1 Establishing Rod-Shape from Spherical, Peptidoglycan-Deficient Bacterial

2 Spores

4 Huan Zhang[1], Garrett A. Mulholland[1], Sofiene Seef[2], Shiwei Zhu[3,4], Jun Liu[3,4], Tâm

5 Mignot[2] and Beiyan Nan[1]*

6
[1]Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

[2]Laboratoire de Chimie Bactérienne, CNRS - Université Aix Marseille UMR7283, Institut de

Microbiologie de la Méditerranée, 13009 Marseille, France

[3]Department of Microbial Pathogenesis, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT

06536, USA

[4]Microbial Sciences Institute, Yale University, West Haven, CT 06516, USA

*Corresponding author: Beiyan Nan, 306C BSBE, 3258 TAMU, College Station,

TX77843, Tel.: 979-845-3487, email: bnan@tamu.edu

Keywords: germination, Rod system, MreB, GTPase, gliding motor

ABSTRACT

Chemical-induced spores of the Gram-negative bacterium *Myxococcus xanthus* are peptidoglycan (PG)-deficient. It is unclear how these spherical spores germinate into rod-shaped, walled cells without preexisting PG templates. We found that germinating spores first synthesize PG randomly on spherical surfaces. MglB, a GTPase-activating protein, forms a cluster that surveys the status of PG growth and stabilizes at one future cell pole. Following MglB, the Ras family GTPase MglA localizes to the second pole. MglA directs molecular motors to transport the bacterial actin homolog MreB and the Rod PG synthesis complexes away from poles. The Rod system establishes rod-shape by elongating PG at nonpolar regions. Thus, the interaction between GTPase, cytoskeletons and molecular motors provides a mechanism for the *de novo* establishment of rod-shape in bacteria.

Significance

Spheres and rods are among the most common shapes adopted by walled bacteria, in which the peptidoglycan (PG) cell wall largely determines cell shape. When induced by chemicals, rod-shaped vegetative cells of the Gram-negative bacterium *Myxococcus xanthus* thoroughly degrade their PG and shrink into spherical spores. As these spores germinate, rod-shaped cells are rebuilt without preexisting templates, which provides a rare opportunity to visualize *de novo* PG synthesis and bacterial morphogenesis. In this study, we investigated how spherical spores germinate into rods and elucidated a system for rod-shape morphogenesis that includes the Rod PG synthesis system, a GTPase-GAP pair, the MreB cytoskeleton and a molecular motor.

Morphogenesis is a fundamental feature of cells. Compared to spheres that are

symmetric in all directions, rods are asymmetric and polarized. For most rod-shaped

bacteria, the peptidoglycan (PG) cell wall defines cell geometry, which is assembled by

two major enzymatic systems. The Rod system consists of RodA, a SEDS-family PG

polymerase, PBP2, a member of the class B penicillin-binding proteins (bPBPs), and

MreB, a bacterial actin homolog that orchestrates the activities of the Rod complexes in

response to local cell curvature (1). In contrast, class A PBPs (aPBPs) contribute to PG

growth independent of MreB (2, 3).

Myxococcus xanthus, a rod-shaped Gram-negative bacterium, utilizes polarized

geometry for directed locomotion. MglA, a Ras family small GTPase, controls the

direction of gliding motility (4-7). As cells move, GTP-bound MglA forms large clusters at

leading cell poles, whereas GDP-bound MglA distributes homogeneously in the

cytoplasm (4, 6, 7). MglA-GTP stimulates the assembly of the gliding machineries

through direct interaction with MreB (7-10) and directs the gliding machineries toward

lagging cell poles (5). Consequently, the gliding machineries carry MreB filaments as

they move rapidly in the membrane (11-13). The activity of MglA is regulated by its

cognate GTPase-activating protein (GAP), MglB, which forms large clusters at lagging

cell poles. MglB activates the GTPase activity of MglA, expelling MglA-GTP, and thus

the assembled gliding machineries, from lagging poles (4, 6). Overall, polarized

localization and activities of MglA and MglB ensure that the of gliding machineries

generate propulsion by moving from poles to nonpolar regions (5, 7, 14).

Some rod-shaped bacteria change their geometry through sporulation. In

Firmicutes such as Bacilli and Clostridia, the morphological differentiation from rod-

shaped vegetative cells to oval spores begins with an asymmetric division, resulting in

the formation of a smaller endospore wholly contained within a larger mother cell. In

contrast to endospore-forming bacteria, *M. xanthus* produces spores using two division-

independent mechanisms. First, groups of vegetative cells can aggregate on solid

surfaces and build spore-filled fruiting bodies in a few days (15). Second, individual *M.*

xanthus cells can form dispersed, spherical spores within hours in response to chemical

signals, such as glycerol (16). Unlike endospores that contain intact and often thickened

PG (17, 18), PG is thoroughly degraded in glycerol-induced *M. xanthus* spores (19).

Without the polarity defined by PG, the mechanism by which these spores elongate into

rods remains largely unknown.

Results

Two-phase morphological transition during *M. xanthus* spore germination.

Overnight induction of wild-type cells by 1 M glycerol produced sonication-resistant

spores with length to width aspect ratios (L/W) of 1.56 ± 0.36 (n = 789), among which

40.9% are approximately spherical (L/W ≤ 1.3). Overall, the L/W values of most (85.4%)

spores were lower than 2. As spores germinated, the morphological transition

progressed in a two-phase manner. In the first hour (Phase I), L/W did not change

significantly (p = 0.57, Fig. 1A, 1B, *SI Appendix,* Movie S1, Table S1). After 1 h, L/W

increased sharply as emerging cells transformed into rods (Phase II). Importantly,

40.2% (n = 244) spores did not initiate elongation along their original long axes (Fig. 1C,

SI Appendix, Movie S2), indicating that although not perfectly spherical, the geometry of

mature spores does not predetermine the polarity of emerging cells. 70.2% (n = 198) of

emerging cells reached the dimensions of vegetative cells by 3 h. After 8 h, the whole

population of emerging cells is indistinguishable from vegetative cells (L/W = 5.55 ±

1.12, n = 233. Fig. 1A, 1B, *SI Appendix,* Movie S1, Table S1).

Using cryo-electron tomography (cryo-ET), we confirmed that mature glycerol-

induced spores do not retain PG (*SI Appendix,* Fig. S1). To investigate the role of PG

growth in germination, we treated spores with several inhibitors for PG assembly. In the

presence of A22, an inhibitor of MreB in the Rod system, spores failed to germinate into

rods as their L/W ratios did not increase within 8 h. In contrast, when the wild-type MreB

was replaced by MreB^{V323A}, an A22-resistant variant (9), spores were able to elongate

into rods in the presence of A22, indicating that A22 inhibits germination specifically

through MreB (Fig. 1A, 1B, *SI Appendix,* Table S1). Similarly, mecillinam that inhibits

PBP2 in the Rod system also blocked germination (Fig. 1A, 1B, *SI Appendix,* Table S1).

Both A22 and mecillinam-treated spores were viable because they were able to grow

into rods when transferred into inhibitor-free medium. Since these spores became even

more spherical in Phase I (Fig. 1D), neither A22 nor mecillinam blocked the hydrolysis

of spore coats that maintain the shape of oval spores. In the presence of cefsulodin that

inhibits PBP1A/B, and cefmetazole that inhibits all PBPs except PBP2, spores were

able to form rods, albeit the elongation rate was slower (Fig. 1A, 1B, *SI Appendix,* Table

S1). Although not essential for the initiation of cell elongation, aPBPs still contribute to

the maintenance of rod shape. Despite successful elongation in early Phase II (1 – 3 h),

57.2% (n = 215) cefsulodin-treated and 96.6% (n = 203) cefmetazole-treated emerging

cells retrogressed to spheres after 8-h treatments (Fig. 1A, 1B, *SI Appendix,* Table S1),

which is in agreement with a recent report that aPBPs are required for the mechanical

stability of cells (20). L/W values of the cefmetazole-treated spores increased

significantly in Phase I of germination ($p < 0.0001$, Fig. 1B, 1D, *SI Appendix,* Table S1),

suggesting that cells elongate earlier when PBP2 is dominant over other PBPs.

Vegetative *M. xanthus* cells are sensitive to fosfomycin, an antibiotic that inhibits the

production of UDP-MurNAc, a precursor of PG (11). As spores preserve PG precursors

(19), they were able to elongate into rods in the presence of fosfomycin, albeit at

reduced rates (Fig. 1A, 1B, *SI Appendix,* Table S1). Taken together, PG polymerization

by the Rod system is essential for the establishment of rod-shape.

Bacterial cells incorporate single D-amino acid-based fluorescent probes into PG

using D, D- and L, D-transpeptidases that catalyze the last steps of PG polymerization

(21). Such fluorescent D-amino acids could serve as proxy reporters for PG growth. We

next visualized the patterns of PG growth using a fluorescent D-amino acid, TAMRA 3-

amino-D-alanine (TADA) (22), to label newly synthesized PG. To enhance labeling

efficiency, we deleted the *dacB* gene (*mxan_3130*), which encodes a D-Ala-D-Ala

carboxypeptidase (23). The resulted *ΔdacB* cells showed identical morphology to the

wild-type ones and produced sonication-resistant spores. The *ΔdacB* spores showed

minor delay in germination and efficient TADA incorporation (Fig. 1E, *SI Appendix,* Fig.

S2 and Table S1). Although L/W of spores did not change in Phase I, PG had started to

grow. The surfaces of most Phase I spores (78.0%, n = 600) were evenly labeled by

TADA (Fig. 1E). The remaining 22.0% of spores showed bright patches of TADA on

their surfaces (Fig. 1F). However, these patches do not likely register future poles

because 47.0% (n = 132) of such spores contained more than two TADA patches and

these patches positioned randomly on spore surfaces (Fig. 1F, 1G). In contrast, as cells

grew into rods, TADA was incorporated heavily at nonpolar regions and fluorescence

signals were generally absent at cell poles (Fig. 1E). The patterns of PG growth indicate

that spores first synthesize PG on their spherical surfaces in Phase I and then break

symmetry in Phase II by growing PG at nonpolar regions.

Neither mecillinam, cefsulodin or cefmetazole was able to block TADA

incorporation in Phase I of germination. However, a treatment by all three antibiotics

abolished TADA incorporation (Fig. 1H), indicating that both aPBPs and the Rod system

contribute to the isotropic PG growth in Phase I. In contrast, mecillinam, but not

cefsulodin or cefmetazole, blocked TADA incorporation in Phase II of germination (Fig.

1H). The coordination between aPBPs and the Rod system still remains to be fully

understood. On the one hand, since cefsulodin and cefmetazole reduce the elongation

rates of emerging cells (Fig. 1A, 1B, *SI Appendix,* Table S1), aPBPs still participate in

PG synthesis in Phase II of germination. But on the other hand, the activities of aPBPs

might depend on the Rod system because mecillinam alone is sufficient to block TADA

incorporation in Phase II. Consistent with a recent report that cells reduce their diameter

when the Rod system becomes dominant over aPBPs (24), emerging cells continued to

grow in length but shrink in width in Phase II (Fig. S3, *SI Appendix,* Table S1). These

results confirm that while both aPBPs and the Rod system participate PG synthesis in

germination, the Rod system plays major roles in cell elongation.

MglA and MglB are required for rapid cell elongation. To investigate how *M. xanthus*

spores establish rod shape *de novo*, we tested the potential roles of polar-localized

motility regulators. *ΔmglA* and *ΔmglB* cells were able to form sonication-resistant spores

but their spores showed severe delays in elongation, especially in early Phase II of

germination. After 3 h, only 15.7% of the *ΔmglA* (n= 140) and 10.4% of *ΔmglB* (n = 298)

cells reached the vegetative aspect ratio (comparing to 70.2% of wild-type cells, Fig. 2A,

2B, *SI Appendix,* Table S1). In contrast, deleting *romR* and *plpA*, the genes encode two

additional polar-localized motility regulators (25-27), only caused minor delay in

germination (*SI Appendix,* Fig. S2 and Table S1). Both the *ΔmglA* and *ΔmglB* spores

were able to elongate in length and shrink in width, albeit at significantly lower rates

(Fig. 2A, 2B, *SI Appendix,* Table S1, Fig. S3), indicating that PG growth by the Rod

complex still occurred. Strikingly different from wild-type spores that maintained

relatively smooth cell surfaces during germination, cells from the *ΔmglA* and *ΔmglB*

spores showed pronounced bulges at nonpolar regions in early Phase II, appearing to

have multiple cell poles (Fig. 2A, 2C, *SI Appendix,* Movie S3). However, this

morphological defect was largely corrected after prolonged growth (8 h) (Fig. 2A),

implying that a system independent of MglA and MglB was able to generate rod shape,

although much less robustly. To determine how MglA and MglB regulate germination,

we investigated the spores that expressed the MglA^{Q82L} variant as the sole source of

MglA, under the control of the native promoter of the *mglBA* operon. MglA^{Q82L}

expresses normally but is unable to hydrolyze GTP (6). Spores expressing wild-type

MglB and MglA^{Q82L} showed both a severe delay in cell elongation and bulged surfaces

on emerging cells, similar to the *ΔmglA* and *ΔmglB* spores (Fig. 2A, 2B, *SI Appendix,*

Table S1). Surprisingly, overproducing MglB (*mglB^{OE}*), which potentially overstimulates

the GTPase activity of MglA, caused similar defects during germination (Fig. 2A, 2B, *SI*

Appendix, Table S1). Thus, fine-tuned GTPase activity of MglA is required for rapid cell

elongation and MglB functions through MglA.

Both the delayed morphological transition and bulged surfaces of the emerging

ΔmglA and *ΔmglB* cells suggest that MglA and MglB might regulate PG growth during

germination. *ΔmglA ΔdacB* and *ΔmglB ΔdacB* spores were able to grow PG in an

isotropic manner in Phase I, indistinguishable from the *ΔdacB* spores (Fig. 2D).

However, emerging cells from both mutant spores displayed elevated PG growth at cell

poles and bulges in Phase II (Fig. 2D).

Delayed elongation and uneven PG growth during germination could reduce the

viability of *ΔmglA* and *ΔmglB* spores, especially under osmotic stresses. To test this

hypothesis, we enumerated spores in cell-counting chambers and calculated their

viability by dilution plating. To simplify cell-counting, *pilA* was disrupted by plasmid

insertion (*pilA::tet*) to reduce cell aggregation caused by exopolysaccharides production

(28). As shown in Fig. 2E, compared to the *pilA::tet* spores that 88.9 ± 8.7% (calculated

from 3 independent experiments, same below) formed colonies, both *ΔmglA pilA::tet*

and ΔmglB pilA::tet spores formed colonies at reduced rates (54.1 ± 6.7 and 49.4 ±

12.9%, respectively). To further test if altered growth pattern reduces the strength of

PG, we allow spores to germinate for 1 h, then incubated emerging cells in a

hypoosmotic buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6) for 1 h before plating. Hypoosmotic shock

reduced the survival rate of *pilA::tet* spores to 51.8 ± 2.6%, indicating that the still-

growing PG in germination Phase II is sensitive to osmotic stress. Strikingly, after

hypoosmotic shock, less than 15% of *ΔmglA pilA::tet* (14.5 ± 2.8%) and *ΔmglB pilA::tet*

(8.7 ± 2.4%) spores formed colonies (Fig. 2E). Taken together, the MglA-MglB polarity

axis regulates PG growth in Phase II of germination, which plays important roles in the

survival of glycerol-induced *M. xanthus* spores.

MglB stabilizes at the first future pole. We expressed YFP-labeled MglA as

merodiploids in the wild-type background (6, 9) and mCherry-labeled MglB (stably

expressed, *SI Appendix,* Table S1, Fig. S2) in the *ΔmglB* mutant and correlated their

localization patterns with germination progress (L/W). Spores from neither strain

showed significant defects in germination (*SI Appendix,* Table S1, Fig. S2). 94.1% (n =

152) of Phase I spores (L/W ≤ 2) contained one or two MglB clusters (Fig. 3A, 3B). In

phase II spores (L/W > 2), this ratio increased to 100% (n = 120). In contrast, MglA did

not form clusters until Phase II, when 54.2% of emerging cells contained one or two

MglA clusters (Fig. 3A, 3B). Thus, during germination, MglB establishes polarized

localization before MglA.

To test if the clusters of MglB in Phase I spores mark the polarity inherited from

previous vegetative cells, we imaged MglB clusters at 0.05 Hz. Surprisingly, the majority

of MglB clusters in Phase I spores was highly dynamic (Fig. 3C, *SI Appendix,* Movie

S4). Among 114 MglB clusters in Phase I spores, 22.9% remained stationary, and

77.1% showed typical diffusion, with diffusion coefficients (D_{MglB}) of $1.05 \times 10^{-4} \pm 4.62 \times$

10^{-5} μm^2/s. These "wandering" MglB clusters were observed in both the approximately

spherical (L/W < 1.3) and oval spores (1.3 < L/W $\leq$ 2), which supports our hypothesis

that, regardless of their geometry, polarity is not yet established in Phase I spores.

As germination progressed, MglB clusters started to stabilize. Strikingly, once

stabilized, the locations of MglB clusters became one of the cell poles for cell elongation

(Fig. 3D, *SI Appendix,* Movie. S5). In Phase II of germination (L/W > 2), the population

of stationary MglB clusters increased from 22.9% to 76.4% (n = 106, Fig. 3E). Stabilized

MglB clusters began to oscillate between newly established poles (Fig. 3C, *SI*

Appendix, Movie S6), which might provide a mechanism to ensure that MglB occupies

each future cell pole for an equal amount of time. As MglB clusters stabilized, MglA

started to form clusters. The formation of MglA-YFP clusters was delayed significantly in

the *ΔmglB* background, and only 23.3% (n = 120) of emerging cells contained MglA

clusters in Phase II of germination (Fig. 3A, 3B). Among the 204 cells in which both

proteins formed single clusters, 176 (86.3%) positioned MglA and MglB clusters at

opposite poles (Fig. 3A, 3B). Thus, spherical spores start to elongate into rods along the

axes established by the sequential stabilization of MglB and MglA clusters.

To investigate whether the stabilization of MglB clusters is predetermined by local

cell curvatures, we quantified the localization of stationary MglB clusters with regard to

the geometry of spores. We divide each spore/cell envelope into four quarters. In the

quarter that contained stationary MglB clusters, we defined the long and short axes as

$0°$ and $90°$, which mark the local curvature that shows the highest and lowest similarity

to the poles of vegetative cells, respectively. As shown in Fig. 3F, MglB clusters

stabilized randomly in Phase I spores, indicating that local curvature does not dictate

the localization of MglB. After the stabilization of MglB, the sites harboring MglB clusters

transformed into cell poles ($0°$) in Phase II (Fig. 3F).

MglB clusters could stabilize at the sites where PG synthesis has completed or not

yet initiated. We ruled out the second possibility because the majority of MglB clusters

(76.4%, n = 106) stabilizes at poles in Phase II (Fig. 3A, 3B), where almost no PG

growth was observed later (Fig. 1E). In Phase I of germination, the population of static

MglB clusters increased dramatically in the presence of A22, mecillinam, cefmetazole

and cefsulodin (Fig. 3E), indicating that active PG growth prevents MglB clusters from

settling down. Consistent with our finding that the Rod system becomes the dominant

system for PG growth in Phase II (Fig. 1H), A22 and mecillinam further reduced the

small population of diffusive MglB clusters in early Phase II, while cefmetazole and

cefsulodin did not show significant effects (Fig. 3E). Taken together, it is the progress of

PG growth, rather than the geometry of the spore, that regulates the dynamic of MglB

clusters. As MglB clusters only stabilize at the sites where PG growth is completed, a

region where PG synthesis completes first in Phase I will become a future cell pole.

The MglA-MglB polarity axis regulates the distribution of the Rod system. As the

Rod complex is the major system for PG growth in Phase II, the MglA-MglB polarity axis

might regulate cell elongation through the Rod complexes. However, MglA and MglB

are both cytoplasmic proteins, which are not likely to regulate the periplasmic activities

of the Rod system directly. To visualize the distribution of the Rod complexes, we fused

a DNA sequence encoding mCherry to the endogenous *rodA* gene in the wild-type

background. The resulted strain showed minor delay of elongation during sporulation

and was able to establish rod shape, indicating that the mCherry-labeled RodA retains

its enzymatic activity (*SI Appendix,* Fig. S2, Table S1). RodA-mCherry formed clusters

during both vegetative growth and germination (Fig. 4A). When imaged at 3.33 Hz,

RodA clusters showed typical diffusion in vegetative cells, with $D_{RodA} = (3.07 \pm 1.97) \times$

10^{-2} μm^2/s (n = 160) (*SI Appendix,* Movie. S7). Importantly, mecillinam treatment did not

affect the diffusivity of RodA clusters (($3.35 \pm 2.14) \times 10^{-2}$ μm^2/s, n = 58, *p* = 0.39) (*SI*

Appendix, Movie. S8), consistent with a previous report in *E. coli* that the diffusion of

PBP2 does not correlate with its catalytic activity (29). Despite its negligible effect on

diffusion, mecillinam significantly inhibited the formation of RodA clusters. During 150-s

of imaging at 0.33 Hz, untreated vegetative cells in the exponential phase formed 10.10

$\pm$ 3.41 RodA clusters/cell (n = 50). In the presence of mecillinam, this number

decreased to 3.06 ± 1.06 clusters/cell (n = 71) (Fig. 4A). Based on the above

observations, we reasoned that the formation of RodA clusters, rather than their

diffusion, correlates with PG growth by the Rod complexes.

To investigate whether MglA and MglB regulate the distribution of the Rod

complexes in Phase II of germination, we expressed RodA-mCherry endogenously in

ΔmglA and *ΔmglB* spores. Along the long axis of the emerging cells, we loosely defined

a region within 320 nm from each end of cell as a "pole" (which contains the cell pole

and its adjacent subpolar region) and the rest of the cell as the "nonpolar region". In the

emerging cells from wild-type spores that expressed RodA-mCherry, the ratio between

nonpolar and polar-localized RodA clusters was 1.45 (n = 387, Fig. 4B). In contrast, in

the ΔmglA and ΔmglB backgrounds, this ratio decreased to 0.52 (n = 442) and 0.53 (n =

787), respectively (Fig. 4B). Our data support the hypothesis that during the sphere-to-

rod transition, the established MglA-MglB axis expels the Rod system from cell poles.

The MglA-MglB polarity axis regulates the distribution of Rod complexes through

MreB and the gliding motor. The diffusion of RodA and MglB clusters is not likely

connected. First, D_{RodA} is two orders of magnitude higher than D_{MglB}. Second, MglB only

diffuses in Phase I of germination while RodA diffuses during both germination and

vegetative growth. Thus, the MglA-MglB polarity axis does not likely regulate the

distribution of Rod complexes directly. Since MglA and the Rod complex both bind to

MreB, we hypothesized that the MglA-MglB polarity axis could regulate the distribution

of Rod complexes through MreB. Although a photoactivatable mCherry (PAmCherry)-

labeled MreB variant fully supports wild-type growth rate in vegetative cells (11), when

expressed as the sole source of MreB, it failed to support rapid cell elongation in Phase

II of germination. Nevertheless, spores expressing MreB-PAmCherry as merodiploids

showed wild-type germination kinetics (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2, Table S1), which were

used to visualize the localization of MreB. When exposed to 405-nm excitation (0.2

kW/cm^2) for 2 s, the majority of PAmCherry was photoactivated (11, 13). MreB-

PAmCherry localized diffusively in Phase I spores, excluding the possibility that

localized MreB filaments predetermine the polarity of spores. MreB started to form small

patches in Phase II (Fig. 4C). Compared to the wild-type spores where MreB patches

mainly localized at nonpolar locations in germination Phase II, many MreB patches

formed near cell poles and bulges of the emerging *ΔmglA* and *ΔmglB* cells (Fig. 4C),

consistent with the altered distribution of RodA clusters. While MreB might localize at

bulges in response to altered local cell curvatures in these mutants (30-35), the

aggregation of MreB at their poles is more likely due to the loss of the MglA-MglB

polarity axis, because the poles of both wild-type and mutant cells have similar

curvatures.

MglA connects MreB to the gliding motors and the gliding motors drive the

movement of MreB filaments (5, 7, 11). To test if MglA recruits the gliding motors to

transport the Rod complexes to nonpolar locations through MreB, we investigated the

regrowth process of the *ΔaglQS* pseudospores that are sonication-sensitive due to the

lack compact polysaccharide layers on their surfaces (36). *ΔaglQS* cells carry truncated

gliding motors that are unable to drive the rapid motion of MreB filaments (11).

Phenocopying the *ΔmglA* and *ΔmglB* spores, elongation of *ΔaglQS* pseudospores

delayed significantly (Fig. 2A, 2B). Many emerging *ΔaglQS* cells displayed bulged

surfaces in Phase II and survived at reduced rate, especially after hypoosmotic shock

(Fig. 2A, 2E). Consistently, significantly higher PG growth was observed at cell poles

and bulges in the elongation phase, similar to the observation made in *ΔmglA ΔdacB*

and *ΔmglB ΔdacB* spores (Fig. 2D). Accordingly, significantly higher fractions of RodA

clusters and MreB filaments were observed at poles and bulges in elongating *ΔaglQS*

cells (Fig. 4B, 4C). In summary, MglA and MglB restrict PG growth to nonpolar regions

in germination Phase II utilizing the gliding motors, which transport MreB, and thus the

whole Rod complexes, under the control of MglA.

Discussion

As spheres and rods are among the most common shapes adopted by walled bacteria,

the sphere-to-rod transition during *M. xanthus* spore germination provides a unique

opportunity to study rod-like morphogenesis in bacteria. Due to the absence of PG,

glycerol-induced *M. xanthus* spores are especially valuable for the study of *de novo* PG

synthesis, which drives spontaneous cell elongation in homogenous environments. We

observed that MglB forms wandering clusters in Phase I of germination and that an

emerging cell starts to elongate when the MglB cluster stops moving and stabilizes at

what is to become a future pole. The dynamics of MglB clusters is sensitive to the status

of PG synthesis because active PG growth prevents MglB clusters from settling down.

Since MglB avoids colocalizing with MglA-GTP by converting the latter to MglA-GDP (4,

6), and MglA-GTP binds to MreB (which also carries the Rod complexes) (7), MglB

clusters cannot stabilize at the sites where active PG synthesis by the Rod complex

occurs. We propose that the wandering dynamics of MglB clusters serves as a

mechanism to survey the status of PG growth and the region where PG growth

completes first in Phase I will host a MglB cluster and become a future pole in Phase II

(Fig. 5). Once an MglB cluster stabilizes at one pole, the expulsion between MglB and

MglA-GTP causes MglA-GTP to cluster at the opposite side of the spore. At the poles

that contain MglB clusters, MglB expels MglA-GTP and thus the Rod complexes from

the poles. At the opposite poles, MglA-GTP stimulates the assembly of the gliding

machinery by directly connecting it to MreB (7, 14). Once assembled, the gliding

machineries transport MreB filaments, thus entire Rod complexes, away from the poles

(5, 11) (Fig. 5). Taken together, the diametrically opposing clusters of MglA-GTP and

MglB establish the polarity axis of the emerging cell, which restricts the activity of the

Rod complex to nonpolar locations (Fig. 5). Other regulators that modulate the gliding

motors, such as PlpA and RomR, could also connect to the Rod system through MreB,

and thus respond to the status of PG synthesis. Utilizing the same system that defines

the leading-lagging axis in vegetative cells, spherical spores grow their walls at nonpolar

regions and eventually form rods.

Our findings suggest that multiple factors contribute to the establishment of rod

shapes, among which the MglA-MglB polarity axis plays a prominent role. In the

absence of MglA and MglB, other polarity regulators, including PlpA and RomR that

also regulate the gliding motors, and potentially asymmetrically-localizes cellular

components such as to-be-identified germinant receptors, ribosomes and RNA

polymerase, still drive cell elongation, albeit much slower. Understanding these failsafe

mechanisms will pave the way for fully understanding the mechanism of rod-like

morphogenesis. The mechanism by which the gliding machineries facilitate both gliding

and PG growth remains to be investigated. Nonetheless, our results have added

another layer to the striking versatility of the gliding motors, which transport various

cargos in different compartments of the cells: spore coats on cell surfaces (36), the Rod

complex and some gliding proteins in the membrane and periplasm (12, 14), as well as

MreB and other gliding proteins in the cytoplasm (11, 14, 37).

Materials and Methods

Strain construction. Deletion and insertion mutants were constructed by electroporating *M. xanthus* cells with 4 µg plasmid DNA or 1 µg of chromosomal DNA. Transformed cells were plated on CYE plates supplemented with 100 µg/ml sodium kanamycin sulfate or 10 µg/ml tetracycline hydrochloride. In-frame deletion of *dacB* is described in *SI Appendix, Materials and Methods, Table S2.*

Sporulation, spore purification and germination. Vegetative *M. xanthus* cells were grown in liquid CYE medium (10 mM MOPS pH 7.6, 1% (w/v) Bacto™ casitone (BD Biosciences), 0.5% yeast extract and 4 mM MgSO$_4$) at 32 °C, in 125-ml flasks with rigorous shaking, or on CYE plates that contains 1.5% agar. When liquid cell culture reaches OD$_{600}$ 0.1 – 0.2, glycerol was added to 1 M to induce sporulation. After rigorous shaking overnight at 32 °C, remaining vegetative cells were eliminated by sonication and sonication-resistant spores were purified by centrifugation (1 min, 15,000 g and 4 °C). The pellet was washed three times with water. More details of spore purification and the purification of *ΔaglQS* pseudospores are provided in *SI Appendix, Materials and Methods.*

Microscopy Analysis. Cryo-ET was performed on a Polara G2™ electron microscope. Images were collected at 9,000× magnification and 8-µm defocus, resulting in 0.42 nm/pixel. Data were acquired automatically with the SerialEM software (38). Time-lapse videos of the germination progress of wild-type and *ΔmglA* spores were recorded using an OMAX™ A3590U CCD camera and a Plan Flour™ 40✕/0.75 Ph2 DLL objective on a phase-contrast Nikon Eclipse™ 600 microscope. The length, width and geometric aspect ratios (L/W) of spores/cells were determined from differential interference

contrast (DIC) images using a custom algorithm written in MATLAB (The MathWorks,

Inc., Natick, MA), which is available upon request. DIC images of spores/cells were

captured using a Hamamatsu ImagEM X2™ EM-CCD camera C9100-23B (effective

pixel size 160 nm) on an inverted Nikon Eclipse-Ti™ microscope with a 100✕ 1.49 NA

TIRF objective, which are also used for capturing fluorescence images. MglB and RodA

clusters were localized using an algorithm written in MATLAB (11), which is available

upon request. More detailed information is provided in *SI Appendix, Materials and*

Methods.

References

1.   S. van Teeffelen, L. D. Renner, Recent advances in understanding how rod-like
bacteria stably maintain their cell shapes. *F1000Res* **7**, 241 (2018).
2.   H. Cho *et al.*, Bacterial cell wall biogenesis is mediated by SEDS and PBP
polymerase families functioning semi-autonomously. *Nat Microbiol*
10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.172, 16172 (2016).
3.   T. K. Lee, K. Meng, H. Shi, K. C. Huang, Single-molecule imaging reveals
modulation of cell wall synthesis dynamics in live bacterial cells. *Nature*
communications **7**, 13170 (2016).
4.   S. Leonardy *et al.*, Regulation of dynamic polarity switching in bacteria by a Ras-
like G-protein and its cognate GAP. *Embo J* **29**, 2276-2289 (2010).
5.   B. Nan *et al.*, The polarity of myxobacterial gliding is regulated by direct
interactions between the gliding motors and the Ras homolog MglA. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A **112**, E186-193 (2015).
6.   Y. Zhang, M. Franco, A. Ducret, T. Mignot, A bacterial Ras-like small GTP-
binding protein and its cognate GAP establish a dynamic spatial polarity axis to
control directed motility. *PLoS Biol* **8**, e1000430 (2010).
7.   A. Treuner-Lange *et al.*, The small G-protein MglA connects to the MreB actin
cytoskeleton at bacterial focal adhesions. *J Cell Biol* **210**, 243-256 (2015).
8.   B. Nan, M. J. McBride, J. Chen, D. R. Zusman, G. Oster, Bacteria that glide with
helical tracks. *Curr Biol* **24**, R169-R173 (2014).
9.   E. M. Mauriello *et al.*, Bacterial motility complexes require the actin-like protein,
MreB and the Ras homologue, MglA. *Embo J* **29**, 315-326 (2010).
10. B. Nan, Bacterial Gliding Motility: Rolling Out a Consensus Model. *Curr Biol* **27**,
R154-R156 (2017).
11. G. Fu *et al.*, MotAB-like machinery drives the movement of MreB filaments during
bacterial gliding motility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, 2484-2489 (2018).
12. B. Nan *et al.*, Myxobacteria gliding motility requires cytoskeleton rotation
powered by proton motive force. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, 2498-2503
(2011).
13. B. Nan *et al.*, Flagella stator homologs function as motors for myxobacterial
gliding motility by moving in helical trajectories. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**,
E1508-1513 (2013).
14. L. M. Faure *et al.*, The mechanism of force transmission at bacterial focal
adhesion complexes. *Nature* **539**, 530-535 (2016).
15. D. R. Zusman, A. E. Scott, Z. Yang, J. R. Kirby, Chemosensory pathways,
motility and development in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **5**, 862-872
(2007).
16. M. Dworkin, S. M. Gibson, A System for Studying Microbial Morphogenesis:
Rapid Formation of Microcysts in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Science* **146**, 243-244
(1964).
17. E. I. Tocheva *et al.*, Peptidoglycan transformations during *Bacillus subtilis*
sporulation. *Mol Microbiol* **88**, 673-686 (2013).
18. K. Khanna *et al.*, The molecular architecture of engulfment during *Bacillus subtilis*
sporulation. *Elife* **8** (2019).

19. N. K. Bui *et al.*, The peptidoglycan sacculus of *Myxococcus xanthus* has unusual
structural features and is degraded during glycerol-induced myxospore
development. *J Bacteriol* **191**, 494-505 (2009).
20. A. Vigouroux *et al.*, Class-A penicillin binding proteins do not contribute to cell
shape but repair cell-wall defects. *Elife* **9** (2020).
21. E. Kuru *et al.*, Mechanisms of incorporation for D-amino acid probes that target
peptidoglycan biosynthesis. *ACS Chem Biol* 10.1021/acschembio.9b00664
(2019).
22. Y. P. Hsu *et al.*, Full color palette of fluorescent d-amino acids for in situ labeling
of bacterial cell walls. *Chem Sci* **8**, 6313-6321 (2017).
23. E. Kuru *et al.*, In Situ probing of newly synthesized peptidoglycan in live bacteria
with fluorescent D-amino acids. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **51**, 12519-12523
(2012).
24. M. F. Dion *et al.*, *Bacillus subtilis* cell diameter is determined by the opposing
actions of two distinct cell wall synthetic systems. *Nat Microbiol* 10.1038/s41564-
019-0439-0 (2019).
25. D. Keilberg, K. Wuichet, F. Drescher, L. Sogaard-Andersen, A response
regulator interfaces between the Frz chemosensory system and the MglA/MglB
GTPase/GAP module to regulate polarity in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *PLoS Genet* **8**,
e1002951 (2012).
26. C. B. Pogue, T. Zhou, B. Nan, PlpA, a PilZ-like protein, regulates directed motility
of the bacterium *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Mol Microbiol* **107**, 214-228 (2018).
27. Y. Zhang, M. Guzzo, A. Ducret, Y. Z. Li, T. Mignot, A dynamic response regulator
protein modulates G-protein-dependent polarity in the bacterium *Myxococcus*
xanthus. *PLoS Genet* **8**, e1002872 (2012).
28. T. Zhou, B. Nan, Exopolysaccharides promote *Myxococcus xanthus* social
motility by inhibiting cellular reversals. *Mol Microbiol* **103**, 729-743 (2017).
29. T. K. Lee *et al.*, A dynamically assembled cell wall synthesis machinery buffers
cell growth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 4554-4559 (2014).
30. B. P. Bratton, J. W. Shaevitz, Z. Gitai, R. M. Morgenstein, MreB polymers and
curvature localization are enhanced by RodZ and predict *E. coli*'s cylindrical
uniformity. *Nature communications* **9**, 2797 (2018).
31. A. Colavin, H. Shi, K. C. Huang, RodZ modulates geometric localization of the
bacterial actin MreB to regulate cell shape. *Nature communications* **9**, 1280
(2018).
32. S. Hussain *et al.*, MreB filaments align along greatest principal membrane
curvature to orient cell wall synthesis. *Elife* **7** (2018).
33. T. S. Ursell *et al.*, Rod-like bacterial shape is maintained by feedback between
cell curvature and cytoskeletal localization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**,
E1025-1034 (2014).
34. F. Wong, E. C. Garner, A. Amir, Mechanics and dynamics of translocating MreB
filaments on curved membranes. *Elife* **8** (2019).
35. R. M. Morgenstein *et al.*, RodZ links MreB to cell wall synthesis to mediate MreB
rotation and robust morphogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
10.1073/pnas.1509610112 (2015).

36.   M. Wartel *et al.*, A versatile class of cell surface directional motors gives rise to
487   gliding motility and sporulation in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *PLoS Biol* **11**, e1001728
488   (2013).
489   37.   M. Sun, M. Wartel, E. Cascales, J. W. Shaevitz, T. Mignot, Motor-driven
490   intracellular transport powers bacterial gliding motility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
491   **108**, 7559-7564 (2011).
492   38.   D. N. Mastronarde, Automated electron microscope tomography using robust
493   prediction of specimen movements. *Journal of Structural Biology* **152**, 36-51
494   (2005).
495

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Autumn Ridge and Elias Topo for technical assistance, Drs. Joseph Sorg and

Ritu Shrestha for their help in the initial determination of germination phenotypes, Drs.

Michael Van Nieuwenhze and Yen-Pang Hsu for providing TADA, and Drs. David

Zusman, Michael Manson and Joseph Sorg for critical reading of this manuscript. This

work is supported by the National Institute of Health R01GM129000 (to B.N.) and

R01AI087946 (to J.L.) and by CNRS to T.M.

Figures

Fig. 1. PG polymerization by the Rod system is essential for *de novo*

establishment of rod-shape. A) Morphological changes of untreated (UT) and

inhibitor-treated spores in the germination process. A22 (10 μg/ml), mecillinam (MEC,

100 μg/ml), cefmetazole (CMZ, 5 mg/ml), cefsulodin (CSD, 5 mg/ml) and fosfomycin

(FOF, 8 mg/ml). **B)** Quantitative analysis of the germination progress using the aspect

ratios (L/W) of spores/cells. Boxes indicate the 25th - 75th percentiles, whiskers the 5th -

95th percentiles. In each box, the midline indicates the median and × indicates the mean

(same below, Table S1). Outlier data points are shown as individual dots above and

below the whiskers. **C)** An oval spore initiates elongation along its short axes (Movie

S2). **D)** Phase I spores become more spherical after 1-h treatments by A22 and

mecillinam. Cefmetazole-treated spores initiate elongation earlier than untreated ones.

E) Patterns of PG growth in both phases of germination were visualized by TADA in

ΔdacB spores. The average and standard deviation of TADA intensity were calculated

from 20 spores/cells in the diagrams to the right (same below). **F)** Imaged at different

focal planes, 22.0% of Phase I spores show bright TADA patches (arrows) that position

randomly on spore surfaces. **G)** Among these 22.0% spores, many contain multiple

TADA patches. **H)** Compared to untreated (UT) *ΔdacB* spores, while neither MEC, CMZ

or CSD is able to block PG growth, the combination of all three antibiotics (+3)

abolishes PG growth in Phase I of germination. In contrast, MEC alone is sufficient to

inhibit PG growth in Phase II. Scale bars, 2 μm. *p* values were calculated using the

Student paired *t* test with a two- tailed distribution (same below). NS, nonsignificant

difference.

Fig. 2. MglA and MglB are required for rapid cell elongation. A) Emerging cells from

ΔmglA, ΔmglB, mglA^{Q82L} and *mglB^{OE}* spores and *ΔaglQS* pseudospores show

significant delay in elongation and bulges on cell surfaces in Phase II of germination. **B)**

Quantitative analysis of the germination progress. **C)** A representative image of the

altered morphology of the emerging *ΔmglA* cells after 3-h of germination. Arrows point

to the bulges on cell surfaces. **D)** The disruption of either the MglA-MglB polar axis

(*ΔmglA ΔdacB* and *ΔmglB ΔdacB*) or the gliding motor (*ΔaglQS ΔdacB*) resulted in

significantly stronger PG growth at cell poles and budges (arrows) in Phase II.

536   Quantitative analysis of TADA fluorescence is shown on the right. **E)** The disruption of

537   either the MglA-MglB polar axis (*ΔmglA pilA::tet* and *ΔmglB pilA::tet*) or the gliding motor

538   (*ΔaglQS pilA::tet*) significantly decreases the survival rate of germinating spores (gray

539   bars), especially under osmotic stress (black bars). Scale bars, 2 μm.

Fig. 3. MglB stabilizes at the first future pole. A) While MglB-mCherry forms clusters

in germination Phase I, MglA-YFP starts to forms clusters in Phase II. In the absence of

MglB, MglA-YFP forms significantly fewer clusters. MglA and MglB clusters stabilize at

opposite cell poles. **B)** Quantification of the sequential stabilization of MglB and MglA

clusters. The total number of spores/cells analyzed for each strain is shown on top of

each bar. **C)** The "wandering" dynamics of MglB clusters (red arrow) in Phase I spores

(Movie S4). In Phase II, MglB clusters (yellow arrow) stabilized at cell poles and

oscillated between opposite poles (Movie S6). **D)** As the MglB cluster stabilizes at one

future pole, the emerging cell starts to elongate (Movie S5). **E)** Inhibitors of PG

synthesis, A22, MEC, CMZ and CSD, all inhibit the wandering of MglB in Phase I. In

contrast, only A22 and MEC, the inhibitors of the Rod system, inhibit the wandering of

MglB significantly in Phase II. For each treatment, the total number of MglB clusters

analyzed is shown on top of each bar. UT, untreated. **F)** The stabilization of MglB

clusters does not depend on local curvature. Scales bars, 2 μm.

Fig. 4. The MglA-MglB polarity axis regulates the distribution of Rod complexes through MreB and the gliding motor. A) RodA forms clusters during both vegetative growth and germination. Mecillinam reduces the formation of RodA clusters in vegetative cells. **B)** Nonpolar-to-polar distribution ratios of RodA clusters in the elongating cells of germination Phase II. The total number of RodA clusters analyzed is shown on top of each bar. **C)** The localization patterns of MreB filaments. Consistent with the altered distribution of RodA cluster, MreB patches (arrows) are frequently detected near cell poles and bulges in the emerging *ΔmglA*, *ΔmglB* and *ΔaglQS* cells in Phase II of germination. Scales bars, 2 μm

Fig.5. A schematic model for the *de novo* establishment of rod-shape by the MglA-MglB

polarity axis.