Rap-protein paralogs of *B. thuringiensis*: a multifunctional and redundant regulatory

repertoire for the control of collective functions.

Gabriela Gastélum[a,b], Mayra de la Torre[a,b], Jorge Rocha[c]#

[a] Centro de Investigación y Desarrollo en Agrobiotecnología Alimentaria, San Agustin

Tlaxiaca, Hidalgo, Mexico.

[b] Food Science Departament, Centro de Investigación en Alimentación y Desarrollo A.C.,

Hermosillo, Sonora, Mexico.

[c]CONACYT - Centro de Investigación y Desarrollo en Agrobiotecnología Alimentaria, San

Agustin Tlaxiaca, Hidalgo, Mexico.

Running Head: Control of collective functions by Rap proteins in Bt

Address correspondence to Jorge Rocha, Jorge.rocha@ciad.mx

Abstract

Quorum Sensing (QS) are mechanisms of synthesis and detection of signaling molecules to

regulate gene expression and coordinate behaviors in bacterial populations. In *Bacillus*

subtilis (Bs), multiple paralog Rap-Phr QS systems (receptor-signaling peptide) are highly

redundant and multifunctional, interconnecting the regulation of differentiation processes

such as sporulation and competence. However, their functions in the *B. cereus* group are

largely unknown. We evaluated the diversification of Rap-Phr systems in the *B. cereus*

group as well as their functions, using *Bacillus thuringiensis* Bt8741 as model. Bt8741

codes for eight Rap-Phr systems; these were overexpressed to study their participation in

sporulation, biofilm formation, extracellular proteolytic activity and spreading. Our results

show that five Rap-Phr systems (RapC, K, F, I and RapLike) inhibit sporulation, two of

which (RapK and RapF) probably dephosphorylate of Spo0F from the Spo0A phosphorelay;

these two Rap proteins also inhibit biofilm formation. Five systems (RapC, F, F2, I1 and

RapLike) decrease extracellular proteolytic activity; finally, four systems (RapC, F1, F2

and RapLike) participate in spreading inhibition. Our bioinformatic analyses showed that

Rap proteins from the *B. cereus* group diversified into five pherogroups, and we foresee

that functions performed by Rap proteins of Bt8741 could also be carried out by Rap

homologs in other species within the group. These results indicate that Rap-Phr systems

constitute a highly multifunctional and redundant regulatory repertoire that enables bacteria

from the *B. cereus* group to efficiently regulate collective functions during the bacterial life

cycle, in the face of changing environments.

Importance

The *Bacillus cereus* group of bacteria includes species of high economic, clinical,

biological warfare and biotechnological interest, e.g. *B. anthracis* in bioterrorism, *B.*

cereus in food intoxications and *B. thuringiensis* in biocontrol. Knowledge on the ecology

of these bacteria is hindered due to our limited understanding about the regulatory circuits

that control differentiation and specialization processes. Here, we uncover the participation

of eight Rap quorum-sensing receptors in collective functions of *B. thuringiensis*. These proteins are highly multifunctional and redundant in their functions, linking ecologically relevant processes such as sporulation, biofilm formation, extracellular proteolytic activity, spreading, and probably other additional functions in species from the *B. cereus* group.

Introduction

Bacteria perform many functions that depend on multicellular-like behaviors, such as cell differentiation and specialization. These behaviors, also known as collective functions, allow the emergence of complex ecological interactions, including cooperation and division of labor in biofilms (1, 2). Collective functions are only evident and effective when performed by large groups in bacterial populations or communities (3–6). Some of the most studied examples include bioluminescence by the squid symbiont *Vibrio fischeri* (7), or fruiting body formation during sporulation of *Myxococcus xanthus* (8).

In gram-positive bacteria, collective functions and the molecular mechanisms for their control have been widely studied in *Bacillus subtilis* (Bs). In Bs cultures, several mutually-exclusive cell-types have been identified (motile, competent, sporulating, cannibal, biofilm matrix producers, surfactant producers and miners (9, 10)), where emerging ecological interactions such as cooperation, cheating and cross-feeding, have been described (5, 6, 11). The presence of these cell differentiation phenomena and the resulting ecological interactions, ultimately affect the manifestation of collective traits such as sporulation efficiency, surface colonization, biofilm architecture complexity, etc. (2, 9, 12). These phenomena depend on global modifications of transcriptional regulation; they are triggered

by environmental cues, stress conditions, cell-cell signaling, and are tightly modulated by

complex, overlapping regulatory circuits (13–15).

Bacteria detect cell density through quorum sensing (QS), which depends on self-produced

signaling molecules that accumulate in the extracellular space as the population grows.

Specific receptors in the cell membrane or in the cytoplasm recognize these signaling

molecules and regulate downstream cellular processes (16–18). Collective traits such as

virulence, competence, sporulation and bioluminescence are regulated by QS. Gram-

positive bacteria use small peptides as signaling molecules for QS (17).

The RRNPP family (Rgg, Rap, NprR, PlcR, PrgX) are intracellular QS receptors that

regulate several functions across gram-positive bacteria (19–21). Genes coding for receptor

proteins and their associated signaling peptides are encoded in transcriptional cassettes (22).

Rgg, NprR, PlcR and PrgX proteins are transcriptional activators that bind directly to DNA

in quorum state. Rap proteins, however, lack a DNA binding domain and they function by

binding and inhibiting proteins, specifically response regulators and transcriptional

activators (21, 23, 24). Twelve Rap paralogs (RapA, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, 60) control

diverse functions in *B. subtilis* 168 (Bs168). The RapG-PhrG pair regulates the activation

of DegU, a transcriptional regulator that controls *aprE* and *comK* genes encoding for

extracellular proteases and a transcription factor for competence in Bs, respectively (15, 25);

ComA – the master regulator of competence genes – is repressed by RapC, D, F, G, H, K

and Rap60 (14, 26–31); Spo0A – the transcriptional activator of many differentiation genes

– is indirectly regulated by RapA, B, E, H, J, and Rap60 (24, 31–35). Hence, Rap protein

paralogs from Bs are highly multifunctional and redundant and they connect several

differentiation processes and coordinate collective traits.

Spo0A is activated by phosphorylation through a multicomponent phosphorelay system. Up

to five kinases auto-phosphorylate in response to intracellular and environmental stress

signals and transfer the phosphate group to Spo0F, which is then transferred to Spo0B and

finally to Spo0A (36). Spo0A-P activates the transcription of multiple genes, including

biofilm formation (at low concentrations) and early sporulation genes (at high

concentrations (13)). Rap QS proteins prevent the phosphate transfer in the phosphorelay

by binding to Spo0F (32, 37).

While the regulation of collective traits in Bs is well known, these phenomena remain

largely understudied in the *B. cereus* group, which includes bacteria with clinical and

biotechnological relevance (38). Although Bs and *B. cereus* group species share similar

characteristics such as the sporulation process, the Spo0A phosphorelay components, and

have many protein families in common, they also present notorious genetic differences (39).

In *B. thuringiensis* (Bt, the most widely used biopesticide), the Spo0A phosphorelay is

modulated by the bifunctional QS receptor NprR, which is not present in Bs (40–42). On

the other hand, ComA and DegU response regulators are not encoded in Bt. Additionally,

Rap-Phr QS systems also differ in both groups. These QS systems have evolved by

duplication and divergence mechanisms; even though multiple Rap proteins paralogs are

also found *B. cereus* group species, they have evolved independently and no Rap homologs

are shared between the two groups (43, 44). Therefore, it is not possible to predict the

functions of Rap proteins in the *B. cereus* group based on what is known of Rap proteins

from Bs.

Some Rap-Phr systems from species of the *B. cereus* group have been studied. First, Rap

BXA0205 and BA3790 from *B. anthracis* str. A2012, were demonstrated to regulate

sporulation initiation and to dephosphorylate Spo0F (45). Later, it was shown that Rap8

from Bt-HD73, regulates the sporulation and biofilm formation processes *in vitro* (46). A

more recent study showed the participation of Rap6, 7 and 8 – also known as RapC, K and

RapF, respectively (47) – in the modulation of the sporulation process in Bt407 (48).

However, other Rap paralogs with unknown functions have been identified in the genomes

of *B. cereus* group bacteria (44, 47) that may be relevant to their ecology.

In this study we aimed at evaluating the diversification of the Rap-Phr systems in the *B.*

cereus group as well as their functions, using *Bacillus thuringiensis* Bt8741 as model. We

generated eight Rap-overexpression strains of Bt8741 to evaluate the role of each Rap

paralog in sporulation efficiency, biofilm formation, extracellular proteolytic activity and

spreading. We also studied the evolution of Rap-Phr paralogs in the *B. cereus* group, by

identifying Rap homologs from other species and analyzing its phylogeny. This allowed the

prediction of their functions, based on those of Rap proteins from Bt8741.

Results

Spo0F-binding residues from Bs-RapH are conserved in Rap proteins from Bt8741.

In order to predict the capacity of Rap proteins from Bt407 (a strain closely related to

Bt8741) to bind to Spo0F, we analyzed the conservation of the amino acids previously

reported to be involved in Spo0F-binding by RapH from *B. subtilis*. In this analysis, we

included reported sequences of Bs-Rap proteins that bind to Spo0F (RapA, B, E, H, J) as

well as the sequence of RapD from Bs, which does not bind to Spo0F (34) (Fig. 1). We

found more conservation of the functional amino acids of RapH, in the sequences of both

Bs168 and Bt407, compared to the corresponding full sequences (Fig. 1B). In Bs168, the

full sequence conservation of the Rap proteins known to bind to Spo0F (RapA, B, E, J)

compared to RapH, ranged from 59% to 66%, and the functional amino acids conservation

percentage, from 82.3% to 100%. In RapD, which does not bind to Spo0F, the full-length

sequence is conserved at 50% and the functional residues are only 64.7% conserved (Fig.

1B). In the case of Rap proteins from Bt407, the full sequence conservation in comparison

to RapH of Bs168 ranged from 45% to 48%. On the other hand, conservation of the

functional residues ranged from 64.7% to 88.2% (Fig 1B). Since more conservation occurs

in the Spo0F-binding functional residues, these residues could be important for the function

of Bt Rap proteins.

RapK exhibited the highest conservation percentage of Spo0F-binding residues (88.2%),

followed by RapF, I and RapI1 (82.3%), RapF1, F2 and RapLike (70.5%) and finally RapC,

with 64.6%. Although RapF1 and RapF2 had a high conservation of functional residues,

neither these Rap paralogs, nor RapC, conserve the residue Q47 found in the catalytic site

and previously shown to be essential for the phosphatase activity of RapH (34). This

analysis enables the prediction that some Rap protein paralogs from Bt8741, with a high

conservation percentage of putative Spo0F-binding amino acids, could dephosphorylate

Spo0F, while other paralogs could have evolved to participate in other regulatory processes.

Indeed, RapK, RapF and unexpectedly RapC from Bt407, Rap8 from Bt-HD73 (ortholog to

RapI from Bt407) and Rap BXA0205 and BA3790 from *B. anthracis*, (homologs of RapK

and RapF2, respectively) have been shown to participate in the modulation of sporulation

(45, 46, 48). Previous to this work, RapF1, I1, and RapLike from Bt407 (or its homologs in

other species), had not been tested for their role in sporulation.

RapC, K, F and RapLike control sporulation in Bt8741.

We constructed nine Rap-overexpression strains in the Bt8741 background (Table S1), one

for each endogenous Rap protein identified in Bt407 (RapC, K, F, F1, F2, I, I1, Like) and

one more for RapA from Bs168 (RapA$_{Bs}$). We also generated a control strain of Bt8741

carrying the empty plasmid pHT315-P$_{xylA}$ (Table S1). DNA sequencing showed correct, in-

frame insertion of P$_{xylA}$ and *rap* genes in the pHT315 plasmid (not shown). We followed a

growth time-course experiment of all strains in shaking flasks for 24 hours and confirmed

that neither xylose addition, nor Rap overexpression, affected bacterial growth (Fig. S1).

In order to identify the Rap proteins involved in the regulation of sporulation initiation, we

studied the effect of Rap overexpression in the sporulation efficiency of each strain. In this

experiment, we observed that both addition of xylose to the culture medium and the

presence of *rap* genes in the plasmid had minor effects on total and thermoresistant CFU

counts of Bt8741 at 72 h. In the control strain, addition of xylose caused a decrease of ≈1

log10 in total and thermoresistant CFU (Fig. S2A and S2B). Similarly, when *rapF1* and

rapF2 genes were carried in the plasmid – but not overexpressed – total CFU decreased by

up to one logarithm of CFU in comparison to the control strain (Fig. S2A). Additionally,

sporulation decreased one logarithm in strains carrying *rapF1*, *rapF2* and *rapI1* in

comparison to the control strain when overexpression was not induced (Fig. S2C). These

unspecific effects were probably related to basal expression from the P_{xylA} promoter, even

when xylose is not added, since pHT315 replicates at 15 copies per cell (49). However, the

most dramatic effect was found in thermoresistant CFU of strains overexpressing Rap

proteins (Fig. S2D).

In spite of the unspecific effect of xylose addition on growth and sporulation, sporulation

efficiency of the control strain remained unchanged by the addition of inducer (Fig. 2). In

contrast, overexpression of $RapA_{Bs}$ caused a decrease in sporulation efficiency from 7.9%

to 0.0005%. In fact, thermoresistant CFU were undetectable when $RapA_{Bs}$ was

overexpressed (Fig. S2D). We also found undetectable levels of spores in strains

overexpressing RapK and RapF (Fig. S2D). Sporulation efficiency decreased from 32.93%

to 0.0002% in the strain overexpressing RapK and from 9.24% to 0.0026% in the strain

overexpressing RapF (Fig. 2). In Bs, RapA dephosphorylates Spo0F in the Spo0A

phosphorelay (32) and this result indicates that it performs the same function in B8741;

furthermore, it suggests that RapK and RapF carry out the same mechanism for regulation

of sporulation initiation.

Strains carrying P_{xylA}*'rapC* and P_{xylA}*'rapLike* also exhibited reduced sporulation efficiency

when xylose was added to the medium. Sporulation efficiency decreased from 5.43% to

0.0357% and from 12.34% to 0.1352% when RapC and RapLike were overexpressed,

respectively (Fig 2). Additionally, RapI overexpression slightly decreased sporulation

efficiency, from 2.82% to 0.51%. Sporulation efficiency was not decreased by the

overexpression of either RapF1, F2, I or RapI1.

Samples of the Rap-overexpressing strain cultures at 72 h were observed in a microscope.

We detected free spores and bacterial debris in all cultures, when Rap proteins were not

overexpressed (Fig. S3). Figure 3 shows representative fields of view with cells from each

induced culture. Strains overexpressing Rap proteins that did not affect sporulation

efficiency (RapF1, F2 I1) showed cell morphology similar to that of the control strain, i.e. a

sporulated bacilli with defined endospores. In samples from strains overexpressing $RapA_{Bs}$,

K and RapF, that had acutely decreased sporulation efficiency, we observed chained,

wrinkled cells with no spores (Fig. 3). On the other hand, cells from strains overexpressing

RapC and RapLike, were observed as rod-shaped and no spores were evident (Fig. 3).

Finally, in cells from the strain overexpressing RapI, which had a slight effect on

sporulation efficiency, cell morphology was similar to strains overexpressing RapF1, F2, I1

and the control strain (Fig. 3), showing a defined endospore.

Overexpression of RapF and RapK prevents biofilm formation of Bt8741.

In nature, over 80% of bacteria live in biofilms (49), therefore, biofilm formation is likely a

relevant trait – albeit an understudied one – during the life cycle of Bt and other bacteria

belonging to the *B. cereus* group. To determine which Rap proteins were involved in the

regulation of biofilm development in Bt8741, we quantified biofilm formation of the Rap-

overexpression strains in the air-liquid interphase at 48 h. For this, we suspended the cells

form the biofilm and measured optical density (OD_{600}). Since 20 mM of xylose in the

media caused a complete inhibition of biofilm formation in the Bt8741 control strain (not

shown), we first tested the effect of xylose concentration on this phenotype. We found that

biofilm formation was not affected at 2 mM, but was decreased at higher concentrations of

5, 10 and 15 mM (Fig. S4); therefore, overexpression of Rap proteins was performed with 2

mM of xylose (50).

Overexpression of RapK and RapF caused an inhibition of biofilm formation of Bt8741

(Fig. 4A), evident by the significant decrease (p<0.0001) in the OD_{600} measured from a

sample obtained from the surface of the culture (Fig. 4B). The OD_{600} of the biofilms

decreased from 0.7115 to 0.0977 and from 0.6577 to 0.0961 in strains overexpressing

RapK and RapF, respectively (Fig. 4B). On the other hand, biofilms were normally formed

by strains overexpressing $RapA_{Bs}$, C, F2, I, I1 and RapLike (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the strain

overexpressing RapF1 was unable to form biofilms even when P_{xylA}'rapF1 was not induced

(Fig. 4A and B).

In order to discard possible global growth defects in this assay when RapK and RapF were

overexpressed, we measured planktonic growth through OD_{600} of the liquid culture media

from the same experiments where biofilm formation was assessed. We found that

planktonic growth was higher in conditions where a biofilm was not formed (Fig. S5). This

suggests that RapK and RapF specifically inhibit biofilm formation (e.g. secretion of

extracellular matrix components).

Extracellular proteolytic activity is downregulated by RapC, F, F2, I1 and RapLike in

Bt8741.

In Bt, the production of extracellular proteases is crucial during its necrotrophic phase, i.e.

development in insect cadavers. We tested the role of Rap proteins in extracellular

proteolytic activity by measuring the effect of Rap overexpression on hydrolysis halos of

colonies on milk agar (MA) plates. Addition of xylose in the media had no effect ($p > 0.05$)

on the hydrolysis halo of the control strain (Fig. 5). In contrast, overexpression of RapC, F,

F2, I1 and RapLike decreased the halo area ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 5B). In these strains, the halo area

decreased to 41.98%, 37.81%, 46.65%, 47.51% and 34.93%, respectively (Fig. 5B, Fig. S6)

compared to the halos in plates where overexpression was not induced (100%). Proteolytic

activity of strains overexpressing $RapA_{Bs}$, K, I and RapF1 was not affected by the induction

($p > 0.05$; Fig. 5A and B).

RapC, F1, F2 and RapLike regulate spreading of Bt8741 colonies.

Colonies of Bt8741 present a spreading phenotype that could be associated to its capacity to

colonize hosts and habitats. Similar passive motility phenotypes have been described in

other species of *Bacillus*, associated to the production of extracellular surfactant molecules

(51−53). To gain insights on this understudied collective trait, we determined the effect of

Rap protein overexpression on radial spreading of colonies of Bt8741 growing on agar

media.

We observed that addition of xylose in the media did not affect the spreading of the control

strain (Fig. 6). In contrast, the overexpression of RapC, F1, F2 and RapLike caused a

decrease in spreading ($p < 0.05$) of Bt8741 colonies at day 7 (Fig. 6A and B). The

overexpression of RapC reduced the colony dispersion from 5.15 mm to 0.49 mm

(reduction of 90.4%); RapF1, from 3.73 mm to 1.83 mm (decrease of 50.9%); RapF2 from

5.05 mm to 0.78 mm (decrease of 84.5%); and RapLike from 3.64 mm to 0.65 mm

(decrease of 82.1%) (Fig. 6B). Spreading inhibition is evident in the colony morphology of

these strains (Fig. 6C). We observed that the overexpression of RapC, F2 and RapLike,

completely eliminated this phenotype, while overexpression of RapF1 only decreased

spreading (p<0.05) (Fig. 6B and C).

The overexpression of $RapA_{Bs}$, K, F, I and RapI1 did not affect the spreading of Bt8741

(p>0.05) (Fig. 6B). Spreading of the strains carrying overexpression plasmids for these Rap

proteins ranged from 4.68 mm to 7.29 mm without induction and from 3.37 mm to 6.84

mm when induced (Fig. 6B). In some cases, Rap overexpression affected colony

morphology, i.e. colonies of strains overexpressing RapABs, RapK and RapI showed an

increased dendritic phenotype; however, the spreading phenotype measured as colony

radius, was still present (Fig. 6C).

Rap-Phr systems diversified into five pherogroups in the *B. cereus* group.

In order to predict the functions of Rap paralogs in *B. cereus* (Bc), *B. anthracis* (Ba), *B.*

mycoides (Bm), *B. pseudomycoides* (Bps) and *B. cytotoxicus* (Bcyt), we analyzed their

sequences to deduce the evolution of Rap proteins in these species and identify their

putative signaling peptide sequences (mature Phr). Additional to the 8 *rap* genes in Bt407

(of which 4 are located in the chromosome, and 4 in plasmids) we found 32 *rap-phr*

systems in the *B. cereus* group (Table S2), 30 of which are located in the chromosome and

2 in plasmids (Table 1).

The phylogeny of Rap proteins from the *B. cereus* group shows that clades are composed of

Rap proteins from different species, i.e., phylogenetically close Rap homologs can be found

in different species. This suggests that Rap-Phr divergence occurred before speciation in

this group (Fig. 7). Hence, it is possible that Rap functions uncovered in this work could be

extrapolated to the rest of the *B. cereus* group, e.g. Rap proteins found in the same clade as

BtRapK and BtRapF (Bps28285, Bps05775, Bps24285, Bcyt05320, Bc1026, Ba05875,

Ba29315, Bcyt11595, Bcyt05405 and Bcyt02700) may modulate sporulation initiation and

biofilm formation. Since we found that several Rap paralogs are coded in every species of

the *B. cereus* group, we suggest that they could regulate a variety of collective functions in

all these species, as we describe here for Bt8741.

We identified 5 pherogroups, each with a putative mature Phr peptide consensus sequence.

All five pherogroups include Rap proteins from different species (Fig. S7). These five

pherogroups are identified with colors in the branches of the phylogeny in figure 7. We

found that the mature Phr corresponding to pherogroups 1 and 2 are located at the C-

terminal domain of the pro-peptides (exported Phr sequence). RapI, F, F1 and RapK from

Bt are found at these pherogroups. On the other hand, for pherogroup 3 – where RapF2

from Bt is found – consensus sequences are located at the N-terminal domain of the pro-

peptide. Finally, putative mature Phr peptides from pherogroups 4 and 5 – which include

RapLike, C and RapI1 from Bt – are located in the middle of the exported sequence (Table

S3). We observed that only Bt and Bps encode Rap proteins from all five pherogroups; Rap

proteins from Ba and Bcyt are found in pherogroups 1, 2, 3 and 4; Rap proteins in Bm

correspond to pherogroups 1, 3 and 5; Rap proteins from Bc are found only in pherogroups

1 and 4 (Fig. 7). Pherogroup 1 is the only one present in all evaluated species.

Discussion

Few studies have addressed multicellular behaviors such as differentiation, cell-
specialization, collective functions, and the resulting ecological interactions in species from
the *B*. cereus group (11, 54). Similarly, molecular mechanisms for the control of
differentiation processes in the *B. cereus* group bacteria remain understudied (45, 46, 48, 54,
55). Here we show that Rap-Phr systems in Bt8741 regulate collective functions such as
sporulation, biofilm formation, production of extracellular proteases and spreading motility.
In fact, Rap-Phr systems in this strain are highly multifunctional and redundant, since five
out of eight Rap paralogs modulate more than one collective trait, and all four collective
traits studied were inhibited by more than one Rap protein. Hence, Rap paralogs appear to
constitute a regulatory repertoire that allows Bt populations to respond efficiently to
environmental changes, which contributes to fitness of the population.

Although it is well known how the Rap-Phr systems participate in differentiation processes
of the gram-positive model bacteria Bs, speciation resulted in divergent Rap proteins in the
B. cereus and *B. subtilis* groups (43, 44). Therefore, no homologs are shared between the
groups; however, in both cases, speciation resulted in the presence of multiple Rap paralogs
per genome. We propose that Rap proteins that are phylogenetically close to Rap proteins
from Bt8741, could have the same functions in other bacteria of the *B. cereus* group.

It is not yet clear how bacteria benefit from keeping multiple receptor-signaling peptide
gene pairs comprising this complex signaling network of Rap-Phr systems; however, it has
been shown that redundancy in Rap-Phr systems in Bs has been selected for because it

provides social advantages (56). Because Rap proteins have a repressive function upon its

target, the gain of a novel Rap-Phr system for the regulation of extracellular public good

production enables a facultative cheating mechanism in which variants with an extra system

exploit their ancestral strain. Here we showed that extracellular public goods such as

biofilm matrix components, extracellular proteases or surfactants, are likely controlled by

Rap proteins in Bt8741; therefore, the same facultative cheating mechanism could be

expected during duplication of *rap-phr* genes in the *B. cereus* group. This represents a

selective advantage by a fitness increase of the novel population. Multifunctionality seems

to have evolutionary advantages as well. Perhaps, because Rap-Phr systems are known to

be parallel signaling pathways (44) they are not all activated simultaneously; instead, some

of them may be active only under specific conditions, achieving the regulation of various

differentiation processes and collective functions while optimizing energetic costs. Overall,

keeping multiple redundant and multifunctional Rap paralogs that control important

collective functions results in a better adaptation and population survival in nature.

Sporulation in the *Bacillus* genus is essential for bacterial survival and dissemination in

their habitats; it is also important for the biotechnological uses of *Bacillus* species. Six Rap-

Phr systems from Bs, including RapA, negatively regulate Spo0A phosphorelay by

dephosphorylating Spo0F, and therefore prevent the activation of Spo0A (32). We found

that RapA$_{Bs}$, retained this function when it was overexpressed in Bt8741. Furthermore, five

Rap-Phr systems from Bt8741 (RapK, F, C, Like and RapI) also regulate sporulation in this

species. We propose that RapK and RapF may function by dephosphorylating Spo0F,

similar to the mechanism carried out by RapA in Bs. This suggestion is supported by three

findings: 1) both RapK and RapF retain the highest conservation of Spo0F binding residues

from RapH, including the catalytic residue Q47; 2) their overexpression resulted in

undetectable number of spores, similar to RapA$_{Bs}$ overexpression; 3) the overexpression of

RapA$_{Bs}$, K and RapF caused an identical cell morphology in the three overexpressing

strains. Additionally, RapK and RapF are closely related and both belong to pherogroup 2,

which may indicate that they resulted from a gene duplication event of a Rap ancestor that

dephosphorylated Spo0F. Other Rap proteins that decreased sporulation efficiency are

RapC, Like and RapI; of these, RapC does not contain the catalytic site residue Q47.

Further studies are needed in order to elucidate the mechanisms by which all these

receptors regulate sporulation in Bt and other species from the *B. cereus* group.

RapK and RapF are the only Rap proteins from Bt8741 that prevented biofilm formation.

Because Spo0A-P levels regulate both sporulation and biofilm formation in Bs, we

speculate that bifunctionality of RapK and RapF in Bt8741 results from their activity on

Spo0F. We noted, however, that the overexpression of RapA$_{Bs}$, which completely

prevented sporulation, did not affected biofilm formation in Bt. Overexpression of RapA$_{Bs}$

may allow low levels of Spo0A-P in Bt8741, which in Bs are sufficient for the activation of

genes related to production of extracellular matrix components, but not for the activation of

early sporulation genes (13). This picture is probably more complex, as different feedback

loops modulate sporulation and biofilm formation (57). It is noteworthy that overexpression

of RapA$_{Bs}$, in Bt8741 did not inhibit any phenotype, other than sporulation; this reflects the

fact that Rap proteins co-evolve with specific protein targets in each bacterial species; it

also indicates that Rap target regulators involved in the control of extracellular proteases

and spreading may not be conserved betweem Bs and the *B. cereus* group.

We suggest that Rap proteins have diversified according to the ecological needs of each

species. For example, Bs is a soil dwelling bacteria found associated to rhizosphere forming

biofilms (58). In Bs, six Rap proteins modulate Spo0A-P levels (21, 59), affecting

sporulation and biofilm formation. Here we demonstrate that five Rap proteins modulated

sporulation (RapC, K, F, I and RapLike) while only two of these (RapK and RapF) affected

biofilm formation, perhaps through the Spo0A phosphorelay. This highlights the

importance of sporulation regulation in both species and that probably, biofilm formation is

not as essencial in the lifecylce of Bt, as it is in Bs. In contrast, Bt is a soil inhabitant, insect

patogenic and necrotrophic bacteria (60). In this species, extracellular protease production

is essential for nutrient scavenging, which is normally associated to the necrotrophic stage

of bacterial development in the insect cadaver (40). Additionally, it could be relevant

during the transition from exponential growth to stationary phase in controlled

fermentations or for adaptation against fluctuations in nutrient availability in the

environment. While only one of the twelve Rap proteins from Bs modulates its extracellular

proteolytic activity (RapG) (25), Bt has extended the modulation of extracellular protease

production to five Rap-Phr systems (RapC, F, F2, I1 and RapLike).

We found that the Spo0A phosphorelay and production of extracellular proteases are highly

interconnected in Bt8741 through the functions of RapC, F and RapLike. Additionally,

extracellular proteolytic activity (specifically the NprA protease) is regulated by the QS

system NprR-NprRB (61), which is also involved in the modulation of the Spo0A

phosphorelay (41, 42). Likewise, NprR also participates in the spreading phenotype of

Bt8741 (A. Verdugo *et. al*, unpublished data), as well as RapC and RapLike. Because

sporulation, extracellular protease production and spreading of Bt have evolved to be

regulated and coordinated by multiple QS systems, these collective traits may be important

in the life cycle of Bt and represent essential mechanisms for its ecology.

Mature Phr signaling peptides from Bs correspond to at least five residues located in the C-

terminal end of the pro-Phr or in the middle of the sequence. Sequence analyses of mature

Phrs in Bs have shown that a basic amino acid is found in the second position from the N-

terminal end, and an alanine residue is necessary in the position before the cleavage site for

Phr maturation (22, 62, 63). Our analysis of consensus putative mature Phr sequences

showed that these characteristics are not maintained in mature Phr peptides of the *B cereus*

group. This suggests that signaling peptides are processed differently in these bacteria, i.e.,

using different sets of extracellular proteases and peptidases that recognize distinct

sequences. In Bt, the identity of a mature Phr has only been shown for Rap8-Phr8 from Bt-

HD73. In this case, the active heptapeptide YAHGKDI is located in the C-terminal end

from its exported sequence (46). RapI from Bt8741, ortholog protein to Rap8, is found in

pherogroup 1, in which the consensus sequence HGKDI corresponds to the five residues in

the C-terminal end from the exported sequence. This indicates that the consensus sequences

determined in this study may not exactly predict the signaling peptide sequence, but they

can direct their search in future studies.

We found that Rap-Phr systems in the *B. cereus* group have evolved into five pherogroups,

each including Rap homologs from different species. This means that signaling peptides

shared by more than one species, could mediate crosstalk or eavesdropping phenomena in

nature, allowing the regulation of collective functions in response to interspecific signals as

described for other gram-positive species (64, 65).

The *B. cereus* group comprise bacteria with clinical and biotechnological relevance such as

Ba, Bc, Bt, and other environmental and facultative species (38). We show that Rap-Phr QS

systems in Bt are involved in the regulation of ecologically important collective traits, and

our findings are highly relevant for further studies about the *B. cereus* group and contribute

to the knowledge about its ecology. Understanding the regulatory processes for cell

differentiation and specialization in these bacteria may enhance the use of

biotechnologically-relevant species, or the strategies to control human pathogens, through

the intervention of their collective functions at the molecular level. For instance, Ba and Bc

are known for their pathogenic nature against mammals; therefore, elucidating the role of

Rap-Phr systems in the production of virulence factors of these species such as anthrax

toxin and capsule of Ba, or enterotoxins of Bc, could be of high relevance. Additionally, it

is known that QS systems can be synthetically engineered (66, 67). As a result, Rap-Phr

systems could be manipulated in order to enhance Bt survival, insect pathogenesis or cry

protein production. This work serves as a starting point for the study of cell specialization

of the *B. cereus* group bacteria.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains, media and culture conditions

Bacillus thuringiensis strain 8741 (Bt8741) (43), derived from Bt407 (Acc. No.

NC_018877.1, 51), was used as host for the overexpression of Rap proteins. *Bacillus*

subtilis strain 168 (Bs168) was used for the amplification of *rapA*. *Escherichia coli* strain

TOP10 (69) was used for construction and cloning of overexpression plasmids before

transforming into Bt8741. Luria-Bertani (LB) broth (10 g L^{-1} tryptone, 5 g L^{-1} yeast extract

and 5 g L^{-1} NaCl) and Nutrient Agar (8 g L^{-1} nutrient broth, 15 g L^{-1} agar) were used at 30

ºC for *Bacillus* cultures and at 37 ºC for *E. coli* and 200 rpm for liquid cultures. Milk Agar

was prepared using Nutrient Agar, supplemented with 5% skim milk (41). When needed,

ampicillin (100 μg mL^{-1}) or erythromycin (5 μg mL^{-1}) was added to media. To induce

expression from the *xylA* promoter in Bt8741, xylose was used to a final concentration of

20 mM (70), unless otherwise specified.

Analysis of putative Spo0F-binding amino acids in Raps from Bt407

Based on the RapH residues involved in Spo0F binding in Bs168 (34) we determined the

conservation of the corresponding residues in Raps from Bt407, in order to predict their

capacity to bind to Spo0F. First, we analyzed the conservation of full-length Rap proteins

from Bs168 and Bt8741 in comparison to RapH from Bs168. For this, we performed

pairwise alignments of RapH amino acid sequence (NP_388565.2) with RapA

(NP_389125.1), RapB (NP_391550.1), RapE (NP_390460.2), RapJ (NP_388164.1), RapD

(NP_391519.1) from Bs168, and each of the eight Raps from Bt407 (AFV21721.1,

AFV22194.1, AFV22088.1, AFV16731.1, AFV19251.1, AFV22208.1, AFV16776.1,

AFV17466.1), using the BlastP tool (71). Then, all sequences were aligned together using

MAFFT version 7 online service (72) with the G-INS-i iterative refinement method (73).

Finally, we identified in the alignment the amino acids of Rap protein sequences that

correspond to the residues of RapH that participate in binding and dephosphorylation of

Spo0F.

DNA manipulation

All primers used in this study are listed in Table S4. DNA was isolated from Bs168 and

Bt8741 using the PureLink Genomic DNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad CA, USA).

QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) was used routinely for

plasmid extraction and purification. Oligonucleotides were designed for amplifying each

Rap gene from Bt8741 genome or plasmids (Acc. No. NC_018877.1, NC_018883.1,

NC_018886.1, NC_018879.1, NC_018878.1) and Bs168 genome (Acc. No. NC_000964.3),

and synthesized as a commercial service (T4 Oligo, Irapuato, Mexico). PCR products and

restriction reactions were purified using the PureLink Quick PCR Purification Kit

(Invitrogen). When needed, PCR products were isolated from 0.8% agarose gels using the

Zymoclean^{TM} Gel DNA Recovery Kit (ZYMO Research, Irvine, CA, USA). Enzymes

Dream Taq Master Mix, *Hind*III, *Sal*I (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), *Pst*I and

T4 DNA Ligase (New England Biolabs Inc., Ipswich, MA, USA) were used as

recommended by the manufacturer.

Construction of Rap-overexpression Bt8741 strains

All strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S1. For the construction of the

overexpression plasmid pHT315-P$_{xylA}$, the regulatory region of the xylose operon, including

the *xylA* promoter (P$_{xylA}$) and the repressor gene *xylR,* were amplified by PCR from Bs168

genome using primers GG1 and GG2 (Table S4). This PCR product was inserted into the

*Hin*dIII and *Pst*I sites of pHT315 plasmid (74), and colonies were PCR checked using

primers DS16 and DS17 (Table S4). The resulting plasmid pHT315-P$_{xylA}$ was transformed

into *E. coli* Top10 competent cells. Then, this plasmid was used for the inducible

overexpression of Rap proteins with xylose in Bt8741. For this, *rap* genes encoded in the

genome of Bt8741 (*rapC, rapK, rapF, rapF1, rapF2, rapI, rapI1* and *rapLike*, 47) and

rapA from Bs168 (RapA$_{Bs}$, 32) were amplified using the corresponding primers pairs listed

in Table S4, and inserted in-frame between the *PstI* and *SalI* sites of pHT315-P$_{xylA}$. Nine

overexpression plasmids, one for each Rap protein, were transformed into *E. coli* Top10

competent cells. All plasmids were then transformed into Bt8741 electrocompetent cells,

using the protocol described in previous studies (41), generating nine Bt8741 strains for the

overexpression each Rap protein. Additionally, we transformed Bt8741 with the pHT315-

P$_{xylA}$ (without a *rap* gene), and the resulting strain was used as control strain throughout the

Rap induction experiments. The complete sequence of pHT315-P$_{xylA}$'*rapI* was verified by

Illumina sequencing (MGH DNA Core, Cambridge, MA, USA), and the rest of the

P$_{xylA}$'*rap* constructions were verified by Sanger sequencing (Unidad de Servicios

Genómicos, LANGEBIO-CINVESTAV, Irapuato, Mexico) using primers GG26 and DS17

(Table S4).

Sporulation efficiency

We assessed the effect of the overexpression of Rap proteins on sporulation efficiency in

Bt8741. Preinoculums were prepared by picking a single colony of each strain into 5 mL of

liquid media and grown overnight. Then, 1 mL of preinoculum was centrifuged, washed

and suspended in 1 mL of sterile PBS. Glass culture tubes (25 mm diameter) with 5 mL of

LB with erythromycin were inoculated with 50 μL (1% v/v) of preinoculum containing

$\approx 10^7$ cfu ml^{-1} and incubated for 72 h. All strains were cultured in triplicate, in LB with and

without the addition of xylose. To determine growth and sporulation, total and

thermoresistant CFU were calculated by plating 10-fold serial dilutions in nutrient agar. For

thermoresistant CFU, samples of 100 μL were incubated at 80 ºC for 20 min prior to

diluting and plating. Sporulation efficiency was calculated as the percentage of

thermoresistant CFU in total CFU.

Biofilm formation assay

We evaluated the effect of the overexpression of Rap proteins on the capacity of Bt874 to

form biofilms. For this assay, we used 13 x 100 mm glass tubes with 3 mL Nutrient Broth +

erythromycin, with and without the addition of xylose to a final concentration of 2 mM.

Three μL of preinoculum was added in triplicates, and the inoculated tubes were incubated

without agitation at 31 ºC ± 1 ºC for 48 hours. The culture media was then removed with a

syringe with needle. The biofilm and ring attached to the wall of the tube, composed of

cells from the biofilm, were suspended in 1.5 mL of sterile PBS and the optical density

(OD_{600}) was measured. The OD_{600} was also measured from the removed liquid media to

address planktonic growth. At least 5 replicates of each treatment were performed.

Extracellular proteolytic activity assay

To evaluate the effect of Rap overexpression in extracellular proteolytic activity of Bt8741,

2 μL of preinoculums of each Rap-overexpression strain, prepared as described above, were

spotted in triplicate on milk agar with and without the addition of xylose. The hydrolysis

halo area was measured after 24 h of incubation using the Image Lab™ Software

(BIORAD). To correct for differences in colony growth, we subtracted the colony area.

Spreading phenotype assay

The spreading phenotype of Rap-overexpression Bt8741 variants was followed in colonies

spotted on agar. For this assay, we used diluted nutrient agar (NA) (0.8 g L^{-1} Nutrient broth,

1.5 g L^{-1} agar) with erythromycin and with or without the addition of xylose. Plates were

air-dried inside a biological hood for 60 minutes prior to inoculation. Then, 5 µL of

preinoculum cultures were spotted in the center of the plate, dried for 5 minutes and

incubated at 30 ºC for 14 days. The inoculated agar plates were photographed at days 1, 3,

5, 7 and 14, using a gel documentation system (Gel Doc™ XR+, BIORAD). Colony area

was measured using the Image Lab™ Software (BIORAD) and radial growth was

calculated. For normalization of radial dispersion, we subtracted from all observations the

colony radius at day 1, which corresponds to the inoculated droplet area. Three replicates of

each treatment were performed.

Phylogenetic Analysis

To reconstruct the phylogeny of Rap proteins in the *B. cereus* group, we first selected one

representative strain of each species from NCBI GenBank, including *Bacillus cereus*

ATCC14579 (Accession NC_004722.1), *Bacillus anthracis* A0248 (NC_012659.1),

Bacillus thuringiensis 407 (NC_018877.1), *Bacillus mycoides* ATCC6442

(NZ_CP009692.1), *Bacillus pseudomycoides* DMS12442 (NZ_CM000745.1) and *Bacillus*

cytotoxicus NVH391-98 (NC_009674.1). Strains were selected based on the availability of

a complete genome (as of July of 2018) and thus, *Bacillus weihenstephanensis* was

excluded. We searched for Rap protein homologs in the selected genomes by querying the

amino acid sequence of *B. subtilis* RapA (NP_389125.1) and each of the eight Rap

sequences of *B. thuringiensis* 407: RapC (AFV21721.1), RapK (AFV22194.1), RapF

(AFV22088.1), RapF1 (AFV16731.1), RapF2 (AFV19251.1), RapI (AFV22208.1), RapI1

(AFV16776.1) and RapLike (AFV17466.1). Homologs were searched using BLAST tool

(71), the tBlastn tool and a local script designed for performing the blast search in an

assembled database of the selected genomes. To ensure the identity of the Rap protein

homologs, Blast hits were submitted manually to the Conserved Domain Search-NCBI tool

(75) in order to determine if they presented the characteristic TPR-containing domain. Rap

protein amino acid sequences were aligned in MAFFT version 7 (72) using the G-INS-i

iterative refinement method which incorporates pairwise alignment algorithms (73). RapA

and RapH from Bs168 were also included as outgroups for the phylogenetic reconstruction.

The selection of the best substitution evolutionary model (JTT+G+I+F) was made using the

Smart Model Selection with the Akaike Information Criterion in PhyML 3.0 (76, 77), as

well as the phylogeny reconstruction by the Maximum Likelihood method using 1000

bootstraps to support the phylogenetic prediction.

Phr pro-peptide identification and pherogroup prediction

Additional to the identification of Rap homologs in the *B. cereus* group, we also analyzed

the putative *phr* genes, which code for pro-Phr, the precursor of the quorum sensing signal

peptide. For this, we performed a manual search targeting open reading frames (ORFs)

between 30 and 100 amino acids of length, downstream from the *rap* gene sequences.

When present, each Phr amino acid sequence was analyzed for the presence of a signal

peptide for secretion and a cleavage site using SignalP4.1 (78). The putative mature

signaling peptide (mature Phr) and pherogroup prediction were performed from the

exported Phr amino acid sequences (pro-Phr). For this, Phrs corresponding to Rap proteins

from different clades of the phylogenetic reconstruction were analyzed separately. The

amino acid sequences of the pro-Phr from each clade were aligned using ClustalW (79).

Pherogroups were identified by manually, by modifying the groups of aligned Phrs and

looking for consensus sequences in the alignments. For better identification of consensus

sequences, sequence Logos were created for each pherogroup using the Seq2Logo 2.0

online service (80).

Statistics

All the statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 7.0a. Data

obtained from the extracellular proteolytic activity assay, spreading (at day 7) and biofilm

formation were analyzed with multiple *t*-tests to search for differences between not induced

and induced Rap protein overexpression conditions of each strain. Significance of 0.05 was

used in all statistical tests.

Acknowledgements

Authors thank all members of the Microbe-Plant Interactions group at CIDEA for their

comments and suggestions. We gratefully acknowledge Gabriela Olmedo-Álvarez for her

contributions in analyses of phylogenetic data, suggestions throughout the development of

the experimental work and critical review of the manuscript. Bernardo Aguilar and Enrique

Hurtado contributed with technical assistance in the phylogenetic analyses. GG Received a

scholarship 636324 from Conacyt. This work was partially funded by Conacyt (Fomix

267837 and Fordecyt 296368) for MT.

References

1.   Crespi BJ. 2001. The evolution of social behavior in microorganisms. Trends Ecol

Evol 16:178–183.

2.   Vlamakis H, Aguilar C, Losick R, Kolter R. 2008. Control of cell fate by the

formation of an architecturally complex bacterial community. Genes Dev 22:945–

953.

3.   Shapiro JA. 1998. Thinking about bacterial populations as multicellular organisms.

640   Annu Rev Microbiol 52:81–104.

641   4.   Jeckel H, Matthey N, Drescher K. 2019. Biophysics: Common concepts for bacterial

642   collectives. Elife 8:e47019.

643   5.   van Vliet S, Ackermann M. 2015. Bacterial Ventures into Multicellularity:

644   Collectivism through Individuality. PLoS Biol 13:1–5.

645   6.   Claessen D, Rozen DE, Kuipers OP, Søgaard-Andersen L, Van Wezel GP. 2014.

646   Bacterial solutions to multicellularity: A tale of biofilms, filaments and fruiting

647   bodies. Nat Rev Microbiol 12:115–124.

648   7.   Ruby EG. 1996. LESSONS FROM A COOPERATIVE, BACTERIAL-ANIMAL

649   ASSOCIATION: The *Vibrio fischeri–Euprymna scolopes* Light Organ Symbiosis.

650   Annu Rev Microbiol 50:591–624.

651   8.   Muñoz-Dorado J, Marcos-Torres FJ, García-Bravo E, Moraleda-Muñoz A, Pérez J.

652   2016. *Myxobacteria*: Moving, killing, feeding, and surviving together. Front

653   Microbiol 7:781.

654   9.   Lopez D, Vlamakis H, Kolter R. 2008. Generation of multiple cell types in *Bacillus

655   subtilis*. FEMS Microbiol Rev 33:152–163.

656   10.   López D, Kolter R. 2010. Extracellular signals that define distinct and coexisting cell

657   fates in *Bacillus subtilis*. FEMS Microbiol Rev 34:134–149.

658   11.   Kovács ÁT, Dragoš A. 2019. Evolved Biofilm: Review on the Experimental

659   Evolution Studies of *Bacillus subtilis* Pellicles. J Mol Biol in press.

660   12.   Kearns DB, Chu F, Rudner R, Losick R. 2004. Genes governing swarming in

661   *Bacillus subtilis* and evidence for a phase variation mechanism controlling surface

motility. Mol Microbiol 52:357–369.

13. Fujita M, González-Pastor JE, Losick R. 2005. High- and low-threshold genes in the

Spo0A regulon of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 187:1357–1368.

14. Auchtung JM, Lee CA, Grossman AD. 2006. Modulation of the ComA-Dependent

Quorum Response in *Bacillus subtilis* by Multiple Rap Proteins and Phr Peptides. J

Bacteriol 188:5273–5285.

15. Mukai K, Kawata M, Tanaka T. 1990. Isolation and phosphorylation of the *Bacillus*

subtilis degS and *degU* gene products. J Biol Chem 265:20000–20006.

16. Bassler BL, Losick R. 2006. Bacterially speaking. Cell 125:237–246.

17. Waters CM, Bassler BL. 2005. Quorum sensing: cell-to-cell communication in

bacteria. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 21:319–346.

18. Williams P. 2007. Quorum sensing, communication and cross-kingdom signalling in

the bacterial world. Microbiology 153:3923–3938.

19. Declerck N, Bouillaut L, Chaix D, Rugani N, Slamti L, Hoh F, Lereclus D, Arold ST.

2007. Structure of PlcR: Insights into virulence regulation and evolution of quorum

sensing in Gram-positive bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci 104:18490–18495.

20. Rocha-Estrada J, Aceves-Diez AE, Guarneros G, De La Torre M. 2010. The RNPP

family of quorum-sensing proteins in Gram-positive bacteria. Appl Microbiol

Biotechnol 87:913–923.

21. Neiditch MB, Capodagli GC, Prehna G, Federle MJ. 2017. Genetic and structural

analyses of RRNPP intercellular peptide signaling of Gram-positive bacteria. Annu

Rev Genet 51:311–333.

22. Pottathil M, Lazazzera BA. 2003. The extracellular Phr peptide–Rap phosphatase

signaling circuit of *Bacillus subtilis*. Front Biosci 8:32–45.

23.   Core L, Perego M. 2003. TPR-mediated interaction of RapC with ComA inhibits

response regulator-DNA binding for competence development in *Bacillus subtilis*.

Mol Microbiol 49:1509–1522.

24.   Perego M. 1997. A peptide export-import control circuit modulating bacterial

development regulates protein phosphatases of the phosphorelay. Proc Natl Acad Sci

U S A 94:8612–8617.

25.   Ogura M, Shimane K, Asai K, Ogasawara N, Tanaka T. 2003. Binding of response

regulator DegU to the *aprE* promoter is inhibited by RapG, which is counteracted by

extracellular PhrG in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 49:1685–1697.

26.   Solomon JM, Lazazzera BA, Grossman AD. 1996. Purification and characterization

of an extracellular peptide factor that affects two different developmental pathways

in *Bacillus subtilis*. Genes Dev 10:2014–2024.

27.   Ogura M, Fujita Y. 2007. *Bacillus subtilis rapD*, a direct target of transcription

repression by RghR, negatively regulates *srfA* expression. FEMS Microbiol Lett

268:73–80.

28.   Bongiorni C, Ishikawa S, Stephenson S, Ogasawara N, Perego M. 2005. Synergistic

regulation of competence development in *Bacillus subtilis* by two Rap-Phr systems.

J Bacteriol 187:4353–4361.

29.   Hayashi K, Kensuke T, Kobayashi K, Ogasawara N, Ogura M. 2006. *Bacillus*

subtilis RghR (YvaN) represses *rapG* and *rapH*, which encode inhibitors of

expression of the *srfA* operon. Mol Microbiol 59:1714–1729.

30.   Smits WK, Bongiorni C, Veening J-W, Hamoen LW, Kuipers OP, Perego M. 2007.

Temporal separation of distinct differentiation pathways by a dual specificity Rap-

Phr system in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 65:103–120.

31. Boguslawski KM, Hill PA, Griffith KL. 2015. Novel mechanisms of controlling the

activities of the transcription factors Spo0A and ComA by the plasmid-encoded

quorum sensing regulators Rap60-Phr60 in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 96:325–

348.

32. Perego M, Hanstein C, Welsh KM, Djavakhishvili T, Glaser P, Hoch JA. 1994.

Multiple protein-aspartate phosphatases provide a mechanism for the integration of

diverse signals in the control of development in *B. subtilis*. Cell 79:1047–1055.

33. Jiang M, Grau R, Perego M. 2000. Differential processing of propeptide inhibitors of

Rap phosphatases in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 182:303–310.

34. Parashar V, Mirouze N, Dubnau DA, Neiditch MB. 2011. Structural basis of

response regulator dephosphorylation by Rap phosphatases. PLoS Biol 9:e1000589.

35. Parashar V, Jeffrey PD, Neiditch MB. 2013. Conformational Change-Induced

Repeat Domain Expansion Regulates Rap Phosphatase Quorum-Sensing Signal

Receptors. PLoS Biol 11:e1001512.

36. Burbulys D, Trach KA, Hoch JA. 1991. Initiation of Sporulation in *B. subtilis* is

Controlled by a Multicomponent Phosphorelay. Cell 64:545–552.

37. Perego M. 2001. A new family of aspartyl phosphate phosphatases targeting the

sporulation transcription factor Spo0A of *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 42:133–

143.

38. Ehling-Schulz M, Koehler TM, Lereclus D. 2019. The *Bacillus cereus* Group:

Bacillus species with Pathogenic Potential. Microbiol Spectr 6 GPP3-0032–2018.

39. Anderson I, Sorokin A, Kapatral V, Reznik G, Bhattacharya A, Mikhailova N, Burd

H, Joukov V, Kaznadzey D, Walunas T, Souza MÕ, Larsen N, Pusch G, Liolios K,

Grechkin Y, Lapidus A, Goltsman E, Chu L, Fonstein M, Ehrlich SD. 2005.

Comparative genome analysis of *Bacillus cereus* group genomes with *Bacillus*

subtilis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 250:175–184.

40. Dubois T, Lemy C, Buisson C, Faegri K, Nielsen-leroux C, Gohar M, Jacques P,

Ramarao N, Kolstø A. 2012. Necrotrophism is a quorum-sensing-regulated lifestyle

in *Bacillus thuringiensis*. PLoS Pathog 8:e1002629.

41. Cabrera R, Rocha J, Flores V, Vázquez-Moreno L, Guarneros G, Olmedo G,

Rodríguez-Romero A, de la Torre M. 2014. Regulation of sporulation initiation by

NprR and its signaling peptide NprRB: molecular recognition and conformational

changes. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 98:9399–9412.

42. Cabrera R, Rodríguez-Romero A, Guarneros G, de la Torre M. 2016. New insights

into the interaction between the quorum-sensing receptor NprR and its DNA target,

or the response regulator Spo0F. FEBS Lett 590:3243–3253.

43. Rocha J, Flores V, Cabrera R, Soto-Guzmán A, Granados G, Juaristi E, Guarneros G,

De La Torre M. 2012. Evolution and some functions of the NprR-NprRB quorum-

sensing system in the *Bacillus cereus* group. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 94:1069–

1078.

44. Even-Tov E, Omer Bendori S, Pollak S, Eldar A. 2016. Transient Duplication-

Dependent Divergence and Horizontal Transfer Underlie the Evolutionary Dynamics

of Bacterial Cell-Cell Signaling. PLoS Biol 14:1–23.

45. Bongiorni C, Stoessel R, Shoemaker D, Perego M. 2006. Rap Phosphatase of

Virulence Plasmid pXO1 Inhibits *Bacillus anthracis* Sporulation. J Bacteriol

188:487–498.

46. Fazion F, Perchat S, Buisson C, Vilas-Bôas G, Lereclus D. 2018. A plasmid-borne

Rap-Phr system regulates sporulation of *Bacillus thuringiensis* in insect larvae.

Environ Microbiol 20:145–155.

47.   Slamti L, Perchat S, Huillet E, Lereclus D. 2014. Quorum sensing in *Bacillus*

thuringiensis is required for completion of a full infectious cycle in the insect.

Toxins (Basel) 6:2239–2255.

48.   Cardoso P de F, Perchat S, Vilas-Boas LA, Lereclus D, Vilas-Bôas GT. 2019.

Diversity of the Rap–Phr quorum-sensing systems in the *Bacillus cereus* group. Curr

Genet 1–15.

49.   Flemming HC, Wuertz S. 2019. Bacteria and archaea on Earth and their abundance

in biofilms. Nat Rev Microbiol 17:247–260.

50.   Bhavsar AP, Zhao X, Brown ED. 2001. Development and characterization of a

xylose-dependent system for expression of cloned genes in *Bacillus subtilis*:

Conditional complementation of a teichoic acid mutant. Appl Environ Microbiol

67:403–410.

51.   Kinsinger RF, Shirk MC, Fall R. 2003. Rapid surface motility in *Bacillus subtilis* is

dependent on extracellular surfactin and potassium ion. J Bacteriol 185:5627–5631.

52.   Kearns DB. 2010. A field guide to bacterial swarming motility. Nat Rev Microbiol

8:634–644.

53.   Hölscher T, Kovács ÁT. 2017. Sliding on the surface: bacterial spreading without an

active motor. Environ Microbiol 19:2537–2545.

54.   Fagerlund A, Dubois T, Økstad OA, Verplaetse E, Gilois N, Bennaceur I, Perchat S,

Gominet M, Aymerich S, Kolstø AB, Lereclus D, Gohar M. 2014. SinR controls

enterotoxin expression in *Bacillus thuringiensis* biofilms. PLoS One 9:e87532.

55.   Raynor MJ, Roh JH, Widen SG, Wood TG, Koehler TM. 2018. Regulons and

protein–protein interactions of PRD-containing *Bacillus anthracis* virulence

regulators reveal overlapping but distinct functions. Mol Microbiol 109:1–22.

56.   Even-Tov E, Omer Bendori S, Valastyan J, Ke X, Pollak S, Bareia T, Ben-Zion I,

Bassler BL, Eldar A. 2016. Social Evolution Selects for Redundancy in Bacterial

Quorum Sensing. PLoS Biol 14:e1002386.

57.   Dubnau EJ, Carabetta VJ, Tanner AW, Miras M, Diethmaier C, Dubnau D. 2016. A

protein complex supports the production of Spo0A-P and plays additional roles for

biofilms and the K-state in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 101:606–624.

58.   Earl AM, Losick R, Kolter R. 2008. Ecology and genomics of *Bacillus subtilis*.

Trends Microbiol 16:269–275.

59.   Mirouze N, Dubnau D. 2013. Chance and Necessity in *Bacillus subtilis*

Development. Microbiol Spectr 1:TBS-0004-2012.

60.   Argôlo-Filho RC, Loguercio LL. 2014. *Bacillus thuringiensis* is an environmental

pathogen and host-specificity has developed as an adaptation to human-generated

ecological niches. Insects 5:62–91.

61.   Perchat S, Dubois T, Zouhir S, Gominet M, Poncet S, Lemy C, Aumont-Nicaise M,

Deutscher J, Gohar M, Nessler S, Lereclus D. 2011. A cell-cell communication

system regulates protease production during sporulation in bacteria of the *Bacillus*

cereus group. Mol Microbiol 82:619–633.

62.   Perego M, Brannigan JA. 2001. Pentapeptide regulation of aspartyl-phosphate

phosphatases. Peptides 22:1541–1547.

63.   Stephenson S, Mueller C, Jiang M, Perego M. 2003. Molecular analysis of Phr

peptide processing in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 185:4861–4871.

64.   Miller EL, Kjos M, Abrudan MI, Roberts IS, Veening JW, Rozen DE. 2018.

Eavesdropping and crosstalk between secreted quorum sensing peptide signals that

regulate bacteriocin production in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. ISME J 12:2363–2375.

65.   Fleuchot B, Guillot A, Mézange C, Besset C, Chambellon E, Monnet V, Gardan R.

2013. Rgg-Associated SHP Signaling Peptides Mediate Cross-Talk in *Streptococci*.

PLoS One 8:e66042.

66.   Zhang F, Kwan A, Xu A, Süel GM. 2015. A synthetic quorum sensing system

reveals a potential private benefit for public good production in a biofilm. PLoS One

10:e0132948.

67.   Geddes BA, Paramasivan P, Joffrin A, Thompson AL, Christensen K, Jorrin B, Brett

P, Conway SJ, Oldroyd GED, Poole PS. 2019. Engineering transkingdom signalling

in plants to control gene expression in rhizosphere bacteria. Nat Commun 10:3430.

68.   Sheppard AE, Poehlein A, Rosenstiel P, Liesegang H, Schulenburg H. 2013.

Complete genome sequence of *Bacillus thuringiensis* strain 407 Cry-. Genome

Announc 1:e00158-12.

69.   Maniatis T, Fritsch EF, Sambrook J. 1988. Molecular Cloning : a laboratory manual.

2nd edition. Cold Spring Harb, New York.

70.   Grandvalet C, Gominet M, Lereclus D. 2001. Identification of genes involved in the

activation of the *Bacillus thuringiensis inhA* metalloprotease gene at the onset of

sporulation. Microbiology 147:1805–1813.

71.   Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment

search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410.

72.   Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD. 2017. MAFFT online service: multiple

sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. Brief Bioinform

in press.

73.   Katoh K, Kuma KI, Toh H, Miyata T. 2005. MAFFT version 5: Improvement in

accuracy of multiple sequence alignment. Nucleic Acids Res 33:511–518.

74. Arantes O, Lereclus D. 1991. Construction of Cloning Vectors for *Bacillus*

thuringiensis. Gene 108:115–119.

75. Marchler-Bauer A, Bo Y, Han L, He J, Lanczycki CJ, Lu S, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire

MK, Geer RC, Gonzales NR, Gwadz M, Hurwitz DI, Lu F, Marchler GH, Song JS,

Thanki N, Wang Z, Yamashita RA, Zhang D, Zheng C, Geer LY, Bryant SH. 2016.

CDD/SPARCLE: functional classification of proteins via subfamily domain

architectures. Nucleic Acids Res 45:D200–D203.

76. Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. 2010.

New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies:

Assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol 59:307-321.

77. Lefort V, Longueville JE, Gascuel O. 2017. SMS: Smart Model Selection in PhyML.

Mol Biol Evol 34:2422-2424.

78. Nielsen H. 2017. Predicting secretory proteins with SignalP Protein Function

Prediction. Humana Press, New York, NY.

79. Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, McWilliam H,

Valentin F, Wallace IM, Wilm A, Lopez R, Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Higgins DG.

2007. Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. Bioinformatics 23:2947–2948.

80. Thomsen MCF, Nielsen M. 2012. Seq2Logo: a method for construction and

visualization of amino acid binding motifs and sequence profiles including sequence

weighting, pseudo counts and two-sided representation of amino acid enrichment

and depletion. Nucleic Acids Res 40:W281–W287.

Tables

Table 1. Rap-Phr systems encoded in *B. subtilis* 168 and in species from the *B. cereus*

group.

Specie	Number of Rap-Phr systems	Location	
		Chromosome	Plasmid
Bacillus subtilis 168	12	11	1
Bacillus cereus ATCC 14579	5	5	0
Bacillus anthracis str. A0248	6	5	1
Bacillus thuringiensis 407	8	4	4
Bacillus mycoides ATCC 6442	5	4	1
Bacillus pseudomycoides DMS 12442	8	8	0
Bacillus cytotoxicus NVH 391-98	8	8	0

Figures

Figure 1

Figure 1. Prediction of the capacity of Rap proteins from Bt8741 to bind and

dephosphorylate Spo0F. A) Multiple sequence alignment of the complete amino acid

sequences of RapH, A, B, E, J and RapD from *B. subtilis* 168, and eight Rap proteins from

Bt8741. Blue highlights indicate highly conserved amino acids. Residues involved in the

RapH-Spo0F binding are indicated in red rectangles and its position in RapH is shown on

top of the alignment. B) Conservation of residues involved in RapH binding to Spo0F.

Residues were considered as semiconserved when a functional amino acid of RapH was

substituted with another amino acid with similar characteristics. Bs, *Bacillus subtilis* 168;

Bt, *Bacillus thuringiensis* 407; C.S., Catalytic Site; *Percentage of conserved and

semiconserved amino acids in pairwise alignment to RapH.

Figure 2

Figure 2. Sporulation efficiency of Bt8741 carrying overexpression plasmids for Rap

proteins, with and without addition of inducer. In the cases where thermoresistant CFU

were undetectable, we considered a value of 166 spores/ml, which is the detection limit for

this assay. Columns represent average of three individual measurements, shown as dots.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Cell morphology of strains with induced Rap protein overexpression at 72 h.

Phase contrast microscopy 63X and 1.8X magnification. -, no effect; +, decrease under 10-

fold; decrease between 90 and 160-fold; +++, decrease >1,000-fold.

Figure 4

Figure 4. Biofilm formation of Rap-overexpression strains at 48 h. A) Biofilms formed in

the liquid-air interphase in 13 x 100 mm glass tubes at 48 h. Biofilms are identified as a

white layer on the surface. B) Biofilm formation quantification of Rap-overexpression

strains in induced and not induced media after 48 h. Columns represent average of 5

replicates ± SD. NB, Nutrient Broth; **, $p<0.005$; ****, $p<0.0001$.

Figure 5

Figure 5. Extracellular proteolytic activity of Rap-overexpression strains. A) Effect of Rap

protein overexpression in the hydrolysis halo of Rap-overexpression strains colonies. B)

Hydrolysis halo area with and without Rap-overexpression induction. Columns represent

average of 3 replicates ± SD. MA, milk agar; **, $p<0.005$; ***, $p< 0.0005$; ****, $p<0.0001$.

Figure 6

Figure 6. Spreading phenotype of Rap-overexpression strains. A) Spreading kinetics of

Rap-overexpression colonies on agar. Each point represents the media of triplicates ± SD;

only one data point is shown at day 14. B) Spreading quantification of Rap-overexpression

colonies at day 7. Columns represent average of triplicates ± SD. C) Pictures of

representative Rap-overexpression strains spreading during 7 days. Scale bar indicates 5

mm. NA, Nutrient Agar; **, $p<0.005$; ****, $p<0.0001$.

Figure 7

Figure 7. Maximum likelihood phylogeny of Rap proteins from the *B. cereus* group. Rap

proteins from Bt8741 are highlighted in gray boxes. Branches from each pherogroup are

identified in colors. Bootstraps higher than 80% are shown in each node. Insert table:

921 pherogroups and consensus sequences of mature Phr. Semiconservations in the consensus

922 sequences are highlighted: black, polar residues; gray, hydrophobic residues; silver, polar

923 and charged residues.