1
8   **A method for rapid selection of randomly induced mutations in a gene**
9   **of interest using CRISPR/Cas9 mediated activation of gene expression.**

19   William A. Ng[*], Andrew Ma[*], Molly Chen[*], Bruce H. Reed[*¶]

27   [*]Department of Biology, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada N2L 3G1.

28
29   [¶]Author responsible for correspondence with journal:
30   Bruce H. Reed, Department of Biology, University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue
31   West, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1. Phone: 519-888-4567 x38085, Fax: 519-
32   746-0614
33   Email: reed@uwaterloo.ca
34

35   Running title: Rapid selection of randomly induced mutations using CRISPR/Cas9

36   Key words: CRISPR/Cas9, gene overexpression, mutant screen, *hindsight/RREB-1*
37

Abstract

We have developed a CRISPR/Cas9 based method for isolating randomly induced

recessive lethal mutations in a gene of interest (GOI) by selection within the F1 progeny

of a single genetic cross. Our method takes advantage of the ability to overexpress a GOI

using CRISPR/Cas9 mediated activation of gene expression. In essence, the screening

strategy is based upon the idea that if overexpression of a wild type allele can generate a

phenotype, then overexpression of a newly induced loss-of-function allele will lack this

phenotype. As a proof-of-principle, we used this method to select EMS induced

mutations of the Drosophila gene *hindsight* (*hnt*). From approximately 45,000 F1

progeny we recovered 8 new EMS induced loss-of-function *hnt* alleles that we

characterized as an allelic series of hypomorphic mutations. This new method can, in

theory, be used to recover randomly induced point mutants in a GOI and can be applied

to any circumstance where CRISPR/Cas9 mediated activation of gene expression is

associated with lethality or a visible phenotype.

Introduction

CRISPR/Cas9 based genome editing represents a significant advance within the field of genetics. In general, co-expression of Cas9 and an engineered single guide RNA (sgRNA) forms a sequence homology-dependent endonuclease that creates DNA double-stranded breaks (DSBs) within a specified target sequence (JINEK *et al.* 2012), and this has also been successfully used in Drosophila (BASSETT *et al.* 2013; GRATZ *et al.* 2013). DSBs can be repaired by the cell's endogenous DNA repair machinery, either through error-prone non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ), possibly resulting in mutations – small insertions or deletion, or through homology directed repair (HDR), which requires template DNA containing sequences homologous to the regions flanking the DSB. The CRISPR/Cas9 system is now routinely used to facilitate gene knockout and gene replacement strategies in various model genetic organisms (MA AND LIU 2015).

The CRISPR/Cas9 system is remarkable in that it allows the localization of a protein/RNA complex (Cas9 + sgRNA) to a specific sequence within the genome, as specified through a 17-20 nucleotide region of the sgRNA molecule. Being able to localize a protein to a specific DNA sequence opens up many new opportunities, and Cas9 has been modified to create several new tools and techniques. By mutating the catalytic sites of the Cas9 endonuclease, a catalytically inactive or "dead" Cas9 (dCas9) has been developed (QI *et al.* 2013). Various dCas9 fusion proteins have subsequently been designed, and the dCas9 system can be used for a variety of new applications, including the activation or repression of gene transcription, site-specific chromatin modification, or visualization of specific chromosome sites in living cells - see (PULECIO *et al.* 2017; ADLI 2018) for reviews.

For Drosophila researchers, prior to the development of CRISPR/Cas9, targeted

gene knockouts or gene replacement by homologous recombination were both possible,

but challenging and labor intensive endeavors – see (BIBIKOVA *et al.* 2002; LIU *et al.*

2012) for reviews of pre-CRISPR/Cas9 techniques. CRISPR/Cas9 strategies for gene

knockout and gene replacement are now common techniques for many Drosophila

research groups. Building on the *GAL4/UAS* system of inducible gene expression,

CRISPR/Cas9 approaches for tissue specific target gene knockdown or overexpression in

Drosophila are now also possible; resources, including >1600 transgenic stocks

expressing different sgRNAs made by the Drosophila RNAi Screening Center and

Transgenic RNAi Project (DRSC/TRiP) are publically available – see (BIER *et al.* 2018)

for overview of resources. In the case of targeted gene overexpression, the TRiP

transgenic lines were designed to ubiquitously express two sgRNAs targeted to sequences

upstream of a gene's transcriptional start site (TSS) (LIN *et al.* 2015; EWEN-CAMPEN *et*

al. 2017). These lines are known as *TRiP-OE*, or *TOE* lines. Target gene overexpression

is achieved by crossing a *TOE* line to a line that carries a *GAL4* driver of one's choice

and *UAS-dCas9.VPR*, which is dead-Cas9 fused to the VPR transcriptional activation

domain. Other sgRNA lines known as *TRiP-KO*, or *TKO* lines, designed for gene

knockout, ubiquitously express a single sgRNA targeted to the coding region of a GOI,

and can be used to create germline or somatic mutations.

We are interested in the regulation and function of the gene *hindsight* (*hnt*), which

is the Drosophila homolog of mammalian *Ras Responsive Element Binding Protein-1*

(*RREB-1*) (MELANI *et al.* 2008; MING *et al.* 2013). Most mutations of *hnt* are recessive

and embryonic lethal, and fail in the morphogenetic process of germ band retraction (YIP

et al. 1997). In this study we confirm *hnt* overexpression using a *TRiP-OE sgRNA* with

GAL4 and *UAS-dCas9.VPR*. Moreover, by reducing the number of functional copies of

hnt$^+$ from two to one, we found that known loss-of-function *hnt* mutations heterozygous

to a wild type allele (*hnt/ hnt*$^+$) can suppress the *hnt* overexpression phenotype.

Subsequently, we developed a method for screening for new loss-of-function *hnt* alleles.

Our method is applicable to any GOI provided two requirements can be satisfied: first,

the GOI must be associated with an overexpression phenotype induced by *UAS-*

dCas9.VPR expression under *GAL4* control along with expression of an appropriate

sgRNA; and second, the overexpression phenotype must either be sensitive to the dosage

of wild type alleles, or if such is not the case, a refractory allele (an allele that is

unresponsive to dCas9.VPR mediated activation of gene expression, but otherwise wild

type) must be available. We demonstrate that *TOE-sgRNA* expression with active Cas9 is

an efficient way to recover refractory alleles.

We present the results of this new screening strategy in which randomly induced

recessive lethal alleles are selected in an F1 visible screen. Following EMS treatment of

a responsive line, and crossing to a refractory line that carries *TOE-sgRNA + GAL4 +*

UAS-dCas9.VPR, we screened for the absence of an overexpression phenotype and, in so

doing, selected 8 new alleles of *hnt* from ~45,000 F1 progeny over a period of 2-3 weeks.

The recovery of numerous mutant alleles in a GOI is potentially useful for

analysis of mutation profiles, or for the rapid recovery of an allelic series for a GOI. The

ability to overexpress mutant alleles using dCas9.VPR mediated activation of gene

expression can also be useful in classifying alleles as hypomorphic or nullomorphic.

Materials and Methods

Drosophila stocks

All Drosophila cultures were raised on standard medium at 25°C under a 12 hour light/dark cycle regime, unless otherwise indicated. Most stocks used in this study were either obtained from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Resource Center (BDRC), or derived from stocks obtained from the BDRC. The eye specific *GAL4* driver was *GMR-GAL4 = P{GAL4-ninaE.GMR}12* (BDRC #1104). The stock ubiquitously expressing sgRNA targeted to *hnt* upstream region was *TOE-GS00052 = y sc* v sev^{21}; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TOE.GS00052}attP40* (BDRC #67530). The dCas9 transcriptional activator used was *UAS-dCas9.VPR = y w*; Kr^{If} /CyO; P{y[+t7.7] w[+mC]=UAS-3xFLAG.dCas9.VPR}attP2* (BDSC #66562). RNAi knockdown of *hnt* was achieved using *UAS-hnt-RNAi = y sc* v sev^{21}; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.HMS00894}attP2/ TM3, Sb* (BDRC #33943). The expression of active Cas9 in the germline used *GAL4-nos.NGT; UAS-Cas9.P2 = w*; P{w[+mC]=GAL4-nos.NGT}40; P{y[+t7.7]=UAS-Cas9.P2}attP2* (BDRC #67083). The temperature sensitive *hnt* hypomorphic allele used was *hnt^{peb} = peb v* (BDRC #80). The deletion of the *hnt* gene used was *Df(1)ED6727 = Df(1)ED6727, w^{1118} P{w[+mW.Scer\FRT.hs3]=3'.RS5+3.3'}ED6727/FM7h* (BDRC #8956). The chromosome used in the mutagenesis screen was *w PBac{RB}e02388b* (Exelixis at Harvard medical School #e02388). Pre-existing *hnt* alleles used included *hnt^{XE81}*, *hnt^{1142}*, *hnt^{308}*, and *hnt^{345x}* as previously described (YIP *et al.* 1997; WILK *et al.* 2000; REED *et al.* 2001; FARLEY *et al.* 2018). Confocal imaging of pupal eye made use of *Ubi-DE-*

cadherin-GFP as previously described (REED *et al.* 2004). Complementation crosses

were facilitated by the transgenic line *peb*$^{BAC-CH321-46J02}$ as described (FARLEY *et al.* 2018).

GAL4 > UAS based overexpression of *hnt* used *UAS-GFP-hnt* as previously described

(BAECHLER *et al.* 2015). This line *UAS-GFP-Hnt*, however, resulted in pupal lethality in

combination with *GMR-GAL4*. To achieve lower levels of *hnt* overexpression not

resulting in pupal lethality, the *UAS-GFP-hnt* insertion was mobilized by crossing to the

transposase line Δ*2-3* (BDRC #3629). The insertion line *UAS-GFP-hnt*^{J27} was recovered

and found to be viable in combination with the same *GMR-GAL4* driver.

EMS Mutagenesis

3-5 day old males of the *w PBac{RB}e02388b* stock were collected and starved

for 2 hours by placing in empty vials. Following starvation, male flies were transferred

to bottles containing Kimwipe tissues soaked in a 2% sucrose solution containing 25 mM

EMS (Sigma-Aldrich) and allowed to feed overnight. They were subsequently placed in

vials with 3-5 day old virgin *RGV* females in crowded conditions and allowed to mate for

6-8 hours (30-40 virgin females with same number of males). Mated females and males

were transferred to bottles for two days at which point they were removed.

Molecular Characterization of Refractory Mutants
165
In order to characterize refractory alleles we extracted genomic DNA from a

pooled group of 20-30 isogenic male flies using gSYNC DNA Extraction Kits (Geneaid)

according to supplier's instructions. We used 2.5 μL of isolated genomic DNA in 25 μL

PCR reactions (2X FroggaMix; FroggaBio) utilizing primers flanking the guide target

regions at ~400 bp upstream and downstream of the sgRNA target sequences. PCR

products were purified using GenepHlow Gel/PCR Kits (Geneaid) then sent out for

Sanger sequencing using both forward and reverse primers.

Complementation crosses

New *hnt* alleles, maintained as balanced lines over *FM7h*, were tested for

complementation by crossing to *hnt^{peb}* males at the restrictive temperature 29°C as well

as males of the following genotype: *y w hnt^{XE81}/Y; peb^{BAC-CH321-46J02}/ TM6C, Sb*. Failure

to complement *hnt^{peb}* was indicated by a rough eye phenotype, while failure to

complement *hnt^{XE81}* was indicated by the absence of *B^+ Sb* female progeny carrying

neither the *FM7h* balancer or the *peb^{BAC}* insertion.

Immunostaining and Imaging

Immunostaining of embryos was carried out as described (REED *et al.* 2001).

Primary antibodies were used at the indicated dilutions: mouse monoclonal anti-

Hindsight (Hnt) 27B8 1G9 (1:25; from H. Lipshitz, University of Toronto), guinea pig

polyclonal anti-Hindsight (1:1000; from H. Lipshitz, University of Toronto). Secondary

antibodies used were: Alexa Flour® 488 goat anti-mouse and TRITC goat anti-guinea pig

(1:500; Cedarlane Labs). In most cases *hnt* mutant embryos were recognized by their u-

shaped morphology, which is associated with a failure to complete germ band retraction

and premature death of the amnioserosa.

Confocal microscopy and confocal image processing were performed as

previously described (CORMIER *et al.* 2012). Eye micrographs (Fig. 1A,B) were taken

using a Nikon SMZ25 stereomicroscope equipped with Nikon Digital Sight Ri2 16.25MP

colour camera and processed using extended-depth-of-focus feature of Nikon NIS-

Elements Arv4.50 software. Other eye micrographs were acquired using a compound

Olympus microscope with a 5X objective and oblique illumination. Z stacks were

collected by manually adjusting the focal plane as images were collected using a mobile

phone camera positioned over the ocular lens. Images collected were processed using

ImageJ and an extended depth of focus plugin (SCHNEIDER *et al.* 2012).

Data Availability Statement:

All Drosophila stocks used or recovered in this study are available upon request. The

authors affirm that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions of the article are

present within the article, figures, and tables.

Results

Overall, we present our results as proof-of-principle for the concept that loss-of-function

alleles in a GOI can be selected in an F1 screen. By way of example, in the following

sections we describe our observations regarding *hnt* overexpression phenotypes, dosage

sensitivity, the recovery of refractory *hnt* alleles, and the design and results of our novel

screen for new *hnt* loss-of-function alleles.

Characterization of dCas9.VPR Mediated Activation of *hnt* Expression

Previous work demonstrates CRISPR/Cas9 mediated *in vivo* activation of *hnt*

expression. In this proof-of-principle example, ectopic *hnt* expression is shown in the

third larval instar wing imaginal disc (EWEN-CAMPEN *et al.* 2017). To further investigate

CRISPR/Cas9 mediated overexpression of *hnt*, we used the *TRiP-TOE* insertion line

TOE-GS00052, which ubiquitously expresses two sgRNAs, targeted to 110-129 bps and

183-203 bps upstream of the TSS of *hnt* (Fig. 1A). Crossing line *TOE-GS00052* to a line

that carries the eye specific *GMR-GAL4* driver and *UAS-dCas9.VPR* resulted in pupal

lethality at 25°C, but viable and fertile adults with a strong rough eye phenotype at 18°C.

The *hnt* overexpression phenotype, which we have also observed in the context of *GMR-*

GAL4 > UAS-GFP-Hnt, is distinctive in that the eye margin is consistently more

pigmented than the inner region, giving the appearance of dark rings around the eyes

(Fig. 1 B,C). We confirmed that the rough eye phenotype in the context of *GAL4>UAS-*

dCas9.VPR + TOE-GSGS00052 is attributable to the overexpression of *hnt* by co-

expression of *UAS-hnt-RNAi*, which resulted in a full suppression of the rough eye

phenotype (Fig. 1E,F).

Through a series of crosses carried out at 18°C, we recovered a stock carrying all

three transgenes required for CRISPR/Cas9 mediated activation of *hnt* expression in the

pupal eye (i.e. *GMR-GAL4*, *TOE-GS00052*, and *UAS-dCas9.VPR*). When transferred to

25°C, this stock was 100% pupal lethal, with no adult escapers. To test the dosage

responsiveness of *hnt* overexpression, we crossed males of the overexpression stock

(reared at 18°C) to females carrying a balanced deletion of *hnt* (*hnt* is an *X*-linked gene,

so the stock was *Df(1)ED6727/FM7*). Interestingly, at 25°C all progeny of this cross are

FM7$^+$ females and display the distinctive *hnt* overexpression rough eye phenotype,

identical to *hnt$^+$/hnt$^+$* overexpression phenotype at 18°C. We subsequently found that

other *hnt* alleles previously characterized as strong loss-of-function alleles (*hnt^{XE81}*,

hnt^{1142}, *hnt^{345x}*) also behave the same way, and only produce *FM7$^+$* female progeny at

25°C (Fig. 1D). Other *hnt* alleles tested, including the hypomorphic semi-lethal allele

hnt^{308}, the temperature sensitive hypomorphic allele *hnt^{peb}*, and the lethal allele *hnt^{PL67}*,

do not produce progeny at 25°C.

The recovery of *hnt* alleles refractory to CRISPR/Cas9 mediated overexpression.

The above results suggest that suppression of dCas9.VPR mediated activation of

gene expression could be used not only to characterize existing alleles, but to select

newly induced loss-of-function mutations in a GOI. To be broadly applicable, however,

it is necessary to recover GOI alleles that are refractory to CRISPR/Cas9 mediated

activation of gene expression, but are in all other respects wild type. In general, such

"GOI^{REF}" alleles permit the recovery of heterozygotes in situations where an

overexpression phenotype is not sensitive to dosage or temperature. For example, in a

CRISPR/Cas9 overexpression background, a GOI^{REF}/GOI^{+} heterozygote might be

associated with a visible phenotype or be lethal, whereas a GOI^{REF}/GOI^{null} heterozygote

would be incapable of GOI overexpression and would, therefore, have normal viability

and no overexpression phenotype.

In order to recover hnt^{REF} alleles (*hnt* alleles refractory to CRISPR/Cas9 mediated

activation of gene expression – but otherwise wild type), we used the approach of

transmitting the ubiquitously expressed TOE-sgRNA transgene *GS00052* through the

female germline with active Cas9 expression (crossing scheme is outlined in Fig. 2). In

our case, we were able to cross such females to males carrying all three transgenes

required for CRISPR/Cas9 mediated activation of gene expression of *hnt* in the pupal eye

(*i.e.* the *y w* ; *GMR-GAL4 TOE-GS00052* ; *UAS-dCas9.VPR* stock that is viable at 18°C).

Progeny of this cross that carried all three transgenes, but not displaying the

overexpression phenotype were found in abundance. In order to recover isogenic

refractory lines, single refractory males were crossed with *FM7* females, and through

selection and backcrossing, isogenic refractory lines devoid of the autosomal transgenes

were established (Fig. 2, crossing scheme 1). Two such *y w* lines were isolated and

sequenced and both proved to carry deletions in both sgRNA target sites as compared to

the control parental *y w* sequence (since *hnt* and *w* are tightly linked, we selected *y w*

hnt^{REF} lines to ensure that we would be comparing the sequence of newly induced *hnt^{REF}*

alleles with the sequence from the parental *y w* line). Other lines were established by

backcrossing single refractory males to *y w* ; *GMR-GAL4 TOE-GS00052 / CyO* ; *UAS-*

dCas9.VPR / TM6 females (raised at 18°C). Subsequent crosses performed at 25°C

allowed the selection of heterozygous viable *hnt^{+}*/ *hnt^{REF}* females, which were again

backcrossed, allowing for selection a triple homozygous stock: *hnt^{REF}; GMR-GAL4 TOE-*

GS00052; UAS-dCas9.VPR, from here on referred to as the "*RGV*" stock (Fig. 2, crossing

scheme 2).

We found the *RGV* stock to be viable with no overexpression phenotype raised at

25°C. We also checked the *RGV* stock by crossing females to *hnt^{+}* males (responsive to

dCas9.VPR mediated activation of gene expression) and confirmed the expected

phenotypes of viable female *hnt^{+}*/*hnt^{REF}* progeny displaying the overexpression eye

phenotype and viable male *hnt^{REF}* progeny with no overexpression phenotype. Likewise,

we confirmed that *hnt^{+}*/*hnt^{+}* females crossed to *RGV* males results in only female

progeny that display the overexpression phenotype. In addition, we also retested

Df(1)ED6727 as well as the strong *hnt* alleles (*hnt^{XE81}*, *hnt^{1142}*, *hnt^{345x}*) by crossing

females of these *FM7* balanced lines to *RGV* males, which confirmed that *FM7^{+}* female

progeny (*hnt^{-}*/*hnt^{REF}*) are viable and show no overexpression phenotype. Similar crosses

to other *hnt* alleles (*hnt^{peb}*, *hnt^{308}*, and *hnt^{PL67}*) confirmed that female heterozygous

progeny (hnt^-/hnt^{REF}) are, in these cases, viable but do show the hnt overexpression

phenotype.

An F1 visible screen for recessive lethal mutations of *hnt* using the RGV stock.

290   As a proof-of-principle, we used the RGV stock to screen for new mutant alleles

of hnt induced by EMS mutagenesis. In the screen (outlined in Fig. 3), RGV virgin

females were crossed to mutagenized males carrying the $[w^+]$ insertion

$PBac\{RB\}e02388b$, which is tightly linked to hnt (inserted 6104 bp upstream) and has an

unusual and easily scored crescent pattern of $[w^+]$ expression in the posterior eye.

Recovery of newly induced hnt mutations was performed as an F1 visible screen for

female progeny lacking the hnt overexpression phenotype. We screened approximately

45,000 progeny, from which we isolated 39 F1 females lacking the hnt eye

overexpression phenotype. Of the 39 rare female progeny, 15 lines bred true and were

established as balanced stocks over $FM7h,w$ lacking the autosomal $[w^+]$ transgenes

GMR-$GAL4$ and UAS-$dCas9.VPR$ from the RGV stock.

Characterization of new *hnt* alleles.

303   Balanced lines recovered in the screen were retested for their refractory nature by

crossing to RGV males and also tested for complementation with hnt^{XE81} (see Materials

and Methods for description of complementation cross). Following retesting, we

recovered eight new mutant alleles of hnt (all lethal alleles) and seven lines that carried

new hnt^{REF} (hnt^+) alleles. Of note, $hnt^{REF-BHR7}$ was found to be mildly responsive to

overexpression in males in the RGV retest, but completely refractory in females. All new

hnt^REF alleles were sequenced by direct PCR sequencing (Fig. 4). The line *hnt^REF-BHR7*

was found to have a single base pair change A >T within the first sgRNA target

sequence, and *hnt^REF-WN72* was found to have a single base pair change of C >T within the

PAM motif of the second sgRNA target sequence. Interestingly, these two *hnt^REF* alleles,

where each is associated with a single base pair change affecting one sgRNA target

sequence or the other, suggests that dCas9.VPR activation of *hnt* expression is only

effective when both guide target sequences are fully intact and functional. All other

hnt^REF lines were found to contain an identical deletion spanning from the first guide

target to the second. Since each of these five lines was isolated from the same bottle, this

likely corresponds to a "premeiotic cluster", resulting from a mutation induced in a

germline stem cell. Overall, therefore, we conclude that our screen resulted in the

recovery of eight new *hnt* mutant alleles and only three new *hnt^REF* alleles.

All new *hnt* mutant alleles were also confirmed by failure to complement the

temperature sensitive hypomorphic allele *hnt^peb* at the restrictive temperature of 29°C.

Using *Ubi-DEcadherin-GFP*, we imaged pupal eyes of all *hnt^peb*/*hnt^new* heteroallelic

combinations at 25°C, which we found to be a more sensitive background for the *hnt^peb*

phenotype. The severity of each allele was ranked by quantification of cones cells, which

invariably number four per ommatidium in wild type (Fig. 5A). Mutant ommatidia

frequently contain fewer than four cone cells per ommatidium (Fig. 5B-D, white

arrowheads). Occasionally mutant ommatidia were found to contain 5 or 6 cone cells;

these likely result from ommatidial fusion as they also contain three rather than two

primary pigment cells (Fig. 5C, blue arrowheads). According to this analysis, none of the

newly induced alleles is as severe as the *Df(1)ED6727* control, suggesting that none

correspond to a null allele. We subsequently performed immunostaining on all lines

using both monoclonal and polyclonal anti-Hnt antibodies. All alleles were found to be

anti-Hnt positive using the polyclonal antibody (Figure 6, Table 1). We subsequently

found that the strong hypomorphic EMS induced allele hnt^{XE81}, previously described as

antibody-null (using the same monoclonal antibody 27B8 1G9), also stains positive for

Hnt using the polyclonal antibody (Fig. 6E). Interestingly, two alleles (hnt^{BHR49} and

hnt^{WN52}) were monoclonal negative but polyclonal positive, and although the polyclonal

signal was expressed with correct tissue specificity, it did not show the normal nuclear

localization of Hnt (Fig. 6D). These results further support the notion that none of these

new *hnt* alleles corresponds to a null allele and that most EMS induced alleles of *hnt*

produce non-functional protein.

Discussion

Overall, we have invented a new way to recover randomly induced mutations in a

GOI based on CRISPR/Cas9 mediated activation of gene expression. As a proof-of-

principle we applied this method to the gene *hnt* and recovered 8 new loss-of-function

alleles. In addition to newly induced loss of function alleles, we also recovered newly

induced refractory chromosomes in which the sgRNA target sequences had undergone

mutation. While the recovery of new refractory alleles represents a source of false

positives for this screening method, such false "hits" are easily recognized by

complementation tests and sequencing the sgRNA target sites.

Considering the 8 new *hnt* alleles recovered, all showed a strong refractory

phenotype in the cross to the *RGV* stock. However, we also isolated many F1 progeny

that showed intermediate phenotypes. We were very interested in the possibility of

recovering weak loss-of-function _hnt_ alleles from these "hits", but none of these lines

bred true as X-linked mutations. We were also aware of the possibility of recovering

dose-dependent second site modifiers. Two such lines were indeed recovered that

consistently resulted in an intermediate dCas9.VPR mediated _hnt_ overexpression

phenotype, but do not map to the X chromosome. Further analysis of these lines may

allow us to identify genes that are required for the full penetrance of the _hnt_

overexpression phenotype. Such genes may ultimately shed light on the pathways and

target genes regulated by Hnt.

General Comments on Setting up an Overexpression Screen

As mentioned above, it is not an absolute requirement that a GOI show dosage

sensitivity in order to design an overexpression screen, but it is useful to determine if this

is the case. If the overexpression phenotype for a GOI is visible, with good viability and

fertility, then one can determine if it is sensitive to gene dosage by crossing the

overexpression background (_sgRNA + GAL4 driver + UAS-dCas9.VPR_) to a known loss-

of-function allele or a deletion of the GOI and assaying for suppression of the visible

phenotype. If such is the case, a screening strategy can be developed without using an

allele that is refractory to dCas9.VPR mediated gene expression. If, however, the GOI is

associated with a visible overexpression phenotype that is not sensitive to gene dosage, or

if overexpression of a single responsive allele proves to be lethal, then an allele of the

GOI that is refractory to dCas9.VPR mediated activation of gene expression is required.

In this latter case, a refractory allele heterozygous to a loss-of-function or deletion of a

GOI in the dCas9.VPR overexpression background will be viable and have no

overexpression phenotype (lethal or visible).

Can these Techniques be Generalized?

The generalization of our screening method will likely depend on the ability to

recover refractory alleles of the GOI – that is, alleles whose only phenotype is that of not

being responsive to CRISPR/Cas9 mediated activation of gene expression. Two

questions remain to be answered before this technique can be generalized. First, is it

always possible to create a refractory allele for a GOI, or is this only possible for certain

genes? And second, if the overexpression phenotype for a GOI is not sensitive to

temperature or gene dosage, would it still be possible to recover a refractory allele? The

first question cannot be answered until CRISPR/Cas9 mediated activation of gene

expression is used on more genes. In regards to the second question, it is clear that the

development of our system was greatly enhanced by the striking temperature and gene

dosage sensitivity of the *hnt* overexpression phenotype in the pupal eye. But what if just

one copy of the GOI is sufficient to generate a lethal phenotype in the background of

CRISPR/Cas9 mediated activation of gene expression (*sgRNA + GAL4 + UAS-*

dCas9.VPR)? Assuming the structure of a gene is such that it can be mutated to create a

refractory chromosome, it should still be possible to select viable refractory alleles. This

could be accomplished by a series of crosses in which a chromosome carrying a wild type

allele in the GOI is put in the background of the TOE-sgRNA and germline expression of

active Cas9. The potentially mutated chromosome can then be recovered as a stock

without the active Cas9 but still carrying the TOE-sg-RNA transgene. Crossing such

stocks to a background carrying a *Df* for the GOI along with the appropriate *GAL4 +*

UAS-dCas9.VPR to drive overexpression will allow selection of refractory alleles.

Further studies will be required to determine if such techniques are feasible.

Gene Overexpression as New Method for Characterizing Alleles

In general, the approach of dCas9.VPR mediated expression of mutant alleles can

be considered as a new method for characterizing alleles. In a way, this is similar to

Muller's classical test for hypomorphic alleles through the synthesis of duplications

containing a third copy of the mutant allele being tested. In this case, a third copy of a

hypomorphic allele is expected to ameliorate the mutant phenotype compared to the

phenotype of the homozygous euploid mutant (MULLER 1932). In the case of

dCas9.VPR mediated activation of gene expression, if an overexpression phenotype is

suppressed in mutant heterozygote (*m*/+) relative to the wild type homozygote (+/+),

then two possibilities arise: 1) the mutant allele may not encode a functional protein; or

2) the mutant allele may be refractory to overexpression through mutation or deletion of

its sgRNA target sequences. Although these two possibilities may not be mutually

exclusive, sequencing the sgRNA target sequences of a mutant allele could rule out the

second possibility and thereby suggest that a particular allele is associated with a

disruption of the protein-coding region of the GOI. The characterization of mutant alleles

can be further improved if a refractory allele of the GOI is available *(+^{REF})* and the

mutant is known to have intact sgRNA target sequences. In this situation, any phenotype

resulting from CRISPR/Cas9 mediated activation of gene expression in the heterozygote

(+^{REF}/*m*), would suggest that the mutant allele is able to express a protein that is fully or

partially functional. In this case, the mutant is more likely to be hypomorphic in nature,

and could be associated with reduced gene expression or reduced protein function.

Consistent with this, we found that the allele hnt^{308} was fully responsive to dCas9.VPR

mediated activation of gene expression. This allele, which is associated with a *P* element

insertion 226 base pairs upstream of the TSS of *hnt*, was previously characterized as

hypomorphic and displays a lower level of *hnt* expression (REED *et al.* 2001). The

dCas9.VPR activation of hnt^{308} expression is consistent with the hypomorphic nature of

this allele, but also indicates that the sgRNA target sequences on the hnt^{308} chromosome

are intact and that the protein coding region can produce Hnt product that is sufficient to

generate the overexpression phenotype. The same interpretations also apply to the allele

hnt^{PL67}, which is an enhancer trap line associated with embryonic lethality but is largely

uncharacterized.

Acknowledgements

Stocks obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Resource Center (NIH

P40OD018537) were used in this study. We are grateful to the BDRC as well as the

Harvard Medical School for genetic stocks. We are grateful to H. Lipshitz (University of

Toronto) for additional stocks and reagents. We thank C. Steele of Nikon Canada Inc. for

assistance with microphotography. This work was supported by a grant to B.H.R. from

the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC RGPIN-

2015-04458).

References

Adli, M., 2018 The CRISPR tool kit for genome editing and beyond. Nat Commun 9**:** 1911.

Baechler, B. L., C. McKnight, P. C. Pruchnicki, N. A. Biro and B. H. Reed, 2015 Hindsight/RREB-1 functions in both the specification and differentiation of stem cells in the adult midgut of Drosophila. Biology Open.

Bassett, A. R., C. Tibbit, C. P. Ponting and J. L. Liu, 2013 Highly efficient targeted mutagenesis of Drosophila with the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Cell Rep 4**:** 220-228.

Bibikova, M., M. Golic, K. G. Golic and D. Carroll, 2002 Targeted chromosomal cleavage and mutagenesis in Drosophila using zinc-finger nucleases. Genetics 161**:** 1169-1175.

Bier, E., M. M. Harrison, K. M. O'Connor-Giles and J. Wildonger, 2018 Advances in Engineering the Fly Genome with the CRISPR-Cas System. Genetics 208**:** 1-18.

Cormier, O., N. Mohseni, I. Voytyuk and B. H. Reed, 2012 Autophagy can promote but is not required for epithelial cell extrusion in the amnioserosa of the Drosophila embryo. Autophagy 8**:** 252-264.

Ewen-Campen, B., D. Yang-Zhou, V. R. Fernandes, D. P. Gonzalez, L. P. Liu *et al.*, 2017 Optimized strategy for in vivo Cas9-activation in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114**:** 9409-9414.

Farley, J. E., T. C. Burdett, R. Barria, L. J. Neukomm, K. P. Kenna *et al.*, 2018 Transcription factor Pebbled/RREB1 regulates injury-induced axon degeneration. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

Gratz, S. J., A. M. Cummings, J. N. Nguyen, D. C. Hamm, L. K. Donohue *et al.*, 2013 Genome engineering of Drosophila with the CRISPR RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease. Genetics 194**:** 1029-1035.

Jinek, M., K. Chylinski, I. Fonfara, M. Hauer, J. A. Doudna *et al.*, 2012 A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. Science 337**:** 816-821.

Lin, S., B. Ewen-Campen, X. Ni, B. E. Housden and N. Perrimon, 2015 In Vivo Transcriptional Activation Using CRISPR/Cas9 in Drosophila. Genetics 201**:** 433-442.

Liu, J., C. Li, Z. Yu, P. Huang, H. Wu *et al.*, 2012 Efficient and specific modifications of the Drosophila genome by means of an easy TALEN strategy. J Genet Genomics 39**:** 209-215.

Ma, D., and F. Liu, 2015 Genome Editing and Its Applications in Model Organisms. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics 13**:** 336-344.

Melani, M., K. J. Simpson, J. S. Brugge and D. Montell, 2008 Regulation of cell adhesion and collective cell migration by hindsight and its human homolog RREB1. Curr Biol 18**:** 532-537.

Ming, L., R. Wilk, B. H. Reed and H. D. Lipshitz, 2013 Drosophila Hindsight and mammalian RREB-1 are evolutionarily conserved DNA-binding transcriptional attenuators. Differentiation 86**:** 159-170.

492   Muller, H. J., 1932 Further studies on the nature and causes of gene mutations.
493   Proceedings of the 6th International Congress of Genetics 1**:** 213-215.
494   Pulecio, J., N. Verma, E. Mejia-Ramirez, D. Huangfu and A. Raya, 2017 CRISPR/Cas9-
495   Based Engineering of the Epigenome. Cell Stem Cell 21**:** 431-447.
496   Qi, L. S., M. H. Larson, L. A. Gilbert, J. A. Doudna, J. S. Weissman *et al.*, 2013
497   Repurposing CRISPR as an RNA-guided platform for sequence-specific
498   control of gene expression. Cell 152**:** 1173-1183.
499   Reed, B. H., R. Wilk and H. D. Lipshitz, 2001 Downregulation of Jun kinase signaling
500   in the amnioserosa is essential for dorsal closure of the Drosophila embryo.
501   Curr Biol 11**:** 1098-1108.
502   Reed, B. H., R. Wilk, F. Schock and H. D. Lipshitz, 2004 Integrin-dependent apposition
503   of Drosophila extraembryonic membranes promotes morphogenesis and
504   prevents anoikis. Curr Biol 14**:** 372-380.
505   Schneider, C. A., W. S. Rasband and K. W. Eliceiri, 2012 NIH Image to ImageJ: 25
506   years of image analysis. Nat Methods 9**:** 671-675.
507   Wilk, R., B. H. Reed, U. Tepass and H. D. Lipshitz, 2000 The hindsight gene is required
508   for epithelial maintenance and differentiation of the tracheal system in
509   Drosophila. Dev Biol 219**:** 183-196.
510   Yip, M. L., M. L. Lamka and H. D. Lipshitz, 1997 Control of germ-band retraction in
511   Drosophila by the zinc-finger protein HINDSIGHT. Development 124**:** 2129-
512   2141.
513

Figure 1.

Overexpression of *hnt* disrupts eye development and the CRISPR/Cas9 mediated

***hnt* overexpression phenotype is sensitive to temperature and gene dosage.**

(**A**) Schematic showing the sgRNA target sequences of *TOE-GS00052* relative to the TSS

of *hnt*. (**B**) Wild type eye. (**C**) The *hnt* overexpression phenotype of *GMR-GAL4 > UAS-*

GFP-Hnt^{J27}. (**D**) Nomenclature and associated Punnett square diagram of a balanced *hnt*

allele (females) crossed to the CRISPR/Cas9 *hnt* overexpression stock (males). (**E**)

Overexpression phenotype of *TOE-GS00052 + GMR-GAL4>UAS-Cas9.VPR.* (**F**)

Suppression of the overexpression phenotype *of TOE-GS00052 + GMR-GAL4>UAS-*

Cas9.VPR by co-expression of *UAS-hnt-RNAi*.

Figure 2.

Creating chromosomes refractory to CRISPR/Cas9 mediated overexpression of *hnt*.

Flow chart showing (**1**) the crossing scheme used to generate isogenic *hnt^{REF}* lines and

the sgRNA target site sequences for two such lines; and (**2**) crossing scheme for the

recovery of the *RGV* stock (*hnt^{REF}/hnt^{REF}*; *GMR-GAL4 TOE-GS00052*; *UAS-*

dCas9.VPR). Selection of isogenic lines in crossing scheme (**1**) permitted the sequence

of *hnt^{REF}* lines to be compared with the parental *y w* chromosome as *hnt* is tightly linked

to *w*. Note that in crossing scheme (**2**) that the background markers on the *X*

chromosome are not known and this stock is best described as (*y*) (*w*) *hnt^{REF}* (*v*). See

Materials and Methods for full descriptions of stock genotypes.

Figure 3.

Crossing scheme and screen for the selection of EMS induced recessive lethal

mutations of *hnt* as an F1 visible screen.

Virgin females of the *RGV* stock crossed to EMS treated males results in the vast

majority of females showing the *hnt* overexpression phenotype. Rare females not

showing the *hnt* overexpression phenotype are selected as balanced stocks (*w*

PBac{RB}e02388b hnt* / FM7h,w) and tested to determine if they are new *hnt* loss-of-

function alleles or newly induced refractory chromosomes.

Figure 4.

EMS-induced *hnt^{REF}* alleles are associated with mutations in the *sgRNA* target

sequences of *TOE-GS00052*.

Sequencing results for seven newly recovered EMS induced *hnt^{REF}* alleles shown in

alignment with the reference genomic sequence (topmost). The *TOE-GS00052* sgRNA

target sequences are highlighted in yellow. The PAM motifs for the two sgRNA targets

sequences of *TOE-GS00052* are underlined. Single base pair changes of *hnt$^{REF-BHR7}$* and

hnt$^{REF-WN72}$ are shown in red font. The bottom five *hnt^{REF}* allele sequences are identical,

indicative of a premeiotic mutation and represent a single mutagenic event.

Figure 5.

New *hnt* alleles can be arranged into an allelic series of strong hypomorphs

according to their pupal eye phenotype when heterozygous to *hnt^{peb}*.

(**A**) *Ubi-DEcadherin-GFP* expression in control hnt^{peb}/+ pupal eye showing the normal

ommatidial structure with four cone cells per ommatidium. (**B**) Homozygous

hnt^{peb}/hnt^{peb} reared at 25°C showing abnormal ommatidia having fewer than four cone

cells (white arrowheads). (**C**) hnt^{peb}/*Df(1)ED6727* raised at 25°C showing abnormal

ommatidia with more (blue arrowheads) or less than four cone cells (white arrowheads).

(**D**) hnt^{peb}/hnt^{WN47} reared at 25°C showing abnormal ommatidia having fewer than four

cone cells (white arrowheads). (**E**) Stacked bar graph showing the distribution of

ommatidia cone cell numbers in wild type, and new *hnt* alleles heterozygous to hnt^{peb}

reared at 25°C. Scale bar represents 20 μm.

Figure 6.

Examples of immunostaining of *hnt* mutants using anti-Hnt monoclonal and

polyclonal antibodies.

Shown are representative anti-Hnt monoclonal (**A-D**) and anti-Hnt polyclonal (**A'-D', E**)

confocal micrographs. (**A, A'**) Wild type (wt) showing normal pattern of anti-Hnt

immunostaining in a stage 15 embryo. (**B,B'**) hnt^{WN29} shown in same frame as wt sib

showing reduced anti-Hnt signal using both monoclonal and polyclonal antibody. The

strong oenocyte signal observed in wild type is not seen in the hnt^{WN29} mutant

(arrowheads). (**C,C'**) hnt^{BHR49} u-shaped mutant embryo showing weak monoclonal

(arrowhead) but strong polyclonal signal. (**D,D'**) hnt^{WN52} u-shaped mutant embryo

showing absence of signal with the monoclonal antibody and weak non-nuclear signal

with the polyclonal antibody. (**E**) hnt^{XE81} u-shaped mutant with partially degenerated

amnioserosa (arrowhead) showing strong anti-Hnt signal using the polyclonal antibody.

Table 1.

Summary of immunostaining results using anti-Hnt monoclonal and polyclonal

antibodies as well as terminal phenotype analysis of new *hnt* alleles.

* Anti-Hnt signal was not localized to the nuclei.

A

TOE-GS00052

183-203   110-129

hnt

B   C

D

♀ *hnt^{XE81} / FM7h, w B*

x

♂ *y w/Y ;*
GMR-GAL4 TOE-GS00052 ;
UAS-dCas9.VPR (reared at 18°C)

♂ ♀	*y w ;* *GMR-GAL4 TOE ;* *UAS-dCas9.VPR*	*Y ;* *GMR-GAL4 TOE ;* *UAS-dCas9.VPR*
FM7h, w B	♀ lethal	♂ lethal
hnt^{XE81}	♀ **viable** phenotype	♂ lethal

25°C

E   F

```
y w cont: GACAAAATTTGAAACGAAGAATGAGAAGGAAAGAAAAT
hntREF#1:  GACAAAATTTGAAACGAAGAAA--GAAGGAAAGAAAAT
hntREF#2:  GACAAAATTTGAAACGAAG------AAGGAAAGAAAAT

wt: GAAAAAAAAATGAGAAAAACCAGACTGCTTCTCTCTTCTCTCTT
#1: GCC-------------------------------------TCTT
#2: GAAAAAAAAATGAGAAAAACCAGAC---TTCTCTCTTCTCTCTT
```

```
hnt^wildtype    GACAAAATTTGAAACGAAGAATGAGAAGGAAAGAAAATCCAAGCTGCGCTGCC
hnt^REF-BHR7    GACAAAATTTGAATCGAAGAATGAGAAGGAAAGAAAATCCAAGCTGCGCTGCC
hnt^REF-WN72    GACAAAATTTGAAACGAAGAATGAGAAGGAAAGAAAATCCAAGCTGCGCTGCC
hnt^REF-BHR17   GACAAAATTTGAAACGAAGAATG------------------------------
hnt^REF-WN30    GACAAAATTTGAAACGAAGAATG------------------------------
hnt^REF-WN31    GACAAAATTTGAAACGAAGAATG------------------------------
hnt^REF-WN35    GACAAAATTTGAAACGAAGAATG------------------------------
hnt^REF-WN36    GACAAAATTTGAAACGAAGAATG------------------------------

hnt^wildtype    TCCGTTGAAAAAAAAATGAGAAAAACCAGACTGCTTCTCTCTTCTCTCTTTTC
hnt^REF-BHR7    TCCGTTGAAAAAAAAATGAGAAAAACCAGACTGCTTCTCTCTTCTCTCTTTTC
hnt^REF-WN72    TCCGTTGAAAAAAAAATGAGAAAAACTAGACTGCTTCTCTCTTCTCTCTTTTC
hnt^REF-BHR17   --------------------------------GCTTCTCTCTTCTCTCTTTTC
hnt^REF-WN30    --------------------------------GCTTCTCTCTTCTCTCTTTTC
hnt^REF-WN31    --------------------------------GCTTCTCTCTTCTCTCTTTTC
hnt^REF-WN35    --------------------------------GCTTCTCTCTTCTCTCTTTTC
hnt^REF-WN36    --------------------------------GCTTCTCTCTTCTCTCTTTTC
```

Allele	α-Hnt monoclonal	α-Hnt polyclonal	GBR phenotype
hnt^{WN29}	++	++	retracted
hnt^{BHR28}	+	+++	tail-up
hnt^{BHR49}	+	+++	unretracted
hnt^{WN52}	-	+*	unretracted
hnt^{BHR5}	+	+	unretracted
hnt^{BHR40}	+	+++	unretracted
hnt^{WN47}	++	+++	unretracted
hnt^{WN31}	-	+*	unretracted
$Df(1)ED6727$	_	_	unretracted