1

RecA levels modulate biofilm development in *Acinetobacter baumannii*

Carly Ching[1,2], Merlin Brychcy[1], Brian Nguyen[1], Paul Muller[1], Alicyn Reverdy[1], Margaret Downs[1],

Samuel Regan[4], Breanna Isley[1], William Fowle[1], Yunrong Chai[1], and Veronica G. Godoy[1*]

[1]Northeastern University, Department of Biology, Boston, MA, 02115

[2]Current address: Department of Biomedical Engineering, Boston University, Boston, MA, 02215

* Corresponding Author: Veronica G. Godoy, v.godoycarter@northeastern.edu

Abstract

Infections caused by *Acinetobacter baumannii,* a Gram-negative opportunistic pathogen, are difficult to eradicate due to the bacterium's propensity to quickly gain antibiotic resistances and form biofilms, a protective bacterial multicellular community. The *A. baumannii* DNA damage response (DDR) mediates the antibiotic resistance acquisition and regulates RecA in an atypical fashion; both RecA^{Low} and RecA^{High} cell types are formed in response to DNA damage. The findings of this study demonstrate that the levels of RecA can influence the development, formation and dispersal, of biofilms through the global biofilm regulator *bfmR*. RecA loss results in surface attachment and prominent biofilms, while elevated RecA leads to diminished attachment and dispersal. These findings suggest that the challenge to treat *A. baumannii* infections may be explained by the induction of the DDR, common during infection, as well as the delicate balance between maintaining biofilms in low RecA cells, and promoting mutagenesis and dispersal in high RecA cells. This study underscores the importance of understanding the fundamental biology of bacteria to develop more effective treatments for infections.

Introduction

Acinetobacter baumannii is an emerging Gram-negative opportunistic pathogen and one of the ESKAPE pathogens, a group of bacteria responsible for most hospital-acquired infections(Rice, 2008). *A. baumannii* outbreaks in hospitals are difficult to eradicate, due to increased multi-drug resistance (MDR)(Peleg et al., 2008) and its ability to form biofilms(Eze et al., 2018). *A. baumannii* infections are very dangerous to immunocompromised individuals, causing various illnesses, including pneumonia, septicemia, and wound infections (Smith et al., 2007).

Generally, when gene products involved in antibiotic binding or processing on the chromosome are mutated in a way that impacts their function, resistance can be acquired(Blair et al., 2014). One response pathway underlying multidrug resistance (MDR) is the DNA damage response (DDR). Mutagenesis results from the induction of error-prone DNA polymerase genes, which are part of the DDR regulon(Cirz et al., 2007; Fuchs and Fujii, 2013). We have shown that in *A. baumannii*, RecA-dependent induction of multiple error-prone polymerases in response to DNA damage leads to clinically relevant antibiotic resistance (Norton et al., 2013). In *Escherichia coli* and many other bacteria, the cells' main recombinase, RecA, and the global transcriptional repressor, LexA, manage the DDR, also known as the SOS response(Little and Mount, 1982). In contrast, there is no known LexA homolog in *A. baumannii*, indicating that the A. baumannii DDR circuitry is regulated differently (Ching et al. 2017; Hare et al. 2014; MacGuire et al. 2014; Norton et al. 2013). Our lab has also shown that in response to DNA damage, there are two RecA cell types, low *recA* expression (RecA^{Low}) and high *recA* expression (RecA$^{High)}$, regulated by a 5'untranslated region in the *recA* transcript (Ching et al., 2017; MacGuire et al., 2014).

Bacterial cells within biofilms are often less sensitive to chemical and physical challenges, including

antibiotics (Anderl and Franklin, 2000; Singh et al., 2016). Like many biological processes, the biofilm

cycle in *A. baumannii* is not fully understood, although key biofilm genes are known, including the

biofilm master regulator gene *bfmR* that controls adhesive *csu* pili and genes important for virulence

and desiccation tolerance(Farrow et al., 2018; Tomaras et al., 2008, 2003). It is also known that genes

encoding Bap protein and efflux pumps are important for biofilms(Brossard and Campagnari, 2012;

He et al., 2015; Loehfelm et al., 2008; Tomaras et al., 2008, 2003). The BfmR response regulator is

part of a two-component system (BfmRS) that results in BfmR phosphorylation (Farrow et al., 2018;

Geisinger and Isberg, 2015; Russo et al., 2016; Tomaras et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2018). There may

be additional factors regulating *bfmR* expression and it is unknown whether de-repression by

phosphorylated BfmR, with lower affinity for its operator site(Draughn et al., 2018), is sufficient for

induction. The *A. baumannii* biofilm extracellular matrix contains poly-ß-(1-6)-*N*-acetyl-glucosamine,

mannose and extracellular DNA(Bales et al., 2013; Choi et al., 2009; Hardouin et al., 2014; Sahu et

al., 2012).

In brief, the DDR and the biofilm cycle are two crucial pathways that bacteria use for survival under

stressful environmental conditions and there is evidence suggesting a link between these two

pathways(Linares et al., 2006; Takajashi et al., 1995). The mechanisms linking the DDR and biofilms

vary between bacterial species. For example, in *Streptococcus mutans*, *Escherichia coli* (Costa et al.,

2014; Inagaki et al., 2009), and *Clostridium difficile* (Walter et al., 2015), there is a direct correlation

between DDR and biofilm formation in which both pathways are induced in response to environmental

signals. However, we showed that during *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm development, induction of the DDR,

measured by levels of oxidative stress and gene expression of a *lexA* -dependent gene (not *recA* or *lexA*

directly*)*, shuts off biofilm matrix genes (Gozzi et al., 2017). This suggested an inverse correlation

between DDR and biofilm formation in which DDR signaling complements the biofilm cycle; DDR-

induced cells could leave the biofilm to search for new niches. Altogether, the varied relationships

between DDR and the biofilm cycle are intriguing and show that cells have established different ways

to balance these strategies, which could have downstream effects in treatment and clinical practices.

Here, we demonstrate that DDR and the biofilm cycle also intersect in *A. baumannii*. We show that the

loss of RecA in *A. baumannii* results in increased cell-to-surface adherence, in part due to elevated

expression of *bfmR* with a concomitant increase in Csu pili. We further identify the novel regulation

of *bfmR* through the RecA-dependent UmuDAb gene product. UmuDAb is in the same family as LexA

and regulates some of the DDR genes in *A. baumannii* (Hare et al., 2014). We observed that DNA -

damaged biofilms shut off gene expression of the attachment pili coded by *csuAB* and turn on *recA*,

allowing for cell dispersal. The observed inverse relationship between DDR and biofilm formation

may lead to a heterogeneous cell population combining physical (biofilm) and genetic (elevated

mutagenesis) protection to environmental challenges, including antibiotics.

Results

Loss of RecA promotes biofilms in *A. baumannii*

A. baumannii ATCC 17978 Δ*recA* cells (*recA*::km, Table S1 (Aranda et al., 2011)) grown in standard

LB medium and shaking conditions consistently displayed observable surface attachment on the sides

of glass tubes (Fig. 1A, red arrow). In comparison, the parental wild-type strain had little to no surface

attachment (Fig. 1A). Intriguingly, this observation suggested that *A. baumannii* Δ*recA* cells form a

more prominent biofilm than parental WT cells. Since the lack of RecA can presumably affect bacterial

growth (Cox et al., 2008), we first performed growth measurements of free-living planktonic WT and ΔrecA cells. We also measured growth in ΔrecA cells complemented with a low-copy plasmid, pNLAC1(Luke et al., 2010), containing recA under its own promoter (Ching et al., 2017) (ΔrecA (pNLAC1-recA), Table S1), which we will refer to as the complemented ΔrecA mutant or ΔrecA-c. We observed a slight growth disadvantage in ΔrecA cells when the starting inoculum size was $<10^7$ cells that was rescued by the extrachromosomal copy of recA (Fig S1A & B). Our result is largely consistent with a previous report that the ΔrecA strain showed no noticeable growth defects compared to the parental WT strain(Aranda et al., 2011).

We next assayed pellicle biofilm formation (formed at the air-liquid interface) of ΔrecA and WT cells, as indicated in materials and methods. WT cells did not form a visible pellicle (Fig. 1B, left) at 24 hrs while ΔrecA cells (Fig. 1B, middle) formed a visible and opaque pellicle as early as 24 hrs. At 48 and 72 hrs, the WT cells formed a smooth pellicle and with striations while the ΔrecA pellicle was thicker and granular on the surface (Fig. S2). Cell surface attachement along the sides/bottom of wells of pellicle biofilms of WT and ΔrecA strains containing the empty plasmid, along with the ΔrecA-c strain, was measured by Crystal Violet staining. We observed a significant increase in surface-attached cells in ΔrecA biofilms at 24 hrs compared to WT cells (Fig. 1C). At 24 hrs, pellicle formation of the complemented strain was not significantly different than the parental strain (Fig 1B, right), and the surface architecture was similar to WT (Fig. S2). These data suggest that the loss of RecA leads to a increased biofilm formation.

Colony biofilm formation is indicated by growth at the air-surface interface (Vlamakis et al., 2013). Typically, robust colony biofilms have more wrinkling surface architecture due to differential cell

death and mechanical forces due to increased extracellular matrix (Asally et al., 2012). Thus, we grew

colony biofilms on solid medium plates containing Congo red and Coomassie brilliant blue, dyes that

detect changes in biofilm matrix components (Ghodke et al., 2019; Surgalla and Beesley, 1969). At 48

hrs, the Δ*recA* colony biofilm had differential coloring to that of the WT and complemented strain,

indicative of increased biofilm matrix production (Fig 1D). We noticed that the Δ*recA* colony biofilms

also had decreased diameter size throughout the length of the experiment. Notably, the complemented

Δ*recA* colony is similar in size to WT but with an intermediate coloration (Fig. 1D). We have

previously observed partial complementation of colony biofilm phenotypes with plasmid -borne

ectopic gene copies, possibly due to the sensitivity of the process to gene dosage (Ching et al., 2018).

The data obtained from these experiments suggest that the Δ*recA* strain forms robust colony biofilms.

The inverse relationship between RecA and biofilm is not exclusive to *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978

To assess whether this finding was exclusive to *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978, we used a transposon

derivative of *A. baumannii* AB5075 in which the transposon is inserted approximately in the middle of

the RecA open reading frame (ORF) (Gallagher et al., 2015) (Table S1). *A. baumannii* AB5075 is a

highly virulent clinical isolate (Jacobs et al., 2014). For pellicle biofilms at 48 hrs, the AB5075

recA::Tn mutant had significantly increased cell adherence compared with the parental strain (Fig.

S3A). Furthermore, the AB5075 *recA::Tn* colony biofilm is also smaller than the parental strain and

displays differences in colony morphology, including changes in opacity and striations on the edge

(Fig. S3B). These data suggest that the observation that RecA negatively impacts biofilm development

is not exclusive to the ATCC 17978 strain.

RecA modulates the biofilm matrix in *A. baumannii*

Since Δ*recA* cells form prominent biofilms, we were curious to visually observe differences between Δ*recA* and WT biofilms, especially regarding the extracellular matrix. We thus analyzed Δ*recA* and WT pellicle biofilms by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). To observe pellicle biofilms from the WT and Δ*recA* strains we used a fixing procedure that takes advantage of cationic dyes binding to negatively charged polysaccharides that preserves them for imaging (Erlandsen et al., 2004). We observed noticeable differences between the matrix and biofilm structures of the WT and Δ*recA* strains (Fig. 2A). At 24 hrs, WT cells have some matrix and connections between cells, while Δ*recA* cells were embedded in an observable thick matrix (Fig. 2A). To determine and quantify whether the differences in the biofilm matrix between the WT and Δ*recA* strains were partially due to changes in polysaccharides, total extracellular matrix was extracted from stationary phase cells and carbohydrate content was measured. Δ*recA* cells had a nearly 3-fold increase in total carbohydrates compared the WT cells (Fig. S4). The complemented strain, Δ*recA*-c, had a similar carbohydrate level to WT cells (Fig. S4). It is possible that in addition to increased sugar matrix content we may also be visualizing other phenomena, such as increased pili, which led to further investigation below.

Δ*recA* surface-attached cells withstand antibiotic treatment better

Biofilms have been noted to protect bacteria from antibiotic exposure (Anderl and Franklin, 2000; Singh et al., 2016). To determine whether there were any differences in the antibiotic susceptibility of the WT and Δ*recA* biofilms compared to planktonic cells, surface-attached WT and Δ*recA* biofilms were treated with the bactericidal aminoglycoside gentamicin. First, we determined the MIC of

amicin for exponentially growing planktonic cells in YT medium and found it to be the same for both

WT and Δ*recA* cells (1.88 µg/mL, error within 2-fold). Aranda *et al*. determined the MIC of planktonic

cells to Amikcin and Tobramycin, both aminoglycosides, and reported that these were also the same

for the WT and Δ*recA* strains (1.5 µg/mL and 0.38 µg/mL, respectively) (Aranda et al., 2011).

To assess whether biofilms withstood gentamicin exposure differently to planktonic cells, the spent

growth medium from 72 hr pellicle biofilms were removed, the wells were washed to eliminate non-

attached cells and gentamicin was added in fresh growth medium at different concentrations, and wells

were incubated for 24 hrs. After exposure to gentamicin, the growth medium and non-attached cells

were removed, and Crystal Violet staining was performed as before. The data are presented as the

percentage of untreated biofilms of each respective strain. For the Δ*recA* strain, the percentage of

surface-attached cells remaining after antibiotic treatment plateaus above 50% relative to no treatment

after exposure to 0.47–15 µg/mL of gentamicin (Fig. 2C), representing over 3-fold excess of Δ*recA*

surface-attached cells compared to WT (at 15 µg/mL the percentage of WT surface-attached cells

decreases to ~16% relative to no treatment). This suggests that that Δ*recA* withstood antibiotic

exposure better (Fig. 2B).

To determine if the remaining surface-attached cells were viable, we directly measured the viability of

surface-attached cells from pellicle biofilms of WT and Δ*recA* strains containing the empty plasmid,

along with the Δ*recA*-c strain, with and without gentamicin treatment, by plating for viable cells

(CFUs). We found that with gentamicin exposure (15 ug/mL), the Δ*recA* strain had ~ 5-fold increase

in percent survival compared to the WT strain, while the complement had similar survival to WT (Fig.

2D).

Increasing RecA diminishes biofilm formation

Together, our data suggest that there is an inverse relationship between RecA and biofilm development

in *A. baumannii.* To further test this relationship, we artificially increased RecA levels in WT cells and

expected that a RecA overproducing strain would form poor biofilms with fewer surface-attached cells

than WT or Δ*recA* biofilms. Thus, we introduced the plasmid -borne copy of *recA* under its own

promoter (pNLAC1-*recA,* Table S1) into the WT strain, which we refer to as *recA*$^{++}$ (Table S1). We

first measured the intracellular RecA concentration of *recA*$^{++}$ relative to the WT strain. To do this, we

purified *A. baumannii* RecA and *E. coli* RecA to test whether an antibody raised against *E. coli* RecA

recognizes *A. baumannii* RecA equally well. There was no difference between the detection of the *E.*

coli RecA or *A. baumannii* RecA for the antibody (Fig. S5A&B). Using semi-quantitative Western

blotting, we found that the relative level of RecA in *recA*$^{++}$ cells was between 3- to 5-fold higher than

WT in both basal and DNA-damage inducing conditions than the parental strain (Fig. S4C). We

calculated that after DNA damage, RecA relative levels in the WT strain increased by ~3-fold relative

to basal conditions. Thus, *recA*$^{++}$ at basal conditions has similar levels of RecA as the WT strain treated

with 10X MIC of ciprofloxacin (Fig. S5C). The *recA*$^{++}$ strain grown in planktonic conditions had a

slight growth defect, similar to Δ*recA* cells (0.15X slower doubling time than WT based on growth

curves) (Fig. S1 C&D). We observed that *recA*$^{++}$ biofilms had significantly less surface-attached cells

compared to the WT and Δ*recA* biofilms, but still above the baseline reading of a control empty stained

well (0.082 +/- 0.005) (Fig 3A).

RecA levels influence surface attachment

Since planktonic shaking cells for Δ*recA* and *recA*$^{++}$ cells is largely consistent with a previous report

that the Δ*recA* strain showed no noticeable growth defects compared to the parental WT strain (Aranda

et al., 2011) (Fig. S1) in the conditions tested, we concurrently measured biofilm attachment and

bacterial growth during the first 13 hours of static growth conditions. We inferred that any differences

in biofilms due to growth would be clear at the early stages of biofilm formation while there may be

active cell division. To do this, we setup biofilms as indicated in Materials and Methods and measured

surface attachment while also determining colony forming units (CFUs) of the whole well combining

attached and non-attached cells from 0-13 hrs at regular intervals. Strikingly, at 6 hrs we detected an

increase in surface-attached cells for $\Delta recA$ biofilms compared to WT and $recA^{++}$, which both showed

little attachment (Fig. 3B). The CFU counts were comparable for the strains (Fig. 3C). These data show

that $\Delta recA$ cells attach to surfaces earlier than WT or the $recA^{++}$ strains, as our previous assays

suggested (Fig. 1B). This also demonstrates that our findings for biofilm formation are directly

comparable and not, in part, due to growth differences. In this experiment, as well as in those one

shown previously (Fig. 1B), strains contained the empty plasmid (pNLAC1; Table S1) for direct

comparison to the complemented strain. We observed that regardless of pNLAC1 (Fig. 3B, C) $\Delta recA$

forms robust biofilms, while WT and $recA^{++}$ do not. Overall our data indicates an inverse relationship

between RecA and biofilm development.

RecA levels influence the density of attachment pili

Because $\Delta recA$ cells attached to surfaces faster than WT or $recA^{++}$ (Fig. 3A-C), we wanted to

investigate whether $\Delta recA$ cells had more surface pili to permit attachment. Csu pili are involved in

cell adherence in *A. baumannii* biofilms (Gaddy and Actis, 2009; Pakharukova et al., 2018; Rumbo-

Feal et al., 2013; Tomaras et al., 2003). To investigate this, we imaged the $\Delta recA$, WT and $recA^{++}$

strains from saturated cultures using transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Remarkably, $\Delta recA$

cells displayed a higher density of surface pili than WT or $recA^{++}$ cells (Fig. 3D). To determine whether

we were observing, in part, Csu pili, we performed a Western blot to detect CsuAB protein from both

purified pili (Fig. 3E) and total cell extracts (Fig. 3F) and detected a strong signal for CsuAB pili in

Δ*recA* cells.

We next constructed a plasmid containing the promoter of the *csuAB* operon fused to *gfp* (P*csuAB*-*gfp*),

which was introduced into Δ*recA*, WT, and *recA*$^{++}$ strains. Fluorescence measurements of statically

grown cells in YT medium showed that the reporter fluorescence intensity in Δ*recA* was consistently

higher compared to WT and *recA*$^{++}$, with *recA*$^{++}$ being consistently the lowest (Fig. 4A). Quantification

of fluorescence of single cells (Fig. 4B) shows significantly elevated average fluorescence in Δ*recA*

cells compared to *recA*$^{++}$ or WT. Notably, *recA*$^{++}$ fluorescence is significantly lower than WT (Fig.

4B). Our subsequent effort was to quantify the expression levels of *csu* pili genes in biofilm -dwelling

cells. Although the qPCR data suggested a higher trend in *csuA* expression in Δ*recA*, the results were

deemed statistically insignificant due to the presence of noise. This is likely due to the components in

the pellicle samples that may either inhibit PCR, result in poorer mRNA sampling, or due to the

inherent heterogeneity of biofilm -dwelling cells (Werner et al., 2004). Nevertheless, in stationary cells,

csuAB expression is ~80-fold higher in *A. baumannii* Δ*recA* and lower in *recA*$^{++}$ compared to WT cells

(Fig. 4C). In summary, our data indicate that Δ*recA* cells have deregulated CsuAB pili.

RecA and *csuAB* expression are inversely correlated in DNA-damaged biofilm pellicles

Due to the absence of a LexA homolog in *A. baumannii*, it is possible that RecA may serve different

regulatory roles, as has been previously suggested (Hare et al., 2014). Our results suggested that

elevated levels of RecA would lead to decreased CsuAB pili (Figs. 3-4). Thus, we hypothesized that

csuAB expression would decrease in biofilm cells upon treatment with a DNA damaging agent, which

increases RecA. To test this and monitor both RecA and *csuAB* expression, we constructed a plasmid

-borne transcriptional reporter of Csu genes (Fig. 4) (P$_{csuAB}$-*mCherry*), that was introduced into an *A.*

baumannii strain containing a chromosomal P$_{recA}$-*gfp* reporter (Ching et al. 2018). 48 hr pellicle

biofilms of the double reporter strain were treated with 0.5X MIC of ciprofloxacin (Cip), which induces

the DDR but does not kill cells (MacGuire et al., 2014), for an additional 48 hrs. The biofilm pellicles

were examined by confocal microscopy to observe differences in gene expression, and in biofilm

thickness.

In untreated biofilms (Fig. 5A), most cells had moderate to high expression of the P$_{csuAB}$-*mCherry* pili

reporter with few expressing P$_{recA}$-*gfp* (Fig. 5A). In comparison, cells isolated from Cip-treated

biofilms showed dramatic changes (Fig. 5B). Most of the DNA-damaged biofilm cells were fluorescing

GFP, an indication of RecA induction, with few cells expressing *mCherry*. There appeared little to no

overlap in gene expression between the two reporters, suggestive of mutually exclusive cell types.

Moreover, since mCherry and GFP have similar stability (Shaner et al., 2005), they are directly

comparable; the gene expression difference is not due to the fluorescence reporters. Most treated cells

were also elongated, as cell division inhibition is a common feature of DDR induction (Kreuzer, 2013)

that also happens in *A. baumannii*, as we have previously shown (Ching et al., 2017). Moreover, and

notably, the untreated pellicles showed high cell density and had a greater thickness (28 µm) than the

treated pellicles (22 µm), suggesting that biofilm dispersal occurred in response to DNA damage

treatment. It is unlikely that thinning is due to cell death by the Cip treatment since we used sub-MIC

levels of Cip. Our data suggest that RecA negatively influences the expression of *Csu* pili genes,

necessary for the expression of the Csu pili machinery and biofilm formation.

RecA modulates *bfmR* expression

Csu pili regulation depends on BfmR, a key regulator of biofilm formation in *A. baumannii* (Tomaras

et al., 2008). Increased Csu pili in Δ*recA* suggested either higher *bfmR* expression or a more active

BfmR. To test whether *bfmR* was differentially expressed in the Δ*recA*, WT, and *recA*[++] strains we

tracked a plasmid borne fluorescent reporter containing the promoter of the *bfmR* operon fused to

mKate2 (P*bfmR*-*mKate2*) over time. We found that *bfmR* expression is higher in Δ*recA*, but not in *recA*[++],

compared to WT (Fig. 6A). These results suggest that RecA levels manage *bfmR* expression that in

turn controls Csu pili.

UmuDAb, a RecA-dependent transcription factor, regulates BfmR

While *A. baumannii* lacks LexA, UmuDAb (A1S_1389), is a known repressor of some, but not all,

DDR genes(Hare et al., 2014). Notably, UmuDAb has a typical helix-turn-helix DNA binding motif

at the amino-terminal end that is cleaved by the activated RecA nucleoprotein filament, RecA*, in a

way believed to be to that of LexA and other proteins within the same family (Draughn et al., 2018;

Hare et al., 2013). Cleavage of UmuDAb by RecA* provides a plausible mechanistic explanation for

the observations that high RecA levels (i.e. low full-length UmuDAb) lead to biofilm dispersal. Thus,

we hypothesized that UmuDAb may serve as a RecA-dependent regulator of biofilm development.

We constructed an Δ*umuDAb* strain as previously done (Norton et al., 2013) and tested for biofilm

formation. We found that the Δ*umuDAb* strain formed significantly weaker biofilms than any other

strain previously studied in pairwise comparisons (Fig 6B). In fact, Δ*umuDAb* formed significantly

less biofilm than *recA*[++] (Fig. 6B). Consistent with this observation, TEM showed no visible pili on the

surface of Δ*umuDAb* cells (Fig 6C). Furthermore, we detected almost no *bfmR* expression in Δ*umuDAb*

bfmR reporter cells compared to WT (Fig 6A). Contrary to the known UmuDAb repressor

function(Hare et al., 2014; Witkowski et al., 2016), our findings suggest that UmuDAb has a positive

effect on *bfmR* and consequently on Csu pili. Indeed, we have biochemical evidence that full-length

UmuDAb binds to the *bfmrR* promoter, while the cleaved version does not (Fig. S6A). Our group is

currently further investigating the mechanistic details by which UmuDAb performs this function.

Overall, our data suggest a genetic model in which *A. baumannii* biofilm development depends on

RecA, UmuDAb, BfmR, and CsuAB (Fig. 6D, expanded upon in discussion). To test this genetically,

we designed a plasmid-based arabinose -inducible CRISPRi system and knocked down *recA* in WT,

Δ*umuDAb,* Δ*bfmR* and Δ*csuD*(Moon et al., 2017) cells (Fig. S6B). We then compared the pellicle

biofilm formation between these strains. As expected, when *recA* was knocked down in WT cells, there

was a significant increase in biofilm compared to WT cells with a nonsense single guide RNA (Fig.

6E). Furthermore, and as expected, knocking down RecA in each of Δ*umuDAb,* Δ*bfmR* and Δ*csuD*

strains, did not increase biofilms (Fig 6E).

Discussion

Bacteria largely exist in the environment as biofilms (Costerton et al., 1995). Generally, cells in

biofilms have different characteristics and gene expression profiles from their planktonic counterparts.

Here, we find that in *A. baumannii,* levels of RecA, a key DDR gene product, influences biofilm

development in both ATCC 17978 (Figs. 1-6) or AB5075 (Fig. S3) strains. Our data also show that

cells lacking *recA* produce robust biofilms (Fig. 1). Importantly, Δ*recA* biofilms on an abiotic surface

expected to be more resilient (Fig. 2) and a higher fraction of cells remain viable after antibiotic

treatment (Table 1) compared to the parental strain, providing additional evidence to the concept that

biofilms protect cells from antibiotic exposure (Anderl and Franklin, 2000; Singh et al., 2016). Thus,

recurring *A. baumannii* infections may be the product of cells remaining adhered to equipment surfaces

or implanted devices.

We found that RecA levels influence biofilm formation through Csu pili. ΔrecA cell adherence depends

on higher *csuAB* and increased Csu pili compared to either the WT or the *recA*[++] strains (Figs. 3, 4).

Our results further suggest that *csuAB* expression is due to the induction of *bfmR* (Fig. 6). It has been

previously shown that *bfmR* and *csuAB* expression are higher in biofilm cells (Rumbo-Feal et al., 2013),

consistent with our findings.

Aranda *et al.* phenotypically characterized the *ΔrecA* insertional mutant used in this study. Free-living

ΔrecA cells had decreased survival during heat shock, desiccation, UV, and certain antibiotic

treatment(Aranda et al., 2011). Importantly, the *ΔrecA* strain had much lower pathogenicity in a mouse

model, indicating its importance in this process (Aranda et al., 2011). These results demonstrate the

significance of RecA in survival and virulence in planktonic cells. However, our findings demonstrate

the importance of investigating both biofilm and free-living states. For example, it has been shown that

strong *A. baumannii* biofilm formers are less frequently antibiotic-resistant, consistent with having

lower levels of RecA and subsequently mutagenesis (Wang et al., 2018). Additionally, planktonic cells

more readily gain higher level antibiotic resistance compared to biofilm cells during exposure to

ciprofloxacin (Santos-Lopez et al., 2019). Moreover, biofilm cells were more eradication-resistant

(Wang et al. 2018), again consistent with the observation that ΔrecA surface-attached cells are more

difficult to eliminate with antibiotics (Fig. 2, and Table 1). This could be because certain antibiotics

have lowered penetration of biofilms (Anderl and Franklin, 2000; Singh et al., 2016) and there is a

nutrient gradient within the biofilm that leads to different metabolic states of biofilm cells (Werner et

al., 2004). Other explanations include an increase in antibiotic efflux in the biofilm conditions or that

the surface of the bacterium is very different in biofilms, and the antibiotic does not interact as

efficiently.

Our findings have significant implications for understanding *A. baumannii* survival to antibiotic

treatment and possibly antibiotic resistance. We show that upon DNA damage, the DNA damage

response is induced, leading to the induction of *recA* with simultaneous shutoff of biofilm genes,

leading to thinner biofilms (Fig. 5). We have observed that this occurs through lowered *bfmR* and

csuAB expression upon elevated RecA levels. Quantification of RecA upon DNA damage, and in

recA^{++} cells, demonstrates how sensitive the biofilm gene expression is to a change in RecA levels

(Figs. S4, 1-3). This may be due to UmuDAb sensitivity to cleavage by RecA* (Hare et al., 2013). Our

evidence suggests that full-length UmuDAb is an inducer of *bfmR* and of biofilm formation (Fig. 6 and

supplementary Fig. S6B). A summary and model of genes, supported by our data, is shown in Fig. 6D.

Based on previous findings, we have observed two of RecA cell types, high and low, in planktonic

cells (Ching et al., 2017; MacGuire et al., 2014), and there may also be heterogeneity in RecA levels

among cells in biofilms. Thus, within a biofilm, the RecA^{Low} cells maintain biofilms with low

mutagenic potential, while RecA^{High} cells with high mutagenic potential (Norton et al., 2013) can

disperse and search for new niches. This observed inverse relationship can allow the population to

combine physical (biofilm) and genetic (elevated mutagenesis) protection to environmental challenges,

including antibiotic exposure in a host.

Overall, we have identified an inverse relationship between the DDR and biofilm development in *A.*

baumannii. While a similar anti-correlation was observed in *B. subtilis*, *A. baumannii* has a unique

DDR that it is not a canonical LexA-dependent SOS system. Thus, our findings provide further insights

on LexA-independent DDRs. Here, we uncover different underlying regulatory pathways and genes

mediating this inverse relationship. Specifically, the *Gozzi et al* paper does not test RecA directly, and

the mechanism is through the accumulation of reactive oxygen species, which induce the canonical

DDR and genes known to be in the LexA -dependent regulon(Gozzi et al., 2017). We show that

different levels of RecA govern biofilm genes and pili in a LexA-independent system. The direct

relationship between RecA and pili has not been reported and notably we have expanded on the RecA-

dependent regulon in *A. baumannii*. Although there may be by convergent evolution of the same

approach (biofilm and DNA damage responses have mutual exclusivity), we reveal a fundamentally

different approach in distantly related bacteria (Gram-negative vs Gram-positive, nonpathogenic vs

pathogen).

These results demonstrate the complexity of treating pathogens with a DDR that do not follow the

paradigm, such as *A. baumannii* (Ching et al., 2017; MacGuire et al., 2014). Previous work has

highlighted the potential for clinical RecA inhibitors to potentiate the effect of antibiotics while

hindering antibiotic resistance acquisition by reducing the mutagenic capacity in bacteria (Alam et al.,

2016). This indeed may be promising for treating certain bacteria, as demonstrated in *E. coli* (Alam et

al., 2016). However, our results show that for *A. baumannii*, inhibiting RecA may lead to robust biofilm

formation. It is thus important to understand the relationships between survival strategies in bacteria,

and that different bacteria may have different responses to treatment, based on their fundamental

biology. Overall, our results show the complex ways that *A. baumannii* robustly survives stress by

balancing alternative survival strategies through overlapping genetic pathways.

Materials and Methods

Strains and Growth Conditions

The strains and plasmids used are listed in Table S1. *A. baumannii* strains harboring the PNLAC1-*recA*

plasmid were constructed as before (Ching et al., 2017). The Δ*umuDAb* strain was constructed using

SOE PCR to insert a kanamycin gene cassette in the *umuDAb* gene as before (Norton et al., 2013). The

oligonucleotides used are in Table S1. All bacterial cultures were routinely grown in LB medium,

unless otherwise noted, and incubated at 37°C with shaking at 225 rpm for liquid cultures. YT medium

used is composed of 2.5-g NaCl, 10g Tryptone and 1g Yeast extract per liter. The solid medium

contained 1.5% agar (Fisher Bio-Reagents). Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations:

Kanamycin (Kan; 35 µg/mL), Gentamicin (Gm; 10 µg/mL), Tetracycline (Tet; 12 µg/mL), and

Carbenicillin (Carb; 100 µg/mL).

Growth curve measurements

Strains were diluted in the growth medium as indicated in the respective figure legends and grown in

YT medium in 96-well dishes. All strains were tested at least in triplicate. They were incubated in a

plate reader (Biotek Synergy H1) at 37ºC with shaking for 24 hrs with measurements of OD_{600} every

15 min.

Pellicles & Colony Biofilm Formation

To form pellicle biofilms, cells from a saturated culture were inoculated at a 1:1000 dilution into YT

liquid medium in either 6, 12 or 24-well non-tissue culture treated (lacking coating which change

surface properties) polystyrene plates. The same number of cells, adjusted based on optical density,

was added to each well. Plates were then incubated statically at 25°C. A standard Crystal violet staining

procedure was used to quantify adherence to the polystyrene surface (Chen et al., 2015). Early biofilms

are formed after 24 hrsh of static growth, and between 48-72 hrs. the biofilm has matured. After 72

hrs., the biofilms start to deteriorate. In the time course and gentamicin viable cell count experiments,

to correlate viable cells and biofilm formation, samples were taken for CFU counting from 3 wells per

experiment. The well content was thoroughly mixed before taking the samples, diluted with PBS, and

deposited on LB agar plates. After CFU sampling, the well content was aspirated, washed 3 times with

PBS, and stained with Crystal Violet as before (Ching et al., 2018). For colony biofilms, 3 µL of a

saturated bacterial culture were spotted on to YT agar plates containing 200µg/mL Congo red and

100µg/mL Coomassie brilliant blue (Congo red plates) (Ching et al., 2018) and incubated for 48 hrs.,

early colony biofilms, to 96 hrs. at 25°C. Images of biofilms were taken with a Leica MSV269

dissecting scope and a Leica DMC2900 camera, using the same settings. The radius of the biofilm

colonies was measured in triplicate from the dissecting microscope images at the same magnification.

For AB5075 colony, R2 medium with 1.5% agar (Reasoner and Geldreich, 1985) was used instead of

YT.

To prepare biofilms in which *recA* expression was knockdown by CRISPRi (Brychcy et al., n.d.), 0.5

% L-Arabinose was added to the YT medium to induce expression of the *dCas9* gene and inhition of

recA expression.

Scanning Electron (SEM) and Transmission Electron (TEM) microscopy

Biofilms for SEM were prepared by collecting pellicle biofilms on a glass coverslip treated with a

solution of 0.1 mg/mL of polylysine (Fisher Scientific). The coverslips with the biofilms were

deposited for at least 24 hrs. at 4ºC in wells with fixative 1 composed of 25% Glutaraldehyde, 0.1 M

Na-Cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2), 0.15% Alcian Blue and 0.15% Safranin. Additional treatment of the

coverslips and observation of the biofilms was performed at the Northeastern University Electron

Microscopy Core Facility.

For TEM, cultures were grown to saturation in YT liquid medium and streaked for single colonies on

YT plates incubated at 37°C. A colony was picked and gently resuspended in 100 µL of phosphate

buffered saline (PBS). 10 µL of the resuspended colony was pipetted onto a copper grid, excess liquid

wicked away with filter paper, and the grid was dried at room temperature. To negatively stain the

samples and observe pili, 10 µL of a solution of 1.5% phosphotungstic acid (PTA) was pipetted onto

the cell-containing grids. Liquid excess was wicked away with filter paper, and grids were left to air

dry at room temperature. Microscopy was performed with a JEOL JEM-1010 (JEOL USA, Peabody,

MA) microscope equipped with a 2k x 2k AMT CCD camera (Advanced Microscopy Techniques,

Woburn, MA) at the Northeastern University Electron Microscopy Core Facility.

Sugar Extraction Assay

The total extracellular matrix was extracted and total carbohydrate content was quantified using a

modified protocol from Jiao et al. 2010 (Jiao et al., 2010). Briefly, 500 µL of YT medium saturated

cultures of the *A. baumannii* strains were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 1 min in pre-weighed

microcentrifuge tubes and washed twice with 1X PBS; supernatant was discarded. Cell pellets were

dried at 95°C and reweighed. This process was repeated until all dried pellets were approximately equal

in weight (differences no more than 20% from each other). Pellets were reconstituted in 100 µL of 1X

PBS and 400 µL of a 0.1 M sulfuric acid solution was added to each tube. Cell suspensions were mixed

in a vortex every 10 minutes for 1 h.. Then, 250 µL of concentrated sulfuric acid (95% minimum) was

added, followed by 50 µL of freshly made 10% phenol solution in water. This mixture was incubated

at 95°C for 5 minutes. The absorbance at 492 nm of each sample was determined in a Biotek plate

reader (Synergy HT). This measurement represents the total carbohydrates. The absorbance per gram

of dry cell was normalized to the values obtained with the parental strain for each 3 biological

replicates. An unpaired two-tailed T-Test was used for statistical analysis relative to the parental strain:

* = P<0.05.

Quantitative measurement of gene expression

To measure *csu* transcripts in WT, $\Delta recA$, and $recA^{++}$, we took 500µL of 24 hrs. saturated cells. These

were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 1 mL of RNA Protect Bacteria Reagent (Qiagen)

with 30µL of 20 mg/mL lysozyme, incubated at room temperature for 15 min, spun down at 15,000g

for 5 min, and cell pellets were stored at -20 ºC. Total RNA extraction was carried out by using the

Zymo Direct-zol RNA Kit (Zymo) according to manufacturer's instructions. A NanoDrop One

(ThermoFisher) was used to measure the RNA concentration and purity. RNA was converted to cDNA

using a high -capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit according to the manufacturer's protocol

(Applied Biosystems). Expression of *csuAB* pili gene expression by RT-qPCR was performed with

cDNA using the qPCR primer pairs listed in Table S1 and following the protocol for Fast SYBR Green

Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) using a StepOnePlus real-time PCR instrument (Applied

Biosystems). The relative gene expression was standardized using endogenous 16S ribosomal RNA

expression, and the comparative threshold cycle ($\Delta\Delta CT$) was calculated for each sample and compared

relative to WT expression. Each sample was run in biological and technical triplicates. An unpaired

two -tail T test was used for statistical analysis relative to the parental strain (*=$P < 0.05$).

Pilus purification.

Pilus shear preparations were performed as previously published (Moon et al., 2017). Briefly, a similar

number of cells grown on a YT agar plate (OD_{600}~20) were collected in 1.5 mL of 1X PBS, placed on

ice for 10 min, and vortexed for 1 min. After centrifugation at 13,000 x g at 4ºC, cell supernatants

(containing the pili) and the respective pellets (containing pili-less cells) were collected. The pili within

the supernatants were precipitated for 20 h with trichloroacetic acid (TCA) (final concentration, 25%),

at -20°C. The precipitated pili were collected by centrifugation at 16,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C and

resuspended in 50 µL 1X PBS. Total protein was extracted from pili-free cell pellets and whole cell

lysates using Bugbuster reagent (Novagen) following the manufacturer's instructions and quantified

using a Bradford assay.

Purification of His-tagged *A. baumannii* RecA and Immunoblots

The *recA* gene from *A. baumannii* was amplified using the primers RecALICF and RecALICR (Table

S1), ligated into the pET-His6-TEV-LIC vector (plasmid 29653; Addgene, Cambridge, MA, USA)

using the Ligation Independent Cloning Protocol (Gradia et al., 2017), and introduced by

transformation into DH5α *E. coli* cells for plasmid maintenance. The induction of expression and

purification methods are as previously described for RecA purification from *E. coli* (Tashjian et al.,

2017). RecA purification was confirmed by SDS-PAGE.

For the semi-quantitative western blots, saturated cultures were diluted 1:100 and grown to an

exponential phase. Cells were then treated with ciprofloxacin (Cip) at 10X the MIC for 3 h. (Ching et

al., 2017). A parallel culture for each strain was left untreated. Cells were collected by centrifugation,

and whole-protein lysate was extracted using Bugbuster reagent (Novagen) and Pierce Universal

Nuclease according to manufacturer's instructions. Cell -free lysates were quantified with a Bradford

assay following the manufacturer's instructions (BioRad). Lysate was diluted to 1X with Laemmli

buffer and heated for 10 min at 95°C. The samples were separated on a 12% Bis-Tris gel (Invitrogen)

in MOPS buffer and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Cafarelli et al., 2013). The blot was

developed as before (Cafarelli et al., 2013) using a primary anti-RecA antibody (Abcam) at a 1:10,000

dilution (Norton et al., 2013) and an HRP-labelled secondary goat anti-rabbit antibody (Abcam) diluted

at 1:40,000. Image J was used to quantify the density of the RecA bands (Norton et al., 2013). The

RecA signal from all samples were compared to a standard of purified RecA protein from *A.*

baumannii. Cmmassie Blue staining was used to estimate similar loading of lanes of a parallel gel. The

procedure was done in 4 biological replicates. RecA determinations were similar every time.

To measure the relative amount of Csu pili in the different strains, we performed immunoblots with an

anti-Csu antibody (gracious gift from Luis Actis). The pili content of each strain was standardized to

the total protein measured in the cell-free extracts from which the pili were isolated. To detect Csu pili

from whole cell lysates, a similar number of cells were lysed with Bugbuster and Pierce Universal

Nuclease as indicated above. The samples were separated in a 12% polyacrylamide gel in MES buffer

at 150V for 1 h.. Immunoblot procedures were followed as described above using a 1:1000 dilution of

the anti-Csu primary antiserum and a 1:40,000 dilution of the HRP labeled secondary anti-rabbit

antibody (Abcam). A ChemiDoc MP imaging system (BioRad) was used for chemiluminescence signal

detection from RecA and Csu blots.

EMSA for UmuDAb to the promoter of *bfmR*

The full-length *umuDAb* and truncated *umuDAb* (*umuD'Ab,* C-terminal end based on previously

determined cleavage site (Hare et al., 2013)) genes were tagged with a hexahistidine tag on the C-

terminal end and cloned into pET11T (Nguyen et al., 1993). The constructs were introduced into BL21

AI cells and His-UmuDAb and His-UmuD'Ab were overexpressed as previously described (Cafarelli

et al., 2013; Studier, 2005). Both proteins were then purified by immobilized metal affinity

chromatography as described previously (Cafarelli et al., 2014).

PCR amplification with primers (bfmR_umuD_motif_F(Cy3) and bfmR_umuD_motif_R, Table S1)

labeled with a Cy3 fluorophore on the 5' end was used to create the *bfmR* DNA probe. DNA (25 nM)

and protein were mixed with binding buffer (10mM Tris-HCl [pH 8], 10mM HEPES, 50mM KCl,

1mM EDTA, 100 ng of salmon sperm DNA, 0.1 mg of bovine serum albumin per ml). Binding

reactions were incubated for one h at 37°C. Reaction mixtures (20 ul) were loaded into a 5%

nondenaturing Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) polyacrylamide gel. Binding complexes were separated at

150V for one hour. Cy3-labelled DNA-protein complexes were visualized using a Bio-rad Chemidoc

imager. All the EMSAs were repeated thrice.

Construction of the P*csu*-*gfp*, P*csuAB*-*mCherry* and P*bfmr*-*mkate2* expression reporter plasmids and

expression assays

A. baumannii ATCC 17978 containing a *csu* operon (P*csuAB*-*gfp*) transcriptional reporter on the

Carbenicillin (Carb) resistant plasmid pMB1-A(Brychcy et al., n.d.), a modified version of the EcoFlex

kit (Moore et al., 2016) containing replication elements necessary for *A. baumannii*, was used to assess

the expression of Csu in the WT, *recA*++, and Δ*recA* strains. The promoter region of the *csu* operon and

of *bfmr* were amplified using the primers csu_f and csu_r, and pBfmR_f and pBfmR_r respectively.

The plasmid pBP_lacZ (Table S1; Addgene accession number 72948) and the purified PCR fragment

were digested using SphI and NdeI, and the pBP-lacZ plasmid was dephosphorylated using QuickCIP

(all enzymes from NEB). The ligation was carried out with T4 DNA ligase (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Colonies were screened using blue-white color in plates with X-Gal and verified by colony PCR and

sequencing using Primers pBP_pTU_f and pBP_r. This generated level 0 MoClo plasmids for the

promoter elements.

Plasmids with the desired inserts were then used in a combined cloning and ligation reaction, by using

20 fmol of each entry vector (pBP_*pcsu* or pBP_*pbfmr*, pBP_B0012, pBP_e*gfp;* pBP_*mcherry* or

pBP_*mkate2*, pBP_pET-RBS and pTU1-A-RFP-AB) combined with 2 µL of Cutsmart, 0.5 µL of BsaI-

HFv2, 0.5 µL of T4 DNA Ligase and 1 µL of 10 mM ATP (all reagents from New England Biolabs,

Ipswich, MA., except T4 DNA Ligase from Thermo Fisher Scientific). The reaction was cycled 50

times in a thermocycler with a 37 °C digestion step for 2 minutes and a 16 °C ligation step for 5

minutes. Plasmids with no insert were eliminated by digestion with BsaI at 37 °C for 1 h. The enzymes

were heat-inactivated at 80°C for 10 min. 5 µL of the ligation mix was introduced by transformation

into chemically competent *E. coli* DH5α. White colonies contain the desired insert due to the exchange

of the mCherry gene originally in the pMB1-A cloning site and confirmed by colony PCR and

sequencing the insert using pBP_pTU_f and pTU_r. Plasmids were introduced by transformation into

A. baumannii using standard electroporation protocols (MacGuire et al., 2014).

To quantify fluorescence in single cells, at least 300 single cells were counted, and their fluorescence

was measured with MicrobeJ from microscope images taken with the same settings(Ducret et al.,

2016).

Construction of *recA* knockdown CRISPRi plasmids

CRISPRi constructs were created according to Brychcy et al.(Brychcy et al., n.d.). Briefly, 5 uL of

100uM of each sgRNA oligonucleotide (recA_ORF_sgRNA_f and recA_ORF_sgRNA_r or

nonsense_sgRNA_f and nonsense_sgRNA_r) were annealed in T4 DNA ligase buffer by incubating at

95 °C for 5 minutes and subsequent cooling to 22 °C for 20 minutes. 1 uL of the annealed

oligonucleotides was then used in a Golden Gate reaction as described above, using BpiI-HF (NEB) as

restriction and pBP_sgRNA (Addgene number: 190128) as the target vector, generating the level 0

plasmid pBP_recA_sgRNA or pBP_nonsense_sgRNA respectively. Subsequently, this plasmid was

used in a Golden Gate reaction using pMB1-B (Addgene number 190115) and BsaI-HF (NEB) as

restriction enzyme, generating pMB1-B-recA_sgRNA. Lastly, pMB1-B-recA was recombined with

pMB1-A-pBAD-dCas9 (Addgene number: 190132) in the target plasmid pMB2a-tet (a modified

version of Addgene number: 190120 containing TetR instead of KanR) generating the plasmid pMB2a-

pBAD_dCas-recA_sgRNA   and   pMB2a-pBAD_dCas9-nonsense_sgRNA.   The   plasmids   were

confirmed via sequencing with the oligonucleotides mentioned in the chapter above. Both plasmids

were subsequently transformed into A. baumannii ATCC17978 WT, *ΔrecA*, *ΔumuDAB*, *ΔcsuD* (Moon

et al., 2017)(kindly provided by Mario Feldman), and *Δbfmr* (EGA496, kindly provided by Edward

Geisinger, Northeastern University) via electroporation.

Microscopy and GFP reporter measurements

To perform confocal microscopy experiments, we used a Zeiss Axio Observer. Z1/7. The

excitation/emission used were 280/618 nm for the red channel, and 488/509 nm for the green channel.

We formed biofilms in wells, and after 48 h, 0.5xMIC Cip was added underneath the pellicles and

dishes were incubated statically for an additional 48 hrs. Pellicles were collected from mock -treated

and Cip-treated wells on a glass coverslip treated with 0.1 mg/mL of polylysine to facilitate attachment.

The side of the pellicle in direct contact with the liquid medium was in contact with the glass, and the

side of the biofilm in contact with air remained as such. Z-stacks were of 1μm.

For the GFP reporter measurements, GFP fluorescence was measured in a plate reader (Biotek synergy

H1) for at least 24 h. at 25ºC without shaking. To start the experiment, cultures were diluted 1:100 in

YT medium in a 96 multi-well dish. Green fluorescence (Excitation 479 nm and emission 520 nm) and

OD$_{600}$ were measured every 15 min. Growth and fluorescence data were gathered at least in triplicate

for each strain.

MIC and Biofilm Eradication Assays, and Live/Dead determination.

Standard assays were used to test for MIC (Andrews, 2001) in planktonic shaking cultures. Pellicles

were set up as described above and incubated statically at 25°C for the number of hours indicated in

the respective figure legends, at which time free cells (not in biofilms) were removed, and wells washed

thrice with 1X PBS. YT medium containing Gm, at a range of concentrations shown in the respective

figures was added to each washed well. The plate was then incubated statically for 24 hrs. at 25°C,

after which the spent medium was removed, and wells were washed three times with PBS. Crystal

Violet staining was then carried out as before (Chen et al., 2015). Plating for viable counts was

performed as described earlier.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the members of the Godoy, Chai, and Geisinger labs at Northeastern

University for helpful comments and discussion. We would like to thank G. Bou from Servicio de

Microbiologia, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario, La Coruña, Spain for the ΔrecA strain, Luis Actis

from the Department of Microbiology, Miami University, for the anti-Csu antibody, Stephen Lory from

Harvard Medical School for access to the AB7505 transposon collection, Mario Feldman from

Washington University St. Louis Medical School for the for ΔcsuD strain and Edward Geisinger from

the Department of Biology, Northeastern University for the Δ*bfmR* strain (EGA496). M.D. and S.R.

were funded by the Undergraduate Research and Fellowships office at Northeastern University. V.G.G

used funding from a stipend from NUSci, an Inclusive Excellence award form HHMI, Y.C. from an

NSF grant (MCB1651732), and A.R. by a Northeastern University Provost Dissertation Completion

Fellowship.

Author Contributions

V.G.G., and C.C. initiated research in discussions with YC. B. I. performed preliminary experiments.

C.C., P.M., A.R., M. B., B. N., S. R., M.D., W. F., and V.G.G. performed experiments and analyzed

data. C.C. and V.G.G. wrote the manuscript.

References:

Alam K, Alhhazmi A, Decoteau JF, Luo Y, Geyer CR, Alam K, Alhhazmi A, Decoteau JF, Luo Y, Geyer CR. 2016. RecA Inhibitors Potentiate Antibiotic Activity and Block Evolution of Antibiotic Resistance Article RecA Inhibitors Potentiate Antibiotic Activity and Block Evolution of Antibiotic Resistance. *Cell Chem Biol* **23**:381–391. doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2016.02.010

Anderl JN, Franklin MJ. 2000. Role of Antibiotic Penetration Limitation in Klebsiella pneumoniae Biofilm Resistance to Ampicillin and Ciprofloxacin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **44**:1818–1824.

Andrews JM. 2001. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 5–16.

Aranda J, Bardina C, Beceiro A, Rumbo S, Cabral MP, Barbé J, Bou G. 2011. Acinetobacter baumannii RecA protein in repair of DNA damage, antimicrobial resistance, general stress response, and virulence. *J Bacteriol* **193**:3740–7. doi:10.1128/JB.00389-11

Asally M, Kittisopikul M, Rue P, Du Y, Hu Z, Cagatay T, Robinson AB, Lu H, Garcia-Ojalvo J, Suel GM. 2012. Localized cell death focuses mechanical forces during 3D patterning in a biofilm. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**:18891–18896. doi:10.1073/pnas.1212429109

Bales PM, Renke EM, May SL, Shen Y, Nelson DC. 2013. Purification and Characterization of Biofilm-Associated EPS Exopolysaccharides from ESKAPE Organisms and Other Pathogens. *PLoS One* **8**. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067950

Blair JMA, Webber MA, Baylay AJ, Ogbolu DO, Piddock LJ v. 2014. Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **13**:42–51. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3380

Brossard KA, Campagnari AA. 2012. The Acinetobacter baumannii Biofilm-Associated Protein Plays a Role in Adherence to Human Epithelial Cells. *Infect Immun* 228–233. doi:10.1128/IAI.05913-11

Brychcy M, Kokodynski A, Lloyd D, Godoy VG. n.d. AspFlex: molecular tools to study gene expression and regulation in. *bioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/2023.03.17.533205

Cafarelli TM, Rands TJ, Benson RW, Rudnicki PA, Lin I, Godoy VG. 2013. A single residue unique to dinb-like proteins limits formation of the polymerase IV multiprotein complex in Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* **195**:1179–1193. doi:10.1128/JB.01349-12

Cafarelli TM, Rands TJ, Godoy VG. 2014. The DinB.RecA Complex of Escherichia coli Mediates an Efficient and High-Fidelity Response to Ubiquitous Alkylation Lesions. *Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen* **51**:229–235. doi:10.1002/em

Chen Y, Gozzi K, Yan F, Chai Y. 2015. Acetic acid acts as a volatile signal to stimulate bacterial biofilm formation. *mBio* **6**:1–13. doi:10.1128/mBio.00392-15

Ching C, Gozzi K, Heinemann B, Chai Y, Godoy VG. 2017. RNA-Mediated cis Regulation in Acinetobacter baumannii Modulates Stress-Induced Phenotypic Variation. *J Bacteriol* **199**:1–15.

Ching C, Yang B, Onwubueke C, Lazinski D, Camilli A, Godoy VG. 2018. Lon protease has multifaceted biological functions in *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *J Bacteriol* **201**:1–12. doi:10.1128/JB.00536-18

Choi AH, Slamti L, Avci FY, Pier GB. 2009. The pgaABCD Locus of Acinetobacter baumannii Encodes the Production of Poly- ᴺL -1-6- N -Acetylglucosamine , Which Is Critical for Biofilm Formation . *J Bacteriol* **191**:5953–5963. doi:10.1128/JB.00647-09

Cirz RT, Jones MB, Gingles NA, Minogue TD, Jarrahi B, Peterson SN, Romesberg FE. 2007. Complete and SOS-mediated response of Staphylococcus aureus to the Antibiotic Ciprofloxacin. *J Bacteriol* **189**:531–539. doi:10.1128/JB.01464-06

Costa SB, Campos ACC, Pereira ACM, de Mattos-Guaraldi AL, Junior RH, Rosa ACP, Asad
LMBO. 2014. Adherence to abiotic surface induces SOS response in Escherichia coli K-12
strains under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. *Microbiology (N Y)* **160**:1964–1973.
doi:10.1099/mic.0.075317-0

Costerton JW, Lewandowski Z, Caldwell DE, Korber DR, Sn S, Lappin-scott HM. 1995. Microbial
biofilms. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 711–745.

Cox JM, Li H, Wood EA, Chitteni-Pattu S, Inman RB, Cox MM. 2008. Defective dissociation of a
"slow" RecA mutant protein imparts an Escherichia coli growth defect. *Journal of Biological*
Chemistry **283**:24909–24921. doi:10.1074/jbc.M803934200

Draughn GL, Milton ME, Feldmann EA, Bobay BG, Roth BM, Olson AL, Thompson RJ, Actis LA,
Davies C, Cavanagh J. 2018. The Structure of the Biofilm-controlling Response Regulator
BfmR from Acinetobacter baumannii Reveals Details of Its DNA-binding Mechanism. *J Mol*
Biol **430**:806–821. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2018.02.002

Ducret A, Quardokus E, Brun Y. 2016. MicrobeJ, a high throughput tool for quantitative bacterial
cell detection and analysis. *Nature Microbiol* **1**:1–7. doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.77

Erlandsen SL, Kristich CJ, Dunny GM, Wells CL. 2004. High-resolution Visualization of the
Microbial Glycocalyx with Low-voltage Scanning Electron Microscopy : Dependence on
Cationic Dyes The Journal of Histochemistry & Cytochemistry. *Journal of Histochemistry and*
Cytochemistry **52**:1427–1435. doi:10.1369/jhc.4A6428.2004

Eze EC, Chenia HY, el Zowalaty ME. 2018. Acinetobacter baumannii biofilms : effects of
physicochemical factors , virulence , antibiotic resistance determinants , gene regulation , and
future antimicrobial treatments. *Infect Drug Resist* **11**:2277–2299.

Farrow JM, Wells G, Pesci EC. 2018. Desiccation tolerance in Acinetobacter baumannii is mediated
by the two-component response regulator BfmR. *PLoS One* **13**:1–25.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0205638

Fuchs RP, Fujii S. 2013. Translesion DNA Synthesis and Mutagenesis in Prokaryotes. *Cold Spring*
Harb Perspect Biol 1–22.

Gaddy JA, Actis LA. 2009. Regulation of Acinetobacter baumannii biofilm formation. *Future*
Microbiol **4**:273–278. doi:10.2217/fmb.09.5.Regulation

Gallagher LA, Ramage E, Weiss EJ, Radey M, Hayden HS, Held KG, Huse HK, Zurawski D v.,
Brittnacher MJ, Manoil C. 2015. Resources for genetic and genomic analysis of emerging
pathogen Acinetobacter baumannii. *J Bacteriol* **197**:2027–2035. doi:10.1128/JB.00131-15

Geisinger E, Isberg RR. 2015. Antibiotic Modulation of Capsular Exopolysaccharide and Virulence
in Acinetobacter baumannii. *PLoS Pathog* **11**:1–27. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004691

Ghodke H, Paudel BP, Lewis JS, Jergic S, Gopal K, Romero ZJ, Wood EA, Woodgate R, Cox MM,
Oijen AMV. 2019. Spatial and temporal organization of reca in the escherichia coli dna-damage
response. *Elife* **8**:1–37. doi:10.7554/eLife.42761

Gozzi K, Ching C, Paruthiyil S, Zhao Y, Godoy VG, Chai Y. 2017. Bacillus subtilis utilizes the DNA
damage response to manage multicellular development. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes* **3**:8.
doi:10.1038/s41522-017-0016-3

Gradia S, Ishida JP, Tsai M, Jeans C, Tainer JA, States U, Bioimaging I, Berkeley L, States U,
Berkeley L, States U, States U, States U. 2017. MacroBac: New technologies for robust and
efficient large-scale production of recombinant multi-protein complexes. *Methods Enzymol*.
doi:10.1016/bs.mie.2017.03.008.MacroBac

Hardouin J, Chabane YN, Marti S, Rihouey C. 2014. Characterisation of Pellicles Formed by
Acinetobacter baumannii at the Air-Liquid Interface. *PLoS One* **9**.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111660

Hare JM, Adhikari S, Lambert K V, Hare AE, Alison N. 2013. The Acinetobacter regulatory
UmuDAb protein cleaves in response to DNA damage with chimeric LexA/UmuD
characteristics. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **334**:57–65. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2012.02618.x.The
Hare JM, Ferrell JC, Witkowski T a, Grice AN. 2014. Prophage induction and differential RecA and
UmuDAb transcriptome regulation in the DNA damage responses of Acinetobacter baumannii
and Acinetobacter baylyi. *PLoS One* **9**:e93861. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093861
He X, Lu F, Yuan F, Jiang D, Zhao P, Zhu J, Chene H, Cao J, Lu G. 2015. Biofilm Formation
Caused by Clinical Acinetobacter baumannii Isolates Is Associated with Overexpression of the
AdeFGH Efflux Pump. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **59**:4817–4825. doi:10.1128/AAC.00877-
15
Inagaki S, Fujita K, Nagayama K, Funao J, Effects OT. 2009. Effects of recombinase A deficiency
on biofilm formation by Streptococcus mutans. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 104–108.
Jacobs AC, Thompson MG, Black CC. 2014. AB5075 , a Highly Virulent Isolate of Acinetobacter
baumannii , as a. *mBio* **5**:1–10. doi:10.1128/mBio.01076-14.Editor
Jiao Y, Cody GD, Harding AK, Wilmes P, Schrenk M, Wheeler KE, Banfield JF, Thelen MP,
Carolina N. 2010. Characterization of Extracellular Polymeric Substances from Acidophilic
Microbial Biofilms   †. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76**:2916–2922. doi:10.1128/AEM.02289-09
Kreuzer KN. 2013. DNA Damage Responses in Prokaryotes: Regulating Gene Expression,
Modulating Growth Patterns, and Manipulating Replication Forks. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect*
Biol 1–23.
Linares JF, Gustafsson I, Baquero F, Martinez JL. 2006. Antibiotics as intermicrobial signaling
agents instead of weapons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**:19484–19489.
doi:10.1073/pnas.0608949103
Little JW, Mount DW. 1982. The SOS Regulatory Escherichia coli System of Review **29**:1982.
Loehfelm TW, Luke NR, Campagnari AA. 2008. Identification and characterization of an
Acinetobacter baumannii biofilm-associated protein. *J Bacteriol* **190**:1036–1044.
doi:10.1128/JB.01416-07
Luke NR, Sauberan SL, Russo TA, Beanan JM, Olson R, Loehfelm TW, Cox AD, Michael FS,
Vinogradov E v, Campagnari AA. 2010. Identification and Characterization of a
Glycosyltransferase Involved in Acinetobacter baumannii Lipopolysaccharide Core
Biosynthesis   . *Infect Immun* **78**:2017–2023. doi:10.1128/IAI.00016-10
MacGuire AE, Ching MC, Diamond BH, Kazakov A, Novichkov P, Godoy VG. 2014. Activation of
phenotypic subpopulations in response to ciprofloxacin treatment in Acinetobacter baumannii.
Mol Microbiol **92**:138–52. doi:10.1111/mmi.12541
Moon KH, Weber BS, Feldman F. 2017. Subinhibitory Concentrations of Trimethoprim and
Sulfamethoxazole Acinetobacter baumannii through Inhibition of Csu Pilus Expression.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother **61**:1–18.
Moore SJ, Lai HE, Kelwick RJR, Chee SM, Bell DJ, Polizzi KM, Freemont PS. 2016. EcoFlex: A
Multifunctional MoClo Kit for E. coli Synthetic Biology. *ACS Synth Biol* **5**:1059–1069.
doi:10.1021/acssynbio.6b00031
Nguyen LH, Jensen DB, Burgess RR. 1993. Overproduction and purification of σ32, the Escherichia
coli heat shock transcription factor. *Protein Expr Purif* **4**:425–433.
Norton MD, Spilkia AJ, Godoy VG. 2013. Antibiotic Resistance Acquired through a DNA Damage-
Inducible Response in Acinetobacter baumannii. *J Bacteriol* **195**:1335–45.
doi:10.1128/JB.02176-12
Pakharukova N, Tuittila M, Paavilainen S, Malmi H, Parilova O, Teneberg S, Knight SD, Zavialov A
v. 2018. Structural basis for Acinetobacter baumannii biofilm formation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*
S A **115**:5558–5563. doi:10.1073/pnas.1800961115

Peleg AY, Seifert H, Paterson DL. 2008. Acinetobacter baumannii: Emergence of a successful
pathogen. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **21**:538–582. doi:10.1128/CMR.00058-07
Reasoner DJ, Geldreich EE. 1985. A new medium for the enumeration and subculture of bacteria
from potable water. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **49**:1–7. doi:10.1128/aem.49.1.1-7.1985
Rice LB. 2008. Federal Funding for the Study of Antimicrobial Resistance in Nosocomial
Pathogens : No ESKAPE. *J Infect Dis* **197**:1079–1081. doi:10.1086/533452
Rumbo-Feal S, Gómez MJ, Gayoso C, Álvarez-Fraga L, Cabral MP, Aransay AM, Rodríguez-
Ezpeleta N, Fullaondo A, Valle J, Tomás M, Bou G, Poza M. 2013. Whole Transcriptome
Analysis of Acinetobacter baumannii Assessed by RNA-Sequencing Reveals Different mRNA
Expression Profiles in Biofilm Compared to Planktonic Cells. *PLoS One* **8**:1–19.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072968
Russo TA, Manohar A, Beanan JM, Olson R, MacDonald U, Graham J, Umland TC. 2016. The
Response Regulator BfmR Is a Potential Drug Target for Acinetobacter baumannii . *mSphere*
1:1–19. doi:10.1128/msphere.00082-16
Sahu PK, Iyer PS, Oak AM, Pardesi KR, Chopade BA. 2012. Characterization of eDNA from the
Clinical Strain Acinetobacter baumannii AIIMS 7 and Its Role in Biofilm Formation. *The*
Scientific World Journal **2012**. doi:10.1100/2012/973436
Santos-Lopez A, Marshall CW, Scribner MR, Snyder DJ, Cooper VS. 2019. Evolutionary pathways
to antibiotic resistance are dependent upon environmental structure and bacterial lifestyle. *Elife*
8:1–23. doi:10.7554/elife.47612
Shaner NC, Steinbach PA, Tsien RY. 2005. A guide to choosing fluorescent proteins. *Nat Methods*
2:905–909. doi:10.1038/nmeth819
Singh R, Sahore S, Kaur P, Rani A, Ray P. 2016. Penetration barrier contributes to bacterial biofilm-
associated resistance against only select antibiotics , and exhibits genus- , strain- and antibiotic-
specific differences. *Pathog Dis* 2–7. doi:10.1093/femspd/ftw056
Smith MG, Gianoulis T a, Pukatzki S, Mekalanos JJ, Ornston LN, Gerstein M, Snyder M. 2007. New
insights into Acinetobacter baumannii pathogenesis revealed by high-density pyrosequencing
and transposon mutagenesis. *Genes Dev* **21**:601–14. doi:10.1101/gad.1510307
Studier FW. 2005. Protein production by auto-induction in high density shaking cultures. *Protein*
Expr Purif **41**:207–234. doi:10.1016/j.pep.2005.01.016
Surgalla MJ, Beesley ED. 1969. Congo red-agar plating medium for detecting pigmentation in
Pasteurella pestis. *Appl Microbiol* **18**:834–837.
Takajashi A, Yomoda S, Ushijima I, Inoue M. 1995. Orfloxacin, norfloxacin and ceftazimide
increase the production of alginate and promote the formation of biofilm of Pseudomonase
aeruginosa in vitro. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*.
Tashjian TF, Lin I, Belt V, Cafarelli TM, Godoy VG. 2017. RNA primer extension hinders DNA
synthesis by Escherichia coli mutagenic DNA polymerase IV. *Front Microbiol* **8**:1–9.
doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.00288
Tomaras AP, Dorsey CW, Edelmann RE, Actis LA. 2003. Attachment to and biofilm formation on
abiotic surfaces by Acinetobacter baumannii: Involvement of a novel chaperone-usher pili
assembly system. *Microbiology (N Y)* **149**:3473–3484. doi:10.1099/mic.0.26541-0
Tomaras AP, Flagler MJ, Dorsey CW, Gaddy JA, Actis LA. 2008. Characterization of a two-
component regulatory system from Acinetobacter baumannii that controls biofilm formation and
cellular morphology. *Microbiology (N Y)* **154**:3398–3409. doi:10.1099/mic.0.2008/019471-0
Vlamakis H, Chai Y, Beauregard P, Losick R, Kolter R. 2013. Sticking together: Building a biofilm
the Bacillus subtilis way. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **11**:157–168. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2960
Walter BM, Cartman ST, Minton NP, Butala M, Rupnik M, B.M. W, S.T. C, N.P. M, M. B, M. R,
Walter BM, Cartman ST, Minton NP, Butala M, Rupnik M. 2015. The SOS Response Master

Regulator LexA Is Associated with Sporulation, Motility and Biofilm Formation in Clostridium
difficile. *PLoS One* **10**:e0144763–e0144763. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144763
Wang Y, Huang T, Yang Y, Kuo S, Chen C. 2018. Biofilm formation is not associated with worse
outcome in Acinetobacter baumannii bacteraemic pneumonia. *Sci Rep* 1–10.
doi:10.1038/s41598-018-25661-9
Werner E, Roe F, Bugnicourt A, Franklin MJ, Heydorn A, Molin S, Pitts B, Stewart PS. 2004.
Stratified Growth in Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biofilms. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**:6188–6196.
doi:10.1128/AEM.70.10.6188
Witkowski TA, Grice AN, Stinnett DB, Wells WK, Peterson MA, Hare JM. 2016. UmuDAb : An
Error-Prone Polymerase Accessory Homolog Whose N-Terminal Domain Is Required for
Repression of DNA Damage Inducible Gene Expression in Acinetobacter baylyi. *PLoS One* 1–
19. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152013

Figures And Figure Legends

Figure 1. RecA negatively influences surface attachment and biofilm formation. (A) Saturated
Δ*recA* cell cultures show visible growth on the side surface of glass test tubes (red arrow) compared to
WT cells. **(B)** Δ*recA* forms visible pellicles biofilms at the air-liquid interface earlier than its isogenic
WT counterpart. Biofilm pellicles of WT (with empty PNLAC1 plasmid), Δ*recA* (with empty PNLAC1
plasmid), and the complemented strain (Δ*recA*(pNLAC1-*recA*, Δ*recA*-c) at 24 hrs. Representative
images are shown. **(C)** Δ*recA* cells adhere to the abiotic surface better than WT and the Δ*recA*-c strain.
Graph shows absorbance at 595 nm for Crystal Violet staining of polystyrene surface-adhered cells at
24 hrs. Experiments were performed in triplicate and error bars shown represent standard deviation of
the mean. An unpaired two-tailed T-test was performed for statistical analysis, * =P < 0.05, NS=not
significant. Brackets above the columns indicate the comparisons made. **(D)** RecA influences colony
biofilm architecture and coloration. Colony biofilms of WT, Δ*recA*, and Δ*recA*-c strains on Congo Red
plates at 48 hrs. Numbers underneath the images represent the average diameter of 3 colonies from
images taken at the same magnification and settings in 3 independent experiments relative to the WT
colony diameter set as 1. The error represents the standard deviation of the mean.

Figure 2. Biofilm formation influences antibiotic challenge (**A**) Δ*recA* biofilms have increased observable matrix compared to WT biofilms. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of WT and Δ*recA* biofilms collected on coverslips at 24 hrs. Scale bar is 3μm. (**B**) Δ*recA* biofilms withstand gentamicin treatment better than WT biofilms. Images of Crystal Violet staining of 72 hrs. mature biofilms formed by WT and Δ*recA* strains after 24 hrs. of gentamicin treatment at 3.75 μg/mL and 0.05 μg/mL. (**C**) Percentage eradication of biofilms (A595 of exposed biofilm/A595 of untreated parental biofilm) treated with a range of gentamicin concentrations for WT and Δ*recA* cells. Experiments were performed in triplicate and error bars represent standard deviation. An unpaired two-tailed T-test was performed to compare % eradication between WT and Δ*recA* strains for each concentration, * = P < 0.05. (**D**) Surface-attached cells with and without gentamicin treatment (15 μg/mL), were deposited on plates and CFUs were counted (representative data from biological replicate with 8 technical replicates each). Graph displays percent survival (CFU/mL) compared to the respective untreated biofilm.

Figure 3. RecA levels modulate *A. baumannii* attachment. (A) Cells with high levels of RecA form
significantly weaker biofilms than Δ*recA* and WT. Graph displays absorbance at 595 nm for Crystal
Violet staining of polystyrene surface-adhered cells from 48 hrs. pellicles of strains Δ*recA,* WT and
recA++ (~4x more RecA than WT, Fig S5). Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. An
unpaired two-tailed T-test was performed for statistical analysis. * = P < 0.05. **(B)** Δ*recA* cells attach
to surfaces faster than either WT or *recA*++. Surface attachment of the isogenic WT, Δ*recA*, and *recA*++.
All strains contain the pNLAC1 plasmid either empty or carrying the *recA* gene in *recA*++. Error bars
represent the standard deviation of the mean. **(C)** There is no large difference in growth between strains
during the first 13 hrs. of biofilm formation. Colony Forming Units (CFUs)/mL of the respective strains
at the same time points as in (B). The error bars represent standard deviation of the mean. Experiment
was performed in technical triplicate and biological duplicate. **(D)** The Δ*recA* strain has high density
of pili. Transmission electron microscopy images of the pili on the surface of the WT, Δ*recA*, and
recA++ strains. The scale bar for the WT and Δ*recA* strains images is 100 nm and 500 nm for *recA*++.
(E) Immunoblot for Csu pili isolated from the indicated strains. The total protein ratio of each cell
extract from which the pili were isolated compared to WT is shown at the bottom of the image. The
>40kD band is non-specific but demonstrates that similar amounts of proteins were loaded per lane.
(F) Immunoblot for Csu pili from whole cell extracts shows the same effect as in E; there are more Csu
pili in Δ*recA*. The empty vector (pNLAC1) does not affect the Csu pili as there is no difference in Csu
pili between Δ*recA* cells with or without it. The cell free extracts were loaded at similar concentrations
(20 μg of total protein per lane) as also shown by the >40kD band. An anti-Csu polyclonal primary
antibody, and an anti-rabbit secondary antibody were used at the dilutions indicated in Materials and
Methods for (E) and (F). All lanes are appropriately labelled, and the MW size markers are shown. The
CsuAB protein band is highlighted by the arrows.

Figure 4. Csu pili expression depends on RecA levels. (A) $\Delta recA$ cells with a Csu fluorescent reporter show elevated and earlier fluorescence compared to WT or $recA^{++}$. Fluorescence was measured every 15 mins for a 1000 mins at 25°C in static conditions in a plate reader. **(B)** Quantification of fluorescence of at least 300 single cells demonstrate *csu*-gfp fluorescence dependence on RecA levels; high in $\Delta recA$, and low in $recA^{++}$. Mean single cell fluorescence with standard deviation is plotted. An unpaired two-tailed T-test was performed for statistical analysis; *P<0.05 **(C)** *csu* transcript is higher in $\Delta recA$ cells compared to WT and $recA^{++}$. qPCR was performed from 24 hrs. saturated cultures in triplicate in three biological replicates to measure *csu* gene expression from the WT, $\Delta recA$ and $recA^{++}$ strains. Expression was standardized to 16S rRNA expression, and the value for the WT strain was set to 1. Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean. An unpaired two-tailed T-Test was used for statistical analysis, compared to gene expression of the WT strain. *= P< 0.05, NS = not significant.

Figure 5. High RecA levels in response to DNA damage led to thinner biofilms. (**A**) Csu pili are highly expressed in biofilm cells. Confocal microscope imaging of a double label *recA*-GFP and *csu*-mCherry reporter strain. The thickness of the biofilm in the mid-Z stack is 14μm as shown in the left-hand side of the figure. There are only a few RecA expressing cells. Csu and RecA cells are labeled in the image. (**B**) RecA levels are high in 48 hrs. ciprofloxacin (0.5X MIC) treated pellicle biofilms. DNA damaged biofilm pellicles images show elongated cells, thinning of the pellicle (mid-Z stack is 11μm) and fewer *csu* expressing cells. Representative images were obtained from a Zeiss Axio Observer.Z1/7 with an excitation/emission of 280/618 for the red channel and of 488/509 for the green channel.

Figure 6. RecA levels modulate biofilm development through *bfmR* and UmuDAb. (**A**) Δ*recA* cells with a *bfmR* mKate2 fluorescent reporter show earlier fluorescence compared to WT (represented by the blue line), *recA*++ (represented by the green line) or Δ*umuDAb* cells (represented by the purple line) while their growth is similar. Fluorescence and OD600 were measured every 15 mins for 1000 mins at 25ºC in shaking conditions in a plate reader. (**B**) A strain lacking *umuDAb* form poor biofilms compared to other strains. The Δ*recA* strain forms biofilm significantly better than any of the other strains tested in pairwise statistical analysis shown by the straight line on top of the columns. The WT strain is significantly different to Δ*umuDAb* and *recA*++, while Δ*umuDAb* is significantly different to *recA*++. An unpaired two-tailed T-test was performed for statistical analysis; *p<0.05. (**C**) Transmission electron micrograph of the Δ*umuDAb* strain shows no evident surface pili. Representative image shown. Scale bar is 100nm. (**D**) Model based on the presented data. Arrows represent positive effect. **(E)** A plasmid-based arabinose inducible CRISPRi system was used to knock down *recA* in WT, Δ*umuDAb,* Δ*bfmR* and Δ*csuD* cells. Graph shows absorbance at 595 nm for Crystal Violet staining of polystyrene respective surface-adhered cells at 48 hrs. Experiments were performed in triplicate and error bars shown represent standard deviation of the mean. An unpaired two-tailed T-test was performed for statistical analysis, **** =P < 0.05.

 Supplementary data (Table S1 and Figures S1-S4)

 Table S1. Strains and Oligonucleotides used in this study

Strain	Description	Reference
A. baumannii WT	ATCC17978 Parental strain	American Type Culture Collection
A. baumannii WT *recA* GFP	ATCC17978 with chromosomal translational *recA* reporter	(Ching et al., 2018)
A. baumannii Δ*recA*	ATCC17978 *recA::km*	Gift of G. Bou(Aranda et al. 2011)
A. *baumannii* Δ*recA* complemented (Δ*rec*A (pNLAC1-*recA,* Δ*rec*A-c)	ATCC17978 *recA::km* w/ pNLAC1 *recA* (A1S_1962) promoter–*recA*, Tet^R	(Ching et al., 2017)
A. baumannii w/ pNLAC1	ATCC17978 w/ empty plasmid pNLAC1, Tet^R	This work

A. baumannii ΔrecA w/ pNLAC1	ATCC17978 Δ*recA* w/ empty plasmid pNLAC1, Tet^R	This work
A. baumannii recA^{++}	ATCC17978 w/ pNLAC1 *recA* (A1S_1962) promoter–*recA*, Tet^R	This work
A. baumannii ΔrecA w/pCsu GFP reporter plasmid	ATCC17978 *recA::*Km w/Carb^R plasmid with a green fluorescent reporter of the Csu pilus.	This work
A. baumannii w/pCsu GFP reporter plasmid	ATCC17978 *recA::*Km w/Carb^R plasmid with a green fluorescent reporter of the Csu pilus.	This work
A. baumannii recA GFP w/pCsu mCherry reporter plasmid	ATCC17978 with chromosomal GFP reporter w/Carb^R plasmid with *csuAB* promoter driving mCherry, a red fluorescent reporter.	This work
A. baumannii ΔumudAb	ATCC17978 with a chromosomal insertion of the kanamycin gene in a 20bp deletion of the *umuDAb* gene.	This work

A. baumannii AB5075	Clinical strain of *A. baumannii*	Gift of S. Lory (Gallagher et al., 2015)
A. baumannii AB04587 *recA*::Tn	Clinical strain of *A. baumannii* AB5075 with a transposon insertion within the *recA* gene. Position 1746561. Reverse. UV sensitive.	Gift of S. Lory(Aranda et al., 2011; Gallagher et al., 2015)
A. baumannii w/ pBfmR GFP reporter plasmid	ATCC17978 w/ *pMB1-A* CarbR containing a green fluorescent reporter for *bfmR*	This work
A. baumannii ΔrecA w/ pBfmR GFP reporter plasmid	ATCC17978 *recA*::Km w/ pMB1-A CarbR containing a green fluorescent reporter for *bfmR*	This work
A. baumannii ΔumuDAB pBfmR GFP reporter plasmid	ATCC17978 *umuDAB*::Km w/ pMB1-A CarbR containing a green fluorescent reporter for *bfmR*	This work

A. baumannii recA++ w/ pBfmR GFP reporter plasmid	ATCC17978 w/ *pMB1-A* CarbR containing a green fluorescent reporter for bfmr and w/ pNLAC1 recA promoter-recA TetR	This work
A. baumannii w/ pBAD-dCas9 and nonsense sgRNA	ATCC17978 w/ *pMB2a-tet* TetR containing an arabinose inducible dCas9 and a nonsense sgRNA	This work
A. baumannii w/ pBAD-dCas9 and recA sgRNA	ATCC17978 w/ *pMB2a-tet* TetR containing an arabinose inducible dCas9 and a *recA* sgRNA	This work
A. baumannii ΔrecA w/ pBAD-dCas9 and recA sgRNA	ATCC17978 *recA*::Km w/ *pMB2a-tet* TetR containing an arabinose inducible dCas9 and a recA sgRNA	This work
A. baumannii ΔrumuDAB pBAD-dCas9 and recA sgRNA	ATCC17978 *umuDAb*::Km w/ *pMB2a-tet* TetR containing an arabinose inducible dCas9 and a *recA* sgRNA	This work

A. baumannii recA++ w/ pBAD-dCas9 and recA sgRNA	ATCC17978 w/ *pMB2a-tet* TetR containing an arabinose inducible dCas9 and a *recA* sgRNA and w/ pNLAC1 *recA* promoter-*recA* TetR	This work
A. baumannii ΔcsuD	ATCC17978 lacking pAB3, S/T sensitive, *csuD* mutant (ATCC 17978pAB3- ΔcsuD::frt A)	(Moon et al., 2017)Gift of M. Feldman
A. baumannii ΔbfmR (EGA496)	ATCC17978, *bfmR* mutant	Gift of E. Geisinger
Oligonucleotides	**Sequence 5'to 3'**	
RecALICF	TACTTCCAATCCAATGCAATGGATGAGA ATAAAAGCAAAGCATTACAA	This work
RecALICR	TTATCCACTTCCAATGTTATTATTACGATT CTAATAAAAGATCTGGCTCTTC	This work
csu_f	ATTCATATGGGTCTCACTATTATCCAGCC TGTAAGTACTATTTAAAAAAAG	This work
csu_r	ATTGCATGCGGTCTCAGTACAACCTACAT ATATAATGATTAGATTTGTAGAACAA	This work

pBP_pTU_f	ATTTCAGATAAAAAAAATCCTTAGCTTTCG	This work
pBP_r	TCTTTTCGGTTTTAAAGAAAAAGGG	This work
pTU_r_insert	TGCAGCGTCTCTGGCA	This work
16S-qPCR-F	GTAATACAGAGGGTGCGAGCGTT	This work
16S-qPCR-F	GTAATACAGAGGGTGCGAGCGTT	This work
csuAB-qPCR-F	GGTGGTAGTCAAACTGAAGGA	This work
csuAB-qPCR-R	GCAACTACATCAGCAGAAGC	This work
1389 F-out	CGTAATGGTCGTGGTCGCAGCCG	This work
1389 R-out	GGGTCGAGTTCTGCCCGATAGCC	This work
1389F-Kan	CTCGCTTGGACTCCTGTTGATGGTTTCCCATCACCAGCGCAAGAT	This work
1389R-kan	AGGGCGCCCCAGCTGGCAATTCCGACGTCTAAGGAATGTGGTAAATTTTA	This work
Kan F	AGACGTCGGAATTGCCAGCT	This work

Kan R	ATCAACAGGAGTCCAAGCGAG	This work
nonsense_sgRNA_r	aaacCTGCCATACCAGGCGCGTAC	(Brychcy et al., n.d.)
nonsense_sgRNA_f	tagtGTACGCGCCTGGTATGGCA	(Brychcy et al., n.d.)
recA_ORF_sgRNA_r	aaacCTTTGAGCCAAATTGAGAAG	This work
recA_ORF_sgRNA_f	tagtCTTCTCAATTTGGCTCAAAG	This work
pBfmR_f	attCATATGGGTCTCactatgccagcatagctccat	This work
pBfmR_r	attGCATGCGGTCTCagtacatcattgcccctataaatctcattac	This work
bfmR_umuD_motif_F(Cy3)	TGTCGGGAGATAGCATACCA	This work
bfmR_umuD_motif_R	TGTTTTGTTGCATTTTATTAATCTTTG	This work
bfmR_EMSA_sequence	TGTCGGGAGATAGCATACCAAAGCTGTGAAAAAACACTTATAGATAACTTTGTGGATAACTCAAAAAACAAACACTTGAGTGAATTTAAATTTAGTGAAGAAATAATTTGTTTAATATTCATACATAGGAAATATGAATAGATTATGACATTTAAGAACAATAAATAGATAATAAAAATACCTGAAAATTCAAAGATTAATAAAATGCAACAAAACA	This work

1006

1007

1008

Figure S1. **Δ*recA* growth is fully complemented by a plasmid borne copy of *recA* under its own promoter.** Cells were diluted at (A) 1:100 and (B) 1:1000 in YT medium and OD600 was measured in a plate reader every 15 mins at 37ºC with shaking. Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean of three experiments.

1013

1014 **Figure S2** . **Δ*recA* pellicle biofilms formed at the air-surface interface have different surface features compared to WT.** Magnified images of the pellicle surface formed by WT compared to Δ*recA* and the complemented strain at 48 and 72 hours. The WT strain has a smooth surface with striations like complemented strain. The surface of the Δ*recA* biofilm is more granular.

1018

1019

Figure S3. *A. baumannii* AB5075 biofilms are influenced by RecA. (A) Absorbance at 595 nm for
crystal violet staining, at 48 hrs., of polystyrene-adhered AB5075 and AB5075 *recA*::Tn cells from
pellicle biofilms formed in YT medium. Note the Y-axis start at 2.0. An unpaired T-Test was used for
statistical analysis relative to the parental strain, *= P< 0.05 **(B)** Colony biofilms at 24, 48 and 72 hrs.
in R2 medium with 1.5% agar instead of YT. The colony biofilms of the AB5705 *recA::*Tn have a
different morphology and smaller size than the parental strain.

1026

1027

1028

Figure S4. Δ*recA* biofilms have increased observable matrix compared to WT biofilms. (A) The
matrix fraction isolated from Δ*recA* cells has a shift towards increased total carbohydrate content. Total
extracellular matrix was extracted from saturated stationary phase cultures. Total carbohydrates were
measured from WT, Δ*recA* and complemented Δ*recA* cells. Experiments were performed in triplicate.
Error bars represent standard deviation. An unpaired two-tailed T-Test was used for statistical analysis
relative to WT strain; * = P <0.05, NS = Not Significant.

1035

1036

Figure S5. *recA*++ produces has 3-5-fold more RecA than WT strain
Recombinant *E. coli* RecA an *A. baumannii* RecA were purified as indicated in Materials and Methods. Increasing amounts of either protein were separated by PAGE and an immunoblot was carried out with the same dilution of anti-RecA antibody (Abcam, 1:10,000) and conditions. **(A)** (i) *E. coli* RecA standard curve (15, 30, 60, 80, 100 and 200 ng) and **(B)** (ii) *A. baumannii RecA* standard curve (10, 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 ng). No significant differences in recognition by the antibody were observed. Blot was repeated three times. Representatives immunoblot for each curve (A(ii) and B(ii)) are shown. **(C)** (i) Immunoblot for RecA of extracts of *A. baumannii* cells with (+) or without (-) 3 hr 10x MIC Cip exposure to induce the DNA damage response. Density analysis with ImageJ of the bands showed that the *recA*++ strain has between 3-5-fold more RecA than the WT strain. The samples shown were separated in the same gel and divided electronically for figure clarity. (ii) Separation of cell free extracts of the respective samples in (i) by SDS-PAGE on a 12% Bis-Tris gel in MOPS buffer to demonstrate that similar total protein was loaded per well. Estimated molecular weight sizes are shown in the right-hand size of the gel. The calculations are the result of 4 biological replicates.

1051

1052

1053

1054

Figure S6. (A) Electrophoresis mobility shift assay (EMSA) of purified (i) full length UmudAb and (ii) cleaved UmuDAb to sequences containing the *bfmrR* promoter. The recombinant proteins were purified in *E. coli* from his-tagged versions of full length and cleaved UmuDAb. B) The plasmid-based CRSPRi we developed inhibits *recA* expression only in cells containing the sgRNA targeting the *recA* gene. Immunoblot for (i) RecA and (ii) dCas9 in CRISPRi knock down strains of WT background with *recA* and nonsense sgRNA with (+) or without (-) 0.5% Arabinose.

1061