Identification and biochemical characterization of a novel eukaryotic-like Ser/Thr kinase in *E. coli*

Krithika Rajagopalan[#] and Jonathan Dworkin*

Department of Microbiology & Immunology, College of Physicians and Surgeons,

Columbia University, New York, NY 10032 USA

*Mailing address: 701 W. 168th St., Room 1218, New York, NY 10032

T: 212.342.3731, email: jonathan.dworkin@columbia.edu

[#]Present address: Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, San Diego,

San Diego, CA

Keywords: Ser/Thr phosphorylation, YegI

Abstract

In bacteria, signaling phosphorylation is thought to occur primarily on His and Asp residues.

However, phosphoproteomic surveys in phylogenetically diverse bacteria over the past decade

have identified numerous proteins that are phosphorylated on Ser and/or Thr residues.

Consistently, genes encoding Ser/Thr kinases are present in many bacterial genomes such as *E.*

coli, which encodes at least three Ser/Thr kinases. Here we identify a previously uncharacterized

ORF, *yegI*, and demonstrate that it encodes a novel Ser/Thr kinase. YegI lacks several conserved

residues including those important for Mg^{2+} binding seen in other bacterial Ser/Thr kinases,

suggesting that the consensus may be too stringent. We further find that YegI is a two-pass

membrane protein with both N- and C-termini located intracellularly.

Introduction

Reversible protein phosphorylation is an important regulatory mechanism in eukaryotes and prokaryotes (1). In eukaryotes, signaling phosphorylation typically occurs on serine, threonine or tyrosine residues and is mediated by the combined action of kinases and phosphatases. In prokaryotes, signaling phosphorylation has been thought to occur largely on histidine and aspartate residues mediated by histidine kinases of two-component systems (2). However, mass spectrometry based-phosphoproteomic analyses over past decade have identified numerous Ser/Thr/Tyr phosphorylated proteins in many bacteria, including *Escherichia coli* (3-6). Some of these phosphoproteins and their specific phosphosites are conserved in divergent species (4) suggesting that this regulation is physiologically relevant.

Ser/Thr kinases from phylogenetically diverse bacteria have been described (7). Bacterial Ser/Thr kinases can be divided into two classes based on their structure and sequence homology: eukaryotic-like Ser/Thr kinases (eSTKs) and atypical Ser/Thr kinases. eSTKs bear striking resemblance in structure and function to Hanks family Ser/Thr kinases from eukaryotes (7). Unlike eSTKs, atypical Ser/Thr kinases lack any sequence homology to eukaryotic kinases. So far, three genes (*hipA, yeaG, yihE*) encoding Ser/Thr kinases have been reported in *E.coli* of which HipA and YihE are atypical Ser/Thr kinases while YeaG is an eSTK. YeaG plays a role in nitrogen starvation (8), YihE is involved in the Cpx stress response (9) and cell death pathways (10) and HipA regulates bacterial persister formation by phosphorylating a tRNA synthetase (11,12). However, the authentic *in vivo* substrates of these kinases and/or their proximal activating stimuli are largely uncharacterized, complicating efforts to understand their precise physiological role.

Here, we report that the previously uncharacterized *E. coli yegI* gene encodes a Ser/Thr kinase. We describe the biochemical characterization of YegI, which we demonstrate is an integral membrane sSTK. Despite differences in conserved residues observed in other eSTKs, we show that YegI is an active kinase and is sensitive to the kinase inhibitor staurosporine.

54   Additionally, we show that YegI kinase activation follows second order kinetics, consistent with

55   the proposed oligomerization-dependent activation of eSTKs.

Results

YegI is a novel eukaryotic-like Ser/Thr kinase

Our lab previously identified a novel PP2C-like phosphatase in the *E. coli* genome encoded by the *pphC* (*yegK*) gene (13). Often, phosphatases of this class are found proximal to eukaryotic-like Ser/Thr kinases (eSTKs) (7). Inspection of the genome of the *E. coli* B strain REL606 revealed the presence of a 1941bp ORF *yegI*, encoding a 646 amino acid protein predicted to be a Ser/Thr kinase, immediately downstream of *pphC*. Of note, BLAST analysis of *E. coli* with previously identified Ser/Thr kinases including YeaG and HipA does not identify *yegI*. In *E. coli* REL606 and in several pathogenic strains such as *E. coli* O157:H7, *yegI* has a four nucleotide overlap (ATGA) at the 5' end with the 3' end of *pphC,* suggesting that the genes are co-transcribed. Interestingly, in *E. coli* K strains (*e.g.*, MG1655, W3110), the ORF *yegJ,* encoding a protein of unknown function, is found between *yegI* and *pphC* (Supp. Fig. 1) suggesting that it may disrupts co-ordinated expression of *pphC* and *yegI*.

Amino acid sequence analysis and alignment of YegI with bacterial eSTKs (Fig. 1) revealed the presence of Hanks signature motifs (I-XI) suggesting that YegI is an authentic eukaryotic-like Ser/Thr kinase (eSTK) (14). However, YegI contains only seven out of the ten absolutely conserved residues commonly found in eSTKs. For example, in motif III, YegI has an aspartic acid residue instead of the conserved glutamic acid (Fig.1). This conserved glutamic acid residue contacts Lys-39 in Motif II and is required for stable binding of α and β phosphates of ATP (15). YegI also lacks the conserved asparagine (replaced by serine) in motif VI that together with catalytic site residues Asp-141 and Asp-159 is important for stability of Mg^{2+} ion binding (15). Lastly, YegI lacks a conserved arginine (replaced by glycine) in motif XI that is important for stabilizing substrate-protein interactions (Fig.1).

A homology model of the YegI kinase domain (aa1-300) based on *M. tuberculosis* eSTK PknB (PDB 1MRU) as a template using Swiss-model (16) demonstrated that despite the absence of these three highly conserved residues, the predicted structure of the YegI kinase domain shows

all the structural features seen in *M. tuberculosis* eSTK PknB (Supplementary Fig. 2A).

Specifically, YegI adopts the canonical bilobed structure seen in eSTKs. The N-terminal lobe of

the YegI kinase domain contains the ATP binding P-loop and the helix C structure (Supplementary

Fig. 2B) which is responsible for proper orientation of the active site with the substrate. The C-

terminal lobe includes the catalytic loop containing all the residues required for catalysis and an

activation loop that is important for kinase activation by autophosphorylation. Thus, although the

YegI sequence is only ~20% identical to PknB (Fig.1), the similarity of the predicted structure of

YegI to that of PknB (Supplementary Fig. 2A), strongly suggests that YegI is an eSTK.

YegI is an active kinase

We previously demonstrated that a His$_6$-tagged version of YegI is capable of autophosphorylation

(13) despite the absence of three of the ten absolutely conserved residues (Fig. 1). To confirm

that YegI belongs to the eSTK family, we examined the consequences of mutations in the

conserved lysine at position 39 and a conserved aspartic acid site at position 141, which play a

crucial role in ATP binding and catalysis, respectively, in this family (17). We generated YegI

mutant proteins carrying either lysine to aspartic acid (K39D) and aspartic acid to asparagine

(D141N) changes. The mutant proteins were expressed and purified in the same manner as the

wildtype YegI. Kinase activity was demonstrated using an *in vitro* radioactive kinase assay using

radiolabeled γ-[^{32}P]ATP. Mutation of either Lys-39 or Asp-141 resulted in complete loss of

autophosphorylation activity as compared to the wildtype protein indicating that both residues are

essential for kinase activity (Fig. 2A).

We examined how the lack of some of the conserved residues affected YegI function in a

series of standard assays of bacterial Ser/Thr kinases. For example, staurosporine is widely used

as a small molecule inhibitor for Ser/Thr kinases (18) and bacterial eSTKs are typically sensitive

to staurosporine with IC$_{50}$ in the μM range (19). Sensitivity of the YegI kinase to staurosporine

was assessed by incubating YegI with increasing concentrations of staurosporine. YegI shows an

IC_{50} of ~50 µM (Fig. 2B), comparable to the *Enterococcus faecalis* eSTK IreK (IC_{50} of 150 µM

(20)) and the *Staphylococcus epidermis* eSTK Stk (IC_{50} of 320 µM (21)). Bacterial eSTKs require

the presence of bivalent cations for activity (22-25). We therefore investigated the effect of

different bivalent cations on YegI activity by performing radioactive kinase assays in the presence

of either $MgCl_2$/$MnCl_2$/$CaCl_2$/$NiCl_2$. Similar to many eSTKs, YegI catalyzed autophosphorylation

only in the presence of $MgCl_2$ and $MnCl_2$, albeit to different levels. YegI displayed much higher

autophosphorylation in the presence of Mn^{2+} ion than Mg^{2+} ion suggesting that YegI is a Mn^{2+}

dependent kinase (Supplementary Fig. 3). The concentration dependence of YegI kinase activity

on Mg^{2+} or Mn^{2+} was measured and the optimal $MnCl_2$ concentration was determined to be

between 0.1-1 mM whereas optimal $MgCl_2$ concentration was between 1-10 mM (Fig.3A)

indicating that YegI kinase is more active in the presence of $MnCl_2$ than $MgCl_2$.

In eSTKs, the highly conserved DFG motif present in domain VII coordinates Mg^{2+} ion

binding (26,27). However, amino acid sequence alignment reveals that YegI does not contain the

DFG sequence found in bacterial eSTKs but instead the sequence DSD (Fig. 1; Fig. 3B). To

examine if addition of the DFG motif to YegI alters preference to $MgCl_2$, we generated a mutant

protein carrying changes of Ser-160 to a phenylalanine and Asp-161 to a glycine (S160F, D161G).

Additionally, we generated a mutant protein carrying a change of Asp-161 to a glycine (D161G)

which would change the DSD sequence to the DSG consensus motif. Mutants were expressed

and purified in the same manner as wildtype YegI and kinase activity in the presence of

$MgCl_2$/$MnCl_2$ was assessed. Surprisingly, replacement of DSD to DFG or to DSG completely

inactivated the kinase (Fig. 3B) indicating that the DSD motif is indispensable for YegI

autophosphorylation.

Autophosphorylation of YegI

Autophosphorylation is a common model for activation of eukaryotic STKs (27), therefore

characterization of the kinetics of autophosphorylation and the sites of autophosphorylation can

provide insight into how bacterial homologs of these kinases are activated. We performed

standard autophosphorylation assay (Fig. 4A) using a range of YegI concentrations and

measured formation of radiolabeled phosphorylated YegI (Fig. 4B). Our results indicate that YegI

autophosphorylation is not first order and are consistent with YegI undergoing oligomerization. To

map the sites of autophosphorylation, His$_6$-YegI that had been subjected to an *in vitro* kinase

reaction was analyzed by mass spectrometry. Five phosphopeptides that each included at least

a single serine residue were identified, leading to the conclusion that Ser-153, Ser-160, and Ser-

162 in the predicted activation loop and Ser-602 and Ser-644 at the C-terminus of YegI were

phosphorylated (Fig. 5A). To characterize the functional importance of the C-terminal

phosphosites, we generated single and double phosphoablative serine to alanine mutants. The

mutant proteins were expressed and purified in the same manner as the wildtype protein and

assessed for loss of autophosphorylation using a radioactive kinase assay. Since mutation of both

Ser-602 and Ser-644 to alanine resulted in only a modest decrease in overall

autophosphorylation, phosphorylation of these residues is not necessary for normal YegI activity

(Fig.5B).

Since eSTKs undergo autophosphorylation on Ser or Thr residues in the activation loop of

the kinase domain (28-31), we investigated the phosphosites (Ser-153, Ser-160, Ser-162) in the

putative YegI activation loop. All three residues are located between Motif VI and VII in close

proximity to the catalytic loop and activation loop suggesting that phosphorylation of these

residues could be important for kinase activity. We mutated each Ser to an alanine to examine if

phosphorylation of these Ser residues impacted kinase activity. We observed that mutating Ser-

162, but not the other phosphosites (Ser-153 or Ser-160), resulted in a complete loss of

autophosphorylation (Fig. 5B), demonstrating that autophosphorylation of Ser-162 is important

for YegI activation.

YegI is an integral membrane protein

We observed that purification of recombinant His$_6$-YegI required the presence of the anionic

detergent N-lauryl sarcosine suggesting that YegI is a membrane protein. Consistently, the

membrane topology algorithm Phobius (32) predicts that YegI consists of two transmembrane

domains joined by a short periplasmic loop with both the N- and the C-terminus located in the

cytosol (Fig. 6A). We used the PhoALacZα dual reporter system (33-36) to experimentally validate

this predicted topology. In this system, alkaline phosphatase (PhoA, aa 21-471) lacking the

periplasmic localization signal is fused in frame with the α-fragment of *E. coli* β-galactosidase

(LacZα, aa 5-60), creating PhoALacZα. Localization of a protein of interest is then analyzed by

fusing it to PhoALacZα. Since PhoA is active only in the periplasm and LacZ is only active in the

cytoplasm, the PhoALacZα fusion displays high phosphatase activity but no β-galactosidase

activity when targeted to the periplasm or to the membrane. Conversely, the fusion displays high

β-galactosidase activity but no phosphatase activity when it is targeted to the cytoplasm.

PhoAlacZα reporter fusions can be assessed using dual indicator plates with PhoA or LacZ

specific chromogenic substrates that allow identification of periplasmic or cytoplasmic fusions

(35). Specifically, cells expressing PhoAlacZα protein fusions with high levels of phosphatase

activity process the X-phos (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate) substrate yielding blue

colored colonies, while cells expressing PhoAlacZα protein fusions with high levels of β-

galactosidase activity process the Red-gal (6-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactoside) substrate yielding

red colored colonies.

We constructed C-terminal PhoALacZα fusions to YegI at amino acid positions 340, 390,

414, 432, 439 and 646 (Fig. 6A) and analyzed them on dual indicator plates containing X-phos

and Red-gal. DH5α cells carrying empty backbone vector alone served as a negative control

("vector") and were colorless, and DH5α cells expressing PhoALacZα without YegI ("PhoALacZα")

served as a positive control and were red/pink indicative of cytoplasmic location (Fig.6B).

Consistent with the *in silico* prediction, PhoALacZα fusions to YegI at amino acid positions 340,

390, 439 and 646 yielded red/pink colored colonies suggestive of high β-galactosidase activity

and cytoplasmic localization (Fig. 6B; Table 1). In contrast, PhoALacZα fusions of YegI at amino

acid positions 414 and 432 yielded blue colored colonies on indicator plates suggestive of high

alkaline phosphatase activity and periplasmic/TM localization (Fig. 6B). In summary, the

PhoALacZα reporter fusions confirmed the bioinformatic prediction that YegI is an integral inner

membrane protein containing two TM domains connected by a short periplasmic loop, with both

the N- and C-terminus located in the cytosol.

Discussion

Ser/Thr phosphorylation is an important post translational modification that is mediated by Ser/Thr

kinases and cognate Ser/Thr protein phosphatases which have been identified in phylogenetically

diverse organisms. Although Ser/Thr phosphorylation in the Gram-negative bacterium *E. coli* has

been known for >10 years, the enzymes responsible for this reversible modification and their

specific substrates are not well understood. In this study, we have described the characterization

of YegI, a novel Ser/Thr kinase *E. coli.*

In silico analysis revealed that YegI belongs to the class of eSTKs that contain all the 11 key

structural subdomains that are characteristic of eukaryotic protein kinases (17). Homology search

using YegI kinase domain revealed that it was similar to kinase domains of several bacterial

eSTKs (Supplementary Fig. 2A). Unlike other bacterial eSTKs, however YegI includes only seven

of the ten absolutely conserved residues responsible for ATP binding, catalysis, and substrate

recognition (14,17) (Fig. 1). Despite the absence of these conserved residues, YegI encodes an

active protein kinase (Fig. 2A) that undergoes autophosphorylation primarily on serine residues

(Fig. 5A) Similar to most bacterial eSTKs, autophosphorylation of YegI on serine residues in the

activation loop is critical for catalytic activity of YegI (Fig. 5B).

Although the predicted structure of YegI closely resembled the structure of the bacterial eSTK

PknB (Fig. 4.1D), the YegI sequence shows striking deviations in the conserved regions

particularly in the catalytic loop and the Mg^{2+}-binding DFG loop (Fig. 1). Specifically, the YegI

sequence contains the catalytic loop VGDXXQXS as opposed to the canonical catalytic loop

HRDXXPXN found in almost all other bacterial eSTKs. The conserved histidine residue in the

catalytic loop is crucial for binding both the carbonyl group of catalytic aspartate in the catalytic

loop and for making a hydrophobic contact with the phenylalanine in the DFG loop. Additionally,

YegI lacks the highly conserved DFG (aspartate-phenylalanine-glycine) loop in motif VII (Fig.1,

3B) which recognizes the Mg^{2+}-bound ATP (37). Instead, YegI contains a DSD (aspartate-serine-

aspartate) sequence suggesting either that YegI might be an inactive kinase or that the DFG loop

is not essential for every kinase. Our results suggest the latter, since, despite these differences

in highly conserved residues, YegI is an active kinase (Fig. 2A) that requires the bivalent cations

Mg^{2+}/Mn^{2+} for activity with preference for Mn^{2+} (Fig. 3A). We speculated that addition of DFG loop

could help kinase activation. However, replacing the DSD loop in YegI with the canonical DFG

loop failed to increase activity or preference for Mg^{2+} ion and instead completely abolished activity

(Fig. 3B).

This result suggests that the presence of a serine and an aspartic acid residue in the DSD

loop might be critical for activity and could affect YegI autophosphorylation. Consistently, we

observed that the serine residue in the DSD loop is one of the three serine residues that

undergoes autophosphorylation and is required for activity of the kinase (Fig. 5A,B). Substitution

of all three serine residues with alanine residues (Ser153Ala, Ser160Ala, Ser162Ala) decreased

YegI kinase activity to different extents indicating that these residues are important for

autophosphorylation of YegI (Fig.5B). Particularly, mutation of Ser-162 adjacent to Asp-161

completely abolished YegI kinase activity further validating the importance of DSD loop in YegI

autophosphorylation.

Two possible mechanisms of kinase activation have been described: direct activation by

ligand-promoted dimerization or indirect activation. The first evidence of ligand-promoted

dimerization and activation of the kinase came from the structure of *M. tuberculosis* PknB (15,38)

which forms back-to-back dimers of the N-terminal lobe of the catalytic domain that facilitates

autophosphorylation. This mechanism of ligand-induced dimerization and activation was also

observed for two other eSTKs, *M. tuberculosis* PknD (39), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PpkA

(40). In cases where the kinase lacks a ligand binding domain, an indirect mechanism has been

proposed as a model for activation. For example, the structure of the PknB kinase domain alone

with an ATP competitive inhibitor revealed formation of asymmetric front-to-front dimers via

interaction between the αG helix and an ordered activation loop on one domain and αG helix and

a disordered activation loop of the second domain. Substitutions in the αG helix interface resulted

in decreased autophosphorylation suggesting that αG helix in the C-terminal lobe of the kinase is

important for activation. The conformation of the two proteins in the dimer is such that one

monomer acts like an activator while the other monomer acts like a substrate very similar to a

transphosphorylation model (28). This provides an alternate mechanism of activation especially

for soluble kinases that lack a membrane bound domain. Unlike most eSTKs, YegI lacks an extra

cytoplasmic sensor domain and instead is a double pass membrane protein with both the N and

C terminus in the cytoplasm (Fig. 6B). Additionally, our studies show that YegI follows second

order kinetics which is consistent with dimer formation (Fig. 4A,B). Future studies will be aimed

at understanding the mechanism of activation of YegI.

An interesting feature of the genomic organization of *yegI* is that it differs in closely related *E.*

coli strains (Supplementary Fig.1). In most pathogenic strains and lab B strains of *E. coli, yegI*

occurs immediately downstream of *pphC*, a PP2C-like phosphatase with a four nucleotide overlap

while in K strains this locus is disrupted by a gene, *yegJ,* encoding an ORF without similarity

previously identified proteins*,* suggesting potential regulatory differences between strains. In

REL606 strains, *yegI* expression was detected only in stationary phase but at very low levels

(data not shown). However, since the identity of the physiological stimulus that initiates *yegI*

expression and activation remains unknown, it is difficult to interpret the functional implications of

the altered gene locus.

An additional open question is the identity of YegI substrate(s). There are many candidates

as ~100 cellular proteins were found to be phosphorylated on Ser/Thr residues in *E. coli* (4). A

useful strategy to identify authentic in vivo substrates is comparative phosphoproteomics where

the phosphoproteomes of a strain lacking either the eSTK or partner eSTP are compared with the

WT strain. This methodology has been utilized previously for identifying phospho substrates of *B.*

subtilis PrkC using deletion strains of eSTK PrkC and eSTP PrpC (41). This methodology has

also been successfully utilized in *M. pneumoniae*, where 4 out of the 63 phosphorylated proteins

were specifically enriched in a deletion strain of novel eSTK PrkC (42). Therefore,

phosphoproteomic analysis might serve as a useful tool in identifying phosphorylated substrates

of YegI by examining Δ*yegI* strains.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Amino acid sequence alignment of YegI with bacterial eSTKs. YegI (*Escherichia*

coli) was aligned to bacterial eSTKs PrkC (*Bacillus subtilis),* PknB *(Mycobacterium tuberculosis)*

and PpkA (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) using T-coffee (43). Alignment was visualized using

Jalview. Absolutely conserved residues are shaded in dark blue whereas residues conserved in

three out of the four kinases are shaded in light blue. The ten absolutely conserved residues found

in bacterial eSTKs are depicted with arrows. Hanks conserved domains are depicted on top of

the sequence as roman numerals (I-XI). YegI (aa 1- 303), PrkC (aa 1-269), PknB (aa 1-275) and

PpkA (aa 1-265) which includes the kinase domain was used for alignment. Structurally and

functionally important motifs are indicated below the sequence.

Figure 2. YegI is an active kinase

(A) Kinase activity of YegI: Autophosphorylation reactions were carried out at 37 ºC with 0.2 μM

of YegI (WT or K39D or D141N) in kinase buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 10

mM MgCl$_2$, 10 mM MnCl$_2$, 200 μM cold ATP and 5 μCi γ-[^{32}P]ATP. Reactions were stopped at

t=30 mins and run on 12 % SDS-PAGE followed by autoradiography. Molecular weights (kDa)

are indicated on the right of the gel.

(B) **Sensitivity to staurosporine:** Autophosphorylation reactions were carried out at 37 $^\circ$C with

1 µM of YegI (WT) in kinase buffer without cold ATP. Different concentrations of staurosporine

(µM) was added to the reaction at indicated concentrations. Reactions were stopped at t=30 mins

and run on 12% SDS-PAGE followed by autoradiography. Molecular weights are indicated on the

right of the gel.

Figure 3. YegI is a Mn^{2+} dependent kinase

(A) Requirement of Mg^{2+}/Mn^{2+} for kinase activity: Autophosphorylation reactions were carried

out at 37 $^\circ$C with 1 µM of YegI (WT) in kinase buffer with indicated concentrations of MgCl$_2$/ MnCl$_2$.

Reactions were stopped at t=30 mins and run on 12% SDS-PAGE followed by autoradiography.

Molecular weights are indicated on the right of the gel.

(B) Requirement of DFG motif: Autophosphorylation reactions were carried out at 37 $^\circ$C with

0.2 µM of YegI (WT or S160FD161G or D161G) in kinase buffer with either 10 mM MgCl$_2$ or MnCl$_2$

as described in Supp. Figure 3

Figure 4

Kinetics of YegI autophosphorylation

(A) **Autophosphorylation of YegI**: Autophosphorylation reactions were carried out at 37 $^\circ$C with

indicated concentrations of YegI (WT) in kinase buffer. Reactions were stopped after 30 min and

run on 12% SDS-PAGE followed by autoradiography. Molecular weights are indicated on the right

of the gel.

(B) **Autophosphorylation of YegI follows second order kinetics**: Kinetics of YegI

autophosphorylation was assessed using different concentrations of YegI. % P32 incorporation

was calculated relative to autophosphorylation in the presence of 2 µM of YegI from Fig 4(A).

Figure 5

YegI undergoes autophosphorylation on serine residues in the kinase domain and the C-terminus

(A) **Graphical representation of YegI phosphorylation sites:** Domain structure depicting phosphorylation sites following mass spectrometry analysis of autophosphorylation. Phosphorylated residues are indicated by red circles.

(B) **Sites of autophosphorylation on YegI**: Reactions were carried out at 37 °C with 1 µM of YegI (WT or phosphoablative mutants) in kinase buffer. Reactions were stopped at t=30 mins and run on 12 % SDS-PAGE followed by autoradiography. Molecular weights (kda) are indicated on the right of the gel.

Figure 6

YegI is an integral membrane protein

A) **Predicted topology of YegI using Phobius.** Amino acid positions at which phoA-lacZα reporters are fused are indicated along with associated phenotypes. Phenotypes of phoAlacZα fusions due to LacZ activity are denoted as red ellipses while PhoA activity are denoted as blue ellipses. Predicted transmembrane domains (I and II) are depicted as grey rectangles.

(B) **Membrane topology analysis:** DH5α transformed with different phoAlacZα reporters were streaked on an LB plate containing 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate disodium salt (X-Phos, 80µg/ml), 6-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactoside (Red-Gal, 100 µg/ml, 0.1 % w/v arabinose and 100 µg/ml of ampicillin. Control pBAD24: control strain expressing pBAD24 empty vector, control phoAlacZα: strain expressing phoAlacZα in pBAD24 without YegI. Amino acid positions at which phoAlacZα reporters are fused are indicated.

Table 1 Localization of different phoAlacZα reporter fusions.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

E. coli DH5α cells were used for regular cloning and *E. coli* LOBSTR (BL21-DE3) strains were

used for expression of recombinant proteins. *E. coli* cells for expression were grown in LB Lennox

broth supplemented with ampicillin (100 µg/ml) at 37 ºC with shaking (220 rpm) unless otherwise

specified. Genomic DNA from *E. coli* REL606 was isolated using a Wizard genomic DNA

purification kit (Promega) following manufacturer's instructions. Details of strains, plasmids and

primers used in the study are described in Supplementary Tables S1, S2, and S3, respectively.

Cloning and expression of YegI and YegI-NTD

The *yegI* gene was PCR amplified using Phusion polymerase (Thermo Scientific) from *E. coli*

REL606 genomic DNA using primers (KR58/ KR59). Sequence for an N-terminal His$_6$ tag was

included in the primer. The PCR product was digested with *NcoI/SphI* and ligated into similarly

digested pBAD24 backbone. Ligation products were transformed in DH5α cells and selected on

LB/ampicillin plates. The resulting plasmid pKR31 generated an N-terminal His$_6$-tagged YegI

fusion protein. Plasmid cloning was subsequently verified by restriction enzyme digest and DNA

sequencing (Operon).

For protein expression, plasmid was transformed into *E. coli* LOBSTR (Kerafast) cells and

plated on LB ampicillin (100 µg/ml) agar plates. Single colonies were inoculated into 3 ml LB

supplemented with ampicillin (100 µg/ml) for overnight cultures. The next day, a dilution of 1:250

in 500 ml LB was grown to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.6-0.8. YegI recombinant protein was induced by addition

of arabinose (0.2% w/v) for 3 h at 18 ºC. Cells were harvested at 6000 x g for 15 min at 4 ºC.

Pellets were washed with ice-cold 50 mM EDTA and centrifuged at 7000 rpm for 15 min at 4 ºC.

Washed pellets were saved at -80 ºC until use.

Oligonucleotide site directed mutagenesis for YegI point mutants

Point mutation of lysine residue K39 was generated by two step overlap PCR mutagenesis using

primer pairs (KR58/KR246) and (KR245/59) with Phusion polymerase. A second PCR was

performed with primers (KR58/KR59) using primary PCR products as a template and the

subsequent PCR products were digested with *Nco1/Sph1* and ligated into similarly digested

pBAD24 to generate an N-terminal His$_6$ tagged K39D YegI fusion protein. Plasmid cloning was

subsequently verified by restriction enzyme digest and DNA sequencing (Operon).

Point mutation of aspartic acid residue D141 was generated by two step overlap PCR

mutagenesis using primer pairs (KR58/ KR248) and (KR247/KR59) with Phusion polymerase. A

second PCR was performed with primers (KR58/KR59) using primary PCR products as a

template and the subsequent PCR products were digested with *Nco1/Sph1* and ligated into

similarly digested pBAD24 to generate an N-terminal His$_6$ tagged D141N YegI fusion protein.

Plasmid cloning was subsequently verified by restriction enzyme digest and DNA sequencing

(Operon).

Phosphoablative point mutants used in the study were generated using the same strategy

as above and details of plasmid construction are described in Supplementary table S2.

Purification of recombinant YegI

Frozen pellets were suspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 10 mM β-

mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSF and 2% w/v sarkosyl) and incubated at room temperature for

overnight lysis. Cells were subsequently lysed using sonication. Lysates were cleared by

centrifugation at 15000 x g for 30 min at 4 ºC and incubated in Pierce 5 ml columns with Ni-NTA

agarose beads (Qiagen) at 4 ºC for 1 h. Lysate was allowed to flow through and beads were

washed 10 column volumes of wash buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole,

10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.05% w/v sarkosyl). His tagged protein was eluted using 300 mM

imidazole in 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol and 0.05% w/v

sarkosyl. Elution fractions were tested on 12% SDS-PAGE gel and fractions containing protein

were pooled and dialyzed overnight at 4 ºC using Snakeskin dialysis tubing 10K MWCO (Thermo

Scientific) in kinase storage buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 125 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1 mM DTT).

Dialyzed protein was assessed for purity using 12% SDS-PAGE gel and stored at -80 ºC. YegI

variant proteins were purified using the same protocol. Proteins were stored at -80 ºC.

Autophosphorylation assays

Autophosphorylation assay using YegI point mutants

Autophosphorylation of YegI and point mutants was performed by addition of 5 µCi of [γ-^{32}P] ATP

(Perkin Elmer) to 0.2 µM of purified YegI or YegI point mutants in 10 µl of reaction buffer containing

50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 200 µM ATP, 10mM $MgCl_2$ and 10mM $MnCl_2$.

Reactions were incubated at 37 ºC for 30 mins and were stopped using 3X Laemmli buffer and

boiled for 5 min at 95 ºC. Samples were resolved on a 12% SDS-PAGE gel and visualized by

staining with Coomassie dye. Radioactive gels were dried for 30 mins at 80 ºC in a gel dryer,

exposed to a phosphoscreen and visualized by autoradiography using a Typhoon Scanner (GE

Healthcare).

Inhibition of autophosphorylation by staurosporine

Staurosporine sensitivity experiments were performed using 1 µM of YegI in 10 µl of reaction

buffer containing 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 10 mM $MgCl_2$ and 10 mM $MnCl_2$.

Staurosporine (Calbiochem) was dissolved in DMSO to a obtain a stock concentration of 10 mM.

Staurosporine stock (10 mM) was diluted in DMSO and added to the above kinase reaction to

obtain final concentrations in the range of 12.5-100 µM. DMSO was added to the no inhibitor

control. Reactions were incubated at 25 ºC for 10mins followed by addition of 5 µCi of [γ-^{32}P] ATP

(Perkin Elmer). Reactions were incubated for additional 30 mins at 37 °C and were stopped using

3X Laemmli buffer. Samples were boiled for 5 min at 95 °C and resolved on a 12% SDS-PAGE

gel. Gel was visualized by staining with Coomassie dye. Radioactive dried gel was exposed and

visualized by autoradiography using a Typhoon Scanner (GE Healthcare).

Autophosphorylation assay using different bivalent cations

Autophosphorylation of YegI was performed by addition of 5 µCi of $[\gamma-^{32}P]$ ATP (Perkin Elmer) to

1 µM of purified YegI in 10 µl of reaction buffer containing 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 0.5

mM DTT, 200 µM ATP. $MgCl_2$ or $MnCl_2$ was added to a final concentration of 0.01, 0.1,1 and 10

mM. Reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 30 mins and were stopped using 3X Laemmli buffer

and boiled for 5 min at 95 °C. Samples were resolved on a 12% SDS-PAGE gel and visualized

by staining with Coomassie dye. Radioactive gels were dried for 30 mins at 80 °C in a gel dryer,

exposed to a phosphoscreen and visualized by autoradiography using a Typhoon Scanner (GE

Healthcare). To examine autophosphorylation of YegI mutants (S160FD161G and D161G),

reactions were carried out in reaction buffer containing either 10 mM $MgCl_2$ or 10 mM $MnCl_2$.

Autophosphorylation assay to examine kinetics of YegI

Autophosphorylation of YegI was performed by addition of 5 µCi of $[\gamma-^{32}P]$ ATP (Perkin Elmer) to

different concentrations of purified YegI (0.0625,0.125,0.25,0.5,1,2 µM) in 10 µl of reaction buffer

containing 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 200 µM ATP, 10mM $MgCl_2$ and 10mM

$MnCl_2$. Reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 30 mins and were stopped using 3X Laemmli buffer

and boiled for 5 min at 95 °C. Samples were resolved on a 12% SDS-PAGE gel and visualized

by staining with Coomassie dye. Radioactive gels were dried for 30 mins at 80 °C in a gel dryer,

exposed to a phosphoscreen and visualized by autoradiography using a Typhoon Scanner (GE

Healthcare).

Membrane topology analysis

Construction of YegI PhoA-lacZα fusion and derivatives

To study the membrane topology of YegI, plasmid pKR72 was constructed that encodes a dual *pho-lac* reporter in-frame to full length YegI. The YegI-PhoA-lacZα was constructed by a PCR-mediated overlap extension (44). First, the *yegI* gene fragment encoding aa 2-646 was PCR amplified using Phusion polymerase (Thermo Scientific) from *E. coli* REL606 genomic DNA using primers (KR223/KR224). Alkaline phosphatase PhoA fragment (aa 21-471) and the alpha fragment of beta galactosidase LacZ (aa 5-60) was PCR amplified from *E. coli* MG1655 genomic DNA using primers (KR225/KR226) for *phoA* and (KR227/KR228) for *lacZα* respectively. Eighteen nucleotides encoding a GSGSGS linker along with eighteen nucleotides from the *phoA* DNA was introduced in the reverse primer KR224 to generate an overlapping region between *yegI* and *phoA*. Similarly, eighteen nucleotides from the *lacZ* DNA was introduced in the reverse primer KR226 to generate an overlapping region between *phoA* and *lacZ*. The *yegI* and *phoA* PCR products were purified and used as templates for a second PCR to create a fused *yegI-linker-pho* DNA that was amplified using primers (KR229/KR226). Sequence for N-terminal His$_6$ tag was included in the primer KR229. Purified PCR products *yegI-linker-phoA* DNA and *lacZ* DNA were used as templates for third and final round of PCR to create a fused *yegI-linker-pho-lac* fusion that was amplified using primers (KR229/KR228). The PCR product was digested with *Nhe1/Kpn1* and ligated into similarly digested pBAD24 plasmid backbone. Ligation products were transformed into DH5α cells and selected on LB/ampicillin plates. The resulting plasmid pKR72 generated an N-terminal His$_6$-tagged YegI-PhoA-lacZα fusion protein. Plasmid cloning was subsequently verified by restriction enzyme digest and DNA sequencing (Operon).

Plasmid derivatives of pKR72 with pho-lac fusions were constructed in frame with different codons of YegI using the Q5 Site-Directed mutagenesis kit (NEB). Primers used to generate pho-lac fusions in frame to different codons E439 (KR230/KR231), T432 (KR230/KR232), R414 (KR230/KR233), E390 (KR230/KR234), E340 (KR230/KR235) were designed using NEBaseChanger. Primers were designed such that the 5' ends were annealing back-to-back

following manufacturer's instructions. PCR amplification was performed using Q5 hotstart high

fidelity master mix (NEB) with respective primers and 2 ng of Plasmid pKR72 as template DNA.

The PCR product was subsequently treated with Kinase/Ligase/Dpn1 mix for 5 mins and

transformed in NEB5alpha competent *E. coli* cells (NEB C2987). This method generated plasmids

with in-frame *pho-lac* fusions to different codons of YegI at positions E439 (pKR73), T432

(pKR74), R414 (pKR75), E390 (pKR76), E340 (pKR77). pKR78, a derivative of pKR72 lacking

yegI was used as a control plasmid and was constructed using the same method using primers

KR230/KR240. Plasmid cloning was subsequently verified by colony PCR and DNA sequencing

(Operon).

Membrane topology assay

To assess membrane topology *in vivo*, *E. coli* DH5α cells were transformed with the resulting pho-

lac fusion plasmids and streaked on dual indicator plates containing LB agar with 5-bromo-4-

chloro-3-indolyl phosphate disodium salt (X-Phos) (Sigma) at a final concentration of 80µg/ml and

6-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactoside (Red-Gal) (Sigma) at a final concentration of 100 µg/ml as

indicators. L-arabinose at a final concentration of 0.1% was added as an inducer for reporter

expression. 100µg/ml of ampicillin was added for plasmid selection. Plates were incubated at 25°

C for 24 h and scanned using EPSON image scanner.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank present and former members of our lab for helpful discussions. We would

like to thank Elizabeth Nagle for performing initial experiments characterizing YegI. This work was

supported by an HHMI International Student Fellowship to KR and by NIH grant GM114213 to

JD.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author contributions

KR performed all of the experiments and KR and JD wrote the manuscript.

References
502
1.   Hunter, T. (1995) Protein kinases and phosphatases: the yin and yang of protein
phosphorylation and signaling. Cell 80, 225-236
2.   Stock, J. B., Ninfa, A. J., and Stock, A. M. (1989) Protein phosphorylation and regulation
of adaptive responses in bacteria. Microbiol Rev 53, 450-490
3.   Lim, S., Marcellin, E., Jacob, S., and Nielsen, L. K. (2015) Global dynamics of Escherichia
coli phosphoproteome in central carbon metabolism under changing culture conditions. J
Proteomics 126, 24-33
4.   Macek, B., Gnad, F., Soufi, B., Kumar, C., Olsen, J. V., Mijakovic, I., and Mann, M. (2008)
Phosphoproteome analysis of E. coli reveals evolutionary conservation of bacterial
Ser/Thr/Tyr phosphorylation. Molecular & cellular proteomics : MCP 7, 299-307
5.   Qu, Y., Wu, S., Zhao, R., Zink, E., Orton, D. J., Moore, R. J., Meng, D., Clauss, T. R.,
Aldrich, J. T., Lipton, M. S., and Pasa-Tolic, L. (2013) Automated immobilized metal affinity
chromatography system for enrichment of Escherichia coli phosphoproteome.
Electrophoresis 34, 1619-1626
6.   Soares, N. C., Spat, P., Krug, K., and Macek, B. (2013) Global dynamics of the Escherichia
coli proteome and phosphoproteome during growth in minimal medium. Journal of
proteome research 12, 2611-2621
7.   Pereira, S. F., Goss, L., and Dworkin, J. (2011) Eukaryote-like serine/threonine kinases
and phosphatases in bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 75, 192-212
8.   Figueira, R., Brown, D. R., Ferreira, D., Eldridge, M. J., Burchell, L., Pan, Z., Helaine, S.,
and Wigneshweraraj, S. (2015) Adaptation to sustained nitrogen starvation by Escherichia
coli requires the eukaryote-like serine/threonine kinase YeaG. Sci Rep 5, 17524
9.   Zheng, J., He, C., Singh, V. K., Martin, N. L., and Jia, Z. (2007) Crystal structure of a novel
prokaryotic Ser/Thr kinase and its implication in the Cpx stress response pathway.
Molecular microbiology 63, 1360-1371
10.   Dorsey-Oresto, A., Lu, T., Mosel, M., Wang, X., Salz, T., Drlica, K., and Zhao, X. (2013)
YihE kinase is a central regulator of programmed cell death in bacteria. Cell Rep 3, 528-
537
11.   Kaspy, I., Rotem, E., Weiss, N., Ronin, I., Balaban, N. Q., and Glaser, G. (2013) HipA-
mediated antibiotic persistence via phosphorylation of the glutamyl-tRNA-synthetase. Nat
Commun 4, 3001
12.   Germain, E., Castro-Roa, D., Zenkin, N., and Gerdes, K. (2013) Molecular mechanism of
bacterial persistence by HipA. Mol Cell 52, 248-254
13.   Rajagopalan, K., and Dworkin, J. (2018) Identification and biochemical characterization of
a novel PP2C-like Ser/Thr phosphatase in E. coli. Journal of bacteriology 200, e00225-
00218

14. Hanks, S. K., and Hunter, T. (1995) Protein kinases 6. The eukaryotic protein kinase
superfamily: kinase (catalytic) domain structure and classification. FASEB J 9, 576-596
15. Young, T. A., Delagoutte, B., Endrizzi, J. A., Falick, A. M., and Alber, T. (2003) Structure
of Mycobacterium tuberculosis PknB supports a universal activation mechanism for
Ser/Thr protein kinases. Nat Struct Biol 10, 168-174
16. Waterhouse, A., Bertoni, M., Bienert, S., Studer, G., Tauriello, G., Gumienny, R., Heer, F.
T., de Beer, T. A. P., Rempfer, C., Bordoli, L., Lepore, R., and Schwede, T. (2018) SWISS-
MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. Nucleic Acids Res 46,
W296-W303
17. Hanks, S. K., Quinn, A. M., and Hunter, T. (1988) The protein kinase family: conserved
features and deduced phylogeny of the catalytic domains. Science 241, 42-52
18. Ruegg, U. T., and Burgess, G. M. (1989) Staurosporine, K-252 and UCN-01: potent but
nonspecific inhibitors of protein kinases. Trends Pharmacol Sci 10, 218-220
19. Meggio, F., Donella Deana, A., Ruzzene, M., Brunati, A. M., Cesaro, L., Guerra, B., Meyer,
T., Mett, H., Fabbro, D., Furet, P., and et al. (1995) Different susceptibility of protein
kinases to staurosporine inhibition. Kinetic studies and molecular bases for the resistance
of protein kinase CK2. Eur J Biochem 234, 317-322
20. Hall, C. L., Tschannen, M., Worthey, E. A., and Kristich, C. J. (2013) IreB, a Ser/Thr kinase
substrate, influences antimicrobial resistance in Enterococcus faecalis. Antimicrob Agents
Chemother 57, 6179-6186
21. Liu, Q., Fan, J., Niu, C., Wang, D., Wang, J., Wang, X., Villaruz, A. E., Li, M., Otto, M.,
and Gao, Q. (2011) The eukaryotic-type serine/threonine protein kinase Stk is required for
biofilm formation and virulence in Staphylococcus epidermidis. PLoS One 6, e25380
22. Arora, G., Sajid, A., Arulanandh, M. D., Misra, R., Singhal, A., Kumar, S., Singh, L. K.,
Mattoo, A. R., Raj, R., Maiti, S., Basu-Modak, S., and Singh, Y. (2013) Zinc regulates the
activity of kinase-phosphatase pair (BasPrkC/BasPrpC) in Bacillus anthracis. Biometals :
an international journal on the role of metal ions in biology, biochemistry, and medicine
26, 715-730
23. Boitel, B., Ortiz-Lombardia, M., Duran, R., Pompeo, F., Cole, S. T., Cervenansky, C., and
Alzari, P. M. (2003) PknB kinase activity is regulated by phosphorylation in two Thr
residues and dephosphorylation by PstP, the cognate phospho-Ser/Thr phosphatase, in
Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Molecular microbiology 49, 1493-1508
24. Rajagopal, L., Clancy, A., and Rubens, C. E. (2003) A eukaryotic type serine/threonine
kinase and phosphatase in Streptococcus agalactiae reversibly phosphorylate an
inorganic pyrophosphatase and affect growth, cell segregation, and virulence. The Journal
of biological chemistry 278, 14429-14441
25. Srinivasan, V. B., Vaidyanathan, V., Mondal, A., Venkataramaiah, M., and Rajamohan, G.
(2012) Functional characterization of a novel Mn2+ dependent protein serine/threonine
kinase KpnK, produced by Klebsiella pneumoniae strain MGH78578. FEBS Lett 586,
3778-3786
26. Knighton, D. R., Zheng, J. H., Ten Eyck, L. F., Ashford, V. A., Xuong, N. H., Taylor, S. S.,
and Sowadski, J. M. (1991) Crystal structure of the catalytic subunit of cyclic adenosine
monophosphate-dependent protein kinase. Science 253, 407-414
27. Nolen, B., Taylor, S., and Ghosh, G. (2004) Regulation of protein kinases; controlling
activity through activation segment conformation. Mol Cell 15, 661-675
28. Mieczkowski, C., Iavarone, A. T., and Alber, T. (2008) Auto-activation mechanism of the
Mycobacterium tuberculosis PknB receptor Ser/Thr kinase. EMBO J 27, 3186-3197
29. Shakir, S. M., Bryant, K. M., Larabee, J. L., Hamm, E. E., Lovchik, J., Lyons, C. R., and
Ballard, J. D. (2010) Regulatory interactions of a virulence-associated serine/threonine
phosphatase-kinase pair in Bacillus anthracis. Journal of bacteriology 192, 400-409

30. Zheng, W., Cai, X., Li, S., and Li, Z. (2018) Autophosphorylation Mechanism of the Ser/Thr
Kinase Stk1 From Staphylococcus aureus. Front Microbiol 9, 758
31. Ravala, S. K., Singh, S., Yadav, G. S., Kumar, S., Karthikeyan, S., and Chakraborti, P. K.
(2015) Evidence that phosphorylation of threonine in the GT motif triggers activation of
PknA, a eukaryotic-type serine/threonine kinase from Mycobacterium tuberculosis. The
FEBS journal 282, 1419-1431
32. Kall, L., Krogh, A., and Sonnhammer, E. L. (2007) Advantages of combined
transmembrane topology and signal peptide prediction--the Phobius web server. Nucleic
Acids Res 35, W429-432
33. Alexeyev, M. F., and Winkler, H. H. (1999) Membrane topology of the Rickettsia
prowazekii ATP/ADP translocase revealed by novel dual pho-lac reporters. J Mol Biol 285,
1503-1513
34. Islam, S. T., Taylor, V. L., Qi, M., and Lam, J. S. (2010) Membrane topology mapping of
the O-antigen flippase (Wzx), polymerase (Wzy), and ligase (WaaL) from Pseudomonas
aeruginosa PAO1 reveals novel domain architectures. MBio 1
35. Karimova, G., and Ladant, D. (2017) Defining Membrane Protein Topology Using pho-lac
Reporter Fusions. Methods Mol Biol 1615, 129-142
36. Karimova, G., Robichon, C., and Ladant, D. (2009) Characterization of YmgF, a 72-
residue inner membrane protein that associates with the Escherichia coli cell division
machinery. Journal of bacteriology 191, 333-346
37. Fabbro, D., Cowan-Jacob, S. W., and Moebitz, H. (2015) Ten things you should know
about protein kinases: IUPHAR Review 14. Br J Pharmacol 172, 2675-2700
38. Ortiz-Lombardia, M., Pompeo, F., Boitel, B., and Alzari, P. M. (2003) Crystal structure of
the catalytic domain of the PknB serine/threonine kinase from Mycobacterium
tuberculosis. The Journal of biological chemistry 278, 13094-13100
39. Greenstein, A. E., Echols, N., Lombana, T. N., King, D. S., and Alber, T. (2007) Allosteric
activation by dimerization of the PknD receptor Ser/Thr protein kinase from
Mycobacterium tuberculosis. The Journal of biological chemistry 282, 11427-11435
40. Hsu, F., Schwarz, S., and Mougous, J. D. (2009) TagR promotes PpkA-catalysed type VI
secretion activation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Molecular microbiology 72, 1111-1125
41. Ravikumar, V., Shi, L., Krug, K., Derouiche, A., Jers, C., Cousin, C., Kobir, A., Mijakovic,
I., and Macek, B. (2014) Quantitative phosphoproteome analysis of Bacillus subtilis
reveals novel substrates of the kinase PrkC and phosphatase PrpC. Molecular & cellular
proteomics : MCP 13, 1965-1978
42. Schmidl, S. R., Gronau, K., Pietack, N., Hecker, M., Becher, D., and Stulke, J. (2010) The
phosphoproteome of the minimal bacterium Mycoplasma pneumoniae: Analysis of the
complete known Ser/ Thr kinome suggests the existence of novel kinases. Molecular &
cellular proteomics : MCP
43. Notredame, C., Higgins, D. G., and Heringa, J. (2000) T-Coffee: A novel method for fast
and accurate multiple sequence alignment. J Mol Biol 302, 205-217
44. Horton, R. M., Hunt, H. D., Ho, S. N., Pullen, J. K., and Pease, L. R. (1989) Engineering
hybrid genes without the use of restriction enzymes: gene splicing by overlap extension.
Gene 77, 61-68
632
633

Figure 1

Figure 2

A

B

Figure 3

A

B

Figure 4

A

YegI (µM)

2 1 0.5 0.25 0.125 0.0625

100
70

B

Figure 5

Figure 6

A

B

Table 1

YegI Fusion	LacZα	PhoA	Localization
R414	-	+	Periplasm
T432	-	+	TM
E340	+	-	Cytoplasm
E390	+	-	Cytoplasm
E439	+	-	Cytoplasm
C646	+	-	Cytoplasm

Supp fig 1: Genome architecture of *yeg* operon

Supp fig 2: Predicted structure of YegI kinase domain resembles a bacterial eSTK

A   **B**

Escherichia coli **eSTK YegI kinase domain (Predicted structure)**
Mycobacterium tuberculosis **eSTK PknB (PDB:1MRU)**

Supp fig 3: Requirement of bivalent cations

Supplementary figure legends

Figure S1: **Genome organization of *yeg* operon in different *E. coli* strain backgrounds**.

Thick arrows denote orfs. Genes *yegL, pphC, yegI* encode a predicted Von Willebrand factor, a PP2C-like phosphatase and an eukaryotic-like Ser/Thr kinase respectively. The *yegJ* gene encodes a protein of unknown function. *E. coli* strain backgrounds are indicated on the right.

Figure S2: **Predicted structure of YegI kinase domain (aa 1-302)**.

(A) Structural overlay of predicted structure of YegI kinase domain (blue) and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* eSTK PknB (pink) (Protein data bank PDB:1MRU). Mg^{2+} ion is represented as a grey sphere.

(B) SWISS MODEL algorithm (1) was used to predict structural model of YegI kinase domain. Structurally important motifs such as P-loop (pink), Helix C (green), Catalytic loop (red), activation loop (yellow) and P+1 loop (black) are indicated in structure. Corresponding amino acid sequences are depicted in Fig 1.

Figure S3: **Requirement of bivalent cations.**

Autophosphorylation reactions were carried out at 37 ºC with 1 µM of YegI (WT) in kinase buffer with either 10 mM of $MgCl_2$/ $MnCl_2$, $CaCl_2$/ $NiCl_2$. Reactions were stopped at t=30 mins and run on 12% SDS-PAGE followed by autoradiography

Table S1: Bacterial Strains

Strain	Relevant Genotype	Description	Reference
DH5a			Invitrogen
C43DE3			(2)
LOBSTR-(BL21(DE3)			Kerafast (3)
JDE2735	REL606		(4)
JDE3049	pKR31 amp	LOBSTR-BL21 (DE3) expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged WT YegI from *E.coli* REL606	This study
JDE3050	pKR81 amp	LOBSTR-BL21 (DE3) expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged K39D YegI from *E.coli* REL606	This study
JDE3051	pKR83 amp	LOBSTR-BL21 (DE3) expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged D141N YegI from *E.coli* REL606	This study
JDE3052	pKR97 amp	LOBSTR-BL21 (DE3) expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged S160FD161G YegI from *E.coli* REL606	This study
JDE3053	pKR98 amp	LOBSTR-BL21 (DE3) expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged D161G YegI from *E.coli* REL606	This study
JDE3054	pKR110 amp	LOBSTR-BL21 (DE3) expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged S153A YegI from *E.coli* REL606	This study
JDE3055	pKR111 amp	LOBSTR-BL21 (DE3) expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged S160A YegI from *E.coli* REL606	This study
JDE3056	pKR112 amp	LOBSTR-BL21 (DE3) expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged S162A YegI from *E.coli* REL606	This study
JDE3057	pKR62 amp	LOBSTR-BL21 (DE3) expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged S602A YegI from *E.coli* REL606	This study
JDE3058	pKR63 amp	LOBSTR-BL21 (DE3) expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged S644A YegI from *E.coli* REL606	This study
JDE3059	pKR64 amp	LOBSTR-BL21 (DE3) expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged S602AS644A YegI from *E.coli* REL606	This study
JDE3042	pKR73 amp	DH5a expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged YegI (aa 1-439) fused with PhoA lacZα from E.coli REL606	This study
JDE3043	pKR74 amp	DH5a expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged YegI (aa 1-432) fused with	This study

		PhoA lacZα from E.coli REL606	
JDE3044	pKR75 amp	DH5a expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged YegI (aa 1-414) fused with PhoA lacZα from E.coli REL606	This study
JDE3045	pKR77 amp	DH5a expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged YegI (aa 1-340) fused with PhoA lacZα from E.coli REL606	This study
JDE3046	pKR76 amp	DH5a expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged YegI (aa 1-390) fused with PhoA lacZα from E.coli REL606	This study
JDE3047	pKR77 amp	DH5a expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged YegI (aa 1-646) fused with PhoA lacZα from E.coli REL606	This study
JDE3048	pKR78 amp	DH5a expressing N terminal 6X-His tagged PhoA (aa 21-471) in frame with lacZα (aa 5-60) from E.coli REL606	This study
JDE659	pBAD24 amp	DH5a expressing pBAD24	This study

Table S2: Plasmids

Plasmid Name	Description	Reference
pBAD24	Cloning vector, ampR	(5)
pKR31	yegI was amplified from REL606 genomic DNA using KR58/KR59 primers, digested with NcoI/SphI and ligated into pBAD24	This study
pKR81	REL606 K39D yegI was generated by two step PCR using KR58/KR246 and KR245/KR59 primer pairs followed by amplification with KR58/KR59. Resulting product was digested with Ncol/SphI and ligated into pBAD24	This study
pKR83	REL606 D141N yegI was generated by two step PCR using KR58/KR248 and KR247/KR59 primer pairs followed by amplification with KR58/KR59. Resulting product was digested with Ncol/SphI and ligated into pBAD24	This study
pKR97	REL606 S160FD161G yegI was generated by Q5 site directed mutagenesis using KR285/KR286 and pKR31 as a template.	This study
pKR98	REL606 D161G yegI was generated by Q5 site directed mutagenesis using KR285/KR286 and pKR31 as a template.	This study
pKR110	REL606 S153A yegI was generated by two step PCR using KR58/KR158 and KR157/KR59 primer pairs followed by amplification with KR58/KR59. Resulting product was digested with Ncol/SphI and ligated into pBAD24	This study
pKR111	REL606 S160A yegI was generated by two step PCR using KR58/KR306 and KR305/KR59 primer pairs followed by amplification with KR58/KR59. Resulting product was digested with Ncol/SphI and ligated into pBAD24	This study
pKR112	REL606 S162A yegI was generated by two step PCR using KR58/KR308 and KR307/KR59 primer pairs followed by amplification with KR58/KR59. Resulting product was digested with Ncol/SphI and ligated into pBAD24	This study
pKR62	REL606 S602A yegI was generated by two step PCR using KR58/KR153 and KR152/KR59 primer pairs followed by amplification with KR58/KR59. Resulting product was digested with Ncol/SphI and ligated into pBAD24	This study
pKR63	REL606 S644A yegI was generated by two	This study

	step PCR using KR58/KR155 and KR154/KR59 primer pairs followed by amplification with KR58/KR59. Resulting product was digested with NcoI/SphI and ligated into pBAD24	
pKR64	REL606 S602AS644A yegI was generated by two step PCR using pKR62 as template and KR58/KR155 and KR154/59 primer pairs followed by amplification with KR58/KR59. Resulting product was digested with NcoI/SphI and ligated into pBAD24	This study
pKR72	REL606 *yegI-phoA-lacZ* fusion was generated by overlap extension PCR using KR223/KR224 , KR225/KR226, KR227/KR228 primer pairs followed by amplification with KR229/KR228. Resulting product was digested with NheI/KpnI and ligated into pBAD24	This study
pKR73	REL606 YegI E439-phoA lacZ was generated by Q5 site directed mutagenesis using KR230/KR231 and pKR72 as a template.	This study
pKR74	REL606 YegI T432-phoA lacZ was generated by Q5 site directed mutagenesis using KR230/KR232 and pKR72 as a template.	This study
pKR75	REL606 YegI R414-phoA lacZ was generated by Q5 site directed mutagenesis using KR230/KR233 and pKR72 as a template.	This study
pKR76	REL606 YegI E390-phoA lacZ was generated by Q5 site directed mutagenesis using KR230/KR234 and pKR72 as a template.	This study
pKR77	REL606 YegI E340-phoA lacZ was generated by Q5 site directed mutagenesis using KR230/KR235 and pKR72 as a template.	This study
pKR78	Control phoA lacZ was generated by Q5 site directed mutagenesis using KR230/KR240 and pKR72 as a template.	This study

Table S3: Primers

Oligo	Sequence (5'-3')	Features	Origin
KR58	ggaaaaccatggcacatcatcatcatcatcatAAACCCACTTTATATACTGCTAC	NcoI; *6X-His tag*	This study
KR59	cgtccagcatgcTCAGCAGCGGCTTAAATCTG	SphI; STOP	This study
KR152	GGCTGGAA**GCG**GCGTTGAC	S602A	This study
KR153	GTCAACGC**CGC**TTCCAGCC	S602A	This study
KR154	GCAGATTTA**GCC**CGCTGCTGA	S644A	This study
KR155	TCAGCAGCG**GGC**TAAATCTGC	S644A	This study
KR157	GTCGCGAC**GCC**AAAGTGGT	S153A	This study
KR158	ACCACTTT**GGC**GTCGCGAC	S153A	This study
KR223	ccatggcacatcatcatcatcatcatAAACCCACTTT ATATACTGCTACT	NcoI; *6X-His tag*	This study
KR224	catttctggtgtccgggcgctaccgctgccgctaccGCAG CGGCTTAAATCTGCCTG	PhoA; Linker	This study
KR225	GCCCGGACACCAGAAATG	PhoA	This study
KR226	gacggccagtgaatccgtTTTCAGCCCCAGAGC GGC	lacZ;PhoA	This study
KR227	ACGGATTCACTGGCCGTCGTT	lacZ	This study
KR228	cgtccaggtaccttaGCGCCATTCGCCATTCAG GC	Kpn1; STOP	This study
KR229	ggaaaagctagcaggaggaattCCATGGCACATC ATCATCATCAT	Nhe1; 6X-His-tag	This study
KR230	GGTAGCGGCAGCGGTAGC	Q5 SDM_Linker	This study
KR231	TTCTGCTTTATAAGCTTTGCTTGTCAGA CTG	Q5 SDM_E439	This study
KR232	TGTCAGACTGCCAATAATCCAGATAGC	Q5_SDM_E4 32	This study
KR233	ACGCGGTTCTGCCTGAAGG	Q5_SDM_E4 14	This study
KR234	TTCGCGCCGTAACAGGCC	Q5_SDM_E3 90	This study
KR235	TTCGCCGAGATCAATAAAATAGATAAC GCC	Q5_SDM_E3 40	This study
KR240	ATGATGATGATGATGATGTGCCATGGT G	Q5_SDM_ph oAlacZ	This study
KR245	AGCGTCGCC**GAC**ATTTATCAC	K39D	This study

KR246	GTGATAAAT**GTC**GGCGACGCT	K39D	This study
KR247	GTCGTGGGG**AAC**GTAAACCA	D141N	This study
KR248	TGGTTTAC**GTT**CCCCACGAC	D141N	This study
KR285	GTTGATCGAT**TTTGGC**TCCTTTCAGAT TAACGCCAATG	S160FD161G	This study
KR286	TGGTTTAC**GTT**CCCCACGAC	S160FD161G	This study
KR305	GTTGATCGAT**GCT**GACTCCTTT	S160A	This study
KR306	AAAGGAGTC**AGC**ATCGATCAAC	S160A	This study
KR307	CGATAGTGAC**GCC**TTTCAGAT	S162A	This study
KR308	ATCTGAAA**GGC**GTCACTATCG	S162A	This study

Homology sequences to gene are shown in uppercase. Non homology sequences are indicated in lower case including His$_6$-tag (italics), restriction enzyme sites (underlined). Point mutations are highlighted in bold.

References

1.   Waterhouse, A., Bertoni, M., Bienert, S., Studer, G., Tauriello, G., Gumienny, R., Heer, F. T., de Beer, T. A. P., Rempfer, C., Bordoli, L., Lepore, R., and Schwede, T. (2018) SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, W296-W303

2.   Miroux, B., and Walker, J. E. (1996) Over-production of proteins in *Escherichia coli*: mutant hosts that allow synthesis of some membrane proteins and globular proteins at high levels. *J Mol Biol* **260**, 289-298

3.   Andersen, K. R., Leksa, N. C., and Schwartz, T. U. (2013) Optimized *E. coli* expression strain LOBSTR eliminates common contaminants from His-tag purification. *Proteins* **81**, 1857-1861

4.   Barrick, J. E., Yu, D. S., Yoon, S. H., Jeong, H., Oh, T. K., Schneider, D., Lenski, R. E., and Kim, J. F. (2009) Genome evolution and adaptation in a long-term experiment with *Escherichia coli*. *Nature* **461**, 1243-1247

5.   Guzman, L. M., Belin, D., Carson, M. J., and Beckwith, J. (1995) Tight regulation, modulation, and high-level expression by vectors containing the arabinose PBAD promoter. *Journal of bacteriology* **177**, 4121-4130