Title: Quantifying geographic accessibility to improve cost-effectiveness of entomological monitoring.

Short title: Entomological monitoring using GIS.

Authors: Joshua Longbottom[1, 2,] Ana Krause[1], Stephen J. Torr[1], Michelle C. Stanton[1, 2]

Author Affiliation:

[1]Department of Vector Biology, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, L3 5QA

[2]Centre for Health Informatics, Computing and Statistics, Lancaster Medical School, Lancaster University,

Lancaster, LA1 4YW

Corresponding author:

Mr Joshua Longbottom

Department of Vector Biology,

Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine,

Liverpool, L3 5QAUK

Email: joshua.longbottom@lstmed.ac.uk

ORCIDs:

Joshua Longbottom: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4151-9031

Ana Krause: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9665-5102

Stephen J. Torr: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9550-4030

Michelle C. Stanton: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1754-4894

Abstract:

Background

Vector-borne diseases are important causes of mortality and morbidity in humans and livestock, particularly for poorer communities and countries in the tropics. Large-scale programs against these diseases, for example malaria, dengue and African trypanosomiasis, include vector control, and assessing the impact of this intervention requires frequent and extensive monitoring of disease vector abundance. Such monitoring can be expensive, especially in the later stages of a successful program where numbers of vectors and cases are low.

Methodology/Principal Findings

We developed a system that allows the identification of monitoring sites where pre-intervention densities of vectors are predicted to be high, and travel cost to sites is low, highlighting the most cost-effective locations for longitudinal monitoring. Using remotely sensed imagery and an image classification algorithm, we mapped landscape resistance associated with on- and off-road travel for every gridded location (3m and 0.5m grid cells) within Koboko district, Uganda. We combine the accessibility surface with pre-existing estimates of tsetse abundance and propose a stratified sampling approach to determine cost-effective locations for longitudinal data collection. Our modelled predictions were validated against empirical measurements of travel-time and existing maps of road networks.

We applied this approach in northern Uganda where a large-scale vector control program is being implemented to control human African trypanosomiasis, a neglected tropical disease (NTD) caused by trypanosomes transmitted by tsetse flies. Our accessibility surfaces indicate a high performance when compared to empirical data, with remote sensing identifying a further ~70% of roads than existing networks.

Conclusions/Significance

By integrating such estimates with predictions of tsetse abundance, we propose a methodology to

determine the optimal placement of sentinel monitoring sites for evaluating control programme

efficacy, moving from a nuanced, ad-hoc approach incorporating intuition, knowledge of vector ecology

and local knowledge of geographic accessibility, to a reproducible, quantifiable one.

Author Summary

Assessing the impact of vector control programmes requires longitudinal measurements of the

abundance of insect vectors within intervention areas. Such monitoring can be expensive, especially in

the later stages of a successful program where numbers of vectors and cases of disease are low. Cost-

effective monitoring involves a prior selection of monitoring sites that are easy to reach and produce

rich information on vector abundance. Here, we used image classification and cost-distance algorithms

to produce estimates of accessibility within Koboko district, Uganda, where vector control is

contributing to the elimination of sleeping sickness, a neglected tropical disease (NTD). We combine an

accessibility surface with pre-existing estimates of tsetse abundance and propose a stratified sampling

approach to determine locations which are associated with low cost (lowest travel time) and potential

for longitudinal data collection (high pre-intervention abundance). Our method could be adapted for use

in the planning and monitoring of tsetse- and other vector-control programmes. By providing methods

to ensure that vector control programmes operate at maximum cost-effectiveness, we can ensure that

the limited funding associated with some of these NTDs has the largest impact.

Keywords: Accessibility, Entomology, Remote sensing, Resistance, Vector control

1. Introduction

Vector-borne diseases (VBDs) are important causes of mortality and morbidity in humans and livestock, particularly for poorer communities and countries in the tropics, accounting for an estimated 17% of the global burden of all infectious diseases (1). The control of VBDs, or their elimination as a public health problem, is dependent upon effective vector management, which includes pre-intervention surveys and subsequent longitudinal monitoring of vector abundance to assess the effectiveness of an intervention. Such monitoring is an important component of the overall costs of control.

To improve the cost-effectiveness of vector control programs, there is a requirement to identify optimal locations for longitudinal monitoring site placement. Ideally, these sites should be in locations that maximise information on the distribution and density of vectors while minimising costs of obtaining these data. In practice, most vector surveillance is opportunistic and lacks a rigorous framework (2). A more rational method would involve combining information on vector abundance with estimates of geographical accessibility, to identify sites across operational areas where pre-intervention catches are high and sampling costs are low. Towards this goal, we examined the utility of remotely sensed (RS) data to produce contemporary estimates of geographic accessibility to entomological sampling sites, using sleeping sickness control as an example application.

1.1 Sleeping sickness control as an example application

Human African trypanosomiasis (HAT) is a neglected tropical disease (NTD) affecting remote areas of sub-Saharan Africa. The disease, also termed 'sleeping sickness', is caused by the protozoan parasite *Trypanosoma brucei* with two sub-species, *T.b.gambiense* and *T.b.rhodesiense*, causing Gambian (gHAT) and Rhodesian (rHAT) human African trypanosomiasis respectively. The burden of the Gambian form of the disease, for which humans are the main hosts, is >10 times that of the Rhodesian form, with annual

reported cases being in the region of 2-3,000 (3). The World Health Organization (WHO) has targeted

the elimination of gHAT as a "public health problem" by 2020, which is defined as a 90% reduction in

areas reporting >1 case in 10 000 compared to 2000–2004, and <2000 annually reported cases globally

(4). Several countries appear to be on track to achieve this target (5). Uganda is unique in that it is the

only country where both gHAT and rHAT occur, albeit within different local level zones (6, 7). Vector

control forms an important part of Uganda's efforts against both forms of HAT (8, 9).

The important vectors of gHAT are Palpalis-group species of tsetse, which concentrate in riverine

vegetation where, consequently, interventions are focused. In Uganda, tsetse control is being achieved

through the deployment of Tiny Targets, small (20 x 50 cm) panels of insecticide-treated material which

are deployed at 50-100m intervals along rivers (9, 10). Prior work produced estimates of tsetse

abundance across Northern Uganda, identifying locations of high pre-intervention abundance (11),

which has informed the identification of operational control areas.

Methods to quantify accessibility largely involve cost-distance analyses, which have been widely used

within the field of public health in analyses mapping accessibility to healthcare (12-15). Such analyses

require an input surface of landscape friction ('resistance') – estimates of associated travel cost for

gridded cells within a Cartesian plane. The cost-distance analysis identifies the cumulative cost of

traversing each cell based on the given resistance surface and an origin location – opting to traverse

through cells associated with the lowest resistance values. The use of accessibility mapping in the

planning and implementation of control programmes for vector-borne disease is novel and has the

potential to improve the cost-effectiveness of monitoring VBD interventions.

In this paper, we use RS satellite data to derive a contemporary road network within Koboko district,

Northern Uganda, where an existing tsetse control programme is in operation. To obtain a road network

within this district, we compare the utility of RS data at two differing spatial resolutions (one source

characterising locations within the district as 3 × 3m grid cells on a Cartesian plane, and another as 0.5 ×

0.5m grid cells) (16, 17), and an existing open source dataset detailing road locations (18). Image

classification algorithms, specifically maximum likelihood estimators were used to detect dirt and

tarmac roads within the RS imagery (19). Ground truth tracking (GPS) data detailing motorbike speeds

along roads within the district were used to assign on-road travel costs to each grid cell. We used

published estimates of time taken to traverse through different densities of vegetation to assign

resistance values to off-road grid cells (20, 21). Resistance surfaces were validated using withheld

ground-truth tracking data, comparing observed and predicted travel times within a linear regression.

The resulting resistance surfaces were used within a least-cost path algorithm to identify cumulative

costs to locations of high tsetse abundance (11). We apply a stratified sampling approach to determine

locations which are associated with low cost (lowest travel time) and potential for rich longitudinal data

collection (high pre-intervention abundance).

Here, by combining field data on travel time along varying road types and remotely sensed imagery, we

describe the process of producing a high-resolution accessibility surface. By integrating such estimates

with predictions of tsetse abundance, we propose a methodology to determine the optimal placement

of sentinel monitoring sites for evaluating the efficacy of a tsetse control programme, moving from a

nuanced, ad-hoc approach incorporating intuition, knowledge of vector ecology and local knowledge of

geographic accessibility to a reproducible, quantifiable one. The work described here is presented in the

context of tsetse control, but the methods used are applicable to a wide range of vector-borne diseases.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study area: The focal area of this study was Koboko District, located within the West Nile Region of Uganda. The West Nile region consists of eight districts, with current and planned intervention initiatives (i.e. the Tiny Target programme), operating in seven. Koboko district covers roughly 860km^2 and has a population of 229,200 people (22). Between 2000 and 2018, 14.6% (620/4235) of gHAT cases reported from Uganda occurred in Koboko, but the incidence of gHAT is in decline as a consequence of an integrated programme of screening and treatment of the human population and, more recently, vector control (23). A map showing the location of existing, and planned intervention areas within West Nile Region is provided as Fig. S1, highlighting the position of Koboko within these intervention districts.

2.2 Field methodology and data collection: To obtain data informing variation in speeds along road class, technicians making routine visits to traps within Koboko were provided with GPS devices. The recording of GPS tracks was performed during three time periods in the dry season: May-June 2017, February-April 2018, and December 2018-January 2019. Trap attendants within Koboko operate using motorbikes; therefore, observed speeds were representative of motorbike-based travel. Devices were configured to record track points at ~15-second intervals.

2.3 Obtaining remotely sensed satellite data: To compare the effect of different spatial resolutions of satellite data on the ability to identify roads, we used two differing sources of RS imagery. Imagery obtained from PlanetScope™ satellites, captured on February 12th, 2018 were utilised. PlanetScope™ imagery is provided at a 3m × 3m resolution, and includes the following four spectral bands: blue (455 – 515 nm), green (500 – 590 nm), red (455 – 515 nm), and near infrared (780 – 860 nm) (16, 24). PlanetScope™ data are freely accessibly through an education and research program account.

Data captured through the Pléiades-1A satellite, available at a 0.5m × 0.5m resolution and captured on

27th December 2016 were used to represent high-spatial resolution imagery (25). Imagery captured on

this date was the most contemporary data available. The Pléiades-1A imagery similarly consists of the

same four spectral bands as PlanetScope™. Data obtained by Pléiades-1A is available by request through

Airbus (previously known as the European Aeronautic Defence and Space Company) (17).

2.4 GPS data review and cleaning: To calculate travel speeds, the time-difference between subsequent

points within a track and the Euclidean distance between these points were used within the following

formula (Equation 1):

Where x_i represents the GPS coordinate of point i, t_i represents the time recorded for point i and $||\cdot||$

represents the Euclidean distance:

$$speed = \frac{||x_i - x_j||}{|t_i - t_j|}$$

(Eq. 1)

Recorded points with a speed <1km/hr were assumed to be stationary points (based on average walking

speeds (26)), and were removed from the track dataset. Similarly, we removed data points for which the

speed exceeded 150 km/hr (93.2 mph) as these were likely to be artefacts created due to errors with

location positioning and are not representative of true travel speed.

2.5 Open street map validation: To determine the accuracy of currently available open source data,

OpenStreetMap (OSM) geolocated roads, and roads visible within 0.5m and 3m satellite data were

compared. Shapefiles detailing mapped roads hosted by OSM were retrieved from Geofabrik OSM Data

Extracts on March 3rd, 2018, to align with the dates during which field-obtained tracking data were

collected (18). A 1 km × 1km fishnet constructed for Koboko district was used to produce a random

sample of 25 grid squares for manual digitisation. The digitisation process consisted of tracing over

visible roads and tracks, as seen in the 0.5m resolution imagery (metric one), or as seen in the 3m

resolution imagery (metric two). The length of digitized road obtained from each of the three sources

was calculated in metres.

2.6 Remote sensing image preparation: In total, 14 scenes covering an area of 745.8 km^2 were

downloaded from Planet.com. To produce one complete surface, overlapping scenes were merged using

ArcGIS (version 10.4), and the composite image was cropped to district boundaries. Imagery obtained

from Pléiades-1A (0.5m) were provided as a pre-prepared mosaic.

2.7 Image classification: To aid image classification, image segmentation utilising a mean-shift approach

was first performed within ArcGIS. We applied a maximum likelihood classification algorithm using an *a*

priori probability weighting to identify the class in which each cell had the highest probability of being a

member (19). We opted to use the following classes within this analysis: dirt road and/or track, tarmac

road, dense vegetation (for example: woodlands, forest, bushwood and shrubwood), grassland (for

example: grassland, meadow, steppe and savannah) and barren land. To account for "salt and pepper"

speckling effects representative of potentially misclassified and/or isolated cells, we performed post-

classification processing. This processing stage included filtering to remove isolated cells, smoothing to

smooth rugged class boundaries and generalizing to reclassify small regions of isolated cells. Post-

classification cleaning was performed in ArcGIS.

2.8 Classification validation: A total of 500 accuracy assessment points were randomly generated for

each classified surface (i.e. 3m × 3m and 0.5m × 0.5m imagery). A step-by-step comparison was then

made for each randomly selected point, noting the algorithm-derived class and the manually assigned

(ground-truth) class. Utilising this information, a confusion matrix was constructed for each image

source. Accuracy was calculated with respect to both omission and commission rates, where omission

refers to instances where a feature (point) is omitted from the evaluated category, and commission

refers to instances where a feature is incorrectly assigned to the category being evaluated.

2.9 Road network update: Using the outputs from the image classification process, the GPS tracking

data, and available OSM data, two contemporary road networks (one per remotely sensed data source)

were produced. Cleaned, field-obtained tracking points were used to inform estimates of average travel

speeds along selected roads as follows. Tracking points were converted to polylines, consisting of line

segments constructed from five trailing points. These segments were assigned a mean observed speed

by calculating the Euclidean distance of each segment and incorporating start and end times. These

segments were then rasterised, resulting cells were stacked, and overlapping cells resulting from

replicate trips across all tracking days were averaged. This produced a surface indicating the average

observed speed for each cell. Tracks obtained during December 2018 were withheld from this network

and were used for validation (see below). A surface detailing urban and rural locations (27) was used to

categorise roads as being within urban or rural areas. This classification was paired with the Ugandan

Traffic and Road Safety Act detailing maximum speed limits based on roads within urban/built-up areas

and rural areas. Characterising roads by these features imply a legal maximum speed for each road

representative of true travel speeds. Classified urban and classified rural cells were assigned the speeds

given in Table 1, as informed by the official Traffic and Road Safety Act 2004 (28) and the Highway code

(29).

Table 1. Assigned travel speeds to roads lacking ground-obtained tracking data.

Road type	Speed (km/h)

	Built-up area	Rural area
Paved	50	100
Gravel/dirt	50	80

2.10 Normalized Difference Vegetation Index analysis: As the majority of mapped roads do not lead

directly to a river or tributary, trap attendants are required to traverse off-road in order to reach

suitable habitats for trap placement. We therefore aimed to characterise the cost associated with off-

road travel within our analysis. Utilising the two differing imagery sources, two separate NDVI surfaces

were generated (Equation 2). During the calculation, output values were normalised to range between -

1.0 and 1.0, representing greenness. Generally, output NDVI values ≤0 represent waterbodies including

lakes and major rivers; values between 0.1 and 0.2 represent barren land, including areas of rock, sand,

or snow; values between 0.2 and 0.3 represent shrub and grassland (areas of moderate vegetation), and

values between 0.3 and 0.8 represent areas of dense vegetation (for example temperate and tropical

rainforest) (30, 31).

Where NIR represents the near infrared band, and R represents the red band within the RS imagery:

$$NDVI = \frac{(NIR - R)}{(NIR + R)}$$

(Eq. 2)

2.11 Assigning off-road resistance values: Resistance values are values associated with a specific cost to

traverse through a cell (time, in seconds). For this study, off-road resistance values were assigned

utilising the NDVI outputs, with cost values ranging based on indicative terrain. Locations which contain

dense vegetation are generally slower to navigate and therefore cells representative of these areas were

associated with a higher resistance value; conversely, cells which represent areas with little to no

vegetation were presumed to be easier to traverse and were assigned a lower resistance value. Average

off-road walking speeds for differing terrains were obtained from published literature (20, 21) (Table 2).

Table 2. Resistance values (cell crossing time) associated with off-road travel.

NDVI value	Off road walking speed (km/h)	Off road walking speed (m/s)	Cell crossing time (t_i)	
			0.5 × 0.5m	3m × 3m
≤0	Essentially impassable	Essentially impassable	200	200
0.1-0.2	3.5	0.97	0.73	4.37
0.2-0.3	2.48	0.69	1.03	6.14
0.3-0.8	1.49	0.41	1.73	10.34

2.12 Resistance surface and cost-distance analysis: The updated road networks, featuring a cell crossing

time based on assigned speeds (representative of on-road resistance), were combined with their

respective NDVI resistance surface (Files S2 and S3, 3m and 0.5m surfaces respectively). To validate the

generated surfaces, we used field-obtained tracking data (obtained December 2018) withheld from the

road network construction. Sixty-three segments along the withheld tracks were used to create

validation points. Using the resistance surface, the cumulative travel time from the start to the end

point of each segment was generated utilising a least-cost path algorithm within QGIS 3.4.4 (32). A linear

regression model was then fitted to the observed travel time data with predicted travel time being

included as the only covariate to quantify the relationship between the two measures. The ability of the

predicted travel time to each validation point to accurately predict the observed travel time was used to

detect an association between the two, and to provide a means of adjusting the generated surface

values if necessary. The accuracy of each resistance surface was defined by the coefficient p-values, and

by root-mean-square error (RMSE). Utilising these resistance surfaces, two separate cost-distance

analyses were performed (one per spatial resolution), each using the location of our district

entomologist's base as the origin.

2.13 Identifying optimal sentinel site placement: We performed a spatially stratified sampling approach

to aid the identification of 102 least-cost, high abundance locations per 25km^2 for sentinel site

placement. Firstly, we produced a fishnet consisting of 5 km × 5 km grid squares across Koboko district,

and assigned each grid square a sequential stratum identification number (see Fig. S2 for strata

distribution). For each strata within the proposed intervention area, we ranked each cell by their

predicted tsetse abundance values (11), and by their predicted travel time from the origin – as obtained

from the cost-distance output. To account for spatial clustering, and to ensure a more even spatial

distribution of sentinel sites, we retained the cell with the highest predicted abundance and lowest

associated cost per 50m × 50m area. We calculated the cumulative rank for each cell within the de-

clustered dataset, where predicted abundance values were ranked from high to low, and accessibility

values ranked from low to high. We retained two locations (paired sites) with the lowest cumulative

rank per sampled strata, with these locations being identified as the optimal placement for sentinel

monitoring sites.

3. Results

3.1 GPS data collection: To inform estimates of on-road travel cost for each 3m × 3m and 0.5m × 0.5m

cell within Koboko district, Northern Uganda, we obtained tracking data during three periods: May-June

2017, February-April 2018, and December 2018-January 2019. Tracks collected between May 2017 -

April 2018 were used to inform road speeds, and tracks collected between December 2018-January

2019 were withheld for validating the resistance surfaces (Fig. S3).

3.2 OpenStreetMap accuracy assessment: Analyses evaluating the accuracy of an existing, community-

driven, open-source road network (from OpenStreetMap), indicate that at least one road exists within

the OpenStreetMap (OSM) dataset for 17 out of 25 randomly sampled $1km^2$ grid squares across Koboko

district (mean road length = 1.97 km). Only one out of 25 grid squares contained no visible roads across

sources (i.e. 0.5m imagery, 3m imagery, and OSM). When comparing total road length visible in $3 \times 3m$

imagery with that charted by OSM, the two sources show close agreement (97.43% similarity [total road

length across $25km^2$], paired t-Test $p = 0.91$), however, when comparing the $0.5 \times 0.5m$ imagery and the

OSM dataset, only 28.16% of digitised roads are charted by OSM (paired t-Test $p < 0.001$, Fig. 1, Table

S1, Fig. S4). This section of the analysis provided the rationale for the classification of 0.5m imagery, with

the inclusion potentially capturing up to 71% more roads than OSM within the study area.

Fig. 1. Example of composite images of digitised road networks within Koboko district. Purple roads

represent roads visible in 0.5m imagery (17), as digitised in this study; black roads represent roads

visible in 3m imagery (24), as digitised in this study, and light blue roads represent roads available within

the OSM dataset (18). The overlap of all three colours indicate areas of consistency across sources.

3.3 Image classification: Classification of two differing sources of RS imagery ($0.5 \times 0.5m$ and $3 \times 3m$)

yielded varying accuracies across classes, and across spatial resolutions, with accuracy values ranging

from 38% to 89% for dirt roads and 5% to 84% for tarmac roads for 3m and 0.5m imagery respectively

(Table 3; Fig. 2). Overall image classification accuracy, considering all five classes utilised (dirt road

and/or track, tarmac road, dense vegetation, grassland and barren land), ranged from 53% (3m) to 78%

(0.5m), with 0.5m imagery proving to be more effective at identifying both dirt and tarmac roads than

the 3m imagery.

322 **Fig. 2. Confusion matrices for the classification of each surface (Left: 3m, Right: 0.5m).** Diagonal

323 squares (bottom left to top right) indicate the percentage of correctly classified cells per class.

324

325 **Table 3.** Maximum likelihood classification (MLC) accuracy assessment validation values for each class.

326 Values represent the percentage of correctly classified cells (classified *vs* ground truth) for the five

327 classes of interest.

Class	3m imagery			0.5m imagery		
	Correct	Incorrect	Accuracy (%)	Correct	Incorrect	Accuracy (%)
Dirt road and/or track	38	62	38.00	97	11	89.81
Tarmac road	5	95	5.00	84	16	84.00
Dense vegetation	91	9	91.00	95	5	95.00
Grassland	65	34	65.65	80	20	80.00
Barren land	70	30	70.00	38	54	41.30
Overall	**269**	**230**	**53.91**	**394**	**106**	**78.80**

328

329 **3.4 Resistance surface and cost-distance analysis:** The accuracy of the resistance surfaces was assessed

330 by investigating the relationship between observed travel times and predicted travel times using

331 withheld field-obtained tracks and a linear regression. Predicted values produced utilising the 3m

332 resistance surface have a much closer alignment with ground truth (observed) values, root-mean-square

333 error (RMSE) = 3.93 (3m) than the 0.5m resistance surface (RMSE = 6.01). In separate regressions with

334 validation data from both surfaces, we identify that there is a significant association between observed

335 and predicted values ($p < 0.001$ (0.5m) and $p < 0.001$ (3m)), indicating a high performance of each

336 surface, with the 3m surface showing a stronger relationship with less variability ($R^2 = 0.66$ vs

337 $R^2 = 0.49$, 3m and 0.5m respectively). Summaries of resistance surface validation are provided within

338   Fig. S5 and Table 4. Output cost-distance surfaces detailing the travel time from the location of our field

339   station to each gridded cell within Koboko district are provided as Fig.3.

341   **Table 4.** Model summaries for resistance surface validation. Summary statistics from four separate

342   linear regressions are provided.

	3m resistance surface		0.5m resistance surface	
	Training data	Validation data	Training data	Validation data
p-value	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Coefficient	1.10	0.59	0.78	0.34
RMSE	1.11	3.93	0.85	6.01
R^2	0.93	0.66	0.95	0.49

Fig. 3. Cost-distance surfaces. Figures show the cumulative travel time from the field site origin (black

point), to each subsequent cell within the surface. Left: 3m cost-distance surface, Right: 0.5m cost-

distance surface. This figure was generated using ArcGIS version 10.4 (33), and products derived in this

study from image classification of Planet (3m)(24) and Airbus (0.5m)(17) satellite imagery.

3.5 Identification of optimal sentinel site placement: Utilising the 3m cost-distance surface and a

predictive surface of tsetse abundance (11), we identified the optimal placement of 104 sentinel sites

within the current intervention area (52 paired locations) (Fig. 4). Such sites are positioned within the

most easily accessible, high abundant locations for 26 unique 5 x 5 km strata across the intervention

area. Optimal sentinel-site placement identifies locations with abundance values ranging from 0.04-

19.57 (mean = 5.21) flies per cell, and locations which are within 5.55 - 151.81 (mean = 68.42) minutes

from the field station location.

Fig. 4. Optimal placement of sentinel sites (max two sites per grid square [25km^2]) within Koboko

district. Location of optimal sites visualised alongside the 3m accessibility surface (this study) and tsetse

abundance surface (11), dashed lines represent the 5 x 5km sampling strata used to allocate optimal

sites. This figure was generated using ArcGIS version 10.4 (33).

4. Discussion

This analysis investigated the ability of high-resolution satellite imagery to inform estimates of

accessibility to entomological sampling sites, using tsetse control as an example application. We started

by scrutinising the completeness of an existing open source road network for Koboko district, Uganda,

comparing charted roads with those obtainable from manual digitisation of RS imagery at two differing

spatial resolutions. Results from this section of the analysis indicate that, for this region of Uganda,

roads visible within 3m imagery matched 97.43% of roads identified in OSM (paired t-Test p = 0.91) (Fig.

1, Table S1). Comparing roads visible within 0.5m RS imagery, and those charted by OSM, yields 28.16%

consistency across sources (paired t-Test p < 0.001) (Table S1).

As data published on OSM is the result of community contributions incorporating local knowledge, data

coverage is often inconsistent. The recent establishment of several refugee camps across the West Nile

Region has resulted in increased road mapping efforts within this area, which explains the high levels of

coverage seen here (34). OpenStreetMap completeness varies globally and the analyses we have

developed will be particularly useful in places where OSM and standard sources of information on road

networks are scant (35).

Part of our analysis aimed to infer the effect of including spatially disaggregated data on estimates of

accessibility, detailing whether the extra information obtainable from 0.5m imagery produces refined

estimates. The results of a maximum likelihood classification algorithm indicate a high ability to identify

roads and associated features within the 0.5m imagery, mirroring that seen by manual digitisation

(Table 3; Fig. 2). Results from image classification also indicate that the spatial detail available within 3m

imagery is too coarse to classify roads in this district accurately (38% and 5% accuracy for dirt and

tarmac roads respectively). This result is to be expected as the majority of roads within Koboko district

rarely exceed a width of 3m, resulting in decreased visibility; narrow roads are likely to be common

across large parts of rural Africa. The utility of 3m imagery may be greater in more developed areas,

where roads exceed 3m in width.

Despite a higher image classification accuracy and a better model fit to training data, the 0.5m

resistance surface appears to under-perform when presented with out-of-sample, withheld tracking

data compared to the 3m resistance surface (Table 4, Fig. S5). Both resistance surfaces show a

significant linear relationship between observed and predicted values, however, the 3m resistance

surface has a lower root-mean-square error (3.93 *vs* 6.01 respectively). This under-performance may be

due to the increased number of roads within the 0.5m resistance surface, and some of the assumptions

made regarding travel along roads of differing class. When using the surfaces to identify optimal

placement of sentinel-sites, the relative travel-time to each cell is more informative than the actual

travel-time. Despite varying RMSEs, the significant relationship between predicted and observed travel

times, support the utility of the generated surfaces.

By combining the generated 3m accessibility surface (Fig. 3) with previously published estimates of

tsetse-abundance (11), we provide a novel framework for the identification of cost-effective locations in

which to place sentinel-monitoring sites (Fig. 4). Previous methods to inform the placement of sentinel-

monitoring sites have been based on intuition, incorporating knowledge of tsetse ecology and local

knowledge of roads within an intervention area. Here, we further quantify this process, providing a

more robust approach that can be applied to a range of vector-borne diseases. The movement from a

nuanced, ad-hoc process to an evidence-based one will allow for a more efficient assessment of tsetse

control programmes. The application of the methods used here to the context of intervention

monitoring and assessment is novel, and the refinement of results has several cost-effective implications

as vector control expands to other areas within the region.

Several important vector-borne NTDs have been targeted for elimination as a public-health problem by

2020 within the WHO NTD roadmap (4). Unfortunately, however, the burden of numerous VBDs will

continue beyond the ambitious 2020 target (36-38). As evident within the WHO roadmap, both disease

and vector surveillance form large components of most elimination strategies; however, the Strategic

and Technical Advisory Group (STAG) for NTDs also recognise the need for a better understanding of the

economic aspects of NTD control. By providing methods to ensure that vector control programmes

operate at maximum cost-effectiveness, we can ensure that the limited funding associated with some of

these NTDs has the largest impact.

Although this analysis does not serve as an economic evaluation of methods to assess control

programme efficacy, previous work has shown that vehicle running and travel costs are within the top

five associated costs of running a tsetse control programme (39, 40), with staff salaries being the most

expensive element. By strategically placing sentinel-monitoring sites in locations that are associated

with a low accessibility cost, programmes can reduce costs associated with travel (e.g., fuel,

maintenance) and staff expenses, with current costs of tsetse monitoring being ~9.0\$/km^2/year (10.6%

of tsetse control programme budgets) (40). The accessibility surface may also contribute toward cost-

effective planning of pre-intervention surveys, which are responsible for roughly 6% of control program

budgets (40). Furthermore, by informing the positioning of these sites by additional metrics, such as pre-

intervention abundance, we identify locations that may provide more accurate evaluations of control

efficacy. Accessibility, in general, is a very sought-after metric and the methodology applied here,

although currently restricted to one district in Northern Uganda and limited to the purpose of

identifying accessible tsetse monitoring sites, could inform other accessibility analyses within the area

such as access to HAT diagnostic centres, and be applied to a range of vector-borne diseases.

Acknowledgements:

JL is funded by a Medical Research Council Scholarship (Award no. 1964851). SJT is funded by grants

from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1104516), the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences

Research Council, the Department for International Development, The Economic and Social Science

Research Council, The Natural Environment Research Council and the Defence, Science and Technology

Laboratory, under the Zoonosis and Emerging and Livestock Systems (ZELS) programme (Grant no.

BB/L019035/1). The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation grant OPP1104516 also supports MCS. MCS

acknowledges additional funding from the Medical Research Council (MR/M014975/1). The funders had

no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the

manuscript. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Author contributions:

SJT, MCS and JL conceived and planned the study. JL and AK were involved in field study design and data

collection. JL wrote all computer code and designed and performed the analysis. JL wrote the first draft

of the manuscript, and all authors contributed toward subsequent revisions. All authors gave final

approval for publication.

Data Availability:

Resistance surfaces will be hosted within Dryad upon acceptance. Code used to generate and validate

surfaces can be found within: https://github.com/joshlongbottom/Uganda_accessibility (repository to

be made public upon acceptance).

References:

1.   World Health Organization. Vector-borne diseases 2017 [Available from:
https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/vector-borne-diseases.
2.   Sedda L, Lucas ER, Djogbenou LS, Edi AVC, Egyr-Yawson A, Kabula BI, et al. Improved spatial
ecological sampling using open data and standardization: an example from malaria mosquito
surveillance. bioRxiv. 2018:465963.
3.   Franco JR, Cecchi G, Priotto G, Paone M, Diarra A, Grout L, et al. Monitoring the elimination of
human African trypanosomiasis: Update to 2014. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11(5):e0005585.
4.   World Health Organization. Accelerating work to overcome neglected tropical diseases: a
roadmap for implementation. Geneva; 2012.
5.   Moloo A. Eliminating sleeping sickness as a public health problem is on track 2017 [Available
from: http://www.who.int/trypanosomiasis_african/news/HAT_elimination_on_track/en/.
6.   Picozzi K, Fèvre E, Odiit M, Carrington M, Eisler MC, Maudlin I, et al. Sleeping sickness in Uganda:
a thin line between two fatal diseases. BMJ. 2005;331(7527):1238-41.
7.   Berrang-Ford L, Odiit M, Maiso F, Waltner-Toews D, McDermott J. Sleeping sickness in Uganda:
revisiting current and historical distributions. Afr Health Sci. 2006;6(4):223-31.
8.   Welburn SC, Coleman PG, Maudlin I, Fevre EM, Odiit M, Eisler MC. Crisis, what crisis? Control of
Rhodesian sleeping sickness. Trends in parasitology. 2006;22(3):123-8.
9.   Tirados I, Esterhuizen J, Kovacic V, Mangwiro TNC, Vale GA, Hastings I, et al. Tsetse control and
Gambian sleeping sckness; implications for control strategy. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9(8):e0003822.
10.   Lehane M, Alfaroukh I, Bucheton B, Camara M, Harris A, Kaba D, et al. Tsetse control and the
elimination of Gambian sleeping sickness. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10(4):e0004437.

11.   Stanton MC, Esterhuizen J, Tirados I, Betts H, Torr SJ. The development of high resolution maps
of tsetse abundance to guide interventions against human African trypanosomiasis in northern Uganda.
Parasites & vectors. 2018;11(1):340.

12.   Juran S, Broer PN, Klug SJ, Snow RC, Okiro EA, Ouma PO, et al. Geospatial mapping of access to
timely essential surgery in sub-Saharan Africa. BMJ Glob Health. 2018;3(4):e000875.

13.   Agbenyo F, Marshall Nunbogu A, Dongzagla A. Accessibility mapping of health facilities in rural
Ghana. J Transp Health. 2017;6:73-83.

14.   Ouma PO, Maina J, Thuranira PN, Macharia PM, Alegana VA, English M, et al. Access to
emergency hospital care provided by the public sector in sub-Saharan Africa in 2015: a geocoded
inventory and spatial analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2018;6(3):e342-e50.

15.   Delamater PL, Messina JP, Shortridge AM, Grady SC. Measuring geographic access to health
care: raster and network-based methods. International journal of health geographics. 2012;11(1):15-.

16.   Planet. Planet imagery product specification: PlanetScope & RapidEye. 2016.

17.   Airbus. Satellite Data 2019 [Available from: https://www.intelligence-airbusds.com/en/8289-
imagery-services.

18.   OpenStreetMap contributors. Geofabrik OpenStreetMap Data Extracts. 2018.

19.   ESRI. ArcMap 10.3: Spatial Analyst Toolbox: How Maximum Likelihood Classification works 2016
[Available from: http://desktop.arcgis.com/en/arcmap/10.3/tools/spatial-analyst-toolbox/how-
maximum-likelihood-classification-works.htm.

20.   Houben RM, Van Boeckel TP, Mwinuka V, Mzumara P, Branson K, Linard C, et al. Monitoring the
impact of decentralised chronic care services on patient travel time in rural Africa - methods and results
in Northern Malawi. International journal of health geographics. 2012;11:49.

21.   Soule RG, Goldman RF. Terrain coefficients for energy cost prediction. J Appl Physiol.
1972;32(5):706-8.

22.   Uganda Bureau of Statistics. Projections of demographic trends in Uganda 2007-2017. Kampala,
Uganda: Uganda Bureau of Statistics,; 2016.

23.   World Health Organization. Global Health Observatory data repository: Human African
Trypanosomiasis: World Health Organization; 2019 [Available from:
http://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.main.A1635?lang=en.

24.   Planet. Planet Explorer 2018 [Available from:
https://www.planet.com/explorer/#/mosaic/global_monthly_2018_04_mosaic.

25.   ASTRIUM. Pléiades Imagery - User Guide. 2012.

26.   Bohannon RW. Comfortable and maximum walking speed of adults aged 20—79 years:
reference values and determinants. Age Ageing. 1997;26(1):15-9.

27.   Facebook Connectivity Lab, Center for International Earth Science Information Network - CIESIN
- Columbia University. High Resolution Settlement Layer. 2016.

28.   Traffic and Road Safety Act (Uganda). The Traffic and Road Safety (Speed Limits) Regulations,
2004.; 2004. Contract No.: Section 131(k) of the Traffic and Road Safety Act, Cap. 361.

29.   Ministry of Works and Transport. The Highway Code. Uganda; 2009.

30.   Sellers PJ. Canopy reflectance, photosynthesis and transpiration. Int J Remote Sens.
1985;6(8):1335-72.

31.   Ranga B. Myneni, Forrest G. Hall, Piers J. Sellers, Marshak A. The interpretation of spectral
vegetation indexes. IEEE Trans Geosci Remote Sens. 1995;33(2):481-6.

32.   QGIS Development Team. QGIS Geographic Information System version 3.4.4. Open Source
Geospatial Foundation Project. http://qgisosgeoorg. 2019.

33.   ESRI. ArcGIS Desktop: Release 10.4.1. Redlands, CA: Environmental Systems Research Institute.;
2011.

528 34. Geoffrey Kateregga. Community mapping for refugees in Uganda 2018 [updated 19th March
529 2019. Available from: https://2018.stateofthemap.org/slides/T037-
530 Community_Mapping_for_Refugees_in_Uganda.pdf.
531 35. Barrington-Leigh C, Millard-Ball A. The world's user-generated road map is more than 80%
532 complete. PLoS One. 2017;12(8):e0180698.
533 36. Ferguson NM. Challenges and opportunities in controlling mosquito-borne infections. Nature.
534 2018;559(7715):490-7.
535 37. Hotez PJ, Basáñez M-G, Acosta-Serrano A, Grillet ME. Venezuela and its rising vector-borne
536 neglected diseases. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11(6):e0005423.
537 38. Mackey TK, Liang BA, Cuomo R, Hafen R, Brouwer KC, Lee DE. Emerging and reemerging
538 neglected tropical diseases: a review of key characteristics, risk factors, and the policy and innovation
539 environment. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2014;27(4):949-79.
540 39. Muhanguzi D, Okello WO, Kabasa JD, Waiswa C, Welburn SC, Shaw AP. Cost analysis of options
541 for management of African animal trypanosomiasis using interventions targeted at cattle in Tororo
542 district; south-eastern Uganda. Parasit Vectors. 2015;8:387.
543 40. Shaw APM, Tirados I, Mangwiro CTN, Esterhuizen J, Lehane MJ, Torr SJ, et al. Costs of using "Tiny
544 Targets" to control *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes*, a vector of Gambiense sleeping sickness in Arua district of
545 Uganda. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9(3):e0003624.

Travel time (minutes)

240+

● Koboko origin

Travel time (minutes)

120+

● Koboko origin

Travel time
High
Low

Tsetse abundance
High
Low

- - - Strata

● Optimal sentinel site