An Improved Chromosome-scale Genome Assembly and Population Genetics resource for *Populus tremula*.

Kathryn M. Robinson[1&], Bastian Schiffthaler[1&], Hui Liu[2], Sara M. Westman[1], Martha Rendón-Anaya[3], Teitur Ahlgren Kalman[1], Vikash Kumar[1], Camilla Canovi[1], Carolina Bernhardsson[4], Nicolas Delhomme[5], Jerry Jenkins[6], Jing Wang[7], Niklas Mähler[1], Kerstin H. Richau[1], Victoria Stokes[8], Stuart A'Hara[8], Joan Cottrell[8], Kizi Coeck[9], Tim Diels[10,11], Klaas Vandepoele[10,11,12], Chanaka Mannapperuma[1], Eung-Jun Park[13], Stephane Plaisance[9], Stefan Jansson[1], Pär K. Ingvarsson[3], Nathaniel R. Street[1,14*]

[1] Umeå Plant Science Centre, Department of Plant Physiology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
[2] National Engineering Laboratory for Tree Breeding; Key Laboratory of Genetics and Breeding in Forest Trees and Ornamental Plants, Ministry of Education; The Tree and Ornamental Plant Breeding and Biotechnology Laboratory of National Forestry and Grassland Administration, College of Biological Sciences and Technology, Beijing Forestry University, China
[3] Linnean Centre for Plant Biology, Department of Plant Biology, Uppsala BioCenter, Swedish University of Agricultural Science, Uppsala, Sweden
[4] Evolutionary Biology Centre, Department of Organismal Biology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
[5] Umeå Plant Science Centre, Department of Forest Genetics and Plant Physiology, Swedish University of Agricultural Science, Umeå, Sweden
[6] Hudson-Alpha Institute for Biotechnology, Huntsville, Alabama, USA
[7] Key Laboratory for Bio-Resources and Eco-Environment, College of Life Science, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China
[8] Forest Research, Northern Research Station, Roslin, UK
[9] VIB Nucleomics Core, VIB, Leuven, Belgium.
[10] Department of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Ghent University, Technologiepark 71, 9052 Ghent, Belgium
[11] VIB Center for Plant Systems Biology, Technologiepark 71, 9052 Ghent, Belgium
[12] Bioinformatics Institute Ghent, Ghent University, Technologiepark 71, 9052 Ghent, Belgium
[13] Forest Medicinal Resources Research Center, National Institute of Forest Science, Suwon, Korea
[14] Science for Life Laboratory, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

& These authors contributed equally to this work.

* Corresponding author: Nathaniel R. Street, Umeå Plant Science Centre, Department of Plant Physiology, Umeå University, 901 87 Umeå, Sweden. E-mail: nathaniel.street@umu.se

45 **Running head:** A genomics resource for European Aspen

47 **Keywords:** genome assembly, natural selection, co-expression, population genetics, 48 Populus, aspen, GWAS, leaf physiognomy, leaf shape, leaf size, genetic architecture, ATAC-49 Seq, lncRNA

Abstract

52 Aspen (*Populus tremula* L.) is a widely distributed keystone species and a model system for 53 forest tree genomics, with extensive resources developed for population genetics and 54 genomics. Here we present an updated resource comprising a chromosome-scale assembly 55 of *P. tremula* and population genetics and genomics data integrated into the PlantGenIE.org 56 web resource. We demonstrate use of the diverse data types included to explore the genetic 57 basis of natural variation in leaf size and shape as examples of traits with complex genetic 58 architecture.

60 We present a chromosome-scale genome assembly generated using long-read sequencing, 61 optical and high-density genetic maps containing 39,894 annotated genes with functional 62 annotations for 73,765 transcripts from 37,184 gene loci. We conducted whole-genome 63 resequencing of the Umeå Aspen (UmAsp) collection comprising 227 aspen individuals. We 64 utilised the assembly, the UmAsp re-sequencing data and existing whole genome re-65 sequencing data from the Swedish Aspen (SwAsp) and Scottish Aspen (ScotAsp) collections 66 to perform genome-wide association analyses (GWAS) using Single Nucleotide 67 Polymorphisms (SNPs) for leaf physiognomy phenotypes. We conducted Assay of 68 Transposase Accessible Chromatin sequencing (ATAC-Seq) and identified genomic regions 69 of accessible chromatin and subset SNPs to these regions, which improved the GWAS 70 detection rate. We identified candidate long non-coding RNAs in leaf samples and quantified 71 their expression in an updated co-expression network (AspLeaf, available in 72 PlantGenIE.org), which we further used to explore the functions of candidate genes 73 identified from the GWAS.

We examined synteny to the reference *P. trichocarpa* assembly and identified *P. tremula*-
specific regions. Analysis of whole-genome duplication indicated differential substitution
rates for the two *Populus* species, indicating more rapid evolution in *P. tremula*. A GWAS of
26 leaf physiognomy traits and all SNPs in each of the three aspen collections found
significant associations for only two traits in ScotAsp collection and one in UmAsp, whereas
subsetting SNPs to those in open chromatin regions revealed associations for a further four
traits among all three aspen collections. The significant SNPs were associated with genes
annotated for developmental and growth functions, which represent candidates for further
study. Of particular interest was a 177-kbp region of chromosome 9 harbouring SNPs
associated with multiple leaf phenotypes in ScotAsp, with the set of SNPs in linkage
disequilibrium explaining 24 to 30 % of the phenotypic variation in leaf indent depth
variation.
87
88   We have incorporated the assembly, population genetics, genomics and leaf physiognomy
89   GWAS data into the PlantGenIE.org web resource, including updating existing genomics data
90   to the new genome version. This enables easy exploration and visualisation of the genomics
91   data and exploration of GWAS results. We provide all raw and processed data used for the
92   presented analyses to facilitate reuse in future studies.
93

Introduction

The *Populus* genus encompasses around thirty broad-leaved, fast-growing tree species that
occur naturally across most of the Northern hemisphere. *Populus* species are used
extensively in short-rotation forestry and landscaping worldwide and are pioneer, keystone
species. The black cottonwood, *P. trichocarpa,* was the first tree genome to be sequenced
(Tuskan *et al.*, 2006) after which the genomes of several other poplars, aspens and
cottonwoods have been published (Yang *et al.*, 2017; Lin *et al.*, 2018; Ma *et al.*, 2019; An *et
al.*, 2020; Wu *et al.*, 2020; Zhang *et al.*, 2020; Bai *et al.*, 2021; Chen *et al.,* 2023a; Bae *et al.,*
2023, Zhou *et al.*, 2023, Shi *et al.*, 2024), firmly establishing *Populus* as a model system for
forest tree research with a mature genomics resource (Jansson & Douglas, 2007). The aspens
(section Populus) include *P. tremula* and *P. alba,* which have ranges spanning northern

Eurasia*, P. tremuloides* and *P. grandidenta,* native to North America*,* and *P. adenopoda, P.*

qiongdaoensis and *P. davidiana,* distributed in northern and eastern Asia (Slavov & Zhelev,

2010; Hou *et al.*, 2018)*.* They are recognised by their capacity for clonal regeneration,

particularly after environmental perturbation such as fire or intense browsing (Myking *et*

al., 2011). Other distinguishing features of aspens are their characteristic leaf tremble, and

abundant variation in spring and autumn leaf colouration.

The availability of a reference genome can be transformative in enabling research of a

species, opening possibilities for a range of functional genomics, population genetics and

comparative genomics studies. We previously described a reference genome for *P. tremula*

(Lin *et al.*, 2018) produced using short-read, second generation sequencing technologies.

While this genome assembly provided high quality and comprehensive representation of the

gene space, it was highly fragmented and lacked long-range contiguity. Such fragmentation

is a common limitation of using short read sequencing technologies to assemble highly

heterozygous, repeat-rich or polyploid genomes (Jiao & Schneeberger, 2017). These

limitations can be alleviated or overcome, depending on the scale of the challenge, by use of

third generation sequencing technologies such as those commercialised by Pacific

Bioscience or Oxford Nanopore Technologies, which produce vastly longer individual

sequence reads (Jiao & Schneeberger, 2017). These long reads simplify assembly, with

individual sequencing reads often being sufficiently long to span a repeat element or a

heterozygous region, although such haplotype resolved assembly ability also introduces its

own set of challenges (Amarasinghe *et al.*, 2020; Michael & VanBuren, 2020). These

technologies can be combined with newly developed or improved methods for scaffolding,

such as Hi-C or optical mapping, to further improve long-range assembly contiguity (Ghurye

& Pop, 2019; Ghurye *et al.*, 2019; Pan *et al.*, 2019). The vastly improved contiguity achieved

also facilitates use of genetic maps to anchor and orient assembled scaffolds to produce

chromosome scale assemblies. Improved contiguity is essential for synteny and other

comparative genome-based analyses and highly contiguous and accurate assemblies provide

a more reliable resource for performing gene family and orthology analyses and for

designing guide sequencing to perform genome editing using approaches such as CRISPR-

Cas.

We previously reported the evolutionary divergence of *P. trichocarpa* from the aspens, showing how natural variation has shaped genetic relationships among the European/Eurasian (*P. tremula*) and American (*P. tremuloides* and *P. grandidentata*) aspens (Wang *et al.*, 2016a; Wang *et al.*, 2016b; Lin *et al.*, 2018; Apuli *et al.*, 2020). An important resource for such work is the Swedish Aspen (SwAsp) collection, which exhibits considerable heritable variation in numerous phenotypes including phenology, leaf shape, specialised metabolite composition and ecological interactions (Luquez *et al.*, 2008; Robinson *et al.*, 2012; Bernhardsson *et al.*, 2013; Wang *et al.*, 2018; Mähler *et al.,* 2020) in addition to gene expression (Mähler *et al.* 2017). While we previously reported GWAS resulting in the discovery of a major locus for an adaptive phenological trait (Wang *et al.*, 2018), most of the phenotypes considered to date have not yielded significant SNP-phenotype associations (Grimberg *et al.* 2018; Mähler *et al.,* 2020), likely indicative of complex and highly polygenic genetic architecture (e.g., Mähler *et al.*, 2020). However, other factors such as the fragmented nature of the v1.1 genome assembly (Lin *et al.*, 2018), rare or non-SNP variants and a relatively small population size are also likely to contribute to the limited ability to detect significant associations (Street & Ingvarsson, 2011).

Here we present a chromosome-scale genome assembly for *P. tremula*, which we refer to as *P. tremula* v2.2, generated using long-read sequences and optical and genetic maps. We demonstrate utility of this improved genome assembly by performing SNP calling and GWAS for selected leaf physiognomy traits with complex genetic architecture in three collections of wild aspen trees grown in common gardens, including the Umeå Aspen (UmAsp) collection for which we here present whole-genome resequencing data. To facilitate community access and utilisation of the various datasets available for *P. tremula* we have integrated them into the PlantGenIE.org web resource (Sundell *et al.*, 2015) in addition to making all raw and processed data available at public repositories. We provide examples of how these genetics and genomics datasets can be used to explore or develop hypotheses and how the tools available at PlantGenIE.org can be used to gain additional biological insight for identified candidate genes.

Materials and Methods

We extracted DNA from the individual used to generate the v1.1 assembly presented in Lin *et al.* (2018). For genome assembly and correction, we generated two libraries: "PacBio data": 28,874,072,954 bases (filtered subreads, ~60x coverage), Pacific Biosciences on the RSII platform (sequencing performed by Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala, Sweden); and "Illumina data": 108,353,739,802 bases ($\overline{2}$26x coverage), Illumina HiSeq2500. We also utilised five existing RNA-Seq datasets to support gene annotation. We purified nuclei and produced an ATAC-library. We used five RNA-Seq datasets from *P. tremula* as supporting evidence for gene annotation. We called ATAC-Seq peaks using MACS2 v2.2.7.1 (Zhang *et al.*, 2008).

To flag sequences originating from the chloroplast, we matched all unplaced scaffolds to published chloroplast sequences (Kersten *et al.,* 2016), using blast+.

We created a custom *de novo* repeat library using RepeatModeler v1.0.11 and subsequently masked the genome using RepeatMasker4.0.8. (http://www.repeatmasker.org). We used Trinity assemblies from all RNA-Seq datasets in conjunction with all annotated transcripts from the v1 assembly as evidence for gene annotation. We provided proteins from the v1 *P. tremula* assembly and the v3.0 assembly of *P. trichocarpa* (Tuskan *et al.*, 2006) as protein evidence. We performed the lift-over alignments of v1.1 scaffolds to the v2.2 assembly using minimap 2 (v2.2.2, Li, 2018). We aligned the PacBio data to both the v1.1 and v2.2 assemblies using pbmm2 (v1.1.0), which uses minimap2 internally. We then performed variant detection using pbsv (v2.2.2, https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/pbsv). We aligned the transcripts and protein-coding sequences retrieved from MAKER to the NCBI nt (Wheeler *et al.*, 2007) and UniRef90 (The UniProt Consortium, 2019) databases. For transcripts, we used Blast+ version 2.6.0+ (Altschul *et al.*, 1990) with the non-default parameters: -e-value 1e^{-5}. For proteins, we used Diamond version 0.9.26 (Buchfink *et al.*, 2014). We identified and extracted the sequences aligning solely to the NCBI nt database to complement the UniRef90 alignments using an ad-hoc script (available upon request). We then imported the resulting alignment files into Blast2GO (Götz *et al.*, 2008) version 5.2. Finally, we used Blast2GO to

generate Gene Ontology (both GO and GO-Slim), Pfam (El-Gebali *et al.*, 2018) and KEGG
(Kanehisa & Goto, 2000) annotations.

To calculate summary statistics of the assembly, we used QUAST v5.0.2 (Gurevich *et al.*,
2013), aligning a 20X coverage subset (generated by truncating the library to a total count
of $8 * 10e^9$ nucleotides) of the aspen V1 2x150 PE library data (ENA: PRJEB23581) to
calculate mapping percentages. We ran BUSCO v3.0.2 for both the genomic and transcript
sequences.

To identify homologous chromosomes between *P. tremula* and *P. trichocarpa* genomes, we
used minimap2 (Li, 2018). We performed an all-versus-all BLASTP using protein sequences
of *P. tremula* and *P. trichocarpa* to identify homologous gene pairs between the two species.
We used MCscanX (Wang *et al.*, 2012) to identify syntenic gene blocks. We aligned the
protein sequences for duplicate gene pairs in syntenic blocks using MAFFT (Katoh &
Standley, 2013). We used the PAML package (Yang, 2007) to estimate the *Ks* and *Ka/Ks*
for each gene pair.

We used 32 plant genomes, (Supplementary table S1, Appendix S1) to perform gene family
analysis. We used Orthofinder v2.2.7 (Emms & Kelly, 2015) to cluster the genes into gene
families. Gene family trees were constructed using the PLAZA pipeline (Van Bel *et al.*, 2018),
for multiple sequence alignment and tree inference. We used muscle as the multiple
sequence alignment method and fasttree as the tree construction method. The species tree
was inferred using STAG (https://github.com/davidemms/STAG). To estimate the
divergence time, we first calibrated the species tree based on the divergence dates
from Timetree (http://www.timetree.org/) and inferred the divergence time on each
clade using r8s (Sanderson, 2003). We inferred the expansion and contraction of the gene
families using CAFÉ (De Bie *et al.*, 2006) and the species tree.

We reprocessed the RNA-Seq data in developing terminal leaves of aspen from Mähler *et al*.
(2020), using the v2.2 genome assembly with salmon/1.0.0 (Patro *et al.*, 2017). We mapped
expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) using two different methods: (1) following the

method and settings used in Mähler *et al*. (2020) using Matrix eQTL (Shabalin, 2012), and

(2) using fastJT (Lin *et al.,* 2019) which has no underlying assumption of the phenotypic

distribution. For both methods, the eQTL were considered significant at FDR < 0.05.

We implemented a pipeline to identify putative long intergenic non-coding RNAs (lincRNAs)

on the pre-processed RNA-Seq data. We first *in silico*-normalised the reads to reduce data

redundancy and then reconstructed the transcriptome using a *de novo* assembler, Trinity (v.

2.8.3; Grabherr *et al*., 2011; Haas *et al*., 2013), on which other programs were run. We

retained only transcripts being expressed in the dataset, that were identified as having no

coding potential by PLEK (predictor of long non-coding RNAs and messenger RNAs based on

an improved k-mer scheme; v. 1.2; settings -minlength 200; A. Li *et al*., 2014), CNCI (Coding-

Non-Coding Index; v. 2; Sun et al., 2013), and CPC2 (Coding Potential Calculator version 2; v.

2.0 beta; settings -r TRUE; Kang *et al.*, 2017) and being longer than 200 nt. We kept only

transcripts identified as having no coding potential by TransDecoder (version 2.8.3;

https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder/wiki; Haas *et al*., 2013), and at a distance

> 1000 nt from any annotated gene using BEDTools closest (v. 2.30.0;

https://bedtools.readthedocs.io/en/latest/content/tools/closest.html; Quinlan & Hall,

2010). The DESeq2 package (v. 1.42.0; Love *et al*., 2014) was used for differential expression

analysis with the formula based on consecutive leaf developmental series, both for genes and

lincRNAs. Then, lincRNAs and gene expression data were transformed to homoscedastic,

asymptotical $\log_2$ counts using the variance stabilising transformation as implemented in

DESeq2 (setting blind=FALSE). We retained all the genes and lincRNAs being different from

na in the dds results and used their values from the 'aware' variance stabilising

transformation as an input for the co-expression network. Thereafter, ten network inference

methods were run using the Seidr toolkit (Schiffthaler *et al*., 2023). The networks were

aggregated using the inverse rank product method (Zhong *et al*., 2014) and edges were

filtered according to the noise-corrected backbone (Coscia & Neffke, 2017). We selected

backbone8 to be used for further analyses.

We measured phenotypic traits in three aspen (*P. tremula*) collections, two of which

originate from Sweden and one from Scotland. The Swedish Aspen (SwAsp) collection of 113

individuals, collected across ten degrees of latitude and longitude (Luquez *et al.*, 2008), is
replicated in two common gardens in Sweden, one in the north (Sävar, ~64 °N) and one in
the south (Ekebo, ~56 °N). The Umeå Aspen (UmAsp) collection comprises 242 individuals
originating from the Umeå municipality in northern Sweden (Fracheboud *et al.*, 2009;
Robinson *et al.*, 2014) growing in a common garden at Sävar (~64 °N). The Scottish Aspen
(ScotAsp) collection of 138 trees originating from across Scotland, was cloned and grown in
plots of five trees per clone, in a common garden at Forest Research, Roslin, UK (~56 °N)
Harrison (2009).

Details of the SwAsp and ScotAsp DNA sequencing and SNP calling have been described
previously (Rendón-Anaya *et al.*, 2021, Supplementary table S2). Samples sequenced from
the previously generated data set comprising 94 genotypes from the SwAsp collection (Wang
et al., 2018) have been complemented with a further five genotypes re-sequenced for *P.*
tremula v2.2. We called SNPs and generated VCF files independently for SwAsp, UmAsp and
ScotAsp (with 99, 227 and 105 unrelated individuals, respectively), containing biallelic, high
quality sites along the 19 chromosomes. We also created a VCF for each collection containing
a subset of all SNPs by intersecting with open chromatin regions identified by ATAC-Seq. The
intersection was performed using bcftools (Danecek *et al.,* 2021).

We measured leaf physiognomy (shape and size) parameters in six leaves of three clonal
replicate trees in the UmAsp common garden, and fifteen leaves sampled across five clonal
replicates per genotype in the ScotAsp Roslin common garden. We sampled mature,
undamaged leaves, scanned them using a flatbed scanner, and measured using LAMINA
software (Bylesjö *et al.,* 2008) following methods described in Mähler *et al.* (2020). We
present leaves sampled from the SwAsp common gardens as reported in Mähler *et al.* (2020)
for leaf area, leaf circularity and leaf indent depth, and a further 23 leaf shape and size
metrics for the analysis with SNPs called from *P. tremula* v2.2. We estimated Best Linear
Unbiased Predictor (BLUP) values for each of the 26 phenotypes used in the GWAS (i.e. 26
phenotypes from each collection) using a custom pipeline. We used these BLUP estimates as
phenotypic values to carry out GWAS in 99 SwAsp, 227 UmAsp, or 105 ScotAsp individuals
for which SNP data were available and that remained after removing some samples due to

high relatedness (IDB, identity by descent > 0.4). For the GWAS, we filtered SNPs with a

minor allele frequency above 5% and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium *P*-value threshold of 1e-

[6] using PLINK version 1.9. (Purcell *et al.,* 2007). We investigated genome-wide associations

using linear mixed models in GEMMA v0.98.1 (Zhou & Stephens, 2012), on (1) all SNPs, and

(2) on SNPs subset to open chromatin regions. We used a 5% false discovery rate (*q*-value)

to define associations as significant, calculated in the 'qvalue' package in R (Storey *et al.,*

2021). We annotated the SNPs using ANNOVAR v2019Oct24 to produce GWAS summary

tables, adding *A. thaliana* homologues of the *P. tremula* v2.2 gene models from

PlantGenIE.org. We estimated the proportion of phenotypic variation explained (PVE) by an

individual SNP using the equation stated in Wang *et al.* (2018). We calculated marker-based

heritability (h^2, Kruijer *et al.*, 2015) using 'marker_h2' function, in the 'heritability' package

version 1.3 (Kruijer, 2019) in R. We visualised the gene ontology enrichments using the

PlantGenIE.org tool and additional visualisation using default R scripts exported from

REVIGO (Supek *et al.,* 2011)

For population genetic analysis, we discarded SNPs in SwAsp, UmAsp, and ScotAsp failing

the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium test (*P*-value < $1e^{-6}$) and/or with missing rate > 5%. We

used SNPs with minor allele frequency >10% and missing rate <20% for linkage

disequilibrium (LD) analysis. We calculated squared correlation coefficients (r^2) between all

pairs of SNPs that were within 50 Kbp using PopLDdecay v3.41 (Zhang *et al.*, 2019). To

analyse the population structure based on the PCA, we pruned SNPs by removing one SNP

from each pair of SNPs with a between SNP correlation coefficient (r^2) > 0.2 in windows of

50 SNPs with a step of 5 SNPs using PLINK v1.90b6.16 (Purcell *et al.*, 2007). We then used

the smartpca program in EIGENSOFT v6.1.4 (Patterson *et al.,* 2006) to perform a principal

components analysis (PCA) on the reduced set of genome-wide independent SNPs.

We calculated the composite likelihood ratio (CLR) statistic in 10 Kbp non-overlapping

windows using SweepFinder2 and iHH12 (Integrated Haplotype Homozygosity Pooled)

using selscan v1.3. To identify regions under positive selection, we used sliding windows

containing at least 10 SNPs as input to a range of inference methods. We considered windows

with the lowest 5% Tajima's D values or highest 5% values for other as those windows

displaying evidence of signals of positive selection. We assumed genes or SNPs within these

selected regions to be under selection. We ran Betascan (Siewert *et al.*, 2017) (-fold -m 0.1)

to detect possible signals of balancing selection in the ScotAsp, UmAsp and SwAsp

collections.

Resource overview

The resource comprises the new *P. tremula* v2.2 genome assembly and gene annotation,

genomic data sets (for example, *P. tremula*-specific regions, open chromatin regions, and

lincRNAs), population genetics resources (SNPs and regions/SNPs under selection), both

raw and processed leaf physiognomy phenotype data, and results of the leaf physiognomy

GWAS analyses. The genomic resources are available at PlantGenIE.org where the genome

assembly, gene annotation, gene expression data and associated co-expression networks are

available through interactive tools and as flat files on the File Transfer Protocol (FTP) site

(https://plantgenie.org/FTP). The assembly, open chromatin regions, lincRNAs, gene

models, SNP variants and sites under selection have been made available as tracks in the

JBrowse genome browser tool. The SwAsp leaf bud gene expression data and expression

quantitative trait loci (eQTL) analysis presented in Mähler *et al.* (2017) have been updated

to *P. tremula* v2.2. The AspLeaf dataset (Mähler *et al*. 2020) was used to identify lincRNAs

and an updated co-expression network including these has been included in the exNet tool.

Expression data sets can also be viewed in the exImage, exPlot and exHeatmap tools. The

Potra v2.2 SNPs in SwAsp, UmAsp and ScotAsp are available in VCF format at the European

Variation Archive (EVA, https://www.ebi.ac.uk/eva/). The phenotype data can be accessed

at the SciLifeLab Data Repository (https://figshare.scilifelab.se/): this includes original raw

leaf images used for phenotyping, the images processed by the LAMINA software, raw and

processed leaf physiognomy metrics, and genotypic BLUP values, in addition to the raw

GWAS output tables. Scripts used to generate the results presented here, including a BLUP

pipeline for preparing phenotype data for GWAS, are available at

https://github.com/bschiffthaler/aspen-v2 and at

https://github.com/sarawestman/Genome_paper.

Results and discussion

A high-quality reference genome for *Populus tremula*

The previously available version of the *P. tremula* genome (v1.1) was highly fragmented despite having good representation of the gene space (Lin *et al.,* 2018; Supplementary table 3). Such fragmentation was a common characteristic of assemblies produced using short-read sequencing technologies and was especially problematic for repeat-rich and highly heterozygous genomes. The extent of fragmentation prohibited or limited analyses requiring long-range contiguity, such as synteny, made gene-family analysis error-prone and presented challenges for accurate SNP calling in hard to assemble regions. Here, we used a combination of long-read sequencing, optical and genetic maps to generate a high-quality and highly contiguous genome assembly for *P. tremula.* Integration with a genetic map Apuli *et al.* (2020) enabled anchoring and orienting of assembled contigs to form pseudo-chromosomes (Figure 1A), with the final assembly having a contig N50 of 16.9 MB (Table 1), representing an order of magnitude improvement compared to the previous v1.1 genome assembly (Lin *et al.*, 2018).

Genome Assembly

The v2.2 *P. tremula* genome assembly contains 19 pseudo-chromosomes and 1,582 unplaced scaffolds with a combined length of 408,834,716 bp and an N50 of 16.9 Mb (Supplementary table S3). Alignment of ∼95 million Illumina reads (∼20X coverage) yielded a mapping rate of 96.4% (compared to 97.77% in v1.1) with 94.19% (compared to 92.33% in v1.1) of paired-end reads mapped as proper pairs. The increase in proper pairs and decrease in overall mapping reflects expectations for an assembly with higher contiguity but lower per-base accuracy, which is a characteristic of the PacBio sequencing reads utilised. Analysis of the genome using Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologue (BUSCO) with the embryophyta_odb10 ortholog set (Simão *et al.*, 2015) to assess gene-space completeness identified 96% (96% in v1.1) complete BUSCOs, of which 81.7% (82.5% in v1.1) were single copy and 15.1% (14.3% in v1.1) duplicated (Supplementary table S3). The long terminal repeat (LTR) index for the assembly (Ou *et al.*, 2018) was 6.65, with 1.42% of intact LTRs and 20.66% of total LTRs, indicative of a high-quality assembly. The improved contiguity of

the new assembly is clear when examining multiple sequences from v1.1 that align, for

example, to a region of chromosome 1 (Figure 1A).

Gene annotation

There are 39,894 identified gene models, 37,184 of which are located on pseudo-

chromosomes and 2,710 on unplaced scaffolds. There are 77,949 annotated transcripts,

73,765 on pseudo-chromosomes and 4,184 on unplaced scaffolds (~1.95 transcripts per

gene). Functional annotations were assigned for 73,765 transcripts in 37,184 genes. Analysis

of the predicted transcripts using BUSCO with the embryophyta_odb10 ortholog set showed

98.1% (96.8% in v1.1) complete BUSCOs, of which 35.7% (30.2% in v1.1) were single copy

and 62.4% (66.6% in v1.1) duplicated (Supplementary table S3). Similarly, the PLAZA core

Gene Family (coreGF) set of genes (Veeckman *et al.* 2016; Bucchini *et al.*, 2021) indicated

99% completeness (99% in v1.1; Supplementary table S3).

Comparative genomics analyses

We utilised the improved assembly to perform gene family and comparative genomics

analyses, identifying syntenic and species-specific genomic regions of *P. tremula* compared

to *P. trichocarpa.* (Figure 1B). There were a large number of aspen- and *P. tremula*-specific

genes and genomic regions (Supplementary table S4) in addition to a set of highly diverged

regions (Supplementary table S5), although we acknowledge that lineage specific (orphan)

genes should be viewed with caution (Weisman *et al.,* 2020). Similar analyses to identify

orphan genes in *P. trichocarpa* were recently reported (Yates *et al.,* 2021), but using a far

more stringent definition of orphan genes, showing that orphan genes are polymorphic in a

GWAS population and integrated within co-expression networks. Species- and clade-specific

gene families were identified (Supplementary tables S6), and *P. tremula*-specific genomic

regions were enriched for the terms "non-membrane-bounded organelle" and "cell

differentiation" among the set of expanded gene families (Supplementary tables S7 S8, S9).

We used the tools in PlantGenIE to explore these groups of genes and their gene ontology

(GO) enrichments. For example, we used the Venn tool to view the intersection of lists of *P.*

tremula specific genes and genes in *P. tremula*-specific regions (Figure 1C). While this

exploration did not provide us with specific insights into leaf physiognomy traits, which we

focus on below, the comparative genomics resource is available for exploration in future
studies of *P. tremula*.

Long intergenic non-coding RNAs
Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are arbitrarily defined as transcripts longer than 200 nt,
not producing functional proteins. If they are located entirely in the intergenic space, they
are sub-classified as long intergenic non-coding RNAs (lincRNAs). In general, lncRNAs have
low expression levels and tissue-specific expression. They are also characterised by a rapid
evolution and a low sequence conservation between species (Chen & Zhu, 2022; Palos *et al*.,
2023). Recent reports have shown that lncRNAs participate in plant developmental
regulation (Kramer *et al.,* 2022; Chen *et al.,* 2023b). We identified 902 putative lincRNAs in
developing aspen leaves (Supplementary table S10) and integrated them into the Aspen
Leaf (AspLeaf)expression data resources at PlantGenIE.org.

424
Population genetics of SwAsp, UmAsp and ScotAsp
The original locations of the samples (Figure 2A) differed among the aspen collections in
climatic variables, with Scottish samples drawn from a milder, maritime climate and Swedish
samples from a colder, more continental climate (Supplementary table 11). Based on whole-
genome re-sequencing data, and after removal of related samples and the batch correction
described in Rendón-Anaya *et al.* (2021), we identified 12,054,692 SNPs for 99 individuals
from SwAsp, 16,938,820 SNPs for 227 individuals from UmAsp, and 19,655,602 SNPs for 105
individuals from ScotAsp, on chromosomes, after discarding SNPs with missing rate >5%
and failing the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium test (*P*-value < 1e^{-6}). Of these SNPs, 27.4% were
found within gene boundaries for SwAsp, 31.1% for UmAsp, and 31.8% for ScotAsp while
33.6% were in gene flanking regions for SwAsp, 30.8% for UmAsp, and 30.6% for ScotAsp.
The remaining sites were in intergenic regions. The SNP density was 33.3 SNPs/Kbp for
SwAsp, 48.8 SNPs/Kbp for UmAsp and 54.3 SNPs/Kbp for ScotAsp across the 19
chromosomes and was highest in the flanking regions and lowest in the CDS regions for the
three aspen collections. The three collections harboured substantial levels of nucleotide
diversity (π) across the genome (0.0061 in SwAsp, 0.0080 in UmAsp, and 0.0082 in ScotAsp).
While the majority of SNPs (13,153,803) were shared between Swedish (UmAsp or SwAsp)

and Scottish aspens (Figure 2B), 9,539,889 SNPs were shared only between SwAsp and UmAsp, indicating the potential utility of a combined Swedish aspen resource, and there were 6,501,799 SNPs unique to ScotAsp, highlighting its differences from the Swedish collections.

We used seven measures calculated in 10 Kbp non-overlapping windows to identify regions under selection in SwAsp and UmAsp using ScotAsp as an outgroup. Signatures of positive selection were identified for 589 and 653 regions, corresponding to 7.46 Mbp and 8.60 Mbp in SwAsp and UmAsp, respectively (Table S12). Only 1.57 Mbp of regions under selection were shared between SwAsp and UmAsp. Based on genome annotation, we identified 621 and 633 genes under selection in SwAsp and UmAsp, respectively (Table S12) of which 123 genes were in common.

Population structure based on PCA clearly separated at least two independent clusters of individuals, one corresponding to ScotAsp with the other comprising SwAsp and UmAsp (Figure 2C), indicating that ScotAsp is a suitable outgroup to identify signatures of selection in SwAsp and UmAsp. This clustering pattern is consistent with previous observations by de Carvalho *et al.* (2010) and Rendón-Anaya *et al.* (2021), which have shown that the aspens from the British Isles are diverged from aspens in continental Europe. In agreement with previous results (Lin *et al.*, 2018), genome-wide mean linkage disequilibrium (LD) measured by r^2 was largest between adjacent SNP pairs (0.36 to 0.37) in the three aspen groups and decreased rapidly to 0.1 within 10 Kbp (Figure 2D). The population genetic data are available in JBrowse in PlantGenIE, where tracks can be loaded and viewed in the context of other genomic features and significant GWAS results.

Natural genetic variation in leaf physiognomy phenotypes

The leaves of *P. tremula* are rounded with irregular serrations, hereafter termed indents. In previous leaf physiognomy analyses we reported natural genetic variation in ten traits (Bylesjö *et al.,* 2008), and three representative traits ('leaf area', 'circularity', and 'ident depth'; Mähler *et al.,* 2020) in the SwAsp collection. Here we present 26 traits (Appendix S2) measured in the two SwAsp common gardens in each of two years, and in the UmAsp and

473 ScotAsp common gardens in a single year. The raw image files of the sampled leaves, the

474 annotated images indicating measured parameters (Figure 3A) and data output from the

475 measurement software (LAMINA, Bylesjö *et al.,* 2008), are available at the associated

476 Figshare data repository (see data availability statement for details). There was a clear

477 shared genetic component among indent traits and shape traits (Figure 3B), with high

478 genetic correlations between 'Squared Perimeter/Area' and each of 'indent depth' and

479 'indent depth standard deviation (SD)'. Leaf size traits were all positively genetically

480 correlated, with high correlations among length traits and among width traits, and to a

481 moderate extent between length and width traits (Figure 3B). Narrow-sense ('chip')

482 heritability was greater for shape and indent traits than size traits (3C, Appendix S2), with

483 the exception of the composite trait 'indent density,' which had low heritability (h^2 = 0.138).

484 There was clear separation of size traits from shape and indent traits in the first principal

485 component (PC1) of a PCA of all 26 leaf metrics in the three populations. While PC1 explained

486 43.5 % of the variation in this combined data set and an overall intersection among ScotAsp,

487 SwAsp and UmAsp, there was a tendency towards larger leaves (i.e. smaller values of PC1)

488 in ScotAsp. The heritability estimates and shared multivariate space among the three aspen

489 collections, together with number of common SNPs, favour the integration of these traits and

490 collections in genetic analyses. The processed phenotype data, including composite leaf

491 physiognomy traits (Appendix S2) and BLUPs are available at Figshare.

493 **GWAS in open chromatin regions enhances detection of SNP-phenotype associations**

494 Leaf physiognomy traits appear to be highly polygenic, yet highly heritable, with variation

495 among individuals resulting from numerous small-scale effects (Mähler *et al*., 2020). In such

496 cases it is common that no significant genetic associations are identified, with huge sample

497 sizes needed to detect such small-scale effects. Other factors, such as incomplete genome

498 assembly, can also prohibit detection of sequence-based genetic markers in hard-to-

499 assemble regions of the genome. While we previously reported our GWAS study in three leaf

500 physiognomy traits in SwAsp using the previous genome assembly version, here we

501 conducted GWAS on 26 leaf physiognomy metrics in each of the SwAsp, UmAsp and ScotAsp

502 populations, taking advantage of the substantially higher number of SNP markers called

503 using the improved v2.2 genome assembly. In the GWAS including all SNPs (All-SNP GWAS),

we detected significant (q-value < 0.05) associations for vertical size 75% (L75) in UmAsp,

while in ScotAsp there were associations for 'indent width SD' (the standard deviation of

indent width) and circularity (Supplementary table S13). No significant associations were

identified in the SwAsp All-SNP GWAS. A GWAS that includes several million SNPs in a

relatively small population may fail to detect associations for complex traits due to

adjustments for multiple testing. Inspired by work in maize (Rodgers-Melnick *et al.,* 2016)

demonstrating that open chromatin regions harbour much of the genetic variation for

quantitative traits, we generated ATAC-Seq data from *P. tremula* leaves to identify regions of

open chromatin (open chromatin regions, OCRs). We then subset SNPs to only those regions,

and ran GWAS using these SNP subsets (OCR GWAS). This resulted in 212,902 SNPs in

ScotAsp, 185,616 in SwAsp and 220,009 in UmAsp. The genomic context distribution

differed between the All-SNP and OCR-SNP sets with a greater proportion of SNPs in

up/downstream, UTR and exonic regions, and a lower proportion of SNPs in intergenic

regions, in the OCR-SNP set (Figure 4). OCR GWAS resulted in ten, five and four significant

associations (q-value > 0.05) in ScotAsp, UmAsp and SwAsp respectively for three, two and

two leaf traits respectively (Supplementary table S13). These associations ranked highly in

the All-SNP GWAS, despite in most cases falling below the q-value threshold (Table 2).

Significant OCR-GWAS associations intersected with significant All-SNP GWAS associations

in the case of only one ScotAsp trait (indent width SD).

Genome-wide associations suggestive of leaf development processes

We looked for signals of leaf developmental processes in the GWAS results, first by

examining expression patterns in the AspLeaf data set for all genes with significant SNPs in

the GWAS. Of the 25 genes associated with SNPs in the GWAS, 24 had expression data in the

AspLeaf data resource, and 18 of those had a clear gradient of expression from the apex or

youngest leaf to the oldest leaf. Next, we examined the annotations of genes associated with

significant SNPs in both the All-SNP and OCR GWAS and noted that the majority are

annotated with functions that include plant developmental processes (Table 3). Significant

SNPs for ScotAsp indent width SD in the All-SNP GWAS (Supplementary table S13) included

a pescadillo homologue (Potra2n4c9542), important in leaf growth, in particular through

control of ribosomal biogenesis affecting leaf cell division, expansion, and pavement cell

differentiation and (Cho *et al.,* 2013; Ahn *et al.,* 2016), and a *FAB1*-like gene (Potra2n1c1433)

also annotated as a phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate 5-kinase, important for auxin

signalling and normal plant development (Hirano *et al.,* 2011; Baute *et al.,* 2015). The three

significant SNP associations for ScotAsp circularity in the All-SNP GWAS were in linkage

disequilibrium and located on chromosome 17 in intronic and exonic regions of

Potra2n17c30934, which is annotated as a "PATRONUS 1-like isoform X1 protein" and

carries the GO identifier, "regulation of mitotic cell cycle." PATRONUS1 is reported to have

an important role in cell division in plants (Cromer *et al.,* 2019). In the UmAsp All-SNP GWAS,

the 11 significant SNPs were located in upstream, exonic, intronic and 5' UTR regions of

Potra2n3c7046 and in an intergenic region with Potra2n3c7047 (Supplementary table S13).

The *A. thaliana* homologues of these two genes are, respectively, AT5G13390 ('No exine

formation 1'), important in pollen wall development (Ariizumi *et al.,* 2004), and AT1G28130,

an Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein that regulates auxin metabolism and distribution

and plant development (Zheng *et al.,* 2016; Guo *et al.,* 2022). In the SwAsp OCR-GWAS, two

SNPs in the 5' UTR region of Potra2n1c2680 were associated with indent width SD. The *A.*

thaliana homologue of Potra2n1c2680 is involved in several plant developmental processes

(Xiao *et al.*, 2021).

Combined data resources reveal variation in leaf base angle

Two SNPs from the SwAsp OCR GWAS were associated with leaf base angle (W75/Width).

Both of these SNPs are located in an intron of Potra2n5c11907 (Supplementary Figure 3A),

which is annotated as LLGL scribble cell polarity complex, a transcription factor that in *A.*

thaliana is a Transducin/WD40 repeat-like superfamily protein (AT4G35560). The *WD40*-like

transcription factors have roles in various developmental processes including organ size

determination (Gachomo *et al.,* 2014; Guerriero *et al.,* 2015; Yang *et al.,* 2018). The phenotypic

BLUP values for leaf base angle were significantly partitioned by the chr5_13736867 SNP allele

groups in the SwAsp collection (Supplementary Figure 3B), and the individuals with the

greatest and smallest phenotypic values (SwAsp 114 and SwAsp 4, which belong to the

contrasting allele groups), can be identified using the phenotype files at the Figshare data

repository. The cropped LAMINA output images of these can be downloaded from Figshare and

leaf shape features compared. In this particular case, the two genotypes differed markedly in leaf

base angle (Supplementary Figure 3B). The phenotypic values were, however, somewhat
variable for the allele groups for this SNP, which is consistent with the polygenic nature of leaf
shape determination. As such, not all ScotAsp genotypes with two recessive alleles for this SNP
had a steep leaf base angle. Following a similar approach, the expression of Potran2n5c11907 in
the data set from developing SwAsp leaf buds can be partitioned by SNP alleles for
chr5_13736867 (Supplementary Figure 3C). While in this case the interpretation is not
straightforward, it serves to demonstrate the integration of available data resources to explore
characteristics of identified candidate genes and to help prioritise among candidates.

A 177-kbp region associated with leaf shape phenotypes in Scottish aspen

The All-SNP associations for ScotAsp indent width SD included 122 SNPs on chromosome 9,
which intersected with significant SNPs in the ScotAsp OCR GWAS for the same trait, as well
as indent density and indent depth SD (Supplementary table S13). These SNPs were located
within a region spanning ~177 kbp on chromosome 9 (Supplementary Figure 3). Many of
the SNPs in this region were in linkage disequilibrium (LD) and of the 15 genes in this region,
12 were associated with SNPs in the ScotAsp GWAS at q-value < 0.05 (Supplementary table
S13; Table 3). The proportion of variance explained (PVE) by any single SNP among these
significant associations was moderate, ranging from 0.23 to 0.30 (Supplementary table S13).
These SNPs were distributed across various genomic contexts in the 12 genes, all with
functions suggestive of roles in leaf development. These included a *SIZ1*-like isoform that is
a *PHD* transcription factor (Potra2n9c199982), involved in cell division and expansion
(Catala *et al.,* 2007; Miura *et al.,* 2010; Mouriz *et al.,* 2015), an *ARF10* auxin response factor
(Potra2n9c199984) involved in auxin signalling during leaf development (Hendelman *et al.,*
2012; Liu *et al.,* 2007; Ben-Gera *et al.,* 2016), and two periphrins/tetraspanins
(Potra2n9c199975, Potra2n9c199981), involved in numerous cell proliferation and tissue
patterning processes (Wang *et al.,* 2015; Reimann *et al.,* 2017). Expression in the AspLeaf
dataset, as observed using the exImage tool at PantGenIE.org, showed a gradient of relative
expression across the developmental stages of the terminal leaves in eight of these 12 genes,
which was most pronounced for Potra2n9c199975, Potra2n9c199981, Potra2n9c199982,
Potra2n9c199984 and Potra2n9c199985. This suggests that these genes are
developmentally regulated. While the 12 genes were significantly associated with only four

traits, each of the genes occurred in the top-ranked 1000 genes of at least three, and up to

13, ScotAsp traits (Supplementary table S13), suggesting that these genes contribute to

multiple leaf physiognomy phenotypes in Scottish aspens. In contrast to ScotAsp, these 12

genes were not highly ranked in the SwAsp and UmAsp GWAS and would thus appear to

make a negligible contribution to leaf size and shape variation in Swedish aspens. In SwAsp

only Potra2n9c199985 and Potra2n9c19972 were present in the top-ranked 1000 genes for

two traits, Area and Length:Width ratio respectively (Supplementary table S13), and for

UmAsp, none of these 12 genes were ranked in the top 1000 genes. This reflects the

demographics of SNPs at this locus in the other collections; of the 122 significant SNPs for

this trait in ScotAsp, only 25 SNPs were present in the SwAsp in the SwAsp VCF, with a

median minor allele frequency (MAF) of 0.122, and while 119 of the 122 SNPs were present

in UmAsp the median MAF was 0.092, indicating that the variation at these sites is higher in

ScotAsp (median MAF = 0.302). Only two of the top 1000 genes for Indent width SD for this

trait intersected among all three collections, however these (Potra2n1c1769 and

Potra2n3c8236) did not have apparent annotations relevant to leaf developmental

processes. This example suggests that there is substantial control of natural variation in leaf

shape phenotypes determined by SNPs at this locus on chromosome 9 and that this is specific

to Scottish aspen. Since ScotAsp separates from SwAsp and UmAsp in the SNP PCA (Figure

2C), it is not unexpected that the complex leaf phenotypes in the Swedish and Scottish

populations do not share this GWAS locus.

Combined resources aid genomic exploration of SNP-phenotype associations

Many of the gene annotations in the GWAS results have plausible biological links to leaf

physiognomy traits. However, these interpretations are speculative, especially for

associations of relatively low PVE and where few individuals are homozygous for the minor

allele. In such cases it can be useful to consult several lines of evidence to evaluate the

plausibility of the functional link. To demonstrate utility of the Potra v2.2 genomics data

available within PlantGenIE.org for such explorative analyses, we examined the associations

to Potra2n10c20533, one of the genes associated with indent width SD in the ScotAsp All-

SNP GWAS, appearing as a small peak on the Manhattan plot for this trait (Figure 5A). The

annotation of Potra2n10c20533 is a putative protein transport protein Sec24A, with the

most sequence-similar gene in *A. thaliana* (AT3G07100) having a role in endoplasmic

reticulum maintenance and cell size regulation in sepals (Nakano *et al.,* 2009; Qu *et al.,* 2014).

The Potra2n10c20533 gene harbours a significant SNP 1962 bp upstream from the

annotated transcription start site, and eight SNPs in the intergenic region between

Potra2n10c20532 (a Cation efflux family protein associated with manganese tolerance in *A.*

thaliana; Peiter *et al.,* 2007) and Potra2n10c20533 (Supplementary table S13). To reveal the

potential biological function of these associations, we used the exNet tool at a lenient

threshold *P*-value 10^{-1} to identify first degree neighbours of Potra2n10c20533 in the AspLeaf

dataset co-expression network (Figure 5B). Functional enrichment of these co-expressed

genes identified GO categories for cell expansion (Figure 5C). The network visualisation

using exNet (Figure 5B) showed that the set of 205 co-expressed genes included 29

transcription factors (TFs; i.e. 14 % were TFs) and two lincRNAs. Using the gene expression

visualisation tools available at PlantGenIE.org we explored the expression of these lincRNAs

within the AspLeaf datasets, revealing a gradient of expression across the terminal leaf

development stages (Figure 5D). This revealed that the two lincRNAs were negatively

correlated to more than 100 of the first-degree neighbours of Potra2n10c20533. Use of the

JBrowse tool at PlantGenIE.org also enabled us to view the significant SNPs in the GWAS

region around Potra2n10c20533 in the context of the Potra v2.2. gene models and co-

locating eQTL (Figure 5E). Mapping of eQTL was conducted using two different methods;

using Matrix eQTL, we identified 466,966 significant (FDR < 0.05) eQTL, whereas the more

conservative method using fastJT identified 173,080 significant eQTL (Supplementary table

S14). The JBrowse tool enabled the easy visualisation of *trans* eQTL acting on ten genes

identified using Matrix eQTL that co-located with intergenic SNPs in the GWAS between

Potra2n10c20532 and Potra2n10c20533. Use of the Enrichment tool of PlantGenIE.org for

this set of ten *trans* eQTL genes showed Pfam enrichment terms for categories relevant to

plant organ development (Figure 5F), including Phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate 5-

Kinases (Watari *et al.,* 2022), MORN repeats (Lee *et al.,* 2010), and K-Box regions (Uchida *et*

al., 2007). In the same intergenic region, there was one *cis* eQTL (FDR = 0.023), for the

expression of Potra2n10c20525, which is annotated as dirigent protein; this gene class is

involved in cell wall biosynthesis and growth as well as stress resistance (Paniagua *et al.,*

2017). Overall, these relatively straightforward uses of the available data sets enable us to

establish that the significant SNPs on chromosome 10 in ScotAsp are associated with
lincRNAs and transcripts potentially involved in leaf development processes, that these vary
in expression during leaf development, and that local SNPs are associated with the
expression (eQTL) of developmental genes in SwAsp. While speculative, this demonstrates
how *in silico* tools can be used to integrate evidence from a diverse range of genomics and
population genetics data to develop hypotheses and to prioritise among candidate genes for
downstream characterisation work.

Conclusions

The improved genome assembly and population genetics data presented here, and from
numerous existing studies, have been updated to the v2.2 genome and integrated into
PlantGenIE (Sundell *et al.*, 2015) to serve as a comprehensive community resource to
facilitate hypothesis exploration and generation. To demonstrate the value and utility of the
improved genome resource detailed here we performed GWAS for leaf physiognomy
phenotypes in three aspen collections. We demonstrate use of the PlantGenIE.org resource
to explore the Potra2n10c20533 gene that harbours a SNP associated to the standard
deviation of leaf indent width. This is coupled with a complete phenotype data resource for
the leaf physiognomy traits studied. The data presented is all publicly available, as
summarised in Figure 6. Genomic resources in aspen have facilitated the characterisation of
adaptive traits (Wang *et al.*, 2018), omnigenic traits (Mähler *et al.,* 2020), and the use of
GWAS as a tool to guide candidate gene discovery (Grimberg *et al.*, 2018) in addition to
functional genomics insights into wood formation (Sundell *et al.*, 2017) and sex
determination (Muller *et al*., 2020), among others. Integration of these data in
PlantGenIE.org enables rapid exploration of hypotheses, for example the potential functional
role of candidate genes and can help in selecting among candidates for downstream studies
to investigate and elucidate their functional and adaptive significance.

Author contributions

Conducted the experiments: KMR, BS, VK, KHR, SA, KC, NRS.

Analysed and/or interpreted the data: KMR, BS, HL, SMW, MR-A, TAK, VK, CC, CB, ND, TD,
CM, JW, NM, SA, KC, NRS.
Provided materials and resources: JJ, VS, JC, KV, E-JP, SP, SJ, PKI, NRS.
Wrote the manuscript: KMR, BS and NRS with contributions from all authors. All authors
read and approved the manuscript.
694

Acknowledgements

Optical map production and analysis were performed by the VIB Nucleomics Core
(www.nucleomics.be). The authors would like to acknowledge support from Science for Life
Laboratory, the National Genomics Infrastructure, NGI, and Uppmax for providing assistance
in massive parallel sequencing and computational infrastructure. The UmAsp sequences
were funded by a grant from The Swedish Biodiversity Program at SciLife to Stefan Jansson.
Computations were performed on resources provided by SNIC through Uppsala
Multidisciplinary Centre for Advanced Computational Science (UPPMAX) under projects
SNIC 2019/8-60, b2017115, b2010014, sllstore2017050, sllstore2017059, SNIC 2017/1-
499, SNIC 2017/7-219, SNIC 2018/3-552, SNIC 2019/3-597, and SNIC 2021/5-62. We
particularly thank Olga Pettersson at the Science for Life Laboratory for efforts, discussion
and input. We thank Michiel Van Bel at the VIB_UGent Center for Plant Systems Biology for
assistance with the PLAZA platform. We thank the UPSC bioinformatics platform (UPSCb) for
computational infrastructure. The SwAsp and UmAsp common gardens were hosted and
maintained by Skogforsk. We thank Zulema Carracedo Lorenzo for assistance in the field and
laboratory. The ScotAsp clone garden was planted and maintained by Forest Research
Technical Services Unit, with funding from the Forestry Commission. This work was
supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation, VINNOVA UPSC Centre for Forest
Biotechnology, the Research and Development Program for Forestry Technology (Project
S111416L0710) provided by Korea Forest Service, and the Trees for the Future (T4F)
project, The Swedish Research Council for Environment, Agricultural Science, and The
Swedish Research Council to NRS. Hui Liu thanks the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for the
financial support (No. 201906510022).

Data availability and FAIR (Findable Accessible Interoperable Reusable) compliance

Data used to generate the genome assembly are available as European Nucleotide Archive (ENA; https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/home) accession PRJEB41363, and the UmAsp re-sequencing data are available as accession PRJEB47451. The ATAC-Seq data are available as accession: in progress. The genome data are available to browse at https://plantgenie.org/. The VCF files for the UmAsp, SwAsp and ScotAsp collections are available at the European Variant Archive: in progress. Significant genome-wide association results (at q-value < 0.05), SNP variant files and regions under positive and balancing selection are available at https://plantgenie.org/JBrowse_new. Raw and processed phenotype files, top-ranked GWAS results and ATAC-Seq peaks are available at: the SciLife Data Repository Figshare: doi:10.17044/scilifelab.25335448.

Reference list

Adebali O, Ortega DR, Zhulin IB. **2015**. CDvist: a webserver for identification and visualization of conserved domains in protein sequences. *Bioinformatics* **31**: 1475–1477. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu836

Alpers DH, Appel SH, Tomkins GM. **1965**. A spectrophotometric assay for thiogalactoside transacetylase. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **240**: 10–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)97606-4

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. **1990**. Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **215**: 403–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2

Amarasinghe SL, Su S, Dong X, Zappia L, Ritchie ME, Gouil Q. **2020**. Opportunities and challenges in long-read sequencing data analysis. *Genome Biology* **21**: 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-1935-5

An X, Gao K, Chen Z, et al. 2020. Hybrid origin of *Populus tomentosa* Carr. identified through genome sequencing and phylogenomic analysis. *bioRxiv*, 2020.04.07.030692. Available at: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.07.030692v1

Apuli R-P, Bernhardsson C, Schiffthaler B, Robinson KM, Jansson S, Street NR, Ingvarsson PK. **2020**. Inferring the genomic landscape of recombination rate variation in European Aspen (*Populus tremula*). *Genes|Genomes|Genetics* **10**: 299–309. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.119.400504

Ariizumi T, Hatakeyama K, Hinata K, Inatsugi R, Nishida I, Sato S, Kato T, Tabata S,
Toriyama K. 2004. Disruption of the novel plant protein NEF1 affects lipid accumulation
in the plastids of the tapetum and exine formation of pollen, resulting in male sterility in
Arabidopsis thaliana. *The Plant Journal* **39:** 170-181. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
313X.2004.02118.x

Bae, EK, Kang, MJ, Lee, SJ, Park EJ, Kim KT. 2023. Chromosome-level genome assembly
of the Asian aspen *Populus davidiana* Dode. *Sci Data* **10**: 431.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-02350-5

Bai S, Wu H, Zhang J, Pan Z, Zhao W, Li Z, Tong C. **2021**. Genome Assembly of Salicaceae
Populus deltoides (Eastern Cottonwood) I-69 Based on Nanopore Sequencing and Hi-C
Technologies. *Journal of Heredity* **112**: 303–310. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esab010

Baute J, Herman D, Coppens F, De Block J, Slabbinck B, Dell'Acqua M, Pè ME, Maere S,
Nelissen H, Inzé D. 2015. Correlation analysis of the transcriptome of growing leaves with
mature leaf parameters in a maize RIL population. *Genome Biol.* **16:** 168. doi:
10.1186/s13059-015-0735-9
Bååth R. **2016**. bayesboot: an implementation of Rubin's (1981) Bayesian Bootstrap.
https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=bayesboot.

Ben-Gera H, Dafna A, Alvarez JP, Bar M, Mauerer M, Ori N. 2016. Auxin-mediated
lamina growth in tomato leaves is restricted by two parallel mechanisms. *Plant J.* **86:** 443-
57. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13188

Bernhardsson C, Robinson KM, Abreu IN, Jansson S, Albrectsen BR, Ingvarsson PK.
2013. Geographic structure in metabolome and herbivore community co-occurs with
genetic structure in plant defence genes. *Ecology Letters* **16**: 791–798.
https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12114

Boeckler GA, Gershenzon J, Unsicker SB. **2011**. Phenolic glycosides of the Salicaceae and
their role as anti-herbivore defenses. *Phytochemistry* **72**: 1497–1509.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2011.01.038

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. **2014**. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 2114–2120.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

Bresadola L, Caseys C, Castiglione S, Buerkle CA, Wegmann D, Lexer C. **2019**.
Admixture mapping in interspecific *Populus* hybrids identifies classes of genomic
architectures for phytochemical, morphological and growth traits. *New Phytologist* **223**:
2076–2089. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15930

Browning BL, Zhou Y, Browning SR. **2018**. A one-penny imputed genome from next
generation reference panels. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **103**: 338–348.
doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2018.07.015

Bucchini F, Del Cortona A, Kreft L, Botzki A, Van Bel M, Vandepoele K. **2021**.TRAPID
2.0: a web application for taxonomic and functional analysis of de novo transcriptomes.
Nucleic Acids Research **49**: e101. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab565

Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH. **2014**. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND.

Nature Methods **12**: 59–60. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-021-01101-x

Bylesjö M, Segura V, Soolanayakanahally RY, Rae AM, Trygg J, Gustafsson P, Jansson S,
Street NR. 2008. LAMINA: a tool for rapid quantification of leaf size and shape parameters.
BMC Plant Biol. **81**: 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-8-82

Campbell MS, Holt C, Moore B, Yandell M. **2014**. Genome Annotation and Curation Using
MAKER and MAKER-P. *Current Protocols in Bioinformatics* **2014**: 4.11.1-4.11.39.
https://doi.org/10.1002/0471250953.bi0411s48

Catala R, Ouyang J, Abreu IA, Hu Y, Seo H, Zhang X, Chua NH. 2007. The Arabidopsis E3
SUMO ligase SIZ1 regulates plant growth and drought responses. *Plant Cell.* **19:** 2952-66.
doi: 10.1105/tpc.106.049981

Chang S, Puryear J, Cairney J. **1993**. A simple and efficient method for isolating RNA from
pine trees. *Plant Mol Biol Rep* **11**: 113–116. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02670468
809
Chedgy RJ, Köllner TG, Constabel CP. **2015**. Functional characterization of two
acyltransferases from *Populus trichocarpa* capable of synthesizing benzyl benzoate and
salicyl benzoate, potential intermediates in salicinoid phenolic glycoside biosynthesis.
Phytochemistry **113**: 149–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2014.10.018

Chen S, Yu Y, Wang X, Wang S, Zhang T, Zhou Y, He R, Meng N, Wang Y, Liu W, Liu Z,
Liu J, Guo Q, Huang H, Sederoff RR, Wang G, Qu G, Chen S. 2023. Chromosome-level
genome assembly of a triploid poplar *Populus alba* 'Berolinensis'. *Molecular Ecology*
Resources **23:** 1092–1107. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13770

Chen L, Zhu QH. 2022. The evolutionary landscape and expression pattern of plant
lincRNAs. *RNA Biology* **19:** 1190–1207. https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2022.2144609

Chin CS, Peluso P, Sedlazeck FJ, Nattestad M, Concepcion GT, Clum A, Dunn C, O'Malley
R, Figueroa-Balderas R, Morales-Cruz A, *et al.* 2016. Phased diploid genome assembly
with single-molecule real-time sequencing. *Nature Methods* **13**: 1050–1054.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4035

Cho HK, Ahn CS, Lee HS, Kim JK, Pai HS. 2013. Pescadillo plays an essential role in plant
cell growth and survival by modulating ribosome biogenesis. *Plant J.* **76:** 393-405. doi:
10.1111/tpj.12302

Coscia M, Neffke FMH. 2017. Network backboning with noisy data. *Proceedings -*
International Conference on Data Engineering, 425–436.
https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDE.2017.100

Cromer L, Jolivet S, Singh DK, Berthier F, De Winne N, De Jaeger G, Komaki S, Prusicki
MA, Schnittger A, Guérois R, Mercier R. 2019. Patronus is the elusive plant securin,
preventing chromosome separation by antagonizing separase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **116:**
16018-16027. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1906237116

Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE,
Lunter G, Marth GT, Sherry ST, McVean G, Durbin R, 1000 Genomes Project Analysis
Group. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**: 2156–2158.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330

Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, Whitwham A, Keane
T, McCarthy SA, Davies RM, Li H. 2021. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools.
GigaScience **10:** giab008, https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab008

Davies C, Ellis CJ, Iason GR, Ennos RA. **2014**. Genotypic variation in a foundation tree
(*Populus tremula* L.) explains community structure of associated epiphytes. *Biol. Lett.* **10**:
20140190. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2014.0190

De Bie T, Cristianini N, Demuth JP, Hahn MW. **2006**. CAFE: a computational tool for the
study of gene family evolution. *Bioinformatics* **22**: 1269–71.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl097

de Carvalho D, Ingvarsson PK, Joseph J, Suter L, Sedivy C, Macaya-Sanz D, Cottrell J,
Heinze B, Schanzer I, Lexer C. 2010. Admixture facilitates adaptation from standing
variation in the European aspen (*Populus tremula* L.*),* a widespread forest tree. *Mol. Ecol.*
19:1638–1650. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04595.x

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M,
Gingeras TR. **2013**. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 15–21.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

Dóczi R, Hatzimasoura E, Bögre L. **2011**. Mitogen-activated protein kinase activity and
reporter gene assays in plants. In: Dissmeyer N, Schnittger A. (eds) Plant Kinases. Methods
in Molecular Biology (Methods and Protocols), vol 779. Humana, Totowa, NJ.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-264-9_5
Donaldson JR, Stevens MT, Barnhill HR, Lindroth RL. **2006**. Age-related shifts in leaf
chemistry of clonal aspen (Populus tremuloides). *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **32**: 1415–
1429. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-006-9059-2

El-Gebali S, Mistry J, Bateman A, Eddy SR, Luciani A, Potter SC, Qureshi M, Richardson
LJ, Salazar GA, Smart A, *et al.* **2018**. The Pfam protein families database in 2019. *Nucleic*
Acids Research **47**: 427–432. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa913

Emms DM, Kelly S. **2015**. OrthoFinder: solving fundamental biases in whole genome
comparisons dramatically improves orthogroup inference accuracy. *Genome Biology* **16**.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0721-2

Fox J, Weisberg S. **2019**. *An R Companion to Applied Regression*, Third edition. Sage,
Thousand Oaks CA. https://socialsciences.mcmaster.ca/jfox/Books/Companion/

Fracheboud Y, Luquez V, Björkén L, Sjödin A, Tuominen H, Jansson S. **2009**. The
control of autumn senescence in European aspen. *Plant physiology* **149**: 1982–1991.
https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.133249

Gachomo EW, Jimenez-Lopez JC, Baptiste LJ, Kotchoni SO. 2014. GIGANTUS1 (GTS1), a
member of Transducin/WD40 protein superfamily, controls seed germination, growth and
biomass accumulation through ribosome-biogenesis protein interactions in Arabidopsis
thaliana. *BMC Plant Biol.* **14:** 37. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-14-37

Gasteiger E, Gattiker A, Hoogland C, Ivanyi I, Appel RD, Bairoch A. **2003**. ExPASy: the
proteomics server for in-depth protein knowledge and analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research* **31**:
3784–3788. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkg563

Gautier M, Klassmann A, Vitalis R. 2017. rehh 2.0: a reimplementation of the R package
rehh to detect positive selection from haplotype structure. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **17**:
78–90. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12634

Gehlenborg N. **2014**. UpSetR: A More Scalable Alternative to Venn and Euler Diagrams for
Visualizing Intersecting Sets. R package version 1.4.0. *https://CRAN.R-*
project.org/package=UpSetR.

Gel B, Serra E. **2017**. karyoploteR: an R/Bioconductor package to plot customizable
genomes displaying arbitrary data and 2 CIBERONC. *Bioninformatics* **33**: 3088–3090.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx346

Ghurye J, Pop M. **2019**. Modern technologies and algorithms for scaffolding assembled
genomes. *PLoS Computational Biology* **15**: e1006994.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006994

Ghurye J, Rhie A, Walenz BP, Schmitt A, Selvaraj S, Pop M, Phillippy AM, Koren S.
2019. Integrating Hi-C links with assembly graphs for chromosome-scale assembly (I
Ioshikhes, Ed.). *PLOS Computational Biology* **15**: e1007273.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007273

Goel M, Sun H, Jiao W-B, Schneeberger K. **2019**. SyRI: finding genomic rearrangements
and local sequence differences from whole-genome assemblies. *Genome biology* **20**: 277.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1911-0

Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Williams TD, Nagaraj SH, Nueda MJ, Robles M, Talón
M, Dopazo J, Conesa A. **2008**. High-throughput functional annotation and data mining
with the Blast2GO suite. *Nucleic acids research* **36**: 3420–35.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn176

Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, Adiconis X, Fan L,
Raychowdhury R, Zeng Q, Chen Z, Mauceli E, Hacohen N, Gnirke A, Rhind N, Di Palma
F, Birren BW, Nusbaum C, Lindblad-Toh K, Friedman N, Regev A. 2011. Trinity:
reconstructing a full-length transcriptome without a genome from RNA-Seq data. *Nature*
Biotechnology **29**: 644. https://doi.org/10.1038/NBT.1883

Grimberg Å, Lager I, Street NR, Robinson KM, Marttila S, Mähler N, Ingvarsson PK,
Bhalerao RP. 2018. Storage lipid accumulation is controlled by photoperiodic signal acting
via regulators of growth cessation and dormancy in hybrid aspen. *New Phytologist* **219**:
619–630. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15197

Gruner K, Leissing F, Sinitski D, Thieron H, Axstmann C, Baumgarten K, Reinstädler A,
Winkler P, Altmann M, Flatley A, Jaouannet M, Zienkiewicz K, Feussner I, Keller H,
Coustau C, Falter-Braun P, Feederle R, Bernhagen J, Panstruga R. 2021. Chemokine-
like MDL proteins modulate flowering time and innate immunity in plants. *J Biol Chem.*
296: 100611. doi: 10.1016/j.jbc.2021.100611

Guerriero G, Hausman JF, Ezcurra I. 2015. WD40-Repeat Proteins in Plant Cell Wall
Formation: Current Evidence and Research Prospects. *Front Plant Sci*. **6:** 1112. doi:
10.3389/fpls.2015.01112

Guo R, Hu Y, Aoi Y, Hira H, Ge C, Dai X, Kasahara H, Zhao Y. 2022. Local conjugation of

auxin by the GH3 amido synthetases is required for normal development of roots and flowers in Arabidopsis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* **589:** 16-22. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2021.11.109

Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. **2013**. Genome analysis QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 1072–1075. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086

Haas BJ, Papanicolaou A, Yassour M, Grabherr M, Blood PD, Bowden J, Couger MB, Eccles D, Li B, Lieber M, *et al.* **2013**. De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nature Protocols* **8**: 1494–1512. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.084

Harrison A. **2009**. Aspen growth trials: showing the species' potential in Scotland. The biodiversity and management of aspen woodlands (eds Cosgrove P, Amphlett A). Grantown-on-Spey, UK: The Cairngorms Local Biodiversity Action Plan. pp. 49–51.

Hendelman A, Buxdorf K, Stav R, Kravchik M, Arazi T. 2012. Inhibition of lamina outgrowth following Solanum lycopersicum AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR 10 (SlARF10) derepression. *Plant Mol Biol.* **78:** 561-76. doi: 10.1007/s11103-012-9883-4

Hirano T, Matsuzawa T, Takegawa K, Sato MH. 2011. Loss-of-function and gain-of-function mutations in FAB1A/B impair endomembrane homeostasis, conferring pleiotropic developmental abnormalities in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **155:** 797-807. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.167981.

Hoff KJ, Lange S, Lomsadze A, Borodovsky M, Stanke M. **2016**. BRAKER1: Unsupervised RNA-Seq-Based Genome Annotation with GeneMark-ET and AUGUSTUS *Bioinformatics* **32**: 767–769. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv661

Hou Z, Wang Z, Ye Z, Du S, Liu S, Zhang J. **2018**. Phylogeographic analyses of a widely distributed Populus davidiana: Further evidence for the existence of glacial refugia of cool-temperate deciduous trees in northern East Asia. *Ecology and Evolution* **8**: 13014–13026. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4755

Huang S, Kang M, Xu A. **2017**. HaploMerger2: rebuilding both haploid sub-assemblies from high-heterozygosity diploid genome assembly. *Bioinformatics* **33**: 2577–2579. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx220

Jansson S, Douglas CJ. **2007**. *Populus*: A Model System for Plant Biology. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **58**: 435–458. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.58.032806.103956

Jiao WB, Schneeberger K. **2017**. The impact of third generation genomic technologies on plant genome assembly. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **36**: 64–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2017.02.002

Kanehisa M, Goto S. **2000**. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* **28**: 27–30. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.27

Katoh K, Standley DM. **2013**. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**: 772–780. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010

960 **Kersten B, Faivre Rampant P, Mader M, Le Paslier M-C, Bounon R, Berard A, Vettori C,**
961 **Schroeder H, Leplé J-C, Fladung M**. **2016**. Genome Sequences of *Populus*
962 *tremula* Chloroplast and Mitochondrion: Implications for Holistic Poplar Breeding. *PLoS*
963 *ONE* **11**: e0147209. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147209.
964 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147209
965

Kolde R. **2019**. pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. R package version 1.0.12. *https://CRAN.R-*
project.org/package=pheatmap.

Kopylova E, Noé L, Touzet H. **2012**. SortMeRNA: fast and accurate filtering of ribosomal
RNAs in metatranscriptomic data. *Bioinformatics* **28**: 3211–7.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts611

Korf I. **2004**. Gene finding in novel genomes. *BMC Bioinformatics* **5**: 59.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-5-59

Kruijer W. **2019**. heritability: Marker-Based Estimation of Heritability Using Individual
Plant or Plot Data. R package version 1.3. *https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=heritability*.

Kruijer W, Boer MP, Malosetti M, Flood PJ, Engel B, Kooke R, Keurentjes JJB, Van
Eeuwijk FA. **2015**. Marker-based estimation of heritability in immortal populations.
Genetics **199**: 379–398. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.114.167916

Kulasekaran S, Cerezo-Medina S, Harflett C, Lomax C, de Jong F, Rendour A, Ruvo G,
Hanley SJ, Beale MH, Ward JL. **2021**. A willow UDP-glycosyltransferase involved in
salicinoid biosynthesis (J Rohwer, Ed.). *Journal of Experimental Botany* **72**: 1634–1648.
https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa562

Kang YJ, Yang DC, Kong L, Hou M, Meng YQ, Wei L, Gao G. 2017. CPC2: a fast and
accurate coding potential calculator based on sequence intrinsic features. *Nucleic Acids*
Research **45:** W12–W16. https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKX428

Lee J, Han CT, Hur Y. 2010. Overexpression of BrMORN, a novel 'membrane occupation
and recognition nexus' motif protein gene from Chinese cabbage, promotes vegetative
growth and seed production in Arabidopsis. *Mol Cells*. **29:** 113-22. doi: 10.1007/s10059-
010-0006-2

Li H. **2013**. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-
MEM. arXiv:1303.3997v2 [q-bio.GN]
991

Li H. **2018**. Minimap2: Pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **34**:
3094–3100. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191

Li A, Zhang J, Zhou Z. 2014. PLEK: A tool for predicting long non-coding RNAs and
messenger RNAs based on an improved k-mer scheme. *BMC Bioinformatics* **15:** 1–10.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-15-311

Lin J, Sibley A, Shterev I, Nixon A, Innocenti F, Chan C, Owzar K. 2019. fastJT: An R
package for robust and efficient feature selection for machine learning and genome-wide
association studies. *BMC Bioinformatics* **20:** 333. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-019-
2869-3

Lin YC, Wang J, Delhomme N, Schiffthaler B, Sundström G, Zuccolo A, Nystedt B,
Hvidsten TR, de la Torre A, Cossu RM, *et al.* 2018. Functional and evolutionary genomic
inferences in Populus through genome and population sequencing of American and
European aspen. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
America **115**: E10970–E10978. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1801437115

Liu PP, Montgomery TA, Fahlgren N, Kasschau KD, Nonogaki H, Carrington JC. 2007.
Repression of AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR10 by microRNA160 is critical for seed
germination and post-germination stages. *Plant J.* **52:** 133-46. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
313X.2007.03218.x

Lomsadze A, Ter-Hovhannisyan V, Chernoff YO, Borodovsky M. **2005**. Gene
identification in novel eukaryotic genomes by self-training algorithm. *Nucleic acids research*
33: 6494–6506. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki937

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology* **15:** 1–21.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Luquez V, Hall D, Albrectsen BR, Karlsson J, Ingvarsson P, Jansson S. **2008**. Natural
phenological variation in aspen (*Populus tremula*): The SwAsp collection. *Tree Genetics and*
Genomes **4**: 279–292. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-007-0108-y

Ma, J., Wan, D., Duan, B., Bai, X., Bai, Q., Chen, N. and Ma, T. (2019) Genome sequence
and genetic transformation of a widely distributed and cultivated poplar. *Plant Biotechnol.*
J., **17**, 451–460. https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12989

Mähler N, Wang J, Terebieniec BK, Ingvarsson PK, Street NR, Hvidsten TR. **2017**. Gene
co-expression network connectivity is an important determinant of selective constraint.
PLoS Genetics **13**: e1006402. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006402

Mähler N, Schiffthaler B, Robinson KM, Terebieniec BK, Vučak M, Mannapperuma C,
Bailey MES, Jansson S, Hvidsten TR, Street NR. **2020**. Leaf shape in *Populus tremula* is a
complex, omnigenic trait. *Ecol Evol* **10**:11922–11940. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6691

Marçais G, Kingsford C. **2011**. A fast, lock-free approach for efficient parallel counting of
occurrences of k-mers. *Bioinformatics* **27**: 764–770.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr011

McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., et al. 2010. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A
MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.*
20: 1297–1303. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.107524.110

Michael TP, VanBuren R. **2020**. Building near-complete plant genomes. *Current Opinion in*
Plant Biology **54**: 26–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2019.12.009

Michelson IH, Ingvarsson PK, Robinson KM, Edlund E, Eriksson ME, Nilsson O,
Jansson S. **2018**. Autumn senescence in aspen is not triggered by day length. *Physiologia*
Plantarum **162**: 123–134. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12593

Miura K, Lee J, Miura T, Hasegawa PM. 2010. SIZ1 controls cell growth and plant
development in Arabidopsis through salicylic acid. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **51:**103-13. doi:

10.1093/pcp/pcp171

Mouriz A, López-González L, Jarillo JA, Piñeiro M. 2015. PHDs govern plant
development. *Plant Signal Behav.* **10**:e993253. doi: 10.4161/15592324.2014.993253

Müller NA, Kersten B, Leite Montalvão AP, Mähler N, Bernhardsson C, *et al.* 2020. A
single gene underlies the dynamic evolution of poplar sex determination. *Nat.
Plants* **6:** 630–637. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0672-9

Myking T, Bøhler F, Austrheim G, Solberg EJ. **2011**. Life history strategies of aspen
(Populus tremula L.) and browsing effects: A literature review. *Forestry* **84**: 61–71.
https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpq044

**Nakano RT, Matsushima R, Ueda H, Tamura K, Shimada T, Li L, Hayashi Y, Kondo M,
Nishimura M, Hara-Nishimura I. 2009.** GNOM-LIKE1/ERMO1 and SEC24a/ERMO2 are
required for maintenance of endoplasmic reticulum morphology in *Arabidopsis thaliana.
Plant Cell* **21:** 3672-85. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.068270

Ou S, Chen J, Jiang N. **2018**. Assessing genome assembly quality using the LTR Assembly
Index (LAI). *Nucleic acids research* **46**: e126. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky730

Pakull B, Kersten B, Lüneburg J, Fladung M. **2015**. A simple PCR-based marker to
determine sex in aspen. *Plant Biol J* **17**: 256-261. https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.12217

Palos K, Yu L, Railey CE, Nelson Dittrich AC, Nelson ADL. 2023. Linking discoveries,
mechanisms, and technologies to develop a clearer perspective on plant long noncoding
RNAs. *The Plant Cell* **35:** 1762–1786. https://doi.org/10.1093/plcell/koad027

Pan W, Jiang T, Lonardi S. **2019**. OMGS: Optical Map-Based Genome Scaffolding. *Journal of
Computational Biology* **27**: cmb.2019.0310. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2019.0310

**Paniagua C, Bilkova A, Jackson P, Dabravolski S, Riber W, Didi V, Houser J, Gigli-
Bisceglia N, Wimmerova M, Budínská E, Hamann T, Hejatko J. 2017.** Dirigent proteins
in plants: modulating cell wall metabolism during abiotic and biotic stress exposure. *J Exp
Bot.* **68:** 3287-3301. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erx141

Patro R, Duggal G, Love MI, Irizarry RA, Kingsford C. **2017**. Salmon provides fast and
bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nature Methods* **14**: 417–419.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4197

Patterson N, Price AL, Reich D. **2006**. Population Structure and Eigenanalysis. *PLoS Genet*
2: e190. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0020190

**Peiter E, Montanini B, Gobert A, Pedas P, Husted S, Maathuis FJ, Blaudez D, Chalot M,
Sanders D. A secretory pathway-localized cation diffusion facilitator confers plant
manganese tolerance. 2007.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. **104**: 8532-7. doi:
10.1073/pnas.0609507104

Peterson RA, Cavanaugh JE. **2020**. Ordered quantile normalization: a semiparametric
transformation built for the cross-validation era. *Journal of Applied Statistics* **47**: 2312-
2327. doi: 10.1080/02664763.2019.1630372.

Philippe RN, Bohlmann J. **2007**. Poplar defense against insect herbivores. *Canadian
Journal of Botany* **85**: 1111–1126. https://doi.org/10.1139/B07-109

Pinosio S, Giacomello S, Faivre-Rampant P, Taylor G, Jorge V, Le Paslier MC, Zaina G,
Bastien C, Cattonaro F, Marroni F, Morgante M. 2016. Characterization of the poplar
pan-genome by genome-wide identification of structural variation. *Molecular Biology and*
Evolution **33**: 2706–2719. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw161

Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar
P, De Bakker PIW, Daly MJ, *et al.* 2007. PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association
and population-based linkage analyses. *American Journal of Human Genetics* **81**: 559–575.
https://doi.org/10.1086/519795

Qu X, Chatty PR, Roeder AHK. 2014. Endomembrane Trafficking Protein SEC24A
Regulates Cell Size Patterning in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **166**: 1877–1890.
https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.246033

Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
features. *Bioinformatics* **26:** 841–842.
https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTQ033

R Core Team. **2019**. A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. *R Foundation*
for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/

Reimann R, Kost B, Dettmer J. 2017. TETRASPANINs in Plants. *Front Plant Sci.* **18:** 545.
doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00545

Rendón-Anaya M, Wilson J, Sveinsson S, Cottrell J, Bailey ME, Ruņģis D, Lexer C,
Jansson S, Robinson KM, Street NR, Ingvarsson PK. 2021. Adaptive Introgression
Facilitates Adaptation to High Latitudes in European Aspen (*Populus tremula* L.). *Molecular*
Biology and Evolution **38**: 5034–5050. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab229

Robinson KM, Delhomme N, Mähler N, Schiffthaler B, Onskog J, Albrectsen BR,
Ingvarsson PK, Hvidsten TR, Jansson S, Street NR. **2014**. *Populus tremula* (European
aspen) shows no evidence of sexual dimorphism. *BMC plant biology* **14**: 276.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-014-0276-5

Robinson KM, Hauzy C, Loeuille N, Albrectsen BR. **2015**. Relative impacts of
environmental variation and evolutionary history on the nestedness and modularity of
tree-herbivore networks. *Ecology and Evolution* **5**: 2898– 2915.
https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1559
Robinson KM, Ingvarsson PK, Jansson S, Albrectsen BR. **2012**. Genetic variation in
functional traits influences arthropod community composition in aspen (*Populus tremula*
L.). *PLoS ONE* **7**: 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037679

Rodgers-Melnick E, Vera DL, Bass HW, Buckler ES. 2016. Open chromatin reveals the
functional maize genome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **113**: E3177-84. doi:
10.1073/pnas.1525244113

Sanderson MJ. **2003**. r8s: inferring absolute rates of molecular evolution and divergence
times in the absence of a molecular clock. *Bioinformatics* **19**: 301–2.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/19.2.301

Shabalin AA. 2012. Matrix eQTL: ultra fast eQTL analysis via large matrix operations.
Bioinformatics. **28:** 1353-8. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts163

1122
1123 **Schiffthaler B, Van Zalen E, Serrano AR, Street NR, Delhomme N. (2023).** Seiðr:
1124 Efficient calculation of robust ensemble gene networks. *Heliyon*, **9:** e16811.
1125 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e16811
1126
1127 **Shevchenko A, Wilm M, Vorm O, Mann M**.**1996**. Mass spectrometric sequencing of
1128 proteins from silver-stained polyacrylamide gels. *Analytical Chemistry* **68**: 850-858.
1129 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac950914h
1130
1131 **Shi TL, Jia KH, Bao YT, Nie S, Tian XC, Yan XM, Chen ZY, Li ZC, Zhao SW, Ma HY, Zhao Y,**
1132 **Li X, Zhang RG, Guo J, Zhao W, El-Kassaby YA, Müller N, Van de Peer Y, Wang XR,**
1133 **Street NR, Porth I, An X, Mao JF. 2024.** High-quality genome assembly enables prediction
1134 of allele-specific gene expression in hybrid poplar. *Plant Physiol.* 27:kiae078. doi:
1135 10.1093/plphys/kiae078
1136
Siewert KM, Voight BF. 2017. Detecting Long-Term Balancing Selection Using Allele
Frequency Correlation. *Mol Biol Evol* 2017, **34:** 2996–3005.
https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx209

Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva E V., Zdobnov EM. **2015**. BUSCO:
user guide. *Bioinformatics* **31**: 3210–3212.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351

Slaten ML, Chan YO, Shrestha V, Lipka AE, Angelovici R. **2020**. HAPPI GWAS: Holistic
Analysis with Pre- and Post Integration GWAS. *Bioinformatics* **36**: 4655–4657.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa589

Slavov GT, Zhelev P. **2010**. Salient Biological Features, Systematics, and Genetic Variation
of Populus. In: Genetics and Genomics of *Populus*. Springer New York, 15–38.

Sobuja N, Nissinen K, Virjamo V, Salonen A, Sivadasan U, Randriamanana T, Ikonen V-
P, Kilpeläinen K, Julkunen-Tiitto R, Nybakken L, Mehtätalo L, Peltola H.
2021.Accumulation of phenolics and growth of dioecious *Populus tremula* (L.) seedlings
over three growing seasons under elevated temperature and UVB radiation. *Plant*
Physiology and Biochemistry **165**: 114-122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.05.012

Soolanayakanahally R, Guy R, Street N, Robinson K, Silim S, Albrectsen B, Jansson S.
2015. Comparative physiology of allopatric *Populus* species: geographic clines in
photosynthesis, height growth, and carbon isotope discrimination in common gardens.
Frontiers in Plant Science **6**: 528. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00528

Stanke M, Diekhans M, Baertsch R, Haussler D. **2008**. Using native and syntenically
mapped cDNA alignments to improve de novo gene finding. *Bioinformatics* **24**: 637–44.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn013

Storey JD, Bass AJ, Dabney A, Robinson D. **2021**. qvalue: Q-value estimation for false
discovery rate control. R package version 2.26.0, http://github.com/jdstorey/qvalue.

Street NR, Ingvarsson PK. 2011. Association genetics of complex traits in plants. *New*
Phytologist **189:**909-922. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03593.x

Sundell D, Mannapperuma C, Netotea S, Delhomme N, Lin Y-C, Sjödin A, Van de Peer Y,
Jansson S, Hvidsten TR, Street NR. **2015**. The Plant Genome Integrative Explorer
Resource: PlantGenIE.org. *New Phytologist* **208**: 1149–1156.
https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13557

Sundell D, Street NR, Kumar M, Mellerowicz EJ, Kucukoglu M, Johnsson C, Kumar V,
Mannapperuma C, Delhomme N, Nilsson O, *et al.* 2017. Aspwood: High-spatial-
resolution transcriptome profiles reveal uncharacterized modularity of wood formation in
Populus tremula. Plant Cell **29**: 1585–1604. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.17.00153

Supek F, Bošnjak M, Škunca N, Šmuc T. 2011. REVIGO summarizes and visualizes long
lists of gene ontology terms. *PLoS One*. **6:** e21800. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0021800

Taguchi G, Ubukata T, Nozue H, Kobayashi Y, Takahi M, Yamamoto H, Hayashida N.
2010. Malonylation is a key reaction in the metabolism of xenobiotic phenolic glucosides in
Arabidopsis and tobacco. *The Plant Journal* **63**: 1031-1041.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04298.x

Tajima F. **1989**. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA
polymorphism. *Genetics* **123**: 585-595. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/123.3.585

Tang H, Zhang X, Miao C, Zhang J, Ming R, Schnable JC, Schnable PS, Lyons E, Lu J.
2015. ALLMAPS: Robust scaffold ordering based on multiple maps. *Genome Biology* **16**: 3.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0573-1

The UniProt Consortium. **2019**. UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge The
UniProt Consortium. *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**: D506–D515.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1049

Tibbits JFG, McManus LJ, Spokevicius A V., Bossinger G. **2006**. A rapid method for tissue
collection and high-throughput isolation of genomic DNA from mature trees. *Plant*
Molecular Biology Reporter **24**: 81–91. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02914048

Turner S. **2017**. qqman: Q-Q and Manhattan Plots for GWAS Data. R package version 0.1.4.
https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=qqman.

Tuskan GA, DiFazio S, Jansson S, Bohlmann J, Grigoriev I, Hellsten U, Putnam M, Ralph
S, Rombauts S, Salamov A, *et al.* 2006. The genome of black cottonwood, Populus
trichocarpa (Torr. & Gray). *Science* **313**: 1596–1604.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1128691
1195

Tuskan GA, DiFazio S, Faivre-Rampant P, Gaudet M, Harfouche A, Jorge V, Labbé JL,
Ranjan P, Sabatti M, Slavov G, Street N, Tschaplinski TJ, Yin T. **2012.** The obscure
events contributing to the evolution of an incipient sex chromosome in *Populus*: a
retrospective working hypothesis. *Tree Genetics & Genomes* **8,** 559–571.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-012-0495-6

Tylewicz S, Tsuji H, Miskolczi P, Petterle A, Azeez A, Jonsson K, Shimamoto K,
Bhalerao RP. **2015**. Dual role of tree florigen activation complex component FD in
photoperiodic growth control and adaptive response pathways. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences **112**: 3140-3145. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1423440112

1205

Van Bel M, Diels T, Vancaester E, Kreft L, Botzki A, Van de Peer Y, Coppens F,
Vandepoele K. **2018**. PLAZA 4.0: an integrative resource for functional, evolutionary and
comparative plant genomics. *Nucleic acids research* **46**: D1190–D1196.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1002

Veeckman E, Ruttink T, Vandepeople K. 2016. Are we there yet? Reliably estimating the
completeness of plant genome sequences. *The Plant Cell* **28:** 1759-1768.

https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.16.00349

Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, Cuomo CA, Zeng Q,
Wortman J, Young SK, *et al.* **2014**. Pilon: An integrated tool for comprehensive microbial
variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS ONE* **9**: e112963.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112963

Wang J, Ding J, Tan B, Robinson KM, Michelson IH, Johansson A, Nystedt B, Scofield
DG, Nilsson O, Jansson S, *et al.* **2018**. A major locus controls local adaptation and adaptive
life history variation in a perennial plant. *Genome Biology* **19**: 1–17.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-018-1444-y

Wang J, Street NR, Scofield DG, Ingvarsson PK. **2016a**. Natural selection and
recombination rate variation shape nucleotide polymorphism across the genomes of three
related *Populus* species. *Genetics* **202**: 1185–1200.
https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.115.183152

Wang J, Street NR, Scofield DG, Ingvarsson PK. **2016b**. Variation in linked selection and
recombination drive genomic divergence during allopatric speciation of European and
American aspens. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **33**: 1754–1767.
https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw051

Wang F, Muto A, Van de Velde J, Neyt P, Himanen K, Vandepoele K, Van Lijsebettens
M. 2015. Functional Analysis of the Arabidopsis TETRASPANIN Gene Family in Plant
Growth and Development. *Plant Physiol.* **169:** 2200-14. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.01310

Wang Y, Tang H, Debarry JD, Tan X, Li J, Wang X, Lee T, Jin H, Marler B, Guo H, *et al.*
2012. MCScanX: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and
collinearity. *Nucleic acids research* **40**: e49. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1293

Watari M, Kato M, Blanc-Mathieu R, Tsuge T, Ogata H, Aoyama T. 2022. Functional
Differentiation among the Arabidopsis Phosphatidylinositol 4-Phosphate 5-Kinase Genes
PIP5K1, PIP5K2 and PIP5K3. *Plant Cell Physiol*. **63**: 635-648. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcac025

Wheeler DL, Barrett T, Benson DA, Bryant SH, Canese K, Chetvernin V, Church DM,
DiCuccio M, Edgar R, Federhen S, *et al.* **2007**. Database resources of the National Center
for Biotechnology Information. *Nucleic acids research* **35**: D5–D12.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl1031

Weir BS, Cockerham CC. **1984**. Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population
structure. *Evolution* **38**: 1358-1370. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1984.tb05657.x

Weisman CM, Murray AW, Eddy SR. 2020. Many, but not all, lineage-specific genes can be

explained by homology detection failure. *PLoS Biol.* **18**: e3000862.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000862

Wickham H, François R, Henry L, Müller K. **2020**. dplyr: A Grammar of Data
Manipulation. R package version 0.8.4. *https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr*.

Wright MN, Ziegler A. **2017**. ranger: A Fast Implementation of Random Forests for High
Dimensional Data in C++ and R. *Journal of Statistical Software* **77**: 1–17.
https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v077.i01

Wu, H., Yao, D., Chen, Y., Yang, W., Zhao, W., Gao, H. and Tong, C. 2020. De Novo
Genome assembly of *Populus simonii* further supports that *Populus simonii* and *Populus
trichocarpa* belong to different sections. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics **10:** 455–466.
https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.119.400913

Xiao C, Guo H, Tang J, Li J, Yao X, Hu H. 2021. Expression Pattern and Functional Analyses
of Arabidopsis Guard Cell-Enriched GDSL Lipases. *Front Plant Sci.* **12:** 748543. doi:
10.3389/fpls.2021.748543

Yang L, Liu H, Zhao J, Pan Y, Cheng S, Lietzow CD, Wen C, Zhang X, Weng Y. 2018.
LITTLELEAF (LL) encodes a WD40 repeat domain-containing protein associated with
organ size variation in cucumber. *Plant J.* **95:** 834-847 doi: 10.1111/tpj.13991

Yang, W., Wang, K., Zhang, J., Ma, J., Liu, J. and Ma, T. **2017.** The draft genome sequence
of a desert tree Populus pruinosa. Gigascience **6**: 1–7.
https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/gix075

Yang Z. **2007**. PAML 4: Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood. *Molecular Biology
and Evolution* **24**: 1586–1591. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm088

**Yates TB, Feng K, Zhang J, Singan V, Jawdy SS, Ranjan P, Abraham PE, Barry K, Lipzen
A, Pan C, Schmutz J, Chen J-G, Tuskan GA, Muchero W. 2021.** The Ancient Salicoid
Genome Duplication Event: A Platform for Reconstruction of De Novo Gene Evolution
in *Populus trichocarpa*. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **13**: evab198.
https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evab198

**Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, Nusbaum C, Myers
RM, Brown M, Li W. 2008.** Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome biology* **9**: 1-
9.

Zhang, M., Zhang, Y., Scheuring, C. et al. 2012. Preparation of megabase-sized DNA from
a variety of organisms using the nuclei method for advanced genomics research. *Nat.
Protoc.* **7**: 467–478
1278

**Zhang J, Yang Y, Zheng K, Xie M, Feng K, Jawdy SS, Gunter LE, Ranjan P, Singan VR,
Engle N, *et al.* 2018**. Genome-wide association studies and expression-based quantitative
trait loci analyses reveal roles of HCT2 in caffeoylquinic acid biosynthesis and its regulation
by defense-responsive transcription factors in *Populus*. *New Phytologist* **220**: 502–516.
https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15297

Zhang B, Zhu W, Diao S, Wu X, Lu J, Ding CJ, Su X. 2019. The poplar pangenome provides

insights into the evolutionary history of the genus. *Commun Biol* **2:** 215.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-019-0474-7

Zhang C, Dong SS, Xu J-Y, He W-M, Yang TL. **2019**. PopLDdecay: a fast and effective tool
for linkage disequilibrium decay analysis based on variant call format files. *Bioinformatics*
35: 1786–1788. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty875

Zhang Z, Chen Y, Zhang J, Ma X, Li Y, Li M, Wang D, Kang M, Wu H, Yang Y, *et al.* 2020.
Improved genome assembly provides new insights into genome evolution in a desert
poplar (Populus euphratica). *Molecular Ecology Resources* **20**: 781– 794*.*
https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13142

Zheng Z, Guo Y, Novák O, Chen W, Ljung K, Noel JP, Chory J. 2016. Local auxin
metabolism regulates environment-induced hypocotyl elongation. *Nat Plants.* **21:** 16025.
doi: 10.1038/nplants.2016.25

Zhong R, Allen JD, Xiao G, Xie Y. 2014. Ensemble-Based Network Aggregation Improves
the Accuracy of Gene Network Reconstruction. *PLoS ONE* **9:** e106319.
https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0106319

Zhou R, Jenkins JW, Zeng Y, Shu S, Jang H, Harding SA, Williams M, Plott C, Barry KW,
Koriabine M, Amirebrahimi M, Talag J, Rajasekar S, Grimwood J, Schmitz RJ, Dawe
RK, Schmutz J, Tsai C-J. 2023. Haplotype-resolved genome assembly of Populus tremula ×
P. alba reveals aspen-specific megabase satellite DNA. *Plant J.*
https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.16454s

Zhou X, Carbonetto P, Stephens M. **2013**. Polygenic Modeling with Bayesian Sparse
Linear Mixed Models. *PLoS Genetics* **9**: 1003264.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003264

Zhou X, Stephens M. **2012**. Genome-wide efficient mixed-model analysis for association
studies. *Nature genetics* **44**: 821–4. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2310

1310

1311

1312

1313 **Table 1.** Summary statistics for *Populus tremula* genome assemblies v1.1 (Lin *et al.,* 2018)
1314 and v2.2. GC content statistics were calculated using the unmasked genome.
1315

Statistic	*P. tremula* v1.1	*P. tremula* v2.2
# contigs (>= 0 bp)	204318	1601
# contigs (>= 1000 bp)	31632	1584
# contigs (>= 5000 bp)	7267	1339
# contigs (>= 10000 bp)	5151	986
# contigs (>= 25000 bp)	3209	491
# contigs (>= 50000 bp)	1789	255
Total length (>= 0 bp)	386236512	408834716
Total length (>= 1000 bp)	328536064	408824553
Total length (>= 5000 bp)	277117215	407999588
Total length (>= 10000 bp)	262322877	405364617
Total length (>= 25000 bp)	231504505	397478443
Total length (>= 50000 bp)	180499961	389097052
# contigs	12044	1489
Largest contig	418873	53234430
Total length	294670244	408605800
GC (%)	33.56	33.87
N50	69979	16928776
N75	29987	13637973
L50	1227	9
L75	2826	15
# N's per 100 Kbp	5428.58	6573.91
Reads aligned (%)	97.77%	96.40%
Reads properly paired (%)	92.33%	94.19%

1316

1317

Table 2. Comparison of of SNP-trait associations ranked by signficance (by association *P*-value) in the genome wide association (GWAS) analysis of leaf physiognomy traits in the ScotAsp, SwAsp and UmAsp collections. For each association significant at *q*-value < 0.05, the rank of the SNP is shown in the OCR GWAS (GWAS using SNPs filtered to open chromatin regions) compared to the rank in the All-SNP GWAS (using SNPs filtered only by excess heterozygosity, Hardy-Weinberg Equlibrium *P*-value and minor allele freqency, see materials and methods for details).

Population	Trait	SNP	OCR GWAS rank	All-SNP GWAS rank
ScotAsp	Indent density	chr9_10684216_G_A	1	3
		chr9_10684306_T_A	2	4
		chr9_10684389_C_T	3	5
	Indent depth SD	chr9_10684114_T_G	1	2
		chr9_10684216_G_A	2	4
		chr9_10684306_T_A	3	5
	Indent width SD	chr9_10684216_G_A	1	15
		chr9_10684306_T_A	2	16
		chr9_10684389_C_T	3	19
		chr9_10646677_G_C	4	90
SwAsp	Length 75% / Width	chr5_13736867_T_G	1	5
		chr5_13736875_A_C	2	7
SwAsp	Indent width SD	chr1_31893859_G_A	1	6
		chr1_31894106_A_T	2	7
UmAsp	Indent density	chr16_10033126_C_G	1	4
		chr16_10033093_C_T	2	10
		chr16_10033097_C_T	3	11
		chr16_10032951_G_T	4	15
UmAsp	Squared Perimeter/ Area	chr11_432652_C_A	1	2

Table 3. Summary of significant (*q*-value < 0.05) association mapping statistics of leaf physiognomy traits in separate Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS), in each of the Swedish (SwAsp), Umeå (UmAsp) and Scottish (ScotAsp) aspen collections. Traits are described in detail in Appendix S2. 'Gene' = *Potra* v2.2 gene model associated with the genomic context of the significant SNP(s). 'Gene Description' and 'TF' respectively indicate the functional description of the gene and its transcription factor family (if applicable). 'GWAS' indicates the SNP background for the GWAS: 'All' = all filtered (minor allele frequency >0.05) SNPs in the genome; 'OCR' = all SNPs subset to only those in open chromatin regions. 'No. SNPs' = number of significant SNP-phenotype associations at *q*-value < 0.05. 'PVE' = maximum proportion of phenotypic variation explained by an individual SNP associated with the gene and trait. '*q*-value' = minimum association *q*-value for any SNP associated with the gene and trait. 'Genomic context' = position of the gene relative to genomic features; if intergenic the minimum distance (bp) is stated.

Gene	Gene description	TF	Trait	Collection	GWAS	No. SNPs	PVE	*q*-value	Genomic context(s)
Potra2n1c1388, Potra2n1c1389	Galactinol synthase 3; NA		Indent width SD	ScotAsp	All	1	0.232	0.049	intergenic Potra2n1c1388 (12517), Potra2n1c1389 (3271)
Potra2n1c1433	1-phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate 5-kinase FAB1B-like isoform X3	PHD	Indent width SD	ScotAsp	All	1	0.246	0.027	upstream
Potra2n1c2680	GDSL esterase/lipase		Indent width SD	SwAsp	OCR	2	0.223	0.032	UTR5
Potra2n3c7046	No exine formation 1		Length 75%	UmAsp	All	11	0.251	0.047	intron; exon (synonymous SNV, nonsynonymous SNV); upstream; UTR5; intergenic (297)
Potra2n3c7047	Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein		Length 75%	UmAsp	All	2	0.251	0.047	intergenic (4017)
Potra2n4c9542	Pescadillo homolog		Indent width SD	ScotAsp	All	1	0.238	0.037	upstream
Potra2n5c11907	Lgl_C domain-containing protein	WD40-like	Length 75% / Width	SwAsp	OCR	2	0.250	0.01	intron
Potra2n9c19972, Potra2n9c19973	Protein FANTASTIC FOUR 3, U-box domain-containing protein 56		Indent width SD	ScotAsp	All	1	0.230	0.046	intergenic Potra2n9c19972 (73022), Potra2n9c19973 (9137)
Potra2n9c19974	U-box domain-containing protein 57		Indent width SD	ScotAsp	All	1	0.232	0.048	Intergenic Potra2n9c19974 (5722), Potra2n9c19975 (2725)

Potra2n9c19975	RNA 3'-terminal phosphate cyclase		Indent width SD	ScotAsp	All	1	0.250	0.023	UTR3; intergenic (2725)
			Indent width SD	ScotAsp	OCR	1	0.240	0.024	UTR3
Potra2n9c19979	Mitochodrial transcription termination factor-related		Indent width SD	ScotAsp	All	9	0.031	0.241	downstream; UTR3
Potra2n9c19981	Putative tetraspanin/Peripherin		Indent density	ScotAsp	OCR	3	0.260	0.013	UTR5
			Indent depth SD	ScotAsp	OCR	3	0.252	0.024	UTR5
			Indent width SD	ScotAsp	All	10	0.300	0.015	intron; upstream; UTR5; intergenic (8076)
					OCR	4	0.278	0.004	UTR5
Potra2n9c19982	SUMO-protein ligase SIZ1-like isoform X2	PHD	Indent width SD	ScotAsp	All	6	0.249	0.02	intron; upstream; intergenic (3221)
Potra2n9c19983	Transmembrane protein 209		Indent width SD	ScotAsp	All	80	0.300	0.015	UTR3; intergenic (11593)
Potra2n9c19984	AP2/ERF and B3 domain-containing protein	ARF	Indent width SD	ScotAsp	All	91	0.300	0.015	Exon (nonsynonymous SNV, stoploss); upstream; UTR3; intergenic (2032)
Potra2n9c19985	Uncharacterized LOC102615152 (LOC102615152), transcript variant X9, mRNA		Indent width SD	ScotAsp	All	2	0.281	0.015	downstream; upstream&downstream;
Potra2n9c19986	Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase 6 regulatory subunit		Indent width SD	ScotAsp	All	1	0.248	0.026	downstream
Potra2n10c20532, Potra2n10c20533	Cation efflux family protein; Sec23/Sec24 protein transport family protein		Indent width SD	ScotAsp	All	9	0.248	0.026	upstream; intergenic Potra2n10c20532 (2451), Potra2n10c20533 (2756)
Potra2n11c22413, Potra2n11c22414	Kinesin-like protein costa; Uridine kinase		Squared Perimeter/Area	UmAsp	OCR	1	0.118	0.021	intergenic Potra2n10c20532 (2875); intergenic Potra2n10c20533 (3890)
Potra2n16c30252	Lectin_legB domain-containing protein		Indent density	UmAsp	OCR	4	0.110	0.046	upstream
Potra2n17c30934	PATRONUS 1-like isoform X1		Circularity	ScotAsp	All	3	0.295	0.035	intron; exon (nonsynonymous SNV)

Figure legends

Figure 1. Overview of the *P. tremula* v2.2. genome. (A) Comparison of a 47.1 Kbp region of *P. tremula* chromosome 1 showing the *P. tremula* v2.2 gene models and a liftover of the *P. tremula* v1.1 genome and transcripts, rendered in the JBrowse tool in PlantGenIE. The region in turquoise highlights an example of a longer scaffold in *P. tremula* v1.1 containing a gene. **(B)** Synteny and structural rearrangements between *P. tremula* and *P. trichocarpa*. **(C)** Venn diagram created using the Venn tool in PlantGenIE, showing the intersection of genes in *P. tremula*-specific regions and the *P. tremula*-specific genes identified from synteny analysis.

Figure 2. Overview of genome-wide association study using three aspen collections. **(A)** Map indicating the original sampling locations of the individual wild trees in the aspen collections from Scotland (ScotAsp), Sweden (SwAsp) and the Umeå municipality in Sweden (UmAsp) that were included the genetic analyses after removal of related samples. **(B)** Number of biallelic SNPs, filtered by Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium *P*-value $> 1e^{-6}$ and missingness < 5%, in the ScotAsp, SwAsp and UmAsp collections. Coloured bars on the left indicate total number of SNPs in each collection, linked points indicate membership of intersections among collection, with numbers in intersections shown in the vertical bars above. Single points indicate sets of SNPs exclusive to one population. **(C)** Principal components plot of the first two principal components (PCs) of pruned, unrelated SNPs (LD r^2 <0.2) to show population structure in the ScotAsp, SwAsp and UmAsp collections. Proportion of variance explained by each PC is indicated in parentheses. **(D)** Rates of linkage disequilibrium decay in the ScotAsp, SwAsp and UmAsp collections.

Figure 3. Overview of leaf physiognomy metrics. (A) Example processed leaf image from LAMINA software, with annotations indicating the Indent width, Indent depth, the Length and Width axes, and length and width at 25% (L25, W25) and 75% (L75 and L25) along each perpendicular axis. **(B)** Heatmap of genetic correlations of measured leaf physiognomy traits in the Umeå aspen (UmAsp) collection. Composite traits are excluded to reduce redundancy.

Scale bar indicates genetic correlation r_G values. Coloured bars indicate category of either 'Size' (leaf shape metrics) or 'Shape/Indent' (size and indent metrics). Hierarchical clustering between the clusters uses the complete linkage method. **(C)** Marker-based heritability, *h2,* of 26 shape and size/indent leaf physiognomy metrics in the UmAsp collection. All trait metrics are described in Appendix S1. **(D)** Principal components loadings plot indicating the loading scores of size and shape metrics indicated in Appendix S2 and the associated Principal Components Analysis (PCA) plot **(E)** for 26 leaf physiognomy metrics in Scottish (ScotAsp), Swedish (SwAsp) and UmAsp (UmAsp) collections. Proportion of variance explained by each component is in parenthesis. In all cases, means are omitted for Indent length and Indent width to avoid redundancy, since medians are included for these traits.

Figure 4. Comparison of the percentage of SNPs located in different genomic contexts in two GWAS backgrounds in the SwAsp collection. GWAS was first conducted using "All SNPs" (all the genome-wide SNPs filtered on SNP quality including Excess Heterozygosity, Hardy Weinberg *P*-value, and minor allele frequency > 0.05) (left panel). The set of 6,806,717 "All SNPs" was filtered to those only those 185,616 SNPs in open chromatin regions ("SNPs in OCRs", right panel). The percentage of SNPs in each genomic context category was calculated from the total number in the set used for the GWAS. Genomic contexts were assigned using ANNOVAR with flanking regions defined as 2000 bp.

Figure 5. Genome-wide Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) associations for leaf shape in the Scottish Aspen collection (ScotAsp) and exploration of results in PlantGenIE. (A) Manhattan plot distribution of SNP associations for leaf indent width standard deviation (SD) in ScotAsp, where the red line indicates significance at *q*-value < 0.05 and the blue line is 'suggestive significance' at *q*-value < 0.1. Significant SNPs/groups of SNPs are annotated with the name of the associated Potra v2.2 gene; full details in Table 3. **(B)** A peak in the Manhattan plot indicates significant Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms from the Genome-Wide Association Study for leaf indent width SD on chromosome 10 comprises nine associated SNPs significant at *q*-value < 0.05, eight of which are located in an intergenic region between Potra2n10c20532 and Potra2n10c20533, and one located upstream of

Potra2n10c20533, annotated as a Sec23/Sec24 transport family protein. The genes co-expressed with Potra2n10c20533 were examined in the exNet tool using the "Expand network" button to visualise first degree neighbours selected at *P*-value threshold 10^{-1} with genes shown as circles, transcription factors shown as triangles, and lincRNAs shown as yellow diamonds. **(C)** The resulting list of co-expressed 205 genes was tested using the Enrichment Tool to perform a gene ontology (GO) over-enrichment test and visualised using REVIGO. Circles representing the GO categories are scaled to the size of the term in the gene ontology database and coloured by enrichment -$\log_{10}$(*P*-value). **(D)** Co-expressed genes of Potra2n10c20533 were examined using the exImage tool, where it is possible to view the expression of the gene in the AspLeaf dataset of gene expression in terminal leaves; the example here is a lincRNA, TRINITY_DN12299_c0_g1_i2, with contrasting relative expression across the leaf development series. Shading of the exImage dataset is scaled as the relative mean difference between the greatest and least expression values. **(E)** Example of the use of JBrowse showing the region of chromosome 10 including Potra2n10c20532 and Potra2n10c20533, with tracks showing the co-location of significant GWAS results (*q*-value <0.05) for leaf indent width SD in ScotAsp, one *cis*-eQTL in Potra2n10c20533, ten *trans*-eQTL in the intergenic regions acting on ten individual genes, and an open chromatin region. **(F)** Use of the Enrichment tool showing Pfam enrichments for the set of ten genes acted on by *trans*-eQTL shown in (E).

Figure 6. Overview of data accessibility for the genome and population genetics resource. The datasets that we present are grouped into three main sections: the Genome, Gene family analyses and population genetics, and Phenotype data. Boxes with each data set presented here are linked by arrows showing related data types and coloured by source of data accessibility: PlantGenIE.org = as a browsable tool / flat file available in at PlantGenIE.org; ENA = file available at the European Nucleotide Archive; FigShare = files available for download from FigShare at the SciLife Data Repository; Supplementary file = supplementary files available with this article at the publisher's website. Samples from which the data files are derived are: SwAsp, the Swedish Aspen collection; UmAsp, the Umeå aspen collection; ScotAsp, the Scottish Aspen collection; Genome, the original tree that was

sequenced for the genome assembly; LeafDev, the gene expression data set from developing aspen leaves described in Mähler *et al.* (2020).

Supporting information files

Appendix S1. References to Table S2 of the plant genomes included in the gene family analysis.

Appendix S2. An overview of the metrics measured along the proximodistal and centrolateral leaf axis by default in the LAMINA software. Additional leaf physiognomy measurements to LAMINA defaults. All leaf metrics in the LAMINA analyses, phenotype data, trait names, types, and inclusion Genome-Wide Association study. Narrow-sense or 'chip'-heritability estimates for leaf size and shape traits measured in the Umeå Aspen (UmAsp) collection.

Supplementary table S1. Plant genomes included in the gene family analysis.

Supplementary table S2. Details of samples from the UmAsp collection for DNA-Seq analysis and details of SwAsp and ScotAsp samples.

Supplementary table S3. Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologue (BUSCO) genome statistics and core Gene Family (coreGF) transcript statistics for *Populus tremula* assemblies v1.1 (Lin *et al.,* 2018) and v2.2.

Supplementary table S4. Genomic regions (I), genes within those regions (II) and GO enrichment results (III) of *P. tremula* specific genes identified from synteny analysis.

Supplementary table S5. Structural rearrangements.

Supplementary table S6. Genes (I) GO enrichment (II) of *P. tremula* genes with Ka/Ks>1.

Supplementary table S7. Species- and clade-specific gene families.

Supplementary table 8. Genes (I) and GO enrichment results (II) of *P. tremula* specific genes identified from gene family analysis.

Supplementary table S9. Genes (I) and GO enrichment results (II) of *P. tremula* expanded gene families.

Supplementary table S10. Novel lincRNAs in aspen leaves.

Supplementary table S11. Climate data for the SwAsp, UmAsp and ScotAsp.

Supplementary table S12. Genomic regions under selection in SwAsp and UmAsp (I), genes within those regions (II) and GO (III) and Pfam (IV) enrichment of those genes.

Supplementary table S13. Significant genome-wide association results, comparison of ranks in the All-SNP GWAS for SNPs significant in the OCR GWAS, and details of linkage disequilibrium in the region of chromosome 9 in the All-SNP indent width SD GWAS in ScotAsp. SNPs and genes in linkage disequilibrium in this region.

Supplementary table S14. Significant eQTL at FDR < 0.05.

Supplementary table S15. Lists of the top-ranked genes from the All-SNP GWAS.

Supplementary figure S1. Ks distribution of *P. tremula* and *P. trichocarpa.*

Supplementary figure S2. Phylogenetic tree used to infer the analyse expansion and contraction of gene families.

Supplementary figure S4. JBrowse view for a 246 Kbp region of chromosome 9 with tracks displayed including Potra v2.2 gene models, Manhattan view of significant SNPs in the GWAS in All-SNP and OCR GWAS, *P. tremula*-specific regions, SNPs under balancing selection, and eQTL associations.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6