LAMBDR: Long-range amplification and Nanopore sequencing of the

***Mycobacterium bovis direct-repeat region*. A novel method for in-silico**

spoligotyping of *M. bovis* directly from badger faeces.

James, R.S.,[1*] Travis, E. R.[1]., Hewlett, P[1]., Kravar-Garde, L.,[1] Wellington, E.M.[1]

[1]The University of Warwick, School of Life Science, Gibbet Hill Campus, Coventry, CV4 7AL.

*R.james.5@warwick.ac.uk

Abstract

The environment is an overlooked source of *Mycobacterium bovis*, the causative

agent of bovine TB. Long read, end to end sequencing of variable repeat regions

across the *M. bovis* genome was evaluated as a method of acquiring rapid strain

level resolution directly from environmental samples. Eight samples of *M. bovis*, two

BCG strains (Danish and Pasteur), and a single *M. tuberculosis* type culture (NCTC

13144) were used to generate data for this method. Long range PCR amplification of

the direct repeat region was used to synthesize ~5kb template DNA for onward

sequence analysis. This has permitted culture independent identification of *M. bovis*

spoligotypes present in the environment. Sequence level analysis of the direct repeat

region showed that spoligotyping may underestimate strain diversity due to the

inability to identify both SNPs and primer binding mutations using a biotinylated

hybridisation approach.

Introduction

Sequencing pathogens directly from the environment allows rapid epidemiological studies to be undertaken in response to outbreaks of disease. Bovine tuberculosis (bTB) is a progressive pulmonary disease of the bovidae that remains endemic to many cattle populations around the world. *Mycobacterium bovis,* the causal agent of bTB, can persist in the environment and this may contribute to further infection in domesticated livestock and wildlife (Courtenay et al., 2006, King et al., 2015). Infected animals shed to the environment via aerosol, urine and faeces, with a growing body of evidence suggesting that environmental *M. bovis* could play an important role in the persistence of this disease (Wellington and Courtenay, 2014, Duffield and Young, 1985, King et al., 2015, Barbier et al., 2017). Previous studies report the molecular detection of *M. bovis* in environmental faecal samples from the European badger (*Meles meles*) upwards of 15 months after excretion (Young et al., 2005). However, the isolation and culture of *M. bovis* is rarely successful from environmental samples due to the difficulty in selectively isolating *M. bovis* from diverse and competitive microbial communities. While the molecular detection of *M. bovis* in environmental samples has provided a useful marker for tracking diseased populations (King et al., 2015), information relating to strain type diversity could only previously be obtained by culturing isolates from infected individuals. Here we present a new method to strain type *M. bovis* directly from environmental samples, such as badger faeces, with the aim to better understand the role of the environment in the epidemiology of bovine tuberculosis.

The roles of wildlife reservoirs have become synonymous with the spread of bTB

throughout the world. Animals such as the white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*),

common brush tail possum (*Trichosurus Vulpecula)*, wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) and

European badger (*Meles meles*) have all been shown to be susceptible to this

disease and maintain an infective load at the population level (O'Brien et al., 2002,

Nugent, 2011, Martin-Hernando et al., 2007, Fitzgerald and Kaneene, 2012).

Badgers are an important wildlife reservoir of *M. bovis* in the United Kingdom

(Donnelly et al., 2003) and infected badgers have been shown to shed *M. bovis* in

their faeces (King et al., 2015). Social groups of badgers dig underground tunnel

systems known as setts and defecate into communal "latrines" which are often

located on cattle pasture.

M. bovis is a highly genetically homogeneous species. For example, 98.9% of the *M.*

bovis genome is conserved between strains with the 16S rRNA gene fully conserved

within the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTC). However, whole genome

sequencing of *M. bovis* directly from environmental samples is technically

challenging and often limited by the low abundance of the organism in the sample

type. The use of the direct repeat region and variable nucleotide tandem repeats

(VNTRs) have been reported to act as robust proxies for strain type diversity (Brudey

et al., 2006, Roring et al., 2002, Zeng et al., 2016, Barbier et al., 2016). The direct

repeat region of the MTC is a ~5 kb region of the genome belonging to the CRISPA

sequence family. This region is comprised of repeated subunits interspersed with

spacer DNA. The presence or absence of the 43 different spacer DNA subunits can

be used to fingerprint and identify divergent lineages of *M. bovis* and *M. tuberculosis*

using a biotinylated amplification and hybridization approach. A limitation to this

method is that it relies on culture from an infected individual and requires multiple

PCR reactions per sample that are likely to be subject to inhibition when directed at

environmental samples such as soil and faeces (Pontiroli et al., 2011).

The ability to detect and type members of the mycobacterium complex, such as *M.*

bovis and *M. tuberculosis*, without the requirement of culture provides a rapid and

low cost epidemiological tool that can be implemented in countries where *M. bovis*

and *M. tuberculosis* are endemic and zoonotic pathogens to both humans and

livestock. This is of particular relevance to LMIC countries where diagnostic costs

are high and co-infections are present. In this study we developed long range PCR

primers to amplify the direct repeat region of the *M. bovis* genome and then used the

portable Oxford nanopore MinION to undertake long-read, end to end amplicon

sequencing. Data is presented on the performance of this assay on pure culture

isolates of BCG, wild type *M. bovis isolates*, *M. tuberculosis* type culture, inoculated

environmental samples and naturally infected badger faeces. This has allowed us to

differentiate known strain types from both culture and the environment.

Methods

Sample collection

Mycobacterium bovis variant BCG NCTC 5692 and NCTC 14044, *M. bovis* NCTC

10772 and *M. tuberculosis* type strain NCTC 13144 were sourced from the Public

Health England culture collection. Six wildtype *M. bovis* heat treated lysates were

also supplied by Public Health England, originating from infected livestock from the

south west of England. Badger faecal samples were collected from Woodchester

Park, Gloucestershire, UK. Negative badger faeces used for spiking and negative

controls were sourced from APHA captive animals. Samples were stored at -20 ^{o} C

prior to DNA extraction. A water sample from a farmland drinking trough

contaminated with low levels of *M. bovis* was also included in the development of

this method.

Six samples of known negative badger faeces inoculated with tenfold serial dilutions

of BCG. These dilutions ranged from 1 x 10^{8} genomic equivalents g^{-1} of faeces to 1 x

10^{3} genomic g^{-1}. Samples were homogenised via stirring with a sterile pipette tip for

one min and frozen at – 20 ^{o} C prior to DNA extraction.

DNA extraction

All DNA was extracted using the MolBio DNA fast DNA extraction kit for soil (cat no:

6560-200) as per manufacturers instruction with a modifications (Sweeney et al).

Silica based binding matrix beads were suspended and washed twice, once with the

supplied wash buffer and once with 80 % EtOH. DNA was eluted in 50 µl of warmed

DES elution buffer at 56 ^{o} C and quantified using a Qbit high sensitivity assay.

PCR amplification of the direct repeat region.

Three PCR primer sets for the amplification of the 5 kb direct repeat region were

computed using PRIMER 3 (Table 1). Estimated annealing temperatures both within

and between primers were maintained within +- 0.5 $^{\circ}$C. Efforts were made to limit

cross species homology outside of the MTC using the NCBI database. Maximum

permissible homopolymer sites were reduced to 3 and self-compatibility was limited

to less than 2 at the 3' end. Primer tertiary structures and primer dimer formation

were analysed using Oligoanalyzer. No conformational tertiary structures or primer

dimers were permitted with a delta G greater than -6. Primer sequences are shown

in Table 1.

One 25 μl PCR reaction consisted of 1 μl of NEB hotstart long amp Taq (Cat

no:M0534L), 5 μl of NEB Long amp Taq buffer, 300μM dNTP mix, 10 μg/ul BSA, 200

ng NEB EtSSB, 0.4 μM forward and reverse primers, and 5 μl of DNA template. PCR

was undertaken using an Eppendorf Master cycler under the conditions 94 $^{\circ}$C for 2

min then 35 cycles of 30 sec at 94 $^{\circ}$C, 45 sec at 58 $^{\circ}$C and 5 min at 65 $^{\circ}$C. A final

extension phase of 10 min at 65 $^{\circ}$C was used. PCR products were then exposed to

50 ng of Proteinase K and digested at 56 $^{\circ}$C for five min. Products then underwent a

0.4 x SPRI clean up using 80% EtOH as a wash buffer. Samples were eluted into 50

μl of molecular grade H_2O at 37 $^{\circ}$C for 30 min. DNA was standardised to 1.5 μg in

50 μl of H_2O and then stored at -20 $^{\circ}$C prior to onward analysis.

Table 1. Primer sequence, annealing temperature and amplicon size tested in this study.

Primer ID	Sequence	T_m	T_a	GC%	Length (bp)
DR1F	TTCATGACCAAACGTCCTCA	61	56	45	5052
DR1R	TGACATCATCAGCAGGCATT	61	56	45	
DR2F	GACTGAACACCACACCGACA	64	60	55	4637
DR2R	TTGTCAGCGCAGAGGAGTTT	64	60	50	
DR3F	CCTGAATGCCGGTCAACAGA	65	59	55	5119
DR3R	GCATTGTTACCACACGCTGG	64	59	55	

139 **PCR amplification of the direct repeat region.**

140 Amplification of the direct repeat region from *M. bovis BCG*, *M. bovis* and *M.*

141 *tuberculosis* was undertaken using the three potential primer sets under gradient

142 PCR conditions of T_a of 54.5^o C : 65 ^{o} C using an Eppendorph Mastercycler.

143 Amplification of the direct repeat region from the six wildtype isolates, spiked faecal

144 samples and a naturally infected badger faecal sample was undertaken using primer

145 set one using end-point PCR. Off-target PCR amplification was assessed using pure

146 culture DNA from *M. avium*, *M. intracellulare*, *M. abscessus, and M. fortuitum.*

147

148 **Quantification of genomic copy number**

149 The number of genomic copies of *M. bovis* and *M. bovis* BCG present in both spiked

150 and naturally infected samples were quantified using Taq-man qPCR assay as

151 described in King et al. (2015).

152

153 **Library preparation**

154 Library preparation was undertaken using the Oxford Nanopore SQK-LSK 109

155 ligation sequencing kit and native barcode kit NB003. End repair, dA tailing and

156 FFPE repair was undertaken in parallel using 48 μl of PCR product standardised to

157 1.5 ug. DNA was eluted into 12 μl of H_2O. Sequencing was undertaken on a MinION

158 using R 9.4.1 flow cells (FLO-MIN 106) and MinKNOW version 2.0.1. Two

159 multiplexed libraries were prepared, one consisting of amplicons generated from *M.*

160 *bovis* BCG pasture, BCG Danish, *M. bovis* and *M. tuberculosis* cultured isolates and

161 one consisting of *M. bovis* BCG Danish, six wildtype isolates, one artificially spiked

162   badger faeces with BCG Danish at 1 x 10^6 copies g^{-1} one naturally infected badger

163   faeces at 1 x 10^8 copies g^{-1}. Libraries were run independently on two different

164   flowcells (R 9.4.1). Once sequencing was completed, fast5 reads were basecalled

165   using Guppy 2.0. using the high accuracy configuration. Reads with a Q score of < 8

166   were discarded.

Sequence assembly

Fastq reads were demultiplexed by barcode identity using qCAT. Reads with middle

adaptors detected were discarded from this analysis. Reads were then mapped to

the direct repeat region of reference genomes NC_000962.3, AM408590.1 and

GCF_000195835.2 using minimap2 and extracted using Samtools. Reads were

randomly down sampled to 1000 reads using fastqSample with reads < 3.5 kb

discarded. Contigs were assembled using the program Canu V 1.8. Fastq reads

were then mapped back to the consensus sequences using minimap2 and polished

using Nanopolish v 0.11. Spoligotype analysis was then undertaken using Spo-

Typing v2.0 (Xia et al., 2016). Strain fingerprints were then compared with the

national *M. bovis* spoligotype database, worldwide TB strain database and NCBI

reference genomes. Sequence level homology to known BCG type strains were

assessed using MUMmer v 3.2.3 and Nucmer (Kurtz et al., 2004). Sequence level

homology between isolates was assessed using a MUSCLE alignment with reduced

gap open and gap extension penalties. Sequence alignments were analysed in a

Maximum likelihood tree using MEGA X.

Results

185

PCR amplification of the direct repeat region from pure culture

186

All three primer sets for amplification of the 5kb direct repeat region showed strong

187

amplification when characterised using pure culture DNA from *M. bovis* BCG

188

(Danish) M. bovis BCG (Pasteur), *M. bovis* and *M. tuberculosis.* Primer set one was

189

chosen for further analysis due to the strong amplification and homology of primer

190

annealing temperatures.

191

192

Amplification of the direct repeat region in environmental samples.

193

Six wild type isolates showed positive amplification when using primer set one.

194

Spiked badger DNA templates showed amplification at 1×10^4 copies g^{-1}.

195

Amplification of the direct repeat region was also achieved in a naturally infected

196

badger faeces quantified using qPCR at 1×10^8 copies g^{-1}. Low level amplification

197

was observed in contaminated drinking trough water with some off target

198

amplification. No off-target amplification was seen for *M. abscesses*, *M. avium,* or *M.*

199

fortuitum. Low level amplification of a 2kb fragment was seen in response to *M.*

200

intracellulare.

201

202

Sequencing and assembly

203

The two sequencing reactions were run for two hours generating approximately 1.54

204

gb and 1.23 gb of data in 542041 and 461010 reads respectively. An N50 value for

205

each run was calculated at 4216 bp and 3892 bp respectively prior to demultiplexing

206

207 and quality control. Assembled contig length, coverage, N50 and reference

208 sequence homology for each sample are shown in table 2. Only partial sequencing

209 of the direct repeat region was achieved from contaminated drinking trough water.

211 **Table 2.** Assembled and polished contig length, coverage, N50 and reference sequence homology for each type
212 sample sequenced in this study. Reference sequence identity calculated using nucmer.

Sample	ID	Accession n^{o}	Length (bp)	Coverage	Coverage	Coverage q	N50	Identity
M. bovis BCG Pasteur	1173P2	AM408590.1	5138	100x	100 %	98.2	4815	99.61
M. bovis BCG Danish	1331	CP039850.1	5304	56x	100 %	98.0	4988	99.84
M. bovis	AF2122/97	LT708304.1	4722	82x	100 %	94.6	4532	99.89
M. tuberculosis	13144	CP003248.2	4690	94x	100 %	96.4	4211	99.91

In silico spoligotypes analysis

Successful spoligotypes were generated for all samples using Spo-Type V 2.0

(Table 3). Spoligotypes for all non BCG strains successfully matched to existing

databases (Table 3). Multiple sequence alignments clustered *M. bovis* BCG strains

with known reference genomes and clustered separately from Wild Type strains of

M. bovis (Figure 1).

Table 3. In-silico spoligotypes, generated for each wild type sample tested in this study. Spoligotypes were
generated automatically using Spoligotyper-v2.0.

Sample	Sample origin	Spoligotype	Notation
M.bovis WT 1	Isolate	SB0120	1101111101111110111111111111111111111100000
M.bovis WT 2	Isolate	SB0274	1101101000001110111111111111111111101100000
M.bovis WT 3	Isolate	SB0145	1101000000000101111111111111111111111100000
M.bovis WT 4	Isolate	SB0120	1101111101111110111111111111111111111100000
M.bovis WT 5	Isolate	SB0133	1100000101111110111111111111111111111100000
M.bovis WT 6	Isolate	SB0263	1101101000001110111111111111111111111100000
M.bovis WT E	Badger faeces	SB0140	1101101000001110111111111111111111111100000
BCG Pasteur	Badger faeces	NA	1101101101111110111111111111111111111100000
M. tuberculosis	Type strain	NA	1111111111111111100011111111110000111111

Figure 1. Clustering of *M. bovis* strains using spoligotyping amplicon sequence level diversity. A maximum likelihood tree (bootstrap n = 500) based on sequence similarity of the five kb direct repeat region. Clustering is relative to Genbank reference sequences shown in grey, bootstrap values are denoted by circles.

Discussion

In silico spoligotyping was successfully achieved for both *M. bovis* and *M. tuberculosis* using pure cultures. Furthermore, in silico spoligotyping was also achieved with DNA extracted from *M. bovis* isolates, spiked faecal samples and naturally infected badger faeces. Partial sequencing was achieved for the direct repeat region from contaminated drinking trough water. While previous studies have shown it possible to undertake whole genome sequencing of pure isolates as well as partial sequencing and assembly of *M. tuberculosis* from low complexity human sputum samples (George et al., 2018), this is the first study to present a feasible method for resolving a degree of strain level differentiation directly from environmental reservoirs of infection. It is likely that the incomplete spoligotyping of contaminated drinking tough water is due to the low level of M. bovis within the sample which indicates that this strain typing tool is less sensitive than the qPCR method to identify and quantify *M. bovis* in environmental samples (King et al., 2015, Courtenay et al., 2006). However, this method is suitable for rapid, high throughput,

low-cost in-house spoligotyping of both *M. bovis* at 1×10^4 copies g^{-1} in badger

faeces and *M. tuberculosis* from culture. The ability to differentiate *M. bovis* from *M.*

tuberculosis based on the presence of the final five unique spacer DNA sequences

in the direct repeat region (Niemann et al., 2000) also highlights the application of

this method in regions where *M. bovis* and *M. tuberculosis* are present within both

the human and wildlife population.

PCR primers developed for the amplification of variable regions of the *M. bovis* and

M. tuberculosis genome were shown to amplify target regions of DNA from pure

culture, spiked faecal samples and a naturally infected badger faecal sample. The

lack of off-target and non-specific amplification in closely related species from the *M.*

tuberculosis complex indicates that this method is suitable for specific amplification

of target DNA in some complex environmental matrices. However, due to the partial

amplification of off target DNA in samples containing low levels of M. bovis, pre-

processing clean up and post processing bioinformatics is recommended to map

reads to a known reference data base before assembly.

Amplification of target DNA from spiked environmental samples was achievable

down to 1×10^4 genomic equivalents g^{-1}. This is likely due to the presence of PCR

inhibitors present in faecal samples and the mechanical method of DNA extraction

required to recover DNA from resilient bacteria such as *M. bovis*. While total

fragment length of the DNA extract was approximated at between 5 - 10 kb using gel

electrophoresis, the effects of DNA fragmentation in low copy number infected

samples has not been quantified in this study. This may explain, in part, the

reduction in sensitivity of this assay when used in low abundance samples as

recovery of full-length template DNA may be limited under these conditions. Despite

this shortfall we have shown that amplification of the direct repeat region from

positive wild badger faeces with a realistic infective load (King et al., 2015) is

achievable using this extraction method.

Using the direct repeat region for in-silico spoligotyping gives a degree of resolution

comparable with existing methods. The ability to inspect the sequence level

resolution of these variable regions increases the potential resolution and reduces

the PCR based artefacts associated with the spoligotyping technique. While it is

difficult to quantify the accuracy of sequence level resolution when analysing

uncharacterised samples, our comparison of known samples of BCG to reference

genomes indicates a > 99.8% homology is achievable using polished contigs.

Sequence level phylogenetic analysis of all the sequences in this data set also

indicates that the distinct lineages of *M. bovis*, *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis BCG*

may be possible with larger data sets.

The data presented provides evidence of a suitable method to spoligotypes wild type

M. bovis present in badger faeces in the UK. Furthermore, this method is shows the

potentially resolve *M. tuberculosis* spoligotypes from non-invasive sampling methods

such as neonatal faeces and sputum. We feel this is a valid contribution to the global

epidemiology of both *M. bovis* and *M. tuberculosis* as it offers a high through-put and

low cost alternative to isolation and culture. While the amount of sequence data

recovered for each isolate in this study far surpassed the requirements for accurate

sequence assembly and coverage in the majority of samples, future applications

using smaller capacity flow cells are likely to substantially further reduce costs of this

application without sacrificing sequence level accuracy.

LAMBDR: Long-range amplification and Nanopore sequencing of the *Mycobacterium bovis direct-repeat region*. A novel method for in-silico spoligotyping of *M. bovis* directly from badger faeces.

References

BARBIER, E., BOSCHIROLI, M. L., GUENEAU, E., ROCHELET, M., PAYNE, A., DE CRUZ, K., BLIEUX, A. L., FOSSOT, C. & HARTMANN, A. 2016. First molecular detection of Mycobacterium bovis in environmental samples from a French region with endemic bovine tuberculosis. *Journal of Applied Microbiology,* 120**,** 1193-1207.

BARBIER, E., ROCHELET, M., GAL, L., BOSCHIROLI, M. L. & HARTMANN, A. 2017. Impact of temperature and soil type on Mycobacterium bovis survival in the environment. *PloS one,* 12**,** e0176315-e0176315.

BRUDEY, K., DRISCOLL, J. R., RIGOUTS, L., PRODINGER, W. M., GORI, A., AL-HAJOJ, S. A., ALLIX, C., ARISTIMUÑO, L., ARORA, J. & BAUMANIS, V. 2006. Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex genetic diversity: mining the fourth international spoligotyping database (SpolDB4) for classification, population genetics and epidemiology. *BMC microbiology,* 6**,** 23.

COURTENAY, O., REILLY, L. A., SWEENEY, F. P., HIBBERD, V., BRYAN, S., UL-HASSAN, A., NEWMAN, C., MACDONALD, D. W., DELAHAY, R. J., WILSON, G. J. & WELLINGTON, E. M. H. 2006. Is *Mycobacterium bovis* in the environment important for the persistence of bovine tuberculosis? *Biology Letters,* 2**,** 460-462.

DONNELLY, C. A., WOODROFFE, R., COX, D., BOURNE, J., GETTINBY, G., LE FEVRE, A. M., MCINERNEY, J. P. & MORRISON, W. I. 2003. Impact of localized badger culling on tuberculosis incidence in British cattle. *Nature,* 426**,** 834.

DUFFIELD, B. & YOUNG, D. 1985. Survival of Mycobacterium bovis in defined environmental conditions. *Veterinary microbiology,* 10**,** 193-197.

FITZGERALD, S. D. & KANEENE, J. B. 2012. Wildlife Reservoirs of Bovine Tuberculosis Worldwide: Hosts, Pathology, Surveillance, and Control. *Veterinary Pathology,* 50**,** 488-499.

GEORGE, S., XU, Y., SANDERSON, N., HUBBARD, A. T. M., GRIFFITHS, D. T., MORGAN, M., PANKHURST, L., HOOSDALLY, S. J., FOSTER, D., THULBORN, S., ROBINSON, E., GRACE SMITH, E., RATHOD, P., SARAH WALKER, A., PETO, T. E. A., CROOK, D. W. & DINGLE, K. E. 2018. MinION Nanopore Sequencing of Multiple Displacement Amplified Mycobacteria DNA Direct from Sputum. *bioRxiv***,** 490417.

KING, H. C., MURPHY, A., JAMES, P., TRAVIS, E., PORTER, D., HUNG, Y.-J., SAWYER, J., CORK, J., DELAHAY, R. J., GAZE, W., COURTENAY, O. & WELLINGTON, E. M. 2015. The variability and seasonality of the environmental reservoir of Mycobacterium bovis shed by wild European badgers. *Scientific Reports,* 5**,** 12318.

KURTZ, S., PHILLIPPY, A., DELCHER, A. L., SMOOT, M., SHUMWAY, M., ANTONESCU, C. & SALZBERG, S. L. 2004. Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome Biol,* 5**,** R12.

MARTIN-HERNANDO, M. P., HOFLE, U., VICENTE, J., RUIZ-FONS, F., VIDAL, D., BARRAL, M., GARRIDO, J. M., DE LA FUENTE, J. & GORTAZAR, C. 2007. Lesions associated with Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex infection in the European wild boar. *Tuberculosis (Edinb),* 87**,** 360-7.

NIEMANN, S., RICHTER, E. & RÜSCH-GERDES, S. 2000. Differentiation among members of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex by molecular and biochemical features: evidence for two pyrazinamide-susceptible subtypes of M. bovis. *Journal of clinical microbiology,* 38**,** 152-157.

NUGENT, G. 2011. Maintenance, spillover and spillback transmission of bovine tuberculosis in multi-host wildlife complexes: a New Zealand case study. *Veterinary microbiology,* 151**,** 34-42.

O'BRIEN, D. J., SCHMITT, S. M., FIERKE, J. S., HOGLE, S. A., WINTERSTEIN, S. R., COOLEY, T. M., MORITZ, W. E., DIEGEL, K. L., FITZGERALD, S. D. & BERRY, D. E. 2002. Epidemiology of Mycobacterium bovis in free-ranging white-tailed deer, Michigan, USA, 1995–2000. *Preventive veterinary medicine,* 54**,** 47-63.

PONTIROLI, A., TRAVIS, E. R., SWEENEY, F. P., PORTER, D., GAZE, W. H., MASON, S., HIBBERD, V., HOLDEN, J., COURTENAY, O. & WELLINGTON, E. M. H. 2011. Pathogen quantitation in

complex matrices: a multi-operator comparison of DNA extraction methods with a novel
assessment of PCR inhibition. *PloS one,* 6**,** e17916.
RORING, S., SCOTT, A., BRITTAIN, D., WALKER, I., HEWINSON, G., NEILL, S. & SKUCE, R. 2002.
Development of Variable-Number Tandem Repeat Typing of Mycobacterium
bovis: Comparison of Results with Those Obtained by Using Existing Exact
Tandem Repeats and Spoligotyping. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology,* 40**,** 2126.
WELLINGTON, E. M. H. & COURTENAY, O. 2014. Badgers and bovine TB: How can environmental
microbiology help? *Microbiology Today,* 41**,** 143-144.
XIA, E., TEO, Y.-Y. & ONG, R. T.-H. 2016. SpoTyping: fast and accurate in silico Mycobacterium
spoligotyping from sequence reads. *Genome Medicine,* 8**,** 19.
YOUNG, J. S., GORMLEY, E. & WELLINGTON, E. M. 2005. Molecular detection of Mycobacterium
bovis and Mycobacterium bovis BCG (Pasteur) in soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.,* 71**,** 1946-
1952.
ZENG, X., LI, H., ZHENG, R., KUREPINA, N., KREISWIRTH, B. N., ZHAO, X., XU, Y. & LI, Q. 2016.
Spoligotyping   of   <span   class="named-content   genus-species"
id="named-content-1">Mycobacterium tuberculosis Complex
Isolates by Use of Ligation-Based Amplification and Melting Curve Analysis. *Journal of Clinical
Microbiology,* 54**,** 2384.