Leveraging pleiotropy to discover and interpret GWAS results for sleep-associated traits

Sung Chun[1,2,3,4,25], Sebastian Akle[1,3,5,25], Athanasios Teodosiadis[3], Brian E. Cade[6,7,8], Heming

Wang[6,7,8], Tamar Sofer[6,9], Daniel S. Evans[10], Katie L. Stone[10], Sina A. Gharib[11,12], Sutapa

Mukherjee[13,14], Lyle J Palmer[15], David Hillman[16,17], Jerome I. Rotter[18], Craig L. Hanis[19], John A.

Stamatoyannopoulos[3,20], Susan Redline[6,7,21], Chris Cotsapas[3,8,22,23,26,*],

Shamil R. Sunyaev[1,3,24,26,*]

[1]Division of Genetics, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA;

[2]Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA;

[3]Altius Institute for Biomedical Sciences, Seattle, WA, USA;

[4]Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA;

[5]Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA;

[6]Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA;

[7]Division of Sleep Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA;

[8]Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA;

[9]Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA;

[10]California Pacific Medical Center Research Institute, San Francisco, CA, USA;

[11]Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle,

WA, USA;

[12]Computational Medicine Core at Center for Lung Biology, University of Washington, Seattle,

WA, USA;

[13]Respiratory and Sleep Services, Southern Adelaide Local Health Network, Adelaide, South

Australia, Australia;

[14]Adelaide Institute for Sleep Health, Flinders University, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia;

[15]School of Public Health, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia;

[16]Centre for Sleep Science, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia;

[17]Department of Pulmonary Physiology and Sleep Medicine, Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital,

Perth, Australia;

[18]Institute for Translational Genomics and Population Sciences, Los Angeles Biomedical

Research Institute at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA, USA;

[19]Department of Epidemiology, Human Genetics and Environmental Sciences, School of Public

Health, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX, USA;

[20]Departments of Medicine and Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA,

USA;

[21]Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical

Center, Boston, MA, USA;

[22]Department of Neurology, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA;

[23]Department of Genetics, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA;

[24]Department of Biomedical Informatics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA;

[25]These authors contributed equally to this work

[26]These authors contributed equally to this work

*Correspondence: ssunyaev@hms.harvard.edu, cotsapas@broadinstitute.org

Abstract

Genetic association studies of many heritable traits resulting from physiological testing often

have modest sample sizes due to the cost and burden of the required phenotyping. This

reduces statistical power and limits discovery of multiple genetic associations. We present a

strategy to leverage pleiotropy between traits to both discover new loci and to provide

mechanistic hypotheses of the underlying pathophysiology. Specifically, we combine a

colocalization test with a locus-level test of pleiotropy. In simulations, we show that this

approach is highly selective for identifying true pleiotropy driven by the same causative variant,

thereby improves the chance to replicate the associations in underpowered validation cohorts

and leads to higher interpretability. Here, as an exemplar, we use Obstructive Sleep Apnea

(OSA), a common disorder diagnosed using overnight multi-channel physiological testing. We

leverage pleiotropy with relevant cellular and cardio-metabolic phenotypes and gene expression

traits to map new risk loci in an underpowered OSA GWAS. We identify several pleiotropic loci

harboring suggestive associations to OSA and genome-wide significant associations to other

traits, and show that their OSA association replicates in independent cohorts of diverse

ancestries. By investigating pleiotropic loci, our strategy allows proposing new hypotheses

about OSA pathobiology across many physiological layers. For example, we identify and

replicate the pleiotropy across the plateletcrit, OSA and an eQTL of DNA primase subunit 1

(*PRIM1*) in immune cells. We find suggestive links between OSA, a measure of lung function

(FEV$_1$/FVC), and an eQTL of matrix metallopeptidase 15 (*MMP15*) in lung tissue. We also link a

previously known genome-wide significant peak for OSA in the hexokinase 1 (*HK1)* locus to

hematocrit and other red blood cell related traits. Thus, the analysis of pleiotropic associations

has the potential to assemble diverse phenotypes into a chain of mechanistic hypotheses that

provide insight into the pathogenesis of complex human diseases.

Author Summary

Large genetic studies with hundreds of thousands of patients have been successful at finding

genetic variants that associate with disease traits in humans. However, smaller-scale studies

can often have inadequate power to discover new genetic associations. Here, we use a small

genetic study of Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA), to introduce a strategy that both helps find

genetic associations and proposes biological hypotheses for the mechanisms behind those

associations. To achieve this, we use large genetic studies carried out on traits that are related

to OSA, and look for genetic variants that affect both OSA in our small study and the trait in

question in the large study. By linking two or more traits at select loci, we were able to, among

other results, find a locus that affects the expression of a gene in immune cells (DNA primase

subunit 1), a marker of thrombotic and inflammatory processes (plateletcrit) and OSA. This

results in a novel genetic association to OSA and a corresponding biological hypothesis behind

its effect on OSA.

Introduction

Genome-wide association studies of human phenotypes ranging from gene expression to human diseases are now routine. Cumulatively, the data indicate that complex traits are highly polygenic [1,2], and genetic correlation between these traits indicates abundant pleiotropy [3–5]. Interpreting the plethora of results raises two major challenges: first, generating testable mechanistic hypotheses about the underlying pathophysiology; and second, increasing statistical power to identify associations in studies of traits with small or moderate sample sizes. Leveraging pleiotropy can help address both of these challenges. Previous work has demonstrated that including many correlated traits in association studies increases power to detect associations common to multiple traits [3,6,7]. This approach is largely untried in genetic investigations of Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA). Here, we demonstrate that using shared associations between correlated traits can identify effects in under-powered studies of OSA, and that leveraging molecular and physiological endophenotypes in this way also generates clear and testable biological hypotheses.

OSA is characterized by recurrent episodes of partial or complete obstruction of the pharyngeal airway resulting in multiple physiological disturbances, including sympathetic nervous system activation, increased energy cost of breathing, intermittent hypoxemia, and wide swings in intrathoracic pressure. This disorder is highly prevalent in the general population, affecting more than 10% of middle-aged adults, with increased prevalence observed with aging, obesity, and cardiometabolic disease, and is more common in men [8]. OSA leads to sleep disruption, particularly increased sleep fragmentation and decreased proportion of restorative stages of sleep, resulting in daytime sleepiness, impaired quality of life and cognitive deficits [9]. Moreover, OSA is associated with increased rates of hypertension, incident heart disease, stroke, diabetes, depression, certain cancers, and overall mortality [10–19]. Despite the large number of epidemiological studies indicating that OSA is closely associated with these

outcomes, there appear to be subgroup differences in susceptibility, e.g., middle-aged

individuals and men are more likely to experience OSA-related cardiovascular disease in some

studies than older individuals and women, respectively [20]. This underscores gaps in our

knowledge of the pathophysiological pathways linking OSA to other diseases [21,22].

Pathophysiological pathways linking OSA to other diseases and factors that influence individual

differences in susceptibility are poorly understood. While there are several effective treatments

for OSA, including Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP), there appears to be

substantial variation in overall clinical response and attenuation of cardiometabolic

consequences, suggesting heterogeneity in both the etiology of the disease and susceptibility to

its physiological disturbances.

121
Indices of OSA, including the Apnea-Hypopnea Index (AHI; the number of breathing pauses per

hour of sleep), apnea event duration, indices of overnight hypoxemia, habitual snoring, and

excessive daytime sleepiness, show substantial heritability in family studies [23]. Past studies

have identified only a handful of associations with a variety of OSA-related traits. We have

previously described a GWAS of OSA traits measured by overnight polysomnography in multi-

ethnic cohorts totaling ~20,000 individuals [24]. In that study, we found two genome-wide

significant multiethnic associations: variants in a locus on 10q22 were associated with indices of

average and minimum SpO_2 and percentage of sleep with $SpO_2 < 90\%$, and variants in a locus

on 2q12 were associated with minimum oxygen saturation (SpO_2). In another study, we

identified a locus in 17p11 with a male-specific effect on AHI [25]. Furthermore, in an admixture

mapping study in Hispanic/Latino Americans, we identified a locus on 2q37 associated with AHI

and one in a locus on 18q21 associated with AHI and $SpO_2 < 90\%$ [26].

134
The low number of genetic associations reported to date only explains a small fraction of OSA

trait heritability. This relative paucity of findings is driven primarily by modest sample sizes, a

reflection of the expense and complexity of measuring physiological phenotypes by overnight

polysomnography or respiratory polygraphy. This also limits our ability to fine-map associations

down to causative variants and thus identify relevant genes. Data on hundreds of thousands of

individuals – sample sizes at which GWAS designs are well-powered to detect tens of loci and,

in combination with additional experiments, fine-map some of them – have yet to be collected

for OSA traits based on overnight polysomnography or respiratory polygraphy and may never

be available [1,2]. Biological interpretation of available genetic associations is further

complicated by the observation that most GWAS effects localize to enhancer regions and other

regulatory elements and are often distal to physiologically relevant genes [27,28].

146
Now that GWAS of massive sample sizes have been accumulated for various comorbid

conditions and endophenotypes related to OSA, we hypothesize that analysis of shared

associations across correlated traits can identify effects in underpowered studies of OSA and

generate clear and testable biological hypotheses. A number of computational methods

increase power for discovering genetic associations by capitalizing on pleiotropy between

disease phenotypes or between a disease and a molecular trait such as gene expression. One

common approach takes advantage of the genetic correlation among phenotypes [6,29–31].

This class of methods gains substantial additional power by pooling association signals across

traits. However, such methods suffer from power loss when the correlation of genetic effect

sizes is highly variable across the genome or limited to a subset of loci. For example, an

approach such as MTAG is not suitable for small GWAS studies when the assumption of the

homogeneity of genetic correlation is violated. The estimated genetic effect of an underpowered

trait can be inflated by the strong genetic signals of well-powered traits that are pooled together

even if the variant is not causative for the underpowered trait [6]. An alternative approach

analyzes individual loci to detect pleiotropic alleles, with no regard to genetic correlation

[4,7,32–41]. Only a handful of existing methods account for the possibility that the apparent

pleiotropy is driven by the linkage disequilibrium (LD) between two distinct causative variants

each of which drives only one phenotype [4,36–40].

In this study, we apply a colocalization method to detect shared associations between OSA and

other related traits. We elected Joint Likelihood Mapping method (JLIM) [39] and eCAVIAR [38]

as colocalization methods, but our general strategy does not depend on any specific method.

We focus on a set of well powered intermediate traits which have previously been implicated in

the pathobiology of OSA. Given prior GWAS studies suggesting the involvement of

inflammatory genes in OSA [42–44], and cohort studies reporting high levels of inflammation,

including elevations in neutrophils and monocytes in OSA [45,46], we included leukocyte and

platelet related traits in our pleiotropic comparisons. Similarly, we also included red blood cell

related traits given prior GWAS implicating iron metabolism [26] and erythrocyte function [24].

We will refer to these as clinical traits. In addition, OSA is associated with lung [47,48], obesity

and cardiovascular-related disorders [46,49–51], and we have included clinical traits that reflect

these overlaps, together with gene expression traits in tissues implicated in these diseases.

178
179   By linking different clinical and gene expression traits to OSA at specific loci, our analysis

180   suggests new hypotheses about OSA pathobiology across many physiological layers as well as

181   finding a new association.

182
Results

Creating a framework to identify associations in underpowered GWAS through pleiotropy

We used a colocalization method to identify pleiotropic loci, where a genetic effect drives

association to two traits. First, we selected genome-wide significant loci (association $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$)

^{8}) in our well-powered trait (here, a clinical trait), and from these we selected the subsets which

also show nominal association to OSA traits ($p < 0.01$ for any SNP in the locus). We then used

colocalization to directly evaluate if the association to the two traits was consistent with the

same underlying effect, indicating a pleiotropic effect. This two-step strategy allowed us to

distinguish between cases where there was association only in the clinical trait; where there was

a shared association in both traits; and if there were distinct associations in both traits stemming

from different underlying effects (Figures S1 and S2).

194
Simulations

We first used simulations to assess our strategy to identify true associations in an

underpowered study by detecting pleiotropic associations with a better-powered study of

another trait and following up with replication in an independent cohort. To assess sensitivity

and specificity, we simulated variable proportions of shared and distinct causal effects, whilst

assuming high polygenicity for each trait and only one causative variant per locus. We simulated

GWAS statistics for pairs of traits corresponding to our own study design: we simulated

situations where no variant is causative for an underpowered trait (H_0), where the same variant

is causative for the association to both traits (H_1), and where two distinct variants in LD drive

each of the associations at a locus (H_2) (Methods). To simulate summary statistics from a well-

powered GWAS (representing our clinical traits), we sampled values from a multivariate normal

(MVN) distribution using the local LD matrix as a variance-covariance parameter [52] with

clinical trait sample size of 150,000. To simulate a GWAS of limited power (representing our

OSA discovery cohorts), we used genotypes from ancestry-matched samples as a reference

from which we simulated quantitative traits in 10,000 samples as surrogates for the OSA

GWAS. Most overlapping associations are expected to be due to distinct variants [39]; we

simulated scenarios with just 5% and 20% of true associations being driven by the same variant

in both traits (H_1). For example, in the first case, we simulated 2,500 loci with 3.5%

corresponding to H_1, 66.5% corresponding to H_2 and 30% corresponding to no association in

the underpowered trait (H_0). The focus of our simulation study was the ability to replicate the

association signal for the primary phenotype in an independent cohort. This means successfully

discriminating between (H_1+H_2) and H_0. We leave the interpretability question (discriminating H_1

from H_2) to Discussion.

We tested two colocalization methods – JLIM and eCAVIAR (a popular Bayesian colocalization

test) [38,39]. We also tested a popular pleiotropy-informed test, conditional false discovery rate

(cFDR) [32,33]. cFDR is not based on the LD-informed colocalization of the association signals.

All methods appear underpowered in the discovery dataset at a sample size typical of current

genetic studies of OSA (n=10,000); the methods are only able to find associations if the

observed effect is larger than the true effect (Figures S3 and S4A). Among the true associations

identified by JLIM, eCAVIAR and cFDR, there is an enrichment of H_1 loci. In spite of this

enrichment, many loci identified in the discovery cohort are driven by distinct variants (H_2): (for

the simulation with 5% of the true associations being H_1, 54.7, 55.8 and 61.5% of loci identified

by JLIM, eCAVIAR and cFDR, respectively, are H_2). At the same time, almost all proportions of

successfully replicated associations are driven by the same variant (H_1) in our simulation

regimes (Figures 1C-D and S4B-F).

Because the colocalization tests (such as JLIM and eCAVIAR) and cFDR are using different

features of the data, we found that taking a consensus between them can effectively exclude

the majority of H_2 from the analysis (Figure S3). In the intersection of JLIM and cFDR, only 3.5%

of identified loci are H_2; in the intersection of eCAVIAR and cFDR, only 5.3% are H_2 (Figure

S4A). Even though the elimination of H_2 loci increases the false discovery rate (fraction of H_0) in

the discovery sample, it substantially increases the rate of successful replication. The main

reason for the increase in the rate of successful replication is the prioritization of H_1 loci of larger

true effect size and the corresponding reduction of multiple testing burden at the replication

stage. The benefit of this approach increases with the fraction of loci driven by distinct effects

(H_2) (Figure S5). It also increased when the fraction of loci of no true effect (H_0) is low (as in the

case of very high polygenicity [53] (Figure S6)).

243
To test if the consensus method allows for more replicated loci simply due to the smaller

number of candidate loci, we tightened the p-value threshold of cFDR to select the same

number of candidates in discovery as the consensus method (Figure S7) or to control the rate of

H_0 loci (Figure S8). We also explored a range of other simulation conditions, including varying

the total number of examined well-powered trait-associated loci, correlation of effect sizes

between well-powered and underpowered traits, trans-ethnic validation cohort, and the

presence of multiple causative variants in locus (Figures S9-12). Consistently, the consensus

method resulted in more replicated loci than cFDR alone when the sample size is limited.

252
Next, we compared the rate of successful replication between the consensus approach and

Bayesian meta-analysis methods. In contrast to colocalization methods, the Bayesian meta-

analysis approach leverages the correlation of effect sizes between traits rather than SNP-level

colocalization of causative variants [29–31]. We chose to compare two such Bayesian meta-

analysis methods, MetABF and CPBayes, with the consensus approach using the simulated

dataset. Again, when the sample size was limited (n < 20,000), our consensus method found

more replicated associations than meta-analysis approaches (Figures S13-14). As the sample

size of the validation cohort increases, both MetABF and CPBayes identified more H_2 loci, which

led to more replicated loci than the consensus method. Since the meta-analysis methods are

designed for a pleiotropy analysis of more than two traits, in principle, they can boost the power

by using more than one well-powered trait. To test if the multi-trait meta-analysis can outperform

the pairwise meta-analysis, we simulated nine additional well-powered traits, generating GWAS

statistics for one underpowered trait and ten well-powered traits in each locus (Methods). For

the additional well-powered traits, we randomly decided whether the simulated causative variant

was to be the same as or distinct from that of the main well-powered trait. Using this simulated

dataset, we examined the power of the above Bayesian methods and non-parametric meta-

analysis (iGWAS) [7] in multi-trait and pairwise settings. Overall, we could not see a clear

advantage of multi-trait meta-analyses over pairwise tests in our simulated data (Figure S15).

Rather, particularly for CPBayes and iGWAS, multi-trait tests substantially underperformed

pairwise tests. This result demonstrates that for underpowered studies, the consensus approach

focused on SNP-level colocalization outperforms meta-analysis techniques in pairwise and

multi-trait settings when the association signals are driven by distinct rather than the same effect

in a large fraction of loci.

276
Finally, we found that looking at more trait combinations with more GWAS peaks results in

proportionally more discoveries (Figure 1E). Overall, our simulations demonstrate that casting a

wide net across many traits (increasing the number of GWAS peaks) and taking the consensus

between pleiotropy mapping methods is a viable strategy to increase discoveries in under-

powered studies. We therefore felt justified in pursuing this strategy using real data, to make

additional discoveries in traits related to OSA.

283
Identifying pleiotropic associations between clinical traits and sleep apnea-related traits

Based on clinical relevance [54] and heritability [23], we focused on four OSA-related traits

measured in five European-ancestry cohorts: the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) [25], average

respiratory event (apneas or hypopneas) duration [26], and minimum and average oxygen

saturation (SpO_2) during sleep [24]. We used summary statistics from the remaining multi-ethnic

cohorts in our replication effort.

We assembled a collection of GWAS summary statistics for a total of 55 candidate intermediate

traits from across these physiological areas: erythroid, leukocyte and platelet counts and

function, from a study combining the UK Biobank and INTERVAL datasets (170,000 individuals

of European ancestry) [55]; cardiovascular, metabolic and respiratory traits from the UK Biobank

(380-450,000 European ancestry participants) [56,57], and cardio-metabolic traits (36,000

European ancestry participants) [58]. We then compared associations in each of these clinical

traits to our OSA traits (6,781 European ancestry participants; Table S1), to identify potential

associations in the latter. A complete list of clinical traits we considered is presented in Table

S2.

300
We tested for directional causal effects of the selected clinical traits on our OSA related traits

using Mendelian Randomization (MR) [59]. Due to the low sample sizes in OSA traits, no

comparison reached statistical significance after multiple test correction (Table S3). After

excluding the extended MHC region and the sex chromosomes, we identified 3,191 genome-

wide significant associations ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) in the 55 clinical traits, of which 2,939 had a

corresponding suggestive association to one of the four OSA traits ($p < 0.01$ at any SNP in the

locus; Table S4). We then explicitly tested for evidence of pleiotropy between clinical and OSA

traits using JLIM (2,142 to 2,236 tests for each OSA trait). We found evidence that in 61/2,939

of these regions the OSA and clinical trait associations are consistent with a shared, pleiotropic

underlying causative variant by JLIM (false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.20) and at the same time

show evidence of association to OSA by cFDR (Table 1, Table S5).

312
To independently validate our 61 putative OSA trait associations from the discovery stage, we

compiled summary statistics for the same traits in 15,594 individuals of Asian, African,

European and Hispanic ancestries/backgrounds (Table S6). These individuals do not overlap

with those from the cohorts used in our colocalization analysis using JLIM. We did not attempt

to replicate pleiotropic associations; we only replicated the OSA association statistics. JLIM

relies on local LD patterns being preserved between clinical and OSA trait cohorts, so we

cannot use multi-ethnic data in our discovery analysis of pleiotropy. We found that 2/61 variants

in Table S5 show significant association with the same OSA trait as the initial observation, after

Bonferroni correction for the number of tests performed. The variant in SNP rs17476364 (Figure

2) links 11 of 12 red blood cell related clinical traits analyzed with average SpO_2 during sleep. It

is an intronic variant in the hexokinase 1 (*HK1*) region in chromosome 10, and has been

previously reported, as it reached genome-wide significance in association to minimum and

average SpO_2 [24]. Another variant in SNP rs2277339 is a missense coding variant in DNA

primase subunit 1 (*PRIM1*) in chromosome 12. It links plateletcrit (ratio of platelet volume to

whole blood volume, a marker of thrombotic as well as a broad range of inflammatory processes

[60]) to AHI. In the UK Biobank, it has documented significant associations to height, waist to

hip-ratio, age at menopause and multiple red blood cell related traits [61]. A further 8 variants,

shown in Table 1, were below nominal association *p* values < 0.05 in the replication set, but did

not survive multiple test correction.

332
Incorporating gene expression to construct molecular hypotheses of sleep apnea physiology

Non-coding regions with evidence of gene regulatory activity carry a large proportion of

heritability in most traits analyzed in large GWAS [62]. We reasoned that some OSA causative

variants would reside in such regulatory regions, and thus act on gene regulation. We therefore

sought shared associations between gene expression traits and the clinical traits for which we

identified a pleiotropic association in the 61 loci in Table 1 and Table S5. To do so, we compiled

expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) data for protein-coding genes expressed in lung, liver,

spleen and skeletal muscle from individuals with European ancestry from the GTEx Project [63],

and monocyte, T cell and neutrophil populations in individuals from BLUEPRINT [64]. We chose

these tissues for potential relevance to OSA pathology: the lung is involved in OSA-related

hypoxemia [47,48]; previous GWAS associations have implicated the neuromuscular junction in

overnight SpO_2 levels, and abnormalities in upper airway muscle function are fundamental

mechanisms for sleep apnea [24,65]; the spleen and liver are known to mediate filtration of

erythrocytes, iron homeostasis and production of inflammatory cytokines; and leukocytes are

key modulators of inflammation, an antecedent risk factor of OSA development [66]. We

calculated FDR based on JLIM p-values over the 5,860 comparisons against 1,009 protein-

coding genes of which transcription start sites are within 1 Mb from the index SNPs of clinical

traits.

We were able to identify shared associations between eQTL and clinical traits in 7/61 loci (FDR

< 0.05; Table 2). This includes several notable examples, including rs2277339, one of our two

replicated SNPs (Table 1). The rs2277339 SNP is a missense variant of *PRIM1* but also an

eQTL for *PRIM1* levels in monocytes and T cells (Figure 3A). Another example is a locus on

chromosome 16 where we find that an eQTL for *MMP15* (matrix metallopeptidase 15)

expression in lung tissue is pleiotropic with a measure of lung function (FEV_1/FVC, the ratio of

forced expired volume per second to forced vital capacity), which in turn is pleiotropic with an

association with minimum SpO_2 during sleep (Figure 3B). The lead SNP for *MMP15*

(rs4784886) is marginally associated with minimum SpO_2 in the validation cohort (nominal *p* =

0.051). Overall, of the eight identified genes, four genes (*MMP15*, *SESN1*, *FOXO3* and *BRD3*)

are known to be induced by hypoxia and oxidative stress, and three genes (*FOXO3*, *BRD3* and

GSDMA) are implicated in inflammatory responses. The colocalization of associations to gene

expression in specific cell types, clinical and sleep apnea traits suggest biological hypotheses of

the pathophysiology underlying OSA.

We also investigated pleiotropy between gene expression traits and OSA in the three loci

harboring known genome-wide significant OSA associations in the discovery sample (Tables 3

and S7). In each locus, we compared OSA trait summary statistics to eQTLs for genes within

1Mb from the most associated variant, where there exists a SNP with eQTL association *p*-value

< $5x10^{-8}$ in the locus. We replicated a previously found pleiotropic effect in a locus on

chromosome 17 [24], where minimum oxygen saturation (SpO_2) colocalizes with expression of

the epsilon subunit of the nicotinic receptor (*CHRNE*), in various tissues, including neutrophils,

monocytes, spleen and muscle (Figure 4).

375
Discussion

In our comparison of clinical (respiratory, cardiometabolic, hematologic, inflammatory) traits to

OSA-related traits, the strongest finding lies in an intronic region of hexokinase 1 (*HK1*) and is

associated with average overnight oxygen saturation level (SpO_2). This locus is pleiotropic with

most of the red blood cell related traits tested (Figure 2) and corresponds to one of the most

significant genome-wide associations we had previously reported from this data [24]. Prior to

this analysis, two alternative hypotheses for the etiology of this signal had been proposed: that

HK1 acted by modulating inflammation, or that it affected OSA by altering erythrocyte function.

Our results provide evidence that is consistent with the erythrocyte pathway hypothesis.

Mutations in *HK1* have been implicated in anemia, together with severe hemolysis and marked

decreases in red blood cells [67]. As discussed previously [24], it is possible that *HK1* affects

the Rapoport-Luebering shunt through glycolytic pathway intermediates, which in turn mediates

oxygen carrying in mature erythrocytes. Factors that influence arterial oxygen levels can lead to

a more severe OSA phenotype (i.e., lower average levels of oxygen saturation predispose to

greater hypoxemia with each breathing obstruction). Lowered oxygen carrying capacity and thus

more tissue hypoxia could also contribute to breathing instability (and thus apneas) via Hypoxia-

Inducible Factor-1 (HIF-1) and enhanced carotid body sensitivity and chemoreflex activation, or

through long-term respiratory facilitation and plasticity [68,69].

394
The analysis of pleiotropy can be used to concatenate more than one phenotype to create

candidate "causal chains," which by linking eQTLs to well-powered traits to sleep apnea related

traits can hint at promising biological targets. Among the most significant results for this

multicomponent model is DNA primase subunit 1 (*PRIM1*). We found that a missense variant in

PRIM1 colocalizes with its own expression levels in monocytes and T cells, plateletcrit, and AHI.

The association of this variant with AHI was replicated in an independent validation cohort. The

PRIM1 deficiency is known to cause lymphopenia along with severe growth retardation,

microcephaly, and "triangular face" [70]. The impact of *PRIM1* on craniofacial morphology may

contribute to OSA by causing a narrow airway, and thereby increasing the risk of airway

obstruction during sleep; however, an inflammatory mechanism is also possible.

405
Another example of causal chains is matrix metallopeptidase 15 (*MMP15*), a gene whose

expression in lung tissue is affected by an eQTL that colocalizes with a lung function phenotype

(FEV$_1$/FVC) which itself colocalizes with minimum SpO$_2$ during sleep. Matrix metallopeptidases

(MMPs), a family of proteolytic enzymes that can be activated by inflammation and oxidative

stress, participate in and potentiate tissue remodeling by breaking down the extracellular matrix.

MMPs have been suggested to play an etiological role in OSA-related pathophysiological

responses that may lead to multiple organ dysfunction [71], and specifically, may lead to OSA

by contributing to abnormalities in the extracellular matrix of the skeletal muscle in the upper

airway, predisposing to passive airway collapse [72]. In particular, *MMP15* (membrane-type 2

MMP) is known to be upregulated by HIF-1α under hypoxic conditions [73,74] and is expressed

in alveolar epithelial cells in Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (IPF) [75], an Interstitial Lung

Disease (ILD) characterized by chronic inflammation, progressive formation of scar tissue and

decreased lung function. OSA is highly prevalent in ILD as well as associated with subclinical

markers of ILD [47]. OSA is also common in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD),

and this overlap is especially associated with more severe hypoxemia [76]. Our results suggest

a common causal pathway linking these lung diseases with OSA.

422
We also found that *GSDMA* (Gasdermin A) eQTL in T cells colocalizes with associations to the

lymphocyte count, AHI as well as oxygen saturation. While Gasdermin A is considered to be

expressed mostly in epithelial cells rather than in T cells, broadly, gasdermins mediate

inflammatory responses via pyroptosis, a form of programmed cell death leading to the release

of proinflammatory molecules, and play a key role in NLRP-inflammasome responses.

Increased level of gasdermin D has been shown to mediate hypoxia-related muscle injury in an

animal model of OSA [77]. Inflammatory mechanisms may increase risk for OSA through effects

on soft tissue structures in the upper airway, muscle function, and/or neural control of breathing,

as suggested by a prospective study of inflammation leading to higher risk of OSA [66].

432
Another interesting result is an eQTL in the epsilon subunit of the nicotinic acetylcholine

receptor *CHRNE* that colocalizes with a genome-wide significant association in minimum

oxygen saturation. This receptor is present at neuromuscular junctions and mutations in this

subunit are known to cause congenital myasthenic syndrome in humans that can result in

progressive respiratory impairment [78]. As discussed above, abnormal skeletal muscle

responses in the upper airway are considered to be central in the pathogenesis of OSA.

439
We have shown that we can leverage pleiotropy between OSA and physiological traits to

identify the known OSA association in the *HK1* locus. We could not identify two other known

OSA associations at 2q12 and 17p11 using this approach, as there is no apparent pleiotropy

between OSA and our library of clinical traits. Adding gene expression traits to this analysis

increases our discovery ability, as we can identify the 17p11 association as pleiotropic with an

eQTL for *CHRNE* in neutrophils, monocytes, spleen and muscle (Table 3). Furthermore, in

simulations, we showed that using a large array of clinical trait-associated loci has a potential to

boost the power to identify associations in underpowered studies by casting a wider net (Figure

1E). These results warrant further investigation on using gene expressions in diverse cell types

and conditions as a library of well-powered traits for underpowered association studies.

450
Replication in an independent cohort is frequently necessary to validate GWAS findings in small

discovery samples. In this study, we significantly replicate the association of two loci to OSA

after Bonferroni correction and identify additional eight loci that replicate only nominally but are

probably enriched with true positive associations to OSA (Figure S16). We randomly selected

28,558 unlinked autosomal SNPs ($r^2 < 0.1$ within 100kb windows) from our initial OSA GWAS in

individuals of European ancestry. We focused on AHI since we detected the most SNPs

reaching nominal replication in this trait. We found that 11,910 of these would have been

selected for our colocalization analysis, in line with expectation for the presence of a SNP within

the 100kb distance satisfying our in-sample threshold of association $p < 0.01$. Out of these,

6,123 had a significant out-of-sample nominal replication (association $p < 0.05$). In comparison,

our pleiotropy analysis results for AHI are 4.6-fold enriched at this threshold (4/20 independent

hits with $r^2 < 0.1$), suggesting the presence of true associations to sleep traits (one-sided Fisher

Exact Test $p = 0.018$). In fact, the 76/975 associations that did not show significant evidence of

pleiotropy were also slightly enriched for nominal replication relative to the set of randomly

selected variants (one-sided Fisher Exact Test $p = 0.00048$, 1.6-fold enrichment). This suggests

that additional pleiotropic effects – and therefore true OSA associations – remain to be

discovered above the FDR cutoff we applied to our colocalization results although we cannot

rule out the possibility that the random SNPs may not fully recapitulate the functional properties

of GWAS SNPs from clinical traits.

470
From a methodological perspective, the analysis of pleiotropy has become an important tool in

the analysis of complex trait genetics. Most complex traits are highly polygenic, implying that

many variants associated with a single trait will also be associated with other traits or will be in

LD with such variants. Different computational methods are required for different applications

and for different genetic architectures. If the goal is to increase power to detect an association

and the genetic correlation is broadly dispersed over many loci, methods explicitly capitalizing

on the broad genetic correlation are capable of producing large power gains [6,29]. In cases

where the majority of overlaps in GWAS association peaks between traits are driven by LD

between distinct causative variants, power can still be increased with the help of other methods

that leverage pleiotropy to reduce multiple testing burden [32,33]. Development of another

group of approaches was motivated by the need to link genetic associations to genes via eQTL

data [36,38,39] but, as shown here, these methods can be easily adapted to the analysis of

other traits. Because of the abundance of association signals, especially for cellular and

molecular traits, distinguishing between true pleiotropy due to the same underlying causative

variants and different causative variants in LD is important for all the applications. Therefore, in

our study of OSA, we selected a method that explicitly models LD structure in the locus. The

drawback of this choice is the need to restrict the discovery sample to a demographically

homogeneous subset while using the available multi-ethnic cohort for replication. While

colocalization tests can distinguish the same and distinct causative variants in overall, the

specificity is diminished in cases where association signals of two traits are driven by distinct

causative variants in high LD (Figure S2). For example, when the distinct causative variants are

in LD between 0.8 and 0.95 (3.7% of simulated data assuming the random LD distribution),

eCAVIAR and JLIM misclassify 1.8 and 2.3% of H_2 loci, respectively, as driven by the same

variant (JLIM $p < 0.01$ or at equivalent posterior probability threshold for eCAVIAR). JLIM

estimates accurately calibrated p-values under H_0 and conservative approximate p-values under

H_2. For underpowered GWAS studies, with limited sample sizes, we lowered JLIM's genetic

resolution parameter θ to 0.5 in this study. JLIM does not attempt to distinguish distinct

causative variants beyond its specified genetic resolution from the same causal effect. When

distinct causative variants for two traits are separated with LD $r^2 < 0.5$, JLIM maintains the ability

to distinguish the same and distinct effects in simulations (Figure S2 D-F). And the overall

specificity of JLIM to distinguish H_1 from H_2 is similar or higher compared to other colocalization

method such as eCAVIAR in our simulated datasets (Figure S2B).

503
Pleiotropy does not necessarily imply a causal relationship between phenotypes. Confounding

by unadjusted covariates in GWAS data can further complicate the interpretation of causal

chains due to the difficulty to distinguish between direct and indirect associations. Nonetheless,

as we demonstrate here, a shared genetic basis between OSA and organismal, cellular and

molecular traits can reveal new aspects of the underlying biology. This will likely be of benefit for

other clinically relevant traits that are difficult to study at the scale required for GWAS. Traits that

are burdensome or expensive to phenotype, rare diseases that are hard to sample and

diseases that affect under-represented populations could all lead to underpowered genetic

studies, which are unlikely to get dramatically higher sample sizes in the near future. Therefore,

there is an unmet need to optimize the signals that can be extracted from small GWAS and the

strategy presented here should help in achieving this goal.

Materials and Methods

OSA Cohorts

To study pleiotropic associations underlying the risk of OSA, we prepared two sets of cohorts:

the discovery cohorts to identify pleiotropic variants and independent replication cohorts to

validate their associations to OSA traits. For the discovery cohorts, we used individual-level

genotype data in order to determine the significance of pleiotropy by permutation (JLIM) [39]. At

the replication stage, we do not carry out any pleiotropy analysis, we only check for genetic

association to OSA, so summary-level association statistics were sufficient. In addition, we

restricted the genetic ancestry of GWAS discovery cohorts to that of European ancestry, to

match GWAS of clinical traits. This was in order to avoid potential issues due to the mismatch of

LD patterns in our pleiotropy analysis. In contrast, we did not require the replication cohorts to

have any specific ancestry. Thus, our replication cohorts included all available ethnicities.

The discovery cohorts included the subset of samples of European ancestry from the following

five cohorts: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study (ARIC) [79], Osteoporotic Fractures

in Men (MrOS) Study [80], Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) [81], Cardiovascular

Health Study (CHS) [82], and the Western Australian Sleep Health Study (WASHS) [83]. ARIC

is a study that investigates atherosclerosis and cardiovascular risk factors. It is one of the

cohorts included in the Sleep Heart Health Study, which collected polysomnography and

genotype data [84]. Genotype data were obtained through dbGaP (phg000035.v1.p1). MESA is

a population-based study focused on cardiovascular risk factors, which included participants of

four ethnicities: African-, Asian-, European- and Hispanic/Latino-Americans ranging from ages

of 45 to 86 years old. We only included samples from European-Americans in the discovery

cohort. Polysomnography data measuring sleep-related traits was later obtained from

individuals who did not use overnight oxygen, CPAP or an oral device for sleep apnea [85].

MrOS is a multi-center prospective epidemiological cohort assembled to examine osteoporosis,

fractures and prostate cancer in older males [86]. An ancillary study (MrOS Sleep) measured

sleep disturbances and related outcomes [87]. CHS is a cohort aimed to study coronary heart

disease and stroke in individuals aged 65 and older, and genotype data were obtained through

dbGaP (Illumina CNV370 and IBC; phg000135.v1.p1 and phg000077.v1.p1). WASHS is a

clinic-based study designed to examine OSA and its associated genetic risk factors in patients

referred to a sleep clinic in Western Australia. Not all individuals had measurements for the four

OSA-related traits of interest. Details on genotyping, imputation and QC procedures have been

previously reported [24]. See Table S1 for details.

The replication cohorts were: the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos

(HCHS/SOL) [88,89], Starr County Health Studies (Starr) [90], Cleveland Family Study (CFS)

[91] and Framingham Heart Study (FHS) [92], in addition to non-European samples of CHS and

MESA. HCHS/SOL is a population-based study to examine protective and risk factors for many

health conditions among Hispanic/Latinos living in four urban areas within the USA (Chicago IL,

Miami FL, San Diego CA and Bronx NY). Starr is a cohort collected to study risk factors for

diabetes in a population of Mexican-Americans in Texas, later phenotyped for sleep traits [93].

CFS is a family-based study, which recruited patients with OSA, their relatives, and

neighborhood control families to study the familial and genetic basis of sleep apnea (356

families of African American or European American ancestry). We included only unrelated

individuals from CFS. FHS is an epidemiological cohort established to study cardiovascular

disease risk factors, using follow-up medical examinations every two years for the population of

European Ancestry in Framingham, MA. Data from the first Sleep Heart Health Study was

obtained between 1994-1998. Genotype data were obtained through dbGaP (Affymetrix 500k;

phg000006.v7). See Table S6 for the details of each cohort.

We examined the following four OSA-related traits in the discovery and replication cohorts:

minimum and average oxygen saturation (SpO_2), apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) and event

duration. Briefly, the minimum and average SpO_2 were calculated from oximetry-based SpO_2

measurements over the entire recorded sleep interval excluding occasional waking periods. AHI

was scored by counting the number of episodes of complete (apnea) or partial (hypopneas)

airflow reduction associated with $\geq$ 3% desaturation per hour of sleep. The event duration was

measured for the average length of apneas and hypopneas, from the nadir of the first reduced

breath to the nadir following the last reduced breath (in seconds). The full description of

phenotyping protocols are present in the original studies which first reported their genetic

analysis in the context of OSA [24–26]. We rank-normalized all OSA traits, separately in each

cohort, in order to obtain normally distributed phenotypes.

Clinical Trait Data

For clinical traits, we used GWAS summary statistics calculated for various traits in the UK

Biobank [56,94], blood cell-related phenotypes in a general UK population [55], and cardio-

metabolic phenotypes in individuals of European ancestry [58]. There is no sample overlap

between clinical trait GWAS data and our discovery or replication cohorts. The full list of clinical

traits is shown in Table S2. The GWAS summary statistics for UK Biobank traits and blood cell

counts were downloaded from their websites. The summary statistics of cardio-metabolic traits

from [58] were obtained directly from the authors.

This research was approved by Partners Healthcare IRB (protocol #2010P001765).

Identifying pleiotropic variants affecting both clinical traits and OSA

We applied Joint Likelihood Mapping (JLIM version 2.0) [39] to test whether the association

signals of clinical and OSA traits were driven by a shared genetic effect. We ran JLIM only on

the loci in which there was strong evidence of association to a clinical trait (genome-wide

significant) and a suggestive association to the OSA trait ($p < 0.01$ at any SNP in the locus). In

these loci, JLIM compares the likelihood of observed association signals under the following

three competing possibilities: the OSA trait has no causative variant in the locus ("H_0"), the

same OSA causative variant is shared with the clinical trait ("H_1"), and the OSA causative

variant is distinct from the clinical trait causative variant ("H_2"), as shown in Figure S1. Since

underlying association data have the limited genetic resolution, we test for modified hypotheses

H_1^θ and H_2^θ instead of H_1 and H_2. H_1^θ represents that the causative variants of two traits are

identical or in high LD ($r^2 > \theta$), and H_2^θ assumes that the causative variants are separated by

the LD threshold ($r^2 < \theta$). In our simulation of underpowered GWAS (see below for the details),

only a small fraction (9.0%) of loci had lead SNPs in tight LD ($r^2 > 0.8$) with causative SNPs;

only 15.7% of loci had the modest LD of $r^2 > 0.5$ between lead and causative SNPs. This

contrasts with well-powered GWAS data, for which, in 91.4% of simulated loci, lead SNPs were

in LD of $r^2 > 0.8$ with causative SNPs. To account for this limited statistical resolution of

underpowered GWAS data, we lowered θ to 0.5 from the default of 0.8 in this study.

JLIM calculates the ratio between the likelihood of the data under H_1^θ compared to that under H_2^θ

and evaluates the significance of this statistic by permuting the phenotypes simulating the lack

of causal effect under H_0. The false positives due to H_2^θ are indirectly controlled by the

asymptotically conservative behavior of JLIM: with sufficiently large effect sizes or sample sizes,

the cumulative distribution function of JLIM statistic shifts lower under H_2^θ than under H_0 as

previously shown analytically [39]. Thus, under the asymptotic condition, JLIM guarantees that

the p-value estimated under H_0 can be used to control for H_1^θ as well. However, under non-

asymptotic conditions, in particular in case of underpowered cohorts of limited sample sizes, the

power to distinguish H_1^θ from H_2^θ will be diminished. This reduced specificity is more pronounced

when the LD between distinct causative variants for two traits is substantial (See Supplements

of [39] for the detail). JLIM assumes that only up to one causative variant is present for each

trait in a locus. However, simulations showed that the accuracy of JLIM remained robust in the

presence of multiple causative variants in a locus (Figure S12).

To run JLIM, we used the genetic association statistics of OSA traits calculated over all common

SNPs in a 200kb analysis window around the focal SNP (the lead SNP of a clinical trait). We

derived these statistics from our discovery cohorts by combining association signals of each

cohort using an inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis approach. The association statistics

were calculated in individual cohorts by linear regression adjusting for age, sex, BMI and the top

three principal components. The principal components were calculated from genome-wide

genotype data in each cohort separately. We used mean imputation for missing covariate

values. Multi-allelic SNPs and rare variants with minor allele frequencies (MAF) below 0.05 were

excluded from the analysis. We only used variants present in all of the discovery cohorts. To

reflect the limited resolution for fine-mapping of causative variants in underpowered studies, we

relaxed JLIM's genetic resolution parameter θ to 0.5 from the default value of 0.8. All other

parameters were set to the default. We ran JLIM only in the discovery cohorts.

JLIM 2.0 requires individual-level genetic data for underpowered traits in order to run

permutations. This limits the applicability of our approach to more general cases where only

summary-level data are available. The direct permutation on individual-level genetic data,

however, enables robust estimation of p-values even under the vagaries of imputation noise

across cohorts for underpowered traits. For the permutation procedure, we used the same

pipeline described above to generate permuted association statistics for JLIM. OSA phenotypes

were randomly shuffled in each cohort separately. For each permutation, the association

statistics were calculated in the same way including all the covariates. Then, the cohort-level

association statistics calculated on permuted data were combined across cohorts by meta-

analysis. This permutation procedure was repeated up to 100,000 times, adaptively, to estimate

JLIM p-values.

647
648   We accounted for the multiple testing burden with the False Discovery Rate (FDR), separately

649   for each clinical and OSA trait combination. Specifically, we used the Benjamini-Hochberg

650   procedure to calculate the FDR as follows:

651
$$FDR_{u,v}(p) = \frac{pN_{u,v}}{\left|\{l \mid JLIM_{u,v}(l) < p\}\right|}$$

where u and v indicate a combination of clinical and OSA traits, $JLIM_{u,v}(l)$ is the JLIM p-value

of tested locus l, and $N_{u,v}$ is the number of loci tested between the trait pairs u and v. JLIM hits

were obtained at the FDR threshold of 0.2. The JLIM/cFDR consensus was defined by the

intersection between the JLIM (FDR < 0.2) and cFDR hits defined at the same p-value

threshold. Specifically, given the JLIM p-value cutoff of FDR 0.2, i.e., $p_{0.2}$ such that

$FDR_{u,v}(p_{0.2}) = 0.2$, the cFDR hits were defined by the association p-value below $p_{0.2}$ for an

OSA trait. Note that the p-value of association to a clinical trait is always ascertained to be less

than 5×10^{-8} in our analysis. The cFDR association p-values were examined at the focal SNP.

Comparison with eCAVIAR

eCAVIAR (version 2.2) was run in the default setting. The reference LD matrix was set to the LD

estimated from the subjects of European ancestry (n=10,000) randomly subsampled out of our

OSA discovery cohort. Colocalization Posterior Probability (CLPP) was calculated for lead SNPs

of well-powered clinical traits to identify pleiotropic loci. The CLPP thresholds were calibrated to

be equivalent to P-value cutoffs using unfiltered null simulation datasets (H_0), which were not

preconditioned on the minimum association P-values in loci.

Replication of OSA associations in independent samples

We validated the OSA associations identified in the discovery cohorts by replicating them in out-

of-sample multi-ethnic replication cohorts (Table S6). There was no sample overlap between

our discovery and replication cohorts. We combined the *p*-values of associations across the six

replication cohorts by applying an inverse variance-weighted meta-analysis technique. We

defined the *p*-value of association < 0.05 as nominal evidence of replication and the *p*-value <

0.05/61 as a more stringent Bonferroni-corrected replication cutoff, given that 61 independent

SNPs were uncovered for their pleiotropic associations to OSA in the discovery cohort (Table 1,

Table S5).

678
Comparison of nominal replication rates with random SNPs

To assess the sensitivity of our pleiotropy analysis, we compared the nominal replication rate of

random SNPs with that of candidate pleiotropic variants identified in the discovery cohort. We

started with 87,938 SNPs randomly selected across the autosomes, excluding chromosome 6 to

avoid the major histocompatibility complex locus. Then, we used Plink to apply LD pruning on

the random set of SNPs and obtained a subset of 28,558 independent SNPs. The LD pruning

procedure ensured that the R^2 between SNPs was less than 0.1 in the distance of 100kb in the

LD background of non-Finnish Europeans (n=404) from the 1000 Genomes Project. For the fair

comparison between random and predicted pleiotropic SNPs, we further filtered these random

SNPs based on the OSA association p-values in 200kb windows. Overall, we kept only 11,910

random independent SNPs that have an AHI-associated SNP (P < 0.01) within 100kb distance.

On all loci examined for the pleiotropy, we similarly applied the LD pruning procedure on the

focal SNPs to obtain a subset of independent SNPs. During the pruning steps, SNPs with more

significant JLIM p-values were preferentially retained. After the LD pruning, we obtained 995,

1,024, 967 and 961 independent SNPs for AHI, average SpO_2, minimum SpO_2, and event

duration, respectively; of which 20, 12, 6 and 11 SNPs are predicted to be pleiotropic in the

discovery cohort.

696
Identifying pleiotropic variants affecting both gene expressions and OSA

We used *cis*-expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) from the Gene-Tissue Expression project

(GTEx release v8) [63] and BLUEPRINT epigenome project [64], to examine pleiotropy between

the variation in gene expression levels and OSA phenotypes. Among the GTEx datasets, we

only considered liver (n=178), spleen (n=179), skeletal muscle (n=588) and lung (n=436) tissues

for our analysis, based on the potential relevance of these tissues to OSA and their sample

sizes. Again, we used eQTLs calculated only with samples of European ancestry for this

analysis. The genome-wide summary statistics of European American eQTLs were obtained

from the GTEx Consortium. For the analysis of immune cell eQTLs, we used BLUEPRINT

datasets which consisted of genotypes of participants and expression profiles of CD14$^+$

monocytes (n=191), neutrophils (n=196) and CD4$^+$ T cells (n=167). The RNA transcripts of

BLUEPRINT samples were derived from unstimulated primary cells collected from healthy

individuals of European ancestry. The genome-wide summary statistics of BLUEPRINT eQTLs

were downloaded from the eQTL Catalogue [95].

We start with the 61 index SNPs corresponding to the candidate pleiotropic loci identified by

JLIM FDR < 0.2 and cFDR consensus (Table S5). Using GTEx and BLUEPRINT eQTLs, we

scanned for pleiotropy between eQTLs and clinical traits. We considered all protein-coding

genes whose transcription start sites (TSS) were less than 1Mb away from the focal SNP of a

clinical trait (1,011 unique genes; 5,690 eQTLs). The protein-coding genes were defined by

Ensembl annotation (release 104). The genes with eQTL association p-value > 0.05 at all SNPs

in the locus were excluded due to weak evidence of association to gene expression. Overall, a

total of 5,860 clinical traits/eQTLs pairs (1,009 unique genes; 5,023 eQTLs) were tested for the

pleiotropy using JLIM in the default setting (Table 2). JLIM version 2.5 was used to test for

pleiotropy only using summary-level eQTL data that are publicly available. The p-values were

calculated by adaptive resampling (up to 10,000 iterations). The null distribution of JLIM statistic

was generated by random sampling of phenotypes from a normal distribution, sampling of

genotypes from the reference LD panel (1000 Genomes, non-Finnish Europeans), and then

linearly regressing the sampled phenotypes on the genotypes. Multiallelic SNPs and rare

variants with MAF < 0.05 were excluded from the analysis. The multiple testing burden was

accounted for with the False Discovery Rate (FDR) by applying the Benjamini-Hochberg

procedure separately to each tissue/cell type and clinical trait combination.

Using gene expression as clinical traits

In three loci with known genome-wide associations to OSA traits, we tested for pleiotropy

between gene expression and OSA traits using *cis*-eQTLs as clinical traits. We examined four

GTEx tissues and three BLUEPRINT immune cell types, similarly to the above causal chain

analysis. There are a total 57 eQTLs in the three loci satisfying: 1) the eQTL p-values < 5 x 10^{-8}

for a SNP within the 200kb window centered at the known OSA-associated SNP, and 2) the

transcription start sites being within 1 Mb from the OSA-associated SNP. Using these 57 eQTLs

as clinical traits, we applied JLIM in the default setting (Table S7). For the OSA traits, we used

the association statistics from our discovery cohorts. The JLIM FDR was calculated using the

Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (a total of 57 tests).

740
Simulated datasets

To compare the accuracy of JLIM to other methods, we simulated genetic loci with pleiotropic

associations under different scenarios with unbalanced sample sizes. For one of two traits, we

simulated a well-powered GWAS of a quantitative trait with a sample size of 150,000. For the

other trait, we simulated an under-powered GWAS with a much smaller sample size of 10,000.

To generate datasets of realistic LD backgrounds, we used real genotypes of 80 randomly

picked loci across the genome. Each locus was 200kb in length. Chromosome 6 and the sex

chromosomes were excluded from our simulations due to the difference in LD patterns from the

rest of genome.

In these loci, we generated 80,000 sets of association statistics under each of the following six

scenarios (H_0, H_1 and four configurations of H_2). For the simulations of H_0, the SNP in the

midpoint of the genomic segment was chosen as the causative variant for well-powered traits,

but no causal effect was simulated for underpowered traits. For H_1, the midpoint SNP was taken

as the shared causative variant for both well-powered and underpowered traits. For H_2, the

midpoint SNP was selected to be causal for well-powered traits, but a distinct SNP was selected

as the causative variant for underpowered traits. The distinct SNP was randomly chosen in the

intervals of LD relative to the midpoint SNP, selecting one in each of the following LD ranges: $|r|$

< 0.3, $0.3 < |r| < 0.6$, $0.6 < |r| < 0.8$ and $0.8 < |r| < 0.95$.

For each pair of causative variants, we randomly sampled the genetic effect sizes (β_1, β_2),

corresponding to well-powered and underpowered traits, respectively, from the following

bivariate normal distribution:

$$(\beta_1, \beta_2) \sim N(0, \Sigma)$$

765
$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where σ_1^2 and σ_2^2 are per-SNP heritability of two traits, set to 5×10^{-5} under the assumptions of

the heritability of 0.5, causal fraction of 0.01 and 1,000,000 independent markers across the

genome. The parameter ρ was used to represent the correlation of causal effect sizes between

two traits. For H_1, we generated simulated data under no correlation ($\rho = 0$) as well as moderate

to high correlation ($\rho = 0.5, 0.7$ or 0.9). For H_2, we assumed $\rho = 0$ since the causative variants

are not shared. For H_0, β_2 was set to 0.

773
JLIM only requires GWAS summary statistics for the well-powered trait. Therefore, for all SNPs

$j = 1, \ldots, m$ in each locus, we generated summary statistics by sampling the observed

association statistics $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_j, \ldots, z_m)$ from the following multivariate normal distribution

[52]:

$$z \sim N\left(\sqrt{N_1} D\beta, D\right)$$

where N_1 is the GWAS sample size (150,000), m is the number of markers in the locus, D is the

$m \times m$ local LD matrix, and β is the m-dimensional vector of true causal effects (on

standardized genotype values) of all SNPs in the locus. Here, β was set to β_1 at the causative

SNP and to 0 at all other SNPs. The p-values of association were calculated from the z scores

as follows:

785
$$p_j = 2\Phi\left(-|z_j|\right)$$

786 where z_j is the association statistic at SNP j and Φ is the standard normal cumulative

787 distribution function.

788
JLIM 2.0 requires individual-level genotype data as well as association statistics for the second

trait. Therefore, for the underpowered trait, we generated a discovery cohort with simulated

phenotypes for all individuals; then, we calculated the association statistics by linear regression

between genotypes and phenotypes, instead of sampling the summary statistics from a

multivariate normal distribution. The genotype data of 10,000 individuals were obtained by

subsampling from six cohorts of European Ancestry (MESA, ARIC, MrOS, CHS, CFS, FHS and

WASHS). The phenotype value y_i of each individual i was generated by random sampling from

the following standard normal distribution:

797
$$y_i \sim N(x_i\beta_2, 1)$$

798 where x_i is the genotype of the causative variant in the individual i (standardized to the mean of

799 0 and the variance of 1). The association statistics and p-values of association were obtained by

800 regressing the phenotypes y_i on the genotypes of each SNP in the locus. We excluded

801 multiallelic sites and variants with MAF < 0.05 from the analysis.

802
The above process generated up to 480,000 sets of association data under scenarios of H_0, H_1

and H_2 in the genetic background of 80 loci (i.e., 80 x 6 x 1,000). Because of sampling noise, in

a minority of simulations, association data of some loci failed to pass the genome-wide

significance threshold for well-powered traits. We rejected such simulation runs to mimic our

data analysis, where we only included loci in which the clinical trait association p-value was

genome-wide significant. Similarly, we excluded instances where there exists no SNP with the

p-value association < 0.01 for underpowered traits. In total, we retained 25,958, 31,858, 28,602,

29,131, 27,025, and 25,115 sets of association data for H_0, H_1, and H_2 of distinct causative

variants in low to high LD, respectively.

812
Next, we estimated the distribution of LD between random pairs of SNPs in the 80 simulated loci

and titrated the causative variants of H2 simulations to follow this distribution. Specifically, we

subsampled H_2 datasets to fit to the following composition: 72% for |r| < 0.3, 19% for 0.3 < |r| <

0.6, 5% for 0.6 < |r| < 0.8 and 4% for 0.8 < |r| < 0.95 (Figure S2C). After this, we generated

GWAS datasets of T loci by random subsampling of H_0, H_1 and H_2. T represents the total

number of GWAS loci available from well-powered traits and varied from 500 to 5,000. The

proportion of H_0 out of T loci varied from 0 to 30%. The relative ratios of loci simulating H_1 and

H_2 were set to 1:19, 1:9, 1:4 or 1:0.

To assess the power to replicate the pleiotropic associations in independent validation cohorts,

we calculated the expected association statistic z_j^v for the underpowered trait at the focal SNP

j:

$$E[z_j^v] = \sqrt{N_v}\beta_2 r_{jj'}$$

where the focal SNP j was defined by the lowest p-value of association to the well-powered

trait, N_v is the sample size of validation cohort for the underpowered trait, ranging from 10,000

to 35,000, β_2 is the effect size for the underpowered trait at its causative SNP j', and $r_{jj'}$ is the

LD between two SNPs j and j'. For the LD backgrounds of validation cohorts, we used CEU

and YRI from the 1000 Genomes Project to represent ancestry-matched and trans-ethnic

validation cohorts, respectively. The expected number of replicated signals after Bonferroni

correction was calculated by estimating:

$$E\left[2\Phi(-|E[z_j^v]|) < \frac{0.05}{M}\right]$$

where M is the number of pleiotropic associations found in each subsampled GWAS dataset of

T loci.

836
Last, we also evaluated the accuracy of our method under the presence of multiple causative

variants that were shared between two traits in H_1. In addition to the midpoint SNP, we randomly

picked another SNP in the locus as a second shared causative variant. The effect sizes of the

two causative variants for well-powered and underpowered traits were sampled from the same

bivariate normal distribution. We generated GWAS datasets for the well-powered and

underpowered traits in a similar manner as the simulations of single causative variants. The

correlation of effect sizes between well-powered and underpowered traits (ρ) was set to 0.7 for

H_1. The proportion of H_0 was assumed to be 30% of examined GWAS loci (2,500). The relative

proportion of H_1 and H_2 was set to 1:19. The proportion of loci with two causative variants was

set to 1/4 of all H_1 as expected under Poisson distribution with the causal fraction of 0.01.

847
Simulated datasets for the meta-analysis of more than two traits

GWAS data were simulated for ten well-powered and one underpowered traits under H_0, H_1 and

H_2 (Figure S15A). One of the well-powered traits was ascertained to have a genome-wide

significant association (the main well-powered trait). Here, we simulated association statistics

only for the causative SNP of the main well-powered trait rather than simulating for entire SNPs

in the locus. For simplicity of simulation, we assumed that the lead SNP of the main well-

powered trait is in tight LD with its causative variant. The rest of well-powered traits have the

same or distinct causative variants from the main well-powered trait. The number of additional

well-powered traits simulating the same causative variants was randomly decided by sampling

from a binomial distribution $Binom\left(n = 9, p = \frac{1}{20}\right)$, and the rest were assumed to have distinct

causative variants. For underpowered traits, the causative variant was assumed to be absent,

same or distinct from the main well-powered trait depending on whether the locus was

simulated under H_0, H_1 or H_2, respectively. Effect sizes of all traits sharing the same causative

variant were sampled together from a multivariate normal distribution with the correlation of

effect sizes between traits set to 0.7. Effect sizes of traits simulating distinct causative variants

were sampled independently and then multiplied by a random variable representing the LD

between causative and tested SNPs. The association statistics were generated with the sample

sizes of n=150,000 for well-powered traits and n=10,000 for underpowered traits. In total, 3,751,

613, and 6,229 sets of simulated association statistics were generated under H_0, H_1 and H_2,

respectively. From these simulated H_0, H_1 and H_2 datasets, GWAS datasets of 2,500 loci were

generated by random subsampling at the proportions of 30%, 3.5% and 66.5%, respectively

(H_1:H_2 ratio of 1:19). We evaluated the power to replicate the candidate pleiotropic loci using the

subsampled GWAS datasets (1,000 iterations). The power to replicate after Bonferroni

correction was estimated in the same way as other simulations. The validation cohort were

assumed to be of the same genetic ancestry as the discovery cohort, and the sample sizes

varied from n=10,000 to 35,000. For pairwise analyses, we used only the main well-powered

trait and underpowered trait. On the other hand, for full multi-trait analyses, we used the entire

data including all ten well-powered traits and one underpowered trait.

876
Meta-analysis methods

We benchmarked the power to replicate pleiotropic associations in underpowered cohorts by

applying three meta-analysis methods - MetABF, CPBayes and iGWAS - on simulated datasets.

We ran MetABF in the subset-exhaustive mode to scan all possible subsets of pleiotropic traits.

The parameter for the correlation of effect sizes was set to the known value of simulation ($\rho =$

0.7). MetABF was run three times with the scale parameter of causal effect sizes set to 0.1, 0.2

and 0.4, and the Approximate Bayes Factors (ABF) were averaged over the three runs as

recommended by the authors. MetABF reports the ABF relative to H_0, thus we calculated the

ABF of pleiotropy to the underpowered trait relative to that of no pleiotropy to the underpowered

trait by summing ABFs over subsets. In contrast, we ran CPBayes in the fully automatic setting.

All parameters of the pleiotropy were directly learned from the data. We used the PPAj

(Posterior Probability of Association) of the underpowered trait to identify pleiotropic

associations. In comparison to the two Bayesian methods, iGWAS is a non-parametric meta-

analysis test. We ran iGWAS in the default setting. For all three methods, candidate pleiotropic

associations were identified in the discovery cohort at the same p-value cutoff of 0.01. For the

Bayesian methods, we applied the equivalent ABF and PPAj thresholds by calibrating them to

have the false positive rate of 0.01 in our null simulation (H_0).

894
895

896   **Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by the National Institute of Health grant R01-HL113338. Susan

Redline is partially supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health [K01-HL135405,

R01-HL113338, R35-HL135818]. Shamil Sunyaev is partially supported by the National Institute

of General Medical Sciences grant R35-GM127131 and the National Institute of Health grant

R01-MH101244.

The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study has been funded in whole or in part with

Federal funds from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health,

Department of Health and Human Services (contract numbers HHSN2682017000001I,

HHSN268201700002I, HHSN268201700003I, HHSN268201700004I and

HHSN268201700005I), R01HL087641, R01HL059367 and R01HL086694; National Human

Genome Research Institute contract U01HG004402; and National Institutes of Health contract

HHSN268200625226C. The authors thank the staff and participants of the ARIC study for their

important contributions. Infrastructure was partly supported by Grant Number UL1RR025005, a

component of the National Institutes of Health and NIH Roadmap for Medical Research.

MESA and the MESA SHARe project are conducted and supported by the National Heart, Lung,

and Blood Institute (NHLBI) in collaboration with MESA investigators. Support for MESA is

provided by contracts HHSN268201500003I, N01-HC-95159, N01-HC-95160, N01-HC-95161,

N01-HC-95162, N01-HC-95163, N01- HC-95164, N01-HC-95165, N01-HC-95166, N01-HC-

95167, N01-HC-95168, N01-HC-95169, UL1-TR-000040, UL1-TR-001079, UL1-TR-001420.

MESA Family is conducted and supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute

(NHLBI) in collaboration with MESA investigators. Support is provided by grants and contracts

R01HL071051, R01HL071205, R01HL071250, R01HL071251, R01HL071258, R01HL071259,

and by the National Center for Research Resources, Grant UL1RR033176. The provision of

genotyping data was supported in part by the National Center for Advancing Translational

Sciences, CTSI grant UL1TR001881, and the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and

Kidney Disease Diabetes Research Center (DRC) grant DK063491 to the Southern California

Diabetes Endocrinology Research Center.

The Osteoporotic Fractures in Men (MrOS) Study is supported by NIH funding. The following

institutes provide support: the National Institute on Aging (NIA), the National Institute of Arthritis

and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (NIAMS), NCATS, and NIH Roadmap for Medical

Research under the following grant numbers: U01 AG027810, U01 AG042124, U01 AG042139,

U01 AG042140, U01 AG042143, U01 AG042145, U01 AG042168, U01 AR066160, and UL1

TR000128. The NHLBI provides funding for the MrOS Sleep ancillary study "Outcomes of Sleep

Disorders in Older Men" under the following grant numbers: R01 HL071194, R01 HL070848,

R01 HL070847, R01 HL070842, R01 HL070841, R01 HL070837, R01 HL070838, and R01

HL070839. The NIAMS provides funding for the MrOS ancillary study 'Replication of candidate

gene associations and bone strength phenotype in MrOS' under the grant number R01

AR051124. The NIAMS provides funding for the MrOS ancillary study 'GWAS in MrOS and

SOF' under the grant number RC2 AR058973. The investigators website can be found at

https://mrosonline.ucsf.edu/.

This CHS research was supported by NHLBI contracts HHSN268201200036C,

HHSN268200800007C, HHSN268201800001C, N01HC55222, N01HC85079, N01HC85080,

N01HC85081, N01HC85082, N01HC85083, N01HC85086; and NHLBI grants U01HL080295,

R01HL087652, R01HL105756, R01HL103612, R01HL120393, and U01HL130114 with

additional contribution from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS).

Additional support was provided through R01AG023629 from the National Institute on Aging

(NIA). A full list of principal CHS investigators and institutions can be found at CHS-NHLBI.org.

The provision of genotyping data was supported in part by the National Center for Advancing

Translational Sciences, CTSI grant UL1TR001881, and the National Institute of Diabetes and

Digestive and Kidney Disease Diabetes Research Center (DRC) grant DK063491 to the

Southern California Diabetes Endocrinology Research Center. The content is solely the

responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National

Institutes of Health.

Funding for the Western Australian Sleep Health Study was obtained from the Sir Charles

Gairdner and Hollywood Private Hospital Research Foundations, the Western Australian Sleep

Disorders Research Institute, and the Centre for Genetic Epidemiology and Biostatistics at the

University of Western Australia. Funding for the GWAS genotyping obtained from the Ontario

Institute for Cancer Research and a McLaughlin Centre Accelerator Grant from the University of

Toronto.

The Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos was carried out as a collaborative study

supported by contracts from the NHLBI to the University of North Carolina

(HHSN268201300001I / N01-HC65233), University of Miami (HHSN268201300004I / N01-

HC65234), Albert Einstein College of Medicine (HHSN268201300002I / N01-HC65235),

University of Illinois at Chicago (HHSN268201300003I), Northwestern University (N01-

HC65236), and San Diego State University (HHSN268201300005I / N01-HC65237). The

following Institutes/Centers/Offices contribute to the HCHS/SOL through a transfer of funds to

the NHLBI: National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities, National Institute on

Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial

Research, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institute

of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, NIH Institution-Office of Dietary Supplements. The

Genetic Analysis Center at Washington University was supported by NHLBI and NIDCR

contracts (HHSN268201300005C AM03 and MOD03). The views expressed in this manuscript

are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Heart, Lung,

and Blood Institute; the National Institutes of Health; or the U.S. Department of Health and

Human Services. The authors thank the staff and participants of HCHS/SOL for their important

contributions. Investigator's website - http://www.cscc.unc.edu/hchs/

The Starr County Health Studies is supported in part by grants R01 DK073541, U01 DK085501,

R01 AI085014, and R01 HL102830 from the National Institutes of Health, and funds from the

University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston. We thank the field staff in Starr County

for their careful collection of these data and are especially grateful to the participants who so

graciously cooperated and gave of their time.

The Cleveland Family Study has been supported by National Institutes of Health grants [5-R01-

HL046380-15, 5-KL2-RR024990-05, R35 HL135818, and HL113338].

The Framingham Heart Study (FHS) has been supported by contracts N01-HC-25195 and

HHSN268201500001I and grant R01 HL092577. The Framingham Heart Study thanks the

study participants and the multitude of investigators who over its 70 year history continue to

contribute so much to further our knowledge of heart, lung, blood and sleep disorders and

associated traits.

This study makes use of data generated by the BLUEPRINT Consortium. A full list of the

investigators who contributed to the generation of the data is available from www.blueprint-

epigenome.eu. Funding for the project was provided by the European Union's Seventh

Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no 282510 BLUEPRINT.

The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) Project was supported by the Common Fund of the

Office of the Director of the National Institutes of Health, and by NCI, NHGRI, NHLBI, NIDA,

NIMH, and NINDS.

995
Author Contributions

S.R. Sunyaev, C. Cotsapas, S. Chun, S. Akle, S. Redline conceived and designed the

experiment. S. Chun, S. Akle, A. Teodosiadis analyzed the data. B.E. Cade, H. Wang, T. Sofer,

D.S. Evans, K.L. Stone, S.A. Gharib, S. Mukherjee, L.J. Palmer, D. Hillman, J.I. Rotter, C.L.

Hanis, J.A. Stamatoyannopoulos, S. Redline contributed data/analysis tools. S. Chun, S. Akle,

C. Cotsapas, S.R. Sunyaev wrote the original draft. Every author reviewed and edited the

manuscript.

1003

References

1005

1. Visscher PM, Wray NR, Zhang Q, Sklar P, McCarthy MI, Brown MA, et al. 10 Years of GWAS
Discovery: Biology, Function, and Translation. Am J Hum Genetics. 2017;101(1):5–22.

2. Zhang Y, Qi G, Park JH, Chatterjee N. Estimation of complex effect-size distributions using
summary-level statistics from genome-wide association studies across 32 complex traits. Nat
Genet. 2018;50(9):1318–26.

3. Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Anttila V, Gusev A, Day FR, Loh PR, et al. An atlas of genetic
correlations across human diseases and traits. Nat Genet. 2015;47(11):1236–41.

4. Pickrell JK, Berisa T, Liu JZ, Ségurel L, Tung JY, Hinds DA. Detection and interpretation of
shared genetic influences on 42 human traits. Nat Genet. 2016;48(7):709–17.

5. Shi H, Mancuso N, Spendlove S, Pasaniuc B. Local Genetic Correlation Gives Insights into the
Shared Genetic Architecture of Complex Traits. Am J Hum Genetics. 2017;101(5):737–51.

6. Turley P, Team 23andMe Research, Walters RK, Maghzian O, Okbay A, Lee JJ, et al. Multi-trait
analysis of genome-wide association summary statistics using MTAG. Nat Genet.
2018;50(2):229–37.

7. Fortney K, Dobriban E, Garagnani P, Pirazzini C, Monti D, Mari D, et al. Genome-Wide Scan
Informed by Age-Related Disease Identifies Loci for Exceptional Human Longevity. Plos Genet.
2015;11(12):e1005728.

8. Peppard PE, Hagen EW. The Last 25 Years of Obstructive Sleep Apnea Epidemiology—and the
Next 25? Am J Resp Crit Care. 2017;197(3):310–2.

9. Olaithe M, Bucks RS. Executive Dysfunction in OSA Before and After Treatment: A Meta-
Analysis. Sleep. 2013;36(9):1297–305.

10. Gami AS, Hodge DO, Herges RM, Olson EJ, Nykodym J, Kara T, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea,
obesity, and the risk of incident atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;49(5):565–71.

11. Gozal D, Ham SA, Mokhlesi B. Sleep Apnea and Cancer: Analysis of a Nationwide Population
Sample. Sleep. 2016;39(8):1493–500.

12. Nieto FJ, Peppard PE, Young T, Finn L, Hla KM, Farré R. Sleep-disordered breathing and
cancer mortality: results from the Wisconsin Sleep Cohort Study. Am J Resp Crit Care.
2012;186(2):190–4.

13. Kendzerska T, Gershon AS, Hawker G, Leung RS, Tomlinson G. Obstructive Sleep Apnea and
Risk of Cardiovascular Events and All-Cause Mortality: A Decade-Long Historical Cohort Study.
Plos Med. 2014;11(2):e1001599.

14. Oldenburg O, Wellmann B, Buchholz A, Bitter T, Fox H, Thiem U, et al. Nocturnal
hypoxaemia is associated with increased mortality in stable heart failure patients. Eur Heart J.
2016;37(21):1695–703.

15. Nagayoshi M, Punjabi NM, Selvin E, Pankow JS, Shahar E, Iso H, et al. Obstructive sleep
apnea and incident type 2 diabetes. Sleep Med. 2016;25:156–61.

16. BaHammam AS, Kendzerska T, Gupta R, Ramasubramanian C, Neubauer DN, Narasimhan M,
et al. Comorbid depression in obstructive sleep apnea: an under-recognized association. Sleep
Breath. 2016;20(2):447–56.

17. Torres G, Sánchez-de-la-Torre M, Barbé F. Relationship Between OSA and Hypertension.
Chest. 2015;148(3):824–32.

18. Somers VK, White DP, Amin R, Abraham WT, Costa F, Culebras A, et al. Sleep Apnea and
Cardiovascular Disease An American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology
Foundation Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association Council for High Blood
Pressure Research Professional Education Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology, Stroke
Council, and Council on Cardiovascular Nursing In Collaboration With the National Heart, Lung,
and Blood Institute National Center on Sleep Disorders Research (National Institutes of Health).
J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;52(8):686–717.

19. Redline S, Yenokyan G, Gottlieb DJ, Shahar E, O'Connor GT, Resnick HE, et al. Obstructive
Sleep Apnea–Hypopnea and Incident Stroke. Am J Resp Crit Care. 2010;182(2):269–77.

20. Gottlieb DJ, Yenokyan G, Newman AB, O'Connor GT, Punjabi NM, Quan SF, et al. Prospective
Study of Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Incident Coronary Heart Disease and Heart Failure.
Circulation. 2010;122(4):352–60.

21. Mukherjee S, Saxena R, Palmer LJ. The genetics of obstructive sleep apnoea. Respirology.
2018;23(1):18–27.

22. Palmer LJ, Redline S. Genomic approaches to understanding obstructive sleep apnea. Resp
Physiol Neurobi. 2003;135(2):187–205.

23. Liang J, Cade BE, Wang H, Chen H, Gleason KJ, Larkin EK, et al. Comparison of Heritability
Estimation and Linkage Analysis for Multiple Traits Using Principal Component Analyses. Genet
Epidemiol. 2016;40(3):222–32.

24. Cade BE, Chen H, Stilp AM, Louie T, Ancoli-Israel S, Arens R, et al. Associations of variants In
the hexokinase 1 and interleukin 18 receptor regions with oxyhemoglobin saturation during
sleep. Plos Genet. 2019;15(4):e1007739.

25. Chen H, Cade BE, Gleason KJ, Bjonnes AC, Stilp AM, Sofer T, et al. Multiethnic Meta-Analysis
Identifies \textitRAI1 as a Possible Obstructive Sleep Apnea–related Quantitative Trait Locus in
Men. Am J Resp Cell Mol. 2018;58(3):391–401.

26. Wang H, Cade BE, Sofer T, Sands SA, Chen H, Browning SR, et al. Admixture mapping
identifies novel loci for obstructive sleep apnea in Hispanic/Latino Americans. Hum Mol Genet.
2019;28(4):675–87.

27. Gusev A, Lee SH, Trynka G, Finucane H, Vilhjálmsson BJ, Xu H, et al. Partitioning Heritability
of Regulatory and Cell-Type-Specific Variants across 11 Common Diseases. Am J Hum Genetics.
2014;95(5):535–52.

28. Maurano MT, Humbert R, Rynes E, Thurman RE, Haugen E, Wang H, et al. Systematic
Localization of Common Disease-Associated Variation in Regulatory DNA. Science.
2012;337(6099):1190–5.

29. Trochet H, Pirinen M, Band G, Jostins L, McVean G, Spencer CCA. Bayesian meta-analysis
across genome-wide association studies of diverse phenotypes. Genet Epidemiol.
2019;43(5):532–47.

30. Majumdar A, Haldar T, Bhattacharya S, Witte JS. An efficient Bayesian meta-analysis
approach for studying cross-phenotype genetic associations. Plos Genet. 2018;14(2):e1007139.

31. Urbut SM, Wang G, Carbonetto P, Stephens M. Flexible statistical methods for estimating
and testing effects in genomic studies with multiple conditions. Nat Genet. 2019;51(1):187–95.

32. Andreassen OA, Djurovic S, Thompson WK, Schork AJ, Kendler KS, O'Donovan MC, et al.
Improved Detection of Common Variants Associated with Schizophrenia by Leveraging
Pleiotropy with Cardiovascular-Disease Risk Factors. Am J Hum Genetics. 2013;92(2):197–209.

33. Andreassen OA, Thompson WK, Schork AJ, Ripke S, Mattingsdal M, Kelsoe JR, et al.
Improved Detection of Common Variants Associated with Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder
Using Pleiotropy-Informed Conditional False Discovery Rate. Plos Genet. 2013;9(4):e1003455.

34. Wang Y, Thompson WK, Schork AJ, Holland D, Chen CH, Bettella F, et al. Leveraging
Genomic Annotations and Pleiotropic Enrichment for Improved Replication Rates in
Schizophrenia GWAS. Plos Genet. 2016;12(1):e1005803.

35. Liley J, Wallace C. A Pleiotropy-Informed Bayesian False Discovery Rate Adapted to a Shared
Control Design Finds New Disease Associations From GWAS Summary Statistics. Plos Genet.
2015;11(2):e1004926.

36. Giambartolomei C, Vukcevic D, Schadt EE, Franke L, Hingorani AD, Wallace C, et al. Bayesian
Test for Colocalisation between Pairs of Genetic Association Studies Using Summary Statistics.
Plos Genet. 2014;10(5):e1004383.

37. Giambartolomei C, Liu JZ, Zhang W, Hauberg M, Shi H, Boocock J, et al. A Bayesian
framework for multiple trait colocalization from summary association statistics. Bioinformatics.
2018;34(15):2538–45.

38. Hormozdiari F, van de Bunt M, Segrè AV, Li X, Joo JWJ, Bilow M, et al. Colocalization of
GWAS and eQTL Signals Detects Target Genes. Am J Hum Genetics. 2016;99(6):1245–60.

39. Chun S, Casparino A, Patsopoulos NA, Croteau-Chonka DC, Raby BA, Jager PLD, et al. Limited
statistical evidence for shared genetic effects of eQTLs and autoimmune-disease-associated loci
in three major immune-cell types. Nat Genet. 2017;49(4):600–5.

40. Zhu Z, Zhang F, Hu H, Bakshi A, Robinson MR, Powell JE, et al. Integration of summary data
from GWAS and eQTL studies predicts complex trait gene targets. Nat Genet. 2016;48(5):481–7.

41. Gusev A, Ko A, Shi H, Bhatia G, Chung W, Penninx BWJH, et al. Integrative approaches for
large-scale transcriptome-wide association studies. Nat Genet. 2016;48(3):245–52.

42. Larkin EK, Patel SR, Zhu X, Tracy RP, Jenny NS, Reiner AP, et al. A Study of The Relationship
between The Interleukin-6 Gene and Obstructive Sleep Apnea. Clin Transl Sci. 2010;3(6):337–9.

43. Larkin EK, Patel SR, Goodloe RJ, Li Y, Zhu X, Gray-McGuire C, et al. A candidate gene study of
obstructive sleep apnea in European Americans and African Americans. Am J Resp Crit Care.
2010;182(7):947–53.

44. Patel SR, Goodloe R, De G, Kowgier M, Weng J, Buxbaum SG, et al. Association of genetic
loci with sleep apnea in European Americans and African-Americans: the Candidate Gene
Association Resource (CARe). Plos One. 2012;7(11):e48836.

45. Geovanini GR, Wang R, Weng J, Tracy R, Jenny NS, Goldberger AL, et al. Elevations in
neutrophils with obstructive sleep apnea: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). Int
J Cardiol. 2018;257:318–23.

46. Geovanini GR, Wang R, Weng J, Jenny NS, Shea S, Allison M, et al. Association between
Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Cardiovascular Risk Factors: Variation by Age, Sex, and Race. The
Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2018;15(8):970–7.

47. Kim JS, Podolanczuk AJ, Borker P, Kawut SM, Raghu G, Kaufman JD, et al. Obstructive Sleep
Apnea and Subclinical Interstitial Lung Disease in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis
(MESA). Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2017;14(12):1786–95.

48. Lederer DJ, Jelic S, Basner RC, Ishizaka A, Bhattacharya J. Circulating KL-6, a Biomarker of
Lung Injury, in Obstructive Sleep Apnea. Eur Respir J. 2009;33(4):793–6.

49. McNicholas WT. Comorbid obstructive sleep apnoea and chronic obstructive pulmonary
disease and the risk of cardiovascular disease. Journal of Thoracic Disease. 2018;1(1):S4253–61-
-S4261.

50. McAlpine CS, Kiss MG, Rattik S, He S, Vassalli A, Valet C, et al. Sleep modulates
haematopoiesis and protects against atherosclerosis. Nature. 2019;566(7744):383–7.

51. Xie J, Li F, Wu X, Hou W. Prevalence of pulmonary embolism in patients with obstructive
sleep apnea and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: The overlap syndrome. Heart Lung.
2019;48(3):261–5.

52. Conneely KN, Boehnke M. So Many Correlated Tests, So Little Time! Rapid Adjustment of P
Values for Multiple Correlated Tests. Am J Hum Genetics. 2007;81(6):1158–68.

53. Boyle EA, Li YI, Pritchard JK. An Expanded View of Complex Traits: From Polygenic to
Omnigenic. Cell. 2017;169(7):1177–86.

54. Butler MP, Emch JT, Rueschman M, Sands SA, Shea SA, Wellman A, et al. Apnea-Hypopnea
Event Duration Predicts Mortality in Men and Women in the Sleep Heart Health Study. Am J
Resp Crit Care. 2019;199(7):903–12.

55. Astle WJ, Elding H, Jiang T, Allen D, Ruklisa D, Mann AL, et al. The Allelic Landscape of
Human Blood Cell Trait Variation and Links to Common Complex Disease. Cell.
2016;167(5):1415-1429.e19.

56. Loh PR, Kichaev G, Gazal S, Schoech AP, Price AL. Mixed-model association for biobank-scale
datasets. Nat Genet. 2018;50(7):906–8.

57. Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, Band G, Elliott LT, Sharp K, et al. The UK Biobank resource
with deep phenotyping and genomic data. Nature. 2018;562(7726):203–9.

58. Iotchkova V, Huang J, Morris JA, Jain D, Barbieri C, Walter K, et al. Discovery and refinement
of genetic loci associated with cardiometabolic risk using dense imputation maps. Nat Genet.
2016;48(11):1303–12.

59. Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, et al. The MR-Base platform
supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. Elife. 2018;7:e34408.

60. Gros A, Ollivier V, Ho-Tin-Noé B. Platelets in Inflammation: Regulation of Leukocyte
Activities and Vascular Repair. Front Immunol. 2015;5:678.

61. Kichaev G, Bhatia G, Loh PR, Gazal S, Burch K, Freund MK, et al. Leveraging Polygenic
Functional Enrichment to Improve GWAS Power. Am J Hum Genetics. 2019;104(1):65–75.

62. Finucane HK, Bulik-Sullivan B, Gusev A, Trynka G, Reshef Y, Loh PR, et al. Partitioning
heritability by functional annotation using genome-wide association summary statistics. Nat
Genet. 2015;47(11):1228–35.

63. Consortium TGte. The GTEx Consortium atlas of genetic regulatory effects across human
tissues. Science. 2020;369(6509):1318–30.

64. Chen L, Ge B, Casale FP, Vasquez L, Kwan T, Garrido-Martín D, et al. Genetic Drivers of
Epigenetic and Transcriptional Variation in Human Immune Cells. Cell. 2016;167(5):1398-
1414.e24.

65. Owens RL, Edwards BA, Eckert DJ, Jordan AS, Sands SA, Malhotra A, et al. An Integrative
Model of Physiological Traits Can be Used to Predict Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Response to
Non Positive Airway Pressure Therapy. Sleep. 2015;38(6):961–70.

66. Huang T, Goodman M, Li X, Sands SA, Li J, Stampfer MJ, et al. C-reactive Protein and Risk of
OSA in Four US Cohorts. Chest. 2021;159(6):2439–48.

67. Wijk R van, Solinge WW van. The energy-less red blood cell is lost: erythrocyte enzyme
abnormalities of glycolysis. Blood. 2005;106(13):4034–42.

68. Powell FL, Fu Z. HIF-1 and ventilatory acclimatization to chronic hypoxia. Resp Physiol
Neurobi. 2008;164(1–2):282–7.

69. Devinney MJ, Nichols NL, Mitchell GS. Sustained Hypoxia Elicits Competing Spinal
Mechanisms of Phrenic Motor Facilitation. J Neurosci. 2016;36(30):7877–85.

70. Parry DA, Tamayo-Orrego L, Carroll P, Marsh JA, Greene P, Murina O, et al. PRIM1 deficiency
causes a distinctive primordial dwarfism syndrome. Gene Dev. 2020;34(21–22):1520–33.

71. Franczak A, Bil-Lula I, Sawicki G, Fenton M, Ayas N, Skomro R. Matrix metalloproteinases as
possible biomarkers of obstructive sleep apnea severity – a systematic review. Sleep Med Rev.
2019;46:9–16.

72. Dantas DA da S, Mauad T, Silva LFF, Lorenzi-Filho G, Formigoni GGS, Cahali MB. The
Extracellular Matrix of the Lateral Pharyngeal Wall in Obstructive Sleep Apnea. Sleep.
2012;35(4):483–90.

73. Rosa DP da, Forgiarini LF, Baronio D, Feijó CA, Martinez D, Marroni NP. Simulating Sleep
Apnea by Exposure to Intermittent Hypoxia Induces Inflammation in the Lung and Liver. Mediat
Inflamm. 2012;2012:879419.

74. Zhu S, Zhou Y, Wang L, Zhang J, Wu H, Xiong J, et al. Transcriptional upregulation of MT2-
MMP in response to hypoxia is promoted by HIF-1α in cancer cells. Mol Carcinogen.
2011;50(10):770–80.

75. García-Alvarez J, Ramirez R, Sampieri CL, Nuttall RK, Edwards DR, Selman M, et al.
Membrane type-matrix metalloproteinases in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Sarcoidosis Vasc
Diffuse Lung Dis Official J Wasog World Assoc Sarcoidosis Other Granulomatous Disord.
2006;23(1):13–21.

76. Owens RL, Malhotra A. Sleep-disordered breathing and COPD: the overlap syndrome. Respir
Care. 2010;55(10):1333–44; discussion 1344-6.

77. Yu LM, Zhang WH, Han XX, Li YY, Lu Y, Pan J, et al. Hypoxia-Induced ROS Contribute to
Myoblast Pyroptosis during Obstructive Sleep Apnea via the NF-κB/HIF-1α Signaling Pathway.
Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2019;2019:4596368.

78. Estephan E de P, Sobreira CF da R, Santos ACJD, Tomaselli PJ, Marques W, Ortega RPM, et
al. A common CHRNE mutation in Brazilian patients with congenital myasthenic syndrome. J
Neurol. 2018;265(3):708–13.

79. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study: design and objectives. The ARIC
investigators. Am J Epidemiol. 1989;129(4):687–702.

80. Orwoll E, Blank JB, Barrett-Connor E, Cauley J, Cummings S, Ensrud K, et al. Design and
baseline characteristics of the osteoporotic fractures in men (MrOS) study--a large

observational study of the determinants of fracture in older men. Contemp Clin Trials.
2005;26(5):569–85.

81. Bild DE, Bluemke DA, Burke GL, Detrano R, Roux AVD, Folsom AR, et al. Multi-Ethnic Study
of Atherosclerosis: objectives and design. Am J Epidemiol. 2002;156(9):871–81.

82. Fried LP, Borhani NO, Enright P, Furberg CD, Gardin JM, Kronmal RA, et al. The
Cardiovascular Health Study: design and rationale. Ann Epidemiol. 1991;1(3):263–76.

83. Mukherjee S, Hillman D, Lee J, Fedson A, Simpson L, Ward K, et al. Cohort profile: the
Western Australian Sleep Health Study. Sleep Breath. 2012;16(1):205–15.

84. Quan SF, Howard BV, Iber C, Kiley JP, Nieto FJ, O'Connor GT, et al. The Sleep Heart Health
Study: design, rationale, and methods. Sleep. 1997;20(12):1077–85.

85. Chen X, Wang R, Zee P, Lutsey PL, Javaheri S, Alcántara C, et al. Racial/Ethnic Differences in
Sleep Disturbances: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). Sleep. 2015;38(6):877–
88.

86. Blank JB, Cawthon PM, Carrion-Petersen ML, Harper L, Johnson JP, Mitson E, et al. Overview
of recruitment for the osteoporotic fractures in men study (MrOS). Contemp Clin Trials.
2005;26(5):557–68.

87. Mehra R, Stone KL, Blackwell T, Israel SA, Dam TTL, Stefanick ML, et al. Prevalence and
Correlates of Sleep-Disordered Breathing in Older Men: Osteoporotic Fractures in Men Sleep
Study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2007;55(9):1356–64.

88. Sorlie PD, Avilés-Santa LM, Wassertheil-Smoller S, Kaplan RC, Daviglus ML, Giachello AL, et
al. Design and implementation of the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos. Ann
Epidemiol. 2010;20(8):629–41.

89. Redline S, Sotres-Alvarez D, Loredo J, Hall M, Patel SR, Ramos A, et al. Sleep-disordered
Breathing in Hispanic/Latino Individuals of Diverse Backgrounds. The Hispanic Community
Health Study/Study of Latinos. Am J Resp Crit Care. 2014;189(3):335–44.

90. Hanis CL, Ferrell RE, Barton SA, Aguilar L, Garza-Ibarra A, Tulloch BR, et al. Diabetes among
Mexican Americans in Starr County, Texas. Am J Epidemiol. 1983;118(5):659–72.

91. Redline S, Tishler PV, Tosteson TD, Williamson J, Kump K, Browner I, et al. The familial
aggregation of obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Resp Crit Care. 1995;151(3 Pt 1):682–7.

92. Feinleib M. The Framingham Study: sample selection, follow-up, and methods of analyses.
National Cancer Inst Monogr. 1985;67:59–64.

93. Hanis CL, Redline S, Cade BE, Bell GI, Cox NJ, Below JE, et al. Beyond type 2 diabetes, obesity
and hypertension: an axis including sleep apnea, left ventricular hypertrophy, endothelial
dysfunction, and aortic stiffness among Mexican Americans in Starr County, Texas. Cardiovasc
Diabetol. 2016;15(1):86.

94. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, Beral V, Burton P, Danesh J, et al. UK Biobank: An Open
Access Resource for Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and
Old Age. Plos Med. 2015;12(3):e1001779.

95. Kerimov N, Hayhurst JD, Peikova K, Manning JR, Walter P, Kolberg L, et al. A compendium of
uniformly processed human gene expression and splicing quantitative trait loci. Nat Genet.
2021;53(9):1290–9.

1255
1256
1257
1258 **Supporting Information**
1259
1260 **Figure S1. Schematic of JLIM analysis**.
1261
1262 **Figure S2. Sensitivity for H_1 and specificity to distinguish H_1 from H_2**.
1263
1264 **Figure S3. Winner's curse.**
1265
1266 **Figure S4. The number of loci that were identified in simulated discovery and validation**
1267 **cohorts, broken down by the configuration of causative variants.**
1268
1269 **Figure S5. The projected number of replicated loci in simulations, varying the relative**
1270 **ratio between H_1 and H_2.**
1271
1272 **Figure S6. The projected number of replicated loci in simulations, varying the proportion**
1273 **of H_0 loci.**
1274
1275 **Figure S7. The projected number of replicated loci in simulations where the cFDR**
1276 **threshold was tightened to identify the same number of candidate loci as the JLIM/cFDR**
1277 **consensus method in a discovery cohort.**
1278
1279 **Figure S8. The projected number of replicated loci in simulations where the cFDR**
1280 **threshold was calibrated to have the same false positive rate for H_0.**
1281
1282 **Figure S9. The projected number of replicated loci in simulations where the number of**
1283 **association peaks available from well-powered traits varied.**
1284
1285 **Figure S10. The projected number of replicated loci in simulations where the correlation**
1286 **of effect sizes for H_1 varied.**
1287
1288 **Figure S11. The projected number of replicated loci in simulations of trans-ethnic**
1289 **replication.**

Figure S12. The projected number of replicated loci in simulations of multiple causative variants for H1.

Figure S13. Comparison with Bayesian meta-analysis methods with a validation cohort from the same ancestry.

Figure S14. Comparison with Bayesian meta-analysis methods with a validation cohort from the different ancestry (YRI).

Figure S15. Simulated power of multi-trait meta-analysis compared to pairwise analysis.

Figure S16. Pleiotropic loci identified by the intersection of JLIM and cFDR are enriched with SNPs that nominally replicate out of sample.

Table S1. Sample sizes of discovery cohorts used for the pleiotropy analysis.

Table S2. Clinical traits used for the pleiotropy analysis.

Table S3. Mendelian randomization analysis p-values testing effect of exposure on outcome.

Table S4. Overall number of total tests, identified pleiotropic loci, and nominally replicated signals.

Table S5. List of identified pleiotropic loci and their replication p-values for the corresponding OSA traits (JLIM FDR < 0.2).

Table S6. Sample sizes of validation cohorts used for the replication study.

Table S7. Full results of pleiotropy tests between eQTLs and OSA traits in three known OSA GWAS loci at the eQTL p-value < 5e-8.

 Figures and Tables

Figure 1

1325
1326

Figure 1. The projected number of replicated loci and proportion of shared causative variants among the replicated loci.

A total of 2,500 association peaks from well-powered GWAS studies (n=150,000) were tested for the shared effect in simulated discovery cohorts (n=10,000), and then select loci were tested for replication in simulated validation cohorts of the same genetic ancestry (n=10,000-35,000).

The candidate loci were identified by conditional false discovery rate (cFDR), colocalization
tests (JLIM and eCAVIAR), or the intersection of cFDR and colocalization test. The P-value
cutoff was set to 0.01 for cFDR and JLIM, and for eCAVIAR, the equivalent posterior threshold
was calibrated using H_0 simulation data. The 2,500 GWAS peaks consist of the loci simulating
no causal effect for underpowered traits (H_0) and those simulating the same causal effect
between two traits (H_1) or distinct causal effects (H_2). The H_1:H_2 ratio was set to 1:19 (**A** and **C**)
or 1:4 (**B** and **D**). The effect sizes of causative variants are correlated ($\rho = 0.7$) under H_1 but
uncorrelated under H_2. Bonferroni correction was applied on replication tests. In Panel **E**, we
contrast the number of replicated loci expected in Panel **A** (dashed line) with a more extreme
scenario (10,000 GWAS peaks, consisting of 2,500 with H_1:H_2 of 1:19 and 7,500 with H_1:H_2 of
1:39; solid lines). In all, the proportion of H_0 was set to 30% of all examined loci. The shaded
area denotes the 95% CIs.
1345
1346
1347

1348
1349 **Figure 2. Pleiotropy at HK1 locus.**
1350
A) Putatively pleiotropic locus linking a clinical trait (hematocrit) with an OSA trait (average
SpO$_2$). The evidence of shared effect between the clinical and OSA traits is significant (JLIM p =
4.2 x 10^{-4}, FDR = 0.017). This SpO$_2$ association replicates in validation cohorts after Bonferroni
correction of 61 tests (p = 8.5 x 10^{-5}). **B)** Pairwise comparison of –log$_{10}$(p-values) between two
traits confirms that the association signals are driven by the shared underlying effect. Each dot
represents a SNP in the tested locus.
1357

1358
1359 **Figure 3. Candidate causal chains linking a clinical trait, OSA trait and gene expression.**
1360
1361 **A)** A candidate association in chromosome 12 with putative pleiotropic associations between
1362 the plateletcrit (clinical trait; red), AHI (OSA trait; blue) and expression of *PRIM1* (DNA primase
1363 subunit 1) in monocytes (yellow). **B)** A candidate association in chromosome 16 with putative
1364 pleiotropic associations between the clinical trait FEV_1/FVC (red), minimum O_2 saturation (blue)
1365 and expression of *MMP15* (matrix metallopeptidase 15) in lung (yellow).
1366
1367

1368
1369
Figure 4. Pleiotropic locus linking gene expression and an OSA related trait.

A locus in chromosome 17 has associations between minimum oxygen saturation (blue) and
expression of *CHRNE* (cholinergic receptor nicotinic epsilon subunit) in muscle tissues (yellow).
Gene expression trait p-values and the appropriate gene in the locus are shown in yellow.
Pairwise comparisons of –$\log_{10}$(p-values) between associated traits are shown in Panel **B** with
matching colors in axis labels.

Table 1. Loci with significant pleiotropic associations between a clinical trait and an OSA trait with nominally significant replication.

SNP	Coordinate	Clinical trait	Clinical trait p-value	OSA trait	OSA trait p-value	JLIM p-value	JLIM FDR	Replication p-value
rs17010961	4:86,723,103	Systolic blood pressure	7.9E-24	Avg O2 saturation	1.6E-05	1.4E-04	0.011	7.4E-03
rs2595105	4:111,552,761	Basal metabolic rate	7.0E-13	Min O2 saturation	1.0E-03	2.4E-03	0.177	0.036
rs4711750	6:43,757,082	Granulocyte % of myeloid white cells	6.7E-09	AHI	3.2E-03	9.0E-03	0.198	0.011
		Reticulocyte fraction of red cells	6.7E-11			9.0E-03	0.108	
		High light scatter reticulocyte % of red cells	5.4E-11			1.1E-02	0.191	
rs16926246	10:71,093,392	High cholesterol	2.8E-09	Min O2 saturation	1.1E-05	3.0E-03	0.051	0.039
				Avg O2 saturation	3.5E-05	1.0E-03	0.015	1.3E-03
rs17476364 *	10:71,094,504	Hematocrit	7.7E-159	AHI	7.3E-06	3.0E-05	0.001	0.016
		Reticulocyte count	1.9E-96			3.0E-05	0.001	
		Red blood cell count	1.8E-48			3.0E-05	0.002	
		Hematocrit	7.7E-159	Avg O2 saturation	3.9E-05	4.2E-04	0.017	**8.5E-05 †**
		Reticulocyte count	1.9E-96			4.2E-04	0.019	
		Red blood cell count	1.8E-48			3.8E-04	0.020	

SNP	Coordinate	Clinical trait	Clinical trait p-value	OSA trait	OSA trait p-value			JLIM p-value
		Hematocrit	7.7E-159			5.0E-05	0.002	
		Reticulocyte count	1.9E-96	Min O2 saturation	3.1E-07	5.0E-05	0.002	0.014
		Red blood cell count	1.8E-48			5.0E-05	0.003	
rs11245326	10:126,357,352	White blood cell count	4.3E-09	Event Duration	9.2E-05	2.2E-03	0.057	0.017
rs2277339	12:57,146,069	Plateletcrit	1.1E-10	AHI	5.2E-03	1.0E-02	0.154	**8.2E-04 †**
		Platelet distribution width	2.4E-23			3.2E-04	0.015	
rs2297066	14:103,566,835	Mean platelet volume	7.2E-70	AHI	1.8E-03	3.9E-04	0.025	0.011
		Platelet count	4.8E-39			1.0E-03	0.064	
rs998584	6:43,757,896	Reticulocyte count	2.5E-13	AHI	1.6E-02	1.3E-02	0.133	0.016
rs765807623	14:103,571,697	Plateletcrit	7.0E-09	AHI	1.8E-03	3.8E-04	0.027	0.011

1380

Each row denotes one SNP and its corresponding associations to clinical and OSA traits. Each SNP may be associated with more
than one clinical trait. In SNPs marked with *, not all clinical traits are shown for clarity. Here, we only show variants with nominally
significant replication p-values. See Table S5 for the full table of 61 loci (137 trait pairs), including those with insignificant replication p-
values. Two variants marked with † indicates pleiotropic loci with significant out of sample replication p-values after Bonferroni
correction (0.05/61). AHI stands for Apnea-hypopnea index. Coordinates correspond to hg19. The clinical trait p-value column refers
to the association p-value of the SNP to the clinical trait. The OSA trait p-value refers to the association p-value of the SNP to the OSA
trait in meta-analyzed discovery cohorts (Table S1). The replication p-value refers to the association p-value of the SNP to the OSA
trait in meta-analyzed validation cohorts (Table S6). The JLIM p-value corresponds to the tests for the shared effect between the clinical
and OSA phenotypes.
1390

Table 2. Candidate causal chains.

SNP	Coordinate	Clinical trait	OSA trait	JLIM p-value	JLIM FDR	Tissue / Cell type	Gene	eQTL p-value	JLIM p-value	JLIM FDR
rs11153147	6:109,304,058	Mean corpuscular volume	Avg O2 saturation	1.1E-02	0.17	T-cell	*SESN1* (Sestrin 1)	1.4E-35	5.0E-05	0.01
						Muscle	*SESN1* (Sestrin 1)	2.6E-05	5.0E-05	0.05
						T-cell	*FOXO3* (Forkhead box O3)	1.7E-11	5.0E-05	0.01
rs4784886	16:58,065,459	FEV1/FVC	Min O2 saturation	3.4E-04	0.03	Lung	*MMP15* (Matrix metallopeptidase 15)	3.3E-10	5.0E-05	0.02
rs10160596	11:65,351,364	Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration	Event Duration	1.0E-02	0.17	Lung	*SCYL1* (SCY1 like pseudokinase 1)	5.1E-04	5.0E-05	0.02
rs146671954	9:136,934,203	Red cell distribution width / Sum eosinophil basophil counts	AHI	6.9E-04	0.02	Lung	*BRD3* (Bromodomain containing 3)	2.2E-07	1.0E-04	0.02
rs2277339	12:57,146,069	Plateletcrit	AHI	1.0E-02	0.15	monocyte	PRIM1 (DNA primase subunit 1)	3.2E-06	5.0E-05	0.04
						T-cell	PRIM1 (DNA primase subunit 1)	1.5E-05	5.0E-05	0.01
rs7162943	15:89,615,275	Mean platelet volume	Avg O2 saturation	1.0E-03	0.07	monocyte	*ABHD2* (Abhydrolase domain containing 2, acylglycerol lipase)	2.9E-15	1.0E-04	0.04
rs34233420	17:38,004,929	Lymphocyte count	AHI/ Min O2 saturation	3.4E-04	0.02	T-cell	*GSDMA* (Gasdermin A)	2.5E-13	5.0E-05	0.01

1393 Each row represents a SNP with links across an OSA trait, clinical trait and gene expression trait. The link between clinical and OSA
1394 traits were thresholded at FDR < 0.2, and the link between clinical and expression traits were thresholded at FDR < 0.05. AHI stands
1395 for Apnea-Hypopnea Index. Coordinates correspond to hg19. The eQTL p-value refers to the association p-value of the SNP to the
1396 gene expression trait of the gene in the tissue/cell type indicated. The JLIM p-value corresponds to the test for the shared effect
1397 between the OSA and clinical traits (center columns) or between gene expression and the clinical trait (right columns).
1398
1399

1400 **Table 3. Genome-wide significant loci in OSA traits colocalizing with eQTL.**

1401

SNP	Coordinate	OSA trait	OSA assoc p-value	Gene	Tissue / cell type	eQTL p-value	JLIM p-value	JLIM FDR
rs12150370	17:4,777,634	Min O_2 Saturation	9.0E-06	CHRNE (cholinergic receptor nicotinic epsilon subunit)	Muscle	9.3E-20	2.0E-05	0.0006
					Neutrophil	2.5E-20	2.0E-05	0.0006
					Spleen	3.4E-13	8.0E-05	0.001
					Monocyte	8.8E-14	9.0E-05	0.001
				C17orf107 (chromosome 17 open reading frame 107)	Spleen	1.4E-08	5.0E-05	0.001

1402

1403 Three loci with known genome-wide significant association to OSA traits were tested for the shared effect with gene expression levels
1404 in seven tissue/cell types. The FDR cutoff of 0.05 was applied. The eQTL p-value refers to the association p-value of the SNP to the
1405 gene expression trait of the gene indicated, measured in the tissue/cell type indicated. The JLIM p-value refers to a pleiotropy test
1406 between the OSA and gene expression traits. See Table S7 for the full list of comparisons tested.
1407