Weak Acid Sensitive A, a novel transcription factor required for regulation of weak-acid

resistance and spore-spore heterogeneity in *Aspergillus niger*

Ivey A. Geoghegan[a], Malcolm Stratford[a], Mike Bromley[b], David B. Archer[a],

and Simon V. Avery[a,#]

[a]School of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD,

UK

[b]Division of Infection, Immunity & Respiratory Medicine, School of Biological Sciences,

University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9NT, UK

Running title: Weak acid resistance mechanisms in *A. niger* conidia

Word count (main text, excl. legends, references and methods): 4241

Word count (Abstract): 234

[#]Address correspondence to: Simon.Avery@nottingham.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Propionic, sorbic and benzoic acids are organic weak acids that are widely used as food preservatives, where they play a critical role in preventing microbial growth. In this study, we uncovered new mechanisms of weak acid resistance in moulds. By screening a library of 401 transcription-factor deletion strains in *Aspergillus fumigatus* for sorbic acid hypersensitivity, a previously uncharacterised transcription factor was identified, and named as WasA (Weak Acid Sensitive A). The orthologous gene in the spoilage mould *Aspergillus niger* was identified and deleted. WasA was required for resistance to a range of weak acids, including sorbic, propionic and benzoic acids. A transcriptomic analysis was performed to characterise genes regulated by WasA during sorbic acid treatment in *A. niger*. Several genes were significantly upregulated in the wild type compared with a Δ*wasA* mutant, including genes encoding putative weak acid detoxification enzymes and transporter proteins. Among these was An14g03570, a putative ABC-type transporter which we found to be required for weak acid resistance in *A. niger*. We also show that An14g03570 is a functional homologue of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* protein Pdr12p. Lastly, resistance to sorbic acid was found to be highly heterogeneous within genetically-uniform populations of ungerminated *A. niger* conidia, and we demonstrate that An14g03570 is a determinant of this heteroresistance. This study has identified novel mechanisms of weak acid resistance in *A. niger* which could help to inform and improve future food spoilage prevention strategies.

IMPORTANCE

Weak acids are widely used as food preservatives, as they are very effective at preventing growth of most species of bacteria and fungi. However, some species of moulds can survive

and grow in the concentrations of weak acid employed in food and drink products, thereby

causing spoilage with resultant risks for food security and health. Current knowledge of weak

acid resistance mechanisms in these fungi is limited, especially in comparison to that in yeasts.

We characterised gene functions in the spoilage mould species *Aspergillus niger* which are

important for survival and growth in the presence of weak acid preservatives. Such

identification of weak acid resistance mechanisms in spoilage moulds will help to design new

strategies to reduce food spoilage in the future.

INTRODUCTION

Microbiological spoilage of food and drinks is a serious threat to food security and human health. It is estimated that 25% of global food produced annually is lost due to contamination and degradation by microorganisms (1). Such spoilage also directly imperils human health, for example due to the production of toxins by the microorganism. Preventing microbial spoilage is therefore a key to safeguarding food supply and safety. The use of chemical food preservatives to inhibit growth of bacteria and fungi is a ubiquitous and generally effective strategy in reducing spoilage (2). Some of the most commonly used preservatives are weak organic acids such as propionic, sorbic and benzoic acids. These are usually included in food and drink products in the form of calcium, potassium and sodium salts, respectively.

Weak acid preservatives are broad-spectrum antimicrobials that directly inhibit the growth of yeasts, moulds and bacteria. Although their precise mechanism of action has not yet been fully determined, it is known that weak acid preservatives cause a reduction of cytoplasmic pH (3, 4), and inhibit nutrient uptake (5, 6). It is also known that weak acids tend to be fungistatic rather than fungicidal, especially at the levels legally permitted in food and drinks. In most cases, microbial growth is completely inhibited by the weak acids levels used in food and drink products. However, certain species of yeasts and moulds demonstrate elevated resistance to weak acids, and are therefore capable of causing food spoilage (7, 8).

Weak acid resistance can be attributed in part to the enzymatic degradation of certain acids (e.g., benzoic, sorbic and cinnamic acids), which nullifies their antimicrobial effects. Benzoate can be catabolized through a pathway involving an initial hydroxylation step. The enzyme responsible (benzoate *para*-hydroxylase) has been found to be required for resistance to benzoic acid in *Aspergillus niger* and *A. nidulans* (9, 10). Sorbic and cinnamic

acids (and certain other structurally related acids) are degraded by decarboxylation (11). In

moulds such as *A. niger*, a cluster of three genes is required for this process, encoding a

transcription factor (SdrA), a 4-hydroxybenzoate decarboxylase (OhbA1) and a phenylacrylic

acid decarboxylase (PadA1) (12-14). Deletion of any of these genes reduces, but does not

eliminate, resistance to sorbic acid. Thus, additional and as-yet uncharacterized mechanisms

of weak acid resistance must operate in this mould species. Enzymatic decarboxylation of

weak acids also occurs in numerous yeast species (15). However, contrary to the case in

moulds, deletion of the phenylacrylic acid decarboxylase gene (*PAD1*) in the yeast *S. cerevisiae*

does not decrease weak acid resistance (15). Furthermore, certain spoilage yeasts do not

appear to decarboxylate weak acids at all, suggesting that alternative mechanisms of

resistance also operate in these species.

Mechanisms of weak acid resistance have been best characterized in *S. cerevisiae*. One

of the key genes required for resistance is *PDR12*, encoding an ATP-Binding Cassette (ABC)

transporter (16). *PDR12* is required for resistance to carboxylic acids with chain lengths

between 1 and 7, proposedly by mediating the efflux of weak acid anions from the cell in an

energy-dependent manner (17). *PDR12* is itself transcriptionally regulated by War1p, a

Zn2Cys6 zinc finger transcription factor that binds to weak acid response elements (WARE) in

the *PDR12* promoter (18). Another transcription factor, Haa1p, is also required for resistance

to weak acids in *S. cerevisiae*, by regulating the transcription of membrane multidrug

transporters (Tpo2p and Tpo3p) amongst other, less well characterized genes (19). High-

throughput mutant screens have helped to identify many other genes which influence weak

acid resistance in *S. cerevisiae*. For example, Mollapour et al. (20) reported 237 genes which

were required for wild-type resistance to sorbic acid, and a further 34 which resulted in

enhanced sorbic acid resistance when deleted. A similar study, also in *S. cerevisiae,* revealed

650 determinants of acetic acid resistance (21). Unfortunately, there is a distinct lack of

equivalent data in any other fungal species, including moulds. Considering the propensity of

mould fungi to cause food spoilage, understanding the genetic determinants of weak acid

resistance in these species is very important.

An additional and historically-overlooked determinant of antimicrobial resistance is

the phenotypic heterogeneity that exists within microbial cell populations. Phenotypic

heterogeneity is a phenomenon observed within isogenic cell populations, whereby individual

cells can display a markedly different phenotype despite being genetically identical. This has

been recognised as an important determinant of microbial cell survival in response to

antimicrobial agents and other environmental stressors (22-24). Phenotypic heterogeneity in

weak acid resistance (heteroresistance) has been found in cell populations of *S. cerevisiae* and

the spoilage yeast *Zygosaccharomyces bailli* (8, 25, 26). However, there has been no

investigation to date of whether weak acid resistant subpopulations exist in populations of

mould spores, although heterogeneity is known to arise in *A. niger* spore populations as a

consequence of asynchronous conidial maturation (27). The presence of weak acid resistant

spore subpopulations could have significant implications for spoilage control strategies and is

therefore worthy of investigation.

In this study, we report the identification and characterization of a novel transcription

factor (Weak Acid Sensitive A), that is required for resistance to weak acid preservatives in *A.

niger* and *A. fumigatus*. Furthermore, we identify and characterize genes that are putatively

regulated by WasA, including a gene encoding a putative membrane transporter protein with

similarity to *S. cerevisiae* Pdr12p and which, we show, mediates weak acid resistance and

heteroresistance in *A. niger*. These data significantly enhance our understanding of weak acid

resistance in moulds, and highlight both similarities and differences in weak acid resistance

strategies between yeast and mould fungi.

RESULTS

A screen for transcription-factor deletion strains sensitive to sorbic acid identifies

wasA. To find genes associated with weak acid resistance, an *Aspergillus fumigatus*

transcription-factor deletant collection (28) was screened for sorbic acid sensitivity. This

resource comprised a library of 401 deletion strains of non-essential transcription factors. To

determine sensitivity of the deletion strains, radial growth was compared on agar medium

with and without sorbic acid (Fig. 1). This revealed two deletion strains which were highly

sensitive to sorbic acid compared with the wild-type strain (Δ*metR*, and Δ*AFUB_000960*) (Fig.

1 and Fig. 2A). A number of other strains exhibited moderate sensitivity to sorbic acid,

including Δ*creA* (Δ*AFUB_027530*), Δ*devA* (Δ*AFUB_030440*) (Fig. 1B and Fig. 2A), Δ*rfeD*

(Δ*AFUB_022280*), Δ*AFUB_020350* and Δ*AFUB_054360* (Fig. 1B).

MetR is a bZIP-type transcription factor mediating transcriptional regulation of genes

involved in sulphur uptake and utilization (29). Because sorbic acid is known to decrease

cellular uptake of some nutrients (6, 30), it was hypothesised that sulphur limitation could be

a cause of sorbic acid sensitivity in the Δ*metR* deletion strain. To test this, sorbic acid

sensitivity of the Δ*metR* strain was determined in medium supplemented with the sulphur-

containing amino acid methionine. This showed that the sorbic acid sensitivity of the Δ*metR*

strain was abolished in the presence of supplementary methionine (Fig. S1).

AFUB_000960 encodes a Zn2Cys6-type transcription factor which contains a fungal

specific transcription factor domain (PF11951). To further investigate the role of

AFUB_000960 in weak acid resistance, sensitivity of the deletion strain to a range of weak

acids was evaluated (Fig. 2B). The Δ*AFUB_000960* strain was sensitive to propionic, butanoic,

pentanoic, hexanoic, sorbic and benzoic acids. Because of these phenotypes, *AFUB_000960*

was named *Weak Acid Sensitive A* (*wasA)*.

A WasA orthologue in *A. niger* is important for weak acid resistance. *Aspergillus*

fumigatus is not commonly associated with food spoilage, and displays relatively high

sensitivity to weak acids such as sorbic acid. To determine whether orthologues of WasA are

present in other species of fungi, a BLAST-search using the *Afu*WasA protein sequence as a

query was conducted. In *A. niger,* this identified An08g08340, a protein with 46.2% identity

and 63% similarity to the *A. fumigatus* WasA protein. Orthologues of *AfuwasA* were also

found to be present in *Penicillium* and *Botrytis spp.*

Aspergillus niger is highly resistant to weak acids (especially sorbic and benzoic acids),

and can readily cause food and drink spoilage. To determine whether *wasA* is also required

for weak acid resistance in *A. niger*, *An08g08340* was deleted by a targeted gene replacement

approach, with successful deletion confirmed by PCR and Southern Blotting (Fig. S2).

Sensitivity of the Δ*An08g08340* deletion strain to different weak acids was evaluated (Fig. 3).

In contrast to the Δw*asA* deletant of *A. fumigatus*, the *A. niger* Δ*An08g08340* strain

demonstrated only slight sensitivity to sorbic acid, which was most apparent when individual

conidia of the Δ*wasA* strain were spread onto medium containing sorbic acid (Fig. S3A).

However, the deletant was highly sensitive to propionic, butanoic and benzoic acids as

evaluated by radial growth on agar (Fig. 3). Determination of Minimum Inhibitory

Concentrations (MIC) in broth also corroborated the radial growth data; the MIC in benzoic

acid was 4.5 ± 0.5 mM in the wild type (WT; n=3), compared with 3.2 ± 0.2 mM in Δ*wasA*

(n=3). The MIC in butanoic acid was 8.6 ± 0 mM in the WT (n=2), and 6.9 ± 0.3 mM in Δ*wasA*

(n=3). Resistance was restored to WT levels when *An08g08340* was reintroduced into the

Δ*An08g08340* strain (Fig. S4). Thus, *An08g08340* has an important role in weak acid resistance

in *A. niger*, and was named as *wasA* (Weak Acid Sensitive A) also in this species.

Sorbic acid (and structurally related acids) are known to be detoxified by

decarboxylation in *A. niger*, but not in *A. fumigatus* (12, 13). The decarboxylation process

involves three linked genes: *ohbA1*, *padA1* and *sdrA* (13). OhbA1 is the key enzyme involved

in the decarboxylation, whereas *sdrA* is a transcription factor regulating expression of *OhbA*

and *padA1* synthesizes a cofactor for *OhbA*. It was hypothesized that mild sorbic acid

sensitivity of *A. niger* Δ*wasA* may be due to downregulation of *ohbA1*, *padA1* or *sdrA* genes

in the Δ*wasA* strain. To investigate the relationship between WasA and weak acid

decarboxylation, a ΔΔ*ohbA1/wasA* mutant was constructed. The ΔΔ*ohbA1/wasA* strain was

more sensitive to sorbic acid than the Δ*ohbA1* strain (Fig. S3B), suggesting an *OhbA1-*

independent role for *wasA* in resistance of *A. niger* to sorbic acid.

Determination of WasA-regulated genes by transcriptomic analysis during weak acid

stress of *A. niger*. The weak acid sensitivity of the Δ*wasA* mutant suggested that WasA

regulates genes which are important for weak acid resistance. Previously, genes upregulated

by sorbic acid exposure in *A. niger* were successfully identified by exposing conidia of the wild-

type (WT) to sorbic acid during germination (6). In order to identify which genes are

differentially regulated in *A. niger* Δ*wasA*, RNA-seq analysis was conducted with WT and

Δ*wasA* conidia germinated in the presence or absence of sorbic acid. Germination of WT

conidia for 1 h in the presence of sorbic acid resulted in 3,274 differentially expressed genes

(FDR adjusted p-value < 0.05) (1885 upregulated, 1467 downregulated) in comparison with

conidia germinated in the absence of sorbic acid. In Δ*wasA* conidia, 3,442 genes were

differentially expressed during germination in the presence of sorbic acid (1885 upregulated,

1,557 down regulated), in comparison with germination without sorbic acid. Importantly, a

number of genes were identified that were highly upregulated in the WT during sorbic acid

exposure, but not in ΔwasA (Table 1 and Table S1). This included a gene encoding benzoate

para-hydroxylase (bphA), an enzyme known to be required for benzoate detoxification (9),

which had a Log Fold Change (Log$_2$FC) of 6.50 in the WT, compared with a Log$_2$FC of -0.51 in

ΔwasA. A number of uncharacterized enzymes also required wasA for normal upregulation

by sorbic acid, e.g., An12g09130 encoding a putative dienelactone hydrolase, and

An12g02790 a putative isoflavone reductase (Log$_2$FC 5.95 in WT, Log$_2$FC 0.36 in ΔwasA), as

well as several genes encoding putative transporter proteins. Of particular interest amongst

these transporters was An14g03570, an ABC-type transporter with 56% amino acid sequence

similarity to S. cerevisiae Pdr12p. Pdr12p has a crucial role in weak acid detoxification in S.

cerevisiae (16, 17). To support the RNA-seq data, five of the genes showing differential

expression between the WT and ΔwasA strains were selected for qRT-PCR analysis (Fig. 4).

The qRT-PCR data supported the trends in gene expression seen in the RNA-seq dataset; all

the selected genes had a lower transcript abundance in ΔwasA, compared with the WT during

sorbic acid treatment. In addition, transcript abundances of the selected genes were

compared by qRT-PCR during benzoic acid treatment. As expected, all the genes upregulated

by sorbic acid were also upregulated by benzoic acid, and had lower transcript abundances in

the ΔwasA mutant, compared with the WT (Fig. 4).

Characterization of *An02g09970* and *An14g03570*. The transcriptomic analysis

identified genes that are downregulated in A. niger ΔwasA, relative to the WT strain, during

weak acid stress. These genes may therefore have a role in weak acid resistance. To

investigate this, two genes of interest (*An02g09970* and *An14g03570*) were selected for

further characterization. *An02g09970* encodes a putative transmembrane transporter of the

Major Facilitator Superfamily (MFS), and was selected for further investigation due to its

extremely high transcript abundance during sorbic acid treatment, and large disparity in

transcript abundance between the WT and Δ*wasA* strains (Log$_2$FC 8.56 in WT vs. Log$_2$FC 4.35

in Δ*wasA*) (Table 1). The protein also shares significant sequence similarity with Tpo2 and

Tpo3, *S. cerevisiae* proteins involved in resistance to acetic, propionic and benzoic acids (19).

An14g03570 encodes an ABC-type transporter with similarity to the weak acid detoxification

protein Pdr12p in *S. cerevisiae*, as stated above. Both genes were deleted in *A. niger* by a

targeted gene replacement approach, and mutant genotypes confirmed by PCR and Southern

blotting (Fig. S5). Sensitivity of the constructed deletion strains to weak acids was then

evaluated. The Δ*An02g09970* mutant did not exhibit altered sensitivity to any of the weak

acids tested (Fig. 5). However, the Δ*An14g03570* mutant was more sensitive to sorbic,

pentanoic and benzoic acids (Fig. 5 and Table 2), and resistance was restored to WT levels

when *An14g03570* was reintroduced into the Δ*An14g03570* strain (Fig. S6). 7Δ*An14g03570*

Complementation of *S. cerevisiae* Δ*pdr12* strain with *An14g03570*. The above results

showed that *An14g03570* is required for resistance of *A. niger* to certain weak acids. Because

An14g03570 has significant protein sequence similarity with *S. cerevisiae* Pdr12p (36%

sequence identity, 56% similarity), it was hypothesized that these proteins could be functional

homologues. To test this hypothesis, functional complementation of the *S. cerevisiae* Δ*pdr12*

strain was attempted. The cDNA sequence of *A. niger An14g03570* was cloned between the

S. cerevisiae PDR12 promoter and terminator to allow for native regulation of *An14g03570* in

response to weak acid stress in *S. cerevisiae*. The resulting plasmid (Fig. S7) was transformed

into a *S. cerevisiae* Δ*pdr12* deletion strain. Transformants were tested for sensitivity to a range

of weak acids. As hypothesized, *An14g03570* could indeed functionally complement *PDR12*:

sensitivity of the Δ*pdr12* strain to weak acids was largely rescued in cells transformed to

express *An14g03570* (Fig. 6). The resultant level of resistance was similar to that evident in

Δ*pdr12* cells expressing *PDR12* from the same vector backbone.

***An14g03570* is a determinant of heteroresistance to sorbic acid in *A. niger* conidia.**

Resistance to weak acid preservatives has been found to be heterogeneous between

individual cells of genetically-uniform cell populations of the yeasts *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*

and *S. cerevisiae* (8, 25, 26). We observed a similar phenomenon in populations of *A. niger*

conidia, whereby small subpopulations were capable of germinating and forming colonies at

high concentrations (>4 mM) of sorbic acid (Fig. 7A). Conidia harvested from colonies that

grew on these high concentrations of sorbic acid did not retain increased resistance upon

direct re-inoculation to sorbic acid-containing medium (data not shown), suggesting that

these were transient, non-heritable phenotypes, i.e., not due to genotypic variants within the

population. To test whether this heteroresistance had its origin in the ungerminated conidial

state, conidia were also pre-germinated for 6 hr, before spread plating onto sorbic acid-

containing medium. This showed that germinated conidia were much more susceptible to

sorbic acid (Fig. 7A). Moreover, resistance to sorbic acid among pre-germinated conidia was

much more homogeneous than when the resistance-assay commenced with ungerminated

conidia, as evidenced by the gradients of the dose inhibition curves: such dose response

curves reflect heterogeneity, with shallower curves indicating greater heterogeneity (22, 31).

Thus, at least some factors determining heteroresistance to sorbic acid are specific to

ungerminated conidia, and are lost upon germination. Given the contributions of *wasA* and

An14g03570 to sorbic acid resistance in *A. niger*, it was tested whether these genes could be

determinants of heteroresistance. Dose response curves of Δ*An14g03570* and Δ*wasA* conidia

demonstrated that *An14g03570* makes a significant contribution to sorbic acid

heteroresistance in *A. niger* conidia, whereas *wasA* does not (Fig. 7B, data for Δ*wasA* not

shown).

DISCUSSION

This study reports the discovery of novel factors determining weak acid resistance in moulds. By screening >400 transcription factor deletion strains in *A. fumigatus*, we discovered a previously uncharacterized transcription factor that is required for resistance to certain weak organic acids. This transcription factor, here named *Weak Acid Sensitive A* (*wasA*) was also found to be present in the food spoilage mould *A. niger*, where it also plays an important role in weak acid resistance. However, WasA appears to mediate resistance to different weak acids in *A. fumigatus* and *A. niger*. In *A. fumigatus*, the Δ*wasA* strain is particularly sensitive to linear-chain acids 3-6 carbons in length, whereas in *A. niger* the Δ*wasA* strain is most sensitive to propionic, butanoic and benzoic acids, but exhibited less sensitivity to 5 and 6 carbon acids. Such differences in acid sensitivity may reflect differences in the WasA regulon between *A. niger* and *A. fumigatus*, or the divergence in gene function within the WasA regulon.

We sought to gain insight to the WasA regulon in *A. niger* by conducting a comparative transcriptomics experiment between germinating WT and Δ*wasA* conidia treated with sorbic acid. This approach identified several genes that appear to be regulated (either directly or indirectly) by WasA. These include a number of putative enzymes and transporter proteins, offering several candidates for future studies of weak acid resistance mechanisms in *A. niger*. Amongst these candidates, we attempted to characterize two putative transporter-protein genes. The first of these functions, An02g09970, is a transporter of the Major Facilitator Superfamily, with sequence similarity to Tpo2p and Tpo3p in *S. cerevisiae*. Tpo2p and Tpo3p are transporters of the DHA1 (Drug:H+ antiporter-1) family and are known to be required for resistance to acetic, propionic and benzoic acids (19). However, deletion of *An02g09970* did not sensitize *A. niger* to any of the acids tested, and so the role of this gene remains unknown.

It is possible that this transporter is responsible for detoxification of other xenobiotics not

tested here (if indeed it has a role in detoxification at all), or that *An02g09970* is functionally

redundant with other *A. niger* genes*. We* also attempted to characterize *An14g03570*,

encoding an ABC-type transporter. Deletion of *An14g03570* resulted in increased sensitivity

to pentanoic, hexanoic, sorbic and benzoic acids, substantiating a role for this protein in weak

acid resistance. Importantly, we were able to demonstrate that *An14g03570* is a functional

homologue of *PDR12* in *S. cerevisiae*. Pdr12p is a key protein involved in weak acid resistance

of *S. cerevisiae* (16), where it is thought to efflux weak acid anions from the cytoplasm in an

energy-dependant manner (17). The identification of An14g03570 as a functional homologue

of Pdr12p in a mould species such as *A. niger* shows that a similar mechanism of weak acid

detoxification by active efflux may operate in yeasts and moulds. Interestingly, the Δ*pdr12*

functional complementation experiment demonstrated that *An14g03570* confers resistance

to a broader range of weak acids than was suggested by the weak acid sensitivity of the

ΔAn*14g03570* strain. For example, *An14g03570* complemented the propionic acid sensitivity

of *S. cerevisiae* Δ*pdr12*, but the *A. niger* ΔAn*14g03570* strain was not more sensitive to

propionic acid than the WT. This may indicate the presence of multiple, redundant

mechanisms for resistance to certain weak acids in *A.niger*, which may not operate in *S.*

cerevisiae.

316  *An14g03570,* as well as several other candidate WasA-regulated genes were all

upregulated in response to both sorbic and benzoic acids. This suggests a degree of overlap

between transcriptomic responses to different weak acids, as also found in *S. cerevisiae* (32).

Thus, although we characterized the WasA regulon by comparative transcriptomics in

response only to sorbic acid in the present study, it is likely that many of the differentially

expressed genes would be similarly regulated in response to other weak acids. There may be

relevant consensus sequences within WasA-regulated genes, although these are not apparent

from promoter sequence alignments we have carried out. In *S. cerevisiae*, a *cis*-acting weak

acid response element (WARE) was discovered in the promoter of *PDR12* which is required

for *PDR12* induction by the transcription factor War1p (18).

The regulation of WasA itself is also an outstanding question. Recent evidence in *S.*

cerevisiae suggests that weak acid anions bind directly to the transcription factors War1p and

Haa1p, thereby regulating their DNA-binding transcriptional activation (33). However, WasA

shares very little sequence homology with either War1p or Haa1p. In fact, a BLAST search of

the *S. cerevisiae* protein database with the WasA protein sequence yields no hits at all.

Nevertheless, a similar mechanism of transcription factor activation cannot be ruled out for

WasA, particularly as direct ligand binding has been established for a number of Zn2Cys6

family transcription factors (of which WasA is a member), including Pdr1p, Pdr3p, Leu3p and

Put3p (34-36).

During the course of this study, experiments with sorbic acid determined that

genetically-uniform populations of *A. niger* conidia demonstrate heteroresistance to this

weak acid. Phenotypic heterogeneity within microbial cell populations has been

demonstrated in a number of fungi in response to environmental stresses [reviewed in (22)],

however this is the first report of weak acid heteroresistance in fungal conidia. Interestingly,

heteroresistance was decreased within 6 h of conidial germination, suggesting that at least

some factors underlying this heterogeneity are limited to ungerminated conidia and are lost

upon germination. Resistance to sorbic acid was also markedly lower in germinated conidia,

which has also recently found to be the case for propionic acid (37). Heteroresistance to weak

acids in fungal conidia has significant implications for the food industry, because spoilage of

products may occur due to contamination with just a few conidia from a highly resistant

subpopulation. Thus, future spoilage control strategies may have to take into account the

presence of weak acid heteroresistance, perhaps by specifically targeting resistant

subpopulations.

Conidia of the Δ*An14g03570* strain showed a significantly more homogeneous

response to sorbic acid. Heteroresistance typically arises from gene expression heterogeneity

(or noise) (38), so the present results suggest that An14g03570 could be expressed

heterogeneously within conidial populations; the conidia expressing more *An14g03570*

potentially able to withstand sorbic acid stress. It is also noted that deletion of An14g03570

did not eliminate sorbic acid heteroresistance in *A. niger*, so it likely that other genes also

contribute.

In summary, this study markedly advances our understanding of weak acid resistance

mechanisms in *A. niger*. The identification of WasA as a key transcription factor involved in

weak acid resistance allowed us in turn to identify many more genes which may also be

important. Further work is required to determine how all these genes may contribute to weak

acid resistance. Moreover, we demonstrated here that a key weak acid resistance mechanism

operates in both *S. cerevisiae* and *A. niger*, in the form of the functionally homologous ABC-

transporters Pdr12p and An14g03570 respectively.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and media. The *Aspergillus fumigatus* transcription factor deletant library, derived from wild-type strain MFIG001, was constructed by homologous recombination using gene replacement cassettes and transformation methodologies as described (28, 39). Studies in *Aspergillus niger* were performed in the *A.niger* N402 background (referred to as the *A. niger* WT throughout) and an *A. niger ΔohbA1* deletion strain (13). *Aspergillus* strains were cultivated on slopes of Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) (Sigma) for 7 days at 28°C. Conidia were harvested using 0.1% (v/v) Tween 80 and filtered through a 40 μm cell strainer (Fisher), before counting on a haemocytometer. Studies in *S. cerevisiae* used the BY4743 background and isogenic *Δpdr12* deletion strain, cultivated on YEPD agar (2% glucose, 2% bactopeptone (Oxoid), 1% yeast extract (Oxoid), 1.5% agar) at 30°C. The *S. cerevisiae* strains were obtained from EUROSCARF (Frankfurt). Growth assays with weak acids (below) were performed on YEPD agar (pH 4).

Deletant-library screening and growth assays. The first round of *A. fumigatus* transcription factor deletion library screening was performed in a 96-well array format. Conidial suspensions of the *A. fumigatus* strains were initially supplied in 40% glycerol-0.01% PBS solution at a concentration of 4×10^7 ml^{-1}. These were subsequently arrayed in 96-well plates at a concentration of 4×10^5 conidia ml^{-1} in 0.01% Tween 20, and transferred using a 96-pin tool, to Nunc™ Omnitray™ single-well plates containing YEPD agar (pH 4), then incubated at 28°C for 2 - 3 days. Radial growth was measured using ImageJ, and compared between control medium, and medium containing sorbic acid. The second round of screening was performed on 90 mm Petri dishes. Plates were inoculated with 10^5 conidia, and incubated

at 37°C for 3 days. Radial growth was compared between control medium (YEPD) and the

same medium containing sorbic acid.

Subsequent growth assays with weak acids on solid medium were performed in

Aspergillus spp*.* by inoculating YEPD agar (pH 4) supplemented with weak acids, with 5 µl of

conidial suspension, containing 10^5-10^2 conidia and subsequent incubation at 28°C for 2 – 3

days. Weak acid concentrations used for *A. fumigatus* were: 15 mM acetic acid , 4 mM

propionic acid, 1.5 mM butanoic acid, 0.75 mM pentanoic acid, 0.2 mM sorbic acid, 0.25 mM

hexanoic acid, 0.5 mM benzoic acid, 0.08 mM heptanoic acid, 0.05 mM octanoic acid. Weak

acid concentrations used for *A. niger*: 40 mM acetic acid , 10 mM propionic acid, 4 mM

butanoic acid, 2 mM pentanoic acid, 1.5 mM sorbic acid, 2 mM hexanoic acid, 2 mM benzoic

acid, 1 mM heptanoic acid, 0.75 mM octanoic acid.

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of weak acids were determined by placing

10 ml of YEPD broth (pH 4) into 30 ml McCartney bottles, and inoculating with 10^4 conidia.

Bottles were incubated statically at 28°C for 28 days, and the concentration of acids required

to completely inhibit visible growth recorded.

Dose response curves were generated by harvesting conidia as stated above, diluting

to 500 spores ml^{-1} and spreading 200 µl of this onto YEPD agar (pH 4) containing sorbic acid.

Plates were incubated at 28°C for up to 28 days and colonies counted. For pre-germinated

conidia, conidia were first inoculated into 10 ml of YEPD/Tween 80 (6.66 ml YEPD/3.33 ml 0.1

% Tween 80) to a final concentration of 500 spores ml^{-1} and incubated statically at 28°C for 6

hr, before spread plating and incubation as above. For quantitative comparison of

heteroresistance, Hill slopes were fitted to plots (% Viability vs. log10[sorbic acid]) using Prism

software and arctangent values for the slopes calculated with Excel to estimate relative

heterogeneity (a shallower slope indicating higher heterogeneity) (31, 40).

RNAseq and qRT-PCR. For preparation of RNA, conidia of *A. niger* N402 were

inoculated into 1 litre of YEPD broth (pH 4) to a final concentration of 10^6 conidia ml^{-1} and

incubated at 28°C for 1 hr, with shaking at 150 rev. min^{-1}. For sorbic acid or benzoic acid

treatments, the medium was supplemented with 1 mM sorbic or 1 mM benzoic acid for the

1 h incubation. Conidia were harvested by filtration through a Corning vacuum filtration unit,

and immediately used for RNA extraction. RNA was extracted using a Norgen Biotek

Plant/Fungi Total RNA extraction kit, as per the manufacturer's instructions.

RNAseq analysis was performed by the University of Liverpool Centre for Genomic

Research. Three biological replicates were performed for each timepoint in each condition.

Between 463-1000ng of total RNA (depending on available material) was Poly A-treated using

the NEBNext® Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module, and subsequently purified using

Ampure RNA XP beads. Successful depletion of rRNA was confirmed using Qubit fluorometric

quantification (ThermoFisher) and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. All of the depleted RNA was used

as input material for the NEBNext® Ultra™ Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina®.

Following 15 cycles of amplification the libraries were purified using Ampure XP beads. Each

library was quantified using Qubit and the size distribution assessed using the Bioanalyzer.

These final libraries were pooled in equimolar amounts using the Qubit and Bioanalyzer data.

The quantity was assessed using a Qubit® dsDNA HS Assay Kit, while the quality and average

fragment size was assessed using the High Sensitivity DNA Kit on the Agilent Bioanalyzer. The

RNA libraries were sequenced on an Illumina® HiSeq 4000 platform with version 1 chemistry

using sequencing by synthesis (SBS) technology to generate 2 x 150 bp paired-end reads.

Initial processing and quality assessment of the sequence data was performed as follows.

Briefly, base calling and de-multiplexing of indexed reads was performed by CASAVA version

1.8.2 (Illumina). The raw FASTQ files were trimmed to remove Illumina adapter sequences

using Cutadapt version 1.2.1 (41). The option "-O 3" was set, so the 3' end of any reads which

matched the adapter sequence over a stretch of at least 3 bp was trimmed off. The reads

were further trimmed to remove low quality bases, using Sickle version 1.200 with a minimum

window quality score of 20. After trimming, reads shorter than 20 bp were removed. Reads

were aligned to the *A.niger* CBS 588.13 genome sequence

(http://www.aspergillusgenome.org/download/sequence/A_niger_CBS_513_88/current/A_

[niger_CBS_513_88_current_chromosomes.fasta.gz](http://www.aspergillusgenome.org)) using Tophat version 2.1.0 (42). The

expression of each gene was calculated from the alignment files using HTseq-count (43). The

raw count data were also converted into FPKM (Fragments per Kilobase per Million reads)

values. The count numbers per gene were used during the subsequent differential expression

analysis. All of the DGE (Differential Gene Expression) analyses were performed in R (version

3.3.3) environment using the DESeq2 package (44). Significantly differentially-expressed

genes were defined as those with FDR-adjusted P-value < 0.05.

For qRT-PCR analysis of gene expression, RNA was extracted as stated above. Genomic

DNA was removed by Turbo DNAse Free kit (Invitrogen). cDNA was synthesized using

Superscript IV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) and Oligo d(T)$_{20}$ primer (Invitrogen),

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Transcripts were amplified using SYBR green

Master Mix on an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR instrument and quantified against

a standard curve of *A. niger* gDNA. Primer pairs used are listed in Table S2.

Gene deletion studies and complementation in *A. niger*. Gene deletion studies were

performed in *A. niger* N402, the Open Reading Frames (ORFs) of the target genes being

replaced by a hygromycin resistance cassette (Fig. S2 and S6). Gene deletion cassettes were

constructed by Gap-Repair cloning in *S. cerevisiae* (45). Briefly, the hygromycin resistance

cassette, and approximately 1 kb upstream and downstream flanking regions of each target

gene were amplified from genomic DNA by PCR (Primers listed in Table S2). The hygromycin

resistance cassette had a 20-30 bp homology with the 1 kb flanking regions, and each flanking

region also had 20-30 bp homology with the multiple cloning site of the YEp351 plasmid. The

PCR products and *Hin*dIII-linearized YEp351 plasmid were transformed into *S. cerevisiae*

BY4743, and transformants selected by leucine prototrophy. Successful construction of the

gene deletion cassettes was confirmed by PCR. The resulting gene deletion cassettes were

amplified by PCR and purified using PCR purification columns (Machery-Nagel), to produce a

final linear gene deletion cassette. All PCR reactions were performed using Phusion High-

Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs). Production of protoplasts and their

transformation was performed using standard methods (46). Transformants were selected

using 200 μg ml^{-1} hygromycin (Roche) and confirmed by PCR and Southern blotting (Fig. S2

and S6), using standard methods (47).

For complementation of *A. niger* gene-deletion strains, the genes in question (*wasA*

and *An14g03570*) were amplified by PCR (primers listed in Table S2) and cloned into the *Sbf*I

site of the pAN7.1*BAR* plasmid (Fig. S8), which contains the *BAR* gene as a selectable marker

(replacing the original hygromycin resistance cassette (48), and imparting resistance to

phosphinothricin). PCR amplification included ~1 kb upstream and ~300bp downstream of

the ORF. Transformation of the resulting plasmids was performed as described above, except

that transformants were selected using 5 mg ml^{-1} DL-phosphinothricin (Carbosynth) in YDA

agar (Yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, including 1.7 g l^{-1} ammonium sulfate, 10 g l^{1}

glucose, 2.25 g l^{-1} ammonium nitrate and 1 M sucrose; pH adjusted to 7.0 using Na_2HPO_4,

solidified with 1.2% (w/v) agar) (49). Transformants were subjected to an additional round of

selection by growth on YDA agar containing 5 mg ml^{-1} DL-phosphinothricin.

Cloning and complementation in *S. cerevisiae.* Complementation studies were

performed in the *S. cerevisiae Δpdr12* strain. The complementation plasmid (Fig. S7) was

constructed by yeast gap-repair cloning (45). Briefly the *PDR12* promoter and terminator, and

An14g03570 ORF were amplified by PCR (primers listed in Table S2). Each amplified fragment

included a 20-30 bp region of homology either with the YEp351 plasmid or with a

neighbouring fragment. The PCR products and *Hin*dIII linearized YEp351 plasmid were

transformed into *S. cerevisiae Δpdr12*, and transformants selected by leucine prototrophy

(45). Complementation was also performed with the *PDR12* ORF, as a positive control for

successful complementation. The *S. cerevisiae Δpdr12* strain was also transformed with the

empty YEp351 plasmid, as a negative control.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council

(Grant Number BB/N017129/1). This is an Industry Partnering Award in conjunction with

Lucozade Ribena Suntory and Mologic Ltd. This work was also supported by the Wellcome

trust (Grant Number 208396/Z/17/Z).

REFERENCES

1. Bondi M, Messi P, Halami PM, Papadopoulou C, de Niederhausern S. 2014. Emerging microbial concerns in food safety and new control measures. BioMed Res Int 2014:251512.

2. Kaczmarek M, Avery SV, Singleton I. 2019. Microbes associated with fresh produce: Sources, types and methods to reduce spoilage and contamination. Adv Appl Microbiol 107:29-82.

3. Salmond CV, Kroll RG, Booth IR. 1984. The effect of food preservatives on pH homeostasis in *Escherichia coli*. Microbiology 130:2845-2850.

4. Plumridge A, Hesse SJA, Watson AJ, Lowe KC, Stratford M, Archer DB. 2004. The weak acid preservative sorbic acid inhibits conidial germination and mycelial growth of *Aspergillus niger* through intracellular acidification. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:3506-3511.

5. Freese E, Sheu CW, Galliers E. 1973. Function of lipophilic acids as antimicrobial food additives. Nature 241:321-325.

6. Novodvorska M, Stratford M, Blythe MJ, Wilson R, Beniston RG, Archer DB. 2016. Metabolic activity in dormant conidia of *Aspergillus niger* and developmental changes during conidial outgrowth. Fungal Genet Biol 94:23-31.

7. Warth AD. 1989. Relationships between the resistance of yeasts to acetic, propanoic and benzoic acids and to methyl paraben and pH. Int J Food Microbiol 8:343-349.

8. Stratford M, Steels H, Nebe-von-Caron G, Novodvorska M, Hayer K, Archer DB. 2013. Extreme resistance to weak-acid preservatives in the spoilage yeast *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*. Int J Food Microbiol 166:126-134.

9. Boschloo JG, Moonen E, van Gorcom RFM, Hermes HFM, Bos CJ. 1991. Genetic analysis of *Aspergillus niger* mutants defective in benzoate-4-hydroxylase function. Curr Genet 19:261-264.

10. Fraser JA, Davis MA, Hynes MJ. 2002. The genes *gmdA*, encoding an amidase, and *bzuA*, encoding a cytochrome P450, are required for benzamide utilization in *Aspergillus nidulans*. Fungal Genet Biol 35:135-146.

11. Stratford M, Plumridge A, Pleasants MW, Novodvorska M, Baker-Glenn CAG, Pattenden G, Archer DB. 2012. Mapping the structural requirements of inducers and substrates for decarboxylation of weak acid preservatives by the food spoilage mould *Aspergillus niger*. Int J Food Microbiol 157:375-383.

12. Plumridge A, Stratford M, Lowe KC, Archer DB. 2008. The weak-acid preservative sorbic acid is decarboxylated and detoxified by a phenylacrylic acid decarboxylase, PadA1, in the spoilage mold *Aspergillus niger*. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:550-552.

13. Plumridge A, Melin P, Stratford M, Novodvorska M, Shunburne L, Dyer PS, Roubos JA, Menke H, Stark J, Stam H, Archer DB. 2010. The decarboxylation of the weak-acid preservative, sorbic acid, is encoded by linked genes in *Aspergillus spp*. Fungal Genet Biol 47:683-692.

14. Payne KAP, White MD, Fisher K, Khara B, Bailey SS, Parker D, Rattray NJW, Trivedi DK, Goodacre R, Beveridge R, Barran P, Rigby SEJ, Scrutton NS, Hay S, Leys D. 2015. New cofactor supports α, β-unsaturated acid decarboxylation via 1, 3-dipolar cycloaddition. Nature 522:497-501.

15. Stratford M, Plumridge A, Archer DB. 2007. Decarboxylation of sorbic acid by spoilage yeasts is associated with the *PAD1* gene. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:6534-6542.

16. Piper P, Mahé Y, Thompson S, Pandjaitan R, Holyoak C, Egner R, Mühlbauer M, Coote P, Kuchler K. 1998. The Pdr12 ABC transporter is required for the development of weak organic acid resistance in yeast. EMBO J 17:4257-4265.

17. Holyoak CD, Bracey D, Piper PW, Kuchler K, Coote PJ. 1999. The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* weak-acid-inducible ABC transporter Pdr12 transports fluorescein and preservative anions from the cytosol by an energy-dependent mechanism. J Bacteriol 181:4644-4652.

18. Kren A, Mamnun YM, Bauer BE, Schuller C, Wolfger H, Hatzixanthis K, Mollapour M, Gregori C, Piper P, Kuchler K. 2003. War1p, a novel transcription factor controlling weak acid stress response in yeast. Mol Cell Biol 23:1775-1785.

19. Fernandes AR, Mira NP, Vargas RC, Canelhas I, Sá-Correia I. 2005. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
adaptation to weak acids involves the transcription factor Haa1p and Haa1p-regulated genes.
Biochem Biophys Res Commun 337:95-103.
20. Mollapour M, Fong D, Balakrishnan K, Harris N, Thompson S, Schüller C, Kuchler K, Piper PW.
2004. Screening the yeast deletant mutant collection for hypersensitivity and hyper-resistance to
sorbate, a weak organic acid food preservative. Yeast 21:927-946.
21. Mira NP, Palma M, Guerreiro JF, Sá-Correia I. 2010. Genome-wide identification of
Saccharomyces cerevisiae genes required for tolerance to acetic acid. Microb Cell Fact 9:79.
22. Hewitt SK, Foster DS, Dyer PS, Avery SV. 2016. Phenotypic heterogeneity in fungi: importance and
methodology. Fungal Biol Rev 30:176-184.
23. Band VI, Weiss DS. 2019. Heteroresistance: A cause of unexplained antibiotic treatment failure?
PLoS Pathog 15:e1007726.
24. Andersson DI, Nicoloff H, Hjort K. 2019. Mechanisms and clinical relevance of bacterial
heteroresistance. Nature Rev Microbiol 17:1.
25. Stratford M, Steels H, Nebe-von-Caron G, Avery SV, Novodvorska M, Archer DB. 2014. Population
heterogeneity and dynamics in starter culture and lag phase adaptation of the spoilage yeast
Zygosaccharomyces bailii to weak acid preservatives. Int J Food Microbiol 181:40-47.
26. Fernández-Niño M, Marquina M, Swinnen S, Rodríguez-Porrata B, Nevoigt E, Ariño J. 2015. The
cytosolic pH of individual *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells is a key factor in acetic acid tolerance.
Appl Environ Microbiol 81:7813-7821.
27. Teertstra WR, Tegelaar M, Dijksterhuis J, Golovina EA, Ohm RA, Wösten HAB. 2017. Maturation
of conidia on conidiophores of *Aspergillus niger*. Fungal Genet Biol 98:61-70.
28. Takanori F, van Rhijn, N., Fraczek, M., Gsaller, F., Davies, E., Carr, P., Gago, S., Fortune-Grant, R.,
Rahman, S., Mabey Glisenan, J., Houlder, E., Kowalski, C.H., Raj, S., Paul, S., Parker, J.E., Kelly, S.,
Cramer, R.A., Latge, J.-P., Cook, P., Moye-Rowley, S., Bignell, E., Bowyer, P., Bromley, M.J. The
Negative Cofactor 2 complex is a master regulator of drug resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*.
Nature Comm In revision.
29. Natorff R, Sieńko M, Brzywczy J, Paszewski A. 2003. The *Aspergillus nidulans metR* gene encodes
a bZIP protein which activates transcription of sulphur metabolism genes. Mol Microbiol 49:1081-
1094.
30. Melin P, Stratford M, Plumridge A, Archer DB. 2008. Auxotrophy for uridine increases the
sensitivity of *Aspergillus niger* to weak-acid preservatives. Microbiology 154:1251-1257.
31. Holland SL, Reader T, Dyer PS, Avery SV. 2014. Phenotypic heterogeneity is a selected trait in
natural yeast populations subject to environmental stress. Environ Microbiol 16:1729-1740.
32. Legras JL, Erny C, Le Jeune C, Lollier M, Adolphe Y, Demuyter C, Delobel P, Blondin B, Karst F.
2010. Activation of two different resistance mechanisms in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* upon
exposure to octanoic and decanoic acids. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:7526-7535.
33. Kim MS, Cho KH, Park KH, Jang J, Hahn JS. 2018. Activation of Haa1 and War1 transcription factors
by differential binding of weak acid anions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Nucleic Acids Res
doi:10.1093/nar/gky1188.
34. Thakur JK, Arthanari H, Yang F, Pan S-J, Fan X, Breger J, Frueh DP, Gulshan K, Li DK, Mylonakis E.
2008. A nuclear receptor-like pathway regulating multidrug resistance in fungi. Nature 452:604-
609.
35. Sze J-Y, Woontner M, Jaehning JA, Kohlhaw GB. 1992. *In vitro* transcriptional activation by a
metabolic intermediate: activation by Leu3 depends on alpha-isopropylmalate. Science
258:1143-1145.
36. Sellick CA, Reece RJ. 2003. Modulation of transcription factor function by an amino acid:
activation of Put3p by proline. EMBO J 22:5147-5153.
37. Dijksterhuis J, Meijer M, van Doorn T, Houbraken J, Bruinenberg P. 2019. The preservative
propionic acid differentially affects survival of conidia and germ tubes of feed spoilage fungi. Int
J Food Microbiol 306:108258.

38. Avery SV. 2006. Microbial cell individuality and the underlying sources of heterogeneity. Nature
Rev Microbiol 4:577-587.
39. Zhao C, Fraczek, M. G., Dineen, L., Lebedinec, R., Macheleidt, J., Delneri, D., Bowyer, P., Brakhage,
A.A., Bromley, M. 2019. High-throughput gene replacement in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Curr Protoc
Microbiol 54:e88.
40. Stratford M, Steels H, Novodvorska M, Archer DB, Avery SV. 2019. Extreme osmotolerance and
halotolerance in food-relevant yeasts and the role of glycerol-dependent cell individuality. Front
Microbiol 9:3238.
41. Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
EMBnet J 17:10-12.
42. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9:357-
359.
43. Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. 2015. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput
sequencing data. Bioinformatics 31:166-169.
44. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550.
45. Oldenburg KR, Vo KT, Michaelis S, Paddon C. 1997. Recombination-mediated PCR-directed
plasmid construction in vivo in yeast. Nucleic Acids Res 25:451-452.
46. Ballance DJ, Turner G. 1985. Development of a high-frequency transforming vector for *Aspergillus*
nidulans. Gene 36:321-331.
47. Green MR, Sambrook J. 2012. Molecular Cloning: a Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor
Laboratory Press.
48. Punt PJ, Oliver RP, Dingemanse MA, Pouwels PH, van den Hondel CAMJJ. 1987. Transformation
of *Aspergillus* based on the hygromycin B resistance marker from *Escherichia coli*. Gene 56:117-
124.
49. Ahuja M, Punekar NS. 2008. Phosphinothricin resistance in *Aspergillus niger* and its utility as a
selectable transformation marker. Fungal Genet Biol 45:1103-1110.

FIG 1 Screening of *A. fumigatus* deletion library. (A) Example of *A. fumigatus* deletant library

screen. Conidial suspensions of the different deletants were arrayed in 96-well plates, and

transferred to growth medium using a 96-pin tool. Examples of putatively sorbic acid sensitive

strains are circled in yellow. (B) Sensitivity of *A. fumigatus* transcription factor deletion strains

to sorbic acid. 62 strains were identified from the initial screen in (A) as putatively sorbic acid

hypersensitive, and subjected to a second round of screening as outlined in Materials and

Methods. Sensitivity to sorbic acid relative to the WT strain is shown (a value of 1 indicates

identical sensitivity of the deletion strain to the WT, according to radial growth). "Δ*wasA*"

refers to Δ*AFUB_000960.*

FIG 2 Growth of *A. fumigatus* transcription factor deletion strains on medium containing

weak acids. (A) Radial growth of *A. fumigatus* transcription factor deletion strains on agar

containing 0.5 mM sorbic acid. Images were captured after 3 d growth at 37°C. (B) Radial

growth of *A. fumigatus* Δ*wasA* and wild-type on agar containing weak acids. Plates were

inoculated with a 10-fold dilution series of conidial suspensions; approximate numbers of

conidia are indicated above the pictures. Images were captured after 2 d growth at 28°C, and

are representative of 2-3 independent experiments. Concentrations of acids used are given

in the Materials and Methods.

FIG 3 Radial growth of *A. niger* Δ*wasA* growing on different weak acids. Plates were
inoculated with a 10-fold dilution series of conidial suspensions; approximate numbers of
conidia are indicated above the pictures. Images were captured after 2 d growth at 28°C, and
are representative of 2-3 independent experiments. Concentrations of acids used are given
in the Materials and Methods.

659 **TABLE 1** Transcriptomics data for selected genes upregulated in the WT during sorbic acid treatment and differentially expressed in WT versus

660 Δ*wasA*.[a]

Gene_ID	Log$_2$FC[b].WT _Sorbic vs WT control	Log$_2$FC.Δ*wasA* _Sorbic vs Δ*wasA* control	WT sorbic RPKM[c]	Δ*wasA* sorbic RPKM	Function
An14g03570	9.35	7.1	611.3	146	ABC-type transporter with similarity to S.cerevisiae Pdr12p
An12g09130	9.31	5.98	6055.3	224.7	Possible dienelactone hydrolase function
An02g09970	8.56	4.35	1532.7	7.3	Ortholog(s) have role in drug response, hexose transport, pathogenesis
An13g02460	8.24	3.08	283	0.3	Protein similar to nonribosomal peptide synthases (NRPS-like)
An06g02170	7.98	1.51	80.3	3	Ortholog(s) have S-adenosylMet-dependent methyltransferase activity
An13g03170	7.25	5.73	1433.3	465.3	Unknown
An12g09120	7.14	2.87	312.3	31.7	Unknown
An09g03500	6.5	-0.51	226.3	4	Putative benzoate-para-hydroxylase; 3-hydroxybenzoate 4- hydroxylase
An12g02790	5.95	0.36	135.3	2.7	Isoflavone reductase - phenylcoumaran benzylic ether reductases type
An08g07850	5.81	3.77	11809.3	3248.7	Unknown
An01g05850	5.59	1.5	75.7	3	Thioesterase domain protein
An08g01560	5.22	-1.47	802.7	5.7	Ortholog(s) have role in meiotic cell cycle, regulation of TORC1 signaling
An04g05240	5.02	2.84	833	259.7	Unknown
An11g04385	4.9	2.02	74	5.7	Possible ubiquitin hydrolase
An13g02450	4.21	0	492.7	0	Six hairpin glycosidase
An08g01980	3.31	1.71	309	74.7	Unknown
An13g02290	3.06	-0.95	73.3	1.7	Possible 3-dehydroshikimate dehydratase
An09g05760	2.74	-0.47	397.7	40	Ortholog(s) have actomyosin contractile ring, intermediate layer localization
An18g03550	2.45	-2.43	1506.7	22.3	Similar to yeast Arr3 arsenate transporter
An08g05750	2.26	0.76	1095	298	Unknown
An16g00700	0.96	-3.07	345	20.7	Has domain(s) with predicted 2Fe,2S cluster binding, oxidoreductase activity

661 [a]See supplementary Table 1 for full list of genes. [b]Log$_2$ Fold Change. [c]Reads per Kilobase per Million.

FIG 4 qRT-PCR of genes differentially regulated in WT and Δ*wasA* strains of *A. niger.* Transcript abundances in WT (black bars) and Δ*wasA* (grey bars) conidia germinated in control media, or in the presence of 1 mM sorbic acid or 1 mM benzoic acid. Error bars are standard deviation of 3 technical replicates.

FIG 5 Radial growth of Δ*An02g09970* and Δ*An14g03570* deletion strains growing on weak

acids. Plates were inoculated with a 10-fold dilution series of conidial suspensions.

676 **TABLE 2** MIC values (in mM) for *A. niger* WT and Δ*An14g03570* deletion strain.[a]

Acid	MIC (mM)	
	WT	**Δ*An14g03570***
Benzoic	4.67 ± 0.12	3.4 ± 0.20
Pentanoic	3.70 ± 0.35	2.6 ± 0.30
Sorbic	5.00 ± 0.35	3.8 ± 0.35

677 [a]Values are averages of 3 biological replicates ± StdDev.

FIG 6 Growth of *S. cerevisiae* complemented strains on weak acids. Ten-fold dilution series

of *S. cerevisiae* strains (isogenic with the BY4743 wild type) were inoculated onto medium

containing weak acids. The Δ*pdr12* strain was transformed with either empty plasmid

(+YEp351), YEp351 plasmid containing *PDR12* (+*PDR12)* or YEp351 plasmid containing

An14g03570 ORF and *PDR12* promoter and terminator (+*An14g03570*). Two independent

transformants of the +*An14g03570* strain are shown.

FIG 7 Sorbic acid dose response curves for *A. niger* conidia. (A) Dose response curves of

germinated (blue lines) and ungerminated (black/grey lines) WT conidia, and comparison of

slope values. Dose response curve slope values were compared with 2-way Welch's T-test (p=

0.0404) n = 2-3. (B) Dose response curves of WT (black/grey lines) and Δ*An14g03570* (pink/red

lines) conidia and comparison of dose response curve slope values, compared with 2-way

Welch's T-test (p = 0.0468) n = 3. Two representative independent experiments are shown in

the dose response curve.

 LEGENDS TO SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES (data provided in separate attachments)

FIG S1 Radial growth of the *A. fumigatus* Δ*metR* strain on medium containing 0.5 mM sorbic

acid, with or without 0.5 mM methionine.

FIG S2 PCR and Southern Blot confirmation of *wasA* deletion. (A) Targeted gene deletion

strategy. The *wasA* ORF was replaced with a hygromycin resistance cassette. (B) PCR

confirmation of *wasA* deletion. Primer pair 1 was used to confirm deletion of *wasA* ORF –

deletion strains are negative, WT is positive. Primer pair 2 was used to confirm integration of

HygR at the *wasA* locus. (C) Southern blotting of *wasA* and *ohbA1/wasA* deletion strains.

gDNA of strains was digested with *Hin*dIII restriction enzyme. Membranes were hybridised

with a probe consisting of Digoxigenin-UTP labelled *HygR*. Single bands confirm single

integration of deletion cassette into the *A. niger* genome. Transformants Δ*wasA_12* and

ΔΔ*ohbA1/wasA_12* were used for experiments.

FIG S3 (A) Growth of the Δ*wasA* strain conidia on medium containing sorbic acid. Plates were

inoculated with ~100 conidia and incubated for 2 d (control medium) or 3 d (medium

containing 1 mM sorbic acid). (B) Radial growth of ΔΔ*ohbA1/wasA* strain on medium

containing 1 mM sorbic acid. Plates were inoculated with a 10-fold dilution series of conidial

suspensions; approximate numbers of conidia are indicated at the top. Images were captured

after 2 d growth at 28°C, and are representative of 2-3 independent experiments.

FIG S4 Radial growth of complemented Δ*wasA* strains on medium containing 2 mM benzoic

acid. Plates were inoculated with a 10-fold dilution series of conidial suspensions.

Approximate numbers of conidia are indicated above the pictures. Images were captured

after 2 d growth at 28°C. Two independent complemented lines are shown.

FIG S5 PCR and Southern Blotting of *An02g09970* and *An14g03570* deletion strains. (A)

Targeted gene deletion strategy. The *An02g09970* and *An14g03570* ORFs were replaced with

a hygromycin resistance cassette. (B) PCR confirmation of *An02g09970* deletion. Primer pair

1 was used to confirm deletion of the *An02g09970* ORF – deletion strains are negative, WT is

positive. Primer pairs 2 and 3 were used to confirm integration of *HygR* at the *An02g09970*

locus. (C) PCR confirmation of *An14g03570* deletion. Primer pair 1 was used to confirm

deletion of the *An14g03570* ORF – deletion strains are negative, WT is positive. Primer pairs

2 and 3 were used to confirm integration of *HygR* at the *An14g03570* locus. (D) Southern

blotting of Δ*An02g09970* and Δ*An14g03570* deletion strains. gDNA of strains was digested

with the restriction enzymes *Xba*I (for Δ*An02g09970*) or *Eco*RV (for Δ*An14g03570*).

Membranes were hybridised with a probe consisting of Digoxigenin-UDP labelled *HygR*. Single

bands confirm single integration of deletion cassette into the *A. niger* genome (multiple

integrations apparent for Δ*An02g09970_39*). Transformants Δ*An14g03570_4* and

ΔAn02g09970_29 were used for experiments.

FIG S6 Radial growth of complemented Δ*An14g03570* strains on medium containing 2mM

benzoic acid. Plates were inoculated with a 10-fold dilution series of conidial suspensions.

Two independent complemented lines are shown. A Δ*An14g03570* strain containing the

empty pAN7.1*BAR* plasmid is also shown.

FIG S7 Plasmid map of YEp351 containing An14g03570

FIG S8 Plasmid map of pAN7.1*BAR*.

TABLE S1 RPKM and Log$_2$FC values for *A. niger* genes (Excel file).

TABLE S2 List of primers used in this study (Excel file).