**Resource conservation manifests in the genetic code**

Liat Shenhav[1,*] and David Zeevi[2,*,^]

[1]Department of Computer Science, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

[2]Center for Studies in Physics and Biology, Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA

[*]denotes equal contribution

[^]dzeevi@rockefeller.edu

**Abstract**

Ocean microbes are responsible for about 50% of primary production on Earth, and are strongly

affected by environmental resource availability. However, selective forces resulting from

environmental conditions are not well understood. We studied selection by examining single-

nucleotide variants in the marine environment, and discovered strong purifying selective forces

exerted across marine microbial genes. We present evidence indicating that this selection is

driven by the environment, and especially by nitrogen availability. We further corroborate that

nutrient availability drives this 'resource-driven' selection by showing stronger selection on highly

expressed and extracellular genes, that are more resource-consuming. Finally, we show that the

standard genetic code, along with amino acid abundances, facilitates nutrient conservation by

providing robustness to mutations that increase nitrogen and carbon consumption. Notably, this

robustness generalizes to multiple taxa across all domains of life, including the Human genome,

and manifests in the code structure itself. Overall, we uncover overwhelmingly strong purifying

selective pressure across marine microbial life that may have contributed to the structure of our

genetic code.

## Introduction

Ocean microbes, the largest group of organisms on the planet[1], are involved in key cycling of nutrients that make up all living systems. They account for nearly half of the carbon compound synthesis on Earth, thereby producing about 50% of breathable oxygen[2]. These marine microbes also cycle nutrients to perform numerous other important roles, such as biodegradation of complex organic material and fixation of atmospheric nitrogen, while flourishing in a wide range of environments with varying ambient conditions such as oxygen and nitrogen levels, light and temperature[3,4]. Nonetheless, and despite their importance in global energy flux and nutrient cycling, evolutionary forces acting on ocean microbes are not fully understood[4].

With rapidly changing climate and environment, understanding the types of stress exerted on microbes in marine habitats is of paramount importance. Recent studies[4,5] provide evidence of high variability in the core genomic properties of marine microbes, including GC content and genome size, suggesting that this variability is linked to the concentrations of nutrients in the environment. Nitrogen and carbon are major limiting factors in the marine environment and their concentrations are typically inversely correlated[6]. It was shown that in low-nitrogen environments there is lower incorporation of nitrogen-rich side chains into proteins, a strong A+T bias in nucleotide sequences, and smaller genome sizes, suggesting that nitrogen conservation is a strong selective force[7]. An opposite trend was shown for carbon[4]. Previous studies[7,8] identified a purifying selective pressure associated with resource conservation, which we term 'resource-driven' selection. Such 'resource-driven' selection against incorporation of nutrients in a resource-limited environment may be further propagated by the high effective population sizes observed in the open ocean, where even slightly deleterious mutations are rapidly selected against[9]. Notwithstanding, the ways in which resource-driven selection manifests in protein-coding sequences are not fully elucidated.

To illuminate mechanisms through which resource-driven selection affects protein-coding genes,

we amalgamated measurements of environmental conditions with publicly available marine

metagenomic data from oceanic habitats across the globe (Fig. 1A)[3,10]. We analyzed purifying

selection in 746 such marine samples by devising a tailored computational pipeline examining

single nucleotide polymorphisms. This enabled us to systematically associate purifying selection

with related environmental measurements. We revealed a strong purifying selective pressure,

which seems to be acting in a similar fashion across most marine microbial genes. This purifying

selection is associated with environmental nutrient concentrations, specifically nitrate. We further

show that resource-consuming genes, which are highly expressed or code for extracellular

proteins, are under stronger resource-driven selection as compared to other, less resource-

consuming genes. We analyze mutations in nitrate-rich as compared to nitrate-poor waters and

show that this selection is likely characterized by specific amino acid preferences depending on

environmental conditions. Finally, we demonstrate that the distribution of amino acids, along with

the structure of the genetic code, provides robustness against random mutations that increase

carbon and nitrogen incorporation into protein sequences. We extend this observation to codon

distributions across many diverse life forms, and suggest that nutrient conservation is encoded in

the standard genetic code, which is robust to mutations that result in higher nitrogen and carbon

utilization.

**Results**

**Single nucleotide polymorphisms in marine microbial genes reveal strong purifying**

**selection**

To better understand the underlying mechanisms governing resource-driven selection acting on

marine microbes, we sought to characterize, at the single nucleotide level, how coding sequences

of marine microbes are affected by resource availability in their environment. To this end, we

devised a computational pipeline that calculates metrics of selection from marine metagenomic

samples (Fig. 1B). We downloaded metagenomic sequencing data from 746 samples from the

Tara oceans[3] (n=136); bioGEOTRACES[10] (n=480); Hawaii Ocean Time-series[10] (HOT; n=68);

and Bermuda Atlantic TimeSeries[10] (BATS; n=62) expeditions (Fig. 1A; Methods). We aligned

these reads to the Ocean Microbiome Reference Gene Catalog (OM-RGC)[3] and searched for

single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in genes that had sufficient high-quality coverage (Fig.

1B; Methods). Overall, we found 71,921,864 high-confidence SNPs in 1,590,843 genes.

Next, to quantify purifying selection on different gene functions, we annotated genes from the OM-

RGC database to inform their functional group membership with either KEGG orthology (KO) or

eggNOG orthologous group (OG; Methods). We then calculated, using called SNPs per

orthologous group in each of the samples, the ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous

polymorphisms[11,12] (pN/pS; Methods). We used pN/pS rated to approximate purifying selection at

the population level as dN/dS ratios, which are typically used to characterize these stresses[12],

were not applicable in this setting (Methods). pN/pS quantifies the rate of nonsynonymous

polymorphisms (pN), which lead to a change in the resulting amino acid, normalized to the rate

of synonymous polymorphisms (pS), which maintain the coded amino acid.

As pN/pS is a proxy for the magnitude of purifying selection exerted on protein-coding sequences,

we sought to utilize it to evaluate the selective forces acting on marine microbial functions. The

rate of non-synonymous to synonymous polymorphisms was on average, across samples, 0.074

(CI [0.072, 0.075]) across all OGs and 0.079 (CI [0.077, 0.080]) across all KOs, similar to

previously reported pN/pS ratios across different microbial genomes in the human microbiome[11]

(Fig. 1C,D). With values close to zero indicating very strong selection against amino acid changes,

these findings imply that purifying selection is on the same scale in free-living marine organisms

as compared to host-associated microbes in the human gut. While gut microbes are expected to

be under strong purifying selection in order to keep functioning in the host-associated niche[13], the

source of this strong purifying selection on ocean microbes is not well understood.

**Figure 1. Calculation of evolutionary metrics from marine metagenomic samples.** (A)
Geographical overview of the samples used in this study. (B) Illustration of our computational pipeline.
(C,D) Histogram of pN/pS rates for eggNOG orthologous groups (OG; C) and  KEGG  orthologs (KO;
D) across all marine samples.

**Strong resource-driven selection apparent across marine microbial genes**

To quantify the effects of environmental conditions on selective forces acting on marine microbial genes, we extracted measurements regarding the environment in which each sample was taken. This included the depth of the sample, water temperature and salinity, as well as concentration of the key molecules nitrate, nitrite, oxygen, phosphate and silicate (Fig. S1A-H; Methods). All these measurements of environmental conditions are highly correlated with each other (Fig. S1I), and also presented consistent correlation patterns with pN/pS of many KEGG and eggNOG orthologs (Fig. S2), with low pN/pS at shallow depths and low nitrate concentrations. We therefore sought to estimate the overall variance explained by the environment while accounting for these covariations. To this end, we used a linear mixed model (LMM) with variance components, commonly used in population genetics [14] (Methods). We defined the environmental covariates as random effects in order to quantify the fraction of variance in pN/pS that is explained by resource availability (i.e., environmental explained variance; EEV; Methods). Across both KEGG and eggNOG orthologs we found that a substantial fraction of the variance in pN/pS can be attributed to the environment, where across all orthologs this effect is significantly bigger than zero (Fig. 2A,B; Mann-Whitney $U$ test  P < $10^{-16}$).

Different environmental niches may harbor different taxa with different trophic interactions that could lead to differences in selective pressures. Thus, we sought to ensure that these associations between pN/pS and the environment are not confounded by organismal differences across different depths and nitrate concentrations. To this end, we analyzed genes belonging exclusively to the genus *Synechococcus* (Methods). We calculated pN/pS across all of the coding sequences combined and found a significant positive correlation with nitrate concentrations (P<$10^{-20}$; Fig. S3A). To further validate that this correlation does not stem from the different effective population sizes in the gradient of environmental nitrogen, we divided the samples into five identically sized groups, based on environmental nitrate concentrations while constraining the

scope of each group to genes present in at least half of the samples. We show that an association

of pN/pS with nitrate extends to specific niches across the nitrocline, i.e., in concentrations higher

than 1 μmol/kg (P<0.05; Fig. S3B). These results demonstrate the existence of pN/pS gradient

as a function of nitrate concentrations, even in a single taxonomic group in a specific

environmental niche. This indicates that correlations of pN/pS with environmental variables are

not driven exclusively be organismal properties or by differences in trophic conditions across

environments, but rather exhibit a significant trend even within a single taxon, in specific niches.

Genes are not stand-alone entities, but are rather coded as a sequence in the genome of a

microbe. We therefore accounted for a potential non-random association structure between

pN/pS rates of different orthologs. To this end, we used a different setting of the LMM, now

including both the environmental covariates and pN/pS rates of all other orthologs. Even after

accounting for potential non-random association structure between pN/pS rates of different

orthologs due to clonal reproduction, the environmental effect was still significantly bigger than

zero (P<0.05). In particular, there is an overlap of over 40% in orthologs that are top ranked in

terms of EEV between these two model settings. Overall, we observed a very strong association

between environmental measurements and the magnitude of purifying selection exerted on most

orthologous gene groups. We also observed an association with environmental parameters

across many functional categories, including 'housekeeping' genes that are important for survival

in any given niche, and wished to further elucidate potential mechanisms that can explain it.

**Environment-associated selection is stronger in resource-consuming genes**

Previous studies[4,7] suggested that random mutations that lead to incorporation of additional

nutrients to the protein sequences of microbes result in a selective disadvantage. This implies

that genes whose protein sequences consume more resources would be under stronger

selection. Specifically, highly expressed genes would consume more resources and will therefore

be under stronger purifying selection[7,8,15]. In these genes, a mutation leading to incorporation of

additional resources would be magnified, as one highly transcribed DNA sequence could translate

to thousands of proteins, each consuming more resources. To quantitatively corroborate this

hypothesis and examine whether these underlying forces are reflected in the above associations

with pN/pS rates, we used an additional expression dataset for marine microbial genes [16] to rank

KEGG orthologs by their mean expression (Methods). We found that the most highly expressed

genes had a significantly higher fraction of the variance in their pN/pS explained by the

environment, as compared to the least expressed ones (Fig. 2C; Mann-Whitney $U$ test $P<10^{-9}$).

We found a significant difference between the gradient of pN/pS rates, as a function of depth

(highly correlated with nitrate, nitrite and oxygen; Fig S1I), in highly expressed genes, as

compared to least expressed ones (Fig. S4A; Mann-Whitney $U$ test $P<10^{-5}$), where the former

increased more sharply with depth. A few notable examples for genes with high expression and

environmental explained variance, as compared to other KEGG KOs, are the β subunit of RNA

polymerase (K03043; EEV = 0.82, 0.33 in the first and second LMM settings, respectively; ranked

first in both model settings), the β' subunit (K03046; EEV = 0.8, 0.28; ranked in the top five in

both settings) and a peptide/nickel transporter involved in quorum sensing (K02035; EEV = 0.81,

0.33; ranked second in both settings).

**Figure 2. Analysis of pN/pS rates reveals strong resource-driven selection.** (A) Left, violin plot of the variance of eggNOG OG pN/pS rates that was explained by the environment as compared to the same data with shuffled labels; Right, mean variance explained in unshuffled data (red) as compared to a histogram (blue) of mean variance explained in 100 executions with shuffled data. (B) Same as A, for KEGG KO pN/pS. (C) Box plots (line, median; box, IQR; whiskers, 5th and 95th percentiles) of variance in pN/pS explained by the environment in the 100 lowest and highest expressed KEGG KOs. (D) Depiction of mutation flux (Methods) common in high versus low environmental nitrate concentrations, affecting amino acid nitrogen (left) and carbon (right) content. Yellow arrows, increase in resource; blue arrows, decrease in resource; arrow thickness corresponds to number of atoms changed by mutation. ****, Mann-Whitney $U$ $p<10^{-9}$.

We additionally hypothesized that genes coding for extracellular proteins will have a similar pattern as in this case, the resources excreted from the cell cannot be recycled. We found the same pattern of significantly higher EEV in extracellular protein-coding genes as compared to other gene groups (Methods; Fig. S4B; $P<0.05$). Overall, our results indicate that these genes exhibit higher 'resource sensitivity', manifested by higher variance explained by the environment,

potentially due to their high expression levels. This finding provides a data-driven evolutionary

perspective to theory and experiments showing lower resource incorporation in highly expressed

genes[7,8]. In summary, the variation in resource-consuming genes, (i.e., highly expressed and

extracellular protein-coding), further strengthens our results regarding the breadth of resource-

driven selection.

**Resource-driven selection exerts a strong effect on protein-coding sequences**

We next sought to quantify the effects of this resource-driven selection on protein-coding

sequences. To this end, we compared the codon mutation frequencies in low- and high-nitrate

samples, after accounting for simplex-related confounders (Methods), and found significant

differences in codon mutation frequencies (Fig. S5A-C). We sought to examine the typical change

in nutrient consumption in varying nitrate concentrations. We thus defined mutation flux, as the

ratio between a codon mutation and its reverse, and estimated it using the log-odds ratio between

the two (e.g., $log\ (p(AAA \rightarrow AAC)/p(AAC \rightarrow AAA))$). Notably, across all the mutations significantly

more prevalent in samples from high nitrate environments (Methods), averaged across amino

acids, we find a significant total increase in nitrogen (Fig. 2D; 18 N atoms summed across all

significant amino acid changes, P=0.0082), decrease in carbon (Fig 2D; -37 atoms, P=0.0165),

decrease in sulfur (-6 atoms, P=0.009), a significant decrease in molecular weight (-508.91 g/mol,

P=0.0193) and a non-significant decrease in oxygen (-6 atoms, P=0.1505). These results indicate

that the lower the nitrate concentrations are, the stronger the selection against mutations leading

to higher nitrogen incorporation in protein sequences.

While nitrate concentrations increase with depth (Fig. S1I), dissolved organic carbon

concentrations typically decrease[6]. Our results are supported by previous observations regarding

genomic and proteomic changes associated with environmental concentrations of nitrate[4].

Mutations in nitrate rich and, typically, carbon poor environments were shown to drive an increase

in genomic GC content, accompanied by higher rates of nitrogen incorporation and lower rates of

carbon incorporation into protein sequences. Here we show, in high resolution, the typical

mutations that underlie this phenomenon (Fig. 2D; Fig. S5D). As we base our analysis on pN/pS

rates, a proxy for the magnitude and direction of selection exerted on coding sequences, we

suggest that the differences observed in gene GC content across varying nitrate concentrations

are inseparable from changes to the proteome, and are possibly the result of resource-driven

selection exerted on these coding sequences.

**Resource-conservation as an optimization mechanism in the genetic code**

The standard genetic code is known to be highly efficient in minimizing the effects of

mistranslation errors and point mutations[17–20]. This optimality is prominent among theories

regarding the origin of the genetic code[21–24]. According to the theory of error minimization,

selection to minimize the adverse effect of point mutations and translation errors was the principal

factor governing the evolution of the genetic code[25–32]. As a quantitative exploration of this theory

requires a well-defined cost function, a few measures of amino acid fitness were previously

suggested (e.g., PR scale, Hydropathy index) based on stereochemical theories and hydropathy

properties[33–36]. As we have observed strong patterns of selection for specific amino acids in

nutrient-limited environments, we hypothesized that resource conservation may also be a factor

in code error minimization.

Specifically, we hypothesized that the strong resource-driven selection, whose signature is visible

on protein-coding sequences across marine functional groups, may also have resulted in a

resource-optimized genetic code, such that the expected cost of a random mutation, in terms of

added resources, is minimized. To rigorously test this hypothesis, we first defined a cost function

for each element $e$ (e.g., carbon, nitrogen), such that the 'tariff' of a single mutation is the

difference in the number of atoms before and after the mutation. As an example, a missense

mutation from codon CCA to codon CGA results in an amino acid change from proline to arginine,

and an increase of 3 nitrogen atoms and one carbon atom, setting the nitrogen cost of such

mutation to 3 and the carbon cost to 1 (Fig. 3A).

To estimate the cost of a random mutation on each element, across the entire genetic code, we

calculated, for nitrogen, carbon and oxygen, the Expected Random Mutation Cost (ERMC) for the

standard genetic code $V_S$:

$$ERMC_e(V_S) = \sum_{v,v'\in V_S} P(v)\mathrm{P}(mut(v,v'))c_e^+(v,v')$$

Where $P(v)$ is the abundance of codon $v$, calculated from all marine samples; $\mathrm{P}(mut(v,v'))$ is the

probability of mutation from codon $v$ to codon $v'$, set to be the relative abundance of the single

nucleotide mutation driving this codon change (e.g. for mutation from GCA to CCA, we use the

abundance of G-to-C transversions), calculated from all mutations observed in fourfold

degenerate codons to avoid sites under strong selection; and $c_e^+(v,v')$ is the 'tariff' of a single

mutation if an atom of element $e$ has been added to the post-mutation amino acid (Methods). For

the standard genetic code, and typical codon abundances and mutation rates calculated from

marine microbes, we report an ERMC of 0.440, 0.158 and 0.163 for carbon, nitrogen and oxygen

respectively, corresponding to an average increase of this number of atoms per random mutation.

To check if the genetic code, along with codon abundances and mutation rates in marine

microbes, is indeed robust to resource-consuming mutations, we compared it to other hypothetical

codes. To this end, we simulated alternative genetic codes by randomizing the first and second

position in all codons, while constraining the permutation in stop codons (Methods), creating a

null distribution of ERMC. We found that the standard genetic code, common to most life forms,

is parsimonious in terms of carbon and nitrogen utilization, given a random mutation, manifested

by minimization of the ERMC for nitrogen (Fig 3B; ERMC$_N$ P=0.0153) and carbon (Fig. 3B; ERMC$_C$

P=0.0109), while in the ERMC for oxygen we did not find a significant trend (Fig. 3B; P=0.8659).

Remarkably, only two out of 10,000 randomized genetic codes were more resource-robust than

the standard genetic code in conservation of nitrogen and carbon together (P=0.0002).

Nonetheless, these alternative codes are less conservative than the standard code in maintaining

hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity of amino acids given a random mutation (Methods; Fig. S6).

They may therefore lead to proteins that are more susceptible to structural changes in the event

of a random mutation, as was postulated previously in theories governing the evolution of the

genetic code.

Finally, we sought to confirm that our elemental cost function is not confounded by traditional

properties of amino acids such as the polar requirement (PR) and hydropathy index[33–36]. To this

end, we calculated the ERMC using these common cost functions for the standard genetic code

and for simulated alternative ones, and compared the overlap between traditional cost functions

and our elemental cost functions (Methods). For both cost functions, we found that optimality in

terms of carbon or nitrogen utilization implies lack of optimality in polar requirement or in

hydropathy (Table S1 nitrogen-PR, $P<10^{-16}$; nitrogen-hydropathy, $P<10^{-4}$; carbon-PR, $P<10^{-7}$;

carbon-hydropathy, $P<10^{-20}$). This result indicates that carbon and nitrogen conservation in the

genetic code is not confounded by previously reported optimization properties such as hydropathy

and PR. Altogether, these results indicate resource optimization in marine microbes is driven by

the structure of the genetic code, alongside specific amino acid choices.

**Figure 3. Resource-conservation is facilitated by the genetic code.** (A) Nitrogen (left), carbon (center) and oxygen (right) content of different amino acids depicted along their positions in the standard genetic code. (B) Histograms of the expected random mutation cost (ERMC), in 10,000 random permutations of the genetic code for nitrogen (left, blue), carbon (center, black) and oxygen (right, red). Green bar marks the ERMC of the standard genetic code, ERMC(Vs), for each of the elements. (C) Heat map of ERMC$_{CN}$ P-values across 39 organisms and 11 transition:transversion rates. Organisms in each of the groups are ordered according to the GC content of their coding sequences.

**The genetic code facilitates resource conservation across kingdoms**

To show that the robustness of the genetic code in terms of resource-consumption was not limited

to our dataset and analytic approach, we calculated the ERMC of 187 species of genera

*Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*. We calculated codon abundances and mutation rates

using prior knowledge of both protein-coding sequences[5] and the published

transition:transversion rate of 2:1[37] (Methods). By testing the ERMC of the standard genetic code

against a null distribution generated, as before, given these known parameters rather than ones

inferred from marine samples, we were able to reveal significant conservation of carbon, nitrogen,

and both elements combined (Fig. S7A; $ERMC_C$ mean P=0.013, P=0.020; $ERMC_N$ mean

P=0.049, P=0.032; $ERMC_{CN}$ P=0.0004, P=0.0007 for *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*,

respectively). To account for inaccuracies and variation in the known parameters, we next

calculated the ERMC null distribution for a wide range of transition:transversion rates. We show

that the ERMC of the standard genetic code remains significantly conserved for nitrogen, carbon,

and both elements combined for most physiological transition:transversion rates (Fig. S7B),

indicating that the structure of the genetic code and codon abundances of organisms are the

driving force behind genetic code optimization.

To explore whether this optimality in the genetic code in terms of nutrient conservation extends

across different lifeforms, we performed a similar calculation using codon abundances from 39

organisms across all domains of life, including all human protein-coding sequences, and tested a

range of transition:transversion rates (Methods). We find that, similarly to marine microbes, the

genetic code features optimization in terms of resource utilization for all tested organisms,

manifested by a significant minimization of the combined ERMC of nitrogen and carbon in all

transition:transversion rates tested (P<0.01, Fig. 3C). Moreover, we find significant optimization,

albeit of a lower magnitude, even in the theoretical case where all codon abundances are the

same (Fig. S7C). The codon abundances of a great majority of organisms also demonstrate

significant minimization of ERMC in nitrogen (Fig. S7D) and carbon (Fig. S7E), given a random

mutation, for a wide range of transition:transversion rates. These results indicate that resource

optimization in the genetic code transcends taxonomy, codon choices, and mutation rates. It

shows that the genetic code may have structural properties that make it robust in terms of

resource-consumption. It is also possible that amino acid and codon usage in organisms has

evolved to lower nutrient consumption in case of a random mutation, informed by the structure of

the code.

**Structural principles drive optimization in the genetic code**

We next wished to examine the organizing principles that drive the strong resource optimization

evident in the standard genetic code. We observed that codons of the nitrogen-rich amino acids

histidine, glutamine, asparagine, lysine and arginine span only two nucleotides in their first

position and two in their second position. We define this organization to be a 'square' arrangement

(Fig. 4A; Methods), and hypothesize that, as compared with other arrangements, it amplifies

nitrogen conservation. Specifically, in the square arrangement, codons coding for some amino

acids (alanine, valine, phenylalanine, and several leucine and serine codons) require at least two

mutations to increase the number of nitrogen atoms in the resulting amino acid. This is in contrast

to other hypothetical arrangements, including a 'diagonal' arrangement in which nitrogen-rich

amino acid codons span all possible nucleotides in the first and second positions (Fig 4A;

Methods). We suggest that the diagonal arrangement would be nutrient-wasteful, as in these

arrangements a single mutation could increase the nitrogen content of a protein sequence in more

than one way. To rigorously test this hypothesis, we generated 10,000 random genetic codes,

with 220 arrangements happening to embody a square structure, and 127 a diagonal one. We

found that, when compared to all other possible arrangements, square arrangements present a

significantly lower ERMC (Fig 4A; Mann-Whitney $U$ P<$10^{-10}$) while diagonal arrangements exhibit

a significantly higher ERMC (Fig. 4A; Mann-Whitney *U* P<10^{-10}). This result demonstrates that

resource optimization in the genetic code is driven by structural principles, perhaps underlying

the significant optimization observed across kingdoms.

**Figure 4. Structural properties and codon usage bias underlying optimality in the genetic code.**
(A) Box plots (line, median; box, IQR; whiskers, 5th and 95th percentiles) of ERMC$_N$ of square
arrangements (left) and diagonal arrangements (right, Methods), as compared to all other
arrangements (center) out of 10,000 randomized arrangements of the code. (B) Violin plot of codon
usage among 187 species of *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* showing significant preference of
threonine codons ACT and ACC as compared to ACA and ACG, and of isoleucine codon ATT as
compared to ACA. ****, P<10^{-10}.

Finally, we hypothesized that codon usage for a single amino acid may also be biased due to

differential cost of a random mutation for each codon. We therefore examined all amino acids

coded by codons with adenine in their first position, focusing on codon usage of the amino acid

threonine. We note that a C-to-G transversion in the second position for codons ACT and ACC

yields serine (AGT and AGC, respectively), and that the same mutation for codons ACA and ACG

yields arginine (AGA and AGG, respectively; Fig. 4B, inset). As arginine has higher carbon and

nitrogen content than serine, and lysine a higher carbon content than asparagine, we

hypothesized that following a nutrient-conservative model, codons ACT and ACC will have a

higher abundance than codons ACA and ACG, respectively, given a known genomic GC content.

We thus examined codon usage in 187 *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* strains, and show

a significantly higher use of ACT as compared to ACA (Fig 4B; Wilcoxon signed-rank test P<10[-]

[20]) and ACC as compared to ACG (Fig 4B; Wilcoxon signed-rank test P<10[-20]). Similarly,

Isoleucine codon ATT has higher abundance as compared to ATA (Fig 4B; Wilcoxon signed-rank

test P<10[-20]). These results demonstrate that resource conservation is a central driving force in

selection processes guiding codon usage and may affect not only protein sequence but also

cellular translation efficiency.

**Discussion**

In this work, we use the proxy of pN/pS rates to show strong purifying selection acting upon

protein-coding genes in the marine environment. We demonstrate that a substantial fraction of

the variance in pN/pS rates could be attributed to environmental factors, and highlight a strong

association of these rates with nitrate concentrations. We show that the variance in pN/pS rates,

across resource-consuming genes (i.e., highly expressed and extracellular protein-coding), can

be attributed to environmental factors, suggesting that stronger resource-driven selection is

exerted upon them. Using single nucleotide polymorphisms from across marine samples, we

characterize the typical mutations in nitrate-rich versus nitrate-poor environments and show that

these drive incorporation of additional nitrogen-rich amino acids and fewer carbon-rich amino

acids to protein sequences. Finally, we provide evidence that the standard genetic code, shared

among most lifeforms, facilitates resource conservation, demonstrating that along with codon

choices, it is conservative in incorporating additional atoms of nitrogen and carbon given a random

mutation. Notably, we show, across tens of thousands of simulated genetic codes, that the

standard genetic code surpasses almost all other random simulated codes in conservation of

nitrogen and carbon, across multiple taxa from all domains of Life and across multiple codon

choices and mutation rates.

Hypotheses regarding the origin of the genetic code include stereochemical affinity between a

codon or anticodon and their amino acid[38,39]; a frozen accident theory[24], relying on the fact that

the code is highly immutable; a co-evolution of the genetic code with the emergence of amino

acid-producing biosynthetic pathways[22]; and an early fixation of an optimal genetic code,

suggesting that the code evolved under selection for error minimization[40]. Our observations are

in line with the latter theory of optimality, and suggest that the genetic code may have been

optimized also for nutrient conservation. While we do not know the nature of nutrient cycling in

the primordial ocean, we hypothesize that scarcity of nitrogen and carbon that are common now

may have also prevailed alongside early lifeforms. Thus, an organism harboring a nitrogen- and

carbon-efficient genetic code would have had a selective advantage over its peers, especially in

the absence of fully evolved DNA mutation repair mechanisms.

We note that while we observe resource optimization for nitrogen and carbon conservation in the

standard genetic code, oxygen conservation is not optimized. We offer several hypotheses

regarding this lack of optimization. First, it is possible that since oxygen is highly abundant in

organic molecules, it is less of a limiting factor as compared to carbon and nitrogen and therefore

its optimization does not confer a selective advantage. Another option is that oxygen-rich amino

acids may function in cellular processes that are independent of protein synthesis and are

therefore more readily available and thus not optimized. Prominent examples for this hypothesis

are aspartate, which also performs an important function in the malate-aspartate shunt, and

glutamate, which plays a role in countless cellular processes.

Overall, by using publicly available data on ocean microbes and their corresponding

environmental measures, we were able to discern strong purifying selective pressure which

shapes marine microbial life, and may have even shaped the structure of the genetic code that

was since preserved for billions of years. With the advent of new multi-omic data from

environmental studies, we will be able to better divulge the intricate relationships of microbes with

a rapidly changing global environment.

**Methods**

**Marine microbiome samples**

Marine samples collected with Tara oceans[3], bioGEOTRACES[10], the Hawaii Ocean Timeseries

(HOT) and the Bermuda Atlantic Timeseries Series (BATS)[10] were downloaded from ENA with

accessions ENA:PRJEB1787 (TARA oceans prokaryotic fraction), ENA:PRJNA385854

(bioGEOTRACES) and ENA:PRJNA385855 (HOT/BATS), each sample with a minimum of 5

million reads.

**Mapping of Illumina reads to reference gene sequences**

Samples were mapped to nucleotide sequences from the Ocean Microbiome Reference Gene

Catalog (OM-RGC)[3] using bowtie2 with parameters --sensitive -a 20 --quiet -p 8 and saved as a

bam file using the 'samtools view' command. As gene sequences are relatively short, reads from

both ends of the metagenomic sequencing samples were mapped separately, and reunited prior

to variant calling.

**Determining metagenomic read assignment probability**

We determined the probability of assignment of metagenomic reads to marine microbial genes

using the Iterative Coverage-based Read-assignment Algorithm (ICRA)[43] with parameters

max_mismatch=12, consider_lengths=True, epsilon=1e-6, max_iterations=30, min_bins=4,

max_bins=100, min_reads=10, dense_region_coverage=60, length_minimum=300,

length_maximum=2e5, use_theta=False. To prevent spurious mapping, alignments were

considered for downstream analysis only if the probability of alignment was higher than 0.9.

**Variant calling**

Alignments from both ends of all sequencing runs pertaining to the same sample were united

using the samtools cat command and sorted using the samtools sort command, with default

parameters.

To facilitate variant calling in tractable timescales, each filtered, untied and sorted .bam file was

split into chunks, each encompassing 10,000 reference sequences (out of about 40 million

reference sequences). For each such batch of reference sequences, we called variants across

all samples using the following command: bcftools mpileup --threads 4 -a FORMAT/AD -Q 15 -L

1000 -d 100000 -m 2 -f <OM-RGC fasta> <bam filenames> | bcftools call --threads 4 -Ov -mv -o

<output vcf>, where <OM-RGC fasta> is the fasta file of OM-RGC nucleotide sequences, <bam

filenames> are the filenames of all .bam files pertaining to the reference sequence chunk in

question, and <output vcf> is the output .vcf file pertaining to that same chunk.

Single nucleotide variants were considered as SNPs if they had an allele frequency if at least 1%

[44], were supported by at least 4 reads across samples, and had a GATK quality score of at least

30.

For a sample mapped to a reference gene to be considered for downstream analysis, we

demanded that at least 60% of SNPs called along the length of the reference gene for that sample

would be supported by at least 4 reads, thereby enabling accurate calculation of pN/pS rates. For

a gene to be considered for downstream analysis, we demanded for that gene to have at least

one SNP common to 20 or more samples.

**Calculation of pN/pS in single genes**

While comparing SNP patterns across samples, it is instrumental to avoid biases due to

differences in coverage. We therefore downsampled the read coverage depth to the minimum

depth across all samples, for each position in a sample that was supported by more than 4 reads.

For positions that had minimum support of fewer than 4 reads, no subsampling was performed.

Subsampling was performed by drawing from a multinomial distribution, with $n$ trials and variant

probabilities $p$, where $n$ was set to the calculated minimum depth and $p$ set to the relative

abundance of each variant in the given sample.

The expected ratio of non-synonymous and synonymous substitutions was calculated by

considering all called SNPs in every gene. First, we calculate a consensus sequence for each

gene by taking, for each SNP position, the variant that was overall more common across all

samples (after the subsampling performed above). We counted, for each gene, the number of

non-synonymous and synonymous sites across the consensus sequence. For each SNP position

in each sample, we counted the number of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitutions. As

more than one variant can exist in a single sample, we considered the relative abundance of

synonymous to nonsynonymous substitutions dictated by the different variants. For example, if

the reference codon was CAC, coding for histidine, one variant, a C-to-G transversion in the third

position abundant at 50%, led to a nonsynonymous mutation that resulted in glutamine (CAG)

while another variant in the same sample and the same position was a synonymous C-to-T

transition, we counted 0.5 synonymous substitutions and 0.5 nonsynonymous substitutions. We

followed by calculating the pN/pS ratio:

476    
$$pN/pS \ = \frac{nonsynonymous\ substitutions}{nonsynonymous\ sites} \Big/ \frac{synonymous\ substitutions}{synonymous\ sites}$$

477     pN/pS characterizes selective constraints at the population level, as opposed to dN/dS that

478     characterizes it between individual species [12] and can thus be standardized to a specific time

479     interval and used as an absolute metric. Nonetheless, dN/dS ratios are not applicable in our

480     study since polymorphic sites derived from short read sequencing impede having haplotypes

481     which are a prerequisite for calculating dN/dS.

**Aggregation of calculated metrics using KEGG and eggNOG orthologies**

Functional assignments to KEGG KOs and eggNOG OGs for all OM-RGC genes were computed using eggNOG-mapper v2 based on the eggNOG v5.0 database[41,45]. For each functional assignment in each sample, all OM-RGC genes assigned with the same functional assignment were concatenated and treated as one long genomic sequence per the calculation of pN/pS ratios. To reduce noise in pN/pS calculation, we considered only KOs and OGs that had at least 5 genes per sample, in at least 50 samples.

**Environmental variables**

For each sample, we compiled measurements pertaining to the following environmental measurements: Depth [m], Nitrate [µmol/kg], Nitrite [µmol/kg], Oxygen [µmol/kg], Phosphate [µmol/kg], Silicate [µmol/kg], Temperature [C] and Salinity.

Tara oceans metadata was downloaded from PANGAEA (https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/) with accession numbers PANGAEA.875575 (Nutrients) and PANGAEA.875576 (Watercolumn sensor), and recorded median values for all the above nutrients were extracted. Tara nutrient concentrations were given as [µmol/l]. Conversion to [µmol/kg] was done by dividing the measured concentration by the measured specific gravity for the same sample.

bioGEOTRACES metadata was compiled from CTD sensor data and discrete sample data from the GEOTRACES intermediate data product v.2[46]. HOT metadata was downloaded from the ftp server of the University of Hawai'i at Manoa (ftp://ftp.soest.hawaii.edu/hot/) and BATS metadata was downloaded from the Bermuda Institute of Ocean Sciences (http://bats.bios.edu/bats-data/). As GEOTRACES/HOT/BATS ocean water samples are not linked to specific biological samples as is the case with Tara oceans samples, we considered only water samples from the exact same

geographic location, within a day from biological sample collection time, and within 5% difference

in depth of collection, and chose the closest sample in terms of time and depth of collection. As

all these measurements of environmental conditions are highly correlated with each other (Fig.

S1I), we utilized this correlation structure to impute missing values using the EM algorithm[47].

**Linear mixed models**

***Generative model*** Consider a collection of $M_i, where\ i \in \{1,2\}$ features (i.e., $M_{1,2}$ number of KEGG

and eggNOG orthologs respectively), each measured across $K$ samples. We get as input an

$(M_i \times K)$ matrix $O^{\ i}$, where $O_{kj}^{\ i}$ is the pN/pS of ortholog $j$ in sample $k$. Let $y^i_{\ m} = (O_{m1}^{\ i}, \ldots, O_{mK}^{\ i})$

be a $K \times 1$ vector representing the pN/pS in ortholog $m$, according to grouping $i$, across $K$ samples

(e.g., pN/pS in KEGG KO K02274 across $K$ samples). Let $W$ be a $(K \times q)$ normalized matrix of

environmental measurements. This included the depth of the sample, water temperature and

salinity, as well as concentration of the key molecules nitrate, nitrite, oxygen, phosphate and

silicate.

With these notations, we assume the following generative linear model

$$y^i_{\ m} = Wu_m + \epsilon_m \qquad (1)$$

Where $u_m$ and $\epsilon_m$ are independent random variables distributed as $u_m \sim N(0, \sigma^2_{u_m} I)$ and $\epsilon_m \sim$

$N(0, \sigma^2_{\epsilon_m} I)$. The parameters of the model are $\sigma^2_{u_m}$ and $\sigma^2_{\epsilon_m}$.

It is easy to verify that an equivalent mathematical representation of model (1) is given by

$$y^i_{\ m} \sim N(0, \sigma^2_K K + \sigma^2_{\epsilon_m} I) \qquad (2)$$

where $\sigma_K^2 = M^i\sigma^2{}_{u_m}, K = \frac{1}{M^i} WW^T$. We will refer to $K$ as the environmental kinship matrix, which

represents the similarity, in terms of environmental covariates, between every pair of samples

across grouping $i$ (i.e., represent the correlation structure to the data).

**Environmental explained variance**: The explained variance of a specific feature $y^i{}_m$ by the

environmental measurements

534
$$\chi^i{}_m = \frac{\sigma_K^2}{\sigma_K^2 + \sigma_{\hat\epsilon_m}^2} \qquad (3)$$

In the second model setting, we wished to account for a potential non-random association

structure between pN/pS rates of different orthologs. To this end, we included both the

environmental covariates and pN/pS rates of all orthologs not inferred as two different sets of

variance components.

In this setting, Let $W_1$ be an $(K \times q)$ normalized matrix of environmental measurements, as before

and $W_2$ be an $(K \times M_i - 1)$ normalized matrix of pN/pS measurements according to grouping $i$

(i.e., KEGG or eggNOG orthologs) across $K$ samples, where for each $y^i{}_m$ we exclude the pN/pS

rates of the focal ortholog $m$.

With these notations, we assume the following model

544
$$y^i{}_m = W_1 u_{1m} + W_2 u_{2m} + \epsilon_m \qquad (4)$$

545     It is easy to verify that an equivalent mathematical representation of model (1) is given by

546
$$y^i{}_m \sim N(0, \sigma_{K_1}^2 K_1 + \sigma_{K_2}^2 K_2 + \sigma_{\epsilon_m}^2 I) \qquad (5)$$

547     where $\sigma^2{}_{K_1} = M^i\sigma^2{}_{u_{1m}}, \sigma^2{}_{K_2} = M^i\sigma^2{}_{u_{2m}}, K_1 = \frac{1}{M^i} W_1 W_1{}^T, K_2 = \frac{1}{M^i} W_2 W_2{}^T$. $K_1$ and $K_2$ represent

548     the similarity, in terms of environmental covariates and pN/pS rates, between every pair of

549     samples across grouping $i$ (i.e., represent the correlation structure to the data).

550    In this setting, the environmental explained variance is:

$$\chi^i_m = \frac{\sigma^2_{K_1} + \sigma^2_{K_2}}{\sigma^2_{K_1} + \sigma^2_{K_2} + \sigma^2_{\epsilon_m}} \qquad (6)$$

### KEGG KO expression data as a ranking metric

Using expression data from 4,092 KEGG KOs collected by Kolody et al. [16], we ranked the KO

genes in our marine samples in the following way. We first represent the expression data in

relative abundance space (normalize each sample by its read counts). Next, for each KO $i$, where

$i \in \{1, \ldots, I\}$ , we sum across different instances of this focal KO. The input is an $m \times n$ matrix,

where $m$ is the number of different instances of focal KO $i$, and $n$ is the number of samples. The

output is a vector of length $n$: $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Finally, we average the expression levels

$(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ across samples: $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j$ and rank the KOs based on the calculated average

expression. Notably, we limited the scope of our analysis to samples collected only in small

fraction filters ($0.22\ \mu m$).

### Determination of *Synechococcus*-specific pN/pS rates

We identified genes from the OM-RGC database belonging exclusively to genus *Synechococcus*

using eggNOG-mapper. We filtered out genes that were present in fewer than 20% of all samples.

For each of the samples, we calculated pN/pS on all gene sequences combined. We further

divided the samples into five identically sized groups, based on environmental nitrate

concentrations, and for each group filtered out genes that were present in fewer than 50% of all

samples in the group. We next calculated pN/pS on all the gene sequences that remained in each

of the groups.

**Determination of extracellular genes**

To determine extracellular gene groups, we searched the eggNOG v.5 OG database for the words 'secreted' or both words 'extracellular' and 'protein' in their description. We demanded that the words 'autoinducer', 'expression', 'role' and 'hypothetical' are not in the description to prevent instances where (a) the OG in question describes a hypothetical protein; and (b) where the OG produces a secreted particle but is not secreted by itself, as is the case with autoinducer producing genes. To ensure robust pN/pS calculations, descriptions encompassing 10 OGs or more were assigned a group name, while descriptions encompassing less than 10 OGs were all grouped together in one group.

**Calculation of mutation flux in divergent nitrate concentrations**

We created matrix $H^{(U)}$ as follows: Consider a set $U$ of all genes for which SNP measurements exist and a subset $T \subseteq U$ of this set across $K$ samples. Let $G^{(T)}_j = (V, E)$ be a codon graph for subset $T$ and sample $j$, where $v \in V$ is a codon (e.g., CUU coding for Alanine) and $(v, v') \in E$ if and only if $v$ and $v'$ are one mutation apart (e.g., CUU for Alanine and CAU for Histidine). Let $w^{(T)}_j : (v, v') \to [0,1]$ be a weight function where $w^{(T)}_j(v, v') = \frac{Number\ of\ mutations\ turning\ v\ to\ v\prime\ in\ subset\ T\ and\ sample\ j}{Number\ of\ occurrences\ of\ codon\ v\ in\ subset\ T\ and\ sample\ j}$. Let $H^{(T)}$ be a matrix of dimension $(|E| \times K)$, where $H^{(T)}_{(v,v\prime),j} = w^{(T)}_j(v, v')$.

We next sum-normalized H per each sample and compared the codon mutation frequencies between the 40 lowest- and 40 highest-nitrate samples. Despite significant differences in codon mutation frequencies between low-nitrate and high-nitrate samples, some of the difference could be driven by the simplex properties of the sum-normalized codon mutation frequencies, and some could be attributed to the different rates of synonymous mutations between the high- and low-nitrate groups, which, combined with simplex properties, may affect observed nonsynonymous

597     mutation rates. To address simplex properties, we employed a centered log-ratio (CLR)

598     normalization on *H*. The CLR transformation is a mapping, per codon composition, from the

599     simplex to a Euclidean vector subspace. This log transforms each value and then centers them

600     around zero as given below:

601 
$$CLR(V) = [log\frac{v_1}{g(v)},\ldots,log\frac{v_D}{g(v)}] = log(v) - log(g(v))$$

where g(v) is the geometric mean of all of the codons.

To address differences in rates of the different types of mutations, for each mutation $(v, v')$ in

the CLR normalized matrix $H'^{(U)}$ we calculated the log odds ratio between the mutation and its

reverse mutation. Namely, we computed mutation flux matrix $F^{(U)}$ where $F^{(U)}_{(v,v'),j} =$

$H^{(U)}_{(v,v'),j} - H^{(U)}_{(v',v),j}$. We compared differences in codon mutation flux between low- and high

nitrate samples using the Mann-Whitney *U*-test.

**Calculation of expected random mutation cost per genetic code**

Let *V* be a genetic code with a set $V_s \subset V$ of stop codons. Let $P(v)$ be the abundance of codon

$v \in V$ in a sample and $P(mut(v, v'))$ the probability of a single mutation from codon $v$ to $v'$. Let

$c_e: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be a cost function for element $e$, where:

613 
$$c_e(v, v') = \# \text{ of atoms of } e \text{ in } v' - \# \text{ of atoms of } e \text{ in } v$$

614     When testing the random mutation cost on hydrophobicity:

615 
$$c_{hyd}(v, v') = 0 \text{ if } v \text{ and } v' \text{ are both hydrophilic or hydrophobic, } 1 \text{ otherwise}$$

616     With these notations, we define the expected cost of genetic code *V* for element $e$ as follows:

617 
$$E[C_e(V)] = \sum_{v,v' \in V} P(v)P(mut(v, v'))c_e(v, v')$$

618    And the ERMC cost as:

$$ERMC_e(V) = \sum_{v,v' \in V} P(v)P(mut(v,v'))c^+{}_e(v,v')$$

620    Where

$$c^+{}_e = max(0, c_e)$$

622    We estimate $ERMC_e(V)$ as follows:

1.  We define $P(v)$ as the median abundance of all codons $v'$ coding for the same amino acid as $v$.

2.  We wished to calculate mutation rates in sites that were under minimal selection. To this end, we estimated $P(mut(v,v'))$ by calculating, from fourfold-degenerate synonymous mutation sites the average abundance of each single nucleotide mutation (e.g. A to C) across all genes in which there are called SNPs in all ocean samples, excluding stop codons. We then estimate $P(mut(v,v'))$ using the relative abundances of all pairs of single nucleotide mutations. We estimate $P(mut(v,v'))$ for *Prochlorococcus*, *Synechococcus* and Human genomes using published transition:transversion rates[5,48].

3.  We calculate *c* using information on the amino acids which each codon codes for.

633    To compute a p-value, we generate a null distribution by calculating $ERMC_e(V)$ for alternative genetic codes. We randomize the first and second position of all codons, while maintaining that the two sets of first and second positions in which the stop codons reside are separated by a single transition mutation.

**Confounding effects between cost functions for the structure of the genetic code**

To confirm that our elemental cost function is not confounded by traditional properties of amino acids such as the polar requirement (PR) and hydropathy index[33–36], we calculated the expected

random mutation cost (ERMC), per genetic code, using these common cost functions across 1

million simulated alternative codes. To this end, we randomized the first and second position of

all codons, while maintaining that the two sets of first and second positions in which the stop

codons reside are separated by a single transition mutation. We next calculated a contingency

table for each pair of cost functions for both nitrogen and carbon (i.e., $ERMC_N(V){:}ERMC_{PR}(V)$,

$ERMC_N(V){:}ERMC_{hydropathy}(V)$, $(V){:}ERMC_{PR}(V)$, $ERMC_C(V){:}ERMC_{hydropathy}(V)$). We assign

each code to one of four bins in the following way: (1) surpassing the standard genetic code in

both cost functions (e.g., nitrogen and PR), (2) surpassing the standard genetic code only in

element $e$ cost (e.g. only nitrogen), (3) surpassing the standard genetic code only in the traditional

cost function (e.g., PR), (4) not surpassing the standard genetic code in neither. Finally, we

applied the Chi-square test of independence with two degrees of freedom to each contingency

table.

**Determination of 'square' and 'diagonal' arrangements of the nitrogen genetic code**

We define a 'square' arrangement of the codons coding for nitrogen-rich amino acids histidine,

glutamine, asparagine, lysine and arginine as one where their codons span only two nucleotides

in the first position and two nucleotides in the second position. In the standard genetic code, these

amino acids are coded by CAN, CGN, AAN, AGR, following a square configuration. In contrast,

a 'diagonal' arrangement of the codons coding for these amino acids is one where they span all

possible nucleotides in the first position and all possible nucleotides in the second position. For

example, a genetic code where TTY codes for histidine, TTR for glutamine, CCN and AAR for

arginine, GGY for asparagine and GGR for lysine constitutes a 'diagonal' arrangement of nitrogen

amino acids. In each of the alternative genetic codes we generated, we tested whether either of

these conditions hold and, if so, designated the code as 'square' or 'diagonal' accordingly.

***Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* genomic data and mutation rates**

We downloaded *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* protein-coding gene sequences (where

available) from the Joint Genome Institute (https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/portal/) following

accession numbers published by Berube et al. [5]. To estimate codon relative abundance $P(v)$, we

counted and sum-normalized codons in all protein-coding genes for each species. To estimate

codon mutation rate $P \ (mut(v, v'))$ we used the published transition:transversion rate of 2:1 for

*Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* [37].

**Multiple taxa ERMC calculation**

To calculate ERMC for 39 taxa across multiple transition:transversion rates, we downloaded

codon usage and GC-content data collected by Athey et al.[49]. We used codon usage counts to

estimate $P(v)$ and 11 transition:transversion rates (1:5, 1:4, 1:3, 1:2, 2:3, 1:1, 3:2, 2:1, 3:1, 4:1,

5:1) to estimate $P(mut(v, v'))$.

**References**

1.    Morris, R. M. *et al.* SAR11 clade dominates ocean surface bacterioplankton communities.
*Nature* **420**, 806–810 (2002).

2.    del Giorgio, P. A. & Duarte, C. M. Respiration in the open ocean. *Nature* **420**, 379–384
(2002).

3.    Sunagawa, S. *et al.* Structure and function of the global ocean microbiome. *Science* **348**,
1261359 (2015).

4.    Mende, D. R. *et al.* Environmental drivers of a microbial genomic transition zone in the
ocean's interior. *Nature Microbiology* **2**, 1367–1373 (2017).

5.    Berube, P. M., Rasmussen, A., Braakman, R., Stepanauskas, R. & Chisholm, S. W.
Emergence of trait variability through the lens of nitrogen assimilation in Prochlorococcus.
*Elife* **8**, (2019).

6.    Druffel, E. R. M., Griffin, S., Coppola, A. I. & Walker, B. D. Radiocarbon in dissolved
organic carbon of the Atlantic Ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **43**, 5279–5286 (2016).

7.    Grzymski, J. J. & Dussaq, A. M. The significance of nitrogen cost minimization in
proteomes of marine microorganisms. *ISME J.* **6**, 71–80 (2012).

8.    Akashi, H. & Gojobori, T. Metabolic efficiency and amino acid composition in the proteomes
of Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **99**, 3695–3700
(2002).

9.    Batut, B., Knibbe, C., Marais, G. & Daubin, V. Reductive genome evolution at both ends of
the bacterial population size spectrum. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **12**, 841–850 (2014).

10.    Biller, S. J. *et al.* Marine microbial metagenomes sampled across space and time. *Sci Data*
**5**, 180176 (2018).

11.    Schloissnig, S. *et al.* Genomic variation landscape of the human gut microbiome. *Nature*
**493**, 45–50 (2013).

12.    McDonald, J. H. & Kreitman, M. Adaptive protein evolution at the Adh locus in Drosophila.
*Nature* **351**, 652–654 (1991).

13.    Garud, N. R., Good, B. H., Hallatschek, O. & Pollard, K. S. Evolutionary dynamics of
bacteria in the gut microbiome within and across hosts. *PLoS Biol.* **17**, e3000102 (2019).

14.    Price, A. L., Zaitlen, N. A., Reich, D. & Patterson, N. New approaches to population
stratification in genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **11**, 459–463 (2010).

15.    Koonin, E. V. Are There Laws of Genome Evolution? *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **7**, e1002173
(2011).

16.    Kolody, B. C. *et al.* Diel transcriptional response of a California Current plankton
microbiome to light, low iron, and enduring viral infection. *ISME J.* (2019).
doi:10.1038/s41396-019-0472-2

17.    Koonin, E. V. & Novozhilov, A. S. Origin and evolution of the genetic code: the universal

enigma. *IUBMB Life* **61**, 99–111 (2009).

18. Hinegardner, R. T. & Engelberg, J. RATIONALE FOR A UNIVERSAL GENETIC CODE.
*Science* **142**, 1083–1085 (1963).

19. Woese, C. R., Hinegardner, R. T. & Engelberg, J. Universality in the Genetic Code. *Science*
**144**, 1030–1031 (1964).

20. Woese, C. R. Order in the genetic code. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **54**, 71–75 (1965).

21. Gamow, G. Possible Relation between Deoxyribonucleic Acid and Protein Structures.
*Nature* **173**, 318–318 (1954).

22. Wong, J. T. A co-evolution theory of the genetic code. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **72**,
1909–1912 (1975).

23. Freeland, S. J. & Hurst, L. D. The genetic code is one in a million. *J. Mol. Evol.* **47**, 238–248
(1998).

24. Crick, F. H. The origin of the genetic code. *J. Mol. Biol.* **38**, 367–379 (1968).

25. Massey, S. E. A neutral origin for error minimization in the genetic code. *J. Mol. Evol.* **67**,
510–516 (2008).

26. Massey, S. E. Genetic code evolution reveals the neutral emergence of mutational
robustness, and information as an evolutionary constraint. *Life* **5**, 1301–1332 (2015).

27. Massey, S. E. The neutral emergence of error minimized genetic codes superior to the
standard genetic code. *J. Theor. Biol.* **408**, 237–242 (2016).

28. Novozhilov, A. S. & Koonin, E. V. Exceptional error minimization in putative primordial
genetic codes. *Biol. Direct* **4**, 44 (2009).

29. Novozhilov, A. S., Wolf, Y. I. & Koonin, E. V. Evolution of the genetic code: partial
optimization of a random code for robustness to translation error in a rugged fitness
landscape. *Biol. Direct* **2**, 24 (2007).

30. Salinas, D. G., Gallardo, M. O. & Osorio, M. I. Local conditions for global stability in the
space of codons of the genetic code. *Biosystems.* **150**, 73–77 (2016).

31. Torabi, N., Goodarzi, H. & Shateri Najafabadi, H. The case for an error minimizing set of
coding amino acids. *J. Theor. Biol.* **244**, 737–744 (2007).

32. Zhu, W. & Freeland, S. The standard genetic code enhances adaptive evolution of proteins.
*J. Theor. Biol.* **239**, 63–70 (2006).

33. de Oliveira, L. L., de Oliveira, P. S. L. & Tinós, R. A multiobjective approach to the genetic
code adaptability problem. *BMC Bioinformatics* **16**, 52 (2015).

34. Di Giulio, M. & Medugno, M. The level and landscape of optimization in the origin of the
genetic code. *J. Mol. Evol.* **52**, 372–382 (2001).

35. Higgs, P. G. A four-column theory for the origin of the genetic code: tracing the evolutionary
pathways that gave rise to an optimized code. *Biol. Direct* **4**, 16 (2009).

36. Sengupta, S. & Higgs, P. G. Pathways of Genetic Code Evolution in Ancient and Modern
Organisms. *J. Mol. Evol.* **80**, 229–243 (2015).

37. Urbach, E., Scanlan, D. J., Distel, D. L., Waterbury, J. B. & Chisholm, S. W. Rapid
Diversification of Marine Picophytoplankton with Dissimilar Light-Harvesting Structures
Inferred from Sequences of Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus (Cyanobacteria). *Journal*
*of Molecular Evolution* **46**, 188–201 (1998).

38. Hopfield, J. J. Origin of the genetic code: a testable hypothesis based on tRNA structure,
sequence, and kinetic proofreading. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **75**, 4334–4338 (1978).

39. Yarus, M., Widmann, J. J. & Knight, R. RNA–Amino Acid Binding: A Stereochemical Era for
the Genetic Code. *J. Mol. Evol.* **69**, 406 (2009).

40. Freeland, S. J., Knight, R. D., Landweber, L. F. & Hurst, L. D. Early fixation of an optimal
genetic code. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **17**, 511–518 (2000).

41. Huerta-Cepas, J. *et al.* Fast Genome-Wide Functional Annotation through Orthology
Assignment by eggNOG-Mapper. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **34**, 2115–2122 (2017).

42. Kanehisa, M. & Goto, S. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids*
*Res.* **28**, 27–30 (2000).

43. Zeevi, D. *et al.* Structural variation in the gut microbiome associates with host health.
*Nature* **568**, 43–48 (2019).

44. 1000 Genomes Project Consortium *et al.* A map of human genome variation from
population-scale sequencing. *Nature* **467**, 1061–1073 (2010).

45. Huerta-Cepas, J. *et al.* eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically
annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. *Nucleic Acids*
*Res.* **47**, D309–D314 (2019).

46. Schlitzer, R. *et al.* The GEOTRACES Intermediate Data Product 2017. *Chem. Geol.* **493**,
210–223 (2018).

47. Honaker, J., King, G., Blackwell, M. & Others. Amelia II: A program for missing data. *J.*
*Stat. Softw.* **45**, 1–47 (2011).

48. Wang, J., Raskin, L., Samuels, D. C., Shyr, Y. & Guo, Y. Genome measures used for
quality control are dependent on gene function and ancestry. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 318–323
(2015).

49. Athey, J. *et al.* A new and updated resource for codon usage tables. *BMC Bioinformatics*
**18**, 391 (2017).

**Supplementary Material**

**Figure S1.** (A-H) Distribution of measurements taken alongside marine microbial samples for depth (A), nitrate (B), nitrate and nitrite (C), oxygen (D), phosphate (E), salinity (F), silicate (G) and temperature (H). (I) Spearman correlation coefficients between all pairs of environmental measurements across all available samples.

**Figure S2.** (A-P) Histograms of Spearman correlations between $\pi_{within}$ (red) or pN/pS rates (blue) and environmental variables, for both KEGG KOs and eggNOG OGs. Panels A, C, E, G, I, K, M and O depict correlations between OG calculated parameters and depth, nitrate, nitrite and nitrite, oxygen, phosphate, salinity, silicate and temperature, respectively. Panels B, D, F, H, J, l, N and P depict correlations between KO calculated parameters and depth, nitrate, nitrite and nitrite, oxygen, phosphate, salinity, silicate and temperature, respectively.

**Figure S3**. (A,B) Scatter  plot of the association of pN/pS genes from genus *Synechococcus* with environmental concentrations of nitrate (A) for all synechococcus genes and (B) for genes present in over 50% in nitrate strata.

**Figure S4**. (A, B) Box plots (line, median; box, IQR; whiskers, 5th and 95th percentiles) of (A) Spearman correlation coefficients between depth and pN/pS in highly expressed (left) and lowly expressed (right) KEGG KOs. (B) Spearman correlation coefficients between depth and pN/pS in highly expressed (left) and lowly expressed (right) KEGG KOs. Variance explained by the environment in extracellular gene groups versus all eggNOG OGs (Methods). *, $P<0.05$; ***, $P<10^{-5}$.

**Figure S5**. (A-C) Histograms of the distribution of P-values of codon-to-codon mutations compared

between (A) 40 low-nitrate samples and 40 other low-nitrate samples selected randomly out of the 80

lowest-nitrate samples; (B) 40 high-nitrate samples and 40 other high-nitrate samples selected

randomly out of the 80 highest-nitrate samples; (C) 40 low-nitrate samples and 40 high-nitrate samples

selected randomly out of the 80 lowest and highest nitrate samples. (D) Depiction of mutations

common in high versus low environmental nitrate concentrations. Two edged arrows mark amino-

acids in which some codon mutations were more common in high-nitrate but the opposite codon

mutation was more common in low-nitrate.

**Figure S6.** (A-C) Hydrophobic or hydrophilic properties of different amino acids depicted across their positions in (A) the standard genetic code, (B+C) two permutations of the standard genetic code that were more conservative in terms of nitrogen and carbon ERMC.

**Figure S7.** (A) Box plots (line, median; box, IQR; whiskers, 5th and 95th percentiles) of P-values for

the ERMC of the standard genetic code for nitrogen (left), carbon (center) and both (right) across 187

*Prochlorococcus* (P, blue) and *Synechococcus* (S, red) strains. (B) P-values for the ERMC of the

standard genetic code for nitrogen (blue), carbon (black) and both (purple) across a wide range of

transition:transversion rates, calculated using combined codon abundance of 187 *Prochlorococcus*

and *Synechococcus* strains. (C) Heat map of ERMC P-values for nitrogen, carbon and both, for a

theoretical case in which all codons are of the same abundance. (D,E) Same as Fig. 3C for ERMC$_N$

(D) and ERMC$_C$ (E) P-values.

| Cost function a | Cost function b | Sign a | Sign b | Alternative code counts | Chi-squared P-value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| n+ | c+ | < | < | 128 | 1.32E-03 |
| | | | >= | 14106 | |
| | | >= | < | 11802 | |
| | | | >= | 973964 | |
| n+ | hyd | < | < | 270 | 2.71E-04 |
| | | | >= | 13964 | |
| | | >= | < | 14953 | |
| | | | >= | 970813 | |
| n+ | pr | < | < | 83 | 4.68E-16 |
| | | | >= | 14151 | |
| | | >= | < | 13646 | |
| | | | >= | 972120 | |
| c+ | hyd | < | < | 249 | 4.86E-07 |
| | | | >= | 11681 | |
| | | >= | < | 14974 | |
| | | | >= | 973096 | |
| c+ | pr | < | < | 442 | 4.29E-107 |
| | | | >= | 11488 | |
| | | >= | < | 13287 | |
| | | | >= | 974783 | |

**Table S1**. Contingency tables for each pair of cost functions for both nitrogen (n+) and carbon (c+),
compared to PR (pr) and Hydropathy index (hyd), across 1 million simulated genetic codes. Each code
is assigned to one of four bins: (1) surpassing the standard genetic code in both cost functions (<; <),
(2) surpassing the standard genetic code only in element e cost (<; >=), (3) surpassing the standard
genetic code only in the traditional cost function (>=; <), (4) not surpassing the standard genetic code
in neither (>=; >=). Chi-square test of independence was applied to each contingency table.