Describing macroecological patterns in microbes: Approaches for comparative

analyses of operational taxonomic unit read number distribution with a case study

of global oceanic bacteria

Running title: OTU read number distributions in microbes

Ryosuke Nakadai[12†], Yusuke Okazaki[3], Shunsuke Matsuoka[4]

[1] Department of Environmental and Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science and

Forestry, University of Eastern Finland, Yliopistokatu 7, 80101 Joensuu, Finland

[2] Department of Ecosystem Studies, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences,

The University of Tokyo,1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan

[3] Bioproduction Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science

and Technology, Tsukuba, Japan

[4] Graduate School of Simulation Studies, University of Hyogo, Kobe, Hyogo, 650-0047,

Japan

[†]*Author Correspondence:* R. Nakadai

E-mail: r.nakadai66@gmail.com

Keywords: Species abundance distribution, Skewness, Weibull distribution, Whittaker

plot

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Doi Hideyuki, who provided fruitful comments on an early version of our

manuscript. Financial support was provided by the Japan Society for the Promotion of

Science (No. 18J00093 to RN).

Author contributions

RN conceived of the study, analyzed the data, and wrote the first draft; RN, YO, and

SM discussed the results and their interpretation and contributed significantly to the

final text.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Abstract

Describing the variation in commonness and rarity in a community is a fundamental method of evaluating biodiversity. Such patterns have been studied in the context of species abundance distributions (SADs) among macroscopic organisms in numerous communities. Recently, models for analyzing variation in local SAD shapes along environmental gradients have been constructed. The recent development of high-throughput sequencing enables evaluation of commonness and rarity in local communities of microbes using operational taxonomic unit (OTU) read number distributions (ORDs), which are conceptually similar to SADs. However, few studies have explored the variation in local microbial ORD shapes along environmental gradients. Therefore, the similarities and differences between SADs and ORDs are unclear, clouding any universal rules of global biodiversity patterns. We investigated the similarities and differences in ORD shapes vs. SADs, and how well environmental variables explain the variation in ORDs along latitudinal and depth gradients. Herein, we integrate ORDs into recent comparative analysis methods for SAD shape using datasets generated on the Tara Oceans expedition. About 56% of the variance in skewness of ORDs among global oceanic bacterial communities was explained with this method. Moreover, we confirmed that the parameter combination constraints of Weibull distributions were shared by ORDs of bacterial communities and SADs of tree communities, suggesting common long-term limitation processes such as adaptation and community persistence acting on current abundance variation. On the other hand, skewness was significantly greater for bacterial communities than tree communities, and many ecological predictions did not apply to bacterial communities, suggesting differences in the community assembly rules for microbes and macroscopic organisms. Approaches based on ORDs provide opportunities to quantify macroecological patterns of microbes under the same framework as macroscopic organisms.

Keywords: Species abundance distribution, Skewness, Weibull distribution, Whittaker

plot

Introduction

Species diversity patterns, which are characterized by numbers of species and

individuals, provide great opportunities for understanding the ecological and

evolutionary processes that drive global biodiversity (Rabinowitz, 1981; Ricklefs, 2000;

Hubbell, 2001, 2013; Loza *et al.*, 2017). In general, certain species are dominant while

others are rare locally and globally. The processes determining locally common and rare

species have been studied in the context of the species abundance distribution (SAD),

which is one of the most fundamental methods of describing local diversity (Motomura,

1932; MacArthur, 1960; McGill *et al.*, 2007; Doi and Mori, 2013; Ulrich *et al.*, 2018b).

SAD studies focus on the rarity and commonness of species in a local community, and

attempt to reconstruct the background processes that led to those patterns. To date,

numerous SAD models have been proposed (reviewed in McGill *et al.*, 2007).

MacArthur (1957, 1960) developed the broken stick model based on the hypothesized

niche portioning process, and Hubbell *et al.* (2001) provided a mechanistic

interpretation of observed abundance distributions with well-defined ecological

parameters such as dispersal, speciation rate, local abundance, and meta-community

size, under the premise of ecological drift. Using these models, we estimated

background processes from SAD patterns. Previous SAD investigations have been

conducted mainly in plants and animals (e.g., Ulrich *et al.*, 2010; Baldridge *et al.*, 2016),

because large datasets and specific criteria for species delimitation are necessary for

SAD. Therefore, the SAD approach has been applied less to studies of microorganisms

than those of macroscopic species.

The recent development of molecular techniques, in particular high-throughput

sequencing, has made it dramatically easier to capture biodiversity patterns in microbes

as well as larger organisms (Lynch and Neufeld, 2015; Schloss *et al.*, 2016; Shade *et al.*,

2018). High-throughput sequencing technology has revealed that the microbial

ecosystem is inhabited by a large number of rare microbial lineages (Fuhrman, 2009),

collectively referred to as the "rare biosphere" (Sogin *et al*., 2006; Galand *et al*., 2009;

Ser-Giacomi *et al*., 2018; reviewed in Lynch and Neufeld, 2015). The existence of rare

lineages strongly impacts community structure and diversity patterns, although their

ecological roles and the processes structuring the rare biosphere remain poorly

understood (Ser-Giacomi *et al*., 2018). The relationships between operational

taxonomic units (OTUs; reviewed in Blaxter *et al*., 2005) and read number have been

studied in microbes based on concepts similar to SAD (e.g., Livermore and Jones,

2015). Hereafter, we consider OTU read number distributions (ORDs), corresponding

conceptually to the rank abundance curve, as being distinct from SADs. OTUs are

generally defined as groups based on sequence similarity in maker genes (e.g., SSU

rRNA in bacteria and ITS in fungi) (Bálint *et al*., 2016). Numerous previous studies

have attempted to identify a general best-fit model for ORD in a particular habitat by

comparing various traditional SAD models (e.g., log-series, lognormal, and power-law

distributions) (Shade *et al*., 2012, Sherrill-Mix *et al*., 2016, Shoemaker *et al*., 2017,

Louca *et al*., 2019). However, few studies have focused on the continuous variation in

ORD shape within microbial communities along geographical and environmental

gradients (however, see Stegen *et al*., 2016), which has been a major recent trend in

SAD studies of macroecological patterns and their assembly processes.

In recent years, methods for analyzing macroecological patterns and community

assembly by comparing SAD shapes have been developed to overcome the limitations

of conventional SAD modeling. Specifically, researchers have recently recognized that

multiple processes can generate similar SAD shapes, resulting in the fit of a given SAD

model not providing clear evidence to support a particular theory (Mathews *et al*., 2017).

An alternative approach to comparing changes in parameter value(s) for a given SAD

model or the fits of different SAD models to data from a single site is the collection of

abundance data from a variety of sites followed by construction of models to analyze

how SAD properties vary with predictor variables (i.e., comparative analyses of SAD

shape; White *et al*., 2012, Ulrich *et al*., 2016a, b, Fattorini *et al*., 2016; Borda-de-Água

et al., 2017, Guerin *et al*., 2017, Mathews *et al*., 2019; reviewed in Mathews *et al*.,

2017). Many methods have been developed to evaluate SAD shape. For example, ρ_{norm}

has been used to evaluate the relative fits of log-series and lognormal distributions

(Ulrich *et al*., 2016 a, b). However, the fits of both log-series and lognormal

distributions to community data often weaken with increasing species richness (Ulrich

et al., 2016a, b, also see Fig. S2), which makes the interpretation of these fits

inconsistent along species richness gradients in community data. The gambin

distribution (Ugland *et al*., 2007) is often used to evaluate SAD shape (Mathews *et al*.,

2014, 2017, 2019), but creation of the gambin distribution requires binning the

abundance data into octaves prior to fitting, losing species-level abundance information.

In addition, the current criterion used to fit the model is the chi-square test, which

generally has a strong dependence on sample size, which makes it difficult to apply the

gambin distribution go high-throughput sequencing data with large numbers of both

OTUs and reads.

The comparative analysis approaches used for SAD shape provide two new insights.

First, estimating the constraints of community assembly involves analyzing

macroecological patterns. Ulrich *et al*. (2018b) proposed the concept of 'forbidden

communities' in SAD shapes, placing limitations on the possible combinations of λ

(scale parameter) and η (shape parameter). The parameter λ can therefore be interpreted

as a measure of SAD shape-specific evenness. The shape parameter η is associated with

an excess of either highly abundant species (low η) or rare species (high η). Specifically,

Ulrich *et al*. (2018b) argued that the combination of high η and low λ generates SADs

very similar to the well-known broken stick distribution (MacArthur 1957), but those

distributions rarely occur in nature. Ulrich *et al*. (2018b) showed that the shape and

scale parameters of this distribution have precise ecological interpretations, with the

first acting as a measure of the excess of either rare or common species and the second

quantifying the proportion of persistent species in the focal community. In addition,

Ulrich *et al*. (2018b) showed that the scale parameter is linearly correlated with failure

time mathematically, and that it indicates the relative proportion of adapted species in a

community that may be persistent. Thus, these forbidden communities may indicate

limited long-term processes such as adaptation and the persistence of the community

characterized by the current abundance variation.

Recently, biogeographic and macroecological studies on geographical and climatic

variation in SADs have employed large datasets, mainly for plants (White *et al*., 2012;

Ulrich *et al*., 2016a, b; Guerin *et al*., 2017). Indeed, large-scale SAD studies have

recently gained attention due to the accessibility of databases. A large amount of species

abundance data collected across a broad range of environments is available for

large-scale comparative SAD research (Fattorini *et al*., 2016; Borda-de-Água *et al*.,

2017). For example, Ulrich *et al*. (2016a) showed latitudinal patterns of SAD shape and

discussed the processes behind these patterns using a climatic dataset, while Guerin *et*

al. (2017) reported that geographical and climatic gradients affect SAD shapes and

discussed future changes in their shapes expected as a consequence of climate change,

with changes in diversity and ecosystem function.

Here, we integrate recent approaches used for comparative analyses of SAD shape

into ORDs. As a case study, we used community datasets generated form the Tara

Oceans expedition (Sunagawa *et al*., 2015). Tara Oceans is a project that profiled

planktonic and microbial communities in the global ocean using high-throughput

sequencing. We investigated the drivers of variation in ORDs from local bacterial

communities along a geographic gradient, and assessed the similarities and differences

between the geographical patterns of microbes and macroscopic organisms, comparing

the ORDs of bacterial communities with those of macroscopic communities. In

particular, we studied the similarities and differences in ORD and SAD shapes, with a

particular focus on skewness and parameter combinations of the Weibull distribution,

and the extent to which environmental variables explain the variation in ORDs along

latitudinal and depth gradients. This approach could support further acceleration of

microbial studies by revealing the drivers of variation in ORD shape and making

comparisons between microbes and macroscopic species.

Materials and Methods

Empirical data

We used 139 oceanic bacterial community datasets generated from the Tara Oceans

expedition (Sunagawa *et al.*, 2015). Sunagawa *et al.* (2015) extracted merged

metagenomic Illumina reads (miTAGs) containing signatures of the 16S rRNA gene

(Logares *et al.*, 2013), which were mapped to the SILVA SSU rRNA gene sequence

database (Quast *et al.*, 2013) and clustered into OTUs at the 97% similarity level. The

range of total reads per sample was 34,081–184,190, with an average of 90,103.06 ±

28,240.38. The OTU count table was summarized at multiple taxonomic levels and can

be downloaded from http://ocean-microbiome.embl.de/companion.html (Sunagawa *et*

al., 2015). We extracted OTUs representing the domain Bacteria for analyses.

We calculated the skewness *γ* of log-transformed relative read numbers to assess the

degree of lower curvature (Ulrich *et al.*, 2016a), which was compared to a symmetrical

lognormal distribution. Negative values of *γ* indicate an excess of rare OTUs, while

positive values represent an excess of abundant OTUs compared to a lognormal

distribution that is symmetrical around the mean. Thus, the symmetrical lognormal

distribution is not skewed. Asymmetrical lognormal SADs nearly always indicate an

excess of rare OTUs, and consequently have negative skewness (McGill, 2003). The

log-series model shows an excess of relatively abundant OTUs (associated with positive

skewness). We used the parametric function SE $(\gamma) = (6/n)^{1/2}$ (Tabachnick and Fidell,

1996) to test for significant skewness in SADs (Ulrich *et al.*, 2016a). In this bacterial

community dataset, skewness is positively correlated with the number of rare OTUs

(rare biosphere: <0.1 or <0.01, criteria based on Galand *et al.*, 2009, Pedrós-Alió *et al.*,

2012) (Fig. S1). The relationships among ρ_{norm}, the alpha parameter of the gambin

distribution, and skewness are shown in Fig. S3.

Comparison between ORDs of microbes and SADs of forest plots

To reveal differences between the ORD shapes of microbes and SAD shapes of

macroscopic organisms, we first compared the skewness values of bacterial

communities with those of tree communities published in Appendix 1 of Ulrich *et al.*

(2016a). In addition, we compared the two-parameter Weibull distribution, which was

recently suggested by Ulrich *et al.* (2018b) as a flexible descriptive model for SAD

shapes. Ulrich *et al.* (2018b) suggested that the shape and scale parameters of the

Weibull distribution have precise ecological interpretations, with the first being a

measure of the excess of either rare or common species, and the second quantifying the

proportion of persistent species in the focal community. To identify any similar

limitations of parameter combinations in bacterial communities, we fitted

two-parameter Weibull distributions to datasets of oceanic bacterial communities and

compared the ORD shapes for microbes with the empirical results from 534 tree

communities worldwide, presented in Ulrich *et al.* (2018a). If the ORDs of bacterial

communities show similar constraints, community structuring processes are likely

shared between the bacterial and tree communities, although the specific mechanisms

are unknown. We used the reduced major axis fitting method, following Ulrich *et al.*

(2018b). Values of *fit* < 0.05 indicate an excellent fit, while *fit* > 0.3 is poor. We used

the updated stand-alone application RAD 2.0 (Ulrich *et al.*, 2010) for fitting of the

two-parameter Weibull distributions.

Explanatory variables for skewness in ORDs

We propose three hypotheses for determining skewness in microbial communities

based on existing studies in macroscopic organisms. First, climatic and geographic

factors, which are directly associated with local productivity, drive skewness

(productivity hypothesis), with higher productivity facilitating lower skewness

(Whittaker, 1975; Hubbell, 1979; Ulrich et al., 2016a). Second, local concentrations of

nutrients in water negatively affect skewness (nutrient hypothesis), and thus a more

eutrophic environment exhibits lower skewness. Last, characteristics of microbial life

cycle strategies (i.e., longevity and persistence) negatively influence skewness (r-K

strategy hypothesis), with shorter generation times leading to greater skewness. More

detailed explanations of those hypotheses and predictions are provided in Table 1. The

original dataset from Sunagawa et al. (2015) is also included with information about

each sample.

In the multi-regression model, we set latitude, squared latitude, water depth (m),

nitrite concentration (μmol L^{-1}), phosphate concentration (μmol L^{-1}), and minimum

potential generation time (h) as explanatory variables for the variation in skewness. The

minimum potential generation times of microbial communities, which were determined

from codon usage biases (for detailed methods, see Vieira-Silva and Rocha 2010), were

used as an index of r-K strategy at the community level. Minimum potential generation

time data are also included the original dataset (Sunagawa et al., 2015). The data output

from high-throughput sequencing generally contains different sequencing depths (i.e.,

uneven sampling effort) for each sample. Sampling effort influences observed SAD

shape (Preston, 1948). Therefore, to account for the effects of biases in the sampled data,

we added the OTU richness and total read number of each sample as covariates in the

regression models. To avoid nonlinear effects, we used ln-transformed data for water

depth, species richness, and total abundance.

The level of collinearity between these explanatory variables was determined by

calculating the variance inflation factor (VIF). All variables were standardized to zero

mean and unit variance prior to parameter estimation. We selected explanatory variables

according to a threshold VIF value, where VIF > 10 indicates that the model has a

collinearity problem (Quinn and Keough, 2002, Neves *et al.*, 2015). VIF ranged from

1.10 to 8.26, suggesting a lack of multicollinearity in this multi-regression model.

However, the effects of temperature on skewness showed the opposite trends compared

to the results of simple correlation (Fig. S4). We excluded temperature from the final

analyses, causing the VIFs to range from 1.07 to 3.06. We use the 'car' package of R

(Fox *et al.*, 2007) to calculate VIFs and the 'rsq' package (Zhang, 2018) to calculate

partial r-square coefficients.

Results

The mean of skewness was 0.81 ± 0.17 among 139 samples. All communities showed

significant positively skewed trends compared to the symmetric lognormal model

(Tabachnick and Fidell, 1996; Ulrich *et al.*, 2016a), indicating that all ORD shapes are

significantly more similar to log-series distributions than lognormal distributions. Based

on the results of t-test, bacterial communities are significantly more skewed than tree

communities (Welch's t-test; $p<0.0001$), and thus more similar to the log-series

distribution in shape.

Weibull distribution fits to 137 of the 139 communities (98.6%) were moderate (fit <

0.3), while only two (1.4%) were comparatively poor (fit>0.3). The parameter

combinations in bacterial communities did not exceed the parameter space for tree

communities (Fig. 1), suggesting constraints on ORD shape (Ulrich *et al.*, 2018a).

In total, the model explained about 56% of the variance in skewness in 131 ORDs

(Table 2). Eight communities lacked some data and were excluded from the analyses of

ORD shapes. Skewness increased with increasing minimum potential generation time,

and was not associated with productivity, nutrients or r-K strategy, as shown in Table 1.

Discussion

We fit the two-parameter Weibull distribution and clearly showed that the parameters of
the bacterial communities were not outside of the limited space described in Ulrich *et al*.
(2018b), suggesting some limitation on community assembly processes that is shared
between SADs and ORDs. We could explain about 56% of skewness variation in ORDs,
revealing the drivers of skewness. Therefore, we conclude that comparative analyses of
ORDs shape are also useful for identifying drivers and background processes, and that
ORD shapes have similar constraints to those described in Ulrich *et al*. (2018b). These
findings emphasize the applicability of comparative analyses of ORD shape in
microbial communities. However, the number of case studies using this method remains
small, so further empirical studies are needed to fully elucidate ORD patterns and their
drivers.

Similarities and differences between SADs and ORDs

Comparison of SADs and ORDs has been suggested as a useful approach to identify
general rules across microbial and macroscopic communities (Shade *et al*., 2018). In the
present study, we compared SADs of tree communities with ORDs of microbial
communities using the two fitted parameters (shape parameter [η] and scale parameter
[λ]) of the Weibull distribution. We confirmed similar constraints of parameter
combinations in ORDs and SADs of tree communities of $\eta < 3$ and $\lambda < 6$, as originally
proposed in Ulrich *et al*. (2018b). These constraints on community structure may
indicate limitation due to long-term processes such as adaptation and persistence of the
community on variation in abundance (Ulrich *et al*. 2018b). Locey and Lennon (2016)
found similar scaling of commonness and rarity across microbes and macroscopic plants
and animals, and proposed a universal dominance scaling law that holds true over 30
orders of magnitude. We suggest that the concept of forbidden communities presented
by Ulrich *et al*. (2018b) is related to the scaling law (e.g., community persistence is

regulated by metabolic rate). Further comparisons of SADs and ORDs may reveal

whether similar constraints exist in other taxonomic groups and habitats.

We emphasize that ORDs should have similar dataset properties as SADs. We also

take a negative view of the common practice of referring to ORDs as SADs and their

inclusion in SAD studies. In our analyses, the skewness of bacterial communities was

significantly greater than that of tree communities, indicating a larger proportion of rare

OTUs among bacteria than among trees. Previous studies in macroscopic organisms

have reported that persistent species (i.e., stable communities driven mainly by habitat

filtering) exhibit a lognormal SAD (i.e., smaller skewness), while transient species (i.e.,

dispersal-driven communities that vary over time) are best modeled with the log-series

(i.e., high skewness) distribution (Magurran and Henderson, 2003; Ulrich and Ollik,

2004; Ulrich *et al.*, 2010, 2016a). Therefore, our results indicate that bacterial

communities include larger proportions of transient OTUs if we interpret the results

based on findings from macroscopic organisms.

There are two major factors that should be taken into consideration to inclusion of

ORDs in SAD studies. The first issue is associated with delimitation of species and

individuals in microbes (reviewed in Hason *et al.*, 2012). Empirically, 97% similarity in

(partial) 16S rRNA gene sequences has commonly been used to characterize

prokaryotic species-level phylogenetic diversity. However this resolution leads to

underestimation of genomic (i.e., species) diversity (Rodriguez-R *et al.*, 2018). In tree

communities, Hubbell (2013) found differences in SAD shape among taxonomic

resolutions (i.e., species, genus, and family) in tropical forest data; specifically, the tail

length (rare species) decreased with lower taxonomic resolution. Moreover, researchers

generally treat the read number of OTUs as an abundance metric. However, the results

of 16S rRNA gene sequencing reveal relative, rather than absolute, abundances of

individual lineages. In addition, these results may be biased due to differences in PCR

primer specificity and gene copy number among lineages. Thus, care should be taken

when comparing those patterns with actual abundance data.

The second issue is associated with the community characteristics. In SAD studies,

researchers generally focus on a horizontal community composed of a single trophic

level, such as "tree community" and "herbivore community" (but see Mathews *et al*.,

2019). However, the sequencing data based on a marker gene contains DNA of the

entire assemblage in a sample, which makes it impossible to categorize the trophic

levels of all microbes and ontogenetic stages. The presence of a persistent microbial

seed bank (i.e., *in situ* populations of long-lived rare OTUs) might affect

community-level patterns (Gibbsons *et al*., 2013), making it difficult to interpret the

relationship between the proportion of rare OTUs and minimum potential generation

time. In other words, microbial community datasets include different life stages as one

category, analogous to grouping "seed in the soil," "mature tree," and "dead tree" in a

dataset of trees. Above, we noted the possibility that bacterial communities include

larger proportions of transient OTUs when interpreted based on data for macroscopic

organisms. If we consider that long-lived rare OTUs are present *in situ*, the

interpretation of a larger proportion of transient OTUs in microbes is directly

contradicted. Therefore, it may be difficult to apply ecological rules that were

developed in horizontal communities of macroscopic species (Vellend *et al*., 2010,

Vellend 2016) to DNA-based microbial datasets, at least those related to the community

properties of persistence and transience.

Extent to which environmental variables explain variation in ORD shapes in oceanic

bacteria worldwide

The multi-regression model explained about 56% of skewness variation in ORDs. Using

data from oceanic bacterial communities, we confirmed that the patterns predicted from

hypotheses based on macroscopic studies were not supported, as shown in Table 1.

Furthermore, the skewness was significantly and positively affected by the minimum

potential generation time within bacterial communities. This result is in opposition to

the prediction based on research in macroscopic organisms (Table 1, 2). To explain the

opposing trends in bacterial communities compared to predictions for macroscopic

species, we could interpret this result as showing that competitive exclusion is less

likely occur in bacteria than in macroscopic communities. In macroscopic organisms,

species are adapted to the environment as a community, which makes the relationship

between the environment and community structure clear. In animals and plants, when

suitable species are present in an environment, unsuitable species are excluded from the

community, and the relationship between the environment and community structure is

simple. On the other hand, bacteria under unsuitable conditions may continue existing

(e.g., enter dormancy), thus appearing in high-throughput sequencing data. Campbell *et*

al. (2011) compared DNA-based patterns with those based on RNA (i.e.,

transcriptionally active OTUs), and suggested that about 12% of amplicon sequences of

oceanic bacteria are always inactive.

Conclusions and perspectives

Comparative analyses of skewness, ρ_{norm}, and the Weibull and gambin parameters,

which are major approaches used recently in SADs, provide researchers a basis for

discussing similarities and differences between microbial and macroscopic life. In the

near future, comparative approaches between microbes and macroscopic organisms,

including environmental DNA (eDNA) metabarcoding studies (e.g., Doi *et al.*, 2019),

may reveal universal rules determining global biodiversity patterns. Further theoretical

frameworks focused specifically on microbes and multi-trophic data are needed, with

macroscopic ecology studies such as Hubbell *et al*. (2001) as a starting point for

discussion (Rosindell *et al.*, 2012). We encourage construction of microbe-specific

ecological rules, such as rules explicitly considering the metabolic versatility of

microbes in community assembly processes, as the properties necessary for inclusion in

datasets differs from those for macroscopic organisms. At the same time, further

comparative analyses may reveal the detailed drivers and facilitate a better

understanding of the similarities and differences between the quantitative patterns of

macroscopic and microbial communities.

Data Accessibility Statement

All environmental factors, calculated data, and the OTU table used for analyses are

presented in Tables S1 and S2, and the original data were published in Sunagawa *et al*.

(2015) and http://ocean-microbiome.embl.de/companion.html. The Weibull parameters

and skewness of global tree communities published in Ulrich *et al*. (2018a) are available

from https://figshare.com/articles/Weibull_fits/5975098 and Appendix 1 of Ulrich *et al*.

(2016a), respectively.

References

Baldridge, E., Harris, D. J., Xiao, X., & White, E. P. (2016). An extensive comparison

of species-abundance distribution models. PeerJ, 4, e2823.

Bálint, M., Bahram, M., Eren, A. M., Faust, K., Fuhrman, J. A., Lindahl, B., ... &

Tedersoo, L. (2016). Millions of reads, thousands of taxa: microbial community

structure and associations analyzed via marker genes. FEMS microbiology reviews,

40(5), 686-700.

Blaxter, M., Mann, J., Chapman, T., Thomas, F., Whitton, C., Floyd, R., & Abebe, E.

(2005). Defining operational taxonomic units using DNA barcode data. Philosophical

Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 360(1462), 1935-1943.

Borda-de-Água, L., Whittaker, R. J., Cardoso, P., Rigal, F., Santos, A. M., Amorim, I.

R., ... & Borges, P. A. (2017). Dispersal ability determines the scaling properties of

species abundance distributions: a case study using arthropods from the Azores.

Scientific reports, 7(1), 3899.

Campbell, B. J., Yu, L., Heidelberg, J. F., & Kirchman, D. L. (2011). Activity of

abundant and rare bacteria in a coastal ocean. Proceedings of the National Academy

of Sciences, 108(31), 12776-12781.

Doi, H., & Mori, T. (2013). The discovery of species–abundance distribution in an

ecological community. Oikos, 122(2), 179-182.

Doi, H., Inui, R., Matsuoka, S., Akamatsu, Y., Goto, M., & Kono, T. (2019). Evaluation

of biodiversity metrics through environmental DNA metabarcoding outperforms

visual and capturing surveys. bioRxiv, 617670.

Fattorini, S., Rigal, F., Cardoso, P., & Borges, P. A. (2016). Using species abundance

distribution models and diversity indices for biogeographical analyses. Acta

oecologica, 70, 21-28.

Fox, J., Friendly, G. G., Graves, S., Heiberger, R., Monette, G., Nilsson, H., ... &

Suggests, M. A. S. S. (2007). The car package. R Foundation for Statistical

Computing.

Fuhrman, J. A. (2009). Microbial community structure and its functional implications.

Nature, 459(7244), 193.

Galand, P. E., Casamayor, E. O., Kirchman, D. L., & Lovejoy, C. (2009). Ecology of

the rare microbial biosphere of the Arctic Ocean. Proceedings of the National

Academy of Sciences, 106(52), 22427-22432.

Gibbons, S. M., Caporaso, J. G., Pirrung, M., Field, D., Knight, R., & Gilbert, J. A.

(2013). Evidence for a persistent microbial seed bank throughout the global ocean.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(12), 4651-4655.

Guerin, G. R., Sparrow, B., Tokmakoff, A., Smyth, A., Leitch, E., Baruch, Z., & Lowe,

A. J. (2017). Opportunities for integrated ecological analysis across inland Australia

with standardised data from Ausplots Rangelands. PloS one, 12(1), e0170137.

Hanson, C. A., Fuhrman, J. A., Horner-Devine, M. C., & Martiny, J. B. (2012). Beyond

biogeographic patterns: processes shaping the microbial landscape. Nature Reviews

Microbiology, 10(7), 497.

Hubbell, S. P. (2013). Tropical rain forest conservation and the twin challenges of

diversity and rarity. Ecology and evolution, 3(10), 3263-3274.

Hubbell, S. P. (2001). The unified neutral theory of biodiversity and biogeography

(MPB-32). Princeton University Press.

Hubbell, S. P. (1979). Tree dispersion, abundance, and diversity in a tropical dry forest.

Science, 203(4387), 1299-1309.

Livermore, J. A., & Jones, S. E. (2015). Local–global overlap in diversity informs

mechanisms of bacterial biogeography. The ISME journal, 9(11), 2413.

Locey, K. J., & Lennon, J. T. (2016). Scaling laws predict global microbial diversity.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113(21), 5970-5975.

Logares, R., Sunagawa, S., Salazar, G., Cornejo-Castillo, F. M., Ferrera, I., Sarmento,

H., ... & Raes, J. (2014). Metagenomic 16S rDNA I llumina tags are a powerful

alternative to amplicon sequencing to explore diversity and structure of microbial

communities. Environmental microbiology, 16(9), 2659-2671.

Louca, S., Mazel, F., Doebeli, M., & Parfrey, L. W. (2019). A census-based estimate of

Earth's bacterial and archaeal diversity. PLoS biology, 17(2), e3000106.

Loza, M. I., Jiménez, I., Jørgensen, P. M., Arellano, G., Macía, M. J., Torrez, V. W., &

Ricklefs, R. E. (2017). Phylogenetic patterns of rarity in a regional species pool of

tropical woody plants. Global ecology and biogeography, 26(9), 1043-1054.

Lynch, M. D., & Neufeld, J. D. (2015). Ecology and exploration of the rare biosphere.

Nature Reviews Microbiology, 13(4), 217.

MacArthur, R. H. (1957). On the relative abundance of bird species. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 43(3), 293.

MacArthur, R. (1960). On the relative abundance of species. The American Naturalist,

94(874), 25-36.

Magurran, A. E., & Henderson, P. A. (2003). Explaining the excess of rare species in

natural species abundance distributions. Nature, 422(6933), 714.

Martiny, J. B. H., Bohannan, B. J., Brown, J. H., Colwell, R. K., Fuhrman, J. A., Green,

J. L., ... & Morin, P. J. (2006). Microbial biogeography: putting microorganisms on

the map. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 4(2), 102.

Matthews, T. J., Borregaard, M. K., Ugland, K. I., Borges, P. A., Rigal, F., Cardoso, P.,

& Whittaker, R. J. (2014). The gambin model provides a superior fit to species

abundance distributions with a single free parameter: evidence, implementation and

interpretation. Ecography, 37(10), 1002-1011.

Matthews, T. J., Borges, P. A., de Azevedo, E. B., & Whittaker, R. J. (2017). A

biogeographical perspective on species abundance distributions: recent advances and

opportunities for future research. Journal of biogeography, 44(8), 1705-1710.

Matthews, T. J., Sadler, J. P., Kubota, Y., Woodall, C. W., & Pugh, T. A. (2019).

Systematic variation in North American tree species abundance distributions along

macroecological climatic gradients. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 28(5),

601-611.

McGill, B. J., Etienne, R. S., Gray, J. S., Alonso, D., Anderson, M. J., Benecha, H. K., ...

& Hurlbert, A. H. (2007). Species abundance distributions: moving beyond single

prediction theories to integration within an ecological framework. Ecology letters,

10(10), 995-1015.

McGill, B. J. (2003). Does Mother Nature really prefer rare species or are log‐left‐

skewed SADs a sampling artefact?. Ecology Letters, 6(8), 766-773.

Motomura, I. (1932). On the statistical treatment of communities. – Zoological

Magazine (Tokyo), 44, 379–383.

Neves, D. M., Dexter, K. G., Pennington, R. T., Bueno, M. L., & Oliveira Filho, A. T.

(2015). Environmental and historical controls of floristic composition across the

South American Dry Diagonal. Journal of Biogeography, 42(8), 1566-1576.

Preston, F. W. (1948). The commonness, and rarity, of species. Ecology, 29(3), 254-283.

Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., ... & Glöckner,

F. O. (2012). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data

processing and web-based tools. Nucleic acids research, 41(D1), D590-D596.

Quinn, G. P., & Keough, M. J. (2002). Experimental design and data analysis for

biologists. Cambridge University Press.

Rabinowitz, D. (1981). Seven forms of rarity. Biological aspects of rare plant

conservation.

Ricklefs, R. E. (2000). Rarity and diversity in Amazonian forest trees. Trends in

Ecology & Evolution, 15(3), 83-84.

Rodriguez-R, L. M., Castro, J. C., Kyrpides, N. C., Cole, J. R., Tiedje, J. M., &

Konstantinidis, K. T. (2018). How much do rRNA gene surveys underestimate extant

bacterial diversity?. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 84(6), e00014-18.

Rosindell, J., Hubbell, S. P., He, F., Harmon, L. J., & Etienne, R. S. (2012). The case

for ecological neutral theory. Trends in ecology & evolution, 27(4), 203-208.

Schloss, P. D., Girard, R. A., Martin, T., Edwards, J., & Thrash, J. C. (2016). Status of

the archaeal and bacterial census: an update. MBio, 7(3), e00201-16.

Ser-Giacomi, E., Zinger, L., Malviya, S., De Vargas, C., Karsenti, E., Bowler, C., & De

Monte, S. (2018). Ubiquitous abundance distribution of non-dominant plankton

across the global ocean. Nature ecology & evolution, 2(8), 1243.

Shade, A., Read, J. S., Youngblut, N. D., Fierer, N., Knight, R., Kratz, T. K., ... &

Whitaker, R. J. (2012). Lake microbial communities are resilient after a

whole-ecosystem disturbance. The ISME journal, 6(12), 2153.

Shade, A., Dunn, R. R., Blowes, S. A., Keil, P., Bohannan, B. J., Herrmann, M., ... &

Chase, J. (2018). Macroecology to unite all life, large and small. Trends in ecology &

evolution.

Sherrill-Mix, S., McCormick, K., Lauder, A., Bailey, A., Zimmerman, L., Li, Y., ... &

Hart, T. B. (2018). Allometry and ecology of the bilaterian gut microbiome. MBio,

9(2), e00319-18.

Shoemaker, W. R., Locey, K. J., & Lennon, J. T. (2017). A macroecological theory of

microbial biodiversity. Nature ecology & evolution, 1(5), 0107.

Sogin, M. L., Morrison, H. G., Huber, J. A., Welch, D. M., Huse, S. M., Neal, P. R., ...

& Herndl, G. J. (2006). Microbial diversity in the deep sea and the underexplored

"rare biosphere". Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103(32),

12115-12120.

Stegen, J. C., Hurlbert, A. H., Bond-Lamberty, B., Chen, X., Anderson, C. G., Chu, R.

K., ... & Tfaily, M. (2016). Aligning the measurement of microbial diversity with

macroecological theory. Frontiers in microbiology, 7, 1487.

Sunagawa, S., Coelho, L. P., Chaffron, S., Kultima, J. R., Labadie, K., Salazar, G., ... &

Cornejo-Castillo, F. M. (2015). Structure and function of the global ocean

microbiome. Science, 348(6237), 1261359.

Tabachnick, B. G., Fidell, L. S., & Ullman, J. B. (2007). Using multivariate statistics

(Vol. 5). Boston, MA: Pearson.

Ugland, K. I., Lambshead, P. J. D., McGill, B., Gray, J. S., O'Dea, N., Ladle, R. J., &

Whittaker, R. J. (2007). Modelling dimensionality in species abundance distributions:

description and evaluation of the Gambin model. Evolutionary Ecology Research,

9(2), 313-324.

Ulrich, W., & Ollik, M. (2004). Frequent and occasional species and the shape of

relative-abundance distributions. Diversity and distributions, 10(4), 263-269.

Ulrich, W., Kusumoto, B., Shiono, T., & Kubota, Y. (2016a). Climatic and geographic

correlates of global forest tree species–abundance distributions and community

evenness. Journal of vegetation science, 27(2), 295-305.

Ulrich, W., Soliveres, S., Thomas, A. D., Dougill, A. J., & Maestre, F. T. (2016b).

Environmental correlates of species rank−abundance distributions in global drylands.

Perspectives in plant ecology, evolution and systematics, 20, 56-64.

Ulrich, W., Nakadai, R., Matthews, T., Kubota, Y., (2018a).

https://figshare.com/articles/Weibull_fits/5975098.

Ulrich, W., Nakadai, R., Matthews, T. J., & Kubota, Y. (2018b). The two-parameter

Weibull distribution as a universal tool to model the variation in species relative

abundances. Ecological complexity, 36, 110-116.

Ulrich, W., Ollik, M., & Ugland, K. I. (2010). A meta-analysis of species–abundance

distributions. Oikos, 119(7), 1149-1155.

Vellend, M. (2010). Conceptual synthesis in community ecology. The Quarterly review

of biology, 85(2), 183-206.

Vellend, M. (2016). The theory of ecological communities (MPB-57) (Vol. 75).

Princeton University Press.

White, E. P., Thibault, K. M., & Xiao, X. (2012). Characterizing species abundance

distributions across taxa and ecosystems using a simple maximum entropy model.

Ecology, 93(8), 1772-1778.

Whittaker, R.H. (1975). Communities and Ecosystems, 2nd edn. MacMillan, New York,

NY, US.

Zhang, D. (2018). rsq: R-squared and related measures. R package version, 1(1).

 Figure legend

Figure 1 Relationship between shape (η) and scale (λ) parameters. Blue circles indicate

the parameters of 139 bacterial communities analyzed in this study. Gray circles

indicate the parameters of 534 empirical global tree communities published in Ulrich *et*

al. (2018a) and https://figshare.com/articles/Weibull_fits/5975098. The parameters of

bacterial communities did not exceed the parameter space of tree communities.

Figure 1

Table 1 Major hypotheses and predictions associated with skewness tested in this study

Hypothesis	Prediction	Related variables	References
(i) Low productivity conditions facilitate skewness (productivity hypothesis).	Skewness decreases toward lower-latitude, deeper, and cooler conditions.	Latitude, Water depth, Temperature	Whittaker (1975), Hubbell (1979), Ulrich et al. (2016a)
(ii) Nutrient limitation facilitates skewness (nutrient hypothesis).	Skewness increases toward lower-nutrient conditions.	NO_2, PO_4	Ulrich et al. (2016a)
(iii) Dominance of r strategists facilitates skewness (r-K strategy hypothesis).	Skewness decreases with increasing long-lived OTUs.	Minimum potential generation time	Magurran & Henderson (2003), Ulrich & Ollik (2004), Ulrich et al. (2016a)

586 **Table 2 Results of the multi-regression model for skewness.**

	β	r^2 par	P	
Latitude	-0.0425	0.0034	0.5190	
Latitude^2	0.0817	0.0150	0.1740	
ln(Water depth)	0.0304	0.0007	0.7660	
NO_2	0.0530	0.0064	0.3770	
PO_4	0.1397	0.0120	0.1180	
Minimum potential generation time	0.3884	0.1634	<0.0001	***
ln(Total read number)	0.0190	0.0006	0.7830	
ln(OTUs richness)	0.3863	0.1335	<0.0001	***
Whole model		*adj. R^2*	*P*	
		0.5591	<0.0001	***

Significance: *<0.05, **<0.01, ***<0.001

Figure S1 Positive correlations between skewness and rare OTU richness ((a) *adj. R^2 =*

0.3951, *p* <0.0001, (b) *adj. R^2* = 0.3966, *p* <0.0001).

Figure S2 Relationship between lognormal and log-series fits ((a) *adj. R^2 = –0.0045, p =*

0.5387, (b) *adj. R^2* = 0.1243, *p* < 0.0001, (c) *adj. R^2* = 0.0840, *p* = 0.0003). We followed

the methods of Ulrich *et al.* (2016a) for calculating both log-series and lognormal fits.

Figure S3 Comparison among ρ_{norm}, gambin alpha, and skewness. We calculated two

indices used for comparative analyses of SAD shapes, ρ_{norm} and gambin alpha. ((a) *adj.*

R^2 = 0.3173, *p* <0.0001, (b) *adj. R^2* = 0.4440, *p* <0.0001, (c) *adj. R^2* = 0.7773, *p*

<0.0001). We followed the methods of Ulrich *et al.* (2016a) and Mathews *et al.* (2014)

to calculate ρ_{norm} and gambin alpha, respectively.

Figure S4 Results of simple correlation testing. Significance: *<0.05, **<0.01,

***<0.001, ▪<0.1

Table S1 Extracted bacterial OTU table published in Sunagawa *et al.* 2015.

Table S2 Environmental variables and calculated indices used in this study.

Supplementary text 1 Multimodality of the gambin distribution.