067–1080, 1988b.

Di Giannuario A, **Pieretti S**. Nociceptin differentially affects morphine-induced dopamine
release from the nucleus accumbens and nucleus caudate in rats. *Peptides* 21: 1125–1130,
2000.

Ebner SR, **Roitman MF**, **Potter DN**, **Rachlin AB**, **Chartoff EH**. Depressive-like effects of the kappa
opioid receptor agonist salvinorin A are associated with decreased phasic dopamine release in
the nucleus accumbens. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 210: 241–252, 2010.

Fenu S, **Spina L**, **Rivas E**, **Longoni R**, **Di Chiara G**. Morphine-conditioned single-trial place
preference: role of nucleus accumbens shell dopamine receptors in acquisition, but not
expression. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 187: 143–53, 2006.

Fernandez F, **Misilmeri MA**, **Felger JC**, **Devine DP**. Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ Increases Anxiety-
Related Behavior and Circulating Levels of Corticosterone During Neophobic Tests of Anxiety.
Neuropsychopharmacology 29: 59–71, 2004.

Fields HL, **Hjelmstad GO**, **Margolis EB**, **Nicola SM**. Ventral tegmental area neurons in learned
appetitive behavior and positive reinforcement. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 30: 289–316, 2007.

Florin S, **Suaudeau C**, **Meunier J-C**, **Costentin J**. Nociceptin stimulates locomotion and
exploratory behaviour in mice. *European Journal of Pharmacology* 317: 9–13, 1996.

Ford CP, **Mark GP**, **Williams JT**. Properties and opioid inhibition of mesolimbic dopamine
neurons vary according to target location. *J Neurosci* 26: 2788–97, 2006.

Gavioli EC, **Rae GA**, **Calo' G**, **Guerrini R**, **De Lima TCM**. Central injections of nocistatin or its C-
terminal hexapeptide exert anxiogenic-like effect on behaviour of mice in the plus-maze test. *Br*
J Pharmacol 136: 764–772, 2002.

Gintzler AR, **Adapa ID**, **Toll L**, **Medina VM**, **Wang L**. Modulation of enkephalin release by
nociceptin (orphanin FQ). *European Journal of Pharmacology* 325: 29–34, 1997.

Goeldner C, **Reiss D**, **Wichmann J**, **Kieffer BL**, **Ouagazzal A-M**. Activation of nociceptin opioid
peptide (NOP) receptor impairs contextual fear learning in mice through glutamatergic
mechanisms. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 91: 393–401, 2009.

Gonzalez MC, **Kramar CP**, **Tomaiuolo M**, **Katche C**, **Weisstaub N**, **Cammarota M**, **Medina JH**.
Medial prefrontal cortex dopamine controls the persistent storage of aversive memories. *Front*
Behav Neurosci 8, 2014.

Green MK, **Barbieri EV**, **Brown BD**, **Chen K-W**, **Devine DP**. Roles of the bed nucleus of stria
terminalis and of the amygdala in N/OFQ-mediated anxiety and HPA axis activation.
Neuropeptides 41: 399–410, 2007.

Green MK, **Devine DP**. Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ and NOP receptor gene regulation after acute
or repeated social defeat stress. *Neuropeptides* 43: 507–514, 2009.

Hawes BE, **Graziano MP**, **Lambert DG**. Cellular actions of nociceptin: transduction mechanisms.
Peptides 21: 961–967, 2000.

Hiramatsu M, **Inoue K**. Effects of nocistatin on nociceptin-induced impairment of learning and
memory in mice. *Eur J Pharmacol* 367: 151–155, 1999.

Huang S, **Borgland SL**, **Zamponi GW**. Dopaminergic modulation of pain signals in the medial
prefrontal cortex: Challenges and perspectives. *Neuroscience Letters* , 2018.
doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2018.11.043.

Iegorova O, **Fisyunov A**, **Krishtal O**. G-protein-independent modulation of P-type calcium
channels by μ-opioids in Purkinje neurons of rat. *Neurosci Lett* 480: 106–111, 2010.

Jenck F, **Moreau J-L**, **Martin JR**, **Kilpatrick GJ**, **Reinscheid RK**, **Monsma FJ**, **Nothacker H-P**, **Civelli**
O. Orphanin FQ acts as an anxiolytic to attenuate behavioral responses to stress. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci U S A 94: 14854–14858, 1997.

Jinsmaa Y, **Takahashi M**, **Fukunaga H**, **Yoshikawa M**. Retro-nociceptin methylester, a peptide
with analgesic and memory-enhancing activity. *Life Sciences* 67: 3095–3101, 2000.

Josselyn SA, **Beninger RJ**. Neuropeptide Y: intraaccumbens injections produce a place
preference that is blocked by cis-flupenthixol. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 46: 543–52, 1993.

Kamei J, **Matsunawa Y**, **Miyata S**, **Tanaka S**, **Saitoh A**. Effects of nociceptin on the exploratory
behavior of mice in the hole-board test. *European Journal of Pharmacology* 489: 77–87, 2004.

Karkhanis AN, **Rose JH**, **Weiner JL**, **Jones SR**. Early-Life Social Isolation Stress Increases Kappa
Opioid Receptor Responsiveness and Downregulates the Dopamine System.
Neuropsychopharmacology 41: 2263–2274, 2016.

Kim KM, **Baratta MV**, **Yang A**, **Lee D**, **Boyden ES**, **Fiorillo CD**. Optogenetic mimicry of the
transient activation of dopamine neurons by natural reward is sufficient for operant
reinforcement. *PLoS ONE* 7: e33612, 2012.

Knoflach F, **Reinscheid RK**, **Civelli O**, **Kemp JA**. Modulation of Voltage-Gated Calcium Channels
by Orphanin FQ in Freshly Dissociated Hippocampal Neurons. *J Neurosci* 16: 6657–6664, 1996.

Kuzmin A, **Kreek MJ**, **Bakalkin G**, **Liljequist S**. The nociceptin/orphanin FQ receptor agonist Ro
64-6198 reduces alcohol self-administration and prevents relapse-like alcohol drinking.
Neuropsychopharmacology 32: 902–910, 2007.

Laviolette SR, **van der Kooy D**. Blockade of mesolimbic dopamine transmission dramatically
increases sensitivity to the rewarding effects of nicotine in the ventral tegmental area. *Mol*
Psychiatry 8: 50–9, 9, 2003.

Leggett JD, **Harbuz MS**, **Jessop DS**, **Fulford AJ**. The nociceptin receptor antagonist
[Nphe1,Arg14,Lys15]nociceptin/orphanin FQ-NH2 blocks the stimulatory effects of
nociceptin/orphanin FQ on the HPA axis in rats. *Neuroscience* 141: 2051–2057, 2006.

Leggett JD, **Jessop DS**, **Fulford AJ**. The nociceptin/orphanin FQ antagonist UFP-101 differentially
modulates the glucocorticoid response to restraint stress in rats during the peak and nadir
phases of the hypothalamo–pituitary–adrenal axis circadian rhythm. *Neuroscience* 147: 757–
764, 2007.

Lutfy K, **Do T**, **Maidment NT**. Orphanin FQ/nociceptin attenuates motor stimulation and
changes in nucleus accumbens extracellular dopamine induced by cocaine in rats.
Psychopharmacology (Berl) 154: 1–7, 2001.

Ma L, **Cheng Z-J**, **Fan G-H**, **Cai Y-C**, **Jiang L-Z**, **Pei G**. Functional expression, activation and
desensitization of opioid receptor-like receptor ORL1 in neuroblastoma×glioma NG108-15
hybrid cells. *FEBS Letters* 403: 91–94, 1997.

Mandyam CD, **Altememi GF**, **Standifer KM**. β-Funaltrexamine inactivates ORL1 receptors in
BE(2)-C human neuroblastoma cells. *European Journal of Pharmacology* 402: 205–207, 2000.

Mandyam CD, **Thakker DR**, **Christensen JL**, **Standifer KM**. Orphanin FQ/Nociceptin-Mediated
Desensitization of Opioid Receptor-Like 1 Receptor and μ Opioid Receptors Involves Protein
Kinase C: A Molecular Mechanism for Heterologous Cross-Talk. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 302: 502–
509, 2002.

Margolis EB, **Fujita W**, **Devi LA**, **Fields HL**. Two delta opioid receptor subtypes are functional in
single ventral tegmental area neurons, and can interact with the mu opioid receptor.
Neuropharmacology 123: 420–432, 2017.

Margolis EB, **Hjelmstad GO**, **Fujita W**, **Fields HL**. Direct Bidirectional μ-Opioid Control of
Midbrain Dopamine Neurons. *J Neurosci* 34: 14707–14716, 2014.

Margolis EB, **Lock H**, **Chefer VI**, **Shippenberg TS**, **Hjelmstad GO**, **Fields HL**. Kappa opioids
selectively control dopaminergic neurons projecting to the prefrontal cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
U S A 103: 2938–42, 2006.

McCutcheon JE, **Ebner SR**, **Loriaux AL**, **Roitman MF**. Encoding of aversion by dopamine and the
nucleus accumbens. *Front Neurosci* 6: 137, 2012.

Meng F, **Taylor LP**, **Hoversten MT**, **Ueda Y**, **Ardati A**, **Reinscheid RK**, **Monsma FJ**, **Watson SJ**,
Civelli O, **Akil H**. Moving from the Orphanin FQ Receptor to an Opioid Receptor Using Four
Point Mutations. *J Biol Chem* 271: 32016–32020, 1996.

Meunier J-C, **Mollereau C**, **Toll L**, **Suaudeau C**, **Moisand C**, **Alvinerie P**, **Butour J-L**, **Guillemot J-**
C, **Ferrara P**, **Monsarrat B**, **Mazarguil H**, **Vassart G**, **Parmentier M**, **Costentin J**. Isolation and
structure of the endogenous agonist of opioid receptor-like ORL1 receptor. *Nature* 377: 532–
535, 1995.

Mogil JS, **Pasternak GW**. The Molecular and Behavioral Pharmacology of the Orphanin
FQ/Nociceptin Peptide and Receptor Family. *Pharmacol Rev* 53: 381–415, 2001.

Mohebi A, **Pettibone JR**, **Hamid AA**, **Wong J-MT**, **Vinson LT**, **Patriarchi T**, **Tian L**, **Kennedy RT**,
Berke JD. Dissociable dopamine dynamics for learning and motivation. *Nature* , 2019.
doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1235-y.

Mollereau C, **Parmentier M**, **Mailleux P**, **Butour JL**, **Moisand C**, **Chalon P**, **Caput D**, **Vassart G**,
Meunier JC. ORL1, a novel member of the opioid receptor family. Cloning, functional expression
and localization. *FEBS Lett* 341: 33–38, 1994.

Morales M, **Margolis EB**. Ventral tegmental area: cellular heterogeneity, connectivity and behaviour. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 18: 73–85, 2017.

Morutto SL, **Phillips GD**. Interactions between sulpiride infusions within the perifornical region of the lateral hypothalamus and the nucleus accumbens on measures of locomotor activity and conditioned place preference. *Behav Pharmacol* 9: 345–55, 1998.

Murphy NP, **Ly HT**, **Maidment NT**. Intracerebroventricular orphanin FQ/Nociceptin supresses dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens of anaesthetized rats. *Neuroscience* 75: 1–4, 1996.

Murphy NP, **Maidment NT**. Orphanin FQ/Nociceptin Modulation of Mesolimbic Dopamine Transmission Determined by Microdialysis. *Journal of Neurochemistry* 73: 179–186, 1999.

Nagai J, **Kurokawa M**, **Takeshima H**, **Kieffer BL**, **Ueda H**. Circadian-Dependent Learning and Memory Enhancement in Nociceptin Receptor-Deficient Mice with a Novel KUROBOX Apparatus Using Stress-Free Positive Cue Task. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 321: 195–201, 2007.

Nativio P, **Pascale E**, **Maffei A**, **Scaccianoce S**, **Passarelli F**. Effect of stress on hippocampal nociceptin expression in the rat. *Stress* 15: 378–384, 2012.

Neal CR, **Mansour A**, **Reinscheid R**, **Nothacker HP**, **Civelli O**, **Akil H**, **Watson SJ**. Opioid receptor-like (ORL1) receptor distribution in the rat central nervous system: comparison of ORL1 receptor mRNA expression with (125)I-[(14)Tyr]-orphanin FQ binding. *J Comp Neurol* 412: 563–605, 1999.

New DC, **Wong YH**. The ORL1 Receptor: Molecular Pharmacology and Signalling Mechanisms. *NSG* 11: 197–212, 2002.

Nicholson JR, **Akil H**, **Watson SJ**. Orphanin FQ-induced hyperphagia is mediated by corticosterone and central glucocorticoid receptors. *Neuroscience* 115: 637–643, 2002.

Noda Y, **Mamiya T**, **Nabeshima T**. [Behavioral pharmacological characterization of mice lacking the nociceptin receptor]. *Nihon Shinkei Seishin Yakurigaku Zasshi* 19: 73–78, 1999.

Onali P, **Olianas MC**. Naturally occurring opioid receptor agonists stimulate adenylate cyclase activity in rat olfactory bulb. *Mol Pharmacol* 39: 436–441, 1991.

Ott T, **Nieder A**. Dopamine and Cognitive Control in Prefrontal Cortex. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 0, 2019.

Parker KE, **Pedersen CE**, **Gomez AM**, **Spangler SM**, **Walicki MC**, **Feng SY**, **Stewart SL**, **Otis JM**, **Al-Hasani R**, **McCall JG**, **Sakers K**, **Bhatti DL**, **Copits BA**, **Gereau RW**, **Jhou T**, **Kash TJ**, **Dougherty**

JD, **Stuber GD**, **Bruchas MR**. A Paranigral VTA Nociceptin Circuit that Constrains Motivation for
Reward. *Cell* 178: 653-671.e19, 2019.

Puig MV, **Antzoulatos EG**, **Miller EK**. Prefrontal Dopamine in Associative Learning and Memory.
Neuroscience 282: 217–229, 2014.

Puri SK, **Cochin J**, **Volicer L**. Effect of morphine sulfate on adenylate cyclase and
phosphodiesterase activities in rat corpus striatum. *Life Sciences* 16: 759–767, 1975.

Qi J, **Zhang S**, **Wang HL**, **Barker DJ**, **Miranda-Barrientos J**, **Morales M**. VTA glutamatergic inputs
to nucleus accumbens drive aversion by acting on GABAergic interneurons. *Nat Neurosci* 19:
725–33, 2016.

Reinscheid RK, **Ardati A**, **Monsma FJ**, **Civelli O**. Structure-Activity Relationship Studies on the
Novel Neuropeptide Orphanin FQ. *J Biol Chem* 271: 14163–14168, 1996.

Reinscheid RK, **Nothacker H-P**, **Bourson A**, **Ardati A**, **Henningsen RA**, **Bunzow JR**, **Grandy DK**,
Langen H, **Monsma FJ**, **Civelli O**. Orphanin FQ: A Neuropeptide That Activates an Opioidlike G
Protein-Coupled Receptor. *Science* 270: 792–794, 1995.

Rorick-Kehn LM, **Ciccocioppo R**, **Wong CJ**, **Witkin JM**, **Martinez-Grau MA**, **Stopponi S**, **Adams**
BL, **Katner JS**, **Perry KW**, **Toledo MA**, **Diaz N**, **Lafuente C**, **Jiménez A**, **Benito A**, **Pedregal C**,
Weiss F, **Statnick MA**. A Novel, Orally Bioavailable Nociceptin Receptor Antagonist, LY2940094,
Reduces Ethanol Self-Administration and Ethanol Seeking in Animal Models. *Alcohol Clin Exp*
Res 40: 945–954, 2016.

Sakoori K, **Murphy NP**. Central administration of nociceptin/orphanin FQ blocks the acquisition
of conditioned place preference to morphine and cocaine, but not conditioned place aversion
to naloxone in mice. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 172: 129–136, 2004.

Sandin J, **Ögren SO**, **Terenius L**. Nociceptin/orphanin FQ modulates spatial learning via ORL-1
receptors in the dorsal hippocampus of the rat. *Brain Research* 997: 222–233, 2004.

Shippenberg TS, **Bals-Kubik R**. Involvement of the mesolimbic dopamine system in mediating
the aversive effects of opioid antagonists in the rat. *Behav Pharmacol* 6: 99–106, 1995.

Shippenberg TS, **Bals-Kubik R**, **Huber A**, **Herz A**. Neuroanatomical substrates mediating the
aversive effects of D-1 dopamine receptor antagonists. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 103: 209–
14, 1991.

Sim LJ, **Xiao R**, **Childers SR**. Identification of opioid receptor-like (ORL1) peptide-stimulated
[35S]GTP gamma S binding in rat brain. *Neuroreport* 7: 729–733, 1996.

Spampinato S, **Di Toro R**, **Alessandri M**, **Murari G**. Agonist-induced internalization and
desensitization of the human nociceptin receptor expressed in CHO cells. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 59:
2172–2183, 2002.

Spampinato S, **Di Toro R**, **Qasem AR**. Nociceptin-induced internalization of the ORL1 receptor in
human neuroblastoma cells. *Neuroreport* 12: 3159–3163, 2001.

Spanagel R, **Herz A**, **Shippenberg TS**. Opposing tonically active endogenous opioid systems
modulate the mesolimbic dopaminergic pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 89: 2046–2050,
1992.

Spina L, **Fenu S**, **Longoni R**, **Rivas E**, **Di Chiara G**. Nicotine-conditioned single-trial place
preference: selective role of nucleus accumbens shell dopamine D1 receptors in acquisition.
Psychopharmacology (Berl) 184: 447–55, 2006.

Thakker DR, **Standifer KM**. Induction of G protein-coupled receptor kinases 2 and 3 contributes
to the cross-talk between μ and ORL1 receptors following prolonged agonist exposure.
Neuropharmacology 43: 979–990, 2002.

Toledo MA, **Pedregal C**, **Lafuente C**, **Diaz N**, **Martinez-Grau MA**, **Jiménez A**, **Benito A**, **Torrado**
A, **Mateos C**, **Joshi EM**, **Kahl SD**, **Rash KS**, **Mudra DR**, **Barth VN**, **Shaw DB**, **McKinzie D**, **Witkin**
JM, **Statnick MA**. Discovery of a novel series of orally active nociceptin/orphanin FQ (NOP)
receptor antagonists based on a dihydrospiro(piperidine-4,7'-thieno[2,3-c]pyran) scaffold. *J*
Med Chem 57: 3418–3429, 2014.

Toll L, **Bruchas MR**, **Calo' G**, **Cox BM**, **Zaveri NT**. Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ Receptor Structure,
Signaling, Ligands, Functions, and Interactions with Opioid Systems. *Pharmacol Rev* 68: 419–
457, 2016.

Tsai H-C, **Zhang F**, **Adamantidis A**, **Stuber GD**, **Bonci A**, **de Lecea L**, **Deisseroth K**. Phasic firing in
dopaminergic neurons is sufficient for behavioral conditioning. *Science* 324: 1080–1084, 2009.

Tzschentke TM. Pharmacology and behavioral pharmacology of the mesocortical dopamine
system. *Progress in Neurobiology* 63: 241–320, 2001.

Ungerstedt U. Stereotaxic mapping of the monoamine pathways in the rat brain. *Acta Physiol*
Scand Suppl 367: 1–48, 1971.

Varty GB, **Lu SX**, **Morgan CA**, **Cohen-Williams ME**, **Hodgson RA**, **Smith-Torhan A**, **Zhang H**,
Fawzi AB, **Graziano MP**, **Ho GD**, **Matasi J**, **Tulshian D**, **Coffin VL**, **Carey GJ**. The Anxiolytic-Like
Effects of the Novel, Orally Active Nociceptin Opioid Receptor Agonist 8-[bis(2-
Methylphenyl)methyl]-3-phenyl-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-ol (SCH 221510). *J Pharmacol Exp*
Ther 326: 672–682, 2008.

Vaughan CW, **Christie MJ**. Increase by the ORL1 receptor (opioid receptor-like1) ligand,
nociceptin, of inwardly rectifying K conductance in dorsal raphe nucleus neurones. *Br J*
Pharmacol 117: 1609–1611, 1996.

Vazquez-DeRose J, **Stauber G**, **Khroyan TV**, **Xie X (Simon)**, **Zaveri NT**, **Toll L**. Retrodialysis of
N/OFQ into the nucleus accumbens shell blocks cocaine-induced increases in extracellular
dopamine and locomotor activity. *Eur J Pharmacol* 699: 200–206, 2013.

Vitale G, **Arletti R**, **Ruggieri V**, **Cifani C**, **Massi M**. Anxiolytic-like effects of nociceptin/orphanin
FQ in the elevated plus maze and in the conditioned defensive burying test in rats. *Peptides* 27:
2193–2200, 2006.

Walker JR, **Spina M**, **Terenius L**, **Koob GF**. Nociceptin fails to affect heroin self-administration in
the rat. *Neuroreport* 9: 2243–2247, 1998.

Wang JB, **Johnson PS**, **Imai Y**, **Persico AM**, **Ozenberger BA**, **Eppler CM**, **Uhl GR**. cDNA Cloning of
an orphan opiate receptor gene family member and its splice variant. *FEBS Letters* 348: 75–79,
1994.

Werling LL, **Frattali A**, **Portoghese PS**, **Takemori AE**, **Cox BM**. Kappa receptor regulation of
dopamine release from striatum and cortex of rats and guinea pigs. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 246:
282–286, 1988.

Winter S, **Dieckmann M**, **Schwabe K**. Dopamine in the prefrontal cortex regulates rats
behavioral flexibility to changing reward value. *Behavioural Brain Research* 198: 206–213, 2009.

Wise RA. Forebrain substrates of reward and motivation. *J Comp Neurol* 493: 115–121, 2005.

Witkin JM, **Wallace TL**, **Martin WJ**. Therapeutic Approaches for NOP Receptor Antagonists in
Neurobehavioral Disorders: Clinical Studies in Major Depressive Disorder and Alcohol Use
Disorder with BTRX-246040 (LY2940094). *Handb Exp Pharmacol* 254: 399–415, 2019.

Witten IB, **Steinberg EE**, **Lee SY**, **Davidson TJ**, **Zalocusky KA**, **Brodsky M**, **Yizhar O**, **Cho SL**, **Gong**
S, **Ramakrishnan C**, **Stuber GD**, **Tye KM**, **Janak PH**, **Deisseroth K**. Recombinase-driver rat lines:

tools, techniques, and optogenetic application to dopamine-mediated reinforcement. *Neuron*
72: 721–733, 2011.

Zhang NR, **Planer W**, **Siuda ER**, **Zhao H-C**, **Stickler L**, **Chang SD**, **Baird MA**, **Cao Y-Q**, **Bruchas MR**.
Serine 363 Is Required for Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ Opioid Receptor (NOPR) Desensitization,
Internalization, and Arrestin Signaling. *J Biol Chem* 287: 42019–42030, 2012.

Zheng F, **Grandy DK**, **Johnson SW**. Actions of orphanin FQ/nociceptin on rat ventral tegmental
area neurons in vitro. *Br J Pharmacol* 136: 1065–1071, 2002.