Title page

Article type: Letters

Title: Low-disturbance farming regenerates healthy critical zone towards sustainable

agriculture

Running title: Low-disturbance farming, sustainable agriculture

Authors: Fangbo Deng[1,2#], Hongjun Wang[3#], Hongtu Xie[1#], Xuelian Bao[1], Hongbo

He[1], Xudong Zhang[1], Chao Liang[1]*

Affiliations:

[1] Key Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Management, Institute of Applied Ecology,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang 110016, China

[2] University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

[3] Duke University Wetland Center, Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke

University, Durham, NC 27708, USA

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work.

* **Corresponding author:** Chao Liang (Email: cliang823@gmail.com; Tel:

1-86-24-83978667; Fax: 1-86-24-83870376)

Email for coauthors: fangbodeng@gmail.com (FD), hjwang78@gmail.com (HW),

xieht@iae.ac.cn (HX), baoxl@iae.ac.cn (XB), hehongbo@iae.ac.cn (HH),

xdzhang@iae.ac.cn (XZ), cliang823@gmail.com (CL).

Statement of authorship: H.X., XZ and C.L. designed the experiment, F.D. did field

and lab measurements, F.D., H.W. and C.L analyzed data and wrote the manuscript,

and all the authors discussed results and commented on the manuscript.

Data accessibility statement: All sequencing data that support the findings of this

study have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database

(BioProject number: PRJNA488172). All other relevant data are available from the

corresponding author on request.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Number information: The manuscript (**27** pages) includes a title page, abstract (**138**

words), main text (**4973** words), **69** references, **6** figures and supporting information

files (4 figures, 3 tables).

Keywords: sustainable agriculture, no-tillage, stover mulching, microbial diversity

and function, deep soil.

Abstract

Intensive conventional farming has degraded soil quality in farmlands and other ecosystems globally. Although low-disturbance practices have been widely adapted to restore soil health and save energy, the underlying mechanisms associated with farm sustainability are still unclear. Here, we compared soil microbiome, physiochemical parameters along 3-m deep soil profiles, and crop yield in Northeast China subjected to ten years of farming practices at 3 levels of disturbance, including conventional tillage (CT), no-tillage without stover mulching (NTNS), and no-tillage with stover mulching (NTSM). We found that low-disturbance practices (NTNS and NTSM) promoted the ability of the soil to retain water and nitrogen, regenerated whole-soil microbial diversity and function, and significantly improved corn yield at the drought year. This study implies that the low-disturbance practice could substantially reduce energy consumption and cut greenhouse gas emissions, thus contributing to sustainable farming.

Introduction

Since the Industrial Revolution, the rate of soil carbon loss has increased dramatically, resulting in a global carbon debt due to agriculture of 116 Pg carbon for the top 2 m of soil(Sanderman *et al.* 2017). The loss of carbon in farmlands has not only changed global climate but also produced catastrophic cascade impacts on global food security, as soil carbon is the cornerstone for healthy and productive soil that will be needed to feed 10 billion people in 2050 (United Nations, World Population Prospects 2019). It is well known that intensive conventional farms with high energy inputs and disturbance (e.g. tillage/compaction, burn/remove stover) have caused soil carbon loss with a series of environmental issues(Congreves *et al.* 2015). Even worse, increasing the amount of chemical fertilizer is unlikely to continue the increase in quantity and quality of food products worldwide(Vitousek *et al.* 2009). Moreover, tillage particularly prevents root growth into deeper soil(Kemper *et al.* 2011), thus affecting mineral weathering in deep soil(Billings *et al.* 2018) and reducing crop resilience to drought.

Since the 1970s, low-disturbance conservative practices (e.g. reduced tillage, no-tillage and stover mulching) have been gradually applied to restore soil health and reduce non-point source pollution(Salem). Growing evidence shows that no-tillage and stover mulching boosted top-soil organic carbon (SOC)(Blanco-Canqui & Lal 2008; Lu *et al.* 2009; Liu *et al.* 2014), increased soil aggregate(Song *et al.* 2019) and reduced soil erosion and surface runoff(Prosdocimi *et al.* 2016). All these benefits from low-disturbance practices are tied with complex microbial processes that interact with crops and drive soil carbon transformation and stabilization(Schimel & Schaeffer 2012; Liang *et al.* 2017). However, most studies only focused on topsoil or soils within 1-m depth(Zhang *et al.* 2017; Nevins *et al.* 2018; Schmidt *et al.* 2018). Soil

below 1 meter, which belongs to Earth's Critical zone, was often overlooked despite

that some crops have roots over 1 meter deep and microbes in the deep soils (> 1 m)

may substantially impact long-term carbon sequestration, mineral weathering and crop

production(Eilers *et al.* 2012; Sagova-Mareckova *et al.* 2016; Pries *et al.* 2017).

Furthermore, deep roots influence material cycles in surficial soil and microbes

inhabiting in the deep soils, which plays important roles in bridging aboveground

vegetation with parent soils and even acts as an essential buffer protecting

underground water(Chorover *et al.* 2007). To completely evaluate the impact of

low-disturbance practices on agro-ecosystem, an urgent need is to test the changes

and functions of microbial communities in deep soil(Richter & Yaalon 2012). Since

microbial activities in deep soil are generally limited by the availability of labile

carbon, we hypothesized that low-disturbance practices are conducive to deeper root

growth, which could provide labile carbon and nutrients(Kemper *et al.* 2011) and

accelerate mineral weathering(Billings *et al.* 2018), thus substantially influencing the

microbial composition in the deep soils and in turn the sustainability of whole

ecosystem.

Recent research shows that corn belts in the U.S.A., western Europe, and China

have experienced the most soil carbon loss globally(Sanderman *et al.* 2017). The corn

belt in Northeast China is considered as the "breadbasket" of the country, having the

largest grain production and overlapping with the most fertile Mollisol region that

sustains 3% of population in the world(Liu *et al.* 2010), accounting for over 30% of

corn production of China(Liu *et al.* 2012). Here, a 10-year manipulative experiment

was conducted at a temperate corn farm in Northeast China, investigating farming

practices with three levels of disturbance: high-disturbance—conventional tillage

(CT), low disturbance—no-tillage without stover mulching (NTNS) and no-tillage

with 100% stover mulching (NTSM). We compared corn yield, soil properties and

microbial communities of the 3-m soil profiles at the end of 10 years. We aimed at

testing our main hypothesis that the lowest disturbance practice—no-tillage with 100%

stover mulching, similar to undisturbed natural ecosystem, would regenerate

microbial diversity and function toward a high-resilient natural ecosystem.

Materials and methods

Site description and soil sampling. The field experiment was established in 2007 at

the Lishu Conservation Tillage Research and Development Station of the Chinese

Academy of Sciences in Jilin province, Northeast China (43.19° N, 124.14° E). The

region has a humid continental climate with a mean annual temperature of 6.9 °C and

the mean annual precipitation of 614 mm. The soils are classified in the Mollisol

order (Black Soil in Chinese Soil Classification) with a clay loam texture(IUSS

Working Group 2006). The site has been continuously planted with maize since 2007.

We set up an experiment by a randomized complete block design with four replicates

and five treatments. Each plot area was $261m^2$ (8.7×30m). The five treatments

included conventional tillage (moldboard plowing to a depth around 30 cm and

removed the stover), no-tillage (no soil disturbance and direct seeding), and no-tillage

with three-level stover mulching (33%, 67% and 100% newly produced maize stover

were evenly spread over the soil surface each fall). For each treatment, slow-release

fertilizer was applied at one time when sowing, which was equal to 240 kg/ha N; 47

kg/ha P; 90 kg/ha K. The rainfall data were obtained from local meteorological

admistration. The grain yield was estimated by manually harvesting 20 m^2 area,

randomly taken from each plot.

In this experiment, in order to reduce the damage to the plots and reduce costs, 3

plots were randomly taken from each treatment including conventional tillage (CT),

no-tillage without stover mulching (NTNS), no-tillage with 100% stover coverage

(NTSM) as three comparative practices. In April 2017, triplicate soil cores (0-300 cm)

were collected from each plot at the dormant season. After removing surface stover,

we took soil cores by a stainless-steel hand auger and sliced each into ten layers: 0-10

cm, 10-20 cm, 20-40 cm, 40-60 cm, 60-90 cm, 90-120 cm, 120-150 cm, 150-200 cm,

200-250 cm, 250-300 cm. In total, 90 soil samples were collected and transported to

the laboratory within 3 hours, then passed through a 2-mm sieve. All visible roots,

crop residues and stones were removed. Each soil sample was divided into three

subsamples: one subsample for DNA extraction and soil salt-extractable organic

carbon (SEOC) measurement that was immediately placed into a polyethylene plastic

bag and stored at -80 °C, one for chemical measurements including ammonium

nitrogen (NH_4^+-N) and nitrate nitrogen (NO_3^--N) (within one day), and the remaining

one was air dried for other soil physicochemical properties.

Soil properties. Soil total nitrogen (TN) content was measured by an Element

analyzer Vario EL III (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany). Soil

organic carbon (SOC) was converted from soil organic matter (SOM) that was

measured by potassium dichromate oxidation(Nelson & Sommers 1982). Soil pH was

measured in deionized free-CO_2 water (1:2.5 w/v). Gravimetric soil moisture was

determined by oven-drying fresh soil to a constant weight at 105 °C. Soil NH_4^+-N and

NO_3^--N were extracted from fresh soil by 2 M KCl and measured by a continuous

flow analytical system (AA3, SEAI, Germany). To reflect soil soluble, exchangeable,

mineral-bound OC, soil salt-extractable organic carbon (SEOC) was extracted from

the frozen soil samples with 0.5 M K_2SO_4 (1:5 w/v)(Canadell *et al.* 1996b; Rousk &

Baath 2007; Kemper *et al.* 2011).

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and pyrosequencing. Soil DNA was extracted

from the frozen soil samples (0.5 g wet weight) by using MoBio PowerSoil DNA

isolation kit (MoBio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the instructions of

the manufacturer. The quality of DNA was determined by 1% agarose gel

electrophoresis. The V3–V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified by

PCR using the primers 338F and 806R with barcode for Illumina MiSeq sequencing.

PCR was performed in a total volume of 50 μl containing 30 ng DNA as a template,

20 mol of each primer, 10mM dNTPs, 5μl 10× Pyrobest buffer and 0.3 U of Pyrobest

polymerase (Takara Code: DR005A). The PCR cycle conditions were as follows:

initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min followed by 26 cycles of denaturation at 95°C

for 45s, annealing at 50°C for the 30s, and extension at 72°C for 45s, with a final

extension at 72°C for 10 min. Each sample was amplified for three replicates. The

PCR products from the same sample were pooled, checked by 2% agarose gel

electrophoresis and were then purified using AxyPrepDNA agarose purification kit

(AXYGEN). Finally, purified PCR products were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq

platform PE300 sequencer (Illumina, USA).

The raw sequence data were further analyzed by the following protocol.

Low-quality sequences with an average quality score of less than 20 were filtered by

employing Trimmomatic(Bolger *et al.* 2014). The FLASH software was used to

merge overlapping ends and treat them as single-end reads(Derakhshani *et al.* 2016).

The non-amplified region sequences, chimeras and shorter tags were also removed

using Usearch and Mothur(Kozich *et al.* 2013; Mysara *et al.* 2016). The resulting

high-quality sequences were clustered into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) at

97%   sequence   similarity   using   Usearch   (Version   8.1.1861

http://www.drive5.com/usearch/) (Edgar, 2013). OTUs were then classified against

the   Silva   (Release119   http://www.arb-silva.de)   database   and   the   taxonomic

information of each OTU representative sequence was annotated using the RDP

Classifier(Wang *et al.* 2007; Quast *et al.* 2012; Werner *et al.* 2012). A total of

3,255,693 high-quality reads were obtained from all soil samples, which were

clustered into 9,573 unique OTUs at a 97% sequence similarity. The Good's coverage

of all the samples ranged from 0.93 to 0.98, which indicates an adequate level of

sequencing to identify the majority of diversity in the samples.

Statistical analyses. Soil properties were analyzed and plotted using Sigmaplot 12.5

software. Alpha diversity indices were calculated in Qiime (version v.1.8) and used to

reflect the diversity and richness of the microbial community in different samples.

The relative abundances of individual phyla in different samples were computed by R

packages. The indicator analysis based on genera-specific to each soil depth was

conducted using indicspecies package of R with 9999 permutations, and the P-values

were corrected for multiple testing using qvalue package of R(Jiménez-Bueno *et al.*

2016; Zhang *et al.* 2017). Functional profiles of the microbial community were

predicted by Tax4fun (an open-source package in R)(Aßhauer *et al.* 2015) and further

statistical analysis was conducted by STAMP using Welch's t-test(Parks *et al.* 2014).

Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) was performed by "vegan" package of R

to describe differences in microbial community structure among samples.

Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was employed on

Bray-Curtis distances to test the differences in soil microbial communities among

various sample groups. The Redundancy analysis (RDA, Canoco 5 software) were

conducted to identify the correlations between microbial community composition and

environmental variables. One-way and two-way ANOVA tests were conducted by

SPSS Version 22. Percentage data were transformed using arcsine square root

function before ANOVA test. All statistical tests were significant at p ≤ 0.05.

Results

Soil properties and corn yield. Soil properties varied significantly among disturbance practices and at different soil depths (Fig. 1 and Table S1). The SOC, TN and C/N ratio substantially decreased from the soil surface to around 150 cm depths and then remained unchanged within 150-300 cm (Fig. 1). The NTSM slightly increased SOC, TN and C/N ratio at 0-20 cm soil layers compared with the NTNS and the CT (Fig. 1 and Table S1). The no-tillage practices of NTSM and NTNS reduced soil pH in surface and deeper layers (Fig. 1d) and increased soil moisture at surface layers (0-60 cm) (Fig. 1e). In the CT plots, soil NO_3^--N concentration first decreased and then increased remarkably, ranged from 4.19 to 23.32 mg kg^{-1} (Fig. 1i). However, under the NTNS and NTSM treatments, soil NO_3^--N decreased significantly at 0-40 cm then increased to the maximum at 120-150 cm depth. Interestingly, above 120-150 cm layer, NO_3^--N was significantly higher with low-disturbance practices than conventional tillage, while the soil below 150 cm under low-disturbance practices had much lower NO_3^--N compared to conventional tillage (Fig. 1i). The NTNS plots contained much higher amounts of ammonium than the CT and the NTSM plots (Fig. 1h). Soil salt-extractable organic carbon (SEOC) declined from the surface to 40-60 cm and then increase to its peak at 60-90 cm under CT, at 90-120 cm under NTNS and at 120-150 cm under NTSM (Fig. 1b). As large part of root-derived C contribute to dissolved organic C(Liang *et al.* 2002), SEOC as an organic acid proxy, positively associated with root density, can be an indicator of root depth in deep soil(Billings *et al.* 2018). Hence, we estimate that corn roots reached up to 60-90 cm, 90-120 cm and 120-150 cm under the CT, the NTNS and the NTSM, respectively, which is in line with reported corn root depths (~150 cm) (Canadell *et al.* 1996a; Kemper *et al.* 2011).

The NTSM increased the SEOC concentration at almost all soil layers compared with

the CT and the NTNS (Fig. 1b), in which at the surface and 120-150 cm depth the

contents of SEOC with NTSM were twice higher than CT. The increased SEOC in

deep soils under NTSM reduced soil pH as shown by a significant negative

relationship between SEOC and pH (r=0.678, p<0.05). The relative contributions of

SEOC to SOC (SEOC/SOC) in the NTSM were also always higher than in the CT and

the NTNS (Fig. 1c). Based on the estimated root depths, total soil inorganic nitrogen

available for the coming growing season in the NTSM and the NTNS was 427.34 and

352.34 kg ha^{-1}, respectively, while only 179.63 kg ha^{-1} in conventional tillage.

The mean annual corn yield (2013-2016) in the NTSM is 13416.8 kg/ha, which is

much higher than the CT and NTNS (Fig. 2), particularly during the drought year of

2015, with only 409.6 mm of rainfall during the growing season (about 100 mm lower

than the mean rainfall), while the corn yield in NTSM is 36.4% and 22.3% higher

than the CT and NTNS, respectively (Fig. 2).

Microbial diversity, composition, and structure. The microbial richness (Chao1),

observed number of species (Observed-species) and diversity (Shannon-Index) first

increased within 0-20 cm and decreased from 20 to 90 cm, then increased hereafter

(Fig.   3).   The   low-disturbance   practices   significantly   increased   Chao1,

Observed-species and Shannon-Index, particularly in 0-40 cm soil depths (Fig. 3).

There were 54 microbial phyla across all soil samples. The dominant phyla (relative

abundance > 1% across all soil samples) were Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria,

Chloroflexi, Acidobacteria, Nitrospirae, Gemmatimonadetes, Planctomycetes, and

these phyla accounted for 60-91% of the total microbial abundances in the whole soil

profile (Fig. S1a). Bacteroidetes, Verrucomicrobia, Latescibacteria, Parcubacteria,

Firmicutes, Microgenomates and Saccharibacteria were less dominant (relative

abundance > 0.1% across all soil samples) but were still found across all soil samples

(Fig. S1a). Although no difference in the composition of dominant phyla among

treatments were found, there are more non-dominant phyla with higher relative

abundance in low disturbance practices than conventional tillage practice (Fig. S1b).

Indicator analysis identified 16 and 51 clearly classified genera (relative

abundances > 0.005%) in the NTNS and the NTSM plots, respectively, while no

indicator genera were found in the conventional tillage plots (Fig. 4 and Table S2).

The indicator genera in the NTNS plots belonged to Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria,

Chloroflexi, Gemmatimonadetes and Planctomycetes, and most of them appeared in

the surface soil (0-20 cm) with only 1 genus below 150 cm. Importantly, more extra

indicator genera — including Bacteroidetes, Acidobacteria, Deferribacteres,

Firmicutes, Verrucomicrobia, Chlorobi and Spirochaetae — existed in the NTSM

plots, in which under 150 cm we observed 7 genera (Fig. 4 and Table S2).

Microbial community structures were visualized by Non-metric multidimensional

scaling (MDS) and tested by Permutational multivariate analysis of variance

(PERMANOVA) based on Bray–Curtis. The microbial communities among

treatments in the root zones were marginally different (PERMANOVA p=0.08);

however, below the root zone they differed distinctively (PERMANOVA p=0.02). The

disturbance practices influenced the vertical distribution dissimilarity in microbial

community structure (Fig. 5). Three clusters — 0-10 cm and 10-20 cm, 20-150 cm

and 150-300 cm — were observed in the CT plots (PERMANOVA-F=9.57, p=0.0001)

(Fig. 5). In the NTNS plots, 0-10 cm formed an independent cluster, while other soil

depths showed some separation (e.g. 20-120 cm were separated from 150-300 cm soil

depths by axis 1); however, Bray-Curtis distances between adjacent depths were too

close to be separated (PERMANOVA-F=8.18, p=0.0001) (Fig. 5). The NTSM

treatment clustered 0-10 cm and 10-20 cm together, 120-150 cm, 150-200 cm,

200-250 cm and 250-300 cm separately, and the other depths show some separations

as well (PERMANOVA-F=11.32, p=0.0001) (Fig. 5).

Predicted Ecological functions of microbial communities. According to the results

of microbial diversity, composition and structure, the metabolic capabilities of

microbial community in the whole 3-m soil profiles were predicted using Tax4Fun

(Fig. S2). Results showed that low-disturbance practices significantly increased the

abundance of predicted functions related to carbohydrate metabolism, nucleotide

metabolism, glycan biosynthesis and metabolism, lipid metabolism and metabolism

related to cofactors and vitamins (Fig. S2a). Moreover, the relative abundances of

genes encoding for assimilatory nitrate reduction in low-disturbance practices were

higher than that in conventional tillage practice (Fig. S3). The results suggested that in

low disturbance practices, microbial community prefer to convert the nitrate/nitrite to

ammonia. We then further assessed the impact of stover mulching on functional

profiles (Fig. S2b). The extended error bar plot shows that the NTNS enriched the

abundance of amino acid metabolism and lipid metabolism, while the NTSM enriched

the functions associated to energy metabolism, carbohydrate metabolism, biosynthesis

of secondary metabolites, glycan biosynthesis and metabolism as well as metabolism

of cofactors and vitamins (Fig. S2b).

Relationships between microbial communities and soil properties. Forward

selection in Redundancy analysis (RDA) revealed that soil depth (pseudo-F=48, p=

0.002), SOC (pseudo-F=11.5, p= 0.002), SM (pseudo-F=3.4, p= 0.012), soil pH

(pseudo-F=2.3, p=0.018) and soil NH_4^+-N (pseudo-F=2.7, p= 0.026) significantly

affected the vertical distribution of microbial communities (Fig. S4). Furthermore, the

soil properties that regulated the distribution of soil microbes were different under

different disturbance practices. Under the CT treatment, soil microbial community

was mainly affected by soil NH_4^+-N (pseudo-F=4, p= 0.002) and soil NO_3^--N

(pseudo-F=2.3, p= 0.012) that mainly came from applied fertilizer (Fig. 6). The

microbial community positively correlated to soil NH_4^+-N in the 0-20 cm soil, to soil

NO_3^--N negatively within 20-150 cm, while to soil NO_3^--N positively after 150 cm

(Fig. 6). Under the NTNS treatment, soil pH (pseudo-F=3.7, p=0.004) constrained the

distribution of the microbial community, in which strong negative correlations

occurred in 0-10 cm soil and a positive correlation in 90-150 cm (Fig. 6). Under the

NTSM treatment, soil TN (pseudo-F=11, p=0.002), SM (pseudo-F=2.6, p=0.004) and

C/N ratio (pseudo-F=1.8, p=0.016) significantly influenced the soil microbial

community separation (Fig. 6). In general, the microbes positively correlated with the

soil TN and C/N ratio in the surface soil layers (0-40 cm) and with SM in the middle

layers (40-150 cm), while they were mainly influenced by depth in the deeper soil

(150-300 cm) (Fig. 6).

Discussion

No-tillage practices promote soil health and corn yield. No-tillage promotes root

growth into deep soil, up to 150 cm in the NTSM. The root exudates with various

organic acid and dead roots likely contributed to the lower soil pH and higher SEOC

in the NTNS and the NTSM, which in turn increased mineral weathering(Billings *et*

al. 2018) and diversified the microbial communities with multi-ecological functions.

The increased fine roots in deeper soil retained more nutrients including nutrients in

dead roots and converting nitrate to more stable ammonium (Fig. S3) and also

provided labile carbon (Fig. 1b) to remove leaked nitrate through denitrification in

deeper soil (below 1.5 m), as higher relative abundance of the denitrification bacteria

(*Pseudomonas* and *Caldithrix*)(Koike & Hattori 1975; Miroshnichenko *et al.* 2003)

(Fig. 4 and Table. S2) and denitrification genes (Fig. S3) were detected in low

disturbance practices — particularly in no-tillage with stover mulching. However,

shallower roots in the CT treatment can't provide enough labile carbon to remove

extra soil NO_3^--N in deep soil, thus causing nitrite accumulation and leaching into

deeper soil layers. The amount of inorganic nitrogen accumulated in the root zones

under NTSM (427.34 kg ha^{-1}) likely could provide plenty of nitrogen for corn growth

in the coming growing season (Fig. 2), based on the removed nitrogen in the grain

(~200 kg ha^{-1}). Additionally, in line with many studies that show stover mulching

reduces water evaporation and surface runoff and increase soil moisture in top

soils(De Vita *et al.* 2007; Prosdocimi *et al.* 2016), we found that the soil moisture was

significantly higher in the NTSM than in the CT plots. Therefore, no-tillage with

stover mulching not only restores soil health by increasing the holding capacities for

nutrients and water, thus reducing energy input to farm, but also tended to reduce the

risk of nitrate leaching to groundwater. And more importantly, the healthy soil in turn

raises corn production and promote the crop resistance to drought (Fig. 2). All these

are critical to the development of sustainable agriculture and the associated

ecosystems.

No-tillage with stover mulching promotes microbial diversity, richness, and

ecological function contributing to sustainable farming. Under the CT treatment,

tillage heavily disturbed the topsoil and liberated occluded organic materials.

Microbes tended to rapidly use available nutrients in the plowed layer (e.g.

NH_4^+-N)(Ramirez-Villanueva *et al.* 2015), thereby causing the reduction of microbial

metabolic diversity (Fig. S2a). Then, the resistance of the soil to stress or disturbance

may also decrease(Kremen 2005). In deeper soil layers, due to shallower roots,

NO_3^--N could quickly move downward and accumulate in deeper soil (Fig. 1i), which

not only contaminated the underground water but also limited the activity of

non-dominant microbes with important ecological functions, as no indicator genera

were identified for each soil depth in CT treatment (Fig. 4 and Table S2). Because the

microbial communities were closely associated with inorganic nitrogen, the microbes

under CT were mainly influenced by added chemical fertilizer. Although the dominant

microbial communities in CT were similar to those in the NTNS and NTSM, the loss

of function resulted from the difference of non-dominant microbes, indicating that the

soil under CT had degraded.

Under the NTNS treatment, soil pH was the major edaphic factor affecting the

microbial community and the indicator genera (Fig. 6 and Table S2). The lower soil

pH possibly was caused by deeper roots as shown by higher SEOC that is generally

positively related to root density(Billings *et al.* 2018). Soil pH is often observed as a

major factor determining the microbial composition and structure in natural

ecosystems(Lauber *et al.* 2009; Zhalnina *et al.* 2015), as microbes often show a

narrow tolerance to soil pH. In addition, soil pH regulates the availability of nutrient

and mitigate ion toxicity(Lauber *et al.* 2009; Rousk *et al.* 2010; Zhalnina *et al.* 2015).

Under NTNS, soil pH and depth only explained 35% distribution of the microbial

community (Fig. 6). We speculated that other edaphic factors (e.g. salinity and iron)

directly or indirectly related to soil pH and SEOC also influenced the changes in the

microbial community.

Under NTSM treatment, TN and C/N significantly correlated with soil microbial

community due to the high C/N ratio of stover and roots (Fig. 6). Prior studies have

reported that, following maize stover mulching, more organic N, amino acid N, and

amino sugar N were observed in soil(Liu *et al.* 2016; Lu *et al.* 2018), which increased

the retention time of nitrogen, hence meeting the nutrient requirement of corn growth

and reducing nitrate loss to underground water. The increased available nitrogen,

labile carbon and water in deep soil under NTSM can increase the resilience and

resistance of maize to disturbances with higher grain production (Fig. 2). Zhang et

al.(Zhang *et al.* 2013a) also observed litter-covered soil showed greater resistance to

heating and copper addition due to the changes in soil properties and microbial

community structure. Resistance to disturbance or stresses is the nature of a healthy

soil and is essential for maintaining ecosystem functions, such as decomposing

organic matter (Kibblewhite *et al.* 2008; Zhang *et al.* 2013a). Under the NTSM

treatment, the microorganisms associated with the degradation of relatively stable

carbon compounds, such as Planctomycetes and Verrucomicrobia (Table

S3)(Herlemann *et al.* 2013; Erbilgin *et al.* 2014) as well as the indicator *Cellulomonas*

and *Azospirillum* (Fig. 4 and Table S2) with the function of cellulose

decomposition(Pathma *et al.* ; Halsall & Goodchild 1986) were increased. The

predicted functional profiles related to energy metabolism (Carbon fixation pathways

in prokaryotes), carbohydrate metabolism (TCA cycle, amino sugar, nucleotide sugar,

galactose, fructose), biosynthesis of secondary metabolites (Carotenoid and Betalain)

and glycan biosynthesis were increased, suggesting a higher metabolic activity and a

change in substrate quality (Fig. S2). In addition, stover mulching also increased the

ecological filter function of soil depth for selecting microbial communities as more

indicator genera of each soil depths were identified under NTSM compared to NTNS

and CT practices (Fig. 4 and Table S2). And these indicators residing at different soil

depths might enhance the anti-disturbance ability of NTSM. For example,

denitrification bacteria *Caldithrix* and *Pseudomonas*(Koike & Hattori 1975;

Miroshnichenko *et al.* 2003) were the indicator genera of 150-200 cm and 250-300

cm, respectively (Fig. 4 and Table S2), which might explain the low nitrate in the

deep soil in NTSM. *Ignavibacteria* and *Spirochaeta,* the indicator genera of deep soil,

have the ability to grow under the conditions of strictly anaerobic(Iino *et al.* 2010)

and severely limited nutrients(Terracciano & Canale-Parola 1984), respectively.

Surface indicator genera belonging to Bacteroidetes might have the ability to degrade

organic matter that is difficult to decompose(Thomas *et al.* 2011).

Implications for climate change. It was observed that about 179.63, 352.34 and

427.34 kg ha^{-1} inorganic N were kept in the root-zone soil in the CT, NTNS and

NTSM, respectively. Generally, corn roots reach their maximum depth at the silking

stage(Archontoulis & Licht 2017), which is also the time when the heaviest rainfall

occurs in northeastern China. We therefore expect that the available N kept in the root

zone would be utilized by crops in the coming growing season, which means that

fertilizer N could be cut to meet crop growth in, at least, Northeast China and also

prevent reactive N losses. Since the nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) of maize system

under the conventional management is 51% in northeast China (NUE is defined as the

efficiency of fertilizer N transferring to harvested crop N)(Zhang *et al.* 2019). Then, we

conservatively calculate the required fertilizer N in the next year based on two

assumptions: 1) the NUE of soil available N in root zone is equal to that NUE of

applied fertilizer N, both of them are 50%; 2) the mineralized N during the coming

growing season is neglected. Thus, N supply requirement = Fertilizer N×NUE + N in

root zone ×NUE + Stover-N, where Stover-N for NTSM is 60 kg ha^{-1}. We estimated

the N requirement for each disturbance practice by multiplying grain yield by grain N

concentration (1.4%)(Zhang *et al.* 2019) plus multiplying stover yield by stover N

concentration (0.8%)(Izewska & Woloszyk 2015). For CT, NTNS and NTSM, the mean

annual corn yields were 10946.74, 12487.81 and 13416.81 kg ha^{-1}, and the stover

yields were 966.67, 10083.33 and 10833.33 kg ha^{-1}, respectively. Thus, the N

requirements were 230.6, 255.5 and 274.5 kg ha^{-1} for CT, NTNS and NTSM,

respectively. Therefore, the theoretically conservative amounts of fertilizer N in the

coming growing season are 281.6, 158.7 and 1.7 kg ha^{-1} for CT, NTNS and NTSM,

respectively. No fertilizer-N is needed to apply without reducing corn yield in the

NTSM plot. Compared to CT, the NTNS and NTSM could at least save respectively

about 122.9 and 281.6 kg ha^{-1} N-fertilizer. For every kilogram of fertilizer-N produced

and used on cropland, up to 87.9 MJ of energy is consumed(Kennedy 2000) and 13.5

kg of CO$_2$-equivalent (eq) (CO$_2$-eq) is emitted(Zhang *et al.* 2013b). Hence, totally

24,752.6 MJ of energy consumption could be reduced and 3,801.6 kg CO$_2$-eq

emission could be cut per hectare cornland in Northeast China at least by using

NTSM tillage practice. If this could be applied to all maize farmland in China

(42,000,000 ha, Source: China Statistics Yearbook 2018), 1.0 EJ of energy could be

saved and 159.7 Mt of CO$_2$-eq could be reduced. Based on the average annual energy

consumption for households of China in 2017 (15 EJ, China Statistics Yearbook 2018)

and CO$_2$ emissions (9,839 Mt, Global Carbon Atlas), the NTSM practice in corn

farming has the potential to save 6.7% of household energy and to reduce 1.6% of

CO$_2$ emissions each year in China. While the saved fertilizer-N and energy were

estimated based on a series of fair simplifications with compromising rigorous

complexity and deviate existed with the real situation, further long-term and

large-scale experiments are needed.

Taken together, we provide new evidence that low-disturbance practice promotes

deep-soil stability to cope with environmental stress through increasing water and

nutrient holding capacity, microbial richness, microbial diversity and ecological

functions. According to ecological theory(Stegen *et al.* 2012; Goss-Souza *et al.* 2017),

microbial community assembly in the CT treatment was mainly based on

deterministic processes and significantly influenced by environmental stress and

fertilizer nitrogen. Stover mulching might alter these processes through deeper roots

affecting the vertical heterogeneity in resource availability(Kemper *et al.* 2011). When

energy resources are richer in the soil, environmental stress tend to alleviate(Feng *et*

al. 2017), and higher biodiversity was caused due to more stochastic processes

introduced in community assembly(Chase 2010). Moreover, low disturbance practice

also showed the potential to increase the maize yield (Fig. 2), save energy, and

decrease the risk of groundwater leaching and greenhouse gas emissions. In view of

the importance of microbial community assembly in predicting ecosystem service

functions(Ferrenberg *et al.* 2013; García-Orenes *et al.* 2013), our results demonstrated

that the lowest disturbance-practice — no-tillage with stover mulching increases the

sustainability of agro-ecosystems.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. William H. Schlesinger at the Cary Institute of Ecosystem

Studies for his comments and Dr. Randy Neighbarger at Duke University for language

editing. This work was supported by the "National Key R&D Program" (No.

2016YFD0800103, 2016YFD0200307) and the National Natural Science Foundation

of China (grant number, 41671297). We would like to thank Pengshuai Shao,

Xuesong Ma and many individuals for assistance with sample collection, processing

and analysis.

References

Archontoulis, S. & Licht, M.A. (2017). How Fast and Deep do Corn Roots Grow in Iowa?

Integrated Crop Management News, 2442.

Aßhauer, K.P., Wemheuer, B., Daniel, R. & Meinicke, P. (2015). Tax4Fun: predicting

functional profiles from metagenomic 16S rRNA data. *Bioinformatics*, 31, 2882-2884.

Billings, S.A., Hirmas, D., Sullivan, P.L., Lehmeier, C.A., Bagchi, S., Min, K. *et al.* (2018). Loss

of deep roots limits biogenic agents of soil development that are only partially restored

by decades of forest regeneration. *Elem Sci Anth*, 6, 34.

Blanco-Canqui, H. & Lal, R. (2008). No-tillage and soil-profile carbon sequestration: An

on-farm assessment. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 72, 693-701.

Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 30, 2114-2120.

Canadell, J., Jackson, R., Ehleringer, J., Mooney, H., Sala, O. & Schulze, E.-D. (1996a).

Maximum rooting depth of vegetation types at the global scale. *Oecologia*, 108,

583-595.

Canadell, J., Jackson, R.B., Ehleringer, J.B., Mooney, H.A., Sala, O.E. & Schulze, E.-D.

(1996b). Maximum rooting depth of vegetation types at the global scale. *Oecologia*,

108, 583-595.

Chase, J.M. (2010). Stochastic community assembly causes higher biodiversity in more

productive environments. *Science*, 328, 1388-1391.

Chorover, J., Kretzschmar, R., Garcia-Pichel, F. & Sparks, D.L. (2007). Soil biogeochemical

processes within the critical zone. *Elements*, 3, 321-326.

Congreves, K., Hayes, A., Verhallen, E. & Van Eerd, L. (2015). Long-term impact of tillage and

crop rotation on soil health at four temperate agroecosystems. *Soil Tillage Res.*, 152,

17-28.

De Vita, P., Di Paolo, E., Fecondo, G., Di Fonzo, N. & Pisante, M. (2007). No-tillage and

conventional tillage effects on durum wheat yield, grain quality and soil moisture

content in southern Italy. *Soil Tillage Res.*, 92, 69-78.

Derakhshani, H., Tun, H.M. & Khafipour, E. (2016). An extended single-index multiplexed 16S

rRNA sequencing for microbial community analysis on MiSeq illumina platforms. *J.*

Basic Microbiol., 56, 321-326.

Eilers, K.G., Debenport, S., Anderson, S. & Fierer, N. (2012). Digging deeper to find unique

microbial communities: the strong effect of depth on the structure of bacterial and

archaeal communities in soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 50, 58-65.

Erbilgin, O., McDonald, K.L. & Kerfeld, C.A. (2014). Characterization of a planctomycetal

organelle: a novel bacterial microcompartment for the aerobic degradation of plant

saccharides. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 80, 2193-2205.

Feng, Y., Guo, Z., Zhong, L., Zhao, F., Zhang, J. & Lin, X. (2017). Balanced fertilization

decreases environmental filtering on soil bacterial community assemblage in north

China. *Front. Microbiol.*, 8, 2376.

Ferrenberg, S., O'neill, S.P., Knelman, J.E., Todd, B., Duggan, S., Bradley, D. *et al.* (2013).

Changes in assembly processes in soil bacterial communities following a wildfire

disturbance. *ISME J.*, 7, 1102.

García-Orenes, F., Morugán-Coronado, A., Zornoza, R. & Scow, K. (2013). Changes in soil

microbial community structure influenced by agricultural management practices in a

Mediterranean agro-ecosystem. *PloS ONE*, 8, e80522.

Goss-Souza, D., Mendes, L.W., Borges, C.D., Baretta, D., Tsai, S.M. & Rodrigues, J.L. (2017).

Soil microbial community dynamics and assembly under long-term land use change.

FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 93, fix109.

Halsall, D.M. & Goodchild, D.J. (1986). Nitrogen fixation associated with development and

localization of mixed populations of Cellulomonas sp. and Azospirillum brasilense

grown on cellulose or wheat straw. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 51, 849-854.

Herlemann, D.P., Lundin, D., Labrenz, M., Jürgens, K., Zheng, Z., Aspeborg, H. *et al.* (2013).

Metagenomic de novo assembly of an aquatic representative of the verrucomicrobial

class Spartobacteria. *MBio*, 4, e00569-00512.

Iino, T., Mori, K., Uchino, Y., Nakagawa, T., Harayama, S. & Suzuki, K.-i. (2010).

Ignavibacterium album gen. nov., sp. nov., a moderately thermophilic anaerobic

bacterium isolated from microbial mats at a terrestrial hot spring and proposal of

Ignavibacteria classis nov., for a novel lineage at the periphery of green sulfur bacteria.

Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol., 60, 1376-1382.

IUSS Working Group, W. (2006). World reference base for soil resources. *World Soil*

Resources Report, 103, FAO, Rome.

Izewska, A. & Woloszyk, C. (2015). Yields of grain and straw, their content and ionic

proportions of macroelements in maize fertilized with ash from municipal sewage

sludge combustion. *J Elementology*, 20, 319-329.

Jiménez-Bueno, N., Valenzuela-Encinas, C., Marsch, R., Ortiz-Gutiérrez, D., Verhulst, N.,

Govaerts, B. *et al.* (2016). Bacterial indicator taxa in soils under different long-term

agricultural management. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 120, 921-933.

Kemper, W.D., Schneider, N.N. & Sinclair, T.R. (2011). No-till can increase earthworm

populations and rooting depths. *J. Soil Water Conserv.*, 66, 13A-17A.

Kennedy, S. (2000). Energy use in American agriculture. *Sustainable energy term paper*, 5,

1-26.

Kibblewhite, M., Ritz, K. & Swift, M. (2008). Soil health in agricultural systems. *Philos Trans R*

Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 363, 685-701.

Koike, I. & Hattori, A. (1975). Growth yield of a denitrifying bacterium, Pseudomonas

denitrificans, under aerobic and denitrifying conditions. *Microbiology*, 88, 1-10.

Kozich, J.J., Westcott, S.L., Baxter, N.T., Highlander, S.K. & Schloss, P.D. (2013).

Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing

amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. *Appl. Environ.*

Microbiol., 79, 5112-5120.

Kremen, C. (2005). Managing ecosystem services: what do we need to know about their

549   ecology? *Ecol. Lett.*, 8, 468-479.

550   Lauber, C.L., Hamady, M., Knight, R. & Fierer, N. (2009). Pyrosequencing-based assessment

551   of soil pH as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the continental scale.

552   *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 75, 5111-5120.

553   Liang, B., Wang, X. & Ma, B. (2002). Maize root-induced change in soil organic carbon pools.

554   *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 66, 845-847.

555   Liang, C., Schimel, J.P. & Jastrow, J.D. (2017). The importance of anabolism in microbial

556   control over soil carbon storage. *Nat. Microbiol.*, 2, 17105.

557   Liu, C., Lu, M., Cui, J., Li, B. & Fang, C. (2014). Effects of straw carbon input on carbon

558   dynamics in agricultural soils: a meta-analysis. *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 20, 1366-1381.

559   Liu, X., Hu, G., He, H., Liang, C., Zhang, W., Bai, Z. *et al.* (2016). Linking microbial

560   immobilization of fertilizer nitrogen to in situ turnover of soil microbial residues in an

561   agro-ecosystem. *Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.*, 229, 40-47.

562   Liu, X., Zhang, X., Wang, Y., Sui, Y., Zhang, S., Herbert, S. *et al.* (2010). Soil degradation: a

563   problem threatening the sustainable development of agriculture in Northeast China.

564   *Plant Soil Environ.*, 56, 87-97.

565   Liu, Z., Yang, X., Hubbard, K.G. & Lin, X. (2012). Maize potential yields and yield gaps in the

566   changing climate of northeast China. *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 18, 3441-3454.

567   Lu, C., Chen, H., Teng, Z., Yuan, L., Ma, J., He, H. *et al.* (2018). Effects of N fertilization and

568   maize straw on the dynamics of soil organic N and amino acid N derived from fertilizer

569   N as indicated by 15 N labeling. *Geoderma*, 321, 118-126.

Lu, F.E.I., Wang, X., Han, B., Ouyang, Z., Duan, X., Zheng, H.U.A. *et al.* (2009). Soil carbon

sequestrations by nitrogen fertilizer application, straw return and no-tillage in China's

cropland. *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 15, 281-305.

Miroshnichenko, M.L., Kostrikina, N.A., Chernyh, N.A., Pimenov, N.V., Tourova, T.P., Antipov,

A.N. *et al.* (2003). Caldithrix abyssi gen. nov., sp. nov., a nitrate-reducing, thermophilic,

anaerobic bacterium isolated from a Mid-Atlantic Ridge hydrothermal vent, represents

a novel bacterial lineage. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.*, 53, 323-329.

Mysara, M., Leys, N., Raes, J. & Monsieurs, P. (2016). IPED: a highly efficient denoising tool

for Illumina MiSeq Paired-end 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing data. *BMC*

Bioinformatics, 17, 192.

Nelson, D. & Sommers, L.E. (1982). Total carbon, organic carbon, and organic matter. In:

Methods of soil analysis,Part 2 (2nd). (eds. Page, A, Miller, R & Keeney, D). American

Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America Madison, WI, pp. 539-579.

Nevins, C.J., Nakatsu, C. & Armstrong, S. (2018). Characterization of microbial community

response to cover crop residue decomposition. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 127, 39-49.

Parks, D.H., Tyson, G.W., Hugenholtz, P. & Beiko, R.G. (2014). STAMP: statistical analysis of

taxonomic and functional profiles. *Bioinformatics*, 30, 3123-3124.

Pathma, J., Raman, G. & Sakthivel, N. Microbiome of Rhizospheric Soil and Vermicompost

and Their Applications in Soil Fertility, Pest and Pathogen Management for

Sustainable Agriculture. *189-210 (Springer, Singapore, 2019).*

Pries, C.E.H., Castanha, C., Porras, R. & Torn, M. (2017). The whole-soil carbon flux in

response to warming. *Science*, 355, 1420-1423.

Prosdocimi, M., Jordán, A., Tarolli, P., Keesstra, S., Novara, A. & Cerdà, A. (2016). The

immediate effectiveness of barley straw mulch in reducing soil erodibility and surface

runoff generation in Mediterranean vineyards. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 547, 323-330.

Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P. *et al.* (2012). The SILVA

ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based

tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 41, D590-D596.

Ramirez-Villanueva, D.A., Bello-López, J.M., Navarro-Noya, Y.E., Luna-Guido, M., Verhulst,

N., Govaerts, B. *et al.* (2015). Bacterial community structure in maize residue

amended soil with contrasting management practices. *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 90, 49-59.

Richter, D.d.B. & Yaalon, D.H. (2012). " The Changing Model of Soil" revisited. *Soil Sci. Soc.*

Am. J., 76, 766-778.

Rousk, J. & Baath, E. (2007). Fungal and bacterial growth in soil with plant materials of

different C/N ratios. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 62, 258-267.

Rousk, J., Bååth, E., Brookes, P.C., Lauber, C.L., Lozupone, C., Caporaso, J.G. *et al.* (2010).

Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil. *ISME J.*,

4, 1340.

Sagova-Mareckova, M., Zadorova, T., Penizek, V., Omelka, M., Tejnecky, V., Pruchova, P. *et*

al. (2016). The structure of bacterial communities along two vertical profiles of a deep

colluvial soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 101, 65-73.

Salem, M.A. Economics of reduced tillage technology on soil conservation and risk analysis for

Eastern Oklahoma farmers. *(Oklahoma State University, 1983)*.

Sanderman, J., Hengl, T. & Fiske, G.J. (2017). Soil carbon debt of 12,000 years of human land

use. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 114, 9575-9580.

Schimel, J. & Schaeffer, S.M. (2012). Microbial control over carbon cycling in soil. *Front.*

Microbiol., 3, 348.

Schmidt, R., Gravuer, K., Bossange, A.V., Mitchell, J. & Scow, K. (2018). Long-term use of

cover crops and no-till shift soil microbial community life strategies in agricultural soil.

PloS ONE, 13, e0192953.

Song, K., Zheng, X., Lv, W., Qin, Q., Sun, L., Zhang, H. *et al.* (2019). Effects of tillage and

straw return on water-stable aggregates, carbon stabilization and crop yield in an

estuarine alluvial soil. *Sci. Rep.*, 9, 4586.

Stegen, J.C., Lin, X., Konopka, A.E. & Fredrickson, J.K. (2012). Stochastic and deterministic

assembly processes in subsurface microbial communities. *ISME J.*, 6, 1653.

Terracciano, J. & Canale-Parola, E. (1984). Enhancement of chemotaxis in Spirochaeta

aurantia grown under conditions of nutrient limitation. *J. Bacteriol.*, 159, 173-178.

Thomas, F., Hehemann, J.-H., Rebuffet, E., Czjzek, M. & Michel, G. (2011). Environmental

and gut bacteroidetes: the food connection. *Front. Microbiol.*, 2, 93.

Vitousek, P.M., Naylor, R., Crews, T., David, M.B., Drinkwater, L., Holland, E. *et al.* (2009).

Nutrient imbalances in agricultural development. *Science*, 324, 1519-1520.

Wang, Q., Garrity, G.M., Tiedje, J.M. & Cole, J.R. (2007). Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid

assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Appl. Environ.*

Microbiol., 73, 5261-5267.

Werner, J.J., Koren, O., Hugenholtz, P., DeSantis, T.Z., Walters, W.A., Caporaso, J.G. *et al.*

(2012). Impact of training sets on classification of high-throughput bacterial 16s rRNA

gene surveys. *ISME J.*, 6, 94.

637 Zhalnina, K., Dias, R., de Quadros, P.D., Davis-Richardson, A., Camargo, F.A., Clark, I.M. *et*

638    *al.* (2015). Soil pH determines microbial diversity and composition in the park grass

639    experiment. *Microb. Ecol.*, 69, 395-406.

640 Zhang, B., Penton, C.R., Xue, C., Quensen, J.F., Roley, S.S., Guo, J. *et al.* (2017). Soil depth

641    and crop determinants of bacterial communities under ten biofuel cropping systems.

642    *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 112, 140-152.

643 Zhang, B., Wang, H., Yao, S. & Bi, L. (2013a). Litter quantity confers soil functional resilience

644    through mediating soil biophysical habitat and microbial community structure on an

645    eroded bare land restored with mono Pinus massoniana. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 57,

646    556-567.

647 Zhang, C., Ju, X., Powlson, D.S., Oenema, O. & Smith, P. (2019). Nitrogen surplus

648    benchmarks for controlling N pollution in the main cropping systems of China. *Environ.*

649    *Sci. Technol.*, 53, 6678-6687.

650 Zhang, W.-f., Dou, Z.-x., He, P., Ju, X.-T., Powlson, D., Chadwick, D. *et al.* (2013b). New

651    technologies reduce greenhouse gas emissions from nitrogenous fertilizer in China.

652    *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 110, 8375-8380.

653 **Supporting information**

654 Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information

655 section at the end of the article.

 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Soil properties (mean±SE, n = 3) along soil depth under different practices.

SOC = soil organic carbon, SEOC = salt-extractable organic carbon, SEOC/SOC =

ratio of SEOC to SOC, SM = soil moisture, TN = total nitrogen content, C/N = ratio

of SOC to TN, NH_4^+-N = ammonium nitrogen, NO_3^--N = nitrate nitrogen, Inorganic N

= NH_4^+-N + NO_3^--N.

Figure 2. Corn yield (line+symbol) and annual rainfall during growing and dormant

seasons (bar) under different disturbance practices during 2013-2016. Error bars

indicate standard errors (n = 3 or 4), different letters indicate significant differences at

P < 0.05.

Figure 3. Microbial richness (Chao1), observed number of species (Observed_species)

and diversity (Shannon_Index) in the CT (conventional tillage), NTNS (no-tillage

without stover mulching) and NTSM (no-tillage with stover mulching) plots. Error

bars indicate standard deviation (n = 3).

Figure 4. Indicator genera significantly (q < 0.1) associated with tillage practices. The

size of each circle represents the indicator value of a specific genus in the different

soil depths. The color indicates the relative abundance of each indicator genus.

Taxonomic information, indicator values, P-values, and q-values of all indicator

genera are given in Table S2. Zero indicator genera were identified in CT treatment.

Figure 5. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordination of soil microbial

community structures based on Bray-Curtis distances among soil depths at different

agricultural disturbance practices. Permutational multivariate analysis of variance

(PERMANOVA) revealed that the overall microbial community structures among soil

depth were significantly different at each disturbance practice. Circles, triangles and

squares represent CT (conventional tillage), NTNS (no-tillage without stover

mulching) and NTSM (no-tillage with stover mulching), respectively.

Figure 6. Redundancy analysis (RDA) of the soil microbial community originating

from microbial phyla constrained by soil properties under different agricultural

practices. Only soil variables that significantly explained variability in microbial

community structure in the forward selection procedure were selected to the

ordination (arrows). TN, total nitrogen content; C/N, a ratio of carbon to nitrogen

content; NH_4^+-N, ammonium nitrogen; NO_3^--N, nitrate nitrogen ; SM, soil moisture.

Circles, triangles and squares represent CT (conventional tillage), NTNS (no-tillage

without stover mulching) and NTSM (no-tillage with stover mulching), respectively.

NTNS Treatment

NTSM Treatment