NHR-8 regulated P-glycoproteins uncouple xenobiotic stress resistance from

longevity in chemosensory *C. elegans* mutants

Gabriel A. Guerrero[1] [†], Maxime J. Derisbourg[1†]*, Laura E. Wester[1], Marco Gordia[1],

J. Eike Dinort[1], María J. Alonso[1], Matías D. Hartman[1], Klara Schilling[1], Felix A.M.C.

Mayr[1], Ryan J. Lu[4], Bérénice A. Benayoun[4] & Martin S. Denzel[1,2,3]*

[1]Max Planck Institute for Biology of Ageing

Joseph-Stelzmann-Str. 9b

D-50931 Cologne, Germany

[2]CECAD - Cluster of Excellence

University of Cologne

Joseph-Stelzmann-Str. 26

D-50931 Cologne, Germany

[3]Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC)

University of Cologne

Robert-Koch-Str. 21

D-50931 Cologne, Germany

[4]Leonard Davis School of Gerontology

University of Southern California

Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

[†]These authors contributed equally

*correspondence: mdenzel@age.mpg.de and mderisbourg@age.mpg.de

Abstract

Longevity is often associated with stress resistance, but whether they are causally linked is incompletely understood. Here we investigate chemosensory defective *Caenorhabditis elegans* mutants that are long-lived and stress resistant. We find that mutants in the intraflagellar transport protein gene *osm-3* were significantly protected from tunicamycin-induced ER stress. While *osm-3* lifespan extension is dependent on the key longevity factor DAF-16/FOXO, tunicamycin resistance was not. *osm-3* mutants are protected from bacterial pathogens, which is *pmk-1* p38 MAP kinase dependent while TM resistance was *pmk-1* independent. Expression of P-glycoprotein (PGP) xenobiotic detoxification genes was elevated in *osm-3* mutants and their knockdown or inhibition with verapamil suppressed tunicamycin resistance. The nuclear hormone receptor *nhr-8* was necessary to regulate PGPs and tunicamycin resistance in a cholesterol-dependent fashion. We thus identify a cell-nonautonomous regulation of xenobiotic detoxification and show that separate pathways are engaged to mediate longevity, pathogen resistance, and xenobiotic detoxification in *osm-3* mutants.

Introduction

Chemosensation is a genetically tractable phenotype in various model organisms. In *C. elegans*, many mutants with defective chemosensation have been identified. Sensory phenotypes are complex in nature and many of the classical chemosensory mutants were originally characterized by their behavioral phenotypes. Odr mutants for example have an abnormal odorant response while Osm (osmotic avoidance abnormal) mutants do not avoid high salt environments (Bargmann, 2006). Mutations in components of neuronal G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) signaling, such as *tax-4* and *odr-1,* cause atypical chemosensory behavior (Bargmann, 2006). These mutants are not only characterized by a failure to adequately respond to their environment, but show additional phenotypes linked to various life traits including pathogen resistance, increased lifespan, and drug detoxification (Gaglia et al., 2012, Apfeld and Kenyon, 1999, Dent et al., 2000). Many chemosensory mutants are long-lived and this phenotype depends on the DAF-16/FOXO transcription factor that is regulated by the insulin signaling pathway (Apfeld and Kenyon, 1999).

Beyond mutations in GPCRs, variants in genes involved in the development of amphid sensory neurons can lead to chemosensory defects. One example are mutations in intraflagellar transport (IFT) proteins that prevent full cilia development of amphid sensory neurons (Inglis et al., 2007). Alterations in the IFT genes *osm-3* and *daf-10* disrupt the development of neuronal dendrites that project toward the tip of the nose, where they are exposed to the outer environment (Inglis et al., 2007). Thus, IFT mutants are usually characterized by defects in the chemical perception of their environment. Further, developmental defects in lumen formation of the amphid head channel in *daf-6* mutants prevent the direct contact of amphid sensory neurons with the outside (Perens and Shaham, 2005), resulting in chemosensory defects.

In wild type (WT) worms, amphid and phasmid sensory neurons can be visualized using the lipophilic dye DiI (1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate), which fluorescently stains them bright red. The dye is passively taken up by sensory neurons when they are fully developed and in contact with the environment. Dye filling defective (Dyf) *C. elegans* are defined by an inability to take up DiI into their sensory neurons (Inglis et al., 2007). The Dyf phenotype arises in *daf-6* animals and also in many IFT mutants. Interestingly, Dyf mutants have unique stress resistance phenotypes that act via different signaling pathways. One such Dyf mutant, *daf-10(m79)*, has a unique resistance to pathogenic bacteria that was proposed to be downstream of sensory input (Gaglia et al., 2012). Further, pairs of amphid head neurons, such as ASH and ASJ that often become disrupted in Dyf mutants help coordinate the innate immune response to bacterial stress after infection (Meisel et al., 2014). Longevity is often associated with activation of physiological stress response pathways and has been shown to be regulated by the insulin signaling pathway in Dyf *C. elegans* (Apfeld and Kenyon, 1999).

Conserved mechanisms of longevity and stress resistance have additionally been linked to hyposmia in higher model organisms. In *Drosophila melanogaster*, loss of the putative chemoreceptor *Or83b* has been shown to significantly increase lifespan (Libert et al., 2007). Further, mice with ablated olfactory sensory neurons, have a unique metabolic signature that protects them from high-fat diets (Riera et al., 2017). While the olfactory machinery is seemingly more complex in higher organisms, *C. elegans* have unique phenotypes associated with the loss or alteration of their sensory neurons. First, unbiased screens for drug resistance have independently identified the Dyf phenotype as a common feature in drug resistant *C. elegans* (Fujii et al., 2004, Menez et al., 2016, Collins et al., 2008). Selection for resistance to the anthelmintic drug ivermectin was shown to enrich for Dyf mutants in several forward

genetic screens (Menez et al., 2016, Page, 2018, Dent et al., 2000). Follow-up work

identified P-glycoproteins (PGPs), a class of ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporters,

as the drivers behind ivermectin resistance in Dyf mutants (Ardelli and Prichard, 2013).

Second, some chemosensory mutations, such as in *daf-10*, lead to resistance to

pathogenic bacteria (Gaglia et al., 2012).

Here, we characterize a long-lived Dyf mutant, *osm-3*, that was previously identified in

a forward genetic screen for resistance to the potent ER stressor tunicamycin (TM).

From this screen, we reported the role of the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway in TM

resistance and longevity (Denzel et al., 2014). Our aim was to uncover the mechanism

by which Dyf mutants are resistant to TM. We found that the TM resistance of *osm-3*

mutants is independent from its longevity and pathogen resistance phenotypes.

Further, we showed that PGPs mediate TM resistance in Dyf animals and we found

that the nuclear hormone receptor *nhr-8* mediates *osm-3* drug resistance. The "Green

Theory" of aging proposes that the accumulation of toxic endo- and xenobiotic debris

contributes to physiological decline with age (Afschar et al., 2016, Gems and McElwee,

2005). Our work highlights drug resistance as a unique characteristic of Dyf mutants

and functionally uncouples it from known stress response pathways that have been

implicated in stress resistance and longevity of chemosensory mutants.

Results

Dye filling defective *C. elegans* mutants are resistant to TM

Tunicamycin (TM) is commonly used to induce endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress by inhibiting the addition of N-glycans to nascent polypeptides (Parodi, 2000, Heifetz et al., 1979). In *C. elegans*, where the ER machinery is conserved, treatment with TM is toxic and induces the ER unfolded protein response. In fact, on plates containing TM at concentrations above 4 µg/mL, newly hatched larvae die at early developmental stages (Shen et al., 2001). Previously, we had carried out an ethyl methane-sulfonate mutagenesis screen to identify long-lived mutants using TM resistance in *C. elegans* as a proxy phenotype for longevity (Denzel et al., 2014). The largest cohort of classifiable mutants presented the Dyf phenotype (Figure 1A).

Among the Dyf mutants from the TM resistance screen, we found new alleles of genes previously linked to ciliary development. The mutant allele we selected for our investigation, *osm-3(dh441)IV* (this allele will be referred to hereafter as *osm-3*), carries a premature stop in the eighth exon, which prevents normal cilia development causing the Dyf phenotype (Figure 1A, Figure 1B). *osm-3* is a member of the kinesin family involved in axonal development and transport, and mutants are known to show a Dyf phenotype (Inglis et al., 2007). While WT worms fail to develop on TM at concentrations above 4 µg/mL, *osm-3* mutants fully develop at concentrations at least up to 10 µg/mL TM (Figure 1C). Loss of *osm-3,* as well as other Dyf mutations, promote longevity in *C. elegans* (Apfeld and Kenyon, 1999). Demographic lifespan analysis of *osm-3* indeed confirmed extended lifespan in our point mutant (Figure 1D and Supplementary Table 1). *osm-3* mutants, and Dyf mutants in general, have never been described as TM resistant especially at concentrations as high as 10 µg/mL.

To further explore whether the Dyf phenotype is linked to TM resistance, we tested other Dyf mutants that were previously described as long-lived (Apfeld and Kenyon,

1999). To our surprise, all long-lived Dyf mutants that we tested proved to be

significantly TM resistant at the WT lethal dose of 10 µg/mL TM (Figure 1E, Figure 1-

figure supplement 1A-G). In contrast, the long-lived chemosensory *odr-1(n1936)X*,

odr-3(n2150)V, and *tax-4(p678)III* mutants that do not display a Dyf phenotype were

only slightly TM resistant (Figure 1E, Figure 1-figure supplement 1J-I). Our data thus

suggest that, among the larger class of chemosensory defective mutants, lifespan

extension is uncoupled from TM resistance.

ER stress response is blunted in *osm-3* mutants

TM treatment activates the ER unfolded protein response (UPR^{ER}); we thus

characterized the overall transcriptional response of *osm-3* mutants upon TM

treatment. We performed transcriptomic analyses of *osm-3* and WT worms after

6 hours of TM treatment. Notably, the gene ontology (GO) terms related to ER stress

and ER protein folding showed significant upregulation in WT animals, but not in *osm-3*

mutants (Figure 2A). RT-qPCR analysis of UPR target genes further confirmed that

there was no UPR^{ER} induction in TM-treated *osm-3* mutants compared to the WT

(Figure 2-figure supplement 1). A hallmark of UPR^{ER} activation in *C. elegans* is the

upregulation of the molecular chaperone HSP-4/BiP (Shen et al., 2001). Upon TM

treatment of *osm-3* mutants carrying an *hsp-4*::GFP reporter construct, there was no

significant increase in the GFP signal compared to the untreated control, while in the

WT the GFP levels were significantly increased (Figure 2B,C). This observation

corroborates the results from the transcriptome analysis.

One potential explanation for a suppressed UPR^{ER} response in *osm-3* mutants might

be a general defect in the stress signaling pathway. To address this possibility, we

sought to activate the UPR by alternate means. The ER membrane protein

SEL-1/HRD3 is a cofactor of the HRD1 ubiquitin ligase complex involved in ER-

associated degradation (ERAD)(Hampton et al., 1996). Using *sel-1* RNAi to inhibit

ERAD, we observed a robust activation of the *hsp-4*::GFP reporter, suggesting a

functional UPR^{ER} response upon ER stress in *osm-3* mutants (Figure 2D,E). We

further used DTT treatment to induce ER stress and found normal levels of *hsp-4*::GFP

induction and *xbp-1* splicing (Figure 2F) in *osm-3* mutants. Together, these

observations rule out that the reduced response to TM observed in *osm-3* mutants was

due to a defect in UPR signaling.

TM resistance in *osm-3* mutants is independent from *daf-16* or the PMK-1/p38

MAPK pathway

The lifespan extension observed in chemosensory defective *C. elegans* as well as in

Drosophila has been shown to be at least partially insulin signaling dependent (Apfeld

and Kenyon, 1999, Libert et al., 2007). Therefore, we performed a demographic

lifespan analysis to determine the role of the insulin signaling pathway in the lifespan

extension of *osm-3* mutants. Indeed, the *osm-3* lifespan extension was fully *daf-16*

dependent, as the lifespan of the *osm-3; daf-16* double mutants was identical to the

daf-16 lifespan (Figure 3A, Supplementary Table 1). In stark difference to *osm-3*

survival, the *osm-3; daf-16* double mutant remained resistant to TM while *daf-16* single

mutants do not develop at 10 µg/mL TM (Figure 3B). A previous study by Henis-

Korenblit et al. had shown elevated *hsp-4*::GFP levels during *daf-16* knockdown in a

daf-2 mutant (Henis-Korenblit et al., 2010). We therefore asked whether the

knockdown of *daf-16* would sensitize *osm-3* mutants to TM. Interestingly, knock-down

of *daf-16* by RNAi did not elevate the *hsp-4*::GFP response of *osm-3* mutants after TM

treatment (Figure 3-figure supplement 3A). Given the links between the insulin

signaling pathway and ER stress signaling (Henis-Korenblit et al., 2010, Kyriakakis et

al., 2017, Matai et al., 2019, Labbadia and Morimoto, 2014), we were further surprised

to find that *daf-2* mutants failed to develop on 10 µg/mL TM while *osm-3* mutants fully

developed (Figure 3-figure supplement 3B). Together, this evidence suggests that the

osm-3 lifespan extension and TM drug resistance are uncoupled and appear to act via

independent or parallel pathways.

In *C. elegans, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14 is a pathogen that is often used to

study innate immunity. The PMK-1 p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)

pathway as well as UPR^{ER} targets have been implicated in the innate immune

response of *C. elegans* during PA14 infection (Richardson et al., 2010, Haskins et al.,

2008). Given the role of ER homeostasis in innate immunity and the TM resistance of

osm-3 mutants, we speculated that they would be more robust on PA14 than WT.

Indeed, *osm-3* mutants were resistant to PA14, and this increased pathogen resistance

was lost upon *pmk-1* knockdown (Figure 3C). With this in mind, we tested whether

pmk-1 mutation could suppress the *osm-3* TM resistance. The *osm-3; pmk-1* double

mutant was fully resistant to TM, while the *pmk-1* single was sensitive (Figure 3D),

demonstrating that TM resistance and *pmk-1* mediated pathogen resistance are

uncoupled in *osm-3* mutants. Taken together, insulin signaling and the MAPK pathway,

two major stress response pathways in *C. elegans* that have links to ER protein quality

control, are not required for TM resistance in *osm-3* mutants.

NHR-8 and PGPs regulate xenobiotic detoxification and TM resistance in *osm-3*

mutants

Given the absence of ER stress in TM-treated *osm-3* mutants along with the genetic

separation of TM resistance from insulin or MAPK signaling, we hypothesized that TM

might be cleared from worm tissues through xenobiotic detoxification. PGPs are a

conserved family of ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporters found on the cell

membrane (Sangster, 1994) involved in toxin clearance, and *C. elegans* have 15 *pgp*

genes. Using quantitative PCR, we found a significant upregulation of *pgp-3*, *pgp-5*, *pgp-11*, and *pgp-13* in *osm-3* mutants (Figure 4A). The nuclear hormone receptor NHR-8 has been linked to xenobiotic detoxification and *pgp* regulation in *C. elegans* (Menez et al., 2019, Lindblom et al., 2001). Indeed, we found that the upregulation of *pgp* expression in *osm-3* mutants was suppressed in the *osm-3; nhr-8* double mutant (Figure 4A). PGP upregulation suggested a role for PGPs in the TM resistance of *osm-3* mutants. Therefore, we tested if RNAi-mediated PGP knock-down in *osm-3* mutants would suppress TM resistance. We focused on the upregulated *pgp-5, pgp-11* and *pgp-13,* and on *pgp-8 and pgp-12*. Interestingly, knock-down of *pgp-5, pgp-11*, and *pgp-12* partially reduced the TM resistance of the *osm-3* mutants (Figure 4B). Next, we used verapamil to inhibit PGPs. Verapamil has been used to specifically inhibit PGP activity, re-sensitizing worms to the anthelmintic compound ivermectin (Menez et al., 2016). Indeed, 1 nM verapamil significantly suppressed development of *osm-3 C. elegans* in the presence of TM, showing no effect on controls without TM (Figure 4C). These partial effects likely suggest that xenobiotic detoxification is achieved by multiple PGPs which are transcriptionally orchestrated by *nhr-8.* This led us to ask whether *osm-3* TM resistance was dependent on NHR-8. Strikingly, the developmental TM assay revealed that the resistance of *osm-3* mutants was largely suppressed in the *osm-3; nhr-8(hd117)IV* double mutant (Figure 4D), suggesting that NHR-8 is necessary for *osm-3* TM resistance. As suggested by a role of PGPs in ivermectin resistance, we found that *osm-3* mutants fully developed to adults in the presence of 6 µg/mL ivermectin that is lethal to WT animals (Figure 4E). Ivermectin resistance of *osm-3* mutants was suppressed by loss of NHR-8. Dyf *C. elegans* mutants have been independently identified in drug resistance screens (Fujii et al., 2004, Menez et al., 2016, Dent et al., 2000). Consistent with these findings, we observed that *osm-3* mutants were resistant to 200 nM paraquat, as they fully develop

at a concentration that is toxic to WT *C. elegans* (Figure 4F). Consistent with a role in xenobiotic detoxification, NHR-8 was required for the paraquat resistance of the *osm-3* mutants (Figure 4F).

We next reasoned that resistance of *osm-3* mutants should be limited to chemical stressors that can be eliminated by xenobiotic detoxification. We thus tested heat stress and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) induced oxidative stress. Paraquat and hydrogen peroxide are both oxidative stressors, but paraquat acts indirectly via the mitochondria (Castello et al., 2007) while hydrogen peroxide is a primary cause for oxidative damage. When challenged with heat or hydrogen peroxide, *osm-3* mutants showed no difference to WT animals, suggesting that *osm-3* mutants are not resistant to these non-xenobiotic stressors (Figure 4-figure supplement 1A,B).

Given the involvement of PGP-dependent xenobiotic detoxification in TM resistance of *osm-3* mutants, we wondered about its role in the longevity of *osm-3* mutants. Notably, verapamil did not shorten *osm-3* lifespan (Figure 4G). Moreover, verapamil did not affect WT lifespan (Figure 4G and Supplementary Table 1) and had no visible effect on WT development (Figure 4-figure supplement 1C). Finally, we asked about a role of NHR-8 in *osm-3* longevity. While *nhr-8* mutation shortens lifespan, the *osm-3* mutation extended both WT and *nhr-8* survival to a similar degree (Figure 4H). Together, these data support the conclusion that the xenobiotic stress resistance and longevity phenotypes of *osm-3* mutants are mediated by independent pathways.

Sterol signals modulate TM resistance

We aimed to further understand the systemic signals that control xenobiotic detoxification of TM. The NHR-8 ligand remains unknown, but is likely a sterol molecule derived from cholesterol metabolism (Magner et al., 2013). We thus tested the role of cholesterol in TM resistance and found that omitting cholesterol from the culture plate

for one generation leads to TM hypersensitivity in both WT and *osm-3* animals

(Figure 5A). To specifically assess a role of cholesterol-derived signals in TM

resistance, we used the *hsp-4*::GFP reporter and found that high cholesterol

significantly reduced TM-induced ER stress (Figure 5B). Developmental cholesterol

dose response assays in the presence of TM confirmed that WT TM resistance is

cholesterol dependent. In *nhr-8* and *osm-3; nhr-8* double mutants, however,

cholesterol treatment failed to protect from TM toxicity (Figure 5C). This demonstrates

a key role of NHR-8 in mediating TM resistance downstream from sterol signals. These

observations together suggest cell-nonautonomous regulation of xenobiotic

detoxification of TM by cholesterol-derived ligands that enhance PGP expression via

NHR-8.

Discussion

In this study, we found that *osm-3* mutants as well as other long-lived Dyf mutants are resistant to the ER toxin TM. Instead of an activated stress signaling status that might explain the TM resistance, *osm-3* Dyf mutants show no UPR^{ER} induction upon TM treatment. Consistent with previous findings (Apfeld and Kenyon, 1999) the lifespan extension of *osm-3* was *daf-16* dependent. Despite the established link between the insulin signaling pathway and stress resistance, the TM resistance in *osm-3* mutants was not insulin signaling dependent. Further, *osm-3* mutants were pathogen resistant and this resistance was fully dependent on the PMK-1/p38 MAPK pathway. We were surprised that neither of the two pathways weakened the TM resistance phenotype. Dyf *C. elegans* drug resistance is not specific to TM, as we also observed resistance to paraquat and ivermectin. While paraquat and ivermectin resistance have been previously reported in Dyf mutants (Dent et al., 2000, Fujii et al., 2004, Menez et al., 2016), no studies have demonstrated similar resistance mechanisms in Dyf *C. elegans* mutants to TM. Our findings show that drug resistance is due to increased PGP expression in Dyf worms, suggesting a causal role of xenobiotic detoxification in the drug resistance phenotype. Importantly, we demonstrate regulation of PGPs by the nuclear hormone receptor NHR-8. Genetic or pharmacological PGP inhibition, as well as loss of *nhr-8* significantly re-sensitized *osm-3* mutants to TM, ivermectin, and paraquat. Potential NHR-8 ligands are derived from cholesterol (Magner et al., 2013) and we observed that WT development on lower TM concentrations was strongly cholesterol and NHR-8 dependent.

Given that improved protein homeostasis is one of the cellular hallmarks of longevity (Lopez-Otin et al., 2013), we presumed that selection for TM resistance would serve as a proxy phenotype for longevity given that TM specifically targets ER protein folding. More specifically, we expected that high fidelity ER protein quality control would be the

driver of longevity in these mutants. Previous findings describing increased

hexosamine biosynthetic pathway flux (Denzel et al., 2014) or constitutive XBP-1

activation (Taylor and Dillin, 2013) have linked protein homeostasis to longevity and

stress resistance (Denzel and Antebi, 2015); therefore the connection between TM

resistance and longevity seemed apparent. Given that Dyf mutants are resistant to

pathogenic bacteria through enhanced ER homeostasis, we also speculated that TM

resistance might serve as a proxy phenotype for pathogen resistance. On the contrary,

we found that TM resistance is a consequence of xenobiotic detoxification via PGPs,

and NHR-8 regulated control of PGPs was not involved in the longevity of *osm-3*

mutants. *pmk-1* and *daf-16* were required for pathogen resistance and longevity,

respectively, but not for the drug resistance of *osm-3* mutants. Together, these findings

demonstrate that the three phenotypes of *osm-3* mutants (i.e. xenobiotic resistance,

longevity, and protection from pathogens) are independent and controlled by

separable genetic pathways. Our observations thus support a model in which the

chemosensation defect activates a number of parallel pathways that are responsible

for the distinct phenotypes of *osm-3* mutants.

Adding another dimension to the cell-nonautonomous regulation of innate immunity

(Aballay, 2013), we propose that the nervous system regulates systemic xenobiotic

detoxification in *C. elegans*, likely through a sterol ligand. Our data suggest that

mutants with defective amphid neurons have increased PGP expression. Several

PGPs have been shown to be expressed in non-neuronal tissue (Lincke et al., 1993,

Sheps et al., 2004). Moreover, we found that PGP expression is regulated by *nhr-8*,

which has also been shown to be expressed in the intestine of *C. elegans* (Magner et

al., 2013). Combining this previous information with our finding that Dyf mutants are

resistant to several uniquely toxic drugs, we conclude that neuronal signaling controls

drug resistance through nuclear hormone signaling. Given our observation that

supplementation with cholesterol is itself sufficient for TM resistance in WT worms one

might further investigate the link between neuronal states and the specific cholesterol-

derived signal that drives these drug resistance phenotypes.

PGPs are likely regulated in *C. elegans* to help them combat toxins found in their

natural habitat. Toxic metabolites are undoubtedly common in the soil where

C. elegans are found. The drugs used in our study, ivermectin (a derivative of

avermectin) (Burg et al., 1979) and TM, were first discovered as antibiotics that are

produced by soil bacteria (Takatsuki et al., 1971). Because *C. elegans* have no

adaptive immune response, having PGPs as part of their innate immune system allows

them to clear toxic molecules they may encounter in the wild. While Dyf mutants do

not occur in the wild, our data nonetheless demonstrate a link between environmental

sensing and drug resistance.

In humans, PGPs have been implicated in drug resistant malignancies (Lehne, 2000).

Using *C. elegans* as a tool to study the cross talk between tissues, one might be able

to better understand how extracellular signaling drives ABC transporter expression in

chemotherapy resistant cancer. Further studies in cell culture might also characterize

TM, as well as other toxic metabolites, as substrates for *C. elegans* PGPs.

 Materials and Methods

Key Resources Table				
Reagent type (species) or resource	**Designation**	**Source or reference**	**Identifiers**	**Additional information**
strain, strain background (*E. coli*)	HT115 [*L4440::sel-1*]	Source Bioscience	CELE_F45D3.5	Ahringer RNAi library
strain, strain background (*E. coli*)	HT115 [*L4440::daf-16*]	Source Bioscience	CELE_R13H8.1	Ahringer RNAi library
strain, strain background (*E. coli*)	HT115 [*L4440::pgp-5*]	Source Bioscience	CELE_C05A9.1	Ahringer RNAi library
strain, strain background (*E. coli*)	HT115 [*L4440::pgp-8*]	Source Bioscience	CELE_T21E8.3	Ahringer RNAi library
strain, strain background (*E. coli*)	HT115 [*L4440::pgp11*]	Source Bioscience	CELE_DH11.3	Ahringer RNAi library
strain, strain background (*E. coli*)	HT115 [*L4440::pgp-12*]	Source Bioscience	CELE_F22E10.1	Ahringer RNAi library
strain, strain background (*E. coli*)	HT115 [*L4440::pgp-13*]	Source Bioscience	CELE_F22E10.2	Ahringer RNAi library
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*osm-3(dh441)IV*	other	AA1962	available from A. Antebi or M.S. Denzel
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*daf-16(mu86)I*	CGC	CF1038	
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*pmk-1(km25)IV*	CGC	KU25	
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*osm-3(dh441)IV; nhr-8(hd117)IV*	this paper	MSD420	available from M.S. Denzel
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*osm-3(dh441)IV; daf-16(mu86)I*	this paper	MSD422	available from M.S. Denzel

genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*osm-3(dh441)IV; pmk-1(km25)IV*	this paper	MSD423	available from M.S. Denzel
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*odr-1(n1936)X*	CGC	CX2065	
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*odr-3(n2150)V*	CGC	CX2205	
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*osm-6(p811)V*	CGC	PR811	
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*tax-4(p678)III*	CGC	PR678	
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*nhr-8(hd117)IV*	other	AA968	available from A. Antebi or M.S. Denzel
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*N2; dhEx451(nhr-8::gfp; coel::RFP)*	CGC	AA1027	
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*osm-3(dh441)IV; zcIs4 [hsp-4::GFP]V*	other	AA2774	available from A. Antebi or M.S. Denzel
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*zcIs4[hsp-4::GFP]V*	CGC	SJ4005	
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*che-11(e1810)V*	CGC	CB3330	
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*daf-10(e1387)IV*	CGC	CB1387	
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*osm-1(p808)X*	CGC	PR808	
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*dyf-1(mn335)I*	CGC	SP1205	
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*che-2(e1033)X*	CGC	CB1033	
genetic reagent (*C. elegans*)	*osm-5(p813)X*	CGC	PR813	
commercial assay or kit	Direct-zol RNA Microprep	Zymo Research	R2060	

commercial assay or kit	SMARTer Stranded RNA-Seq HT Kit	Takara	634838	
commercial assay or kit	Ribo-zero Gold kit	Illumina	MRZG12324	
chemical compound, drug	Tunicamycin	Calbiochem	CAS 11089-65-9	
chemical compound, drug	Dithiothreitol	Sigma Aldrich	CAS 3483-12-3	
chemical compound, drug	Methyl viologen dichloride hydrate	Sigma Aldrich	CAS 75365-73-0	Paraquat
chemical compound, drug	Ivermectin	Sigma Aldrich	CAS 70288-86-7	
chemical compound, drug	Verapamil hydrochloride	Sigma Aldrich	CAS 152-11-4	
chemical compound, drug	Cholesterol	Sigma Aldrich	CAS 57-88-5	
chemical compound, drug	1,1′-Dioctadecyl-3,3,3′,3′-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate	Sigma Aldrich	CAS 41085-99-8	DiI
software, algorithm	R statistical software	cran.r-project.org	DESeq2 1.16.1	PMID: 25516281
other	Reference Genome	ENSEMBL	WBCel235	

Worm maintenance

342 *C. elegans* nematodes were cultured using standard husbandry methods at 20°C on

343 nematode growth media (NGM) agar plates seeded with *E. coli* strain OP50 unless

344 otherwise stated (Brenner, 1974).

Dye filling assay in *C. elegans*

The dye filling assay was performed on a synchronized population of day 1 adults. 40-

60 adult worms were placed in M9 containing 10 μg/mL DiI. They were left at room

temperature for two hours in the staining medium, then transferred to NGM plates

seeded with *E. coli* OP50. The worms were then allowed to crawl on the food for one

hour to allow for the dye to be cleared from the gut. The worms were scored for dye

filling using a Leica SPX-8 confocal microscope or a Leica fluorescent stereo-

microscope. Images were taken using the confocal microscope.

Drug resistance assays

NGM plates containing either TM, paraquat or ivermectin were used in developmental

assays to test for resistance. To this end, we performed a 4h egg lay on NGM plates

at room temperature then transferred the eggs to control and drug containing plates

and recorded the number of eggs per plate. After incubation for four days at 20°C,

plates were scored by counting the total number of L4 larvae or adults on each plate.

Paraquat plates were prepared by adding 1M methyl viologen dichloride (paraquat)

directly onto seeded NGM plates for a final concentration of 200 µM and allowed to dry

immediately before use. Ivermectin plates were prepared by adding ivermectin directly

to the NGM medium at a final concentration of 6 µg/mL before pouring the plates.

Modified NGM plates containing no cholesterol were made by using standard NGM

without the addition of cholesterol. NGM agar plates containing 5, 25 or 50 µg/mL

Cholesterol were used for development when specifically stated. These modified NGM

plates were then supplemented with drugs as described above. All of the drug

development assays were performed using *E. coli* OP50 or *E. coli* HT115 bacteria. For

the TM RNAi experiments (Figure 4B), animals were cultured for one generation on

pgp-5, *pgp-8, pgp-11, pgp-12* or *pgp-13* RNAi supplemented with DMSO. The offspring

was placed on RNAi plates supplemented with 10 µg/mL TM.

ER stress quantification

Synchronized day 1 adults were transferred to control and TM containing NGM plates

seeded with OP50. After 6 hours of stress induction *hsp-4*::GFP levels were measured

by large particle flow cytometry in both the WT and *osm-3(dh441)IV* background using

the Union Biometrica Biosorter and imaged using a Leica fluorescent stereo-

microscope. For RNAi experiments, animals were raised on control and *daf-16* or *sel-1*

RNAi. Synchronized day 1 adults were transferred to vehicle or TM containing plates

seeded with control or experimental RNAi. After 6 hours of stress, GFP levels were

measured using the Biosorter. GFP values were normalized to time of flight. At least

100 animals were analyzed per condition. For RNA isolation, day 1 adults were treated

with 10 mM DTT in S Basal buffer containing *E. coli* OP50 for 2 hours and then snap

frozen.

Quantitative PCR

For ER stress induction day 1 adults were washed from their plates and transferred to

either control or TM containing plates, where they were incubated for 6 hours. The

animals were then washed off using M9 and snap frozen in trizol. Unstressed

synchronized animals were collected at day 1 of adult hood for RNA extraction. RNA

was prepared using Zymo Research Direct-zol RNA Microprep kit. SYBR green was

used to perform quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). See Supplementary Table 2 for list of

qPCR primers used in this study. Primer efficiency was tested and was always above

90%.

Lifespan analysis

Adult lifespan analysis was performed at 20°C on mutant and WT *C. elegans*. The

animals were synchronized in a four-hour egg lay. Animals were scored as dead or

alive every second day until the end of the experiment. Animals were transferred every

day for the first seven days. Statistical analysis on the Kaplan-Meier survival curves

was performed using Microsoft Excel. See Supplementary Table 1 for lifespan

statistics. Each survival experiment was performed at least twice.

Hydrogen peroxide survival assay

1M hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) was added to unseeded NGM plates to a final concentration of 1 µM and allowed to dry for several minutes. Synchronized day one adults were then transferred onto the plates and incubated at 25°C. The animals were then scored every 2 hours for survival. 20 to 50 animals were used in each experimental condition.

Pathogenic bacteria survival assay

The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain PA14 was grown in LB media and seeded onto high peptone NGM plates and incubated for 12 hours at 37°C immediately before the start of the experiment. Day one animals were first transferred to unseeded NGM plates to crawl around the plate and remove any excess *E. coli* OP50 off of their bodies. They were then transferred to the PA14 containing plates and incubated at 25°C. The animals were scored every 12 hours until all animals were dead. At least 75 animals were used in each experimental condition.

Heat stress survival assay

Day 1 synchronized *C. elegans* were transferred to fresh NGM plates seeded with *E. coli* OP50. These plates were transferred to a 35°C incubator, where they were evenly distributed throughout the incubator to ensure even heat exposure. The plates were scored for live worms every 2 hours until all of the worms were dead. 20 to 50 animals were used in each experimental condition.

Sample collection and RNA purification for sequencing

For RNA sequencing we used day one adults that were hatched within one hour. To achieve this synchronization, we washed all adults and larvae of plates with M9 and allowed the eggs on hatch for one hour. The freshly hatched L1 larvae were then washed off and transferred to fresh plates seeded with OP50 and incubated at 20°C

until they developed to adults. At day one of adulthood, animals were washed from

their plates and transferred to either control or TM containing plates, where they were

incubated for 6 hours. The animals were then washed off using M9 and snap frozen in

trizol. All biological replicates were collected and prepared using a snaking collection

method to reduce batch effects. Total RNA was purified using Zymo Research Direct-

zol RNA Microprep kit.

RNA-seq library preparation

RNA quality was assessed using Agilent's Bioanalyzer platform, and samples with RIN

> 9 were used for library construction. 2.5 µg of total RNA was subjected to ribosomal

RNA (rRNA) depletion using the Illumina's Ribo-zero Gold kit (Illumina), according to

the manufacturer's protocol. Strand specific RNA-seq libraries were then constructed

using the SMARTer Stranded RNA-Seq HT Kit (Clontech #634839), according to the

manufacturer's protocol. Based on rRNA-depleted input amount, 15 cycles of

amplification were performed to generate RNA-seq libraries. Paired-end 150 bp reads

were sent for sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq-Xten platform at the Novogene

Corporation (USA). The resulting data was then analyzed with a standardized RNA-

seq data analysis pipeline (described below).

RNA-seq analysis pipeline

cDNA sequences of worm genes were obtained through ENSEMBL Biomart for the

WBCel235 build of the *C. elegans* genome (Ensemble release v94; accessed 2018-

12-03). Trimmed reads were mapped to this reference using kallisto 0.43.0-1 and the

–fr-stranded option (Bray et al., 2016). All subsequent analyses were performed in the

R statistical software (https://cran.r-project.org/). Read counts were imported into R,

and summarized at the gene level. Differential gene expression was estimated using

the 'DESeq2' R package (DESeq2 1.16.1) (Love et al., 2014). DAVID analysis (version

6.8) was performed to identify significantly enriched gene ontology terms.

Developmental verapamil resistance assay and lifespan analysis

Plates containing 10 µg/mL TM were supplemented with 1 nM verapamil by adding

verapamil solved in DMSO onto the plates. Plates were allowed to dry for 6h before

eggs were transferred to them to determine developmental resistance to TM. Plates

were then scored after 4 days to determine the relative number of L4 larvae or adults.

Lifespan assays were performed as above, however the animals were transferred to

fresh verapamil containing plates every second day for the whole lifespan assay. 20 to

50 animals were used in each experimental condition.

Data availability

Raw sequencing data were deposited to the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)

under the accession number GSE144675.

References

ABALLAY, A. 2013. Role of the nervous system in the control of proteostasis during innate immune activation: insights from C. elegans. *PLoS Pathog,* 9**,** e1003433.

AFSCHAR, S., TOIVONEN, J. M., HOFFMANN, J. M., TAIN, L. S., WIESER, D., FINLAYSON, A. J., DRIEGE, Y., ALIC, N., EMRAN, S., STINN, J., FROEHLICH, J., PIPER, M. D. & PARTRIDGE, L. 2016. Nuclear hormone receptor DHR96 mediates the resistance to xenobiotics but not the increased lifespan of insulin-mutant Drosophila. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 113**,** 1321-6.

APFELD, J. & KENYON, C. 1999. Regulation of lifespan by sensory perception in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature,* 402**,** 804-9.

ARDELLI, B. F. & PRICHARD, R. K. 2013. Inhibition of P-glycoprotein enhances sensitivity of Caenorhabditis elegans to ivermectin. *Vet Parasitol,* 191**,** 264-75.

BARGMANN, C. I. 2006. Chemosensation in C. elegans. *WormBook***,** 1-29.

BRAY, N. L., PIMENTEL, H., MELSTED, P. & PACHTER, L. 2016. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. *Nat Biotechnol,* 34**,** 525-7.

BRENNER, S. 1974. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics,* 77**,** 71-94.

BURG, R. W., MILLER, B. M., BAKER, E. E., BIRNBAUM, J., CURRIE, S. A., HARTMAN, R., KONG, Y. L., MONAGHAN, R. L., OLSON, G., PUTTER, I., TUNAC, J. B., WALLICK, H., STAPLEY, E. O., OIWA, R. & OMURA, S. 1979. Avermectins, new family of potent anthelmintic agents: producing organism and fermentation. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother,* 15**,** 361-7.

CASTELLO, P. R., DRECHSEL, D. A. & PATEL, M. 2007. Mitochondria are a major source of paraquat-induced reactive oxygen species production in the brain. *J Biol Chem,* 282**,** 14186-93.

COLLINS, J. J., EVASON, K., PICKETT, C. L., SCHNEIDER, D. L. & KORNFELD, K. 2008. The anticonvulsant ethosuximide disrupts sensory function to extend C. elegans lifespan. *PLoS Genet,* 4**,** e1000230.

DENT, J. A., SMITH, M. M., VASSILATIS, D. K. & AVERY, L. 2000. The genetics of ivermectin resistance in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 97**,** 2674-9.

DENZEL, M. S. & ANTEBI, A. 2015. Hexosamine pathway and (ER) protein quality control. *Curr Opin Cell Biol,* 33**,** 14-8.

DENZEL, M. S., STORM, N. J., GUTSCHMIDT, A., BADDI, R., HINZE, Y., JAROSCH, E., SOMMER, T., HOPPE, T. & ANTEBI, A. 2014. Hexosamine pathway metabolites enhance protein quality control and prolong life. *Cell,* 156**,** 1167-1178.

FUJII, M., MATSUMOTO, Y., TANAKA, N., MIKI, K., SUZUKI, T., ISHII, N. & AYUSAWA, D. 2004. Mutations in chemosensory cilia cause resistance to paraquat in nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. *J Biol Chem,* 279**,** 20277-82.

GAGLIA, M. M., JEONG, D. E., RYU, E. A., LEE, D., KENYON, C. & LEE, S. J. 2012. Genes that act downstream of sensory neurons to influence longevity, dauer formation, and pathogen responses in Caenorhabditis elegans. *PLoS Genet,* 8**,** e1003133.

GEMS, D. & MCELWEE, J. J. 2005. Broad spectrum detoxification: the major longevity assurance process regulated by insulin/IGF-1 signaling? *Mech Ageing Dev,* 126**,** 381-7.

HAMPTON, R. Y., GARDNER, R. G. & RINE, J. 1996. Role of 26S proteasome and HRD genes in the degradation of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase, an integral endoplasmic reticulum membrane protein. *Mol Biol Cell,* 7**,** 2029-44.

HASKINS, K. A., RUSSELL, J. F., GADDIS, N., DRESSMAN, H. K. & ABALLAY, A. 2008. Unfolded
protein response genes regulated by CED-1 are required for Caenorhabditis elegans
innate immunity. *Dev Cell,* 15**,** 87-97.
HEIFETZ, A., KEENAN, R. W. & ELBEIN, A. D. 1979. Mechanism of action of tunicamycin on
the UDP-GlcNAc:dolichyl-phosphate Glc-NAc-1-phosphate transferase. *Biochemistry,*
18**,** 2186-92.
HENIS-KORENBLIT, S., ZHANG, P., HANSEN, M., MCCORMICK, M., LEE, S. J., CARY, M. &
KENYON, C. 2010. Insulin/IGF-1 signaling mutants reprogram ER stress response
regulators to promote longevity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 107**,** 9730-5.
INGLIS, P. N., OU, G., LEROUX, M. R. & SCHOLEY, J. M. 2007. The sensory cilia of
Caenorhabditis elegans. *WormBook***,** 1-22.
KYRIAKAKIS, E., CHARMPILAS, N. & TAVERNARAKIS, N. 2017. Differential adiponectin
signalling couples ER stress with lipid metabolism to modulate ageing in C. elegans.
Sci Rep, 7**,** 5115.
LABBADIA, J. & MORIMOTO, R. I. 2014. Proteostasis and longevity: when does aging really
begin? *F1000Prime Rep,* 6**,** 7.
LEHNE, G. 2000. P-glycoprotein as a drug target in the treatment of multidrug resistant
cancer. *Curr Drug Targets,* 1**,** 85-99.
LIBERT, S., ZWIENER, J., CHU, X., VANVOORHIES, W., ROMAN, G. & PLETCHER, S. D. 2007.
Regulation of Drosophila life span by olfaction and food-derived odors. *Science,* 315**,**
1133-7.
LINCKE, C. R., BROEKS, A., THE, I., PLASTERK, R. H. & BORST, P. 1993. The expression of two
P-glycoprotein (pgp) genes in transgenic Caenorhabditis elegans is confined to
intestinal cells. *EMBO J,* 12**,** 1615-20.
LINDBLOM, T. H., PIERCE, G. J. & SLUDER, A. E. 2001. A C. elegans orphan nuclear receptor
contributes to xenobiotic resistance. *Curr Biol,* 11**,** 864-8.
LOPEZ-OTIN, C., BLASCO, M. A., PARTRIDGE, L., SERRANO, M. & KROEMER, G. 2013. The
hallmarks of aging. *Cell,* 153**,** 1194-217.
LOVE, M. I., HUBER, W. & ANDERS, S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol,* 15**,** 550.
MAGNER, D. B., WOLLAM, J., SHEN, Y., HOPPE, C., LI, D., LATZA, C., ROTTIERS, V., HUTTER, H.
& ANTEBI, A. 2013. The NHR-8 nuclear receptor regulates cholesterol and bile acid
homeostasis in C. elegans. *Cell Metab,* 18**,** 212-24.
MATAI, L., SARKAR, G. C., CHAMOLI, M., MALIK, Y., KUMAR, S. S., RAUTELA, U., JANA, N. R.,
CHAKRABORTY, K. & MUKHOPADHYAY, A. 2019. Dietary restriction improves
proteostasis and increases life span through endoplasmic reticulum hormesis. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A, 116**,** 17383-17392.
MEISEL, J. D., PANDA, O., MAHANTI, P., SCHROEDER, F. C. & KIM, D. H. 2014.
Chemosensation of bacterial secondary metabolites modulates neuroendocrine
signaling and behavior of C. elegans. *Cell,* 159**,** 267-80.
MENEZ, C., ALBERICH, M., COURTOT, E., GUEGNARD, F., BLANCHARD, A., AGUILANIU, H. &
LESPINE, A. 2019. The transcription factor NHR-8: A new target to increase ivermectin
efficacy in nematodes. *PLoS Pathog,* 15**,** e1007598.
MENEZ, C., ALBERICH, M., KANSOH, D., BLANCHARD, A. & LESPINE, A. 2016. Acquired
Tolerance to Ivermectin and Moxidectin after Drug Selection Pressure in the
Nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother,* 60**,** 4809-19.

PAGE, A. P. 2018. The sensory amphidial structures of Caenorhabditis elegans are involved in
macrocyclic lactone uptake and anthelmintic resistance. *Int J Parasitol,* 48**,** 1035-
1042.
PARODI, A. J. 2000. Role of N-oligosaccharide endoplasmic reticulum processing reactions in
glycoprotein folding and degradation. *Biochem J,* 348 Pt 1**,** 1-13.
PERENS, E. A. & SHAHAM, S. 2005. C. elegans daf-6 encodes a patched-related protein
required for lumen formation. *Dev Cell,* 8**,** 893-906.
RICHARDSON, C. E., KOOISTRA, T. & KIM, D. H. 2010. An essential role for XBP-1 in host
protection against immune activation in C. elegans. *Nature,* 463**,** 1092-5.
RIERA, C. E., TSAOUSIDOU, E., HALLORAN, J., FOLLETT, P., HAHN, O., PEREIRA, M. M. A.,
RUUD, L. E., ALBER, J., THARP, K., ANDERSON, C. M., BRONNEKE, H., HAMPEL, B.,
FILHO, C. D. M., STAHL, A., BRUNING, J. C. & DILLIN, A. 2017. The Sense of Smell
Impacts Metabolic Health and Obesity. *Cell Metab,* 26**,** 198-211 e5.
SANGSTER, N. C. 1994. P-glycoproteins in nematodes. *Parasitol Today,* 10**,** 319-22.
SHEN, X., ELLIS, R. E., LEE, K., LIU, C. Y., YANG, K., SOLOMON, A., YOSHIDA, H., MORIMOTO,
R., KURNIT, D. M., MORI, K. & KAUFMAN, R. J. 2001. Complementary signaling
pathways regulate the unfolded protein response and are required for C. elegans
development. *Cell,* 107**,** 893-903.
SHEPS, J. A., RALPH, S., ZHAO, Z., BAILLIE, D. L. & LING, V. 2004. The ABC transporter gene
family of Caenorhabditis elegans has implications for the evolutionary dynamics of
multidrug resistance in eukaryotes. *Genome Biol,* 5**,** R15.
TAKATSUKI, A., ARIMA, K. & TAMURA, G. 1971. Tunicamycin, a new antibiotic. I. Isolation
and characterization of tunicamycin. *J Antibiot (Tokyo),* 24**,** 215-23.
TAYLOR, R. C. & DILLIN, A. 2013. XBP-1 is a cell-nonautonomous regulator of stress
resistance and longevity. *Cell,* 153**,** 1435-47.
578

Acknowledgements

We thank all M.S.D. laboratory members for lively and helpful discussions. We thank Adam Antebi for valuable comments and for *C. elegans* strains. We thank Seung-Jae Lee for valuable comments on the manuscript. We thank the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC) for strains. We thank the members of the bioinformatics core facility and the FACS and imaging core facility at MPI AGE. B.A.B was supported by a seed grant from the NAVIGAGE foundation, a generous gift from the Hanson-Thorell Family and the Kathleen Gilmore award in aging biology. M.S.D. was supported by ERC-StG 640254, by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - Projektnummer 73111208 - SFB 829, and by the Max Planck Society.

Author contributions

G.A.G, M.J.D and M.S.D designed the project. B.A.B, M.S.D and M.J.D supervised the project. G.A.G, M.J.D, L.E.W, M.G, J.E.D, M.J.A., M.D.H, K.S and F.A.M.C.M performed the genetic, cellular and molecular biology work in *C. elegans*. R.J.L. performed the RNA seq analysis. G.A.G, M.J.D and M.S.D wrote the manuscript. G.A.G and M.J.D prepared the figures.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figures and Figure Legends

Figure 1. Tunicamycin resistance occurs in dye filling defective long-lived
chemosensory *C. elegans* mutants
A. Red fluorescence and differential interference contract confocal microscopy images
of WT and *osm-3(dh441)IV C. elegans* after DiI treatment.
B. Depiction of the *osm-3(dh441)* locus. *osm-3(dh441)IV* has a C to T mutation at
position 3796925 of exon 8
C. Developmental tunicamycin (TM) resistance assay using the indicated
concentrations with WT and *osm-3(dh441)IV* animals.
D. Demographic lifespan analysis of WT and *osm-3(dh441)IV* animals. WT mean
lifespan = 24 days, *osm-3(dh441)IV* mean lifespan = 29 days, p<0.0001.
E. Table of dye filling phenotype and TM resistance. In the dye filling column, + is
positive for DiI filling as in Figure 1A and - is Dyf. In the TM resistant column, + is
resistant and - is not resistant to 10 µg/mL TM.

Figure 2. Reduced tunicamycin-induced ER stress signaling in *osm-3* mutants despite functional ER-UPR pathway

A. DAVID gene ontology (GO) terms that are enriched in TM-treated WT compared to TM-treated *osm-3(dh441)IV* worms. Red = upregulated and blue = downregulated.

B. Green fluorescent images of WT and *osm-3(dh441)IV* animals in the *hsp-4*::GFP reporter background after 6 hours of 10 µg/mL TM treatment. Worms are outlined in the images.

C. Biosorter analysis of *osm-3(dh441)IV* vs. WT animals in the *hsp-4*::GFP background after 6 hours of control or TM treatment. Data are mean +SEM, n=4, *** $p<0.0001$ by two-way ANOVA.

D. Green fluorescent images of WT and *osm-3(dh441)IV* animals in the *hsp-4*::GFP background after development on control or *sel-1* RNAi. Worms are outlined in the images.

E. Biosorter analysis of *osm-3(dh441)IV* vs. WT animals in the *hsp-4*::GFP background raised on control or *sel-1* RNAi. Data are mean +SEM, n=3, ** $p<0.005$ *** $p<0.0001$ by two-way ANOVA.

F. Quantitative PCR measuring relative *hsp-4* and spliced and unspliced *xbp-1* mRNA levels in WT and *osm-3(dh441)IV animals* after 2 hours of 10 mM DTT treatment. Data are mean +SEM, n=3, * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.001$, *** $p<0.0001$ by two-way ANOVA.

Figure 3. Tunicamycin resistance in *osm-3* mutants is not *daf-16* or *pmk-1* dependent

A. Demographic lifespan analysis of WT, *osm-3(dhh441)IV*, *daf-16(mu86)I* and *osm-3(dh441)IV; daf-16(mu86)I* animals. WT mean lifespan = 22 days, *osm-3(dh441)IV* mean lifespan = 25 days p<0.005 compared to WT, *daf-16(mu86)I* mean lifespan = 16 days p<0.0001 compared to WT, *osm-3(dh441)IV*; *daf-16(mu86)I* mean lifespan = 16 days p<0.0001 compared to WT.

B. Developmental resistance assay using 10 µg/mL TM with WT, *osm-3(dh441) IV*, *daf-16(mu86)I*, and *osm-3(dh441)IV; daf-16(mu86)I* animals.

C. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14 survival assay with WT, *osm-3(dh441) IV*, *pmk-1(km25)IV*, and *osm-3(dh441)IV; pmk-1(km25)IV* animals. WT mean survival = 44 hours, *osm-3(dh441)IV* mean survival = 58 hours p<0.001 compared to WT, *pmk-1(km25)IV* mean survival = 31 hours p<0.001 compared to WT, *osm-3(dh441)IV; pmk-1(km25)IV* mean survival = 34 hours p<0.001 compared to WT and p=0.06 compared to *pmk-1(km25)IV*.

D. Developmental resistance assay using 10 µg/mL TM with WT, *osm-3(dh441)IV*, *pmk-1(km25)IV*, and *osm-3(dh441)IV; pmk-1(km25)IV* animals.

Figure 4. *nhr-8* signaling regulates xenobiotic detoxification response through PGPs

A. Quantitative PCR measuring relative PGP mRNA expression in WT, *osm-3(dh441)IV*, *nhr-8(hd117)IV* and *osm-3(dh441)IV; nhr-8(hd117)IV* animals. Data are mean +SEM, n=3, * p<0.05, ** p<0.001, *** p<0.0001 by two-way ANOVA.

B. Developmental TM resistance assay using 10 μg/mL TM and the indicated RNAi treatments. Data are mean +SD, n=3, ** p < 0.05, *** p<0.001 by two-way ANOVA.

C. Developmental TM resistance assay using the PGP inhibitor verapamil (VPL) using 10 μg/mL TM supplemented with vehicle or 1 nM VPL. Data are mean +SD, n=3, *** p<0.001 by two-way ANOVA.

D. Developmental TM resistance assay on 10 μg/mL TM and control with WT, *osm-3(dh441)IV*, *nhr-8(hd117)IV* and *osm-3(dh441)IV; nhr-8(hd117)IV* animals. Data are mean +SD, n=3, * p<0.05, *** p<0.0001 by t-test.

E. Developmental ivermectin resistance assay of WT, *osm-3(dh441)IV*,
nhr-8(hd117)IV and *osm-3(dh441)IV; nhr-8(hd117)IV* animals using 6 ng/mL
ivermectin and vehicle control. Data are mean +SD, n=3, *** $p<0.001$ by two-way
ANOVA.
F. Developmental paraquat resistance assay of WT, *osm-3(dh441)IV*, *nhr-8(hd117)IV*
and *osm-3(dh441)IV; nhr-8(hd117)IV* animals using 0.2 mM paraquat and vehicle
control. Data are mean +SD, n=3, *** $p<0.001$ by two-way ANOVA.
G. Demographic lifespan analysis on vehicle and verapamil treated WT and
osm-3(dh441)IV worms. Vehicle treated: WT mean lifespan = 19 days;
osm-3(dh441)IV mean lifespan = 24 days $p<0.0001$ compared to WT vehicle.
verapamil treated: WT mean lifespan = 19 days; *osm-3(dh441)IV* mean lifespan = 22
days, $p<0.005$ compared to WT Vehicle
H. Demographic lifespan analysis of WT, *osm-3(dh441)IV, nhr-8(hd117)IV and*
osm-3(dh441)IV;nhr-8(hd117)IV animals. WT mean lifespan = 22 days,
osm-3(dh441)IV mean lifespan = 24 days $p<0.0001$ compared to WT, *nhr-8(hd117)IV*
mean lifespan = *14* $p<0.0001$ compared to WT*, osm-3(dh441)IV; nhr-8(hd117)IV*
mean lifespan = 19 days $p<0.0001$ compared to WT.

Figure 5. Cholesterol modulates TM resistance through NHR-8

A. Developmental dose response TM resistance assay using the indicated TM concentrations with WT animals and *osm-3(dh441)IV* mutants raised on 0 or 5 μg/mL cholesterol.

B. Biosorter analysis of WT animals with the *hsp-4*::GFP reporter raised on 0 or 50 μg/mL cholesterol after 6 hours of vehicle or 5 μg/mL TM treatment. Data are mean +SEM, n=3, * p<0.05, *** p<0.0001 by two-way ANOVA.

C. Cholesterol dose response developmental assay with WT, *osm-3(dh441)IV*, *nhr-8(hd117)IV* and *osm-3(dh441)IV; nhr-8(hd117)IV* animals on vehicle or 5 μg/mL TM.

List of Figure supplements
Figure 1-figure supplement 1.
Figure 2-figure supplement 1.
Figure 3-figure supplement 1.
Figure 4-figure supplement 1.
Supplementary Table 1
Supplementary Table 2
701
702 **List of source data**
703 **Figure 1- source data 1:** Developmental TM resistance assay with WT and *osm-3(dh441)*
704 animals (Fig. 1C)
705
Figure 1-figure supplement 1-source data 1: Developmental TM resistance assay with WT
and *che-2(e1033)X* animals**.** (Figure 1-Fig. Suppl. 1A)
Figure 1-figure supplement 1-source data 2: Developmental TM resistance assay with WT
and *che-11(e1810)V* animals. (Figure 1-Fig. Suppl. 1B)
Figure 1-figure supplement 1-source data 3: Developmental TM resistance assay with WT
and *daf-10(e1387)V* animals. (Figure 1-Fig. Suppl. 1C)
Figure 1-figure supplement 1-source data 4: Developmental TM resistance assay with WT
and *dyf-1(mn335)I* animals. (Figure 1-Fig. Suppl. 1D)
Figure 1-figure supplement 1-source data 5: Developmental TM resistance assay with WT
and *osm-1(p808)X* animals. (Figure 1-Fig. Suppl. 1E)
Figure 1-figure supplement 1-source data 6: Developmental TM resistance assay with WT
and *osm-5(p813)X* animals. (Figure 1-Fig. Suppl. 1F)
Figure 1-figure supplement 1-source data 7: Developmental TM resistance assay with WT
and *osm-6(p811)V* animals. (Figure 1-Fig. Suppl. 1G)
Figure 1-figure supplement 1-source data 8: Developmental TM resistance assay with WT
and *odr-1(n1936)X* animals. (Figure 1-Fig. Suppl. 1H)
Figure 1-figure supplement 1-source data 9: Developmental TM resistance assay with WT
and *odr-3(n2150)V* animals. (Figure 1-Fig. Suppl. 1I)
Figure 1-figure supplement 1-source data 10: Developmental TM resistance assay with
WT and *tax-4(p7689)III* animals. (Figure 1-Fig. Suppl. 1J)
726
Figure 2- source data 1: Biosorter analysis of *osm-3(dh441)IV* vs. WT animals in the hsp-
4::GFP background after 6 hours of control or TM treatment (Fig. 2C)
Figure 2- source data 2: Biosorter analysis of *osm-3(dh441)IV* vs. WT animals in the hsp-
4::GFP background raised on control or *sel-1* RNAi. (Fig. 2E)
Figure 2- source data 3: Quantitative PCR measuring relative *hsp-4* and spliced and
unspliced xbp-1 mRNA levels in WT and *osm-3(dh441)IV* animals after 2 hours of 10 mM
DTT treatment (Fig. 2F)
734
735 **Figure 2-figure supplement 1-source data 1:** Quantitative PCR measuring relative mRNA
736 expression of indicated genes in WT animals and *osm-3(dh441)IV* mutants after 6 hours of
737 vehicle or TM treatment
738
739 **Figure 3- source data 1:** Developmental resistance assay using 10 μg/mL TM with WT,
740 *osm-3(dh441)IV, daf-16(mu86)I*, and *osm-3(dh441)IV; daf-16(mu86)I* mutants. (Fig. 3B)
741 **Figure 3- source data 2**: Developmental resistance assay using 10 μg/mL TM with WT,
742 *osm-3(dh441)IV, pmk-1(km25)IV*, and *osm-3(dh441)IV; pmk-1(km25)IV* mutants. (Fig. 3D)
743

Figure 3-figure supplement 1-source data 1: Biosorter analysis of *osm-3(dh441)IV* vs. WT
animals in the *hsp-4*::GFP background raised on control or *daf-16* RNAi. (Figure 3-Fig.
Suppl. 3A)
Figure 3-figure supplement 1-source data 2: Developmental resistance assay using
10 µg/mL TM with WT*, osm-3(dh441) IV* and *daf-2(e1370) III* mutants. (Figure 3-Fig. Suppl.
3B)
750
Figure 4- source data 1: Quantitative PCR measuring relative PGP mRNA expression in
WT, *osm-3(dh441)IV, nhr-8(hd117)IV* and *osm-3(dh441)IV; nhr-8(hd117)IV* animals (Fig. 4A)
Figure 4- source data 2: Developmental TM resistance assay using 10 µg/mL TM and the
indicated PGPs RNAi treatment. (Fig. 4B)
Figure 4- source data 3: Developmental TM resistance assay using the PGP inhibitor
verapamil (VPL) using 10 µg/mL TM supplemented with vehicle or 1 nM VPL. (Fig. 4C)
Figure 4- source data 4: Developmental TM resistance assay on 10 µg/mL TM and control
with WT, *osm-3(dh441)IV, nhr-8(hd117)IV* and *osm-3(dh441)IV; nhr-8(hd117)IV* animals
(Fig. 4D)
Figure 4- source data 5: Developmental ivermectin resistance assay of WT, *osm-*
3(dh441)IV, nhr-8(hd117)IV and *osm-3(dh441)IV; nhr-8(hd117)IV* animals using 6 ng/mL
ivermectin and vehicle control (Fig. 4E)
Figure 4- source data 6: Developmental paraquat resistance assay of WT, *osm-3(dh441)IV,*
nhr-8(hd117)IV and *osm-3(dh441)IV; nhr-8(hd117)IV* animals using 0.2 mM paraquat and
vehicle control (Fig. 4F)
766
Figure 4- figure supplement 1-source data 1: Heat shock assay at 35°C in WT and *osm-*
3(dh441)IV animals on day 1 of adulthood. (Fig. 4-Fig. Supp.4A)
Figure 4- figure supplement 1-source data 2: Hydrogen peroxide survival assay using
1mM H2O2 on day 1 of adulthood in WT and *osm-3(dh441)IV* animals. (Fig. 4-Fig. Supp.4B)
Figure 4- figure supplement 1-source data 3: WT development on 0 to 1µM Verapamil.
(Fig. 4-Fig. Supp.4C)
773
Figure 5- source data 1: Developmental dose response TM resistance assay using the
indicated TM concentrations with WT animals and *osm-3(dh441)IV* mutants raised on 0 or 5
µg/mL cholesterol. (Fig. 5A)
Figure 5- source data 2: Biosorter analysis of WT animals with the hsp-4::GFP reporter
raised on 0 or 50 µg/mL cholesterol after 6 hours of vehicle or 5 µg/mL TM treatment. (Fig.
5B)
Figure 5- source data 3: Cholesterol dose response developmental assay with WT, *osm-*
3(dh441)IV, nhr-8(hd117)IV and osm-3(dh441)IV; nhr-8(hd117)IV animals on vehicle or
5 µg/mL TM. (Fig. 5C)

Figure 1-figure supplement: Tunicamycin resistance occurs in dye filling defective long-lived chemosensory *C. elegans* mutants

Figure 1-figure supplement 1, A-J. Developmental TM resistance assay with WT, *che-2(e1033)X, che-11(e1810)V, daf-10(e1387)V, dyf-1(mn335)I, osm-1(p808)X, osm-5(p813)X, osm-6(p811)V, odr-1(n1936)X, odr-3(n2150)V* and *tax-4(p678)III* animals.

Figure 2-figure supplement: Reduced tunicamycin-induced ER stress signaling in *osm-3* mutants despite functional ER-UPR pathway

Figure 2-figure supplement, Quantitative PCR measuring relative mRNA expression of indicated genes in WT animals and *osm-3(dh441)IV* mutants after 6 hours of vehicle or TM treatment.

Figure 3-figure supplement: Tunicamycin resistance in *osm-3* mutants is not *daf-16* or *pmk-1* dependent

Figure 3-figure supplement, A. Biosorter analysis of *osm-3(dh441)IV* vs. WT animals in the *hsp-4*::GFP background raised on control or *daf-16* RNAi. Data are mean +SEM, n=4, *** p<0.0001 by two-way ANOVA. **B.** Developmental resistance assay using 10 µg/mL TM with WT, *osm-3(dh441)IV* and *daf-2(e1370)III* animals.

Figure 4-figure supplement: *nhr-8* signaling regulates xenobiotic detoxification response through PGPs

Figure 4-figure supplement, A. Heat shock assay at 35°C in WT and *osm-3(dh441)IV* animals on day 1 of adulthood. WT mean survival = 7 hours, *osm-3(dh441)IV* mean survival = 6 hours, p<0.01. **B.** Hydrogen peroxide survival assay using 1 μM H_2O_2 on day 1 of adulthood in WT and *osm-3(dh441)IV* animals. WT mean survival = 7 hours, *osm-3(dh441)IV* mean survival = 6 hours, no significant difference. **C.** WT development on 0 to 1 μM Verapamil. No significant difference in development.