1
2
3
4 # A Highly Conserved *Shh* Enhancer Coordinates Hypothalamic and Craniofacial Development
5
6

7 Zoe Crane-Smith[1], Jeffrey Schoenebeck[2], Katy A Graham[1], Paul S Devenney[1], Lorraine
8 Rose[1], Mark Ditzell[3], Natasha Klenin[4], Deborah M Kurrasch[4], Laura A Lettice[1], and Robert E
9 Hill[1,a]
10

11 [1] Medical Research Council Human Genetics Unit, Institute of Genetics and Molecular
12 Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, United Kingdom
13
14 [2] The Roslin Institute and Royal (Dick) School for Veterinary Studies, University of Edinburgh,
15 Easter Bush, Midlothian, United Kingdom
16
17 [3] Cancer Research UK Edinburgh Centre, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine,
18 University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, United Kingdom
19
20 [4] Department of Medical Genetics, Alberta Children's Hospital Research Institute &
21 Hotchkiss Brain Institute, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB T2N 4N1, Canada.
22

24 **Running Title:** Linking brain and cranial development

25 **Key words:** *Shh* / enhancer / hypothalamic development / craniofacial formation /
26 embryonic development
27

[a] Corresponding author-

Robert Hill

Medical Research Council Human Genetics Unit,
Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine,
University of Edinburgh,
Edinburgh EH4 2XU,
United Kingdom
36
37 bob.hill@igmm.ed.ac.uk
38

ABSTRACT

Enhancers that are conserved deep in evolutionary time regulate characteristics held

in common across taxonomic classes. Here, we deleted the highly conserved *Shh* enhancer

SBE2 (*Shh* brain enhancer 2) which markedly reduced expression within the embryonic brain

specifically in the rostral diencephalon; however, a putative secondary enhancer driving low

levels of *Shh* partially compensated for the loss of SBE2. Further reduction of *Shh* levels,

crossing the SBE2 deletion with the *Shh* null allele, disrupted brain and craniofacial tissue,

linking SBE2 regulated expression to multiple defects and enabling analysis of development

at different expression levels. Development of the hypothalamus, derived from the rostral

diencephalon, was disrupted along both the anterior-posterior (AP) and the dorsal-ventral (DV)

axes. DV patterning was highly sensitive to *Shh* expression levels, demonstrating a novel

morphogenic context for*Shh*. In addition, loss of SBE2 activity in the diencephalon disrupted

neighbouring craniofacial development, including the mediolateral patterning of the bones

along the cranial floor and viscerocranium. Thus, SHH signalling coordinates hypothalamic

morphogenesis with the formation of the adjacent midline cranial bones that consequently

protects the neural tissue.

Introduction

Long-distance enhancers that are conserved across multiple classes of vertebrates

are implicated in regulating early embryonic development The *Shh* regulatory domain, which

extends for a 1Mb upstream of the gene contains a number of sequence elements identified

by high sequence conservation. These elements appear to be largely responsible for the

typical pattern of *Shh* expression observed at initial stages of organogenesis (Anderson et al.,

2014; Jeong et al., 2008). The deep conservation and early stages of expression mediated by

these *Shh* enhancers suggest that these regulators have a fundamental role in generating

ancient, shared vertebrate characteristics. Studies to date suggest a modularity in the

regulatory composition of *Shh* regulatory domain and thus, for at least some of the *cis-*

regulators, there is a simple relationship of a single enhancer to a distinct spatial domain of

expression (Jeong et al., 2006; Lettice et al., 2014); although, in other instances, secondary

enhancers provide compensatory low levels of expression (Letelier, et al., 2018; Tsukiji, et al.,

2014).

SHH is a signalling factor with a fundamental role in brain and craniofacial

development. A number of enhancers have been identified within the *Shh* regulatory domain

that are responsible for regulating expression in the midbrain and forebrain. Transgenic

analysis indicates that the SBE2 enhancer (Jeong et al., 2008, 2006; Zhao et al., 2012)

regulates *Shh* expression in the early developing forebrain, from the zona limitans

intrathalmica (ZLI) to the medial ganglionic eminence (MGE), specifically designated the

rostral diencephalon (Jeong et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2012). Of particular interest is a clinically

relevant inactivating mutation in the SBE2 enhancer associated with features of semilobar

holoprosencephaly (Jeong et al., 2008). Holoprosencephaly (HPE) is a developmental

disorder of both the forebrain and midline facial structures which include microcephaly,

midfacial hypoplasia, cleft lip and palate, diabetes insipidus and moderate fusion of the

hypothalamus (Marcorelles and Laquerriere, 2010). More commonly, HPE is associated with

heterozygous mutations that inactivate the *Shh* gene (Ming and Muenke, 2002). These data

suggest that disruption of SBE2 driven expression in the early developing forebrain may

contribute to this disorder (Jeong et al., 2008).

Here, we deleted the SBE2 enhancer to discern the overall contribution to *Shh*

expression pattern and to establish links to the embryonic phenotype. *Shh* expression is

continuous throughout the central nervous system, relying on a number of *cis*-regulators to

generate the overall pattern (Anderson et al., 2014). The deletion of SBE2 interrupted this

spatial pattern by specifically downregulating expression in the ventral rostral diencephalon;

however, this reduction of SBE2 driven *Shh* expression produced no overt phenotype. We

identified a putative secondary enhancer responsible for residual levels of *Shh* expression that

compensated for the loss of SBE2 in the rostral diencephalon. Further reduction in expression

levels of *Shh* was attained by crossing the SBE2 deletion mice to the line carrying the *Shh* null

allele. The development of the hypothalamus and of the surrounding tissue was disrupted in

the compound mutants, linking diencephalic spatiotemporal expression to multiple defects and

enabling analysis of development at different expression levels. We show, firstly, that

patterning in the hypothalamus along the AP axis was disrupted but low levels of *Shh* are

sufficient for normal formation of the AP boundary. Further reductions in the levels of *Shh*,

however, led to misplaced spatial assignment due to disruption of the AP boundary. Secondly,

gene expression along the DV axis is, in contrast, concentration dependent and is consistent

with SHH functioning as a morphogen along this developmental axis, with tissue patterning

and eventual neuronal fate reliant upon varying concentrations of *Shh* signalling. Thirdly, we

find that loss of SBE2 activity disrupts craniofacial development affecting medial lateral

patterning of the bones along the cranial floor and palatal shelf, revealing that SBE2 directed

signalling from the brain directly regulates cranial and facial morphogenesis, providing further

evidence of the ventral patterning role played by *Shh* in craniofacial morphogenesis (Corman

et al., 2018; Dworkin et al., 2016). These data confirm that signalling in the brain has an

influence upon craniofacial morphology and raises an interesting evolutionary relationship that

links patterning of this crucial region of the brain with the formation of the bony plate barrier

underling this region in the skull. Hence, a single regulatory component coordinates the

combined function of directing brain development with the concomitant role of ensuring

physical neuroprotection.

RESULTS

Loss of SBE2 enhancer activity leads to reduction in rostral diencephalic *Shh*

expression

To examine the role of the SBE2 enhancer during development, this element was

deleted using CRISPR/Cas9 (Cong et al., 2013) to remove 1.2 kb (Fig. S1A, B) containing the

conserved element. The SBE2 deleted allele, henceforth referred to as *Shh$^{\Delta SBE2}$,* was crossed

to generate homozygous embryos (*Shh$^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$*) and also to *Shh* null (*Shh^{null}*) mice to

generate compound heterozygous embryos (*Shh$^{\Delta SBE2/null}$*). Loss of the SBE2 enhancer alone

had no effect upon survival as the *Shh$^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$* mice were viable and fertile and at weaning

no reduction in the number of mutant mice was found. Conversely, no live *Shh$^{\Delta SBE2/null}$* offspring

were recorded by postnatal (P) 14 days (Fig. 1B), these compound heterozygous embryos

died perinatally failing to survive beyond P4. Normal ratios were observed at embryonic (E)

17.5 (Fig. 1A).

The rostral diencephalon, extending from the ZLI to the MGE, is the target for SBE2

expression as predicted by SBE2/reporter gene analysis in transgenic embryos (Jeong *et al*.,

2006). *Shh* mRNA expression analysis at E10.5 in *Shh$^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$* and *Shh$^{\Delta SBE2/null}$* embryos

revealed undetectable levels of *Shh* expression in the ventral portion of the rostral

diencephalon in both crosses (Fig. 1C-E), this region of the diencephalon will give rise to the

ventral portion of the tuberal and mammillary hypothalamus (Xie and Dorsky, 2017), and for

simplicity will henceforth be referred to as the ventral hypothalamus (VH). The region lacking

Shh expression in the SBE2 mutant embryos, however, was appreciably more restricted than

the region predicted by transgenic analysis, this is likely due to overlapping expression

caudally directed by other brain enhancers such as SBE3 and SBE4.

The differences in postnatal viability between *Shh$^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$* and *Shh$^{\Delta SBE2/null}$* suggested

that there may be residual, yet undetectable, *Shh* expression in the viable line. To investigate

possible low levels of *Shh* expression, patched-1 (*Ptch1*) expression, which is upregulated by

hedgehog signalling and is a sensitive readout of *Shh* expression, was examined (Casillas

and Roelink, 2018). Similar to the expression pattern observed for *Shh*, *Ptch1* was also

downregulated in the VH upon loss of SBE2 activity (Fig. 1F-H). However, in this instance,

levels differed between the compound heterozygous and the homozygous embryos. While

Shh$^{ΔSBE2/null}$ embryos showed no detectable levels of *Ptch1* expression in the VH (Fig. 1H,

green arrow), the *Shh*$^{ΔSBE2/ΔSBE2}$ embryos presented residual levels (Fig. 1G, red arrow).

Therefore, low levels of *Shh* expression, which are undetectable by *in situ* hybridization, are

capable of rescuing the postnatal lethality.

To determine whether an additional regulator, perhaps a secondary enhancer, may

participate in directing rostral diencephalic expression, mice carrying an eGFP/Cre fusion

inserted into the *Shh* gene were used (Harfe et al., 2004). *Shh* expressing neural cells from

E10.5 disected brains were FAC sorted for analysis. ATAC-seq was used to identify stretches

of open chromatin as indicators of regulatory elements (Buenrostro et al., 2015). As expected,

a peak representing SBE2 was detected; however, in addition, a second peak (position

chr5:29230287-29230750 [mm9]), located ~436 kb away from the *Shh* promoter, was

observed (Fig. S2A). This peak contained a vertebrate conserved sequence element (Fig.

S2A) and when used in reporter constructs in transgenic embryos at E10.5 (Fig.S2B-D)

showed broad expression activity extending anteriorly from the midbrain into the diencephalon

(Fig. S2B-D). Other regions of expression that were appropriate to the *Shh* pattern, such as

in the midbrain and notochord, were also detected. Hence, this enhancer which we term FSE1

(forebrain secondary enhancer 1) is a good candidate for the regulator that rescues

development after SBE2 loss.

Loss of SBE2 activity effects midline craniofacial deformities

SBE2 deletion embryos were analysed for craniofacial malformations. Unsurprisingly,

given the postnatal viability of *Shh*$^{ΔSBE2/ΔSBE2}$ embryos, we did not observe any gross

craniofacial malformations nor deformities in the craniofacial skeletal elements (Fig S3A-B).

In contrast, at E17.5, *Shh*$^{ΔSBE2/null}$ embryos appeared to present external head deformities, in

which the mutant embryos presented a more rounded head. To address whether these

changes in head shape were significant, control and $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutant embryos were subject

to geometric morphometric analysis to deconvolute the skull and test for differences between

control and mutant embryos. Centroid size, a proxy of skull scale, revealed that the compound

heterozygous mutant embryos tended to have an overall reduction in skull size, though this

did not reach significance (pairwise two-sample Wilcoxon, p=0.49) (Fig. 2A). Accordingly,

allometric shape variation due to size, genotype, and litter provided the best regression fit

(R^2=0.83, ANOVA p<0.001). Principal components of the non-allometric shape was also

explored. We observed clear, genotype-specific separation of control and mutant mice, with

the latter occupying a relatively broader expanse of morphospace (Fig. 2B). In mutants,

Principal Component 1 (46.5%) (Fig. 2B) describes a reduction in the anteroposterior axis

while dorsoventral and mediolateral axes expanded (Fig. 2C-H). Procrustes variances

between control and mutant mice were not significant, however this was presumably due to

limitations in statistical power. Indeed, shape differences described by PC1 were significant

(Welch Two Sample t-test, p=1.5x10^{-4}). OPT analysis revealed that, in addition to presenting

a perturbed overall head structure, $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos displayed affected nasal cavities (Fig.

2J,L), which were reduced in length; however, the extent to which the nasal cavities were

affected varied between mutant embryos, with the example presented representing a

moderate phenotype. Moreover, a region of brain tissue, specifically the midline hypothalamic

tissue, was absent in the mutant embryos (Fig. 2I,K).

$Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos displayed midline craniofacial bone deformities with a high

degree of variability, which, based on phenotypic severity, were classified into three

categories: mild, moderate and severe (Fig. 3A-D). All mutant embryos presented defects in

the basisphenoid bone, ranging from the presence of a small remnant (Fig. 3B, pale green

arrow) to complete loss (Fig. 3C, D) and in the vomer bone, ranging from an excessive fusion

(Fig. 3B, yellow arrow) to complete absence (Fig. 3C, D). In addition, the moderate and severe

embryos displayed defects in the pterygoid and maxillary bones (Fig. 3C, D, white arrows).

Notably, all embryos showed some midline craniofacial element deformities, which indicates

that *Shh* signalling directed by SBE2 is involved in the midline development of the craniofacial

bones, and parallels the role *Shh* plays in early midline brain development (Choi et al., 2012).

Mislocalized pituitary and loss of SHH signalling disrupts craniofacial development

Closer examination of the heads of mutant compound heterozygous embryos revealed

that the basisphenoid bone, the most posterior defective cranial bone, was intercepted by the

adenohypophyseal pituitary lobe (Fig. 3E, F). This lobe of the pituitary was misplaced and

formed in a more anterior position, growing within the region where the basisphenoid will form

(the basis for the mislocalization is discussed below). Developmental timing of this event

suggests that the adenohypophysis disrupts this region before chondrogenesis initiates, which

indicates that the adenohypophyseal tissue likely presents a physical barrier to basisphenoid

development. The phenotypic variability may correlate with the extent to which the pituitary

lobe intercepted the basisphenoid bone in the mutant embryos.

Further investigations into the effect of SBE2 directed expression on craniofacial

development focused on mesenchymal *Ptch1* expression in the vicinity of the forebrain. In

control embryos at E12.5, *Ptch1* expression was detected within a narrow layer of

mesenchyme located directly adjacent to the floor of the diencephalon where *Shh* was

expressed (Fig. 4A-B). COL2A1, a type 2 collagen, is expressed during early cartilage

formation (Hissnauer et al., 2010) and co-localised with *Ptch1* in control embryos (Fig. 4E-F),

implicating that the underlying facial mesenchyme is under the influence of SHH and is

committed towards cartilage formation. At the same stage in the $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos, *Ptch1*

expressing cells were absent in the underlying mesenchyme (Fig. 4C-D) and only a thin lining

of cells expressing COL2A1 was seen (Fig. 4G-H). The loss of *Ptch1* and COL2A1 staining

was found to be independent of the physical disruptive effects caused by mislocalisation of

the neurohypophysis, as the absence of both markers was evident in regions of the cranial

base which lie anterior to disrupted neurohypophyseal tissue detected in the mutant embryos

(Fig. 4C,G). Thus, SBE2 mediated *Shh* expression is instrumental in ensuring adequate cell

response and bone formation in the sub–diencephalic mesenchyme along the midline of the

palatal floor, and reduction of this cell population is critical for the midline craniofacial

phenotype.

$Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos display mislocalised and deformed pituitary lobes due to

disruption of the AP hypothalamic boundary

Pituitary lobe development was analysed at stages E11.5, E13.5 and E15.5. In

$Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutant embryos at E11.5, Rathke's pouch (RP), which will give rise to the

adenohypophyseal lobe, and the infundibulum, which will give rise to the neurohypophyseal

pituitary lobe were both ectopically shifted ventrally (Fig. S4A, B). These findings reflect the

previous observations (Zhao et al., 2012) in conditional Shh deletion mice (recombination

driven by the SBE2 enhancer, designated SBE2-Cre), whereby an anterior shift in the pituitary

lobes was observed. At E13.5, RP and the infundibulum were still ectopically located in the

mutant embryos (Fig. 5A, C). Furthermore, RP was severely malformed in the mutant

embryos, while the infundibulum did not separate from the neuroectodermal tissue to the same

degree as control counterparts (Fig. 5A, C).

At E15.5, the adenohypohyseal lobe was more severely malformed in the mutant

embryos and remained ectopically located (Fig. S4C, D). Interestingly, at E17.5 the

neurophypophysis appeared absent in the mutant embryos (Fig. 3E, F), yet staining for

markers of neurohypophyseal tissue revealed that this was due to the lack of separation of

the neurohypophysis from the neuroectodermal tissue of origin (Fig. 5L, N). Moreover,

caspase-3 (CASP3) staining revealed that this remnant neurohypophyseal tissue appeared to

be undergoing apoptosis (Fig. 5M, O) indicating that inadequate neurohypophyseal

partitioning led to tissue degeneration. Despite the fact that both hypophyseal lobes were

misspecified in the $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos early hypophyseal patterning was unperturbed (Fig.

S5C, F, I, L). These findings agree with observations by Zhao et al., (2012) in SBE2-Cre Shh

deletion mice, where pituitary markers, such as LHX3, were unperturbed despite hypophyseal

misplacement. However, whilst the SBE2 conditional Shh deletion mice displayed multiple

invagination sites for RP, only one site was observed in $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos. While patterning

and early neuronal marker expression within the pituitary lobes appeared normal, at later

developmental stages, E17.5, neuronal populations within varied nuclei of the VH, which

establish connections with the pituitary lobes, were disrupted. Both the tyrosine hydroxylase

(TH1) (Fig. S6A-B) and the vasopressin neuronal population (Fig. S6E-F) of the

paraventricular nucleus (PVN) were lost in $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos. Additionally, the oxytocin

neuronal population of the PVN was absent while the population found within the arcuate

nucleus (ARC) was either misspecified or mislocalised (Fig. S6C-D). These results indicate

that, in addition to the disruption of hypophyseal structure and location in development, the

appropriate neuronal connections, which are vital for the correct regulation of hormonal

release from the hypophyseal lobes, were also disrupted in the late embryonic mutants.

In $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ mutant embryos, neither the adenohypophysis nor the

neurohypophysis was ectopically located and both lobes had separated from the tissue of

origin E13.5 (Fig. S3). Additionally, no defects were observed in early marker expression (Fig.

S5B, E, H, K); however, mild deformities were detected predominantly in the structure of the

lateral portion of adenohypophyseal lobe in $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ mutant embryos (Fig. S3C-K).

Distinct anterior-posterior expression domains are established along the midline of the

hypothalamus by E9.5. The posterior hypothalamic domain expresses factors including *Bmp4*

and *Fgf10* whilst the anterior domain expresses *Six6*, *Tcf4* and *Shh*. Mutual inhibition between

these two gene expression domains exists, whereby in the absence of one domain the

opposing domain will expand to occupy the hypothalamic space (Ericson *et al*., 1998; Takuma

et al., 1998). The infundibulum expresses the posterior markers *Bmp4* and *Fgf10* and is

specified dorsally to the anterior hypothalamic tissue, at the AP transition zone (Ferrand,

1972; Kawamura and Kikuyama, 1995; Takuma *et al*., 1998; Treier *et al*., 1998). Inductive

cues from the hypothalamic tissue play a role in orchestrating the formation of RP (Schwind,

1928; Takuma *et al*., 1998). As RP and the infundibulum were both shifted ventrally in the

$Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutant embryos (Fig. 5C), verification of any other associated defects in the

establishment of the AP hypothalamic boundary were sought. The posterior boundary of

expression of TCF4 was shifted ventrally in the mutant embryos (Fig. 5I) whereas the anterior

boundary of expression of *Fgf10* was shifted ventrally, expanding expression (Fig. 5F). The

shift observed for both the anterior and posterior markers was found to be significant in the

Shh$^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutant embryos (Fig. 5J, K). These results indicated that there is a shift in the AP

domain boundary with a reduction in anterior markers and gain of posterior markers. In the

Shh$^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ mutant embryos no shift in expression of TCF4 (Fig. 5H) or *Fgf10* (Fig. 5E) was

observed, correlating with correct positioning of the pituitary lobes (Fig. 5C). These results

suggest that shift of the pituitary lobes occurs as a result of the aberrant specification of the

AP boundary, which does not appear to be sensitive to reduced levels of SHH, as shown in

Shh$^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ embryos (Fig. S3C-F).

Loss of SBE2 enhancer activity leads to defects in hypothalamic patterning in a

concentration dependent manner

The hypothalamus, including the VH, can also be subdivided along the DV axis into

the floor, basal and alar plate, and within each subdivision different combinatorial gene

expression patterns can be identified (Puelles et al., 2012). DV hypothalamic patterning has

been greatly informed by the understanding of the patterning of the neural tube where distinct

neuronal progenitors are specified in response to the dorsal neural tube signals *Wnts* and

BMPs and the ventral neural tube signal *Shh* (Burbridge et al., 2016)**.** In the developing

hypothalamus *Shh* is initially expressed from the prechordal mesoderm, it is subsequently

expressed along the floor plate and plays a role in inducing ventral hypothalamic fate

(Burbridge et al., 2016; Corman et al., 2018). In contrast, BMPs are expressed from the roof

of the telencephalon, regulating alar hypothalamic development (Burbridge et al., 2016). Given

that *Shh* signalling from the hypothalamic floor plate was reduced in the *Shh$^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$* and

Shh$^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutant embryos, DV hypothalamic patterning was examined. Genes whose

expression are responsive to SHH levels in the neural tube were of particular interest. No

differences were detected between wild-type, *Shh$^{\Delta SBE2/+}$* and *Shh$^{null/+}$* embryos (Figure S7),

indicating that a 50% reduction in *Shh* levels has no effect upon DV patterning marker

expression.

Expression of the alar hypothalamic marker PAX6 and the opposing basal

hypothalamic marker NKX2-*2* (Croizier et al., 2015; Guillemot, 2007) were examined. At E13.5

NKX2-2 was expressed along two stripes in the parasagittal regions of the hypothalamus, with

one stripe across the basal hypothalamus and another across the transition zone from the

basal-alar hypothalamus (Fig. 6A). In *Shh*$^{ΔSBE2/ΔSBE2}$ mutant embryos the dorsal stripe in the

basal hypothalamus was lost giving rise to a patchy appearance (Fig. 6B); while the

Shh$^{ΔSBE2/null}$ mutant embryos were more severely affected, with both the alar-basal and basal

stripes absent (Fig. 6C). NKX2-2 expression is responsive to the low levels of *Shh* expression

observed in *Shh*$^{ΔSBE2/ΔSBE2}$, however these low levels are not sufficient to maintain the entirety

of the NKX2-2 population. The dorsal marker PAX6, which in control embryos was found only

within the alar hypothalamus (Fig. 6D), showed an expansion of expression dorsally into the

basal hypothalamic region in the *Shh*$^{ΔSBE2/ΔSBE2}$ mutant embryos (Fig. 6E). In *Shh*$^{ΔSBE2/null}$

embryos, this dorsal expansion of PAX6 expression was more severe, with large patches of

PAX6 expression detected in the basal hypothalamus of the mutant embryos (Fig. 6F). These

results indicate that *Shh* signalling from the basal hypothalamus is required to restrict PAX6

expression solely to the alar region and that low levels of *Shh* activity, those found in basal

hypothalamus of *Shh*$^{ΔSBE2/ΔSBE2}$ mutants, are not sufficient to exclude PAX6 activity from this

region.

The expression of the transcription factors NKX6-1 and OLIG2, was also examined. In

control embryos OLIG2 was detected in the alar hypothalamus in a narrow region along the

edge of the third ventricle in sagittal and parasagittal regions (Fig. 6G); however, in

Shh$^{ΔSBE2/ΔSBE2}$ mutant embryos the OLIG2 positive cells showed a subtle dorsal shift away

from the edge of the third ventricle and additional expressing cells were detected in basal

hypothalamus (Fig. 6H). In *Shh*$^{ΔSBE2/null}$ mutant embryos, OLIG2 positive staining cells had

dramatically shifted into the basal portion of the hypothalamus, with large areas of positive

staining cells detected (Fig. 6I). *Shh* activity acts to restrict OLIG2 expression to the alar

hypothalamus, whereupon reduction of SHH facilitates the spread of OLIG2 positive cells into

the basal hypothalamus.

In control embryos, NKX6-1 positive cells were also detected in the alar hypothalamus

in a narrow region along the edge of the third ventricle in sagittal and parasagittal regions (Fig.

6J); but in contrast to OLIG2, these cells were only detected along the basal lateral edge of

the third ventricle. In $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ mutant embryos, NKX6-1 expression along the basal

lateral edge of the third ventricle was undetectable (Fig. 6K), but isolated groups of cells were

detected in the basal hypothalamus (Fig. 6K). $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutants showed complete loss of

the region of staining along the basal lateral edge with no additional regions of expression

observed (Fig. 6L). NKX6-1 appears to require low levels of Shh expression to be induced,

levels which are normally found along the edge of the third ventricle. In $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ mutants

the levels of Shh expression are reduced within the basal hypothalamus to a level that allows

for ectopic expression of NKX6-1 within this region. In $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutants the complete loss

of the cells from the edge of the third ventricle indicate that the levels of Shh signalling activity

within the VH are insufficient to induce expression of NKX6-1.

The extent of changes in DV hypothalamic patterning correlate to the alteration in

levels of Shh expression, suggesting that Shh signalling is functioning in a concentration

dependent manner to induce ventral patterning in the hypothalamus. These results mirror the

role described for Shh in the neural tube, and involve many of the same key players, indicating

that Shh is acting as a morphogen within the VH to regulate tissue patterning.

SBE2 enhancer activity controls downstream effects upon hypothalamic neuronal

populations

We next sought to assess the downstream effects of disrupted DV patterning on

neuronal populations. As for the patterning markers, we first verified that there were no

detectable differences in the neuronal markers in the wildtype and heterozygotes, $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/+}$

and $Shh^{null/+}$ embryos (Figure S8), demonstrating that a 50% reduction in Shh levels has no

effect upon DV neuronal population specification. To examine the effect of disrupted DV

patterning upon the establishment of the different ventral hypothalamic neuronal populations,

we first analysed expression of the ventral hypothalamic neuronal marker NKX2-1 (Ohyama

et al., 2005). In control embryos at E13.5 NKX2-1 positive cells were detected across the

entirety of the basal hypothalamic domain (Fig. 7A). In $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ mutant embryos the

region of NKX2-1 expressing cells was reduced and embedded within smaller domains

intermingled with patches devoid of staining (Fig. 7B). In the $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutant the region of

expression was further reduced with larger and more numerous patches devoid of positive

cells seen (Fig. 7C). ISL1 is a melanocortinogenic neuronal marker, in which positive cells are

detected throughout the hypothalamus (Kim et al., 2015). In control embryos positive staining

was detected throughout the basal hypothalamic region, as expected (Fig. 7D). No differences

in staining were detected between control and $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ mutant embryos (Fig. 7E),

however, in $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutants there was substantial loss of positive staining cells in the

basal hypothalamus (Fig. 7F).

We additionally verified whether neurons that are restricted to specific nuclei of the

basal hypothalamus were affected upon removal of SBE2 activity. Steroidogenic factor 1

(SF1) positive neurons are known to be located in the ventromedial hypothalamus (VMH) and

are involved in the regulation of glucose metabolism and energy balance (Choi et al., 2013).

In control embryos SF1 positive neurons were detected in the basal hypothalamus, specifically

within the developing VMH (Fig. 7G). In $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ mutant embryos the region of the basal

hypothalamus staining positively for SF1 was reduced (Fig. 7H), while in $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutant

embryos there was a complete loss of SF1 positive neurons (Fig. 7I). Somatostatin (SOM)

expressing neurons are a particular subset of GABAergic inhibitory neurons that are detected

in varied nuclei of the hypothalamus, including the VMH and the arcuate nucleus, which serve

to regulate cell proliferation ultimately affecting growth (Urban-Ciecko and Barth, 2016). In

control embryos, SOM neurons were detected in the basal hypothalamic region corresponding

to the arcuate nucleus at E13.5 (Fig. 7J). No differences were detected in $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$

mutant embryos where comparable staining was detected (Fig. 7K); however, in $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$

mutant embryos no positive staining for SOM was detected in the VH (Fig. 7L). These results

indicated that, while the early low levels of *Shh* in the VH were sufficient to retain subsequent

specification of SOM neurons, higher levels of *Shh* activity were required to sustain the SF1

neuronal population in the basal hypothalamus. In the $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutant embryos both of

these populations were absent which indicated that early *Shh* activity was essential for the

maintenance of both the SF1 and SOM neuronal populations of the basal hypothalamus.

Discussion

Secondary enhancer activity rescues SBE2 inactivation

Loss of the highly conserved SBE2 in $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ homozygous mice,

surprisingly,did not cause lethality or overt phenotypic effects in the brain and craniofacial

regions, which led to the identification of secondary enhancer activity from an element we

termed FSE1. Transgenic analysis consistently revealed broad expression activity throughout

the forebrain suggesting compensatory activity. Other embryonic expression domains

appropriate to the *Shh* pattern were also detected in transgenic embryos including the

midbrain, notochord and pharyngeal epithelium, all of which display *Shh* expression but are

driven by other known enhancer activities. This secondary enhancer, therefore, may be

responsible for co-regulation of *Shh* expression in other regions of the developing embryo. It

would be of interest to verify the effects of simultaneous removal of FSE1 and SBE2 function

upon the ventral hypothalamic neuronal population. For example, to ascertain whether

additional removal of the FSE1 enhancer would lead to a complete loss of the OLIG2 neuronal

population in the VH. If this were indeed the case, this would demonstrate that, similar to the

neural tube, *Shh* is also essential for OLIG2 expression in the VH, rather than solely being

required to ensure adequate restriction of expression to the alar hypothalamus.

SBE2 mediated expression links brain and craniofacial development

Heterozygous inactivating mutations in the *SHH* gene in patients cause HPE (Ming

and Muenke, 2002).while in mice complete loss of *Shh* leads to a multitude of phenotypic

consequences including the absence of the brain and craniofacial structures (Chiang *et al*.,

1996).   While these observations highlight the links between brain and craniofacial

development, the question as to whether *Shh* signalling from the neuroectoderm is directly

required for the development of the craniofacial elements has remained largely unanswered.

Physical interactions between the brain and face begin early at the initial stages of facial

formation (Marcucio, et al., 2011). There are two fundamentally different mechanisms by

which development of the face and brain may be interrelated; firstly, the developing brain

serves as a dynamic architectural foundation upon which the face responds and develops or

secondly, signalling directly from the brain to the cranium and face regulates morphogenesis.

In fish, *Shh* signalling from both the oral ectoderm and neural tissue is required for

adequate progression of craniofacial development (Wada *et al.*, 2005). In mammalian

development, *Shh* signalling from the oral ectoderm, which underlies the cranial base and also

neighbours the facial skeletal elements, has been demonstrated to be key in adequate

craniofacial development. Depletion of signalling from the oral ectoderm leads to defects in

the maxillary and mandibular bones, the palatine bones and the basisphenoid bone among

others (Jeong *et al.*, 2004). The present study addressed the role of SBE2 forebrain

expression activity on the development of adjacent tissue which would be predicted to form

the midline of the cranial floor. Loss of SBE2 in the presence of the *Shh* null allele did lead to

both lethality and craniofacial deformities. Indeed, defects were observed in multiple skeletal

elements of the cranial base including the vomer bone and the basisphenoid bone, which

resides below the pituitary glands and the hypothalamic tissue. This demonstrated that *Shh*

signalling from the rostral diencephalon, which is regulated principally by the SBE2 enhancer,

is directly required for adequate craniofacial development to ensue.

SBE2 affects both AP and DV patterning in the hypothalamus

In addition to the craniofacial defects observed in the SBE2 mutant mouse lines,

defects in hypothalamic patterning were also observed. This is perhaps unsurprising given

that the region of *Shh* expression directed by SBE2 is the rostral diencephalon, the tissue from

which the hypothalamus originates. However, the mode in which *Shh* regulates patterning

within the hypothalamus appears to differ depending on the axis considered.

In relation to the AP axis, *Shh* directs patterning in a concentration independent

manner, whereby low, residual levels of signalling activity are sufficient to sustain normal

patterning, as the AP axis was unperturbed in the $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ mutant embryos. In contrast,

in the $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutant embryos there was a loss of the anterior hypothalamic identity, which

is promoted by *Shh*, in favour of the posterior hypothalamic identity. A shift in the anterior

marker TCF4 was observed in the $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutant embryos, which contrasts observations

by Zhao *et al*., 2012, where a shift in all other AP hypothalamic markers with the exception of

TCF4 was seen. This difference likely resides in the different mouse lines used, whereby the

use of SBE-Cre to conditionally knock-down *Shh* activity may lead, firstly, to a temporal delay

in the removal of Shh activity and, secondly, to a broader removal of *Shh* activity than that

solely directed by SBE2. Notably, *Shh* is also required for adequate development of neuronal

populations which connect to the hypophyseal lobes and in turn, regulate hormonal release.

These results demonstrate a dual role for *Shh* in ensuring hypophyseal function, directly in

hypophyseal development and secondarily in regulating innervation.

In contrast to AP patterning along the DV hypothalamic axis was affected by *Shh*

activity in a concentration dependent manner. This is apparent in the $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ mutant

embryos where defects in DV patterning, and also subsequent neuronal induction, were

observed. These defects were far less severe than those observed in the $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutant

embryos, where the disruption to DV patterning resulted in a complete loss of hypothalamic

neuronal populations. The results presented regarding DV hypothalamic patterning compare

to those described by Corman *et al*. (2018) where the same key neural tube patterning genes

and subsequence neuronal regulators are involved. However, we have demonstrated a novel

concentration dependent patterning activity of *Shh* in the hypothalamus, which has previously

only been described in the neural tube. Moreover, the results demonstrate loss of neuronal

populations beyond those of the dorsomedial, ventromedial and arcuate nuclei, implicating

additional neurons of the paraventricular nucleus, which play a key role in hypophyseal

regulation. Corman *et al*. (2018) also propose that NKX2-2 is expressed in a domain between

dorsal PAX6 and ventral NKX2-1, however, our data suggest that NKX2-1 is expressed

broadly across the entire ventral hypothalamic region and NKX2-2 is expressed in a

subdomain of the ventral hypothalamus, in regions overlapping with NKX2-1. It is entirely

possible that the ventral hypothalamic markers induced by *Shh*, including NKX2-2 are

responsible for inducing expression of NKX2-1, whereby the other ventral hypothalamic

markers, that are not completely absent in the $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutant embryos, sustain the

remaining NKX2-1 expression seen.

Similar to the patterning process in the neural tube, the response of different DV

patterning transcription factors to *Shh* was concentration dependent. For example, the OLIG2

expressing region was displaced ventrally in the $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ mutants, an effect that was

further exacerbated in the $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutant embryos. In contrast, the NKX6-1 expressing

region moved ventrally in the $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ mutants, yet was lost altogether in the VH region

along the lateral edge of third ventricle of $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutant embryos. These results indicate

that NKX6-1 is more sensitive to loss of *Shh* signalling than OLIG2. Indeed in the neural tube

OLIG2 responds to a wider window of *Shh* signalling levels than NKX6-1. This same variable

sensitivity to *Shh* signalling was seen for the neuronal populations, whereby only some of the

neuronal population that were disrupted in the $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutant embryos were also affected

in the $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ mutants; for example, NKX2-1 expression required higher levels of *Shh*

expression than those needed for ISL1. However, the fact that some NKX2-1 positive neurons

persisted in $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutants, while the ISL1 population was nearly completely absent

indicates that low *Shh* signalling is essential for ISL1 expression while another factor may

likely contribute to the induction of NKX2-1, thus sustaining some of this population in

$Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutants.

In addition, the SF1 neuronal population displayed a different response to the loss of

SBE2 than that seen for ISL1 and NKX2-1. In $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ mutants this population was absent,

indicating that *Shh* is essential for expression of SF1. Effects were also observed in

$Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ mutants, where the SF1 population was reduced, indicating that it is sensitive

to alterations in the normal levels of *Shh* activity, mirroring that seen for NKX2-1. Thus, early

SBE2 directed *Shh* signalling directs subsequent DV patterning in a concentration dependent

manner acting as a morphogen within ventral hypothalamic development, in which

transcription factor expression patterns are controlled by Shh levels which in turn instruct

ventral hypothalamic neuronal populations.

SBE2 coordinates craniofacial development and patterning of the hypothalamus

SBE2 regulates the expression of *Shh* in a region of the diencephalon in which SHH

signalling coordinates development of both the diencephalon and the neighbouring tissue

responsible for the cranial and facial bones, skeletal elements which are in turn responsible

for protecting the neural structures from external exposure. Homologues of the craniofacial

bones disrupted in mouse are found in species of all vertebrate classes from fish to mammals

(Maddin et al., 2016). In addition, the hypothalamus is an ancient hormone secreting centre

of the brain (Xie and Dorsky, 2017). We suggest that deep in vertebrate evolution SBE2

activity arose as a means to govern VH development and as a consequence of hypothalamus

proximity to craniofacial mesenchyme enabled *Shh* signalling to control formation of the bone

to ensure its own protection. We have demonstrated that disruptions to coordinated

development of the VH and cranial vault in mice leads to phenotypic defects which reflect

those seen for HPE patients. These results reveal not only that the interactions between the

brain and the face display deeply conserved evolutionary roots, but also show the key

importance of mouse models for addressing human disease phenotypes.

Materials and methods

Embryo production and transgenic targeting

Embryos were harvested at various embryonic stages between E10.5-E17.5. For all

experiments described triplicate datasets were used, unless otherwise stated. Reduction in

Shh levels to 50%, in $Shh^{null/+}$ embryos, is known to cause no phenotypic effects (Chiang et

al., 1996). Nevertheless, to allow for the use of littermate controls, differences between wild-

type, *Shh*^{ΔSBE2/+} and *Shh*^{null/+} embryos were assessed for each experiment described. As

expected, no differences were detected in between the three previously mentioned genotypes,

as such all three genotypes were used as control embryos.

The expression driven by FSE1 was analysed in G0 transgenic embryos using a lacZ construct

which carried FSE1, a β-globin minimal promoter and LacZ as has been previously described

(Mackenzie et al., 1997). The FSE1 fragment was generated using PCR with the primers

GATCAT**GTCGAC**GCTCCAGGTACTGCTGTTCAG   and

GATCAT**GCTGAC**ATGTGGATGGCAAGCATTGGC- the SalI restriction site used in the

cloning is highlighted in bold.

ATAC-seq and FAC sorting

Entire heads of GFP positive eGFP/Cre-*Shh* (Harfe et al., 2004) embryos were dissected and

single cell suspensions were made by incubating the dissected tissue in 1:5 dilution of

trypsin:versene at 37°C for 15 minutes. GFP positive cells were then sorted using a

fluorescence activated cell sorter. ATAC-seq libraries were made from the positive cell

population pooled across littermates as previously described (Buenrostro et al., 2015).

Subsequently libraries were subject to size selection to exclude DNA fragments larger than 1

kb using SPRIselect magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter). Samples were then sequenced on

a Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform to obtain 50 bp paired-end reads. Resulting reads were then

trimmed using cutadapt paired-end trimming, and subsequently aligned to the mm9 mouse

genome using bowtie2 paired-end alignment. Following alignment, unmapped and reads

mapped to the mitochondrial genome were then removed and duplicate reads were filteres

out using Picard MarkDuplicates. Once filtered reads were then shifted by +4bp for those

mapping to the positive strand and -5bp for those mapping to the negative strand. Broad peaks

were then called, to identify open chromatin regions, using MACS2 callpeak, using –g mm, -f

BEDPE, -B –broads and cutoff 0.01 options.

SBE2 deletion line production by CRISPR

Dual CRISPR guide oligo pairs were designed flanking the SBE2 enhancer region described

by Zhao, *et al* 2012. Subsequently, the pairs were annealed and cloned into the pX330 vector.

Guide   sequences   used   were   as   follows:

Upstream of SBE2, 5' [Phos]AAACACATTAAAGCCCTCCAGCG 3'

and   5'   [Phos]CACCGACGCTGGAGGGCTTTAATGT   3';

Downstream of SBE2, 5' [Phos]AAACACGAGCAAGCCAACCGGAGG 3'   and 5'

[Phos]CACCGCTCCGGTTGGCTTGCTCGT 3'. CRISPR guides were then injected into single

cell stage C57 CBA F1 intercross embryos which were then implanted into CD1

pseudopregnant mice. G0 pups were genotyped and PCR products sent for sequencing to

confirm the presence of the correct deletions. Pups presenting the correct deletions were then

used to establish the line by mating to the C57BL/6J mice.

OPT analysis

OPT imaging was performed (Sharpe, 2003). Briefly, PFA-fixed embryos were embedded in

1% low-melting-point agarose and then immersed in methanol for 24 h to remove all water.

The embryos were then cleared for 24 h in BABB (one part benzyl alcohol/two parts benzyl

benzoate). The samples were then scanned using a Bioptonics 3001 scanner

(www.biooptonics.com) with images taken every 0.9° (of a 360° rotation). Upon completion,

images were reconstructed using Bioptonics proprietary software with the outputs then being

viewed with Dataviewer (Bioptonics) and Bioptonics Viewer.

Morphometric analysis

MicroCTs of fifteen E17.5 embryos (7 controls, 8 mutants) collected from three litters were

scanned post mortem using a Bruker Skyscanner 1076. Individual skull scans were

downsampled 2:1 using Bruker NRecon. Reconstructed images were converted to DICOM

format using Bruker DicomCT. Twenty-eight landmarks were placed on each isosurface using

Stratovan CheckPoint (v19.03.04.1102 Win64). In two mutants, dysmorphology of the vomer

prevented placement of corresponding landmarks and as such, the landmarks were indicated

as "missing". Raw coordinates data saved in Morphologika format were processed in R

(v3.5.3) using Geomorph (v3.1.2), Rvcg (v0.18), Morpho (v2.7), and Hotelling (v1.0-5)

packages. Missing landmarks were estimated using a multivariate regression method

implemented in Geomorph. Following, a Generalised Procrustes analysis (GPA) translated,

rotated and rescaled raw landmark coordinate data to minimise the sum of squared distances

between specimens. The amount of rescaling required in the GPA is captured by the centroid

size, a variable which is used subsequently as a proxy for specimen size. A single outlier

(control, Litter 3) was removed as its Procrustes distance was >1 standard deviation from the

mean. Following removal of the outlier, the GPA was rerun to produce Procrustes shape

variables. To test for shape variation due to allometry, shape variables were regressed against

log(centroid size) as well as additional terms corresponding to genotype and litter. The best fit

included log(centroid size) interactions with both litter and genotype (ie coordinates ~

log(centroid size)*litter + log(centroid size)*genotype).

In order to visualise shape differences, a randomly chosen ply surface file was warped using

thin plate splines using the mean coordinate values of all fourteen specimens. The resulting

mesh represented the dataset reference which was subsequently warped to using principal

component minimum and maximum shape variables.

Tissue processing

Embryos were dissected at the stages indicated and fixed overnight at 4°C in 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Embryos were washed briefly

in PBS, and then subject to serial passage through a sucrose gradient: 30 min in 5% sucrose

in PBS, 30 min in 10% sucrose in PBS then placed in 20% sucrose in PBS overnight at 4°C.

Embryos were then embedded in OCT compound and stored at -80°C. Sections were cut on

a cryostat at varying thicknesses appropriate to the embryonic stage: For E11.5 8 µm, for

E13.5 10 µm and for E17.5 14 µm.

H&E staining

Cryosections were allowed to reach room temperature then slides were then hydrated by

passage through 100% EtOH 3x 5 min then serial passage through 90%, 70%, 50% and lastly

30% EtOH for a couple of min each. Slides were washed in H_2O and then stained in

haemotoxylin for 4 min. They were then rinsed in H_2O and subsequently differentiated in

acid/alcohol for a few seconds. Slides were again rinsed in H_2O then placed in saturated

lithium carbonate solution for a few seconds. They were then rinsed in H_2O and stained in

eosin for 2 min then again rinsed in H_2O. Slides were then rinsed in 100% EtOH, placed in

fresh EtOH for 1 minute then placed in fresh EtOH 3x for 2 min each. Slides were then

passaged 3x through xylene for 5 min each, subsequently mounted in DPX (Cell Path, SEA-

1304-00A) and allowed to dry overnight.

lacZ **expression analysis, Dawson staining and wholemount** *in situ* **hybridisation**

Embryos were analysed for *lacZ* expression at E10.5 through staining for β-gal activity as

previously described (Mackenzie et al., 1997). Skeletal preparations from E17.5 foetuses were

stained simultaneously with Alizarin Red and Alcian Blue (Nagy et al., 2009a, 2009b). Whole-

mount *in situ* hybridisation on half heads was performed as previously described (Hecksher-

Sørensen et al., 1998).

In situ **hybridisation on cryosections**

Briefly, *in situ* probes were placed at 37°C for 5 mins, added to hybridisation buffer (1x salt (2

M NaCl, 100 mM Tris, 65 mM NaH_2PO_4, 50 mM Na_2HPO_4 and 50 mM EDTA in ddH_2O, pH to

7.5), 50% deionised formamide, 10% dextran sulfate, 1 mg/mL yeast RNA, 1x Denhardt's (2%

BSA, 2% Ficcoll, and 2% PVP made up to 100mL with ddH_2O)) pre-warmed to 65°C and

subsequently denatured at 95°C for 2 mins. Probes were then added to slides previously

allowed to reach room temperature. and placed in a 65°C waterbath overnight in a humidified

chamber with 5x SSC/50% formamide. Slides were subject to 3x 30 min washes at 65°C in

washing solution (50% formamide, 25 mL 1x SSC and 0.1% Tween 20 made up to 500 mL

Milli-Q H_2O) pre-warmed to 65°C. Subsequently, slides were washed 2x 30 min in 1x MABT

(100 mM maleic acid, 167 mM NaCl in ddH_2O, pH to 7.5, then 0.1% Tween 20). Slides were

blocked in 1x MABT + 2% blocking reagent + 20% heat inactivated sheep serum. A 1/2500

dilution of anti-DIG (Roche, 1109327490) in 1x MABT + 20% heat inactivated sheep serum

was then added and slides were placed in a humified chamber and allowed to incubate

overnight at room temperature. Slides were washed 5x for 20 min in 1x MABT. They were

then washed with NTMT (100 mM NaCl, 50 mM MgCl, 100 mM Tris pH 9.5 and 0.1% Tween

20 made up in ddH_2O) for 10 min followed by NTMTL (NTMT + 5 mM Levamisole) for 10 min.

Staining solution, NTMTL containing 3.75 µL/mL BCIP (Roche, 11383221001) and 5 µL/mL

NBT (Roche, 11383213001), was then added and slides were placed at 37°C to allow stain to

develop. Slides were immersed in H_2O, allowed to dry then mounted using Prolong gold

(Thermo Fisher, P39634).

Immunofluorescence

Cryosections were removed from the -80°C freezer and allowed to reach room temperature

before being subjected to antigen retrieval using a citric acid solution (10 mM citric acid

dissolved in ddH_2O, then pH to 6-6.5). Subsequently, slides were washed twice for 5 min in

PBS then blocked using 10% goat serum in PBT (0.1% Triton-X100 in PBS), with the

exception of PITX2 where anti-donkey serum was used, for 1 h at room temperature. Slides

were incubated in primary antibodies diluted in blocking solution overnight at 4°C as follows:

rabbit anti-CASP3 (1:500, Abcam, ab49822); mouse anti-COL2A1 (1:400, Santa Cruz, sc-

52659); rabbit anti-ISL1 (1:200, Abcam, ab20670); rabbit anti-LHX3 (1:250, Abcam, ab14555);

rabbit anti-NKX2-1 (1:250, Abcam, ab76013); rabbit anti-NKX2-2 (1:250, Abcam, ab191077);

rabbit anti-NKX6-1 (1:250, Abcam, ab221549); rabbit anti-OLIG2 (1:200, Abcam, ab109186);

rabbit anti-oxytocin (1:500, Abcam, ab212193); rabbit anti-PAX6 (1:350, Abcam, ab195045);

sheep anti-PITX2 (1:200, R&D, AF7388); rabbit anti-SF1 (1:200, Abcam, ab65815); rabbit

anti-TBX3 (1:200, Abcam, ab99302); rabbit anti-TH1 (1:200, Abcam, ab137869); rabbit anti-

TCF4 (1:100, Cell Signalling; C48H11); rabbit anti-vasopressin (1:500, Abcam, ab213707).

Slides were then washed 3 times for 10 min each in PBT and incubated for 1 h at room

temperature with fluorescent secondary antibodies in PBT in the dark, secondaries as follows:

goat anti-rabbit 488 (1:500, Thermo Fisher, A11034); goat anti-mouse 488 (1:500, Thermo

Fisher, A11029); donkey anti-sheep (1:500, Thermo Fisher, A11016). Slides were then

washed 3 times for 10 min each in PBT and subsequently stained with 1:10,000 DAPI in PBS

for 10 min, then washed in PBS twice for 5 min each. Lastly, slides were mounted using

Prolong Gold (Thermo Fisher, P39634) and allowed to dry prior to imaging.

References

Anderson, E., Devenney, P.S., Hill, R.E., and Lettice, L.A. (2014). Mapping the Shh long-range regulatory domain. Development *141*, 3934–3943.

Buenrostro, J.D., Wu, B., Chang, H.Y., and Greenleaf, W.J. (2015). ATAC-seq: A Method for Assaying Chromatin Accessibility Genome-Wide. Curr. Protoc. Mol. Biol. *109*, 21.29.1-9.

Burbridge, S., Stewart, I., and Placzek, M. (2016). Development of the Neuroendocrine Hypothalamus. In Comprehensive Physiology, (Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.), pp. 623–643.

Casillas, C., and Roelink, H. (2018). Gain-of-function Shh mutants activate Smo cell-autonomously independent of Ptch1/2 function. Mech. Dev. *153*, 30–41.

Chiang, C., Ying, L.T.T., Lee, E., Young, K.E., Corden, J.L., Westphal, H., and Beachy, P.A. (1996). Cyclopia and defective axial patterning in mice lacking Sonic hedgehog gene function. Nature *383*, 407–413.

Choi, K.-S., Lee, C., and Harfe, B.D. (2012). Sonic hedgehog in the notochord is sufficient for patterning of the intervertebral discs. Mech. Dev. *129*, 255–262.

Choi, Y.-H., Fujikawa, T., Lee, J., Reuter, A., and Kim, K.W. (2013). Revisiting the Ventral Medial Nucleus of the Hypothalamus: The Roles of SF-1 Neurons in Energy Homeostasis. Front. Neurosci. *7*.

Cong, L., Ran, F.A., Cox, D., Lin, S., Barretto, R., Habib, N., Hsu, P.D., Wu, X., Jiang, W., Marraffini, L.A., et al. (2013). Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. Science *339*, 819–823.

Corman, T.S., Bergendahl, S.E., and Epstein, D.J. (2018). Distinct temporal requirements for Sonic hedgehog signaling in development of the tuberal hypothalamus. Development *145*, dev167379.

Croizier, S., Chometton, S., Fellmann, D., and Risold, P.-Y. (2015). Characterization of a mammalian prosencephalic functional plan. Front. Neuroanat. *8*, 161.

Dworkin, S., Boglev, Y., Owens, H., Goldie, S., Dworkin, S., Boglev, Y., Owens, H., and Goldie, S.J. (2016). The Role of Sonic Hedgehog in Craniofacial Patterning, Morphogenesis and Cranial Neural Crest Survival. J. Dev. Biol. *4*, 24.

Ericson, J., Norlin, S., Jessell, T.M., and Edlund, T. (1998). Integrated FGF and BMP signaling controls the progression of progenitor cell differentiation and the emergence of pattern in the embryonic anterior pituitary. Development *125*, 1005–1015.

Ferrand, R. (1972). [Experimental study of the factors in cytological differentiation of the adenohypophysis in the chick embryo]. Arch. Biol. (Liege). *83*, 297–371.

Guillemot, F. (2007). Spatial and temporal specification of neural fates by transcription factor codes. Development *134*, 3771–3780.

Harfe, B.D., Scherz, P.J., Nissim, S., Tian, H., McMahon, A.P., and Tabin, C.J. (2004). Evidence for an Expansion-Based Temporal Shh Gradient in Specifying Vertebrate Digit Identities. Cell *118*, 517–528.

Hecksher-Sørensen, J., Hill, R.E., and Lettice, L. (1998). Double Labeling for Whole-Mount

In Situ Hybridization in Mouse. Biotechniques *24*, 914–918.

Hissnauer, T.N., Baranowsky, A., Pestka, J.M., Streichert, T., Wiegandt, K., Goepfert, C.,
Beil, F.T., Albers, J., Schulze, J., Ueblacker, P., et al. (2010). Identification of molecular
markers for articular cartilage. Osteoarthr. Cartil. *18*, 1630–1638.

Jeong, Y., Leskow, F.C., El-Jaick, K., Roessler, E., Muenke, M., Yocum, A., Dubourg, C., Li,
X., Geng, X., Oliver, G., et al. (2008). Regulation of a remote Shh forebrain enhancer by the
Six3 homeoprotein. Nat. Genet. *40*, 1348–1353.

Jeong, Y.S., El-Jaick, K., Roessler, E., Muenke, M., and Epstein, D.J. (2006). A functional
screen for sonic hedgehog regulatory elements across a 1 Mb interval identifies long-range
ventral forebrain enhancers. Development *133*, 761–772.

Kawamura, K., and Kikuyama, S. (1995). Induction from posterior hypothalamus is essential
for the development of the pituitary proopiomelacortin (POMC) cells of the toad (Bufo
japonicus). Cell Tissue Res. *279*, 233–239.

Kim, N., Park, C., Jeong, Y., and Song, M.-R. (2015). Functional Diversification of Motor
Neuron-specific Isl1 Enhancers during Evolution. PLOS Genet. *11*, e1005560.

Lettice, L.A., Williamson, I., Devenney, P.S., Kilanowski, F., Dorin, J., and Hill, R.E. (2014).
Development of five digits is controlled by a bipartite long-range cis-regulator. Development
141, 1715–1725.

Mackenzie, M.A.F., Jordan, S.A., Budd, P.S., and Jackson, I.J. (1997). Activation of the
Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Kit Is Required for the Proliferation of Melanoblasts in the Mouse
Embryo. Dev. Biol. *192*, 99–107.

Maddin, H.C., Piekarski, N., Sefton, E.M., and Hanken, J. (2016). Homology of the cranial
vault in birds: new insights based on embryonic fate-mapping and character analysis. R.
Soc. Open Sci. *3*, 160356.

Marcorelles, P., and Laquerriere, A. (2010). Neuropathology of holoprosencephaly. Am. J.
Med. Genet. Part C Semin. Med. Genet. *154C*, 109–119.

Ming, J.E., and Muenke, M. (2002). Multiple Hits during Early Embryonic Development:
Digenic Diseases and Holoprosencephaly. Am. J. Hum. Genet. *71*, 1017–1032.

Nagy, A., Gertsenstein, M., Vintersten, K., and Behringer, R. (2009a). Alcian blue staining of
the mouse fetal cartilaginous skeleton. Cold Spring Harb. Protoc. *2009*, pdb.prot5169.

Nagy, A., Gertsenstein, M., Vintersten, K., and Behringer, R. (2009b). Alizarin Red Staining
of Post-natal Bone in Mouse. Cold Spring Harb. Protoc. *2009*, pdb.prot5171-pdb.prot5171.

Ohyama, K., Ellis, P., Kimura, S., and Placzek, M. (2005). Directed differentiation of neural
cells to hypothalamic dopaminergic neurons. Development *132*, 5185–5197.

Puelles, L., Martinez-de-la-Torre, M., Bardet, S., and Rubenstein, J.L.R. (2012).
Hypothalamus. Mouse Nerv. Syst. 221–312.

Schwind, J.L. (1928). The development of the hypophysis cerebri of the albino rat. Am. J.
Anat. *41*, 295–319.

Sharpe, J. (2003). Optical projection tomography as a new tool for studying embryo
anatomy. J. Anat. *202*, 175–181.

Takuma, N., Sheng, H.Z., Furuta, Y., Ward, J.M., Sharma, K., Hogan, B.L., Pfaff, S.L.,
Westphal, H., Kimura, S., and Mahon, K.A. (1998). Formation of Rathke's pouch requires
dual induction from the diencephalon. Development *125*, 4835–4840.

Treier, M., Gleiberman, A.S., O'Connell, S.M., Szeto, D.P., McMahon, J.A., McMahon, A.P.,
and Rosenfeld, M.G. (1998). Multistep signaling requirements for pituitary organogenesis in
vivo. Genes Dev. *12*, 1691–1704.

Urban-Ciecko, J., and Barth, A.L. (2016). Somatostatin-expressing neurons in cortical
networks. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *17*, 401–409.

Xie, Y., and Dorsky, R.I. (2017). Development of the hypothalamus: conservation,
modification and innovation. Development *144*, 1588–1599.

Zhao, L., Zevallos, S.E., Rizzoti, K., Jeong, Y., Lovell-Badge, R., and Epstein, D.J. (2012).
Disruption of SoxB1-Dependent Sonic hedgehog Expression in the Hypothalamus Causes
Septo-optic Dysplasia. Dev. Cell *22*, 585–596.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Loss of SBE2 leads to reduced *Shh* activity in the rostral diencephalon. (A-B) Peri

and post-natal survival for $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ compound heterozygotes is shown. Statistical significance

was assessed using the Student's T-test (n=3). (C-E) *In situ* hybridisation for *Shh* in E10.5 day

heads bisected along the midline is shown for control, $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ homozygotes and

$Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ compound heterozygotes. The red and green arrows point to the rostral diencephalic

region where *Shh* expression is absent, while black arrows point to control expression. (F-H) *In*

situ hybridisation for *Ptch1* in E10.5 day bisected heads is shown. Wildtype levels of *Ptch1*

expression in rostral diencephalon in control embryos (indicated by black arrow in F), reduced

levels in $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ (indicated by red arrows in G) and undetectable levels in $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$

(indicated by green arrow in H) is shown.

Figure 2. $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos present an overall altered head shape and gross deformities.

(A) Morphometric analysis of overall head size (log Csize- log centroid size) in control and

$Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ heads at E17.5. (B) Morphometric analysis of overall head shape in control and

$Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ heads at E17.5. (C-E) Ply surface of a control E17.5 day CT scanned skull, from a

dorsal, lateral and ventral angle. (F-H) Morphed ply of a randomly chosen control E17.5.surface,

morphed based on the average of $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ PC1 landmark changes, presented from a dorsal,

lateral and ventral angle. (control n=6; mutant n=8). (I-L) OPT scan stills from E17.5 day control

and $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ heads, presenting the midline sagittal plane and the coronal nasal region. Red

arrows point to the region of absent hypothalamic tissue seen in $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos. Red lines

serve to demonstrate the reduced nasal cavities found in $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos.

Figure 3. $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos present deformities in the bones of the cranial vault. (A-D)

Dual staining highlighting chondrogenic (blue) and skeletal (red) craniofacial elements in control

and $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ E17.5 heads are shown. Pale green arrows indicate the basisphenoid bone,

yellow arrows indicate the vomer bone and the white arrows indicate the pterygoid bone. (E-F)

H&E staining of E17.5 day parasagittal cryosections from control and $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ heads. Black

arrows point to the region of the basisphenoid bone which is intercepted by the adenohypophysis

in *Shh^{ΔSBE2/null}* embryos. A zoomed out view of an E17.5 day head parasagittal cryosection is

depicted in (G). The yellow box presents the region of the head depicted in (E-F). AP-

adenohypophysis; NP- neurohypophysis; BS- basisphenoid bone; BP- base plate of the skull; NA-

nasal prominence; FB- forebrain; HB- hindbrain; TG- tongue.

Fig. 4. Bone formation is abnormal in the viscerocranium of *Shh^{ΔSBE2/null}* embryos. (A-D) *In*

situ hybridisation for *Ptch1* in E12.5 day coronal cryosections of control and *Shh^{ΔSBE2/null}* embryos.

(A&C) present the palatine region and (B&D) present the basisphenoid region. Black arrows point

to the region of *Ptch1* expression seen below the hypothalamic tissue which is absent in

Shh^{ΔSBE2/null} embryos. (I & K) Immunofluorescent staining for COL2A1 in E12.5 day coronal

cryosections of control and *Shh^{ΔSBE2/null}* embryos. (E&G) present the palatine region and (F&H)

present the basisphenoid region. Red arrows point to the region of COL2A1 expression seen below

the hypothalamic tissue which mostly is absent in *Shh^{ΔSBE2/null}* embryos. 3V- third ventricle; HP-

hypothalamus; TG- tongue; RP- Rathke's pouch. (n=3)

Fig. 5. The AP hypothalamic boundary is shifted ventrally in *Shh^{ΔSBE2/null}* embryos. (A-C)

H&E staining of E13.5 day sagittal cryosections of control, *Shh^{ΔSBE2/ΔSBE2}* and *Shh^{ΔSBE2/null}*

embryos. (D-F) *In situ* hybridisation for *Fgf10* in E11.5 day sagittal cryosections of control,

Shh^{ΔSBE2/ΔSBE2} and *Shh^{ΔSBE2/null}* embryos. Red arrows represent the distance between the MGE

and the anterior boundary of *Fgf10* expression. (G-I) Immunofluorescent staining for TCF4 in

E11.5 day sagittal cryosections of control, *Shh^{ΔSBE2/ΔSBE2}* and *Shh^{ΔSBE2/null}* embryos. White arrows

represent the distance between the posterior infundibulum and the posterior boundary of TCF4

expression. (J)- Quantification of the distance depicted by the red arrows in (D-F) in control,

Shh^{ΔSBE2/ΔSBE2} and *Shh^{ΔSBE2/null}* embryos. (K)- Quantification of the distance between depicted by

the white arrows in (G-I) in control, *Shh^{ΔSBE2/ΔSBE2}* and *Shh^{ΔSBE2/null}* embryos. (L & N)

Immunofluorescent staining for NKX2-1 in E17.5 day sagittal cryosections of control and

Shh^{ΔSBE2/null} embryos. (M & O) Immunofluorescent staining for CASP3 in E17.5 day sagittal

cryosections of control and *Shh^{ΔSBE2/null}* embryos. Red circles demarcate the region of cell death

apparent in mutant embryos. NS- not significant. BH- basal hypothalamus; IF- infundibulum; RP-

Rathke's pouch; MGE- medial ganglionic eminence.

Fig. 6. DV hypothalamic patterning is affected by loss of SBE2. (A-C) Immunofluorescent

staining for NKX2-2 in E13.5 day ventral hypothalamic (VH) parasagittal cryosections of control,

$Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ and $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos. Red arrows point to the region of NKX2-2 expression in

the basal hypothalamus which is reduced or absent upon removal of SBE2 enhancer function. (D-

F) Immunofluorescent staining for PAX6 in E13.5 day VH parasagittal cryosections of control,

$Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ and $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos. White arrows point to the region of ectopic PAX6

expression in the BH which is seen upon removal of SBE2 function. (G-I) Immunofluorescent

staining for OLIG2 in E13.5 day VH parasagittal cryosections of control, $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ and

$Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos. White arrows point to the ectopic regions of expression of OLIG2 in the BH

which are seen upon removal of SBE2 function. (J-L) Immunofluorescent staining for NKX6-1 in

E13.5 day VH parasagittal cryosections of control, $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ and $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos. Red

arrows point to the region of NKX6-1 expression in the AH which is absent upon removal of SBE2

function whilst white arrows point to the region of ectopic expression in the BH seen in

$Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ embryos. AH- alar hypothalamus; BH- basal hypothalamus; IF- infundibulum; RP-

Rathke's pouch.

Fig. 7. DV hypothalamic neuronal populations are affected by loss of SBE2. (A-C)

Immunofluorescent staining for NKX2-1 in E13.5 day VH parasagittal cryosections of control,

$Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ and $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos. Red arrows point to the regions devoid of NKX2-1

expression which are found upon removal of SBE2 function. (D-F) Immunofluorescent staining for

ISL1 in E13.5 day VH parasagittal cryosections of control, $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ and $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$

embryos. Red arrows point to the regions of the BH where ISL1 is mostly absent in $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$

embryos. (G-I) Immunofluorescent staining for SF1 in E13.5 day VH parasagittal cryosections of

control, $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ and $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/null}$ embryos. Red arrows point to the region of the BH where

SF1 expression is reduced or absent upon removal of SBE2 function. (J-L) Immunofluorescent

staining for somatostatin in E13.5 day VH parasagittal cryosections of control, $Shh^{\Delta SBE2/\Delta SBE2}$ and

Shh^{ΔSBE2/null} embryos. Red arrows point to the region of the BH where somatostatin is lost in

Shh^{ΔSBE2/null} embryos. A zoomed in view of the region of somatostatin expression is presented in

the green boxes in the upper right hand corner of (J & K). AH- alar hypothalamus; BH- basal

hypothalamus; IF- infundibulum; RP- Rathke's pouch.

A

Embryonic day 17.5 (E17.5)

	Total no.	Control	Single het	Compound het
Observed	41	10	19	12
Expected	-	10	20	10

p=0.7985

B

Post-natal day 14

	Total no.	Control	Single het	Compound het
Observed	24	4	20	0
Expected	-	6	12	6

p=0.0025

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3.

Figure 4.

Figure 5.

Figure 6

Figure 7