Title: Genomic evidence for revising the *Escherichia* genus and description of *Escherichia*

ruysiae sp. nov.

Running title (51/54 characters incl. spaces): Genomic evidence for revising the *Escherichia*

genus

Boas C.L. van der Putten[a,b,#], Sébastien Matamoros[a], COMBAT consortium[†], Constance

Schultsz[a,b]

[a]Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Department of Medical Microbiology,

Meibergdreef 9, Amsterdam, Netherlands

[b]Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Department of Global Health, Amsterdam

Institute for Global Health and Development, Meibergdreef 9, Amsterdam, Netherlands

[#]Corresponding author, email: boas.vanderputten@amsterdamumc.nl

[†]Members listed in the appendix.

Abstract (223/250 words)

The *Escherichia/Shigella* genus comprises four *Escherichia* species, four *Shigella* species, and

five lineages currently not assigned to any species, termed '*Escherichia* cryptic clades'

(numbered I, II, III, IV and VI). Correct identification of *Escherichia* cryptic clades is strongly

hindered by the indeterminate taxonomy of this genus. Furthermore, little is known about the

cryptic clades as reports of genomic data are scarce. Hence, we searched public databases for

whole-genome sequences of *Escherichia* cryptic clades and characterized these. Following a

genomic analysis of the *Escherichia/Shigella* genus, we also describe a new *Escherichia* species:

Escherichia ruysiae sp. nov (type strain OPT1704^T = NCCB 100732^T = NCTC 14359^T) and provide a

closed genome assembly based on Illumina and Oxford Nanopore Technologies sequencing. We

screened 79,911 Sequence Read Archive *Escherichia* records and detected 357 cryptic clade

strains (0.44%). Based on average nucleotide identity, these strains should be grouped in seven

distinct species: 1) *E. coli, Shigella spp.* and clade I; 2) Clade II; 3) *Escherichia ruysiae sp. nov.*

(formerly clades III and IV); 4) *E. marmotae* (formerly clade V); 5) Clade VI; 6) *E. albertii* and 7) *E.*

fergusonii. Notably, half of the clade I strains carried genes encoding shiga toxin, while ESBL-

and carbapenemase-encoding strains were also found.

In conclusion, we provide an improved overview of the *Escherichia/Shigella* genus and advance

our understanding of *Escherichia* cryptic clades.

Importance (107/150 words)

Correct definition and identification of bacterial species is essential for clinical and research

purposes. Groups of *Escherichia* strains - "*Escherichia* cryptic clades" - have not been assigned

to species, which causes misidentification of these strains. The significance of our research is

threefold. First, we detect 357 cryptic clade strains, many more than previously known. This can

serve as a resource for other researchers. Second, we show how these cryptic clades should be

assigned to existing or newly defined species. This could improve identification of cryptic clade

strains and *Escherichia* species. Finally, we characterize the genomes in detail, revealing

virulence genes encoded in the cryptic clade I genomes.

Main text excluding references, legends, tables: 3476/5000 words

Introduction

Within the *Escherichia* genus, four species are recognized; *E. coli*, *E. fergusonii* (Farmer et al.,

1985), *E. albertii* (Huys, Cnockaert, Janda, & Swings, 2003) and most recently, *E. marmotae* (Liu

et al., 2015). *E. coli*, *E. fergusonii* and *E. albertii* have been associated with animal and human

disease (Ooka et al., 2012; Russo & Johnson, 2003; Savini et al., 2008), while little is known

about the clinical relevance and characteristics of the most recently described *Escherichia*

species *E. marmotae*. Some *Escherichia* strains cannot be assigned to any of the four existing

species. Based on genetic data, these strains cluster into six groups, which were termed

'*Escherichia* cryptic clades' (Gangiredla et al., 2018; S. T. Walk et al., 2009). Recently, cryptic

clade V was formally recognized as a separate species (*E. marmotae*) (Liu et al., 2015), leaving

five cryptic clades that have not been recognized as species. As these have not yet received

entries in taxonomic databases, isolates representing cryptic clades might be misidentified by

tools that identify bacterial species based on whole-genome sequence (WGS). Also, the

abundancy of these cryptic clade strains in public databases such as RefSeq (curated repository

of reference sequences) or SRA (repository of raw sequence data) is unknown.

Therefore, we have addressed two questions in this study:

1) How abundant are *Escherichia* cryptic clades in the RefSeq and Sequence Read Archive (SRA)

databases?

2) Can the *Escherichia* cryptic clades be assigned to existing or new species based on genomic

analysis?

Finally, we describe a novel *Escherichia* species, *Escherichia ruysiae sp. nov.*, encompassing the

former cryptic clades III and IV.

Results

Assessing abundance of cryptic clade strains in RefSeq

We downloaded all 10,824 available *Escherichia* genomes from the RefSeq database and

identified 92 cryptic clade genomes using ClermonTyper (Beghain, Bridier-Nahmias, Le Nagard,

Denamur, & Clermont, 2018). Since ClermonTyper is only able to detect strains belonging to

known cryptic clades, we constructed a neighbor-joining phylogeny of the 10,824 RefSeq

Escherichia strains using mash (Ondov et al., 2016) and rapidNJ (Simonsen, Mailund, &

Pedersen, 2008) to detect cryptic clade strains missed by ClermonTyper. This analysis revealed

two additional cryptic clade strains, bringing the total of cryptic clade strains in RefSeq to 94, or

0.9% of all *Escherichia* genomes in RefSeq.

We then screened 79,911 Sequence Read Archive (SRA) *Escherichia* entries for presence of

cryptic clade genomes. In short, we performed a rough assembly of the SRA data using SKESA

and used fastANI to detect cryptic clade strains, using RefSeq cryptic clade genomes as

references. 757 putative cryptic clade genomes were included in a neighborhood-joining

phylogeny and were checked using ClermonTyper. This led to the discovery of 357 cryptic clade

genomes in the SRA, or 0.45% of SRA *Escherichia* assemblies. We evaluated SKESA assemblies

using Quast (Gurevich, Saveliev, Vyahhi, & Tesler, 2013), and excluded 13 assemblies due to

inadequate assembly qualities or aberrant assembly length. Since there are likely duplicates

between SRA and RefSeq cryptic clade genomes, we chose to continue with the 344 cryptic

clade genomes from the SRA. An overview of the process is provided in figure 1.

Figure 1. Flowchart of inclusion process of cryptic clade strains. Only the well-assembled SRA

cryptic clade strains (lower table on right hand side) are used in subsequent analyses.

Genomic characterization of cryptic clade genomes from SRA

To visualize phylogenetic relationships between the closely related *Escherichia* and *Shigella*

genera, we included – in addition to the 344 cryptic clade genomes - 50 *E. albertii* genomes, 10

E. fergusonii genomes, 72 *E. coli* genomes from the ECOR collection (Ochman & Selander, 1984)

and 13 *Shigella spp.* genomes, all from RefSeq. We constructed a maximum-likelihood

phylogeny using IQ-Tree (Nguyen, Schmidt, von Haeseler, & Minh, 2015) from a SNP alignment

produced by kSNP3 (Gardner, Slezak, & Hall, 2015) (fig. 2).

Based on species identification using Kraken2 (Wood & Salzberg, 2014) all cryptic clade I, II, III,

IV and VI strains were classified as *E. coli*. 82 out of 92 *E. marmotae* (formerly cryptic clade V)

strains were identified correctly while the remaining *E. marmotae* strains were identified as *E.*

coli.

Resistance and virulence genes in cryptic clade genomes

Genes encoding both subunits of shiga toxin were detected in 108/209 (52%) of cryptic clade I

strains. In 26 cryptic clade I strains, *stx1a* and *stx1b* were detected together, in 75 strains *stx2a*

and *stx2b* were detected together. In 7 clade I strains only one shiga toxin-encoding gene was

detected. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) genes were detected in 8.1% and 2.2% of

cryptic clade I and cryptic clade V/*E. marmotae* genomes, respectively. One clade I strain and

two *E. marmotae*/clade V strains harbored carbapenemase-encoding genes.

Figure 2. Midpoint-rooted maximum-likelihood phylogeny of 489 *Escherichia* and *Shigella*

strains based on a core SNP alignment of 3445 positions. Nodes with bootstrap values under 95

were collapsed. Visualized in iTOL (Letunic & Bork, 2019).

Assignment of cryptic clades to existing or new species

Based on a 95% average nucleotide identity (ANI)(Chun et al., 2018; Goris et al., 2007) 489 of

the included *Escherichia* and *Shigella* strains should be assigned to seven discrete species with

no relationships between these groups (table 1 and fig. 3). *E. coli* and cryptic clade I showed

mean ANI values of 96.0%, indicating *E. coli* and cryptic clade I should be assigned into a single

species, possibly separated into subspecies. Genomically, *Shigella spp.* belong to *E. coli* as a

mean ANI is 97.6% with *E. coli*. This is in line with earlier reports(Pettengill, Pettengill, & Binet,

2016).

Cryptic clade III and IV should be assigned to a single species, as the mean ANI values between

strains from these groups was 96.6%. Based on ANI analysis cryptic clade II, cryptic clade V/*E.*

marmotae, cryptic clade VI, *E. fergusonii* and *E. albertii* should all be assigned to separate

species.

Table 1. Mean ANI values between groups calculated using fastANI, for 489 included

Escherichia and *Shigella* strains. Values higher than the threshold for species delineation (95%)

are in bold.

	Clade I	Clade II	Clade III	Clade IV	Clade V/*E. marmotae*	Clade VI	*E. albertii*	*E. coli*	*E. fergusonii*	*Shigella*
Clade I	**98.5**	91.6	92.3	92.5	91.0	94.0	89.9	**96.0**	92.1	**95.8**
Clade II		**99.0**	92.1	92.0	91.3	90.9	89.7	91.8	89.2	91.7
Clade III			**98.8**	**96.6**	92.3	91.6	89.8	92.6	89.4	92.6
Clade IV				**99.0**	92.2	91.7	89.9	92.8	89.5	92.9
Clade V/*E. marmotae*					**99.3**	90.4	89.4	91.2	88.4	91.1
Clade VI						**99.9**	89.6	94.4	90.1	94.4
E. albertii							**98.6**	90.1	88.2	90.2
E. coli								**97.7**	91.1	**97.6**
E. fergusonii									**98.7**	91.0
Shigella spp.										**98.3**

Legend:
- ■ *E. coli*
- ■ *Shigella spp.*
- ■ Clade I
- ■ Clade II
- ■ Clade III
- ■ Clade IV
- ■ Clade V/*E. marmotae*
- ■ Clade VI
- ■ *E. albertii*
- ■ *E. fergusonii*

Fig 3. Network of 489 included *Escherichia* and *Shigella* strains, based on fastANI analysis. Edges

represent ANI values over 95% (boundary for species delineation) between two strains.

Identification and description of *Escherichia ruysiae sp. nov.*

We discovered a cryptic clade IV strain in our collection, previously identified as *E. coli* in the

COMBAT study, which investigated acquisition of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae (ESBL-E)

during international travel (Arcilla et al., 2017). We characterized this isolate, OPT1704[T], in

detail.

The strain was isolated from a faecal sample provided immediately after return from a one-

month journey to several countries in Asia. No ESBL-E were detected in a faecal sample

provided directly before departure, suggesting the ESBL gene, and possibly strain OPT1704[T],

were acquired during travel. The traveller reported diarrhoea during travel and did not report

antibiotic usage during travel. No ESBL-E were isolated in follow-up samples, suggesting loss of

the OPT1704^T strain or the ESBL gene within one month after return from travel.

Strain OPT1704^T formed circular, grey-white colonies on a COS sheep blood agar plate.

Individual cells were observed under a light microscope and were rod-shaped and

approximately 1 by 2 µm in size. The strain was shown to be Gram-negative, non-motile,

oxidase-negative and catalase-positive. The strain was capable to grow in the absence of

oxygen. On COS blood plates, it showed growth in the temperature range of 20-42 °C, and no

growth at 4 °C or at 50 °C or higher. The strain was also able to grow in NaCl concentrations

ranging from 0% to 6% in liquid lysogeny broth. MALDI-TOF (Bruker) and Vitek2 (BioMérieux)

systems both identified OPT1704^T as *E. coli* with high confidence scores (score>2 for MALDI-TOF

and "Excellent identification" for Vitek2).

Whole-genome sequence analysis

The whole-genome DNA sequence of strain OPT1704^T was determined using Illumina HiSeq and

Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) sequencing platforms. The Illumina sequencing run

yielded a total of 6.3×10^6 paired-end reads, with a mean read length of 151 bp. Illumina reads

were downsampled using seqtk (version 1.3-r106, https://github.com/lh3/seqtk) to provide a

theoretical depth of coverage of 100X with the assumption that the OPT1704^T has a genome

size of approximately 5×10^6 bp. The ONT sequencing run yielded a total of 2.5×10^4 reads, with a

mean read length of 9078 bp before filtering. ONT reads were filtered on length and on read

identity using Ilumina reads, leaving $1.5×10^4$ reads with a mean length of 12580 bp. This

provided a theoretical depth of coverage of ~38X of ONT reads. Assembly using both Illumina

and Nanopore reads resulted in a complete genome, consisting of one circular chromosome

and one circular plasmid. GC content of the OPT1704^T genome was 50.6%.

Putative resistance and virulence genes were predicted from the draft genome using ABRicate

with the CARD (McArthur et al., 2013) and VFDB (Chen et al., 2005) databases. OPT1704^T

harbours 6 resistance genes that are typically plasmid-mediated in *E. coli*, associated with

reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones (*qnrS1*), aminoglycosides (*aph(6)-Id* & *aph(3″)-Ib*),

cephalosporins (*blaCTX-M-14*), trimethoprim (*dfrA14*) and sulphonamides (*sul2*). This is in line

with the reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones (norfloxacin, MIC: 2 mg/L and ciprofloxacin,

MIC: 0.5 mg/L by Vitek2), cephalosporins (cefuroxime, MIC: >32 mg/L and cefotaxime, MIC: 4

mg/L) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (MIC: >8 mg/L). Furthermore, several putative

virulence genes were predicted from the draft genome sequence associated with siderophore

function (*chuX, entS, fepABD*), fimbriae (*fimBCDGI*), a type II secretion system (*gspGHI*) and

capsular polysaccharide biogenesis (*kpsD*). The diarrhoeal symptoms of the traveller

contributing this strain would not be expected based on these predicted virulence genes.

Next, we calculated 16S rRNA sequence similarities, ANI values and digital DNA:DNA

hybridisation (dDDH) values between OPT1704^T and type strains of the four other *Escherichia*

species. This time, we used three separate tools to calculate ANI (fastANI, OrthoANIu and ANI

calculator from Enveomics) (Jain, Rodriguez-R, Phillippy, Konstantinidis, & Aluru, 2018;

Rodriguez-R & Konstantinidis, 2016; Yoon, Ha, Lim, Kwon, & Chun, 2017), since scalability was

no concern. 16S rRNA sequence similarities did not completely warrant assignment of

OPT1704[T] to a novel species, but ANI analysis and dDDH did support assignment of OPT1704[T] to

a novel species (table 2). This novel species was assigned *E. ruysiae sp. nov.* with OPT1704[T] as

the proposed type strain. As our earlier analysis shows cryptic clade III clusters with cryptic

clade IV on the species level, we propose that *E. ruysiae sp. nov.* encompasses both cryptic

clade III and IV.

Table 2. Comparison of OPT1704[T] whole-genome sequence with type strains of *E. albertii, E.*

coli, E. fergusonii and *E. marmotae.* In bold are the values that suggest assignment of OPT1704[T]

to a novel species (<98.9% 16S rRNA sequence similarity, <95% ANI, <70% dDDH).

	E. ruysiae sp. nov. OPT1704[T]				
	16S rRNA sequence similarity (%)	ANI (%, fastANI)	ANI (%, OrthoANIu)	ANI (%, ANI calculator Enveomics)	dDDH (%)
E. albertii NBRC107761[T]	**98.5**	**90.0**	**90.0**	**89.2**	**39.8**
E. coli ATCC11775[T]	**98.5**	**92.8**	**92.4**	**92.0**	**48.3**
E. fergusonii ATCC35469[T]	99.4	**89.4**	**88.2**	**89.7**	**36.7**
E. marmotae DSM 28771[T]	99.4	**92.2**	**92.2**	**91.4**	**47.1**

Discussion

We propose an updated taxonomy of the *Escherichia* genus that includes seven *Escherichia* species: 1) *E. coli,* cryptic clade I and *Shigella spp.*; 2) cryptic clade II; 3) *E. ruysiae sp. nov.* (formerly cryptic clades III and IV); 4) *E. marmotae* (formerly cryptic clade V); 5) cryptic clade VI; 6) *E. fergusonii* and 7) *E. albertii.*

Contrary to the present study and possibly because a smaller dataset was used, Luo et al. (2011) observed a 'genetic continuum' between *E. coli* and cryptic clades. In line with findings by Walk (2015) we did not find strains that share an ANI > 95% between the seven groups, indicating discrete grouping(S. Walk, 2015). Although they should be assigned to a single species based on ANI analysis, a clear separation between *E. coli/Shigella spp.* and clade I is observed, possibly at the subspecies level. The same holds for cryptic clades III and IV. For the other cryptic clades, no significant separation in population structure is visible, indicating these clades are genetically more homogeneous.

Throughout our comprehensive scanning of RefSeq and SRA, we attempted to maximize the diversity of *Escherichia* genomes captured. We built the phylogeny of >10,000 RefSeq genomes which included all *Escherichia* cryptic clades described in the literature. As we based our SRA search on the RefSeq cryptic clade genomes, we cannot exclude that we missed novel cryptic clades present in the SRA but not in RefSeq. Additionally, we had to raise the threshold with which we screened the SRA for cryptic clade I strains. Possibly, strains that are genetically different could have been missed by choosing this strategy.

Based on genomic analyses of the *Escherichia* genus and characterization of strain OPT1704[T],

we proposed a novel species, *Escherichia ruysiae sp. nov.* Analysis of 16S rRNA genes of *E.*

ruysiae and type strains of other *Escherichia spp.* did not warrant assignment to a novel species,

while ANI and dDDH analysis of the same genomes did. The International Journal of Systematic

and Evolutionary Microbiology follows results of ANI or dDDH analysis instead of 16S rRNA

analysis when discrepancies are observed, meaning strain OPT1704[T] should be assigned to a

novel species (Chun et al., 2018). Assignment of cryptic clades III and IV as a novel species *E.*

ruysiae means inclusion of the species in the NCBI taxonomy database, as happened with *E.*

marmotae/cryptic clade V before. As the NCBI taxonomy serves as the reference for many

genomic classification tools, assigning cryptic clades III and IV to a novel species is the most

effective way to stimulate correct classification of these strains.

Additionally, the assignment of *E. ruysiae sp. nov.* could be useful for recent efforts of NCBI to

retrospectively correct RefSeq entries using ANI, if the entries are assigned to the wrong species

(https://ncbiinsights.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2019/02/06/correct-existing-taxonomic-info-genbank-ani-

analysis/). However, this effort is also based on the NCBI taxonomy, which is why it is important

that cryptic clades that should be assigned as separate species are formally recognized as such.

All standard identification methods we used in this study – genomic and phenotypic –

concluded strain OPT1704[T] is an *E. coli* strain. Genomic identification methods such as Kraken2

often use the RefSeq database in combination with the NCBI taxonomy to classify strains.

Cryptic clade strains are present in the RefSeq database, but are always labeled as either

indeterminate *Escherichia species* or as *E. coli*, as cryptic clades are missing from the NCBI

taxonomy. This causes Kraken2 to misidentify cryptic clade strains. Adding entries for cryptic

clades in the NCBI taxonomy (e.g. as formally recognized species) should solve this issue. It is

not unimaginable that the same issue holds for phenotypic characterization methods such as

the MALDI-TOF or Vitek2 systems, meaning some of the reference strains in those databases

could be wrongly labeled. Another possible explanation for the Vitek2 results is that it is

challenging to differentiate cryptic clades from *E. coli* based on biochemical properties. Walk et

al. (2009)(S. T. Walk et al., 2009) assessed 31 biochemical markers and attempted to

differentiate cryptic clades from *E. coli* based on the biochemical profiles, which was achieved

only partially. However, high-throughput metabolomic analyses of cryptic clade strains have not

been performed yet to the best of our knowledge, which means there could be differentiating

biochemical markers waiting to be discovered.

Cryptic clade I genomes in our collection harbored more virulence and resistance genes

compared to other clades. Notably, almost half of clade I genomes harbored the genes

necessary to produce either Shiga toxin 1 or 2. It might be that this is partly due to a sampling

bias – toxigenic clade I strains might be whole-genome sequenced more often – but genes

encoding Shiga toxin were not nearly as abundant in other cryptic clades. In fact, cryptic clade I

might represent an eighth non-O157 *stx+ Escherichia* lineage (Alikhan et al., 2019). Our analysis

definitely confirms the earlier suspicion of Walk (2015) that a relatively high percentage cryptic

clade I strains harbor shiga toxin (S. Walk, 2015). One positive point out of the perspective of

public health is that none of the *stx+* clade I genomes harbored plasmid-mediated genes

conferring resistance to extended-spectrum beta-lactams, carbapenems or colistin.

Our study provides an improved and systematic taxonomy of the *Escherichia/Shigella* genus,

making effective use of public sequence databases. Based on this analysis, we describe a novel

Escherichia species, *E. ruysiae sp. nov.*, on a phenotypic and genomic level. The genomic

analysis of *Escherichia* population structure and the description of *E. ruysiae* should aid the

correct identification of *Escherichia* species in the future.

Materials and Methods

Assessing abundance of cryptic clade strains in RefSeq and SRA

10,824 *Escherichia* genome assemblies were downloaded from the RefSeq database on July

17th, 2018 using ncbi-download (version 0.2.6, https://github.com/kblin/ncbi-genome-

download). The downloaded genomes were processed using ClermonTyper (version

1.3.0)(Beghain et al., 2018) to detect putative cryptic clade strains. To check for false negatives

in the ClermonTyper analysis, a neighbor-joining tree was constructed including all 10,824

Escherichia assemblies using mash (version 2.1) (Ondov et al., 2016), the square_mash script

from PySEER (version 1.2.0)(Lees, Galardini, Bentley, Weiser, & Corander, 2018) and rapidNJ

(version 2.3.2) (Simonsen et al., 2008).

79,911 *Escherichia* entries were assembled from the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) using SKESA

(version 2.3.0) (Souvorov, Agarwala, & Lipman, 2018), assembling with a single kmer size of 51

without using read pairing information and without removing adapter sequences. FastANI

(version 1.1)(Jain et al., 2018) was used to find genomes similar to any of the RefSeq cryptic

clade genomes among these 79,911 assemblies.

Thresholds were set at 95% for clades II to VI. All strains with an ANI above the threshold for a

particular clade were selected for further analyses. Similarity was expressed in %ANI above

certain thresholds. Since cryptic clade I strains are similar to *E. coli*, a higher threshold for clade

I was chosen as using a 95% ANI threshold resulted in >50,000 hits. The lowest ANI value

between any two RefSeq cryptic clade I strains was 97.6%, so we chose a threshold of 96.5% to

reduce the probability of false negatives as much as feasible.

All SRA strains that showed similarity to RefSeq cryptic clade strains were re-assembled using

SKESA with default settings. Finally, a mash distance-based phylogeny was produced of all

reassembled strains to confirm phylogenetic placement of strains.

Genomic characterization of cryptic clade strains

Assembly metrics of all SRA assemblies were analyzed using Quast (version 4.5)(Gurevich et al.,

2013). Additionally, all SRA assemblies were screened using ABRicate (version 0.8.10,

https://github.com/tseemann/abricate) for virulence genes (using the VFDB core database,

downloaded 31st October 2018)(Chen et al., 2005) or antimicrobial resistance (using the CARD

database, downloaded 31st October 2018) (McArthur et al., 2013). Kraken2 (version 2.0.7-beta)

was used with the 8GB MiniKraken database to perform species identification of cryptic clade

genomes. Genome-wide ANI was calculated using fastANI (version 1.1)(Jain et al., 2018) with

default settings.

Phylogenetic analysis

A total of 489 genomes (344 cryptic clade genomes and 145 *E. albertii, E. fergusonii, E. coli* and

Shigella spp.) were used to construct a maximum likelihood phylogeny. kSNP3 (version 3.1)

(Gardner et al., 2015) was used to extract SNPs from kmers present in all genomes. This core

SNP matrix from kmers was used as input for IQ-tree (version 1.6.6) (Nguyen et al., 2015),

which calculated a maximum-likelihood phylogeny under the GTR-GAMMA model, correcting

for ascertainment bias using 1000 ultrafast bootstraps (Hoang, Chernomor, von Haeseler, Minh,

& Vinh, 2017).

Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) analysis of 489 *Escherichia* and *Shigella* strains

ANI analysis was performed using fastANI (version 1.1) which efficiently and accurately calculates

ANI for a large genome collection. 489 *Escherichia* and *Shigella* strains were used as query and

reference, providing a total of 238,632 comparisons (without self-comparisons). Mean ANI

values were calculated between all strains from compared groups. Subsequently, fastANI

output was written to a full matrix using the square_mash script of PySEER (Lees et al., 2018).

Values lower than 95% were removed in R (version 3.5.2) and a Cytoscape network was created

using the graph_from_adjacency_matrix function from the igraph package (version 1.2.4)

(Csardi & Nepusz, 2006), the jsonlite package (version 1.6) (Ooms, 2014) and the toCytoscape

function from https://github.com/idekerlab/cy-rest-R. The network was plotted in Cytoscape

(version 3.7.1) (Shannon et al., 2003) and layout was provided through a weighted Prefuse

Force Directed Layout algorithm distributed with Cytoscape.

Phenotypic characterization of *Escherichia ruysiae sp. nov.*

Escherichia ruysiae sp. nov. strain OPT1704^T strain was grown on COS sheep blood agar plates

(BD) at 37 °C unless stated otherwise. Anaerobic growth was assessed by growing OPT1704^T in

a sealed container with a BD Anaerobic GasPak within. A hanging drop preparation was used to

detect motility. The VITEK 2 system using a GN ID card (BioMérieux) and the MALDI-TOF system

(Bruker) were used with default settings for phenotypic identification of OPT1704^T. The Vitek

system was also used to obtain antimicrobial susceptibility profiles for multiple antibiotic

classes. EUCAST v9.0 clinical breakpoints were used to classify MICs into S/I/R categories.

Genomic characterization of *Escherichia ruysiae sp. nov.*

DNA for Illumina whole-genome sequencing was extracted using the Qiagen Blood and Tissue

kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sequencing library was prepared using the

Kapa HTP Library Preparation kit and subsequently sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000

platform. Adapter sequences were removed using fastp (Zhou, Chen, Chen, & Gu, 2018).

DNA for Oxford Nanopore Technologies whole-genome sequencing was extracted using the

Qiagen MagAttract HMW DNA kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sequencing

library was prepared using the Nanopore rapid barcoding kit (SQK-RBK004) and sequenced in a

multiplexed run. Basecalling was performed using MinKNOW in fast basecalling mode and qcat

(version 1.0.7, https://github.com/nanoporetech/qcat) was used to demultiplex reads. Reads

were filtered using Filtlong (version 0.2.0, https://github.com/rrwick/Filtlong) where 90% of

best reads were retained, judged on read length and read identity based on Illumina

sequencing data. Filtered Nanopore reads and subsampled Illumina reads were used to perform

hybrid assembly using Unicycler (version 0.4.6, Wick 2017).

ABRicate was used as described above to predict resistance and virulence genes. 16S rRNA

gene sequence was extracted using barrnap (version 0.9,

https://github.com/tseemann/barrnap). Digital DNA:DNA hybridization scores were calculated

using the DSMZ webtool (Meier-Kolthoff, Auch, Klenk, & Göker, 2013) and ANI was calculated

using OrthoANIu (Yoon et al., 2017), Enveomics ANI calculator (Rodriguez-R & Konstantinidis,

2016) and fastANI (Jain et al., 2018).

Acknowledgments

367   The COMBAT study was funded by Netherlands Organization for Health, Research and

368   Development (ZonMw; 50-51700-98-120) and EU-H2020 programme (COMPARE, 643476). The

369   authors would like to thank Rob Weijts and Patricia Brinke for their help in phenotypic

370   characterization of type strain OPT1704[T] of *Escherichia ruysiae sp. nov*.

Data availability

All supplementary data is available via FigShare. This includes a Readme (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.9824633.v1), a list of accession numbers for the 10,824 *Escherichia* genomes downloaded from NCBI (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.9824234), a list of accession numbers for the 79,911 *Escherichia* entries assembled from the SRA using SKESA (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.9824261), the re-assemblies of the 344 selected cryptic clade strains (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.9824270) and a summary of the bioinformatic commands used (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.9777746). Illumina and ONT fastq files are available on ENA under accession numbers ERR3518913 and ERR3518914, respectively. Illumina and ONT sequencing data, as well as Unicycler assembly of strain OPT1704^T are available on ENA under the project number PRJEB34275. Pure cultures of strain OPT1704^T are available through the Netherlands Culture Collection of Bacteria of the Westerdijk Institute under number NCCB100732 (http://www.westerdijkinstitute.nl/Collections/DefaultInfo.aspx?Page=Bacteria), the National Collection of Type Cultures of Public Health England under number NCTC14359 (https://www.phe-culturecollections.org.uk/collections/nctc.aspx) or through the corresponding author.

Appendixes

A full list of members of the COMBAT consortium is supplied in the file "Members_COMBAT_consortium.docx".

References

Alikhan, N.-F., Bachmann, N. L., Zakour, N. L. Ben, Petty, N. K., Stanton-Cook, M., Gawthorne, J. A., …

Beatson, S. A. (2019). Multiple evolutionary trajectories for non-O157 Shiga toxigenic

Escherichia coli. *BioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/549998

Arcilla, M. S., van Hattem, J. M., Haverkate, M. R., Bootsma, M. C. J., van Genderen, P. J. J., Goorhuis, A.,

… Penders, J. (2017). Import and spread of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing

Enterobacteriaceae by international travellers (COMBAT study): A prospective, multicentre

cohort study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, *17*(1), 78–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-

3099(16)30319-X

Beghain, J., Bridier-Nahmias, A., Le Nagard, H., Denamur, E., & Clermont, O. (2018). ClermonTyping: An

easy-to-use and accurate in silico method for Escherichia genus strain phylotyping. *Microbial*

Genomics, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000192

Chen, L., Yang, J., Yu, J., Yao, Z., Sun, L., Shen, Y., & Jin, Q. (2005). VFDB: A reference database for

bacterial virulence factors. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *33*(DATABASE ISS.), 325–328.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki008

Chun, J., Oren, A., Ventosa, A., Christensen, H., Arahal, D. R., da Costa, M. S., … Trujillo, M. E. (2018).

Proposed minimal standards for the use of genome data for the taxonomy of prokaryotes.

International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, *68*(1), 461–466.

https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002516

Csardi, G., & Nepusz, T. (2006). The igraph software package for complex network research. *InterJournal*,

Complex Sy, 1695.

Farmer, J. J., Fanning, G. R., Davis, B. R., O\textquoterightHara, C. M., Riddle, C., Hickman-Brenner, F. W.,

… Brenner, D. J. (1985). Escherichia fergusonii and Enterobacter taylorae, two new species of

Enterobacteriaceae isolated from clinical specimens. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *21*(1), 77–

81.

Gangiredla, J., Mammel, M. K., Barnaba, T. J., Tartera, C., Gebru, S. T., Patel, I. R., … Lacher, D. W. (2018).

Draft Genome Sequences of Escherichia albertii, Escherichia fergusonii, and Strains Belonging to

Six Cryptic Lineages of Escherichia spp. *Microbiology Resource Announcements*, *6*(18).

https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00271-18

Gardner, S. N., Slezak, T., & Hall, B. G. (2015). kSNP3.0: SNP detection and phylogenetic analysis of

genomes without genome alignment or reference genome. *Bioinformatics*, *31*(17), 2877–2878.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv271

Goris, J., Konstantinidis, K. T., Klappenbach, J. A., Coenye, T., Vandamme, P., & Tiedje, J. M. (2007). DNA–

DNA hybridization values and their relationship to whole-genome sequence similarities.

International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 57(1), 81–91.

Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N., & Tesler, G. (2013). QUAST: Quality assessment tool for genome

assemblies. *Bioinformatics*, *29*(8), 1072–1075. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086

Hoang, D. T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B. Q., & Vinh, L. S. (2017). UFBoot2: Improving the

Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *35*(2), 518–522.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx281

Huys, G., Cnockaert, M., Janda, J. M., & Swings, J. (2003). Escherichia albertii sp. Nov., a diarrhoeagenic

species isolated from stool specimens of Bangladeshi children. *International Journal of*

Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, *53*(3), 807–810.

Jain, C., Rodriguez-R, L. M., Phillippy, A. M., Konstantinidis, K. T., & Aluru, S. (2018). High throughput ANI

analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. *Nature Communications*,

9(1), 5114. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07641-9

Lees, J. A., Galardini, M., Bentley, S. D., Weiser, J. N., & Corander, J. (2018). pyseer: A comprehensive

tool for microbial pangenome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics*, *34*(24), 4310–4312.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty539

Letunic, I., & Bork, P. (2019). Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: Recent updates and new developments.

Nucleic Acids Research, *47*(W1), W256–W259. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz239

Liu, S., Jin, D., Lan, R., Wang, Y., Meng, Q., Dai, H., … Xu, J. (2015). Escherichia marmotae sp. Nov.,

isolated from faeces of Marmota himalayana. *International Journal of Systematic and*

Evolutionary Microbiology, *65*(7), 2130–2134.

McArthur, A. G., Waglechner, N., Nizam, F., Yan, A., Azad, M. A., Baylay, A. J., … Wright, G. D. (2013). The

comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, *57*(7),

3348–3357. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00419-13

Meier-Kolthoff, J. P., Auch, A. F., Klenk, H.-P., & Göker, M. (2013). Genome sequence-based species

delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions. *BMC Bioinformatics*,

14(1), 60. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-14-60

Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A., & Minh, B. Q. (2015). IQ-TREE: a fast and effective

stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and*

Evolution, *32*(1), 268–274. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300

Ochman, H., & Selander, R. K. (1984). Standard reference strains of Escherichia coli from natural

populations. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *157*(2), 690–693.

Ondov, B. D., Treangen, T. J., Melsted, P., Mallonee, A. B., Bergman, N. H., Koren, S., & Phillippy, A. M.

(2016). Mash: Fast genome and metagenome distance estimation using MinHash. *Genome*

Biology, *17*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-0997-x

Ooka, T., Seto, K., Kawano, K., Kobayashi, H., Etoh, Y., Ichihara, S., … Hayashi, T. (2012). Clinical

Significance of Escherichia albertii. *Emerging Infectious Disease Journal*, *18*(3), 488.

https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1803.111401

Ooms, J. (2014). The jsonlite Package: A Practical and Consistent Mapping Between JSON Data and R

Objects. *ArXiv E-Prints*, arXiv:1403.2805.

Pettengill, E. A., Pettengill, J. B., & Binet, R. (2016). Phylogenetic Analyses of Shigella and Enteroinvasive

Escherichia coli for the Identification of Molecular Epidemiological Markers: Whole-Genome

Comparative Analysis Does Not Support Distinct Genera Designation. *Frontiers in Microbiology*,

6, 1573. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01573

Rodriguez-R, L. M., & Konstantinidis, K. T. (2016). The enveomics collection: A toolbox for specialized

analyses of microbial genomes and metagenomes. *PeerJ Preprints*, *4*, e1900v1.

https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.1900v1

Russo, T. A., & Johnson, J. R. (2003). Medical and economic impact of extraintestinal infections due to

Escherichia coli: Focus on an increasingly important endemic problem. *Microbes and Infection*,

5(5), 449–456. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1286-4579(03)00049-2

Savini, V., Catavitello, C., Talia, M., Manna, A., Pompetti, F., Favaro, M., … D\textquoterightAntonio, D.

(2008). Multidrug-Resistant Escherichia fergusonii: A Case of Acute Cystitis. *Journal of Clinical*

Microbiology, *46*(4), 1551–1552. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01210-07

Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N. S., Wang, J. T., Ramage, D., … Ideker, T. (2003). Cytoscape:

A software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome*

Research, *13*(11), 2498–2504. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1239303

Simonsen, M., Mailund, T., & Pedersen, C. N. S. (2008). Rapid Neighbour-Joining. In K. A. Crandall & J.

Lagergren (Eds.), *Algorithms in Bioinformatics* (pp. 113–122). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin

Heidelberg.

Souvorov, A., Agarwala, R., & Lipman, D. J. (2018). SKESA: strategic k-mer extension for scrupulous

assemblies. *Genome Biology*, *19*(1), 153. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-018-1540-z

Walk, S. (2015). The "Cryptic" Escherichia. *EcoSal Plus*. Retrieved from

https://www.asmscience.org/content/journal/ecosalplus/10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0002-2015

Walk, S. T., Alm, E. W., Gordon, D. M., Ram, J. L., Toranzos, G. A., Tiedje, J. M., & Whittam, T. S. (2009).

Cryptic lineages of the genus Escherichia. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *75*(20),

6534–6544. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01262-09

Wood, D. E., & Salzberg, S. L. (2014). Kraken: Ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification using exact

alignments. *Genome Biology*, *15*, R46. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2014-15-3-r46

Yoon, S.-H., Ha, S.-M., Lim, J., Kwon, S., & Chun, J. (2017). A large-scale evaluation of algorithms to

calculate average nucleotide identity. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, *110*(10), 1281–1286.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-017-0844-4

Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., Chen, S., & Gu, J. (2018). fastp: An ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.

Bioinformatics, *34*(17), i884–i890. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560

500