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#### Abstract

Recombination shapes the evolutionary trajectory of populations and plays an important role in the faithful transmission of chromosomes during meiosis. Levels of sexual reproduction and recombination are important properties of host-pathogen interactions because the speed of antagonistic co-evolution depends on the ability of hosts and pathogens to generate genetic variation. However, our understanding of the importance of recombination is limited because large taxonomic groups remain poorly investigated. Here, we analyze recombination rate variation in the basidiomycete fungus Armillaria ostoyae, which is an aggressive pathogen on a broad range of conifers and other trees. We constructed a dense genetic map using 198 single basidiospore progeny from a cross. Progeny were genotyped at a genome-wide set of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers using double digest restriction site associated DNA sequencing (ddRADseq). Based on a linkage map of on 11,700 SNPs spanning 1007.5 cM , we assembled genomic scaffolds into 11 putative chromosomes of a total genome size of 56.6 Mb . We identified 1984 crossover events among all progeny and found that recombination rates were highly variable along chromosomes. Recombination hotspots tended to be in regions close to the telomeres and were more gene-poor than the genomic background. Genes in proximity to recombination hotspots were encoding on average shorter proteins and were enriched for pectin degrading enzymes. Our analyses enable more powerful population and genome-scale studies of a major tree pathogen.
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## Introduction

Recombination shapes the evolution of chromosomes and the evolutionary trajectory of populations (Haenel et al, 2018; Otto and Lenormand, 2002). Crossovers enable the pairing and proper disjunction of homologous chromosomes during meiosis and are essential for the long-term maintenance of chromosomal integrity (Fledel-Alon et al, 2009; Hassold and Hunt, 2001). Loss of recombination on chromosomes is often associated with degenerative sequence evolution including gene loss and deleterious rearrangements. For example, the consequences of recombination cessation largely shaped the evolution of sex chromosomes and mating-type regions in animal, plants and fungi (Charlesworth et al, 2000; Wilson and Makova, 2009). Recombination also has a fundamental impact on the organization of genetic variation within populations. Recombination breaks up linkage between alleles at different loci, thereby generating novel combinations across loci that can be exposed to selection. Decreased linkage between loci increases the efficacy of selection and, hence, promotes adaptation (Hill and Robertson, 2009; Otto and Barton, 1997; Otto and Lenormand, 2002). However, recombination can also break up linkage between co-adapted alleles across loci, thereby creating a potential evolutionary conflict.

The study of the role of sex and levels of recombination is particularly important for our understanding of coevolutionary arms races in host-pathogen interactions. Host populations are thought to be under strong selection to maintain sexual reproduction to escape co-evolving pathogens by generating novel genotypes (Hamilton, 1980; Lively, 2010; Morran et al, 2011). Similarly, pathogens are under strong selection pressure to adapt to resistant hosts. In addition to mutation rates, the level of recombination is likely under selection in pathogen populations (Croll et al, 2015; Möller and Stukenbrock, 2017; Sánchez-Vallet et al, 2018). Notable cases of pathogen emergence driven by recombination include epidemic influenza viruses (Nelson and Holmes, 2007), typhoid fever caused by Salmonella enterica (Didelot et al, 2007; Holt et al, 2008) and toxoplasmosis caused by outcrossing Toxoplasma gondii strains (Wendte et al, 2010). Sexual reproduction is also prevalent in many fungal plant pathogens playing an important role in adaptive evolution (Möller and Stukenbrock,
2017). In particular in crop pathogens, the level of recombination was proposed as a predictor for the speed at which the pathogen will overcome host resistance (McDonald and Linde, 2002; Stukenbrock and McDonald, 2008). While pathogens of crop received significant attention to elucidate the organization of genetic variation and the impact of recombination on genome evolution (Croll et al, 2015; Stukenbrock and Dutheil, 2018), the role of recombination in the evolution of tree pathogens or saprophytes is still largely unknown.

An important group of fungal tree pathogens and saprophytes is represented by the basidiomycete genus Armillaria. The numerous fungi of this genus play an important role in the dynamics of forest ecosystems worldwide (Heinzelmann et al, 2019; Shaw III and Kile, 1991). With their ability to degrade all structural components of dead wood causing a white-rot, Armillaria species contribute significantly to nutrient cycling in forest ecosystems (Hood et al, 1991). Moreover, Armillaria species act as facultative pathogens infecting the root systems of healthy or weakened trees, and eventually cause tree mortality (Guillaumin et al, 2005). In timber plantations, the presence of Armillaria root disease causes substantial economic losses (Laflamme and Guillaumin, 2005), whereas in natural forest ecosystems the disease impacts forest succession, structure and composition (Bendel et al, 2006; Hood et al, 1991; McLaughlin, 2001). In the Northern Hemisphere, Armillaria ostoyae is of special importance. It is widely distributed in North America and Eurasia and recognized as an aggressive pathogen on a broad range of conifers and other trees (Anderson and Ullrich, 1979; Guillaumin et al, 1993; Morrison et al, 1985; Ota et al, 1998; Qin et al, 2007). A. ostoyae challenges current containment strategies and the search for new control strategies is ongoing (Heinzelmann et al, 2019).

Armillaria spp. have relatively large and recently expanded genomes (Aylward et al, 2017; Sipos et al, 2017). Recently, the genomes of a European and a North American A. ostoyae strain were published (Sipos et al, 2017). The genome assembly of the European strain (SBI C18/9) is of 60.1 Mb and split into 106 scaffolds. The genome assembly for the North American strain (28-4) is similar in length $(58.0 \mathrm{Mb})$ but considerably more fragmented. However, none of the to date published Armillaria genomes is yet assembled to chromosome-scale sequences (Collins et al, 2013; Sipos et al,

2017; Wingfield et al, 2016). Expanded gene families in Armillaria include pathogenicity-related genes, enzymes involved in lignocellulose-degradation and Armillaria-specific genes with mostly unknown functions (Sipos et al, 2017). Interestingly, in comparison with other white-rot fungi, Armillaria shows an under-representation of ligninolytic gene families and an overrepresentation of pectinolytic gene families (Sipos et al, 2017). A. ostoyae is out-crossing and progeny populations were successfully used to identify the genetic basis of a major colony morphology mutant phenotype (Heinzelmann et al, 2017). However, further insights into genome evolution of Armillaria and the genetic basis of phenotypic traits are hampered by a lack of a dense recombination map and a fully finished reference genome.

In this study, we first aimed to establish a chromosome-scale assembly for A. ostoyae using a dense recombination map. Second, we aimed to test for variation in recombination rates within and among chromosomes to identify putative recombination hotspots. Finally, we analyzed genomic correlates of recombination rate variation including GC-content, gene density and content of transposable elements.

## Material and Methods

## Mapping population, construction of genetic map and comparison with reference genome

The mapping population used in this study consisted of 198 single basidiospore progeny of the diploid A. ostoyae strain C15 (WSL Phytopathology culture collection number: M4408). This strain was collected from a Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris) situated in a forest stand in the Swiss Plateau (Prospero et al, 2004). The haploid progeny were obtained from a single basidiocarp obtained in vitro as described previously (Heinzelmann et al, 2017). The haploid progeny and the diploid parent were genotyped at a genome-wide set of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers making use of double digest restriction site associated DNA sequencing (ddRADseq). The genetic map was constructed de novo using R/ASMap version 0.4-4 (Taylor and Butler, 2017) which is based on the

MSTmap algorithm of Wu et al (2008). The significance threshold was set to $P=10^{-5}$. The marker order in the final genetic map was compared to the order in the genome of the haploid A. ostoyae strain SBI C18/9 (assembly version 2, May 2016, Sipos et al, 2017). Strain SBI C18/9 (WSL Phytopathology culture collection number: M9390) originates from Switzerland but is unrelated to strain C15.

## Construction of chromosome-scale sequences

The scaffolds of the reference genome were assembled into near chromosome-scale sequences, hereafter termed pseudochromosomes, based on the order of scaffolds within linkage groups. Scaffolds which were split by the genetic map into fragments mapping to different linkage groups or well separated regions (i.e. > 650 kb apart) of a linkage group were broken up into fragments. Emerging, unanchored sequences were removed. Scaffolds (or fragments thereof) that were joined into pseudochromosomes were separated by gaps of 10 kb . Scaffolds and scaffold fragments which were not oriented by the genetic map were orientated randomly. The completeness of both the original genome assembly and the pseudochromosomes and the corresponding gene annotations was compared with BUSCO version 3.1.0 (Simão et al, 2015) using the Basidiomycota dataset (library basidiomycota_odb9).

## Count and distribution of crossover events

The number of crossover events per progeny and pseudochromosome was extracted from the genetic map using the countXO function of the R/qtl package, version 1.40-8 (Broman et al, 2003). We used locateXO (R/qtl) to identify the position of crossover events and extract flanking markers. For each crossover event, we calculated the physical distance of the two flanking markers. To check for the presence of potential non-crossover (= non-reciprocal recombination events), the distance of two consecutive crossover events on a pseudochromosome was calculated. We assessed the minimal distance of crossover events as the physical distance between the first marker following a crossover and the last marker before the next crossover.

## Recombination rate variation along pseudochromosomes

For each pseudochromosome, the recombination rate was estimated in non-overlapping 20 kb segments as follows. First, genetic positions were linearly interpolated every 20 kb based on genetic and physical positions of markers using the approx function of the of the R package 'stats', version 3.4.0 (R Development Core Team, 2017). Next, the genetic distance per segment was calculated as the difference in genetic distance of the end and start point of the segment. Finally, the recombination rate per segment was obtained by dividing the interpolated genetic distance by the segment size. A segment size of 20 kb was considered appropriate because the physical distance between consecutive markers (excluding marker pairs with a distance of $\leq 400 \mathrm{bp}$ to avoid spurious marker resolution through markers associated with the same restriction site) was less than 10 kb for $\sim 50 \%$ of marker pairs, and less than 20 kb for $\sim 75 \%$ of the marker pairs (Figure 1). We tested for heterogeneity of recombination rate along the pseudochromosomes by comparing the observed distribution of recombination rates per segment with the expected distribution using Fisher's exact test. For this, the 20 kb segments were binned into categories of $0,1,2,3,4,5,6-15 \mathrm{cM}$. A Poisson distribution with lambda equaling the average cM per segment was used as the expected distribution. $P$-values were estimated by Monte Carlo simulations with $10^{6}$ replicates. This test was conducted for each pseudochromosome independently and all pseudochromosomes together.

## Identification and characterization of recombination hotspots

We identified recombination hotspots in the genome by searching for 20 kb segments with unusually high recombination rates (i.e. $\geq 200 \mathrm{cM} / \mathrm{Mb}$ ). To account for the uncertainty in the identification of exact crossover locations, 20 kb segments with recombination rates $\geq 200 \mathrm{cM} / \mathrm{Mb}$ were conservatively extended by 15 kb on each side to define 50 kb recombination hotspot windows. In cases where two adjacent 20 kb segments had recombination rates $\geq 200 \mathrm{cM} / \mathrm{Mb}$, one 50 kb hotspot centered on the two segments was created. Hotspots overlapping with assembly gaps were excluded. We assessed the correlation of GC-content, as well as gene and transposable element density with recombination hotspots. For this, the pseudochromosomes were divided into non-overlapping 50 kb segments. Segments were analyzed for GC-content and percentage of gene and transposable element
coverage. Transposable elements were identified and annotated with RepeatModeler version 1.0.8 (A. F. A. Smit and R. Hubley, RepeatModeler Open-1.0 2008-2015; http://www.repeatmasker.org) and RepeatMasker version 4.0.5 (A. F. A. Smit, R. Hubley, and P. Green, RepeatMasker Open-4.0 20132015; http://www.repeatmasker.org).

In addition, we assessed the correlation of recombination hotspots with certain gene properties and functions. Genes were functionally annotated using InterProScan version 5.19-58.0 (Jones et al, 2014). Protein families (PFAM) domain and gene ontology (GO) terms were assigned using hidden Markov models (HMM). Secretion signals, transmembrane, cytoplasmic, and extracellular domains were predicted using SignalP version 4.1 (Petersen et al, 2011), Phobius version 1.01 (Käll et al, 2004), and TMHMM version 2.0 (Krogh et al, 2001). A protein was conservatively considered as secreted only if SignalP and Phobius both predicted a secretion signal and no transmembrane domain was identified by either Phobius or TMHMM. Small secreted proteins were defined as secreted proteins shorter than 300 amino acids. Detailed gene annotations are provided in Supplementary Table S1. For plant cell wall degrading enzymes, i.e. enzymes involved in pectin, cellulose and hemicellulose and lignin degradation we relied on the annotations and categorization of Sipos et al (2017) (Supplementary Table S2). Similarly, we considered pathogenicity-related genes (including secondary metabolite genes) as identified by Sipos et al (2017) (Supplementary Table S3).

## Results

## Anchoring of the genome assembly to near chromosome-scale sequences

The genome of A. ostoyae strain C18/9 was sequenced using PacBio and Illumina sequencing technologies. PacBio reads were assembled into 106 scaffolds ranging from 5.0 kb to 6.4 Mb and polished using Illumina reads (Sipos et al, 2017). The total assembled genome size was 60.1 Mb . Here, we used a genetic map constructed for A. ostoyae strain C15 to assemble the genomic scaffolds into putative chromosomes (or pseudochromosomes). The genetic map was based on 11,700 high-
quality SNP markers segregating in the mapping population. It contained 11 linkage groups and had a total length of 1007.5 cM (Heinzelmann et al, 2017). We were able to anchor 61 of the 109 scaffolds, which corresponds to $93 \%$ of the total sequence length of the genome assembly. The remaining 45 scaffolds were relatively short ( 5.0 to 338.3 kb ). Overall, we observed a very high co-linearity of the marker order in the genetic map and the reference genome. Discrepancies were found in 13 scaffolds (scaffolds $1,2,4,7,9,10,12,14,16,18,27,28$ and 30 ). These scaffolds were split by the genetic map into 2-4 fragments that individually mapped either to different linkage groups or to well separated locations (i.e. $>650 \mathrm{~kb}$ apart) within the same linkage group (Supplementary Table S4). All scaffolds splits were supported by multiple markers from different restriction sites. In addition, we found that a part of scaffold 26 might be inverted or translocated in the genetic map relative to the reference genome.

Based on the genetic map, most of the anchored scaffolds $(87.2 \%)$ could be oriented within pseudochromosomes (Table 1). Scaffolds (and fragments thereof) which could not be oriented ( $n=10$ ) were all short ( 44.1 to 246.7 kb ). Each of the constructed pseudochromosomes was composed of 4 to 10 scaffolds or scaffold fragments. The total length of pseudochromosomes ranged from 3.3 to 7.0 Mb . The assembly into pseudochromosomes anchored 19 scaffolds with terminal telomeric repeats $(\text { TTAGGG })_{\searrow 7}$, which were all located at the extremities of pseudochromosomes. An additional scaffold with terminal telomeric repeats could not be anchored. Overall, seven of the 11 pseudochromosomes had telomeres on both ends and the other four at one end, indicating that the pseudochromosomes represent in most cases nearly complete chromosomes (Table 1). The shortest pseudochromosome (LG 11) is substantially shorter than the others and might be missing a substantial portion of a chromosomal arm.

## Frequency and distribution of crossover events

In total, we identified 1984 crossover events among all 198 progeny and 11 pseudochromosomes. The precision of crossover localization as determined by the spacing of SNP markers was below 20 kb for $33.6 \%$ and below 50 kb for $68.6 \%$ of crossover events (Figure 1). Consecutive crossover events on a chromosome were usually spaced far apart (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S1). On average, the
distance between consecutive crossover events was at least 3.7 Mb with the closest two events being 0.17 Mb and the most distant 6.8 Mb apart. The large distance between crossover events indicates that most represent true crossovers, as non-crossovers are expected at much shorter distances. The possibly incomplete pseudochromosome LG 11 was discarded from the above analysis. The total number of crossover events observed per pseudochromosome varied from 115 (LG 11) to 214 (LG 1) (Table 2). The number of crossover events per progeny and chromosome varied from 0 to 3 with a median count of 1 . Observing 3 crossovers on a chromosome was rare. We found no progeny with 3 crossovers on LG 2, LG 10 and LG 11 and a maximum of 7 progeny with 3 crossovers on LG 3. Pseudochromosome LG 11 had a very low mean crossover count compared to the other pseudochromosomes ( 0.58 vs .0 .80 - 1.08). On LG 11 , only $4.5 \%$ of progeny were showing $\geq 2$ crossover events compared to the other pseudochromosomes where $16-30 \%$ of progeny were showing 2 or 3 crossover events. This suggests that LG 11 is possibly missing a major part of a chromosomal arm without evidence what sequence constitutes the missing chromosomal fragment.

## Heterogeneity of recombination rate along pseudochromosomes

The recombination rates estimated in non-overlapping 20 kb segments along pseudochromosomes were highly heterogeneous and varied from 0 to $737 \mathrm{cM} / \mathrm{Mb}$ (Figure 2). The median recombination rate was $2.5 \mathrm{cM} / \mathrm{Mb}$. We tested whether the degree of heterogeneity along pseudochromosomes was deviating from a random distribution. When all pseudochromosomes (except pseudochromosome LG 11) were tested together, the recombination rate distribution was significantly different than a random distribution (Fisher's exact test, $P<10^{-6}$, lambda of simulated distribution $=0.29$ ). When tested individually, the recombination rate heterogeneity was significantly different than random on all but three pseudochromosomes (Table 3). In general, the highest recombination rates were observed towards the telomeres (Figure 2). We observed an inverse relationship of pseudochromosome length and recombination rate $\left(\mathrm{r}_{\text {Pearson }}=-0.77, P=0.009\right.$, pseudochromosome LG 11 excluded $)$.

## Recombination hotspots

We defined recombination hotspots as narrow chromosomal tracts with the highest recombination rates. For this, we selected tracts of 20 kb chromosomal segments with recombination rates $\geq 200$
$\mathrm{cM} / \mathrm{Mb}$. Both, the average and median recombination rate per 20 kb segment were with values of 17.6 $\mathrm{cM} / \mathrm{Mb}$ and $2.5 \mathrm{cM} / \mathrm{Mb}$, respectively, substantially lower. The probability to observe a 20 kb segment with a recombination rate of $\geq 200 \mathrm{cM} / \mathrm{Mb}$ by chance was $P=4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ (Poisson distribution with lambda $=0.35 \mathrm{cM}$, which equals the average genetic distance per segment). In total, we identified 30 segments of 20 kb with recombination rates $\geq 200 \mathrm{cM} / \mathrm{Mb}$. These segments represent only $1.1 \%$ of the analyzed genome sequence, but they accounted for $20.6 \%$ of the cumulative recombination rate. Overall, we found 19 distinct recombination hotspots on LG 1 to LG 10 (Figure 2). While pseudochromosome LG 11 was excluded from the above analyses, including LG 11 did not meaningfully affect the outcome of the above analyses (data not shown). On LG 11, we also identified two recombination hotspots (Figure 2).

## Association of recombination hotspots with sequence characteristics and gene content

A. ostoyae has a gene dense genome composed of $45.6 \%$ coding sequences (both when analyzing the complete scaffold assembly and the pseudochromosomes). The pseudochromosomes contained slightly less genes ( 21350 vs. 22705) compared to the complete scaffold assembly. The reduction in BUSCO completeness was reduced from $95.6 \%$ in the complete scaffold assembly to $95.2 \%$ in the pseudochromosomes. The content of transposable elements in the A. ostoyae genome is moderate ( $18.7 \%$ in the complete scaffold assembly and $14.5 \%$ in pseudochromosomes). Transposable elements tend to cluster and coincide with chromosomal regions with a lower GC-content and lower coding sequence density (Figure 3).

We found that recombination hotspots (defined as 50 kb windows centered on identified hotspots) had a significantly lower density in coding sequences compared to the genomic background ( $32.4 \pm$ $10.5 \%\left( \pm\right.$ standard deviation) vs. $45.7 \pm 13.4 \%$; Mann-Whitney $U$ test, $W=4482, P=2.9 \times 10^{-5}$ ) (Figure 4). The density of transposable elements in recombination hotspots was not significantly different to the genomic background ( $8.0 \pm 8.1 \%$ vs. $14.2 \pm 18.6 \%$; Mann-Whitney $U$ test, $W=9826$, $P=0.798$ ) (Figure 4). Transposable element densities varied widely among windows. The median hotspot window had a transposable element density of $4.8 \%$ compared to the $5.4 \%$ in the genomic
background. GC-content was nearly identical in recombination hotspots and the genomic background $(48.3 \pm 0.8 \%$ vs. $48.4 \pm 1.2 \%$; Mann-Whitney $U$ test, $W=8337, P=0.145$ ) (Figure 4).

We found that genes overlapping with recombination hotspots were encoding on average shorter proteins (Mann-Whitney $U$ test, $W=2752400, P=9.6 \times 10^{-8}$ ) (Figure 4). Protein length averaged $322.8 \pm 268.1$ amino acids in recombination hotspots and $406.1 \pm 339.4$ amino acids in the genomic background. Proteins encoded in recombination hotspots were less likely to contain conserved PFAM domains (Fisher's exact test, $P=8.2 \times 10^{-5}$ ) compared to the genomic background ( $34.9 \% \mathrm{vs} .45 .7 \%$ ) (Figure 4). In the chromosomal context, we noted a lower density of genes with conserved PFAM domains at chromosome peripheries compared to chromosome centers (Figure 5). The frequency of genes encoding secreted proteins as well as small secreted proteins (<300 aa) we found to be similar between recombination hotspots and the genomic background (Supplementary Table S5). Next, we analyzed plant cell wall degrading enzymes. Genes encoding pectin degrading enzymes were significantly overrepresented in recombination hotspots compared to the genomic background (Fisher's exact test, $P=0.007$ ) whereas genes encoding cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin degrading enzymes were similarly distributed among hotspots and the genomic background (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table S5). Pathogenicity-related genes (Sipos et al, 2017) tended to be more frequent in hotspots $v s$. non-hotspot regions, but the difference was not statistically significant (Supplementary Table S5). Overall, pathogenicity-related genes showed mostly a scattered distribution among all pseudochromosomes except for LG 6 where a large cluster of pathogenicity-related genes was observed (Figure 5). While pseudochromosome LG 11 was excluded from the above analyses, including LG 11 did not meaningfully affect the outcome of the above analyses (data not shown).

## Discussion

We constructed a dense genetic map for A. ostoyae that enabled assembling a chromosome-scale reference genome. The presence of telomeric repeats on all but four pseudochromosomal ends
indicates that nearly all chromosomes are completely assembled. Recombination rates increased from central regions towards the pseudochromosomal ends (i.e. telomeres). This further confirms the reliability of the chromosomal assembly. In addition, all chromosomes contain a putative centromere region of variable length (Figure 3), which is, as in other fungi, characterized by high transposable element density, low gene density, absence of gene transcription and reduced CG-content (Müller et al, 2019; Smith et al, 2012; Yadav et al, 2018). Putative centromere regions were located within chromosomal regions avoid of recombination, consistent with the findings from other fungi (Laurent et al, 2018; Mancera et al, 2008; Müller et al, 2019). The exact location and length of centromere regions, however, needs to be confirmed using chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (CHIPseq) as applied in other basidiomycetes (Yadav et al, 2018).

The previous assembly of the A. ostoyae genome into sub-chromosomal scaffolds was highly complete as assessed by BUSCO (Sipos et al, 2017). Even though we were unable to place $\sim 7 \%$ of the total scaffold sequences, the unplaced scaffolds seem to contain mostly repetitive sequences. This was evident from the fact that our chromosome-scale assembly had only a very slightly reduced assembly completeness ( $95.2 \% \mathrm{vs} .95 .6 \%$ of BUSCO genes). The transposable element content of our assembly is indeed quite lower compared to the scaffold-level assembly ( $14.5 \%$ vs. $18.7 \%$ ). The status of the unplaced, repeat-rich scaffolds is difficult to assess. Our genetic map clearly lacked sufficient reliable markers to place small, repeat-rich scaffolds. We also identified a small number of discrepancies between the assembled scaffolds and the corresponding genetic map. These discrepancies were in all cases disjunctions of scaffolds and may be due to genetic differences between the sequenced strain (SBI C18/9) and the parental strain used for genetic mapping (C15). Some discrepancies may also stem from scaffold assembly errors. To fully resolve the causes for these discrepancies additional long-read sequencing is necessary. The pseudochromosome LG 11 is less complete and likely misses a substantial part of a chromosomal arm. This was evident from the short genetic map length and the markedly reduced number of progeny with at least 2 crossover events compared to the other pseudochromosomes ( $4.5 \% \mathrm{vs} .16-30 \%$ ). The missing sequence may contain the rDNA cluster, which is challenging to assemble even with long-read sequencing and may
constitute a substantial fraction of a fungal chromosome (Sonnenberg et al, 2016; Van Kan et al, 2017). The scaffold assembly of A. ostoyae contains a scaffold with three units of the rDNA repeat. However, we were unable to place this scaffold supporting the idea that our LG 11 assembly lacks the rDNA repeat. Alternatively, the missing chromosomal fragment may represent a major structural variation segregating between the strains SBI C18/9 and C15.

The identification of 11 pseudochromosomes (or linkage groups) provides the first estimate of the haploid chromosome number for an Armillaria species. Other species form the order Agaricales were found to have similar chromosome numbers: e.g. Agaricus bisporus ( $\mathrm{n}=13$, Sonnenberg et al, 1996), Coprinopsis cinerea ( $\mathrm{n}=13$, Muraguchi et al, 2003), Pleurotus ostreatus $(\mathrm{n}=11$, Larraya et al, 1999) or Laccaria montana ( $\mathrm{n}=9$, Mueller et al, 1993). Given that our genetic map reached marker saturation and covers $93 \%$ of the scaffold-level assembly, the presence of additional chromosomes is highly unlikely. Karyotyping (e.g. by pulsed field gel electrophoresis) and high-density optical mapping would provide further confirmation of chromosome numbers and sizes, and likely resolve the placement of the remaining scaffolds. In particular, an optical map may help to resolve the size and position of the highly repetitive rDNA cluster (Van Kan et al, 2017).

The total size of the genetic map for A. ostoyae was 1007.5 cM and falls into the range of genetic map sizes observed for other basidiomycetes (Foulongne-Oriol, 2012). However, the total genetic map size depends on chromosome numbers and chromosomal recombination rates, which both vary substantially among fungal species. The A. ostoyae chromosomes all had a map length of 80.6-108.6 cM (with the exception of LG 11). This represents approximately two crossover events per bivalent and meiosis, which is consistent with the number of progeny observed with $0(\sim 25 \%)$, or $1(\sim 50 \%)$ or $2(\sim 25 \%)$ crossovers per chromosome. Chromosomal crossover counts vary considerably among fungal species. For example, in A. bisporus there is on average just one obligate crossover per bivalent for all chromosomes (Sonnenberg et al, 2016), whereas in Saccharomyces cerevisiae the average is $\sim 6$ crossovers per bivalent (Mancera et al, 2008). Interestingly, in some fungi there is a strong positive
correlation between chromosomes size and the number of crossovers (Mancera et al, 2008; Roth et al, 2018), but we found no such apparent correlation in A. ostoyae.

The recombination landscape of $A$. ostoyae follows a canonical pattern, with increased recombination towards the peripheries of chromosomes and decreased recombination towards centromeres. The most striking deviations in these patterns are recombination hotspots. Such recombination hotspots are observed in many fungal species (Croll et al, 2015; Laurent et al, 2018; Müller et al, 2019; Roth et al, 2018; Van Kan et al, 2017), however their specific role in genome and gene evolution is still largely unknown. In the wheat pathogen Zymoseptoria tritic recombination hotspots may serve as ephemeral genome compartments favoring the emergence of fast-evolving virulence genes (Croll et al, 2015). Recombination hotspots in A. ostoyae were with two exceptions all located at the peripheries of chromosomes, where gene densities are low and gene functions are less conserved. From an evolutionary perspective, placing recombination hotspots distal from conserved housekeeping genes should be favorable given the mutagenic potential of hotspots. Interestingly, we found that genes involved in pectin degradation were enriched in recombination hotspots compared to the genomic background. Pectin is a major component of the plant cell wall and pectinolytic enzymes are among the first enzymes secreted by plant pathogens during host infection (Herbert et al, 2003). However, pectinolytic enzymes may also serve as effectors and induce plant defense reactions (Herbert et al, 2003). Hence, rapid evolution of pectinolytic enzymes may provide an advantage to Armillaria in its arms race with its hosts.
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## Figure legends

Figure 1. Resolution of the genetic map constructed for Armillaria ostoyae strain C15, distance of crossover events and accuracy of crossover placement. A) Physical distance between consecutive markers (marker pairs associated with the same restriction site excluded, see Materials and Methods) on pseudochromosomes LG 1 to LG 11. B) Physical distance of consecutive crossover events. The shortest distance recorded is 0.17 Mb . Because a major part of the left chromosome arm of pseudochromosome LG 11 may be missing, this pseudochromosome was excluded from this analysis. C) Physical distance of the two markers flanking a crossover. All pseudochromosomes were included.

Figure 2. Recombination landscape of Armillaria ostoyae strain C15. Recombination rates were estimated in non-overlapping 20 kb segments. Vertical grey bars indicate the location of recombination hotspots defined as 50 kb windows centered on one or two adjacent 20 kb segments with recombination rates $\geq 200 \mathrm{cM} / \mathrm{Mb}$. A potential recombination hotspot on LG 1 at 6 Mb was not considered a recombination hotspot because of an overlap with an assembly gap.

Figure 3. Characteristics of the Armillaria ostoyae pseudochromosomes. For each pseudochromosome, the first panel shows the genetic map position vs. the physical position of SNP markers (black dots). Gene density is shown in red and the density of transposable elements (TEs) is shown in blue. The position of recombination hotspots is indicated by grey vertical bars. Stars indicate approximate location of putative centromere regions. The second panel shows the GC content in green. The gray dashed line indicates the average GC content across all pseudochromosomes. Gene density, TE density and GC content were all estimated in non-overlapping 50 kb windows. The third panel shows gene expression levels in the cap of a fruiting body of the diploid A. ostoyae strain C18, which is the parental strain of the sequenced monosporous strain. Average gene expression among three biological replicates is shown. Expression data are retrieved from Sipos et al. (2017). RPKM = Reads per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads. The fourth panel shows the position of

BUSCO genes. Complete single copy BUSCO genes are shown in red and duplicated BUSCO genes are shown in blue.

Figure 4. Characteristics of recombination hotspots in comparison to the genomic background. A) GC content, B) coding sequence density, C) density of transposable elements (TEs), D) protein length, E) percentage of genes with conserved domains (i.e. with PFAM annotation) and $\mathbf{F}$ ) percentage of plant cell wall degrading genes (including pectin, cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin degrading genes) and pathogenicity-related genes. A-C were estimated for the genomic background in non-overlapping 50 kb windows.

Figure 5. Distribution of genes encoding plant cell wall degrading (PCWD) enzymes (top bar), pathogenicity-related proteins (second bar), secreted proteins (third bar) and genes with conserved domains (i.e. PFAM annotation) (bottom bar) along the pseudochromosomes of Armillaria ostoyae. The following categories of plant cell wall degrading enzyme are distinguished: pectin degrading enzymes (blue), cellulose and hemicellulose degrading enzymes (red) and lignin degrading enzymes (black). Of the pathogenicity-related proteins, the three most frequent categories are highlighted: NRPS-like synthases (black), hydrophobins (dark brown) and carboxylesterases (medium brown). All other types of pathogenicity-related proteins are colored in light brown. Short secreted proteins (<300 aa) are indicated in green, whereas all other secreted proteins are indicated in black. The density of genes with conserved domains is highest in dark areas and lowest in brighter areas. The locations of recombination hotspots are indicated by vertical gray bars spanning the horizontal bars.
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## Tables

Table 1. Overview of the pseudochromosomes constructed for Armillaria ostoyae based one the genetic map constructed in the progeny of the diploid A. ostoyae strain C15 and the genome assembly for the haploid A. ostoyae strain SBI C18/9.

| Pseudochrom osome | Physical length (bp) | Genetic map length (cM) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Recombination rate (cM/Mb) | Number of scaffolds ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Left telomere ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Right telomere ${ }^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LG 1 | 6,974,893 | 108.6 | 15.6 | 10 (8) | X | X |
| LG 2 | 4,262,795 | 102.9 | 24.1 | 7 (7) | X | $\mathrm{X}^{\text {d }}$ |
| LG 3 | 6,235,469 | 102.4 | 16.4 | 6 (6) | X | X |
| LG 4 | 5,066,647 | 98.9 | 19.5 | 9 (8) | X | - |
| LG 5 | 6,229,232 | 97.0 | 15.6 | 5 (5) | X | X |
| LG 6 | 4,611,551 | 93.9 | 20.4 | 9 (7) | X | X |
| LG 7 | 4,688,545 | 93.5 | 19.9 | 8 (7) | - | X |
| LG 8 | 5,329,788 | 89.0 | 16.7 | 8 (7) | X | X |
| LG 9 | 5,393,285 | 82.5 | 15.3 | 5 (3) | X | - |
| LG 10 | 4,532,110 | 80.6 | 17.8 | 7 (6) | X | $\mathrm{X}^{\text {e }}$ |
| LG $11{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 3,341,870 | 58.2 | 17.4 | 4 (4) | - | X |
| Total | 56,666,185 | 1007.5 |  | 78 (68) |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Length of corresponding linkage group
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Number of scaffolds or scaffold fragments contained in the pseudochromosome; in parentheses, the number of scaffolds or scaffold fragments which could be orientated.
${ }^{c} \mathrm{X}$ telomere present, - telomere absent.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Telomere interrupted by $\sim 200 \mathrm{bp}$ other sequence.
${ }^{e}$ Two consecutive scaffolds with terminal telomeric repeats. At least 200 '000 bp other sequence between stretches of telomeric repeats.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Pseudochromosome possibly incomplete.

653 Table 2. Overview of crossover counts per linkage group in the progeny of the diploid A. ostoyae strain C15.

| Pseudochromosome | Occurrence of progeny with ... crossovers |  |  |  | Median crossover count | Mean crossover count | Crossover count per Mb | No. of crossovers total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| LG 1 | 47 | 91 | 57 | 3 | 1.00 | 1.08 | 0.15 | 214 |
| LG 2 | 44 | 106 | 48 | 0 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 0.24 | 202 |
| LG 3 | 52 | 98 | 41 | 7 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 0.16 | 201 |
| LG 4 | 51 | 101 | 45 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.19 | 194 |
| LG 5 | 56 | 92 | 44 | 6 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.16 | 198 |
| LG 6 | 60 | 97 | 37 | 4 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.20 | 183 |
| LG 7 | 57 | 101 | 39 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.20 | 182 |
| LG 8 | 60 | 102 | 35 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.88 | 0.17 | 175 |
| LG 9 | 70 | 96 | 30 | 2 | 1.00 | 0.82 | 0.15 | 162 |
| LG 10 | 74 | 90 | 34 | 0 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.18 | 158 |
| LG $11^{\text {a }}$ | 92 | 97 | 9 | 0 | 1.00 | 0.58 | 0.17 | 115 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1984 |

$657{ }^{\text {a }}$ Pseudochromosome possibly incomplete.

Table 3. Recombination rate heterogeneity tests for individual pseudochromosomes. The observed distribution of recombination was compared to a Poisson distribution using Fisher's exact test.

| Pseudochromosome | $P$-value $^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | Lambda $^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LG 1 | $<10^{-3}$ | $* * *$ | 0.25 |
| LG 2 | 0.534 | ns | 0.42 |
| LG 3 | $<10^{-3}$ | $* * *$ | 0.27 |
| LG 4 | 0.006 | $* *$ | 0.28 |
| LG 5 | 0.085 | ns | 0.29 |
| LG 6 | 0.031 | $*$ | 0.34 |
| LG 7 | 0.033 | $*$ | 0.30 |
| LG 8 | 0.002 | $* *$ | 0.26 |
| LG 9 | 0.028 | $*$ | 0.27 |
| LG 10 | 0.648 | ns | 0.27 |
| LG 11 ${ }^{3)}$ | - | - | - |

a * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, *** $P<0.001$, ns: not significant.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Lambda used for simulation of reference Poisson distribution. For details see Materials and Methods.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ No test was conducted as pseudochromosome is possibly incomplete.
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