Structures of three MORN repeat proteins and a re-evaluation of the proposed lipid-binding properties of MORN repeats.

Sara Sajko*,[1], Irina Grishkovskaya*,[1], Julius Kostan*,[1], Melissa Graewert[2], Kim Setiawan[3], Linda Trübestein[1], Korbinian Niedermüller[3], Charlotte Gehin[4], Antonio Sponga[1], Martin Puchinger[1], Anne-Claude Gavin[4], Thomas Leonard[1], Dimitri Svergun[2], Terry K. Smith[5], Brooke Morriswood[3,$], Kristina Djinovic-Carugo[1,6,$]

* Equal contribution

$ Authors for correspondence:
Brooke Morriswood (brooke.morriswood@uni-wuerzburg.de)
Kristina Djinovic-Carugo (kristina.djinovic@univie.ac.at)

Affiliations:
[1]Department of Structural and Computational Biology, Max Perutz Labs, University of Vienna, Campus Vienna Biocenter 5, A-1030 Vienna, Austria

[2]European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Hamburg Unit, c/o DESY, Notkestrasse 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

[3]Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Biocenter, University of Würzburg, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

[4]European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Meyerhofstraße 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

[5]School of Biology, BSRC, University of St. Andrews, North Haugh, St. Andrews, Fife KY16 9ST, UK

[6]Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, University of Ljubljana, Večna pot 113, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

Keywords: MORN repeat, MORN1, structure, phospholipids, *Trypanosoma,* Apicomplexa.

ABSTRACT

MORN (membrane occupation and recognition nexus) repeat proteins have a wide taxonomic distribution, being found in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Despite this ubiquity, they remain poorly characterised at both a structural and a functional level compared to other common repeat motifs such as leucine-rich repeats, armadillo repeats, WD40 repeats, and ankyrin repeats. In functional terms, they are often assumed to be lipid-binding modules that mediate membrane targeting, but direct evidence for this role is actually lacking. This putative activity was addressed by focusing on a protein composed solely of MORN repeats - *Trypanosoma brucei* MORN1. No evidence for binding to membranes or lipid vesicles by TbMORN1 either in vivo or in vitro could be obtained. TbMORN1 did interact with individual phospholipids but the potential physiological role of this interaction in trypanosomes remains unclear. High- and low-resolution structures of the MORN1 protein from *Trypanosoma brucei* and homologous proteins from the parasites *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Plasmodium falciparum* were obtained using a combination of macromolecular crystallography, small-angle X-ray scattering, and electron microscopy. The structures indicated that MORN repeats can mediate homotypic interactions, and can function as both dimerisation and oligomerisation devices.

INTRODUCTION

MORN (Membrane Occupation and Recognition Nexus) repeats were first discovered in 2000, following a screen for proteins present in the triad junctions of skeletal muscle (Takeshima et al., 2000). The junctophilins, the protein family identified in this screen, were observed to have 8 repeat motifs present in their N-terminal regions. The repeats were given the name MORN based on a proposed role in mediating plasma membrane association of the N-terminal domain of the junctophilins. The MORN repeats were initially classified as being 14 amino acids in length, with an approximate consensus sequence of YEGEWxNGKxHGYG (Takeshima et al., 2000). A bioinformatics analysis at the time indicated that assemblies of 8 consecutive MORN repeats were also present in a putative junctophilin orthologue in a nematode (*Caenorhabditis elegans*), a family of plant (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) lipid kinases, and a bacterial (*Cyanobacterium*) protein (Takeshima et al., 2000). Later genome-era bioinformatics has shown that MORN repeat proteins are in fact found ubiquitously, being present in both eukaryotes and prokaryotes (El-Gebali et al., 2019).

The number of MORN repeat proteins in any given protein can vary greatly, from two to over 20, and they are found in combination with a wide range of other domains and protein repeat motifs. Most published work now favours a 23-amino acid length for a single MORN repeat, with the highly-conserved GxG motif at positions 12-14. A 14-amino acid length is still favoured by some groups, however (Habicht et al., 2015). Notable mammalian MORN repeat proteins besides the junctophilins include ALS2/alsin, at least two radial spoke proteins (RSPH10B, RSPH1/meichroacidin), the histone methyltransferase SETD7, and retinophilin/MORN4 (Tsuchida et al., 1998, Wilson et al., 2002, Otomo et al., 2003, Mecklenburg, 2007).

MORN repeats are generally assumed to be lipid-binding modules, but direct evidence for this function is actually lacking. In junctophilins, there is good evidence that the N-terminal region containing the MORN repeats mediates plasma membrane targeting (Takeshima et al., 2000, Nakada et al., 2018, Rossi et al., 2019). It has not been demonstrated whether the MORN repeats or the other sequences in the N-terminal region are responsible for this however, or if this targeting is due to protein-lipid or protein-protein interactions.

Similarly, although there is good evidence that the N-terminal region (amino acids 1-452) of junctophilin-2 can directly bind lipids, it has not specifically been shown that the MORN repeats are responsible. Binding could potentially be mediated by other nearby sequences, especially the run of over 100 amino acids that occurs between repeats 6 and 7 (Bennett et al., 2013). Work on the family of plant phosphatidylinositol(4)phosphate 5-kinases (PIPKs) that contain MORN repeats has led to suggestions that the repeats might regulate the activity of the kinase domain, bind to phospholipids, or mediate protein-protein interactions (Ma et al., 2006, Im et al., 2007, Camacho et al., 2009). It therefore remains unclear what role(s) this ubiquitous class of repeat motifs actually have (Mikami et al., 2010).

Coupled to this lack of unambiguous functional data is a lack of high-resolution structural information, exemplified by the ongoing lack of consensus as to whether a single repeat is 14 or 23 amino acids. This contrasts sharply with the considerable amount of information available on other classes of protein repeat motifs such as ankyrin repeats, leucine-rich

repeats, or WD40 repeats (Andrade et al., 2001, Kajava, 2012). Until very recently, the structure of the SETD7 histone methyltransferase was the sole representative of the MORN repeat protein family in the protein data bank (PDB) (Jacobs et al., 2002, Wilson et al., 2002, Xiao et al., 2003). Even here, the structure of the N-terminal domain containing the repeats is incomplete, and the level of sequence similarity of the repeats to those of junctophilins and other family members makes assignment difficult. Each repeat appears to form a β-hairpin with an acidic surface, but it remains unclear if this is a general property of MORN repeats. The SETD7 structure has not been analysed in this context, with more focus applied to its catalytic methyltransferase domain. In particular, a structure-based definition of the repeat class itself is currently lacking.

To address this, and additionally to tackle the question of putative lipid binding, it would obviously be advantageous to utilise a protein that is composed solely of MORN repeats. In this way, the contribution of other sequences or domains could be discounted. The MORN1 protein from the early-branching eukaryote *Trypanosoma brucei* is an ideal candidate in this regard, and has the advantage of also being well-characterised at a cell biology level (Morriswood et al., 2009, Esson et al., 2012, Morriswood et al., 2013, Morriswood & Schmidt, 2015).

TbMORN1 consists of 15 consecutive 23-amino acid MORN repeats, with barely any intervening sequence whatsoever (Fig. 1A). In *T. brucei*, TbMORN1 is localised to an ~2 μm long cytoskeleton-associated structure (the hook complex) that is found just below the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane. The hook complex encircles the neck of a small invagination of the plasma membrane that contains the root of the cell's single flagellum (Lacomble et al., 2009). This invagination, termed the flagellar pocket, is the sole site of endo- and exocytosis in trypanosome cells and is thought to be analogous to the ciliary pocket that is found at the base of some mammalian primary cilia (Grunfelder et al., 2003, Engstler et al., 2004, Molla-Herman et al., 2010).

Previous work on TbMORN1 demonstrated by fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) that it is a stable component of the hook complex, and a list of its binding partners and near neighbours has been obtained using proximity-dependent biotin identification (BioID) (Esson et al., 2012, Morriswood et al., 2013). In functional terms, depletion of TbMORN1 by RNAi in the mammalian-infective form of the parasite resulted in a lethal phenotype (Morriswood et al., 2009). Functional analysis indicated that the protein might be involved in endocytosis, as well as regulating the flow of macromolecular cargo through the neck of the flagellar pocket (Morriswood & Schmidt, 2015).

In this study, a detailed biochemical, structural, and functional analysis of the TbMORN1 protein was carried out. A truncated form missing the first MORN repeat that was best suited for in vitro work was found not to bind to phospholipid vesicles under any conditions, but was able to associate with individual lipid molecules. In addition, high-resolution crystal structures of a truncated form of the TbMORN1 protein and its homologues from the parasites *Toxoplasma gondii* (TgMORN1) and *Plasmodium falciparum* (PfMORN1) enabled a first structure-based definition of the MORN repeat itself, and provided confirmation that MORN

145 repeats can mediate homotypic interactions - a function that may unify previous observations
146 relating to MORN repeats.
147
148

149 ## RESULTS
150

151 ### TbMORN1 forms tail-to-tail dimers via its C-terminus
152

TbMORN1 is composed of 15 consecutive 23-amino acid MORN repeats, with a 5-amino acid
extension after the 6th repeat (Fig. 1A). An alignment of the repeats in TbMORN1 revealed
several highly conserved glycine residues, with a rough consensus of
$YxGEWx_2Gx_3GxGx_3Yx_2Gx_2$ (Fig. 1A, sequence logo). Bioinformatic analysis of TbMORN1
predicted an all-β secondary structure, with each repeat expected to form a β-hairpin (strand-
loop-strand) pattern (Fig. 1A).
159

As the full-length TbMORN1 protein displayed low solubility and a propensity for aggregation,
limited proteolysis assays were carried out to determine which TbMORN1 constructs were
amenable for biochemical and structural studies (Fig. S1A, B). Comparison of the proteolytic
fragments obtained at different dilutions suggested progressive digestion occurring from the
N-terminus (Fig. S1B, compare K1 and K2, T1/T2/T3). The C-terminus of the molecule
(repeats 13-15) seemed to be fairly stable, with no proteolytic digestion observed at this end.
In addition, the pattern of fragments generally suggested that the assignment of repeat
boundaries based on bioinformatic analysis was accurate.
168

169 Consequently, a panel of different truncations was cloned according to the MORN repeat
170 boundaries predicted by the alignment (Fig. 1A). These truncations were named according to
171 the number of repeats they contained - for example, TbMORN1(1-15) denotes full-length
172 protein. These truncations were expressed in bacteria and purified using a two-step protocol
173 combining affinity purification and size exclusion chromatography (Fig. S1C).
174

The oligomeric state and polydispersity of the purified proteins were investigated using size
exclusion chromatography coupled to multi-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS). SEC-MALS
analysis of TbMORN1(1-15) elution profiles suggested the formation of either aggregates or
higher-order assemblies (Fig. 1B). The yields of TbMORN1(1-15) were always very low,
making this construct not generally suitable for in vitro assays. By contrast, TbMORN1(2-15)
displayed a well-defined monodisperse peak in SEC-MALS, with a molecular weight
corresponding to a dimer (Fig. 1B). This strongly suggested that the first MORN repeat
mediated oligomerisation. Further successive truncations from the N-terminus (TbMORN1(7-
15) and TbMORN1(10-15)) also eluted as monodisperse dimers, suggesting that dimerisation
of TbMORN1 is mediated by the C-terminus (Fig. S1D). Consistent with this conclusion,
removal of the last MORN repeat in the construct TbMORN1(1-14) resulted in the elution of a
mixture of monomers, dimers, and higher-order structures (Fig. 1B). This demonstrated that
the C-terminal repeats play an important role in dimer stabilisation.
188

Circular dichroism (CD) measurements taken of TbMORN1(1-15), TbMORN1(2-15), and
TbMORN1(7-15) indicated β-strand character in all cases, with >30% antiparallel β-strand
content for each construct (Fig. S1E), and in good agreement with a priori bioinformatic
predictions (Fig. 1A). Thermostability measurements of TbMORN1(1-15), TbMORN1(2-15)
and TbMORN1(7-15) using CD returned values in °C of 45.6 +/- 0.1, 43.5+/-0.1, and 42.2+/-
0.1 respectively, showing an expected incremental contribution of MORN repeats to the
overall stability of the protein.
196
To map which residues were likely mediating dimerisation, cross-linking mass spectrometry
(XL-MS) was used to analyse TbMORN1(1-15). Two different chemical cross-linkers were
used: EDC (1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide), which has a zero-length spacer
arm and forms bonds between carboxyl groups and primary amines, and BS[3]
(bis(sulphosuccinimidyl)suberate), which has an 11.4 Å spacer arm and cross-links primary
amines. For both chemicals, most cross-links were observed to form between repeats 13, 14,
and 15, especially via repeat 14 (Table S1). These data were consistent with those obtained
by SEC-MALS (Fig. 1B, Fig. S1D), suggesting that TbMORN1 molecules in solution form tail-
to-tail dimers via their C-termini. It remained unclear whether the polypeptide chains in dimers
were in a parallel or antiparallel orientation, however.
207

TbMORN1 can bind lipid side chains but not phospholipid liposomes

209
As a first test of the hypothesis that MORN repeats can directly interact with phospholipids,
protein-lipid overlay assays were carried out using PIP strips. Purified TbMORN1(1-15) was
found to interact with a number of different phosphoinositide species on the PIP strips,
principally PI(3)P, PI(4)P, and PI(5)P, but also PI(3,4)P_2, PI(3,5)P_2, PI(4,5)P_2, and
phosphatidic acid (PA) (Fig. 2A). This same trend, with a stronger interaction observed with
monophosphate PIPs than diphosphate PIPs, has also been seen in protein-lipid overlay
assays using purified junctophilin-2. This might be due to a lower solubility of the
monophosphate PIPs, however (Bennett et al., 2013). As a positive control, the PIP strips
were incubated with the pleckstrin homology (PH) domain of phospholipase C delta (PLCδ).
Strong binding was observed to PI(4,5)P_2 only, as expected (Fig. 2B).
220
221 Of these candidates, the most intriguing was PI(4,5)P_2. It is a known endocytic effector, is
222 enriched in the flagellar pocket membrane of trypanosomes, and depletion of TbMORN1 by
223 RNAi resulted in a phenotype suggestive of an endocytosis defect (Demmel et al., 2014,
224 Morriswood & Schmidt, 2015). Furthermore, a PI(4)P 5-kinase, TbPIPKA, has been shown to
225 have a strongly overlapping distribution with TbMORN1 in vivo (Demmel et al., 2014).
226
To confirm that TbMORN1 could interact with PI(4,5)P_2, fluorescence anisotropy was used as
a second independent and quantitative approach. In this method, the tumbling of a
fluorescently-labelled lipid in solution is reduced upon binding to a larger protein molecule,
and the change in the polarisation of the emitted light is measured. TbMORN1(2-15) showed
excellent binding to BODIPY TMR-labelled PI(4,5)P_2, with a K_d of approximately 7.5 +/- 4.1
μM (Fig. 2C). To narrow down the PI(4,5)P_2 binding site(s) on TbMORN1, truncation
constructs were again used. Both TbMORN1(7-15) and TbMORN1(10-15) showed strong

binding to PI(4,5)P$_2$, with K$_d$ values of 7.7 +/- 2.6 and 1.0 +/- 0.1 μM respectively, indicating
that the interaction was occurring in the C-terminal portion of TbMORN1 (Fig. 2C).

Curiously, while the anisotropy signal for the TbMORN1(7-15) was lower than that of
TbMORN1(2-15), the highest anisotropy signal of all was obtained for the smallest construct,
TbMORN1(10-15) (Fig. 2C). This was surprising, as one would usually expect the largest
construct containing the binding site to give the highest anisotropy signal - the larger protein
means less tumbling of the fluorophore-conjugated lipid bound to the protein, resulting in
greater polarisation of the emitted light and a higher anisotropy signal.

As an additional test, native gel electrophoresis assays were carried out. In these assays,
interaction between a protein and a fluorescently-labelled lipid is detected by co-migration of
the lipid with the protein. Both TbMORN1(2-15) and TbMORN1(10-15) produced a band shift
of BODIPY TMR-labelled PI(4,5)P$_2$. In contrast to the PIP strip data, no interaction was seen
for BODIPY TMR-labelled PI(4)P (Fig. S2A, B). This too was surprising as this lipid had given
the strongest signal in the overlay assays (Fig. 2A).

Of note, all of the assays to this point - overlay assays, fluorescence anisotropy, native gel
electrophoresis - had looked at the binding of TbMORN1 to isolated lipids below their critical
micelle concentration. This situation is somewhat artificial, and so for better physiological
relevance the binding of TbMORN1 to phospholipid membranes was investigated using
liposome pelleting assays.

The assays were carried out with liposomes of roughly 100 nm diameter, and containing 0-
20% PI(4,5)P$_2$. Varying the amount of PI(4,5)P$_2$ from 0-20% did not result in any increase in
the amount of TbMORN1(2-15) present in the pellet (P) fraction, while strong concentration-
dependent co-sedimentation was observed with the positive control Doc2B (Fig. 2D).
Quantification of the amount of protein in the pellet relative to the 0% PI(4,5)P$_2$ condition
showed that the amount of TbMORN1(2-15) was within roughly 10% of the control condition
at all times (Fig. 2E).

Additional assays were carried out using liposomes of differing diameters (100 and 400 nm)
in order to vary curvature, and different cholesterol contents (0 and 40%) in order to
concentrate PI(4,5)P$_2$ into microdomains. Neither approach produced an increase in
TbMORN1(2-15) association (Fig. S3A). Therefore, TbMORN1 appeared to bind to isolated
molecules of PI(4,5)P$_2$ but not to liposomes.

The TbMORN1 primary structure was next examined for putative PI(4,5)P$_2$-binding sites,
based on binding sequence motifs derived from known PI(4,5)P$_2$-binding sites in the PH, PLC-
δ1, CALM-N, ENTH, FERM, PTB, and I3P-RBC domains (Franzot et al., 2005). Two candidate
sites were identified in the C-terminus of TbMORN1: one in repeat 13, and one in repeat 14.
The location of these sites was in agreement with the fluorescence anisotropy assays, which
had suggested binding to the C-terminal region of TbMORN1 (Fig. 2C).

Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out on these sites in the TbMORN1(2-15)-encoding
construct either singly or in combination. These single or double mutants of TbMORN1(2-15)
were then expressed, purified, and biophysically characterised. Thermostability of the purified
proteins was assessed using differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF), and indicated that
mutagenesis of the site in repeat 14 and the double mutant both resulted in slightly decreased
stability (i.e. a lower T_m) than TbMORN1(2-15) (Table S2). CD analyses indicated that the
constructs retained an all-β secondary structure (Fig. S3C).

SEC-MALS analysis showed that while mutagenesis of the site in repeat 13 did not alter the
dimeric state of TbMORN1(2-15), mutagenesis of the site in repeat 14 resulted in a mixture of
monomers and dimers, while mutagenesis of both sites resulted in a monomeric protein (Fig.
S3B). This matched the conclusion from the SEC-MALS studies that the dimerisation site also
resides in the C-terminal segment (Fig. 1B, Fig. S1D).

Unexpectedly, all three TbMORN1(2-15) mutant constructs showed unimpaired binding to
PI(4,5)P_2 in fluorescence anisotropy assays (Fig. S3D). Furthermore, all three mutants
actually had stronger binding affinities for PI(4,5)P_2 than the wild-type TbMORN1(2-15)
construct, with K_d values of ∼ 1 μM. Although these results might indicate that TbMORN1(2-
15) utilises a non-canonical PI(4,5)P_2 binding site, a more parsimonious explanation was that
the data reflected a nonspecific interaction. Subsequent experiments addressed this question
and favoured this latter interpretation.

All fluorescence anisotropy assays had been carried out using labelled PI(4,5)P_2 with 16-
carbon aliphatic chains. When the assays were repeated using a version of PI(4,5)P_2 with 6-
carbon aliphatic chains, no binding was seen (Fig. S4A). A range of lipids (PI, PI(4)P,
PI(3,4)P_2, PI(3,5)P_2) with 6-carbon aliphatic chains were then tested for interaction with
TbMORN1(2-15) by fluorescence anisotropy, and no binding to any was seen (Fig. S4A).

Furthermore, it was observed that TbMORN1(2-15) could also bind to PI(3,4,5)P_3 - which had
not given a positive result in the overlay assays (Fig. 2A) - if the lipid had 16-carbon aliphatic
chains (Fig. S4B). This interaction with 16-carbon PI(4,5)P_2 and PI(3,4,5)P_3 was seen using
BODIPY-fluorescein-labelled lipids instead of BODIPY TMR-labelled ones (Fig. S4B). The
binding of TbMORN1(2-15) to 16-carbon versions of either PI(4,5)P_2 or PI(3,4,5)P_3 was
comparable to that seen for positive controls (Fig. S4C).

These results strongly suggested that the observed binding of TbMORN1(2-15) to PI(4,5)P_2
by both fluorescence anisotropy and native gel electrophoresis was in fact due to interaction
with the 16-carbon aliphatic chains and not with the lipid headgroup. An inability to interact
with the lipid headgroup was also consistent with the observed lack of interaction of
TbMORN1(2-15) with phospholipid membranes in the liposome pelleting assays (Fig. 2D,E,
Fig. S3A).

In conclusion, while protein overlay assays indicated that TbMORN1(1-15) and TbMORN1(2-
15) could interact with phospholipid species, subsequent fluorescence anisotropy and native
gel electrophoresis assays strongly suggested that this interaction was actually with the

aliphatic chains. No evidence for TbMORN1(2-15) binding to phospholipid liposomes in vitro was obtained.

TbMORN1 co-purifies with PE but does not bind to lipid vesicles in vitro

A caveat to the previous conclusion was that the purified recombinant TbMORN1 was obtained via mechanical lysis of bacterial cells in the absence of detergent (see Materials and Methods). It was therefore possible that TbMORN1 was associating with bacterial lipids that might be occupying the binding sites.

To test this, purified recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) and TbMORN1(10-15) were treated according to a de-lipidation protocol, and the resulting supernatants were analysed by mass spectrometry. Interestingly, large amounts of phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) were found to have been bound to TbMORN1(1-15) (Fig. 3A). The co-purifying PE displayed a remarkably narrow window of molecular moieties differing in the length of the aliphatic chain (30:0, 33:1, 34:1, 36:2). No significant PE presence was detected in supernatants obtained following de-lipidation of TbMORN1(10-15) (Fig 3B). Given that TbMORN1(10-15) showed robust binding to the 16-carbon chain $PI(4,5)P_2$ (Fig. 2C), this suggested that PE was not occluding the binding site.

To remove co-purifying lipids, recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) was purified using hydrophobic interaction chromatography - in this regime, almost no lipids were detected in the elutions. Triton X-100 treatment was also found to efficiently remove bound lipids.

Co-purification of PE with TbMORN1(1-15) is not evidence of physiological interaction, as PE is highly abundant in bacteria and carries a net neutral charge (Cronan, 2003). As such, PE might simply have associated with the recombinant protein following lysis of the bacteria prior to purification. To investigate this, mass spectrometry analysis of whole-cell lipids from bacteria expressing recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) or TbMORN1(10-15) was carried out. Curiously, bacterial cells expressing TbMORN1(1-15) showed elevated levels of PE (Fig. 3C). This effect was not seen in bacterial cells expressing TbMORN1(10-15), which had approximately normal levels of PE (Fig. 3D).

In summary, TbMORN1(1-15) but not TbMORN1(10-15) was found to have co-purifying PE, and bacterial cells expressing TbMORN1(1-15) appeared to have elevated levels of PE. This suggested that PE might be a plausible candidate for a physiological interaction partner of TbMORN1, and that it requires MORN repeats 1-9 for binding.

To test the possibility of PE binding, and also to check whether the presence of co-purifying PE was affecting possible $PI(4,5)P_2$ binding, pelleting assays using sucrose-loaded vesicles (SLVs) were carried out. Sucrose loading increases the vesicle mass and enables much lower centrifugation forces to be used for pelleting, thereby reducing the risk of false positives due to protein aggregates pelleting independently of vesicle association. Three types of SLVs were used: PE-enriched SLVs, $PI(4,5)P_2$-enriched SLVs, and SLVs reconstituted from purified

trypanosome whole-cell lipids. These were incubated with TbMORN1(2-15) purified either in the absence or presence of Triton X-100, i.e. either without or with co-purifying bacterial lipids.

As expected, in the absence of Triton X-100 treatment to remove bound lipids, purified recombinant TbMORN1(2-15) did not co-sediment with any of the liposome preparations (Fig. 4A). Even after purified recombinant TbMORN1(2-15) was treated with Triton X-100 to remove bound lipids, no association with the liposomes was seen (Fig 4B), suggesting that TbMORN1 binds only to isolated PEs. As a positive control for pelleting, the PH domain of PLCγ was used. This showed robust and specific pelleting in presence of PI(4,5)P$_2$-containing liposomes, but in no other conditions (Fig4C, arrow). At this point, the candidate approach to TbMORN1 lipid binding was discontinued.

As an unbiased and high-throughput approach, TbMORN1 was next tested in a liposome microarray assay (LiMA). LiMA enables sampling of a wide range of liposome compositions and curvatures in a single experiment. Readout is via the detection of two fluorophores - one on a carrier lipid in the liposomes, and one on the protein of interest (Saliba et al., 2014, Saliba et al., 2016). Colocalised signals are regarded as positive hits. For these assays EGFP-TbMORN1(2-15) was expressed and purified. The EGFP-TbMORN1(2-15) protein showed no significant preference or affinity for liposomes across the whole range of conditions tested (Fig. 4D,E). Each lipid was tested at three different concentrations. Of note, no binding was seen to either phosphoinositide lipids or PE. In contrast, the PH domain of PLCδ, which was again used as a positive control, showed a strong and specific binding to PI(4,5)P$_2$ liposomes and, to a lesser extent, SM-enriched ones (Fig. 4F, note difference in y-axis scale compared to panels D, E).

In summary, purified recombinant TbMORN1(2-15) showed no binding to liposomes under any conditions assayed (Fig. 2D,E, Fig. S3A, Fig. 4A-F). TbMORN1(2-15) showed robust binding to PI(4,5)P$_2$ and PI(3,4,5)P$_3$ molecules in fluorescence anisotropy assays, but only when lipids with 16-carbon aliphatic chains were used (Fig. 2C, Fig. S3D, Fig. S4A, B). TbMORN1(1-15) was found to co-purify with PE. Taken together, these data suggest that TbMORN1 requires large aliphatic hydrophobic chains of the lipid for binding, which would explain the negative results in the liposome-based assays.

TbMORN1 does not associate with membranes in vivo

At this point, the only remaining positive indicators of an interaction of TbMORN1 with lipid came from the PIP strips (Fig. 2A), and the bacterial mass spectrometry data (Fig. 3A, C). The latter data showed that TbMORN1(1-15) but not TbMORN1(10-15) co-purified with PE, and that bacteria expressing TbMORN1(1-15) had elevated PE levels. These elevated cellular PE levels might represent upregulated synthesis to compensate for something binding and sequestering the lipid (Fig. 3A, C).

Notably, all these positive pieces of evidence related to TbMORN1(1-15), which was polydisperse in vitro and formed large oligomers (Fig. 1B). The membrane-binding activity of

413 these polydisperse oligomers was not possible to test in vitro, as the purification yields of
414 TbMORN1(1-15) were always low. As an alternative, the possible membrane association of
415 full-length TbMORN1 protein was examined in vivo.
416
For these experiments, cell lines of bloodstream form *T. brucei* were generated that inducibly
expressed full-length TbMORN1(1-15) with an N-terminal Ty1 epitope tag. Induction of Ty1-
TbMORN1(1-15) overexpression using tetracycline (Tet) produced a strong growth defect in
all three *T. brucei* clones tested (Fig. 5A). A rise in the number of so-called "BigEye" cells with
grossly enlarged flagellar pockets was seen in the same 96-hour time window (Fig. 5B). Such
a phenotype had previously been seen following depletion of TbMORN1 (Morriswood &
Schmidt, 2015). The BigEye phenotype is thought to result from perturbations to membrane
traffic, especially endocytosis (Allen et al., 2003).
425
426 Immunoblotting with anti-TbMORN1 antibodies confirmed tight and inducible expression of
427 the ectopic Ty1-TbMORN1 protein (Fig. 5C, left panel). The presence of the Ty1 epitope tag
428 in the ectopic protein was confirmed by blotting with anti-Ty1 antibodies (Fig. 5C, right panel).
429 Quantification of the immunoblots indicated only around 2-fold overexpression of Ty1-
430 TbMORN1 relative to endogenous TbMORN1 protein (Fig. 5D).
431
These strong negative effects were unexpected, and could potentially be due either to the
overexpression of the MORN1 protein, or to the presence of the Ty1 tag. To check the first
point, cells that inducibly overexpressed untagged TbMORN1 from an ectopic locus were
generated. Induction of ectopic gene expression by addition of tetracycline resulted in
overexpression of TbMORN1 in immunoblots (Fig. S5A). Quantification of overexpression
indicated that approximately 7 times more TbMORN1 protein was present in induced cells
relative to controls (Fig. S5B). Cells overexpressing untagged TbMORN1 exhibited a very
strong growth defect, stronger than that seen for overexpression of Ty1-TbMORN1 (Fig. S5C).
Therefore, TbMORN1 protein levels alone were capable of producing a negative effect on
growth in the absence of the Ty1 tag.
442
443 It was however not possible to obtain cells that solely expressed Ty1-TbMORN1 by
444 endogenous replacement, despite repeated attempts. This indicated that Ty1-TbMORN1
445 cannot functionally compensate for the loss of the untagged endogenous protein.
446
Immunofluorescence microscopy analysis of whole cells labelled with anti-TbMORN1
antibodies showed that Ty1-TbMORN1-overexpressing cells displayed a whole-cell labelling
pattern, unlike controls (Fig. 5E, left panels). Although no sign of protein aggregation was
observed, this suggested that perhaps the Ty1-TbMORN1 protein might not localise correctly.
Immunofluorescence microscopy analysis of detergent-extracted cytoskeleton fractions
labelled using anti-Ty1 antibodies confirmed that Ty1-TbMORN1 was able to target correctly;
as expected, no labelling was seen in controls. (Fig. 5E, right panels).
454
To confirm the immunofluorescence microscopy observations, one-step biochemical
fractionation using the non-ionic detergent IGEPAL was used. The detergent-soluble
cytoplasmic fraction (SN) was separated from the detergent-insoluble cytoskeleton pellet (P)
by centrifugation (Fig. 5F). In control cells, endogenous TbMORN1 associated almost entirely

with the cytoskeletal (P) fraction (Fig. 5G). Blotting fractions from Ty1-TbMORN1-
overexpressing cells with anti-TbMORN1 antibodies showed that the overexpressed Ty1-
TbMORN1 was mostly extracted by the detergent (Fig. 5G, arrow 1). However, a small amount
did associate with the cytoskeleton (P) fraction. This association was accompanied by a
displacement of some of the endogenous protein into the cytoplasmic SN fraction (Fig. 5G,
arrows 2).

Quantification of fractionation data from multiple experiments supported the qualitative
analysis (Fig. 5H). Summing the signals of Ty1-TbMORN1 and TbMORN1 present in the
cytoskeletal fraction in overexpressing cells indicated that the total amount of cytoskeleton-
associated protein was approximately the same as in controls (Fig 5H, grey bar). This
suggested that there are a finite number of Ty1-TbMORN1 molecules that can associate with
the cytoskeleton. As the total amount of endogenous and ectopic TbMORN1 associated with
the cytoskeleton is roughly the same in both overexpressing cells and controls, this suggested
also that the dominant negative cellular effects are primarily due to the endogenous and
ectopic TbMORN1 in the cytoplasmic fraction.

To determine if the cytoplasmic fraction of endogenous and ectopic TbMORN1 in the
overexpressing cells was membrane-associated or cytosolic, two-step fractionations were
carried out. These assays involved a first extraction with digitonin, then a second extraction
with IGEPAL. Digitonin has an affinity for cholesterol and other lipids enriched in the plasma
membrane, so at the right concentration it should enable the extraction of cytosol while leaving
organelles relatively intact (Adam et al., 1990).

To optimise the conditions for digitonin extraction, a cell line expressing cytosolic GFP as a
marker was used (Batram et al., 2014). These cells were extracted with varying concentrations
of digitonin, using 1% IGEPAL as a positive control for full extraction, and fractions were
separated by centrifugation (Fig. S6A). The supernatant and pellet fractions were
immunoblotted with antibodies against GFP and the ER lumenal chaperone BiP (Fig. S6B),
and the results quantified (Fig. S6C). At 40 µg/ml, good extraction of GFP was obtained with
only minimal extraction of BiP (Fig. S6B, arrow 1). A time course of extraction using 40 µg/ml
digitonin was then carried out (Fig. S6D). Increasing the incubation time over a range of 15-
30 min did not appear to increase the amount of GFP extraction (Fig. S6E,F). As a result, a
25 min incubation time was used in the subsequent experiments.

A two-step extraction using first digitonin and then IGEPAL was then carried out (Fig. 6A). The
digitonin supernatant (SN1) was enriched for cytosol, while the membrane/organelle fraction
present in the pellet (P1) was subsequently extracted using IGEPAL and partitioned into SN2.
Cells expressing cytosolic GFP were spiked in alongside Ty1-TbMORN1-overexpressing cells
in order to use GFP as a cytosolic marker.

Analysing equal fractions by immunoblotting showed that the Ty1-TbMORN1 and TbMORN1
proteins not associated with the cytoskeleton were predominantly cytosolic (Fig. 6B). The GFP
cytosolic marker was almost wholly extracted by the digitonin, and accompanied by the
majority of the cytoplasmic Ty1-TbMORN1 and TbMORN1 (Fig. 6B, arrows 1).

The Ty1-TbMORN1 and TbMORN1 that were not extracted by digitonin and therefore present
in P1 were not strongly extracted by IGEPAL and barely present in the second supernatant
(SN2), while the ER marker BiP was (Fig. 6B, arrows 2). Almost all the Ty1-TbMORN1 and
TbMORN1 present in P1 partitioned into the second pellet, P2. Quantification of multiple
independent experiments using the three separate clones produced results consistent with
the exemplary blot shown (Fig. 6C,D). The presence of the overexpressed Ty1-TbMORN1
and displaced endogenous TbMORN1 in the digitonin supernatant (SN1) indicated that they
are predominantly cytosolic. This suggested that TbMORN1 does not associate with
membranes in vivo.
514
In conclusion, the extensive studies conducted on TbMORN1 here provide no evidence that
its MORN repeats are able to associate with phospholipid membranes in vivo, or directly
interact with phospholipid vesicles in vitro. TbMORN1 was able to bind to individual lipid
molecules, notably PE. This interaction does not appear to be mediated by lipid headgroups
however, and it is very hard to imagine how it would occur under physiological conditions
unless TbMORN1 is a lipid carrier and not a membrane-binding protein.
521
If MORN repeats do not bind membranes, then this raises the question of what they really do,
and whether this other function might unify the various observations made about MORN
repeat proteins to date. ALS2 has been suggested to use its MORN repeats to form an
antiparallel dimer (Kunita et al., 2004), and the evidence obtained here showed that
TbMORN1 molecules also formed tail-to-tail dimers via their C-termini (Figs. 1, S1).
Mammalian PI(4)P 5-kinases are also dimers, implying that the MORN repeats found at the
N-termini of the family of plant PIPKs might function to mediate homotypic interactions (Rao
et al., 1998). To investigate how the MORN1 dimers were being formed, high-resolution
structural studies were used.
531
532
533 ## High-resolution crystal structures of three MORN repeat proteins
534
Crystallisation trials were initially performed with TbMORN1(2-15) and TbMORN1(7-15).
Diffraction data were obtained for TbMORN1(7-15) in two different crystal forms (P2$_1$ and C2),
but attempts to solve the phase problem using experimental phasing approaches (multiple
isomorphous replacement, multiple anomalous scattering exploiting selenium and sulphur
atom signals) and molecular replacement failed due to low reproducibility of crystals,
anisotropy of diffraction data, and absence of sufficient homology of TbMORN1 to other
MORN repeat-containing proteins of known structure. At that point, the only MORN repeat-
containing protein for which the crystal structure was solved was SETD7, a histone
methyltransferase. SETD7 is predicted to contain up to 6 MORN repeats at its N-terminus, but
they display low sequence homology to both the MORN repeats of TbMORN1 and the
consensus MORN repeat sequence obtained by Pfam. This prevented successful use of
molecular replacement as an approach.
547
As a new tactic, the MORN1 proteins from the apicomplexan parasites *Plasmodium falciparum*
(PfMORN1) and *Toxoplasma gondii* (TgMORN1) were targeted for structure determination.

Despite their evolutionary distance, they share high (57% and 54%, respectively) sequence
identity with TbMORN1 (Fig. S7A,B). CD measurements of purified recombinant protein
indicated that TgMORN1, TgMORN1(7-15), PfMORN1, PfMORN1(2-15) and PfMORN1(7-15)
all had an overall β-structure (Fig. S7C,D). This agrees with bioinformatic predictions and is
consistent with the data obtained for TbMORN1 (Fig. S1E).

Diffracting crystals of selenomethionine-labelled PfMORN1(7-15) were obtained and its
crystal structure was determined to 2.14 Å resolution using the single-wavelength anomalous
dispersion (SAD) method. The structures of TgMORN1(7-15) and both $P2_1$ and C2 crystal
forms of TbMORN1(7-15) were subsequently determined to 2.90, 2.35 and 2.53 Å resolution,
respectively, with the PfMORN1(7-15) structure used as a search model for the molecular
replacement (Fig. 7A-C). The structures of PfMORN1(7-15), TgMORN1(7-15), and both $P2_1$
and C2 forms of TbMORN1(7-15) were refined to an R_{work}/R_{free} of 23.0%/26.4%, 28.3%/32.2%,
23.2%/25.6% and 22.5%/28.2%, respectively (Table 1). TbMORN1(7-15) $P2_1$ (Fig. 7A), C2
(Fig. S8A) and TgMORN1(7-15) (Fig. 7B) crystallised with one dimer in the asymmetric unit,
while PfMORN1(7-15) (Fig. 7C) crystallised with one subunit in the asymmetric unit, and the
functional dimer was formed via crystallographic symmetry axis.

All MORN1(7-15) crystal structures show subunit interactions via the C-terminal regions to
form antiparallel tail-to-tail dimers, with variable inter-subunit angles and dimerisation
interfaces (Fig. 7A-C, Fig. S8A). The two subunits in the TbMORN1(7-15) C2 crystal form and
the TgMORN1(7-15) dimer make a rather straight assembly, while in the $P2_1$ form they display
a bend of about 30° (Fig. S8A), leading to a rod-shaped particle appearance. This dimer
architecture is consistent with the limited proteolysis data, which had indicated that the N-
terminal regions of the molecule are more susceptible (Fig. S1A,B). Interestingly, PfMORN1(7-
15) displays a V-shaped dimer with an inter-subunit angle of about 45°, and incorporates a
structural Zn^{2+} ion at the dimer interface (Fig. 7C). One crystal form of TgMORN1(7-15) also
adopts the same V-shape seen for PfMORN1(7-15) (Fig. S9B). Here too, a Zn^{2+} ion is found
at the dimer interface.

Superimposing the TbMORN1(7-15) $P2_1$ subunit with the structures of the TgMORN1(7-15)
and PfMORN1(7-15) subunits over 202 C_α atoms yielded rmsd values of 1.0 and 1.1 Å,
respectively, revealing high structural similarity between the three proteins. The common
structural feature of all three subunits is an elongated and twisted β-sheet. The curved shape
of each constituent MORN repeat forms a groove laterally delimited by a rim. An individual
MORN1(7-15) subunit is approximately 80 Å in length and displays a longitudinal groove of
about 16 Å in depth (Fig. 7A).

Comparison of a single TbMORN1(7-15) subunit with known three-dimensional structures was
carried out using the DALI server (Holm & Rosenstrom, 2010, Holm & Laakso, 2016). This
revealed closest similarity with the G-box domain at the C-terminus of the human CPAP
protein. CPAP is a centriolar protein essential for microtubule recruitment. The G-box
comprises a single elongated β-sheet with all residues being solvent-exposed, and is capable
of forming supramolecular assemblies [PDB entry 4LZF, (Hatzopoulos et al., 2013)]. Despite
the low sequence identity (10%) between TbMORN1(7-15) and CPAP, the Z-score calculated

by DALI suggested a significant similarity between the two structures (rmsd over 155
superimposed C_α atoms = 3.9 Å, Z-score = 12).
597
598   ## A structure-based redefinition of the MORN repeat
599
The crystal structures confirmed that each 23-amino acid MORN repeat is composed of a β-
hairpin followed by a 6-residue loop that connects to the next MORN repeat. Each β-hairpin
is composed of two 6-residue β-strands connected by a 5-residue loop. The MORN repeats
from TbMORN1(7-15) could be readily superimposed, showing a high level of structural
conservation (Fig. 7D). Based on this high level of conservation, a revised sequence alignment
of the TbMORN1 repeats was constructed that better reflects the structural architecture of the
protein (Fig. 7E, compare with Fig. 1A). The alignment of repeats 7-15 was obtained directly
from structural superpositions and used to bootstrap the alignment of the repeats 1-6.
608
609   The new consensus MORN repeat sequence displays three highly-conserved features: a GxG
610   motif at the start, a conserved glycine (G) at position 10, and a YEGEW motif at positions 13-
611   17 (Fig. 7E). A slightly less conserved LxY motif is at positions 5-7. The GxG motif is at the
612   beginning of the first β-strand, while the YEGEW motif comprises most of the second β-strand.
613
The glycine residues at position 10 are in a β-hairpin of type I, where the most commonly-
observed residue at this position is a glycine (Hutchinson & Thornton, 1994). The GxG motif
is strictly conserved because the first G residue adopts a main chain conformation mapping
to the lower right corner of the Ramachandran plot, which is exclusively allowed for glycines.
The conformation of this glycine is stabilised via a main-chain hydrogen bond with the
tryptophan (W) residue of the YEGEW motif (Fig. 7F). The high conservation of the second
glycine residue in the GxG motif is to accommodate the highly conserved neighbouring
aromatic residues from the YEGEW and LxY motifs, as any larger side chain would create
steric clashes. The tyrosine and tryptophan side chains of the YEGEW and LxY motifs provide
a textbook example of aromatic stacking, filling up the groove and stabilising the tertiary
structure of the TbMORN1 subunit (Fig. 7F, G). The highly conserved tyrosine of the YEGEW
motif is sandwiched in a T-shaped π-stacking interaction between two tryptophan residues,
one from its own motif and the second from the following YEGEW motif (Fig. 7F). The tyrosine
of the LxY motif is stabilised via hydrophobic and/or aromatic stacking interactions with the
leucine residues in its own and the subsequent LxY motif.
629
630   Three MORN repeats of SETD7 display similar general features, but differ in details, and can
631   be aligned with TbMORN1 repeat 7 over 22-23 aligned C_α atoms with an rmsd of 2.3, 1.5 and
632   1.9 Å respectively (Fig. S8B). The first glycine residue in the SETD7 MORN repeats is
633   conserved with that in TbMORN1, while tyrosine, phenylalanine, and valine replace the
634   tryptophan of the YEGEW motif (Fig. S8C).
635
636

TbMORN1 displays an overall negative charge

The TbMORN1(7-15) antiparallel dimer displays a two-fold symmetry perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the assembly (Fig. 7A). Analysis of amino acid conservation derived from a sequence alignment of representative MORN repeat-containing proteins showed a well conserved stretch of residues in the groove (Fig. 8A). Due to the twofold symmetric nature of the quaternary structure assembly, structural and surface properties are displayed on opposite sides of the elongated dimeric particle and perpetuated symmetrically along the rims (Fig. 8A-C).

Each TbMORN1 subunit displays a negative electrostatic potential. A prominent feature of each subunit is the negatively charged patch in the groove, which contributes to the highly negative electrostatic potential of the dimer, together with the generally negatively charged surface of the opposite site. This groove is flanked by a larger non-charged area with a central positively-charged pocket (Fig. 8B, left-hand side, 8C middle). The negatively-charged patch is formed by residues residing on loops of MORN repeats 9–13, and several of them display high sequence conservation throughout the structural alignment (Fig. 8A,B, left-hand side).

The positive charge of the pocket closer to the dimer interface is contributed by the universally conserved Lys316 residue, which is positioned on a loop connecting MORN repeats 13 and 14, and which is juxtaposed with Arg293 from the loop connecting MORN repeats 12 and 13. The Arg293 residue is additionally involved in aromatic stacking interactions with the residues Tyr278, Trp288 and Phe311, the latter forming a large surrounding non-charged area (Fig. 8B).

The rest of the subunit surface towards the N-terminus of each TbMORN1 subunit displays a non-charged and partially hydrophobic character (Fig. 8C), while the opposite side of the subunit displays a fairly uniform distribution of negative charges (Fig. 8B, right-hand side). The overall negative charge of TbMORN1(7-15) and the lack of pronounced positive patches that could serve as binding sites for negatively-charged phospholipid polar heads is consistent with the negative binding data for lipid membranes.

TbMORN1 forms an extended antiparallel dimer

TbMORN1(7-15) in both crystal forms, as well as TgMORN1(7-15) in one crystal form, are made of extended antiparallel dimers. The dimer interface is built from residues in MORN repeats 12-15, which connect the two subunits in an antiparallel, tail-to-tail orientation (Fig. 7A-C). In both crystal forms of TbMORN1(7-15), the central core of the dimer interface is the same (Fig. 9A, Fig. S9A). Due to the twofold symmetry, the majority of the stabilising interactions are duplicated and build up an extended dimer interface.

The tightest overlap between the two subunits occurs at the site of MORN repeats 14 and 15. A series of hydrophobic and aromatic π-stacking interactions between residues from repeats

14 and 15, together with hydrogen bonds across the edges, stabilise the dimer (Fig. 9A, Fig.
S9A). Furthermore, the very negatively-charged C-terminal region of one TbMORN1 subunit
forms an arch above the positively-charged platform contributed by Lys316 and Arg293 (Fig.
8B). Two residues from MORN repeat 14 - Lys325 (subunit A) and Asp326 (subunit B) - form
a salt bridge, which further stabilises the dimer interface.

In the $P2_1$ and C2 TbMORN1(7-15) crystal forms, the dimer interfaces occupy surface areas
of 747 Å^2 and 966 Å^2, respectively. Calculations of gain in solvation free energy ($\Delta^i G$) upon
dimer formation for TbMORN1(7-15) C2 and $P2_1$ performed with the PDBePISA package
(Krissinel & Henrick, 2007) yielded values of -20.1 kcal/mol and -11.3 kcal/mol, respectively,
with corresponding p-values of interface specificity 0.01 and 0.08. $\Delta^i G$ values lower than -10
to -15 kcal/mol and p-values lower than 0.5 are significant for stable protein dimers, indicating
highly specific dimerisation interfaces (Krissinel & Henrick, 2007).

In the C2 crystal form, the dimer is additionally stabilised by two disulphide bridges formed
between Cys351 at the C-terminus of repeat 15, and Cys282 from the β-hairpin loop of repeat
12 (Fig. S9A). In the $P2_1$ crystal structure, the position of the loop differs from that in the C2
dimer, and keeps the C_α atoms of Cys351 and Cys282 at a distance of 11.7 Å, preventing
disulphide bond formation. Here, the side chain of Cys351 is engaged in a polar interaction
with Asp303 (Fig. 9A, Fig. S9A).

In comparison to the TbMORN1(7-15) C2 crystal form, the extended TgMORN1(7-15)
structure has an approximately 1.5-times smaller dimer interface (601 Å^2), which is contributed
solely by residues from MORN repeats 13-15 (Fig. 7B, Fig. S9C). This is closer in size to the
747 Å^2 interface of the $P2_1$ crystal form of TbMORN1(7-15). The final C-terminal part of the
protein seems to be flexible and does not engage in the stabilisation of the dimer. In
comparison to TbMORN1(7-15), the dimer interface of TgMORN1(7-15) is not built around
aromatic stacking, but instead employs hydrophobic interactions between Phe350 and
neighbouring small hydrophobic residues, such as Leu335 and Leu327 (Fig. S9C).

A retroactive validation of the dimer interface came from the earlier PI(4,5)P$_2$-binding work.
Mutagenesis of repeat 14 had produced a mixture of monomers and dimers, while the
simultaneous mutagenesis of two candidate sites in repeats 13 and 14 had resulted in a pure
monomer population (Fig. S3B). Analysis of the interaction and electrostatic maps of
TbMORN1(7-15) and its mutagenised variants clearly showed that Arg293 and Lys316,
residing in repeats 13 and 14 respectively, are crucial for maintaining TbMORN1 in a stable
dimeric state through electrostatic interactions (Fig. 9C). Lys315 (in MORN repeat 14) does
not appear to be directly involved in the dimerisation interface, but could peripherally
contribute to the stabilisation of the C-terminal region of TbMORN1 through its electrostatic
potential. Residues Arg292, Lys296 (both in MORN repeat 13), and Arg321, Lys325 (both in
MORN repeat 14) were mapped to the outer surface of the dimer and therefore do not take
part in the dimerisation interface (Fig. 9A, Fig. S9A).

The transition of TbMORN1(2-15)[Mut14] from a dimeric to a mixed monomer/dimer population is
therefore a direct consequence of the single point mutation K316A, whereas the complete
abrogation of dimerisation observed for the TbMORN1(2-15) double mutant can be attributed

to a synergistic effect of both R293A and K316A point mutations (Fig. S3B, Fig. 9C), thereby
verifying their contribution to dimer formation.
730
731

The V-shaped and extended dimer forms of apicomplexan MORN1 proteins

733
Unlike the extended dimers of TbMORN1(7-15) and TgMORN1(7-15), the V-shaped
PfMORN1(7-15) is mainly stabilised by a single Zn^{2+} ion incorporated into the core of its dimer
interface, spanning over 665 $Å^2$ (Fig. 7C, Fig 9B). One Cys306 and one Asp309 from each
respective subunit tetrahedrally coordinate the Zn^{2+} at expected distances (2.32 Å for Zn^{2+}-S
and 1.94 Å for Zn^{2+}-O). In addition, residues from repeats 13-15 are involved in stabilising the
dimer via a combination of hydrophobic, polar, and electrostatic interactions across the
subunits.
741
Although TgMORN1(7-15) was predominantly found as an extended dimer (Fig. 7B), a V-
shaped form similar to that of PfMORN1(7-15) was sporadically observed in the crystal lattice
(Fig. S9B). The two V-shaped dimers share the same coordination sphere of a Zn^{2+} ion, which
in TgMORN1(7-15) is provided by the Cys305 and Asp308 residues (Fig. S9D). The latter
residue in turn interacts with the Ser310 residue from the other subunit. While the salt bridge
and anion π-interactions are also conserved between the two V-shaped dimers, the aromatic
stacking present in the core of the PfMORN1(7-15) dimer interface is functionally replaced in
the TgMORN1(7-15) V-shaped dimer by a series of aromatic stacking interactions at its vertex.
Although Asp residues 303 (TbMORN1), 308 (TgMORN1) and 309 (PfMORN1) are conserved
in all the three proteins, the coordination of a Zn^{2+} ion clearly demands the presence of both
cysteine and aspartate residues. Such pairs are present in PfMORN1 and TgMORN1, but not
in TbMORN1, where the cysteine residue at the corresponding position is replaced by Leu301.
The coordination residues map to the β-hairpin of MORN repeat 13, which in TgMORN1 and
PfMORN1, but not TbMORN1, contains an insertion of a glutamate residue - Glu307 and
Glu308, respectively. Taking part in an anion π-interaction with a phenylalanine residue
(Phe303 and Phe304, respectively), the resulting Glu-Phe pairs effectively stabilise the
TgMORN1 and PfMORN1 dimers in their V-shaped form. Moreover, these very same
glutamate residues further stabilise the two V-shaped dimers by being involved in a salt bridge
with lysine residues (Lys321 in TgMORN1 and Lys322 in PfMORN1). At the equivalent
position in TbMORN1, Lys316 does not participate in a salt bridge, but rather points towards
the negative patch at the C-terminal part of the other subunit and stabilises the extended dimer
via electrostatic interactions.
764
To see whether it was possible to predict if a MORN repeat protein formed either extended or
V-shaped dimers, or both, a comparative sequence analysis was carried out. The sequences
of 15 selected MORN repeat proteins from various protist lineages were aligned with the C-
terminal parts of TbMORN1, TgMORN1 and PfMORN1 encompassing repeats 12-15 (Fig.
S10). The residues in the crystal structures of TgMORN1(7-15) and PfMORN1(7-15) forming
the Zn^{2+}-coordination sphere and anion π-interaction were taken as a fingerprint for a V-
shaped dimer. All sequences of MORN repeat proteins from kinetoplastids contain a leucine
residue (Leu301 in the case of *T. brucei*) at the position of the coordinating Cys residue, and
lack the Phe-Glu either side of it forming the anion π-interaction pair - as these residues are

essential for V-shape dimerisation, the kinetoplastid proteins are all thus predicted to form extended dimers only. Conversely, all protein sequences in the dataset from apicomplexans (*Toxoplasma gondii, Plasmodium falciparum, Gregarina niphandrode*s, *Babesia microti*, *Cryptosporidium parvum, Eimeria acervuline, Theileria equi*) contained these cysteine, phenylalanine, and glutamate residues. This suggests that all these apicomplexan proteins - probably MORN1 homologues - can adopt both extended and V-shaped forms. In addition, the sequences from the alveolates *Symbiodinium microadriaticum* and *Perkinsus marinus*, and the stramenopile *Aureococcus anophagefferens* also contain these three residues, implying that they too might adopt both extended and V-shaped conformations. Apicomplexans belong to the Alveolata clade, and both alveolates and stramenopiles are in the SAR supergroup (Adl et al., 2019). This suggests that the ability to adopt two conformations might have arisen within this specific clade, and possibly explains its absence from the kinetoplastid sequences, as kinetoplastids are excavates. The functional implications of this built-in structural plasticity seemingly modulated by the presence of zinc ions remain intriguing.

MORN1 proteins adopt extended conformations in solution

The crystal structures were all consistent with the earlier results of the cross-linking mass spectrometry experiments carried out on TbMORN1 in solution, which had indicated close proximity between repeats 13-15 (Table S1). To confirm the presence of V-shaped and extended dimers in solution, all three MORN1 proteins were structurally analysed using small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). SAXS analysis of TbMORN1(7-15) and TgMORN1(7-15) indicated an extended dimer in solution, and the crystal structures could be docked into the calculated molecular envelopes without difficulty (Fig. 10A, B, Fig. S9E). The SAXS analysis of PfMORN1(7-15) indicated an extended structure, similar to that seen for TbMORN1(7-15) and TgMORN1(7-15) (Fig. 10C). This supports the prediction that TgMORN1 and PfMORN1 are capable of adopting two different conformations. Subsequent SAXS produced a molecular envelope for TbMORN1(2-15) into which an extrapolated version of the model of TbMORN1(7-15) could be docked as an extended dimer (Fig. 10D, Fig. S9E).

Rotary shadowing EM on TbMORN1(2-15) produced results consistent with the SAXS analysis, showing small kinked rods approximately 25 nm in length (Fig. 10E). The population was homogeneous, consistent with the monodispersity of this sample observed by SLS (Fig. 1B). Comparison of the maximal dimer dimension (D_{max}) for TbMORN1(2-15) obtained from SAXS and EM showed a very good agreement between the two values of 250-260 Å. Rotary shadowing EM was also carried out on the small amount of TbMORN1(1-15) that eluted from the SEC column. Full-length TbMORN1 was far more heterogeneous than TbMORN1(2-15), consistent with the polydispersity observed by SLS (Fig. 1B). In addition to single kinked rods, longer filamentous assemblies of varying length were occasionally observed (Fig. 10F). Rarely, much larger meshlike assemblies of full-length TbMORN1 could be observed (Fig. 10G), offering a tantalising clue into the higher-order assembly properties of the protein. These properties will be investigated in future work.

DISCUSSION

MORN repeat proteins are found ubiquitously in the tree of life, but the function of MORN repeats themselves has remained unclear and supported by very limited structural information to date. MORN repeats were first named almost 20 years ago, in a paper identifying the junctophilin protein family (Takeshima et al., 2000).

While there is abundant evidence that junctophilins associate with the plasma membrane, and that the MORN repeat-containing region is likely to mediate this, there is to the authors' knowledge no report demonstrating that the junctophilin MORN repeats directly interact with lipids (Takeshima et al., 2000, Munro et al., 2016, Woo et al., 2016, Perni et al., 2017, Jayasinghe et al., 2018, Nakada et al., 2018, Rossi et al., 2019). Despite this, the evidence that the MORN repeat-containing region mediates plasma membrane targeting has been repeatedly cited as evidence that MORN repeats directly bind lipids. MORN repeats are thus widely assumed to be lipid-binding modules, despite there actually being no evidence for direct membrane binding. Warnings that the function of MORN repeats has not really been elucidated, and that extant data are frequently contradictory, have been largely overlooked (Mikami et al., 2010).

This study set out to test the lipid-binding hypothesis by using a protein composed exclusively of MORN repeats, TbMORN1. The data provide something of a cautionary tale.

At first, the evidence obtained using overlay assays and fluorescence anisotropy indicated an ability to bind specific phospholipids (Fig. 2A, C). This binding appears to be mediated primarily by the aliphatic chains of the phospholipids however, as no binding was ever seen in the fluorescence anisotropy assays when small (6-carbon) lipids or polar headgroups were used.

Purified recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) was unexpectedly found to co-purify with PE. Bacteria expressing TbMORN1(1-15) showed elevated levels of PE, which might suggest that TbMORN1 was binding and sequestering the lipid, requiring them to upregulate synthesis (Fig. 3A, C). Almost no PE was found to co-purify with TbMORN1(10-15), and none was detected with the apicomplexan MORN1 proteins. This suggests that the N-terminal part of TbMORN1 might be a major interaction area for PE.

It is worth noting that neither PE nor any other lipids were observed in the crystal structure of TbMORN1(7-15). This might again be due to the fact that TbMORN1(7-15) does not contain the N-terminal part of the molecule, or possibly because the lysine methylation step used to enhance crystallisation altered its surface-exposed lysine residues, making them unavailable for electrostatic interactions with lipid molecules due to the loss of positive charge. Despite this evidence for binding to PE, no sign of direct binding by TbMORN1 to lipid vesicles containing variable amounts of (PI(4,5)P_2 in vitro (Fig. 2D,E, Fig. 4) or to membranes in vivo (Fig. 6) could be obtained.

A remaining question then is whether this ability to bind fatty acid chains greater than 6-carbon
length is physiological, or an artefact. Given that TbMORN1 is a cytoskeleton-associated
protein, it is hard to imagine how it would be able to get access to the hydrophobic chains of
membrane-embedded phospholipids in vivo, although it is localised directly under the
cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane. The electrostatic profile of TbMORN1(7-15) is
also not suggestive of membrane binding, with a strong overall negative charge profile (Fig
8B). Consequently, a physiological interaction with phospholipid membranes seems very
unlikely.

It is important to note that phospholipid binding and membrane binding are two separate
things. Not interacting with membranes might not preclude the TbMORN1 proteins extracting
PE or other phospholipids out of membranes without stably interacting with the membranes
themselves. A similar hypothesis would be that TbMORN1 functions as a carrier/transporter
of lipids with aliphatic chains within a certain length, as for example found for non-vesicular
phosphatidylserine transport by oxysterol-binding proteins from the site of synthesis to the site
of biological activity (Maeda et al., 2013). What would be the corresponding physiological role
in the context of the flagellar pocket in trypanosomes is difficult to envisage at present, For
now, the simplest interpretation remains that there is no interaction of TbMORN1 with lipid
membranes, and consequently no physiological interaction with membrane-embedded
phospholipids either.

Due to the twofold symmetry of both extended and V-shaped MORN1 dimers, the dimeric
particle displays the conserved groove on opposite sides, therefore excluding this region for
interaction with the membranes and leaving as an option the rims lining the groove (Fig. 8A-
B). In the extended dimers, the two rims display a concave and a convex curvature, suggestive
of membrane sculpting BAR domain proteins which interact with membranes mainly via non-
specific electrostatic interactions (Salzer et al., 2017, Carman & Dominguez, 2018). These
curved surfaces in MORN1 dimers nevertheless do not display a pronounced positive charge,
nor the typical membrane insertion motifs characteristic for BAR domain proteins, thus refuting
the hypothesis for membrane binding via non-specific electrostatic interactions. In conclusion,
the quaternary structure architecture together with surface properties of the MORN1 dimers
do not support membrane binding.

There are however a small number of caveats that might still allow a lipid-binding activity to
be present. In vitro, the best indications for lipid binding came from the full-length protein,
TbMORN1(1-15). TbMORN1(1-15) gave a positive result on lipid blots (Fig. 2A), co-purified
with PE (Fig. 3A), and its expression correlated with increased PE levels in bacteria (Fig. 3C).
No co-purification of PE was seen with the apicomplexan MORN1 proteins despite >50%
identity at an amino acid level. This lipid-binding activity therefore seems specific to
TbMORN1. The elevation of PE levels seen in bacteria expressing TbMORN1(1-15) is highly
unusual, and the authors do not currently have a good explanation apart from invoking lipid
sequestration and transport to specific sites (Chung et al., 2015, Moser von Filseck et al.,
2015). If the PE co-purifying with recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) was just carry-over, then the
lipid profile should resemble that of total bacterial cellular lipids, which is not the case.
Furthermore, the bound PEs display a narrow range of aliphatic chains, suggesting specificity
of binding/recognition.

Lipids in trypanosomes have, in general, much longer aliphatic chains than those in bacteria.
In *T. brucei*, PE accounts for around 10-20% of total lipid, with aliphatic chains of 36:0 being
the dominant isoform (Richmond et al., 2010). In *E. coli*, PE is the predominant zwitterionic
lipid and accounts for around 80% of total lipid (Epand & Epand, 2009). As the length of the
side chains in bacterial lipids is predominantly 14 and 16 carbon atoms (Pramanik & Keasling,
1997), PE molecules with 16 carbon atoms chains are the most common. Notably,
TbMORN1(1-15) overexpressed in *E. coli* co-purified with PE species of much greater length
(30:0 – 36:2) (Fig. 3A), which was also reflected in increased production of these PE species
in overexpressing bacteria (Fig. 3C). Taken together, these data strongly suggest the
specificity of TbMORN1(1-15) towards PE species with aliphatic chains of a length
characteristic for *T. brucei*.

Similarly, in vivo, it remains a possibility that the cytoskeleton-associated fraction is associated
with the plasma membrane, potentially indirectly by binding to membrane-embedded partners.
The data presented here show only that TbMORN1 and Ty1-TbMORN1, when not associated
with cytoskeleton, are cytosolic rather than associated with the membrane/organelle fraction.
The cause of the negative phenotypic effects resulting from overexpression of either untagged
or Ty1-tagged TbMORN1 remains unclear.

As a final possibility, it might also be the case that a post-translational modification of
TbMORN1 is essential for lipid binding, and is either not added in bacteria or lost during
purification. Testing the activity of TbMORN1 expressed in a eukaryotic expression system or
translated in vitro would be a means of exploring this.

These data have clear implications for other MORN repeat proteins. Junctophilins do appear
to bind lipids, but it is not clear if the MORN repeats are mediating this or are merely within
the region/domain involved (Bennett et al., 2013). There is evidence for the role of the N-
terminal part of junctophilins mediating plasma membrane localisation, but this does not rule
out an indirect association via protein-protein interactions being the primary driver (Takeshima
et al., 2000). It now also appears that palmitoylation may play a significant role in junctophilin
association with the plasma membrane (Jiang et al., 2019), Another recent report on
junctophilin-2 showed that upon cleavage by an endogenous protease, the N-terminal region
translocates to the nucleus via a nuclear localisation signal and functions there as a
transcription factor (Guo et al., 2018). The original paper on the junctophilin family noted the
nuclear localisation of some truncations of junctophilin-1, but the significance of this was not
appreciated at the time (Takeshima et al., 2000).

It is difficult to say whether this translocation and nuclear function is easier or harder to explain
if the MORN repeats in the N-terminus are dedicated lipid-binding modules. Lipid-binding is
known to cause conformational changes in junctophilin-2, and such conformational changes
in reverse may well drive the protease-mediated dissociation, but again it is not clear if these
changes are in any way due to the MORN repeats themselves. Additionally, it has been
observed that the MORN repeats of ALS2 are not required for targeting to endosomes,
although the exact domain mediating targeting is not agreed upon (Yamanaka et al., 2003,
Kunita et al., 2004).

In summary, the presence of MORN repeats in a protein should not be taken as indicative of
lipid binding or lipid membrane binding without experimental evidence. Equally, evidence of
binding from PIP strips alone should be interpreted with caution, given that the entire
phospholipid moieties are being presented to the protein in a non-physiological setting.

If MORN repeats are not lipid membrane modules by default, this raises the question of what
they might actually be doing. The structural studies presented here make a case that one
conserved function of MORN repeats is in homotypic interactions, and possibly also in higher-
order assembly.

The three high-resolution structures described in this work are amongst the first canonical
MORN repeat proteins to be detailed. Given that the structures are from representatives of
two of the five eukaryotic supergroups - the excavates, and the SAR (stramenopiles,
alveolates, Rhizaria) clade - this demonstrates how the fundamental structure of the MORN
repeat has been conserved over evolutionary time.

All three MORN1 proteins analysed here formed tail-to-tail dimers via their C-termini with the
polypeptide chains aligned in an antiparallel arrangement. The all-β structure of the proteins
produces a twisting elongated structure, with a groove lined with aromatic side chains running
longitudinally through it. While this manuscript was in preparation, Li et al. published a high-
resolution structure of the MORN4/retinophilin protein in complex with the myosin 3a tail.
Binding was mediated by this central groove, showing that it can be used for very high-affinity
protein-protein interactions (Li et al., 2019).

The three high-resolution MORN1 crystal structures were consistent with the lower-resolution
SAXS data obtained on the same proteins in solution (Fig. 10, Table S1). The apicomplexan
MORN1 proteins appear capable of forming both extended and V-shaped conformations. The
key residues mediating the dimer interface in the V-shaped form were defined, and shown to
be conserved throughout the Apicomplexa. It thus seems likely that all apicomplexan MORN1
proteins can adopt these two conformations.

MORN1 proteins in Apicomplexa have been shown to be a key component of the basal
complex, which undergoes a constriction event at the end of the cell division cycle (Gubbels
et al., 2006, Hu, 2008). It is therefore tempting to speculate that these extended (Zn-free) and
V-shaped (Zn-bound) conformations represent the pre- and post-constriction states of the
MORN1 proteins in the basal complex. If so, this would constitute a remarkable rearrangement
of the molecules in the dimer, which would move through about 145° (Supplementary Video
1). It is interesting in this context to note that high levels of Zn ions are required to support the
growth of the blood-stage *P. falciparum* parasite (Marvin et al., 2012).

The high-resolution structures also enabled a new structure-based consensus sequence for
a MORN repeat to be defined (Fig. 7E). A single MORN repeat forms a β-hairpin, one of the
conserved building blocks of structural biology, with a long loop attached. The structural basis
for the conservation of individual residues within the MORN repeat has been defined (Fig. 7E,

F). These structures strongly argue that an individual MORN repeat is longer than the 14
amino acids sometimes suggested. Despite the lower level of sequence conservation in the
loop following the hairpin, a 23-amino acid length seems the most parsimonious definition from
a structural perspective.
1003
One interesting consequence of this redefinition of the repeat is that it suggests that full-length
TbMORN1 begins with an incomplete repeat, a feature also noted in the MORN4/retinophilin
structure (Li et al., 2019). The (M)IYSEGE residues at the very N-terminus are predicted to
form only a single β-strand rather than a complete hairpin (Fig. 7E). Li et al. suggested that
this incomplete repeat could function as a capping element, but given that the first repeat in
MORN1 is critical for oligomerisation leading to formation of filamentous structures, an
alternative explanation is possible: the N-terminus of a second TbMORN1 molecule (itself
encoding a single β-strand) could complete this hairpin through intermolecular interactions.
Oligomerisation would thus be driven by a "split-MORN repeat" mechanism where a complete
hairpin is formed by the interaction of the N-termini of two proteins. Further work will be needed
to test this hypothesis. The concluding data presented here suggest that TbMORN1 utilises
this oligomerisation capacity to build mesh-like assemblies, which can reach considerable size
in vitro (Fig. 10G). The biophysical properties of these meshworks, in particular their tensile
strength, are likely to be another fruitful avenue of investigation.
1018
1019
1020

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

1021
1022

Antibodies and other reagents

1023
All custom antibodies have been described previously. The rabbit anti-TbMORN1 were made
for a previous project (Morriswood et al., 2013). The mouse monoclonal anti-Ty1 (BB2)
antibodies were a gift from Cynthia He (University of Singapore) (Bastin et al., 1996). The
mouse monoclonal anti-PFR1,2 antibodies (L13D6) were a gift from Keith Gull (University of
Oxford) (Kohl et al., 1999). The anti-BiP antibodies were a gift from Jay Bangs (University at
Buffalo) (Bangs et al., 1993). The rabbit anti-GFP antibodies were a gift from Graham Warren
(MRC Laboratory for Molecular Cell Biology) (Pelletier et al., 2002). The following antibodies
were obtained from commercial sources: anti-strep tag StrepMAB-Classic (IBA Biosciences),
HRP-conjugated anti-mouse (ThermoFisher Scientific), anti-GST (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).
Defatted BSA was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.
1034
1035

Cloning and mutagenesis of expression constructs

1036
The 1077 bp TbMORN1 open reading frame (ORF) (UniProt accession no. Q587D3;
TriTrypDB database accession no. Tb927.6.4670) was amplified by PCR from genomic DNA
obtained from *Trypanosoma brucei brucei* strain Lister 427 and ligated into vector pETM-13
encoding a Strep-tag at the 3' end of the insert. TbMORN1 truncations were generated using
this construct as a template by ligase-independent cloning (Doyle, 2005). The sequences for
TbMORN1(2-15) and TbMORN1(1-14) were additionally ligated into the pCoofy12 vector

encoding a 3C protease-cleavable N-terminal Twin-Strep-tag (Scholz et al., 2013) by
sequence and ligation-independent cloning (Li & Elledge, 2012). Mutagenesis constructs were
generated by standard methods using the pre-existing pCoofy12_TbMORN1(2-15) construct
as the template (Hemsley et al., 1989). All primer sequences are available upon request. For
LiMA experiments, the construct encoding the EGFP-TbMORN1(2-15) was cloned in a two-
step procedure by sequence and ligation-independent cloning followed by Gibson assembly
using pCoofy12_TbMORN1(2-15) and the pEGFP-C1 vector (Gibson et al., 2009). The 1092
bp TgMORN1 (UniProt accession no. Q3S2E8) and 1095 bp PfMORN1 (UniProt accession
no. Q8IJ93) ORFs were amplified by PCR from genomic DNA. Truncations of the TgMORN1
and PfMORN1 constructs were generated using ligase-independent cloning. The TgMORN1
constructs were additionally ligated into the pET14 vector encoding a 3C protease-cleavable
N-terminal His10-tag. The PfMORN1 constructs were additionally ligated into the pCoofy32
encoding a 3C protease-cleavable N-terminal His10-tag and C-terminal OneStrep-tag.
1056
1057

Recombinant protein expression and purification
Rosetta 2 (DE3)pLysS bacterial cells transformed with the required expression plasmids were
grown at 37 °C with shaking in the presence of the appropriate antibiotics. Large scale
expression was carried out either in Luria-Broth or in auto-induction (ZY) medium (Studier,
2005), with 500 ml media being inoculated with 3-5 ml of pre-cultured cells. Cells in Luria-
Broth were grown to an OD_{600} ~ 0.8 – 1.0, after which 50 μM IPTG was added to induce
recombinant protein expression. The cells were then incubated at lower temperature
(overnight, 20 °C). The cells were then harvested by centrifugation (5000 x g, 30 min), and
either lysed immediately or stored at -80 °C. For purification, the cells were resuspended in
lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 200 mM NaCl, 5% (w/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT, protease
inhibitor cocktail, benzonase). The pellet emulsions were first homogenised by mixing on ice
using a T 10 basic Ultra-Turrax dispersing instrument (IKA), and lysis was accomplished using
a single cycle in a cell disruptor (Constant systems Ltd), with the pressure set to 1.35 kPa.
Lysates were clarified by centrifugation (18,000 x g, 45 min, 4 °C), and a two-step fast protein
liquid chromatography (FPLC) purification protocol using an ÄKTA Protein Purification System
(GE Healthcare Life Sciences) at 8 °C was then followed to obtain the recombinant protein.
The supernatants were applied to two connected Strep-Trap HP 5 ml columns packed with
Strep-Tactin ligand immobilized in an agarose matrix (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) (GE
Healthcare Life Sciences) previously equilibrated with equilibration buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH
8.5, 200 mM NaCl, 2% (w/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT). Flow speed was adjusted to 2.5 ml/min.
When 100% step gradient of elution buffer (equilibration buffer plus 2.5 mM D-desthiobiotin)
was applied, the bound proteins were eluted in a single chromatographic peak. Selected peak
fractions were examined by SDS-PAGE for protein content and purity, pooled accordingly,
and concentrated in Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter units (MerckMillipore, various pore sizes)
according to the manufacturer's instructions. These affinity-purified protein concentrates were
then applied to a previously equilibrated HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg column (GE
Healthcare Life Sciences) packed with dextran covalently bound to highly cross-linked
agarose, enabling separation of proteins with MW in the range of 10 – 600 kDa. Flow speed
was adjusted to 1 ml/min and fractions of 1.5 ml were collected. Fractions corresponding to
the targeted chromatographic peak were examined for protein content by SDS-PAGE, pooled
accordingly to their purity, concentrated, and stored at -80 °C until use.

1089

Limited proteolysis
1090

Purified recombinant His-TbMORN1(1-15) at 1 mg/ml was separately incubated with three
1091

proteases with different cleavage specificities (α-chymotrypsin, trypsin, and proteinase K) in
1092

20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 200 mM NaCl, 2% glycerol, 0.2 mM $CaCl_2$ (15 min, RT). The proteases
1093

were used at dilutions of 1:100 - 1:2000. The reactions were stopped by the addition of SDS-
1094

Coomassie sample loading buffer for analysis by gel electrophoresis. The indicated protein
1095

bands were extracted from the gel and subjected to mass spectrometry analysis.
1096

1097

1098

Size-exclusion chromatography coupled to multi-angle light scattering (SEC MALS)
1099

The MW and oligomeric state of purified proteins were verified by size exclusion
1100

chromatography (SEC) coupled to multi-angle light scattering (MALS), using a Superdex 200
1101

Increase 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Up to five protein samples of 100
1102

μl were dialysed against 2x 1 L of freshly-prepared, degassed gel filtration buffer (20 mM Tris-
1103

HCl pH 8.5, 200 mM NaCl, 2% (w/v) glycerol, 0.5 mM DTT) (overnight, 4 °C). Gel filtration
1104

buffer was also used for overnight equilibration of the column and in the subsequent
1105

measurements. Protein samples were clarified by centrifugation using a TLA-55 rotor in an
1106

Optima MAX-XP table top ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter) (90,720 x g, 30 min, 4 °C). 100
1107

μl of 2 – 4 mg/ml protein samples were applied to a column using the 1260 Infinity HPLC
1108

system (Agilent Technologies) coupled to a MiniDawn Treos detector (Wyatt Technologies)
1109

with a laser emitting at 690 nm. An RI-101 detector (Shodex) was used for refractive index
1110

determination and the Astra 7 software package (Wyatt Technologies) for data analysis. No
1111

correction of refractive index was necessary due to the 2% (w/v) glycerol content in the buffer.
1112

1113

1114

Circular dichroism (CD)
1115

Far-UV CD was used both for measurement of secondary structure and for validation of the
1116

thermostability of TbMORN1 constructs. To avoid the absorption of Tris and NaCl below 180
1117

nm (Kelly et al., 2005), three protein samples of 100 μl were first dialysed against 2x 1 L of
1118

dialysis buffer (20 mM NaH_2PO_4, 20 mM Na_2HPO_4, 200 mM NaF) (overnight, 4 °C). The pH
1119

8.0 was adjusted by mixing the mono- and dibasic sodium phosphate solutions. The dialysed
1120

proteins were clarified by centrifugation in an Optima MAX-XP tabletop ultracentrifuge
1121

(Beckman Coulter Life Sciences) (90,720 x g, 30 min, 4 °C). The concentration of protein
1122

samples was adjusted to 0.25 mg/ml. CD measurements were carried out in a quartz cuvette
1123

with an optical path length of 0.5 mm (Stana Scientific Ltd) using a Chirascan Plus
1124

spectrophotometer (Applied Photophysics) equipped with the Chirascan-plus DMS software
1125

package. The CD profiles for secondary structure calculations were obtained at RT in the
1126

range of 190 – 260 nm. Further analysis was carried out using the BeStSel server, which is
1127

specialised in the analysis of CD data from proteins rich in β-strands (Micsonai et al., 2015,
1128

Micsonai et al., 2018). Data were converted to $\Delta\varepsilon$ ($M^{-1}cm^{-1}$) and uploaded to the BeStSel
1129

online server. Melting experiments were performed in the range of 190 – 260 nm, 20 – 80 °C,
1130

with a temperature ramp of 0.8 °C/min. Data were analysed with Global 3 software package.
1131

1132

1133

1134

Chemical cross-linking coupled to mass spectrometry (XL-MS)

For chemical cross-linking with EDC (1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride) or BS3 (bis(sulfosuccinimidyl)suberate), 200-300 μl of approximately 30 μM TbMORN1 sample was dialysed twice against 1 L of EDC buffer (20 mM MES-NaOH pH 6.8, 200 mM NaCl) or BS3 buffer (20 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl) (overnight, 4 °C). Following dialysis, the protein was clarified by centrifugation (90,720 x g, 30 min, 4 °C) using a TLA-55 rotor in an Optima MAX-XP tabletop ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences). EDC (ThermoFischer Scientific) was first equilibrated to RT and then a stock solution in EDC buffer was prepared. 1.3 μM TbMORN1 previously dialysed in EDC buffer was mixed with 0, 200, and 400 μM EDC (all final concentrations) in a total volume of 40 μl. After a 30 min incubation at RT, 10 μl of SDS loading buffer was added and the mixtures were further denatured by heating (95 °C, 10 min). The experiments with BS3 were carried out identically except that BS3 buffer and 3.4 μM TbMORN1 were used, and the incubation time was 120 min. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, stained with Coomassie dye, and selected bands corresponding to monomers and dimers cross-linked with 400 μM cross-linker were excised and subjected to enzymatic digestion and subsequent mass spectrometry analysis. Coomassie Brilliant Blue-stained excised bands were destained with a mixture of acetonitrile and 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (ambic), in two consecutive steps (each 10 min, RT). The proteins were reduced using 10 mM DTT in 50 mM ambic for (30 min, 56 °C), alkylated with 50 mM iodoacetamide in 30 mM ambic in the dark (30 min, RT), and digested with trypsin (Promega, mass spectroscopy grade) (overnight, 37 °C). The reaction was stopped using 10% (v/v) formic acid and extracted peptides were desalted using C18 Stagetips (Rappsilber et al., 2007). Peptides were analysed on an UltiMate 3000 HPLC RSLCnano system coupled to a Q Exactive HF mass spectrometer, equipped with a Nanospray Flex ion source (all Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peptides were loaded onto a trap PepMap 300 C18 column of dimensions 5 mm x 300 µm i.d., packed with 5 µm particles with a pore size of 100 Å (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. no. 164718) and separated on an analytical C18 100 column of dimensions 500 mm x 75 µm i.d., packed with 2 µm particles with a pore size of 100 Å (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. no. 164942), applying a linear gradient from 2% to 40% solvent B (80% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid) at a flow rate of 230 nl/min over 120 min. The mass spectrometer was operated in a data-dependent mode at high resolution of both MS1 and MS2 level. Peptides with a charge of +1, +2 or of a higher than +7, were excluded from fragmentation. To identify cross-linked peptides, the spectra were searched using pLink software v1.23 (Yang et al., 2012). Q Exactive HF raw-files were pre-processed and converted to mgf-files using pParse (Yuan et al., 2012). The MaxQuant database (Tyanova et al., 2016) was used to search the spectra for the most abundant protein hits. Carbamidomethylation of cysteine and oxidation of methionine residues were set as variable modifications. Trypsin was set as an enzyme specificity, and EDC or BS3 was set as a cross-linking chemistry. In case of EDC, aspartic and glutamic acid residues, as well as C-termini of proteins, were allowed to be linked with lysine residues. In the case of BS3, lysine residues and N-termini of proteins were allowed to be linked with lysine residues, N-termini of proteins, as well as to serine, threonine and tyrosine residues. Search results were filtered for 1% FDR (false discovery rate) on the PSM (number of peptide-spectrum matches) level and a maximum allowed precursor mass deviation of 5 ppm. To remove low quality PSMs, an additional e-Value cutoff of < 0.001 was applied. In order to distinguish intra- from inter-molecular chemical cross-links, results from monomers and dimers were compared. A

1181 potential inter-molecular cross-link must have shown the following criteria: (1) minimally 3
1182 peptide PSMs in dimer and (2) minimally 3-times more PSMs in dimer than in monomer.
1183
1184

Protein-lipid overlay assays (PIP strips)
PIP strips were purchased from Echelon Biosciences (cat. no. P-6001). PBS-T (PBS, 0.1%
TWEEN-20) was used as a general buffer. Purified recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) was clarified
by centrifugation (20,817 x g, 20 min, 4 °C) prior to use. The PIP strips were blocked using
blocking buffer (3% (w/v) defatted BSA, PBS-T) (60 min, RT) and then incubated with 5 μg/ml
of TbMORN1 in 10 ml of blocking solution (60 min, RT). After three washes with PBS-T, the
membranes were overlaid with anti-strep antibodies diluted in blocking solution (60 min, RT).
After a further three PBS-T washes, the membranes were overlaid with HRP-conjugated
secondary antibodies (60 min, RT). The membranes were then washed three times with PBS-
T and visualised by ECL (Western Blotting substrate, Thermo Fisher) using a Fusion FX
imager (Vilber Lourmat). All binding and wash steps were carried out with gentle agitation of
the membranes. For the positive control, the PIP strip was overlaid with GST-tagged PLC-δ1
PH domain (Echelon Biosciences) and mouse monoclonal anti-GST antibodies (Santa Cruz
Biotechnology) were used.
1199
1200

Fluorescence anisotropy
Stocks of BODIPY TMR-labelled PI C6, PI(4)P C6, PI(3,4)P_2 C6, PI(3,5)P_2 C6, PI(4,5)P_2 C6
and PI(4,5)P_2 C16 were sonicated (5 min, RT) in a sonication bath, and in parallel with purified
recombinant TbMORN1(2-15), TbMORN1(7-15), TbMORN1(10-15), were clarified by
centrifugation (20,817 x g, 20 min, 4 °C). The concentrations of lipid stocks were determined
with a Hitachi U-3501 UV-VIS spectrophotometer, using quartz absorbance cuvettes and an
optical path length of 10 mm (Hellma Analytics). For this purpose, the maximum absorbance
of BODIPY TMR dye at λ = 544 nm was measured; its extinction coefficient ε = 60,000 cm^{-1}
M^{-1}. The total volume of each respective sample was 110 μl. The concentration of selected
TbMORN1 constructs was varied from 0 to 35 μM (or more), while the concentration of added
lipid was kept constant at 0.1 μM. Measurements were performed on a Perkin Elmer LS50B
fluorimeter in quartz cuvettes with an optical path length of 10 x 2 mm (Hellma Analytics). To
ensure a constant temperature of 20 °C in the measured sample, the measurement cell was
connected to a water bath. The parameters for fluorescence anisotropy (r) measurements
were: λ_{ex} = 544 nm and aperture of excitation slit = 15 nm; λ_{em} = 574 nm and aperture of
emission wavelength = 20 nm; time of integration = 1 s and T = 20 °C. The grating factor (G
factor), which provides grating correction for the optical system, was determined on samples
with exclusively 0.1 μM lipid and kept constant during measurement of each concentration
series. Triplicates of each protein concentration point were measured and afterwards
averaged using Excel software. Graphs were drawn and fitted in SigmaPlot ver. 13.0. The
equation used for fitting was a four parameters logistic curve where:
1222

1223 $$y = \min + \frac{(\max - \min)}{1 + (\frac{x}{EC50})^{-Hillslope}} \, ,$$

1224

Options were set to default; initial parameters values, as well as parameters min, max, EC50,
Hillslope, were selected automatically, parameter constraints were max > min and EC50 > 0,
number of iterations was 200, and tolerance was kept at $1e^{-10}$. The reduced chi-square method
was used to compute parameters' standard errors. Experimental r values of respective 0.1 μM
BODIPY TMR-lipid and protein-TMR BODIPY-lipid mixtures were compared with theoretical
values obtained by Perrin equation.
1231
1232

Mass spectrometry analysis of extracted lipids

Lipid extractions from purified recombinant full length and truncated TbMORN1 were achieved
by three successive vigorous extractions with ethanol (90% v/v) according to a published
protocol (Fyffe et al., 2006). The pooled extracts were dried using N_2 gas in a glass vial and
re-extracted using a modified Bligh and Dyer method (Richmond et al., 2010). For whole *E.*
coli lipid extracts, cells were washed with PBS and extracted following the modified Bligh and
Dyer method. All extracts were dried under N_2 gas in glass vials and stored at 4 °C. Extracts
were dissolved in 15 μl of choloroform:methanol (1:2) and 15 μl of acetonitrile:propan-2-
ol:water (6:7:2) and analysed with an Absceix 4000 QTrap, a triple quadrupole mass
spectrometer equipped with a nano-electrospray source. Samples were delivered using a
Nanomate interface in direct infusion mode (~125 nl/min). Lipid extracts were analysed in
both positive and negative ion modes using a capillary voltage of 1.25 kV. MS/MS scanning
(daughter, precursor and neutral loss scans) were performed using nitrogen as the collision
gas with collision energies between 35-90 V, allowing lipid structure assignments.
1247
1248

Preparation of sucrose-loaded vesicles (SLVs) and pelleting assay

To generate synthetic SLVs, lipids reconstituted in $CHCl_3$ were mixed in the following ratio:
30% DOPC; 35% DOPE; 15% DOPS; 20% cholesterol. 5 mol % $PI(4,5)P_2$ was added in place
of 5 mol% DOPE in the $PI(4,5)P_2$-containing liposomes. Lipids were extracted from
bloodstream form *T. brucei* according to an established protocol (Bligh & Dyer, 1959). Briefly,
mid-log phase cells were harvested by centrifugation (750 x g, 10 min, RT), washed once with
PBS, then resuspended in 100 μl PBS and transferred to a glass tube. 375 μl of 1:2 (v/v)
$CHCl_3$:MeOH was added and the mixture was vortexed (20 s) and then incubated with
continuous agitation (15 min, RT). A further 125 μl $CHCl_3$ was then added to make the mixture
biphasic, and following brief vortexing 125 μl ddH_2O was added. The mixture was vortexed
again and then separated by centrifugation (1000 x g, 5 min, RT). The lower organic layer was
then transferred to a new glass vial, dried under a nitrogen stream, and kept at 4 °C until use.
For the preparation of SLVs from trypanosomal lipids the lyophilized lipids (extract from $8x10^7$
cell equivalents) were reconstituted in 50 μl $CHCl_3$. 6 μM Rhodamine B dihexadecanoyl
phosphoethanolamine (Rh-DHPE) was added to all lipid mixtures to facilitate the visualisation
of the SLVs. The lipid mixtures were dried under a nitrogen stream, and the lipid films hydrated
in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 0.3 M sucrose. The lipid mixtures were subjected to 4 cycles of
freezing in liquid nitrogen followed by thawing in a sonicating water bath at RT. The vesicles
were pelleted by centrifugation (250,000 x g, 30 min, RT) and resuspended in 20 mM HEPES
pH 7.4, 100 mM KCl to a total lipid concentration of 1 mM. SLVs were incubated with 1.5 μM
purified TbMORN1(2-15) at a 1:1 ratio (30 min, RT). To separate soluble and SLV-bound
TbMORN1(2-15), the vesicles were pelleted by centrifugation (8,700 x g, 30 min, RT), and

equal volumes of supernatant and resuspended pellet were separated by SDS-PAGE and
analysed by Coomassie staining.

1273

1274

Liposome microarray assay (LiMA)

LiMA (Saliba et al., 2014) was performed according to the standard protocol (Saliba et al.,
2016). TbMORN1(2-15) tagged N-terminally with EGFP and two positive controls, PLCδ1-PH
and Lactadherin-C2, both fused to superfolder GFP (sfGFP), were applied to microarrays
printed with different signalling lipids. In brief, lipids of interest were combined with the carrier
lipid DOPC, PEGylated PE, and PE labelled with Atto 647 dye (PE-Atto 647, 0.1 mol%). Lipid
mixtures containing 2, 5, and 10 mol% of the signalling lipid were spotted onto a thin agarose
layer (TAL). The agarose layers were hydrated using buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 200
mM NaCl) and vesicles formed spontaneously. Efficiency of liposome formation was verified
by fluorescence microscopy. The protein was diluted to 7 µM in buffer A and 40 µl was applied
to each array. Microarrays were incubated (20 min, RT) and subsequently washed three times
with 40 µl of buffer A. Chips were analysed by automated fluorescence microscopy. Positions
of liposomes were determined by tracking the fluorescence of PE-Atto 647 and images were
taken for 3 ms and 5 ms exposure times. In parallel, the fluorescence of EGFP was determined
for 1, 5, 10, 30, 75, 100, 200 and 300 ms exposures. Images were processed using CellProfiler
and CPAnalyst. Only EGFP signals that overlapped with Atto 647 signals were taken into
account. Normalised binding intensity (NBI) was calculated as the ratio between EGFP and
Atto 647 fluorescence, normalised by exposure time. Three microarrays were examined,
carrying liposomes with the following signalling lipids; PIP-chip: DOPA, DOPE, DOPI, DOPS,
DODAG, cardiolipin, BMP, DOPI(4,5)P_2, DOPG; GLP-chip: ceramide C16, ceramide(1)P C16,
ceramide(1)P C18, S(1)P, S, SM, DOPI(4,5)P_2, DOPS; SL-chip: DOPI(3)P, brain PI(4)P,
DOPI(5)P, DOPI(3,4)P_2, DOPI(3,5)P_2, brain PI(4,5)P_2, DOPI(3,4,5)P_3, DOPS and cholesterol.
Each microarray was performed in triplicate.

1298

1299

Cell culture and cell line generation

Bloodstream form *T. brucei* cells were maintained in HMI-9 media supplemented with 10%
foetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) at 37 °C and 5% CO_2 in cell culture flasks
with filter lids (Greiner). For overexpression studies, 427 strain "single marker" cells - which
express T7 RNA polymerase and the Tetracycline repressor protein, both maintained under
2.5 µg/ml G418 selection - were used (Wirtz et al., 1999). For optimisation of digitonin
extraction conditions, the GFP[ESPro]-221[ES].121[tet] cell line, which constitutively expresses GFP
from the VSG expression site, was used (Batram et al., 2014). Constructs for overexpression
of Ty1-tagged TbMORN1 and untagged TbMORN1 were obtained by cloning the required
ORFs into the pLEW100v5-HYG plasmid; the identity of the inserts was verified by DNA
sequencing followed by BLAST analysis against the TbMORN1 ORF (Tb927.6.4670). The
plasmids were linearised by NotI digestion, and plasmid DNA was purified by ethanol
precipitation. Linearisation was verified using agarose gel electrophoresis. Stable cell lines
were generated by using 20 µg of the linearised plasmids to transfect ~3x10^7 "single marker"
cells in transfection buffer (90 mM Na_2PO_4, 5 mM KCl, 0.15 mM $CaCl_2$, 50 mM HEPES pH
7.3) using the X-001 program of an Amaxa Nucleofector II (Lonza, Switzerland) ((Burkard et
al., 2007, Schumann Burkard et al., 2011). Clones were obtained from the transfected cells

by limiting dilution under 5 μg/ml hygromycin selection. The presence of the transgene in the
genomic DNA of isolated clones was verified by PCR.
1319
1320

Growth curves and BigEye cell counts
22 ml cells at a defined starting concentration were divided into two flasks of 10 ml each, and
overexpression of the ectopic transgene was initiated in one flask by the addition of
tetracycline to a final concentration of 1 μg/ml. For overexpression of Ty1-TbMORN1, a
starting concentration of 1×10^4 cells/ml was used, and the cells were split and reseeded at this
concentration after 48 h. For overexpression of untagged TbMORN1, a starting concentration
of 1×10^3 cells/ml was used with no reseeding. Tetracycline was refreshed every 24 h in both
cases. Population density was measured using a Z2 Coulter Counter (Beckman Coulter,
Krefeld, Germany) at the indicated timepoints. For quantification of BigEye cell incidence at
the indicated timepoints, the cultures were briefly agitated to mix the cells, which were then
allowed to settle for 30 min. The culture flasks were then examined directly using an inverted
phase contrast microscope (Leitz Labovert) and a 10x objective lens. Three fields of view were
chosen at random for each flask and the number of normal and BigEye cells manually
quantified, using higher magnification where necessary. Given the low magnification used, the
numbers presented are likely to be underestimates of the true incidence.
1336
1337

Immunoblotting
To obtain whole cell lysates, cell concentration was measured using a Z2 Coulter Counter,
and a defined volume was then transferred to 15 ml Falcon tubes. The cells were pelleted by
centrifugation (750 x g, 10 min, RT), resuspended in 1 ml PBS, and transferred to microfuge
tubes. The cells were again pelleted (1800 x g, 2 min, RT), and the cell pellet then directly
resuspended in SDS loading buffer to a final concentration of 2×10^5 cells/μl. The lysates were
heated (95 °C, 10 min) before use. Lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE (1.4×10^6 cells/lane
in a 15-well gel of 1.0 mm thickness), and the proteins then transferred to nitrocellulose
membranes. The membranes were blocked in blocking buffer (10% milk, PBS, 0.3% TWEEN-
20) (30 min, RT), and then incubated with the indicated primary antibodies in blocking buffer
(1 h, RT). The membranes were washed three times in PBS-T (PBS, 0.3% TWEEN-20), and
were then incubated with IRDye-conjugated secondary antibodies in PBS-T (1 h, RT). After a
further three washes in PBS-T the membranes were briefly dried between sheets of filter paper
and then imaged using an Odyssey CLx (LI-COR Biosciences, Bad Homberg, Germany).
Processing and quantification was carried out using ImageStudioLite software (LI-COR
Biosciences).
1354
1355

Immunofluorescence microscopy
Cell concentration was measured using a Z2 Coulter Counter, and 10^6 cells per coverslip were
taken. The cells were transferred to 15 ml Falcon tubes, and fixed directly in media by the
addition of paraformaldehyde solution to a final concentration of 4% (37 °C, 20 min). 10 ml
trypanosome dilution buffer (TDB; 20 mM Na_2HPO_4, 2 mM NaH_2PO_4, 5 mM KCl, 80 mM NaCl,
1 mM $MgSO_4$, 20 mM glucose) was then added, and the cells were pelleted by centrifugation

(750 x g, 10 min, RT). The supernatant was removed, the cell pellet was resuspended in 500
μl TDB, and the cells were transferred to poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips in a 24-well plate.
The cells were attached to the coverslips by centrifugation (750 x g, 4 min, RT), and the cells
were permeabilised using a solution of 0.25% TritonX-100 in PBS (5 min, RT). The cells were
washed with PBS, blocked using a solution of 3% BSA in PBS (30 min, RT), and sequentially
incubated with primary and secondary antibodies diluted in PBS (1 h, RT for each) with three
PBS wash steps after each incubation. After the final wash, the coverslips were rinsed in
ddH$_2$O, excess fluid removed by wicking, and mounted on glass slides using Fluoromount-
DAPI (Southern Biotech). For analysis of detergent-extracted cytoskeletons, cells were
washed using TDB and attached to poly-L-lysine coverslips as described above. The cells
were incubated in extraction buffer (0.5% IGEPAL, 0.1 M PIPES-NaOH pH 6.9, 2 mM EGTA,
1 mM MgSO$_4$, 0.1 mM EDTA, cOmplete protease inhibitors [Roche]) (5 min, RT), washed
three times with extraction buffer, and then fixed with ice-cold MeOH (-20 °C, 30 min).
Blocking, antibody incubation steps, and mounting were as described above. All liquid
handling was carried out using a P1000 micropipette, and pipetting was done as gently as
possible to minimise shear forces. The coverslips were imaged using a Leica DMI6000B
inverted microscope equipped with a Leica DFC365 camera and a 100x oil objective lens
(NA1.4) and running Leica Application Suite X software. The same exposure times were used
for acquisition of +/-Tet samples, and 40 z-slices of 0.21 μm thickness were taken per field of
view. Image processing was carried out using ImageJ. Maximum intensity z-projections are
shown.
1383
1384
Fractionation
Cell concentration was measured using a Z2 Coulter Counter, and an equal number of cells
($\sim$2.5x10^7 per experiment) was taken from the control (-Tet) and overexpression (+Tet)
samples and transferred to 50 ml Falcon tubes. The cells were pelleted by centrifugation (750
x g, 10 min, 4 °C), and the cell pellets then resuspended in 1 ml TDB and transferred to
microfuge tubes. The cells were pelleted by centrifugation (1800 x g, 2 min, 4 °C), and then
resuspended in 200 μl extraction buffer (see Immunofluorescence microscopy section for
composition). After a short incubation (15 min, RT, orbital mixer), a 5% (10 μl) input sample
was taken, and the mixtures separated by centrifugation (3400 x g, 2 min, 4 °C) into detergent-
soluble (cytoplasmic) supernatant and detergent-insoluble (cytoskeleton) pellet fractions. The
supernatant was transferred to a fresh microfuge tube, its exact volume noted, and a 5%
sample taken. The tube containing the pellet was centrifuged a second time (3400 x g, 2 min,
4 °C) in order to bring down material sticking to the tube wall; this second supernatant was
discarded. The pellet was resuspended in 200 μl extraction buffer and a 5% sample (10 μl)
taken. SDS loading buffer was added to the input, supernatant, and pellet samples to a final
volume of 20 μl, and denaturation assisted by heating (95 °C, 10 min). Equal fractions were
loaded onto polyacrylamide gels, separated by SDS-PAGE, and analysed by immunoblotting.
In the exemplary blot shown (Fig. 5G), each sample is a 4.5% fraction, equivalent to $\sim$10^6 cells
in the Input fraction. For optimisation of extraction conditions using digitonin, essentially the
same protocol was followed except that ultra-pure digitonin (Calbiochem) in TDB buffer was
used and incubations were carried out at 24 °C in a heating block. For the two-step
digitonin/IGEPAL fractionations (Fig. 8), cells were pelleted by centrifugation (750 x g, 10 min,

RT), resuspended in 1 ml TDB, transferred to microfuge tubes, and pelleted again (750 x g, 3
min, RT). The cell pellet was resuspended in 400 μl of 40 μg/ml digitonin in TDB and extracted
(25 min, 24 °C), after which a 5% input sample was taken. The mixture was then separated
by centrifugation (750 x g, 5 min, RT) and 320 μl of the cytosolic fraction (SN1) transferred to
a fresh tube and a 5% sample was taken. The cell pellet was then resuspended with 1 ml TDB
and the extracted cells again pelleted by centrifugation (750 x g, 5 min, RT). The extracted
cells were then resuspended in 400 μl extraction buffer (see Immunofluorescence section
above for composition) and incubated (24 °C, 15 min, heating block with shaker). After the
incubation, a 5% sample (P1) was taken, and the extracted cells pelleted by centrifugation
(3400 x g, 2 min, RT). 320 μl of the supernatant (SN2) was transferred to a fresh microfuge
tube and a 5% sample was taken. The pellet was resuspended in 1 ml TDB and centrifuged
again (750 x g, 5 min, RT). The pellet (P2) was then resuspended in 400 μl extraction buffer.
SDS loading buffer was added to the 5% samples (I, SN1, SN2, P1, P2) to a final volume of
40 μl. Samples were analysed by immunoblotting as detailed above.
1421
1422
Crystallisation
Crystallisation of TbMORN1(7-15), TgMORN1(7-15) and PfMORN1(7-15) was performed at
22 °C using a sitting-drop vapour diffusion technique and micro-dispensing liquid handling
robots (Phoenix RE (Art Robbins Instruments) and Mosquito (TTP labtech)). In the case of
TbMORN1(7-15), crystals only appeared from reductively methylated protein, using a
standard protocol (Walter et al., 2006). The best diffracting crystals of TbMORN1(7-15) were
grown at a protein concentration of 3.5 mg/ml in the following conditions: 0.166 M Tris-HCl pH
8.8, 0.15 M MgCl$_2$, 0.45 M KI, 24% PEG 2000 MME, and 4% glycerol. The tetragonal crystals
of TgMORN1(7-15) were obtained at a protein concentration of 10 mg/ml in the following
conditions: 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.2, 15% PEG 3350, 0.2 M NaCl. The diffracting crystals of both
selenomethionine-containing crystals and native crystals of PfMORN1(7-15) were obtained at
a protein concentration of 8 mg/ml in the conditions "B11" from the Morpheus II crystallisation
screen (Molecular Dimensions): 2 mM divalents mix (0.5 mM MnCl$_3$, 0.5 mM CoCl$_2$, 0.5 mM
NiCl$_2$, 0.5 mM Zn(OAc)$_2$, 0.1 M Buffer System 6, pH 8.5 (Gly-Gly, AMPD), and 50%
precipitation Mix 7 (20 % PEG 8000, 40% 1,5-Pentanediol). The crystals were flash cooled in
liquid nitrogen prior to data collection.
1439
1440
X-ray diffraction data collection and crystal structure determination
Initially, the structure of PfMORN1(7-15) was determined using the single-wavelength
anomalous diffraction (SAD) method. The selenomethionine dataset was collected at the
beamline ID29 (ESRF, Grenoble) at 100K at the peak of selenium using a wavelength of 0.979
Å. The data frames were processed using the XDS package (Kabsch, 2010), and converted
to mtz format with the program AIMLESS (Winn et al., 2011). The apo-PfMORN1(7-15)
structure was solved using single anomalous diffraction with AUTOSOL software from the
PHENIX package. The structures of TgMORN1(7-15) and TbMORN1(7-15) were then solved
using the molecular replacement program PHASER (McCoy et al., 2007) with the atomic
coordinates of PfMORN1(7-15) as a search model. The structures were then refined with
REFMAC and Phenix Refine and rebuilt using Coot (Murshudov et al., 1997, Emsley &

Cowtan, 2004, Adams et al., 2010). The structures were validated and corrected using the
PDB_REDO server (Joosten et al., 2014). The figures were produced using Pymol and
Chimera software. Coordinates have been deposited in the protein data bank (accession
codes 6T4D, 6T4R, 6T68, 6T69, 6T6Q). Data collection and refinement statistics are reported
in Table 1.
1457
1458

Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS)
Synchrotron radiation X-ray scattering from various MORN constructs in solution were
collected at different synchrotron facilities (Table S3). TgMORN1(7-15), TbMORN1(7-15) as
well as PfMORN1(7-15) were collected at the EMBL P12 beamline of the storage ring PETRA
III (DESY, Hamburg, Germany) (Blanchet et al., 2015). Images were recorded using a photon
counting Pilatus-2M detector at a sample to detector distance of 3.1 m and a wavelength (λ)
of 1.2 Å covering the range of momentum transfer $0.01 < s < 0.5$ Å^{-1}; with $s = 4\pi sin\theta/\lambda$, where
2θ is the scattering angle. To obtain data from a monodisperse sample from TgMORN1(7-15)
and TbMORN1(7-15), a size exclusion chromatography column directly coupled to the
scattering experiment (SEC-SAXS) was employed. The parallel collection of UV and light
scattering data allowed the protein to be monitored while it eluted from the column (Graewert
et al., 2015). Throughout the complete chromatography process, 1 s sample exposures were
recorded. As mobile phase, the various buffers were used: TbMORN1(7-15): 20mM Tris-HCl
pH 8.5, 200 mM NaCl, 2% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT. TgMORN1(7-15): 20 mM Tris-HCl pH
7.5, 100 mM NaCl. 100 μl of purified sample (3.8 mg/mL TbMORN1(7-15) and 2.6 mg/ml
TgMORN1(7-15)) were injected onto a Superdex 200 10/300 (GE Healthcare) column and the
flow rate was set to 0.5 ml/min. SAXS data were recorded from macromolecular free fractions
corresponding to the matched solvent blank. PfMORN1(7-15) was measured in batch mode
from a concentration series spanning 1-8 mg/ml. 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl buffer
was measured for background subtraction. As a concentration dependent increase in size was
detectable further analysis were based solely on the data collected at 1 mg/ml. In a similar
manner as described above, TbMORN1(2-15) data were collected at ESRF BM29 beamline
(Pernot et al., 2013) in SEC-SAXS mode with the setup described in by Brennich et al.
(Brennich et al., 2017). SAXS data from the run were collected at a wavelength of 0.99 Å using
a sample-to-detector (PILATUS 1 M, DECTRIS) distance of 2.867 m. Here too, a Superdex
200 10/300 (GE Healthcare) column was used as well as 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM
NaCl as mobile phase. 100 μl of 5.8 mg/ml TbMORN1(2-15) were injected. Data reduction to
produce final scattering profiles of dimeric MORN1 constructs were performed using standard
methods. Briefly, 2D-to-1D radial averaging was performed using the SASFLOW pipeline
(Franke et al., 2017). For data collected at ESRF EDNA pipeline (Brennich et al., 2016) was
used. CHROMIXS was used for the visualisation and reduction of the SEC-SAXS datasets
(Panjkovich & Svergun, 2018). Aided by the integrated prediction algorithms in CHROMIXS
the optimal frames within the elution peak and the buffer regions were selected. Single buffer
frames were then subtracted from sample frames one by one, scaled and averaged to produce
the final subtracted curve. The indirect inverse Fourier transform of the SAXS data and the
corresponding probable real space-scattering pair distance distribution (p(r) versus r profile)
of the various MORN1 constructs were calculated using GNOM (Svergun, 1992), from which
the R_g and D_{max} were determined. The p(r) versus r profile were also used for *ab initio* bead
modelling of selected MORN1 constructs. For this, 20 independent runs of DAMMIF (Franke

& Svergun, 2009) in the case of TbMORN1(2-15) and DAMMIN (Svergun, 1999) in case of
the shorter MORN1(7-15) constructs were performed. From these the most probable models
were selected by DAMAVER (Volkov & Svergun, 2003). The ab initio modelling was performed
with and without symmetry constraints (p2 symmetry to reflect the dimeric state of the protein).
Comparison with theoretical curves calculated from the X-tal structures described here was
performed with Crysol (Svergun et al., 1995). Due to the elongated nature of the molecules,
fits were improved by increasing LM (maximum order of harmonics) to 50. The molecular mass
(MM) was evaluated based on concentration independent methods according to Porod
(Porod, 1951) and as implemented in the ATSAS package. Dimensionless Kratky plots were
constructed according to (Receveur-Brechot & Durand, 2012)_and the reference point for
globular proteins at $\sqrt{3}$, 1.1 indicated. Graphical representation was produced using Pymol
Molecular Graphics System (Schrödinger, LLC.). The SAXS data (Table S3) and ab initio bead
models as well as fits to the crystal structures described within this work have been deposited
into the Small-Angle Scattering Biological Data Bank (SASBDB) (Valentini et al., 2015) under
the accession codes SASDG97, SASDGA7, SASDGB7, SASDGC7.

1513
1514

Transmission electron microscopy (EM) with rotary shadowing
TbMORN1 and TbMORN1(2-15) were purified according to the two-step procedure detailed
above. They were then diluted in spraying buffer (100 mM $NH_4CH_3CO_2$-NaOH pH 8.5, 30%
(v/v) glycerol) to a final concentration of 50 – 100 μg/ml. Diluted samples were sprayed onto
freshly cleaved mica chips (Christine Gröpl) and immediately transferred into a MED020 high
vacuum evaporator (BAL-TEC) equipped with electron guns. While rotating, samples were
coated with 0.6 nm of Platinum (BALTIC) at an angle of 4°, followed by 6 nm of Carbon
(Oerlicon) at 90°. The obtained replicas were floated off the mica chips, transferred to 400
mesh Cu/Pd grids (Agar Scientific), and examined using a Morgagni 268D transmission
electron microscope (FEI) operated at 80 kV. Images were acquired using an 11 megapixel
Morada CCD camera (Olympus-SIS).

1526
1527

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

1528
Financial support was from Austrian Science Fund (FWF) grants P22265-B12 FWF (to
Graham Warren) P27016-B21 (to Brooke Morriswood and Kristina Djinovic-Carugo), P28135
and P30584 (both to Tom Leonard). Kristina Djinovic-Carugo's research was additionally
supported by Austrian Science Fund (FWF) Projects I525 and I1593, P22276, P19060 and
W1221, Federal Ministry of Economy, Family and Youth through the initiative "Laura Bassi
Centres of Expertise", funding the Centre of Optimized Structural Studies, N°253275, by the
Wellcome Trust Collaborative Award (201543/Z/16), COST action BM1405 - Non-globular
proteins - from sequence to structure, function and application in molecular physiopathology
(NGP-NET), WWTF (Vienna Science and Technology Fund) Chemical Biology project LS17-
008, and by the University of Vienna. Funding also came from Medical Research Council grant
MR/Mo20118/1 (to Terry Smith). We are indebted to Graham Warren (MRC Laboratory for
Molecular Cell Biology, University College London), for comments and support. We are
grateful to Sonja Lorenz (University of Würzburg) for critical reading of the manuscript. Valeria

Stefania carried out the SAXS measurements. The Martens group at the Max Perutz Labs Vienna provided the Doc2B protein; Iva Lucic in the Leonard group supplied the Akt protein. Euripedes Almeida Ribeiro advised on fluorescence anisotropy measurements. Markus Engstler (University of Würzburg) provided the bloodstream form GFP cells as well as lab space and support. Susanne Fenz (University of Würzburg) assisted with the purification of trypanosome lipids. The authors thank the staff of MX and SAXS beamlines at ESRF in Grenoble and EMBL-Hamburg for their excellent support. EM data were recorded at the EM Facility of the Vienna BioCenter Core Facilities GmbH (VBCF), a member of the Vienna BioCenter (VBC), Austria. Proteomics analyses were performed by the Mass Spectrometry Facility at Max Perutz Labs Vienna using the VBCF instrument pool.

References

Adam SA, Marr RS, Gerace L (1990) Nuclear protein import in permeabilized mammalian cells requires soluble cytoplasmic factors. *J Cell Biol* 111: 807-16

Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkoczi G, Chen VB, Davis IW, Echols N, Headd JJ, Hung LW, Kapral GJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Oeffner R, Read RJ, Richardson DC, Richardson JS, Terwilliger TC, Zwart PH (2010) PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 66: 213-21

Adl SM, Bass D, Lane CE, Lukes J, Schoch CL, Smirnov A, Agatha S, Berney C, Brown MW, Burki F, Cardenas P, Cepicka I, Chistyakova L, Del Campo J, Dunthorn M, Edvardsen B, Eglit Y, Guillou L, Hampl V, Heiss AA et al. (2019) Revisions to the Classification, Nomenclature, and Diversity of Eukaryotes. *J Eukaryot Microbiol* 66: 4-119

Allen CL, Goulding D, Field MC (2003) Clathrin-mediated endocytosis is essential in Trypanosoma brucei. *Embo J* 22: 4991-5002

Andrade MA, Perez-Iratxeta C, Ponting CP (2001) Protein repeats: structures, functions, and evolution. *J Struct Biol* 134: 117-31

Bangs JD, Uyetake L, Brickman MJ, Balber AE, Boothroyd JC (1993) Molecular cloning and cellular localization of a BiP homologue in Trypanosoma brucei. Divergent ER retention signals in a lower eukaryote. *J Cell Sci* 105 (Pt 4): 1101-13

Bastin P, Bagherzadeh Z, Matthews KR, Gull K (1996) A novel epitope tag system to study protein targeting and organelle biogenesis in Trypanosoma brucei. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 77: 235-9

Batram C, Jones NG, Janzen CJ, Markert SM, Engstler M (2014) Expression site attenuation mechanistically links antigenic variation and development in Trypanosoma brucei. *Elife* 3: e02324

Bennett HJ, Davenport JB, Collins RF, Trafford AW, Pinali C, Kitmitto A (2013) Human junctophilin-2 undergoes a structural rearrangement upon binding PtdIns(3,4,5)P3 and the

S101R mutation identified in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy obviates this response. *Biochem J* 456: 205-17

Blanchet CE, Spilotros A, Schwemmer F, Graewert MA, Kikhney A, Jeffries CM, Franke D, Mark D, Zengerle R, Cipriani F, Fiedler S, Roessle M, Svergun DI (2015) Versatile sample environments and automation for biological solution X-ray scattering experiments at the P12 beamline (PETRA III, DESY). *J Appl Crystallogr* 48: 431-443

Bligh EG, Dyer WJ (1959) A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. *Can J Biochem Physiol* 37: 911-7

Brennich ME, Kieffer J, Bonamis G, Antolinos AD, Hutin S, Pernot P, Round A (2016) Online data analysis at the ESRF bioSAXS beamline, BM29. *Journal of Applied Crystallography* 49: 203-212

Brennich ME, Round AR, Hutin S (2017) Online Size-exclusion and Ion-exchange Chromatography on a SAXS Beamline. *J Vis Exp*

Burkard G, Fragoso CM, Roditi I (2007) Highly efficient stable transformation of bloodstream forms of Trypanosoma brucei. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 153: 220-3

Camacho L, Smertenko AP, Perez-Gomez J, Hussey PJ, Moore I (2009) Arabidopsis Rab-E GTPases exhibit a novel interaction with a plasma-membrane phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate 5-kinase. *J Cell Sci* 122: 4383-92

Carman PJ, Dominguez R (2018) BAR domain proteins-a linkage between cellular membranes, signaling pathways, and the actin cytoskeleton. *Biophys Rev* 10: 1587-1604

Chung J, Torta F, Masai K, Lucast L, Czapla H, Tanner LB, Narayanaswamy P, Wenk MR, Nakatsu F, De Camilli P (2015) INTRACELLULAR TRANSPORT. PI4P/phosphatidylserine countertransport at ORP5- and ORP8-mediated ER-plasma membrane contacts. *Science* 349: 428-32

Cronan JE (2003) Bacterial membrane lipids: where do we stand? *Annu Rev Microbiol* 57: 203-24

Demmel L, Schmidt K, Lucast L, Havlicek K, Zankel A, Koestler T, Reithofer V, de Camilli P, Warren G (2014) The endocytic activity of the flagellar pocket in Trypanosoma brucei is regulated by an adjacent phosphatidylinositol phosphate kinase. *Journal of cell science* 127: 2351-64

Doyle SA (2005) High-throughput cloning for proteomics research. *Methods Mol Biol* 310: 107-13

El-Gebali S, Mistry J, Bateman A, Eddy SR, Luciani A, Potter SC, Qureshi M, Richardson LJ, Salazar GA, Smart A, Sonnhammer ELL, Hirsh L, Paladin L, Piovesan D, Tosatto SCE, Finn RD (2019) The Pfam protein families database in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47: D427-D432

Emsley P, Cowtan K (2004) Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 60: 2126-32

Engstler M, Thilo L, Weise F, Grunfelder CG, Schwarz H, Boshart M, Overath P (2004)
Kinetics of endocytosis and recycling of the GPI-anchored variant surface glycoprotein in
Trypanosoma brucei. *Journal of cell science* 117: 1105-15

Epand RM, Epand RF (2009) Lipid domains in bacterial membranes and the action of
antimicrobial agents. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1788: 289-94

Esson HJ, Morriswood B, Yavuz S, Vidilaseris K, Dong G, Warren G (2012) Morphology of
the trypanosome bilobe, a novel cytoskeletal structure. *Eukaryot Cell* 11: 761-72

Franke D, Petoukhov MV, Konarev PV, Panjkovich A, Tuukkanen A, Mertens HDT, Kikhney
AG, Hajizadeh NR, Franklin JM, Jeffries CM, Svergun DI (2017) ATSAS 2.8: a
comprehensive data analysis suite for small-angle scattering from macromolecular solutions.
J Appl Crystallogr 50: 1212-1225

Franke D, Svergun DI (2009) DAMMIF, a program for rapid ab-initio shape determination in
small-angle scattering. *J Appl Crystallogr* 42: 342-346

Franzot G, Sjoblom B, Gautel M, Djinovic Carugo K (2005) The crystal structure of the actin
binding domain from alpha-actinin in its closed conformation: structural insight into
phospholipid regulation of alpha-actinin. *J Mol Biol* 348: 151-65

Fyffe SA, Alphey MS, Buetow L, Smith TK, Ferguson MA, Sorensen MD, Bjorkling F, Hunter
WN (2006) Reevaluation of the PPAR-beta/delta ligand binding domain model reveals why it
exhibits the activated form. *Mol Cell* 21: 1-2

Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang RY, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, 3rd, Smith HO (2009)
Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat Methods* 6:
343-5

Graewert MA, Franke D, Jeffries CM, Blanchet CE, Ruskule D, Kuhle K, Flieger A, Schafer
B, Tartsch B, Meijers R, Svergun DI (2015) Automated pipeline for purification, biophysical
and x-ray analysis of biomacromolecular solutions. *Sci Rep* 5: 10734

Grunfelder CG, Engstler M, Weise F, Schwarz H, Stierhof YD, Morgan GW, Field MC,
Overath P (2003) Endocytosis of a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein via
clathrin-coated vesicles, sorting by default in endosomes, and exocytosis via RAB11-positive
carriers. *Mol Biol Cell* 14: 2029-40

Gubbels MJ, Vaishnava S, Boot N, Dubremetz JF, Striepen B (2006) A MORN-repeat
protein is a dynamic component of the Toxoplasma gondii cell division apparatus. *J Cell Sci*
119: 2236-45

Guo A, Wang Y, Chen B, Wang Y, Yuan J, Zhang L, Hall D, Wu J, Shi Y, Zhu Q, Chen C,
Thiel WH, Zhan X, Weiss RM, Zhan F, Musselman CA, Pufall M, Zhu W, Au KF, Hong J et
al. (2018) E-C coupling structural protein junctophilin-2 encodes a stress-adaptive
transcription regulator. *Science* 362

Habicht J, Woehle C, Gould SB (2015) Tetrahymena Expresses More than a Hundred
Proteins with Lipid-binding MORN Motifs that can Differ in their Subcellular Localisations. *J*
Eukaryot Microbiol 62: 694-700

Hatzopoulos GN, Erat MC, Cutts E, Rogala KB, Slater LM, Stansfeld PJ, Vakonakis I (2013)
Structural analysis of the G-box domain of the microcephaly protein CPAP suggests a role in
centriole architecture. *Structure* 21: 2069-77

Hemsley A, Arnheim N, Toney MD, Cortopassi G, Galas DJ (1989) A simple method for site-
directed mutagenesis using the polymerase chain reaction. *Nucleic Acids Res* 17: 6545-51

Holm L, Laakso LM (2016) Dali server update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44: W351-5

Holm L, Rosenstrom P (2010) Dali server: conservation mapping in 3D. *Nucleic Acids Res*
38: W545-9

Hu K (2008) Organizational changes of the daughter basal complex during the parasite
replication of Toxoplasma gondii. *PLoS Pathog* 4: e10

Hutchinson EG, Thornton JM (1994) A revised set of potentials for beta-turn formation in
proteins. *Protein Sci* 3: 2207-16

Im YJ, Davis AJ, Perera IY, Johannes E, Allen NS, Boss WF (2007) The N-terminal
membrane occupation and recognition nexus domain of Arabidopsis phosphatidylinositol
phosphate kinase 1 regulates enzyme activity. *J Biol Chem* 282: 5443-52

Jacobs SA, Harp JM, Devarakonda S, Kim Y, Rastinejad F, Khorasanizadeh S (2002) The
active site of the SET domain is constructed on a knot. *Nat Struct Biol* 9: 833-8

Jayasinghe I, Clowsley AH, Lin R, Lutz T, Harrison C, Green E, Baddeley D, Di Michele L,
Soeller C (2018) True Molecular Scale Visualization of Variable Clustering Properties of
Ryanodine Receptors. *Cell Rep* 22: 557-567

Jiang M, Hu J, White FKH, Williamson J, Klymchenko AS, Murthy A, Workman SW, Tseng
GN (2019) S-Palmitoylation of junctophilin-2 is critical for its role in tethering the
sarcoplasmic reticulum to the plasma membrane. *J Biol Chem* 294: 13487-13501

Joosten RP, Long F, Murshudov GN, Perrakis A (2014) The PDB_REDO server for
macromolecular structure model optimization. *IUCrJ* 1: 213-20

Kabsch W (2010) Xds. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 66: 125-32

Kajava AV (2012) Tandem repeats in proteins: from sequence to structure. *J Struct Biol* 179:
279-88

Kelly SM, Jess TJ, Price NC (2005) How to study proteins by circular dichroism. *Biochim*
Biophys Acta 1751: 119-39

Kohl L, Sherwin T, Gull K (1999) Assembly of the paraflagellar rod and the flagellum
attachment zone complex during the Trypanosoma brucei cell cycle. *J Eukaryot Microbiol*
46: 105-9

Krissinel E, Henrick K (2007) Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state.
J Mol Biol 372: 774-97

Kunita R, Otomo A, Mizumura H, Suzuki K, Showguchi-Miyata J, Yanagisawa Y, Hadano S,
Ikeda JE (2004) Homo-oligomerization of ALS2 through its unique carboxyl-terminal regions

is essential for the ALS2-associated Rab5 guanine nucleotide exchange activity and its regulatory function on endosome trafficking. *J Biol Chem* 279: 38626-35

Lacomble S, Vaughan S, Gadelha C, Morphew MK, Shaw MK, McIntosh JR, Gull K (2009) Three-dimensional cellular architecture of the flagellar pocket and associated cytoskeleton in trypanosomes revealed by electron microscope tomography. *Journal of cell science* 122: 1081-90

Li J, Liu H, Raval MH, Wan J, Yengo CM, Liu W, Zhang M (2019) Structure of the MORN4/Myo3a Tail Complex Reveals MORN Repeats as Protein Binding Modules. *Structure*

Li MZ, Elledge SJ (2012) SLIC: a method for sequence- and ligation-independent cloning. *Methods Mol Biol* 852: 51-9

Ma H, Lou Y, Lin WH, Xue HW (2006) MORN motifs in plant PIPKs are involved in the regulation of subcellular localization and phospholipid binding. *Cell Res* 16: 466-78

Maeda K, Anand K, Chiapparino A, Kumar A, Poletto M, Kaksonen M, Gavin AC (2013) Interactome map uncovers phosphatidylserine transport by oxysterol-binding proteins. *Nature* 501: 257-61

Marvin RG, Wolford JL, Kidd MJ, Murphy S, Ward J, Que EL, Mayer ML, Penner-Hahn JE, Haldar K, O'Halloran TV (2012) Fluxes in "free" and total zinc are essential for progression of intraerythrocytic stages of Plasmodium falciparum. *Chem Biol* 19: 731-41

McCoy AJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Adams PD, Winn MD, Storoni LC, Read RJ (2007) Phaser crystallographic software. *J Appl Crystallogr* 40: 658-674

Mecklenburg KL (2007) Drosophila retinophilin contains MORN repeats and is conserved in humans. *Mol Genet Genomics* 277: 481-9

Micsonai A, Wien F, Bulyaki E, Kun J, Moussong E, Lee YH, Goto Y, Refregiers M, Kardos J (2018) BeStSel: a web server for accurate protein secondary structure prediction and fold recognition from the circular dichroism spectra. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46: W315-W322

Micsonai A, Wien F, Kernya L, Lee YH, Goto Y, Refregiers M, Kardos J (2015) Accurate secondary structure prediction and fold recognition for circular dichroism spectroscopy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112: E3095-103

Mikami K, Saavedra L, Sommarin M (2010) Is membrane occupation and recognition nexus domain functional in plant phosphatidylinositol phosphate kinases? *Plant Signal Behav* 5: 1241-4

Molla-Herman A, Ghossoub R, Blisnick T, Meunier A, Serres C, Silbermann F, Emmerson C, Romeo K, Bourdoncle P, Schmitt A, Saunier S, Spassky N, Bastin P, Benmerah A (2010) The ciliary pocket: an endocytic membrane domain at the base of primary and motile cilia. *J Cell Sci* 123: 1785-95

Morriswood B, Havlicek K, Demmel L, Yavuz S, Sealey-Cardona M, Vidilaseris K, Anrather D, Kostan J, Djinovic-Carugo K, Roux KJ, Warren G (2013) Novel Bilobe Components in Trypanosoma brucei Identified Using Proximity-Dependent Biotinylation. *Eukaryot Cell* 12: 356-67

Morriswood B, He CY, Sealey-Cardona M, Yelinek J, Pypaert M, Warren G (2009) The
bilobe structure of Trypanosoma brucei contains a MORN-repeat protein. *Mol Biochem*
Parasitol 167: 95-103

Morriswood B, Schmidt K (2015) A MORN-repeat protein facilitates protein entry into the
flagellar pocket of Trypanosoma brucei. *Eukaryot Cell*

Moser von Filseck J, Copic A, Delfosse V, Vanni S, Jackson CL, Bourguet W, Drin G (2015)
INTRACELLULAR TRANSPORT. Phosphatidylserine transport by ORP/Osh proteins is
driven by phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate. *Science* 349: 432-6

Munro ML, Jayasinghe ID, Wang Q, Quick A, Wang W, Baddeley D, Wehrens XH, Soeller C
(2016) Junctophilin-2 in the nanoscale organisation and functional signalling of ryanodine
receptor clusters in cardiomyocytes. *J Cell Sci* 129: 4388-4398

Murshudov GN, Vagin AA, Dodson EJ (1997) Refinement of macromolecular structures by
the maximum-likelihood method. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 53: 240-55

Nakada T, Kashihara T, Komatsu M, Kojima K, Takeshita T, Yamada M (2018) Physical
interaction of junctophilin and the CaV1.1 C terminus is crucial for skeletal muscle
contraction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115: 4507-4512

Otomo A, Hadano S, Okada T, Mizumura H, Kunita R, Nishijima H, Showguchi-Miyata J,
Yanagisawa Y, Kohiki E, Suga E, Yasuda M, Osuga H, Nishimoto T, Narumiya S, Ikeda JE
(2003) ALS2, a novel guanine nucleotide exchange factor for the small GTPase Rab5, is
implicated in endosomal dynamics. *Hum Mol Genet* 12: 1671-87

Panjkovich A, Svergun DI (2018) CHROMIXS: automatic and interactive analysis of
chromatography-coupled small-angle X-ray scattering data. *Bioinformatics* 34: 1944-1946

Pelletier L, Stern CA, Pypaert M, Sheff D, Ngo HM, Roper N, He CY, Hu K, Toomre D,
Coppens I, Roos DS, Joiner KA, Warren G (2002) Golgi biogenesis in Toxoplasma gondii.
Nature 418: 548-52

Perni S, Lavorato M, Beam KG (2017) De novo reconstitution reveals the proteins required
for skeletal muscle voltage-induced Ca(2+) release. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114: 13822-
13827

Pernot P, Round A, Barrett R, De Maria Antolinos A, Gobbo A, Gordon E, Huet J, Kieffer J,
Lentini M, Mattenet M, Morawe C, Mueller-Dieckmann C, Ohlsson S, Schmid W, Surr J,
Theveneau P, Zerrad L, McSweeney S (2013) Upgraded ESRF BM29 beamline for SAXS
on macromolecules in solution. *J Synchrotron Radiat* 20: 660-4

Porod G (1951) Die Rontgenkleinwinkelstreuung Von Dichtgepackten Kolloiden Systemen
.1. *Kolloid Z Z Polym* 124: 83-114

Rao VD, Misra S, Boronenkov IV, Anderson RA, Hurley JH (1998) Structure of type IIbeta
phosphatidylinositol phosphate kinase: a protein kinase fold flattened for interfacial
phosphorylation. *Cell* 94: 829-39

Rappsilber J, Mann M, Ishihama Y (2007) Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment, pre-
fraction and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. *Nat Protoc* 2: 1896-
906

Receveur-Brechot V, Durand D (2012) How random are intrinsically disordered proteins? A
small angle scattering perspective. *Curr Protein Pept Sci* 13: 55-75

Richmond GS, Gibellini F, Young SA, Major L, Denton H, Lilley A, Smith TK (2010)
Lipidomic analysis of bloodstream and procyclic form Trypanosoma brucei. *Parasitology*
137: 1357-92

Rossi D, Scarcella AM, Liguori E, Lorenzini S, Pierantozzi E, Kutchukian C, Jacquemond V,
Messa M, De Camilli P, Sorrentino V (2019) Molecular determinants of homo- and
heteromeric interactions of Junctophilin-1 at triads in adult skeletal muscle fibers. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A 116: 15716-15724

Saliba AE, Vonkova I, Ceschia S, Findlay GM, Maeda K, Tischer C, Deghou S, van Noort V,
Bork P, Pawson T, Ellenberg J, Gavin AC (2014) A quantitative liposome microarray to
systematically characterize protein-lipid interactions. *Nat Methods* 11: 47-50

Saliba AE, Vonkova I, Deghou S, Ceschia S, Tischer C, Kugler KG, Bork P, Ellenberg J,
Gavin AC (2016) A protocol for the systematic and quantitative measurement of protein-lipid
interactions using the liposome-microarray-based assay. *Nat Protoc* 11: 1021-38

Salzer U, Kostan J, Djinovic-Carugo K (2017) Deciphering the BAR code of membrane
modulators. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 74: 2413-2438

Scholz J, Besir H, Strasser C, Suppmann S (2013) A new method to customize protein
expression vectors for fast, efficient and background free parallel cloning. *BMC Biotechnol*
13: 12

Schumann Burkard G, Jutzi P, Roditi I (2011) Genome-wide RNAi screens in bloodstream
form trypanosomes identify drug transporters. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 175: 91-4

Studier FW (2005) Protein production by auto-induction in high density shaking cultures.
Protein Expr Purif 41: 207-34

Svergun D, Barberato C, Koch MHJ (1995) CRYSOL - A program to evaluate x-ray solution
scattering of biological macromolecules from atomic coordinates. *Journal of Applied*
Crystallography 28: 768-773

Svergun DI (1992) Determination of the Regularization Parameter in Indirect-Transform
Methods Using Perceptual Criteria. *Journal of Applied Crystallography* 25: 495-503

Svergun DI (1999) Restoring low resolution structure of biological macromolecules from
solution scattering using simulated annealing. *Biophys J* 76: 2879-86

Takeshima H, Komazaki S, Nishi M, Iino M, Kangawa K (2000) Junctophilins: a novel family
of junctional membrane complex proteins. *Mol Cell* 6: 11-22

Tsuchida J, Nishina Y, Wakabayashi N, Nozaki M, Sakai Y, Nishimune Y (1998) Molecular
cloning and characterization of meichroacidin (male meiotic metaphase chromosome-
associated acidic protein). *Dev Biol* 197: 67-76

Tyanova S, Temu T, Cox J (2016) The MaxQuant computational platform for mass
spectrometry-based shotgun proteomics. *Nat Protoc* 11: 2301-2319

Valentini E, Kikhney AG, Previtali G, Jeffries CM, Svergun DI (2015) SASBDB, a repository
for biological small-angle scattering data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 43: D357-63

Volkov VV, Svergun DI (2003) Uniqueness of ab initio shape determination in small-angle
scattering. *Journal of Applied Crystallography* 36: 860-864

Walter TS, Meier C, Assenberg R, Au KF, Ren J, Verma A, Nettleship JE, Owens RJ, Stuart
DI, Grimes JM (2006) Lysine methylation as a routine rescue strategy for protein
crystallization. *Structure* 14: 1617-22

Wilson JR, Jing C, Walker PA, Martin SR, Howell SA, Blackburn GM, Gamblin SJ, Xiao B
(2002) Crystal structure and functional analysis of the histone methyltransferase SET7/9.
Cell 111: 105-15

Winn MD, Ballard CC, Cowtan KD, Dodson EJ, Emsley P, Evans PR, Keegan RM, Krissinel
EB, Leslie AG, McCoy A, McNicholas SJ, Murshudov GN, Pannu NS, Potterton EA, Powell
HR, Read RJ, Vagin A, Wilson KS (2011) Overview of the CCP4 suite and current
developments. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 67: 235-42

Wirtz E, Leal S, Ochatt C, Cross GA (1999) A tightly regulated inducible expression system
for conditional gene knock-outs and dominant-negative genetics in Trypanosoma brucei. *Mol
Biochem Parasitol* 99: 89-101

Woo JS, Srikanth S, Nishi M, Ping P, Takeshima H, Gwack Y (2016) Junctophilin-4, a
component of the endoplasmic reticulum-plasma membrane junctions, regulates Ca2+
dynamics in T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113: 2762-7

Xiao B, Jing C, Wilson JR, Walker PA, Vasisht N, Kelly G, Howell S, Taylor IA, Blackburn
GM, Gamblin SJ (2003) Structure and catalytic mechanism of the human histone
methyltransferase SET7/9. *Nature* 421: 652-6

Yamanaka K, Vande Velde C, Eymard-Pierre E, Bertini E, Boespflug-Tanguy O, Cleveland
DW (2003) Unstable mutants in the peripheral endosomal membrane component ALS2
cause early-onset motor neuron disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100: 16041-6

Yang B, Wu YJ, Zhu M, Fan SB, Lin J, Zhang K, Li S, Chi H, Li YX, Chen HF, Luo SK, Ding
YH, Wang LH, Hao Z, Xiu LY, Chen S, Ye K, He SM, Dong MQ (2012) Identification of
cross-linked peptides from complex samples. *Nat Methods* 9: 904-6

Yuan ZF, Liu C, Wang HP, Sun RX, Fu Y, Zhang JF, Wang LH, Chi H, Li Y, Xiu LY, Wang
WP, He SM (2012) pParse: a method for accurate determination of monoisotopic peaks in
high-resolution mass spectra. *Proteomics* 12: 226-35
1847

Figure 1. TbMORN1 primary structure and dimerisation. (A) Primary structure of TbMORN1, with individual MORN repeats shown in alignment, and coloured according to amino acid conservation. A schematic of the predicted secondary structure of each repeat is shown above the alignment. A consensus amino acid sequence of the individual MORN repeats from TbMORN1 based on the alignment is shown in the sequence logo. (B) TbMORN1 dimerises via its C-terminus. SEC-MALS profiles of TbMORN1(1-15), TbMORN1 (2-15), and TbMORN1 (1-14). Schematics are shown underneath. TbMORN1(1-15) tended to form high-order assemblies, whereas removal of the first MORN repeat resulted in a monodisperse dimer. Removal of the last MORN repeat in TbMORN1 (1-14) resulted in a polydisperse mixture of higher-order assemblies, dimers, and monomers.

Figure 2. TbMORN1 interacts with phospholipids but not liposomes. (A) Purified recombinant TbMORN1 binds to multiple phospholipid species in protein-lipid overlay assays. PIP strips were incubated with purified recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) protein, and bound proteins were detected by immunoblotting with an anti-His tag antibody. Abbreviations: PI(n)P, phosphatidylinositol (n) phosphate; PA, phosphatidic acid; LPA, lysophosphatidic acid; LPC, lysophosphatidylcholine; PI, phosphatidylinositol; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine; PC, phosphatidylcholine; S(1)P, sphingosine-1-phosphate; PS, phosphatidylserine. Data were obtained from 3 independent experiments using 2 biological replicates; an exemplary blot is shown. (B) PIP strip overlaid with the PH domain of PLCδ, a positive control for PI(4,5)P_2 binding. Data were obtained from 3 independent experiments using 2 biological replicates; an exemplary blot is shown. The PIP strips presented here were exposed to the light source for the same time. (C) Fluorescence anisotropy measurements of 0.1 μM BODIPY TMR-PI(4,5)P_2 in the presence of TbMORN1(2-15), (7-15) and (10-15). All three truncations of TbMORN1 interacted with PI(4,5)P_2 with binding affinities in the low micromolar range. Data obtained from 3 independent experiments using 3 biological replicates, with 10 technical replicates for each experiment. Traces show mean values, bars are s.e.m. (D) Liposome co-sedimentation assay. POPC liposomes containing 0, 5, 10, 15 and 20% of porcine brain PI(4,5)P_2 were incubated with 10 μM TbMORN1(2-15). TbMORN1(2-15) was found in both pellet (P) and supernatant (SN) fractions but did not increase proportionally to PI(4,5)P_2 concentration. The positive control, Doc2B, bound PI(4,5)P_2 in a concentration-dependent manner, with a shift from SN to P fractions proportional to the increase in % of PI(4,5)P_2 present in the liposomes. Data were obtained from 2 independent experiments using 2 biological replicates; an exemplary blot is shown. (E) Quantification of the liposome pelleting assays. The amount of protein in the pellet fraction is presented relative to the amount present in the pellet fraction of the no liposome condition.

Figure 3. Recombinant TbMORN1 co-purifies with PE and increases *E. coli* PE levels. Negative ion mode survey scan (600-900 m/z) of lipid extracts from the indicated conditions. (A,B) Lipid extracts from purified recombinant TbMORN1(1-15) (A) and TbMORN1(10-15) (B). A large amount of PE co-purified with TbMORN1(1-15) but very little was associated with TbMORN1(10-15). (C,D) Lipid extracts from *E. coli* cells expressing the indicated constructs. (C) Cells expressing TbMORN1(1-15) had elevated PE levels. (D) Cells expressing TbMORN1(10-15) showed no changes to cellular lipid ratios compared to wild-type (empty vector control). In all cases, phospholipid identity was confirmed by daughter fragmentation and reported here. Schematics of the recombinant TbMORN1 constructs are shown above the traces.

Figure 4. TbMORN1(2-15) does not bind to liposomes in vitro. (A-C) Liposome pelleting assays using sucrose-loaded vesicles (SLVs). His-TbMORN1(2-15) was purified in the absence (A) or presence (B) of Triton X-100 in the lysis buffer. The purified proteins were incubated with SLVs, which were then pelleted by centrifugation. Supernatant (SN) and pellet (P) fractions were analysed by SDS-PAGE using Coomassie staining. The SLVs were made from commercial lipids with an excess of either PE or PI(4,5)P$_2$, and also reconstituted from purified whole-cell trypanosome lipids (Tb). A no-SLV condition was included as an additional negative control. (C) The PH domains of PLCγ was used as a positive control for PI(4,5)P$_2$ binding. As expected, the PLCγ PH domain co-sedimented with PI(4,5)P$_2$-containing SLVs and was entirely present in the P fraction in this condition (arrow). The recombinant TbMORN1 proteins remained in the SN fraction in all conditions. (D-F) Liposome microarray analysis. Microchips carrying giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) with lipids of interest at three different concentrations (2, 5 and 10 mol %) were incubated with purified recombinant EGFP-TbMORN1(2-15). No significant binding was observed. (D) n (independent replicates) = 7; (E) n (independent replicates) = 3. (F) Microchip incubated with PLC-δ1 PH domain. A specific and concentration-dependent binding between the PLC-δ1 PH domain and DOPI(4,5)P$_2$ and SM was observed. DOPC, a carrier lipid, was used as an internal negative control of binding, as well as a marker for tracking positions of liposomes on a given microarray. n (independent replicates) = 1. Note the different scales on the y-axes of the three charts.

Figure 5. Overexpression of Ty1-tagged TbMORN1 causes a dominant negative phenotype. (A) Overexpression of Ty1-TbMORN1 is deleterious. Growth curves of control (-Tet) cells, and cells inducibly expressing Ty1-TbMORN1(1-15) (+Tet). Population density was measured every 24h, and the cultures split and reseeded at 48h. Data were compiled from 3 separate clones, each induced in 3 independent experiments; bars show mean +/- SD. (B) Overexpression of Ty1-TbMORN1 produces a BigEye phenotype. The incidence of BigEye cells was counted in control (-Tet) and Ty1-TbMORN1-expressing cells at the indicated timepoints. Data were compiled from 3 separate clones, each induced in 3 independent experiments; bars show mean + SD. The inset shows an example BigEye cell. Scale bar, 2 µm. (C) Tight induction of Ty1-TbMORN1 expression. Whole-cell lysates were harvested from control (-Tet) and Ty1-TbMORN1-expressing cells (+Tet) at the indicated timepoints and probed with anti-TbMORN1 and anti-Ty1 antibodies. PFR1,2 were used as a loading control. At least three independent inductions were carried out for each clone; an exemplary blot is shown. (D) Quantification of overexpression. The levels of endogenous TbMORN1 and ectopic Ty1-TbMORN1 in immunoblots were normalised relative to the PFR1,2 signal. Data were compiled using 3 separate clones, each induced in at least two independent experiments; bars show mean + SD. (E) Ty1-TbMORN1 can localise correctly to the cytoskeleton. Whole cells or detergent-extracted cytoskeletons were fixed and labelled with anti-TbMORN1 or anti-Ty1 antibodies. The fluorescence signal is shown with the transmitted light image of the cell overlaid; inset shows the fluorescence signal from the antibody in greyscale. Results confirmed for 3 separate clones, exemplary images are shown. Scale bars, 2 µm. (F) Schematic of the fractionation protocol. (G) Overexpression of Ty1-TbMORN1 displaces the endogenous protein from the cytoskeleton. Control and Ty1-TbMORN1-expressing cells were fractionated as shown in panel F and the I, SN, and P fractions were blotted. PFR1,2 was used as a marker for the cytoskeleton. Expression of Ty1-TbMORN1 was accompanied by a displacement of endogenous TbMORN1 from the insoluble (P) fraction into the soluble (SN) fraction (arrows 1,2). Equal fractions (5%) were loaded in each lane. 3 independent experiments using 3 separate clones were carried out; an exemplary blot is shown. (H) Quantification of the fractionation data. Bars show mean + SD.

Figure 6. Overexpressed Ty1-TbMORN1 is predominantly cytosolic. (A) Schematic of the two-step fractionation scheme. (B) Immunoblots of fractions taken from control and Ty1-TbMORN1 overexpressing cells, using anti-BiP, anti-TbMORN1 and anti-GFP antibodies. Note that the membrane was cut into three strips for the immunoblot. Equal fractions (5%) were loaded in each lane. The overexpressed Ty1-TbMORN1 was predominantly extracted by digitonin and partitioned with the cytosolic GFP into the SN1 fraction (arrows 1). Very little of the remainder was subsequently extracted with non-ionic detergent into the SN2 fraction (arrows 2), with most partitioning into the cytoskeleton-associated P2 fraction. Three independent experiments were carried out using cells from three clones pooled together; an exemplary blot is shown. (C,D) Quantification of the immunoblots of the two-step fractionation. Data were compiled from three independent experiments, each using cells pooled from three separate clones. Bars show mean values + SD.

A TbMORN1(7-15) P2₁

B TgMORN1(7-15)

C PfMORN1(7-15)

D

E

β-strand   β-strand

nr. of MORN repeat			
1		M I Y S G E I E N G Q M H	
1	G R G C L Q Y P N K E K Y D G D W V F G K R H	
2	G T G V Y V Y A D G S R Y E G E W V D D K V H	
3	G N G A C Y Y T S G N V Y T G E W S M G R I N	
4	G R G V L E Y H D G D R Y E G E W K D G R M H	
5	G K G T Y C Y S N G D K Y E G E W K E D K R H	
6	G K G V V V Y P D G C K Y D G E W N E G R M Q	
7	G W G K Y F Y A D G V Y E G E W V D G R M H	
8	G R G T Y V F P N G N K Y E G E W V E D R K D	
9	G Y G I L L Y T N G E R Y E G Y W H L D K A H	
10	G K G T L T F L Q G D R Y V G E W H Y G K K H	
11	G H G V L S Y S N G D T Y D G E W R D D D A W	
12	G Y G V L Q Y A N G C R Y E G E W A E D R R H	
13	G K G L L V L P D G S S Y E G S F A H G K K D	
14	G P G K I I L K D G S M Y I G T W K D G V I V	
15	G Q G E F R L S E N C D L S N P D Y	

sequence identity: > 80%   > 60%   > 40%   < 40%

bits

nr. of amino acid in a repeat (N → C)

F
Y209, F232, F186, L207, L230, Y184, Y192, Y215, Y238, W196, W219, W242, G180, G203, G226

G N ... C

Figure 7. High-resolution structures of MORN repeat proteins and a structural redefinition of the MORN repeat. (A) Schematic depiction and crystal structure of the TbMORN1(7-15) dimer in its P21 crystal form. Amino acid numbers and N- and C-termini are indicated in the schematic. The crystal structure is shown in two orientations, with main dimensions indicated in Å. The structure contains 2 x 9 MORN repeats, and is an antiparallel homodimer with the subunits arranged in a splayed tail-to-tail configuration. The secondary structure consists of exclusively antiparallel beta-strands and peripherally positioned loops, which together form a longitudinal gutter through the middle of the protein. (B) Crystal structure of TgMORN1(7-15) shown in two orientations. The number of MORN repeats and the configuration is the same as for TbMORN1(7-15) in panel A. (C) Crystal structure of PfMORN1(7-15) shown in two orientations. The bound zinc ion is labelled. The structure contains 2x 9 MORN repeats, again in tail-to-tail configuration but with an overall V-shaped arrangement. (D) Alignment of all 9 TbMORN1(7-15) MORN repeats in the crystal structure reveals a high level of structural conservation. (E) A revised consensus MORN repeat sequence, based on the crystal structures. A new alignment of the MORN repeats in TbMORN1 is shown. Repeats 7-15 are present in the crystal structure; repeats 1-6 are inferred. Conservation of sequence identity is indicated by colour intensity. Each MORN repeat consists of a β-hairpin, built up of two 6-residue β-strands connected by a 5-residue loop. The β-hairpin is followed by a 6-residue loop that connects to the next MORN repeat. The new 23-residues long consensus MORN repeat starts with the GxG motif. (F) The tertiary structure of individual MORN repeats is stabilised by hydrogen bonds between the first G of the GxG motif and the W from the YEGEW motif. MORN repeat arrays are further stabilised by aromatic stacking between the highly conserved aromatic residues in the YEGEW and LxY motifs, and by T-shaped π-stacking interactions of the highly conserved Y of the YEGEW motif, which is sandwiched between the W residue of its own motif, and the W residue in the next YEGEW motif. (G) A single TbMORN1(7-15) subunit viewed at an oblique angle. The residues of the YEGEW and LxY motifs involved in aromatic stacking line the surface of the longitudinal gutter running through the middle of the protein.

Figure 8. Conservation and properties of residues in TbMORN1(7-15). (A) Conservation map of the TbMORN1(7-15) P2$_1$ crystal structure reveals a highly conserved stretch of residues along the gutter. The structure is shown in two orientations, with residues colour-coded according to the level of conservation. (B) An electrostatic map of TbMORN1(7-15) P2$_1$. Colour scale: red = -13 kT; blue = +13 kT. Individual residues contributing to its surface electrostatics are labelled, namely those of the two negatively-charged loops building up a negative patch inside the gutter, and the residues contributing to a small positively-charged region close to the dimer interface. (C) Hydrophobic map of TbMORN1(7-15) P2$_1$. Colour scale: blue = hydrophilic; orange = hydrophobic, pink = methionine residues.

A TbMORN1(7-15) P2₁

B PfMORN1(7-15)

C TbMORN1(7-15)   **TbMORN1(7-15)
                                with K316A, R293A**

Figure 9. Dimerisation interfaces of TbMORN1(7-15) and PfMORN1(7-15). (A) The dimerisation interface of TbMORN1(7-15) P2₁ involves residues from MORN repeats 12-15, which stabilised the interface via aromatic π-stacking (Tyr330 and Phe345 from the respective subunits), hydrophobic interactions (Leu301, Leu347, Ile339, Ile322, Leu324), and additionally via hydrogen bonding interactions at the edges of the dimer interface. In comparison to the TbMORN1(7-15) C2 crystal structure, there are no disulphide bridges stabilising the dimerisation interface of TbMORN1(7-15) P2₁. (B) The dimerisation interface of the V-shaped PfMORN1(7-15) dimer is smaller and is additionally stabilised by the incorporation of a structural Zn²⁺ ion, which is tetrahedrally coordinated by Cys306 and Asp309 residues from each respective subunit. Thr311 holds the side chain of Asp309 in the appropriate orientation. The dimer interface is additionally stabilised by symmetric hydrogen bonding between the Thr311 pair, aromatic stacking between the Phe330 pair, a hydrophobic cluster formed by Leu328, Val331 and Leu336, two salt bridges between Lys322 and Glu308 from the respective subunits, anion-π interaction of a side chain of Glu308 with Phe304, and a combination of aromatic stacking (His334 pair) and polar interactions (His334, Asn332) at the vertex of the dimer. (C) An electrostatic map calculated for a single subunit of TbMORN1(7-15). The structure on the right shows the predicted effect of two point mutations, R293A from MORN repeat 13, and K316A from MORN repeat 14. The mutations are expected to result in the loss of a postively-charged patch close to the dimer interface, and consequently disrupt the dimerisation of the TbMORN1(2-15) constructs.

Figure 10. MORN1 proteins form extended dimers in solution. (A-D) SAXS experiments on TbMORN1(7-15) (A), TgMORN1(7-15) (B), PfMORN1(7-15) (C), and TbMORN1(2-15) (D). For each respective protein, the results include a P(r) plot with derived experimental D_{max} value compared with a D_{max} value derived from the structure, an experimental SAXS scattering data with a fit calculated by the Crysol programme, and a SAXS-based ab initio molecular envelope. In the case of TbMORN1(2-15), the theoretical D_{max} value was derived from a structural model, which was generated by spiking the TbMORN1(7-15) structure with additional structures of individual TbMORN1(7-15) subunits. (E-G) EM with rotary shadowing of TbMORN1(2-15) and full-length TbMORN1. (E) TbMORN1(2-15) forms a homogenous population of extended dimers of approximately 25 nm in length (see insets for individual examples). (F) Full-length TbMORN1 is heterogeneous and includes rare filaments of 175-200 nm in length (first inset) and individual dimers (second and third inset). (G) Large oligomers of full-length TbMORN1 assembled in a mesh-like structure. Magnification, 71,000x; scale bars, 25 nm, 50 nm as indicated. n (independent replicates) = 2, n (biological replicates) = 2.

Figure S1. Low-resolution structural analysis of TbMORN1. (A) Full-length TbMORN1 with an N-terminal HisTag was subjected to limited proteolysis using proteinase K (red), trypsin (green), and chymotrypsin (cyan) at protease:protein ratios (w/w) as indicated on each panel. Samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and selected bands (labelled boxes) corresponding to proteolytic products were excised and analysed by mass spectrometry. Control (Contr.) corresponds to protein without protease treatment. (B) Mass spectrometry analysis of the excised proteolytic products indicated in panel A. Peptides identified and mapped by mass spectrometry are shown as dark grey boxes; a schematic of the full-length construct is shown above, with individual MORN repeats labelled. Note that the proteolytic products show progressive degradation from their N-termini, while the C-terminal part is stable. (C) Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE gel showing purified recombinant TbMORN1 truncations. (D) SEC-MALS traces of TbMORN1 (2-15), (7-15), and (10-15). Chromatographic separation was done using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column. The three proteins all eluted as dimers. Schematics are shown underneath. (E) Far-UV CD profiles of TbMORN1, TbMORN1(2-15) and (10-15). A positive peak at 195 nm and a negative one at 218 nm demonstrated that the constructs are all β-proteins. The secondary structure content predictions for each construct were calculated in BeStSel and are shown below the CD graph.

Figure S2. TbMORN1 interacts with PI(4,5)P$_2$ in native gel bandshift assays. (A) Native gel electrophoresis of TbMORN1(2-15) and (B) TbMORN1(10-15) in the presence and absence of PI(4,5)P$_2$, PI(4)P and PI, all labelled with Bodipy TMR fluorescent dye. α-actinin served as a positive control of PI(4,5)P$_2$ binding. Data obtained from two independent experiments, each using a different biological replicates.

Figure S3. Mutagenesis of putative PI(4,5)P$_2$ binding sites in TbMORN1 has no effect on binding. (A) Liposome co-sedimentation assay performed on TbMORN1(2-15) in the presence of POPC liposomes containing 20% of porcine brain PI(4,5)P$_2$ and 0 or 40% cholesterol. The excess cholesterol was expected to promote local high concentrations of PI(4,5)P$_2$ on the surface of the liposomes. To assay for the effect of curvature, two batches of liposomes containing 20% PI(4,5)P$_2$ and 40% of cholesterol were tested, with the diameter of the liposomes being either 100 or 400 nm. No significant co-sedimentation of TbMORN1(2-15) and PI(4,5)P$_2$-containing liposomes was observed. The positive control, 10 μM Doc2B was predominantly found in the pellet (P) fractions. (B) SEC-MALS profiles of TbMORN1(2-15) and its mutagenised variants. Residues comprising the putative PI(4,5)P$_2$-binding sites in MORN repeats 13 and 14 were mutated to alanines. Mutagenesis of repeat 13 (Mut13) did not result in any change to the dimeric status of the protein. However, mutagenesis of repeat 14 (Mut14) resulted in a mixture of monomers and dimers being eluted, while mutagenesis of both repeats (Mut13+14) resulted in monomeric protein. (C) Far-UV CD profiles of TbMORN1(2-15) and its putative PI(4,5)P$_2$-binding mutants. The constructs remained β-proteins despite the site-directed mutagenesis. (D) Fluorescence anisotropy measurements of TbMORN1(2-15) and its putative PI(4,5)P$_2$-binding mutants, measured in the presence of 0.1 μM BODIPY TMR-PI(4,5)P$_2$. All constructs showed good interaction with the fluorophore-conjugated PI(4,5)P$_2$.

A

B

C

Figure S4 TbMORN1 interacts with the aliphatic chains of phosphoinositides in fluorescence anisotropy assays. (A) Fluorescence anisotropy measurements of TbMORN1(2-15) in the presence of BODIPY TMR-labelled PI(4,5)P_2 C16, PI(4,5)P_2 C6, PI(3,4)P_2 C6, PI(3,5)P_2 C6, PI(4)P C6 and PI C6. Binding was only observed with the 16-carbon PI(4,5)P_2. (B) Fluorescence anisotropy measurements of TbMORN1(2-15) measured in the presence of BODIPY Fluorescein-labelled PI(4,5)P_2 C16 and PI(3,4,5)P_3 C16. Both 16-carbon lipids bound equally well. (C) Comparison of TbMORN1(2-15) binding to 16-carbon PI(4,5)P_2 and PI(3,4,5)P_3 with two positive controls, respectively Doc2B and Akt.

Figure S5. Overexpression of untagged TbMORN1 causes a dominant negative phenotype. (A) Inducible overexpression of untagged TbMORN1. Immunoblot of whole-cell lysates from three separate clones overexpressing untagged TbMORN1 from an ectopic locus. TbMORN1 was detected using anti-TbMORN1 antibodies; anti-PFR1,2 antibodies were used as a loading control. Inset shows a greyscale image of the TbMORN1 channel with enhanced levels so the endogenous protein is visible. Three separate clones were assayed, each in three independent experiments; an exemplary blot is shown. One of the three clones appeared to have slightly leaky expression, with TbMORN1 levels in the -Tet condition being higher than controls. (B) Quantification of overexpression. TbMORN1 levels in control, uninduced (-Tet) and induced (+Tet) were normalised relative to the loading control and expressed relative to the control cells. Approximately 7-fold overexpression was achieved relative to control cells. Data were obtained from blots using 3 separate clones, each induced in 3 independent experiments. Bars show mean + SD. (C) Overexpression of untagged TbMORN1 is deleterious. Uninduced (-Tet) and TbMORN1 overexpressing (+Tet) cells were assayed at 24 h intervals in a 3-day timecourse. Data were obtained from blots using 3 separate clones, each induced in 3 independent experiments. Mean +/- SD.

Figure S6. Optimisation of digitonin fractionation. (A) Schematic of the one-step fractionation scheme. Cell expressing cytosolic GFP were incubated in increasing concentrations of digitonin for 10 min prior to separation of fractions by centrifugation. Equal fractions (5%) were then blotted with antibodies specific for GFP and the endoplasmic reticulum chaperone BiP. (B) At 40 μg/ml digitonin, good solubilisation of GFP is achieved with only negligible solubilisation of BiP (arrow 1). Both proteins are efficiently solubilised using 1% IGEPAL as a positive control. Multiple independent experiments were carried out; an exemplary blot is shown. Note that the membrane was cut into strips prior to blotting, but the samples shown are from the same experiment. (C) Quantification of the immunoblot shown in B. (D, E, F) As per panels A-C, but with a constant 40 μg/ml digitonin concentration and considering the effect of varying incubation time (0-30 min).

Figure S7. Comparison of MORN1 proteins, and secondary structure analysis of apicomplexan MORN1s. (A) Amino acid sequence alignment of MORN1 proteins from *Trypanosoma brucei, Toxoplasma gondii* and *Plasmodium falciparum*. The number of amino acids in each protein is indicated, amino acid numbers in the alignment are those for TbMORN1. The alignment is coloured according to the amino acid properties. (B) Pairwise comparison of percentage sequence identity between the three proteins. (C) Far-UV CD measurements obtained for TgMORN1(1-15) and TgMORN1(7-15). The secondary structure content predictions for each of the measured proteins were calculated in BeStSel and are shown below the CD graph. (D) As (C), but PfMORN1(1-15), (2-15) and (7-15). Like TbMORN1, TgMORN1 and PfMORN1 are also all-β proteins.

A

- ■ TbMORN1(7-15) P2₁
- ■ TbMORN1(7-15) C2
- ■ TgMORN1(7-15) extended shape

90°

B

C

TbMORN1 aa 157-179	G W G K Y - F Y A D G G - V Y E G E W V - D G R M H
TbMORN1 aa 180-202	G R G T Y - V F P N G N - K Y E G E W V E D - R K D
TbMORN1 aa 203-225	G Y G I L - L Y T N G E - R Y E G Y W H L D - K A H
TbMORN1 aa 226-248	G K G T L - T F L Q G D - R Y V G E W H Y - G K K H
TbMORN1 aa 249-271	G H G V L - S Y S N G D - T Y D G E W R D D - D A W
TbMORN1 aa 272-294	G Y G V L - Q Y A N G C - R Y E G E W A E D - R R H
TbMORN1 aa 295-317	G K G L L - V L P D G S - S Y E G S F A H - G K K D
TbMORN1 aa 318-340	G P G K I - I L K D G S - M Y I G T W K - D G V I V
SET7/9 1MT6 aa 93-116	G P A Q E - Y D T D G R L I F K G Q Y K - D N I R H
SET7/9 1MT6 aa 117-140	G V C W I - Y Y P D G G - S L V G E V N E D G E M T
SET7/9 1MT6 aa 141-166	G E K I A Y V Y P D E R T A L Y G K F I - D G E M I E

sequence identity ■ > 80% ■ > 60% ■ > 40% □ < 40%

Figure S8. Comparision of MORN1 extended dimers, and with SETD7. (A) TbMORN1(7-15) P2₁, TbMORN1(7-15) C2, and TgMORN1(7-15) extended dimers superimposed on each other, and displayed in two orientations. In contrast to the other two proteins, the P2₁ crystal structure of TbMORN1(7-15) displays a bend of approximately 30° among the subunits. (B) TbMORN1(7-15) MORN repeats superimposed on three MORN repeats from SETD7 (SET7/9). Alignment of the three MORN repeats from SETD7 with MORN repeat 7 from the TbMORN1(7-15) crystal structure over 22-23 aligned C-atoms yielded rmsd values of 2.3, 1.5 and 1.9 Å respectively. (C) Sequence alignment of MORN repeats from the TbMORN1(7-15) crystal structure with three MORN repeats from SETD7. The first Gly residue is conserved in all MORN repeats of TbMORN1(7-15) and SETD7 structures.

A TbMORN1(7-15) C2

B TgMORN1(7-15)

C TgMORN1(7-15) extended dimer

D TgMORN1(7-15) V-shaped dimer

E SAXS - Kratky plots

Figure S9. MORN dimer interfaces and SAXS analysis of proteins in solution. (A) Dimer interface of TbMORN1(7-15) C2 crystal form. In comparison to the P2$_1$ form, the dimer interface of C2 structure is broader, and is additionally stabilised by two disulphide bridges formed between Cys351 at the C-terminus of repeat 15 and Cys282 from the **β**-hairpin loop of repeat 12. (B) Crystal structure of the TgMORN1(7-15) V-shaped dimer, incorporating Zn2+ in its dimerisation interface. (C) Dimer interface of the TgMORN1(7-15) extended dimer, which utilises residues from MORN repeats 13-15. In contrast to TbMORN1(7-15), where the dimerisation interface is centred around aromatic stacking, a hydrophobic core plays a crucial role in the dimer interface of extended TgMORN1(7-15). Leu327, Leu329, Leu335, Leu344, Val345, Val347, Phe350 and Phe352 are part of this hydrophobic core. The dimer is stabilised by a single salt bridge formed between Asp308 of one, and His333 of the second subunit. This salt bridge is further stabilised by two hydrogen bonds between the main-chain nitrogen of Val345 and a carbonyl oxygen of Val347 of respective subunits. (D) Dimer interface of the TgMORN1(7-15) V-shaped dimer. Cys305 and Asp308 incorporate a structural Zn2+ ion, which stabilises the somewhat smaller dimerisation interface of this protein. Although its dimerisation interface is very similar to that of PfMORN1(7-15), it lacks the aromatic core of PfMORN1(7-15). The latter is replaced by a series of unique aromatic stacking interactions at the protein´s vertex, these being contributed by a pair of Phe350 residues, sandwiched between a pair of His333 residues. (E) Kratky plots derived from SAXS analysis of TbMORN1(7-15), TgMORN1(7-15), PfMORN1(7-15), and TbMORN1(2-15). The shape of the plots suggests an elongated shape of the dimers in solution.

Figure S10. Conservation of residues for formation of V-shaped dimers in Apicomplexa and related clades. Amino acid sequence alignment of the C-termini of TbMORN1, TgMORN1, PfMORN1, and fifteen other MORN repeat-containing proteins from related taxa. Amino acid numbers are given according to the TbMORN1 protein, and the three proteins with experimentally-determined high-resolution structures are shown in bold within the black box. Essential for formation of a V-shaped dimer are a coordinating Cys residues and an anion-π interaction pair. In TbMORN1, the coordinating Cys residue has been substituted for Leu (Leu 301). Similarly the Phe and Glu residues of the anion-π interaction pair (indicated with black arrows) have been substituted for Leu (Leu299) and are not present (deletion after Pro302) respectively. This supports the conclusion that TbMORN1 exists only in the extended form, while the apicomplexan proteins and those from related clades are probably capable of adopting both extended and V-shaped conformations.

Table 1. X-ray data collection statistics

	PfMORN (SMet)	PfMORN	TbMORN	TbMORN	TgMORN	TgMORN (V-shape)
Source	ID29	ID29	ESRF ID23-1	ESRF ID23-1	ESRF ID30B	ESRF ID30B
Wavelength (Å)	0.979	0.976	0.979	1.89	0.967	1.0
Resolution (Å)	47.47-2.5 (2.59-2.5)	46.3-2.14 (2.2-2.14)	48.28-2.35 (2.43-2.35)	48.14-2.53 (2.65-2.53)	48.92-2.90 (3.08-2.90)	49.45-2.50 (2.60-2.50)
Space group	$C222_1$	$C222_1$	$P2_1$	$C2$	$P4_32_12$	$P6_222$
Unit cell (Å, º)	a=57.33, b=79.18, c=94.42	a=57.33, b=79.18, c=94.42	a=69.04, b=27.63, c=114.54; β=101.83	a=192.88, b=49.74, c=41.98	a=b=53.92, c=348.85	a=b=205.86, c=40.58
Molecules (a.u.)	1	1	2	2	2	1
Unique reflections	7832(762)	12148 (974)	17460 (1247)	12419(975)	12331 (1819)	18162 (1960)
Completeness (%)	99.5(98.3)	99.6(98.1)	95.4 (71.5)	93.1(60.0)	99.1 (95.4)	99.7 (97.9)
R_{merge}[b]	0.059(0.301)	0.037 (1.283)	0.092 (0.466)	0.116 (1.365)	0.140 (2.252)	0.198 (2.116)
R_{meas}[c]	0.062(0.314)	0.042 (1.510)	0.100 (0.532)	0.146 (1.893)	0.158 (2.536)	0.204 (2.177)
CC(1/2)	0.999(0.983)	1.000 (0.467)	0.998 (0.897)	0.995 (0.303)	0.999 (0.772)	0.999 (0.426)
Multiplicity	13.0 (12.9)	4.2 (3.2)	6.1 (4.1)	6.2 (3.7)	8.4 (8.0)	17.9 (18.1)
I/sig(I)	30.3 (8.1)	17.5 (0.8)	13.4 (2.8)	9.3 (0.9)	11.8 (0.5)	14.6 (1.6)
B_{Wilson} (Å^2)	57.3	58.0	18.74	36.7	22.97	42.3
R_{work}[e]/R_{free}[f]		23.0/26.4	23.2/25.6	22.5/28.2	31.8/33.8	20.1/23.9
r.m.s.d. bonds (Å)		0.003	0.004	0.011	0.0084	0.007
r.m.s.d. angles (°)		0.6	1.24	1.612	1.417	0.811

[a] Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

$$^{b} R_{merge} = \frac{\sum_{hkl} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| I_{i(hkl)} - \overline{I}_{(hkl)} \right|}{\sum_{hkl} \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{i(hkl)}}$$

$$^{c} R_{meas} = \frac{\sum_{hkl} \sqrt{N/(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| I_{i(hkl)} - \overline{I}_{(hkl)} \right|}{\sum_{hkl} \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{i(hkl)}}$$

where $\overline{I}_{(hkl)}$ is the mean intensity of multiple $I_{i(hkl)}$ observations of the symmetry-related reflections, N is the redundancy

$$^{e} R_{work} = \frac{\sum \left| |F_{obs}| - |F_{calc}| \right|}{\sum |F_{obs}|}$$

[f] R_{free} is the cross-validation R_{factor} computed for the test set of reflections (5%) which are omitted in the refinement process.

Intermolecular cross-links in TbMORN1

chemical cross-linking agent	cross-linked amino acids and respective MORN repeats	best e-value	number of PSMs in the dimer
BS^3 (spacer arm length 11.4 Å)	aa 100 x aa 123 (repeat 5 x repeat 6)	7.5 x 10^{-13}	4
	aa 223 x aa 246 (repeat 10 x repeat 11)	5.7 x 10^{-22}	7
	aa 296 x aa 321 (repeat 13 x repeat 14)	1.8 x 10^{-11}	56
	aa 321 x aa 321 (repeat 14 x repeat 14)	6.4 x 10^{-5}	1
EDC (spacer arm length 0 Å)	aa 308 x aa 321 (repeat 14 x repeat 14)	1.1 x 10^{-8}	5
	aa 321 x aa 326 (repeat 14 x repeat 15)	1.9 x 10^{-9}	3

Table S1. Intermolecular contacts in TbMORN1. A summary of intermolecular cross-links in the TbMORN1 dimer as a result of chemical cross-linking with either BS^3 or EDC followed by mass spectrometry analysis. To remove low quality peptide-spectrum matches (PSMs), an additional e-Value cutoff of < 0.001 was applied. In order to distinguish intra- from inter-molecular chemical cross-links, results from monomers and dimers were compared. Cross-links were scored as intermolecular when there were: (1) minimally 3 peptide PSMs in dimer and (2) minimally 3-times more PSMs in dimer than in monomer. Results compiled from two independent experiments.

| | Thermostability |
TbMORN1 construct	T_m (°C) (DSF)
2-15	44.2 ± 0.3
2-15^{Mut13}	43.8 ± 0.3
2-15^{Mut14}	41.4 ± 0.5
2-15$^{Mut13+14}$	41.7 ± 0.3

Table S2: Analysis of TbMORN1(2-15) mutagenesis constructs.
Thermostability of TbMORN1(2-15) and its mutagenised derivatives with mutations in MORN repeats 13 and 14 was measured by differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF), given here as melting temperature (T_m) values (°C).

Data collection parameters				
Radiation source	Petra III (DESY, Hamburg, Germany)			ESRF (Grenoble, France)
Beamline	EMBL P12			BM29
Detector	Pilatus 2M			Pilatus 1M
Beam geometry (mm, FWHM)	0.12 x 0.20			0.10 x 0.20
Wavelength (nm)	0.12			0.099
Sample-detector distance (m)	3.1			2.867
Momentum transfer s range (nm^{-1})	0.01 – 4.0			0.04 – 5.0
Exposure time (s)	1 sec (SEC-SAXS mode) 0.05 sec (Batch mode)			1 sec (SEC-SAXS mode)
Overall Parameters				
	TbMORN1 (7-15)	**TgMORN1 (7-15)**	**PfMORN1 (7-15)**	**TbMORN1 (2-15)**
Buffer	Buffer 1*	Buffer 2**	Buffer 2**	Buffer 2**
Temperature (°C)	20	20	10	20
Working concentration (mg/ml) Concentration range measured	n.a.	n.a.	1 1-8	n.a.
R_g from Guinier approximation (nm)	4.1±0.04	4.0±0.03	3.8±0.1	6.5±0.6
R_g from PDDF (nm)	4.4±0.02	4.3±0.04	4.2±0.03	7.2±0.05
D_{max} (nm)	155	17.0	16.0	260
Molecular weight, I(0) (kDa)	n.a.	n.a.	52 ±5	n.a.
Molecular weight, offline RALS (kDa)	46±5	50±5	32±5	74±5
Molecular weight from DATMOV, (kDa)	60±5	58±5	46±5	71±5
Molecular weight from sequence (dimer, kDa)	52	49	46	70
Software employed				
Primary data reduction	SASFLOW			ESRF EDNA pipeline
Data processing	PRIMUS/Chromixs			
Calculation of theoretical data	Crysol			
Ab initio modelling	DAMMIN		DAMMIF	
SASBDB accession code	SASDGA7	SASDGB7	SASDGC7	SASDG97

Buffer 1* 20 mM Tris, 200 mM NaCl, 2% glycerol, 0.5 mM DTT

Buffer 2** 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl

Table S3. SAXS data collection summary.