Spatiotemporal patterns of neocortical activity around hippocampal sharp-wave ripples

J. Karimi Abadchi[1], M. Nazari-Ahangarkolaee[1], S. Gattas[2, 3], E. Bermudez-Contreras[1], B.L.

McNaughton[1, 4,*], M. H. Mohajerani[1,*]

1. Canadian Centre for Behavioral Neuroscience, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, AB T1K

3M4, Canada

2. Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of California, Irvine,

CA 92697, USA

3. Medical Scientist Training Program, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

4. Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

Corresponding authors:

* Bruce L McNaughton, email: bruce.mcnaughton@uleth.ca, Tel: 403 394 3909

*Majid H. Mohajerani, email: mohajerani@uleth.ca, Tel: 403 394 3950

Address: Department of Neuroscience, Canadian Centre for Behavioural Neuroscience, University

of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, AB, Canada, T1K 3M4

<u>Keywords</u>

Hippocampus, neocortex, hippocampal-cortical interaction, memory consolidation, sharp-wave

ripple, multi-unit activity, wide-field mesoscale optical imaging, local field potential, head-

restrained sleep

Abstract

A prevalent model is that sharp-wave ripples (SWR) arise 'spontaneously' in CA3 and

propagate recent memory traces outward to the neocortex to facilitate memory consolidation

there. Using voltage and extracellular glutamate transient recording over widespread regions of

mice dorsal neocortex in relation to CA1 multiunit activity (MUA) and SWR, we find that the

largest SWR-related modulation occurs in retrosplenial cortex; however, contrary to the

unidirectional hypothesis, neocortical activation exhibited a continuum of activation timings

relative to SWRs, varying from leading to lagging. Thus, contrary to the model in which SWRs

arise 'spontaneously' in the hippocampus, neocortical activation often precedes SWRs and may

thus constitute a trigger event in which neocortical information seeds associative reactivation of

hippocampal 'indices'. This timing continuum is consistent with a dynamics in which older, more

consolidated memories may in fact initiate the hippocampal-neocortical dialog, whereas

reactivation of newer memories may be initiated predominantly in the hippocampus.

Introduction

Beginning with the theoretical work of Marr(Marr, 1971), the idea that hippocampal-neocortical

interactions during slow-wave sleep (SWS) play an important role in the process of systems

memory consolidation has become a dominant paradigm in memory research(Buzsáki, 1989;

McClelland et al., 1995; Wilson and McNaughton, 1994). This idea is supported by observations

of replay of recently active neural ensemble patterns in hippocampus(Kudrimoti et al., 1999;

Nádasdy et al., 1999; Pavlides and Winson, 1989; Skaggs and McNaughton, 1996; Wilson and

McNaughton, 1994) and neocortex(Euston et al., 2007; Hoffman and McNaughton, 2002; Ji and

Wilson, 2007; Jiang et al., 2017; Qin et al., 1997), a key role of SWS in enabling structural

rearrangements of neocortical synaptic connections(Yang et al., 2014), and effects of

interruptions of SWS on memory(Gais et al., 2007). More specifically, replay of recent

hippocampal patterns has been shown to be concentrated in SWR bursts (transient, 100-250

Hz, local field potential oscillations) and that SWRs significantly predict reactivation of

neocortical ensembles during up-states(Peyrache et al., 2009). Finally, post-training, closed-

loop interruption of SWR has a negative effect on memory for tasks that normally depend on the

hippocampus for acquisition(Ego-Stengel and Wilson, 2010; Girardeau et al., 2009). Altogether,

these works suggest that interactions between neocortex and hippocampus during SWS

support memory processes.

A prevalent model is that SWRs arise 'spontaneously' in CA3, which could lead to memory

retrieval due to attractor network dynamic, and propagate outward to the neocortex through CA1

to enable memory consolidation there(Buzsáki, 1989; Csicsvari et al., 2000; Shen and

McNaughton, 1996). Moreover, the hippocampal memory indexing theory (HMIT) and the

complementary learning systems theory (CLST) are two other prominent theories pointing to a

functional relationship between neocortex and hippocampus for the retrieval of memories and

their integration into neocortical connections. According to HMIT(McNaughton, 2010; Teyler and

DiScenna, 1986), the hippocampus generates an "index code" which is stored within weakly

interacting neocortical processing modules at the time of the experience and serves to

coordinate retrieval of complete memories either during behavior or sleep. According to the

CLST, repetition of this reactivation process is believed to enable a consolidation process

whereby the stored information is refined and eventually encoded by intra-neocortical

connections in a form that is more categorically structured(McClelland et al., 1995; Winocur and

Moscovitch, 2011) and relatively independent of the hippocampus. The increasing evidence

favoring this memory-consolidation-by-replay theory suggests that a more detailed study of the

spatiotemporal relationships between SWR and neocortical activation during sleep is of

paramount importance.

Previous studies, using primarily electrophysiological methods, have focused on functional

relationships between SWRs and a single or a few discrete neocortical regions(Battaglia et al.,

2004; Khodagholy et al., 2017; Mölle et al., 2006; Siapas and Wilson, 1998; Sirota et al., 2003).

These studies have shown that a given neocortical region can activate and deactivate around

SWR times, which reflects the sleep-related neocortical slow oscillation (SO), and that SO can

be spatially local or global propagating waves(Massimini et al., 2004; Mohajerani et al., 2010).

Moreover, recently combined fast time-scale electrophysiological techniques with whole brain

Blood Oxygen Level-Dependent (BOLD) fMRI imaging provided a snapshot of the cooperative

patterns of the large numbers of brain structures involved either leading to or responding to

hippocampal SWRs(Logothetis et al., 2012; Ramirez-Villegas et al., 2015). Although these

studies have improved our understanding of hippocampal-neocortical interactions, their

conclusions were limited because: (a) the electrophysiological approaches have been unable to

resolve the regional structure and dynamics of HPC-NC interaction across a large neocortical

area due to relatively sparse spatial sampling (b) fMRI studies generally rely on an indirect

measures of neuronal activity and the nature of the underlying neuronal activity correlate (i.e.

synaptic and spiking activity) remain unclear. Given the physiological speed of neuronal

communication, the cause-effect relationships are hard to decipher with certainty with the

resolution at the scale of seconds of the fMRI method. In the present study we expand upon

previous theoretical and experimental studies. We combined wide-field optical imaging of dorsal

neocortex of mice, covering most of sensory and motor cortices and some association areas,

with concurrent electrophysiological monitoring of hippocampal SWRs and MUA during natural

sleep and under urethane anesthesia, which produces a state that is in many respects similar to

natural sleep(Barthó et al., 2014; Clement et al., 2008; Pagliardini et al., 2013b).

We report both a spatial and temporal continuum in the degree and onset timing of modulation

of neocortical activity around SWRs, thereby providing a spatiotemporal map of potential

interactions between hippocampus and neocortex.

Results

Experimental protocol for investigating dynamics of hippocampal-neocortical interactions

during sleep

Using in vivo wide-field of view mesoscale optical imaging and voltage-sensitive dye

(VSD)(Ferezou et al., 2007; Mohajerani et al., 2013a; Shoham et al., 1999) and genetically

encoded sensor of extracellular glutamate (iGluSnFR)(Marvin et al., 2013a; Xie et al., 2016a)(

Figure 1 – figure supplement 1), we imaged neocortical activity dynamics from a large cranial

window in the right hemisphere and combined it with electrophysiology in the ipsilateral

hippocampus (**Figure 1A-C; Figure 1 – figure supplement 1; Movie S1**) to capture

hippocampal SWRs and MUA. Unlike calcium reporters (e.g., GCaMP6) with slow kinetics,

both VSD and iGluSnFR measures electrical activity with relatively high temporal

resolution(Xie et al., 2016a). Due to the high level of synchrony in neocortical(Mohajerani et al.,

2010) and hippocampal SWR activity(Chrobak and Buzsáki, 1996) across hemispheres, we

decided to record unilaterally from neocortex and hippocampus. Imaging unilaterally has the

advantage of larger coverage of neocortical regions, particularly more lateral ones like primary

auditory cortex. In some of the conducted VSD imaging experiments, a bipolar electrode was

utilized to record neocortical LFP. The wide-field optical imaging of membrane potential activity

in a given region of interest was correlated with LFP activity recorded from the same neocortical

site confirming that the optical voltage signal reflects underlying electrophysiological

processes(Arieli et al., 1995) (**Figure 1C**). We conducted our experiments under both natural

sleep and urethane anesthesia. Urethane anesthesia has been reported to model natural sleep;

In particular, characteristic electrophysiological signatures of slow-wave sleep (SWS) including

up- and down-states, delta waves, spindles, and hippocampal SWR are present under urethane

anesthesia(Barthó et al., 2014; Clement et al., 2008; Pagliardini et al., 2013a; Wolansky et al.,

2006) (**Figure 1Cii; Movie S1**). For natural sleep experiments, conducted on iGluSnFR mice

under head-restrained condition, we used neck muscle electromyogram (EMG) recordings and

hippocampal delta-to-theta band power ratio to score sleep state. Sleep scores were further

validated by monitoring pupil diameter from each animal and comparing the distribution of

physiological measures in different sleep states (**Figure 1 – figure supplement 2; Movie S2**).

During the natural sleep experiments, pupil dilation measurement was possible since mice slept

with eyelids partially open(Yüzgeç et al., 2018a).

Patterns of activity in neocortical regions are differentially modulated around hippocampal

SWRs

We began by investigating how different neocortical regions activate and deactivate around

SWRs times. To do so, we performed SWR-triggered averaging of neocortical activity, (**Figure**

1D; Figure 2A-B; Movie S3-5). ~250 ms before center of SWRs, most neocortical regions

transiently deactivated. Deactivation was followed by a strong activation in most regions in close

temporal proximity to SWRs (**Figure 2A-B**). Since these phenomena occurred in both head-

restrained sleep and under urethane anesthesia, and the data exhibited a high degree of

similarity (**Figure 2 – figure supplement 1&2**), we pooled the urethane and head-restrained

sleep data for group analysis. Out of all imaged regions, RSC was the most strongly modulated,

showing the highest peak of activation (**Figure 2 – figure supplement 2Ci**) and second highest

peak of deactivation (**Figure 2 – figure supplement 2Cii**). Interestingly, regions with

comparable magnitudes of activations and deactivations tended to fall within previously

identified neocortical structural subnetworks(Zingg et al., 2014) (**Figure 2Ci**). The medial

subnetwork that includes RSC and posterior parietal cortex (PtA) showed the highest and

second highest degrees of peri-SWR activation (**Figure 2Cii-iii; n = 14 per subnetwrok; one-**

sided paired t-test; medial versus visual t_{14} = 0.18, p = 0.015; medial versus auditory t_{14} =

0.496, p = 7.517×10^{-6}; medial versus somato-motor t_{14} = 0.732, p = 1.038×10^{-7}) and

deactivation (**Figure 2D; n = 14 per subnetwrok; one-sided paired t-test; medial versus**

visual t_{14} = -0.09, p = 0.877; medial versus auditory t_{14} = 0.268, p = 0.0016; medial versus

somato-motor t_{14} = 0.39, p = 7.57×10^{-5}), respectively, followed by auditory, and somatomotor

networks, in descending order. Similar results were obtained using higher thresholds for

detecting SWRs (**Figure 2 – figure supplement 2E-F**). In addition, we replicated some of these

results using electrophysiology in unrestrained naturally sleeping mice in another cohort of

animals (**Figure 2 – figure supplement 3**).

Ripple power is distinctively correlated with peak activity in different neocortical

subnetworks

Having observed that neocortical regions are strongly modulated around SWRs, we investigated

whether the reverse is also the case, i.e. Does ripple power increase when strong activations

take place in a given neocortical region? To address this question, the ripple power traces

centered on peak activations in each neocortical pixel (or region of interest) were averaged and

compared across neocortical subnetworks (**Figure 1E**). Ripple power indeed increased relative

to peak neocortical activations, predominantly in regions that were most modulated around

SWRs (**Figure 3A-B**). Ripple power increased most around peak activations in the visual

followed by the medial, auditory, and somatomotor subnetworks, in descending order (**Figure**

3C; n = 14 per subnetwrok; one-sided paired t-test; medial versus visual t_{14} = -0.018, p =

0.594; medial versus auditory t_{14} = 0.201, p = 0.0087; medial versus somato-motor t_{14} =

0.406, p = 5.324×10^{-5}). Thus, during natural sleep and under urethane anesthesia, the medial

subnetwork is more likely to be coordinated with hippocampal SWRs.

Neocortex tends to activate sequentially from medial to more lateral regions around SWRs

After identifying which neocortical subnetworks are modulated around SWRs, we investigated

the temporal order in which neocortical regions activated. The timestamp of the peak activity (t_p

in **Figure 4Ai**) in the mean peri-SWR traces for each neocortical region was detected on an

individual animal basis. We then sorted peak activation times from earliest to latest relative to

SWRs centers. A medial to lateral temporal gradient in peak times was observed across

neocortical regions (**Figure 4Aii**). The group average of peak times for each region across all

animals also supported the medio-lateral direction of activations (**Fig 4Aiii; Figure 4 – figure**

supplement 1).

To investigate whether significant directional order of activation can be observed on an

individual SWR basis, rather than being driven by the mean across all SWRs, we iteratively

applied optical flow analysis(Afrashteh et al., 2017) on mean peri-SWR neocortical activity

around smaller subsets (n ~ 20) of randomly chosen SWRs and calculated the direction of

propagation of activity in each region (blue circular distribution in **Figure 4B and Figure 4 –**

figure supplement 1C). We observed that around SWRs, the waves of activity in medial

neocortical regions showed the strongest medio-lateral directional component, and the strength

of this directionality decreased in more lateral regions (**Figure 4B; Figure 4 – figure**

supplement 1). In order to verify that the directionalities observed in optical flow analysis were

meaningful; we compared them to directionalities in the same region but during random

timestamps generated by shuffling the inter-SWR time intervals (orange circular distribution in

Figure 4B; Figure 4 – figure supplement 1C) and found a statistical difference between the

two circular distributions in several regions (**Figure 4B; Kuiper two-sample test; RSC p =**

0.05; AC/M2 p > 0.05; V2M p = 0.002; Figure 4 – figure supplement 1C).

Neocortical activation latency relative to SWRs spans a wide spectrum of negative to

positive values

On average, neocortical voltage and glutamate activity tended to peak before SWRs in almost

all of the imaged regions (**Figure 4Aii**). To investigate this temporal relationship further, we

focused on RSC as an exemplar region, since it was the most modulated region around SWRs.

Investigation on an individual SWR basis showed that the timings of RSC peak activity relative

to SWRs forms a continuum spanning from negative (before) to positive (after) values (**Figure 5**

– figure supplement 1A). To clarify what properties of SWRs co-vary with the temporal order of

RSC activation relative to SWRs, we calculated an Asymmetry Index (AI) (**Figure 5A-B**) which

is defined as the difference between mean activity in the intervals $\pm \Delta t$ after and before the

SWRs center, divided by their sum, such that positive and negative values represent activity

tending to follow or to precede the SWR, respectively. AI was used instead of peak time

because, AI, which is calculated based on integral of a signal, is less noisy, and hence more

reliable (**Figure 5 – figure supplement 1B**). AIs were calculated for peri-SWR RSC traces, and

are referred as RSC AI (**Figure 5A-B**). We then partitioned the distribution of RSC AI values

into lower and higher quartile ranges (QR) according to whether the RSC AI range fell below the

first quartile (RSC QR1) or above the third quartile (RSC QR4) (**Figure 5B**). Interestingly, when

neocortical activity tended to precede the SWRs there was a buildup of hippocampal MUA

preceding the SWRs as well, whereas when the neocortex activation followed the SWRs, the

hippocampal MUA likewise followed. (**Figure 5Bii-v; n = 14 per group in Figure 5Bv; one-**

sided paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test; RSC QR1 versus RSC QR4 p = 6.103×10^{-5}). This

pattern suggests that the hippocampus and neocortex may engage in waves of mutual

excitation in which a SWR may occur at any time before, during or after the peak of the

hippocampal-neocortical activation.

Skewness of peri-SWR hippocampal MUA informs the neocortical activation latency

relative to SWRs

We then investigated the inverse question, whether skewness of hippocampal MUA is a SWR-

associated feature that correlates with whether neocortical activity precedes or follows SWRs.

To do so, AI was calculated for peri-SWR hippocampal MUA traces, and the corresponding

calculated AIs were referred to as HPC MUA AI (**Figure 6Ai**). Then, the proportion of SWRs

whose RSC and HPC MUA AI values matched in sign (indicating either both preceded or both

followed SWRs) were calculated. We found that, on average, only ~61% of SWRs have sign-

matched RSC and HPC MUA AI values (**Figure 6Aii**). Moreover, the correlation coefficient

between HPC MUA and RSC AI values was low but significant (r = ~0.3; n = 11725; two-sided t-

test $p < 10^{-15}$; **Figure 6Aiii**). We then partitioned the distribution of HPC MUA AI values into

lower and higher quartile ranges according to whether the HPC MUA AI range fell below the first

quartile (HPC QR1) or above the third quartile (HPC QR4) (**Figure 6Ai**). We found that SWRs,

preceded or followed by MUA (HPC QR1 vs QR4), were similarly preceded or followed by RSC

activity, respectively (**Figure 6Aiv-C; Figure 6 – figure supplement 1**). The effects observed

for RSC activity can be generalized to other neocortical regions (**Figure 6B**). Thus, these results

suggest that elevation of hippocampal MUA is correlated with neocortical activation independent

of the timestamp at which SRWs occur.

Occurrence of single/isolated ripples versus ripple bundles correlates with whether RSC

activation precedes or follows hippocampus

Although the skewness of peri-SWR MUA informs the variations in neocortical activation latency

relative to SWRs, it does so to a weak extent (**Figure 5B and 6A**), suggesting that additional

factors, other than skewness of peri-SWR HPC MUA, are required to predict whether neocortex

precedes or follows hippocampus around SWRs. We found that the occasional occurrence of

'bundle' of two or more SWRs(Davidson et al., 2009; Wu and Foster, 2014) was another factor

correlating with peri-SWR neocortical activity latency (**Figure 7; Figure 7 – figure supplement**

1; Movie S6). On average, neocortex stayed active for a longer time and peaked later around

the bundled than single/isolated ripples (**Fig S9A-C**). This observation suggests that there is a

higher chance for the neocortex to follow the bundled ripples compared to the single/isolated

ones (**Figure 7B-E; Fig S9A**). Interestingly, the neocortical deactivation preceding SWRs was

stronger in bundled than isolated ripples**,** pointing to the strength of neocortical down-states as a

predictor of occurrence of bundled ripples (**Figure 7 – figure supplement 1Dii**). Moreover, the

neocortical activation was stronger around bundled than isolated ripples (**Figure 7 – figure**

supplement 1Cii)**.**

Although short inter-SWR intervals leading to 'bundles' of ripples can simply be a result of an

underlying Poisson process, it is possible that bundled ripples constitute a random process

different from that of single/isolated events. If the former is true, then the inter-SWR interval

should be an exponential distribution(Shen and McNaughton, 1996). The inter-SWR time

interval distribution of SWRs occurrence was well modeled by an exponential curve (linear

curve in logarithmic scale) only for intervals longer than ~250 ms (single/isolated ripples).

However, for shorter intervals (bundled ripples), there was an excess of positive residuals of the

fitted exponential curve (**Figure 2 – figure supplement 1D**). This suggests that the

mechanisms of SWR generation when they occur in close temporal proximity to each other

obeys a non-Poisson dynamic, constituting a different random process from that of

single/isolated ripple events.

<u>Discussion</u>

The interactions between neocortex and hippocampus play an integral role in memory

consolidation during sleep. These interactions are particularly clear during SWRs, where replays

of both hippocampal and neocortical memory-associated traces tend to co-occur(Jadhav et al.,

2016; Ji and Wilson, 2007; Peyrache et al., 2009). Therefore, it is important to have a functional

map of peri-SWR neocortical activity during sleep, which could inform our search for neocortical

regions engaged in memory consolidation. In this study, we partly filled this gap by providing a

mesoscale spatiotemporal map of peri-SWR dorsal neocortical activity.

Patterns of activity in neocortical regions are differentially modulated around hippocampal

SWRs

A differential level of activation and deactivation around SWRs was observed across neocortical

regions. This differential modulation was correlated with neocortical structural connectivity:

regions with strong axonal interconnections were co-modulated to a similar extent around

SWRs. Although significant peri-SWR modulation of discrete neocortical regions has been

reported previously using electrophysiological methods, for example, in prefrontal(Battaglia et

al., 2004; Mölle et al., 2006; Peyrache et al., 2011, 2009), posterior parietal(Wilber et al., 2017),

entorhinal(Mohr et al., 2016), primary somatosensory(Sirota et al., 2003), visual(Ji and Wilson,

2007), and auditory corticex(Rothschild et al., 2017), previous studies were unable to

simultaneously characterize the differential patterns of activity across such regions, due to a

lack of extensive spatial coverage of the neocortical mantle. Battaglia et al.(Battaglia et al.,

2004) however, have mentioned a midline bias in the modulation of firing rate of different

neocortical regions around SWRs, but they left the detailed analysis of such regional effect for

future studies. Here, we expanded upon their finding by utilizing high spatiotemporal resolution

wide-field optical imaging of voltage and glutamate activity combined with electrophysiology.

The only study to our knowledge that has compared the modulation of neocortical regions

around SWRs (Logothetis et al., 2012) reported a significant up-regulation of Blood Oxygen

Level-Dependent (BOLD) signal in almost the entire neocortex around SWRs in monkeys,

whereas we observed a dichotomy among neocortical regions where somatosensory regions

did not show a significant modulation compared to medial subnetwork regions. The previous

study also reported a down-regulation of mean peri-SWR BOLD signal in primary visual

cortex(Logothetis et al., 2012), which is in contrast to our results in which V1, along with other

visual regions, showed strong activations. These discrepancies could be due to an intrinsic

difference between BOLD and optical voltage-sensitive dye (VSD) and iGluSnFR imaging

signals. As opposed to optical VSD and iGluSnFR signals, BOLD signal is an indirect, and

substantially slower measure of neuronal electrical activity, which sometimes may not faithfully

reflect the underlying neuronal activity(Iadecola and Nedergaard, 2007). On the other hand,

mesoscale optical imaging detects activity predominantly in the superficial ~300 microns of

neocortex, although this layer does contain dendrites from lower layers. Additionally, this

discrepancy could be due to the difference in animal model (macaque vs mouse), although this

seems relatively less likely given the increasingly documented connectivity similarities between

these species.

The subnetworks that were accessible to us for imaging are subsets of larger brain-wide

networks. In particular, a medial subnetwork, consisting of retrosplenial and posterior parietal

cortices, is a subset of the default mode network which is involved in several cognitive

processes including memory(Smith et al., 2018; Stafford et al., 2014). Therefore, our results

suggest that default mode network is probably one of the most modulated networks of the

mouse brain around SWRs. This idea has been supported in macaques(Kaplan et al., 2016).

The reported gradation in modulation extent of different neocortical regions begs the question of

what is special about visual sensory areas, which were the second most modulated regions

around SWRs, compared with somatosensory areas, which were the least modulated regions.

The answer to this question could be related to the stronger structural connectivity between

retrosplenial and visual areas compared with retrosplenial and somatosensory cortices(Sugar et

al., 2011; Van Groen and Wyss, 2003). However, it does not completely settle the problem.

Assuming that neocortical activation around SWRs has a mnemonic function, one may plausibly

expect a stronger activation in neocortical regions that are more involved in daily life information

processing. For example, vibrissal sensation is highly active during wakefulness and probably

plays an important role in memory processes in the rodent brain. But, why is it the case that

barrel cortex is not as active as visual cortices around SWRs?

Ripple power is distinctively correlated with peak activity in different neocortical

subnetworks

There was a differential association between peak activity in different neocortical

regions/subnetworks and hippocampal ripple power. A similar pattern as the one observed

earlier in peri-SWR averaging analysis also appeared here, where there was a significantly

stronger association between hippocampal ripple power and peak activity in medial compared to

somatomotor subnetworks. Molle et al(Mölle et al., 2006) reported a relevant finding, that the

probability of ripple occurrence was locked to mPFC EEG positive peak (depth LFP negative

peak; equivalent to activation in this paper). They observed the lowest followed by the highest

SWR occurrence probabilities well before and very close to the EEG positive peak, respectively.

It is possible that the detected strong activations in neocortical regions were probably

associated with occurrence of up-states in those regions. We also know from other works that

there is a significant association between neocortical up-states and SWR occurrence(Battaglia

et al., 2004; Mölle et al., 2006; Peyrache et al., 2011, 2009; Sirota et al., 2003), although many

up-states are not associated with SWRs. Therefore, our result could be a reflection of such

reported association. Moreover, we think that the observed dip in ripple power preceding the

peak neocortical activation is probably associated with lower probability of SWRs during

neocortical down-states, which was previously reported(Mohr et al., 2016).

Neocortex tends to activate sequentially from medial to more lateral regions around SWRs

There was a medial to lateral temporal gradient of activation of neocortical regions around

SWRs on a time scale of about 30 ms. To the best of our knowledge, such mediolateral

sequential activation of neocortical regions around SWRs has not been previously reported,

probably because older studies used electrophysiological techniques with sparser spatial

coverage. However, Logothetis et al.(Logothetis et al., 2012), in their pioneering study in

monkeys, reported a sequential activation among neocortical regions on a time scale of a few

seconds using fMRI, where temporal, frontal and prefrontal regions activated earlier than

sensory areas around SWRs. In our imaging window, we did not have access to the prefrontal

and temporal areas but we did observe that visual and auditory sensory cortices were the latest

among neocortical regions to activate.

Two major opposite directions of propagation for neocortical waves of activity during slow-wave

sleep (SWS) in mice have been reported, posterior-medial to anterior-lateral and anterior-lateral

to posterior-medial directions (Greenberg et al., 2018). Moreover, retrosplenial cortex has been

shown to have the highest probability to be the initial zone of waves with the former

direction(Greenberg et al., 2018). Therefore, our results suggest that SWRs tend to occur during

slow waves with the posterior-medial to anterior-lateral direction of propagation. It is probable

that the neocortical up-states occurring in the absence of SWRs tend to have the opposite

direction of propagation, but we did not investigate this idea in the present work.

In addition, compatible with previous reports, our data shows that almost all neocortical regions

tend to start activating more or less before the hippocampus generates a SWR, which suggests

a neocortex-to-hippocampus initial direction of information flow around SWRs. It could be

interpreted from the viewpoint of hippocampal memory indexing theory in this way: an initial flow

of incompletely retrieved memories from neocortex to hippocampus could lead to reactivation of

a corresponding memory index code, stored in hippocampus, which in turn may lead to

384 reactivation of global neocortical memory traces. Therefore, RSC, which is the first among

385 dorsal neocortical regions to activate around SWRs, may mediate this initial information flow,

386 probably through entorhinal cortex, and subsequently bridge the broadcast of hippocampal

387 index code to the rest of the neocortical mantle. In fact, the pattern of structural connectivity of

388 RSC with entorhinal cortex and hippocampus(Wyass and Van Groen, 1992) supports this idea,

389 where numerous efferents from RSC to entorhinal cortex, the principal input zone to

390 hippocampus, and ample afferents from subicular structure, the main output gate in

391 hippocampus, to RSC have been reported(Amaral and Witter, 1989).

393 **Skewness of peri-SWR hippocampal MUA informs neocortical activation latency relative**

394 **to SWRs**

395 Two general patterns of hippocampal MUA around SWRs were observed, a gradual build-up

396 leading to a sharp transient increase at the SWR time and a sharp transient increase at the

397 SWR time followed by a gradual decrease to baseline. Interestingly, these two leading and

398 lagging patterns of hippocampal MUA corresponded to two leading and lagging patterns of

399 activity in the neocortex, respectively.

401 Ji and Wilson(Ji and Wilson, 2007) reported periods of sustained MUA interleaved by periods of

402 silence in both neocortex and hippocampus during SWS, which could be a reflection of slow

403 oscillations. They called these periods of sustained activity "frames". They found that neocortical

404 and hippocampal frames tend to co-occur and neocortical frames, on average, tend to precede

405 hippocampal ones by ~50 ms. They also reported that there is a higher ripple power right after

406 the onset and right before the offset of the hippocampal frames compared to other times.

407 Moreover, ripple power was higher at the end compared to the beginning of hippocampal

408 frames. Taking into account the co-occurrence of neocortical and hippocampal frames, we can

409 infer that ripple power is probably higher at the end compared to the beginning of neocortical

frames as well, potentially denoting a higher probability of ripple occurrence at the end of

neocortical up-states. This inference is compatible with what our and others' works have shown.

We speculate that the observed temporal diversity in hippocampal-neocortical interactions

around SWRs could reflect the degree of consolidation of a given memory, where neocortex

leads hippocampus for well-consolidated memories and lags for newly formed ones. Our

prediction is that reactivation of recently formed memory traces tend to happen during SWRs

around which neocortex follows hippocampus. Since the timing of neocortical peak activity can

be inferred from hippocampal MUA skewness, it is not necessary to directly record neocortical

activity to test this hypothesis. Datasets with only hippocampal recordings could be assessed for

this purpose.

Occurrence of single/isolated versus bundled ripples correlates with whether RSC

activation precedes or follows hippocampus

Consistent with previous findings(Davidson et al., 2009; Wu and Foster, 2014), we observed

that multiple SWRs could sometimes occur in close proximity as a bundle of ripples. We found

that, on average, the neocortical tendency to follow hippocampus is higher around bundled

compared to isolated ripples. We also observed that all neocortical subnetworks except the

medial one were activated more strongly around bundled compared to isolated ripples.

It has been reported that reactivation of prolonged sequences of place cells, encoding recently

traversed long routes by rats, tends to occur during bundled ripples(Davidson et al., 2009; Wu

and Foster, 2014). An isolated ripple has a limited duration and therefore its neural content

consists of a sequence of limited number of place cells with place fields covering routes with a

limited length(Davidson et al., 2009). Therefore, if coordinated interaction between

hippocampus and neocortex is essential for memory consolidation, it is expected that neocortex

stays active longer during bundled ripples, when the hippocampus is reactivating memories of

larger content and/or longer duration. This is what was observed, suggesting bundled ripples

tend to occur during longer neocortical up-states when there is a longer temporal window

available for a potential hippocampal-neocortical communication. Interestingly, according to our

data, the probability of occurrence of a bundled ripple in hippocampus is correlated with the pre-

SWR (not peri-SWR) deactivation amplitude in neocortex. This dip could be a signaling

mechanism for an upcoming longer communication between the two structures.

In conclusion, this work sheds light on the dynamics of hippocampal-neocortical interactions

around SWRs which are thought to underlie system memory consolidation processes. Our

results reveal neocortical hotspots mediating the broadcast of hippocampal representations to

the rest of neocortex by identifying retrosplenial cortex as a potential bridge between these two

structures. Past the RSC, information flow tends to be carried along a medial-lateral direction,

demonstrating the order of recruitment of different neocortical modules, potentially associated

with different features of given memory, around SWRs. Lastly, neocortical activation latency

around SWRs is informed by hippocampal features including MUA latency as well as the

number of ripple events. The observed spectrum of temporal latencies in activation of

hippocampus and neocortex around SWRs possibly reflects the direction of communication

during hippocampal and neocortical reactivations of recent versus remote memories. We predict

that encoding of new hippocampal-dependent memories lead to a transient bias toward

hippocampus leading neocortex around post-encoding sleep SWRs and that this bias would last

until the load of consolidating new information gradually dissipates.

Materials and Methods

Animals

A total of twelve mice, female and male, were used for the imaging studies. For natural sleep

experiments, four adult (>2 months) iGluSnFR transgenic mice (strain Emx-CaMKII-Ai85),

expressing iGluSnFR in glutamatergic neocortical neurons (Marvin et al., 2013b; Xie et al.,

2016b), were used. We generated Emx-CaMKII-Ai85 transgenic mice by crossing the

homozygous B6.129S2-Emx1tm1(cre)Krj/J strain (Jax no. 005628) and the B6.Cg-Tg(CamK2a-

tTA)1Mmay/DboJ strain (Jax no.007004) with the hemizygous B6;129S-Igs7 tm85(teto-

gltI/GDP*)Hze/J strain (Jax no.026260). This crossing is expected to produce expression of

iGluSnFR within all excitatory neurons across all layers of the cortex, but not in GABAergic

neurons (Huang and Zeng, 2013; Madisen et al., 2015). Brain sections of the positive transgenic

mice confirmed robust expression in the neocortex and hippocampus (**Figure 1 – figure**

supplement 1). At the end of natural sleep experiments, two of the iGluSnFR mice were

anesthetized with urethane and imaged. Eight C57BL/6J mice from Jackson Laboratory were

also used for acute voltage-sensitive dye imaging under urethane anesthesia. An additional set

of 6 C57BL/6J mice, including both males and females were used for the electrophysiological

studies. Mice were housed in groups of two to five under a 12-h light-dark cycle. Mice were

given ad libitum access to water and standard laboratory mouse diet at all times. Mice used in

the sleep recording experiments were housed singly after head-plate/electrode implantation

surgery. The animal protocols were approved by the University of Lethbridge Animal Care

Committee and were in accordance with guidelines set forth by the Canadian Council for Animal

Care.

Surgery

Urethane Surgeries

Animals were administered with urethane (1.25 mg/kg) and immediately anesthetized with

isoflurane (1–2% mixed in O_2) in order to perform the surgery. We began to administer urethane

before the isoflurane, so that by the time we reached the recording stage, urethane would be in

effect. This order of administration improved surgery success and reduced fatality rate. We were

gradually reducing isoflurane levels over the course of surgery, and isoflurane levels diminished

completely by the time urethane recordings began. After animals were anesthetized with

isoflurane, mice were placed on a metal plate that could be mounted onto the stage of the

upright macroscope, and the skull was fastened to a steel plate. Before craniotomy, the

electrode was implanted in the pyramidal layer of dorsal hippocampus. A 7 × 6 mm unilateral

craniotomy (bregma 2.5 to −4.5 mm, lateral 0 to 6 mm) was made, and the underlying dura was

removed, as described previously. Body temperature was maintained at 37 °C using a heating

pad with a feedback thermistor during the surgery and recording sessions. Animals were also

given a tracheotomy to reduce breathing complications.

Head-fixed Natural Sleep Surgeries

For natural sleep experiments, we performed subcutaneous injections with 0.5 gr/Kg

buprenorphine half an hour before the surgery started. Animals were then anesthetized with

isoflurane (1–2% mixed in O_2). Following anesthesia, we performed the following: removed the

head skin, implanted the hippocampal LFP electrode, implanted a head-plate, covered the skull

with a thin and transparent layer of the metabond (Parkell, Inc.), and at the end covered the

skull with a glass coverslip. An additional bipolar electrode was also implanted in the neck

muscles for recording EMG activity. Animals were allowed to recover for two weeks before

recordings started.

Surgeries for Unrestrained Natural Sleep Experiments

The animals were anesthetized with isoflurane (2.5% induction, 1-1.5% maintenance), and after

removing the skin, multiple holes with particular coordinates were drilled on the skull for

implanting hippocampal and neocortical electrodes. An additional bipolar electrode was also

implanted in the neck muscles for recording EMG activity. For neocortical and hippocampal

recordings of LFPs, bipolar (tip separation = 0.6 mm) and monopolar electrodes, made from

Teflon-coated stainless-steel wire with bare diameter of 50.8 μm (A-M Systems), were

implanted in several neocortical areas as well as the pyramidal layer of CA1 in dorsal

hippocampus according to the following coordinates in mm: primary motor cortex (M1): AP: 1.5,

ML: -1.7, DV: 1.5, secondary motor cortex (M2): AP: 1.7, ML: 0.6, DV:1.1 mm, mouth primary

somatosensory area (S1M): AP: 0.85, ML: 2.8, DV: 1.4, barrel primary somatosensory area

(S1BC): AP: -0.1, ML: -3.0, DV: 1.4 mm, retrosplenial cortex (RSC): AP: -2.5, ML: 0.6, DV: 1.1

mm, and CA1 subfield of hippocampus (HPC): AP: -2.5, ML: 2.0, DV:1.1 mm. For EMG, a multi-

stranded Teflon-coated stainless-steel wire with bare diameter of 127 μm (Cooner Wire) was

implanted into the neck musculature using a 22 gauge needle. The reference and ground

screws were placed on the skull over the cerebellum. The other end of all electrode wires were

clamped between two receptacle connectors (Mill-Max Mfg. Corp.). Clamped connectors were

fixated on the skull using metabond (Parkell, Inc.) and dental cement. Body temperature was

maintained at 37 °C using a heating pad with a feedback thermistor during the surgery. Animals

were allowed to recover for one week before recordings started.

Habituation for head-restraint natural sleep experiments

After 14 d of recovery, mice were habituated to the recording setup, by putting the animals one

by one on the recording platform with one or two pieces of Cheerios cereal. They were allowed

to explore the platform and acclimatize to the environment. They gradually started to eat the

cheerios after a few days. Then the animals were head-restrained with daily prolongation of

head-fixation duration. We started with five minutes on the first day and increased the head-

restrained duration by five minutes per day for up to one hour. During head-fixation period, each

animal was loosely surrounded by a plastic tube to limit motion and guide relaxation. A day

before recording, the animals were moved from their home cage colony room to another room

at noontime. We prevented them from falling asleep for around nine hours while they were kept

in their own home cage by touching them using a cotton-tip stick whenever they showed signs

of falling asleep. In the final hours of sleep restriction, we sometimes gently handled the

animals. Then, we transferred the mice to a bigger cage containing new objects, including a

running wheel and many pieces of cheerios and a water container. We left the mice in the new

cage in the same room overnight. The next day, the mice were transferred to the recording

room early in the morning and recording initiated at around 6:00 AM. After the recording, mice

were allowed to recover and sleep at will, in their own home cage in the colony room, for at least

three days before repeating this procedure for subsequent recording sessions.

Neocortical and hippocampal LFP recording in head-restrained imaging experiments

Teflon coated 50 µm stainless steel wires (A-M Systems) were used for the hippocampal and

neocortical LFP and MUA recordings. For HPC recordings, we drilled a hole on the right

hemisphere skull about 2.6 mm lateral to the midline and tangent to the posterior side of the

occipital suture. Then, the tip of the monopolar electrode was gradually lowered through the

hole at a 57 degree angle with respect to the vertical axis perpendicular to the surface on which

the stereotaxic apparatus was sitting. During lowering, the electrode signal with respect to the

reference electrode located on top of the cerebellum, was monitored both visually and audibly.

Lowering the electrode was stopped as soon as a dramatic increase in the MUA was heard and

observed near the calculated coordinate (angle = 57 degrees, depth = ~1.75 mm) for the

pyramidal layer of dorsal CA1. After identifying the optimum location for the electrode tip, we

fixated the electrode on the skull using Krazy Glue and dental cement. For the neocortical LFP

and MUA recordings, the tip of the electrodes were placed in the superficial layers of neocortical

regions (usually motor cortex) at the edge of the cranial window. The electrode signals were

amplified (x 1,000) and filtered (0.1–10000 Hz) using a Grass A.C. pre-amplifier Model P511

(Artisan Technology Group ®, IL) and digitized using a Digidata 1440 (Molecular Device Inc.,

CA) data acquisition system at 20 kHz sampling rate. For the chronic natural sleep experiments,

we used the same wire but in the bipolar form to record hippocampal LFP. After data collection,

1 mA positive and negative currents were injected into the hippocampal electrode for about 200

ms to mark electrode location for histology. Animals were perfused with PBS (1x) and PFA (4%)

and their brains were extracted, sectioned and mounted. Location of the hippocampal electrode

was further confirmed postmortem using cresyl violet staining (**Figure 1 – figure supplement**

1).

Neocortical and hippocampal LFP recording in unrestrained sleep experiments

Animals were left undisturbed to recover for 7 days after surgery and then habituated for 5-7

days in the recording chamber. On recording days, animals were transferred to the recording

chamber where their sleep activity was recorded starting from 8:30 AM for 4 hours using a

motorized commutator (NeuroTek Innovative Technology Inc., On, Canada). LFPs and EMG

activity were amplified, filtered (0.1-4000 Hz) and digitized at 16 kHz using a Digital Lynx SX

Electrophysiology System (Neuralynx Inc., MT) and the data was recorded and stored on a local

PC using Cheetah software (Neuralynx, Inc. MT).

Voltage sensitive dye imaging

The procedures we used are described previously (Greenberg et al., 2018; Mohajerani et al.,

2013a), and are briefly explained here. After completion of surgery, the exposed brain were

incubated with solution containing voltage dye for 30-45 minutes. The solution was made by

dissolving voltage-sensitive dye (VSD) RH-1691 (Optical Imaging, New York, NY) in 4-(2-

hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES)-buffered saline solution (0.5 mg ml^{-1}).

To minimize the movement artifacts due to the respiration, we covered the stained brain with

1.5% agarose made in HEPES-buffered saline and sealed the cranial window with a glass

coverslip. VSD imaging of spontaneous activity in the absence of sensory stimuli began ~30 min

after removing the dye solution and washing the brain until the residual dye solution was

completely removed. Using a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (1M60 Pantera, Dalsa,

Waterloo, ON) and an EPIX E4DB frame grabber with XCAP 3.7 imaging software (EPIX, Inc.,

Buffalo Grove, IL), we recorded 12-bit images every 10 ms (100 Hz). We used a red LED

(Luxeon K2, 627-nm center) and excitation filters of 630 ± 15 nm to excite the VSD. The

reflected fluorescent signal from excited dyes was filtered using a 673 to 703 nm bandpass

optical filter (Semrock, New York, NY), and was passed through a microscope composed of

front-to-front video lenses (8.6 × 8.6 mm field of view, 67 µm per pixel). To reduce the potential

artifacts caused by the presence of large neocortical blood vessels, we focused the lens into the

neocortex to a depth of ~1 mm. Total duration of the VSD excitation in a typical imaging

experiment ranged from one to two hours. We also recorded the voltage optical signal from the

neocortex in response to different periphery stimulation as described before (Mohajerani et al.,

2013b). Sensory stimulation was used to determine the coordinates for the primary sensory

areas (HLS1, FLS1, BCS1, V1 and A1), secondary somatosensory areas (HLS2, FLS2 and

BCS2). From these primary sensory coordinates, the relative locations of additional

associational areas were estimated using stereotaxic coordinates (ptA, RS, M2, V2M (medial

secondary visual cortex), V2L (lateral secondary visual cortex), and M1 (primary motor cortex).

Glutamate imaging

iGluSnFR was excited with light from a blue-light-emitting diode (Luxeon K2, 473 nm, Quadica

Developments Inc., Lethbridge, Alberta) delivered through a band-pass filter (Chroma

Technology Corp, 467– 499 nm). Ambient light resulting from iGluSnFR excitation (473 nm) was

~1.5 mW over the 8 × 8 mm area used for imaging. iGluSnFR fluorescence emission was

filtered using a 520–580 nm band-pass filter (Semrock, New York, NY). We collected 12-bit

images at 100 Hz using CCD camera (1M60 Pantera, Dalsa, Waterloo, ON) and an EPIX E4DB

frame grabber with XCAP 3.7 imaging software (EPIX, Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL). To reduce the

potential artifacts caused by the presence of large neocortical blood vessels, we focused the

lens into the neocortex to a depth of ~1 mm. Total duration of the indicator excitation in a typical

imaging experiment ranged from two to three hours. At the last day of experiments, we

anesthetized mice with 1.5 gr/kg urethane and imaged the neocortex. We also recorded the

iGluSnFR signal in response to different periphery stimulation under urethane anesthesia as

described before(Mohajerani et al., 2013b). Sensory stimulation were used to functionally map

the center of the hind-limb somatosensory, fore-limb somatosensory, auditory, visual, and barrel

cortices.

Behavioral and pupil recording

To monitor animal behavior and measure pupil size, we used an IR USB camera (Point Grey

Firefly MV USB, FLIR Systems, Inc.) with 20 Hertz frame rate. We also used an infrared LED to

illuminate the animals' faces and parts of their body including their head, shoulders, and

forelimbs.

Pupil diameter detection

We used an intensity-based thresholding custom made algorithm implemented in Bonsai

(https://bonsai-rx.org//) to quantify pupil diameter. Briefly, the algorithm detects the pupil by

segmenting it with the surrounding areas of the eye and models it as an ellipse. The main

diagonal of the ellipse was considered as the pupil diameter.

Sleep scoring

Vigilance stages of each animal were classified as awake, NREM, and REM by thresholding

EMG and hippocampal theta-to-delta ratio signals(Niethard et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2014;

Yüzgeç et al., 2018b). Awake periods were identified by visual inspection of animal behavior

and EMG signal. NREM sleep was identified as periods with low EMG and theta-to-delta ratio.

For head-restrained experiments, we also included a third criteria for detecting NREM sleep

periods: lack of facial (around the chin) movement for at least 50 seconds. REM sleep periods

were detected when EMG signals was the lowest, which is due to muscular atonia, and high

theta-to-delta ratios. For head-fixed experiments, we also measured pupil size to verify our

sleep scoring results (Yüzgeç et al., 2018b).

SWRs detection

We followed the method used in Molle et al.(Mölle et al., 2006) for detecting ripples. Briefly, the

raw hippocampal LFP was first down-sampled to 2 kHz. Then, it was filtered using a 400-order

band-pass FIR filter designed in MATLAB (MathWorks). The filtered signal was rectified and

smoothed using a rectangular window with a length of 8 ms, generating the ripple power signal.

SWRs were identified when the ripple power signal passed the detection threshold defined by

the mean plus a multiple (Figure 2 and S4A-D: 2 for VSDI and 3 for iGluSnFR imaging; Figure 2

– figure supplement 2E-F: 3 for VSDI and 4 for iGluSnFR imaging) of standard deviation of the

ripple power signals. A lower threshold (75% of the detection threshold) was used to identify the

onset and offset of each SWR. Detected events were further screened by applying a duration

threshold. Events with a duration shorter than the mean duration of all detected events were

excluded. The ripple center was defined as the timestamp of the largest trough between the

onset and offset times. Moreover, events with centers less than 50 ms apart were concatenated.

Splitting SWRs into HPC quartiles

We defined a quantity, called asymmetry index (AI), to measure the asymmetry or skewness of

hippocampal MUA and neocortical voltage and iGluSnFR signals centered on SWR centers

timestamps (0s). AI is defined as $(A - B) / (A + B)$, where A and B are the mean values from -

0.2-0 s and 0-0.2 s respectively. AI values range from -1 and 1, where an AI = -1 and AI = 1

denote complete skewness of the signal to left and right relative to the SWR centers,

respectively. The distribution of MUA AI values was split into quartiles. The first and fourth

quartiles were utilized for further analyses to examine whether SWRs either preceded or

followed by MUA, respectively.

Bundled ripples detection

Bundled ripples were identified by applying an additional threshold on previously detected

SWRs. For each previously detected SWR, the wavelet-based power (using analytic Morlet

wavelet) in the ripple-band frequencies (150-250 Hz) was calculated for peri-SWR hippocampal

LFP traces. Ripple events were identified as bundled ripples if the following criteria were met:

(1) The power signal surpassed an adaptively determined power threshold for at least two

successive times; (2) The minimum duration was met for each supra-threshold event; and (3)

The temporal distance between two successive supra-threshold peaks was less than 200

ms(Davidson et al., 2009; Wu and Foster, 2014). The remainder of detected SWRs were

considered as single/isolated events.

MUA calculation

MUA signal was calculated from hippocampal LFP using a similar method reported

before(Belitski et al., 2008). Briefly, the hippocampal LFP signal were filtered above 300 Hz,

rectified and smoothed with a rectangular window with the length of ~3 millisecond. The

resultant signal was called MUA in this work.

Preprocessing of Voltage and iGluSnFR imaging data

The optical voltage and glutamate signals were first denoised by applying singular-value

decomposition and taking the components with the greatest associated singular values(Mitra

and Pesaran, 1999). Then, for each pixel in the imaging window, a baseline (F_0) of the optical

voltage and iGluSnFR signals (F) was calculated. Baseline calculation was accomplished using

the *locdetrend* function in the Choronux toolbox (http://chronux.org/; Mitra & Bokil 2007) to fit a

piecewise linear curve to the pixel time series using the local regression method. The calculated

baseline signal was then subtracted from the raw signal, and the difference signal was divided

by the baseline values at each time point ($\Delta F/F_0$). Because most of the optical signal power is

concentrated in lower frequencies(Mohajerani et al., 2013b), a band pass (0.1–6 Hz) FIR filter

was applied on the $\Delta F/F_0$ signal. In this paper, optical signals were expressed as a percentage

change relative to the baseline optical signal responses ($\Delta F/F_0$ × 100%).

Optical flow analysis

To estimate the propagation direction of peri-SWR neocortical activity, we randomly selected

around twenty peri-SWR stacks of imaging frames, and averaged them. The averaged stack

was used to calculate propagation direction of neocortical waves at the time of SWRs.

Propagation direction was calculated using the Horn-Schunck method implemented in Matlab-

based Optical-Flow Analysis Toolbox developed by Afrashteh et al.(Afrashteh et al., 2017). This

toolbox can be used to quantify the spatiotemporal dynamics of mesoscale brain activity such

as propagation direction, location of sources and sinks as well as the trajectories and temporal

velocities of flow of activity dynamics. To generate a circular distribution of propagation

direction, we repeated the above process for 1000 iterations.

z-scoring peri-SWR neocortical activity

The inter-SWR intervals were randomly permuted to generate new timestamps. Then, for each

random time point, the surrounding imaging frames, capturing one second before to one second

after, were temporally aligned. The mean and standard deviation of the corresponding frames

were calculated across all the randomly generated time points. In doing so, we generated a

mean and a standard deviation stack of frames. We used these two stacks to z-score all the

individual peri-SWR stacks of neocortical activity.

Statistical tests

All statistical test for linear data were performed using MATLAB built-in functions. One-sided

paired t-test and Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were used for linear data. For circular data, Kuiper

two-sample test, implemented in CircStat toolbox(Berens, 2009), was used.

Data exclusion criteria

For some group data comparisons in figure supplements, outlier data points, defined as points

outside 95% of the distribution relative to the median value, were excluded in some groups.

Whenever the number of animals is less than 14 (n <14), such exclusion has been performed.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, J.K.A., B.L.M., M.H.M.; Methodology, J.K.A., M.H.M., B.L.M.; Investigation,

J.K.A, M.N., E.B.; Formal Analysis, J.K.A. and S.G.; Writing – Original Draft, J.K.A and S.G.;

Writing – Review & Editing, J.K.A., S.G., B.L.M., and M.H.M.; Funding Acquisition, M.H.M.,

B.L.M.; Resources, B.L.M and M.H.M.; Supervision, M.H.M.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada

(grant no 40352 & 1631465 to MHM and BLM respectively), Alberta Innovates (MHM and BLM),

Alberta Prion Research Institute (grant no. 43568 to MHM), and Canadian Institute for Health

Research (grant no 390930 & 156040 to MHM and BLM MHM respectively), National Science

Foundation (MHM, BLM), and USA Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (grant no

HR0011-18-2-0021 to BLM). The authors also thank Di Shao and Behroo Mirza Agha for animal

breeding and J. Sun for surgical assistance, and Artur Luczak, Masami Tatsuno, and Mark

Reimers for their suggestions for data analysis. The authors thank Hongkoi Zeng from the Allen

Institute for Brain Science for providing the Emx-cre, Camk2a-tTa, and Ai85 mice as a gift.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Afrashteh N, Inayat S, Mohsenvand M, Mohajerani MH. 2017. Optical-flow analysis toolbox for

characterization of spatiotemporal dynamics in mesoscale optical imaging of brain activity.

Neuroimage **153**:58–74. doi:10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2017.03.034

Amaral DG, Witter MP. 1989. The three-dimensional organization of the hippocampal formation:

A review of anatomical data. *Neuroscience* **31**:571–591. doi:10.1016/0306-4522(89)90424-

7

Arieli a, Shoham D, Hildesheim R, Grinvald a. 1995. Coherent spatiotemporal patterns of

ongoing activity revealed by real-time optical imaging coupled with single-unit recording in

the cat visual cortex. *J Neurophysiol* **73**:2072–2093.

Barthó P, Slézia A, Mátyás F, Faradzs-Zade L, Ulbert I, Harris KD, Acsády L. 2014. Ongoing

network state controls the length of sleep spindles via inhibitory activity. *Neuron* **82**:1367–

1379. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.04.046

Battaglia FP, Sutherland GR, McNaughton BL. 2004. Hippocampal sharp wave bursts coincide

with neocortical "up-state" transitions. *Learn Mem* **11**:697–704.

doi:10.1101/lm.73504

Belitski A, Gretton A, Magri C, Murayama Y, Montemurro MA, Logothetis NK, Panzeri S. 2008.

Low-frequency local field potentials and spikes in primary visual cortex convey independent

visual information. *J Neurosci* **28**:5696–709. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0009-08.2008

Berens P. 2009. CircStat : A MATLAB Toolbox for Circular Statistics . *J Stat Softw* **31**.

doi:10.18637/jss.v031.i10

Buzsáki G. 1989. Two-stage model of memory trace formation: A role for "noisy" brain states.

Neuroscience **31**:551–570. doi:10.1016/0306-4522(89)90423-5

Chrobak JJ, Buzsáki G. 1996. High-frequency oscillations in the output networks of the

hippocampal-entorhinal axis of the freely behaving rat. *J Neurosci* **16**:3056–66.

doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.16-09-03056.1996

Clement E a., Richard A, Thwaites M, Ailon J, Peters S, Dickson CT. 2008. Cyclic and sleep-like

spontaneous alternations of brain state under urethane anaesthesia. *PLoS One* **3**.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002004

Csicsvari J, Hirase H, Mamiya A, Buzsáki G. 2000. Ensemble patterns of hippocampal CA3-

CA1 neurons during sharp wave-associated population events. *Neuron* **28**:585–94.

Davidson TJ, Kloosterman F, Wilson MA. 2009. Hippocampal replay of extended experience.

Neuron **63**:497–507. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.07.027

Ego-Stengel V, Wilson MA. 2010. Disruption of ripple-associated hippocampal activity during

rest impairs spatial learning in the rat. *Hippocampus* **20**:1–10. doi:10.1002/hipo.20707

Euston DR, Tatsuno M, McNaughton BL. 2007. Fast-forward playback of recent memory

sequences in prefrontal cortex during sleep. *Science* **318**:1147–50.

doi:10.1126/science.1148979

Ferezou I, Haiss F, Gentet LJ, Aronoff R, Weber B, Petersen CCH. 2007. Spatiotemporal

Dynamics of Cortical Sensorimotor Integration in Behaving Mice. *Neuron* **56**:907–923.

doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2007.10.007

Gais S, Albouy G, Boly M, Dang-Vu TT, Darsaud A, Desseilles M, Rauchs G, Schabus M,

Sterpenich V, Vandewalle G, Maquet P, Peigneux P. 2007. Sleep transforms the cerebral

trace of declarative memories. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**:18778–83.

doi:10.1073/pnas.0705454104

Girardeau G, Benchenane K, Wiener SI, Buzsáki G, Zugaro MB. 2009. Selective suppression of

hippocampal ripples impairs spatial memory. *Nat Neurosci* **12**:1222–3.

doi:10.1038/nn.2384

Greenberg A, Abadchi JK, Dickson CT, Mohajerani MH. 2018. New waves: Rhythmic electrical

field stimulation systematically alters spontaneous slow dynamics across mouse neocortex.

Neuroimage **174**:328–339. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.03.019

Hoffman KL, McNaughton BL. 2002. Coordinated reactivation of distributed memory traces in

primate neocortex. *Science* **297**:2070–3. doi:10.1126/science.1073538

Huang ZJ, Zeng H. 2013. Genetic approaches to neural circuits in the mouse. *Annu Rev*

Neurosci **36**:183–215. doi:10.1146/annurev-neuro-062012-170307

Iadecola C, Nedergaard M. 2007. Glial regulation of the cerebral microvasculature. *Nat*

Neurosci **10**:1369–1376. doi:10.1038/nn2003

Jadhav SP, Rothschild G, Roumis DK, Frank LM. 2016. Coordinated Excitation and Inhibition of

Prefrontal Ensembles during Awake Hippocampal Sharp-Wave Ripple Events. *Neuron*

90:113–127. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.02.010

Ji D, Wilson MA. 2007. Coordinated memory replay in the visual cortex and hippocampus during

sleep. *Nat Neurosci* **10**:100–7. doi:10.1038/nn1825

Jiang X, Shamie I, K Doyle W, Friedman D, Dugan P, Devinsky O, Eskandar E, Cash SS,

Thesen T, Halgren E. 2017. Replay of large-scale spatio-temporal patterns from waking

during subsequent NREM sleep in human cortex. *Sci Rep* **7**:17380. doi:10.1038/s41598-

017-17469-w

Kaplan R, Adhikari MH, Hindriks R, Mantini D, Murayama Y, Logothetis NK, Deco G. 2016.

Hippocampal Sharp-Wave Ripples Influence Selective Activation of the Default Mode

Network. *Curr Biol* **26**:686–691. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2016.01.017

Khodagholy D, Gelinas JN, Buzsáki G. 2017. Learning-enhanced coupling between ripple

oscillations in association cortices and hippocampus. *Science* **358**:369–372.

doi:10.1126/science.aan6203

Kudrimoti HS, Barnes CA, McNaughton BL. 1999. Reactivation of hippocampal cell assemblies:

effects of behavioral state, experience, and EEG dynamics. *J Neurosci* **19**:4090–101.

doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.19-10-04090.1999

Logothetis NK, Eschenko O, Murayama Y, Augath M, Steudel T, Evrard HC, Besserve M,

Oeltermann a. 2012. Hippocampal-cortical interaction during periods of subcortical silence.

Nature **491**:547–53. doi:10.1038/nature11618

Madisen L, Garner AR, Shimaoka D, Chuong AS, Klapoetke NC, Li L, van der Bourg A, Niino Y,

Egolf L, Monetti C, Gu H, Mills M, Cheng A, Tasic B, Nguyen TN, Sunkin SM, Benucci A,

Nagy A, Miyawaki A, Helmchen F, Empson RM, Knöpfel T, Boyden ES, Reid RC,

Carandini M, Zeng H. 2015. Transgenic Mice for Intersectional Targeting of Neural Sensors

and Effectors with High Specificity and Performance. *Neuron* **85**:942–958.

doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.02.022

Marr D. 1971. Simple Memory: A Theory for Archicortex. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci* **262**:23–

81. doi:10.1098/rstb.1971.0078

Marvin JS, Borghuis BG, Tian L, Cichon J, Harnett MT, Akerboom J, Gordus A, Renninger SL,

Chen T-W, Bargmann CI, Orger MB, Schreiter ER, Demb JB, Gan W-B, Hires SA, Looger

LL. 2013a. An optimized fluorescent probe for visualizing glutamate neurotransmission. *Nat*

Methods **10**:162–170. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2333

Marvin JS, Borghuis BG, Tian L, Cichon J, Harnett MT, Akerboom J, Gordus A, Renninger SL,

Chen T-W, Bargmann CI, Orger MB, Schreiter ER, Demb JB, Gan W-B, Hires SA, Looger

LL. 2013b. An optimized fluorescent probe for visualizing glutamate neurotransmission. *Nat*

Methods **10**:162–170. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2333

Massimini M, Huber R, Ferrarelli F, Hill S, Tononi G. 2004. The sleep slow oscillation as a

traveling wave. *J Neurosci* **24**:6862–6870. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1318-04.2004

McClelland JL, McNaughton BL, O'Reilly RC. 1995. Why there are complementary learning

systems in the hippocampus and neocortex: Insights from the successes and failures of

connectionist models of learning and memory. *Psychol Rev* **102**:419–457.

doi:10.1037/0033-295X.102.3.419

McNaughton BL. 2010. Cortical hierarchies, sleep, and the extraction of knowledge from

memory. *Artif Intell* **174**:205–214. doi:10.1016/J.ARTINT.2009.11.013

Mitra P, Bokil H. 2007. Observed Brain Dynamics. Oxford University Press.

doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195178081.001.0001

Mitra PP, Pesaran B. 1999. Analysis of Dynamic Brain Imaging Data. *Biophys J* **76**:691–708.

doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(99)77236-X

Mohajerani MH, Chan AW, Mohsenvand M, LeDue J, Liu R, McVea DA, Boyd JD, Wang YT,

Reimers M, Murphy TH. 2013a. Spontaneous cortical activity alternates between motifs

defined by regional axonal projections. *Nat Neurosci* **16**:1426–1435. doi:10.1038/nn.3499

Mohajerani MH, Chan AW, Mohsenvand M, LeDue J, Liu R, McVea DA, Boyd JD, Wang YT,

Reimers M, Murphy TH. 2013b. Spontaneous cortical activity alternates between motifs

defined by regional axonal projections. *Nat Neurosci* **16**:1426–1435. doi:10.1038/nn.3499

Mohajerani MH, McVea D a, Fingas M, Murphy TH. 2010. Mirrored bilateral slow-wave cortical

activity within local circuits revealed by fast bihemispheric voltage-sensitive dye imaging in

anesthetized and awake mice. *J Neurosci* **30**:3745–3751. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6437-

09.2010

Mohr Holger, Wolfensteller Uta, Betzel Richard F., Mišić B, Sporns Olaf, Richiardi J, Ruge

Hannes, Cole MW, Laurent P, Stocco A, Cole MW, Wolfensteller U., Ruge H., Bassett DS,

Yang M, Wymbs NF, Grafton ST, Sporns O., Sporns O., Betzel R. F., Bassett DS, Cocchi

L, Zalesky A, Fornito A, Mattingley JB, Cole MW, Bassett DS, Power JD, Braver TS,

Petersen SE, Bassett DS, Sporns O., Anticevic A, Ruge H., Wolfensteller U., Brovelli A,

Laksiri N, Nazarian B, Meunier M, Boussaoud D, Wise SP, Murray EA, Grol MJ, Lange FP

de, Verstraten FAJ, Passingham RE, Toni I, Mattfeld AT, Stark CEL, Toni I, Rowe J,

Stephan KE, Passingham RE, Hampshire A, Ruge H., Wolfensteller U., Dosenbach NUF,

Gordon EM, Power JD, Kelly AMC, McIntosh AR, Meiran N, Pereg M, Kessler Y, Cole MW,

Braver TS, Cole MW, Bagic A, Kass R, Schneider W, Gruber O, Cramon D von, Liang X,

Zou Q, He Y, Yang Y, Woolgar A, Afshar S, Williams MA, Rich AN, Chein JM, Schneider

W, Cohen JR, Gallen CL, Jacobs EG, Lee TG, D'Esposito M, Corbetta M, Patel G,

Shulman GL, Sadaghiani S, D'Esposito M, Sadaghiani S, Poline J-B, Kleinschmidt A,

D'Esposito M, Sestieri C, Corbetta M, Spadone S, Romani GL, Shulman GL, Bunge SA,

Hazeltine E, Scanlon MD, Rosen AC, Gabrieli JDE, Rushworth MFS, Paus T, Sipila PK,

Büchel C, Coull JT, Friston KJ, Wallis G, Stokes M, Cousijn H, Woolrich M, Nobre AC,

Dosenbach NUF, Fair DA, Cohen AL, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE, Brovelli A, Chicharro D,

Badier J-M, Wang H, Jirsa V, Hickok G, Houde J, Rong F, Rogalsky C, Fegen D,

Buchsbaum BR, D'Esposito M, Eichele T, Mason MF, Fornito A, Harrison BJ, Zalesky A,

Simons JS, Spreng RN, Stevens WD, Chamberlain JP, Gilmore AW, Schacter DL, Seeley

WW, Higo T, Mars RB, Boorman ED, Buch ER, Rushworth MFS, Menon V, Uddin LQ,

Sridharan D, Levitin DJ, Menon V, Bonnelle V, Jilka SR, Chen AC, Censor N, Sagi D,

Cohen LG, Filimon F, Nelson JD, Huang R-S, Sereno MI, Goodale MA, Cao H,

Kriegeskorte N, Simmons WK, Bellgowan PSF, Baker CI, Bassett DS, Gazzaniga MS, Faul

F, Erdfelder E, Lang A-G, Buchner A, Mohr H., Wolfensteller U., Frimmel S, Ruge H., Ruge

H., Wolfensteller U., Bright MG, Murphy K, Murphy K, Birn RM, Handwerker DA, Jones TB,

Bandettini PA, Braun U, Jones DT, Shirer WR, Ryali S, Rykhlevskaia E, Menon V, Greicius

MD, Xia M, Wang J, He Y. 2016. Integration and segregation of large-scale brain networks

during short-term task automatization. *Nat Commun* **7**:13217. doi:10.1038/ncomms13217

Mölle M, Yeshenko O, Marshall L, Sara SJ, Born J. 2006. Hippocampal sharp wave-ripples

linked to slow oscillations in rat slow-wave sleep. *J Neurophysiol* **96**:62–70.

doi:10.1152/jn.00014.2006

Nádasdy Z, Hirase H, Czurkó A, Csicsvari J, Buzsáki G. 1999. Replay and time compression of

recurring spike sequences in the hippocampus. *J Neurosci* **19**:9497–507.

doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.19-21-09497.1999

Niethard N, Ngo H-V V, Ehrlich I, Born J. 2018. Cortical circuit activity underlying sleep slow

oscillations and spindles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**:E9220–E9229.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1805517115

Pagliardini S, Funk GD, Dickson CT. 2013a. Breathing and brain state: Urethane anesthesia as

a model for natural sleep. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol* **188**:324–332.

doi:10.1016/j.resp.2013.05.035

Pagliardini S, Gosgnach S, Dickson CT. 2013b. Spontaneous Sleep-Like Brain State

Alternations and Breathing Characteristics in Urethane Anesthetized Mice. *PLoS One* **8**:1–

10. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070411

Pavlides C, Winson J. 1989. Influences of hippocampal place cell firing in the awake state on

the activity of these cells during subsequent sleep episodes. *J Neurosci* **9**:2907–18.

Peyrache A, Battaglia FP, Destexhe A. 2011. Inhibition recruitment in prefrontal cortex during

sleep spindles and gating of hippocampal inputs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**:17207–12.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1103612108

Peyrache A, Khamassi M, Benchenane K, Wiener SI, Battaglia FP. 2009. Replay of rule-

learning related neural patterns in the prefrontal cortex during sleep. *Nat Neurosci* **12**:919–

26. doi:10.1038/nn.2337

Qin Y-L, Mcnaughton BL, Skaggs WE, Barnes CA. 1997. Memory reprocessing in corticocortical

and hippocampocortical neuronal ensembles. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci* **352**:1525–

1533. doi:10.1098/rstb.1997.0139

Ramirez-Villegas JF, Logothetis NK, Besserve M. 2015. Diversity of sharp-wave-ripple LFP

signatures reveals differentiated brain-wide dynamical events. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1518257112

Rothschild G, Eban E, Frank LM. 2017. A cortical-hippocampal-cortical loop of information

processing during memory consolidation. *Nat Neurosci* **20**:251–259. doi:10.1038/nn.4457

Shen B, McNaughton BL. 1996. Modeling the spontaneous reactivation of experience-specific

hippocampal cell assembles during sleep. *Hippocampus* **6**:685–692.

doi:10.1002/(SICI)1098-1063(1996)6:6<685::AID-HIPO11>3.0.CO;2-X

Shoham D, Glaser DE, Arieli a, Kenet T, Wijnbergen C, Toledo Y, Hildesheim R, Grinvald a.

1999. Imaging cortical dynamics at high spatial and temporal resolution with novel blue

voltage-sensitive dyes. *Neuron* **24**:791–802. doi:S0896-6273(00)81027-2 [pii]

Siapas AG, Wilson M a. 1998. Coordinated interactions between hippocampal ripples and

cortical spindles during slow-wave sleep. *Neuron* **21**:1123–1128. doi:10.1016/S0896-

6273(00)80629-7

Sirota A, Csicsvari J, Buhl D, Buzsáki G. 2003. Communication between neocortex and

hippocampus during sleep in rodents. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**:2065–2069.

doi:10.1073/pnas.0437938100

Skaggs WE, McNaughton BL. 1996. Replay of neuronal firing sequences in rat hippocampus

during sleep following spatial experience. *Science* **271**:1870–3.

doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.271.5257.1870

Smith V, Mitchell DJ, Duncan J. 2018. Role of the Default Mode Network in Cognitive

Transitions. *Cereb Cortex* **28**:3685–3696. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhy167

Stafford JM, Jarrett BR, Miranda-Dominguez O, Mills BD, Cain N, Mihalas S, Lahvis GP, Lattal

KM, Mitchell SH, David S V., Fryer JD, Nigg JT, Fair D a. 2014. Large-scale topology and

the default mode network in the mouse connectome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **111**.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1404346111

Sugar J, Witter MP, van Strien NM, Cappaert NLM. 2011. The Retrosplenial Cortex: Intrinsic

Connectivity and Connections with the (Para)Hippocampal Region in the Rat. An

Interactive Connectome. *Front Neuroinform* **5**. doi:10.3389/fninf.2011.00007

Teyler TJ, DiScenna P. 1986. The hippocampal memory indexing theory. *Behav Neurosci*

100:147–154. doi:10.1037/0735-7044.100.2.147

Van Groen T, Wyss JM. 2003. Connections of the retrosplenial granular b cortex in the rat. *J*

Comp Neurol **463**:249–263. doi:10.1002/cne.10757

Wilber AA, Skelin I, Wu W, McNaughton BL. 2017. Laminar Organization of Encoding and

Memory Reactivation in the Parietal Cortex. *Neuron* **95**:1406-1419.e5.

doi:10.1016/J.NEURON.2017.08.033

Wilson MA, McNaughton BL. 1994. Reactivation of hippocampal ensemble memories during

sleep. *Science* **265**:676–9.

Winocur G, Moscovitch M. 2011. Memory Transformation and Systems Consolidation. *J Int*

Neuropsychol Soc **17**:766–780. doi:10.1017/S1355617711000683

Wolansky T, Clement E a, Peters SR, Palczak M a, Dickson CT. 2006. Hippocampal slow

oscillation: a novel EEG state and its coordination with ongoing neocortical activity. *J*

Neurosci **26**:6213–6229. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5594-05.2006

Wu X, Foster DJ. 2014. Hippocampal replay captures the unique topological structure of a novel

environment. *J Neurosci* **34**:6459–69. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3414-13.2014

Wyass JM, Van Groen T. 1992. Connections between the retrosplenial cortex and the

hippocampal formation in the rat: A review. *Hippocampus* **2**:1–11.

doi:10.1002/hipo.450020102

Xie Y, Chan AW, McGirr A, Xue S, Xiao D, Zeng H, Murphy TH. 2016a. Resolution of High-

Frequency Mesoscale Intracortical Maps Using the Genetically Encoded Glutamate Sensor

iGluSnFR. *J Neurosci* **36**:1261–72. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2744-15.2016

Xie Y, Chan AW, McGirr A, Xue S, Xiao D, Zeng H, Murphy TH. 2016b. Resolution of High-

Frequency Mesoscale Intracortical Maps Using the Genetically Encoded Glutamate Sensor

iGluSnFR. *J Neurosci* **36**:1261–72. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2744-15.2016

Yang G, Lai CSW, Cichon J, Ma L, Li W, Gan W-B. 2014. Sleep promotes branch-specific

formation of dendritic spines after learning. *Science* **344**:1173–8.

doi:10.1126/science.1249098

Yüzgeç Ö, Prsa M, Zimmermann R, Huber D. 2018a. Pupil Size Coupling to Cortical States

Protects the Stability of Deep Sleep via Parasympathetic Modulation. *Curr Biol* **28**:392-

400.e3. doi:10.1016/J.CUB.2017.12.049

Yüzgeç Ö, Prsa M, Zimmermann R, Huber D. 2018b. Pupil Size Coupling to Cortical States

Protects the Stability of Deep Sleep via Parasympathetic Modulation. *Curr Biol* **28**:392-

400.e3. doi:10.1016/J.CUB.2017.12.049

Zingg B, Hintiryan H, Gou L, Song MY, Bay M, Bienkowski MS, Foster NN, Yamashita S,

Bowman I, Toga AW, Dong HW. 2014. Neural networks of the mouse neocortex. *Cell*

156:1096–1111. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.02.023

Figure 1

Figure 2

A mean hippocampal ripple power around neocortical peak voltage activity

mean zscored ripple power

-1.0 s 2 mm -0.9 s -0.8 s -0.7 s -0.6 s -0.5 s -0.4 s
-0.3 s -0.2 s -0.1 s 0 s 0.1 s 0.2 s 0.3 s
0.4 s 0.5 s 0.6 s 0.7 s 0.8 s 0.9 s 1.0 s

B *i.*

ii.

RSC
V1
FLS1

mean zscored ripple power

time (s)

C *i.*

hippocampal ripple power (%)

time

ii.

ripple power amplitude (a.u.)

n = 14

visual medial auditory somato-motor

Figure 3

A

i.

mean zscored peri-SWR ΔF/F₀

time relative to SWR centers

t_p

ii.

activation peak time (t_p in ms)

- VSD - urethane
- iGluSnFR - urethane
- iGluSnFR - head-restrained sleep

RSC AC/M2 V2M PtA M1 Aud V1 V2L BC

iii. scaled grand mean peak time

0   1

2 mm

B

i.

- direction of propagation at SWR center timestamps
- direction of propagation at random timestamps

RSC   AC/M2   V2M

p = 0.05   p = n.s.   p = 0.002

A
L + R
P

90   90   90

180   0   180   0   180   0

0.25   0.25   0.25

0.5   0.5   0.5

270   270   270

ii.

2 mm

Figure 4

Figure 5

A

i.

HPC QR1 (<Q1)   HPC QR2-3   HPC QR4 (>Q3)

Q1   Q3

probability (%)

HPC MUA AI

-0.8   -0.4   0   0.4   0.8

ii.

proportion (%) of RSC and HPC MUA AI values with same sign

n = 14

iii.

R=0.300062
P < 10⁻¹⁵

RSC AI

0.8

-0.8

HPC MUA AI

-0.8   0   0.8

iv.

HPC QR1
HPC QR4

mean peri-SWR RSC ΔF/F₀

0.5 a.u.

time relative to SWR centers (s)

-1   0   1

v.

HPC QR1
HPC QR4

mean peri-SWR MUA

5 db

time relative to SWR centers (s)

-0.5   0   0.5

B

mean z-scored ΔF/F₀

-0.2   0   0.8

mean peri-SWR neocortical voltage activity across HPC QRs

HPC QR1

HPC QR2-3   A L+R P

HPC QR4   2 mm

-0.50 s -0.45 s -0.40 s -0.35 s -0.30 s -0.25 s -0.20 s -0.15 s -0.10 s -0.05 s 0 s 0.05 s 0.10 s 0.15 s 0.20 s 0.25 s 0.30 s 0.35 s 0.40 s 0.45 s 0.50 s

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 1. Experimental protocol for investigating dynamics of neocortical-hippocampal interactions during sleep**. (A)** Schematic of a cranial window for wide-field optical imaging of neocortical activity using voltage or glutamate probes. The voltage or glutamate signal was recorded from dorsal surface of the right neocortical hemisphere, containing the specified regions. The red dashed line marks the boundary of a typical cranial window. The abbreviations denote the following cortices AC/M2: anterior cingulate/secondary Motor, RS: retrosplenial, M1: primary motor, HLS1: hindlimb primary somatosensory, PtA: posterior parietal, V2M: secondary medial visual, FLS1: forelimb primary somatosensory, TrS1: trunk primary somatosensory, ShNcS1: shoulder/neck primary somatosensory, V1: primary visual, ULpS1: lip primary somatosensory, BCS1: primary barrel, V2L: secondary lateral visual, S2: secondary somatosensory, A1: primary auditory. (**B**) Schematic of the experimental setup for simultaneous electrophysiology and wide-field optical imaging. A CCD camera detects reflected light coming from fluorescent indicators, in the superficial neocortical layers, which are excited by the red or blue LEDs. An additional infra-red camera recorded pupil diameter (not shown). Hippocampal LFP recordings were conducted for SWR and MUA detection. A neocortical LFP recording was also acquired to compare imaging and electrophysiological signals. **(C)** (i) Photomicrograph of the wide unilateral craniotomy with bregma indicated by a white circle in each image. Compass arrows indicate anterior (A), posterior (P), medial (M) and lateral (L) directions. White arrow indicates neocortical LFP electrode position. (ii) Exemplar voltage signal recorded from a region in the M1 indicated by a magenta square in Ci, aligned with neocortical and hippocampal LFPs, and a hippocampal trace filtered in the ripple band. Asterisks indicate detected SWRs. **(D)** Schematic figure demonstrating the peri-SWR averaging of neocortical activity. (i) Ripple band filtered LFP trace displaying three example SWRs (top row) and hippocampal multi-unit activity (MUA) trace (middle row), temporally aligned with concurrently recorded neocortical voltage activity (bottom row). (ii) For each detected SWR, corresponding neocortical imaging frames

(left rows) and MUA traces (right rows) are aligned with respect to SWR centers and then averaged (bottom rows). **(E)** Demonstration of how peri-neocortical-peak-activation average ripple power was calculated. (i) The red trace is the voltage signal from the indicated region of interest (red square) shown in the image on the left. The black trace is the temporally aligned hippocampal ripple power time series. Blue dashed lines are the timestamps of three detected peak activations in the indicated neocortical region. (ii) For each detected peak activation, ripple power traces were aligned and averaged. This figure has two figure supplements.

Figure 2. Patterns of activity in neocortical regions are differentially modulated around hippocampal SWRs**. (A)** Representative montage of mean peri-SWR neocortical activity measured using (i) voltage-sensitive dye and (ii) glutamate-sensing fluorescent reporter iGluSnFR under urethane anesthesia and head-restrained natural sleep, respectively. 0s-time indicates SWR centers. Images have been z-scored and scaled to the depicted color bars. **(B)** (i-iv) Example traces showing voltage or iGluSnFR signals from selected regions in (i) and (iii). Plots are the average of optical signals measured from 3×3 pixel boxes (~0.04 mm^2) placed within retrosplenial (blue), visual (black), and forelimb somatosensory (magenta) cortices. The thickness of the shading around each plot indicates SEM. 0 s-time indicates SWR centers. **(C)** (i) Four major structurally defined neocortical subnetworks (medial, visual, auditory and somato-motor). (ii) Demonstration of how the activation amplitudes were quantified. The activation amplitude was defined as the mean of the signal across full-width at half maximum (t_1 to t_2). (iii) Grand average (n = 14 animals) of activation amplitudes across neocortical subnetworks, sorted in decreasing order. Each data point is the average of activation amplitudes of all regions in a given subnetwork and in a given animal. (one-sided paired t-test; medial versus visual $t_{14} = 0.18$, p = 0.015; medial versus auditory $t_{14} = 0.496$, p = 7.517×10^{-6}; medial versus somato-motor $t_{14} = 0.732$, p = 1.038×10^{-7}) **(D)** (i-ii) Same measurements as in C, but for neocortical deactivations preceding SWRs. Note that the deactivation peaks were rectified for group comparison in (ii). A higher value of

deactivation amplitude indicates stronger deactivation. Bar graphs indicate mean ± SEM. (one-sided paired t-test; medial versus visual t_{14} = -0.09, p = 0.877; medial versus auditory t_{14} = 0.268, p = 0.0016; medial versus somato-motor t_{14} = 0.39, p = 7.57×10^{-5}). This figure has three figure supplements.

Figure 3. Ripple power is distinctively correlated with peak activity in different neocortical subnetworks. **(A)** Representative montage (50 ms intervals) showing spatiotemporal pattern of mean hippocampal ripple power fluctuations around the peak of neocortical activations. 0s-time indicates peak activation time in each neocortical pixel. Color bar represents the mean z-scored ripple power associated with peak activation in a given neocortical pixel. **(B)** (i-ii) A representative frame showing the spatial distribution of ripple power at neocortical peak activation time. Colored squares represent three regions of interest (retrosplenial, visual, and forelimb somatosensory cortices in blue, black and magenta, respectively) for which their associated ripple power traces are displayed in (ii). **(C)** (i) Illustration of how the ripple power amplitude was quantified. Ripple power amplitude was defined as the mean ripple power signal across full-width at half maximum (t_1 to t_2). (ii) Grand average (n = 14 animals) of mean hippocampal ripple power amplitudes across neocortical subnetworks shown in Fig. 2Ci, sorted in decreasing order. Each data point is the average of hippocampal ripple power amplitudes associated with all the regions in a given subnetwork and in a given animal. Bar graphs indicate mean ± SEM. (one-sided paired t-test; medial versus visual t_{14} = -0.018, p = 0.594; medial versus auditory t_{14} = 0.201, p = 0.0087; medial versus somato-motor t_{14} = 0.406, p = 5.324×10^{-5})

Figure 4: Neocortex tends to sequentially activate from medial to more lateral regions around SWRs. **(A)** (i) Demonstration of how peri-SWR neocortical activation peak time (t_p) was quantified. The mean peri-SWR neocortical activity trace was generated for each region (blue trace) and the timestamp of the peak was defined as t_p. (ii) Peri-SWR activation peak timestamp

(t_p) relative to SWR centers (0s-time) across neocortical regions sorted in an ascending order. Each line graph represents one animal. t_p values were occasionally not detected in some regions and in some animals, mainly because there was not a strong activation in those regions. Such missing data points were filled by average of available data points in the same region and in other animals. (iii) Spatial map of peri-SWR activation peak time across all animals (n = 14) indicating a medial-to-lateral direction of activation. The red area was not included in this analysis because it was not activated strong enough to yield a reliable result. **(B)** (i) Circular distributions represent the direction of propagating waves of activity in three distinct neocortical regions at hippocampal SWR (blue distribution) and at random timestamps generated by shuffling inter-SWR time intervals (red distribution). 180-0 and 90-270 degrees represent the medio-lateral and antero-posterior axes, respectively. P-values come from Kuiper two-sample test. (ii) Schematic of propagation directions measured at SWR timestamps in three neocortical regions located in the medial neocortex. This figure has one figure supplement.

Figure 5. Neocortical activation latency relative to SWRs spans a wide spectrum of negative to positive values. **(A)** (i) Schematic of Asymmetry Index (AI) calculation. In this figure, AI was calculated for individual peri-SWR retrosplenial cortex (RSC) traces and called RSC AI. RSC AI values were used to quantify the latency of neocortical activation relative to SWR timestamps. (ii) Proportion of negative RSC AI values across animals (n=14). Magenta dashed line indicates the chance level (50%). 55% (median, indicated by red line) of peri-SWR RSC activity across animals have negative AI, meaning that on average, neocortical tendency to activate prior to hippocampal SWRs is greater than chance (n = 14; one-sided one-sample Wilcoxon signed-rank test; median is greater than 0.5 with p = 1.831×10^{-4}). (iii) Representative peri-SWR RSC activity sorted by AI calculated for each individual peri-SWR RSC trace. Color bar represents z-scored iGluSnFr signal. The black trace shows the mean peri-SWR RSC iGluSnFr signal. Note that the chance of neocortical activation preceding SWRs is higher than following them. **(B)** (i) Distribution of RSC

AI values for a representative animal. Dashed lines indicate the first (Q_1) and third quartiles (Q_3). The SWRs for which the associated RSC AI values are less and greater than Q_1 and Q_3 are called RSC QR1 and QR4, respectively. RSC QR2-3 consists of all other SWRs. (ii) Example plots of mean peri-SWR RSC iGluSnFr signal associated with RSC QR1 (blue) and QR4 (red). The thickness of the shading around each plot indicates SEM. Note that the activity associated with RSC QR1 and QR4 peak before and after SWR centers, respectively. (iii) Time course of exemplar mean peri-SWR hippocampal MUA associated with SWRs in RSC QR1 (blue) and RSC QR4 (red). Notice that both hippocampal MUA activity and RSC iGluSnFr activity are negatively (negative AI) and positively (positive AI) skewed for RSC QR1 and QR4, respectively. (iv) Distributions of hippocampal MUA AI values for SWRs in RSC QR1 (blue) and QR4 (red) in a representative animal. The vertical line represents the median of each distribution. (v) Summary of median values calculated in (iv) across all animals (n = 14, one-sided paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test; RSC QR1 versus RSC QR4 p = $6.103×10^{-5}$). This figure has one figure supplement.

Figure 6. Skewness of peri-SWR hippocampal MUA informs neocortical activation latency relative to SWRs. **(A)** (i) Distribution of hippocampal MUA AI values for a representative animal. Dashed lines (Q_1 and Q_3) indicate the first and third quartiles. The SWRs for which the associated hippocampal MUA AI values are less than Q_1 and greater than Q_3 are called HPC QR1 and QR4, respectively. HPC QR2-3 consists of all other SWRs. (ii) Distribution of proportion of SWRs in each animal for which the sign of both RSC and hippocampal MUA AI values match, as an indication of how well hippocampal MUA can inform whether RSC activity precedes or follows SWRs. The horizontal red line indicates the median of all proportion values in n = 14 animals. (iii) Correlation between the RSC and hippocampal MUA AI values pooled across all animals (14 animals) is low but significant (n = 11725 SWRs across all animals; two-sided t-test p < 10^{-15}). (iv) Example plots of mean peri-SWR RSC glutamate activity associated with HPC QR1 (blue) and QR4 (red). (v) Time course of exemplar mean peri-SWR HPC MUA

traces associated with SWRs in HPC QR1 (blue) and Q4 (red). Notice that both HPC MUA

activity (v) and RSC glutamate activity (iv) are negatively skewed (negative AI) for HPC QR1,

and the converse is true for HPC QR4. **(B)** Mean neocortical voltage activity centered on SWR

centers associated with HPC QR1, QR2-3, and QR4 in a representative animal. Note the

relative temporal shift in activity across three quartile ranges. This figure has one figure

supplement.

Figure 7. Occurrence of single/isolated ripples versus ripple bundles correlates with whether

RSC activation precedes or follows hippocampus. **(A)** Example raw hippocampal LFP signal

(top row), ripple-band filtered signal (middle row), and mean peri-SWR spectrogram (bottom

row) displaying (i) single/isolated and (ii) bundled ripples. **(B)** Distribution of HPC MUA (i) and

RSC (ii) AI values calculated for single/isolated (blue) and bundled (red) ripples in a

representative animal. The blue and red vertical lines represent the means of blue and red

distributions, respectively. As expected, the red distribution is shifted to right with respect to the

blue one. (iii-iv) Comparison of HPC MUA (iii) and RSC (iv) AI mean values for single/isolated

and bundled ripples (n = 14; one-sided paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test; in iii p = 6.103×10^{-5}; in

iv p = 6.103×10^{-5}). The red plus signs represent the data points outside the interval (centered on

median value) which includes 99.3 percent of all data points. Red horizontal lines represent the

medians. **(C-D)** Summary data from n = 14 mice representing the proportion of single/isolated

versus bundled ripples fell in RSC (C) and HPC (D) QR1 (i) and QR4 (ii). Note that there is a

higher chance for single/isolated ripples to lie in RSC or HPC QR1 and higher chance for

bundled ripples to fall in RSC or HPC QR4 (one-sided paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test; in C p

= 1.221×10^{-4}; in D p = 6.103×10^{-5}). **(E)** (i-ii) Representative montages of mean neocortical

voltage activity centered on single/isolated ripples (i) and the first ripple in bundled ripples (ii).

Notice that neocortex stays active longer and peaks later around bundled versus single/isolated

ripples. Moreover, there is strong neocortical deactivation preceding bundled ripples. This figure has one figure supplement.

i.

a b c d e f g h

ii.

a b c d

e f g h

1 mm

iii.

1 mm

Figure 1 - figure supplement 1

Figure 1 - figure supplement 2

Figure 2 - figure supplement 1

Figure 2 - figure supplement 2

Figure 2 - figure supplement 3

Figure 4 - figure supplement 1

Figure 5 - figure supplement 1

Figure 6 - figure supplement 1

Figure 7 - figure supplement 1

Figure 1 – figure supplement 1. Expression level of iGluSnFR and electrode localization

(i) Schematic diagram representing the location of coronal sections presented here. (ii) Coronal sections showing the expression of iGluSnFR in neocortex and hippocampus in EMX-CaMKII-Ai85 mouse. (iii) Coronal sections of brain of a representative animal, stained with Nissl, showing the location of tip of the hippocampal LFP electrode in pyramidal layer of the CA1 subfield of the hippocampus. The sections are ordered from anterior to posterior from left to right.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 2. Physiological signatures of different brain states in head-restrained mouse. (**A**) Representative traces of local field potentials (LFP) and electromyography (EMG) during waking, NREM and REM sleep. From top to bottom: raw EMG signal, EMG power measured at 30 - 1000 HZ, pupil diameter, hippocampal ripple power, theta-to-delta band power ratio trace calculated from hippocampal LFP signal, and hypnogram. In the hypnogram, REM, NREM, and awake represent states of animals i.e. REM sleep, NREM sleep, and wake periods, respectively, which were scored from EMG traces and hippocampal LFPs theta-to-delta band ratio. The EMG raw signal values are clipped for visualization purposes but the true values were used for scoring the sleep/awake period. (**B**) Histograms in (i) and (ii) show the distribution of EMG power and z-scored pupil diameter in a representative recording session across waking, NREM and REM sleep. Note the segregation of histograms across brain states. (iii) Exemplar hippocampal LFP power spectral density calculated for the same three states in a representative recording session. (iv) Representative normalized cross-covariance between EMG power and pupil diameter signals, showing a strong correlation at zero lag.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 1. Characteristics of SWRs recorded in urethane anesthesia, head-restrained and unrestrained naturally sleeping mice. (**A**) (i) Median peak spectral frequency of hippocampal SWRs recorded under different experimental conditions:

urethane anesthesia, head-restrained sleep, and unrestrained sleep. C57BL/6 mice were used in experiments in which the animals were either anesthetized with urethane for VSD imaging, or unrestrained and naturally sleeping. EMX-CaMKII-Ai85 mice were imaged during head-restrained sleep or under urethane anesthesia. Each data point is the median value in a given animal. SWRs detected under urethane anesthesia tend to have slower peak frequencies. The red plus signs represent the data points outside the interval (centered on median value) which includes 99.3 percent of all data points. (ii) Similar to (i) but the histograms here is showing the distribution of peak spectral frequency of hippocampal SWRs pooled across all animals and across experimental conditions. (**B-C**) (i,ii) similar to A, duration (width) and inter-SWR time intervals of hippocampal SWRs are represented under different experimental conditions. Note that the SWRs detected under urethane anesthesia tend to have shorter durations. (**D**) The same as C (ii) but the histograms are plotted in logarithmic scale.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 2. Mean peri-SWR neocortical activity under urethane anesthesia and natural sleep have a similar spatiotemporal profile which does not depend on SWR detection threshold value. (**A**) Representative mean peri-SWR neocortical activity (0-time indicates SWR centers) recorded in urethane-anesthetized EMX-CaMKII-Ai85 mice. This animal was also recorded under natural sleep (see Figure 2A ii). Mean peri-SWR neocortical activity patterns during head-restrained sleep are similar to spatiotemporal patterns of neocortical deactivation and activation around SWR times obtained under urethane-anesthesia. (**B**) Plots are the average of the iGluSnFr signals measured from 3 × 3 pixel boxes (~0.04 mm^2) placed within retrosplenial (blue trace), visual (black trace), and forelimb somatosensory (magenta trace) cortices. The thickness of the shading around each plot indicates SEM. Time-series representation of peri-SWR neocortical activity allows for better visualization of temporal differences in activation of different regions. (**C**) Peri-SWR activation (i) and deactivation (ii) amplitudes across distinct neocortical regions, sorted in decreasing order.

Each piece-wise linear trace is associated with a particular animal (n = 14 mice). These data were used to generate bar graphs presented in Figure 2C iii and 2D ii by averaging the data points across regions in a given neocortical structural subnetwork. The color code represents different recording conditions. (**D**) Quantification of similarities between the trends of activation (i) and deactivation (ii) amplitudes across neocortical regions under urethane anesthesia and natural sleep. The box plot shows the distribution of correlation coefficients between all possible pairs of traces associated with natural sleep (green lines in C) and urethane anesthesia (blue and black lines in C). Horizontal red lines represent the median of each distribution. As measured by correlation coefficients, there is no significant difference between both activation and deactivation amplitude trends under urethane anesthesia and natural sleep. Therefore, we decided to pool the urethane and natural sleep data in this paper. The red plus signs represent the data points outside the interval (centered on median value) which includes 99.3 percent of all data points. (**E**) (i) Peri-SWR activation amplitudes across neocortical regions, sorted in the descending order. Each piece-wise linear curve connecting the activation amplitudes of neocortical regions is associated with a particular animal (n = 14). This data was generated using a higher SWR detection threshold (mean plus 3 (VSD) or 4 (iGluSnFR) times standard deviation of the ripple power signal) compared to that used to generate data presented in Figure 2 and Figure 2 – figure supplement 2 (mean plus 2 (VSD) or 3 (iGluSnFR) times standard deviation of the ripple power signal). (ii) Quantification of similarities between trends of peri-SWR activation amplitudes across neocortical regions under urethane anesthesia and natural sleep. The box plot shows the distribution of correlation coefficients between all possible pairs of traces associated with natural sleep (green lines in i) and urethane anesthesia (blue and black lines in i). The Horizontal red lines represent the median of each distribution. As measured by correlation coefficients, there is no significant difference between peri-SWR activation amplitude trends under urethane anesthesia and natural sleep. (iii) Grand average (n = 14 animals) of peri-SWR activation amplitudes across neocortical subnetworks, sorted in decreasing activation

amplitude. Each data point is the average of the activation amplitudes of all regions in a given subnetwork. (**F**) The same as in E but for peri-SWR deactivation amplitudes.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 3. Differential modulation of neocortical activity, measured by LFP, around SWRs. (**A**) Schematic of electrophysiological recordings in naturally sleeping mice. (**B**) Example traces of mean peri-SWR neocortical LFP signals obtained during sleep. The neocortical LFP activity were filtered in delta band (0.1 - 4 Hz) across four neocortical regions including retrosplenial cortex (RCS), Primary and secondary motor cortex (M1 & M2), and upper lip somatosensory cortex (ULpS1). LFP filtered signal was z-scored with respect to a distribution of traces for each region, triggered around random timestamps generated by shuffling inter-SWR intervals. RSC, on average, shows the largest negative deflection (activation) and earliest onset around ripples times (0s). (**C**) (i) Group average (n = 4 animals) of delta-band peak power across neocortical regions, sorted in descending order. Z-scored delta power is reported in logarithmic scale (decibels, db). RSC shows the largest delta power across all regions and animals. (ii) Grouping the data from four neocortical regions into neocortical subnetworks, defined in Figure 2C i, shows that medial subnetwork compared to the somatomotor subnetwork receive stronger modulation around hippocampal SWRs ($p < 0.01$, one-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test).

Figure 4 – figure supplement 1. Neocortex tends to activate sequentially from medial to more lateral regions around SWRs. (**A**) (i) Demonstration of how peri-SWR neocortical activation rise time was measured. Rise time was calculated as the time which takes for the signal to reach to 90% of the peak value starting form 10%. (ii) Summary of the mean peri-SWR activation rise time across neocortical regions from n=12-14 mice, imaged during states of urethane anesthesia (blue and black dots) and head-restrained sleep (green dots). There is no significant difference in activation rise time across neocortical regions meaning that all imaged

neocortical regions activate with the same rate. It suggests that activation peak time can reflect the activation time of a given region. The bar graphs are mean ± SEM. (**B**) Schematic representation of vertical stripes splitting the recorded neocortical regions from medial to lateral into four groups. (ii) There is a significant correlation (r = 0.429, p <0.01, two-sided t-test) between stripe number and peak activation timestamp (t_p) indicating that the more lateral regions activate later than medial ones relative to hippocampal SWRs. Dots represent the average t_p across regions in each stripe and for each animal. (**C**) Circular distributions represent the direction of neocortical propagating waves of activation in a given region at hippocampal SWR timestamps (blue distribution) and at random timestamps generated by shuffling inter-SWR time intervals (red distribution). 180-0 degrees represent the medio-lateral and 90-270 degrees represent the antero-posterior axes (p-values are calculated based on Kuiper two-sample test).

Figure 5 – figure supplement 1. Asymmetry Index is a more robust measure of activation latency compared to peak activation timestamp. (**A**) Peri-SWR RSC activity for individual SWRs sorted by peak timestamps detected in (-200 ms, 200 ms) interval. Peak timestamps were calculated for each individual peri-SWR RSC trace. Color bar represents z-scored iGluSnFR signal. The black trace shows the mean peri-SWR RSC iGluSnFR trace. (**B**) (i-iii) Asymmetry index (AI), calculated for three representative traces of peri-SWR RSC activity patterns, is shown to demonstrate that the peak time may not accurately reflect the timing of activation relative to SWRs. In these examples, the peak timestamp (magenta lines) for the first two and for the third examples occur before and after the SWR time (0 s), respectively, suggesting that the corresponding SWRs have occurred in the late and early phases of RSC activation, respectively. However, the RSC AI values for these three traces do not match with this conclusion. Instead, the RSC AI values suggest that their corresponding SWRs have occurred around the middle phase of RSC activation for the first (AI = 0.0385) and third (AI = 0.0262) time traces, because their corresponding AI values are close to zero, and at the early phase of RSC activation for the

second (AI = 0.1067) time trace, because its corresponding AI value is positive and away from zero.

Figure 6 – figure supplement 1. Whether retrosplenial cortex activation precedes or follows SWRs is correlated by skewness peri-SWR hippocampal MUA. (**A**) Representative mean peri-SWR RSC activity (red traces) and hippocampal MUA (blue traces) calculated for hippocampal SWRs in HPC QR1, QR2-3, and QR4 defined in Figure 6A i. Notice that skewness of RSC activity and hippocampal MUA matches in each plot. (**B**) (i-ii) Group data (n = 14) representing the peak timestamps (i) and AI values (ii) calculated from mean peri-SWR RSC traces (red traces in panel A). Both graphs show that mean peri-SWR activation tend to precede and follow the SWRs in HPC QR1 and QR4, respectively. Bar graphs represent mean ± SEM.; **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, one-sided paired t-test.

Figure 7 – figure supplement 1. Distinct latency, strength, and duration of neocortical modulation around single/isolated versus bundled ripples. (**A**) (i-ii) Peri-SWR RSC activity for individual single/isolated (i) and bundled (ii) SWRs sorted by RSC asymmetry index. Asymmetry indices were calculated for each individual peri-SWR RSC trace. Color bar represents z-scored iGluSnFR signal. The black trace shows the mean peri-SWR RSC iGluSnFR trace. The peri-SWR RSC traces in bundled ripples are wider and cover longer post-ripple time durations, demonstrating the reason why the distribution of RSC Asymmetry Index (AI) values for bundled ripples tends to have larger median values compared to single/isolated ripples. (**B**) Schematic of a cranial window for optical imaging of neocortical voltage or glutamate activity. The voltage or glutamate activity was recorded from the dorsal surface of the right neocortical hemisphere, containing the specified regions. The abbreviations denote the following cortices AC/M2: anterior cingulate/secondary Motor, RS: retrosplenial, M1: primary motor, HLS1: hindlimb primary somatosensory, PtA: posterior parietal, V2M: secondary medial

visual, FLS1: forelimb primary somatosensory, TrS1: trunk primary somatosensory, ShNcS1: shoulder/neck primary somatosensory, V1: primary visual, ULpS1: lip primary somatosensory, BCS1: primary barrel, V2L: secondary lateral visual, S2: secondary somatosensory, A1: primary auditory. (**C-D**) Neocortical activation (C) and deactivation (D) peak timestamps (i), activation amplitudes (ii), and durations (iii) across neocortical regions around single/isolated versus bundled ripples. Such deactivations always precede SWRs. Notice that the neocortical activation and deactivation peak timestamp is significantly larger around bundled compared to isolated ripples in all (almost all for deactivation) neocortical regions. Moreover, significant difference in activation and deactivation amplitudes around isolated versus bundled ripples is observed in all neocortical subnetworks (except the medial one for activation). The duration of neocortical activation is longer in all neocortical subnetworks around bundled compared to single/isolated ripples while that of deactivation is longer only in the medial subnetwork ($*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$, $***p<0.001$, n.s. $p > 0.05$, paired t-test; n=13-14 mice). All bar graphs represent mean ± SEM.

Supplementary movie captions

Movie S1. Related to Figure 1. Spontaneous neocortical voltage activity with concurrently recorded hippocampal LFP and ripple activity. Example of neocortical spontaneous voltage activity captured by voltage-sensitive dye imaging under urethane anesthesia and filtered in 0.5-6 Hz band. The blue traces are concurrently recorded hippocampal local field potential filtered in two frequency bands: 0.1 – 1000 Hz (middle) and 100-250 Hz (bottom).

Movie S2. Related to Figure 1. Wake/sleep scoring. Example of the physiological signals used for wake/sleep scoring recorded during a transition from awake state to NREM and then REM sleep. Notice the lack of any movement during NREM and the facial muscle twitches during REM sleep.

Movie S3. Related to Figure 2. Mean peri-SWR voltage activity under urethane anesthesia. Example of mean peri-SWR voltage activity captured by voltage-sensitive dye imaging under urethane anesthesia. The voltage signal is filtered in 0.5-6 Hz band. The blue trace represents the mean peri-SWR hippocampal LFP.

Movie S4. Related to Figure 2. Mean peri-SWR iGluSnFR activity during head-restrained sleep anesthesia. Example of mean peri-SWR extracellular glutamate activity captured by iGluSnFR imaging during head-restrained sleep. The iGluSnFR signal is filtered in 0.5-6 Hz band. The blue trace represents the mean peri-SWR hippocampal LFP.

Movie S5. Related to Figure 2. Mean peri-SWR iGluSnFR activity under urethane anesthesia. Example of mean peri-SWR extracellular glutamate activity captured by iGluSnFR imaging under urethane anesthesia. The iGluSnFR signal is filtered in 0.5-6 Hz band. The blue trace represents the mean peri-SWR hippocampal LFP.

Movie S6. Related to Figure 7. Mean peri-single-SWR versus peri-bundled-SWR voltage activity under urethane anesthesia. Example of mean peri-SWR voltage activity around single/isolated versus bundled SWRs. The spectrograms represent the mean frequency content of peri-SWR hippocampal LFP around single/isolated versus bundled SWRs.