1

Great tits in noisy territories and avoiding overlapping respond stronger to territorial

intruders.

Çağlar Akçay[1,2*]; Y. Kağan Porsuk[1]; Alican Avşar[3]; Dilan Çabuk[1]; C. Can Bilgin[3]

1. Department of Psychology, Koç University, Istanbul, Turkey

2. Department of Biological Sciences, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA

3. Department of Biological Sciences, Middle East Technical University, Ankara,

Turkey

13
14
15
16 *corresponding author: akcay.caglar@gmail.com
17
Department of Psychology

Koc University

Rumelifeneri Kampusu, Sariyer 34450

Istanbul, Turkey

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Mike Beecher, Bill Searcy and Marc Naguib for comments on the

manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by funding from Science Academy of Turkey through a Young

Investigator Award (BAGEP) to ÇA.

Ethics

All procedures in the study reported here were consistent with the ASAB/ABS guidelines for

the treatment of animals in behavioural research and teaching. No subjects were captured or

handled during the experiment and all procedures (including removing the flagging and noise

measurements) ended within about 10 minutes of arriving at the territory to minimize

disturbance.

Data, code and materials

The data and R-script required to reproduce the results are available with the manuscript as

supplementary files.

38
39
40

Great tits in noisy territories and avoiding overlapping respond stronger to territorial intruders.

Abstract

Animals often communicate with each other in noisy environments where interference from the
ambient noise and other signallers may reduce the effectiveness of signals. Signalling behaviours
may also evolve to interfere with signals of their opponents, e.g. by temporally overlapping them
with their own, such as the song overlapping behaviour that is seen in some songbirds during
aggressive interactions. Song overlapping has been proposed to be a signal of aggressive intent, but
few studies directly examined the association between song overlapping and aggressive behaviours
of the overlapping bird (the predictive criterion). In the present paper we examined the question of
whether song overlapping is correlated with aggressive behaviours displayed during a simulated
territorial intrusion in a population of great tits (*Parus major*) living in an urban-rural gradient. We
also examined whether aggressive behaviours are correlated with the ambient noise levels. We
found that overlapping was associated negatively with aggressive behaviours males displayed
against a simulated intruder. These results fail to support the predictive criterion for song
overlapping, raising the question whether overlapping is in fact a signal of aggressive intent.
Ambient noise levels were associated positively with aggressive behaviours but did not correlate
with song rate, song duration or song overlapping. Great tits in noisy urban habitats may display
higher levels of aggressive behaviours due to either interference of noise in aggressive
communication or another indirect effect of noise.

Keywords: song overlapping, urbanization, anthropogenic noise, aggressive signalling, threat signals

Introduction

The environment through which signals are sent and received is open to interference by either sources of noise or other signals traveling through the medium (Bee and Micheyl, 2008; Brumm, 2006; Gil and Brumm, 2014; Wiley, 2006). Animals generally tend to space their signals temporally to minimize interference from other individuals or sources of noise (Brumm, 2006; Ficken et al., 1974; Gil et al., 2014; Wasserman, 1977; Wilson et al., 2016). In some circumstances however, temporally overlapping the signal of another individual may be a deliberate behaviour used as a signal. Indeed, overlapping a rival's song has been proposed to be a signal of aggressive intent used in territorial defence by songbirds (Dabelsteen et al., 1997; Mennill and Ratcliffe, 2004; Naguib and Mennill, 2010).

Signals of aggressive intent (also called threat signals) are signals that are not costly to produce but carry information about the likelihood of escalation by the signaller (Caryl, 1979; Searcy et al., 2006). In a recent review, Searcy and Beecher (2009) laid out three empirical criteria for a signal to be established a signal of aggressive intent: 1) the signal should occur more often in agonistic interactions than other non-agonistic interactions (context criterion); 2) it should correlate with other aggressive behaviours or predict a subsequent escalation (predictive criterion) and 3) the presence or absence of it should elicit different responses in receivers (response criterion).

For the most part, research on song overlapping as an aggressive signal focused on the response criterion, asking whether birds respond differently when their songs are being overlapped (vs. not overlapped) experimentally via interactive playback, i.e. the response criterion (see reviews in Helfer and Osiejuk, 2015; Naguib and Mennill, 2010; Searcy and Beecher, 2009). Generally, these studies do find changes in responses of subjects when their songs are being overlapped (vs. not overlapped) that are consistent with the hypothesis that subjects perceive overlapping as an aggressive challenge (Naguib and Mennill, 2010).

Fewer studies addressed the question whether song overlapping is correlated with aggressive behaviours or subsequent escalation, i.e. the predictive criterion (see table S1 for more details of these studies). We focus on this latter set of studies, because our main aim is to assess the predictive criterion. Among these studies, only two found a positive correlation between song overlapping measures and approach measures that are generally taken as indicators of aggression (Brindley, 1991; van Dongen, 2006). Three studies found no correlation between song overlapping and approach (Baker et al., 2012; Fitzsimmons et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2014). Finally, two studies found a negative correlation between song overlapping and some approach measures (Vehrencamp

et al., 2007; Wilson et al., 2016). Thus, on balance of it, it is not clear whether the predictive criterion

for song overlapping is satisfied among the various species that has been studied to date (Table S1).

A second aim of our study is to ask whether interference due to ambient noise also has an

effect on aggressive behaviours. Although noise is a feature of natural habitats as well, the impact of

noise in animal communication has garnered special attention due to the increasing levels of

anthropogenic noise that affects social behaviour of wildlife (Gil and Brumm, 2014; Johnson and

Munshi-South, 2017; Shannon et al., 2016). Anthropogenic noise may make signals less detectable

(Kleist et al., 2016; Templeton et al., 2016) or less effective (Halfwerk et al., 2011). In response,

animals may change the frequency, amplitude or length characteristics of their signals (Brumm and

Todt, 2002; Slabbekoorn and den Boer-Visser, 2006; Wood and Yezerinac, 2006) or change their

signalling or social behaviours (Halfwerk et al., 2012; Halfwerk and Slabbekoorn, 2009).

If noise interferes with effective signalling during aggressive interactions than we may

expect signallers to display higher levels of aggression in noisier habitats. Consistent with this, in

several species of songbirds birds living in noisier urban habitats display higher levels of aggression

than birds living in rural habitats (Davies and Sewall, 2016; Evans et al., 2010; Fokidis et al., 2011;

Foltz et al., 2015; Hardman and Dalesman, 2018). Recent studies also found more direct evidence

for a positive correlation between ambient noise and aggressive responses of territory holders

(Grabarczyk and Gill, 2019; Phillips and Derryberry, 2018; Wolfenden et al., 2019), although at least

one study found a negative correlation between noise and aggressive responses (Kleist et al., 2016).

In the present study, we aim to assess the predictive criterion for song overlapping in great

tits (*Parus major*). While song overlapping has long been proposed to be a signal of aggressive intent

in great tits (Amy et al., 2010; Dabelsteen et al., 1996; Langemann et al., 2000; Peake et al., 2001), to

the best of our knowledge no previous study has explicitly examined whether this behaviour is

correlated with aggressive behaviours of the overlapping bird in this species. Some studies (Amy et

al., 2010; Snijders et al., 2017; Snijders et al., 2015) did measure approach behaviours and song

overlapping of the same males via playback experiments but these studies did not report analyses

that would directly pertain to the predictive criterion. We also assess whether ambient noise is

correlated with aggressive responses during territorial defense. Finally, we ask (in supplementary

materials) whether song overlapping, song rate, and song duration also varies with ambient noise.

Methods

Study site and subjects

We studied 42 male great tits holding territories on the campus of Middle East Technical University,

in suburban Ankara, Turkey (39°53'32"N, 32°47'03"E). Although the campus is located in a steppe

habitat, it includes a large area that has been afforested mostly with conifers in the last six decades.

Great tits nest in human structures on campus (e.g. access ports left open in telephone and

electricity poles or cavities on the side of buildings) as well as nest boxes provided in the forested

parts and natural cavities spread throughout the campus grounds. We located the territories of

great tits by observation of singing posts during song recording (see below) or locating nests in the

boxes or human structures. For birds whose territories we determined from singing posts, we

observed each bird for 15-30 minutes and occasionally used playbacks to determine the extent of

their territory (these observations were not done on the day of trial but 2-3 days prior). For

territories with known nests (n=17, most of them being in nest boxes but some, n= 6, in other

human-made cavities; none had nestlings) we carried out playbacks within 5m of the nest. The birds

were not captured at any time and therefore were not banded. Each bird was tested once. The trials

were carried out between 7 and 13 April 2019 at the start of the nesting period in the morning hours

between 0600 and 1130. We avoided testing neighbouring males on the same day and carried out

consecutive trials in locations that are more than 300 m apart (where the previous subject was out

of earshot for the experimenters).

Playback Stimuli

We recorded male songs from great tits on the research site in Spring 2018 and 2019 using a

Marantz PMD660 or 661 recorder and a Sennheiser ME66/K6 shotgun microphone. Using the

software Syrinx (John Burt, Seattle, WA) we viewed and selected high quality recordings of songs to

create playback stimuli. After manually filtering out low-frequency noise (<~1000 Hz), we added a

silent period to create a 7-second wave file that was played in loop such that playback rate was one

song every 7 seconds. The average (±SD) playback song duration was 2.99 (±0.42) seconds (range=

2.01-3.55 seconds, corresponding to an average duty cycle of 0.42). We created 24 playback stimuli

(see Figure S1 in supplementary materials for an example stimulus). For each subject we used a

stimulus song that was recorded at least 1km away from the subject's territory which is considered a

stranger song in previous studies on great tits (Falls et al., 1982). This constraint meant we used

some stimulus songs multiple times for different males (11 stimuli were used in two trials, 2 stimuli

were used in three trials, and one stimulus was used in 4 trials- the rest of the songs were used

once).

Procedure

We placed a wireless speaker (Anker SoundCore, Anker, Inc) face-up at a natural perch at about 1.5-

2m from the ground, inside the territory of the male (either 5 m from the nest if the nest was known

or at a location that was central to the various singing posts the male was observed to be singing).

We then set up flags at 1m, 3m and 5m distance on either side of the speaker to help with distance

estimation during the trial. The observers then stepped back to about 15 m from the speaker. The

playbacks were controlled from a smartphone connected to the speaker via Bluetooth.

The trials were recorded with the same equipment as above. We started the trials by playing

back the stimulus song until the subject responded either by singing a song or approaching the

playback (the subject was within 15-20 m from the speaker in the first response). After this first

response, we continued the playback for another 3 minutes and we narrated the behaviour of the

subject by noting each flight, distance to the speaker and singing behaviours. The behaviours during

this 3-minute period are the main response variables of the study.

Noise measurements

After the trial, we removed the flagging, took a GPS reading (Garmin eTrek, Garmin Inc.) of the trial

location and carried out the ambient noise measurements using the method described by Brumm

(2004). Briefly, we took two measurements in each cardinal direction at the location of the playback

using a sound level meter (VLIKE VL6708, VLIKE Inc.) with A weighting and fast response (125 msec)

settings for a total of 8 measurements that lasted between 1-2 minutes. We averaged these

measurements to get an average noise level. In a subset of territories (n=32 out of 42) we took a

second measurement of ambient noise later in the day (between 1300 and 1600) to assess how

consistent variation in the ambient noise was. For logistical reasons we could not take second noise

measurements in 10 territories. The first and second noise measurements were highly correlated

with each other (linear regression: β=0.94, SE= 0.17, t=5.46, p= 6.4 x 10^{-6}; intra-class correlation

coefficient: r=0.64, p< 0.00001). We used the first noise measurement in the analyses as this

measurement was available for all territories.

Response measures

Viewing the trial recordings in Syrinx, we extracted the following behaviours: flights (all

airborne movements), distance to speaker with each flight, and songs. Because the trial durations

slightly varied across trials (ranging between 179 seconds to 192 seconds), we converted the counts

of flights and songs into rates by dividing it with the trial duration. We also calculated the proportion

of the trial subjects spent within 1 m of the speaker and noted the closest approach of the distance.

Definition of aggressive behaviors is a significant issue in studying signals of aggressive intent

(Searcy and Beecher, 2009). This issue was also recognized by Naguib and Mennill (2010) in their

forum article on song overlapping (e.g. on page e2). We further note that in defining aggressive

behaviors for the purpose of assessing whether a putative signal carries information on aggressive

intent, it is necessary that these aggressive behaviors are defined separately from that putative

aggressive signal so as to avoid a circular logic (Akçay et al., 2015; Searcy and Beecher, 2009). In the
following, we define signals in the same way Otte (1974) did: "behavioral, physiological, or
morphological characteristics fashioned or maintained by natural selection because they convey
information to other organisms" (p.738). Song and aspects of song (such as timing, rate, overlapping
etc.) are unambiguously signals, as they have no function other than potentially conveying
information to receivers. We define non-signaling aggressive behaviors as approaching the
opponent, staying in close proximity and flying around (presumably in search of the opponent) or
over the opponent, in line with previous research on territorial songbirds, including our focal species
(e.g. Hardman and Dalesman, 2018; McGlothlin et al., 2007; Rivera-Gutierrez et al., 2010; Wingfield,
1994). These behaviors have been found to predict physical attack on dummies in several studies
with songbirds (Akçay et al., 2014; Akçay et al., 2013; Araya-Ajoy and Dingemanse, 2014; Searcy et
al., 2006; Searcy and Beecher, 2009). Therefore, we take the spatial behaviours (flight rates, closest
approach distance and time spent within 1 m of the speaker) as indicators of aggressive response in
the present paper.

For each song that the subject sang, we determined whether the song overlapped the
playback song and calculated the proportion of the subject's songs that overlapped the playback
song. This measure therefore corrects for variation in song rate as suggested by Searcy and Beecher
(2009), but it is undefined for subjects that did not sing any songs (n=7). Because of variation in duty
cycle (duration of stimulus with song/entire duration of stimulus) between stimulus songs, we also
classified subjects based on whether the observed song overlapping (as defined above) was higher
(n=11) or lower (n=24) than the duty cycle (stimulus song duration/7 seconds). We classified the
subjects who did not sing any songs as a third category in this variable. Note that the categorical
song overlapping variable (over or under duty cycle) represents categorization with respect to a null
model given by the duty cycle, while absolute levels of overlapping (proportion of subject's song that
overlapped the playback) gives the amount of overlapping relative to a perfect turn-taking case (i.e.
zero overlapping). Thus, these two variables represent observed overlapping levels relative to two
distinct "null" models. We remain agnostic with respect to the appropriate null model, particularly
because both analyses show the same results (see Results). Finally, for each subject we measured
duration of each of their song and calculated the average song duration for each subject (see
supplementary materials).

Data Analysis

The rates of flights, closest approach distance and proportion of time spent within 1m of speaker
were all highly correlated with each other (Table 1). We therefore used a principle component
analysis (PCA) using package *psych* in R (Revelle, 2015) to arrive at a single measure of aggressive

response strength. The first component of the PCA (PCA1, eigenvalue= 2.07) explained 68.95% of

variation and was taken as the measure of aggressive response strength (hereafter called aggression

score for brevity). The first component was positively correlated with flight rates and time spent

within 1m, and negatively correlated with closest approach distance (Table 1).

To assess the relationship between song overlapping and aggressive responses, we ran a

linear mixed model (LMM) with aggression score (PCA1) as the response variable, stimulus song as a

random factor (to control for the multiple presentation of some stimuli), and song overlapping,

defined as proportion of subject songs that overlapped the playback song as the fixed factor. This

model had a reduced sample size as song overlapping defined as above was not defined for 7

subjects that sang no songs leaving 35 subjects. We then ran a second LMM on aggression scores

with stimulus as a random factor and the following fixed factors: the categorical overlapping variable

(with three categories: overlapping higher or lower than the duty cycle or no song) and ambient

noise levels. This model included all subjects (n= 42). Finally, we ran a third LMM on aggression

scores with the stimulus as random factor and song rate as fixed factor (we analysed song rates

separately as our categorical overlapping variable had a no-song category that confounded with

song rate). Further analyses on song variables and ambient noise are reported in the supplementary

materials. All analyses were carried out in R using the package nlme (Pinheiro et al., 2017; R Core

Team, 2012). We supply the R script as well as the data necessary to recreate the analyses reported.

Results

Song overlapping (proportion of subject songs that overlapped the playback songs) was negatively

related to the aggressive behaviours: high overlapping was indicative of lower levels of aggression

scores (LMM: coefficient ± SE = -1.29 ± 0.52, $\chi 2$=6.27, p=0.012; Figure 1a). In the model with the

categorical overlapping variable (Table 2, Figure 1b), both overlapping and ambient noise

significantly predicted aggressive behaviours: birds that overlapped the playback less than expected

had higher aggression scores compared to high-overlapping birds (unpaired t test: t(33)=2.78,

p=0.0088). Birds who did not sing any songs in turn had higher aggression scores than low-

overlapping birds (unpaired t-test: t(29)=2.10, p=0.044. Figure 1b).

Looking at ambient noise variation and aggressive responses, we found that birds living in

noisier territories had higher aggression scores (Table 2, Figure 2a). The effect of song rate was

significant and negative: birds that sang less displayed higher levels of aggressive behaviours (LMM:

coefficient ±SE: 0.11 ± 0.048, χ^2= 5.58, p=0.018; Figure 2b). Ambient noise was not correlated with

any singing behaviours (Table S2 in supplementary materials).

Discussion

We set out to assess the predictive criterion for signals of aggressive intent as laid out by Search and Beecher (2009). This criterion suggests that a signal of aggressive intent should positively correlate with aggressive behaviours; our finding of a negative correlation between aggressive behaviours and song overlapping does not conform to this prediction.

Is song overlapping an aggressive signal in great tits?

One limitation of our study is the relatively short playback period (3 minutes) and the absence of a physical model that the subjects could attack, we can't rule out the possibility that song overlapping is an aggressive signal, but only in the early stages of escalation. Under this hypothesis, subjects that overlapped the playback song were simply not yet escalating to a high level of aggression by approaching the speaker, whereas the subjects that have approached the speaker closely were already at a high level of escalation. This possibility of overlapping being a slower escalation signal needs empirical testing, ideally in an escalating playback design (Akçay et al., 2013; Searcy et al., 2013). Nevertheless, we have some preliminary evidence that suggests this is unlikely: in a separate experiment involving five minutes of playback and 3D printed models of a great tit, aggression scores measured in the same PCA method as in the present study were predictive of an eventual attack on the model (Akçay, unpublished data). Thus, the aggression scores we report here seem to be reliable indicators of an impending attack.

Thus, the present study adds to the body of equivocal evidence on the predictive criterion for song overlapping reviewed in the introduction (Table S1) and to the best of our knowledge it is the first study to test the predictive criterion for song overlapping explicitly in great tits. Several previous studies collected both measures of aggressive behaviors (e.g. approach) along with overlapping but they did not report analyses that would test the predictive criterion directly. Amy and colleagues (2010) measured responses of male great tits (in terms of both approach and song overlapping, among other measures) to overlapping and alternating song playbacks but they do not report any analyses correlating song overlapping levels with aggressive responses. Similarly, Snijders and colleagues report two experiments (Snijders et al., 2017; Snijders et al., 2015) where song overlapping and approach behaviours data are collected but not analysed together to determine possible associations between these behaviours. Instead the focus on these studies have been on correlations of vocal behaviours (including song overlapping) and approach behaviours with exploration scores (see also; Jacobs et al., 2014).

In summary, previous studies in great tits, while often measuring song overlapping and approach measures together, did not explicitly present evidence that song overlapping indeed

predicts or correlated with approach, even though many explicitly assume song overlapping to be an

aggressive signal (e.g. Amy et al., 2010, p. 3686). Indeed, in a recent synthesis, Snijders and Naguib

(2017) wrote that "frequent signal overlap has been shown most often, yet not always, to reflect

more aggressive intentions" (p. 319). In light of the equivocal evidence on predictive criterion in

general (reviewed above and in Table S1), as well as the current findings in great tits specifically, we

caution that this conclusion may be premature.

Alternative hypotheses on song overlapping.

Other hypotheses can explain both the differential responses to being overlapped (the evidence

related to response criterion) and the equivocal evidence on the association between aggressive

behaviours and overlapping (the predictive criterion). One possibility is the interference avoidance

hypothesis (Wilson et al., 2016). A recent study on black-capped chickadees *(Poecile atricapillus)*

found that males were less likely to overlap playback of songs when they were close to the speaker

and more likely to overlap quieter stimuli compared to louder stimuli (Wilson et al., 2016).

Interestingly, chickadees avoided overlapping both biotic (chickadee song) and abiotic (white noise)

stimuli. Wilson and colleagues (2016) interpret these results as compelling evidence that overlapping

in chickadees being not a signalling behaviour but a strategy to avoid interference with the signal

(see also Baker et al., 2012). They then reinterpret the differential singing responses to being

overlapped found earlier in this species, i.e. evidence for the response criterion (Mennill and

Ratcliffe, 2004) as birds attempting to avoid interference from the overlapping signal (see Wilson et

al., 2016 for a fuller discussion). Given the present results that present a similar pattern in great tits,

we believe it would be prudent to consider non-signalling hypotheses for this species as well.

Another possibility is that overlapping is a signal but indicates another trait of the signaller,

such as some aspect of genetic quality, personality or condition (Helfer and Osiejuk, 2015). For

instance, the study by Snijders et al. (2017) found that males that were older and in better condition

had higher vocal response scores (in which number of overlapping songs was a loading variable).

Note however that their measure confounded song rate and overlapping and therefore it is not clear

whether song overlapping independent of song rate varies with condition or age. Interestingly,

another study on great tits found that birds that were exposed to an ectoparasite as nestlings were

found to be less likely to overlap the song playback carried out in the territory after they were

recruited to the population (Bischoff et al., 2009). Song overlapping however, was not correlated

with the condition of the male as a nestling, and there was only a trend towards a positive

association between overlap and male condition as an adult. Thus, while this study suggests a

developmental constraint, the mechanism for this constraint is unclear.

Overlapping could also serve as a signal of personality variation among males (Amy et al.,

2010; Snijders et al., 2015). Several studies looked at correlations between overlapping and

personality variation in great tits measured via exploration scores in a novel environment test.

Snijders et al. (2015) found their vocal response measure (a PCA that includes number of overlaps

and song rates, and therefore does not control for song rate) was positively correlated with

exploration scores. Amy et al. (2010) found that the correlation between exploration scores and

proportion of playback songs that were overlapped by the subject (which again confounds song rate)

was positively or negatively associated with exploration score depending on whether the playback

was trying to overlap the subject or not. Finally, Jacobs et al. (2014), studying another population of

great tits, found that exploration scores was not related to proportion of playback songs that

subjects overlapped. In this last experiment birds with slower exploration scores displayed higher

levels of aggressive behaviours, unlike in the Amy et al. (2010) study which found the opposite

effect. All in all, the results on personality variation and overlapping present no clear picture.

Because we did not have information on our subjects' age or condition, we could not test

any hypothesis that might relate song overlapping to individual traits other than aggressive intent.

More data are clearly needed to test these possibilities. For instance, the hypothesis that song

overlapping is a signal of individual quality implies that individuals should display repeatable song

overlapping when they are challenged multiple times, but as far as we are aware this possibility has

not been tested.

Noise and aggressive behaviours

A second aim of our study was to assess the effect of noise on aggressive behaviours. We found a

positive correlation between aggressive behaviours and ambient, consistent with the hypothesis

that noise may play a significant role in determining aggressive phenotypes observed in urban

populations of birds (Akçay et al., 2019; Davies and Sewall, 2016; Evans et al., 2010; Hardman and

Dalesman, 2018). The effect of noise on aggression may come about via multiple mechanisms. First,

noise may disrupt effective communication (McMullen et al., 2014; Ríos-Chelén et al., 2015) which in

turn may increase aggressive behaviours (Logue et al., 2010).

Interestingly, unlike other species (Brumm and Slater, 2006; Ríos-Chelén et al., 2013) great

tits in our population did not show any evidence that they change their song rate, average song

duration or overlapping depending on ambient noise levels. It is worth noting that urban noise tends

to be in the lower frequency ranges (less than 2kHz); whereas great tit songs tend to have minimum

frequencies above 2 kHz (see Figures S1 and S2). It is therefore possible that the lack of an effect of

urban noise on song rates, duration and overlapping may be due to a relatively low masking due the

noise. Previous research however showed conclusively that songs in great tits and other songbirds
become less effective in urban noise (Halfwerk et al., 2011; Luther et al., 2015). Furthermore, great
tits were found to switch to song with higher minimum frequencies when they were experimentally
presented with low frequency urban noise suggesting that they actively try to avoid masking from
the noise (Halfwerk and Slabbekoorn, 2009). Noise also have a general effect on making animals
increase the amplitude of their signals, termed the Lombard effect (Brumm and Slabbekoorn, 2005)
and urban birds in several species including great tits are found to sing songs with higher minimum
frequencies (Mockford and Marshall, 2009; Slabbekoorn and Peet, 2003; Wood and Yezerinac,
2006). Whether the latter phenomenon comes about due individual plasticity, developmental
plasticity or longer term selection of higher frequency songs is an area of active research (Moseley et
al., 2018; Zollinger et al., 2017). Whatever the mechanism, these findings suggest that acoustic
communication is likely hampered in noisier territories which may result in higher aggression.

At the mechanistic level, noise may also lead to higher levels of aggression via increased
physiological arousal or stress, as has been documented in humans (Baron and Richardson, 2004). In
particular, exposure to noise may elevate baseline levels of glucocorticoids (Creel et al., 2002; Crino
et al., 2011; Davies et al., 2017; but see Kleist et al., 2018; Zollinger et al., 2019) which in turn may
lead to a higher level of aggression in individuals living in noisier areas. Interestingly, in a recent
study on urban and rural song sparrows, Davies and colleagues (2018) found that baseline levels of
corticosterone (the main avian glucocorticoid) in blood samples after a simulated territorial intrusion
did not differ between urban and rural birds, and the levels of corticosterone were positively related
to territorial aggression males displayed. For a given level of plasma corticosterone however, urban
birds displayed more aggression than rural birds, suggesting that urban birds potentially show
differences in glucocorticoid signalling from rural birds. Thus, the exact physiological mechanisms
that determines the relationship between urbanization and noise on the one hand, and aggression
on the other is yet unclear (Bonier, 2012). Further experimental studies are needed to quantify the
effect of noise on plasticity at behavioural and physiological levels to tests hypotheses on the
physiological mechanisms of aggression and how signalling strategies change under noisy conditions.

Conclusion

In summary we found that song overlapping in great tits did not satisfy the predictive criterion for
being an aggressive signal. Our findings on ambient noise meanwhile, corroborate the recent studies
linking noise and aggression and suggest new avenues to investigate the effect of anthropogenic
noise on animal social behaviour and the physiological mechanisms underlying it. Together, the
current study highlights how animals may manage interference from noise or other signallers in their
social interactions.

References

Akçay Ç, Beck ML, Sewall KB, 2019. Are signals of aggressive intent less honest in urban habitats?
Behav Ecol. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arz179.

Akçay Ç, Campbell SE, Beecher MD, 2014. Individual differences affect honest signaling in a songbird.
Proc R Soc Lond, Ser B: Biol Sci 281:20132496.

Akçay Ç, Campbell SE, Beecher MD, 2015. The fitness consequences of honesty: under-signalers have
a survival advantage in song sparrows. Evolution 69:3186-3193.

Akçay Ç, Tom ME, Campbell SE, Beecher MD, 2013. Song type matching is an honest early threat signal
in a hierarchical animal communication system. Proc R Soc Lond, Ser B: Biol Sci 280:20122517.
doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.2517.

Amy M, Sprau P, de Goede P, Naguib M, 2010. Effects of personality on territory defence in
communication networks: a playback experiment with radio-tagged great tits. Proceedings of
the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 277:3685-3692.

Araya-Ajoy YG, Dingemanse NJ, 2014. Characterizing behavioural 'characters': an evolutionary
framework. Proc R Soc Lond, Ser B: Biol Sci 281:20132645.

Baker TM, Wilson DR, Mennill DJ, 2012. Vocal signals predict attack during aggressive interactions in
black-capped chickadees. Anim Behav 84:965-974.

Baron RA, Richardson DR, 2004. Human aggression: Springer Science & Business Media.

Bee MA, Micheyl C, 2008. The cocktail party problem: what is it? How can it be solved? And why should
animal behaviorists study it? Journal of comparative psychology 122:235.

Bischoff LL, Tschirren B, Richner H, 2009. Long-term effects of early parasite exposure on song
duration and singing strategy in great tits. Behav Ecol 20:265-270. doi:
10.1093/beheco/arp012.

Bonier F, 2012. Hormones in the city: endocrine ecology of urban birds. Horm Behav 61:763-772.

Brindley EL, 1991. Response of European robins to playback of song: neighbour recognition and
overlapping. Anim Behav 41:503-512.

Brumm H, 2004. The impact of environmental noise on song amplitude in a territorial bird. J Anim Ecol
73:434-440. doi: doi:10.1111/j.0021-8790.2004.00814.x.

Brumm H, 2006. Signalling through acoustic windows: nightingales avoid interspecific competition by
short-term adjustment of song timing. Journal of Comparative Physiology a-Neuroethology
Sensory Neural and Behavioral Physiology 192:1279-1285.

Brumm H, Slabbekoorn H, 2005. Acoustic communication in noise. Advances in the Study of Behavior
35:151-209.

Brumm H, Slater P, 2006. Ambient noise, motor fatigue, and serial redundancy in chaffinch song.
Behav Ecol Sociobiol 60:475-481.

Brumm H, Todt D, 2002. Noise-dependent song amplitude regulation in a territorial songbird. Anim
Behav 63:891-897.

Caryl PG, 1979. Communication by agonistic displays: what can game theory contribute to ethology?
Behaviour 68:136-169.

Creel S, Fox JE, Hardy A, Sands J, Garrott B, Peterson RO, 2002. Snowmobile activity and glucocorticoid
stress responses in wolves and elk. Conserv Biol 16:809-814.

Crino O, Van Oorschot BK, Johnson E, Malisch J, Breuner C, 2011. Proximity to a high traffic road:
glucocorticoid and life history consequences for nestling white-crowned sparrows. Gen Comp
Endocrinol 173:323-332.

Dabelsteen T, McGregor PK, Holland J, Tobias JA, Pedersen SB, 1997. The signal function of overlapping
singing in male robins. Anim Behav 53:249-256.

Dabelsteen T, McGregor PK, Shepherd M, Whittaker X, Pedersen SB, 1996. Is the Signal Value of
Overlapping Different from That of Alternating during Matched Singing in Great Tits? J Avian
Biol 27:189-194. doi: 10.2307/3677221.

Davies S, Beck ML, Sewall KB, 2018. Territorial aggression in urban and rural Song Sparrows is
correlated with corticosterone, but not testosterone. Horm Behav 98:8-15. doi:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2017.11.010.

Davies S, Haddad N, Ouyang JQ, 2017. Stressful city sounds: glucocorticoid responses to experimental
traffic noise are environmentally dependent. Biol Lett 13:20170276. doi:
doi:10.1098/rsbl.2017.0276.

Davies S, Sewall KB, 2016. Agonistic urban birds: elevated territorial aggression of urban song sparrows
is individually consistent within a breeding period. Biol Lett 12:20160315.

Evans J, Boudreau K, Hyman J, 2010. Behavioural Syndromes in Urban and Rural Populations of Song
Sparrows. Ethology 116:588-595. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0310.2010.01771.x.

Falls JB, Krebs JR, McGregor P, 1982. Song matching in the great tit (*Parus major*): The effect of
similarity and familiarity. Anim Behav 30: 997-1009.

Ficken RW, Ficken MS, Hailman JP, 1974. Temporal pattern shifts to avoid acoustic interference in
singing birds. Science 183:762-763.

Fitzsimmons LP, Foote JR, Ratcliffe LM, Mennill DJ, 2008. Frequency matching, overlapping and
movement behaviour in diurnal countersinging interactions of black-capped chickadees. Anim
Behav 75:1913-1920.

Fokidis HB, Orchinik M, Deviche P, 2011. Context-specific territorial behavior in urban birds: no
evidence for involvement of testosterone or corticosterone. Horm Behav 59:133-143.

Foltz SL, Ross AE, Laing BT, Rock RP, Battle KE, Moore IT, 2015. Get off my lawn: increased aggression
in urban song sparrows is related to resource availability. Behav Ecol 26:1548-1557.

Gil D, Brumm H, 2014. Acoustic communication in the urban environment: patterns, mechanisms, and
potential consequences of avian song adjustments. In: Gil D, Brumm H, editors. Avian Urban
Ecology Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Gil D, Honarmand M, Pascual J, Pérez-Mena E, Macías Garcia C, 2014. Birds living near airports advance
their dawn chorus and reduce overlap with aircraft noise. Behav Ecol 26:435-443.

Grabarczyk EE, Gill SA, 2019. Anthropogenic noise affects male house wren response to but not
detection of territorial intruders. PLOS ONE 14:e0220576. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0220576.

Halfwerk W, Bot S, Buikx J, van der Velde M, Komdeur J, ten Cate C, Slabbekoorn H, 2011. Low-
frequency songs lose their potency in noisy urban conditions. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences 108:14549-14554.

Halfwerk W, Bot S, Slabbekoorn H, 2012. Male great tit song perch selection in response to noise-
dependent female feedback. Funct Ecol 26:1339-1347.

Halfwerk W, Slabbekoorn H, 2009. A behavioural mechanism explaining noise-dependent frequency
use in urban birdsong. Anim Behav 78:1301-1307.

Hardman SI, Dalesman S, 2018. Repeatability and degree of territorial aggression differs among urban
and rural great tits (Parus major). Scientific Reports 8:5042.

Helfer B, Osiejuk TS, 2015. It takes all kinds in acoustic communication: a new perspective on the song
overlapping phenomenon. Ethology 121:315-326.

Jacobs CG, van Overveld T, Careau V, Matthysen E, Adriaensen F, Slabbekoorn H, 2014. Personality-
dependent response to field playback in great tits: slow explorers can be strong responders.
Anim Behav 90:65-71.

Johnson MT, Munshi-South J, 2017. Evolution of life in urban environments. Science 358:eaam8327.

Kleist NJ, Guralnick RP, Cruz A, Francis CD, 2016. Anthropogenic noise weakens territorial response to
intruder's songs. Ecosphere 7:e01259.

Kleist NJ, Guralnick RP, Cruz A, Lowry CA, Francis CD, 2018. Chronic anthropogenic noise disrupts
glucocorticoid signaling and has multiple effects on fitness in an avian community.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115:E648-E657.

Langemann U, Tavares JP, Peake TM, McGregor PK, 2000. Response of great tits to escalating patterns
of playback. Behaviour 137:451-471.

Logue DM, Abiola IO, Rains D, Bailey NW, Zuk M, Cade WH, 2010. Does signalling mitigate the cost of
agonistic interactions? A test in a cricket that has lost its song. Proceedings of Royal Society of
London, B doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.0421.

Luther DA, Phillips J, Derryberry EP, 2015. Not so sexy in the city: urban birds adjust songs to noise but
compromise vocal performance. Behav Ecol 27:332-340.

McGlothlin JW, Jawor JM, Ketterson ED, 2007. Natural variation in a testosterone-mediated trade-off
between mating effort and parental effort. The American Naturalist 170:864-875.

McMullen H, Schmidt R, Kunc HP, 2014. Anthropogenic noise affects vocal interactions. Behav
Processes 103:125-128. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2013.12.001.

Mennill DJ, Ratcliffe LM, 2004. Overlapping and matching in the song contests of black-capped
chickadees. Anim Behav 67:441-450.

Mockford EJ, Marshall RC, 2009. Effects of urban noise on song and response behaviour in great tits.
Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences:rspb20090586.

Moseley DL, Derryberry GE, Phillips JN, Danner JE, Danner RM, Luther DA, Derryberry EP, 2018.
Acoustic adaptation to city noise through vocal learning by a songbird. Proceedings of the
Royal Society B 285:20181356.

Naguib M, Mennill DJ, 2010. The signal value of birdsong: empirical evidence suggests song
overlapping is a signal. Anim Behav 80:e11-e15.

Otte D, 1974. Effects and functions in the evolution of signaling systems. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 5:385-
417.

Peake TM, Terry AM, McGregor PK, Dabelsteen T, 2001. Male great tits eavesdrop on simulated male-
to-male vocal interactions. Proc R Soc Lond, Ser B: Biol Sci 268:1183-1187.

Phillips JN, Derryberry EP, 2018. Urban sparrows respond to a sexually selected trait with increased
aggression in noise. Scientific Reports 8:7505. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-25834-6.

Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, Heisterkamp S, Van Willigen B, Maintainer R, 2017. Package
'nlme'. R package:3-1.

R Core Team, 2012. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R
Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Revelle W, 2015. Package 'psych'. The Comprehensive R Archive Network.

Ríos-Chelén AA, Lee GC, Patricelli GL, 2015. Anthropogenic noise is associated with changes in acoustic
but not visual signals in red-winged blackbirds. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 69:1139-1151.

Ríos-Chelén AA, Quirós-Guerrero E, Gil D, Garcia CM, 2013. Dealing with urban noise: vermilion
flycatchers sing longer songs in noisier territories. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 67:145-152.

Rivera-Gutierrez HF, Pinxten R, Eens M, 2010. Songs differing in consistency elicit differential
aggressive response in territorial birds. Biol Lett 7:339-342.

Searcy WA, Anderson RC, Nowicki S, 2006. Bird song as a signal of aggressive intent. Behav Ecol
Sociobiol 60:234-241.

Searcy WA, Beecher MD, 2009. Song as an aggressive signal in songbirds. Anim Behav 78:1281-1292.

Searcy WA, DuBois AL, Rivera-Cáceres K, Nowicki S, 2013. A test of a hierarchical signalling model in
song sparrows. Anim Behav 86:309-315.

Shannon G, McKenna MF, Angeloni LM, Crooks KR, Fristrup KM, Brown E, Warner KA, Nelson MD,
White C, Briggs J, 2016. A synthesis of two decades of research documenting the effects of
noise on wildlife. Biological Reviews 91:982-1005.

Slabbekoorn H, den Boer-Visser A, 2006. Cities change the songs of birds. Curr Biol 16:2326-2331.

Slabbekoorn H, Peet M, 2003. Ecology: Birds sing at a higher pitch in urban noise. Nature 424:267.

Snijders L, Naguib M, 2017. Communication in animal social networks: a missing link. Advances in the
Study of Behavior 49:297-359.

Snijders L, van Oers K, Naguib M, 2017. Sex-specific responses to territorial intrusions in a
communication network: Evidence from radio-tagged great tits. Ecology and evolution 7:918-
927.

Snijders L, van Rooij EP, Henskens MF, van Oers K, Naguib M, 2015. Dawn song predicts behaviour
during territory conflicts in personality-typed great tits. Anim Behav 109:45-52.

Templeton CN, Zollinger SA, Brumm H, 2016. Traffic noise drowns out great tit alarm calls. Curr Biol
26:R1173-R1174.

van Dongen WF, 2006. Variation in singing behaviour reveals possible functions of song in male golden
whistlers. Behaviour 143:57-82.

Vehrencamp SL, Hall ML, Bohman ER, Depeine CD, Dalziell AH, 2007. Song matching, overlapping, and
switching in the banded wren: the sender's perspective. Behav Ecol 18:849-859.

Wasserman FE, 1977. Intraspecific acoustical interference in the white-throated sparrow (Zonotrichia
albicollis). Anim Behav 25:949-952.

Wiley RH, 2006. Signal detection and animal communication. Advances in the study of behavior
36:217-247.

Wilson DR, Ratcliffe LM, Mennill DJ, 2016. Black-capped chickadees, Poecile atricapillus, avoid song
overlapping: Evidence for the acoustic interference hypothesis. Anim Behav 114:219-229.

Wingfield JC, 1994. Regulation of territorial behavior in the sedentary song sparrow, *Melospiza*
melodia morphna. Horm Behav 28:1-15.

Wolfenden AD, Slabbekoorn H, Kluk K, de Kort SR, 2019. Aircraft sound exposure leads to song
frequency decline and elevated aggression in wild chiffchaffs. J Anim Ecol 88:1720-1731. doi:
10.1111/1365-2656.13059.

Wood WE, Yezerinac SM, 2006. Song sparrow (Melospiza melodia) song varies with urban noise. Auk
123:650-659.

Yang X-J, Ma X-R, Slabbekoorn H, 2014. Timing vocal behaviour: Experimental evidence for song
overlap   avoidance   in   Eurasian   wrens.   Behav   Processes   103:84-90.   doi:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2013.11.011.

Zollinger SA, Dorado-Correa A, Goymann W, Forstmeier W, Knief U, Bastidas-Urrutia AM, Brumm H,
2019. Traffic noise exposure depresses plasma corticosterone and delays offspring growth in
breeding zebra finches. Conservation Physiology 7. doi: 10.1093/conphys/coz056.

Zollinger SA, Slater PJB, Nemeth E, Brumm H, 2017. Higher songs of city birds may not be an individual
response to noise. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 284:20170602. doi:
doi:10.1098/rspb.2017.0602.

Figure captions.

Figure 1. a) Scatterplot of song overlapping (proportion of the subject's songs that started while the

playback song was playing), and aggression scores (PCA 1). b) Aggression scores of subjects

overlapping the playback songs at more than the duty cycle (n=11), less than the duty cycle (n=24),

singing no song (n=7). The boxes indicate interquartile ranges and median, the whiskers indicate

95% confidence intervals and the open circles are the data for individual subjects.

Figure 2. a) Scatterplot of ambient noise levels (average of 8 measurements with A settings, dB) and

aggression scores (PCA1). b) Scatterplot of song rates and aggression scores (PCA1)

Table 1: Pearson correlation coefficients (p-values) for the correlation between the aggressive
response variables and their loadings to the first component of principle component analysis (PCA).
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure and Barttlet's test were significant (KMO: 0.661; Bartlett's test:
χ^2=33.86; p<0.00001)

	Flight rate	Proportion of trial spent within 1m	Closest approach
Flight rate	_	0.60 (2×10^{-5})	-0.57 (7×10^{-5})
Proportion of trial spent within 1m	_	_	-0.42 (0.005)
Loading Coefficient	0.88	0.81	-0.79

589
590   Table 2. Linear mixed model (LMM) with stimulus song as random factor and aggression scores as
591   response variable (n= 42 subjects). The predictor variables were song overlapping as the fixed factor
592   (higher than duty cycle, lower than duty cycle or no-song; with higher than duty cycle taken as
593   baseline) and ambient noise levels.
594

Predictor variable	Level	Coefficient (SE)	χ^2	p-value
Overlap (baseline= high)	low	0.65 (0.31)	16.41	0.00027
	no song	1.58 (0.39)		
Ambient noise		0.053 (0.022)	6.01	0.014

595
596