1

**Regulation of apical constriction via microtubule- and Rab11-dependent apical transport during tissue invagination**

Thao Phuong Le[1] and SeYeon Chung[1, *]

[1] Department of Biological Sciences, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

[*]Author for correspondence: seyeonchung@lsu.edu

Running Head: MTs and Rab11 regulate apical constriction

Abbreviations: Crb, Crumbs; E-Cad, E-Cadherin; Dhc64C, Dynein heavy chain 64C; Fog, Folded gastrulation; GFP, green fluorescent protein; Klar, Klarsicht; MT, microtubule; Nuf, Nuclear fallout; Rok, Rho-associated kinase; SG, salivary gland; WT, wild type; YFP, yellow fluorescent protein.

**Abstract**

The formation of an epithelial tube is a fundamental process for organogenesis. During *Drosophila* embryonic salivary gland (SG) invagination, Folded gastrulation (Fog)-dependent Rho-associated kinase (Rok) promotes contractile apical myosin formation to drive apical constriction. Microtubules (MTs) are also crucial for this process and are required for forming and maintaining apicomedial myosin. However, the underlying mechanism that coordinates actomyosin and MT networks still remains elusive. Here, we show that MT-dependent intracellular trafficking regulates apical constriction during SG invagination. Key components involved in protein trafficking, such as Rab11 and Nuclear fallout (Nuf), are apically enriched near the SG invagination pit in a MT-dependent manner. Disruption of the MT networks or knockdown of *Rab11* impairs apicomedial myosin formation and apical constriction. We show that MTs and Rab11 are required for apical enrichment of the Fog ligand and the continuous distribution of the apical determinant protein Crumbs (Crb) and the key adherens junction protein E-Cadherin (E-Cad) along junctions. Targeted knockdown of *crb* or *E-Cad* in the SG disrupts apical myosin networks and results in apical constriction defects. Our data suggest a role of MT- and Rab11-dependent intracellular trafficking in regulating actomyosin networks and cell junctions, to coordinate cell behaviors during tubular organ formation.

**Introduction**

Formation of three-dimensional tubes by invagination of flat epithelial sheets is a fundamental process in forming organs such as the lungs and kidneys (Andrew and Ewald, 2010). To enter the third dimension, cells must change their shapes and positions relative to each other. A major cellular process during epithelial tube formation is apical constriction, a universal cell shape change that is linked to tissue bending, folding and invagination (Sawyer *et al.*, 2010; Martin and Goldstein, 2014). During apical constriction, the apical side of an epithelial cell constricts, causing a columnar or cuboidal cell to become wedge-shaped (Sawyer *et al.*, 2010; Martin and Goldstein, 2014). Manipulation of apical constriction in a group of cells impacts both local and global tissue shape directly, suggesting a critical role for apical constriction in forming proper tissue architecture (Guglielmi *et al.*, 2015; Chung *et al.*, 2017; Izquierdo *et al.*, 2018).

Apical constriction is driven by actin filament (F-actin) networks and the molecular motor non-muscle myosin II (hereafter referred to as myosin). Over the past decade, important functions of different actomyosin structures in epithelial tissue morphogenesis have been discovered. Particularly, studies in *Drosophila* revealed a distinct population of pulsatile apical medial actomyosin (hereafter referred to as apicomedial myosin) that generates a pulling force that exerts on adherens junctions to drive apical constriction (Martin *et al.*, 2009; Rauzi *et al.*, 2010; Booth *et al.*, 2014; Chung *et al.*, 2017). Further studies in early *Drosophila* embryos discovered that apicomedial myosin is created in response to signaling by the Folded gastrulation (Fog) ligand and its G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) (Manning *et al.*, 2013; Kerridge *et al.*, 2016) and is regulated by apical Rho-associated kinase (Rok) (Mason *et al.*, 2013).

Apical junctions and apical determinants also have an important role in the formation of functional actomyosin complexes during developmental processes. During *Drosophila* dorsal closure, the apical polarity regulators Par-6, aPKC and Bazooka/Par3 (Baz/Par3) (altogether known as the Par complex) regulate pulsed actomyosin contractions in amnioserosa cells (David *et al.*, 2010). In the *Drosophila* embryonic trachea, the apical

protein Crumbs (Crb) is required for proper organization of the actomyosin complex (Letizia *et al.*, 2011).

Emerging evidence suggests that microtubules (MTs) play a critical role in tissue invagination (Booth *et al.*, 2014; Ko *et al.*, 2019). MTs serve as tracks in intracellular transport (Le Droguen *et al.*, 2015; Khanal *et al.*, 2016; Aguilar-Aragon *et al.*, 2020), raising the possibility that MTs regulate apical constriction through the endo- and exocytosis of membrane receptors and adhesion molecules. Several lines of evidence also suggest the importance of the endocytic pathway in apical constriction in both in vitro and in vivo systems. In *Drosophila* S2 cells, RhoGEF2 travels to the cell cortex by interaction with the MT plus-end protein EB1 to stimulate cell contraction (Rogers *et al.*, 2004). During *Xenopus* gastrulation, disrupting endocytosis with dominant-negative dynamin or Rab5 perturbs apical constriction and invagination of cell sheets (Lee and Harland, 2010). During *Drosophila* gastrulation, the apical surface of cells is reshaped via Rab35 and RabGEF Sbf, which direct the plasma membrane to Rab11-positive recycling endosomes through a dynamic interaction with Rab5 endosomes to reshape actomyosin networks (Miao *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, in the developing neural tube in *Xenopus*, asymmetric enrichment of Rab11 at the medial apical junctions is critical for apical constriction, suggesting that membrane trafficking has a key role in apical constriction (Ossipova *et al.*, 2014). However, exactly how vesicle trafficking, MTs, and actomyosin networks are linked during tissue invagination remains to be discovered.

To determine how these three cellular attributes contribute to tissue invagination, we use the *Drosophila* embryonic SG as a model. We and others showed that apical constriction is regulated in a highly coordinated and spatiotemporally controlled manner during SG tube formation (Myat and Andrew, 2000; Booth *et al.*, 2014; Chung *et al.*, 2017; Sanchez-Corrales *et al.*, 2018). The *Drosophila* embryo forms two SG tubes via invagination of two epithelial placodes on the ventral surface (Myat and Andrew, 2002; Chung *et al.*, 2014). Before invagination, a small group of cells in the dorsal/posterior region of each SG placode begin to constrict. As those cells internalize to form the invagination pit, more cells anterior to the pit undergo clustered apical constriction in a Fog signaling-dependent manner (Chung *et al.*, 2017) (Figure 1A). In the absence of *fog*, SG cells fail to accumulate

Rok and myosin in the apicomedial region of the cells (Chung *et al.*, 2017). MTs aid in forming and maintaining the apicomedial myosin network during SG invagination (Booth *et al.*, 2014). The MT cytoskeleton near the invagination pit forms a network of longitudinal MT bundles, with the minus ends of MTs facing the apical domain of the cells and interacting with the apicomedial myosin (Booth *et al.*, 2014). Disruption of MTs causes loss of apicomedial myosin and disrupted apical constriction during SG invagination (Booth *et al.*, 2014). However, it is still unknown how intracellular trafficking affects these two processes.

In this study, we demonstrate that key proteins involved in intracellular trafficking - including Rab11, its binding partner Nuclear fallout (Nuf), and dynein heavy chain - are enriched in the apical domain of SG cells during invagination. Moreover, disruption of MTs results in mislocalization of Rab11 to the basolateral region of SG cells. Reducing *Rab11* in the SG leads to a decrease and dispersal of Rok and myosin in the apical domain and causes uncoordinated apical constriction. Our data suggest that apical localization of the Fog ligand, the apical determinant protein Crb, and the key adherens junction protein E-Cadherin (E-Cad) is compromised when the MT networks are disrupted or *Rab11* is knocked down. We further show that reducing *crb* or *E-Cad* in the SG leads to defects that are reminiscent of *Rab11* knockdown. Altogether, our work mechanistically links MT- and Rab11-dependent intracellular trafficking to the regulation of actomyosin networks during tubular organ formation.

**Results**

**Intracellular trafficking components are apically enriched near the invagination pit**

To test a role for the intracellular trafficking machinery in spatially biased signaling activation and protein accumulation during SG invagination, we analyzed the subcellular localization of proteins involved in vesicle trafficking in the SG. Several endosome markers and their interacting partners were tested, including Rab5 (an early endosome marker) (Gorvel *et al.*, 1991), Rab7 (a late endosome marker) (Wichmann *et al.*, 1992;

Meresse *et al.*, 1995), Rab11 (a recycling endosome marker) (Ullrich *et al.*, 1996),

Nuclear fallout (Nuf, a putative binding partner for Rab11) (Riggs *et al.*, 2003), and Sec15

(an exocyst complex component and effector for Rab11) (Zhang *et al.*, 2004; Langevin *et

al.*, 2005). Using labeling with E-Cad, an adherens junction marker, and CrebA, a SG

nuclear marker, we segmented apical cell outlines and calculated the intensity mean of

fluorescence signals of each endosomal marker. We observed apical enrichment of

several of them in the SG, including Rab11 and Nuf (Figure 1, B-B''''). Similar enrichment

of Rab11 signals was also observed in the endogenously tagged Rab11 protein (Rab11-

EYFP; (Dunst *et al.*, 2015) (Supplemental Figure S1, A-A''''). Importantly, the enrichment

of Rab11 was more pronounced in the SG over time (Figure 1, D-F''''). Before

invagination, only low levels of Rab11 were detected in the apical domain of all SG cells

throughout the entire SG placode (Figure 1, D-D''''). Stronger signals of Rab11 were soon

detected in the SG as cells begin to undergo apical constriction in the dorsal/posterior

region of the placode (Figure 1, E-E''''), which intensified as invagination proceeded

(Figure 1, F-F''''). Quantification of the total intensity of Rab11 in the whole SG placode

showed significantly higher levels of Rab11 in invaginating SGs compared to before

invagination (Figure 1H), suggesting upregulated Rab11 levels in SG cells during

invagination. Furthermore, compared to before invagination (Figure 1G, top), intensity

mean of Rab11 in the apical domain of each cell showed a stronger negative correlation

with the apical area of cells in the entire SG during invagination (Figure 1G, bottom),

suggesting a close link between intracellular trafficking activities and apical constriction.

EYFP-tagged Rab5, an early endosome marker, was also enriched in the apical domain

in the invaginating SG, suggesting active endocytosis in the apical domain during SG

invagination (Supplemental Figure S1, B-B'''). Rab7, a late endosome marker, however,

did not show apical enrichment but localized as large punctate structures in the cytoplasm

of the entire SG placode (Supplemental Figure 1, D-D'''). Dynein heavy chain 64C, a

subunit of the dynein motor complex that transports cargos along MTs toward their minus

ends, also showed apical enrichment (Figure 1, C-C'''). This is consistent with the

previous report that the minus end of MTs faces the apical domain of SG cells near the

invagination pit (Booth *et al.*, 2014). Sec15, an exocyst complex component, also showed

similar apical enrichment (Supplemental Figure S1, E-E'''). Similar to Rab11 (Figure 1G),

intensity mean of Rab5-EYFP and Sec15 in the apical domain of each cell showed a
negative correlation with the apical area of cells in the entire SG during invagination
(Supplemental Figure S1, C and F). Compared to a more uniform distribution of other
endosomal markers in the entire apical domain of SG cells, Sec15 signals appeared to
be enriched at adherens junctions (Supplemental Figure S1E'). Overall, our results
suggest active intracellular trafficking, possibly both endo- and exocytosis, in the apical
domain of SG cells near the invagination pit during invagination.

**Apical enrichment of Rab11 and Nuf is MT-dependent**

Strong apical enrichment of Rab11 and other trafficking components in SG cells near the
invagination pit led us to test whether these vesicle markers are associated with vertically
aligned MTs that could facilitate their polarized distribution. As in many epithelial cells,
MT minus- and plus-ends face the apical and the basal domain of the SG cells,
respectively (Myat and Andrew, 2002; Booth *et al.*, 2014). Indeed, co-immunostaining of
tyrosinated α-tubulin, a marker of dynamic or newly polymerized MTs (Westermann and
Weber, 2003), and Rab11 showed a partial overlap of the two proteins at the apical region
of the SG cells (Figure 2, A-B'').

To test whether Rab11 apical enrichment in SG cells is dependent on MT networks, we
disrupted MTs in the SG by overexpressing spastin, a MT-severing protein (Sherwood *et*
*al.*, 2004), using the SG-specific *fkh-Gal4* driver. In control SGs, tyrosinated α-tubulin and
acetylated α-tubulin, a marker of stable and longer-lived MTs (Westermann and Weber,
2003), were observed abundantly in the apical domain of cells in the whole placode
(Figure 2, C'' and C'''; Supplemental Figure S2A''). In spastin-overexpressing SGs, both
tyrosinated and acetylated α-tubulin signals were strongly reduced, revealing a loss of
MT filaments (Booth *et al.*, 2014) (Figure 2, D'' and D''' and Supplemental Figure S2B'').
Compared to control, spastin-overexpressing SGs showed cells with extremely small or
large apical areas (Supplemental Figure S2, C-E). Cells with small apical areas were
distributed randomly throughout the SG placode, rather than clustered as in control SGs
(Supplemental Figure S2, C and D). The apical enrichment of Rab11 (Figure 2C') was

also disrupted and Rab11 was mislocalized basolaterally (Figure 2D'). SGs with disrupted
MTs showed much lower Rab11 and Nuf intensity in the apical domain compared to
control SGs (Figure 2, E-G). To compare the relative variability of apical enrichment of
Rab11/Nuf in WT and spastin-overexpressing SGs, we calculated the degree of
variability, the ratio of the deviation of Rab11/Nuf intensity to the mean Rab11/Nuf
intensity. Compared to WT, spastin-overexpressing SGs showed a lower degree of
relative variability of intensity (Figure 2G). These data suggest that apical Rab11/Nuf
signals are less varied from cell to cell regardless of apical areas of individual cells in
spastin-overexpressing SGs. Overall, these data suggest that MT networks are required
for apical enrichment of Rab11 and Nuf during SG invagination.

**Rab11 and dynein functions are required for apical constriction in the SG**

We next asked if modulation of Rab11 levels could compromise apical constriction. To
test this possibility, we disrupted the function of Rab11 in the SG by either overexpressing
a dominant-negative form of Rab11 (Rab11S25N-YFP; (Zhang *et al.*, 2007); hereafter
referred to as Rab11-DN) or knocking down *Rab11* using RNAi lines. Reduced Rab11
levels upon *Rab11* knockdown were confirmed using a Rab11 antibody in both stage 11
and stage 13 SGs (Supplemental Figure S3, A-D'). SGs that were invaginated within the
range of 5.1- 9.9 μm depth were used for quantification for proper comparison between
different genotypes. Compared to control (Figure 3A), SGs overexpressing Rab11-DN or
knocking down *Rab11* showed more cells with larger apical areas (Figure 3, B, C and F),
suggesting a role for Rab11 in apical constriction during SG invagination.

As dynein heavy chain was also enriched apically in the SG during invagination (Figure
1, C' and C'''), we tested if dynein function is also required for apical constriction during
SG invagination. Indeed, knockdown of *Dynein heavy chain 64C* (*Dhc64C*) in the SG
using RNAi resulted in more cells with larger apical areas during invagination, suggesting
defective apical constriction upon *Dhc64C* knockdown (Figure 3, D, D' and G). As dynein
binds to and clusters the minus ends of microtubules (Heald *et al.*, 1996; Khodjakov *et*
*al.*, 2003; Goshima *et al.*, 2005; Burbank *et al.*, 2006; Elting *et al.*, 2014; Tan *et al.*, 2018),

we asked if *Dhc64C* knockdown affects the MT networks in the SG. Knocking down

*Dhc64C* in the SG resulted in a slight reduction of tyrosinated α-tubulin (Figure 3J'). These

data suggest that apical constriction defects observed in *Dhc64C* knockdown are, at least

in part, due to affected MT networks.

Klarsicht (Klar), the *Drosophila* Klarsicht-Anc-Syne Homology (KASH) domain protein,

mediates apical transport in the SG (Myat and Andrew, 2002) via the MT motor

cytoplasmic dynein (Gross *et al.*, 2000). We therefore tested if *klar* also influences apical

constriction during SG invagination. *klar* null mutant embryos showed SGs with mild

apical constriction defects compared to wild type (Figure 3, E-F' and H). Importantly,

unlike *Dhc64C* knockdown, no significant difference in the MT networks was observed in

SGs in *klar* mutants (Figure 3L'), suggesting that apical constriction defects in *klar*

mutants are due to disrupted apical transport. Overall, our data suggest essential roles of

MT-, Rab11- and dynein-dependent intracellular transport in regulating apical constriction

during SG invagination.

**Reduced Rab11 function leads to the reduction of apicomedial myosin formation**

**and failure in accumulation of apicomedial Rok in SG cells**

The apicomedial myosin structure generates the pulling force to drive apical constriction

in SG cells (Booth *et al.*, 2014; Chung *et al.*, 2017). Disruption of MTs by spastin

overexpression inhibits formation of apicomedial myosin during SG invagination (Booth

*et al.*, 2014). To test whether apicomedial myosin is affected in SG cells when *Rab11* is

knocked down, we measured the overall intensity of myosin in the apicomedial region of

SG cells using sqh-GFP, a functional GFP-tagged version of the myosin regulatory light

chain (Royou *et al.*, 2004). In control SGs, sqh-GFP showed strong myosin signals with

clear web-like structures in the apicomedial region of cells near the invagination pit

(Figure 4, A-A''''). Knockdown of *Rab11*, however, caused a significant reduction of

apicomedial myosin intensity in SG cells in the same area (Figure 4, B-B'''' and C).

Moreover, unlike clear web-like structures of apicomedial myosin in control SGs (Figure

4, A''-A''''), myosin was more dispersed and fragmented in *Rab11* RNAi SGs (Figure 4,

B''-B''''), resulting in a decrease in areas of myosin accumulation in the apicomedial

region of SG cells (Figure 4E). These data suggest roles for Rab11 in both upregulating

apical myosin and forming and/or maintaining apicomedial myosin web.

We also tested whether junctional myosin, a myosin pool closely associated with

adherens junctions in SG cells, is affected in *Rab11* RNAi SGs. Consistent with previous

studies (Roper, 2012; Chung *et al.*, 2017), junctional myosin showed strong signals in

wild type SG cells, often with stronger signals at vertices (Figure 4A'''). Intriguingly, the

junctional myosin intensity slightly increased when *Rab11* was knocked down (Figure 4,

B''' and D). The ratio of apicomedial to junctional myosin intensity was significantly

reduced in *Rab11* RNAi SGs (Figure 4D), suggesting an imbalance of contractile forces

in the SG.

We previously showed that accumulation of apicomedial Rok is required for apicomedial

myosin formation for coordinated apical constriction in SG cells (Roper, 2012; Chung *et*

*al.*, 2017). To test whether Rok accumulation is dependent on Rab11, we quantified

accumulation of apicomedial Rok-GFP signals using a ubiquitously expressed GFP-

tagged Rok transgene (Rok-GFP; (Abreu-Blanco *et al.*, 2014) in wild type and *Rab11*

RNAi SGs (Figure 4, F-G''). Indeed, the reduction of *Rab11* levels led to more dispersed

Rok signals along the apical domain of SG cells near the pit (Figure 4H). Overall, our data

suggest Rab11-dependent apical apicomedial myosin formation and accumulation of Rok

during SG invagination.

**Reduced dynein function leads to failure in proper organization of apicomedial**
**myosin in SG cells**

268    We next tested whether apicomedial myosin formation is affected in *Dhc64C* RNAi and

269    *klar* mutant SGs. Despite the slight disruption of the MT networks in *Dhc64C* RNAi SGs

270    (Figure 3J'), the overall intensity of apicomedial or junctional myosin was not significantly

271    changed in *Dhc64C* RNAi SGs (Figure 5C), suggesting that subtle defects in the MT

272    networks do not affect apical upregulation of myosin. Similar to *Dhc64C* knockdown, no

significant difference in the intensity of apicomedial and junctional myosin was observed in *klar* mutant SGs (Figure 5H). However, the ratio of apicomedial to junctional myosin was reduced in both genotypes (Figure 5, D and I). Moreover, the area of apicomedial myosin accumulation was significantly reduced in *Dhc64C* RNAi or *klar* mutant SGs (Figure 5, E and J), suggesting defects in forming proper apicomedial myosin web in these genotypes. Our data suggest that defective apical constriction in *Dhc64C* RNAi and *klar* mutant SGs could be due to failure in proper organization of myosin structures in SG cells.

We also tested for accumulation of apicomedial Rok-GFP signals in spastin-overexpressing, *Dhc64C* RNAi and *klar* mutant SGs. Disruption of MTs by spastin overexpression abolished apicomedial accumulation of Rok in cells near the invagination pit (Figure 5, L' and P), found in control SGs (Figure 5K'). Reduction of *Dhc64C* levels also led to more dispersed Rok signals along the apical domain of SG cells near the pit (Figure 5, M' and P). In *klar* mutants, Rok-GFP tended to accumulate less profoundly and less uniformly in the apicomedial region of SG cells (Figure 5O') although not statistically significant compared to control (Figure 5Q). Overall, our data suggest that MT- and dynein-dependent apical accumulation of Rok and apicomedial myosin formation during SG invagination.

**MT- and Rab11-dependent apical enrichment of Fog, Crb and E-Cad is required for apical constriction during SG invagination**

We next tested potential cargos of MT- and Rab11-dependent apical transport during SG invagination. Rok accumulation, apicomedial myosin formation and subsequent clustered apical constriction in the SG is dependent on Fog signaling (Chung *et al.*, 2017). We therefore tested if apical transport of the Fog ligand is MT- and Rab11-dependent. Staining using an antibody against Fog in control SGs showed upregulated Fog signals in the apical domain of SG cells (Figure 6, A-A'''). However, in spastin-overexpressing or *Rab11* RNAi SGs, apical Fog signals were reduced (Figure 6, B-C'''). To compare apical enrichment of Fog between WT and spastin-overexpressing or *Rab11* RNAi SGs, we

calculated the overall intensity of apical Fog signals in the entire SG placode in
corresponding genotypes. Compared to control, Fog levels in the apical domain of the
SG were reduced when spastin was overexpressed or *Rab11* was knocked down (Figure
6D). We also calculated the degree of variability, the ratio of the deviation of Fog intensity
to the mean intensity of Fog in the SG. Compared to WT, spastin-overexpressing or
*Rab11 RNAi* SGs had a lower degree of relative variability of intensity (Figure 6E). These
data suggest that apical Fog signals are less varied from cell to cell regardless of apical
areas when MTs are disrupted or Rab11 function is reduced. Overall, these data suggest
that apical transport of Fog in the SG is dependent on the MT networks and Rab11.

We further tested MT- and Rab11-dependent apical transport of other apical and
junctional proteins during SG invagination. Transport of several key apical and junctional
proteins is dependent on MTs and Rab11 (Le Droguen *et al.*, 2015; Khanal *et al.*, 2016;
Jouette *et al.*, 2019). One such protein is an apical transmembrane protein Crb, and
Rab11 helps maintain apical Crb in the *Drosophila* ectoderm (Roeth *et al.*, 2009). In the
*Drosophila* SG and follicle cells, Crb is apically transported along MTs by the dynein motor
(Myat and Andrew, 2002). Importantly, in *Drosophila* tracheae, loss of *crb* impairs apical
constriction during the internalization process (Letizia *et al.*, 2011). The key junctional
protein E-Cad is also trafficked by MTs in *Drosophila* embryonic trachea (Le Droguen *et*
*al.*, 2015). During apical constriction, contractile forces generated by the actomyosin
complex are exerted on adherens junctions, with E-Cad being a core component that
integrates contractile forces to generate tension (Martin *et al.*, 2009).

We therefore asked if MTs and Rab11 have roles in apical distribution of Crb and E-Cad
in the SG during invagination. Compared to control (Figure 6, F' and F'''), spastin-
overexpressing SG cells showed discontinuous Crb signals (Figure 6, G' and G''').
Although the number of gaps was not significantly different between control and spastin-
overexpressing SGs (Figure 6J), the ratio of the length of gap over junctional length was
significantly increased in SGs that overexpress spastin (Figure 6I). Unlike for Crb,
disruption of MTs in the SG did not cause obvious gaps in E-Cad signals at adherens
junctions compared to control (Figure 6, F'', F'''', G'' and G''''). However, we observed an
ununiform distribution of E-Cad signals along adherens junctions in spastin-

overexpressing SGs (Figure 6G'''''). The degree of variability of E-Cad intensity along the junctions was significantly increased in spastin-overexpressing SGs compared to control (Figure 6K). Importantly, *Rab11* knockdown resulted in similar discontinuous Crb and uneven E-Cad signals (Figure 6, H-H''''', I and K). These data suggest that proper apical distribution of Crb and E-Cad in the invaginating SG is dependent on MTs and Rab11.

To test for roles of Crb and E-Cad in regulating apical constriction during SG invagination, we knocked down either gene in the SG using RNAi. Knockdown of *crb* or *E-Cad* resulted in the reduction of junctional Crb or E-Cad levels, respectively, compared to control (Supplemental Figure S3, E-F''). Reduction of *crb* increased the number of cells with larger apical areas compared to control (Figure 7, A, B and D). Quantification of the percentage of cells and cumulative percentage of cells of different apical areas showed a significant decrease in the number of constricting cells in *crb* knockdown SGs (Figure 7D). *E-Cad* knockdown SGs also displayed a similar trend, although with less statistical significance (Figure 7, C and D). These data suggest roles for Crb and E-Cad, with more subtlety for E-Cad, in regulating apical constriction during SG invagination.

**Apical localization of Fog, Crb and E-Cad is MT- and Rab11-dependent in the SG throughout development**

To further investigate the role of MTs in Rab11-dependent apical trafficking during SG development, we overexpressed spastin in the SG throughout development and analyzed late stage SGs. Analysis of spastin-overexpressing SGs at stage 16 revealed that disruption of MTs resulted in short SG tubes with a thin lumen compared to control SGs of the same stage (Supplemental Figure S4, A and B). Strikingly, whereas the majority of Rab11- and Nuf-positive vesicles localized in the apical region of control SG cells (Supplemental Figure S4, A-A'''), Rab11 and Nuf were observed as large aggregates in the cytoplasm in spastin-overexpressing cells, overlapping with each other (Supplemental Figure S4, B-B'''). Our data suggest that MTs are required for apical enrichment of Rab11/Nuf vesicles throughout SG tube formation.

We next tested whether MTs and Rab11 are required for apical transport of Fog, Crb and

E-Cad also at later stages. Compared to the control SG (Supplemental Figure S4C''),

apical Fog signals were significantly reduced in SGs that overexpress spastin or knock

down *Rab11* (Supplemental Figure S4, D'' and E''), suggesting that Fog is trafficked

apically in a MT- and Rab11-dependent manner in the SG throughout tube formation. No

significant changes were detected in apical Crb localization in SGs that overexpress

spastin or knock down *Rab11* (data not shown). Compared to strong E-Cad enrichment

in adherens junctions in control SG cells (Supplemental Figure S4C'), SGs that

overexpress spastin or knock down *Rab11* showed stronger E-Cad signals in the lateral

domain of cells (Supplemental Figure S4, D' and E').

To further test MT-dependent apical transport of Crb or E-Cad in the SG, we used a

genetic suppression strategy in the overexpression background: we co-overexpressed

Crb or E-Cad along with spastin in the SG to test whether disruption of MTs affects apical

transport of Crb or E-Cad. A similar approach was taken in a recent study, where

overexpression of Crb provided a highly sensitive genetic background for identifying

components involved in Crb trafficking (Aguilar-Aragon *et al.*, 2020). Consistent with

previous studies (Wodarz *et al.*, 1993; Chung and Andrew, 2014), Crb overexpression in

the SG caused a dramatic increase of the apical membrane as well as mislocalization of

Crb basolaterally along the entire membrane (Supplemental Figure S4, F-F'). Co-

overexpression of spastin along with Crb suppressed the overexpression phenotypes for

Crb (Supplemental Figure S4, G-G'). E-Cad overexpression in the SG caused

mislocalization of E-Cad as punctate structures in the basolateral region (Supplemental

Figure S4, H'-H''). Importantly, in SGs that co-overexpressed spastin along with Crb or

E-Cad, each protein mislocalized as cytoplasmic aggregates near the basolateral domain,

which were largely overlapping with mislocalized Rab11/Nuf (Supplemental Figure S4,

G'-G''' and I'-I'''). Overall, our data suggest that Fog, Crb and E-Cad are trafficked apically

in a MT- and Rab11-dependent manner in the SG throughout tube formation.

**Reducing apical and junctional proteins affects apicomedial myosin formation**

To test whether apical constriction defects observed in *crb* and *E-Cad* RNAi SGs are
linked to apicomedial myosin formation, we quantified myosin levels and areas of
apicomedial myosin in SGs with these knockdowns. Compared to control SGs (Figure 8,
A-A''), *crb* RNAi SGs showed a slight decrease in apicomedial myosin levels (Figure 8,
B-B'' and D). No significant difference in the junctional myosin intensity was observed in
*crb* RNAi SGs (Figure 8, B-B'' and D). The ratio of apicomedial to junctional myosin was
reduced (Figure 8E), suggesting that apical constriction defects in SGs depleted of *crb*
are, at least in part, due to failure of apicomedial myosin formation. Supporting this idea,
areas of apicomedial myosin web-like structures were significantly reduced in *crb* RNAi
SGs compared to WT (Figure 8F).

In SGs knocked down *E-Cad*, myosin levels at each domain did not change significantly
(Figure 8, C-C'' and E), but areas of apicomedial myosin web-like structures were
significantly decreased (Figure 8F). The ratio of apicomedial to junctional myosin was
also significantly reduced in *E-Cad* RNAi SGs compared to control (Figure 8, C-C'' and
E). Therefore, apical constriction defects caused by *E-Cad* knockdown might be due to
defective apicomedial myosin formation and the imbalance of contractile forces.

Consistent with reduced apicomedial myosin (Figure 8, B' and D), knockdown of *crb* also
resulted in Rok-GFP signals less accumulating in the apical region of cells near the
invagination pit (Figure 8, F-G'). Quantification of areas occupied by Rok-GFP puncta
showed significant reduction in accumulation of apicomedial Rok when *crb* was knocked
down (Figure 8I). Consistent with little effect of *E-Cad* knockdown on apicomedial myosin
intensity (Figure 8, C-C'' and E), Rok accumulation was not significantly affected by *E-*
*Cad* knockdown (Figure 8, H-H' and I). Taken together, our results suggest a role for Crb
in regulating apical constriction by apicomedial myosin activation during SG invagination.

**Discussion**

**MTs and Rab11 regulate Fog signaling activity during SG invagination**

MTs have a crucial role in stabilizing apical myosin during epithelial morphogenesis both

in early *Drosophila* embryos and in the *Drosophila* SG (Booth *et al.*, 2014; Ko *et al.*, 2019).

In the SG, MTs interact with apicomedial myosin via Short stop, the *Drosophila*

spectraplakin, emphasizing a direct interplay between the MT and the apical myosin

networks (Booth *et al.*, 2014). Our data reveals another key role of MTs in regulating

protein trafficking to control the apical myosin networks during tissue invagination. During

SG invagination, a network of longitudinal MT bundles is observed near the invagination

pit (Booth *et al.*, 2014). Our data shows apical enrichment of Rab11 in the same area is

MT-dependent (Figures 1 and 2) and that this enrichment is important for forming the

apicomedial myosin networks (Figure 4), suggesting a link between localized intracellular

trafficking along MTs to apical myosin regulation.

The dorsal/posterior region of the SG, where Rab11 is apically enriched in a MT-

dependent manner (Figures 1 and 2), correlates with localized Fog signaling activity that

promotes clustered apical constriction (Chung *et al.*, 2017). Disruption of MTs or *Rab11*

knockdown reduces Fog signals in the apical domain of SG cells (Figure 6) and causes

dispersed Rok accumulation and defective apicomedial myosin formation (Figure 4). It is

consistent with our previous study that the absence of Fog signal results in dispersed

apical Rok and defects in apicomedial myosin formation (Chung *et al.*, 2017). We

therefore propose that MT- and Rab11-dependent apical trafficking regulates Fog

signaling activity to control apical constriction during epithelial tube formation, through

transporting the Fog ligand. As recycling of membrane receptors to the cell surface plays

an important role in regulating overall signaling activity, it is possible that Rab11 is

involved in recycling the as yet unidentified SG receptor(s) of Fog to regulate Fog activity

in the SG. Indeed, several GPCRs are recycled via Rab11 (Anborgh *et al.*, 2000;

Innamorati *et al.*, 2001; Hunyady *et al.*, 2002; Volpicelli *et al.*, 2002; Fan *et al.*, 2003; Dale

*et al.*, 2004; Hamelin *et al.*, 2005; Cerniello *et al.*, 2017). During epithelial invagination in

early *Drosophila* embryogenesis, the concentration of the Fog ligand and receptor

endocytosis by β-arrestin-2 have been shown as coupled processes to set the amplitude

of apical Rho1 and myosin activation (Jha *et al.*, 2018). It is possible that the movement

of Fog receptor(s) that have internalized as a stable complex with β-arrestin is recycled

back to the cell surface by Rab11. The Fog signaling pathway represents one of the best-
understood signaling cascades controlling epithelial morphogenesis (Manning and
Rogers, 2014). Although best studied in *Drosophila*, the pathway components have also
been identified in other insects, suggesting a more widely conserved role of Fog signaling
in development (Urbansky *et al.*, 2016; Benton *et al.*, 2019). Further work needs to be
done to fully understand the regulatory mechanisms underlying the trafficking of Fog and
its receptor(s) during epithelial morphogenesis.

Our analysis of apicomedial myosin shows that reduced Rab11 function not only causes
a decrease of the myosin intensity but also causes myosin to be dispersed rather than
forming proper myosin web structures in the apicomedial domain of SG cells (Figure 4).
These data support the idea that Rab11 function is required for both concentration and
spatial organization of apicomedial myosin. This can be explained by the combined effect
of multiple cargos that are transported by Rab11, including Fog, Crb and E-Cad (as
discussed below). Time-lapse imaging of myosin will help determine how the dynamic
behavior of apicomedial myosin is compromised when Rab11 function is disrupted.

**462   Integrating apical and junctional proteins with actomyosin networks during SG**
**463   invagination**

During branching morphogenesis in *Drosophila* trachea, MTs and dynein motors have a
critical role in the proper localization of junctional proteins such as E-Cad (Le Droguen *et*
*al.*, 2015). This is consistent with our observations with MT-dependent uniform distribution
of E-Cad at adherens junctions in the invaginating SG (Figure 6), suggesting a conserved
role of MT-dependent intracellular trafficking in junctional remodeling and stabilization
during epithelial tube formation. Our data further suggest that the MT networks and Rab11
have key roles in apical distribution of Crb and E-Cad in the SG (Figure 6) and that proper
levels of apical and junctional proteins are important for apical constriction during SG
invagination (Figure 7). Based on these data, we propose that MT- and Rab11-dependent
apical trafficking of Crb and E-Cad is critical for apical constriction during SG invagination.
Alternatively, MTs have an additional role in assembling/anchoring these apical

components, through the regulation of unidentified molecules. Recent studies in *Drosophila* mesoderm invagination showed that MTs help establish actomyosin networks linked to cell junction to facilitate efficient force transmission to promote apical constriction (Ko *et al.*, 2019). In (Ko *et al.*, 2019), however, MT-interfering drugs and RNAi of CAMSAP end-binding protein were used to prevent MT functions and the effect cannot be directly compared to our data where spastin was used to sever existing MTs. Direct monitoring of MT-dependent transport of Crb and E-Cad during SG invagination will help clarify the mechanism.

Upon knockdown of *crb* or *E-Cad,* less prominent apicomedial myosin web structures are observed in invaginating SGs (Figure 8), suggesting a requirement of Crb and E-Cad in proper organization of apical actomyosin networks during SG tube formation. Crb acts as a negative regulator of actomyosin dynamics during *Drosophila* dorsal closure (Flores-Benitez and Knust, 2015) and during SG invagination (Roper, 2012). It is possible that proper Crb levels are required for modulating myosin activity both in the apicomedial domain and at junctions during SG invagination, which contribute to apical constriction and cell rearrangement, respectively (Roper, 2012; Sanchez-Corrales *et al.*, 2018). Anisotropic localization of Crb and myosin was observed at the SG placode boundary, where myosin accumulates at edges where Crb is lowest (Roper, 2012). Planar polarization of Rok at this boundary is modulated through phosphorylation by Pak1 downstream of Crb (Sidor *et al.*, 2020). A further test will help understand whether and how Crb might affect junctional myosin dynamics and SG invagination. As contractile actomyosin structures exert forces on adherens junction to drive apical constriction, we speculate that apical constriction defects upon *E-Cad* RNAi might be due to reduction of cell adhesion and/or of improper force transmission. It will be interesting to determine if the coordination of apical and junctional proteins and apical cytoskeletal networks through intracellular trafficking is conserved during tubular organ formation in general.

**A role for dynein in apical constriction**

Dhc is also apically enriched in the dorsal/posterior region of the invaginating SG (Figure
1). Our data show that knockdown of *Dhc64C* not only affects Rok accumulation and
apicomedial myosin formation (Figure 5) but also disrupts MT organization in the SG
(Figure 3). This data is consistent with previous findings that cytoplasmic dynein is
associated with cellular structures and exerts tension on MTs. For example, dynein
tethered at the cell cortex can apply a pulling force on the MT network by walking towards
the minus end of a MT (Laan *et al.*, 2012). Dynein also scaffolds the apical cell cortex to
MTs to generate the forces that shape the tissue into a dome-like structure (Takeda *et*
*al.*, 2018). In interphase cells, the force generated by dynein also regulates MT turnover
and organization (Yvon *et al.*, 2001).

In *klar* mutants, on the other hand, MT organization is not affected in the SG (Figure 3),
suggesting that reduction of dynein-dependent trafficking by loss of *klar* does not cause
changes in the MT networks. Notably, although the intensity of apicomedial myosin does
not change upon *Dhc64C* knockdown or in the *klar* mutant background, formation of
apicomedial myosin web structures is affected (Figure 5). These data suggest a possible
scenario that dynein function is not required for myosin concentration in the apical domain
but is only needed for the spatial organization of apicomedial myosin. However, we
cannot rule out the possibility that the zygotic knockdown of *Dhc64C* by RNAi is not strong
enough to affect the intensity of apicomedial myosin. *Dhc64C* has strong maternal
expression and is essential for oogenesis and early embryo development (Li *et al.*, 1994).
Embryos with reduced maternal and zygotic pools of *Dhc64C* showed a range of
morphological defects in the entire embryo, some of which were severely distorted (data
not shown). Precise roles for dynein and dynein-dependent trafficking in regulating
apicomedial myosin formation remain to be elucidated.

**Materials and Methods**

**Fly stocks and husbandry**

Fly lines used in our experiments were listed in a separate table. All crosses were
performed at 25°C, unless stated otherwise.

**Antibody staining and confocal microscopy**

Antibodies used in our experiments were listed in a separate table. Embryos were collected on grape juice-agar plates and processed for immunofluorescence using standard procedures. Briefly, embryos were dechorionated in 50% bleach, fixed in 1:1 heptane:formaldehyde for 40 min and devitellinized with 80% EtOH, then stained with primary and secondary antibodies in PBSTB (1X PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.2% BSA). For acetylated α-tubulin, tyrosinated α-tubulin, sqh-GFP, Rok-GFP, Fog and phalloidin staining, embryos were hand-devitellinized. All images were taken with a Leica SP8 confocal microscope.

**Cell segmentation and apical area quantification**

Embryos immunostained with E-Cad and CrebA were imaged using a Leica SP8 confocal microscope. As Rok accumulation, apicomedial myosin and apical constriction depend on the depth of invagination in the SG, SGs that were invaginated within the range of 5.1-9.9 μm depth were used for quantification for proper comparison between different genotypes. Maximum intensity projection was generated from three apical focal planes with the highest E-Cad signals for all genotypes (0.3 μm apart for each focal plane). Cells were segmented along E-Cad signals and cell areas were calculated using the Imaris Program (Bitplane). Since the Imaris Program calculated the areas of both the front and the back of the projected cell layer, we divided the measured areas by two to get the true values of apical areas of SG cells.

**Negative correlation between apical area and Rab11/Nuf intensity**

Cell segmentation for five WT SGs within the range of 5.1- 9.9 μm invagination was performed as described above. All experiments were carried out in the same condition, and the same settings for confocal imaging were used. Three confocal sections in the

apical region that show the strongest Rab11/Nuf signals were used to produce the maximum intensity projection. Intensity means were measured for Rab11/Nuf signals for each segmented cell using the Imaris Program (Bitplane) and plotted. Correlation (Pearson) and P values were calculated using the GraphPad Prism software.

**Total intensity of Rab11, Nuf and Fog signals**

For measuring the total intensity of Rab11/Nuf signals in the apical region of cells in the whole SG placode, the integrated density of Rab11/Nuf of each SG cell was calculated (integrated density= intensity mean x area). Intensity means and SG cell areas were measured using the Imaris software. The total intensity was calculated as the sum of integrated densities of all cells in the placode. Five SGs were used for quantification. P values were calculated using Welch's t-test in the GraphPad Prism software.

For the total intensity of Fog signals, background corrections were carried out first. Using the Fiji software, mean gray values of Fog signals of ten epidermal cells outside of the SG placode were measured. The background intensity was calculated as the average value of the intensity means of those ten cells. The intensity mean of Fog signals of all SG cells was measured using the Imaris program, and the background intensity was subtracted. After background correction, the intensity mean was multiplied by SG cell areas to calculate the total Fog intensity. Five SGs were used for quantification. P values were calculated using Welch's t-test in the GraphPad Prism software.

**The degree of variability for Rab11, Nuf, Fog and E-Cad signals**

The degree of variability of Rab11/Nuf signals was calculated as the ratio of deviation of Rab11/Nuf intensity to the mean Rab11/Nuf intensity. The mean value of Rab11/Nuf intensity was calculated as the average mean intensity of Rab11/Nuf signals in all SG cells. Deviation of Rab11/Nuf intensity is the difference between the mean intensity of Rab11/Nuf in each cell and the mean value. Five WT SGs (690 cells) and five spastin-

overexpressed SGs (496 cells) were analyzed and plotted. P values were calculated
using the Mann-Whitney U test in the GraphPad Prism software.

The degree of variability of Fog signals in control, spastin-overexpressing and Rab11
RNAi SGs was calculated using the same methods for Rab11/Nuf. Five SGs for each
genotype were analyzed (control (*fkh-Gal4/+*), 475 cells; *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Spastin*, 507
cells; *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Rab11* RNAi, 487 cells).

The degree of variability for E-Cad signals was calculated as the ratio of average deviation
of E-Cad to the mean E-Cad intensity. To measure the intensity of E-Cad signals along
the adherens junction, we drew a polyline along E-Cad signals at each  junction. The
deviation and mean intensity of E-Cad signals were measured using the Leica LasX
software. SuperPlots (Lord *et al.*, 2020) were used to display the quantification. Each dot
in the graph represents the average value of 20 junctions in the dorsal posterior region of
each SG. Five SGs were analyzed for each genotype. P values were calculated using
Welch's t-test in the GraphPad Prism software.

**Quantification of intensities of myosin, Crb and E-Cad**

For myosin quantification, maximum intensity projections that span the apical and the
junctional region of SG cells were used (Leica LasX) and measurements were performed
using the Fiji software. Five SGs were used for quantification for each genotype. A group
of 20 cells in the dorsal/posterior region of the SG placode near the invagination pit was
selected for quantification of myosin intensity. Regions were drawn manually along the
inner or outer boundary of E-Cad signals of each cell to calculate the mean gray value of
apicomedial and junctional myosin.

For background correction, mean gray values of apicomedial myosin in ten cells outside
of the SG placode were measured. The average value of mean gray values of
apicomedial myosin in these ten cells was used to subtract the background of the cells
inside the placode from the same embryo. The mean intensity of apicomedial/junctional
myosin was normalized by the median deviation. SuperPlots (Lord *et al.*, 2020) were used

to display quantification. For myosin intensity, each dot in the graph represents the

average value of 20 cells in each SG. For Crb and E-Cad intensities, each dot in the graph

represents the average value of 10 cells for each SG. Five SGs were used for the

quantification of all three proteins. P values were calculated using Welch's t-test in the

GraphPad Prism software.

**620    Quantification of areas of Rok-GFP and apicomedial myosin puncta**

For quantification of area of Rok-GFP puncta, a single confocal section that had the

strongest medial Rok signals was selected. Cell boundaries were labeled by

immunostaining with the antibody against E-Cad. To analyze Rok distribution, we

performed Particle Analysis using the Fiji software. Fifteen cells in the dorsal/posterior

region near the invagination pit were selected for quantification. Rok-GFP signals were

converted into black-and-white using the *Threshold* tool in Photoshop before analysis.

Using the *Analyze particles* tool in Fiji, Rok-GFP puncta with areas equal or larger than

0.02 $\mu m^2$ were measured. Five SGs were used for quantification. P values were

calculated using Welch's t-test in the GraphPad Prism software.

For measuring areas of apicomedial myosin puncta, a single confocal section with the

strongest apicomedial myosin signals was selected. A group of 10 cells in the

dorsal/posterior region of SG was analyzed. Junctional myosin was excluded manually

and only apicomedial myosin signals were used for quantification. Measuring the area of

apicomedial myosin were carried out with the same method used for quantifying the area

of Rok-GFP puncta. Five SGs were used for quantification.  P values were calculated

using Welch's t-test in the GraphPad Prism software.

**638    Quantification of length and number of gaps of junctional Crb**

Length of gaps and junctional length were measured using the Fiji software. Gaps that

have a length equal or more than 0.2 µm were quantified. If there were more than one

gap per junction, the length of gaps was calculated as a sum of all gaps in a given junction.

For each SG, ten cells in the dorsal/posterior region were used for quantification. For the

number of gaps, the total number of gaps in those ten cells was counted. Five SGs (~110

junctions) were used for quantification. P values were calculated using Welch's t-test in

the GraphPad Prism software.

**Table 1. List of fly lines used in this study.**

| Fly strains | Source | RRID | References |
|---|---|---|---|
| *Rab11-EYFP* | Bloomington Stock Center | 62549 | (Dunst *et al.*, 2015) |
| *Rab5-EYFP* | Bloomington Stock Center | 62543 | (Dunst *et al.*, 2015) |
| *Rab7-EYFP* | Bloomington Stock Center | 62545 | (Dunst *et al.*, 2015) |
| *UAS-Spastin* (on II) | N. Sherwood, Duke | | (Sherwood *et al.*, 2004) |
| *UAS-Spastin-CFP* (on III) | N. Sherwood, Duke | | (Du *et al.*, 2010) |
| *fkh-Gal4* (on II) | D. Andrew (John Hopkins University) | | (Chung *et al.*, 2017) |
| *fkh-Gal4* (on III) | D. Andrew (John Hopkins University) | | (Chung *et al.*, 2017) |
| *ubi-Rok-GFP* | S. Parkhurst (Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center) | | (Abreu-Blanco *et al.*, 2014) |
| *sqh-GFP* | | | (Royou *et al.*, 2004) |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| *UAS-Rab11S25N-EYFP* | Bloomington Stock Center | 9792, 23261 | |
| *UAS-Dicer-2* | Bloomington Stock Center | 60008 | |
| *UAS-Dhc64C* RNAi | Bloomington Stock Center<br><br>Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center | 76941, 28749, v28053, v28054.<br><br>4 lines worked equally. V28054 was used for all of the data shown in this work. | |
| *Klar[1]* | Bloomington Stock Center | 3256 | |
| *klar[mCD4]* | Bloomington Stock Center | 25097 | |
| *klar[mCD4] ubi-Rok-GFP* | Recombinant line generated from *klar[mCD4]* and *ubi-Rok-GFP* | | |
| *UAS-Crb* RNAi | Bloomington Stock Center | 38373, 38903, 40869, 34999. | |

| | | 4 lines worked equally. 2 lines (38373, 34999) were used for the data shown in this work. | |
|---|---|---|---|
| *UAS-E-Cad* RNAi | Bloomington Stock Center | 32904 | |
| *UAS-Shg-GFP* | Bloomington Stock Center | 58445 | |
| *UAS-Rab11* RNAi | Bloomington Stock Center | 42709, 27730. Line 42709 was used for testing myosin and Rok-GFP signals. Line 27730 was used for the rest of the experiments. | |

649 **Table 2. List of primary and secondary antibodies used in this study.**

| Antibody | Source | RRID | Dilution |
|---|---|---|---|
| α-E-Cad (rat) | DSHB (deposited by T. Uemura, Kyoto University) | DCAD2 (AB_528120) | 1:50 |
| α-CrebA (rat) | Andrew lab (John Hopkins University) | | 1:3000 |
| α-CrebA (rabbit) | Andrew lab (John Hopkins University) | | 1:5000 |
| α-Dhc (mouse) | DSHB (deposited by J.M. Scholey, University of California) | 2C11-2 (AB_2091523) | 1:50 |
| α-Rab11 (rabbit) | Andrew lab (John Hopkins University) | | 1:500 |
| α-Nuf (guinea pig) | Sotillos lab (CABD) | | 1:500 |
| α-acetylated α-tubulin (mouse) | Invitrogen | 32-2700 (AB_2533073) | 1:1000 |
| α-tyrosinated α-tubulin (rat) | Invitrogen | MA1-80017 (AB_2210201) | 1:1000 |
| α-GFP (chicken) | Invitrogen | A10262 (AB_2534023) | 1:500 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| α-β-galactosidase (rabbit) | Invitrogen | A11132 (AB_221539) | 1:500 |
| α-Crb (mouse) | DSHB (deposited by E. Knust, Max Planck Institute) | Cq4 (AB_528181) | 1:10 |
| α-Fog (rabbit) | N. Fuse (Fuse et al., 2013) | | 1:1000 |
| α-Sec15 (guinea pig) | Bellen lab (Baylor College of Medicine) | | 1:2000 |
| Alexa Fluor 488/568/647-coupled secondary antibodies | Invitrogen | | 1:500 |

651 **Table 3. Genotypes in figure panels.**

| Figures | Genotypes |
|---|---|
| Figure 1B-F'''' | *Oregon R* |
| Figure 2A-B'', E-E' | *Oregon R* |
| Figure 2C-C''' | *fkh-Gal4/+* |
| Figure 2D-D''', F-F' | *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Spastin-CFP* |
| Figure 3A-A' | *fkh-Gal4/+* |
| Figure 3B-B' | *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Rab11-S25N-YFP* |
| Figure 3C-C' | *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Rab11* RNAi (TRiP.JF02812) |
| Figure 3D-D' | *UAS-Dicer-2/+; fkh-Gal4/UAS-Dhc64C* RNAi (GD12258) |
| Figure 3E-E' | *Oregon R* |
| Figure 3F-F' | *klar$^1$* |
| Figure 3I-I'' | *UAS-Dicer-2/+; fkh-Gal4/+* |
| Figure 3J-J'' | *UAS-Dicer-2/+; fkh-Gal4/UAS-Dhc64C RNAi* (GD12258) |
| Figure 3K-K'' | *Oregon R* |
| Figure 3L-L'' | *klar$^{mCD4}$* |
| Figure 4A-A'''' | *sqh-GFP/+; fkh-Gal4/+* |
| Figure 4B-B'''' | *sqh-GFP/UAS-Dicer-2; fkh-Gal4/UAS-Rab11* RNAi (UAS-Rab11.dsRNA.WIZ) |
| Figure 4F-F'' | *fkh-Gal4 ubi-Rok-GFP/+* |

| | |
|---|---|
| Figure 4G-G'' | *UAS-Dicer-2/+; fkh-Gal4 ubi-Rok-GFP/UAS-Rab11* RNAi (UAS-Rab11.dsRNA.WIZ) |
| Figure 5A-A'' | *sqh-GFP/+; fkhGal4/+* |
| Figure 5B-B'' | *sqh-GFP/UAS-Dicer-2; fkh-Gal4/UAS-Dhc64C* RNAi (GD12258) |
| Figure 5F-F'' | *sqh-GFP; sqh$^{AX3}$* |
| Figure 5G-G'' | *sqh-GFP; klar$^{1}$* |
| Figure 5K-K' | *fkh-Gal4 ubi-Rok-GFP/+* |
| Figure 5L-L' | *UAS-Spastin/+; fkh-Gal4 ubi-Rok-GFP/+* |
| Figure 5M-M' | *UAS-Dicer-2/+; fkh-Gal4 ubi-Rok-GFP/UAS-Dhc64C* RNAi (GD12258) |
| Figure 5N-N' | *ubi-Rok-GFP* |
| Figure 5O-O' | *klar$^{mCD4}$ ubi-Rok-GFP* |
| Figure 6A-A''', F-F'''' | *fkh-Gal4/+* |
| Figure 6B-B''', G-G'''' | *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Spastin* |
| Figure 6C-C''', H-H'''' | *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Rab11* RNAi (TRiP.JF02812) |
| Figure 7A-A' | *fkh-Gal4/+* |
| Figure 7B-B'' | *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Crb RNAi* (TRiP. HMS01409) |
| Figure 7C-C' | *fkh-Gal4/UAS-E-Cad* RNAi (TRiP.HMS00693) |
| Figure 8A-A'' | *sqh-GFP/+; fkh-Gal4/+* |

| Figure 8B-B'' | *sqh-GFP/+; fkh-Gal4/UAS-Crb* RNAi (TRiP.HMS01409) |
|---|---|
| Figure 8C-C'' | *sqh-GFP/+; fkh-Gal4/UAS-E-Cad* RNAi (TRiP.HMS00693) |
| Figure 8D-D'' | *fkh-Gal4 ubi-Rok-GFP/+* |
| Figure 8E-E'' | *fkh-Gal4 ubi-Rok-GFP/UAS-Crb* RNAi (TRiP.HMS01409) |
| Figure 8F-F'' | *fkh-Gal4 ubi-Rok-GFP/UAS-E-Cad* RNAi (TRiP.HMS00693) |
| Figure S1A-A''''' | *Rab11-EYFP* |
| Figure S1B-B''' | *Rab5-EYFP* |
| Figure S1D-D''' | *Rab7-EYFP* |
| Figure S1E-E''' | *Oregon R* |
| Figure S2A-A'', C-C' | *fkh-Gal4/+* |
| Figure S2B-B'', D-D' | *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Spastin-CFP* |
| Figure S3A-A', B-B', E, F | *fkh-Gal4/+* |
| Figure S3C-C', D-D' | *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Rab11* RNAi (TRiP.JF02812) |
| Figure S3E' | *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Crb* RNAi (TRiP.HMS01409) |
| Figure S3F' | *fkh-Gal4/UAS-E-Cad* RNAi (TRiP.HMS00693) |
| Figure S4A-A''', C-C'' | *fkh-Gal4/+* |
| Figure S4B-B''', D-D'' | *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Spastin* |
| Figure S4E-E'' | *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Rab11* RNAi (TRiP.JF02812) |

| | |
|---|---|
| Figure S4F-F''' | *UAS-Crb/+; fkh-Gal4/+* |
| Figure S4G-G''' | *UAS-Crb/+; fkh-Gal4/UAS-Spastin* |
| Figure S4H-H''' | *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Shg-GFP* |
| Figure S4I-I''' | *UAS-Spastin/+; fkh-Gal4/UAS-Shg-GFP* |

**Acknowledgments**

We thank the members of the Chung laboratory for comments and suggestions. We thank A. Martin, S. Parkhurst and N. Sherwood and the Bloomington stock center for fly stocks, and D. Andrew, H. Bellen, S. Sotillos and the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank for antibodies. We thank Flybase for the gene information. We are grateful to A. Bohnert, C. Hanlon, A. Johnson and C. O'Kane for their helpful comments on the manuscript. This work is supported by start-up fund from Louisiana State University and the grant from the Board of Regents Research Competitiveness Subprogram GR-00005224 to S.C.

     **Figure legends**

**Figure 1. Intracellular trafficking components are apically enriched in invaginating**

**SGs.** (A) A schematic drawing of the anterior region of the *Drosophila* embryo for stage

11 (ventral and lateral views) and stage 16 (lateral view) with SGs shown in green. Top

right, magnified view of a stage 11 SG. The region where SG cells undergo clustered

apical constriction during invagination is shown in purple. (B-B'') En face (top) and lateral

(bottom) views of a wild type stage 11 SG immunostained for E-Cad, Rab11 and Nuf.

Rab11 and Nuf show apical enrichment near the invagination pit (yellow arrowheads).

Red arrowheads, Rab11 and Nuf signals near the segmental groove. (B'''-B''''') Heat

maps of apical area (B''') and intensity of Rab11 (B'''') and Nuf (B'''''). Cells with smaller

apical areas near the invagination pit (dark blue cells in B''') show the high intensity of

Rab11 and Nuf (red signals in B'''' and B'''''). (C-C''') En face (top) and lateral (bottom)

views of a wild type stage 11 SG immunostained for E-Cad (C) and Dhc64C (C').

Corresponding heat maps for apical areas (C'') and intensity of Dhc64C signals (C''') are

shown. (D-F''') Wild type stage 11 SGs immunostained for E-Cad and Rab11 at different

timepoints of invagination. (G) The total intensity of Rab11 in the whole SG placode before

and during invagination. Before invagination, n= 4 SGs; during invagination, n= 5 SGs.

(H) Negative correlation between Rab11 intensities and apical areas of SG cells before

(top) and during invagination (bottom). R, Pearson correlation coefficient. P< 0.0001 for

all samples. Before invagination, n= 4 SGs, 506 cells; during invagination, n= 4 SGs, 561

cells. Asterisks: invagination pit. White lines: SG boundary. SG boundaries are marked

based on CrebA (SG nuclear marker) signals in the basal region of SG cells (not shown).

Figure 1

**Figure 2. Disruption of MTs results in mislocalization of Rab11 and Nuf to the basolateral domain in SG cells.** (A-A''') A wild type SG immunostained for Rab11 (green) and tyrosinated α-tubulin (Tyr-α-Tub; purple). Higher magnification of the yellow boxed area is shown in A'-A'''. Yellow arrowheads, co-localized Rab11 and tyrosinated α-tubulin. (B-B'') Z sections along the yellow line in A. (C-C''') En face (top) and lateral (bottom) views of a control (*fkh-Gal4/+*) SG show abundant levels of tyrosinated α-tubulin (C''; Tyr-α-Tub) and acetylated α-tubulin (C'''; Ace-α-Tub). (D-D''') Overexpression of spastin in the SG using *fkh-Gal4* leads to a reduction of tyrosinated α-tubulin (D'') and loss of acetylated α-tubulin (D'''). Whereas Rab11 is enriched in the apical domain of WT SG cells (yellow arrowheads in C'), Rab11 mislocalizes basolaterally when spastin is overexpressed (red arrowheads in D'). (E-F'') Confocal images of WT (E and E') and spastin-overexpressing SGs (F, F') stained for Rab11 (E, F) and Nuf (E', F'). The maximum projection of three confocal sections for the apical domain is shown. Compared to strong Rab11 and Nuf signals in the apical domain in WT SGs (yellow arrowheads in E and E'), Rab11 and Nuf are reduced in spastin-overexpressing SGs (red arrowheads in F and F'). (G) The total intensity of Rab11 and Nuf in the whole SG placode in control and spastin-overexpressing SG. n= 5 SGs for each genotype. (H) The degree of variability of Rab11 (left) and Nuf (right) intensities in the SG (n= 5 SGs for both genotypes; WT, 690 cells; *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Spastin*, 496 cells). ****, p<0.0001, Mann-Whitney U test. Asterisks: invagination pit. White lines: SG boundary.

Figure 2

**Figure 3. Rab11 and dynein functions are required for coordinated apical constriction in the SG.** (A-F) Confocal images of control (A), Rab11-DN-overexpressing (B), *Rab11* RNAi (C), *Dhc64C* RNAi (D), wild type (E) and *klar* mutant (F) SGs immunostained for E-Cad. (A'-F') Heat maps corresponding to images shown in A-F. (G, H) Percentage (G) and cumulative percentage (H) of SG cells with different apical areas. Mann-Whitney U test (for the percentage of cells) and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (for the cumulative percentage of cells). N= 5 SGs (control (*fkh-Gal4/+*), 517 cells; *fkh>Rab11-DN*, 536 cells; *fkh>Rab11* RNAi, 474 cells; *fkh>Dhc64C* RNAi, 499 cells) and 6 SGs (WT, 690 cells; *klar[1]*, 705 cells). *, $p<0.05$; ****, $p<0.0001$. (I-J'') Control (I-I'') and *Dhc64C* RNAi (J-J'') SGs stained for tyrosinated-$\alpha$-Tub (I', J') and phalloidin (I'', J''). Compared to control (I'), tyrosinated-$\alpha$-Tub (Tyr-$\alpha$-Tub) is decreased in *Dhc64C* RNAi SGs (J'). (I-J'') WT (K-K'') and *klar* mutant (L-L'') SGs stained for acetylated-$\alpha$-Tub (Ace-$\alpha$-Tub; K', K') and phalloidin (L'', L''). No significant changes in acetylated-$\alpha$-Tub levels are detected in *klar* mutant SGs. Asterisks: invagination pit. White lines: SG boundary.

Figure 3

**Figure 4. Compromised Rab11 functions lead to a reduction of apicomedial myosin formation and impair apicomedial Rok accumulation in SG cells.** (A-B''') sqh-GFP signals in control (A-A''') and *Rab11* RNAi (B-B''') SGs immunostained for E-Cad and GFP. Higher magnification of the yellow boxed area in A and B are shown in A''-A''' and B''-B'''. Compared to strong signals of apicomedial myosin web structures in the control SG (yellow arrowheads in A''), reduced and dispersed myosin signals are detected upon *Rab11* knockdown (red arrowheads in B''). (A'''' and B'''') Inverted sqh-GFP signals are used for measuring areas of apicomedial myosin structures. (C-E) Quantification of the intensity of apicomedial (AM) and junctional (J) myosin I, the ratio of apicomedial to junctional myosin (D) and areas of apicomedial myosin (E) in control and *Rab11* RNAi SG cells. n= 5 SGs for both genotypes; 10 cells in the dorsal/posterior region of each SG. *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$. Welch's t-test. (F-G'') Rok-GFP signals in control (F, F') and Rab11 RNAi (G, G') SGs immunostained for E-Cad and GFP. Higher magnification of the yellow boxed area in F and G are shown in F' and G'. Compared to the strong accumulation of Rok-GFP signals in the apicomedial region of control SG cells (yellow arrowheads in F'), Rok-GFP signals are more dispersed when *Rab11* is knocked down (red arrowheads in G'). (F'' and G'') Inverted Rok-GFP signals are used for measuring areas of apicomedial Rok accumulation. (H) Quantification of areas of Rok-GFP puncta. *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$. Welch's t-test. n= 5 SGs for all genotypes; 15 cells in the dorsal/posterior region for each SG. Asterisks: invagination pit.

Figure 4

**Figure 5. Compromised dynein function leads to a reduction of apicomedial myosin formation and impairs apicomedial Rok accumulation in SG cells.** (A-B'', F-G'') sqh-GFP signals in control (A-A'', F-F''), *Dhc64C* RNAi (B-B'') and *klar* mutant (G-G'') SGs immunostained for E-Cad and GFP. Higher magnification of the yellow boxed area in A, B, F and G are shown in A', A'', B', B'', F', F'', G' and G'. Yellow and red arrowheads indicate apicomedial myosin. (C-E, H-J) Quantification of the intensity of apicomedial and junctional myosin (C, H), the ratio of apicomedial to junctional myosin (D, I) and areas of apicomedial myosin (E, J) in SG cells. n= 5 SGs for all genotypes; 10 cells in the dorsal/posterior region of each SG. *, p< 0.05; **, p< 0.01, Welch's t-test. (A-A'') (K-O') Rok-GFP signals in control (K, K', N, N'), spastin-overexpressing (L, L'), *Dhc64C* RNAi (M, M') and *klar* mutant (O, O') SGs immunostained for E-Cad and GFP. Higher magnification of the yellow boxed area in K-O are shown in K'-O'. (P, Q) Quantification of areas of Rok-GFP puncta. *, p< 0.05; **, P<0.01, Welch's t-test. n= 5 SGs for all genotypes; 15 cells in the dorsal/posterior region for each SG. Asterisks: invagination pit.

Figure 5

**Figure 6. MTs and Rab11 are required for apical transport of Fog, Crb and E-Cad during SG invagination.** (A-C') Confocal images for control (A-A'), spastin-overexpressing (B-B') and *Rab11* RNAi (C-C') SGs immunostained for E-Cad and Fog. (A''-C''') Corresponding heat maps for apical areas (A''-C'') and Fog intensity (A'''-C'''). (D) Total intensity of apical Fog signals in the entire SG placode in control, spastin-overexpressing and *Rab11* knocked down SG. n= 5 SGs for each genotype. (E) The degree of variability for Fog signals. n= 5 SGs (control (*fkh-Gal4/+*), 475 cells; *fkh-Gal4/UAS-spastin*, 507 cells; *fkh-Gal4/UAS-Rab11* RNAi, 487 cells). Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. (F-H'''') Confocal images for control (F-F''''), spastin-overexpressing (G-G'''') and *Rab11* RNAi (H-H'''') SGs immunostained for E-Cad and Crb. Higher magnification of boxed areas in F-H are shown in F'''-H''''. Compared to relatively continuous Crb signals in the control SG (F'''), Crb signals show gaps (red arrows) in spastin-overexpressing (G''') and *Rab11* RNAi (H''') SGs. Compared to relatively uniform E-Cad signals along adherens junctions in the control SG (F''''), E-Cad signals in spastin-overexpressing (G'''') and *Rab11* RNAi (H'''') SGs are unevenly distributed. Yellow boxes, representative junctions. (I) Quantification of the ratio of length of gaps to junctional length (Welch's t-test) in SGs immunostained for Crb. (J) Quantification of the number of gaps (Welch's t-test) in SGs immunostained for Crb. n= 5 SGs; 10 cells for each SG. (K) The degree of variability of E-Cad intensities in the SG. n= 5 SGs; 20 junctions for each SG. Welch's t-test. *, p<0.05; **, p< 0.01; ****, p< 0.0001. Asterisks: invagination pit. White lines: SG boundary.

Figure 6

**Figure 7. Crb and E-Cad have roles in regulating apical constriction during SG**

**invagination.** (A-C) Confocal images of control (A), *crb* RNAi (B) and *E-Cad* RNAi (C)

SGs immunostained for E-Cad. (A'-C') Corresponding heat maps for apical areas in SGs

in A-C. (D) Percentage and cumulative percentage of cells with different apical areas.

Mann-Whitney U test (percentage of cells) and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (cumulative

percentage of cells). n= 5 SGs (control, 517 cells; *crb* RNAi, 583 cells; *E-Cad* RNAi, 712

cells). *, $p < 0.05$; ****, $p < 0.0001$. Asterisks: invagination pit. White lines: SG boundary.

Figure 7

*fkh-Gal4/+*  *fkh>crb RNAi*  *fkh>E-Cad RNAi*

**Figure 8. Knockdown of *crb* or *E-Cad* results in reduced apicomedial myosin formation and dispersed apicomedial Rok.** (A-C") sqh-GFP signals in control (A-A"), *crb* RNAi (B-B") and *E-Cad* RNAi (C-C") SGs immunostained for E-Cad and GFP. (A"-C") Higher magnification of yellow boxed areas in A-C. (D-F") Rok-GFP signals in control (D-D"), *crb* RNAi (E-E") and *E-Cad* RNAi (F-F") SGs immunostained for E-Cad and GFP. (D"-F") Higher magnification of yellow boxed areas in D-F. (G-I) Quantification of the intensity of apicomedial and junctional myosin (G), the ratio of apicomedial to junctional myosin (H) and areas of apicomedial myosin structures (I) in SG cells from different genotypes shown in A-C (n=5 SGs for each genotype; 10 cells in the dorsal/ posterior region). *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01. Welch's t-test. (F-I") (J) Quantification of areas of Rok-GFP puncta in SG cells from different genotypes shown in D-F (n=5 SGs; 15 cells in the dorsal/posterior for each genotype). *, p<0.05; **, p< 0.01. Welch's t-test. Asterisks: invagination pit.

Figure 8

**Figure 9. A proposed model for MT-dependent trafficking to promote apical**
**constriction during SG invagination.** Vesicular transport is essential for apical
localization of Fog, Crb and E-Cad to regulate apical myosin networks and subsequent
apical constriction. Precise roles for the dynein motor in regulating apical constriction
remain to be determined. The red question mark represents a potential role of dynein in
trafficking cargos to the apical region of SG cells during apical constriction.

Figure 9

812
813

## References

Abreu-Blanco, M.T., Verboon, J.M., and Parkhurst, S.M. (2014). Coordination of Rho family GTPase activities to orchestrate cytoskeleton responses during cell wound repair. Curr Biol *24*, 144-155.

Aguilar-Aragon, M., Fletcher, G., and Thompson, B.J. (2020). The cytoskeletal motor proteins Dynein and MyoV direct apical transport of Crumbs. Dev Biol *459*, 126-137.

Anborgh, P.H., Seachrist, J.L., Dale, L.B., and Ferguson, S.S. (2000). Receptor/beta-arrestin complex formation and the differential trafficking and resensitization of beta2-adrenergic and angiotensin II type 1A receptors. Mol Endocrinol *14*, 2040-2053.

Andrew, D.J., and Ewald, A.J. (2010). Morphogenesis of epithelial tubes: Insights into tube formation, elongation, and elaboration. Dev Biol *341*, 34-55.

Benton, M.A., Frey, N., Nunes da Fonseca, R., von Levetzow, C., Stappert, D., Hakeemi, M.S., Conrads, K.H., Pechmann, M., Panfilio, K.A., Lynch, J.A., and Roth, S. (2019). Fog signaling has diverse roles in epithelial morphogenesis in insects. Elife *8*.

Booth, A.J.R., Blanchard, G.B., Adams, R.J., and Roper, K. (2014). A dynamic microtubule cytoskeleton directs medial actomyosin function during tube formation. Dev Cell *29*, 562-576.

Burbank, K.S., Groen, A.C., Perlman, Z.E., Fisher, D.S., and Mitchison, T.J. (2006). A new method reveals microtubule minus ends throughout the meiotic spindle. J Cell Biol *175*, 369-375.

Cerniello, F.M., Carretero, O.A., Longo Carbajosa, N.A., Cerrato, B.D., Santos, R.A., Grecco, H.E., and Gironacci, M.M. (2017). MAS1 Receptor Trafficking Involves ERK1/2 Activation Through a beta-Arrestin2-Dependent Pathway. Hypertension *70*, 982-989.

Chung, S., and Andrew, D.J. (2014). Cadherin 99C regulates apical expansion and cell rearrangement during epithelial tube elongation. Development *141*, 1950-1960.

Chung, S., Hanlon, C.D., and Andrew, D.J. (2014). Building and specializing epithelial tubular organs: the Drosophila salivary gland as a model system for revealing how epithelial organs are specified, form and specialize. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol *3*, 281-300.

Chung, S., Kim, S., and Andrew, D.J. (2017). Uncoupling apical constriction from tissue invagination. Elife *6*.

Dale, L.B., Seachrist, J.L., Babwah, A.V., and Ferguson, S.S. (2004). Regulation of angiotensin II type 1A receptor intracellular retention, degradation, and recycling by Rab5, Rab7, and Rab11 GTPases. J Biol Chem *279*, 13110-13118.

David, D.J., Tishkina, A., and Harris, T.J. (2010). The PAR complex regulates pulsed actomyosin contractions during amnioserosa apical constriction in Drosophila. Development *137*, 1645-1655.

Du, F., Ozdowski, E.F., Kotowski, I.K., Marchuk, D.A., and Sherwood, N.T. (2010). Functional conservation of human Spastin in a Drosophila model of autosomal dominant-hereditary spastic paraplegia. Hum Mol Genet *19*, 1883-1896.

Dunst, S., Kazimiers, T., von Zadow, F., Jambor, H., Sagner, A., Brankatschk, B., Mahmoud, A., Spannl, S., Tomancak, P., Eaton, S., and Brankatschk, M. (2015). Endogenously tagged rab proteins: a resource to study membrane trafficking in Drosophila. Dev Cell *33*, 351-365.

Elting, M.W., Hueschen, C.L., Udy, D.B., and Dumont, S. (2014). Force on spindle microtubule minus ends moves chromosomes. J Cell Biol *206*, 245-256.

Fan, G.H., Lapierre, L.A., Goldenring, J.R., and Richmond, A. (2003). Differential regulation of CXCR2 trafficking by Rab GTPases. Blood *101*, 2115-2124.

Flores-Benitez, D., and Knust, E. (2015). Crumbs is an essential regulator of cytoskeletal dynamics and cell-cell adhesion during dorsal closure in Drosophila. Elife *4*.

Gorvel, J.P., Chavrier, P., Zerial, M., and Gruenberg, J. (1991). rab5 controls early endosome fusion in vitro. Cell *64*, 915-925.

Goshima, G., Nedelec, F., and Vale, R.D. (2005). Mechanisms for focusing mitotic spindle poles by minus
end-directed motor proteins. J Cell Biol *171*, 229-240.
Gross, S.P., Welte, M.A., Block, S.M., and Wieschaus, E.F. (2000). Dynein-mediated cargo transport in
vivo. A switch controls travel distance. J Cell Biol *148*, 945-956.
Guglielmi, G., Barry, J.D., Huber, W., and De Renzis, S. (2015). An Optogenetic Method to Modulate Cell
Contractility during Tissue Morphogenesis. Dev Cell *35*, 646-660.
Hamelin, E., Theriault, C., Laroche, G., and Parent, J.L. (2005). The intracellular trafficking of the G
protein-coupled receptor TPbeta depends on a direct interaction with Rab11. J Biol Chem *280*, 36195-
36205.
Heald, R., Tournebize, R., Blank, T., Sandaltzopoulos, R., Becker, P., Hyman, A., and Karsenti, E. (1996).
Self-organization of microtubules into bipolar spindles around artificial chromosomes in Xenopus egg
extracts. Nature *382*, 420-425.
Hunyady, L., Baukal, A.J., Gaborik, Z., Olivares-Reyes, J.A., Bor, M., Szaszak, M., Lodge, R., Catt, K.J., and
Balla, T. (2002). Differential PI 3-kinase dependence of early and late phases of recycling of the
internalized AT1 angiotensin receptor. J Cell Biol *157*, 1211-1222.
Innamorati, G., Le Gouill, C., Balamotis, M., and Birnbaumer, M. (2001). The long and the short cycle.
Alternative intracellular routes for trafficking of G-protein-coupled receptors. J Biol Chem *276*, 13096-
13103.
Izquierdo, E., Quinkler, T., and De Renzis, S. (2018). Guided morphogenesis through optogenetic
activation of Rho signalling during early Drosophila embryogenesis. Nat Commun *9*, 2366.
Jha, A., van Zanten, T.S., Philippe, J.M., Mayor, S., and Lecuit, T. (2018). Quantitative Control of GPCR
Organization and Signaling by Endocytosis in Epithelial Morphogenesis. Curr Biol *28*, 1570-1584 e1576.
Jouette, J., Guichet, A., and Claret, S.B. (2019). Dynein-mediated transport and membrane trafficking
control PAR3 polarised distribution. Elife *8*.
Kerridge, S., Munjal, A., Philippe, J.M., Jha, A., de las Bayonas, A.G., Saurin, A.J., and Lecuit, T. (2016).
Modular activation of Rho1 by GPCR signalling imparts polarized myosin II activation during
morphogenesis. Nat Cell Biol *18*, 261-270.
Khanal, I., Elbediwy, A., Diaz de la Loza Mdel, C., Fletcher, G.C., and Thompson, B.J. (2016). Shot and
Patronin polarise microtubules to direct membrane traffic and biogenesis of microvilli in epithelia. J Cell
Sci *129*, 2651-2659.
Khodjakov, A., Copenagle, L., Gordon, M.B., Compton, D.A., and Kapoor, T.M. (2003). Minus-end capture
of preformed kinetochore fibers contributes to spindle morphogenesis. J Cell Biol *160*, 671-683.
Ko, C.S., Tserunyan, V., and Martin, A.C. (2019). Microtubules promote intercellular contractile force
transmission during tissue folding. J Cell Biol *218*, 2726-2742.
Laan, L., Pavin, N., Husson, J., Romet-Lemonne, G., van Duijn, M., Lopez, M.P., Vale, R.D., Julicher, F.,
Reck-Peterson, S.L., and Dogterom, M. (2012). Cortical dynein controls microtubule dynamics to
generate pulling forces that position microtubule asters. Cell *148*, 502-514.
Langevin, J., Morgan, M.J., Sibarita, J.B., Aresta, S., Murthy, M., Schwarz, T., Camonis, J., and Bellaiche, Y.
(2005). Drosophila exocyst components Sec5, Sec6, and Sec15 regulate DE-Cadherin trafficking from
recycling endosomes to the plasma membrane. Dev Cell *9*, 365-376.
Le Droguen, P.M., Claret, S., Guichet, A., and Brodu, V. (2015). Microtubule-dependent apical restriction
of recycling endosomes sustains adherens junctions during morphogenesis of the Drosophila tracheal
system. Development *142*, 363-374.
Lee, J.Y., and Harland, R.M. (2010). Endocytosis is required for efficient apical constriction during
Xenopus gastrulation. Curr Biol *20*, 253-258.
Letizia, A., Sotillos, S., Campuzano, S., and Llimargas, M. (2011). Regulated Crb accumulation controls
apical constriction and invagination in Drosophila tracheal cells. J Cell Sci *124*, 240-251.

Li, M., McGrail, M., Serr, M., and Hays, T.S. (1994). Drosophila cytoplasmic dynein, a microtubule motor
that is asymmetrically localized in the oocyte. J Cell Biol *126*, 1475-1494.
Lord, S.J., Velle, K.B., Mullins, R.D., and Fritz-Laylin, L.K. (2020). SuperPlots: Communicating
reproducibility and variability in cell biology. J Cell Biol *219*.
Manning, A.J., Peters, K.A., Peifer, M., and Rogers, S.L. (2013). Regulation of epithelial morphogenesis by
the G protein-coupled receptor mist and its ligand fog. Sci Signal *6*, ra98.
Manning, A.J., and Rogers, S.L. (2014). The Fog signaling pathway: insights into signaling in
morphogenesis. Dev Biol *394*, 6-14.
Martin, A.C., and Goldstein, B. (2014). Apical constriction: themes and variations on a cellular
mechanism driving morphogenesis. Development *141*, 1987-1998.
Martin, A.C., Kaschube, M., and Wieschaus, E.F. (2009). Pulsed contractions of an actin-myosin network
drive apical constriction. Nature *457*, 495-499.
Mason, F.M., Tworoger, M., and Martin, A.C. (2013). Apical domain polarization localizes actin-myosin
activity to drive ratchet-like apical constriction. Nat Cell Biol *15*, 926-936.
Meresse, S., Gorvel, J.P., and Chavrier, P. (1995). The rab7 GTPase resides on a vesicular compartment
connected to lysosomes. J Cell Sci *108 ( Pt 11)*, 3349-3358.
Miao, H., Vanderleest, T.E., Jewett, C.E., Loerke, D., and Blankenship, J.T. (2019). Cell ratcheting through
the Sbf RabGEF directs force balancing and stepped apical constriction. J Cell Biol.
Myat, M.M., and Andrew, D.J. (2000). Organ shape in the Drosophila salivary gland is controlled by
regulated, sequential internalization of the primordia. Development *127*, 679-691.
Myat, M.M., and Andrew, D.J. (2002). Epithelial tube morphology is determined by the polarized growth
and delivery of apical membrane. Cell *111*, 879-891.
Ossipova, O., Kim, K., Lake, B.B., Itoh, K., Ioannou, A., and Sokol, S.Y. (2014). Role of Rab11 in planar cell
polarity and apical constriction during vertebrate neural tube closure. Nat Commun *5*, 3734.
Rauzi, M., Lenne, P.F., and Lecuit, T. (2010). Planar polarized actomyosin contractile flows control
epithelial junction remodelling. Nature *468*, 1110-1114.
Riggs, B., Rothwell, W., Mische, S., Hickson, G.R., Matheson, J., Hays, T.S., Gould, G.W., and Sullivan, W.
(2003). Actin cytoskeleton remodeling during early Drosophila furrow formation requires recycling
endosomal components Nuclear-fallout and Rab11. J Cell Biol *163*, 143-154.
Roeth, J.F., Sawyer, J.K., Wilner, D.A., and Peifer, M. (2009). Rab11 helps maintain apical crumbs and
adherens junctions in the Drosophila embryonic ectoderm. PLoS One *4*, e7634.
Rogers, S.L., Wiedemann, U., Hacker, U., Turck, C., and Vale, R.D. (2004). Drosophila RhoGEF2 associates
with microtubule plus ends in an EB1-dependent manner. Curr Biol *14*, 1827-1833.
Roper, K. (2012). Anisotropy of Crumbs and aPKC drives myosin cable assembly during tube formation.
Dev Cell *23*, 939-953.
Royou, A., Field, C., Sisson, J.C., Sullivan, W., and Karess, R. (2004). Reassessing the role and dynamics of
nonmuscle myosin II during furrow formation in early Drosophila embryos. Mol Biol Cell *15*, 838-850.
Sanchez-Corrales, Y.E., Blanchard, G.B., and Roper, K. (2018). Radially patterned cell behaviours during
tube budding from an epithelium. Elife *7*.
Sawyer, J.M., Harrell, J.R., Shemer, G., Sullivan-Brown, J., Roh-Johnson, M., and Goldstein, B. (2010).
Apical constriction: a cell shape change that can drive morphogenesis. Dev Biol *341*, 5-19.
Sherwood, N.T., Sun, Q., Xue, M., Zhang, B., and Zinn, K. (2004). Drosophila spastin regulates synaptic
microtubule networks and is required for normal motor function. PLoS Biol *2*, e429.
Sidor, C., Stevens, T.J., Jin, L., Boulanger, J., and Roper, K. (2020). Rho-Kinase Planar Polarization at
Tissue Boundaries Depends on Phospho-regulation of Membrane Residence Time. Dev Cell *52*, 364-378
e367.
Takeda, M., Sami, M.M., and Wang, Y.C. (2018). A homeostatic apical microtubule network shortens
cells for epithelial folding via a basal polarity shift. Nat Cell Biol *20*, 36-45.

Tan, R., Foster, P.J., Needleman, D.J., and McKenney, R.J. (2018). Cooperative Accumulation of Dynein-
Dynactin at Microtubule Minus-Ends Drives Microtubule Network Reorganization. Dev Cell *44*, 233-247
e234.
Ullrich, O., Reinsch, S., Urbe, S., Zerial, M., and Parton, R.G. (1996). Rab11 regulates recycling through
the pericentriolar recycling endosome. J Cell Biol *135*, 913-924.
Urbansky, S., Gonzalez Avalos, P., Wosch, M., and Lemke, S. (2016). Folded gastrulation and T48 drive
the evolution of coordinated mesoderm internalization in flies. Elife *5*.
Volpicelli, L.A., Lah, J.J., Fang, G., Goldenring, J.R., and Levey, A.I. (2002). Rab11a and myosin Vb regulate
recycling of the M4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor. J Neurosci *22*, 9776-9784.
Westermann, S., and Weber, K. (2003). Post-translational modifications regulate microtubule function.
Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *4*, 938-947.
Wichmann, H., Hengst, L., and Gallwitz, D. (1992). Endocytosis in yeast: evidence for the involvement of
a small GTP-binding protein (Ypt7p). Cell *71*, 1131-1142.
Wodarz, A., Grawe, F., and Knust, E. (1993). CRUMBS is involved in the control of apical protein targeting
during Drosophila epithelial development. Mech Dev *44*, 175-187.
Yvon, A.M., Gross, D.J., and Wadsworth, P. (2001). Antagonistic forces generated by myosin II and
cytoplasmic dynein regulate microtubule turnover, movement, and organization in interphase cells. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A *98*, 8656-8661.
Zhang, J., Schulze, K.L., Hiesinger, P.R., Suyama, K., Wang, S., Fish, M., Acar, M., Hoskins, R.A., Bellen,
H.J., and Scott, M.P. (2007). Thirty-one flavors of Drosophila rab proteins. Genetics *176*, 1307-1322.
Zhang, X.M., Ellis, S., Sriratana, A., Mitchell, C.A., and Rowe, T. (2004). Sec15 is an effector for the Rab11
GTPase in mammalian cells. J Biol Chem *279*, 43027-43034.

**Figure S1. Rab11-EYFP, Rab5-EYFP and Sec15 are apically enriched in the invaginating SG.** (A-A'') *Rab11-EYFP* (an EYFP insertion at the N-terminus under the control of Rab11 regulatory sequences) SG labeled with antibodies against E-Cad (A), GFP (A') and Nuf (A''). (A'''-A''''') Corresponding heat maps for apical areas of SG cells (A''') and intensities of GFP (A'''') and Nuf (A''''') signals. (B, B') Confocal images of a *Rab5-EYFP* (an EYFP insertion at the N-terminus under the control of Rab5 regulatory sequences) SG stained for E-Cad (B) and GFP (B'). (B'', B''') Corresponding heat maps for apical areas of SG cells (B'') and intensity of Rab5-EYFP signals (B'''). (C) Negative correlation between Rab5-EYFP intensity and apical areas of SG cells (n= 4 SGs; 396 cells). (D-D') *Rab7-EYFP* (an EYFP insertion at the N-terminus under the control of Rab7 regulatory sequences) SG immunostained for E-Cad (D) and GFP (D'). (D'', D''') Corresponding heat maps for apical areas of SG cells (D'') and intensity of Rab7-EYFP (D'''). (E-E''') Wild type SG immunostained for E-Cad (E) and Sec15 (E'). (E'', E''') Corresponding heat maps for apical areas of SG cells (E'') and intensity of Sec15 signals (E'''). (F) Negative correlation between the intensity of Sec15 and apical areas of SG cells (n= 5 SGs; 527 cells). Asterisks: invagination pit. White lines, SG boundary.

## Supplemental Figure 1

**Figure S2. Overexpression of spastin in the SG results in loss of acetylated-α-tubulin.** (A-B'') Confocal images of control (A-A'') and spastin-CFP-overexpressing (B-B'') SGs stained for phalloidin (red) and an antibody against acetylated α-tubulin (Ace-α-Tub; magenta). Spastin-CFP (green) signals show SG-specific overexpression of spastin by *fkh-Gal4*, which leads to a loss of MTs in the SG placode. Asterisks, invagination pit. (C-D) Confocal images of control (C) and spastin-overexpressing (D) SGs immunostained for E-Cad. (C'-D') Corresponding heat maps for apical areas in SGs in C and D. (E) Percentage and cumulative percentage of cells with different apical areas. Mann-Whitney U test (percentage of cells) and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (cumulative percentage of cells). n= 5 SGs (control, 517 cells; spastin overexpression, 549 cells). *, $p<0.05$; **, $p<0.01$. Asterisks: invagination pit. White lines: SG boundary.

# Supplemental Figure 2

**Figure S3. Verification of RNAi knockdown in the SG.** (A-D') Control (A-B') and *Rab11* RNAi (C-D') SGs immunostained for Sage (SG nuclei) and Rab11. Stage 11 (A, A', C, C') and stage 13 (B, B', D, D') SGs are shown. (E, E') Stage 11 control (E) and *crb* RNAi (C-D') SGs immunostained for Crb. (E'') Quantification of the junctional intensity of Crb. (F, F') Stage 11 control (E) and *E-Cad* RNAi (C-D') SGs immunostained for E-Cad. (F'') Quantification of the junctional intensity of E-Cad. n= 5 SGs for both in E'' and F''; 10 cells in the dorsal/posterior region of each SG. **, p< 0.01 (Welch's t-test). Asterisks, invagination pit.

# Supplemental Figure 3

**Figure S4. MTs play a role in apical localization of Rab11 and apical transport of Crb and E-Cad throughout SG formation.** (A-B''') Confocal images of stage 16 control (A-A''') and spastin-overexpressing (B-B''') SGs immunostained for Rab11 and Nuf. In control, Rab11 and Nuf localize in the apical region of SG cells (red arrowheads in A'' and A'''). In spastin-overexpressing SGs, Rab11 and Nuf are mislocalized to aggregates in the cytoplasm of cells (yellow arrowheads in B'-B'''). (C-E'') Confocal images of stage 13 control (C-C''), spastin-overexpressing (D-D'') and *Rab11* RNAi (E-E'') SGs immunostained for Sage (SG nuclei), E-Cad and Fog. (C'-E') Compared to strong E-Cad signals primarily at adherens junctions in the control SG (C'), strong lateral E-Cad signals are shown in spastin-overexpressing and *Rab11* RNAi SG (blue arrows in E'). Insets, higher magnification of the yellow boxed regions in C'-E'. (C''-E'') Compared to strong apical Fog signals in the control SG (C''), Fog signals are faint in spastin-overexpressing (D'') and *Rab11* RNAi (E'') SGs. (F-G''') Confocal images of stage 16 SGs stained for Crb and Rab11. (F-F''') Overexpression of Crb causes mislocalization of Crb (green) to all membrane domains and expands membranes. Rab11 is still enriched in the apical domain (red arrowheads). (G-G''') Co-overexpression of spastin and Crb results in mislocalization of Crb to large aggregates in the cytoplasm, which overlap with Rab11 (yellow arrowheads). (H-I''') Confocal images of stage 16 SGs immunostained for E-Cad and Rab11. (H-H''') In the control SG, the majority of E-Cad signals are detected at adherens junctions (red arrowheads). Small punctate E-Cad signals are occasionally shown in the basolateral region (blue arrows). (I-I''') Co-overexpression of E-Cad and spastin causes mislocalization of E-Cad to cytoplasmic aggregates, which overlap with Nuf (yellow arrowheads). White dashed line, cell and nuclear boundaries (A', B') and apical membrane (F', H', I'). Nu, nucleus.

## Supplemental Figure 4