Structures of three MORN repeat proteins and a re-evaluation of the proposed lipid-binding properties of MORN repeats.

Sara Sajko[*,1], Irina Grishkovskaya[*,1], Julius Kostan[*,1], Melissa Graewert[2], Kim Setiawan[3], Linda Trübestein[1], Korbinian Niedermüller[3], Charlotte Gehin[4,5], Antonio Sponga[1], Martin Puchinger[1], Anne-Claude Gavin[4,6], Thomas Leonard[1], Dimitri Svergun[2], Terry K. Smith[7], Brooke Morriswood[3,$], Kristina Djinovic-Carugo[1,8,$]

* Equal contribution

$ Authors for correspondence:
Brooke Morriswood (brooke.morriswood@uni-wuerzburg.de)
Kristina Djinovic-Carugo (kristina.djinovic@univie.ac.at)

Affiliations:
[1]Department of Structural and Computational Biology, Max Perutz Labs, University of Vienna, Campus Vienna Biocenter 5, A-1030 Vienna, Austria

[2]European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Hamburg Unit, c/o DESY, Notkestrasse 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

[3]Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Biocenter, University of Würzburg, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

[4]European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg Unit, Meyerhofstraße 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

[5]Institute of Bioengineering, Laboratory of Lipid Cell Biology, École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

[6]Department for Cell Physiology and Metabolism, University of Geneva, Centre Medical Universitaire, Rue Michel-Servet 1, CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland

[7]School of Biology, BSRC, University of St. Andrews, North Haugh, St. Andrews, Fife KY16 9ST, UK

[8]Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, University of Ljubljana, Večna pot 113, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

Keywords: MORN repeat, MORN1, structure, phospholipids, *Trypanosoma,* Apicomplexa.

ABSTRACT

MORN (Membrane Occupation and Recognition Nexus) repeat proteins have a wide taxonomic distribution, being found in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Despite this ubiquity, they remain poorly characterised at both a structural and a functional level compared to other common repeats. In functional terms, they are often assumed to be lipid-binding modules that mediate membrane targeting. We addressed this putative activity by focusing on a protein composed solely of MORN repeats - *Trypanosoma brucei* MORN1. Surprisingly, no evidence for binding to membranes or lipid vesicles by TbMORN1 could be obtained either in vivo or in vitro. Conversely, TbMORN1 did interact with individual phospholipids. High- and low-resolution structures of the MORN1 protein from *Trypanosoma brucei* and homologous proteins from the parasites *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Plasmodium falciparum* were obtained using a combination of macromolecular crystallography, small-angle X-ray scattering, and electron microscopy. This enabled a first structure-based definition of the MORN repeat itself. Furthermore, all three structures dimerised via their C-termini in an antiparallel configuration. The dimers could form extended or V-shaped quaternary structures depending on the presence of specific interface residues. This work provides a new perspective on MORN repeats, showing that they are protein-protein interaction modules capable of mediating both dimerisation and oligomerisation.

INTRODUCTION

MORN (Membrane Occupation and Recognition Nexus) repeats were first discovered in 2000, as a result of a screen for proteins present in the triad junctions of skeletal muscle (Takeshima et al., 2000). The junctophilins, the protein family identified in this screen, were observed to have 8 repeats present in their N-terminal regions. The repeats were given the name MORN based on a proposed role in mediating plasma membrane association of the N-terminal domain of the junctophilins. The MORN repeats were initially classified as being 14 amino acids in length, with an approximate consensus sequence of YEGEWxNGKxHGYG (Takeshima et al., 2000). A bioinformatics analysis at the time indicated that assemblies of 8 consecutive MORN repeats were also present in a putative junctophilin orthologue in a nematode (*Caenorhabditis elegans*), a family of plant (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) lipid kinases, and a bacterial (*Cyanobacterium*) protein (Takeshima et al., 2000). Later genome-era bioinformatics has shown that MORN repeat proteins are in fact found ubiquitously, being present in both eukaryotes and prokaryotes (El-Gebali et al., 2019).

The number of MORN repeats in any given protein can vary greatly, from 2 to over 20, and they are found in combination with a wide range of other domains. Most published work now favours a 23-amino acid length for a single MORN repeat, with the highly-conserved GxG motif at residues 12-14 (Gubbels et al., 2006, Hu et al., 2006, Im et al., 2007). A 14-amino acid length is still favoured by some groups, however (Bennett et al., 2013, Habicht et al., 2015). Notable mammalian MORN repeat proteins besides the junctophilins include ALS2/alsin, at least two radial spoke proteins (RSPH10B, RSPH1/meichroacidin), the histone methyltransferase SETD7, and MORN4/retinophilin (Tsuchida et al., 1998, Wilson et al., 2002, Otomo et al., 2003, Mecklenburg, 2007).

MORN repeats are generally assumed to be lipid-binding modules, but direct evidence for this function is actually lacking. In junctophilins, there is good evidence that the N-terminal region containing the MORN repeats mediates plasma membrane targeting (Takeshima et al., 2000, Nakada et al., 2018, Rossi et al., 2019). It has not been demonstrated whether the MORN repeats or the other sequences in the N-terminal region are responsible for this targeting activity. In addition, the targeting activity itself may be due to either protein-lipid or protein-protein interactions.

In addition, although there is good evidence that the N-terminal region (amino acids 1-452), of junctophilin-2 can directly bind lipids, it has not specifically been shown that the MORN repeats are responsible (Bennett et al., 2013). This is because the N-terminal region consists of 6 MORN repeats, a 140 amino acids long joining region, 2 additional MORN repeats, and an alpha-helical region. Binding could therefore be mediated by other nearby sequences, especially the run of over 100 amino acids that occurs between repeats 6 and 7. Work on the family of plant phosphatidylinositol(4)phosphate 5-kinases (PIPKs) that contain MORN repeats has led to suggestions that the repeats might regulate the activity of the kinase domain, bind to phospholipids, or mediate protein-protein interactions (Ma et al., 2006, Im et al., 2007, Camacho et al., 2009). Another recent report on junctophilin-2 showed that upon cleavage by an endogenous protease, the N-terminal region translocates to the nucleus via a nuclear localisation signal and functions there as a transcription factor (Guo et al., 2018). It

therefore remains unclear what role(s) this ubiquitous class of repeat actually have (Mikami
et al., 2010).

Coupled to this lack of unambiguous functional data is a lack of high-resolution structural
information, exemplified by the ongoing lack of consensus as to whether a single repeat is
composed of 14 or 23 amino acids. This contrasts sharply with the considerable amount of
information available on other classes of protein repeats such as ankyrin repeats, leucine-
rich repeats, or WD40 repeats (Andrade et al., 2001, Kajava, 2012). Until very recently, the
structure of the histone methyltransferase SETD7 was the sole representative of the MORN
repeat protein family (Jacobs et al., 2002, Wilson et al., 2002, Xiao et al., 2003). Even here,
the structure of the N-terminal domain containing the MORN repeats is incomplete, and the
level of sequence similarity of the repeats to those of junctophilins and other MORN repeat-
containing proteins makes assignment difficult. Each repeat appears to form a β-hairpin with
an acidic surface, but it remains unclear if this is a general property of MORN repeats. The
SETD7 structure has not been analysed in this context, with more focus applied to its
catalytic methyltransferase domain. In particular, a structure-based definition of the repeat
class itself is currently lacking.

To address this, and additionally to tackle the question of putative lipid binding, it would
obviously be advantageous to utilise a protein that is composed solely of MORN repeats. In
this way, the contribution of other sequences or domains could be discounted. The MORN1
protein from the early-branching eukaryote *Trypanosoma brucei* is an ideal candidate in this
regard, and has the advantage of also being well-characterised at a cell biology level
(Morriswood et al., 2009, Esson et al., 2012, Morriswood et al., 2013, Morriswood & Schmidt,
2015).

TbMORN1 consists of 15 consecutive 23-amino acid MORN repeats, with barely any
intervening sequence (Fig. 1A). In *T. brucei*, TbMORN1 is localised to an ~2 µm long
cytoskeleton-associated structure (the hook complex) that is found just below the inner leaflet
of the plasma membrane. The hook complex encircles the neck of a small invagination of the
plasma membrane that contains the root of the cell's single flagellum (Lacomble et al., 2009).
This invagination, termed the flagellar pocket, is the sole site of endo- and exocytosis in
trypanosomes and is thought to be analogous to the ciliary pocket that is found at the base
of some mammalian primary cilia (Grunfelder et al., 2003, Engstler et al., 2004, Molla-Herman
et al., 2010).

Previous work on TbMORN1 demonstrated by fluorescence recovery after photobleaching
(FRAP) that it is a stable component of the hook complex, and a list of its binding partners
and near neighbours has been obtained using proximity-dependent biotin identification
(BioID) (Esson et al., 2012, Morriswood et al., 2013). In functional terms, depletion of
TbMORN1 by RNAi in the mammalian-infective (bloodstream) form of the parasite resulted in
a lethal phenotype (Morriswood et al., 2009). Functional analysis indicated that the protein
might be involved in endocytosis, as well as regulating the flow of macromolecular cargo
through the neck of the flagellar pocket (Morriswood & Schmidt, 2015).

In order to investigate the putative lipid-binding capacity of TbMORN1 and its three-
dimensional structure, a detailed biochemical, structural, and functional analysis of the
TbMORN1 protein was carried out. A truncated form missing the first MORN repeat that was
best suited for in vitro work was found not to bind to phospholipid vesicles under any
conditions, but was able to associate with individual lipid molecules. High-resolution crystal
structures and biophysical analyses of a truncated form of the TbMORN1 protein and its
homologues from the parasites *Toxoplasma gondii* (TgMORN1) and *Plasmodium falciparum*
(PfMORN1) enabled, for the first time, a structure-based definition of the MORN repeat. All
three structures are antiparallel dimers joined via their C-termini, and, when possessed of the
correct key residues, can adopt extended or V-shaped quaternary structures. This provides
a new insight into MORN repeats, showing that they are capable of mediating homotypic
interactions.
169
170
171

RESULTS

TbMORN1 forms tail-to-tail dimers *via* its C-terminus

TbMORN1 is composed of 15 consecutive 23-amino acid MORN repeats, with a 5-amino
acid extension after the 6th repeat (Fig. 1A). An alignment of the repeats in TbMORN1 revealed
several highly conserved glycine residues, with a rough consensus of
YxGEWx$_2$Gx$_3$GxGx$_3$Yx$_2$Gx$_2$ (Fig. 1A, sequence logo). Bioinformatic analysis of TbMORN1
predicted an all-β secondary structure, with each repeat expected to form a β-hairpin (strand-
loop-strand) pattern (Fig. 1A).

Limited proteolysis showed progressive digestion occurring from the N-terminus, while the
C-terminus was fairly stable (Fig. S1A, B). Consequently, a panel of different truncations was
cloned according to the MORN repeat boundaries predicted by the alignment (Fig. 1A). These
truncations were named according to the number of repeats (1-15) they contained. These
constructs were expressed in bacteria and purified using a two-step protocol combining
affinity purification and size exclusion chromatography (Fig. S1C).

The yields of TbMORN1(1-15) were always very low due to aggregation in the first purification
step, making this construct not generally suitable for molecular biophysics assays. Size
exclusion chromatography coupled to multi-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS) analysis of
purified TbMORN1(1-15) elution profiles suggested the formation of higher-order assemblies
(Fig. 1B). By contrast, TbMORN1(2-15) displayed a well-defined monodisperse peak in SEC-
MALS, with a molecular weight corresponding to a dimer (Fig. 1B). This strongly suggested
that the first MORN repeat mediated oligomerisation. Further successive truncations from
the N-terminus (TbMORN1(7-15) and TbMORN1(10-15)) also eluted as monodisperse
dimers, suggesting that dimerisation of TbMORN1 is mediated by the C-terminus (Fig. S1D).
Consistent with this conclusion, removal of the last MORN repeat in the construct
TbMORN1(1-14) resulted in the elution of a mixture of monomers, dimers, and higher-order

structures (Fig. 1B). This demonstrated that the C-terminal repeats play an important role in dimer stabilisation.

Circular dichroism (CD) measurements taken of TbMORN1(1-15), TbMORN1(2-15), and TbMORN1(7-15) indicated >30% antiparallel β-strand content for each construct (Fig. S1E), and were in good agreement with a priori bioinformatic predictions (Fig. 1A). Thermostability measurements of TbMORN1(1-15), TbMORN1(2-15) and TbMORN1(7-15) using CD returned values in °C of 45.6 +/- 0.1, 43.5+/-0.1, and 42.2+/-0.1 respectively, showing an expected incremental contribution of MORN repeats to the overall stability of the protein.

To map which residues were likely mediating dimerisation, cross-linking mass spectrometry (XL-MS) was used to analyse TbMORN1(1-15). Two different chemical cross-linkers were used: EDC (1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide), which has a zero-length spacer arm, and BS[3] (bis(sulphosuccinimidyl)suberate), which has an 11.4 Å spacer arm. For both chemicals, most cross-links were observed to form between repeats 13, 14, and 15, especially via repeat 14 (Table S1). These data were consistent with those obtained by SEC-MALS (Fig. 1B, Fig. S1D), suggesting that TbMORN1 forms tail-to-tail dimers via their C-termini. It remained unclear whether the polypeptide chains in dimers were in a parallel or antiparallel orientation, however.

TbMORN1 can bind lipid side chains but not phospholipid liposomes

To identify candidate phospholipids that interacted with TbMORN1, we initially used PIP strips. These resulted in the identification of a number of candidates, of which PI(4,5)P$_2$ was the most interesting due to its well-established role as an endocytic cofactor. We subsequently used fluorescence anisotropy (FA) to quantify binding of TbMORN1 to PI(4,5)P$_2$, but these results could subsequently not be validated in liposome binding assays (Fig. S2). We carried out additional controls modifying liposome curvatures and concentrating PI(4,5)P$_2$ in microdomains by addition of cholesterol, but this did not result in binding (Fig. S4A). Through sequence analysis we identified putative binding sites in repeats 13 and 14 and mutagenised selected Arg and Lys residues to Ala. The mutagenesis did not affect secondary structure content or lipid binding as assessed by FA, but when both sites were mutated simultaneously, the protein became monomeric (Fig. S4B-D). Subsequent assays showed that the positive results of the FA experiments were principally due to an interaction with longer aliphatic chains rather than the lipid headgroup (Fig. S5).

TbMORN1 co-purifies with PE but does not bind to lipid vesicles in vitro

A caveat to the previous conclusion was that the purified recombinant TbMORN1 was obtained via mechanical lysis of bacterial cells in the absence of detergent (see Materials and Methods). It was therefore possible that bacterial lipids might be occupying the lipid binding sites on TbMORN1.

To test this, purified recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) and TbMORN1(10-15) were treated according to a de-lipidation protocol, and the resulting supernatants were analysed by mass

spectrometry. Interestingly, large amounts of phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) were found to have been bound to TbMORN1(1-15) (Fig. 2A). The co-purifying PE displayed a remarkably narrow window of molecular moieties differing in the length of the aliphatic chain (30:0, 33:1, 34:1, 36:2). No significant PE presence was detected in supernatants obtained following de-lipidation of TbMORN1(10-15) (Fig 2B). Given that TbMORN1(10-15) showed robust binding to PI(4,5)P$_2$ with a longer 16-carbon aliphatic chain (Fig. S2C), this suggested that PE was not occluding the binding site.

To remove co-purifying lipids, recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) was purified using hydrophobic interaction chromatography - in this regime, almost no lipids were detected in the elutions. Triton X-100 treatment was also found to efficiently remove bound lipids.

Co-purification of PE with TbMORN1(1-15) is not evidence of physiological interaction, as PE is highly abundant in bacteria and carries a net neutral charge (Cronan, 2003). As such, PE might simply have associated with the recombinant protein following lysis of the bacteria prior to purification. To investigate this, mass spectrometry analysis of whole-cell lipid extracts from bacteria expressing recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) or TbMORN1(10-15) was carried out. Curiously, bacterial cells expressing TbMORN1(1-15) showed elevated levels of PE (Fig. 2C). This effect was not seen in bacterial cells expressing TbMORN1(10-15), which had approximately wild-type levels of PE (Fig. 2D).

In summary, TbMORN1(1-15) but not TbMORN1(10-15) was found to have co-purifying PE, and bacterial cells expressing TbMORN1(1-15) appeared to have elevated levels of PE. This suggested that PE might be a plausible candidate for a physiological interaction partner of TbMORN1, and that it requires MORN repeats 1-9 for binding.

To test the possibility of PE binding, and also to check whether the presence of co-purifying PE was affecting possible PI(4,5)P$_2$ binding, pelleting assays using sucrose-loaded vesicles (SLVs) were carried out. No association with liposomes was seen regardless if the protein was treated with Triton X-100 beforehand (Fig. S6A-C).

Finally, in an unbiased and high-throughput approach, TbMORN1 was tested in a liposome microarray assay (LiMA). The EGFP-TbMORN1(2-15) protein showed no significant preference or affinity for liposomes across the whole range of conditions tested (Fig. S6D, E).

Taken together, these data suggest that TbMORN1 requires long aliphatic chains of the lipid for binding, which would explain the negative results in the liposome-based assays.

TbMORN1 does not associate with membranes *in vivo*

At this point, the only remaining positive indicators of an interaction of TbMORN1 with lipids came from the PIP strips (Fig. S2A), and the bacterial mass spectrometry data (Fig. 2A, C). Both these positive pieces of evidence related to TbMORN1(1-15), which was polydisperse in vitro and formed large oligomers (Fig. 1B). It was not possible to test the membrane-

291 binding activity of these polydisperse oligomers in vitro however, as the purification yields of
292 TbMORN1(1-15) were always low. As an alternative, the possible membrane association of
293 TbMORN1(1-15) was examined in vivo.
294
For these experiments, cell lines of bloodstream form *T. brucei* were generated that inducibly
expressed TbMORN1(1-15) with an N-terminal Ty1 epitope tag. Induction of Ty1-
TbMORN1(1-15) overexpression using tetracycline (Tet) produced a strong growth defect in
all three *T. brucei* clones tested (Fig. 3A). A rise in the number of so-called "BigEye" cells with
grossly enlarged flagellar pockets was seen in the same 96-hour time window (Fig. 3B). Such
a phenotype had previously been seen following depletion of TbMORN1 (Morriswood &
Schmidt, 2015). The BigEye phenotype is thought to result from perturbations to membrane
traffic, especially endocytosis (Allen et al., 2003).
303
304 Immunoblotting with anti-TbMORN1 antibodies confirmed tight and inducible expression of
305 the ectopic Ty1-TbMORN1 protein (Fig. 3C, left panel). The presence of the Ty1 epitope tag
306 in the ectopic protein was confirmed by blotting with anti-Ty1 antibodies (Fig. 3C, right panel).
307 Quantification of the immunoblots indicated only around 2-fold overexpression of Ty1-
308 TbMORN1 relative to endogenous TbMORN1 protein (Fig. 3D).
309
The strong growth defect and the production of BigEye cells were unexpected, and could
potentially be due either to the overexpression of the TbMORN1 protein, or to the presence
of the Ty1 tag. To check whether overexpression alone could drive a negative outcome, cells
that inducibly expressed untagged TbMORN1 from an ectopic locus were generated.
Overexpression of untagged TbMORN1 from the ectopic locus was detected by
immunoblotting (Fig. S7A). Quantification of overexpression indicated that approximately 7
times more TbMORN1 protein was present in induced cells relative to controls (Fig. S7B).
Cells overexpressing untagged TbMORN1 exhibited a very strong growth defect and the
BigEye phenotype, stronger than that seen for overexpression of Ty1-TbMORN1 (Fig. S7C).
Therefore, TbMORN1 protein levels alone were capable of producing a negative effect on
growth in the absence of the Ty1 tag. It was however not possible to obtain cells that solely
expressed Ty1-TbMORN1 by endogenous replacement, despite repeated attempts. This
indicated that Ty1-TbMORN1 cannot functionally compensate for the loss of the untagged
endogenous protein.
324
The localisation of the overexpressed Ty1-TbMORN1 was assessed using
immunofluorescence microscopy. Uninduced cells labelled with anti-TbMORN1 antibodies
showed the expected localisation of endogenous TbMORN1 at the hook complex.
Conversely, the Ty1-TbMORN1-overexpressing cells displayed a whole-cell labelling pattern
(Fig. 3E, left panels). In these cells no sign of protein aggregation was observed, but the
different distribution compared to the controls suggested that the Ty1-TbMORN1 protein
might not localise correctly. Immunofluorescence microscopy analysis of detergent-
extracted cytoskeleton fractions labelled using anti-Ty1 antibodies confirmed that Ty1-
TbMORN1 was able to target correctly to the hook complex; as expected, no labelling was
seen in uninduced controls. (Fig. 3E, right panels).
335

To confirm the immunofluorescence microscopy observations, one-step biochemical
fractionation using the non-ionic detergent IGEPAL was used. The detergent-soluble
cytoplasmic fraction (supernatant, SN) was separated from the detergent-insoluble
cytoskeleton (pellet, P) by centrifugation (Fig. 3F). In control cells, endogenous TbMORN1
associated almost entirely with the cytoskeletal (P) fraction (Fig. 3G). Blotting fractions from
Ty1-TbMORN1-overexpressing cells with anti-TbMORN1 antibodies showed that the
overexpressed Ty1-TbMORN1 was mostly detergent-soluble and therefore present in the
cytoplasmic fraction (Fig. 3G, arrow 1). However, a small amount of the Ty1-TbMORN1
protein associated with the cytoskeleton (P) fraction. This association was accompanied by
a displacement of some of the endogenous protein into the cytoplasmic SN fraction (Fig. 3G,
arrows 2).

Quantification of fractionation data supported the qualitative analysis (Fig. 3H). Summing the
signals of Ty1-TbMORN1 and TbMORN1 present in the cytoskeletal (P) fraction in
overexpressing cells indicated that the total amount of cytoskeleton-associated protein was
approximately the same as in controls (Fig. 3H, grey bar). This suggested that there are a
finite number of Ty1-TbMORN1 molecules that can associate with the cytoskeleton. As the
total amount of endogenous and ectopic TbMORN1 associated with the cytoskeleton is
roughly the same in both overexpressing cells and controls, this suggested also that the
dominant negative cellular effects are primarily due to the endogenous and ectopic
TbMORN1 in the cytoplasmic (SN) fraction.

To determine if the cytoplasmic fraction of endogenous and ectopic TbMORN1 in the
overexpressing cells was organelle-associated or cytosolic, two-step fractionations were
carried out (Fig. 4A). These assays involved a first extraction with digitonin to generate a
cytosolic fraction (SN1), then a second extraction with IGEPAL to generate an organelle-
associated fraction (SN2). Digitonin has an affinity for cholesterol and other lipids enriched in
the plasma membrane, so at the right concentration it should enable the extraction of cytosol
while leaving organelle membranes relatively intact (Adam et al., 1990).

The conditions for digitonin extraction were optimised using a cell line expressing cytosolic
GFP as a marker (Fig. S8) (Batram et al., 2014). A two-step extraction using first digitonin and
then IGEPAL was carried out on Ty1-TbMORN1 overexpressing cells, with the cells
expressing cytosolic GFP spiked in alongside them (Fig. 4A). The digitonin supernatant (SN1)
was enriched for cytosol, as shown by the presence of the GFP marker. The organelle-
associated fraction, tracked by immunoblotting for the ER lumenal chaperone BiP, was
present in the pellet (P1). The P1 fraction was subsequently extracted using IGEPAL and the
BiP marker was observed to predominantly partition into SN2 (Fig. 4B). Analysing equal
fractions by immunoblotting showed that the Ty1-TbMORN1 and TbMORN1 proteins not
associated with the cytoskeleton were predominantly cytosolic and partitioned with the GFP
marker into the SN1 fraction (Fig. 4B, arrows 1).

The Ty1-TbMORN1 and TbMORN1 in the P1 fraction (Fig. 4A) were not strongly extracted by
IGEPAL and therefore barely present in the second supernatant (SN2), while the ER marker
BiP was (Fig. 4B, arrows 2). Almost all the Ty1-TbMORN1 and TbMORN1 present in P1

partitioned into the second pellet, P2. Quantification of multiple independent experiments
using the three separate clones produced results consistent with the exemplary blot shown
(Fig. 4C, D). The presence of the overexpressed Ty1-TbMORN1 and displaced endogenous
TbMORN1 in the digitonin supernatant (SN1) indicated that they are predominantly cytosolic.
385
386 In conclusion, the extensive studies conducted on TbMORN1 here provide no evidence that
387 its MORN repeats are able to associate with phospholipid membranes in vivo, or directly
388 interact with phospholipid vesicles in vitro.
389
390

High-resolution crystal structures of three MORN repeat proteins

392
If MORN repeats do not bind membranes, then this raises the question of what they really
do, and whether this other function might unify the various observations made about MORN
repeat proteins to date. ALS2 has been suggested to use its MORN repeats to form an
antiparallel dimer (Kunita et al., 2004), and the evidence obtained here showed that
TbMORN1 molecules also formed tail-to-tail dimers via their C-termini (Figs. 1, S1).
Mammalian PI(4)P 5-kinases are also dimers, implying that the MORN repeats found at the
N-termini of the family of plant PIPKs might function to mediate homotypic interactions (Rao
et al., 1998). To investigate the molecular basis of TbMORN1 dimerisation, high-resolution
structural studies were used.
402
Crystallisation trials were initially performed with TbMORN1(2-15) and TbMORN1(7-15).
Diffraction data were obtained for TbMORN1(7-15) in two different crystal forms (P2$_1$ and C2),
but attempts to solve the phase problem using experimental phasing approaches (multiple
isomorphous replacement, multiple anomalous scattering exploiting selenium and sulphur
atom signals) and molecular replacement failed due to low reproducibility of crystals,
anisotropy of diffraction data, and absence of sufficient homology of TbMORN1 to other
MORN repeat-containing proteins of known structure. At that point, the only MORN repeat-
containing protein for which the crystal structure was solved was SETD7, a histone
methyltransferase. SETD7 is predicted by the TRUST algorithm to contain up to 6 MORN
repeats at its N-terminus (Szklarczyk & Heringa, 2004). However, the repeats display low
sequence homology to both the MORN repeats of TbMORN1 and the consensus MORN
repeat sequence obtained by Pfam (El-Gebali et al., 2019). This prevented successful use of
molecular replacement as an approach.
416
As a new tactic, the MORN1 proteins from the apicomplexan parasites *Plasmodium*
falciparum (PfMORN1) and *Toxoplasma gondii* (TgMORN1) were targeted for structure
determination. Despite their evolutionary distance, they share high (57% and 54%,
respectively) sequence identity with TbMORN1 (Fig. S9A, B). CD measurements of purified
recombinant protein indicated that TgMORN1, TgMORN1(7-15), PfMORN1, PfMORN1(2-15)
and PfMORN1(7-15) all had an overall β-structure (Fig. S9C, D). This agrees with
bioinformatic predictions and is consistent with the data obtained for TbMORN1 (Fig. S1E).
424

Diffracting crystals of selenomethionine-labelled PfMORN1(7-15) were obtained and its
crystal structure was determined to 2.14 Å resolution using the single-wavelength anomalous
dispersion (SAD) method. The structures of TgMORN1(7-15) and both $P2_1$ and C2 crystal
forms of TbMORN1(7-15) were subsequently determined to 2.90, 2.35 and 2.53 Å resolution,
respectively, with the PfMORN1(7-15) structure used as a search model for the molecular
replacement (Fig. 5A-C). The structures of PfMORN1(7-15), TgMORN1(7-15), and both $P2_1$
and C2 forms of TbMORN1(7-15) were refined to an R_{work}/R_{free} of 23.0%/26.4%,
28.3%/32.2%, 23.2%/25.6% and 22.5%/28.2%, respectively (Table 1). TbMORN1(7-15) $P2_1$
(Fig. 5A), C2 (Fig. S10A) and TgMORN1(7-15) (Fig. 5B) crystallised with one dimer in the
asymmetric unit, while PfMORN1(7-15) (Fig. 5C) crystallised with one subunit in the
asymmetric unit, and the functional dimer was formed via crystallographic symmetry axis.

All MORN1(7-15) crystal structures show subunit interactions via the C-terminal regions to
form antiparallel tail-to-tail dimers, with variable inter-subunit angles and dimerisation
interfaces (Fig. 5A-C, Fig. S10A). The two subunits in the TbMORN1(7-15) C2 crystal form
and the TgMORN1(7-15) dimer make a rather straight assembly, while in the $P2_1$ form they
display a bend of about 30° (Fig. S10A), leading to a rod-shaped particle appearance. This
dimer architecture is consistent with the limited proteolysis data, which had indicated that
the N-terminal regions of the molecule are more susceptible to limited proteolysis (Fig. S1A,
B). Interestingly, PfMORN1(7-15) displays a V-shaped dimer with an inter-subunit angle of
about 45°, and incorporates a structural Zn^{2+} ion at the dimer interface (Fig. 5C). One crystal
form of TgMORN1(7-15) also adopts the same V-shape seen for PfMORN1(7-15) (Fig. S12B).
Here too, a Zn^{2+} ion is found at the dimer interface.

Superimposing the TbMORN1(7-15) $P2_1$ subunit with the structures of the TgMORN1(7-15)
and PfMORN1(7-15) subunits over 202 C_α atoms yielded rmsd values of 1.0 and 1.1 Å,
respectively, revealing high structural similarity between the three proteins. The common
structural feature of all three subunits is an elongated and twisted β-sheet. The curved shape
of each constituent MORN repeat forms a groove laterally delimited by a rim. An individual
MORN1(7-15) subunit is approximately 80 Å in length and displays a longitudinal groove of
about 16 Å in depth (Fig. 5A).

Comparison of a single TbMORN1(7-15) subunit with known three-dimensional structures
was carried out using the DALI server (Holm & Rosenstrom, 2010, Holm & Laakso, 2016).
This revealed closest similarity with the G-box domain at the C-terminus of the human CPAP
protein. CPAP is a centriolar protein essential for microtubule recruitment. The G-box
comprises a single elongated β-sheet with all residues being solvent-exposed, and is capable
of forming supramolecular assemblies [PDB entry 4LZF, (Hatzopoulos et al., 2013)]. Despite
the low sequence identity (10%) between TbMORN1(7-15) and CPAP, the Z-score calculated
by DALI suggested a significant similarity between the two structures (rmsd over 155
superimposed C_α atoms = 3.9 Å, Z-score = 12).

A structure-based redefinition of the MORN repeat

The crystal structures confirmed that each 23-amino acid MORN repeat is composed of a β-hairpin followed by a 6-residue loop that connects to the next MORN repeat. Each β-hairpin is composed of two 6-residue β-strands connected by a 5-residue loop. The MORN repeats from TbMORN1(7-15) could be readily superimposed, showing a high level of structural conservation (Fig. 5D). Based on this high level of conservation, a revised sequence alignment of the TbMORN1 repeats was constructed that better reflects the structural architecture of the protein (Fig. 5E, compare with Fig. 1A). The alignment of repeats 7-15 was obtained directly from structural superpositions and used to bootstrap the alignment of the repeats 1-6.

The new consensus MORN repeat sequence displays three highly-conserved features: a GxG motif at the start, a conserved glycine (G) at position 10, and a YEGEW motif at positions 13-17 (Fig. 5E). A slightly less conserved LxY motif is at positions 5-7. The GxG motif is at the beginning of the first β-strand, while the YEGEW motif comprises most of the second β-strand.

The glycine residues at position 10 are in a β-hairpin of type I, where the most commonly-observed residue at this position is a glycine (Hutchinson & Thornton, 1994). The GxG motif is strictly conserved because the first G residue adopts a main chain conformation mapping to the lower right corner of the Ramachandran plot, which is exclusively allowed for glycines. The conformation of this glycine is stabilised via a main-chain hydrogen bond with the tryptophan (W) residue of the YEGEW motif (Fig. 5F). The high conservation of the second glycine residue in the GxG motif is to accommodate the highly conserved neighbouring aromatic residues from the YEGEW and LxY motifs, as any larger side chain would create steric clashes. The tyrosine and tryptophan side chains of the YEGEW and LxY motifs provide a textbook example of aromatic stacking, filling up the groove and stabilising the tertiary structure of the TbMORN1 subunit (Fig. 5F, G). The highly conserved tyrosine of the YEGEW motif is sandwiched in a T-shaped π-stacking interaction between two tryptophan residues, one from its own motif and the second from the following YEGEW motif (Fig. 5F). The tyrosine of the LxY motif is stabilised via hydrophobic and/or aromatic stacking interactions with the leucine residues in its own and the subsequent LxY motif.

Three MORN repeats of SETD7 display similar general features, but differ in details, and can be aligned with TbMORN1 repeat 7 over 22-23 aligned C_α atoms with an rmsd of 2.3, 1.5 and 1.9 Å respectively (Fig. S10B). The first glycine residue in the SETD7 MORN repeats is conserved with that in TbMORN1, while tyrosine, phenylalanine, and valine replace the tryptophan of the YEGEW motif (Fig. S10C).

TbMORN1 forms an extended antiparallel dimer with overall negative charge

The TbMORN1(7-15) antiparallel dimer displays a two-fold symmetry perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the assembly (Fig. 5A). Analysis of amino acid conservation derived from a sequence alignment of representative MORN repeat-containing proteins showed a well

conserved stretch of residues in the groove (Fig. S11A). Due to the twofold symmetric nature of the quaternary structure assembly, structural and surface properties are displayed on opposite sides of the elongated dimeric particle and perpetuated symmetrically along the rims (Fig. S11).

The TbMORN1 dimer displays an overall negative electrostatic potential with a central positively-charged pocket (Fig. S11B). The overall negative charge of TbMORN1(7-15) and the lack of pronounced positive patches that could serve as binding sites for negatively-charged phospholipid polar heads is consistent with the negative binding data for lipid membranes (Fig. S11B, C).

TbMORN1(7-15) formed an extended antiparallel dimer in both crystal lattices, while TgMORN1(7-15) adopted this architecture in one crystal form. The extended dimer interface is built from residues in MORN repeats 12-15, which connect the two subunits in an antiparallel, tail-to-tail orientation (Fig. 5A-C). In both crystal forms of TbMORN1(7-15), the central core of the dimer interface is broadly similar (Fig. 6A, Fig. S12A). Due to the twofold symmetry, the majority of the stabilising interactions are duplicated and build up an extended dimer interface.

The tightest overlap between the two subunits occurs through MORN repeats 14 and 15. A series of hydrophobic and aromatic π-stacking interactions between residues from repeats 14 and 15, together with hydrogen bonds across the edges, stabilise the dimer (Fig. 6A, Fig. S12A). Furthermore, the very negatively-charged C-terminal region of one TbMORN1 subunit forms an arch above the positively-charged platform contributed by Lys316 and Arg293 (Fig. S11B). Two residues from MORN repeat 14 - Lys325 (subunit A) and Asp326 (subunit B) - form a salt bridge, which further stabilises the dimer interface.

In the $P2_1$ and C2 TbMORN1(7-15) crystal forms, the dimer interfaces occupy surface areas of 747 Å^2 and 966 Å^2, respectively. Calculations of gain in solvation free energy ($\Delta^i G$) upon dimer formation for TbMORN1(7-15) C2 and $P2_1$ performed with the PDBePISA package (Krissinel & Henrick, 2007) yielded values of -20.1 kcal/mol and -11.3 kcal/mol, respectively, with corresponding p-values of interface specificity 0.01 and 0.08. $\Delta^i G$ values lower than -10 to -15 kcal/mol and p-values lower than 0.5 are significant for stable protein dimers, indicating highly specific dimerisation interfaces (Krissinel & Henrick, 2007).

In the C2 crystal form, the dimer is additionally stabilised by two disulphide bridges formed between Cys351 at the C-terminus of repeat 15, and Cys282 from the β-hairpin loop of repeat 12 (Fig. S12A). In the $P2_1$ crystal structure, the position of the loop differs from that in the C2 dimer, and keeps the C_α atoms of Cys351 and Cys282 at a distance of 11.7 Å, preventing disulphide bond formation. Here, the side chain of Cys351 is engaged in a polar interaction with Asp303 (Fig. 6A, Fig. S12A).

In comparison to the TbMORN1(7-15) C2 crystal form, the extended TgMORN1(7-15) structure has an approximately 1.5-times smaller dimer interface (601 Å^2), which is contributed by residues from MORN repeats 13-15 only (Fig. 5B, Fig. S12C). This is closer in

559 size to the 747 $Å^2$ interface of the $P2_1$ crystal form of TbMORN1(7-15). The final C-terminal
560 part of the protein seems to be flexible and does not engage in the stabilisation of the dimer.
561 In comparison to TbMORN1(7-15), the dimer interface of TgMORN1(7-15) is not built around
562 aromatic stacking, but instead employs hydrophobic interactions between Phe350 and
563 neighbouring small hydrophobic residues, such as Leu335 and Leu327 (Fig. S12C).
564
A retroactive validation of the dimer interface came from the earlier $PI(4,5)P_2$-binding work.
Mutagenesis of repeat 14 had produced a mixture of monomers and dimers, while the
simultaneous mutagenesis of two candidate sites in repeats 13 and 14 had resulted in a pure
monomer population (Fig. S4B). Analysis of the interaction and electrostatic maps of
TbMORN1(7-15) and its mutagenised variants clearly showed that Arg293 and Lys316,
residing in repeats 13 and 14 respectively, are crucial for maintaining TbMORN1 in a stable
dimeric state through electrostatic interactions (Fig. 6C). Lys315 (in MORN repeat 14) does
not appear to be directly involved in the dimerisation interface, but could peripherally
contribute to the stabilisation of the C-terminal region of TbMORN1 through its electrostatic
potential. Residues Arg292, Lys296 (both in MORN repeat 13), and Arg321, Lys325 (both in
MORN repeat 14) were mapped to the outer surface of the dimer and therefore do not take
part in the dimerisation interface (Fig. 6A, Fig. S12A).
577
578 The transition of TbMORN1(2-15)^{Mut14} from a dimeric to a mixed monomer/dimer population
579 is therefore a direct consequence of the single point mutation K316A, whereas the complete
580 abrogation of dimerisation observed for the TbMORN1(2-15)$^{Mut13+14}$ double mutant can be
581 attributed to a synergistic effect of both R293A and K316A point mutations (Fig. 6C, Fig.
582 S4B), thereby verifying their contribution to dimer formation.
583
584
585 ## The V-shaped and extended dimer forms of apicomplexan MORN1 proteins
586
Unlike the extended dimers of TbMORN1(7-15) and TgMORN1(7-15), the V-shaped
PfMORN1(7-15) is mainly stabilised by a single Zn^{2+} ion incorporated into the core of its dimer
interface, spanning over 665 $Å^2$ (Fig. 5C, Fig 6B). The Cys306 and Asp309 residues from each
subunit tetrahedrally coordinate the Zn^{2+} at expected distances (2.32 Å for Zn^{2+}-S and 1.94 Å
for Zn^{2+}-O). In addition, residues from repeats 13-15 are involved in stabilising the dimer via
a combination of hydrophobic, polar, and electrostatic interactions across the subunits.
593
Although TgMORN1(7-15) was predominantly found as an extended dimer (Fig. 5B), a V-
shaped form similar to that of PfMORN1(7-15) was also observed in the crystal lattice (Fig.
S12B). The two V-shaped dimers share the same coordination sphere of a Zn^{2+} ion, which in
TgMORN1(7-15) is provided by the Cys305 and Asp308 residues (Fig. S12D). The latter
residue in turn interacts with the Ser310 from the other subunit. While the salt bridge and
anion π-interactions are also conserved between the two V-shaped dimers, the aromatic
stacking present in the core of the PfMORN1(7-15) dimer interface is functionally replaced in
the TgMORN1(7-15) V-shaped dimer by a series of aromatic stacking interactions at its
vertex.
603

Although Asp residues 303 (TbMORN1), 308 (TgMORN1) and 309 (PfMORN1) are conserved in all the three proteins, the coordination of a Zn^{2+} ion clearly demands the presence of both cysteine and aspartate residues. Such pairs are present in PfMORN1 and TgMORN1, but not in TbMORN1, where the cysteine residue at the corresponding position is replaced by Leu301. The coordination residues map to the β-hairpin of MORN repeat 13, which in TgMORN1 and PfMORN1, but not TbMORN1, contains an insertion of a glutamate residue - Glu307 and Glu308, respectively. Taking part in an anion π-interaction with a phenylalanine residue (Phe303 and Phe304, respectively), the resulting Glu-Phe pairs effectively stabilise the TgMORN1 and PfMORN1 dimers in their V-shaped form. Moreover, these very same glutamate residues further stabilise the two V-shaped dimers by being involved in a salt bridge with lysine residues (Lys321 in TgMORN1 and Lys322 in PfMORN1). At the equivalent position in TbMORN1, Lys316 does not participate in a salt bridge, but rather points towards the negative patch at the C-terminal part of the other subunit and stabilises the extended dimer via electrostatic interactions.

To see whether it was possible to predict if a MORN repeat protein forms either extended or V-shaped dimers, or both, a comparative sequence analysis was carried out. The sequences of 15 selected MORN repeat proteins from various protist lineages were aligned with the C-terminal parts of TbMORN1, TgMORN1 and PfMORN1 encompassing repeats 12-15 (Fig. S13). The residues in the crystal structures of TgMORN1(7-15) and PfMORN1(7-15) forming the Zn^{2+}-coordination sphere and anion π-interaction were taken as a fingerprint for a V-shaped dimer. All sequences of MORN repeat proteins from kinetoplastids contain a leucine residue (Leu301 in the case of *T. brucei*) at the position of the coordinating Cys residue. They additionally lack the Phe-Glu pair that flank the coordinating Cys residue and form the anion π-interaction. As these residues are essential for V-shape dimerisation, the kinetoplastid proteins should form extended dimers only. Conversely, all protein sequences in the dataset from apicomplexans (*Toxoplasma gondii, Plasmodium falciparum, Gregarina niphandrode*s, *Babesia microti*, *Cryptosporidium parvum, Eimeria acervuline, Theileria equi*) contained these cysteine, phenylalanine, and glutamate residues. This suggests that all these apicomplexan proteins can adopt both extended and V-shaped forms. In addition, the sequences from the alveolates *Symbiodinium microadriaticum* and *Perkinsus marinus*, and the stramenopile *Aureococcus anophagefferens* also contain these three residues, implying that they too should adopt both extended and V-shaped conformations. Apicomplexans belong to the Alveolata clade, and both alveolates and stramenopiles are in the SAR supergroup (Adl et al., 2019). This suggests that the ability to adopt two conformations might have arisen within this specific clade, and possibly explains its absence from the kinetoplastid sequences, as kinetoplastids are excavates. The functional implications of this built-in structural plasticity seemingly modulated by the presence of zinc ions remain intriguing.

MORN1 proteins adopt extended conformations in solution

The crystal structures were all consistent with the earlier results of the cross-linking mass spectrometry experiments carried out on TbMORN1 in solution, which had indicated close proximity between repeats 13-15 (Table S1). To investigate the presence of V-shaped and extended dimers in solution, all three MORN1 proteins were structurally analysed using small-

angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). SAXS analysis of TbMORN1(7-15) and TgMORN1(7-15) indicated an extended dimer in solution, and the crystal structures could be docked into the calculated molecular envelopes without difficulty (Fig. 7A, B, Fig. S12E). The SAXS analysis of PfMORN1(7-15) indicated an extended structure, similar to that seen for TbMORN1(7-15) and TgMORN1(7-15) (Fig. 7C, Fig. S12E). This supports the prediction that TgMORN1 and PfMORN1 are capable of adopting two different conformations. Subsequent SAXS experiments produced a molecular envelope for TbMORN1(2-15) into which an extrapolated version of the model of TbMORN1(7-15) could be docked as an extended dimer (Fig. 7D, Fig. S12E).

Rotary shadowing EM on TbMORN1(2-15) produced results consistent with the SAXS analysis, showing small kinked rods approximately 25 nm in length (Fig. 7E). The population was homogeneous, consistent with the monodispersity of this sample observed by SEC-MALS (Fig. 1B). Comparison of the maximal dimer dimension (D_{max}) for TbMORN1(2-15) obtained from SAXS and EM showed a very good agreement between the two values of 250-260 Å. Rotary shadowing EM was also carried out on the small amount of TbMORN1(1-15) that eluted from the SEC column (Fig. 7F, G). Full-length TbMORN1 was far more heterogeneous than TbMORN1(2-15), consistent with the polydispersity observed by SEC-MALS (Fig. 1B). In addition to single kinked rods, longer filamentous assemblies of varying length were occasionally observed (Fig. 7F). Rarely, much larger meshlike assemblies of full-length TbMORN1 could be observed (Fig. 7G), offering a tantalising clue into the higher-order assembly properties of the protein. These properties will be investigated in future work.

DISCUSSION

MORN repeats were first named almost 20 years ago, in a paper identifying the junctophilin protein family (Takeshima et al., 2000). While there is abundant evidence that junctophilins associate with the plasma membrane, and that the MORN repeat-containing region is likely to mediate this, there is to the authors' knowledge no report demonstrating that the junctophilin MORN repeats directly interact with lipids (Takeshima et al., 2000, Munro et al., 2016, Woo et al., 2016, Perni et al., 2017, Jayasinghe et al., 2018, Nakada et al., 2018, Rossi et al., 2019).

This evidence that the MORN repeat-containing region of junctophilins mediates plasma membrane targeting has been repeatedly cited as showing that MORN repeats directly bind lipids. Warnings that the function of MORN repeats has not really been elucidated, and that extant data are frequently contradictory, have been largely overlooked (Mikami et al., 2010). We therefore set out to test the lipid-binding hypothesis by using a protein composed exclusively of MORN repeats, TbMORN1.

Early experiments utilising isolated lipids in PIP strips and fluorescence anisotropy assays suggested an ability of TbMORN1 to bind specific phospholipids. These results could never be confirmed in liposome pelleting assays however, and the quantitative molecular biophysics data strongly suggest that the observed binding was to the aliphatic chains of the isolated lipids.

Given that TbMORN1 is a cytoskeleton-associated protein, it is hard to imagine how it would
be able to access the hydrophobic chains of membrane-embedded phospholipids in vivo,
although it is localised directly under the cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane. The
electrostatic profile of TbMORN1(7-15) is also not suggestive of membrane binding, with a
strong overall negative charge profile (Fig. S11B).

Unexpectedly, purified recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) was found to co-purify with PE.
Bacteria expressing TbMORN1(1-15) showed elevated levels of PE, which might suggest that
TbMORN1 was binding and sequestering the lipid, requiring them to upregulate synthesis
(Fig. 2A, C). Almost no PE was found to co-purify with TbMORN1(10-15), and none was
detected with the apicomplexan MORN1 proteins. This suggests that the first 9 MORN
repeats of TbMORN1 might be a major interaction area for PE.

No co-purification of PE was seen with the apicomplexan MORN1 proteins despite >50%
identity at an amino acid level. This lipid-binding activity therefore seems specific to
TbMORN1. The elevation of PE levels seen in bacteria expressing TbMORN1(1-15) is highly
unusual, and the authors do not currently have a good explanation apart from invoking lipid
sequestration and transport to specific sites (Chung et al., 2015, Moser von Filseck et al.,
2015). If the PE co-purifying with recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) was just carry-over, then the
lipid profile should resemble that of total bacterial cellular lipids, which is not the case.
Furthermore, the bound PE moieties display a narrow range of aliphatic chains, suggesting
specificity of binding/recognition.

Lipids in trypanosomes have, in general, much longer aliphatic chains than those in bacteria.
In *T. brucei*, PE accounts for around 10-20% of total lipid, with aliphatic chains of 36:0 being
the dominant isoform (Richmond et al., 2010). In *E. coli*, PE is the predominant zwitterionic
lipid and accounts for around 80% of total lipid (Epand & Epand, 2009). As the length of the
side chains in bacterial lipids is predominantly 14 and 16 carbon atoms (Pramanik & Keasling,
1997), PE molecules with 16 carbon atoms chains are the most common. Notably,
TbMORN1(1-15) overexpressed in *E. coli* co-purified with PE species of much greater length
(30:0 – 36:2) (Fig. 2A), which was also reflected in increased production of these PE species
in overexpressing bacteria (Fig. 2C). Taken together, these data strongly suggest the
specificity of TbMORN1(1-15) towards PE species with aliphatic chains of a length
characteristic for *T. brucei*.

Neither PE nor any other lipids were observed in the crystal structure of TbMORN1(7-15).
This might be due to the fact that TbMORN1(7-15) does not contain the N-terminal part of
the molecule. Alternatively, the lysine methylation step used to enhance crystallisation might
have prevented electrostatic interactions with lipid molecules due to the loss of positive
charge.

Due to the twofold symmetry of both extended and V-shaped MORN1 dimers, the dimeric
particle displays the conserved groove on opposite sides, therefore excluding this region for
interaction with the membranes and leaving as an option the rims lining the groove. In the

extended dimers, the two rims display a concave and a convex curvature (Fig. S11),
suggestive of membrane sculpting BAR domain proteins which interact with membranes
mainly via non-specific electrostatic interactions (Salzer et al., 2017, Carman & Dominguez,
2018). These curved surfaces in MORN1 dimers nevertheless do not display a pronounced
positive charge, nor the typical membrane insertion motifs characteristic for BAR domain
proteins, thus refuting the hypothesis for membrane binding. In conclusion, the quaternary
structure architecture together with surface properties of the MORN1 dimers do not support
membrane binding.

It is important to note that phospholipid binding and membrane binding are two separate
things. Not interacting with membranes might not preclude the TbMORN1 proteins extracting
PE or other phospholipids out of membranes without stably interacting with the membranes
themselves. A similar hypothesis would be that TbMORN1 functions as a carrier/transporter
of lipids with aliphatic chains within a certain length, as for example found for non-vesicular
phosphatidylserine transport by oxysterol-binding proteins from the site of synthesis to the
site of biological activity (Maeda et al., 2013). What would be the corresponding physiological
role in the context of the flagellar pocket in trypanosomes is difficult to envisage at present.
For now, the simplest interpretation remains that there is no interaction of TbMORN1 with
lipid membranes, and consequently no physiological interaction with membrane-embedded
phospholipids either. These data have clear implications for other MORN repeat proteins.
Junctophilins do appear to bind lipids, but it is not clear if their MORN repeats are mediating
this or are merely within the region/domain involved (Bennett et al., 2013, Jiang et al., 2019).

Based on the data presented in this study, the presence of MORN repeats in a protein should
not be taken as indicative of lipid binding or lipid membrane binding without experimental
evidence. Equally, evidence of binding from PIP strips alone should be interpreted with
caution.

If MORN repeats are not lipid binding modules by default, this raises the question of what
they might actually be doing. The structural studies presented here make a case that one
conserved function of MORN repeats is in homotypic interactions, and possibly also in
higher-order assembly. The role of MORN repeats in heterotypic interactions has recently
been demonstrated at a structural level (Li et al., 2019). In the case of TbMORN1, previous
work using proximity-dependent labelling (BioID) has already established that it has a number
of direct and indirect binding partners in vivo (Morriswood et al., 2013).

All three MORN1 proteins analysed here formed tail-to-tail dimers via their C-termini with the
subunits aligned in an antiparallel arrangement. The all-β structure of the proteins folds in a
twisting elongated shape, with a groove lined with aromatic side chains running longitudinally
through it. While this manuscript was in preparation, Li et al. published a high-resolution
structure of the MORN4/retinophilin protein in complex with the myosin 3a tail. Binding was
mediated by this central groove, showing that it can be engaged in very high-affinity protein-
protein interactions (Li et al., 2019).

The three high-resolution structures described in this work are amongst the first canonical
MORN repeat proteins to be detailed. Given that the structures are from representatives of
two of the five eukaryotic supergroups - the excavates, and the SAR (stramenopiles,
alveolates, Rhizaria) clade - this demonstrates how the fundamental structure of the MORN
repeat has been conserved over evolutionary time.
788
The crystal structures in extended conformations were consistent with the lower-resolution
SAXS data obtained on the same proteins in solution (Fig. 7A-C, Fig. S12E, Table S1). Based
on the crystallographic data, the apicomplexan MORN1 proteins appear capable of forming
both extended and V-shaped conformations. The key residues mediating the dimer interface
in the V-shaped form were defined, and shown to be conserved throughout the Apicomplexa.
It thus seems possible that all apicomplexan MORN1 proteins can adopt these two
conformations.
796
MORN1 proteins in Apicomplexa have been shown to be a key component of the basal
complex, which undergoes a constriction event at the end of the cell division cycle (Gubbels
et al., 2006, Hu, 2008). It is therefore tempting to speculate that these extended (Zn-free) and
V-shaped (Zn-bound) conformations represent the pre- and post-constriction states of the
MORN1 proteins in the basal complex. If so, this would constitute a remarkable
rearrangement of the subunits in the dimer, which would move through about 145°
(Supplementary Video 1). It is interesting in this context to note that high levels of Zn ions are
required to support the growth of the blood-stage *P. falciparum* parasite (Marvin et al., 2012).
805
The high-resolution structures also enabled a new structure-based consensus sequence for
a MORN repeat to be defined (Fig. 5E). A single MORN repeat forms a β-hairpin, one of the
conserved building blocks of structural biology, followed by a long tail. The structural basis
for the conservation of individual residues within the MORN repeat has been defined (Fig. 5E,
F). These structures strongly argue that an individual MORN repeat is longer than 14 amino
acids. Despite the lower level of sequence conservation in the tail following the hairpin, a 23-
amino acid length seems the most parsimonious definition from a structural perspective.
813
One interesting consequence of this redefinition of the repeat is that it suggests that full-
length TbMORN1 begins with an incomplete repeat, a feature also noted in the
MORN4/retinophilin structure (Li et al., 2019). The (M)IYSEGE residues at the very N-terminus
are predicted to form only a single β-strand rather than a complete hairpin (Fig. 5E). Li et al.
suggested that this incomplete repeat could function as a capping element, but given that
the first repeat in MORN1 is critical for oligomerisation leading to formation of filamentous
structures, an alternative explanation is possible: the N-terminus of a second TbMORN1
molecule (itself encoding a single β-strand) could complete this hairpin through
intermolecular interactions. Oligomerisation would thus be driven by a "split-MORN repeat"
mechanism where a complete hairpin is formed by the interaction of the N-termini of two
proteins. Further work will be needed to test this hypothesis. The concluding data presented
here suggest that TbMORN1 utilises this oligomerisation capacity to build mesh-like
assemblies, which can reach considerable size in vitro (Fig. 7G). These mesh-like assemblies
may reflect the endogenous organisation of the protein in vivo, where a number of binding

partners have already been identified (Morriswood et al., 2013). The biophysical properties of
these meshworks, as well as their protein-protein interactions and possible lipid-carrying
roles, are likely to be fruitful avenues of investigation.
831
832
833

834 ## MATERIALS AND METHODS:

835
Antibodies and other reagents
All custom antibodies have been described previously. The rabbit anti-TbMORN1 were made
for a previous project (Morriswood et al., 2013). The mouse monoclonal anti-Ty1 (BB2)
antibodies were a gift from Cynthia He (University of Singapore) (Bastin et al., 1996). The
mouse monoclonal anti-PFR1,2 antibodies (L13D6) were a gift from Keith Gull (University of
Oxford) (Kohl et al., 1999). The anti-BiP antibodies were a gift from Jay Bangs (University at
Buffalo) (Bangs et al., 1993). The rabbit anti-GFP antibodies were a gift from Graham Warren
(MRC Laboratory for Molecular Cell Biology) (Pelletier et al., 2002). The following antibodies
were obtained from commercial sources: anti-strep tag StrepMAB-Classic (IBA Biosciences),
HRP-conjugated anti-mouse (ThermoFisher Scientific), anti-GST (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).
Defatted BSA was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.
847
848
Cloning and mutagenesis of expression constructs
The 1077 bp TbMORN1 open reading frame (ORF) (UniProt accession no. Q587D3;
TriTrypDB database accession no. Tb927.6.4670) was amplified by PCR from genomic DNA
obtained from *Trypanosoma brucei brucei* strain Lister 427 and ligated into vector pETM-13
encoding a Strep-tag at the 3' end of the insert. TbMORN1 truncations were generated using
this construct as a template by ligase-independent cloning (Doyle, 2005). The sequences for
TbMORN1(2-15) and TbMORN1(1-14) were additionally ligated into the pCoofy12 vector
encoding a 3C protease-cleavable N-terminal Twin-Strep-tag (Scholz et al., 2013) by
sequence and ligation-independent cloning (Li & Elledge, 2012). Mutagenesis constructs
were generated by standard methods using the pre-existing pCoofy12_TbMORN1(2-15)
construct as the template (Hemsley et al., 1989). All primer sequences are available upon
request. For LiMA experiments, the construct encoding the EGFP-TbMORN1(2-15) was
cloned in a two-step procedure by sequence and ligation-independent cloning followed by
Gibson assembly using pCoofy12_TbMORN1(2-15) and the pEGFP-C1 vector (Gibson et al.,
2009). The 1092 bp TgMORN1 (UniProt accession no. Q3S2E8) and 1095 bp PfMORN1
(UniProt accession no. Q8IJ93) ORFs were amplified by PCR from genomic DNA. Truncations
of the TgMORN1 and PfMORN1 constructs were generated using ligase-independent
cloning. The TgMORN1 constructs were additionally ligated into the pET14 vector encoding
a 3C protease-cleavable N-terminal His10-tag. The PfMORN1 constructs were additionally
ligated into the pCoofy32 encoding a 3C protease-cleavable N-terminal His10-tag and C-
terminal OneStrep-tag.
870
871

Recombinant protein expression and purification

Rosetta 2 (DE3)pLysS bacterial cells transformed with the required expression plasmids were grown at 37 °C with shaking in the presence of the appropriate antibiotics. Large scale expression was carried out either in Luria-Broth or in auto-induction (ZY) medium (Studier, 2005), with 500 ml media being inoculated with 3-5 ml of pre-cultured cells. Cells in Luria-Broth were grown to an OD_{600} ~ 0.8 – 1.0, after which 50 μM IPTG was added to induce recombinant protein expression. The cells were then incubated at lower temperature (overnight, 20 °C). The cells were then harvested by centrifugation (5000 x g, 30 min), and either lysed immediately or stored at -80 °C. For purification, the cells were resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 200 mM NaCl, 5% (w/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT, protease inhibitor cocktail, benzonase). The pellet emulsions were first homogenised by mixing on ice using a T 10 basic Ultra-Turrax dispersing instrument (IKA), and lysis was accomplished using a single cycle in a cell disruptor (Constant systems Ltd), with the pressure set to 1.35 kPa. Lysates were clarified by centrifugation (18,000 x g, 45 min, 4 °C), and a two-step fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) purification protocol using an ÄKTA Protein Purification System (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) at 8 °C was then followed to obtain the recombinant protein. The supernatants were applied to two connected Strep-Trap HP 5 ml columns packed with Strep-Tactin ligand immobilized in an agarose matrix (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) previously equilibrated with equilibration buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 200 mM NaCl, 2% (w/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT). Flow speed was adjusted to 2.5 ml/min. When 100% step gradient of elution buffer (equilibration buffer plus 2.5 mM D-desthiobiotin) was applied, the bound proteins were eluted in a single chromatographic peak. Selected peak fractions were examined by SDS-PAGE for protein content and purity, pooled accordingly, and concentrated in Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter units (MerckMillipore, various pore sizes) according to the manufacturer's instructions. These affinity-purified protein concentrates were then applied to a previously equilibrated HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) packed with dextran covalently bound to highly cross-linked agarose, enabling separation of proteins with MW in the range of 10 – 600 kDa. Flow speed was adjusted to 1 ml/min and fractions of 1.5 ml were collected. Fractions corresponding to the targeted chromatographic peak were examined for protein content by SDS-PAGE, pooled accordingly to their purity, concentrated, and stored at -80 °C until use.

Limited proteolysis

Purified recombinant His-TbMORN1(1-15) at 1 mg/ml was separately incubated with three proteases with different cleavage specificities (α-chymotrypsin, trypsin, and proteinase K) in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 200 mM NaCl, 2% glycerol, 0.2 mM $CaCl_2$ (15 min, RT). The proteases were used at dilutions of 1:100 - 1:2000. The reactions were stopped by the addition of SDS-Coomassie sample loading buffer for analysis by gel electrophoresis. The indicated protein bands were extracted from the gel and subjected to mass spectrometry analysis.

Size-exclusion chromatography coupled to multi-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS)

The MW and oligomeric state of purified proteins were verified by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) coupled to multi-angle light scattering (MALS), using a Superdex 200

Increase 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Up to five protein samples of 100
µl were dialysed against 2x 1 L of freshly-prepared, degassed gel filtration buffer (20 mM Tris-
HCl pH 8.5, 200 mM NaCl, 2% (w/v) glycerol, 0.5 mM DTT) (overnight, 4 °C). Gel filtration
buffer was also used for overnight equilibration of the column and in the subsequent
measurements. Protein samples were clarified by centrifugation using a TLA-55 rotor in an
Optima MAX-XP table top ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter) (90,720 x g, 30 min, 4 °C). 100
µl of 2 – 4 mg/ml protein samples were applied to a column using the 1260 Infinity HPLC
system (Agilent Technologies) coupled to a MiniDawn Treos detector (Wyatt Technologies)
with a laser emitting at 690 nm. An RI-101 detector (Shodex) was used for refractive index
determination and the Astra 7 software package (Wyatt Technologies) for data analysis. No
correction of the refractive index was necessary due to the 2% (w/v) glycerol content in the
buffer.
929
930

Circular dichroism (CD)
Far-UV CD was used both for measurement of secondary structure and for validation of the
thermostability of TbMORN1 constructs. To avoid the absorption of Tris and NaCl below 180
nm (Kelly et al., 2005), three protein samples of 100 µl were first dialysed against 2x 1 L of
dialysis buffer (20 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 20 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 200 mM NaF) (overnight, 4 °C). The pH
8.0 was adjusted by mixing the mono- and dibasic sodium phosphate solutions. The dialysed
proteins were clarified by centrifugation in an Optima MAX-XP tabletop ultracentrifuge
(Beckman Coulter Life Sciences) (90,720 x g, 30 min, 4 °C). The concentration of protein
samples was adjusted to 0.25 mg/ml. CD measurements were carried out in a quartz cuvette
with an optical path length of 0.5 mm (Stana Scientific Ltd) using a Chirascan Plus
spectrophotometer (Applied Photophysics) equipped with the Chirascan-plus DMS software
package. The CD profiles for secondary structure calculations were obtained at RT in the
range of 190 – 260 nm. Further analysis was carried out using the BeStSel server, which is
specialised in the analysis of CD data from proteins rich in β-strands (Micsonai et al., 2015,
Micsonai et al., 2018). Data were converted to Δε (M^{-1}cm^{-1}) and uploaded to the BeStSel
online server. Melting experiments were performed in the range of 190 – 260 nm, 20 – 80 °C,
with a temperature ramp of 0.8 °C/min. Data were analysed with Global 3 software package.
948
949
950

Chemical cross-linking coupled to mass spectrometry (XL-MS)
For chemical cross-linking with EDC (1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide
hydrochloride) or BS^3 (bis(sulfosuccinimidyl)suberate), 200-300 µl of approximately 30 µM
TbMORN1 sample was dialysed twice against 1 L of EDC buffer (20 mM MES-NaOH pH 6.8,
200 mM NaCl) or BS^3 buffer (20 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl) (overnight, 4 °C).
Following dialysis, the protein was clarified by centrifugation (90,720 x g, 30 min, 4 °C) using
a TLA-55 rotor in an Optima MAX-XP tabletop ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter Life
Sciences). EDC (ThermoFischer Scientific) was first equilibrated to RT and then a stock
solution in EDC buffer was prepared. 1.3 µM TbMORN1 previously dialysed in EDC buffer
was mixed with 0, 200, and 400 µM EDC (all final concentrations) in a total volume of 40 µl.
After a 30 min incubation at RT, 10 µl of SDS loading buffer was added and the mixtures

were further denatured by heating (95 °C, 10 min). The experiments with BS^3 were carried
out identically except that BS^3 buffer and 3.4 µM TbMORN1 were used, and the incubation
time was 120 min. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, stained with Coomassie dye, and
selected bands corresponding to monomers and dimers cross-linked with 400 µM cross-
linker were excised and subjected to enzymatic digestion and subsequent mass
spectrometry analysis. Coomassie Brilliant Blue-stained excised bands were destained with
a mixture of acetonitrile and 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (ambic), in two consecutive steps
(each 10 min, RT). The proteins were reduced using 10 mM DTT in 50 mM ambic for (30 min,
56 °C), alkylated with 50 mM iodoacetamide in 30 mM ambic in the dark (30 min, RT), and
digested with trypsin (Promega, mass spectroscopy grade) (overnight, 37 °C). The reaction
was stopped using 10% (v/v) formic acid and extracted peptides were desalted using C18
Stagetips (Rappsilber et al., 2007). Peptides were analysed on an UltiMate 3000 HPLC
RSLCnano system coupled to a Q Exactive HF mass spectrometer, equipped with a
Nanospray Flex ion source (all Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peptides were loaded onto a trap
PepMap 300 C18 column of dimensions 5 mm x 300 µm i.d., packed with 5 µm particles with
a pore size of 100 Å (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and separated on an analytical C18 100 column
of dimensions 500 mm x 75 µm i.d., packed with 2 µm particles with a pore size of 100 Å
(Thermo Fisher Scientific), applying a linear gradient from 2% to 40% solvent B (80%
acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid) at a flow rate of 230 nl/min over 120 min. The mass
spectrometer was operated in a data-dependent mode at high resolution of both MS1 and
MS2 level. Peptides with a charge of +1, +2 or of a higher than +7, were excluded from
fragmentation. To identify cross-linked peptides, the spectra were searched using pLink
software v1.23 (Yang et al., 2012). Q Exactive HF raw-files were pre-processed and
converted to mgf-files using pParse (Yuan et al., 2012). The MaxQuant database (Tyanova et
al., 2016) was used to search the spectra for the most abundant protein hits.
Carbamidomethylation of cysteine and oxidation of methionine residues were set as variable
modifications. Trypsin was set as an enzyme specificity, and EDC or BS^3 was set as a cross-
linking chemistry. In case of EDC, aspartic and glutamic acid residues, as well as C-termini
of proteins, were allowed to be linked with lysine residues. In the case of BS^3, lysine residues
and N-termini of proteins were allowed to be linked with lysine residues, N-termini of proteins,
as well as to serine, threonine and tyrosine residues. Search results were filtered for 1% FDR
(false discovery rate) on the PSM (number of peptide-spectrum matches) level and a
maximum allowed precursor mass deviation of 5 ppm. To remove low quality PSMs, an
additional e-Value cutoff of < 0.001 was applied. In order to distinguish intra- from inter-
molecular chemical cross-links, results from monomers and dimers were compared. A
potential inter-molecular cross-link must have shown the following criteria: (1) minimally 3
peptide PSMs in dimer and (2) minimally 3-times more PSMs in dimer than in monomer.
999
1000

Protein-lipid overlay assays (PIP strips)
PIP strips were purchased from Echelon Biosciences. PBS-T (PBS, 0.1% TWEEN-20) was
used as a general buffer. Purified recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) was clarified by
centrifugation (20,817 x g, 20 min, 4 °C) prior to use. The PIP strips were blocked using
blocking buffer (3% (w/v) defatted BSA, PBS-T) (60 min, RT) and then incubated with 5 µg/ml
of TbMORN1 in 10 ml of blocking solution (60 min, RT). After three washes with PBS-T, the

membranes were overlaid with anti-strep antibodies diluted in blocking solution (60 min, RT).
After a further three PBS-T washes, the membranes were overlaid with HRP-conjugated
secondary antibodies (60 min, RT). The membranes were then washed three times with PBS-
T and visualised by ECL (Western Blotting substrate, Thermo Fisher) using a Fusion FX
imager (Vilber Lourmat). All binding and wash steps were carried out with gentle agitation of
the membranes. For the positive control, the PIP strip was overlaid with GST-tagged PLC-δ1
PH domain (Echelon Biosciences) and mouse monoclonal anti-GST antibodies (Santa Cruz
Biotechnology) were used.

1015

1016

Fluorescence anisotropy
Stocks of BODIPY TMR-labelled PI C6, PI(4)P C6, PI(3,4)P_2 C6, PI(3,5)P_2 C6, PI(4,5)P_2 C6 and
PI(4,5)P_2 C16 were sonicated (5 min, RT) in a sonication bath, and in parallel with purified
recombinant TbMORN1(2-15), TbMORN1(7-15), TbMORN1(10-15), were clarified by
centrifugation (20,817 x g, 20 min, 4 °C). The concentrations of lipid stocks were determined
with a Hitachi U-3501 UV-VIS spectrophotometer, using quartz absorbance cuvettes and an
optical path length of 10 mm (Hellma Analytics). For this purpose, the maximum absorbance
of BODIPY TMR dye at λ = 544 nm was measured; its extinction coefficient ε = 60,000 $cm^{-1}M^{-1}$.
The total volume of each respective sample in a cuvette was 110 μl, which consisted
of a lipid stock and a protein in gel filtration buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 200 mM NaCl,
2% (w/v) glycerol, 0.5 mM DTT). The concentration of selected TbMORN1 constructs was
varied from 0 to 35 μM (or more), while the concentration of added lipid was kept constant
at 0.1 μM. Measurements were performed on a Perkin Elmer LS50B fluorimeter in quartz
cuvettes with an optical path length of 10 x 2 mm (Hellma Analytics). To ensure a constant
temperature of 20 °C in the measured sample, the measurement cell was connected to a
water bath. The parameters for fluorescence anisotropy (r) measurements were: $λ_{ex}$ = 544 nm
and aperture of excitation slit = 15 nm; $λ_{em}$ = 574 nm and aperture of emission wavelength =
20 nm; time of integration = 1 s and T = 20 °C. The grating factor (G factor), which provides
grating correction for the optical system, was determined on samples with exclusively 0.1 μM
lipid and kept constant during measurement of each concentration series. Triplicates of each
protein concentration point were measured and afterwards averaged using Excel software.
Graphs were drawn and fitted in SigmaPlot ver. 13.0. The equation used for fitting was a four
parameters logistic curve where:

1040

1041
$$y = + \frac{(- min)}{1+(\frac{x}{EC50})^{- Hillslope}} \, ,$$

1042

options were set to default; initial parameters values, as well as parameters min, max, EC50,
Hillslope, were selected automatically, parameter constraints were max > min and EC50 > 0,
number of iterations was 200, and tolerance was kept at $1e^{-10}$. The reduced chi-square
method was used to compute parameters' standard errors. Experimental r values of
respective 0.1 μM BODIPY TMR-lipid and protein-TMR BODIPY-lipid mixtures were
compared with theoretical values obtained by Perrin equation.

1049

1050

Mass spectrometry analysis of extracted lipids

Lipid extractions from purified recombinant full length and truncated TbMORN1 were achieved by three successive vigorous extractions with ethanol (90% v/v) according to a published protocol (Fyffe et al., 2006). The pooled extracts were dried using N_2 gas in a glass vial and re-extracted using a modified Bligh and Dyer method (Richmond et al., 2010). For whole *E. coli* lipid extracts, cells were washed with PBS and extracted following the modified Bligh and Dyer method. All extracts were dried under N_2 gas in glass vials and stored at 4 °C. Extracts were dissolved in 15 µl of $CHCl_3$:CH_3OH (1:2) and 15 µl of acetonitrile:propan-2-ol:water (6:7:2) and analysed with an Absceix 4000 QTrap, a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with a nano-electrospray source. Samples were delivered using a Nanomate interface in direct infusion mode (~125 nl/min). Lipid extracts were analysed in both positive and negative ion modes using a capillary voltage of 1.25 kV. MS/MS scanning (daughter, precursor and neutral loss scans) were performed using nitrogen as the collision gas with collision energies between 35-90 V, allowing lipid structure assignments.

Preparation of liposomes and liposome sedimentation assay

The preparation of liposomes has been described previously (Zens et al., 2015). Liposomes were prepared from $CHCl_3$ stocks of 10 mg/ml of 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine, POPC, 16:0-18:1 (Avanti Polar Lipids) and 1 mg/ml of porcine brain L-α-phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate, PI(4,5)P_2 (Avanti Polar Lipids). Lipid mixtures of 0-20% of PI(4,5)P_2 and 80-100% of POPC were first dried under a stream of nitrogen, and then vacuum dried for at least one hour. Dried lipid mixtures were hydrated in gel filtration buffer, incubated for 10 min at RT, gently mixed, further incubated for 20 min and sonicated for 2 min at RT in a water bath, followed by 5 freeze-thaw cycles. Using a mini-extruder (Avanti Polar Lipids), vesicles were then extruded through polycarbonate filters with 0.4 µm and 0.1 µm pore sizes. TbMORN1(2-15) and Doc2B, a positive control for PI(4,5)P_2 binding, were clarified by centrifugation in a TLA-55 rotor for 30 min at 90,720 x g, 4 °C, using an Optima MAX-XP tabletop ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences), prior to the liposome sedimentation assay. For the assay, proteins and liposomes were mixed in polycarbonate tubes (Beckman Coulter) and incubated for 30 min at RT. The final concentrations of liposomes and proteins were 0.5 mg/ml and 10 µM, respectively. The liposomes were pelleted by centrifugation in an ultracentrifuge for 40 min at 180,743 x g, 20 °C, using a TLA-100 rotor (Beckman Coulter). Supernatants and pellets were separated and mixed with 5x and 1x SDS-PAGE loading dye, respectively. Two independent experiments were performed. Samples were separated on 15% acrylamide gels by SDS-PAGE and after electrophoresis, gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining solution and scanned. The amounts of proteins in supernatants and pellets were quantified with QuantiScan 32 software.

Preparation of sucrose-loaded vesicles (SLVs) and pelleting assay

To generate synthetic SLVs, lipids reconstituted in $CHCl_3$ were mixed in the following ratio: 30% DOPC; 35% DOPE; 15% DOPS; 20% cholesterol. 5 mol % PI(4,5)P_2 was added in place of 5 mol% DOPE in the PI(4,5)P_2-containing liposomes. Lipids were extracted from bloodstream form *T. brucei* according to an established protocol (Bligh & Dyer, 1959). Briefly,

mid-log phase cells were harvested by centrifugation (750 x g, 10 min, RT), washed once
with PBS, then resuspended in 100 µl PBS and transferred to a glass tube. 375 µl of 1:2 (v/v)
CHCl$_3$:MeOH was added and the mixture was vortexed (20 s) and then incubated with
continuous agitation (15 min, RT). A further 125 µl CHCl$_3$ was then added to make the mixture
biphasic, and following brief vortexing 125 µl ddH$_2$O was added. The mixture was vortexed
again and then separated by centrifugation (1000 x g, 5 min, RT). The lower organic layer was
then transferred to a new glass vial, dried under a nitrogen stream, and kept at 4 °C until use.
For the preparation of SLVs from trypanosomal lipids the lyophilized lipids (extract from 8x10^7
cell equivalents) were reconstituted in 50 µl CHCl$_3$. 6 µM Rhodamine B dihexadecanoyl
phosphoethanolamine (Rh-DHPE) was added to all lipid mixtures to facilitate the visualisation
of the SLVs. The lipid mixtures were dried under a nitrogen stream, and the lipid films
hydrated in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 0.3 M sucrose. The lipid mixtures were subjected to 4
cycles of freezing in liquid nitrogen followed by thawing in a sonicating water bath at RT. The
vesicles were pelleted by centrifugation (250,000 x g, 30 min, RT) and resuspended in 20 mM
HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM KCl to a total lipid concentration of 1 mM. SLVs were incubated with
1.5 µM purified TbMORN1(2-15) in gel filtration buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 200 mM NaCl,
2% glycerol, 1 mM DTT) at a 1:1 ratio (30 min, RT). To separate soluble and SLV-bound
TbMORN1(2-15), the vesicles were pelleted by centrifugation (8,700 x g, 30 min, RT), and
equal volumes of supernatant and resuspended pellet were separated by SDS-PAGE and
analysed by Coomassie staining.
1116
1117

Liposome microarray assay (LiMA)
LiMA (Saliba et al., 2014) was performed according to the standard protocol (Saliba et al.,
2016). TbMORN1(2-15) tagged N-terminally with EGFP and two positive controls, PLCδ1-PH
and Lactadherin-C2, both fused to superfolder GFP (sfGFP), were applied to microarrays
printed with different signalling lipids. In brief, lipids of interest were combined with the carrier
lipid DOPC, PEGylated PE, and PE labelled with Atto 647 dye (PE-Atto 647, 0.1 mol%). Lipid
mixtures containing 2, 5, and 10 mol% of the signalling lipid were spotted onto a thin agarose
layer (TAL). The agarose layers were hydrated using buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 200
mM NaCl) and vesicles formed spontaneously. Efficiency of liposome formation was verified
by fluorescence microscopy. The protein was diluted to 7 µM in buffer A and 40 µl was
applied to each array. Microarrays were incubated (20 min, RT) and subsequently washed
three times with 40 µl of buffer A. Chips were analysed by automated fluorescence
microscopy. Positions of liposomes were determined by tracking the fluorescence of PE-Atto
647 and images were taken for 3 ms and 5 ms exposure times. In parallel, the fluorescence
of EGFP was determined for 1, 5, 10, 30, 75, 100, 200 and 300 ms exposures. Images were
processed using CellProfiler and CPAnalyst. Only EGFP signals that overlapped with Atto
647 signals were taken into account. Normalised binding intensity (NBI) was calculated as
the ratio between EGFP and Atto 647 fluorescence, normalised by exposure time. Three
microarrays were examined, carrying liposomes with the following signalling lipids; PIP-chip:
DOPA, DOPE, DOPI, DOPS, DODAG, cardiolipin, BMP, DOPI(4,5)P$_2$, DOPG; GLP-chip:
ceramide C16, ceramide(1)P C16, ceramide(1)P C18, S(1)P, S, SM, DOPI(4,5)P$_2$, DOPS; SL-
chip: DOPI(3)P, brain PI(4)P, DOPI(5)P, DOPI(3,4)P$_2$, DOPI(3,5)P$_2$, brain PI(4,5)P$_2$,
DOPI(3,4,5)P$_3$, DOPS and cholesterol. Each microarray was performed in triplicate.

1141

Cell culture and cell line generation

Bloodstream form *T. brucei* cells were maintained in HMI-9 media supplemented with 10%
foetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) at 37 °C and 5% CO_2 in cell culture flasks
with filter lids (Greiner). For overexpression studies, 427 strain "single marker" cells - which
express T7 RNA polymerase and the Tetracycline repressor protein, both maintained under
2.5 µg/ml G418 selection - were used (Wirtz et al., 1999). For optimisation of digitonin
extraction conditions, the GFP[ESPro]-221[ES].121[tet] cell line, which constitutively expresses GFP
from the VSG expression site, was used (Batram et al., 2014). Constructs for overexpression
of Ty1-tagged TbMORN1 and untagged TbMORN1 were obtained by cloning the required
ORFs into the pLEW100v5-HYG plasmid; the identity of the inserts was verified by DNA
sequencing followed by BLAST analysis against the TbMORN1 ORF (Tb927.6.4670). The
plasmids were linearised by NotI digestion, and plasmid DNA was purified by ethanol
precipitation. Linearisation was verified using agarose gel electrophoresis. Stable cell lines
were generated by using 20 µg of the linearised plasmids to transfect ~$3x10^7$ "single marker"
cells in transfection buffer (90 mM Na_2PO_4, 5 mM KCl, 0.15 mM $CaCl_2$, 50 mM HEPES pH
7.3) using the X-001 program of an Amaxa Nucleofector II (Lonza, Switzerland) (Burkard et
al., 2007, Schumann Burkard et al., 2011). Clones were obtained from the transfected cells
by limiting dilution under 5 µg/ml hygromycin selection. The presence of the transgene in the
genomic DNA of isolated clones was verified by PCR.

1161

1162

Growth curves and BigEye cell counts

22 ml cells at a defined starting concentration were divided into two flasks of 10 ml each, and
overexpression of the ectopic transgene was initiated in one flask by the addition of
tetracycline to a final concentration of 1 µg/ml. For overexpression of Ty1-TbMORN1, a
starting concentration of $1x10^4$ cells/ml was used, and the cells were split and reseeded at
this concentration after 48 h. For overexpression of untagged TbMORN1, a starting
concentration of $1x10^3$ cells/ml was used with no reseeding. Tetracycline was refreshed every
24 h in both cases. Population density was measured using a Z2 Coulter Counter (Beckman
Coulter, Krefeld, Germany) at the indicated timepoints. For quantification of BigEye cell
incidence at the indicated timepoints, the cultures were briefly agitated to mix the cells, which
were then allowed to settle for 30 min. The culture flasks were then examined directly using
an inverted phase contrast microscope (Leitz Labovert) and a 10x objective lens. Three fields
of view were chosen at random for each flask and the number of normal and BigEye cells
manually quantified, using higher magnification where necessary. Given the low
magnification used, the numbers presented are likely to be underestimates of the true
incidence.

1179

1180

Immunoblotting

To obtain whole cell lysates, cell concentration was measured using a Z2 Coulter Counter,
and a defined volume was then transferred to 15 ml Falcon tubes. The cells were pelleted by
centrifugation (750 x g, 10 min, RT), resuspended in 1 ml PBS, and transferred to microfuge
tubes. The cells were again pelleted (1800 x g, 2 min, RT), and the cell pellet then directly

resuspended in SDS loading buffer to a final concentration of 2×10^5 cells/µl. The lysates
were heated (95 °C, 10 min) before use. Lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE (1.4×10^6
cells/lane in a 15-well gel of 1.0 mm thickness), and the proteins then transferred to
nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were blocked in blocking buffer (10% milk, PBS,
0.3% TWEEN-20) (30 min, RT), and then incubated with the indicated primary antibodies in
blocking buffer (1 h, RT). The membranes were washed three times in PBS-T (PBS, 0.3%
TWEEN-20), and were then incubated with IRDye-conjugated secondary antibodies in PBS-
T (1 h, RT). After a further three washes in PBS-T the membranes were briefly dried between
sheets of filter paper and then imaged using an Odyssey CLx (LI-COR Biosciences, Bad
Homberg, Germany). Processing and quantification was carried out using ImageStudioLite
software (LI-COR Biosciences).
1197
1198

Immunofluorescence microscopy
Cell concentration was measured using a Z2 Coulter Counter, and 10^6 cells per coverslip
were taken. The cells were transferred to 15 ml Falcon tubes, and fixed directly in media by
the addition of paraformaldehyde solution to a final concentration of 4% (37 °C, 20 min). 10
ml trypanosome dilution buffer (TDB; 20 mM Na_2HPO_4, 2 mM NaH_2PO_4, 5 mM KCl, 80 mM
NaCl, 1 mM $MgSO_4$, 20 mM glucose) was then added, and the cells were pelleted by
centrifugation (750 x g, 10 min, RT). The supernatant was removed, the cell pellet was
resuspended in 500 µl TDB, and the cells were transferred to poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips
in a 24-well plate. The cells were attached to the coverslips by centrifugation (750 x g, 4 min,
RT), and the cells were permeabilised using a solution of 0.25% TritonX-100 in PBS (5 min,
RT). The cells were washed with PBS, blocked using a solution of 3% BSA in PBS (30 min,
RT), and sequentially incubated with primary and secondary antibodies diluted in PBS (1 h,
RT for each) with three PBS wash steps after each incubation. After the final wash, the
coverslips were rinsed in ddH$_2$O, excess fluid removed by wicking, and mounted on glass
slides using Fluoromount-DAPI (Southern Biotech). For analysis of detergent-extracted
cytoskeletons, cells were washed using TDB and attached to poly-L-lysine coverslips as
described above. The cells were incubated in extraction buffer (0.5% IGEPAL, 0.1 M PIPES-
NaOH pH 6.9, 2 mM EGTA, 1 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.1 mM EDTA, cOmplete protease inhibitors
[Roche]) (5 min, RT), washed three times with extraction buffer, and then fixed with ice-cold
MeOH (-20 °C, 30 min). Blocking, antibody incubation steps, and mounting were as
described above. All liquid handling was carried out using a P1000 micropipette, and
pipetting was done as gently as possible to minimise shear forces. The coverslips were
imaged using a Leica DMI6000B inverted microscope equipped with a Leica DFC365 camera
and a 100x oil objective lens (NA1.4) and running Leica Application Suite X software. The
same exposure times were used for acquisition of +/-Tet samples, and 40 z-slices of 0.21
µm thickness were taken per field of view. Image processing was carried out using ImageJ.
Maximum intensity z-projections are shown.
1226
1227

Fractionation
Cell concentration was measured using a Z2 Coulter Counter, and an equal number of cells
(~2.5×10^7 per experiment) was taken from the control (-Tet) and overexpression (+Tet)

samples and transferred to 50 ml Falcon tubes. The cells were pelleted by centrifugation (750
x g, 10 min, 4 °C), and the cell pellets then resuspended in 1 ml TDB and transferred to
microfuge tubes. The cells were pelleted by centrifugation (1800 x g, 2 min, 4 °C), and then
resuspended in 200 µl extraction buffer (see Immunofluorescence microscopy section for
composition). After a short incubation (15 min, RT, orbital mixer), a 5% (10 µl) input sample
was taken, and the mixtures separated by centrifugation (3400 x g, 2 min, 4 °C) into
detergent-soluble (cytoplasmic) supernatant and detergent-insoluble (cytoskeleton) pellet
fractions. The supernatant was transferred to a fresh microfuge tube, its exact volume noted,
and a 5% sample taken. The tube containing the pellet was centrifuged a second time (3400
x g, 2 min, 4 °C) in order to bring down material sticking to the tube wall; this second
supernatant was discarded. The pellet was resuspended in 200 µl extraction buffer and a 5%
sample (10 µl) taken. SDS loading buffer was added to the input, supernatant, and pellet
samples to a final volume of 20 µl, and denaturation assisted by heating (95 °C, 10 min).
Equal fractions were loaded onto polyacrylamide gels, separated by SDS-PAGE, and
analysed by immunoblotting. In the exemplary blot shown (Fig. 5G), each sample is a 4.5%
fraction, equivalent to ~10^6 cells in the Input fraction. For optimisation of extraction conditions
using digitonin, essentially the same protocol was followed except that ultra-pure digitonin
(Calbiochem) in TDB buffer was used and incubations were carried out at 24 °C in a heating
block. For the two-step digitonin/IGEPAL fractionations (Fig. 8), cells were pelleted by
centrifugation (750 x g, 10 min, RT), resuspended in 1 ml TDB, transferred to microfuge tubes,
and pelleted again (750 x g, 3 min, RT). The cell pellet was resuspended in 400 µl of 40 µg/ml
digitonin in TDB and extracted (25 min, 24 °C), after which a 5% input sample was taken.
The mixture was then separated by centrifugation (750 x g, 5 min, RT) and 320 µl of the
cytosolic fraction (SN1) transferred to a fresh tube and a 5% sample was taken. The cell pellet
was then resuspended with 1 ml TDB and the extracted cells again pelleted by centrifugation
(750 x g, 5 min, RT). The extracted cells were then resuspended in 400 µl extraction buffer
(see Immunofluorescence section above for composition) and incubated (24 °C, 15 min,
heating block with shaker). After the incubation, a 5% sample (P1) was taken, and the
extracted cells pelleted by centrifugation (3400 x g, 2 min, RT). 320 µl of the supernatant
(SN2) was transferred to a fresh microfuge tube and a 5% sample was taken. The pellet was
resuspended in 1 ml TDB and centrifuged again (750 x g, 5 min, RT). The pellet (P2) was then
resuspended in 400 µl extraction buffer. SDS loading buffer was added to the 5% samples
(I, SN1, SN2, P1, P2) to a final volume of 40 µl. Samples were analysed by immunoblotting
as detailed above.
1265
1266

Crystallisation
Crystallisation of TbMORN1(7-15) (with a C-terminal Strep tag), TgMORN1(7-15) and
PfMORN1(7-15) (both with affinity tags removed) was performed at 22 °C using a sitting-drop
vapour diffusion technique and micro-dispensing liquid handling robots (Phoenix RE (Art
Robbins Instruments) and Mosquito (TTP labtech)). In the case of TbMORN1(7-15), crystals
only appeared from reductively methylated protein, using a standard protocol (Walter et al.,
2006). The best diffracting crystals of TbMORN1(7-15) were grown at a protein concentration
of 3.5 mg/ml in the following conditions: 0.166 M Tris-HCl pH 8.8, 0.15 M MgCl$_2$, 0.45 M KI,
24% PEG 2000 MME, and 4% glycerol. The tetragonal crystals of TgMORN1(7-15) were

obtained at a protein concentration of 10 mg/ml in the following conditions: 0.1 M Tris-HCl
pH 8.2, 15% PEG 3350, 0.2 M NaCl. The diffracting crystals of both selenomethionine-
containing crystals and native crystals of PfMORN1(7-15) were obtained at a protein
concentration of 8 mg/ml in the conditions "B11" from the Morpheus II crystallisation screen
(Molecular Dimensions): 2 mM divalents mix (0.5 mM $MnCl_3$, 0.5 mM $CoCl_2$, 0.5 mM $NiCl_2$,
0.5 mM $Zn(OAc)_2$, 0.1 M Buffer System 6, pH 8.5 (Gly-Gly, AMPD), and 50% precipitation Mix
7 (20 % PEG 8000, 40% 1,5-Pentanediol). The crystals were flash cooled in liquid nitrogen
prior to data collection.
1284
1285
X-ray diffraction data collection and crystal structure determination
Initially, the structure of PfMORN1(7-15) was determined using the single-wavelength
anomalous diffraction (SAD) method. The selenomethionine dataset was collected at the
beamline ID29 (ESRF, Grenoble) at 100K at the peak of selenium using a wavelength of 0.979
Å. The data frames were processed using the XDS package (Kabsch, 2010), and converted
to mtz format with the program AIMLESS (Winn et al., 2011). The apo-PfMORN1(7-15)
structure was solved using single anomalous diffraction with AUTOSOL software from the
PHENIX package. The structures of TgMORN1(7-15) and TbMORN1(7-15) were then solved
using the molecular replacement program PHASER (McCoy et al., 2007) with the atomic
coordinates of PfMORN1(7-15) as a search model. The structures were then refined with
REFMAC and Phenix Refine and rebuilt using Coot (Murshudov et al., 1997, Emsley &
Cowtan, 2004, Adams et al., 2010). The structures were validated and corrected using the
PDB_REDO server (Joosten et al., 2014). The figures were produced using Pymol
(Schrödinger, LLC.) and Chimera software (Pettersen et al., 2004). Coordinates have been
deposited in the protein data bank (accession codes 6T4D, 6T4R, 6T68, 6T69, 6T6Q). Data
collection and refinement statistics are reported in Table 1.
1302
1303
Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS)
Synchrotron radiation X-ray scattering from various MORN constructs in solution were
collected at different synchrotron facilities (Table S3). TgMORN1(7-15), TbMORN1(7-15) as
well as PfMORN1(7-15) were collected at the EMBL P12 beamline of the storage ring PETRA
III (DESY, Hamburg, Germany) (Blanchet et al., 2015). Images were recorded using a photon
counting Pilatus-2M detector at a sample to detector distance of 3.1 m and a wavelength (λ)
of 1.2 Å covering the range of momentum transfer $0.01 < s < 0.5$ Å^{-1}; with $s = 4\pi sin\theta/\lambda$, where
2θ is the scattering angle. To obtain data from a monodisperse sample from TgMORN1(7-
15) and TbMORN1(7-15), a size exclusion chromatography column directly coupled to the
scattering experiment (SEC-SAXS) was employed. The parallel collection of UV and light
scattering data allowed the protein to be monitored while it eluted from the column (Graewert
et al., 2015). Throughout the complete chromatography process, 1 s sample exposures were
recorded. As mobile phase, the various buffers were used; TbMORN1(7-15): 20mM Tris-HCl
pH 8.5, 200 mM NaCl, 2% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT; TgMORN1(7-15): 20 mM Tris-HCl pH
7.5, 100 mM NaCl. 100 μl of purified sample (3.8 mg/mL TbMORN1(7-15) and 2.6 mg/ml
TgMORN1(7-15)) were injected onto a Superdex 200 10/300 (GE Healthcare) column and the
flow rate was set to 0.5 ml/min. SAXS data were recorded from macromolecular free fractions

corresponding to the matched solvent blank. PfMORN1(7-15) was measured in batch mode
from a concentration series spanning 1-8 mg/ml. 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl buffer
was measured for background subtraction. As a concentration dependent increase in size
was detectable further analysis were based solely on the data collected at 1 mg/ml. In a
similar manner as described above, TbMORN1(2-15) data were collected at ESRF BM29
beamline (Pernot et al., 2013) in SEC-SAXS mode with the setup described in by Brennich et
al. (Brennich et al., 2017). SAXS data from the run were collected at a wavelength of 0.99Å
using a sample-to-detector (PILATUS 1 M, DECTRIS) distance of 2.867m. Here too, a
Superdex 200 10/300 (GE Healthcare) column was used as well as 20mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5,
200 mM NaCl, 2% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT as mobile phase. 100 µl of 5.8 mg/ml
TbMORN1(2-15) were injected. Data reduction to produce final scattering profiles of dimeric
MORN1 constructs were performed using standard methods. Briefly, 2D-to-1D radial
averaging was performed using the SASFLOW pipeline (Franke et al., 2017). For data
collected at ESRF EDNA pipeline (Brennich et al., 2016) was used. CHROMIXS was used for
the visualisation and reduction of the SEC-SAXS datasets (Panjkovich & Svergun, 2018).
Aided by the integrated prediction algorithms in CHROMIXS the optimal frames within the
elution peak and the buffer regions were selected. Single buffer frames were then subtracted
from sample frames one by one, scaled and averaged to produce the final subtracted curve.
The indirect inverse Fourier transform of the SAXS data and the corresponding probable real
space-scattering pair distance distribution (p(r) versus r profile) of the various MORN1
constructs were calculated using GNOM (Svergun, 1992), from which the R_g and D_{max} were
determined. The p(r) versus r profile were also used for *ab initio* bead modelling of selected
MORN1 constructs. For this, 20 independent runs of DAMMIF (Franke & Svergun, 2009) in
the case of TbMORN1(2-15) and DAMMIN (Svergun, 1999) in case of the shorter MORN1(7-
15) constructs were performed. From these the most probable models were selected by
DAMAVER (Volkov & Svergun, 2003). The ab initio modelling was performed with and without
symmetry constraints (p2 symmetry to reflect the dimeric state of the protein). Comparison
with theoretical curves calculated from the X-tal structures described here was performed
with Crysol (Svergun et al., 1995). Due to the elongated nature of the molecules, fits were
improved by increasing LM (maximum order of harmonics) to 50. The molecular mass (MM)
was evaluated based on concentration independent methods according to Porod (Porod,
1951) and as implemented in the ATSAS package. Dimensionless Kratky plots were
constructed according to (Receveur-Brechot & Durand, 2012) and the reference point for
globular proteins at √3, 1.1 indicated. Graphical representation was produced using Pymol
Molecular Graphics System (Schrödinger, LLC.). The SAXS data (Table S3) and ab initio bead
models as well as fits to the crystal structures described within this work have been deposited
into the Small-Angle Scattering Biological Data Bank (SASBDB) (Valentini et al., 2015) under
the accession codes SASDG97, SASDGA7, SASDGB7, SASDGC7.
1359
1360

1361 **Transmission electron microscopy (EM) with rotary shadowing**
1362 TbMORN1 and TbMORN1(2-15) were purified according to the two-step procedure detailed
1363 above. They were then diluted in spraying buffer (100 mM $NH_4CH_3CO_2$-NaOH pH 8.5, 30%
1364 (v/v) glycerol) to a final concentration of 50 – 100 µg/ml. Diluted samples were sprayed onto
1365 freshly cleaved mica chips (Christine Gröpl) and immediately transferred into a MED020 high

vacuum evaporator (BAL-TEC) equipped with electron guns. While rotating, samples were coated with 0.6 nm of Platinum (BALTIC) at an angle of 4°, followed by 6 nm of Carbon (Oerlicon) at 90°. The obtained replicas were floated off the mica chips, transferred to 400 mesh Cu/Pd grids (Agar Scientific), and examined using a Morgagni 268D transmission electron microscope (FEI) operated at 80 kV. Images were acquired using an 11 megapixel Morada CCD camera (Olympus-SIS).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial support was from Austrian Science Fund (FWF) grants P22265-B12 FWF (to Graham Warren) P27016-B21 (to Brooke Morriswood and Kristina Djinovic-Carugo), P28135 and P30584 (both to Tom Leonard). Kristina Djinovic-Carugo's research was additionally supported by Austrian Science Fund (FWF) Projects I525 and I1593, P22276, P19060 and W1221, Federal Ministry of Economy, Family and Youth through the initiative "Laura Bassi Centres of Expertise", funding the Centre of Optimized Structural Studies, N°253275, by the Wellcome Trust Collaborative Award (201543/Z/16), COST action BM1405 - Non-globular proteins - from sequence to structure, function and application in molecular physiopathology (NGP-NET), WWTF (Vienna Science and Technology Fund) Chemical Biology project LS17-008, and by the University of Vienna. Anne-Claude Gavin acknowledges the financial support of the Louis-Jeantet Foundation. Funding also came from Medical Research Council grant MR/Mo20118/1 (to Terry Smith). We are indebted to Graham Warren (MRC Laboratory for Molecular Cell Biology, University College London), for comments and support. We are grateful to Sonja Lorenz (University of Würzburg) for critical reading of the manuscript. Valeria Stefania carried out the SAXS measurements. The Martens group at the Max Perutz Labs Vienna provided the Doc2B protein; Iva Lucic in the Leonard group supplied the Akt protein. Euripedes Almeida Ribeiro advised on fluorescence anisotropy measurements. Markus Engstler (University of Würzburg) provided the bloodstream form GFP cells as well as lab space and support. Susanne Fenz (University of Würzburg) assisted with the purification of trypanosome lipids. The authors thank the staff of MX and SAXS beamlines at ESRF in Grenoble and EMBL-Hamburg for their excellent support. EM data were recorded at the EM Facility of the Vienna BioCenter Core Facilities GmbH (VBCF), a member of the Vienna BioCenter (VBC), Austria. Proteomics analyses were performed by the Mass Spectrometry Facility at Max Perutz Labs Vienna using the VBCF instrument pool.

References

Adam SA, Marr RS, Gerace L (1990) Nuclear protein import in permeabilized mammalian cells requires soluble cytoplasmic factors. *J Cell Biol* 111: 807-16

Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkoczi G, Chen VB, Davis IW, Echols N, Headd JJ, Hung LW, Kapral GJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Oeffner R, Read RJ, Richardson DC, Richardson JS, Terwilliger TC, Zwart PH (2010) PHENIX: a comprehensive

Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol*
Crystallogr 66: 213-21

Adl SM, Bass D, Lane CE, Lukes J, Schoch CL, Smirnov A, Agatha S, Berney C, Brown
MW, Burki F, Cardenas P, Cepicka I, Chistyakova L, Del Campo J, Dunthorn M, Edvardsen
B, Eglit Y, Guillou L, Hampl V, Heiss AA et al. (2019) Revisions to the Classification,
Nomenclature, and Diversity of Eukaryotes. *J Eukaryot Microbiol* 66: 4-119

Allen CL, Goulding D, Field MC (2003) Clathrin-mediated endocytosis is essential in
Trypanosoma brucei. *Embo J* 22: 4991-5002

Andrade MA, Perez-Iratxeta C, Ponting CP (2001) Protein repeats: structures, functions,
and evolution. *J Struct Biol* 134: 117-31

Bangs JD, Uyetake L, Brickman MJ, Balber AE, Boothroyd JC (1993) Molecular cloning and
cellular localization of a BiP homologue in Trypanosoma brucei. Divergent ER retention
signals in a lower eukaryote. *J Cell Sci* 105 (Pt 4): 1101-13

Bastin P, Bagherzadeh Z, Matthews KR, Gull K (1996) A novel epitope tag system to study
protein targeting and organelle biogenesis in Trypanosoma brucei. *Mol Biochem Parasitol*
77: 235-9

Batram C, Jones NG, Janzen CJ, Markert SM, Engstler M (2014) Expression site
attenuation mechanistically links antigenic variation and development in Trypanosoma
brucei. *Elife* 3: e02324

Bennett HJ, Davenport JB, Collins RF, Trafford AW, Pinali C, Kitmitto A (2013) Human
junctophilin-2 undergoes a structural rearrangement upon binding PtdIns(3,4,5)P3 and the
S101R mutation identified in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy obviates this response. *Biochem*
J 456: 205-17

Blanchet CE, Spilotros A, Schwemmer F, Graewert MA, Kikhney A, Jeffries CM, Franke D,
Mark D, Zengerle R, Cipriani F, Fiedler S, Roessle M, Svergun DI (2015) Versatile sample
environments and automation for biological solution X-ray scattering experiments at the
P12 beamline (PETRA III, DESY). *J Appl Crystallogr* 48: 431-443

Bligh EG, Dyer WJ (1959) A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. *Can J*
Biochem Physiol 37: 911-7

Brennich ME, Kieffer J, Bonamis G, Antolinos AD, Hutin S, Pernot P, Round A (2016) Online
data analysis at the ESRF bioSAXS beamline, BM29. *Journal of Applied Crystallography* 49:
203-212

Brennich ME, Round AR, Hutin S (2017) Online Size-exclusion and Ion-exchange
Chromatography on a SAXS Beamline. *J Vis Exp*

Burkard G, Fragoso CM, Roditi I (2007) Highly efficient stable transformation of
bloodstream forms of Trypanosoma brucei. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 153: 220-3

Camacho L, Smertenko AP, Perez-Gomez J, Hussey PJ, Moore I (2009) Arabidopsis Rab-E
GTPases exhibit a novel interaction with a plasma-membrane phosphatidylinositol-4-
phosphate 5-kinase. *J Cell Sci* 122: 4383-92

Carman PJ, Dominguez R (2018) BAR domain proteins-a linkage between cellular
membranes, signaling pathways, and the actin cytoskeleton. *Biophys Rev* 10: 1587-1604

Chung J, Torta F, Masai K, Lucast L, Czapla H, Tanner LB, Narayanaswamy P, Wenk MR,
Nakatsu F, De Camilli P (2015) INTRACELLULAR TRANSPORT. PI4P/phosphatidylserine
countertransport at ORP5- and ORP8-mediated ER-plasma membrane contacts. *Science*
349: 428-32

Cronan JE (2003) Bacterial membrane lipids: where do we stand? *Annu Rev Microbiol* 57:
203-24

Doyle SA (2005) High-throughput cloning for proteomics research. *Methods Mol Biol* 310:
107-13

El-Gebali S, Mistry J, Bateman A, Eddy SR, Luciani A, Potter SC, Qureshi M, Richardson
LJ, Salazar GA, Smart A, Sonnhammer ELL, Hirsh L, Paladin L, Piovesan D, Tosatto SCE,
Finn RD (2019) The Pfam protein families database in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47: D427-
D432

Emsley P, Cowtan K (2004) Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta*
Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 60: 2126-32

Engstler M, Thilo L, Weise F, Grunfelder CG, Schwarz H, Boshart M, Overath P (2004)
Kinetics of endocytosis and recycling of the GPI-anchored variant surface glycoprotein in
Trypanosoma brucei. *Journal of cell science* 117: 1105-15

Epand RM, Epand RF (2009) Lipid domains in bacterial membranes and the action of
antimicrobial agents. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1788: 289-94

Esson HJ, Morriswood B, Yavuz S, Vidilaseris K, Dong G, Warren G (2012) Morphology of
the trypanosome bilobe, a novel cytoskeletal structure. *Eukaryot Cell* 11: 761-72

Franke D, Svergun DI (2009) DAMMIF, a program for rapid ab-initio shape determination in
small-angle scattering. *J Appl Crystallogr* 42: 342-346

Fyffe SA, Alphey MS, Buetow L, Smith TK, Ferguson MA, Sorensen MD, Bjorkling F, Hunter
WN (2006) Reevaluation of the PPAR-beta/delta ligand binding domain model reveals why it
exhibits the activated form. *Mol Cell* 21: 1-2

Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang RY, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, 3rd, Smith HO (2009)
Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat Methods* 6:
343-5

Graewert MA, Franke D, Jeffries CM, Blanchet CE, Ruskule D, Kuhle K, Flieger A, Schafer
B, Tartsch B, Meijers R, Svergun DI (2015) Automated pipeline for purification, biophysical
and x-ray analysis of biomacromolecular solutions. *Sci Rep* 5: 10734

Grunfelder CG, Engstler M, Weise F, Schwarz H, Stierhof YD, Morgan GW, Field MC,
Overath P (2003) Endocytosis of a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein via
clathrin-coated vesicles, sorting by default in endosomes, and exocytosis via RAB11-
positive carriers. *Mol Biol Cell* 14: 2029-40

Gubbels MJ, Vaishnava S, Boot N, Dubremetz JF, Striepen B (2006) A MORN-repeat
protein is a dynamic component of the Toxoplasma gondii cell division apparatus. *J Cell Sci*
119: 2236-45

Guo A, Wang Y, Chen B, Wang Y, Yuan J, Zhang L, Hall D, Wu J, Shi Y, Zhu Q, Chen C,
Thiel WH, Zhan X, Weiss RM, Zhan F, Musselman CA, Pufall M, Zhu W, Au KF, Hong J et al.
(2018) E-C coupling structural protein junctophilin-2 encodes a stress-adaptive
transcription regulator. *Science* 362

Habicht J, Woehle C, Gould SB (2015) Tetrahymena Expresses More than a Hundred
Proteins with Lipid-binding MORN Motifs that can Differ in their Subcellular Localisations. *J*
Eukaryot Microbiol 62: 694-700

Hatzopoulos GN, Erat MC, Cutts E, Rogala KB, Slater LM, Stansfeld PJ, Vakonakis I (2013)
Structural analysis of the G-box domain of the microcephaly protein CPAP suggests a role
in centriole architecture. *Structure* 21: 2069-77

Hemsley A, Arnheim N, Toney MD, Cortopassi G, Galas DJ (1989) A simple method for site-
directed mutagenesis using the polymerase chain reaction. *Nucleic Acids Res* 17: 6545-51

Holm L, Laakso LM (2016) Dali server update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44: W351-5

Holm L, Rosenstrom P (2010) Dali server: conservation mapping in 3D. *Nucleic Acids Res*
38: W545-9

Hu K (2008) Organizational changes of the daughter basal complex during the parasite
replication of Toxoplasma gondii. *PLoS Pathog* 4: e10

Hu K, Johnson J, Florens L, Fraunholz M, Suravajjala S, DiLullo C, Yates J, Roos DS,
Murray JM (2006) Cytoskeletal components of an invasion machine--the apical complex of
Toxoplasma gondii. *PLoS Pathog* 2: e13

Hutchinson EG, Thornton JM (1994) A revised set of potentials for beta-turn formation in
proteins. *Protein Sci* 3: 2207-16

Im YJ, Davis AJ, Perera IY, Johannes E, Allen NS, Boss WF (2007) The N-terminal
membrane occupation and recognition nexus domain of Arabidopsis phosphatidylinositol
phosphate kinase 1 regulates enzyme activity. *J Biol Chem* 282: 5443-52

Jacobs SA, Harp JM, Devarakonda S, Kim Y, Rastinejad F, Khorasanizadeh S (2002) The
active site of the SET domain is constructed on a knot. *Nat Struct Biol* 9: 833-8

Jayasinghe I, Clowsley AH, Lin R, Lutz T, Harrison C, Green E, Baddeley D, Di Michele L,
Soeller C (2018) True Molecular Scale Visualization of Variable Clustering Properties of
Ryanodine Receptors. *Cell Rep* 22: 557-567

Jiang M, Hu J, White FKH, Williamson J, Klymchenko AS, Murthy A, Workman SW, Tseng
GN (2019) S-Palmitoylation of junctophilin-2 is critical for its role in tethering the
sarcoplasmic reticulum to the plasma membrane. *J Biol Chem* 294: 13487-13501

Joosten RP, Long F, Murshudov GN, Perrakis A (2014) The PDB_REDO server for
macromolecular structure model optimization. *IUCrJ* 1: 213-20

Kabsch W (2010) Xds. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 66: 125-32

Kajava AV (2012) Tandem repeats in proteins: from sequence to structure. *J Struct Biol*
179: 279-88

Kelly SM, Jess TJ, Price NC (2005) How to study proteins by circular dichroism. *Biochim*
Biophys Acta 1751: 119-39

Kohl L, Sherwin T, Gull K (1999) Assembly of the paraflagellar rod and the flagellum
attachment zone complex during the Trypanosoma brucei cell cycle. *J Eukaryot Microbiol*
46: 105-9

Krissinel E, Henrick K (2007) Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state.
J Mol Biol 372: 774-97

Kunita R, Otomo A, Mizumura H, Suzuki K, Showguchi-Miyata J, Yanagisawa Y, Hadano S,
Ikeda JE (2004) Homo-oligomerization of ALS2 through its unique carboxyl-terminal regions
is essential for the ALS2-associated Rab5 guanine nucleotide exchange activity and its
regulatory function on endosome trafficking. *J Biol Chem* 279: 38626-35

Lacomble S, Vaughan S, Gadelha C, Morphew MK, Shaw MK, McIntosh JR, Gull K (2009)
Three-dimensional cellular architecture of the flagellar pocket and associated cytoskeleton
in trypanosomes revealed by electron microscope tomography. *Journal of cell science* 122:
1081-90

Li J, Liu H, Raval MH, Wan J, Yengo CM, Liu W, Zhang M (2019) Structure of the
MORN4/Myo3a Tail Complex Reveals MORN Repeats as Protein Binding Modules.
Structure

Li MZ, Elledge SJ (2012) SLIC: a method for sequence- and ligation-independent cloning.
Methods Mol Biol 852: 51-9

Ma H, Lou Y, Lin WH, Xue HW (2006) MORN motifs in plant PIPKs are involved in the
regulation of subcellular localization and phospholipid binding. *Cell Res* 16: 466-78

Maeda K, Anand K, Chiapparino A, Kumar A, Poletto M, Kaksonen M, Gavin AC (2013)
Interactome map uncovers phosphatidylserine transport by oxysterol-binding proteins.
Nature 501: 257-61

Marvin RG, Wolford JL, Kidd MJ, Murphy S, Ward J, Que EL, Mayer ML, Penner-Hahn JE,
Haldar K, O'Halloran TV (2012) Fluxes in "free" and total zinc are essential for progression
of intraerythrocytic stages of Plasmodium falciparum. *Chem Biol* 19: 731-41

McCoy AJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Adams PD, Winn MD, Storoni LC, Read RJ (2007)
Phaser crystallographic software. *J Appl Crystallogr* 40: 658-674

Mecklenburg KL (2007) Drosophila retinophilin contains MORN repeats and is conserved in
humans. *Mol Genet Genomics* 277: 481-9

Micsonai A, Wien F, Bulyaki E, Kun J, Moussong E, Lee YH, Goto Y, Refregiers M, Kardos J
(2018) BeStSel: a web server for accurate protein secondary structure prediction and fold
recognition from the circular dichroism spectra. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46: W315-W322

Micsonai A, Wien F, Kernya L, Lee YH, Goto Y, Refregiers M, Kardos J (2015) Accurate
secondary structure prediction and fold recognition for circular dichroism spectroscopy.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112: E3095-103

Mikami K, Saavedra L, Sommarin M (2010) Is membrane occupation and recognition nexus
domain functional in plant phosphatidylinositol phosphate kinases? *Plant Signal Behav* 5:
1241-4

Molla-Herman A, Ghossoub R, Blisnick T, Meunier A, Serres C, Silbermann F, Emmerson C,
Romeo K, Bourdoncle P, Schmitt A, Saunier S, Spassky N, Bastin P, Benmerah A (2010)
The ciliary pocket: an endocytic membrane domain at the base of primary and motile cilia. *J
Cell Sci* 123: 1785-95

Morriswood B, Havlicek K, Demmel L, Yavuz S, Sealey-Cardona M, Vidilaseris K, Anrather
D, Kostan J, Djinovic-Carugo K, Roux KJ, Warren G (2013) Novel Bilobe Components in
Trypanosoma brucei Identified Using Proximity-Dependent Biotinylation. *Eukaryot Cell* 12:
356-67

Morriswood B, He CY, Sealey-Cardona M, Yelinek J, Pypaert M, Warren G (2009) The
bilobe structure of Trypanosoma brucei contains a MORN-repeat protein. *Mol Biochem
Parasitol* 167: 95-103

Morriswood B, Schmidt K (2015) A MORN-repeat protein facilitates protein entry into the
flagellar pocket of Trypanosoma brucei. *Eukaryot Cell*

Moser von Filseck J, Copic A, Delfosse V, Vanni S, Jackson CL, Bourguet W, Drin G (2015)
INTRACELLULAR TRANSPORT. Phosphatidylserine transport by ORP/Osh proteins is
driven by phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate. *Science* 349: 432-6

Munro ML, Jayasinghe ID, Wang Q, Quick A, Wang W, Baddeley D, Wehrens XH, Soeller C
(2016) Junctophilin-2 in the nanoscale organisation and functional signalling of ryanodine
receptor clusters in cardiomyocytes. *J Cell Sci* 129: 4388-4398

Murshudov GN, Vagin AA, Dodson EJ (1997) Refinement of macromolecular structures by
the maximum-likelihood method. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 53: 240-55

Nakada T, Kashihara T, Komatsu M, Kojima K, Takeshita T, Yamada M (2018) Physical
interaction of junctophilin and the CaV1.1 C terminus is crucial for skeletal muscle
contraction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115: 4507-4512

Otomo A, Hadano S, Okada T, Mizumura H, Kunita R, Nishijima H, Showguchi-Miyata J,
Yanagisawa Y, Kohiki E, Suga E, Yasuda M, Osuga H, Nishimoto T, Narumiya S, Ikeda JE
(2003) ALS2, a novel guanine nucleotide exchange factor for the small GTPase Rab5, is
implicated in endosomal dynamics. *Hum Mol Genet* 12: 1671-87

Panjkovich A, Svergun DI (2018) CHROMIXS: automatic and interactive analysis of
chromatography-coupled small-angle X-ray scattering data. *Bioinformatics* 34: 1944-1946

Pelletier L, Stern CA, Pypaert M, Sheff D, Ngo HM, Roper N, He CY, Hu K, Toomre D,
Coppens I, Roos DS, Joiner KA, Warren G (2002) Golgi biogenesis in Toxoplasma gondii.
Nature 418: 548-52

Perni S, Lavorato M, Beam KG (2017) De novo reconstitution reveals the proteins required
for skeletal muscle voltage-induced Ca(2+) release. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114: 13822-
13827

Pernot P, Round A, Barrett R, De Maria Antolinos A, Gobbo A, Gordon E, Huet J, Kieffer J,
Lentini M, Mattenet M, Morawe C, Mueller-Dieckmann C, Ohlsson S, Schmid W, Surr J,
Theveneau P, Zerrad L, McSweeney S (2013) Upgraded ESRF BM29 beamline for SAXS on
macromolecules in solution. *J Synchrotron Radiat* 20: 660-4

Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, Ferrin TE
(2004) UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J
Comput Chem* 25: 1605-12

Porod G (1951) Die Rontgenkleinwinkelstreuung Von Dichtgepackten Kolloiden Systemen
.1. *Kolloid Z Z Polym* 124: 83-114

Pramanik J, Keasling JD (1997) Stoichiometric model of Escherichia coli metabolism:
incorporation of growth-rate dependent biomass composition and mechanistic energy
requirements. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 56: 398-421

Rao VD, Misra S, Boronenkov IV, Anderson RA, Hurley JH (1998) Structure of type IIbeta
phosphatidylinositol phosphate kinase: a protein kinase fold flattened for interfacial
phosphorylation. *Cell* 94: 829-39

Rappsilber J, Mann M, Ishihama Y (2007) Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment, pre-
fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. *Nat Protoc* 2: 1896-
906

Receveur-Brechot V, Durand D (2012) How random are intrinsically disordered proteins? A
small angle scattering perspective. *Curr Protein Pept Sci* 13: 55-75

Richmond GS, Gibellini F, Young SA, Major L, Denton H, Lilley A, Smith TK (2010)
Lipidomic analysis of bloodstream and procyclic form Trypanosoma brucei. *Parasitology*
137: 1357-92

Rossi D, Scarcella AM, Liguori E, Lorenzini S, Pierantozzi E, Kutchukian C, Jacquemond V,
Messa M, De Camilli P, Sorrentino V (2019) Molecular determinants of homo- and
heteromeric interactions of Junctophilin-1 at triads in adult skeletal muscle fibers. *Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A* 116: 15716-15724

Saliba AE, Vonkova I, Ceschia S, Findlay GM, Maeda K, Tischer C, Deghou S, van Noort V,
Bork P, Pawson T, Ellenberg J, Gavin AC (2014) A quantitative liposome microarray to
systematically characterize protein-lipid interactions. *Nat Methods* 11: 47-50

Saliba AE, Vonkova I, Deghou S, Ceschia S, Tischer C, Kugler KG, Bork P, Ellenberg J,
Gavin AC (2016) A protocol for the systematic and quantitative measurement of protein-
lipid interactions using the liposome-microarray-based assay. *Nat Protoc* 11: 1021-38

Salzer U, Kostan J, Djinovic-Carugo K (2017) Deciphering the BAR code of membrane
modulators. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 74: 2413-2438

Scholz J, Besir H, Strasser C, Suppmann S (2013) A new method to customize protein
expression vectors for fast, efficient and background free parallel cloning. *BMC Biotechnol*
13: 12

Schumann Burkard G, Jutzi P, Roditi I (2011) Genome-wide RNAi screens in bloodstream
form trypanosomes identify drug transporters. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 175: 91-4

Studier FW (2005) Protein production by auto-induction in high density shaking cultures.
Protein Expr Purif 41: 207-34

Svergun D, Barberato C, Koch MHJ (1995) CRYSOL - A program to evaluate x-ray solution
scattering of biological macromolecules from atomic coordinates. *Journal of Applied*
Crystallography 28: 768-773

Svergun DI (1992) Determination of the Regularization Parameter in Indirect-Transform
Methods Using Perceptual Criteria. *Journal of Applied Crystallography* 25: 495-503

Svergun DI (1999) Restoring low resolution structure of biological macromolecules from
solution scattering using simulated annealing. *Biophys J* 76: 2879-86

Szklarczyk R, Heringa J (2004) Tracking repeats using significance and transitivity.
Bioinformatics 20 Suppl 1: i311-7

Takeshima H, Komazaki S, Nishi M, Iino M, Kangawa K (2000) Junctophilins: a novel family
of junctional membrane complex proteins. *Mol Cell* 6: 11-22

Tsuchida J, Nishina Y, Wakabayashi N, Nozaki M, Sakai Y, Nishimune Y (1998) Molecular
cloning and characterization of meichroacidin (male meiotic metaphase chromosome-
associated acidic protein). *Dev Biol* 197: 67-76

Tyanova S, Temu T, Cox J (2016) The MaxQuant computational platform for mass
spectrometry-based shotgun proteomics. *Nat Protoc* 11: 2301-2319

Valentini E, Kikhney AG, Previtali G, Jeffries CM, Svergun DI (2015) SASBDB, a repository
for biological small-angle scattering data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 43: D357-63

Volkov VV, Svergun DI (2003) Uniqueness of ab initio shape determination in small-angle
scattering. *Journal of Applied Crystallography* 36: 860-864

Wilson JR, Jing C, Walker PA, Martin SR, Howell SA, Blackburn GM, Gamblin SJ, Xiao B
(2002) Crystal structure and functional analysis of the histone methyltransferase SET7/9.
Cell 111: 105-15

Winn MD, Ballard CC, Cowtan KD, Dodson EJ, Emsley P, Evans PR, Keegan RM, Krissinel
EB, Leslie AG, McCoy A, McNicholas SJ, Murshudov GN, Pannu NS, Potterton EA, Powell
HR, Read RJ, Vagin A, Wilson KS (2011) Overview of the CCP4 suite and current
developments. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 67: 235-42

Wirtz E, Leal S, Ochatt C, Cross GA (1999) A tightly regulated inducible expression system
for conditional gene knock-outs and dominant-negative genetics in Trypanosoma brucei.
Mol Biochem Parasitol 99: 89-101

Woo JS, Srikanth S, Nishi M, Ping P, Takeshima H, Gwack Y (2016) Junctophilin-4, a
component of the endoplasmic reticulum-plasma membrane junctions, regulates Ca2+
dynamics in T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113: 2762-7

Xiao B, Jing C, Wilson JR, Walker PA, Vasisht N, Kelly G, Howell S, Taylor IA, Blackburn
GM, Gamblin SJ (2003) Structure and catalytic mechanism of the human histone
methyltransferase SET7/9. *Nature* 421: 652-6

Yuan ZF, Liu C, Wang HP, Sun RX, Fu Y, Zhang JF, Wang LH, Chi H, Li Y, Xiu LY, Wang
WP, He SM (2012) pParse: a method for accurate determination of monoisotopic peaks in
high-resolution mass spectra. *Proteomics* 12: 226-35

Zens B, Sawa-Makarska J, Martens S (2015) In vitro systems for Atg8 lipidation. *Methods*
75: 37-43
1687

Figure 1. TbMORN1 primary structure and dimerisation. (A) Primary structure of TbMORN1, with individual MORN repeats shown in alignment, and coloured according to amino acid conservation. A schematic of the predicted secondary structure of each repeat is shown above the alignment. A consensus amino acid sequence of the individual MORN repeats from TbMORN1 based on the alignment is shown in the sequence logo below. **(B)** TbMORN1 dimerises via its C-terminus. SEC-MALS profiles of TbMORN1(1-15), TbMORN1(2-15), and TbMORN1(1-14). Schematics are shown underneath. TbMORN1(1-15) tended to form high-order assemblies, whereas removal of the first MORN repeat resulted in a monodisperse dimer. Removal of the last MORN repeat in TbMORN1(1-14) resulted in a polydisperse mixture of monomers, dimers, and other species. Chromatographic separation was done using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column, void volume 7.2 ml.

Figure 2. Recombinant TbMORN1 co-purifies with PE and increases *E. coli* PE levels. Negative ion mode survey scan (600-900 m/z) of lipid extracts from the indicated conditions. **(A,B)** Lipid extracts from purified recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) **(A)** and TbMORN1(10-15) **(B)**. A large amount of PE co-purified with TbMORN1(1-15) but very little was associated with TbMORN1(10-15). **(C,D)** Lipid extracts from *E. coli* cells expressing the indicated constructs. **(C)** Cells expressing TbMORN1(1-15) had elevated PE levels. **(D)** Cells expressing TbMORN1(10-15) showed no changes to cellular lipid ratios compared to wild-type (empty vector control). In all cases, phospholipid identity was confirmed by daughter fragmentation and reported here. Schematics of the recombinant TbMORN1 constructs are shown above the traces.

Figure 3. Overexpression of Ty1-tagged TbMORN1 causes a dominant negative phenotype. (A) Overexpression of Ty1-TbMORN1 is deleterious. Growth curves of control (-Tet) cells, and cells inducibly expressing Ty1-TbMORN1(1-15) (+Tet). Population density was measured every 24h, and the cultures split and reseeded at 48h. Data were compiled from 3 separate clones, each induced in 3 independent experiments; bars show mean +/- SD. **(B)** Overexpression of Ty1-TbMORN1 produces a BigEye phenotype. The incidence of BigEye cells was counted in control (-Tet) and Ty1-TbMORN1-expressing cells at the indicated timepoints. Data were compiled from 3 separate clones, each induced in 3 independent experiments; bars show mean + SD. The inset shows an example BigEye cell. Scale bar, 2 μm. **(C)** Tight induction of Ty1-TbMORN1 expression. Whole-cell lysates were harvested from control (-Tet) and Ty1-TbMORN1-expressing cells (+Tet) at the indicated timepoints and probed with anti-TbMORN1 and anti-Ty1 antibodies. PFR1,2 were used as a loading control. At least three independent inductions were carried out for each clone; an exemplary blot is shown. **(D)** Quantification of overexpression. The levels of endogenous TbMORN1 and ectopic Ty1-TbMORN1 in immunoblots were normalised relative to the PFR1,2 signal. Data were compiled using 3 separate clones, each induced in at least two independent experiments; bars show mean + SD. **(E)** Ty1-TbMORN1 can localise correctly to the cytoskeleton. Whole cells or detergent-extracted cytoskeletons were fixed and labelled with anti-TbMORN1 or anti-Ty1 antibodies. The fluorescence signal is shown with the transmitted light image of the cell overlaid; inset shows the fluorescence signal from the antibody in greyscale. Results confirmed for 3 separate clones, exemplary images are shown. Scale bars, 2 μm. **(F)** Schematic of the fractionation protocol. **(G)** Overexpression of Ty1-TbMORN1 displaces the endogenous protein from the cytoskeleton. Control and Ty1-TbMORN1-expressing cells were fractionated as shown in panel F and the I, SN, and P fractions were blotted. PFR1,2 was used as a marker for the cytoskeleton. Expression of Ty1-TbMORN1 was accompanied by a displacement of endogenous TbMORN1 from the insoluble (P) fraction into the soluble (SN) fraction (arrows 1,2). Equal fractions (5%) were loaded in each lane. 3 independent experiments using 3 separate clones were carried out; an exemplary blot is shown. **(H)** Quantification of the fractionation data. Bars show mean + SD.

Figure 4. Overexpressed Ty1-TbMORN1 is predominantly cytosolic. (A) Schematic of the two-step fractionation scheme. **(B)** Immunoblots of fractions taken from control and Ty1-TbMORN1 overexpressing cells, using anti-BiP, anti-TbMORN1 and anti-GFP antibodies. Note that the membrane was cut into three strips for the immunoblot. Equal fractions (5%) were loaded in each lane. The overexpressed Ty1-TbMORN1 was predominantly extracted by digitonin and partitioned with the cytosolic GFP into the SN1 fraction (arrows 1). Very little of the remainder was subsequently extracted with non-ionic detergent into the SN2 fraction (arrows 2), with most partitioning into the cytoskeleton-associated P2 fraction. Three independent experiments were carried out using cells from three clones pooled together; an exemplary blot is shown. **(C,D)** Quantification of the immunoblots of the two-step fractionation. Data were compiled from three independent experiments, each using cells pooled from three separate clones. Bars show mean values + SD.

A TbMORN1(7-15) P2₁

B TgMORN1(7-15)

C PfMORN1(7-15)

D

E

β-strand   β-strand

nr. of MORN repeat		
1	MIYSGEIENGQMH	
	GRGCLQYPNKEKYDGDWVFGKRH	
2	GTGVYVYADGSRYEGEWVDDKVH	
3	GNGACYYTSGNVYTGEWSMGRIN	
4	GRGVLEYHDGDRYEGEWKDGRMH	
5	GKGTYCYSNGDKYEGEWKEDKRH	
6	GKGVVVYPDGCKYDGEWNEGRMQ	
7	GWGKYFYADGGVYEGEWVDGRMH	
8	GRGTYVFPNGNKYEGEWVEDRKD	
9	GYGILLYTNGERYEGYWHLDKAH	
10	GKGTLTFLQGDRYVGEWHYGKKH	
11	GHGVLSYSNGDTYDGEWRDDDAW	
12	GYGVLQYANGCRYEGEWAEDRRH	
13	GKGLLVLPDGSSYEGSFAHGKKD	
14	GPGKIILKDGSMYIGTWKDGVIV	
15	GQGEFRLSENCDLSNPDY	

sequence identity: > 80% > 60% > 40% < 40%

nr. of amino acid in a repeat (N → C)

F

G

Figure 5. High-resolution structures of MORN repeat proteins and a structural redefinition of the MORN repeat. (A) Schematic depiction and crystal structure of the TbMORN1(7-15) dimer in its P2$_1$ crystal form. Amino acid numbers and N- and C-termini are indicated in the schematic. The crystal structure is shown in two orientations, with main dimensions indicated in Å. The structure contains 2 x 9 MORN repeats, and is an antiparallel homodimer with the subunits arranged in a splayed tail-to-tail configuration. The secondary structure consists of exclusively antiparallel beta-strands and peripherally positioned loops, which together form a longitudinal groove through the middle of the protein. **(B)** Crystal structure of TgMORN1(7-15) shown in two orientations. The number of MORN repeats and the configuration is the same as for TbMORN1(7-15) in panel A. **(C)** Crystal structure of PfMORN1(7-15) shown in two orientations. The bound Zn^{2+} ion is labelled. The structure contains 2 x 9 MORN repeats, again in tail-to-tail configuration but with an overall V-shaped arrangement. **(D)** Alignment of all 9 TbMORN1(7-15) MORN repeats in the crystal structure reveals a high level of structural conservation. **(E)** A revised consensus MORN repeat sequence, based on the crystal structures. A new alignment of the MORN repeats in TbMORN1 is shown. Repeats 7-15 are present in the crystal structure; repeats 1-6 are inferred. Conservation of sequence identity is indicated by colour intensity. Each MORN repeat consists of a β-hairpin, built up of two 6-residue β-strands connected by a 5-residue loop. The β-hairpin is followed by a 6-residue loop that connects to the next MORN repeat. The new 23-residues long consensus MORN repeat starts with the GxG motif. **(F)** The tertiary structure of individual MORN repeats is stabilised by hydrogen bonds between the first G of the GxG motif and the W from the YEGEW motif. MORN repeat arrays are further stabilised by aromatic stacking between the highly conserved aromatic residues in the YEGEW and LxY motifs, and by T-shaped π-stacking interactions of the highly conserved Y of the YEGEW motif, which is sandwiched between the W residue of its own motif, and the W residue in the next YEGEW motif. **(G)** A single TbMORN1(7-15) subunit viewed at an oblique angle. The residues of the YEGEW and LxY motifs involved in aromatic stacking line the surface of the longitudinal groove running through the middle of the protein.

A TbMORN1(7-15) P2₁

B PfMORN1(7-15)

C TbMORN1(7-15)

**TbMORN1(7-15)
with K316A, R293A**

Figure 6. Dimerisation interfaces of TbMORN1(7-15) and PfMORN1(7-15). (A) The dimerisation interface of TbMORN1(7-15) P2₁ involves residues from MORN repeats 12-15, which stabilise the dimer interface via aromatic π-stacking (Tyr330 and Phe345 from the respective subunits), hydrophobic interactions (Leu301, Leu347, Ile339, Ile322, Leu324), and additionally via hydrogen bonding interactions at the edges of the dimer interface. In comparison to the TbMORN1(7-15) C2 crystal structure, there are no disulphide bridges stabilising the dimerisation interface of TbMORN1(7-15) P2₁. **(B)** The dimerisation interface of the V-shaped PfMORN1(7-15) dimer is smaller and is additionally stabilised by the incorporation of a structural Zn²⁺ ion, which is tetrahedrally coordinated by Cys306 and Asp309 residues from each respective subunit. Thr311 holds the side chain of Asp309 in the appropriate orientation. The dimer interface is additionally stabilised by symmetric hydrogen bonding between the Thr311 pair, aromatic stacking between the Phe330 pair, a hydrophobic cluster formed by Leu328, Val331 and Leu336, two salt bridges between Lys322 and Glu308 from the respective subunits, anion-π interaction of a side chain of Glu308 with Phe304, and a combination of aromatic stacking (His334 pair) and polar interactions (His334, Asn332) at the vertex of the dimer. **(C)** An electrostatic map calculated for a single subunit of TbMORN1(7-15). Vacuum electrostatics were calculated in Pymol, where red denotes -65.7 kT and blue denotes +65.7 kT. The structure on the right shows the predicted effect of two point mutations, R293A from MORN repeat 13, and K316A from MORN repeat 14. The mutations are expected to result in the loss of a postively-charged patch close to the dimer interface, and consequently disrupt the dimerisation of the TbMORN1(2-15) constructs.

A TbMORN1(7-15)
D_{max}(crystal structure) = 160 Å
D_{max}(P(r)) = 155 Å
Crysol fit χ^2 = 1.16

B TgMORN1(7-15)
D_{max}(crystal structure) = 160 Å
D_{max}(P(r)) = 170 Å
Crysol fit χ^2 = 1.32

C PfMORN1(7-15)
D_{max}(crystal structure) = 160 Å
D_{max}(P(r)) = 160 Å
Crysol fit χ^2 = 1.50

D TbMORN1(2-15)
D_{max}(model) = 251 Å
D_{max}(P(r)) = 260 Å
Crysol fit χ^2 = 2.20

E TbMORN1(2-15)
25 nm

F TbMORN1(1-15)
25 nm

G TbMORN1(1-15)
50 nm

Figure 7. MORN1 proteins form extended dimers in solution as assessed by SAXS and EM experiments. **(A-D)** SAXS experiments on: **(A)** TbMORN1(7-15), **(B)** TgMORN1(7-15), **(C)** PfMORN1(7-15), and **(D)** TbMORN1(2-15). For each respective protein, the results include a P(r) plot with derived experimental D_{max} value compared with a D_{max} value derived from the structure, an experimental SAXS scattering data with a fit calculated by the Crysol programme, and a SAXS-based ab initio molecular envelope. In the case of TbMORN1(2-15), the theoretical D_{max} value was derived from a structural model, which was generated by spiking the TbMORN1(7-15) structure with additional structures of individual TbMORN1(7-15) subunits. **(E-G)** EM with rotary shadowing of TbMORN1(2-15) and full-length TbMORN1. **(E)** TbMORN1(2-15) forms a homogenous population of extended dimers of approximately 25 nm in length (see insets for individual examples). **(F)** Full-length TbMORN1 is heterogeneous and includes rare filaments of 175-200 nm in length (first inset) and individual dimers (second and third inset). **(G)** Higher-order assemblies of full-length TbMORN1 assembled in a mesh-like structure. Magnification, 71,000x; scale bars, 25 nm, 50 nm as indicated. n (independent replicates) = 2, n (biological replicates) = 2.

Figure S1. Low-resolution structural analysis of TbMORN1. (A) Full-length TbMORN1 with an N-terminal HisTag was subjected to limited proteolysis using proteinase K (red), trypsin (green), and chymotrypsin (cyan) at protease:protein ratios (w/w) as indicated on each panel. Samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and selected bands (labelled boxes) corresponding to proteolytic products were excised and analysed by mass spectrometry. Control (Contr.) corresponds to protein without protease treatment. **(B)** Mass spectrometry analysis of the excised proteolytic products indicated in panel A. Peptides identified and mapped by mass spectrometry are shown as dark grey boxes; a schematic of the full-length construct is shown above, with individual MORN repeats labelled. Note that the proteolytic products show progressive degradation from their N-termini, while the C-terminal part is stable. **(C)** Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE gel showing purified recombinant TbMORN1 truncations. **(D)** SEC-MALS traces of TbMORN1(2-15), (7-15), and (10-15). Chromatographic separation was done using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column, void volume 7.2 ml. The three proteins all eluted as dimers. Schematics are shown underneath. **(E)** Far-UV CD profiles of TbMORN1, TbMORN1(2-15) and (10-15). A positive peak at 195 nm and a negative one at 218 nm demonstrated that the constructs are all β-proteins. The secondary structure content predictions for each construct were calculated in BeStSel and are shown below the CD graph.

Figure S2. TbMORN1 interacts with phospholipids but not liposomes. (A) Purified recombinant TbMORN1 binds to multiple phospholipid species in protein-lipid overlay assays. PIP strips were incubated with purified recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) protein, and bound proteins were detected by immunoblotting with anti-strep antibodies. Abbreviations: PI(n)P, phosphatidylinositol (n) phosphate; PA, phosphatidic acid; LPA, lysophosphatidic acid; LPC, lysophosphatidylcholine; PI, phosphatidylinositol; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine; PC, phosphatidylcholine; S(1)P, sphingosine-1-phosphate; PS, phosphatidylserine. Data were obtained from 3 independent experiments using 2 biological replicates; an exemplary blot is shown. **(B)** PIP strip overlaid with the PH domain of PLCδ, a positive control for PI(4,5)P_2 binding. Data were obtained from 3 independent experiments using 3 biological replicates; an exemplary blot is shown. The PIP strips presented here were exposed to the light source for the same time. **(C)** Fluorescence anisotropy measurements of 0.1 μM BODIPY TMR-PI(4,5)P_2-C16 in the presence of TbMORN1(2-15), (7-15) and (10-15). All three truncations of TbMORN1 interacted with the 16-carbon PI(4,5)P_2 with binding affinities in the low micromolar range. Data obtained from 3 independent experiments using 3 biological replicates, with 10 technical replicates for each experiment. Traces show mean values, bars are s.e.m. **(D)** Liposome co-sedimentation assay. POPC liposomes containing 0, 5, 10, 15 and 20% of porcine brain PI(4,5)P_2 were incubated with 10 μM TbMORN1(2-15). TbMORN1(2-15) was found in both pellet (P) and supernatant (SN) fractions but did not increase proportionally to PI(4,5)P_2 concentration. The positive control, Doc2B, bound PI(4,5)P_2 in a concentration-dependent manner, with a shift from SN to P fractions proportional to the increase in % of PI(4,5)P_2 present in the liposomes. Data were obtained from 2 independent experiments using 2 biological replicates; an exemplary blot is shown. **(E)** Quantification of the liposome pelleting assays. The amount of protein in the pellet fraction is presented relative to the amount present in the pellet fraction of the no liposome condition.

Figure S3. TbMORN1 constructs interact with PI(4,5)P$_2$ in native gel bandshift assays. (A) Native gel electrophoresis of TbMORN1(2-15) and **(B)** TbMORN1(10-15) in the presence and absence of PI(4,5)P$_2$, PI(4)P and PI, all labelled with Bodipy TMR fluorescent dye. α-actinin served as a positive control of PI(4,5)P$_2$ binding. Data obtained from two independent experiments, each using a different biological replicates.

Figure S4. Mutagenesis of putative PI(4,5)P$_2$ binding sites in TbMORN1(2-15) has no effect on binding. (A) Liposome co-sedimentation assay performed on TbMORN1(2-15) in the presence of POPC liposomes containing 20% of porcine brain PI(4,5)P$_2$ and 0 or 40% cholesterol. The excess cholesterol was expected to promote local high concentrations of PI(4,5)P$_2$ on the surface of the liposomes. To assay for the effect of curvature, two batches of liposomes containing 20% PI(4,5)P$_2$ and 40% of cholesterol were tested, with the diameter of the liposomes being either 100 or 400 nm. No significant co-sedimentation of TbMORN1(2-15) and PI(4,5)P$_2$-containing liposomes was observed. The positive control, 10 µM Doc2B was predominantly found in the pellet (P) fractions. **(B)** SEC-MALS profiles of TbMORN1(2-15) and its mutagenised variants. Residues comprising the putative PI(4,5)P$_2$-binding sites in MORN repeats 13 and 14 were mutated to alanines. Mutagenesis of repeat 13 (Mut13) did not result in any change to the dimeric status of the protein. However, mutagenesis of repeat 14 (Mut14) resulted in a mixture of monomers and dimers being eluted, while mutagenesis of both repeats (Mut13+14) resulted in monomeric protein. Chromatographic separation was done using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column, void volume 7.2 ml. **(C)** Far-UV CD profiles of TbMORN1(2-15) and its putative PI(4,5)P$_2$-binding mutants. The constructs remained β-proteins despite the site-directed mutagenesis. **(D)** Fluorescence anisotropy measurements of TbMORN1(2-15) and its putative PI(4,5)P$_2$-binding mutants, measured in the presence of 0.1 µM BODIPY TMR-PI(4,5)P$_2$. All constructs showed good interaction with the fluorophore-conjugated PI(4,5)P$_2$.

A

B

C

Figure S5. TbMORN1 interacts with the aliphatic chains of phosphoinositides in fluorescence anisotropy assays. (A) Fluorescence anisotropy measurements of TbMORN1(2-15) in the presence of BODIPY TMR-labelled PI(4,5)P_2 C16, PI(4,5)P_2 C6, PI(3,4)P_2 C6, PI(3,5)P_2 C6, PI(4)P C6 and PI C6. Binding was only observed with the 16-carbon PI(4,5)P_2. **(B)** Fluorescence anisotropy measurements of TbMORN1(2-15) measured in the presence of BODIPY Fluorescein-labelled PI(4,5)P_2 C16 and PI(3,4,5)P_3 C16. Both 16-carbon lipids bound equally well. **(C)** Comparison of TbMORN1(2-15) binding to 16-carbon PI(4,5)P_2 and PI(3,4,5)P_3 with two positive controls, respectively Doc2B and Akt.

Figure S6. TbMORN1(2-15) does not bind to liposomes in vitro. (A-C) Liposome pelleting assays using sucrose-loaded vesicles (SLVs). TbMORN1(2-15) was purified in the absence **(A)** or presence **(B)** of Triton X-100 in the lysis buffer. The purified proteins were incubated with SLVs, which were then pelleted by centrifugation. Supernatant (SN) and pellet (P) fractions were analysed by SDS-PAGE using Coomassie staining. The SLVs were made from commercial lipids with an excess of either PE or PI(4,5)P_2, and also reconstituted from purified whole-cell trypanosome lipids (Tb). A no-SLV condition was included as an additional negative control. **(C)** The PH domains of PLCγ was used as a positive control for PI(4,5)P_2 binding. As expected, the PLCγ PH domain co-sedimented with PI(4,5)P_2-containing SLVs and was entirely present in the P fraction in this condition (arrow). The recombinant TbMORN1 proteins remained in the SN fraction in all conditions. **(D-F)** Liposome microarray analysis. Microchips carrying giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) with lipids of interest at three different concentrations (2, 5 and 10 mol %) were incubated with purified recombinant EGFP-TbMORN1(2-15). No significant binding was observed to either phosphoinositide lipids or PE. **(D)** n (independent replicates) = 7; **(E)** n (independent replicates) = 3. **(F)** Microchip incubated with PLC-δ1 PH domain as a positive control. A specific and concentration-dependent binding between the PLC-δ1 PH domain and DOPI(4,5)P_2 and SM was observed. DOPC, a carrier lipid, was used as an internal negative control of binding, as well as a marker for tracking positions of liposomes on a given microarray. n (independent replicates) = 1. Note the different scales on the y-axes of the three charts.

Figure S7. Overexpression of untagged TbMORN1 causes a dominant negative phenotype. (A) Inducible overexpression of untagged TbMORN1. Immunoblot of whole-cell lysates from three separate clones overexpressing untagged TbMORN1 from an ectopic locus. TbMORN1 was detected using anti-TbMORN1 antibodies; PFR1,2 were used as a loading control and detected using anti-PFR1,2 antibodies. Inset shows a greyscale image of the TbMORN1 channel with enhanced levels so that the endogenous protein is visible. Three separate clones were assayed, each in three independent experiments; an exemplary blot is shown. One of the three clones appeared to have slightly leaky expression, with TbMORN1 levels in the -Tet condition being higher than controls. **(B)** Quantification of overexpression. TbMORN1 levels in control, uninduced (-Tet) and induced (+Tet) were normalised relative to the loading control and expressed relative to the control cells. Approximately 7-fold overexpression was achieved relative to control cells. Data were obtained from blots using 3 separate clones, each induced in 3 independent experiments. Bars show mean + SD. **(C)** Overexpression of untagged TbMORN1 is deleterious. Uninduced (-Tet) and TbMORN1 overexpressing (+Tet) cells were assayed at 24 h intervals in a 3-day timecourse. Data were obtained from blots using 3 separate clones, each induced in 3 independent experiments. Mean +/- SD.

Figure S8. Optimisation of digitonin fractionation. (A) Schematic of the one-step fractionation scheme. Cells expressing cytosolic GFP (Batram et al., 2014) were incubated for 10 min with increasing concentrations (0-40 µg/ml) of digitonin, using 1% IGEPAL as a positive control for full extraction. At the end of the incubation, the soluble and insoluble fractions were separated by centrifugation. **(B)** Equal fractions (5%) of the supernatant (SN) and pellet (P) were probed with antibodies specific for GFP and the endoplasmic reticulum chaperone BiP. At 40 µg/ml digitonin, good solubilisation of GFP was achieved with only negligible solubilisation of BiP (arrow 1). Both proteins were efficiently solubilised using 1% IGEPAL as a positive control. Multiple independent experiments were carried out; an exemplary blot is shown. Note that the membrane was cut into strips prior to blotting, but the samples shown are from the same experiment. **(C)** Quantification of the immunoblot shown in B. **(D, E, F)** As per panels A-C, but with constant 40 µg/ml digitonin concentration and varying incubation time (0-30 min). Increasing the incubation time over a range of 15-30 min did not appear to notably increase the amount of GFP extraction. As a result, a 25 min incubation time was used in the subsequent experiments.

Figure S9. Comparison of MORN1 proteins, and secondary structure analysis of apicomplexan MORN1s. (A) Amino acid sequence alignment of MORN1 proteins from *Trypanosoma brucei, Toxoplasma gondii* and *Plasmodium falciparum*. The number of amino acids in each protein is indicated, amino acid numbers in the alignment are those for TbMORN1. The alignment is coloured according to the amino acid properties. **(B)** Pairwise comparison of percentage sequence identity between the three proteins. **(C)** Far-UV CD measurements obtained for TgMORN1(1-15) and TgMORN1(7-15). The secondary structure content predictions for each of the measured proteins were calculated in BeStSel and are shown below the CD graph. **(D)** As (C), but PfMORN1(1-15), (2-15) and (7-15). Like TbMORN1, TgMORN1 and PfMORN1 are also all-β proteins.

A

■ TbMORN1(7-15) P2₁
■ TbMORN1(7-15) C2
■ TgMORN1(7-15) extended shape

90°

B

C

TbMORN1 aa 157-179	G W G K Y - F Y A D G G - V Y E G E W V - D G R M H
TbMORN1 aa 180-202	G R G T Y - V F P N G N - K Y E G E W V E D - R K D
TbMORN1 aa 203-225	G Y G I L - L Y T N G E - R Y E G Y W H L D - K A H
TbMORN1 aa 226-248	G K G T L - T F L Q G D - R Y V G E W H Y - G K K H
TbMORN1 aa 249-271	G H G V L - S Y S N G D - T Y D G E W R D D - D A W
TbMORN1 aa 272-294	G Y G V L - Q Y A N G C - R Y E G E W A E D - R R H
TbMORN1 aa 295-317	G K G L L - V L P D G S - S Y E G S F A H - G K K D
TbMORN1 aa 318-340	G P G K I - I L K D G S - M Y I G T W K - D G V I V
SET7/9 1MT6 aa 93-116	G P A Q E - Y D T D G R L I F K G Q Y K - D N I R H
SET7/9 1MT6 aa 117-140	G V C W I - Y Y P D G G - S L V G E V N E D G E M T
SET7/9 1MT6 aa 141-166	G E K I A Y V Y P D E R T A L Y G K F I - D G E M I E

sequence identity   ■ > 80%   ■ > 60%   ■ > 40%   □ < 40%

Figure S10. Comparision of MORN1 extended dimers, and with SETD7 (SET7/9). (A) TbMORN1(7-15) P2₁, TbMORN1(7-15) C2, and TgMORN1(7-15) extended dimers superimposed on each other, and displayed in two orientations. In contrast to the other two proteins, the P2₁ crystal structure of TbMORN1(7-15) displays a bend of approximately 30° among the subunits. **(B)** TbMORN1(7-15) MORN repeats superimposed on three MORN repeats from SETD7 (SET7/9). Alignment of the three MORN repeats from SETD7 with MORN repeat 7 from the TbMORN1(7-15) crystal structure over 22-23 aligned C-atoms yielded rmsd values of 2.3, 1.5 and 1.9 Å respectively. **(C)** Sequence alignment of MORN repeats from the TbMORN1(7-15) crystal structure with three MORN repeats from SETD7. The first Gly residue is conserved in all MORN repeats of TbMORN1(7-15) and SETD7 structures.

Figure S11. Conservation and properties of residues in TbMORN1(7-15). (A) Conservation map of the TbMORN1(7-15) P2$_1$ crystal structure reveals a highly conserved stretch of residues along the groove. The structure is shown in two orientations, with residues colour-coded according to the level of conservation. **(B)** An electrostatic map of TbMORN1(7-15) P2$_1$. Calculations were performed using APBS suite, displayed by Chimera. Colour scale: red = -13 kT; blue = +13 kT. Individual residues contributing to its surface electrostatics are labelled, namely those of the two negatively-charged loops building up a negative patch inside the groove, and the residues contributing to a small positively-charged region close to the dimer interface. **(C)** Hydrophobic map of TbMORN1(7-15) P2$_1$. Colour scale: blue = hydrophilic; orange = hydrophobic, pink = methionine residues.

A **TbMORN1(7-15) C2**

B **TgMORN1(7-15)**

C **TgMORN1(7-15) extended dimer**

D **TgMORN1(7-15) V-shaped dimer**

E **SAXS - Kratky plots**

Figure S12. MORN dimer interfaces and SAXS analysis of proteins in solution. (A) Dimer interface of TbMORN1(7-15) C2 crystal form. In comparison to the P2$_1$ form, the dimer interface of C2 structure is broader, and is additionally stabilised by two disulphide bridges formed between Cys351 at the C-terminus of repeat 15 and Cys282 from the β-hairpin loop of repeat 12. **(B)** Crystal structure of the TgMORN1(7-15) V-shaped dimer, incorporating Zn^{2+} in its dimerisation interface. **(C)** Dimer interface of the TgMORN1(7-15) extended dimer, which utilises residues from MORN repeats 13-15. In contrast to TbMORN1(7-15), where the dimerisation interface is centred around aromatic stacking, a hydrophobic core plays a crucial role in the dimer interface of extended TgMORN1(7-15). Leu327, Leu329, Leu335, Leu344, Val345, Val347, Phe350 and Phe352 are part of this hydrophobic core. The dimer is stabilised by a single salt bridge formed between the Asp308 of one subunit and the His333 of the other subunit. This salt bridge is further stabilised by two hydrogen bonds between the main-chain nitrogen of Val345 and a carbonyl oxygen of Val347 of respective subunits. **(D)** Dimer interface of the TgMORN1(7-15) V-shaped dimer. Cys305 and Asp308 incorporate a structural Zn^{2+} ion, which stabilises the somewhat smaller dimerisation interface of this protein. Although its dimerisation interface is very similar to that of PfMORN1(7-15), it lacks the aromatic core of PfMORN1(7-15). The latter is replaced by a series of unique aromatic stacking interactions at the protein´s vertex, these being contributed by a pair of Phe350 residues, sandwiched between a pair of His333 residues. **(E)** Kratky plots derived from SAXS analysis of TbMORN1(7-15), TgMORN1(7-15), PfMORN1(7-15), and TbMORN1(2-15). The shape of the plots suggests an elongated shape of the dimers in solution.

Figure S13. Conservation of residues for formation of V-shaped dimers in Apicomplexa and related clades. Amino acid sequence alignment of the C-termini of TbMORN1, TgMORN1, PfMORN1, and fifteen other MORN repeat-containing proteins from related taxa. Amino acid numbers are given according to the TbMORN1 protein, and the three proteins with experimentally-determined high-resolution structures are shown in bold within the black box. Essential for formation of a V-shaped dimer are a coordinating Cys residues and an anion-π interaction pair. In TbMORN1, the coordinating Cys residue has been substituted for Leu (Leu 301). Similarly the Phe and Glu residues of the anion-π interaction pair (indicated with black arrows) have been substituted for Leu (Leu299) and are not present (deletion after Pro302) respectively. This supports the conclusion that TbMORN1 exists only in the extended form, while the apicomplexan proteins and those from related clades are probably capable of adopting both extended and V-shaped conformations.

Table 1. X-ray data collection statistics.

	PfMORN (SMet)	PfMORN	TbMORN	TbMORN	TgMORN	TgMORN (V-shape)
Source	ID29	ID29	ESRF ID23-1	ESRF ID23-1	ESRF ID30B	ESRF ID30B
Wavelength (Å)	0.979	0.976	0.979	1.89	0.967	1.0
Resolution (Å)	47.47-2.5 (2.59-2.5)	46.3-2.14 (2.2-2.14)	48.28-2.35 (2.43-2.35)	48.14-2.53 (2.65-2.53)	48.92-2.90 (3.08-2.90)	49.45-2.50 (2.60-2.50)
Space group	$C222_1$	$C222_1$	$P2_1$	$C2$	$P4_32_12$	$P6_222$
Unit cell (Å, º)	a=57.33, b=79.18, c=94.42	a=57.33, b=79.18, c=94.42	a=69.04, b=27.63, c=114.54; β=101.83	a=192.88, b=49.74, c=41.98	a=b=53.92, c=348.85	a=b=205.86, c=40.58
Molecules (a.u.)	1	1	2	2	2	1
Unique reflections	7832(762)	12148 (974)	17460 (1247)	12419(975)	12331 (1819)	18162 (1960)
Completeness (%)	99.5(98.3)	99.6(98.1)	95.4 (71.5)	93.1(60.0)	99.1 (95.4)	99.7 (97.9)
R_{merge}[b]	0.059(0.301)	0.037 (1.283)	0.092 (0.466)	0.116 (1.365)	0.140 (2.252)	0.198 (2.116)
R_{meas}[c]	0.062(0.314)	0.042 (1.510)	0.100 (0.532)	0.146 (1.893)	0.158 (2.536)	0.204 (2.177)
CC(1/2)	0.999(0.983)	1.000 (0.467)	0.998 (0.897)	0.995 (0.303)	0.999 (0.772)	0.999 (0.426)
Multiplicity	13.0 (12.9)	4.2 (3.2)	6.1 (4.1)	6.2 (3.7)	8.4 (8.0)	17.9 (18.1)
I/sig(I)	30.3 (8.1)	17.5 (0.8)	13.4 (2.8)	9.3 (0.9)	11.8 (0.5)	14.6 (1.6)
B_{Wilson} (Å^2)	57.3	58.0	18.74	36.7	22.97	42.3
R_{work}[e]/R_{free}[f]		23.0/26.4	23.2/25.6	22.5/28.2	31.8/33.8	20.1/23.9
r.m.s.d. bonds (Å)		0.003	0.004	0.011	0.0084	0.007
r.m.s.d. angles (°)		0.6	1.24	1.612	1.417	0.811

[a] Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

$$^{\text{b}}\ R_{merge} = \frac{\sum_{hkl}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|I_{i(hkl)} - \bar{I}_{(hkl)}\right|}{\sum_{hkl}\sum_{i=1}^{N}I_{i(hkl)}}$$

$$^{\text{c}}\ R_{meas} = \frac{\sum_{hkl}\sqrt{N/(N-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|I_{i(hkl)} - \bar{I}_{(hkl)}\right|}{\sum_{hkl}\sum_{i=1}^{N}I_{i(hkl)}}$$

where $\bar{I}_{(hkl)}$ is the mean intensity of multiple $I_{i(hkl)}$ observations of the symmetry-related reflections, N is the redundancy

$$^{\text{e}}\ R_{work} = \frac{\sum\left\||F_{obs}| - |F_{calc}|\right\|}{\sum|F_{obs}|}$$

[f] R_{free} is the cross-validation R_{factor} computed for the test set of reflections (5%) which are omitted in the refinement process.

Intermolecular cross-links in TbMORN1

chemical cross-linking agent	cross-linked amino acids and respective MORN repeats	best e-value	number of PSMs in the dimer
BS³ (spacer arm length 11.4 Å)	aa 100 x aa 123 (repeat 5 x repeat 6)	7.5×10^{-13}	4
	aa 223 x aa 246 (repeat 10 x repeat 11)	5.7×10^{-22}	7
	aa 296 x aa 321 (repeat 13 x repeat 14)	1.8×10^{-11}	56
	aa 321 x aa 321 (repeat 14 x repeat 14)	6.4×10^{-5}	1
EDC (spacer arm length 0 Å)	aa 308 x aa 321 (repeat 14 x repeat 14)	1.1×10^{-8}	5
	aa 321 x aa 326 (repeat 14 x repeat 15)	1.9×10^{-9}	3

Table S1. Intermolecular contacts in TbMORN1. A summary of intermolecular cross-links in the TbMORN1 dimer as a result of chemical cross-linking with either BS³ or EDC followed by mass spectrometry analysis. To remove low quality peptide-spectrum matches (PSMs), an additional e-Value cutoff of < 0.001 was applied. In order to distinguish intra- from inter-molecular chemical cross-links, results from monomers and dimers were compared. Cross-links were scored as intermolecular when there were: (1) minimally 3 peptide PSMs in dimer and (2) minimally 3-times more PSMs in dimer than in monomer. Results compiled from two independent experiments.

	Thermostability
TbMORN1 construct	T_m (°C) (DSF)
2-15	44.2 ± 0.3
2-15^{Mut13}	43.8 ± 0.3
2-15^{Mut14}	41.4 ± 0.5
2-15$^{Mut13+14}$	41.7 ± 0.3

Table S2. Analysis of TbMORN1(2-15) mutagenesis constructs. Thermostability of TbMORN1(2-15) and its mutagenised derivatives with mutations in MORN repeats 13 and 14 was measured by differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF), given here as melting temperature (T_m) values (°C).

Data collection parameters				
Radiation source	Petra III (DESY, Hamburg, Germany)		ESRF (Grenoble, France)	
Beamline	EMBL P12		BM29	
Detector	Pilatus 2M		Pilatus 1M	
Beam geometry (mm, FWHM)	0.12 x 0.20		0.10 x 0.20	
Wavelength (nm)	0.12		0.099	
Sample-detector distance (m)	3.1		2.867	
Momentum transfer s range (nm^{-1})	0.01 – 4.0		0.04 – 5.0	
Exposure time (s)	1 sec (SEC-SAXS mode) 0.05 sec (Batch mode)		1 sec (SEC-SAXS mode)	
Overall Parameters				
	TbMORN1 (7-15)	**TgMORN1 (7-15)**	**PfMORN1 (7-15)**	**TbMORN1 (2-15)**
Buffer	Buffer 1*	Buffer 2**	Buffer 2**	Buffer 2**
Temperature (°C)	20	20	10	20
Working concentration (mg/ml) Concentration range measured	n.a.	n.a.	1 1-8	n.a.
R_g from Guinier approximation (nm)	4.1±0.04	4.0±0.03	3.8±0.1	6.5±0.6
R_g from PDDF (nm)	4.4±0.02	4.3±0.04	4.2±0.03	7.2±0.05
D_{max} (nm)	155	17.0	16.0	260
Molecular weight, I(0) (kDa)	n.a.	n.a.	52 ±5	n.a.
Molecular weight, offline RALS (kDa)	46±5	50±5	32±5	74±5
Molecular weight from DATMOV, (kDa)	60±5	58±5	46±5	71±5
Molecular weight from sequence (dimer, kDa)	52	49	46	70
Software employed				
Primary data reduction	SASFLOW		ESRF EDNA pipeline	
Data processing	PRIMUS/Chromixs			
Calculation of theoretical data	Crysol			
Ab initio modelling	DAMMIN		DAMMIF	
SASBDB accession code	SASDGA7	SASDGB7	SASDGC7	SASDG97

Buffer 1* 20 mM Tris, 200 mM NaCl, 2% glycerol, 0.5 mM DTT

Buffer 2** 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl

Table S3. SAXS data collection summary.