# Differential modulation of ventral tegmental area circuits by the nociceptin/orphanin FQ system

Joseph R. Driscoll[1,2]; Tanya L. Wallace[1]; Kasra A. Mansourian[2]; William J. Martin[1]; Elyssa B. Margolis[2]

[1]BlackThorn Therapeutics
780 Brannan Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

[2]UCSF Weill Institute of Neurosciences
Department of Neurology
University of California, San Francisco
675 Nelson Rising Lane
Box 0444
San Francisco, CA 94143, USA

JRD, TLW, WJM and EBM designed the studies; JRD, KAM, and EBM collected the data; JRD, KAM, and EBM analyzed the data; JRD, TLW, WJM and EBM wrote the manuscript.

Corresponding Author:
Elyssa B. Margolis
elyssa.margolis@ucsf.edu
University of California, San Francisco
675 Nelson Rising Lane
Box 0444
San Francisco, CA 94143, USA
Phone: (415) 353-4793

**Acknowledgements**
We would like to thank Benjamin J. Snyder and Venkateswaran Ganesh for their technical assistance.  We also thank Howard L. Fields for helpful comments on the manuscript.

**Conflict of interest**
Yes, TLW and WJM are employees of and shareholders in BlackThorn Therapeutics, which is evaluating BTRX-246040 for the treatment of neurobehavioral disorders.

**Funding**
This work was supported through a sponsored research agreement from BlackThorn Therapeutics, Inc. to the University of California, San Francisco, and by National Institutes of Health grant number R01 DA042025 to E.B.M.

**Abstract**
The neuropeptide nociceptin/orphanin FQ (N/OFQ) can be released by stressors and is
associated with disorders of emotion regulation and reward processing.  N/OFQ and its
receptor, NOP, are enriched in dopaminergic pathways, and intra-ventricular agonist delivery
decreases dopamine levels in the dorsal striatum, nucleus accumbens (NAc), and ventral
tegmental area (VTA).  We used whole cell electrophysiology in acute rat midbrain slices to
investigate synaptic actions of N/OFQ. N/OFQ was primarily inhibitory, causing outward
currents in both immunocytochemically identified dopaminergic (tyrosine hydroxylase positive
(TH(+)) and non-dopaminergic (TH(-)) VTA neurons (effect at 1 $\mu$M: 20 $\pm$ 4 pA).  Surprisingly,
this effect was mediated by augmentation of postsynaptic $GABA_AR$ currents, unlike the
substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc), where the N/OFQ induced outward currents were $K^+$
channel dependent.  A smaller population, 19% of all VTA neurons, responded to low
concentrations N/OFQ with inward currents (10 nM: -11 $\pm$ 2 pA).  Following 100 nM N/OFQ, the
response to a second N/OFQ application was markedly diminished in VTA neurons (14 $\pm$ 10%
of first response), but not in SNc neurons (90 $\pm$ 20% of first response).  N/OFQ generated
outward currents in medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC)-projecting VTA neurons, but inward
currents in a subset of posterior anterior cingulate cortex-projecting VTA neurons.  While N/OFQ
inhibited NAc-projecting VTA cell bodies, it had little effect on electrically or optogenetically
evoked terminal dopamine release in the NAc measured *ex vivo* with fast scan cyclic
voltammetry.  These results extend our understanding of the N/OFQ system in brainstem
circuits implicated in many neurobehavioral disorders.
22
**Significance statement**
The neuropeptide nociceptin/orphanin FQ (N/OFQ) and its receptor (NOP) are engaged under
conditions of stress and are associated with reward processing disorders. Both peptide and
receptor are highly enriched in ventral tegmental area (VTA) pathways underlying motivation
and reward.  Using whole cell electrophysiology in rat midbrain slices we found: 1) NOPs are
functional on both dopaminergic and non-dopaminergic VTA neurons; 2) N/OFQ differentially
regulates VTA neurons based on neuroanatomical projection target; and 3) repeated application
of N/OFQ produces evidence of receptor desensitization in the VTA but not the SNc.  These
results reveal candidate mechanisms by which the NOP system regulates motivation and
emotion.

33
**Introduction**
Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ (N/OFQ) and its receptor (NOP) make up a neuropeptide
signaling system de-orphaned in 1995 (Meunier et al., 1995; Reinscheid et al., 1995) that is
engaged under conditions of stress (Ciccocioppo et al., 2000; Devine et al., 2001; Fernandez et
al., 2004; Green et al., 2007; Green and Devine, 2009; Leggett et al., 2007, 2006; Nativio et al.,
2012; Nicholson et al., 2002). The NOP is a G-protein coupled 7-transmembrane domain
receptor that canonically signals through Gi/o proteins, post-synaptically activating G-protein
coupled inward-rectifying potassium channels (GIRKs), or pre-synaptically reducing probability
of neurotransmitter release via inhibition of N-type calcium channels (Hawes et al., 2000;
Knoflach et al., 1996; New and Wong, 2002; Vaughan and Christie, 1996). While amino acid
sequence homology has led some to categorize the NOP as an opioid receptor (Bunzow et al.,

1994; Meunier et al., 1995; Mollereau et al., 1994; Wang et al., 1994), NOP activation is not
blocked by naloxone, a non-selective opioid receptor antagonist that was originally used to
classify responses as opioid receptor mediated, blocking activation at mu, delta, and kappa
opioid receptors (MOPs, DOPs, and KOPs, respectively) (Gintzler et al., 1997; Mogil and
Pasternak, 2001; Reinscheid et al., 1996, 1995). Furthermore, the known endogenous opioid
peptides (dynorphins, enkephalins, and endorphins) do not bind to the NOP, and N/OFQ does
not bind to the MOP, DOP, or KOP (Ma et al., 1997; Meng et al., 1996; Sim et al., 1996).
Because of the extensive amino acid sequence homology and these distinct pharmacological
properties, N/OFQ and the NOP are most appropriately subclassified as non-classical members
of the opioid family (Cox et al., 2015; Toll et al., 2016).
N/OFQ and the NOP are highly enriched in the ventral tegmental area (VTA), dorsal
striatum, nucleus accumbens (NAc), medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), and central nucleus of
the amygdala (Berthele et al., 2003; Neal et al., 1999; Parker et al., 2019). The VTA is the major
source of dopamine to limbic forebrain regions and plays a key role in brain networks that
coordinate motivation and learned appetitive behaviors (Fields et al., 2007). Activity of VTA
dopamine neurons is associated with salience and reward prediction, while destruction of these
neurons results in motivational deficits (Fields et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2012; Mohebi et al., 2019;
Morales and Margolis, 2017; Tsai et al., 2009; Ungerstedt, 1971; Wise, 2005; Witten et al.,
2011). Intracerebroventricular (ICV) injections of N/OFQ produce a decrease in extracellular
dopamine in the dorsal striatum and NAc, and some midbrain putative dopamine cell bodies are
inhibited by NOP activation (Di Giannuario and Pieretti, 2000; Lutfy et al., 2001; Murphy et al.,
1996; Murphy and Maidment, 1999; Vazquez-DeRose et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2002).
Dysregulation of the N/OFQ system has been associated with disorders of motivated
responding (Civelli, 2008), and the N/OFQ system has been investigated as a novel therapeutic
target for major depressive disorder and alcohol use disorder (Witkin et al., 2019), however
understanding the involvement of the N/OFQ system in these behaviors remains a challenge. In
fact, in some cases, activation and blockade of NOPs paradoxically produce the same
behavioral outcomes, such as with alcohol consumption (Ciccocioppo et al., 2014, p. 7716;
Kuzmin et al., 2007; Rorick-Kehn et al., 2016) and anxiety-related behaviors (Dautzenberg et
al., 2001; Fernandez et al., 2004; Gavioli et al., 2002; Green et al., 2007; Jenck et al., 1997;
Kamei et al., 2004; Varty et al., 2008; Vitale et al., 2006).  Such observations may be explained
by off-target effects of N/OFQ, activation of N/OFQ sensitive neural circuits that compete for
behavioral control, or receptor desensitization.
Here we investigated the basic physiology of N/OFQ responses in VTA neurons to better
characterize how N/OFQ contributes to motivation and reward processing.  To confirm that our
physiological responses to N/OFQ were due to NOP activation we utilized the selective NOP
antagonist BTRX-246040 (Toledo et al., 2014) to block N/OFQ responses.  We observed similar
N/OFQ effects on both dopamine and non-dopamine VTA neurons.  Importantly, we found that
responses to N/OFQ differ between VTA and substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) in
mechanism of inhibition and functional desensitization measures.   Furthermore, we found that
for VTA neurons, N/OFQ responses vary by the projection target.  For example, N/OFQ induced
small inward currents preferentially in VTA neurons that project to the posterior anterior
cingulate cortex (pACC).  In addition, although NAc-projecting cell bodies were inhibited by NOP
activation, N/OFQ did not inhibit dopamine release at terminals in the NAc.  Together these

observations indicate that NOP actions vary not only by brain region and neuron subpopulation,
but also by structural localization within a neuron.
91
**Materials and Methods**
*Electrophysiology*: Most experiments were completed in tissue from male Sprague
Dawley rats, p22 – p36, except mechanism experiments which were completed in tissue from
adult rats (>200g).  Rats were anesthetized with isoflurane, and brains were removed. The
brains were submerged in Ringer's solution containing (in mM): 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.3 $MgSO_4$,
1.0 $NaH_2PO_4$, 2.5 $CaCl_2$, 26.2 $NaHCO_3$, and 11 glucose saturated with 95% $O_2$–5% $CO_2$ and
horizontal brain slices (150 $\mu$m thick) containing the VTA were prepared using a Vibratome
(Leica Instruments, Nussloch, Germany).  Slices were and allowed to recover at 35°C for at
least 1 hr before recordings were initiated. The same Ringer's solution was used for cutting,
recovery, and recording.
Individual slices were visualized under an Olympus BX50WI microscope (Olympus Life
Science Solutions, Waltham, MA) with differential interference contrast optics and near infrared
illumination, using an Andor xIon+ camera, and Andor Solis imaging software (Andor
Technology Ltd, Belfast, Northern Ireland), or under a Zeiss Axio Examiner.D1 with differential
interference contrast optics, near infrared illumination, and Dodt contrast, using a monochrome
Axiocam 506 (Zeiss International, Oberkochen, Germany). Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings
were made at 33°C using 2.5– 4M pipettes containing (in mM): 123 K-gluconate, 10 HEPES, 0.2
EGTA, 8 NaCl, 2 MgATP, and 0.3 $Na_3GTP$, pH 7.2, osmolarity adjusted to 275 mOsm. Biocytin
(0.1%) was added to the internal solution for post hoc identification.
Recordings were made using an Axopatch 1-D (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA),
filtered at 2 kHz, and collected at 20 kHz using IGOR Pro (Wavemetrics, Lake Oswego, OR) or
an IPA amplifier with SutterPatch software (Sutter Instrument, Novato, CA) filtered at 1 kHz and
collected at 10 kHz. Liquid junction potentials were not corrected during recordings.
Hyperpolarization-activated cation currents ($I_h$) were recorded by voltage clamping cells and
stepping from -60 to -40, -50, -70, -80, -90, -100, -110, and - 120 mV. The $I_h$ magnitude was
measured as the difference between the initial response to the voltage step after the capacitive
peak and the final current response.
Pharmacology experiments were completed in voltage-clamp mode (V = -60 mV) to
measure changes in membrane current. Series resistance was monitored online by measuring
the peak of the capacitance transient in response to a −4 mV voltage step applied at the onset
of each sweep. Input resistance was measured using the steady state response to the same
voltage step.  Upon breaking into the cell, at least 10 min was allowed for the cell to stabilize
and for the pipette internal solution to dialyze into the cell. Drugs were applied via bath perfusion
at a flow rate of 2 mL/min or pressure ejection using a SmartSquirt micro-perfusion system
(AutoMate Scientific, Berkeley, CA) coupled to a 250 $\mu$m inner diameter tubing outlet positioned
nearby the recorded cell (within ~200 $\mu$m). N/OFQ (1 nM to 10 $\mu$M) was bath applied (5-7 min)
or pressure injected (2 min) only after a 5 min stable baseline was achieved. Responses were
similar to the two forms of N/OFQ application at the same concentrations.  For instance at 100
nM, bath application 10.1 ± 1.5 pA, n = 21; pressure ejection 9.8 ± 2.1 pA, n = 12.  Any cell that
showed drift or did not maintain a consistent baseline current for the full 5 min period was
removed from the analysis.  All experiments where repeated N/OFQ applications are reported,

such as the desensitization experiments, were completed with bath application.  To test that
observed N/OFQ-mediated effects were specific to NOP, the selective NOP antagonist BTRX-
246040 (10 or 100 nM) was applied for 10 min prior to N/OFQ. As there was no statistical
difference in the mean amplitude of response for bath application and pressure injection the
results were combined for the analysis.
For iontophoresis experiments, the holding current was set to -50 mV to increase the
driving potential for $Cl^-$. GABA (100 mM, pH adjusted to 4.9 with 37% HCl) was prepared daily
and the GABA-containing pipette was positioned approximately 50 µm away from the recorded
neuron. Negative retention current (approximately -35 nA) was applied to the GABA pipette,
interrupted by positive ejection current pulses (100 ms) once every 30 s, with the intensity
adjusted so that the response amplitude was in the range of 100-300 pA.
Stock solutions of drugs were made in advance, stored at -20°C, and diluted into aCSF
immediately before application. N/OFQ was obtained from Tocris (Minneapolis, MN) and diluted
to a 100 $\mu$M stock solution in $ddH_2O$. Stock BTRX-246040 was obtained from BlackThorn
Therapeutics and dissolved in DMSO (10 mM).
*Retrograde Tracer Injections*: Male Sprague Dawley rats, 21–100 d old, were
anesthetized with isoflurane. A glass pipette (30- to 50-µm tip) connected to a Nanoject
II/Nanoliter 2000 microinjector (Drummond Scientific Co.) was stereotaxically placed in the
mPFC (from bregma [in mm]: anteroposterior [AP], +2.6; mediolateral [ML], ±0.8; ventral [DV],
−4.0 from skull surface), the pACC (AP, 1.6; ML, ± 0.6; V, −3.5), or the NAc (AP, +1.5; ML, ±
0.8; V, −6.7). Neuro-DiI (7% in ethanol; Biotium) was slowly injected, 50.6 nL per side. Animals
were allowed to recover for 5 to 7 days while the retrograde tracer transported back to the cell
bodies. On the day of recording, the experimenter was blind to the location of retrograde tracer
injection (mPFC, pACC, or NAc) and slices were prepared as above. Projection neurons were
chosen by selecting cells observed as labeled using epiflorescent illumination. All injection sites
were histologically confirmed by a third party blind to the electrophysiology results to avoid bias.
N/OFQ responses were analyzed prior to unblinding. Animals with improper injection
placements or significant diffusion outside of the target region were rejected.
*Immunohistochemistry*: Slices were pre-blocked for 2 h at room temperature in PBS with
0.2% BSA and 5% normal goat serum, then incubated at 4°C with a rabbit anti-TH polyclonal
antibody (1:100; EMD Millipore, RRID: AB_390204). Slices were then washed thoroughly in
PBS with 0.2% BSA before being agitated overnight at 4°C with Cy5 anti-rabbit secondary
antibody (1:100; Jackson ImmunoResearch Labs Inc., West Grove, PA, RRID: AB_2534032)
and FITC streptavidin (6.5 $\mu$L/mL). Sections were rinsed and mounted on slides using Bio-Rad
Fluoroguard Antifade Reagent mounting media and visualized with an Axioskop FS2 Plus
microscope with an Axiocam MRm running Neurolucida (MBF Biosciences, Williston, VT).
Neurons were only considered TH(−) if there was no colocalization of biocytin with TH signal
and the biocytin soma was in the same focal plane as other TH(+) cell bodies. Primary
antibodies were obtained from Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents or Millipore, secondary
antibodies were obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, and all other reagents
were obtained from Sigma Chemical.
*Fast Scan Cyclic Voltammetry*: Male Sprague Dawley rats, 21–26 d old, or *Th::Cre*
transgenic rats (Witten et al., 2011), 46-51 d old at the time of virus injection, were used in these
studies. Th::Cre rats were injected with the Cre dependent ChR2 expressing virus (AAV2-Ef1a-

DIO-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry, titer $5.1 \times 10^{12}$ viral particles/mL, UPenn viral core) bilaterally into
the VTA 500 nL per side (AP, −5.3; ML, ± 0.4; DV, −8.2 mm from bregma). Five weeks later,
coronal slices (400 $\mu$m) containing the NAc were prepared for voltammetry measurements. The
use of Cre dependent ChR2 expression allowed selective optical control of VTA dopamine
terminals in the NAc.
Extracellular dopamine release was achieved using either electrical (in wild-type
Sprague Dawley rats) or 470 nm light (in Th::Cre rats) stimulation. Stimulation parameters were
the same for both electrical and optical stimulation (10 Hz, 2 pulses, 4 ms). Electrochemical
recordings were made using carbon fiber electrodes fabricated from T-650 carbon fiber (7 $\mu$m
diameter, gift from Dr. Leslie Sombers (NCSU)) that was aspirated into a borosilicate glass
capillary (0.6 × 0.4 mm or 1.0 × 0.5 mm diameter, King Precision Glass Inc., Claremont, CA)
and pulled using a PE-22 puller (Narishige, Tokyo, Japan). Carbon fiber electrodes were
positioned 80 $\mu$m into the tissue either between the bipolar tips of the stimulating electrode or
directly in front of an optical fiber connected to an LED emitting 470 nm light (7-10 mW). The
potential of the carbon fiber electrode was held at -0.4 V relative to the Ag/AgCl reference
electrode. A triangle wave form was passed through the carbon fiber driving the potential from -
0.4 V to +1.3 V and back to -0.4 V at a rate of 400 V/s, at 60 Hz for conditioning and 10 Hz for
data collection. Data were collected with a WaveNeuro fast scan cyclic voltammetry (FSCV)
potentiostat (Pine Research, Durham, NC) using HDCV acquisition software package (freely
available through UNC Department of Chemistry).  HDCV Acquisition Software was used to
output the electrochemical waveform and for signal processing (background subtraction, signal
averaging, and digital filtering (4-pole Bessel filter, 2.5 kHz)).  Dopamine release was stimulated
at 2 min intervals for electrical stimulation and 3 min intervals for optical stimulation. The
difference in stimulation invervals was to decrease rundown of the dopamine release signal that
can be particularly strong in optical experiments as reported in (Bass et al., 2013; O'Neill et al.,
2017). Mean background currents from 1 sec of data prior to stimulation were removed by
subtraction of cyclic voltammograms for each trial.
*Data Analysis*: For electrophysiology, effects of N/OFQ were statistically evaluated in
each neuron by binning data into 30 s data points and comparing the last eight binned pre-drug
points to the last eight binned points during drug application using Student's unpaired *t* test. To
evaluate the output of this analysis approach, we performed a subsequent sliding window
analysis on this classified data from TH(+) neurons that were tested with 10 nM N/OFQ (Figure
1-1).  The results of this analysis are consistent with this classification scheme identifying drug
responses and a lack of contamination by drift in individual recordings. For within cell
comparisons of N/OFQ responses, responses were compared with a Student's paired *t* test.  *P*
< 0.05 was required for significance in all analyses. Differences between neuron populations
were tested using two-tailed permutation analyses unless otherwise indicated. Violin plots were
constructed by calculating the kernal density estimate, made using a Scott estimator for the
kernal bandwidth estimation. The kernel size was determined by multiplying the Scott bandwidth
factor by the standard deviation of the data within each bin. Each individual violin plot was
normalized to have an equal area under the curve. Time course figures are averages of the
binned current traces for all cells time locked to the start of drug application. $EC_{50}$ was estimated
by fitting the concentration response data with the Hill equation.  Results are presented as mean

**Extended Data:** To evaluate our within cell statistical comparisons to identify "responsive" vs "non-responsive" neurons, in particular to test the possibility that drift might contribute to some of our identified drug effects, we conducted a sliding window analysis on a subset of our drug responses (all TH positive neurons tested with bath application of 10 nM N/OFQ).  Further, any increase in statistically significant sliding windows during drug washout compared to the static baseline would suggest underlying $I_{holding}$ drift. We compared all 4 minute windows from pre-drug application through drug washout to a fixed "baseline" window (the 4 min preceding the onset of the drug). To create the windows, $I_{holding}$ of each recording was binned into 30 second intervals and assigned a bin number (1, 2, 3 Ö n). The "baseline" 8 bin (4 min) window was compared with the target 4 min window by way of a student's unpaired t-test. The $P$ value and significance of the comparison was then corrected using the Bonferroni method for multiple comparisons. The alignment of the sliding window was then increased by a single bin and the comparison repeated, resulting in an array that represents all significant 4 minute intervals for each drug effect. The resulting arrays were plotted as a histogram representing, at the initial bin time of the sliding window, the proportion of recordings in which this calculation was significantly different from the fixed baseline target window (A).  In the neurons previously classified as "responsive" by a single "baseline" compared to "drug" window comparison, the rising left edge of the histogram begins to plateau around the 4th minute of drug application, consistent with the plateau of the mean effects across all cells reported in Figure 1D.  Further, consistent with washout reversal of N/OFQ effects in most but not all neurons, the proportion of significant bins falls off as soon as N/OFQ application was terminated.  That both the rise and fall of the frequencies of significant windows are time locked to the drug application suggests the response classification scheme is reliable. In neurons previously classified as "non-responsive" only one neuron had any significant windows, with 3 sliding window locations where this analysis yielded $P < 0.05$, suggesting that there was not systematic drift in these "non-responsive" neurons.  In addition, a scatter plot (B) indicates the maximum number of consecutive significant sliding windows for each cell analyzed, because a well-behaved change in $I_{holding}$ in response to the drug application should be detected in consecutive sliding windows. This graph shows that 8/12 neurons that were classified as "responsive" have more consecutive sliding windows different from baseline than the maximum found in "non-responsive" neurons. This analysis was conducted using a custom script created in Python (available at https://osf.io/c8gu7/?view_only=63ea4c0623b54e46a4efaccc450a89c6).

### Results

*N/OFQ effects on holding current in VTA dopamine and non-dopamine neurons*

To test the postsynaptic responses of VTA neurons to N/OFQ, we made *ex vivo* whole
cell voltage clamp recordings ($V_m$ = -60 mV). N/OFQ application changed the holding current in
70% (60/86) of neurons tested in the VTA (10 nM; 86 neurons from 59 rats; Fig. 1A,B). The
majority of responses were relatively small outward currents (73% of responsive neurons,

44/60; 51% of all neurons tested, 44/86; mean response magnitude = 15 $\pm$ 2 pA; Fig. 1D).  In
many cases the holding current returned to baseline during N/OFQ washout, as in Fig. 1A,
however in some cases we observed only partial recovery.  Using post-hoc
immunocytochemistry, we analyzed TH content in each histologically recovered neuron and
found that N/OFQ inhibited both confirmed dopamine and non-dopamine neurons in similar
proportions (of 44 inhibited neurons from 38 rats, 26 neurons from 23 rats were identified:
TH(+): 12/26; TH(-): 14/26).  The magnitudes of responses were also similar between confirmed
dopamine and non-dopamine neurons (TH(+): 12 $\pm$ 2 pA (n = 12); TH(-): 9 $\pm$ 2 pA (n = 14); *p* =
0.3 two tailed permutation test; Fig. 1C). The $EC_{50}$ for these outward currents is in the nM range
(8 $\pm$ 6 nM; Fig. 1E).

**Figure 1:  N/OFQ induced outward currents in a subset of VTA neurons.** A: Example voltage clamp recording ($V_{clamp}$ = -60 mV) of a VTA neuron that responded to N/OFQ with an outward current.  B: Across recordings in neurons from control rats, the majority of VTA neurons responded to 10 nM N/OFQ application (60 out of 86 neurons responded).  Forty four out of 60 responses were outward currents. C: A subset of recorded neurons were recovered following whole cell recording and immunocytochemically identified for TH content, a marker for dopamine neurons.  Outward currents of similar magnitudes were observed in TH(+) and TH(-) neurons.  D: The time courses and maximal effects of bath application of 10 nM and 100 nM N/OFQ were similar.  E: Concentration response relationship for VTA neurons showing a positive change, both significant and not significant, in holding current with N/OFQ application (grey dots include all neurons with a change > 0 pA; median shown in white dots; black bars show 25 and 75 percentiles; 1 nM: n = 1/6; 10 nM: n = 55/86; 100 nM: n = 20/25; 1 $\mu$M: n = 7/7; 10 $\mu$M: n = 3/3).

239
To confirm responses were due to activation of the NOP, we tested whether these
inhibitions were blocked by the selective NOP antagonist BTRX-246040. In neurons responding
to N/OFQ with an outward current (10 nM mean response = 14 $\pm$ 3 pA) BTRX-246040 (100 nM)
was applied for 10 min and then N/OFQ was applied again in the presence of the antagonist.
BTRX-246040 consistently and completely blocked N/OFQ-induced outward currents (baseline
N/OFQ response: 14 $\pm$ 3 pA; N/OFQ response in BTRX-246040: -1 $\pm$ 2 pA; n = 15; 14 rats;
paired t-test: *p* = 0.0005; Fig. 2).
247

**Figure 2: BTRX-246040 consistently blocks N/OFQ induced currents.** A: Example recording of a N/OFQ (10 nM) responsive neuron where the selective NOP antagonist BTRX-246040 (100 nM) blocked the response to a subsequent N/OFQ application. B: BTRX-246040 blocked N/OFQ responses across VTA neurons, including both TH(+) and TH(-) neurons (n = 6 and 3, respectively; n = 6 no TH data; mean ± SEM in black). **$P < 0.01$.

We also observed a subpopulation of neurons that responded to N/OFQ application with

a small inward current, consistent with an excitatory effect (10 nM mean response = -16 ± 6 pA)

(Fig. 3A,B). Inward currents were observed in approximately 25% (15/60) of the neurons that

were responsive to N/OFQ (10 nM) and 17% of all 10 nM-tested VTA neurons (15/86; 15

neurons from 14 rats; Fig. 3C,D). Among 5 neurons responding to N/OFQ with an inward

current and immunocytochemically identified, 40% (2/5) were TH(+) and 60% (3/5) were TH(-)

(two tailed permutation test: $p$ = 0.6; Fig. 3E). These N/OFQ evoked excitatory responses were

only observed at low concentrations (< 100 nM; Fig. 3D); at higher concentrations only outward

currents were observed (Fig. 1E, 3D). The neurons showing this excitatory response to N/OFQ

were topographically intermixed with VTA neurons that responded to N/OFQ with an outward

current (Fig. 3F).

**Figure 3: Low dose N/OFQ induced small inward currents in a subset of VTA neurons.** A: Example voltage clamp recording ($V_{clamp}$ = -60 mV) of a VTA neuron that responded to N/OFQ with an inward current. B: The mean ± SEM time course across neurons with inward currents shows the onset of this response is time locked to the initiation of drug application (n = 13). C: Across all VTA neurons from control rats that were tested for 10 nM N/OFQ responses, 19% responded with a significant inward current. D: Grey dots indicate each neuron showing a negative change, both significant and not significant, in holding current with N/OFQ application (grey dots include all neurons with a change < 0 pA; median shown in white dots; black bars show 25 and 75 percentiles. Significant inward currents were observed at 10 nM, while higher concentrations only generated outward currents (see Fig. 1E). E: Inward currents were observed in both immunocytochemically identified TH(+) and TH(-) neurons. F: Locations of VTA recordings show that neurons that responded to N/OFQ with inward and outward currents were intermixed.

*Concentration dependent desensitization of NOP*

Given the inconsistencies in the reports of behavioral effects of NOP agonists and antagonists, we tested whether N/OFQ causes rapid NOP desensitization at moderate doses. We observed a concentration-dependent diminished response to a second application of N/OFQ when the first application of N/OFQ was $\geq$ 100 nM (n = 12 neurons from 12 rats; paired t-test $p$ = 0.00003; Fig. 4A,B). This is consistent with NOP desensitization, and observed in both TH(+) and TH(-) neurons (Fig. 4B). In contrast, following administration of 10 nM N/OFQ, no difference in response was observed between the first and second applications (n = 10 neurons from 8 rats; paired t-test $p$ = 0.13; Fig. 4C,D). Therefore, desensitization occurs at moderate N/OFQ concentrations in the VTA.

**Figure 4: Moderate doses of N/OFQ cause functional desensitization in VTA neurons.** A: Example voltage clamp recording ($V_{clamp}$ = -60 mV) where 100 nM is sufficient to prevent a subsequent response to 1 μM application of N/OFQ. B: A summary across VTA neurons where the first N/OFQ application was $\geq$ 100 nM, the response to the second application was consistently smaller (****$p$ = 0.00003), in both TH(+) and TH(-) neurons (n = 2 and 5, respectively; n = 5 no TH data). C: Example voltage clamp recording ($V_{clamp}$ = -60 mV) showing that 10 nM N/OFQ does not impair responses to subsequent N/OFQ application. In the same cell, 100 nM did prevent additional responding. D: Summary across VTA neurons shows similar magnitudes of responses to the second application of N/OFQ when the first application was 10 nM ($p$ = 0.13; TH(+) n = 4, TH(-) n = 2, TH no data n = 2).

*N/OFQ inhibits VTA neurons and SNc neurons via different cellular mechanisms*

We investigated the mechanism underlying the outward currents produced by N/OFQ in VTA neurons. The most common mechanism by which Gi/o coupled receptors, including the NOP, generate somatodendritic inhibition is by activation of GIRKs. First we tested if the K$^+$ channel blocker BaCl$_2$ (100 μM) prevented N/OFQ induced outward currents. Surprisingly, BaCl$_2$ did not prevent the outward currents induced by N/OFQ at either 100 nM (Fig. 5A) or 10 nM (Fig. 5B; one tailed permutation analysis comparing all 10 nM N/OFQ VTA observations (n = 86) to 10 nM N/OFQ observations in the presence of 100 μM BaCl$_2$ (n = 7), $p$ = 0.2). We next tested if a cocktail of synaptic blockers including the Na$^+$ channel blocker tetrotodoxin (500 nM), the α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor (AMPAR) blocker 6,7-dinitroquinoxaline-2,3(1H,4H)-dione (DNQX; 10 μM), and the GABA$_A$R antagonist bicuculline (10 μM) would alter the distribution of N/OFQ responses (Fig. 5C). Interestingly, while this cocktail did not significantly change the mean of VTA neuron N/OFQ responses (two tailed permutation analysis comparing the means of all 10 nM N/OFQ VTA observations (n = 86) to 10

nM N/OFQ observations in the synaptic blocker cocktail (n = 9), *p* = 0.16), the standard
deviation of the distribution of N/OFQ responses in the presence of the inhibitor cocktail was
significantly reduced, suggesting this treatment did diminish N/OFQ responses (one tailed
permutation analysis comparing the standard deviations of all 10 nM N/OFQ VTA observations
(n = 86) to 10 nM N/OFQ observations in the synaptic blocker cocktail (n = 9), *p* = 0.03).  Since
the cocktail of synaptic blockers did not yield a significant change in the mean of the responses,
this indicated that both outward and inward current responses were likely diminished, and
inspection of the distribution indicates that in particular the N/OFQ induced outward currents
were mostly prevented by this treatment (Fig. 5C). This raised the possibilities that the outward
currents are via an inhibition of AMPAR signaling, via an increase in GABA$_A$R signaling, or via a
non-GIRK-dependent effect of a substance released by action potential activity in the slice.  We
previously found that in stressed animals, DOP activation in the VTA postsynaptically increases
GABA$_A$R signaling in VTA neurons (Margolis et al., 2011), while spontaneous glutamate release
in the VTA seems insufficient to support generating an outward current by inhibiting glutamate
release (Koga and Momiyama, 2000; Margolis et al., 2005; Xiao et al., 2008).  In order to test
whether N/OFQ affects GABA$_A$R signaling in the VTA, and whether this might account for
N/OFQ induced changes in holding current, we iontophoretically applied GABA in the presence
of GABA$_B$R blockade (CGP35348, 30 $\mu$M) to measure GABA$_A$R responses and to bypass any
potential presynaptic terminal effects.  We not only found that 100 nM N/OFQ increased the
amplitude of GABA$_A$R responses (Fig. 5D,E), the effect on iontophoresed GABA currents was
proportional to the change in holding current induced by N/OFQ (Fig. 5E), across both inward
and outward currents induced by N/OFQ, making it likely that GABA$_A$R signaling underlies both
inward and outward currents induced by N/OFQ application to VTA neurons.

310
311
312
313

**Figure 5: GABA$_A$Rs, rather than GIRKs, mediate N/OFQ effects in VTA neurons**. A: Example recording showing that the K$^+$ channel blocker BaCl$_2$ (100 μM) did not prevent a N/OFQ induced outward current in a VTA neuron. B: Blue violin plot represents the distribution of responses of VTA neurons to 10 nM N/OFQ (blue horizontal line = mean; white circle = median; black rectangle = 25 and 75 percentiles). In comparison, gray circles showing responses to 10 nM N/OFQ (single 100 nM experiment in dark gray) in the presence of BaCl$_2$ have a similar distribution (one tailed permutation analysis of the means, $p$ = 0.2). C: Recordings in 500 nM TTX, 10 μM DNQX, and 10 μM bicuculline, to block synaptic activity, AMPARs, and GABA$_A$Rs, respectively, showed an almost complete elimination of outward currents in VTA neurons in response to N/OFQ (two tailed permutation analysis of the means, $p$ = 0.16; one tailed permutation analysis of the standard deviations, $p$ = 0.03). D: Example recording of GABA$_A$R mediated iontophoretic responses to GABA (in 30 μM CGP35348 to block GABA$_B$Rs), showing an augmentation of response amplitude in response to 100 nM N/OFQ. E: Summary of the N/OFQ (100 nM) induced change in iontophoretic response vs change in $I_{holding}$, showing both inward and outward N/OFQ induced currents are highly correlated with N/OFQ induced changes in iontophoresis amplitude. F: Example recording in a SNc neuron showing repeated responses to high concentration (100 nM) N/OFQ, and complete blockade of the N/OFQ response by BaCl$_2$. G: Summary data from SNc neurons showing minimal desensitization in control experiments with repeated within cell N/OFQ applications at high concentration. H: Summary data from SNc neurons shows that BaCl$_2$ prevents a second response to N/OFQ, indicating that in the SNc, N/OFQ outward currents are mediated by K$^+$ channels, unlike VTA neurons. **$p$ < 0.01. I: Recording locations for VTA and SNc recordings where N/OFQ was tested in the presence of BaCl$_2$.

330   That N/OFQ induced outward currents are due to augmentations of GABA$_A$R mediated
331 current rather than activation of a K$^+$ current was particularly surprising because it was
332 previously reported that N/OFQ activates a K$^+$ channel in VTA neurons (Zheng et al., 2002).
333 Zheng and colleagues also reported larger average outward currents compared to our dataset
334 and did not observe desensitization with repeated applications of 300 nM N/OFQ, inconsistent
335 with our findings here.  As a positive control to test that 100 μM BaCl$_2$ was sufficient to block K$^+$
336 mediated effects in our preparation, and in an attempt to resolve these discrepancies, we
337 completed additional recordings in the SNc, just lateral to the VTA (Fig. 5I).  First, we tested if
338 repeated application of 100 nM N/OFQ to SNc neurons resulted in less desensitization than we
339 observed in VTA neurons.  In fact, the response to the second 100 nM N/OFQ application was
340 not statistically different from the response to the first application in SNc neurons, in contrast to
341 VTA neurons (Fig. 5F,G; two-tailed paired t-Test, $p$ = 0.5, n = 5).  Therefore we used a within
342 cell design to compare the N/OFQ response in control aCSF and in 100 μM BaCl$_2$.  Blocking K$^+$
343 channels completely blocked the N/OFQ responses in SNc neurons (Fig. 5H; one-tailed paired
344 t-test, $p$ = 0.003, n = 5).  Together, these observations indicate that BaCl$_2$ was fully capable of
345 blocking GIRK mediated N/OFQ effects in our recording conditions, and suggest that the
346 differences between our observations and those previously reports may be related to recording
347 location (Fig. 5I).

349 *N/OFQ effects on VTA neurons vary with projection target*
350   As described above, we observed heterogeneity in responses of VTA neurons to
351 N/OFQ.  Given that other pharmacological responses of VTA neurons, including to KOP
352 activation (Ford et al., 2006; Margolis et al., 2006) vary with projection target, we investigated
353 whether the N/OFQ responses would be more consistent within subpopulations of VTA neurons
354 that share a projection target. Accordingly, we recorded N/OFQ (10 nM) responses in VTA
355 neurons that were retrogradely labeled by tracer injections into mPFC, pACC, or medial NAc
356 (Fig. 6A,B).  Recordings were conducted with the investigator blinded to the injection site.
357

**Figure 6: N/OFQ effects in VTA neurons vary with projection target.** A: For each retrograde tracer injection site, example histology photo showing DiI localization (left) and mirrored, modified rat brain atlas schematic (right) (Paxinos and Watson, 1997). B: Cartoon showing the experimental approach: 7 days prior to recording, the retrograde tracer DiI was stereotaxically injected into mPFC, pACC, or medial NAc. DiI neurons were identified during whole cell recordings (inset). C: Example image of a neuron filled with biocytin during recording (green), the retrograde tracer (red) and was immunocytochemically identified as TH(+) (turquoise). D: The overall percentage of neurons that responded to N/OFQ was greatest among pACC-projecting neurons and lowest among NAc-projecting neurons. E: Graph of magnitudes of significant N/OFQ responses, showing that only pACC-projecting neurons respond to N/OFQ with an inward current.

The majority of mPFC-projecting VTA neurons, 67% (8/12), were significantly inhibited by N/OFQ, responding with an outward current (11 $\pm$ 3 pA; 8 responsive neurons from 6 rats; Fig. 6D). No N/OFQ induced inward currents were observed in mPFC-projecting neurons. Five mPFC-projecting neurons were recovered and processed for TH immunoreactivity (Fig. 6C,D); two were TH(+), and 3 were TH(-); all of these responded to N/OFQ with an outward current (Fig. 6D).

VTA projections to different cortical targets, including the pACC, arise from largely separate VTA neurons (Chandler et al., 2013). The pACC-projecting neurons are concentrated in different parts of the VTA, and fewer of them are dopaminergic compared to the projection to mPFC (Breton et al., 2019). Interestingly, 67% of the VTA neurons comprising this projection responded to N/OFQ with an inward current (4/6 inward current, -24 $\pm$ 12 pA, 1/6 outward current, from 4 rats; Fig. 6D). These N/OFQ excited, pACC-projecting VTA neurons included both TH(+) and TH(-) cells (Fig. 6D).

Half of NAc-projecting VTA neurons (7/14) responded to N/OFQ with outward currents (9
$\pm$ 1 pA, 7 responsive neurons from 7 rats; Fig. 6D). No inward currents were observed in this
projection. Of the 7 NAc-projecting neurons that responded to N/OFQ, 2 were confirmed TH(+)
and 3 were TH(-) (Fig. 6D). Together, these data indicate that similar N/OFQ inhibitory effects
occur in VTA neurons that project to mPFC and NAc, but these effects are opposed to those on
VTA projections to pACC, many of which responded to N/OFQ with an inward current.
378
*N/OFQ has little effect on terminal dopamine release in the NAc*
ICV or intra-VTA N/OFQ decreases dopamine levels in the NAc (Murphy et al., 1996;
Murphy and Maidment, 1999). Consistent with this result, we found that N/OFQ directly inhibits
a subset of the VTA dopamine NAc-projecting somata. N/OFQ may also inhibit dopamine
release in the NAc at the terminals; to test if NOPs on dopamine terminals in the NAc also
contribute to an N/OFQ-induced decrease in NAc dopamine levels, we used FSCV to detect
changes in stimulated dopamine release in NAc slices (Fig. 7A). In tissue from control SD rats
(9 rats), we stimulated dopamine release with a bipolar electrode. In a second set of animals, to
limit stimulation to dopaminergic axons, we expressed ChR2 in Th::Cre rats and stimulated with
470 nm light pulses (9 rats). In these preparations, repeated electrical, and especially optical,
stimulation can cause rundown in evoked dopamine release over time (Bass et al., 2013; O'Neill
et al., 2017). To minimize this rundown as much as possible, we increased the intervals
between light stimulations to 3 min. Where recordings were stable, effects of 10 nM N/OFQ, 100
nM N/OFQ, and 1 $\mu$M U69593 were sequentially tested. At 10 nM N/OFQ, approximately the
$EC_{50}$ of the outward currents recorded at VTA somata, there was no change in the peak FSCV
response to either electrical or light evoked dopamine release (electrically evoked dopamine
release: 93 $\pm$ 4% of baseline, n = 9 slices from 9 rats: linear mixed effects model, z = -1.3, *p* =
0.2; optically evoked dopamine release: 94 $\pm$ 10% of baseline, n = 5 from 5 rats: linear mixed
effects model, z = -0.5, *p* = 0.6; Fig. 7A,B). We detected a small but significant decrease in
evoked dopamine release in response to 100 nM N/OFQ (electrically evoked dopamine release:
88 $\pm$ 7% of baseline, n = 11 from 9 rats: linear mixed effects model, z = -2.1, *p* = 0.04; optically
evoked dopamine release: 74 $\pm$ 4% of baseline, n = 17 from 9 rats: linear mixed effects model,
z = -7.2, *p* < 0.001; Fig. 7B). Consistent with previous studies (Bass et al., 2013; O'Neill et al.,
2017), it is possible that this small decrease was driven, at least in part, by rundown of ChR2-
driven dopamine release. As a positive control, we applied the selective KOP agonist U69,593
(1 $\mu$M), previously shown to inhibit dopamine release in the NAc (G Di Chiara and Imperato,
1988; Ebner et al., 2010; Karkhanis et al., 2016; Spanagel et al., 1992; Werling et al., 1988), at
the end of each experiment, on top of N/OFQ since these drug responses were minimal.
U69,593 caused a substantial decrease in stimulated dopamine release (electrical: 53 $\pm$ 5% of
baseline (in N/OFQ), n = 15: linear mixed effects model, z = -8.9, *p* < 0.001; optical: 49 $\pm$ 3% of
baseline (in N/OFQ), n = 17: linear mixed effects model, z = -13.9, *p* < 0.001; Fig. 7B).
Therefore, the direct NOP modulation of this dopaminergic circuit occurs at a lower
concentration and may be stronger in the somadendritic region where the terminals in the NAc
are relatively insensitive to NOP activation. These results contrast with the KOP control of these
neurons, which strongly inhibits release at the NAc dopamine terminals but does not directly
hyperpolarize the cell bodies of these neurons (Margolis et al., 2006) (Fig. 7C).
415

**Figure 7: N/OFQ does not inhibit dopamine release at NAc terminals.** We used FSCV in acute, coronal slices containing the NAc to test for N/OFQ effects on terminal release of dopamine. Dopamine release was evoked in slices from control rats with bipolar electrodes locally in the NAc. Recordings were made on the NAc shell-core border. Alternatively, to limit stimulation to dopamine fibers, Th::Cre rats were injected with (AAV2-Ef1a-DIO-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry) in the VTA at least 4 weeks prior to recordings, during which 470 nm light pulses were used to stimulate dopamine release. A: Example color plots of FSCV measurement of electrically evoed dopamine release. Inset, top: background subtracted cyclic voltammogram at peak of putative dopamine release. Inset, bottom: locations of FSCV recordings in schematic of coronal section of rat brain AP: +1.5 mm (Paxinos and Watson, 1997). B: Either 10 nM or 100 nM N/OFQ was applied to the slice; each on average had minimal effects on either electrically or light evoked dopamine release in the NAc. Following N/OFQ measures, without washout, we added the KOP agonist U69593 (1 μM), which inhibited evoked dopamine release. White dots represent median values and grey bars represent 25 and 75 percentiles. C: Summary diagram shows the contrast between NOP and KOP function in NAc-projecting VTA dopamine neurons. While NOP activation inhibits the somatodendritic compartment only, KOP induced inhibition is limited to dopaminergic axon terminals in these neurons. Further, NOP activation inhibits NAc-projecting non-dopaminergic VTA cell bodies, which are insensitive to KOP activation.

## Discussion

The results presented here demonstrate that N/OFQ affects both dopaminergic and non-
dopaminergic VTA neurons, through activation of the NOP, and in the majority of neurons
causes inhibitory outward currents. N/OFQ effects in these neurons were blocked by the NOP
selective antagonist BTRX-246040, confirming its action at NOP. Importantly, neuronal
responses to N/OFQ in VTA neurons desensitized at concentrations $\geq$ 100 nM. In addition to

providing a basic characterization of the range of postsynaptic N/OFQ responses in VTA
neurons, we demonstrated differential responding of subsets of VTA neurons to NOP activation
related to projection target: mPFC-projecting and NAc-projecting VTA neurons responded to
N/OFQ with outward currents (inhibitory), while most pACC-projecting VTA neurons responded
with inward currents (excitatory).  Within the dopaminergic projection to the NAc, although
N/OFQ caused outward currents at the somatodendritic region of these neurons, release at the
terminals was not inhibited by NOP activation.  Together, these data show that N/OFQ effects in
VTA neurons differ depending upon their projection target, and that at higher concentrations of
N/OFQ only inhibitions are observed, followed by desensitization of NOP function.
Unexpectedly, a small population of neurons in the VTA, both TH(+) and TH(-),
responded to low concentrations of N/OFQ with an inward current, consistent with excitation.
This finding presents a novel mechanism by which N/OFQ could selectively activate specific
VTA circuits, while inhibiting the majority of VTA outputs.  Inward currents were observed in
most VTA neurons projecting to the pACC, but not those projecting to the NAc or mPFC,
consistent with this circuit-selection proposition.  The fact that this effect was only observed at
low concentrations indicates that very robust N/OFQ release into the VTA, on the other hand,
would likely have a broad inhibitory effect on the vast majority of VTA neurons, regardless of
circuit. Although NOPs are generally thought to couple to Gi/o and inhibit neural activity, some
exceptions to this coupling have been reported for the related opioid receptors. Activation of
postsynaptic MOP or DOP results in a $Ca_v2.1$ channel-dependent depolarization in subsets of
VTA neurons (Margolis et al., 2017, 2014).  Further, the MOP agonist DAMGO increases $Ca_v2.1$
currents in cerebellar Purkinje neurons (Iegorova et al., 2010) and morphine activates adenylyl
cyclase in the corpus striatum and olfactory bulb (Onali and Olianas, 1991; Puri et al., 1975).
While this is the first report of N/OFQ-mediated excitations in an acute brain slice preparation,
intracellular increases in $Ca^{2+}$ have been observed in a cultured human neuroblastoma cell line
in response to N/OFQ in the presence of the cholinergic agonist carbachol (Connor et al., 1996).
Therefore, while there are few reports of excitatory actions of N/OFQ, the observation is not
unprecedented.
We also found that NOP activation signals through the canonical GIRK pathway in the
SNc, however, in the VTA N/OFQ outward currents were mediated by augmentation of $GABA_AR$
currents.  While both mechanisms generated outward currents in our experimental preparation,
the physiological consequences of these neural populations utilizing different signaling
pathways *in vivo* may vary.  For instance, activating a GIRK will always cause a
hyperpolarization, while increasing the $GABA_AR$ current will only occur when there is concurrent
activation of NOPs and $GABA_ARs$. Further, the N/OFQ induced inhibition requiring $GABA_AR$
activation depends upon the $Cl^-$ reversal potential, which may be altered by a variety of
behavioral states including pain, morphine treatment, stress, or alcohol (Coull et al., 2003;
Ferrini et al., 2013; Hewitt et al., 2009; Ostroumov et al., 2016; Santos et al., 2017).  The N/OFQ
response may even be excitatory in the absence of $GABA_AR$ activation, since blocking
$GABA_ARs$ seemed to increase the proportion of neurons in which we observed inward currents
in response to N/OFQ (Fig. 5C).
In the VTA, neurons treated with a higher concentration of N/OFQ ($\geq$ 100 nM) no longer
responded to subsequent applications of N/OFQ in the VTA. This finding indicates that N/OFQ
may act as a functional antagonist at the NOP by desensitizing these responses when higher

concentrations of N/OFQ are present.  Interestingly, we did not observe significant NOP
desensitization in SNc neurons. NOP function is therefore apparently different from postsynaptic
responses to agonists at the MOP and DOP in VTA neurons, where repeated application of
saturating concentrations of selective agonists generate responses of similar magnitudes
(Margolis et al., 2017, 2014).  The apparent NOP desensitization we observed in the VTA is
consistent with previous studies showing that high concentrations or repeated sustained
exposure to NOP agonists causes desensitization in cell culture (Connor et al., 1996; Mandyam
et al., 2002, 2000; Thakker and Standifer, 2002). In addition, NOPs internalize fairly rapidly
(Corbani et al., 2004; Spampinato et al., 2002, 2001; Zhang et al., 2012) at the same
concentrations that we observed desensitization. *In vivo*, N/OFQ administration can result in
dose dependent performance changes in behavioral spatial memory, locomotor, and anxiety
tasks, with low concentration N/OFQ having the opposite effects compared to high doses (Florin
et al., 1996; Jenck et al., 1997; Sandin et al., 2004). One possible explanation for these
opposing behavioral outcomes is that N/OFQ may be acting as an agonist at low concentrations
and a functional antagonist at high concentrations in some brain regions.  An alternative
possibility is that brain regions like the SNc that have less desensization drive behavioral
responses to high doses of N/OFQ, where brain regions like the VTA that show more
desensitization do contribute to behavioral responses to lower N/OFQ doses.
N/OFQ inhibited both dopamine and non-dopamine neurons in the VTA that project to
the NAc. This finding is consistent with the observation that N/OFQ administered ICV or into the
VTA results in a decrease in extracellular dopamine in the NAc (Murphy et al., 1996; Murphy
and Maidment, 1999). A prominent proposal in the literature is that a decrease in NAc dopamine
produces aversion (McCutcheon et al., 2012).  Therefore, one would expect ICV injection of
N/OFQ to be aversive. However, this manipulation generates no response in the place
conditioning paradigm (Devine et al., 1996). On the other hand, optogenetic or chemogenetic
stimulation of N/OFQ containing inputs to the VTA can be aversive and decrease reward
seeking (Parker et al., 2019). One possible explanation for this lack of clear motivational effect
is the combination of inhibition of both dopamine and non-dopamine neurons: dopamine and
non-dopamine neurons originating in the VTA synapse onto different types of neurons in the
NAc, therefore affecting behavior in different ways. For instance, VTA glutamate neurons
synapse onto parvalbumin containing interneurons in the NAc and optogenetic activation of
these NAc-projecting glutamate neurons is aversive (Qi et al., 2016). Activation of NAc-
projecting VTA GABA neurons causes a pause in cholinergic interneuron activity (Brown et al.,
2012). These neurons modulate associative learning but are insufficient to drive preference or
aversion independently (Collins et al., 2019) and do not appear to contribute to the detection of
aversive gustatory stimuli (Robble et al., 2020).  N/OFQ inhibition of dopamine, GABA, and
glutamate neurons projecting to the NAc, therefore, may result in no net hedonic value and a
lack of preference in a place preference paradigm. Further, various reports indicate that
decreasing activity at dopamine receptors in the NAc with microinjections of antagonists does
not produce aversion (Baker et al., 1998, 1996; Fenu et al., 2006; Josselyn and Beninger, 1993;
Laviolette and van der Kooy, 2003; Morutto and Phillips, 1998; Spina et al., 2006) but see
(Shippenberg et al., 1991), and aversive outcomes can even be observed following
manipulations that increase dopamine levels in the NAc (Devine et al., 1993b; Shippenberg and
Bals-Kubik, 1995).  Add to this the N/OFQ effects on other circuits following ICV injection,

including other VTA neurons, and the possibility that the most robust, long lasting effect is
receptor desensitization at higher doses of agonist, together making it potentially less surprising
that ICV N/OFQ was not reported to generate aversion.
N/OFQ's effect on the VTA to NAc circuit provides an interesting point of comparison for
how the NOP may be functionally distinct from the structurally related KOP. *In vivo*, systemic or
ICV administration of N/OFQ or a KOP agonist each causes a decrease in extracellular
dopamine in the NAc (Devine et al., 1993a; G. Di Chiara and Imperato, 1988; Murphy et al.,
1996; Murphy and Maidment, 1999). However, these two receptors function very differently in
the dopamine neurons that project to the NAc. We show here that N/OFQ inhibits VTA cell
bodies that project to the NAc, but has little effect on the dopamine terminals within the NAc.
Kappa opioid receptor activation, on the other hand, has no effect on the cell bodies of NAc-
projecting VTA dopamine neurons, but strongly inhibits dopamine release at the terminals in the
NAc (Britt and McGehee, 2008; Margolis et al., 2006) (Fig. 5C).  One implication for this
organization is that whether or not the respective endogenous peptides, N/OFQ and dynorphin,
affect NAc-projecting dopamine neurons will depend upon the brain region of peptide release.
There is also evidence for dopamine release in the NAc that is independent of action potential
firing in midbrain dopamine neurons (Cachope et al., 2012; Mohebi et al., 2019).  In this
organization of differential receptor effects localized to somadendritic regions vs terminals,
dynorphin has control over this terminal activity while N/OFQ does not.  Together these
observations bring into focus the critical importance of understanding precisely where receptors
are functional in brain circuits and their specific actions at each site.
We found opposing effects of N/OFQ on the VTA projections to mPFC and pACC, which
may contribute to the reported N/OFQ impact on behavioral measures associated with cortical
dopamine function such as working memory, learning, and behavioral flexibility (Gonzalez et al.,
2014; Huang et al., 2018; Ott and Nieder, 2019; Puig et al., 2014; Tzschentke, 2001; Winter et
al., 2009).  Our results also show that the non-dopamine VTA projection to cortical regions are
affected by N/OFQ as well; while the majority of the VTA neurons that project to these cortical
regions are not dopaminergic (Breton et al., 2019), little is currently known regarding their
contribution to behavior.  Preclinical studies show that ICV administration of N/OFQ impairs
working memory (Hiramatsu and Inoue, 1999) and associative learning and memory (Goeldner
et al., 2009), and blocking NOP with an antagonist or genetic knockout enhances both working
memory and learning (Jinsmaa et al., 2000; Nagai et al., 2007; Noda et al., 1999).  How and
why such an ongoing break on learning and memory by N/OFQ contributes to normal behavioral
adaptation, and whether dopamine or other VTA outputs play a role, remains to be determined.
One provocative possibility is that it is this degradation of working memory function that is the
primary mechanism underlying the lack of place conditioning in response to central N/OFQ,
rather than that this treatment is affectively neutral.  This interpretation is consistent with work
showing that N/OFQ blocks opioid induced conditioned place preference yet has no effect on
opioid self-administration as well (Sakoori and Murphy, 2004; Walker et al., 1998).
The results of this study extend our understanding of the NOP system biology and
provide considerations for additional investigation into NOP function within limbic circuits.
These findings clarify that strong NOP desensitization occurs in neurons at moderate
concentrations of the endogenous agonist N/OFQ.  Importantly, not only does the nature of the
NOP response vary with the projection target of VTA neurons, but the NOP function is largely

sequestered to the somatodendritic compartment of VTA dopamine neurons that project to the
NAc, demonstrating two different kinds of circuit level organization of this receptor system.
Building on this groundwork, future studies of these VTA circuits during different behavioral
states and tasks related to motivation and cognition will help to elucidate the differences
between the normal and dysfunctional NOP-N/OFQ system, improving the potential for
therapeutic targeting.
562

**References**

Baker DA, Fuchs RA, Specio SE, Khroyan TV, Neisewander JL (1998) Effects of intraaccumbens
administration of SCH-23390 on cocaine-induced locomotion and conditioned place
preference. Synapse 30:181–93.

Baker DA, Khroyan TV, O'Dell LE, Fuchs RA, Neisewander JL (1996) Differential effects of intra-
accumbens sulpiride on cocaine-induced locomotion and conditioned place preference.
J Pharmacol Exp Ther 279:392–401.

Bass CE, Grinevich VP, Kulikova AD, Bonin KD, Budygin EA (2013) Terminal effects of
optogenetic stimulation on dopamine dynamics in rat striatum. J Neurosci Methods
214:149–155.

Berthele A, Platzer S, Dworzak D, Schadrack J, Mahal B, Büttner A, Aßmus HP, Wurster K,
Zieglgänsberger W, Conrad B, Tölle TR (2003) [3h]-nociceptin ligand-binding and
nociceptin opioid receptor mrna expression in the human brain. Neuroscience 121:629–
640.

Breton JM, Charbit AR, Snyder BJ, Fong PTK, Dias EV, Himmels P, Lock H, Margolis EB (2019)
Relative contributions and mapping of ventral tegmental area dopamine and GABA
neurons by projection target in the rat. J Comp Neurol 527:916–941.

Britt JP, McGehee DS (2008) Presynaptic opioid and nicotinic receptor modulation of dopamine
overflow in the nucleus accumbens. J Neurosci 28:1672–1681.

Brown MT, Tan KR, O'Connor EC, Nikonenko I, Muller D, Lüscher C (2012) Ventral tegmental
area GABA projections pause accumbal cholinergic interneurons to enhance associative
learning. Nature 492:452–6.

Bunzow JR, Saez C, Mortrud M, Bouvier C, Williams JT, Low M, Grandy DK (1994) Molecular
cloning and tissue distribution of a putative member of the rat opioid receptor gene
family that is not a μ, δ or κ opioid receptor type. FEBS Letters 347:284–288.

Cachope R, Mateo Y, Mathur BN, Irving J, Wang HL, Morales M, Lovinger DM, Cheer JF (2012)
Selective activation of cholinergic interneurons enhances accumbal phasic dopamine
release: setting the tone for reward processing. Cell Rep 2:33–41.

Chandler DJ, Lamperski CS, Waterhouse BD (2013) Identification and distribution of projections
from monoaminergic and cholinergic nuclei to functionally differentiated subregions of
prefrontal cortex. Brain Res 1522:38–58.

Ciccocioppo R, Angeletti S, Panocka I, Massi M (2000) Nociceptin/orphanin FQ and drugs of
abuse. Peptides 21:1071–1080.

Ciccocioppo R, Stopponi S, Economidou D, Kuriyama M, Kinoshita H, Heilig M, Roberto M, Weiss
F, Teshima K (2014) Chronic treatment with novel brain-penetrating selective NOP
receptor agonist MT-7716 reduces alcohol drinking and seeking in the rat.
Neuropsychopharmacology 39:2601–2610.

Civelli O (2008) The Orphanin FQ/Nociceptin (OFQ/N) System In: Orphan G Protein-Coupled
Receptors and Novel Neuropeptides, Results and Problems in Cell Differentiation (Civelli
O, Zhou Q-Y eds), pp1–25. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Collins AL, Aitken TJ, Huang I-W, Shieh C, Greenfield VY, Monbouquette HG, Ostlund SB,
Wassum KM (2019) Nucleus Accumbens Cholinergic Interneurons Oppose Cue-
Motivated Behavior. Biol Psychiatry.

Connor M, Yeo A, Henderson G (1996) The effect of nociceptin on Ca2+ channel current and
intracellular Ca2+ in the SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cell line. Br J Pharmacol
118:205–207.

Corbani M, Gonindard C, Meunier J-C (2004) Ligand-Regulated Internalization of the Opioid
Receptor-Like 1: A Confocal Study. Endocrinology 145:2876–2885.

Coull JAM, Boudreau D, Bachand K, Prescott SA, Nault F, Sík A, De Koninck P, De Koninck Y
(2003) Trans-synaptic shift in anion gradient in spinal lamina I neurons as a mechanism
of neuropathic pain. Nature 424:938–942.

Cox BM, Christie MJ, Devi L, Toll L, Traynor JR (2015) Challenges for opioid receptor
nomenclature: IUPHAR Review 9. Br J Pharmacol 172:317–323.

Dautzenberg FM, Wichmann J, Higelin J, Py-Lang G, Kratzeisen C, Malherbe P, Kilpatrick GJ,
Jenck F (2001) Pharmacological Characterization of the Novel Nonpeptide Orphanin
FQ/Nociceptin Receptor Agonist Ro 64-6198: Rapid and Reversible Desensitization of
the ORL1 Receptor in Vitro and Lack of Tolerance in Vivo. J Pharmacol Exp Ther
298:812–819.

Devine DP, Leone P, Pocock D, Wise RA (1993a) Differential involvement of ventral tegmental
mu, delta and kappa opioid receptors in modulation of basal mesolimbic dopamine
release: in vivo microdialysis studies. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 266:1236–46.

Devine DP, Leone P, Wise RA (1993b) Mesolimbic dopamine neurotransmission is increased by
administration of mu-opioid receptor antagonists. Eur J Pharmacol 243:55–64.

Devine DP, Reinscheid RK, Monsma FJ, Civelli O, Akil H (1996) The novel neuropeptide orphanin
FQ fails to produce conditioned place preference or aversion. Brain Research 727:225–
229.

Devine DP, Watson SJ, Akil H (2001) Nociceptin/orphanin FQ regulates neuroendocrine function
of the limbic–hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis. Neuroscience 102:541–553.

Di Chiara G, Imperato A (1988) Drugs abused by humans preferentially increase synaptic
dopamine concentrations in the mesolimbic system of freely moving rats. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 85:5274–5278.
Di Chiara G., Imperato A (1988) Opposite effects of mu and kappa opiate agonists on dopamine
release in the nucleus accumbens and in the dorsal caudate of freely moving rats. J
Pharmacol Exp Ther 244:1067–1080.
Di Giannuario A, Pieretti S (2000) Nociceptin differentially affects morphine-induced dopamine
release from the nucleus accumbens and nucleus caudate in rats. Peptides 21:1125–
1130.
Ebner SR, Roitman MF, Potter DN, Rachlin AB, Chartoff EH (2010) Depressive-like effects of the
kappa opioid receptor agonist salvinorin A are associated with decreased phasic
dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 210:241–252.
Fenu S, Spina L, Rivas E, Longoni R, Di Chiara G (2006) Morphine-conditioned single-trial place
preference: role of nucleus accumbens shell dopamine receptors in acquisition, but not
expression. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 187:143–53.
Fernandez F, Misilmeri MA, Felger JC, Devine DP (2004) Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ Increases
Anxiety-Related Behavior and Circulating Levels of Corticosterone During Neophobic
Tests of Anxiety. Neuropsychopharmacology 29:59–71.
Ferrini F, Trang T, Mattioli TA, Laffray S, Del'Guidice T, Lorenzo LE, Castonguay A, Doyon N,
Zhang W, Godin AG, Mohr D, Beggs S, Vandal K, Beaulieu JM, Cahill CM, Salter MW, De
Koninck Y (2013) Morphine hyperalgesia gated through microglia-mediated disruption
of neuronal Cl⁻ homeostasis. Nat Neurosci 16:183–92.
Fields HL, Hjelmstad GO, Margolis EB, Nicola SM (2007) Ventral tegmental area neurons in
learned appetitive behavior and positive reinforcement. Annu Rev Neurosci 30:289–316.
Florin S, Suaudeau C, Meunier J-C, Costentin J (1996) Nociceptin stimulates locomotion and
exploratory behaviour in mice. European Journal of Pharmacology 317:9–13.
Ford CP, Mark GP, Williams JT (2006) Properties and opioid inhibition of mesolimbic dopamine
neurons vary according to target location. J Neurosci 26:2788–97.
Gavioli EC, Rae GA, Calo' G, Guerrini R, De Lima TCM (2002) Central injections of nocistatin or its
C-terminal hexapeptide exert anxiogenic-like effect on behaviour of mice in the plus-
maze test. Br J Pharmacol 136:764–772.
Gintzler AR, Adapa ID, Toll L, Medina VM, Wang L (1997) Modulation of enkephalin release by
nociceptin (orphanin FQ). European Journal of Pharmacology 325:29–34.
Goeldner C, Reiss D, Wichmann J, Kieffer BL, Ouagazzal A-M (2009) Activation of nociceptin
opioid peptide (NOP) receptor impairs contextual fear learning in mice through
glutamatergic mechanisms. Neurobiol Learn Mem 91:393–401.

Gonzalez MC, Kramar CP, Tomaiuolo M, Katche C, Weisstaub N, Cammarota M, Medina JH
(2014) Medial prefrontal cortex dopamine controls the persistent storage of aversive
memories. Front Behav Neurosci 8.

Green MK, Barbieri EV, Brown BD, Chen K-W, Devine DP (2007) Roles of the bed nucleus of stria
terminalis and of the amygdala in N/OFQ-mediated anxiety and HPA axis activation.
Neuropeptides 41:399–410.

Green MK, Devine DP (2009) Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ and NOP receptor gene regulation after
acute or repeated social defeat stress. Neuropeptides 43:507–514.

Hawes BE, Graziano MP, Lambert DG (2000) Cellular actions of nociceptin: transduction
mechanisms. Peptides 21:961–967.

Hewitt SA, Wamsteeker JI, Kurz EU, Bains JS (2009) Altered chloride homeostasis removes
synaptic inhibitory constraint of the stress axis. Nat Neurosci 12:438–443.

Hiramatsu M, Inoue K (1999) Effects of nocistatin on nociceptin-induced impairment of learning
and memory in mice. Eur J Pharmacol 367:151–155.

Huang S, Borgland SL, Zamponi GW (2018) Dopaminergic modulation of pain signals in the
medial prefrontal cortex: Challenges and perspectives. Neuroscience Letters.

Iegorova O, Fisyunov A, Krishtal O (2010) G-protein-independent modulation of P-type calcium
channels by μ-opioids in Purkinje neurons of rat. Neurosci Lett 480:106–111.

Jenck F, Moreau J-L, Martin JR, Kilpatrick GJ, Reinscheid RK, Monsma FJ, Nothacker H-P, Civelli
O (1997) Orphanin FQ acts as an anxiolytic to attenuate behavioral responses to stress.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94:14854–14858.

Jinsmaa Y, Takahashi M, Fukunaga H, Yoshikawa M (2000) Retro-nociceptin methylester, a
peptide with analgesic and memory-enhancing activity. Life Sciences 67:3095–3101.

Josselyn SA, Beninger RJ (1993) Neuropeptide Y: intraaccumbens injections produce a place
preference that is blocked by cis-flupenthixol. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 46:543–52.

Kamei J, Matsunawa Y, Miyata S, Tanaka S, Saitoh A (2004) Effects of nociceptin on the
exploratory behavior of mice in the hole-board test. European Journal of Pharmacology
489:77–87.

Karkhanis AN, Rose JH, Weiner JL, Jones SR (2016) Early-Life Social Isolation Stress Increases
Kappa Opioid Receptor Responsiveness and Downregulates the Dopamine System.
Neuropsychopharmacology 41:2263–2274.

Kim KM, Baratta MV, Yang A, Lee D, Boyden ES, Fiorillo CD (2012) Optogenetic mimicry of the
transient activation of dopamine neurons by natural reward is sufficient for operant
reinforcement. PLoS ONE 7:e33612.

Knoflach F, Reinscheid RK, Civelli O, Kemp JA (1996) Modulation of Voltage-Gated Calcium
Channels by Orphanin FQ in Freshly Dissociated Hippocampal Neurons. J Neurosci
16:6657–6664.

Koga E, Momiyama T (2000) Presynaptic dopamine D2-like receptors inhibit excitatory
transmission onto rat ventral tegmental dopaminergic neurones. J Physiol (Lond) 523 Pt
1:163–173.
Kuzmin A, Kreek MJ, Bakalkin G, Liljequist S (2007) The nociceptin/orphanin FQ receptor agonist
Ro 64-6198 reduces alcohol self-administration and prevents relapse-like alcohol
drinking. Neuropsychopharmacology 32:902–910.
Laviolette SR, van der Kooy D (2003) Blockade of mesolimbic dopamine transmission
dramatically increases sensitivity to the rewarding effects of nicotine in the ventral
tegmental area. Mol Psychiatry 8:50–9, 9.
Leggett JD, Harbuz MS, Jessop DS, Fulford AJ (2006) The nociceptin receptor antagonist
[Nphe1,Arg14,Lys15]nociceptin/orphanin FQ-NH2 blocks the stimulatory effects of
nociceptin/orphanin FQ on the HPA axis in rats. Neuroscience 141:2051–2057.
Leggett JD, Jessop DS, Fulford AJ (2007) The nociceptin/orphanin FQ antagonist UFP-101
differentially modulates the glucocorticoid response to restraint stress in rats during the
peak and nadir phases of the hypothalamo–pituitary–adrenal axis circadian rhythm.
Neuroscience 147:757–764.
Lutfy K, Do T, Maidment NT (2001) Orphanin FQ/nociceptin attenuates motor stimulation and
changes in nucleus accumbens extracellular dopamine induced by cocaine in rats.
Psychopharmacology (Berl) 154:1–7.
Ma L, Cheng Z-J, Fan G-H, Cai Y-C, Jiang L-Z, Pei G (1997) Functional expression, activation and
desensitization of opioid receptor-like receptor ORL1 in neuroblastoma×glioma NG108-
15 hybrid cells. FEBS Letters 403:91–94.
Mandyam CD, Altememi GF, Standifer KM (2000) β-Funaltrexamine inactivates ORL1 receptors
in BE(2)-C human neuroblastoma cells. European Journal of Pharmacology 402:205–207.
Mandyam CD, Thakker DR, Christensen JL, Standifer KM (2002) Orphanin FQ/Nociceptin-
Mediated Desensitization of Opioid Receptor-Like 1 Receptor and μ Opioid Receptors
Involves Protein Kinase C: A Molecular Mechanism for Heterologous Cross-Talk. J
Pharmacol Exp Ther 302:502–509.
Margolis EB, Fujita W, Devi LA, Fields HL (2017) Two delta opioid receptor subtypes are
functional in single ventral tegmental area neurons, and can interact with the mu opioid
receptor. Neuropharmacology 123:420–432.
Margolis EB, Hjelmstad GO, Bonci A, Fields HL (2005) Both kappa and mu opioid agonists inhibit
glutamatergic input to ventral tegmental area neurons. J Neurophysiol 93:3086–93.
Margolis EB, Hjelmstad GO, Fujita W, Fields HL (2014) Direct Bidirectional μ-Opioid Control of
Midbrain Dopamine Neurons. J Neurosci 34:14707–14716.
Margolis EB, Lock H, Chefer VI, Shippenberg TS, Hjelmstad GO, Fields HL (2006) Kappa opioids
selectively control dopaminergic neurons projecting to the prefrontal cortex. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 103:2938–42.

Margolis EB, Mitchell JM, Hjelmstad GO, Fields HL (2011) A novel opioid receptor-mediated
enhancement of GABAA receptor function induced by stress in ventral tegmental area
neurons. J Physiol 589:4229–42.

McCutcheon JE, Ebner SR, Loriaux AL, Roitman MF (2012) Encoding of aversion by dopamine
and the nucleus accumbens. Front Neurosci 6:137.

Meng F, Taylor LP, Hoversten MT, Ueda Y, Ardati A, Reinscheid RK, Monsma FJ, Watson SJ,
Civelli O, Akil H (1996) Moving from the Orphanin FQ Receptor to an Opioid Receptor
Using Four Point Mutations. J Biol Chem 271:32016–32020.

Meunier J-C, Mollereau C, Toll L, Suaudeau C, Moisand C, Alvinerie P, Butour J-L, Guillemot J-C,
Ferrara P, Monsarrat B, Mazarguil H, Vassart G, Parmentier M, Costentin J (1995)
Isolation and structure of the endogenous agonist of opioid receptor-like ORL1 receptor.
Nature 377:532–535.

Mogil JS, Pasternak GW (2001) The Molecular and Behavioral Pharmacology of the Orphanin
FQ/Nociceptin Peptide and Receptor Family. Pharmacol Rev 53:381–415.

Mohebi A, Pettibone JR, Hamid AA, Wong J-MT, Vinson LT, Patriarchi T, Tian L, Kennedy RT,
Berke JD (2019) Dissociable dopamine dynamics for learning and motivation. Nature.

Mollereau C, Parmentier M, Mailleux P, Butour JL, Moisand C, Chalon P, Caput D, Vassart G,
Meunier JC (1994) ORL1, a novel member of the opioid receptor family. Cloning,
functional expression and localization. FEBS Lett 341:33–38.

Morales M, Margolis EB (2017) Ventral tegmental area: cellular heterogeneity, connectivity and
behaviour. Nat Rev Neurosci 18:73–85.

Morutto SL, Phillips GD (1998) Interactions between sulpiride infusions within the perifornical
region of the lateral hypothalamus and the nucleus accumbens on measures of
locomotor activity and conditioned place preference. Behav Pharmacol 9:345–55.

Murphy NP, Ly HT, Maidment NT (1996) Intracerebroventricular orphanin FQ/Nociceptin
supresses dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens of anaesthetized rats.
Neuroscience 75:1–4.

Murphy NP, Maidment NT (1999) Orphanin FQ/Nociceptin Modulation of Mesolimbic
Dopamine Transmission Determined by Microdialysis. Journal of Neurochemistry
73:179–186.

Nagai J, Kurokawa M, Takeshima H, Kieffer BL, Ueda H (2007) Circadian-Dependent Learning
and Memory Enhancement in Nociceptin Receptor-Deficient Mice with a Novel
KUROBOX Apparatus Using Stress-Free Positive Cue Task. J Pharmacol Exp Ther
321:195–201.

Nativio P, Pascale E, Maffei A, Scaccianoce S, Passarelli F (2012) Effect of stress on hippocampal
nociceptin expression in the rat. Stress 15:378–384.

Neal CR, Mansour A, Reinscheid R, Nothacker HP, Civelli O, Akil H, Watson SJ (1999) Opioid
receptor-like (ORL1) receptor distribution in the rat central nervous system: comparison

of ORL1 receptor mRNA expression with (125)I-[(14)Tyr]-orphanin FQ binding. J Comp
Neurol 412:563–605.
New DC, Wong YH (2002) The ORL1 Receptor: Molecular Pharmacology and Signalling
Mechanisms. NSG 11:197–212.
Nicholson JR, Akil H, Watson SJ (2002) Orphanin FQ-induced hyperphagia is mediated by
corticosterone and central glucocorticoid receptors. Neuroscience 115:637–643.
Noda Y, Mamiya T, Nabeshima T (1999) [Behavioral pharmacological characterization of mice
lacking the nociceptin receptor]. Nihon Shinkei Seishin Yakurigaku Zasshi 19:73–78.
Onali P, Olianas MC (1991) Naturally occurring opioid receptor agonists stimulate adenylate
cyclase activity in rat olfactory bulb. Mol Pharmacol 39:436–441.
O'Neill B, Patel JC, Rice ME (2017) Characterization of Optically and Electrically Evoked
Dopamine Release in Striatal Slices from Digenic Knock-in Mice with DAT-Driven
Expression of Channelrhodopsin. ACS Chem Neurosci 8:310–319.
Ostroumov A, Thomas AM, Kimmey BA, Karsch JS, Doyon WM, Dani JA (2016) Stress Increases
Ethanol Self-Administration via a Shift toward Excitatory GABA Signaling in the Ventral
Tegmental Area. Neuron 92:493–504.
Ott T, Nieder A (2019) Dopamine and Cognitive Control in Prefrontal Cortex. Trends in Cognitive
Sciences 0.
Parker KE et al. (2019) A Paranigral VTA Nociceptin Circuit that Constrains Motivation for
Reward. Cell 178:653-671.e19.
Paxinos G, Watson C (1997) The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates, Compact, 3rd ed. San
Diego: Academic Press.
Puig MV, Antzoulatos EG, Miller EK (2014) Prefrontal Dopamine in Associative Learning and
Memory. Neuroscience 282:217–229.
Puri SK, Cochin J, Volicer L (1975) Effect of morphine sulfate on adenylate cyclase and
phosphodiesterase activities in rat corpus striatum. Life Sciences 16:759–767.
Qi J, Zhang S, Wang HL, Barker DJ, Miranda-Barrientos J, Morales M (2016) VTA glutamatergic
inputs to nucleus accumbens drive aversion by acting on GABAergic interneurons. Nat
Neurosci 19:725–33.
Reinscheid RK, Ardati A, Monsma FJ, Civelli O (1996) Structure-Activity Relationship Studies on
the Novel Neuropeptide Orphanin FQ. J Biol Chem 271:14163–14168.
Reinscheid RK, Nothacker H-P, Bourson A, Ardati A, Henningsen RA, Bunzow JR, Grandy DK,
Langen H, Monsma FJ, Civelli O (1995) Orphanin FQ: A Neuropeptide That Activates an
Opioidlike G Protein-Coupled Receptor. Science 270:792–794.
Robble MA, Bozsik ME, Wheeler DS, Wheeler RA (2020) Learned avoidance requires VTA KOR-
mediated reductions in dopamine. Neuropharmacology 167:107996.
Rorick-Kehn LM, Ciccocioppo R, Wong CJ, Witkin JM, Martinez-Grau MA, Stopponi S, Adams BL,
Katner JS, Perry KW, Toledo MA, Diaz N, Lafuente C, Jiménez A, Benito A, Pedregal C,

818           Weiss F, Statnick MA (2016) A Novel, Orally Bioavailable Nociceptin Receptor
819           Antagonist, LY2940094, Reduces Ethanol Self-Administration and Ethanol Seeking in
820           Animal Models. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 40:945–954.

821 Sakoori K, Murphy NP (2004) Central administration of nociceptin/orphanin FQ blocks the
822           acquisition of conditioned place preference to morphine and cocaine, but not
823           conditioned place aversion to naloxone in mice. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 172:129–
824           136.

825 Sandin J, Ögren SO, Terenius L (2004) Nociceptin/orphanin FQ modulates spatial learning via
826           ORL-1 receptors in the dorsal hippocampus of the rat. Brain Research 997:222–233.

827 Santos LEC, Rodrigues AM, Lopes MR, Costa VDC, Scorza CA, Scorza FA, Cavalheiro EA, Almeida
828           A-CG (2017) Long-term alcohol exposure elicits hippocampal nonsynaptic epileptiform
829           activity changes associated with expression and functional changes in NKCC1, KCC2 co-
830           transporters and Na+/K+-ATPase. Neuroscience 340:530–541.

831 Shippenberg TS, Bals-Kubik R (1995) Involvement of the mesolimbic dopamine system in
832           mediating the aversive effects of opioid antagonists in the rat. Behav Pharmacol 6:99–
833           106.

834 Shippenberg TS, Bals-Kubik R, Huber A, Herz A (1991) Neuroanatomical substrates mediating
835           the aversive effects of D-1 dopamine receptor antagonists. Psychopharmacology (Berl)
836           103:209–14.

837 Sim LJ, Xiao R, Childers SR (1996) Identification of opioid receptor-like (ORL1) peptide-
838           stimulated [35S]GTP gamma S binding in rat brain. Neuroreport 7:729–733.

839 Spampinato S, Di Toro R, Alessandri M, Murari G (2002) Agonist-induced internalization and
840           desensitization of the human nociceptin receptor expressed in CHO cells. Cell Mol Life
841           Sci 59:2172–2183.

842 Spampinato S, Di Toro R, Qasem AR (2001) Nociceptin-induced internalization of the ORL1
843           receptor in human neuroblastoma cells. Neuroreport 12:3159–3163.

844 Spanagel R, Herz A, Shippenberg TS (1992) Opposing tonically active endogenous opioid
845           systems modulate the mesolimbic dopaminergic pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
846           89:2046–2050.

847 Spina L, Fenu S, Longoni R, Rivas E, Di Chiara G (2006) Nicotine-conditioned single-trial place
848           preference: selective role of nucleus accumbens shell dopamine D1 receptors in
849           acquisition. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 184:447–55.

850 Thakker DR, Standifer KM (2002) Induction of G protein-coupled receptor kinases 2 and 3
851           contributes to the cross-talk between μ and ORL1 receptors following prolonged agonist
852           exposure. Neuropharmacology 43:979–990.

853 Toledo MA, Pedregal C, Lafuente C, Diaz N, Martinez-Grau MA, Jiménez A, Benito A, Torrado A,
854           Mateos C, Joshi EM, Kahl SD, Rash KS, Mudra DR, Barth VN, Shaw DB, McKinzie D, Witkin
855           JM, Statnick MA (2014) Discovery of a novel series of orally active nociceptin/orphanin

FQ (NOP) receptor antagonists based on a dihydrospiro(piperidine-4,7'-thieno[2,3-

c]pyran) scaffold. J Med Chem 57:3418–3429.

Toll L, Bruchas MR, Calo' G, Cox BM, Zaveri NT (2016) Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ Receptor

Structure, Signaling, Ligands, Functions, and Interactions with Opioid Systems.

Pharmacol Rev 68:419–457.

Tsai H-C, Zhang F, Adamantidis A, Stuber GD, Bonci A, de Lecea L, Deisseroth K (2009) Phasic

firing in dopaminergic neurons is sufficient for behavioral conditioning. Science

324:1080–1084.

Tzschentke TM (2001) Pharmacology and behavioral pharmacology of the mesocortical

dopamine system. Progress in Neurobiology 63:241–320.

Ungerstedt U (1971) Stereotaxic mapping of the monoamine pathways in the rat brain. Acta

Physiol Scand Suppl 367:1–48.

Varty GB, Lu SX, Morgan CA, Cohen-Williams ME, Hodgson RA, Smith-Torhan A, Zhang H, Fawzi

AB, Graziano MP, Ho GD, Matasi J, Tulshian D, Coffin VL, Carey GJ (2008) The Anxiolytic-

Like Effects of the Novel, Orally Active Nociceptin Opioid Receptor Agonist 8-[bis(2-

Methylphenyl)methyl]-3-phenyl-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-ol (SCH 221510). J

Pharmacol Exp Ther 326:672–682.

Vaughan CW, Christie MJ (1996) Increase by the ORL1 receptor (opioid receptor-like1) ligand,

nociceptin, of inwardly rectifying K conductance in dorsal raphe nucleus neurones. Br J

Pharmacol 117:1609–1611.

Vazquez-DeRose J, Stauber G, Khroyan TV, Xie X (Simon), Zaveri NT, Toll L (2013) Retrodialysis

of N/OFQ into the nucleus accumbens shell blocks cocaine-induced increases in

extracellular dopamine and locomotor activity. Eur J Pharmacol 699:200–206.

Vitale G, Arletti R, Ruggieri V, Cifani C, Massi M (2006) Anxiolytic-like effects of

nociceptin/orphanin FQ in the elevated plus maze and in the conditioned defensive

burying test in rats. Peptides 27:2193–2200.

Walker JR, Spina M, Terenius L, Koob GF (1998) Nociceptin fails to affect heroin self-

administration in the rat. Neuroreport 9:2243–2247.

Wang JB, Johnson PS, Imai Y, Persico AM, Ozenberger BA, Eppler CM, Uhl GR (1994) cDNA

Cloning of an orphan opiate receptor gene family member and its splice variant. FEBS

Letters 348:75–79.

Werling LL, Frattali A, Portoghese PS, Takemori AE, Cox BM (1988) Kappa receptor regulation of

dopamine release from striatum and cortex of rats and guinea pigs. J Pharmacol Exp

Ther 246:282–286.

Winter S, Dieckmann M, Schwabe K (2009) Dopamine in the prefrontal cortex regulates rats

behavioral flexibility to changing reward value. Behavioural Brain Research 198:206–

213.

Wise RA (2005) Forebrain substrates of reward and motivation. J Comp Neurol 493:115–121.

Witkin JM, Wallace TL, Martin WJ (2019) Therapeutic Approaches for NOP Receptor Antagonists
in Neurobehavioral Disorders: Clinical Studies in Major Depressive Disorder and Alcohol
Use Disorder with BTRX-246040 (LY2940094). Handb Exp Pharmacol 254:399–415.
Witten IB, Steinberg EE, Lee SY, Davidson TJ, Zalocusky KA, Brodsky M, Yizhar O, Cho SL, Gong S,
Ramakrishnan C, Stuber GD, Tye KM, Janak PH, Deisseroth K (2011) Recombinase-driver
rat lines: tools, techniques, and optogenetic application to dopamine-mediated
reinforcement. Neuron 72:721–733.
Xiao C, Shao XM, Olive MF, Griffin 3rd WC, Li KY, Krnjevic K, Zhou C, Ye JH (2008) Ethanol
Facilitates Glutamatergic Transmission to Dopamine Neurons in the Ventral Tegmental
Area. Neuropsychopharmacology.
Zhang NR, Planer W, Siuda ER, Zhao H-C, Stickler L, Chang SD, Baird MA, Cao Y-Q, Bruchas MR
(2012) Serine 363 Is Required for Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ Opioid Receptor (NOPR)
Desensitization, Internalization, and Arrestin Signaling. J Biol Chem 287:42019–42030.
Zheng F, Grandy DK, Johnson SW (2002) Actions of orphanin FQ/nociceptin on rat ventral
tegmental area neurons in vitro. Br J Pharmacol 136:1065–1071.
909