Influence of body weight at young adulthood on the epigenetic clock and lifespan in the BXD murine family

Jose Vladimir Sandoval-Sierra[1], Alexandra H. B. Helbing[1], Evan G. Williams[3], David G. Ashbrook[2], Suheeta Roy[2], Robert W. Williams[2], Khyobeni Mozhui[1,2*]

[1]Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee, USA

[2]Department of Genetics, Genomics and Informatics, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee, USA

[3] Department of Biology, Institute of Molecular Systems Biology, ETH Zurich, Zurich CH-8093, Switzerland

[*]Corresponding author: Kmozhui@uthsc.edu

Abstract

Background. The DNA methylation landscape is shaped by genetic and environmental factors and is modulate by aging. Here we evaluate the "aging methylome" in 12 recombinant inbred mouse strains from the BXD family that have more than two-fold variation in longevity. We examine relations among body weight, diet, lifespan, and DNA methylation-based rate of biological aging. We used affinity capture with the methyl-CpG binding domain (MBD) protein, followed by deep sequencing (MBD-seq), to assay DNA methylation in 70 mostly female liver samples, ranging in age from 6 to 25 months from mice maintained either on low fat chow or high fat diet (HFD).

Results. Genetic background among strains is a major source of variation in genome-wide DNA methylation patterns. Surprisingly, body weight at young adulthood had a stronger association with the methylome than age itself. Nonetheless, age also had a strong effect on methylation at well-defined CpG regions largely located within genes. We used subsets of age-informative CpGs to build versions of the epigenetic clock and as expected, these were strongly correlated with chronological age. Both high initial body weight and the HFD were associated with accelerated epigenetic aging. A DNA methylation clock model built using CpGs associated with body weight correlated with longevity of strains rather than chronological age, implying an underlying lifespan clock. Complementary mRNA clocks were also informative of chronological age. **Conclusion.** Our results support the known association between body mass and lifespan, and indicate that the methylome provides a mechanistic link to accelerated aging.

Keywords: Epigenetic clock, DNA methylation, aging, lifespan, longevity, age acceleration

Background

In the past few years, the "epigenetic clock" has emerged as a robust and widely used

biomarker of aging that perhaps surpasses telomere length assays in its accuracy and utility [1-

3]. Also referred to as the DNA methylation age (DNAmAge), the CpG based estimator of

biological age comes in a few different versions for both humans and mice [4-11]. All these

clocks share a common feature—they rely on the methylation status of preselected subsets of

CpGs that are each assigned weights and are used collectively to estimate age. A critical

question has been: are these DNAmAge clocks detecting changes that are purely a function of

time and, therefore, correlates of chronological age? Or are they providing a measure of the

intrinsic pace of biological aging that can be related to health, fitness, and life expectancy?

Evidence from retrospective human epidemiological studies indicates that certain versions of

the clock perform better at predicting life expectancy. In general, a younger DNAmAge relative

to chronological age is associated with lower risk of disease and mortality [6, 12-18]. The age-

dependent CpGs have also been studied in the context of lifespan variation among mammalian

species [19], as well as variation within species, for example when lifespan is shifted by caloric

restriction, treatment with rapamycin, or single gene mutations [7, 9, 10, 20-22].

As is the case with humans, aging trajectories vary considerably among mouse genotypes, and

common DNA variants contribute to the pace of normal aging [23]. The BXD family has a long

history in model organism aging and longevity research with median longevities of females

ranging from at least 400 to 900 days [24-28]. Genomes of the BXDs are randomly recombinant

versions of genomes of their two parents—strains C57BL/6J (B6) and DBA/2J (D2). On average,

D2 has a shorter lifespan than B6 [29, 30]. The more "accelerated aging" profile of D2 is

consistent with other age-associated parameters such as more rapid thymic involution [31] and

replicative senescence of hematopoietic progenitor cells [25], and increased tail tendon

breakage in D2 compared to B6 [32, 33]. Variation in lifespan is much greater among the

progeny BXD strains due to random assortment of independent gene variants [25].

Here, we have leveraged extensive experimental longevity data generated for the BXD family

[28] to evaluate the associations between body weight, DNA methylation, aging, and lifespan.

We used an affinity-capture enrichment followed by deep sequencing (MBD-seq) to profile the

liver methylome in 12 members of the BXD family [34-36]. To evaluate the impact of a

common metabolic stressor on aging, we also quantified the methylome of a subset of cases

maintained on a high fat diet (HFD), which is known to decrease the longevity by as much as

~13% in the BXDs [28]. The main question we posed was: can we define DNA methylation clocks

that are predictive of strain and diet dependent variation in lifespan? And how do these relate

to strain characteristics such as body weight? Lastly, we tested whether age or lifespan

predicted by methylome patterns can be carried over to the transcriptome, and whether

transcripts can also be assembled into age-informative, and lifespan-predictive mRNA clocks.

Overall, the results reveal interdependence among body mass, the aging methylome, and

lifespan. In particular, body weight of young adults (ca. 100 days) has a pronounced effect of

DNA methylation. We were able to compute reasonably accurate DNAmAge estimates using

subsets of age-informative CpG regions. A similar clock using CpG regions associated with body

weight did not correlate with age, but was strongly correlated with strain lifespan. The clocks

highlight accelerated aging associated with higher initial body weight, and one version of the

DNAmAge estimator also showed accelerate aging on HFD. While mRNA-based clocks are a

feasible alternative, the methylome remains a more robust biomarker for aging and life

expectancy.

Results

Characteristics of the study sample

The longevity data was collected from a cohort of females that were allowed to age until

mortality. (Details on this cohort in Roy et al., 2019 [28] and full data can be accessed from

GeneNetwork 2 [37].) A parallel cohort was used for biospecimen collection at different ages.

For the 12 selected strains and F1 hybrids, lifespans on *ad libitum* standard chow (control diet

or CD) ranged from an average of 417 ± 155 days (mean ± SD) to 933 ± 86 days (**Table 1**). HFD

generally reduces longevity, but with marked differences among strains [28]. Matched samples

from cases on HFD were included for five strains and sub-strains (**Table 1**). Each strain-by-diet

group was classified as short-lived (mean lifespan < 600 days), medium-lived (between 600 and

750 days), and long-lived (>800 days). A strain classified as long-lived on CD may also be

classified as short-lived on HFD, e.g., BXD65 (**Table 1**).

We performed methylome-wide assays in 70 liver samples collected from the strain and diet

groups at different ages. Since age and lifespan are the main variables of interest, liver

specimens were chosen so that distribution of age across the three lifespan groups are closely

matched **(Fig. 1a**; individual level sample information in **Table S1)**. We note that aside from

three male cases for BXD102, B6D2F1, and B6D2F1 (**Table 1**), all specimens were from females.

While the samples were not chosen on the basis of body or organ weight, there was significant

variation in body weight when the mice were initially weighed before introduction to HFD (**Fig.**

1b). The F1s had higher body weights compared to BXDs on CD, and this hybrid vigor was

apparent with or without the male cases. The final weight of mice (i.e., weight on day of sample

collection) continued to show significant strain variation (**Fig. 1b**). The weight of the liver

appeared fairly consistent across the strains (**Fig. 1b**). There was no group difference in body

weight at baseline between the two diets (CD = 25 ± 7 g vs. HFD = 23 ± 5 g; n = 70). By final

weighing, the group on HFD had become significantly heavier when compared to the full set of

mice on CD (HFD = 41 ± 12 vs. CD = 29 ± 8 g, p < 0.0001; n = 70), or when compared only to the

matched strains on CD (HFD = 41 ± 12 vs. CD = 26 ± 6 g, p < 0.0001; n = 34). The weight of the

liver on HFD was slightly heavier but the effect was not statistically significant, likely due to the

modest sampling of cases on HFD (HFD = 1.29 ± 0.23 g vs. CD = 1.22 ± 0.23 g; p = 0.37, n = 34).

The baseline weight was measured at young adulthood (mean age of 134 ± 81 days) and at this

point, age was a significant predictor of body weight with lower body weight for the younger

mice (**Fig. 1c**). The age of mice at time of sample collection was, however, not correlated with

final body weight (r = 0.01) or with weight of liver (r = 0.12). Instead, the baseline body weight

remained a significant predictor of the final body weight (**Fig. 1d**) and liver weight (**Fig. 1e**).

When restricted to only the few HFD mice, baseline weight was still a significant correlate of

final body weight (r = 0.53, p = 0.05, n = 15), but not liver weight (r = 0.28, p = 0.30).

Table 1. Characteristics of selected strains from the BXD panel

| | Longevity trait | | | | Biospecimen | |
Strain/line	Mean lifespan (days) [1]	Median lifespan (days) [1]	Lifespan Group [2]	Diet [3]	N [3]	Age Range (days) [3]
B6D2F1	933±86	896	long	CD	5 [4]	216–726
BXD102	861±222	891	long	CD	5 [4]	183–714
BXD40	585±239	577	short	CD	8	284–719
BXD48	695±124	684	med	CD	3	188–731
BXD48	523±152	517	short	HFD	3	189–595
BXD48a	617±196	670	med	CD	3	233–604
BXD48a	635±113	650	med	HFD	3	233–543
BXD65	824±199	896	long	CD	6	181–711
BXD65	534±128	551	short	HFD	3 [5]	230–541
BXD65b	726±91	751	med	CD	4	187–748
BXD73	702±116	687	med	CD	4	206–759
BXD73	699±112	715	med	HFD	3	206–694
BXD73b	820±129	807	long	CD	3	237–743
BXD73b	742±193	790	med	HFD	3	237–729
BXD79	417±155	330	short	CD	7	217–570
BXD9	507±135	462	short	CD	3	245–548
D2B6F1	771±143	791	long	CD	4 [4]	210–744

[1] Average and median lifespans for strains under standard or high fat diet estimated from an aging cohort of mice
co-housed with mice used for biospecimen collection and methylome assays
[2] Groups based on phenotypic lifespan: short = average lifespan < 600 days; med = average 640–750 days, and long
= average lifespan > 800 days
[3] Diet, sample size, and age range of mice from MBD-seq data was generated. CD = control diet; HFD = high fat diet
[4] One male case; see Table S1 for individual level data
[5] One case excluded due to uncertain identity
126

Strain dependent patterns in global features of the methylome

Deep sequencing of the methylome was carried out after samples were enriched for

methylated-CpGs (MBD-seq). After quality checks and filtering by read coverage, we retained a

set of 368,300 regions, each 150 bp in length, with sufficient coverage in the 70 samples. The

majority of the CpG regions (83%) contained no sequence variants (SNPs or small

insertions/deletions) segregating in the BXDs. For the 17% with sequence variants, there was

an average of 2 ± 1.6 variants within the 150 bp bin. (Chromosomal coordinates, CpG density,

variant counts, and normalized data will be made available from NCBI GEO upon official

publication.) Consistent with the DNA enrichment and filtering protocols, the 368,300 CpG

regions were enriched in annotated gene features and CpG islands and depleted in intergenic

regions when compared to the genome-wide background (**Table S2**). Our first goal was to gain a

global perspective, and for this, we performed two analyses: (1) dimension reduction with

principal component analysis (PCA), and (2) analysis of genome-wide mean methylation and

variance.

PC1 and PC2 captured 19% and 13% of the variance, respectively. A plot of the top two

principal components (PCs) showed clustering of samples by strain identity, irrespective of diet

(**Fig. 2a**). The one exception was a BXD65 on HFD; this case plotted away from the BXD65

cluster and, as this was of questionable identity, this sample was excluded from downstream

analyses. Sub-strains (e.g., BXD73/BXD73b; BXD65/BXD65b) also clustered in close proximity

with only slight separation. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering confirmed the clustering of

samples by strain identity rather than age or diet groups (QC plots in **Fig. S1**). The top five PCs

collectively explained 58% of the variance (PC1–PC5 in **Table S1**). We found no correlation

between these five PCs and the age of mice. For strains with matched CD and HFD cases, the

PCs did not differentiate between the two diets.

Next, we computed the within-individual genome-wide average methylation and variance.

Global average methylation was inversely correlated with within-individual variance and

showed extensive strain variability. When we divided the CpG regions into genic (i.e., CpG

regions that overlap annotated gene features) and intergenic sets, we found that the inverse

correlation between global methylation and variance was significant for both sets but

particularly pronounced for the intergenic set (**Fig. 2b, c;** and **Table S1** for individual level data

on methylome means and variance). This reveals that for CpGs in intergenic regions, mice that

have a hypermethylated profile have lower within-individual variance. There is extensive strain

variation in this global methylation and variance patterns (**Fig. 2b, c**). For the intergenic set, the

BXD73 sub-strains showed the lowest methylation scores and highest variance while the F1s

had the highest methylation and lowest variance. Age was not a significant correlate of the

genic and intergenic features. We evaluated if the top 5 PCs relate to the methylation averages

and variance. The average methylation and variance at intergenic CpG regions were

significantly correlated with PC1 (**Fig. 2d**), and PC3 (11% of variance) (**Fig. 2e**). PC4 (8% of

variance) showed a significant negative correlation with mean methylation at genic CpG regions

(**Fig. 2f**).

To summarize, the global analyses show that the clustering by strains in the PC plot, to a large

extent, can be explained by the strain-dependent methylation averages. We did not detect any

effect of age or diet on these large-scale methylome features.

Intercorrelations between body weight, methylome, and strain-level lifespan

We next examined whether the body weight measures could be associated with the large-scale

methylome features. Since the F1s exhibit hybrid vigor both in body weight and mean

methylation, we report the results after excluding the F1s (correlations with and without the

F1s in **Table S3**). Of the top 5 PCs, only PC1 showed a weak but significant negative correlation

with baseline weight (**Fig 3a**), and final weights of body and liver. Body weight at baseline, but

not the final body weight, had a weak but significant negative correlation with mean

methylation (**Fig 3b**), and significant positive correlation with variance (**Fig 3c**) at genic CpG

regions that indicates a sustained inter-relatedness between body mass at younger age and the

methylome. Unlike the baseline body weight, the final body weight was not correlated with

methylation means or variance at either the genic or intergenic regions in the BXDs (**Table S3**).

We considered the possibility that the HFD-induced shifts in body weight may have reduced the

correlation; however, when we restricted the analysis to only the CD BXDs (n = 46), the final

body weight still showed no correlation with the intergenic and genic features. The liver weight

had a significant positive correlation with mean methylation and negative correlation with

variance at intergenic CpGs (**Table S3**).

The lifespan data is from 17 strain-diet groups (**Table 1**), and while this is a small sampling of

lifespan variability in the BXD panel, we used this longevity information to explore associations

with the body weight measures and the global methylome features. This analysis was again

performed without the F1s due to the vigor in both methylome features, body weight, and

lifespan. Only PC4, an inverse correlate of genic CpG methylation means (**Fig. 2f**), showed a

strong correlation with the strain longevity phenotype (**Fig 3d**). Lifespan showed no direct

correlations with the methylation averages and variance at either the genic or intergenic CpG

regions. For the weight measures, baseline body weight had a weak but significant negative

correlation with strain mean lifespan (r = -0.27, p = 0.04; **Fig 3d**). This is in agreement with the

stronger inverse correlation between body weight and lifespan that is seen in the larger BXD

cohort [28].

Taken together, while the individual correlations are weak (**Fig 3**), the results suggest that body

weight at younger age is associated with multiple interdependent features of the global

methylome that are also predictive of strain life expectancy.

Effect of age on site specific DNA methylation

Following the global analyses, we next evaluated the effect of age and diet on site-specific DNA

methylation at each of the 368,300 CpG bins. Our goal was to identify age informative CpG

regions that we can then use to estimate the epigenetic age of mice. We applied a multiple

regression model for age and diet with adjustment for other major sources of variance

(methylation ~ age + diet + PC1 + PC2 + PC3 + PC4 + PC5). Although we did not detect a

generalized impact of aging on the global methylation, the distribution of p-values indicated a

strong effect on few CpG regions (**Fig. 4a**). For the effect of diet, the p-value distribution was

close to the null hypothesis (**Fig. 4b**), likely because with only 14 samples from the HFD group,

the sampling is underpowered. For the remainder, we focused mainly on age, and considered

diet only in the context of its effect on strain lifespan and potential age-accelerating effect.

At a Bonferroni threshold of 10% (unadjusted $p \leq 2.6 \times 10^{-7}$), there were 26 age-associated

differentially methylation regions (age-DMRs). All of these were genic regions, including

neighboring block of CpGs, and were located within 17 annotated genes **(Table S4)**. While only

a small number of CpG bins were significant at the 10% Bonferroni threshold, given the non-

independence of adjacent CpG regions, we used a lenient statistical threshold and selected the

top 500 age-DMRs to define the general characteristics of the aging methylome (unadjusted $p \leq$

3.1×10^{-4}, 23% FDR; Manhattan plot **Fig. 4c**; **Table S4**). Of these, 60% (299 of 500) were

associated with loss of methylation with age (age-hypomethylation), and 40% showed gains in

methylation with age (age-hypermethylation) [38, 39]. This set of 500 age-DMRs collectively

represented differentially methylated CpG regions located in or near 347 unique genes

(location within genes or distance to nearest promoter for intergenic CpG regions in **Table S4**).

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) for the age-DMRs ranked by regression coefficient for age

showed no strong enrichment after FDR correction. However, we note that the most

overrepresented pathway was for signaling genes involved in stem cells pluripotency (KEGG ID

mmu04550; nominal enrichment p = 0.005, FDR = 0.10) and the five genes in this pathway

(*Fzd1*, *Fzd8*, *Wnt5a*, *Jak3*, *Meis1*) were associated with increase in DNA methylation with age.

Genes involved in mesenchyme development were also slightly overrepresented (GO ID

0060485; nominal enrichment p = 0.001, FDR = 0.24) and members of this GO (*Ptk7*, *Nrp2*,

Sema5b, *Zfp703*, and *Wnt5a*) were also associated with age-hypermethylation (**Fig. S2**).

Compared to the background set of 368,300 CpG bins, the age-DMRs were depleted in

intergenic regions and enriched in genic regions (enrichment and depletion p-values in **Table**

S2). The majority of the age-DMRs (86%) contained no sequence variants in the BXDs and the

age dependent methylation patterns are unlikely to be confounded by SNP or variant effects.

The age-hypermethylated set was highly enriched in CpG islands, promoters, and exons, and

also slightly enriched in 5'UTRs (**Fig. 4d**; **Table S2**). The age-hypomethylated set was enriched in

introns, and 3'UTRs and transcription termination sites (**Fig. 4d**; **Table S2**). For each CpG region,

we computed the average methylation and variance across the 69 samples, and compared

these to the age regression coefficients, which convey the change in methylation per unit

change in age. The most pronounced age-hypermethylation (positive regression coefficients for

age) occurred in bins with high CpG density and lower average methylation, and the magnitude

of the age coefficient showed positive correlation with CpG density and negative correlation

with average methylation (**Fig. 4e, f**). In contrast, age-hypomethylated regions (negative

regression coefficient for age) featured low CpG density, and higher average methylation (**Fig.**

4e, f).

Age-DMR based epigenetic clocks and lifespan prediction

We next explored constructing age-informative and potentially lifespan-predictive clocks using

three different approaches: (1) training-based age estimator by applying elastic net regression,

(2) untrained age estimator with the set of 500 age-DMRs, and (3) untrained age estimator

using only a subset of the age-DMRs that are also associated with cross-sectional variation in

lifespan.

First, we implemented the standard clock-building protocol by deriving the clock in a training

cohort, and then testing accuracy in a validation cohort [40, 41]. We are clearly limited in

sample size; nevertheless, as assessment of feasibility, we randomly assigned 36 samples (52%

of the 69 cases) to the training set to model an age-estimator (see **Table S1** for sample

assignments). This constructed an age-estimator that was based on 60 "clock CpGs", i.e., CpG

regions that are collectively used in determining the DNAmAge [5]. These clock CpGs included a

few regions that individually showed no significant association with age; but most had modest

to strong associations with age and included fifteen of the 500 age-DMRs (clock CpG regions

and weighing coefficients in **Table S5**). In the training set, this age estimates had a near perfect

correlation with chronological age at Pearson r = 0.999 (**Fig. 5a**; **Table 2**). In the test set of n =

33, the correlation between the estimated age and chronological age was 0.74 ($p < 0.0001$) with

a median absolute error of 90 days (**Fig. 5b**; **Table 2**). Due to the small sample size of the test

set, we did not use this clock to examine age acceleration.

For the non-training based estimator, we simply treated the 500 age-DMRs as possible clock

CpGs and used the respective age regression coefficients as weighing factors to compute the

weighted average for each sample (coefficients for each CpG region are in **Table S4**). The

weighted averages were significantly correlated with chronological age of mice, and for a more

direct comparison, the values were scaled to the age range for the 69 samples. This clock is

therefore study-specific and calibrated to this cohort. The DNAmAge had a near linear

correlation with chronological age at $r = 0.90$ ($p < 0.0001$), and with a median absolute error of

96 days (**Fig. 6a; Table 2**). We then estimated the age acceleration for each mouse (DNAmAge-

acc) based on the residuals derived from the linear regression between DNAmAge and

chronological age [9, 12] (individual-level DNAmAge data in **Table S1**). For this, positive residual

values indicate an accelerated, and negative values a decelerated rate of biological aging.

Unlike the DNAmAge, DNAmAge-acc was not correlated with the final age of mice, indicating

that this measure of age acceleration is independent of chronological age [9]. The DNAmAge-

acc derived from this clock did not correlate with the lifespan phenotype but showed a

significant positive correlation with body weight measures that would suggest a more

accelerated clock with increased body mass (**Fig. 6b, c**; **Table S6**). For the strains with matched

samples, the DNAmAge-acc did not differentiate between the diet groups (**Fig. 6d**).

We then examined if we can build a version of the DNAmAge estimator using only the age-

DMRs that were also associated with strain differences in expected lifespan. We limited this

analysis to the top 500 age-DMRs, and to test association with lifespan, we applied a mixed

effects model with strain median lifespan and age as fixed variables and strain-diet as random

variable. At a lenient statistical threshold of $p \leq 0.05$, there were 56 age-DMRs associated with

lifespan and we refer to this set as putative lifespan-age-DMRs (**Table S4**). The majority of these

(84% or 47 of 56) had lower methylation among the long-lived strains regardless of whether

these were age-hypermethylated or age-hypomethylated (**Fig. 7a**). We illustrate this pattern

using three of the top-ranking lifespan-age-DMRs: age-hypomethylated *Casz1* (**Fig. 7b**), and

age-hypermethylated *Cyp46a1* (**Fig. 7c**) and *Abca7* (**Fig. 7d**). *Casz1* was associated with a small

age-hypomethylation (age coefficient of only -0.0007, unadjusted $p = 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$) and most

strain-diet groups had slight downward trajectory over time. *Cyp46a1* and *Abca7*, on the other

hand, were associated with stronger age-hypermethylation, and almost all the strain-diet

groups showed an upward trajectory with age. In addition to the change over time, cross-

sectional comparison between lifespan groups showed lower average methylation in the long-

lived strains relative to the medium and short-lived strain-diet groups.

While most of the CpG regions were only weakly associated with lifespan (for instance, *Abca7* in

Fig 7d), we used the 56 lifespan-age-DMRs to calculate the DNAmAge by weighing each CpG by

the respectively age regression coefficients (estimated ages in **Table S1**). Chronological age

correlated strongly to this version of the DNAmAge ($r = 0.83$, $p < 0.0001$), although the trend

appeared to fit a quadratic equation with a greater degree of plateau at older age, an

observation that is consistent with the apparent underestimation or possible decline in aging

rate with increased chronological age [15, 42, 43] (**Fig. 6e**). The DNAmAge-acc derived from

this version of the clock showed a significant negative correlation with the longevity phenotype

(both mean and median lifespan) with more accelerated aging in mice from the short-lived

strain-diet groups (**Fig. 6f**). This correlation was robust and remained significant after excluding

the F1s (full correlation and probabilities in **Table S6**). The DNAmAge-acc was also positively

correlated with the body weight measures, again indicating more accelerated aging in mice

with higher body mass (**Fig. 6g, Table S6**). For the strains with matched samples from CD and

HFD, the group on HFD had a mean age acceleration of 21 ± 36 days compared to the mean

decelerated aging (-26 ± 57 days) for the group on CD (*p* = 0.01; *n* = 33; **Fig. 6h**). Therefore, this

DNAmAge estimator, built from fewer CpG regions, is therefore both age-informative and

lifespan-predictive.

Table 2. Versions of DNA methylation and mRNA clocks

Clock versions	Sample N	Clock CpGs/mRNAs	Age r^1	median |error|^2 (days)	BW0 r^3	Lifespan r^3
DNA methylation elastic net	36 training	60	0.99 (<0.0001)	4.5	not tested	not tested
	33 testing		0.74 (<0.0001)	90	not tested	not tested
500 age-DMRs	69	500	0.9 (<0.0001)	96	0.38 (0.001)	0.004 (ns)
56 lifespan-ageDMRs	69	56	0.83 (<0.0001)	111	0.24 (0.05)	r = -0.58 (<0.0001)
500 BW0-DMRs	69	500	0.12 (ns)	*66	*r = -0.52 (<0.0001)	*0.47 (<0.0001)
RNA clock elastic net Ver1	150 training	65	0.89 (<0.0001)	52	not tested	not tested
	141 testing		0.65 (<0.0001)	85	-0.17 (0.05)	-0.15 (ns)
RNA clock elastic net	141 training	62	0.90 (<0.0001)	67	not tested	not tested

| | Ver2 testing | 150 | 0.62 (<0.0001) | 100 | 0.19 (0.02) | 0.08 (ns) |

Baseline body weight based epigenetic clock and lifespan prediction

Given the strong influence on baseline body weight on the methylome, we then explored the

possibility of deriving lifespan informative estimator from body weight associated CpG regions.

To identify relevant CpG regions, we applied a regression analysis with baseline body weight

(BW0) as predictor with adjustment for age and top 5 PCs as covariates. While age was

associated with only a few strong age-DMRs, BW0 had a more widespread association with the

methylome (**Fig. 8a**). At a Bonferroni threshold of 10% (unadjusted $p \leq 2.6 \times 10^{-7}$), there were

667 CpG regions associated with body weight. Unlike the age-DMRs, which were depleted in

intergenic regions, 74% of the baseline body weight associated differentially methylated CpG

regions (BW0-DMRs) were intergenic. The vast majority (581 of the 667) had positive regression

estimates for body weight, i.e., higher levels of methylation in heavier mice.

As in the case of the age-DMR based clock, we then took the top 500 BW0-DMRs and weighed

each CpG region by the respective age regression coefficient (weighing coefficients and the list

of CpG regions in **Table S7**). We note that 27.6% (138 of the 500) of the BW0-DMRs contained

sequence variants, and the differential methylation at these sites could also be capturing the

effect of underlying sequence effects. Using the BW0-DMRs, the weighted averages did not

correlate with the chronological age of mice. Instead, the values had a significant positive

correlation with strain lifespan. For direct comparison, we rescaled the weighted averages to

the range of median lifespan for the study sample **(Fig. 8b**; **Table S1)**. The strong positive

correlation with lifespan suggests that this clock is an indicator of the expected age at death

rather than the chronological age of mice. The median absolute error for the predicted lifespan

relative to the known lifespan for the strain-diet groups was at 66 days (**Table 2**), and we refer

to this as a baseline body weight based lifespan clock (BW0.lifespan). For a few of the long-lived

strains, particularly the robust F1 hybrids that have both higher body weight and longer lifespan

(labelled as groups A and B **in Fig. 8b**), the BW0.lifespan was much lower than the expected

lifespan. Since the CpGs regions were individually associated with body weight, as expected, the

BW0.lifespan showed a strong correlation with the baseline weight (**Fig. 8c**) and with liver

weight, but not strongly with final body weight (**Table S6**). For strains that had both CD and HFD

cases, BW0.lifespan did not differentiate between the diet groups, suggesting that this clock is

not sensitive to lifespan modification by HFD but is mainly dependent on strain differences in

baseline body weight. The age acceleration residuals from the 56 lifespan-age-DMRs had a

strong inverse correlation with the BW0.lifespan estimates indicating convergent information

from both the clocks (**Fig. 8d**).

Impact on gene expression and mRNA based clocks

To examine age-dependent changes in the liver transcriptome, we made use of available liver

RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data from a larger cohort of aging BXDs. The data obtained from

GeneNetwork was generated in two batches, and sample and batch information are in **Table**

S8. First, to examine whether the transcripts that correspond to the age-DMRs also show

changes in expression levels with age, the 347 unique genes represented by the 500 age-DMR

CpGs were matched to the corresponding transcripts by gene symbol. This resulted in 265 age-

DMRs and transcript pairs. Of these, 110 of the transcripts (42%) were correlated with age at an

unadjusted $p \leq 0.05$ ($|r| \geq 0.12$ in $n = 291$) (**Table S9**). The majority of the age-hypermethylated

CpG regions were associated with increased gene expression with age with only nine age-

hypermethylated DMRs (e.g., *Fzd8*, *Cyp46a1*, *Nfix*, *Rnf4*) associated with decreased gene

expression with age (**Table S9**). For the age-hypomethylated DMRs, 37 transcripts showed a

decrease in expression and 39 transcripts showed an increase in expression with age. Overall,

this shows that many of the age-DMRs are also associated with gene expression changes with

age.

Finally, we attempted to derive age-informative clocks using the training-based approach. We

first performed the training in batch 1 and then tested in batch 2, and then vice versa using the

liver transcriptome-wide data. The elastic net modeling trained on the 150 samples in batch 1

identified 65 transcripts for mRNA clock estimation (**Table S10**), and the age estimated from

these transcripts (mRNAage) had a correlation of $r = 0.89$ with chronological age in the training

set (**Fig. 5c**; **Table 2**). In the validation set (i.e., batch 2 with 141 samples), the correlation

between the age estimates and chronological age was 0.65 with median error of 85 days (**Fig.**

5d; **Table 2**). The age acceleration, as estimated by the residuals from the regression between

mRNAage and chronological age, showed a weak but significant ($p = 0.05$) negative correlation

with baseline body weight, which is at odds with the expected age acceleration with higher

body mass, and no significant correlation with strain lifespan (mRNA age estimates and age

acceleration for individual samples in **Table S8**). Training in batch 2 identified 62 transcripts for

clock estimation **(Table S11**). Of these 62 transcripts, only 9 transcripts (*Rin3, Adcy3, Cpn1,*

Chuk, Rpl41, Rps27a, Serpina3m, Srm, and *Ubb*) overlapped between the two versions of the

mRNA clocks. Correlation between the mRNAage and chronological age was 0.90 in the training

set, and 0.62 in the testing set (**Fig 5e**, **5f**; **Table 2**). The age acceleration for this version of the

clock showed a significant positive correlation with baseline body weight and no correlation

with lifespan (**Table S8**). For strains with samples from both CD and HFD, the age accelerations

derived from the mRNA clocks did not differentiate between diets.

Discussion

The results we have presented convey deep associations between body mass, DNA

methylation, aging, and lifespan. Somewhat to our surprise, we found that body weight at

young adulthood, more so than age at time of tissue collection, had a stronger influence on the

large-scale methylome. Age, on the other hand, had a strong effect on few discrete CpG

regions. Age and weight appeared to exert effects on largely independent sets of CpGs, with the

age-DMRs mostly located within gene bodies, while the BW0-DMRs included a large proportion

of intergenic loci. Nonetheless, longevity information could be derived from both the age- and

BW0-DMRs.

Consistency in age-dependent DNA methylation changes

In regard to whether genomic characteristics can explain whether a CpG region gains or loses

methylation with time, we found a clear dependence on CpG density and methylation status

that agreed with previous reports [44, 45]. Since DNA methylation levels were quantified over

150 bp non-overlapping bins, we could directly examine how the local CpG density relates to (1)

average methylation levels and variance across the 69 samples, and (2) the change over time

using the age regression coefficients. The results show that loss of methylation over time occurs

at regions with low CpG density that also generally have higher average methylation. This is

consistent with the general age-dependent hypomethylation at CpG spares regions of the

genome where the few or isolated CpGs exist mostly in a methylated state [46-49]. For age-

hypermethylated regions, the gains in methylation was directly correlated with CpG density,

and inversely correlated with methylation, and occurred in regions with lower average

methylation. This too, is consistent with reports that CpG dense regions on the genome, a

feature of CpG islands, which typically remain unmethylated, are the sites that tend to gain

methylation with age [50-53].

For the 347 unique genes that correspond to the 500 age-DMRs, we did not find a particularly

strong functional theme. However, the list included notable members that have previously

been linked to aging related diseases or with longevity and lifespan. For example, *Cyp46a1*, *Lrp1*

and *Abca7* play roles in lipid shuttle and cholesterol metabolism, and have been associated with

Alzheimer's disease [54, 55]. Other age-DMR genes that have been previously implicated in

aging and human longevity include *Adarb2* [56], *Abcc4* [57], *Igf2r* [58], *Ucp3* [59], *Grb2* [60], *Il7r*

[61], *Ikbkb* [62]. The current data replicated the CpG islands in *C1ql3* and *Ptk7*, which we

previously reported as age-hypermethylated sites in the BXD parental strains, C57BL/6J and

DBA/2J [63]. We also compared the list of age-DMRs from the present study to a list of 79

genes reported to harbor age-DMRs in a recent study of DNA methylation in aging human liver

[42], and found only three genes in common: *Il4i1, Ildr2* and *Nfix*. The study by Bacalini et al.

[42] reported an enrichment in mesenchymal and Wnt-signaling pathways, and similarly, we

also find a slight over-representation of genes that are part of signaling pathways and

mesenchyme development (e.g., *Fzd1, Fzd8, Wnt5a, Jak3, Ptk7, Nrp2,* etc.).

Building clocks from age dependent CpG regions

Currently, there are several different versions of the DNAmAge estimator available for both

mice and humans. Some have multi-tissue application [5, 8, 9], and others are optimized for

specific tissues [4, 7, 10, 11]. The standard protocol for developing DNAmAge clocks starts by

applying a linear regression algorithm in a training dataset, followed by age estimation in

validation cohorts to gauge the accuracy of the clock [5, 40, 41]. The training sessions identify

age informative CpGs, referred to as clock CpGs, that are each assigned predetermined weight

for estimating DNAmAge. This preselected ensemble of clock CpGs can then be used to

estimate age in other independent data sets, under the condition that the same or at least the

majority of the CpGs are also measured. To our knowledge, all these clocks have relied on

bisulfite-based assays that provide single CpG resolution [4-11, 64, 65]. These existing clocks

rarely share CpGs in common. For instance, a comparison of the three mouse clocks showed

only two CpGs in common between the blood-based and multi-tissue clocks [7, 8, 41]. This is

not particularly surprising given that these were constructed from reduced representation

bisulfite sequencing (RRBS) data, a protocol for which CpG coverage can have low overlap

between libraries. But even in the case of the human clocks that were built from microarray

data with fixed and limited number of CpGs to select from, the overlap is still poor. The Horvath

and Hannum clocks share only about six CpGs in common. This means that DNAmAge can be

defined and calibrated from numerous different subsets of potential clock CpGs [9, 41].

While MBD-sequencing does not provide single CpG resolution, it is nonetheless known to

deliver highly sensitive and reliable quantification of genome-wide methylation [34-36, 39].

Instead of measuring individual CpGs, MBD-seq quantifies methylation levels within

circumscribed regions containing spatially proximal CpGs that are generally correlated in

methylation patterns [66-68]. To test feasibility, we started by training to chronological age

using linear regression. Despite the extremely humble sample number, the estimated age had a

near perfect correlation with chronological age in the training set (r = 0.99). In the test set of 23

mice, the age correlation was at 0.74 with a fairly high median error of ± 90 days. While not

precise, this DNAmAge estimator, consisting of 60 clock CpG regions, could still be applied to

classify mice into broad age groups (young, middle, old), and may perform just as well as

transcriptomic age-classifiers that have been defined from much larger sample numbers [69,

70]. The constituent clock CpG regions included sites in or near 29 genes that were represented

among the age-DMRs (e.g., *Cyp46a1*, *Fzd8*, *Gata6*), although in terms of the exact CpG regions,

only 15 age-DMRs were present in both lists (see **Table S5**). The RRBS-based clock published by

Wang et al. [10] was for the liver tissue, and we compared the genes in their list of 149 clock

CpGs and we found two common genes, *Cyp46a1* and *Sulf2*, represented in both, although

again, the precise CpGs did not overlap.

We also applied a direct weighted averaging method that did not impose a training step. Both

versions of the age-DMR based clocks (i.e., one built using the full set of 500 age-DMRs, and

another built from a restricted set of only 56 lifespan-age-DMRS) provided fairly close estimates

of chronological ages. Of these two DNAmAge estimators, only the DNAmAge_56 appeared

sensitive to lifespan variability, and the age acceleration derived from this clock was predictive

of strain lifespan, and also accelerated in the HFD group. Using this clock, almost all cases from

strain-diet groups classified as long-lived had negative DNAmAge-acc; the only exceptions were

four F1 samples, including the male B6D2F1, that had positive DNAmAge-acc. For cases

belonging to strain-diet groups classified as short-lived, all had positive DNAmAge-acc with the

exception of one BXD65 kept on HFD that had negative DNAmAge-acc. The strain with the most

decelerated clock, and presumably slowest rate of biological aging, was BXD102 on CD, which is

also the longest lived BXD strain we had in the study (**Table 1**). For these mice, the DNAmAge-

acc for the female were highly decelerated and ranged from −127 to −194 days. Interestingly,

the one male BXD102 had a less decelerated clock with a DNAmAge-acc of −56 days.

The members of the DNAmAge_56 clock were selected because these CpGs were both

correlates of age, and predictive of lifespan. The majority of these (31 of the 56) were age-

hypermethylated and had lower methylation among the long-lived strains (lower right box of

Fig. 7a). These CpGs also had the most pronounced association with both age and lifespan and

were CpGs in the introns, exons and promoters of genes such as *Jak3*, *Wnt5a*, *Abca7* and

Cyp46a1. The relation between the regression coefficients for age and lifespan would suggest

that for these CpGs that gain methylation with age, the long-lived strains have a more

"youthful" profile, i.e., lower average methylation. However, 16 of the age-lifespan-DMRs were

age-hypomethylated, and yet had lower methylation among the long-lived strains (lower left

box of **Fig. 7a**). A few epidemiological studies have also attempted to define predictors of all-

cause mortality risk in humans, and these studies paint a very complex picture for humans.

While two of the studies showed that hypomethylation at specific CpGs was prognostic of poor

survival [71, 72], another study found a combination of both hypo- and hyper-methylated CpGs

linked to mortality [73]. A complication with the human studies is that several of the mortality

CpGs were also markers for tobacco use, indicating that a good proportion of the CpGs were

likely capturing the effects of lifestyle and environmental exposures [72, 74, 75]. In the BXDs,

given the controlled environment, the CpG methylation patterns are presumably providing a

closer marker of genetic and epigenetic predisposition to life expectancy.

Body weight, DNA methylation, and lifespan prediction

We also explored a less conventional clock based on the methylation levels at the top 500 BW0-

DMRs. This clock does not qualify as a DNAmAge clock since the estimates were uncorrelated

with chronological age. Instead, the estimates were correlated with strain longevity data and

we tentatively refer to this as lifespan clock (BW0.lifespan clock). The main mismatches

between the lifespan predicted by the BW0.lifespan clock versus the recorded strain lifespans

were for the long-lived F1 hybrids and BXD65, members of the BXD panel that, in this particular

cohort, also exhibited higher body weight. For these F1s and strain, recorded median lifespans

are over 790 days; the BW0.lifespan clock incorrectly predicted much shorter lifespans for

these cases (all at under 566 days). We note that the simple averages for the top 500 BW0-

DMRs (i.e., not weighed by any variable) were also correlated with lifespan but to a lesser

degree ($r = -0.41$, $p = 0.0004$). Incorporating the age information by using the age regression

coefficients as weighing variables enhanced the predictive power and made it a directly

comparable to the lifespan data.

A key point of distinction between the DNAmAge and BW0.lifespan clocks is that the DNAmAge

is primarily an estimate of chronological age, and the age acceleration is a secondary derivative.

The higher DNAmAge-acc in the HFD group also implied that the rate of the clock, as measured

by the DNAmAge_56 clock, is a modifiable outcome. The BW0.lifespan clock on the other hand,

provided a direct estimate of expected lifespan dependent on a phenotype from a younger age.

One could speculate that the BW0.lifespan clock represents a genotype dependent "lifespan

potential", while the DNAmAge-acc represents a health-related trait that can be accelerated or

decelerated over the course of life, and is responsive to health promoting interventions. This

distinction aside, the DNAmAge and the BW0.lifespan clocks had consistent associations with

body weight. Specifically, DNAmAge-acc derived from both the 500 and 56 age-DMR clocks

showed positive correlation with baseline body weight indicating more accelerated aging for

mice with higher body mass. For the BW0.lifespan clock, the estimates were negatively

correlated with body weight, also indicating shorter lifespan for mice with higher body mass.

This conveys remarkably close interrelations among body weight/mass, the aging methylome

and final lifespan. The influence of body mass on longevity and the more favorable health

profile associated with smaller body mass has been known for a long time [76-81]. The results

we present are further evidence that the epigenome plays a mechanistic intermediary role that

links body weight to the rate of biological aging, and the DNA methylation clocks likely capture

the effects of weight and other biological factors that collectively influence the longevity of the

BXDs.

In terms of the direction of causality (i.e., whether the methylome patterns are due to body

weight, or body weight differences due to DMRs), based on the timeline of data collection, a

simple inference would be that the baseline body weight predicted the methylome patterns

and the epigenetic age acceleration. In humans, differences in DNA methylation also appear to

be more the effect of, rather that the cause for differences in body mass index [82]. However,

both body weight and DNA methylation are genetically regulated phenotypes that are tightly

interlinked. And in these mice, body weight from young adulthood had a sustained or even

lifelong effect of weight measured later in life. This was also true for the few mice that were

introduced to HFD as the mice that were heavier at young age, prior to HFD, continued to be

heavier on HFD, indicating a genetic predisposition to heavier bodies. Given this, we are

inclined to interpret that these three phenotypic domains (body weight, methylome, longevity)

as inter-dependent and are ultimately modulated by underlying DNA sequence variation. A

well-powered genetic dissection of these phenotypes will certainly shed more light on the

nature of this inter-relatedness.

The analysis with the RNA-seq data shows that the aging methylome may have an impact on

gene expression. However, the mRNA clocks, derived from much larger sample sizes, had lower

correlations with chronological age. Furthermore, the age-accelerations from the two versions

of the mRNA clocks had inconsistent associations with body weight, and were not predictive of

lifespan.

Technical considerations and caveats

Before concluding, we should address a few caveats. The sequence alignment was done to the

mm10 B6 reference genome, which means that for regions with D2 haplotypes, the sequence

differences could compromise alignment. The strong population stratification in the PC plot is

likely the result of true quantitative variation in methylation, and also due to a portion of the

CpG regions serving as surrogates for underlying genotype [83]. The main methylome-wide

analysis we performed was for age, and then baseline body weight. Since age is independent of

strain and the different BXDs and lifespan groups were represented across the entire age range,

the age-DMRs are expected to be less susceptible to the confounding effect of DNA sequence

variants. For body weight, a phenotype that is closely linked to genotype, differential

quantification due to sequence effects could be more problematic. To partly control for this, we

included the top five PCs in the regression models as these PCs capture a portion of the

genotype effects and also possibly other unmeasured confounder variables (e.g., cryptic batch

effects, cell composition) [39, 84]. For the top 500 age-DMR, only 71 bins (14%) contained at

least one sequence variant and the remaining were monomorphic. This is lower than the 17%

of variant containing bins in the background set of 368,300 regions. For the top 500 BW0-

DMRs, 139 (27.6%) contained sequence variants, and this higher proportion shows that the

BW0-DMRs occur in genomic loci that are variable in the BXD, and some of the differential

methylation estimates may be conflated by sequence variants. Nonetheless, the majority of the

BW0.DMRs used to estimate the clock by weighing on the age coefficients were devoid of

sequence variants.

Another weakness to consider is that the present study made use of DNA from frozen liver

tissue and does not account for cellular composition. This is an issue that is inherent to

epigenomic and transcriptomic data from bulk tissue [85]. While the liver is relatively

homogeneous in cellular makeup, at least compared to blood or brain, cellular heterogeneity is

still a confounding factor, particularly since this is known to increase with age [53, 85]. For this

issue too, incorporating the top PCs in the statistics is an *in silico* method to partly mitigate the

confounding effect.

Conclusion

577   In conclusion, we have provided a comprehensive description of the aging methylome in a

578   subset of the BXD panel. Our results demonstrate that the DNA methylations based epigenetic

579   clock is sensitive to subtle differences in natural lifespan that arise from common genetic

580   variants. The effect of body mass and other biological and environmental variables on lifespan

581   may also be mechanistically mediated by the methylome.

Materials and Methods

Sample preparation and high throuput sequencing

Liver samples were obtained from the BXD colony maintained at the University of Tennessee

Health Science Center (see Roy et al. [28] for details). All animal procedures were in accordance

to the protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at the

University of Tennessee Health Science Center. DNA from liver tissue was extracted using the

DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit from Qiagen. Nucleic acid purity was inspected using a NanoDrop

spectrophotometer, and quantified using Qubit fluorometer dsDNA BR Assay. Affinity based

enrichment was carried out using the MethylMiner DNA enrichment kit from ThermoFisher

Scientific according to the standard manufacturer's protocol. This kit relies on the methyl-CpG

binding domain (MBD) protein to capture methylated CpG fragments. In brief, 1 µg of DNA in

110 µl of low TE buffer was fragmented to ~150 bp using a Covaris S2 ultrasonicator. The

sonication settings were: cycle/burst of 1 for 10 cycles of 60 s, duty cycle of 10%, intensity of

5.0. DNA fragment size and quality were assessed using the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100. Following

the MBD capture reactions, DNA was eluted in a single step using the high salt (2000 mM NaCl)

elution buffer, and re-concentrated by ethanol precipitation. The final concentration of

methylated-CpG enriched DNA ranged from 1.12 to 5.43 ng per µl (2.46 ± 0.94). The library

construction and sequencing were carried out at Novogene Genomic Services facility.

Sequencing was done to a depth of approximately 50 million reads per sample (150 bp paired-

end) on the Illumina HiSeq 4000.

Read alignment and data quality

The FASTQ files were first inspected with the FastQC tool (v.0.11.8) [86], and all files passed the

initial quality checks. Alignment was then done to the mouse reference genome

(mm10/GRCm38) using the Bowtie2 aligner (v.2.3.4.3) [87], and alignment quality was

evaluated with SAMtools (v.1.9) [88], and SAMstat v.1.5.1[89]. Potential PCR duplicates and

reads with mapping quality less than 10 were filtered out, and indexed Bam files were created.

The Bam files were then loaded to the MEDIPS R package (v.1.36.0) [90], for additional quality

control and assessment of read coverage. Saturation analysis (MEDIPS.saturation) showed that

all 70 libraries had sufficient read coverage, and pair-wise correlations (MEDIPS.correlation)

showed high consistency between samples (Pearson $r > 0.90$ for all pairs). Given the MBD

enrichment, all the samples were enriched for CpGs (mean CG enrichment score of 2.30 ± 0.19;

using the MEDIPS MEDIPS.CpGenrich function), and on average, 51% of CpGs in the reference

genome was covered by at least one mapped read, with 28% of CpGs covered by > 5 mapped

reads. To quantify DNA methylation, the mouse genome was divided in 150 bp non-overlapping

windows and reads were counted for each bin with normalization to the local CpG density

(referred to as coupling factor or CF) using the function MEDIPS.meth and the following

parameters: ws =150, extend = 150, uniq = 1, shift=0.

Global methylome analysis

Read counts generated in MEDIPS were filtered to retain only the 150 bp bins that had

sufficient coverage for reliable quantification and statistical analyses. First, bins with no CpGs

(CF = 0) and mean read counts ≤ 1 were excluded, resulting in 4,286,826 bins. The Y

chromosome was also excluded. Following these filters, the data was loaded to the EdgeR R

package (v3.24) [91] and further filtered on the basis of counts per million (CPM) such than only

reads with more than 1 count per million in 2 or more libraries were retained. This resulted in

368,300 CpG regions with sufficient coverage across the libraries, these were normalized using

the calcNormFactors in EdgeR, and RPKM values extracted using the parameters gene.length =

150, log = TRUE. These 368,300 bins had a mean CpG density of 5.4 ± 2.6 and in total cover

2,001,723 CpG sites. The compendium of SNPs and small insertions/deletions segregating in the

BXDs have been catalogued for the BXDs [92, 93], and we used this information to count the

number of variants in each of the 368,300 150 bp bins.

To evaluate sample clustering and to detect potential outliers, we performed PCA and

hierarchical clustering (**Fig. S1a**). There were no outlier samples and the DNA methylation

profile was highly consistent across the samples (**Fig. S1b**) and averaged at 3.8 ± 0.72 logRPKM.

These CpG regions were then annotated for genomic features using the HOMER program

(v4.10) [94] and evaluated for enrichment relative to the genome-wide set using a

hypergeometric test (R codes in **Table S2**). Based on the annotations, the CpG regions were

then divided into bins that occurred within annotated genes (genic set, 200531 bins), and those

that were in intergenic regions (167769 bins). For each sample, the overall average methylation

and variance for these genic and intergenic sets were computed. The intercorrelations between

the large-scale methylome features, body weight measures, and strain-level lifespan phenotype

were examined using Pearson correlations.

Statistics for differential methylation analyses

To detect age-DMRs, we applied a generalized multiple regression model that included diet and

the top 5 PCs: glm(logRPKM ~ age + diet + PC1 + PC2 + PC3 + PC4 + PC5). The top 5 PCs were

included so that the age-effect can be adjusted for other major sources of variance, including

strain effect and other unmeasured potential confounder variables. The top age-DMRs were

selected at a lenient statistical threshold of unadjusted $p \leq 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$ (23% FDR) since our

primary goal was to examine the overall trends and to test if these sites can collectively be used

to estimate chronological age and lifespan prediction. For these, GSEA was carried out using the

WebGestalt platform (http://www.webgestalt.org) with genes ranked by the age regression

coefficients [95, 96]. To test if the top 500 age-DMRs were associated with strain-level lifespan,

we applied a mixed effects model using the lme4 R package (v4_1.1-19) [97]. The lifespan data

are at the level of strain within a diet group (CD or HFD), and to test association between the

individual level DNA methylation and the strain-diet longevity data, we treated strain-diet as a

random variable. The mixed effects model was: lmer(logRPKM ~ age + median.lifespan + (1 +

age|strain.diet)), where age and median lifespan are numeric variables and strain.diet is a

categorical identity. To identify CpG regions that are associated with baseline body weight

variation, we used the regression model: glm(logRPKM ~ BW0 + age + PC1 + PC2 + PC3 + PC4 +

PC5), where BW0 was baseline body weight as a numeric variable.

Epigenetic clock calculation

For the training-based clock construction, we applied elastic net regression (alpha=0.5 using the

glmnet R package (v2.0-18) [98, 99]. For the DNA methylation data, we randomly selected 36 of

the 69 samples as a training set, and training was done using the log RPKM values for the

368,300 CpG bins. The untransformed chronological age of mice in days was entered as the

training variable. Model parameters were optimized using a 10-fold cross-validation with the

following cv.glmnet parameter: nfolds = 50, alpha = 0,5, family ="gaussian". The performance of

the age estimator were then tested in the remaining 33 MBD-seq samples. The accuracy of the

predicted age was examined by Pearson correlation with chronological age. As a second

measure of accuracy, we also used the median absolute error as described in Horvath & Raj

[40]. As recommended in Thompson et al. 2018 [9], the "age acceleration" was computed as

the residuals after fitting the predicted age to chronological ages: residuals(lm(DNAmAge ~

Age)).

For non-training DNA methylation clocks, each CpG region was weighed by the age regression

coefficient derived from the equation: glm(logRPKM ~ age + diet + PC1 + PC2 + PC3 + PC4 +

PC5), which means that each CpG region was weighed by the change in DNA methylation per

unit change in age. For the for the 500 age-DMR version, the weighted average was computed

for the 500 CpG regions; for the 56 lifespan-age-DMR version, the weighted averages were

computed from the restricted set of 56 CpG regions (for each CpG region, the weighing factor is

in the Estimate.Age column of **Table 54**). The DNAmAge_56 and DNAmAge_500 clocks were

then derived by scaling the weighted average to the age scale in the 69 samples using the

following formula: DNAmAge = (((weighted.average − min.weighted.average) x age.range) /

weighted.average.range) + min.age, where min.weighted.average and weighted.average.range

are the minimum value and range for the weighted averages in the 69 BXD samples, and

age.range = 578 days is the range of chronological age in the 69 BXDs, and min.age = 181 days is

the minimum age for the 69 BXDs. For the body weighted based clock, we again used the age

coefficient from the same regression model as a weighing factor, but the weighted averages

were computed for the top 500 CpG regions that were associated significantly with baseline

body weight of mice. The same scaling formula was applied to bring the weighted averages to

the same scale the median lifespan data.

Transcriptomes analyses

We used liver gene expression data from a larger cohort of 291 BXDs that is available from

GeneNetwork 2 [100]. The RNA sequencing was carried out in two batches, with batch 1

generated in 2017 from 150 samples (77 CD and 73 HFD cases), and batch 2 generated in 2018

from another set of 141 samples (84 CD and 57 HFD). While the liver specimens all came from

the UTHSC Aging BXD Colony, the two batches did not share overlapping samples. Batch 2 had

lower sequencing depth than batch 1, and to make the two batches more comparable, we

excluded all transcripts with no read coverage (i.e., logRPKM values of 0) in 10% or more of the

291 samples, and this retained 25,676 Ensemble transcript IDs. The 347 age-DMR genes were

matched by gene symbol to the corresponding transcripts, and only 265 of the age-DMR genes

paired to one or more transcript variants in the liver transcriptome. We performed a simple

Pearson correlation between the expression of these transcripts and age using data from both

batches. In the case of age-DMR genes that matched to multiple transcript variants (specifically,

different Ensemble transcript variants) from the same gene, we retained only the transcript

with the most significant correlation with age that resulted in 265 unique age-DMR and mRNA

pairs (**Table S9**).

For mRNA based age estimation, we considered the 25,676 transcripts and applied the same

elastic net regression parameters as described for the DNA methylation clocks. In the case of

the RNA-seq data, the training to age was first carried out in the 150 cases from batch 1,

followed by validation in the 141 cases from batch 2. This was then complemented by

performing the training in the 141 cases from batch 2, followed by validate in batch 1.

Data availability

The normalized MBD-seq data for the 368,300 CpG bins that were considered for statistical

analyses and sample metadata will be available from the NCBI NIH Gene Expression

Omnibus upon official publication. The full raw alignment files for the MBD-seq data will be

made available from the NCBI NIH Sequence Repository Archive upon official publication.

Abbreviations

age-DMR: age-associated Differentially Methylation Region

bp: base pair

BW0-DMR: Baseline body Weight associated differentially methylated CpG region

BW0: Baseline body Weight

BW0.lifespan: baseline weight-based lifespan clock

CD: Control Diet

CF: Coupling Factor

CPM: Counts Per Million

DNAmAge-acc: DNA methylation age acceleration

DNAmAge: DNA methylation age

GO: Gene Ontology

GSEA: Gene Set Enrichment Analysis

HFD: High Fat Diet

KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes

MBD-seq: Methyl-CpG binding domain sequencing

mRNAage: mRNA based age estimates

RRBS: Reduced Representation Bisulfite Sequencing

PC: Principal Component

PCA: Principal Component Analysis

QC: Quality Control

RPKM: Reads Per Kilo base per Million mapped reads

SNP: Single Nucleotide Polymorphism

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate. All animal procedures were in accordance to

protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at the

University of Tennessee Health Science Center.

Consent for publication. All author read and approved the current version of the manuscript

Availability of data and materials. The normalized data and the raw fastq files will be made

available from NCBI NIH Gene Expression Omnibus and the Sequence Repository Archive upon

official publication.

Competing interests. No competing interests

Funding: Funded by NIH NIA grants R21AG055841 and R01AG043930

Authors' contributions

KM supervised the study, contributed to conception of study design and analysis, and wrote the

manuscript. RWW contributed to the conception of the BXD Aging Colony, and provided access

to the biorepository resource. JVSS, AHBH, and EGW contributed to the lab work, and JVSS

performed the primary bioinformatics and contributed to analysis and manuscript. DA and SR

contributed to analysis and data acquisition. All authors contributed to and approved the final

version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The present work relied on resources from the BXD Aging Colony, and we thank the entire

team, particularly Dr. Lu Lu, Jesse Ingels, Casey J Chapman, Melinda S McCarty, Megan K

Mulligan, and Arthur Centeno. We thank Dr. Saunak Sen for his invaluable comments of the

statistics. We thank the UTHSC-Rhodes College Population Health Researcher Program and Dr.

Teresa Waters for support and for bringing an excellent summer student to the PI's lab. We

thank Dr. Karolina A. Aberg and her team at the Virginia Commonwealth University for their

advice on the MBD-seq technique.

References.

1.   Marioni RE, Harris SE, Shah S, McRae AF, von Zglinicki T, Martin-Ruiz C, et al. The epigenetic clock and telomere length are independently associated with chronological age and mortality. International journal of epidemiology. 2018;47(1):356. Epub 2017/12/01. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyx233. PubMed PMID: 29190382; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5837660.
2.   Mitteldorf J. An epigenetic clock controls aging. Biogerontology. 2016;17(1):257-65. Epub 2015/11/27. doi: 10.1007/s10522-015-9617-5. PubMed PMID: 26608516.
3.   Lowe D, Horvath S, Raj K. Epigenetic clock analyses of cellular senescence and ageing. Oncotarget. 2016;7(8):8524-31. PubMed PMID: WOS:000375618100007.
4.   Hannum G, Guinney J, Zhao L, Zhang L, Hughes G, Sadda S, et al. Genome-wide methylation profiles reveal quantitative views of human aging rates. Molecular cell. 2013;49(2):359-67. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2012.10.016. PubMed PMID: 23177740; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3780611.
5.   Horvath S. DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. Genome biology. 2013;14(10):R115. doi: 10.1186/gb-2013-14-10-r115. PubMed PMID: 24138928; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4015143.
6.   Levine ME, Lu AT, Quach A, Chen BH, Assimes TL, Bandinelli S, et al. An epigenetic biomarker of aging for lifespan and healthspan. Aging. 2018;10(4):573-91. Epub 2018/04/21. doi: 10.18632/aging.101414. PubMed PMID: 29676998; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5940111.
7.   Petkovich DA, Podolskiy DI, Lobanov AV, Lee SG, Miller RA, Gladyshev VN. Using DNA Methylation Profiling to Evaluate Biological Age and Longevity Interventions. Cell metabolism. 2017;25(4):954-60 e6. Epub 2017/04/06. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2017.03.016. PubMed PMID: 28380383; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5578459.

8.   Stubbs TM, Bonder MJ, Stark AK, Krueger F, Team BIAC, von Meyenn F, et al. Multi-
tissue DNA methylation age predictor in mouse. Genome biology. 2017;18(1):68. doi:
10.1186/s13059-017-1203-5. PubMed PMID: 28399939; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5389178.
9.   Thompson MJ, Chwialkowska K, Rubbi L, Lusis AJ, Davis RC, Srivastava A, et al. A multi-
tissue full lifespan epigenetic clock for mice. Aging. 2018;10(10):2832-54. Epub 2018/10/24.
doi: 10.18632/aging.101590. PubMed PMID: 30348905; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC6224226.
10.   Wang T, Tsui B, Kreisberg JF, Robertson NA, Gross AM, Yu MK, et al. Epigenetic aging
signatures in mice livers are slowed by dwarfism, calorie restriction and rapamycin treatment.
Genome biology. 2017;18(1):57. Epub 2017/03/30. doi: 10.1186/s13059-017-1186-2. PubMed
PMID: 28351423; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5371228.
11.   Weidner CI, Lin Q, Koch CM, Eisele L, Beier F, Ziegler P, et al. Aging of blood can be
tracked by DNA methylation changes at just three CpG sites. Genome biology. 2014;15(2):R24.
doi: 10.1186/gb-2014-15-2-r24. PubMed PMID: 24490752; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC4053864.
12.   Marioni RE, Shah S, McRae AF, Chen BH, Colicino E, Harris SE, et al. DNA methylation age
of blood predicts all-cause mortality in later life. Genome biology. 2015;16(1):25. doi:
10.1186/s13059-015-0584-6. PubMed PMID: 25633388; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4350614.
13.   Chen BH, Marioni RE, Colicino E, Peters MJ, Ward-Caviness CK, Tsai PC, et al. DNA
methylation-based measures of biological age: meta-analysis predicting time to death. Aging.
2016;8(9):1844-65. Epub 2016/10/01. doi: 10.18632/aging.101020. PubMed PMID: 27690265;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5076441.
14.   Zhang Y, Hapala J, Brenner H, Wagner W. Individual CpG sites that are associated with
age and life expectancy become hypomethylated upon aging. Clinical epigenetics. 2017;9. doi:
ARTN 9
10.1186/s13148-017-0315-9. PubMed PMID: WOS:000393925000001.
15.   Christiansen L, Lenart A, Tan Q, Vaupel JW, Aviv A, McGue M, et al. DNA methylation
age is associated with mortality in a longitudinal Danish twin study. Aging cell. 2016;15(1):149-
54. Epub 2015/11/26. doi: 10.1111/acel.12421. PubMed PMID: 26594032; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC4717264.
16.   Lin Q, Weidner CI, Costa IG, Marioni RE, Ferreira MRP, Deary IJ, et al. DNA methylation
levels at individual age-associated CpG sites can be indicative for life expectancy. Aging-Us.
2016;8(2):394-401. doi: DOI 10.18632/aging.100908. PubMed PMID: WOS:000372086600017.
17.   Marioni RE, Shah S, McRae AF, Ritchie SJ, Muniz-Terrera G, Harris SE, et al. The
epigenetic clock is correlated with physical and cognitive fitness in the Lothian Birth Cohort
1936. International journal of epidemiology. 2015;44(4):1388-96. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyu277.
PubMed PMID: 25617346; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4588858.
18.   Perna L, Zhang Y, Mons U, Holleczek B, Saum KU, Brenner H. Epigenetic age acceleration
predicts cancer, cardiovascular, and all-cause mortality in a German case cohort. Clinical
epigenetics. 2016;8:64. Epub 2016/06/09. doi: 10.1186/s13148-016-0228-z. PubMed PMID:
27274774; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4891876.
19.   Lowe R, Barton C, Jenkins CA, Ernst C, Forman O, Fernandez-Twinn DS, et al. Ageing-
associated DNA methylation dynamics are a molecular readout of lifespan variation among

mammalian species. Genome biology. 2018;19(1):22. Epub 2018/02/18. doi: 10.1186/s13059-
018-1397-1. PubMed PMID: 29452591; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5815211.
20.   Cole JJ, Robertson NA, Rather MI, Thomson JP, McBryan T, Sproul D, et al. Diverse
interventions that extend mouse lifespan suppress shared age-associated epigenetic changes at
critical gene regulatory regions. Genome biology. 2017;18. doi: ARTN 58
10.1186/s13059-017-1185-3. PubMed PMID: WOS:000397557000003.
21.   Hahn O, Gronke S, Stubbs TM, Ficz G, Hendrich O, Krueger F, et al. Dietary restriction
protects from age-associated DNA methylation and induces epigenetic reprogramming of lipid
metabolism. Genome biology. 2017;18(1):56. Epub 2017/03/30. doi: 10.1186/s13059-017-
1187-1. PubMed PMID: 28351387; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5370449.
22.   Sziraki A, Tyshkovskiy A, Gladyshev VN. Global remodeling of the mouse DNA
methylome during aging and in response to calorie restriction. Aging cell. 2018;17(3):e12738.
Epub 2018/03/27. doi: 10.1111/acel.12738. PubMed PMID: 29575528; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC5946071.
23.   Hook M, Roy S, Williams EG, Bou Sleiman M, Mozhui K, Nelson JF, et al. Genetic
cartography of longevity in humans and mice: Current landscape and horizons. Biochimica et
biophysica acta. 2018. Epub 2018/02/08. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2018.01.026. PubMed PMID:
29410319.
24.   de Haan G, Gelman R, Watson A, Yunis E, Van Zant G. A putative gene causes variability
in lifespan among genotypically identical mice. Nature genetics. 1998;19(2):114-6. doi:
10.1038/465. PubMed PMID: 9620762.
25.   De Haan G, Van Zant G. Genetic analysis of hemopoietic cell cycling in mice suggests its
involvement in organismal life span. FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of
American Societies for Experimental Biology. 1999;13(6):707-13. PubMed PMID: 10094931.
26.   Gelman R, Watson A, Bronson R, Yunis E. Murine chromosomal regions correlated with
longevity. Genetics. 1988;118(4):693-704. PubMed PMID: 3163317; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC1203324.
27.   Lang DH, Gerhard GS, Griffith JW, Vogler GP, Vandenbergh DJ, Blizard DA, et al.
Quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis of longevity in C57BL/6J by DBA/2J (BXD) recombinant
inbred mice. Aging clinical and experimental research. 2010;22(1):8-19. PubMed PMID:
20305363.
28.   Roy S, Sleiman MB, Jha P, Williams EG, Ingels JF, Chapman CJ, et al. Modulation of
longevity by diet, and youthful body weight, but not by weight gain after maturity. bioRxiv.
2019:776559. doi: 10.1101/776559.
29.   Yuan R, Peters LL, Paigen B. Mice as a mammalian model for research on the genetics of
aging. ILAR journal / National Research Council, Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources.
2011;52(1):4-15. PubMed PMID: 21411853; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3074346.
30.   Yuan R, Tsaih SW, Petkova SB, Marin de Evsikova C, Xing S, Marion MA, et al. Aging in
inbred strains of mice: study design and interim report on median lifespans and circulating IGF1
levels. Aging cell. 2009;8(3):277-87. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-9726.2009.00478.x. PubMed PMID:
19627267; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2768517.
31.   Hsu HC, Li L, Zhang HG, Mountz JD. Genetic regulation of thymic involution. Mechanisms
of ageing and development. 2005;126(1):87-97. doi: 10.1016/j.mad.2004.09.016. PubMed
PMID: 15610766.

32. Sloane LB, Stout JT, Austad SN, McClearn GE. Tail tendon break time: a biomarker of
aging? The journals of gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences.
2011;66(3):287-94. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glq196. PubMed PMID: 21059835; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMC3041475.
33. Sloane LB, Stout JT, Vandenbergh DJ, Vogler GP, Gerhard GS, McClearn GE. Quantitative
trait loci analysis of tail tendon break time in mice of C57BL/6J and DBA/2J lineage. The journals
of gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences. 2011;66(2):170-8. doi:
10.1093/gerona/glq169. PubMed PMID: 21047976; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3021371.
34. Aberg KA, Xie L, Chan RF, Zhao M, Pandey AK, Kumar G, et al. Evaluation of Methyl-
Binding Domain Based Enrichment Approaches Revisited. PloS one. 2015;10(7):e0132205. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0132205. PubMed PMID: 26177298; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC4503759.
35. Aberg KA, McClay JL, Nerella S, Xie LY, Clark SL, Hudson AD, et al. MBD-seq as a cost-
effective approach for methylome-wide association studies: demonstration in 1500 case--
control samples. Epigenomics. 2012;4(6):605-21. doi: 10.2217/epi.12.59. PubMed PMID:
23244307; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3923085.
36. Aberg KA, Chan RF, Xie L, Shabalin AA, van den Oord E. Methyl-CpG-Binding Domain
Sequencing: MBD-seq. Methods in molecular biology. 2018;1708:171-89. Epub 2017/12/11.
doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-7481-8_10. PubMed PMID: 29224145.
37. GeneNetwork 2. Available from: http://gn2.genenetwork.org.
38. Johansson A, Enroth S, Gyllensten U. Continuous Aging of the Human DNA Methylome
Throughout the Human Lifespan. PloS one. 2013;8(6):e67378. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0067378. PubMed PMID: 23826282; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC3695075.
39. McClay JL, Aberg KA, Clark SL, Nerella S, Kumar G, Xie LY, et al. A methylome-wide study
of aging using massively parallel sequencing of the methyl-CpG-enriched genomic fraction from
blood in over 700 subjects. Human molecular genetics. 2014;23(5):1175-85. doi:
10.1093/hmg/ddt511. PubMed PMID: 24135035; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3919012.
40. Horvath S, Raj K. DNA methylation-based biomarkers and the epigenetic clock theory of
ageing. Nature reviews Genetics. 2018;19(6):371-84. Epub 2018/04/13. doi: 10.1038/s41576-
018-0004-3. PubMed PMID: 29643443.
41. Field AE, Robertson NA, Wang T, Havas A, Ideker T, Adams PD. DNA Methylation Clocks
in Aging: Categories, Causes, and Consequences. Molecular cell. 2018;71(6):882-95. Epub
2018/09/23. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.08.008. PubMed PMID: 30241605.
42. Bacalini MG, Franceschi C, Gentilini D, Ravaioli F, Zhou X, Remondini D, et al. Molecular
Aging of Human Liver: An Epigenetic/Transcriptomic Signature. The journals of gerontology
Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences. 2019;74(1):1-8. Epub 2018/03/20. doi:
10.1093/gerona/gly048. PubMed PMID: 29554203.
43. Snir S, Farrell C, Pellegrini M. Human epigenetic ageing is logarithmic with time across
the entire lifespan. Epigenetics : official journal of the DNA Methylation Society.
2019;14(9):912-26. doi: 10.1080/15592294.2019.1623634. PubMed PMID:
WOS:000479080700005.

44.   Ciccarone F, Tagliatesta S, Caiafa P, Zampieri M. DNA methylation dynamics in aging:
how far are we from understanding the mechanisms? Mechanisms of ageing and development.
2018;174:3-17. Epub 2017/12/23. doi: 10.1016/j.mad.2017.12.002. PubMed PMID: 29268958.
45.   Zampieri M, Ciccarone F, Calabrese R, Franceschi C, Burkle A, Caiafa P. Reconfiguration
of DNA methylation in aging. Mechanisms of ageing and development. 2015;151:60-70. doi:
10.1016/j.mad.2015.02.002. PubMed PMID: 25708826.
46.   Heyn H, Li N, Ferreira HJ, Moran S, Pisano DG, Gomez A, et al. Distinct DNA methylomes
of newborns and centenarians. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
States of America. 2012;109(26):10522-7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1120658109. PubMed PMID:
22689993; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3387108.
47.   Maegawa S, Hinkal G, Kim HS, Shen L, Zhang L, Zhang J, et al. Widespread and tissue
specific age-related DNA methylation changes in mice. Genome research. 2010;20(3):332-40.
doi: 10.1101/gr.096826.109. PubMed PMID: 20107151; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2840983.
48.   Unnikrishnan A, Hadad N, Masser DR, Jackson J, Freeman WM, Richardson A. Revisiting
the genomic hypomethylation hypothesis of aging. Annals of the New York Academy of
Sciences. 2018;1418(1):69-79. Epub 2018/01/25. doi: 10.1111/nyas.13533. PubMed PMID:
29363785.
49.   Singhal RP, Mays-Hoopes LL, Eichhorn GL. DNA methylation in aging of mice.
Mechanisms of ageing and development. 1987;41(3):199-210. Epub 1987/12/01. doi:
10.1016/0047-6374(87)90040-6. PubMed PMID: 3431172.
50.   Cruickshanks HA, McBryan T, Nelson DM, Vanderkraats ND, Shah PP, van Tuyn J, et al.
Senescent cells harbour features of the cancer epigenome. Nat Cell Biol. 2013;15(12):1495-506.
Epub 2013/11/26. doi: 10.1038/ncb2879. PubMed PMID: 24270890; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4106249.
51.   Day K, Waite LL, Thalacker-Mercer A, West A, Bamman MM, Brooks JD, et al. Differential
DNA methylation with age displays both common and dynamic features across human tissues
that are influenced by CpG landscape. Genome biology. 2013;14(9):R102. Epub 2013/09/17.
doi: 10.1186/gb-2013-14-9-r102. PubMed PMID: 24034465; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4053985.
52.   Rakyan VK, Down TA, Maslau S, Andrew T, Yang TP, Beyan H, et al. Human aging-
associated DNA hypermethylation occurs preferentially at bivalent chromatin domains.
Genome research. 2010;20(4):434-9. Epub 2010/03/12. doi: 10.1101/gr.103101.109. PubMed
PMID: 20219945; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2847746.
53.   Teschendorff AE, Menon U, Gentry-Maharaj A, Ramus SJ, Weisenberger DJ, Shen H, et
al. Age-dependent DNA methylation of genes that are suppressed in stem cells is a hallmark of
cancer. Genome research. 2010;20(4):440-6. doi: 10.1101/gr.103606.109. PubMed PMID:
20219944; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2847747.
54.   Carter CJ. Convergence of genes implicated in Alzheimer's disease on the cerebral
cholesterol shuttle: APP, cholesterol, lipoproteins, and atherosclerosis. Neurochem Int.
2007;50(1):12-38. Epub 2006/09/16. doi: 10.1016/j.neuint.2006.07.007. PubMed PMID:
16973241.
55.   Steinberg S, Stefansson H, Jonsson T, Johannsdottir H, Ingason A, Helgason H, et al. Loss-
of-function variants in ABCA7 confer risk of Alzheimer's disease. Nature genetics.
2015;47(5):445-7. Epub 2015/03/26. doi: 10.1038/ng.3246. PubMed PMID: 25807283.

56. Sebastiani P, Montano M, Puca A, Solovieff N, Kojima T, Wang MC, et al. RNA editing
genes associated with extreme old age in humans and with lifespan in C. elegans. PloS one.
2009;4(12):e8210. Epub 2009/12/17. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0008210. PubMed PMID:
20011587; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2788130.
57. Yashin AI, Wu D, Arbeev KG, Ukraintseva SV. Joint influence of small-effect genetic
variants on human longevity. Aging. 2010;2(9):612-20. Epub 2010/09/14. doi:
10.18632/aging.100191. PubMed PMID: 20834067; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2984609.
58. Soerensen M, Dato S, Tan Q, Thinggaard M, Kleindorp R, Beekman M, et al. Human
longevity and variation in GH/IGF-1/insulin signaling, DNA damage signaling and repair and
pro/antioxidant pathway genes: cross sectional and longitudinal studies. Experimental
gerontology. 2012;47(5):379-87. Epub 2012/03/13. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2012.02.010. PubMed
PMID: 22406557; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3334439.
59. Dato S, Soerensen M, Montesanto A, Lagani V, Passarino G, Christensen K, et al. UCP3
polymorphisms, hand grip performance and survival at old age: association analysis in two
Danish middle aged and elderly cohorts. Mechanisms of ageing and development.
2012;133(8):530-7. Epub 2012/06/30. doi: 10.1016/j.mad.2012.06.004. PubMed PMID:
22743239; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3629378.
60. Slack C, Alic N, Foley A, Cabecinha M, Hoddinott MP, Partridge L. The Ras-Erk-ETS-
Signaling Pathway Is a Drug Target for Longevity. Cell. 2015;162(1):72-83. Epub 2015/06/30.
doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.06.023. PubMed PMID: 26119340; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4518474.
61. Passtoors WM, van den Akker EB, Deelen J, Maier AB, van der Breggen R, Jansen R, et al.
IL7R gene expression network associates with human healthy ageing. Immunity & ageing : I & A.
2015;12:21. Epub 2015/11/14. doi: 10.1186/s12979-015-0048-6. PubMed PMID: 26566388;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4642670.
62. Zhang G, Li J, Purkayastha S, Tang Y, Zhang H, Yin Y, et al. Hypothalamic programming of
systemic ageing involving IKK-beta, NF-kappaB and GnRH. Nature. 2013;497(7448):211-6. Epub
2013/05/03. doi: 10.1038/nature12143. PubMed PMID: 23636330; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3756938.
63. Mozhui K, Pandey AK. Conserved effect of aging on DNA methylation and association
with EZH2 polycomb protein in mice and humans. Mechanisms of ageing and development.
2017;162:27-37. Epub 2017/03/03. doi: 10.1016/j.mad.2017.02.006. PubMed PMID: 28249716;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5411177.
64. Huang Y, Yan J, Hou J, Fu X, Li L, Hou Y. Developing a DNA methylation assay for human
age prediction in blood and bloodstain. Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2015;17:129-36. Epub
2015/05/17. doi: 10.1016/j.fsigen.2015.05.007. PubMed PMID: 25979242.
65. Zbiec-Piekarska R, Spolnicka M, Kupiec T, Parys-Proszek A, Makowska Z, Paleczka A, et
al. Development of a forensically useful age prediction method based on DNA methylation
analysis. Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2015;17:173-9. Epub 2015/06/01. doi:
10.1016/j.fsigen.2015.05.001. PubMed PMID: 26026729.
66. Zhang W, Spector TD, Deloukas P, Bell JT, Engelhardt BE. Predicting genome-wide DNA
methylation using methylation marks, genomic position, and DNA regulatory elements.
Genome biology. 2015;16:14. doi: 10.1186/s13059-015-0581-9. PubMed PMID: 25616342;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4389802.

67.   Lovkvist C, Dodd IB, Sneppen K, Haerter JO. DNA methylation in human epigenomes
depends on local topology of CpG sites. Nucleic acids research. 2016;44(11):5123-32. doi:
10.1093/nar/gkw124. PubMed PMID: 26932361.
68.   Li Y, Zhu J, Tian G, Li N, Li Q, Ye M, et al. The DNA methylome of human peripheral blood
mononuclear cells. PLoS biology. 2010;8(11):e1000533. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000533.
PubMed PMID: 21085693; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2976721.
69.   Janssens GE, Lin XX, Millan-Arino L, Kavsek A, Sen I, Seinstra RI, et al. Transcriptomics-
Based Screening Identifies Pharmacological Inhibition of Hsp90 as a Means to Defer Aging. Cell
Rep. 2019;27(2):467-80 e6. Epub 2019/04/11. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.03.044. PubMed
PMID: 30970250; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6459000.
70.   Peters MJ, Joehanes R, Pilling LC, Schurmann C, Conneely KN, Powell J, et al. The
transcriptional landscape of age in human peripheral blood. Nature communications.
2015;6:8570. Epub 2015/10/23. doi: 10.1038/ncomms9570. PubMed PMID: 26490707; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC4639797.
71.   Svane AM, Soerensen M, Lund J, Tan Q, Jylhava J, Wang Y, et al. DNA Methylation and
All-Cause Mortality in Middle-Aged and Elderly Danish Twins. Genes (Basel). 2018;9(2). Epub
2018/02/09. doi: 10.3390/genes9020078. PubMed PMID: 29419728; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC5852574.
72.   Zhang Y, Wilson R, Heiss J, Breitling LP, Saum KU, Schottker B, et al. DNA methylation
signatures in peripheral blood strongly predict all-cause mortality. Nature communications.
2017;8:14617. Epub 2017/03/18. doi: 10.1038/ncomms14617. PubMed PMID: 28303888;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5357865.
73.   Lund JB, Li S, Baumbach J, Svane AM, Hjelmborg J, Christiansen L, et al. DNA methylome
profiling of all-cause mortality in comparison with age-associated methylation patterns. Clinical
epigenetics. 2019;11(1):23. Epub 2019/02/10. doi: 10.1186/s13148-019-0622-4. PubMed PMID:
30736859; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6368749.
74.   Bojesen SE, Timpson N, Relton C, Davey Smith G, Nordestgaard BG. AHRR (cg05575921)
hypomethylation marks smoking behaviour, morbidity and mortality. Thorax. 2017;72(7):646-
53. Epub 2017/01/20. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-208789. PubMed PMID: 28100713; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC5520281.
75.   McCartney DL, Hillary RF, Stevenson AJ, Ritchie SJ, Walker RM, Zhang Q, et al. Epigenetic
prediction of complex traits and death. Genome biology. 2018;19(1):136. Epub 2018/09/28.
doi: 10.1186/s13059-018-1514-1. PubMed PMID: 30257690; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC6158884.
76.   Albanes D, Winick M. Are cell number and cell proliferation risk factors for cancer? J Natl
Cancer Inst. 1988;80(10):772-4. Epub 1988/07/20. doi: 10.1093/jnci/80.10.772. PubMed PMID:
3385783.
77.   Bartke A. Healthy aging: is smaller better? - a mini-review. Gerontology. 2012;58(4):337-
43. Epub 2012/01/21. doi: 10.1159/000335166. PubMed PMID: 22261798; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC3893695.
78.   Global BMIMC, Di Angelantonio E, Bhupathiraju Sh N, Wormser D, Gao P, Kaptoge S, et
al. Body-mass index and all-cause mortality: individual-participant-data meta-analysis of 239
prospective studies in four continents. Lancet. 2016;388(10046):776-86. Epub 2016/07/18. doi:

10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30175-1. PubMed PMID: 27423262; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4995441.
79.   Lemez S, Wattie N, Baker J. Do "big guys" really die younger? An examination of height
and lifespan in former professional basketball players. PloS one. 2017;12(10). doi: ARTN
e0185617
10.1371/journal.pone.0185617. PubMed PMID: WOS:000412029600029.
80.   McCay CM, Crowell MF, Maynard LA. The effect of retarded growth upon the length of
life span and upon the ultimate body size. 1935. Nutrition. 1989;5(3):155-71; discussion 72.
Epub 1989/05/01. PubMed PMID: 2520283.
81.   Nunney L. Size matters: height, cell number and a person's risk of cancer. P Roy Soc B-
Biol Sci. 2018;285(1889). doi: ARTN 20181743
10.1098/rspb.2018.1743. PubMed PMID: WOS:000448380800012.
82.   Wahl S, Drong A, Lehne B, Loh M, Scott WR, Kunze S, et al. Epigenome-wide association
study of body mass index, and the adverse outcomes of adiposity. Nature. 2017;541(7635):81-
6. Epub 2016/12/22. doi: 10.1038/nature20784. PubMed PMID: 28002404; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC5570525.
83.   Barfield RT, Almli LM, Kilaru V, Smith AK, Mercer KB, Duncan R, et al. Accounting for
population stratification in DNA methylation studies. Genet Epidemiol. 2014;38(3):231-41. Epub
2014/01/31. doi: 10.1002/gepi.21789. PubMed PMID: 24478250; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4090102.
84.   Rakyan VK, Down TA, Balding DJ, Beck S. Epigenome-wide association studies for
common human diseases. Nature reviews Genetics. 2011;12(8):529-41. Epub 2011/07/13. doi:
10.1038/nrg3000. PubMed PMID: 21747404; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3508712.
85.   Lappalainen T, Greally JM. Associating cellular epigenetic models with human
phenotypes. Nature reviews Genetics. 2017;18(7):441-51. Epub 2017/05/31. doi:
10.1038/nrg.2017.32. PubMed PMID: 28555657.
86.   FastQC. Available from: https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.
87.   Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment
of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome biology. 2009;10(3):R25. doi:
10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25. PubMed PMID: 19261174; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2690996.
88.   Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence
Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(16):2078-9. doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352. PubMed PMID: 19505943; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC2723002.
89.   Lassmann T, Hayashizaki Y, Daub CO. SAMStat: monitoring biases in next generation
sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2011;27(1):130-1. Epub 2010/11/20. doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/btq614. PubMed PMID: 21088025; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3008642.
90.   Lienhard M, Grimm C, Morkel M, Herwig R, Chavez L. MEDIPS: genome-wide differential
coverage analysis of sequencing data derived from DNA enrichment experiments.
Bioinformatics. 2014;30(2):284-6. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt650. PubMed PMID:
24227674; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3892689.
91.   Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential
expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(1):139-40. doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616. PubMed PMID: 19910308; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC2796818.
92.   Ashbrook DG, Arends D, Mulligan MK, Williams EG, Lutz C, Valenzuela A, et al.
Sequencing the BXD family, a cohort for experimental systems genetics and precision medicine.
IBANGS MEETING: THE 20TH ANNUAL GENES, BRAIN & BEHAVIOR MEETING Rochester, MN,
USA. 2018.
93.   Ashbrook DG, Arends D, Prins P, Mulligan MK, Roy S, Williams EG, et al. The expanded
BXD family of mice: A cohort for experimental systems genetics and precision medicine.
bioRxiv. 2019:672097. doi: 10.1101/672097.
94.   Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, Bertolino E, Lin YC, Laslo P, et al. Simple combinations of
lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for
macrophage and B cell identities. Molecular cell. 2010;38(4):576-89. Epub 2010/06/02. doi:
10.1016/j.molcel.2010.05.004. PubMed PMID: 20513432; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC2898526.
95.   Liao Y, Wang J, Jaehnig EJ, Shi Z, Zhang B. WebGestalt 2019: gene set analysis toolkit
with revamped UIs and APIs. Nucleic acids research. 2019;47(W1):W199-W205. Epub
2019/05/23. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz401. PubMed PMID: 31114916; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC6602449.
96.   Zhang B, Kirov S, Snoddy J. WebGestalt: an integrated system for exploring gene sets in
various biological contexts. Nucleic acids research. 2005;33(Web Server issue):W741-8. Epub
2005/06/28. doi: 10.1093/nar/gki475. PubMed PMID: 15980575; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC1160236.
97.   Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S. lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen
and S4. . R package version 11-7, http://CRANR-projectorg/package=lme4.
98.   Friedman J, Hastie T, Tibshirani R. Regularization Paths for Generalized Linear Models via
Coordinate Descent. J Stat Softw. 2010;33(1):1-22. Epub 2010/09/03. PubMed PMID:
20808728; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2929880.
99.   Zou H, Hastie T. Regularization and variable selection via the elastic net. Journal of the
Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology). 2005;67(2):301-20. doi:
10.1111/j.1467-9868.2005.00503.x.
100.   NIA Aging BXD CD+HFD Liver RNA-Seq (Aug18) Log2.

Figure legends.

Fig. 1. Age distribution and body weight characteristics.

(a) Each point depicts a mouse used for methylome assay. The purpose of this plot is to show a near uniform distribution of ages (y-axis) across the three lifespan groups (categorical classification on x-axis; short-lived with strain mean lifespan < 600 days, medium-lived: 640–750 days, and long-lived: lifespan > 800 days).

(b) The bar plots show significant strain variation in mean body weight at young adulthood (baseline weight) and at final weighing. By final weight, mice on high fat diet (HFD) had gained significant weight. The weight of the liver did not differ significantly between strains and showed only a slight gain for mice on HFD. These graphs were plotted using all 70 samples; excluding the three male cases in BXD102, B6D2F1, and D2B6F1, did not alter the strain distribution and the F1s still had robust body weights.

(c) At young adulthood, body weight was significantly correlated with age of mice. The baseline body weight was also correlated with final weight of body **(d)** and liver **(e)**.

Fig. 2. Global features of the methylome

(a) Scatter plot between the top 2 principal components—PC1 (19% of variance) and PC2 (13% of variance)—show a strong population structure with mice clustering by strain identity (color coded). Members of sub-strains also cluster in close proximity. For strains with animals from both standard chow (CD; solid circles) and high fat diet (HFD; squares), mice on HFD co-cluster with the CD mice.

For each individual mouse, the overall mean methylation and within-individual variance was

calculated for 200,531 genic CpG regions located with annotated genes **(b)**, and 167,769 CpG

regions in intergenic sites **(c)**. The intergenic regions have wide variation between strains and

the F1 hybrids have the highest mean methylation and lowest variance. The genic CpG regions

are more consistent across strains. Scatter plots on the right show the correlation between

methylation averages and variance in the 70 samples. Mean methylation is inversely correlated

with variance, and this is particularly pronounced for the intergenic CpG regions. Average

methylation at intergenic regions (x-axis) is correlated with PC1 **(d)**, and PC3 **(e)**, and average

methylation at genic regions is correlated with PC4 **(f)**.

1162

Fig. 3. Intercorrelation between body weight at young adulthood, the methylome, and strain

longevity

Body weight at young adulthood has weak but significant correlations with **(a)** the methylome

top principal component, PC1, and the **(b)** mean methylation and **(c)** variance at genic CpG

regions. Given the timeline, the results indicate that the body weight at earlier time is

predictive of DNA methylation (solid arrow). However, since both are genetically modulated

phenotypes and the methylome may also have had a sustained effect on the body weight of

mice (dashed arrow), the direction of causality cannot be clearly resolved, and we consider

these as interdependent phenotypes.

(d) The methylome in turn may be predictive of lifespan (solid arrow), and PC4, a correlate of

mean methylation at genic CpG regions, is strongly correlated with lifespan.

(e) Baseline body weight is also predictive of strain longevity (solid arrow), and the negative

correlation conveys reduced lifespan for mice that had heavier body weight at young

adulthood.

1177

Fig. 4. Features of age dependent differentially methylated CpGs regions (age-DMRs)

(a) Histogram of observed p-values for age dependent methylation shows that aging has a

strong effect on few CpG regions. (b) The p-values for the effect of diet showed a null

distribution, likely because of the small number of samples from mice kept on high fat diet

(c) Each point in the Manhattan plot depicts the location of a CpG region (x-axis: autosomal

chromosomes 1 to 19; and chromosome X as 20), and the -$\log_{10}p$ for age effect (y-axis). The

genome-wide significant threshold was set at -$\log_{10}$(2.6e-7) (red line; 10% Bonferroni threshold

for 368,300 tests) and the suggestive threshold at -$\log_{10}$(3.6e-4) (blue line). (d) The top 500 age-

DMRs consisted of 206 regions that gained methylation with age (age-hypermethylated;

positive regression coefficient for age), and 294 regions that were age-hypomethylated

(negative regression coefficient for age). The bar-plots display the percent total of genomic

features in the age-hypermethylated (burgundy) and age-hypomethylated (sandy brown) sets,

relative to the set of 369,300 MBD-seq bins (grey), and the full genome-wide background set

(black). Within the bins, the regression coefficients for age (i.e., change in DNA methylation per

unit change in age in days, $\log_{10}$ scale) were dependent on the (e) CpG density, and (f) mean

methylation.

1194

Fig. 5. Elastic net regression DNA methylation and mRNA clocks

The y-axis shows the chronological age of mice, and the x-axis shows the DNAmAges predicted

by the 60 clock CpG regions in the **(a)** training set of 36 samples, and **(b)** the testing set of 33

samples.

For the transcriptomic data, the training in 150 RNA-seq samples (batch 1) identified 65

transcripts for age estimation. The plots compare the chronological ages to the predicted ages

in the **(c)** training set of 150 samples, and **(d)** in the testing testing of 140 samples (batch 2). To

complement this, the training was also carried out in the 140 samples (batch 2) and this

identified 62 transcripts for age estimation. Correlations between chronological age and

estimated age in the batch 2 training set (**e**), and batch 1 testing set (**f**) are shown.

1205

Fig. 6. DNA methylation based epigenetic clocks derived from age-DMRs

The epigenetic age of mice was estimated from DNA methylation levels at the 500 age-DMRs.

(a) The estimated DNAmAge (y-axis) was correlated with chronological age (x-axis) of mice. The

age acceleration residuals (DNAmAge-acc) derived from this clock **(b)** did not correlate with

strain lifespan, **(c)** but was positively correlated with body weight. **(d)** For strains with samples

from both standard chow (control diet or CD) and high fat diet (HFD), the DNAmAge-acc did not

differentiate between the diet groups.

A second version of the clock was made using 56 age-DMRs that were also associated with

lifespan variation. **(e)** The DNAmAge derived for the 56 age-lifespan-DMRs correlated with

chronological age on mice; however, the relation deviated from linearity and appeared to

plateau for the older mice. The DNAmAge-acc from this clock was **(f)** inversely correlated with

strain lifespan that indicates decelerated aging in long-lived strains, and **(g)** positively correlated

with baseline body weight that suggests a more accelerated clock for mice with higher body

weight at younger age. **(h)** This clock also indicates greater age acceleration for the HFD group

compared to the CD group (mean of 21 ± 36 for HFD, -26 ± 57 for CD; p = 0.01; n = 33).

1221

Fig. 7. Lifespan predictive age-DMRs

(a) Comparison between the regression coefficients for age (x-axis) vs. the regression

coefficient for strain median lifespan (y-axis) shows that most of the age-DMRs that are also

associated with lifespan have generally lower methylation levels in strains with longer median

lifespan, as indicated by the negative regression coefficient for lifespan. As examples of age and

lifespan associated CpG regions, the change in methylation over time along with cross-sectional

variation is illustrated by the age-hypomethylated CpG region in **(b)** *Casz1*, and by the age-

hypermethylated CpG regions in **(c)** *Cyp46a1* and **(d)** *Abca7*. Each point represents a mouse

plotted by age (x-axis) and methylation level (y-axis, logRPKM). The lines represent linear

regression lines for each strain-by-diet, classified by strain lifespan phenotype as short- (red),

medium (yellow) or long-lived (blue). In all three CpG regions, cross-sectional comparisons

show that mice belonging to short-lived groups have higher average methylation relative to

mice belong to medium and long-lived groups (box plots). *Casz1* mean methylation log RPKM in

short-lived = 3.96 ± 0.28, medium-lived = 3.62 ± 0.39, long-lived = 3.28 ± 0.42 (ANOVA p <

0.0001. *Cyp46a1* mean methylation log RPKM in short-lived = 3.34 ± 0.69, medium-lived = 3.08

± 0.85, long-lived = 2.51 ± 0.83 (p = 0.0025. *Abca7* mean methylation log RPKM in short-lived =

3.85 ± 0.41, medium-lived = 3.75 ± 0.33, long-lived = 3.58 ± 0.32 (p = 0.04).

1239

Fig. 8. Epigenetic clock derived from body weight associated CpG regions

(a) The Manhattan plot for baseline body weight (BW0) shows widespread association with

DNA methylation. The genome-wide significant threshold is set at $-\log_{10}(2.6e-7)$ (red line; 10%

Bonferroni threshold for 368,300 tests).

The epigenetic clock was estimated from DNA methylation levels at the top 500 BW0 associated

CpG regions. **(b)** We refer to this version of the clock as BW0.lifespan as the estimates (y-axis)

has a significant positive correlation with the expected lifespan of strains (x-axis). However, for

the long-lived F1 hybrids and BXD65 cases that also had higher body weight, the estimates were

shorter than the recorded lifespans (cluster A has B6D2F1 and BXD65, cluster B has D2B6F1). **(c)**

Baseline body weight (x-axis) is negatively correlated with the BW0.lifespan estimates. **(d)** Age-

acceleration from the 56 lifespan-age-DMR clock (x-axis) was negatively correlated with the

BW0.lifespan estimated with more accelerated epigenetic aging for shorter-lived BXDs.

1252

a

b $r = 0.52$
$p < 0.0001$

c $r = -0.52$
$p < 0.0001$

d $r = -0.52$
$p < 0.0001$