Body weight at young adulthood and association with epigenetic aging and lifespan in the BXD murine family

Jose Vladimir Sandoval-Sierra[1], Alexandra H. B. Helbing[1], Evan G. Williams[3], David G. Ashbrook[2], Suheeta Roy[2], Robert W. Williams[2], Khyobeni Mozhui[1,2*]

[1]Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, College of Medicine, Memphis, Tennessee, USA

[2]Department of Genetics, Genomics and Informatics, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, College of Medicine, Memphis, Tennessee, USA

[3] Department of Biology, Institute of Molecular Systems Biology, ETH Zurich, Zurich CH-8093, Switzerland

*Correspondence:
Khyobeni Mozhui
kmozhui@uthsc.edu

Short running title: Lifespan differences and epigenetic aging in mouse strains

Summary

DNA methylation (DNAm) is shaped by genetic and environmental factors and modulated by aging. Here, we examine interrelations between epigenetic aging, body weight (BW), and lifespan in 12 inbred mouse strains from the BXD panel that exhibit over two-fold variation in longevity. Genome-wide DNAm was assayed in 70 liver specimens from mice ranging in age from 6 to 25 months that were maintained on normal chow or high fat diet (HFD). We defined subsets of CpG regions associated with age, BW at young adulthood, and strain-by-diet dependent life expectancy. The age associated differentially methylated CpG regions (age-DMRs) featured distinct genomic characteristics, with DNAm gains over time occurring in sites with high CpG density and low average methylation. CpG regions associated with BW were enriched in introns and generally showed lower methylation in mice with higher BW, and inversely correlated with gene expression such that mRNA was higher in mice with higher BW. Lifespan-associated regions featured no distinct genomic characteristics but were linked to genes involved in lifespan regulation, including the telomerase reverse transcriptase gene, *Tert,* which showed lower methylation and higher gene expression in long-lived strains. An epigenetic clock defined from the age-DMRs conveyed accelerated aging in mice belonging to strains with shorter lifespans. Both higher BW at young adulthood and HFD were associated with accelerated epigenetic aging. Our results highlight the age-accelerating effect of heavier body weight. Furthermore, the study demonstrates that the measure of epigenetic aging derived from age-DMRs can predict strain and diet-induced differences in lifespan.

Keywords: epigenetics, lifespan, longevity, age acceleration

Introduction

In the past few years, the "epigenetic clock" based on DNA methylation (DNAm) has emerged as a robust and widely used biomarker of aging that perhaps surpasses telomere length assays in its accuracy and utility [1]. Often referred to as DNA methylation age (DNAmAge), the CpG based estimator of biological age comes in a few different versions for both humans and mice [2-8]. All these clocks share a common feature—they rely on the methylation status of preselected subsets of CpGs that are each assigned weights and are used collectively to estimate age. A critical question has been: are these DNAmAge clocks detecting changes that are purely a function of time, and therefore, correlates of chronological age? Or are they providing a measure of the intrinsic pace of biological aging that can be related to health, fitness, and life expectancy? Evidence from retrospective human epidemiological studies indicate that certain versions of the clock perform better at measuring biological aging and at predicting life expectancy. In general, a younger DNAmAge relative to chronological age conveys decelerated biological aging, and is associated with a lower risk of disease and increased longevity [4,9-12].

In the mouse model, lifespan extending interventions such as calorie restriction (CR) and treatment with rapamycin, or strong genetic mutations that drastically reduce body weight (e.g., *Ames* and *Snell* dwarf mice), have been shown to significantly decelerate the epigenetic clock [5,7,8,13,14]. However, to date, most DNAmAge estimations in the mouse have been modeled on the canonical C57BL/6J (B6) background strain [5-8,13]. Similar to interindividual variation in humans, aging trajectories vary considerably between different mouse strains, and common DNA variants contribute to the pace of normal aging and longevity [15]. Whether the differential rates of epigenetic aging can discern normative lifespan differences between mouse strains has not yet been explored. Furthermore, if body weight reduction due to CR or dwarfing mutations can slow down the clock, then an open question is whether subtle differences in normal body weight can also have an impact on DNAmAge.

The BXD recombinant inbred family is the most deeply phenotyped mouse genetic reference panel, and has a long history in aging and longevity research [16-18]. Notably, the different BXD strains exhibit wide variation in natural life expectancy under normal laboratory conditions. Some strains have a mean life expectancy of less than 15 months (e.g., BXD13, BXD5), while other strains are expected to survive for well over 2 years (e.g., BXD19, BXD65) [16,18-20]. Longevity data in the BXDs has been collected since the 1980s, and lifespan data continues to be collected from the current, expanded BXD panel that consists of 150 inbred strains [18,20,21]. The BXDs are derived by crossing the two parental strains, B6 and DBA/2J (D2), and then inbreeding the F2s, or by advanced intercrosses to increase recombination [21]. Genomes of the BXDs therefore represent random recombinants of the B6 and D2 genomes, where each strain is a unique mosaic of homozygous B6 or D2 alleles. The D2 strain has been considered to have a more accelerated aging profile, and has a significantly shorter lifespan than B6 [15,22]. Other age-associated parameters include rapid thymic involution [23], quicker replicative senescence of hematopoietic stem cells [17], and increased tail tendon breakage in D2 compared to B6 [24]. Due to random assortment of independent gene variants, the progeny BXDs have a much greater

range of variation in lifespan and aging traits [17,20], and provide a unique population in which to
dissect the interrelations between epigenetic aging and longevity.

Here, we leveraged the extensive longevity data generated for the BXD family [20] to evaluate
associations between body weight, epigenetic aging, and lifespan. We used affinity-capture
enrichment with the methyl-CpG-binding domain protein (MBD), followed by deep sequencing
(MBD-seq) to profile the aging liver methylome in 12 members of the BXD family [25]. To examine
the impact of a common metabolic stressor, we also quantified the methylome on a subset of
BXD mice maintained on a high fat diet (HFD), which decreases longevity by as much as 12% [20].
Our goal was to chart differentially methylated regions related to aging, and to strain
differences in body weight and lifespan, and to examine correlation with gene expression. We
computed a simple DNAm clock using the age-dependent CpG regions, and this conveyed strain
differences in rates of epigenetic aging. Overall, our results show that both higher body weight
and HFD can have an accelerating effect on epigenetic aging, and this can then be related to
lifespan.

Results

BXD strain selection and lifespan and body weight characteristics

The present work is based on data collected from two separate cohorts of BXD mice. Lifespan
data were collected from a group of female BXDs, referred to as the "*longevity cohort*", that
were allowed to age until mortality [20]. A parallel, identically treated and strain-matched group,
the "*biospecimen cohort*", was maintained for tissue collection at different ages, and liver
samples were obtained from this. The BXD strains have wide range in natural lifespan when
kept on *ad libitum* standard chow (control diet or CD) [20]. HFD reduced longevity, but with
marked differences among strains [20]. For DNAm analysis, we selected 12 members of the BXD
panel (including F1 hybrids) that were representative of the wide variation in lifespan, and for
five of these, we included mice maintained on HFD (**Table 1; Fig 1a**). Ages at natural death from
the *longevity cohort* are plotted in **Fig 1a**. BXD65 showed the most significant decline in lifespan
on HFD.

Based on lifespan averages, each strain-by-diet group was classified as short-lived (mean
lifespan < 600 days), medium-lived (600–750 days), and long-lived (>800 days; no strain on HFD
were in this group). Note that a strain classified as long-lived on CD may be classified as short-
lived on HFD (**Table 1**). We then selected 70 liver specimens for the corresponding 17 strain-by-
diet groups for DNAm assay. These specimens were chosen so that distribution of age at time of
tissue collection was closely matched across the lifespan groups (**Fig. 1b**; individual level sample
information in **Table S1)**. We note that aside from three male cases for BXD102, B6D2F1, and
D2B6F1 (**Table 1**), all liver specimens were from females. While the samples were not chosen
on the basis of body or organ weight, there was significant strain variation in body weight when
the mice were initially weighed at young adulthood (mean age of 134 ± 81 days), before
introduction to HFD (**Fig. 1c**). We refer to this as baseline body weight or BW0. The long-lived
F1s had higher body weights, and this hybrid vigor was apparent with or without the male

cases. The final weight of mice (i.e., weight on day of sample collection) continued to show significant strain variation (**Fig. 1c**). Liver weight appeared fairly consistent across strains (**Fig. 1c**). There was no group difference in BW0 between the two diets (CD = 25 ± 7 g vs. HFD = 23 ± 5 g; *n* = 70). By final weighing, the group on HFD had become significantly heavier when compared to the full set of mice on CD (HFD = 41 ± 12 g vs. CD = 29 ± 8 g, *p* < 0.0001; n = 70), or when compared only to the matched strains on CD (HFD = 41 ± 12 g vs. CD = 26 ± 6 g, *p* < 0.0001; n = 34). The weight of the liver on HFD was slightly heavier but the effect was not statistically significant, likely due to the modest sample size for HFD (HFD = 1.29 ± 0.23 g vs. CD = 1.22 ± 0.23 g; *p* = 0.37, n = 34). At young adulthood, age was a significant predictor of BW0 (r = 0.55, *p* < 0.0001, n = 70). By the age at which samples were collected, the age of mice was no longer correlated with final body weight (*r* = 0.01) or with weight of liver (*r* = 0.12). Instead, BW0 remained a significant predictor of final body (**Fig. 1d**), and liver weight (r = 0.53, *p* < 0.0001, n = 70). When restricted to only the few HFD mice, BW0 was still a significant correlate of final body weight (*r* = 0.53, *p* = 0.05, *n* = 15), but not liver weight (*r* = 0.28, *p* = 0.30).

We next examined correlations between the individual weight measures from the *biospecimen cohort*, and strain-level lifespan from the *longevity cohort*. The F1s exhibited vigor in both longevity and body weight and including the F1s resulted in no significant correlation between weight and lifespan. After excluding the F1s, both BW0 and final weight showed inverse correlations with all the strain-level indicators of lifespan (mean, median, maximum, and minimum lifespan) (**Table S2**). This indicates that mice with higher body weight, even at younger age, are more likely to belong to strains with shorter lifespan (**Fig 1e**). This observation is consistent with the strong inverse correlation between body weight and longevity that is seen in the larger BXD panel [20].

Table 1. Characteristics of selected strains from the BXD family

| Strain/line | Longevity trait | | | | Biospecimen | | |
	Mean lifespan (days)[1]	Median lifespan (days)[1]	Range (days)[1]	Lifespan Group[2]	Diet[3]	N[3]	Age Range (days)[3]
B6D2F1	933±86	896	856–1080	long	CD	5[4]	216–726
BXD102	861±222	891	514–1131	long	CD	5[4]	183–714
BXD40	585±239	577	172–949	short	CD	8	284–719
BXD48	695±124	684	516–948	med	CD	3	188–731
BXD48	523±152	517	167–821	short	HFD	3	189–595
BXD48a	617±196	670	161–881	med	CD	3	233–604
BXD48a	635±113	650	424–800	med	HFD	3	233–543
BXD65	824±199	896	275–938	long	CD	6	181–711
BXD65	534±128	551	236–736	short	HFD	3[5]	230–541
BXD65b	726±91	751	536–854	med	CD	4	187–748
BXD73	702±116	687	553–854	med	CD	4	206–759
BXD73	699±112	715	480–889	med	HFD	3	206–694
BXD73b	820±129	807	656–1055	long	CD	3	237–743
BXD73b	742±193	790	154–951	med	HFD	3	237–729
BXD79	417±155	330	241–703	short	CD	7	217–570

| BXD9 | 507±135 | 462 | 337–956 | short | CD | 3 | 245–548 |
| D2B6F1 | 771±143 | 791 | 585–996 | long | CD | 4[4] | 210–744 |

[1] Lifespan for strains under standard or high fat diet estimated from an aging cohort of mice co-housed with mice
used for biospecimen collection and methylome assays
[2] Groups based on phenotypic lifespan: short = average lifespan < 600 days; med = average 640–750 days, and long
= average lifespan > 800 days
[3] Diet, sample size, and age range of mice used to generate methylome data. CD = control diet; HFD = high fat diet
[4] One male case; see Table S1 for individual level data
[5] One case excluded due to uncertain identity
151

Strain-dependent patterns in global features of the methylome

Following genome-wide MBD-sequencing, we retained a set of 368,300 regions, each 150 bp in
length, with sufficient coverage in the 70 samples. The majority of the CpG regions (83%)
contained no sequence variants (SNPs or small insertions/deletions) segregating in the BXDs.
For the 17% (62,422) with sequence variants, there was an average of 2 ± 1.6 variants within
the 150 bp bin. Consistent with the DNA enrichment and filtering protocols, the 368,300 CpG
regions were enriched in annotated gene features such as UTR, introns, exons and CpG islands,
and also rRNA and LTRs compared to the background genome (**Table S3**).

We started with a principal component analysis (PCA). A plot of the top principal components,
PC1 and PC2 (captured 19% and 13% of the variance, respectively), showed clustering of
samples by strain identity, irrespective of diet (**Fig. 2a**). The one exception was a BXD65 on HFD
that plotted away from the BXD65 cluster, and due to its questionable identity, it was excluded
from downstream analyses, and remaining statistical tests were done in 69 samples. Sub-strains
(e.g., BXD73/BXD73b; BXD65/BXD65b) also clustered in close proximity. Unsupervised
hierarchical clustering confirmed the clustering of samples by strain identity rather than age or
diet groups (QC plots in **Fig. S1)**

The top 5 PCs collectively explain 58% of the variance (**Table S1**), and we examined if these
were associated with age, diet, body and organ weights, and strain lifespan. Age was not a
significant correlate of any of the top 5 PCs. For strains with matched CD and HFD cases, the PCs
did not differentiate between the two diets. For the weight measurements, PC1 was a
significant negative correlate of BW0 (**Fig 2b**), and final body and liver weights (correlations
with and without the F1s in **Table S4**). None of the other PCs were associated with the weight
measurements. For the strain longevity data, PC4 (8% of variance) was the strongest correlate
of mean, median, minimum, and maximum lifespan (**Fig 2c**; **Table S4**). PC2 and PC3 (11% of
variance) were also significantly correlated with maximum lifespan (**Table S4**).

We computed the overall mean methylation for genic regions (i.e., CpG regions that overlap
annotated gene features; 200,531 CpG bins in total), and intergenic regions (167,769 CpG bins),
to examine whether these global features would explain the variance captured by the top PCs.
Global methylation was highly strain specific (**Fig S2a, S2b**; **Table S1** for individual level data),
and the methylation of intergenic CpGs was significantly correlated with PC1 and PC3 (**Fig S2c,**
S2d). Mean methylation of genic CpGs was significantly correlated with PC4. However, mean

methylation values were not directly correlated with the longevity traits. For the weight
measurements, only the mean methylation of intergenic sites showed a significant positive
correlation with liver weight (**Table S4**).

Taken together, the methylome-wide analysis showed that the PCs capture strain-dependent
differences in overall mean methylation. These PCs in turn were associated with body weight,
and strain-level lifespan. Age and diet were however not associated with the large-scale
methylome features.

Characterizing differentially methylated CpG regions

We next performed epigenome-wide tests to identify CpG regions that were associated with
age, BW0, and strain median lifespan using a multiple linear mixed-model. Although aging did
not have a generalized impact on global DNAm features, regression tests showed that aging
was a significant predictor of site-specific DNAm at few CpG regions (**Fig 3a**). For the main effect
of age, 26 regions, covering 319 CpGs, were above the 10% Bonferroni threshold (unadjusted p
$\leq 2.7 \times 10^{-7}$). These strong age-dependent differentially methylation regions (age-DMRs) were
mostly located within genes, and 25 of the 26 bins were associated with increased methylation
with age (age-hypermethylation; **Table S5**). Although BW0 and the lifespan traits had strong
associations with global DNAm patterns, after partly accounting for the strain-dependent
effects with the mixed model, only a few CpG regions were significant at the 10% Bonferroni
threshold (**Fig 3b, 3c**). For the main effect of BW0, only seven CpG regions were significant, and
all these BW0 associated differentially methylation regions (BW0-DMRs) had lower methylation
among mice with higher BW0 (negative regression estimates; **Table S6**). For the strain-level
median lifespan, only three CpG regions were significant at the same 10% Bonferroni threshold
(**Table S7**).

Given the non-independence of adjacent CpG regions, we then applied a lenient threshold of
uncorrected $p \leq 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ to define the general characteristics of the sites associated with age,
BW0, and lifespan. In total, 306 CpG regions were age-DMRs at this suggestive threshold (**Fig
3a; Table S5**). A few of the age-DMRs were weakly associated with BW0 or lifespan; for
instance, the top 5 age-DMRs were all age-hypermethylated and also had weak negative
associations with median lifespan ($p \leq 0.05$; **Table S5**). However, for the most part, the age-
DMRs had only modest associations with BW0 and lifespan. Of the 306, 57% were age-
hypermethylated (**Table 2**). Compared to the background set of 368,300 CpG bins, the age-
DMRs were highly enriched in genic regions such as promoters and exons, and CpG island, and
depleted in intergenic regions (enrichment and depletion p-values in **Table S3**). For each age-
DMR, we computed the average methylation and CpG density, and compared these to the age
regression coefficients, which convey the change as a function of age. The regression estimates
appeared to be highly dependent on local genomic characteristics, and the most pronounced
changes involved age-hypermethylation (positive regression coefficients) in bins with high CpG
density (**Fig 3d**) and lower average methylation (**Fig 3e**). In contrast, age-DMRs that lost
methylation with age (age-hypomethylated; negative regression coefficients) featured lower
CpG density, and higher average methylation (**Fig 3d, e**). Functional annotations of these

regions identified significant enrichment in gene sets involved in establishment of cellular
polarity (e.g., *Wnt5a*, *Lrrd1*, *Ptk7*) (**Table S8**). We consulted the human GWAS catalogue to
identify genes represented by the age-DMRs that have been significantly associated with
human aging and longevity (**Table S5**), and this identified only one gene, *Cux2* [26].

At the suggestive threshold, 689 CpG regions were classified as BW0-DMRs, and the majority of
these (517 or 75%) were negatively correlated with BW0 (**Table 2**). Introns was the most
enriched gene feature (**Tables S3, S6**). Compared to the age-DMRs, the BW0-DMRs were in
regions with relatively lower CpG density and had only 3 regions within CpG islands (**Fig 3f**). The
regions that were negatively correlated with BW0 in particular, featured lower CpG densities
and also lower mean methylation levels (**3g**). Overrepresented gene ontologies (GO) included
regulation of protein homooligomerization, actin cytoskeleton reorganization, and GTPase
mediated signal transduction (**Table S8**). We also note that that several of these genes have
been associated with weight in human GWAS, including *Hmga2*, *Fto*, and *Ntrk2* (**Table S6**) [27-29].

For lifespan, there were 124 LS-DMRs (**Table S7**), and a slight majority of these (59%, mostly
intergenic sites) were positively correlated with higher median lifespan (**Table 2**). The LS-DMRs
did not show any enrichment or depletion in gene features compared to the background set,
and the LS-DMRs featured lower CpG density (**Fig 3h**). There was no difference in methylation
levels between the DMRs that had positive or negative regression estimates (**Fig 3i**). The LS-
DMRs were not enriched in any particular biological GO category. However, there was a
significant enrichment in genes that result in premature death in single knockout mice, and this
included an intronic DMR in the telomerase reverse transcriptase gene (*Tert*) (**Table S8**). Also
enriched were genes related to abnormal eating behavior and a decrease in body mass index
(e.g., *Igfbp3*, *Mc4r*, *Lpar1*), and genes related to abnormal mineral levels (*Calcr*, *Sptb*, *Wwox*).

In terms of the potential effect of underlying sequence differences, we examined what fraction
of the DMRs were sites that overlapped DNA variants segregating in the BXDs (**Table 2**). Since
the background set has 62,422 bins with variants, the 51 variant containing age-DMRs did not
represent a biased enrichment of such regions (hypergeometric enrichment p = 0.58). The
BW0-DMRs and LS-DMRs were only slightly enriched in sequence variants (enrichment p = 0.02
and p = 0.09, respectively) (**Table 2**).

Table 2. Tally of differentially methylated regions

	Positive coefficients			Negative coefficients			
	N	Genic	Intergenic	N	Genic	Intergenic	Variants
Age-DMR	175	146 (48%)	29 (9%)	131	94 (31%)	37 (12%)	51 of 306 (17%)
BW0-DMR	172	93 (14%)	79 (11%)	517	368 (53%)	149 (22%)	137 of 689 (20%)
LS-DMR	73	25 (20%)	48 (39%)	51	31 (25%)	20 (16%)	27 of 124 (21.77%)

Relating differentially methylated regions to gene expression

Fifty-two of the samples with liver MBD-seq data also had matched liver RNA-seq data, and we
used this group to examine whether the DMRs were associated with gene expression. We
linked the age-, BW0-, and LS-DMRs to the corresponding transcript. Of the 306 age-DMRs, 279
were paired with the corresponding mRNA. For these pairs, we tested how many of the DMRs
were *cis*-correlated with the gene expression, and how many of the transcripts were also
correlated with age of mice (**Table S9**). At a nominal *p* of 0.05 (|r| = 0.27), 79 age-DMRs (28% of
age-DMRs linked to transcripts) were correlated with the expression of cognate genes (**Fig 4a**).
The age-hypermethylated DMRs mostly showed positive correlations with gene expression such
that the transcripts also showed increased expression with age (e.g., *Jak3, Amn, Tradd*). The
age-hypomethylated DMRs, on the other hand, were more likely to be negatively correlated
with gene expression such that the transcripts showed an increase with age (*Nfkbia, Slit3*).

For the BW0-DMRs, 614 of the CpG regions were paired to corresponding transcripts. At |r| =
0.27 ($p \leq 0.05$), 121 (~20%) BW0-DMRs were correlated with gene expression (**Table S10**). The
overall pattern indicated that the DMRs that had lower methylation in mice with higher BW0
(i.e., negative regression estimates) tended to be negatively correlated with gene expression
such that there was higher expression of these genes in mice with higher BW0 (**Fig 4b**). This
included *Mc5r, Nfkb1*, and *Tcf4*. Few of the DMRs that had positive associations with BW0 were
negatively correlated with gene expression, and the corresponding transcripts were also
upregulated in mice with higher BW0 (e.g., *Aldh18a1, Madd, Rap2a*).

For the LS-DMR, 111 paired to corresponding transcripts, and of these, only 19 CpG regions
were *cis*-correlated with gene expression (**Fig 4c; Table S11**). The strongest *cis*-correlations
were between the LS-DMRs linked to *Hpse* and *Dapp1* (r = −0.70 for both). Both CpG regions
had positive regression values for lifespan, and the corresponding transcripts had lower
expression in mice belonging to strains with longer lifespan. The LS-DMR in *Tert* was also
negatively correlated with gene expression (**Fig 4c**, r = −0.32, *p* = 0.02), and the expression of
Tert had a modest positive correlation with lifespan (r = 0.26, *p* = 0.07). Comparison of *Tert*
methylation and gene expression among the three lifespan groups showed that the longer lived
strains had significantly lower methylation levels compared to both the medium- and short-
lived groups ($F_{2,66}$ = 7.67, *p* = 0.001), and the long-lived mice had significantly higher gene
expression compared to the short-lived mice ($F_{2,49}$ = 3,31, *p* = 0.04; **Fig 4d**).

Measure of age-acceleration from age associated CpG regions

We next evaluated whether we could derive a measure of differential rate of aging from the
age-DMRs that could be predictive of lifespan differences. We first summarized the age-
dependent changes by computing the weighted averages for the set of 306 age-DMRs. The
weighted averages, as expected, had a strong correlation with the chronological age of mice,
and for a more direct comparison, the values were scaled to the age range for the 69 samples
(**Table S1**). We refer to this DMR-based age estimate as DMRmAge, and this showed a nearly
linear correlation with chronological age at *r* = 0.88 (*p* < 0.0001, n =69; **Fig 4a**). Age acceleration
was derived as the residuals from the regression of DMRmAge on chronological age [7,9], with
positive values indicating an older or accelerated biological age, and negative values indicating

a decelerated biological age, and we refer to this as DMRmAge-acc (**Table S1**). The DMRmAge-acc showed a significant negative correlation with strain maximum lifespan (r = –0.35, *p* = 0.003, n = 69; **Fig 4b**). It was also negatively correlated with mean and median lifespan, but this was not statistically significant (r = –0.13, *p* = 0.28 for both mean and median lifespan). The correlation between DMRmAge-acc and maximum lifespan remained significant when the analysis was limited to only the CD mice (r = –0.30, *p* = 0.03, n = 55). There were notable strain differences in average DMRmAge-acc (**Fig 4c**). These indicate that mice belonging to strain-diet groups with lower maximum lifespan have an accelerated rate of aging. We note that BXD65, which was the strain with the largest reduction in lifespan on HFD (**Fig 1a**) also showed a much higher age acceleration in the HFD group (mean DMRmAge-acc = 54.94) compared to the CD group (mean DMRmAge-acc = –0.17).

The DMRmAge-acc showed a significant positive correlation with both BW0 (r = 0.23, *p* = 0.05; **Fig 4c**) and final body weight of mice (r = 0.31, *p* = 0.009) that suggests more accelerated aging with higher body weight. Limiting to only the CD mice (n = 55), the correlation with BW0 became slightly stronger (r = 0.29, *p* = 0.03), but the correlation with final weight became weaker (r = 0.23, *p* = 0.09). This suggests that higher body weight at baseline is associated with accelerated aging. We tested the effect of diet on the DMRmAge-acc in the BXDs that had matched samples from both diets (n = 33), and this showed a significantly higher age acceleration in the HFD group (36.13 ± 27.32 in HFD, –3.22 ± 36.99 in CD, *p* = 0.002; **Fig 4d**).

Taken together, the analysis demonstrates three points. First that the age-DMR based estimates of age acceleration is predictive of strain dependent differences in lifespan; second, that higher body weight at younger age is associated with more accelerated aging; and third, HFD significantly accelerates aging, as measured by DMRmAge-acc.

Discussion

Genomic features of differentially methylated regions

In the present study, we parsed the variance in the methylome and defined site-specific methylation differences that may be attributed to a strain-level phenotype, median lifespan, and two individual-level variables—age and BW0. For the age-DMRs, the time-dependent patterns were consistent with previous reports [14,30]. As in Sziráki et al. [14], methylation loss over time occurred mostly in regions with higher average DNAm, and methylation gains occurred mostly in regions with lower average DNAm. Since DNAm was quantified over 150 bp non-overlapping bins, we were also able to relate the differential methylation patterns to the local CpG density. In particular, for the age-hypermethylated DMRs, the increase in DNAm with aging had a strong positive correlation with CpG density. This too, is consistent with reports that CpG dense regions—a feature of CpG islands, which typically remain unmethylated—are the sites that tend to gain methylation with age [31]. The genes represented by the age-DMRs included a few notable members such as *Cyp46a1* and *Abca7*, which are involved in cholesterol metabolism and implicated in Alzheimer's disease [32], and few members of the WNT-signaling and mesenchyme developmental pathways (e.g., *Fzd1, Fzd8, Wnt5a, Jak3, Ptk7, Nrp2*) . The

current data replicated the CpG islands in *C1ql3* and *Ptk7*, which we previously reported as age-hypermethylated sites in the BXD parental strains, B6 and D2 [33]. A region-based functional annotation analysis revealed a significant enrichment in genes involved in cell polarity, an aspect of cells that is established during development through interaction with the WNT polarity signaling pathway, and which becomes dysregulated during aging [34,35]. In terms of the potential impact on gene expression, the general pattern was for the cognate genes to increase in expression with age regardless of whether the corresponding CpG regions were age-hypomethylated or age-hypermethylated.

Body weight, even at young adulthood and before introduction to HFD, was significantly associated with the global methylome patterns, and was also predictive of strain longevity. We therefore included BW0 as a predictor variable in the multiple regression model. BW0 was associated with 689 CpG regions, most at the suggestive level, and these BW0-DMRs were significantly enriched in GO categories related to protein assembly, rho and GTPase mediated signaling, and oligosaccharide metabolism. While genes related to fat metabolism or adiposity were not enriched, we note that there were a few genes that have been previously associated with body weight by human GWAS at $p < 5e-08$ [26]. This included an intron DMR in the fat mass and obesity associated *Fto* gene, which plays a key role in energy homeostasis, and consistently shown to influence body weight in humans that may be mediated by differences in DNAm and gene expression [36]. The BW0-DMRs were mostly located within genes, particularly introns, and although the differential methylation patterns showed some relation with the local CpG counts, this was not as pronounced as in the case of the age-DMRs. The more striking aspect of the BW0-DMRs was that 75% were negatively associated with BW0. For the BW0-DMRs that were linked to the corresponding transcript, the overall pattern indicated a negative correlation between DNAm and gene expression. This means that while DNAm at these sites were, in general, inversely correlated with body weight, the transcript levels of the corresponding genes generally had higher expression in mice that were heavier.

For the longevity trait, only 124 CpG regions were significant at the suggestive threshold. These sites were not enriched in any particular genomic feature or GO category, and did not show a strong dependence on CpG density or mean methylation. A point of distinction for the LS-DMRs is that, while the age- and BW0-DMRs were related to individual-level variables, the LS-DMRs were related to life expectancy based on the strain and the diet. This indirect association with the phenotype may explain why only few DMRs were uncovered by the current mixed model. Given this small number of genes, it is particularly striking that the region-based annotation revealed that genes that cause premature death in single knockout mice are the most enriched gene set among the LS-DMRs (phenotype ID MP:0002083, defined as "death after weaning, but before the normal lifespan" in http://www.informatics.jax.org). For these functionally critical genes that result in premature death when deleted, our results imply that quantitative differences in DNAm at these sites can modulate strain variation in normal lifespan. Among the LS-DMRs, both *Tert* and *Igfbp2* have also been linked to aging and longevity by human GWAS [37,38].

Building clocks from age dependent CpG regions

Currently, there are several different versions of the DNAmAge estimator available for both
mice and humans, some optimized for a specific tissue, and others with multi-tissue application
[2,3,5-8]. The standard protocol for developing DNAmAge clocks starts by applying a regression
algorithm in a training dataset, followed by age estimation in validation cohorts to gauge the
accuracy of the clock. Our goal in this study was not to develop another DNAmAge clock. Given
the sample size of the present study, that would not have been a feasible pursuit. Instead, our
goal was to test whether the age-dependent CpG regions could discern lifespan differences
between the mouse strains, and how the rate of epigenetic aging relates to body weight and
diet. For this, we simply summarized the age-DMRs by computing the weighted averages for
each sample. The weighted averages, unsurprisingly, correlated strongly with the chronological
age of mice. More importantly, the age acceleration derived from the age-DMR based clock was
(a) inversely correlated with the strain lifespan phenotype, (b) was significantly more
accelerated in the HFD group, and (c) was positively correlated with body weight. This conveys
that the age-DMRs can estimate genetically modulated differences in rates of biological aging
and lifespan, and is a modifiable outcome that is accelerated by HFD. Furthermore, the results
highlight the interdependence between body weight, diet, and health and aging, and our
observations agree with the well-known influence of body mass on longevity, and the more
favorable health profile associated with lower body mass [39].

The strain with the most decelerated clock, and presumably slowest rate of biological aging,
was BXD102 on CD, which is also the longest-lived BXD strain we had in the study (**Table 1**). For
these mice, the DMRmAge-acc for the females ranged from −78 to −196 days. Interestingly, the
one male BXD102 had the least decelerated clock among the BXD102 samples at −66 days. The
most accelerated epigenetic aging was seen for the short-lived BXD9 on CD, and BXD65 on HFD.
However, we note that there were a few mismatches between strain lifespan classification and
the DMRmAge-acc. BXD40 on CD, although classified as short-lived, was the only short-lived
strain with a mean negative DMRmAge-acc. The F1 hybrids, on the other hand, although
classified as long-lived, were the only long-lived groups with mean positive DMRmAge-acc.
Unlike the inbred BXDs, the heterozygous F1s have hybrid vigor in both body weight and
lifespan, and this likely explains the inconsistency.

Technical considerations and caveats

Before concluding, we should address a few caveats. The sequence alignment was done to the
mm10 B6 reference genome, which means that for regions with genetic variants segregating in
the BXDs, the sequence differences could compromise alignment. The strong "population
stratification" in the PC plot, reminiscent of human genetic data, is likely the result of true
quantitative variation in methylation, and also due to a portion of the CpG regions serving as
surrogates for underlying genotype [40]. Aging is independent of genetic background and the age-
DMRs are expected to be less susceptible to the confounding effect of DNA sequence variants.
For body weight and lifespan, the interpretation is complicated by the fact that both
phenotypes are closely linked to genotype, and the DMRs may reflect true differences in DNAm
levels, or differential quantification due to sequence effects. To partly control for this, we used
a mixed model that fitted each strain-diet group as a random intercept. For the age-DMRs, 17%

of the CpG regions contained sequence variants, and this is similar to the 17% of variant
containing bins in the background set of 368,300 regions. The BW0-DMRs and LS-DMRs were
only slightly enriched in variant containing bins, and for the most part, the CpG regions were
devoid of sequence differences.

To our knowledge, almost all existing DNAm clocks have relied on bisulfite-based assays, which
have the advantage of providing single CpG resolution [2-8]. For the mouse model, the reduced
representation data (RRBS) generated by Petkovich et al. [5] from ~141 B6 mice, has been used in
different studies to define tens of thousands of age dependent CpG sites (over 43,000 CpGs in
Lowe et al. [41], and over 146,000 in Szirki et al. [14]). Compared to these, the present work
identified only 306 age-DMRs at the suggestive threshold. These CpG regions cover 2,691 CpG
sites, and this is still a relatively modest number of age-dependent CpGs. This is likely a result of
the smaller sample size, and the rigorous statistical model due to the different genotypes that
constituted the present cohort. Another contributing factor may be the methylome assay we
used, as MBD-seq provides lower quantitative sensitivity than the bisulfite based assays [42].
Nonetheless, MBD-sequencing still delivers highly sensitive and replicable quantification of
genome-wide methylation [25]. Using alternate assay methods also demonstrates that the DNAm
age estimators are robust to the techniques used to quantify DNAm.

Conclusion

435 In conclusion, our results demonstrate that the epigenetic clock defined from age-DMRs is
436 sensitive to subtle differences in natural lifespan that arise from common genetic variants, and
437 is modifiable by environmental interventions, such as diet. The intercorrelations between
438 epigenetic aging, body weight, and longevity also provide evidence that the methylome could
439 provide a mechanistic link between the well-known effect of body mass on aging and lifespan.

Experimental Procedures

Statistical analysis

Descriptions of sample processing for MBD-seq, sequence alignment, initial bioinformatics, and
data filtering are provided in **Appendix S1**. In brief, DNAm quantification was done by counting
sequenced reads at every 150 bp non-overlapping bins. After removing bins with low coverage,
we retained 368,300 CpG regions for further analysis. PCA and hierarchical clustering was done
in R for this full set of bins (**Fig S1a**). These detected no outlier samples and the DNAm profiles
were consistent across the samples (**Fig S1b**), and averaged at 3.8 ± 0.72 logRPKM. The CpG
regions were then divided into bins that occurred within annotated genes (genic set, 200,531
bins), and those that were in intergenic regions (167,769 bins). For each sample, the overall
average methylation and variance for these genic and intergenic sets were computed. The
intercorrelations between the large-scale methylome features, body weight measures, and
strain-level lifespan phenotype were examined using Pearson correlations.

To detect DMRs, we applied the following model using the lme4 R package (v4_1.1-21) [43]:
lmer(logRPKM ~ age + BW0 + medianLifeSpan + (1|StrainDiet)). Manhattan plots were
generated using the qqman R package [44]. For the DMRs, we performed two types of enrichment
analyses. First, we evaluated relative enrichment in genomic features (i.e., introns, exons, CpG
islands, etc.) compared to the background set using the hypergeometric test in R (R codes in
Table S3). Following that, we carried out functional annotation and enrichment analysis against
the whole background genome using the GREAT application (version 4.0.4) [45]. We followed the
GREAT recommendation of calculating enrichment using both a binomial test and a
hypergeometric test, and reporting only categories that are significant by both methods (FDR <
0.05). To search for human GWAS hits, we referred to the GWAS catalogue [26], and searched for
the terms "body weight", "aging", and "longevity". For variants with reported association $p \leq$
5.0e-08, the mapped human gene symbols were then matched to the corresponding mouse
genes associated with the DMR.

Estimating epigenetic age and age acceleration

To estimate epigenetic age, we computed the weighted averages with each of the 306 age-
DMRs weighed by the respective age regression coefficient. The weighted averages were then
scaled to the age range in the 69 samples using the following formula: DMRmAge =
{(((weighted.average − min.weighted.average) x age.range) / weighted.average.range) +
min.age}, where min.weighted.average and weighted.average.range are the minimum value
and range for the weighted averages in the 69 BXD samples, and age.range = 578 days is the
range of chronological age in the 69 BXDs, and min.age = 181 days is the minimum age for the
69 BXDs. As recommended in Thompson et al. 2018 [7], the "age acceleration" was computed as
the residuals after fitting the predicted age to chronological ages: residuals(lm(DMRmAge ~
Age)).

Transcriptomes analyses

We used liver gene expression data that are available from GeneNetwork
(http://genenetwork.org; data accession ID GN877, UTHSC BXD Harvested Liver RNA-Seq
(Oct19)). While this is a bigger cohort, we limited the analysis to only 52 mice that had matched
MBD-seq in the present study. Gene expression was $\log_2$ transformed transcripts per million
(TPM). For the age-, BW0-, and LS-DMRs, the cognate genes were defined as the gene in which
the DMR is located for genic sites, or the nearest gene promoter for intergenic regions. These
were then matched by gene symbol to the corresponding transcript. For *cis*-correlations, we
performed a Pearson correlation between the DNAm levels and transcripts levels. In cases
where a DMR paired to multiple transcript variants, we retained only the DMR-mRNA pair that
had the strongest *cis*-correlation such that each DMR was paired to only a unique mRNA.
Following this, we used Pearson correlations to relate the expression levels with the
corresponding variable, i.e., age, BW0, or median lifespan.

Acknowledgements

The present work relied on resources from the BXD Aging Colony, and we thank the entire
team, particularly Dr. Lu Lu, Jesse Ingels, Casey J Chapman, Melinda S McCarty, Arthur Centeno,
and Dr. Megan K Mulligan. We thank Dr. Saunak Sen for his invaluable comments on the
statistics. We thank the UTHSC-Rhodes College Population Health Researcher Program and Dr.
Teresa Waters for support and for bringing an excellent summer student to the PI's lab. We
thank Dr. Karolina A. Aberg and her team at the Virginia Commonwealth University for their
advice on the MBD-seq technique. This study was funded by the NIH NIA grants R21AG055841
and R01AG043930.

Conflict of interest. No competing interests

Author contribution. KM supervised the study, contributed to conception of study design
and analysis, and wrote the manuscript. RWW is the principal investigator of the BXD Aging
Colony and contributed to the conception of the aging study, and provided access to the
biorepository resource. JVSS, AHBH, and EGW contributed to the lab work, and JVSS performed
the primary bioinformatics and initial data processing. DA and SR contributed to analysis and
data acquisition. All authors contributed to and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Data availability. The normalized MBD-seq data for the 368,300 CpG bins (including
chromosomal coordinates, region annotations, CpG density, and variant counts) are available
from the NCBI NIH Gene Expression Omnibus. The full raw fastq files are available from the
NCBI NIH Sequence Repository Archive. GEO accession ID is GSE137277, and the linked SRA
accession ID is SRP221380. (currently set to private but will be made available upon official
publication)

Ethics approval. All animal procedures were in accordance to protocol approved by the
Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at the University of Tennessee Health
Science Center.

References.

1. Marioni RE, Harris SE, Shah S, et al. The epigenetic clock and telomere length are independently associated with chronological age and mortality. *International journal of epidemiology.* 2018;47(1):356.
2. Hannum G, Guinney J, Zhao L, et al. Genome-wide methylation profiles reveal quantitative views of human aging rates. *Molecular cell.* 2013;49(2):359-367.
3. Horvath S. DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. *Genome biology.* 2013;14(10):R115.
4. Levine ME, Lu AT, Quach A, et al. An epigenetic biomarker of aging for lifespan and healthspan. *Aging.* 2018;10(4):573-591.
5. Petkovich DA, Podolskiy DI, Lobanov AV, Lee SG, Miller RA, Gladyshev VN. Using DNA Methylation Profiling to Evaluate Biological Age and Longevity Interventions. *Cell metabolism.* 2017;25(4):954-960 e956.
6. Stubbs TM, Bonder MJ, Stark AK, et al. Multi-tissue DNA methylation age predictor in mouse. *Genome biology.* 2017;18(1):68.
7. Thompson MJ, Chwialkowska K, Rubbi L, et al. A multi-tissue full lifespan epigenetic clock for mice. *Aging.* 2018;10(10):2832-2854.
8. Wang T, Tsui B, Kreisberg JF, et al. Epigenetic aging signatures in mice livers are slowed by dwarfism, calorie restriction and rapamycin treatment. *Genome biology.* 2017;18(1):57.
9. Marioni RE, Shah S, McRae AF, et al. DNA methylation age of blood predicts all-cause mortality in later life. *Genome biology.* 2015;16(1):25.
10. Chen BH, Marioni RE, Colicino E, et al. DNA methylation-based measures of biological age: meta-analysis predicting time to death. *Aging.* 2016;8(9):1844-1865.
11. Marioni RE, Shah S, McRae AF, et al. The epigenetic clock is correlated with physical and cognitive fitness in the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936. *International journal of epidemiology.* 2015;44(4):1388-1396.
12. Lu AT, Quach A, Wilson JG, et al. DNA methylation GrimAge strongly predicts lifespan and healthspan. *Aging.* 2019;11(2):303-327.
13. Cole JJ, Robertson NA, Rather MI, et al. Diverse interventions that extend mouse lifespan suppress shared age-associated epigenetic changes at critical gene regulatory regions. *Genome biology.* 2017;18.
14. Sziraki A, Tyshkovskiy A, Gladyshev VN. Global remodeling of the mouse DNA methylome during aging and in response to calorie restriction. *Aging cell.* 2018;17(3):e12738.
15. Yuan R, Tsaih SW, Petkova SB, et al. Aging in inbred strains of mice: study design and interim report on median lifespans and circulating IGF1 levels. *Aging cell.* 2009;8(3):277-287.
16. de Haan G, Gelman R, Watson A, Yunis E, Van Zant G. A putative gene causes variability in lifespan among genotypically identical mice. *Nature genetics.* 1998;19(2):114-116.
17. De Haan G, Van Zant G. Genetic analysis of hemopoietic cell cycling in mice suggests its involvement in organismal life span. *FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology.* 1999;13(6):707-713.

558   18.   Gelman R, Watson A, Bronson R, Yunis E. Murine chromosomal regions correlated with
559   longevity. *Genetics.* 1988;118(4):693-704.
560   19.   Lang DH, Gerhard GS, Griffith JW, et al. Quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis of longevity
561   in C57BL/6J by DBA/2J (BXD) recombinant inbred mice. *Aging clinical and experimental*
562   *research.* 2010;22(1):8-19.
563   20.   Roy S, Sleiman MB, Jha P, et al. Gene-by-environmental modulation of longevity and
564   weight gain in the murine BXD family. *bioRxiv.* 2019:776559.
565   21.   Ashbrook DG, Arends D, Prins P, et al. The expanded BXD family of mice: A cohort for
566   experimental systems genetics and precision medicine. *bioRxiv.* 2019:672097.
567   22.   Yuan R, Peters LL, Paigen B. Mice as a mammalian model for research on the genetics of
568   aging. *ILAR journal / National Research Council, Institute of Laboratory Animal*
569   *Resources.* 2011;52(1):4-15.
570   23.   Hsu HC, Li L, Zhang HG, Mountz JD. Genetic regulation of thymic involution. *Mechanisms*
571   *of ageing and development.* 2005;126(1):87-97.
572   24.   Sloane LB, Stout JT, Vandenbergh DJ, Vogler GP, Gerhard GS, McClearn GE. Quantitative
573   trait loci analysis of tail tendon break time in mice of C57BL/6J and DBA/2J lineage. *The*
574   *journals of gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences.*
575   2011;66(2):170-178.
576   25.   Aberg KA, Chan RF, Xie L, Shabalin AA, van den Oord E. Methyl-CpG-Binding Domain
577   Sequencing: MBD-seq. *Methods in molecular biology.* 2018;1708:171-189.
578   26.   Buniello A, MacArthur JAL, Cerezo M, et al. The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published
579   genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. *Nucleic*
580   *acids research.* 2019;47(D1):D1005-D1012.
581   27.   Weedon MN, Lettre G, Freathy RM, et al. A common variant of HMGA2 is associated
582   with adult and childhood height in the general population. *Nature genetics.*
583   2007;39(10):1245-1250.
584   28.   Thorleifsson G, Walters GB, Gudbjartsson DF, et al. Genome-wide association yields new
585   sequence variants at seven loci that associate with measures of obesity. *Nature*
586   *genetics.* 2009;41(1):18-24.
587   29.   Metrustry SJ, Edwards MH, Medland SE, et al. Variants close to NTRK2 gene are
588   associated with birth weight in female twins. *Twin Res Hum Genet.* 2014;17(4):254-261.
589   30.   Ciccarone F, Tagliatesta S, Caiafa P, Zampieri M. DNA methylation dynamics in aging:
590   how far are we from understanding the mechanisms? *Mechanisms of ageing and*
591   *development.* 2018;174:3-17.
592   31.   Rakyan VK, Down TA, Maslau S, et al. Human aging-associated DNA hypermethylation
593   occurs preferentially at bivalent chromatin domains. *Genome research.* 2010;20(4):434-
594   439.
595   32.   Carter CJ. Convergence of genes implicated in Alzheimer's disease on the cerebral
596   cholesterol shuttle: APP, cholesterol, lipoproteins, and atherosclerosis. *Neurochem Int.*
597   2007;50(1):12-38.
598   33.   Mozhui K, Pandey AK. Conserved effect of aging on DNA methylation and association
599   with EZH2 polycomb protein in mice and humans. *Mechanisms of ageing and*
600   *development.* 2017;162:27-37.

601   34.   Berger H, Wodarz A, Borchers A. PTK7 Faces the Wnt in Development and Disease. *Front*
602   *Cell Dev Biol.* 2017;5:31.
603   35.   Budovsky A, Fraifeld VE, Aronov S. Linking cell polarity, aging and rejuvenation.
604   *Biogerontology.* 2011;12(2):167-175.
605   36.   Zhou Y, Simmons D, Lai D, Hambly BD, McLachlan CS. rs9939609 FTO genotype
606   associations with FTO methylation level influences body mass and telomere length in an
607   Australian rural population. *International journal of obesity.* 2017;41(9):1427-1433.
608   37.   Lu AT, Xue L, Salfati EL, et al. GWAS of epigenetic aging rates in blood reveals a critical
609   role for TERT. *Nature communications.* 2018;9(1):387.
610   38.   Teumer A, Qi Q, Nethander M, et al. Genomewide meta-analysis identifies loci
611   associated with IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels with impact on age-related traits. *Aging cell.*
612   2016;15(5):811-824.
613   39.   Bartke A. Healthy aging: is smaller better? - a mini-review. *Gerontology.* 2012;58(4):337-
614   343.
615   40.   Barfield RT, Almli LM, Kilaru V, et al. Accounting for population stratification in DNA
616   methylation studies. *Genet Epidemiol.* 2014;38(3):231-241.
617   41.   Lowe R, Barton C, Jenkins CA, et al. Ageing-associated DNA methylation dynamics are a
618   molecular readout of lifespan variation among mammalian species. *Genome biology.*
619   2018;19(1):22.
620   42.   Gujar H, Liang JW, Wong NC, Mozhui K. Profiling DNA methylation differences between
621   inbred mouse strains on the Illumina Human Infinium MethylationEPIC microarray. *PloS*
622   *one.* 2018;13(3):e0193496.
623   43.   Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S. lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen
624   and S4. . *R package version 11-7, http://CRANR-projectorg/package=lme4.*
625   44.   Turner S. qqman: an R package for visualizing GWAS results using Q-Q and manhattan
626   plots. *Journal of Open Source Software.* 2018;3(25):731.
627   45.   McLean CY, Bristor D, Hiller M, et al. GREAT improves functional interpretation of cis-
628   regulatory regions. *Nature biotechnology.* 2010;28(5):495-501.
629

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Age distribution and body weight characteristics.
(a) Each point depicts a mouse that was allowed to age till mortality. There were a total of 225 mice for the 17 strain-by-diet groups on control diet (CD; black circles) or high fat diet (HFD; red crosses).
(b) Each point depicts a mouse used for tissue collection and methylome assay. Age distribution (y-axis)is uniform across the three lifespan groups.
(c) The bar plots show average body weight at young adulthood (baseline weight), and body and liver weight at final weighing (error bars are standard error).
The baseline body weight (x-axis) was **(d)** a significant predictor of individual body weight at older age (y-axis), and **(e)** was negatively correlated with mean lifespan (y-axis) for the strain-by-diet group.

Fig. 2. Global features of the methylome
(a) Scatter plot between the top 2 principal components—PC1 (19% of variance) and PC2 (13% of variance)—shows a strong population structure with mice clustering by strain identity (color coded). For strains with animals from both standard chow (CD; solid circles) and high fat diet (HFD; squares), mice on HFD co-cluster with the CD mice.
(b) Body weight at young adulthood has a significant negative correlation with PC1.
(c) The methylome in turn may be predictive of lifespan, and PC4 is strongly correlated with lifespan.

Fig. 3. Features of differentially methylated CpGs regions (DMRs)
Each point in the Manhattan plot represents the location of a CpG region (x-axis: autosomal chromosomes 1 to 19, and chromosome X as 20), and the association $-\log_{10}p$ (y-axis) for **(a)** age effect, **(b)** body weight at young adulthood (BW0), and **(c)** median lifespan (LS). The genome-wide significant threshold is set at $-\log_{10}(2.7e-7)$ (red line; 10% Bonferroni threshold for 368,300 tests) and the suggestive threshold at $-\log_{10}(1.0e-4)$ (blue line). For the age-DMRs, the regression coefficients for age (i.e., change in DNA methylation per unit change in age in days, $\log_{10}$ scale), and whether a site gained (positive coefficients, burgundy) or lost methylation (negative coefficients; sandy brown) was highly dependent on **(d)** the CpG density, and **(e)** mean methylation. For BW0-DMRs, the body weight associated coefficients also showed correlations with the CpG counts within the bin **(f)**, and sites that were negatively associated with BW0 (blue) had lower mean methylation than the sites that were positively associated with BW0 (red) **(g)**. For the LS-DMRs, whether a site was positively (red) or negatively (blue) associated with strain median lifespan was only modestly dependent on **(h)** the CpG counts, and not dependent on **(i)** the mean methylation levels.

Fig. 4. Comparison between DMRs and expression of cognate genes
(a) The plot shows correlations between the age-DMRs and expression of corresponding transcripts (Pearson r on x-axis), and the correlation between the transcript and age of mice (y-axis). The dashed lines demarcate the nominally significant |r| = 0.27 threshold ($p \leq 0.05$). Most

of the age-hypermethylated DMRs (burgundy circles) are positively correlated with mRNA, and
these mRNAs also tend to be positively correlated with age (top right square of graph). A few of
the age-hypomethylated-DMRs (sandy brown triangles) have negative correlation with gene
expression, and the corresponding transcripts are positively correlated with age (top left
square).
(b) Majority of the BW0-DMRs are negatively associated with BW0 (blue triangles), and most of
the DMR-mRNA pairs are located in the top-left square of the plot, i.e., gene expression is
negatively correlated with DNA methylation, and positively correlated with BW0. A few of the
BW0-DMRs that have positive associations with BW0 (red circles) also have positive
correlations with gene expression (top right square).
(c) The few LS-DMRs have modest correlations with gene expression. The DMR for *Tert* is
negatively correlated with transcript (arrow), and the transcript has modest correlation with
median lifespan at r = 0.26 (*p* = 0.07; n = 51 samples with matched MBD-seq and RNA-seq).
(d) Comparison of DNA methylation levels (top) in the three lifespan groups shows significantly
lower methylation in the long-lived group ($F_{2,66}$ = 7.67, *p* = 0.001). A similar comparison for gene
expression shows a significantly higher gene expression in the long-lived group ($F_{2,49}$ = 3,31, *p* =
0.04).
690

Fig. 5. Age-DMR based measure of epigenetic aging
(a) The epigenetic age of mice was estimated by taking the weighted averages of the 306 age-
DMRs. These age estimates, referred to as DMRmAge, has a strong positive correlation with the
chronological age of mice.
(b) The age acceleration residuals (DMRmAge-acc) derived from this clock has a significant
negative correlation with the maximum lifespan data for the 17 strain-by-diet groups.
(c) The bar plots show the mean DMRmAge-acc values for each strain-by-diet group (error bars
are standard error) with the graph ordered by increasing mean lifespan (x-axis).
The DMRmAge-acc is positively correlated with both body weight at young adulthood **(d)**, and
final body weight **(e)**.
(f) In the BXD strains with matched samples from both control diet (CD) and high fat diet (HFD),
the DMRmAge-acc is significantly higher in the HFD group compared to the CD group (−3.22 ±
36.99 in CD, 36.13 ± 27.32 in HFD, *p* = 0.002, n = 33).

a

b

c