Genomic Background Governs Opposing Responses to Nalidixic Acid Upon Megaplasmid Acquisition in *Pseudomonas*

David A. Baltrus[1,2*]
Caitlin Smith[1]
MacKenzie Derrick[1]
Courtney Leligdon[1]
Zoe Rosenthal[1]
Madison Mollico[1]
Andrew Moore[1]
Meara Clark[1]

[1]School of Plant Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ , USA
[2]School of Animal and Comparative Biomedical Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ , USA

*baltrus@email.arizona.edu

Abstract

Horizontal gene transfer is a significant driver of evolutionary dynamics across microbial

populations. Although the benefits of the acquisition of new genetic material are often quite

clear, experiments across systems have demonstrated that gene transfer events can cause

significant phenotypic changes and entail fitness costs in a way that is dependent on the

genomic and environmental context. Here we test for the generality of one previously identified

cost, sensitization of cells to the antibiotic nalidixic acid after acquisition of a ~1Mb

megaplasmid, across Pseudomonas strains and species. Overall, we find that the presence of

this megaplasmid sensitizes many different Pseudomonas strains to nalidixic acid, but that this

same horizontal gene transfer event increases resistance of *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 to

nalidixic acid across assays as well as to ciprofloxacin under competitive conditions. These

phenotypic results are not easily explained away as secondary consequences of overall fitness

effects and appear to occur independently of another cost associated with this megaplasmid,

sensitization to higher temperatures. Lastly, we draw parallels between these reported results

and the phenomenon of sign epistasis for *de novo* mutations and explore how context

dependence of effects of plasmid acquisition could impact overall evolutionary dynamics and

the evolution of antimicrobial resistance.

Introduction

Horizontal Gene Transfer (HGT), a catch-all term for the transfer of DNA between individuals, is one of the main drivers of evolutionary dynamics across microbial populations (1– 3). Although there are countless examples where HGT has been shown to increase microbial fitness and/or enable colonization of new niches, it is increasingly appreciated that HGT brings with it a variety of costs that arise as byproducts of the incorporation of foreign DNA into established physiological and genetic systems (4–6). Recent efforts have begun to categorize and characterize phenotypic effects of HGT across systems, and have clearly demonstrated that acquisition of the same genes can lead to different outcomes across genomic backgrounds (7, 8). Such differences in phenotypic outcomes following HGT are analogous if not identical to the concept of sign epistasis in the context of de novo mutations, whereby the fitness effects of a mutation change sign (e.g. from positive to negative) under specific genetic combinations (9– 11). Much like sign epistasis, we currently lack a general understanding of the underlying causes of differences in phenotypic outcomes following HGT, and as a consequence, there is no clear model for predicting if and when acquisition of DNA could be detrimental in some genomic backgrounds but beneficial in others.

We have previously reported numerous phenotypic changes that occur as a consequence of acquisition of a ~1Mb megplasmid, pMPPla107, by *Pseudomonas* strains (12, 13). In addition to fitness costs correlated with slower growth, acquisition of pMPPla107 alters a variety of other phenotypes in some strains including: increased motility, decreased biofilm formation, decreased thermal tolerance, increased sensitivity to an unidentified compound produced by Pseudomonads, and increased sensitivity to quinolone antibiotics like nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin. In the particular context of antibiotic sensitivity, it is important to note that current annotations of megaplasmid pMPPla107 contain no known antibiotic resistance genes

(14) and that, at present, the mechanistic basis and overall strain specificity of these phenotypic

changes remains unknown.

Here, we test for how frequently sensitivity to nalidixic acid is observed across

Pseudomonas strains after acquisition of megaplasmid pMPPla107. We show that, although the

presence of this megaplasmid increases sensitivity to nalidixic acid in many strain backgrounds,

one strain in particular - *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 - displays increased resistance to

nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin after this HGT event. Therefore, our report provides an additional

example whereby the precise phenotypic effects of HGT are dependent on strain background,

but also suggests that that antibiotic resistance can be increased by megaplasmid pMPPla107

even in the absence of identifiable resistance genes in the region acquired by HGT. These

results, and specifically strain-dependent changes in sign of phenotypic effects of plasmid

acquisition, provide a new viewpoint for considering conditions that promote plasmid

maintenance and highlight the influence of genomic and environmental contexts when

considering amelioration of fitness costs after HGT events.

Methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

All strains and plasmids used in the study are listed in Table 1. Strain propagation of

all cultures largely took place at 27°C in King's B medium supplemented with rifampicin

unless otherwise specified. Antibiotics were supplemented into media at the following

concentrations when appropriate and unless otherwise specified: 50 μg/ml rifampicin, 10

μg/ml tetracycline, and 20 μg/ml kanamycin. 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-

galactopyranoside (Xgal) was supplemented at 40 μg/ml. For competition assays, cultures

were supplemented with nalidixic acid at 20μg/mL and 40μg/mL and with ciprofloxacin at

0.5μg/mL. Antibiotic discs used in the diffusion assays contained antibiotics at

concentrations of 30 μg/ml for nalidixic acid and 5 μg/ml for ciprofloxacin.

Kirby-Bauer Disc Diffusion Assays

Strains of interest for each assay were streaked from frozen stocks and incubated on

KB media at 27°C for 2 to 3 days. At this point a small clump of cells was picked to liquid

KB medium and grown for 24 hours at 27°C with shaking. Cells from each culture were then

pelleted in a centrifuge, the supernatant was poured out, and pelleted cells were

resuspended in 10Mm $MgCl_2$ for measurement of OD_{600}. Each culture was then diluted to

0.01 OD_{600} in 10Mm $MgCl_2$ and 300uL of each culture was added to 3mL of 0.4% water

agar (which was previously melted and allowed to cool until warm to the touch). This

bacteria/agar mixture was then poured onto a plain KB agar plate (1.5% agar) and allowed

to solidify. Lastly, a disc containing the antibiotic of interest was added to the center of the

plate and each was placed face up in an incubator at 27°C. Pairs of megaplasmid +/-

strains were always evaluated together within the same assay block.

After 3 days of growth, each plate was taken out of the incubator and scanned. This

image was imported into ImageJ (15), and the area of the inhibition zone was measured. An

average area was calculated for the megaplasmid- strain within each assay, and individual

data points for each pair of megaplasmid + and - strains in each assay were divided by this

value in order to normalize the data, Therefore, the average inhibition zone for each

megaplasmid- strain within each assay was normalized to a value of 1. Each strain pairing

was tested in at least (but usually more than) two independent assays.

<u>Competitive Fitness Assays</u>

Strains of interest for each assay were streaked from frozen stocks for 2 to 3 days

(on media containing kanamycin for megaplasmid+ strains) at which point a small clump of

cells was picked to liquid KB medium and grown for 24 hours at 27°C with shaking. Cells

from each culture were then pelleted in a centrifuge, the supernatant was poured out, and

pelleted cells were resuspended in 10Mm $MgCl_2$ for measurement of OD_{600}. Each culture

was then diluted to 1.0 OD_{600} in 10Mm $MgCl_2$.

A 10mL master culture was prepared for each set of comparative fitness assays and

for each different treatment. To prepare this master culture, 5uL of each 1.0 OD_{600} dilution of

the two comparison strains within each assay was added to the 10mL master culture. At this

point, 2mL samples of this master culture were added to 4 different test tubes, and the test

tubes were placed in a shaking incubator and grown for 24 hours at 27°C. For day 0

measurements, the master mix culture from the control (no antibiotic supplementation)

competitions was diluted 1:100 by adding 10μL into 990μL10Mm MgCl2, which was

followed by an additional 1:10 dilution. 3 replicate dilution series were made from each

master mix culture, and 150μL of each 1:100/1:1000 dilution was plated on LB media

containing 40μg/ml Xgal and placed 27°C. After 24 hours of growth each of the 4 replicate

cultures was diluted 1:10,000,000 and 1:100,000,000, with 150μL of these dilutions plated

on LB media containing 40μg/ml Xgal and placed at 27°C.

White and blue colonies were independently counted for each of the plated day 0

dilutions, these 3 values were averaged together, and the log_{10} value of this average taken

as the day 0 colony forming unit count. White and blue colonies were independently

counted for each of the day 1 replicate cultures and log_{10} transformed. Relative fitness was

calculated as the ratio of the difference between log_{10} transformed white and blue colony

counts for each replicate culture at day 1 over the difference of the log_{10} transformed

average white and blue colony counts at day 0. Depending on the assay, either two or three

assays were carried out with each pair of strains (DBL305/DBL1604 and

DBL305/DBL1620), with four replicate cultures per assay.

All competitive fitness assays were carried out in the same manner as described

above, with one exception. Since cultures grew more slowly in the presence of nalidixic

acid, cultures from the nalidixic acid competitions as well as the control competitions were

allowed to grow in liquid media at 27°C for 48 hours.

<u>Statistical Methods</u>

All statistical tests were performed in R version 3.3.0 (16). Scripts for these tests and all

underlying data can be found at **https:/doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4409341**

Results

<u>A Modified Kirby-Bauer Disc Diffusion Assay Replicates Previous Results For Nalidixic Acid</u>

<u>Sensitivity Upon Megaplasmid Acquisition</u>

Our previously published experiments thoroughly explored how acquisition of

pMPPla107 increases nalidixic acid sensitivity in *Pseudomonas stutzeri* 28a84 (13), and here

we demonstrate that this effect is replicated by a simple modified assay based on Kirby-Bauer

disc diffusion assays ((17), Figure 1A). Since antibiotics diffuse out from the disc into the media

forming a concentration gradient, size of the inhibition halo is positively correlated with

sensitivity to nalidixic acid. We screened for nalidixic acid sensitivity in two different

backgrounds of *P. stutzeri* strain 28a24, DBL332 and DBL386. Derivative strains of these

(DBL453 and DBL408, respectively, which contain megaplasmid pMPPla107) have a larger halo

of inhibition around the antibiotic disc containing nalidixic acid than their immediate progenitor

strains which lack megaplasmid pMPPla107 (Figure 1B). There is an overall significant effect of

megaplasmid on nalidixic acid sensitivity ($F_{1,36}$=132.143, p<0.0001), and there are clear

statistical differences between the areas of the inhibition halos for each pair of megaplasmid -/+

strains measured separately (DBL332/DBL453, t-test p<0.001; DBL386/DBL408, t-test

p<0.001). Therefore the acquisition of this megaplasmid sensitizes these strains to this

antibiotic.

<u>Acquisition of pMPPla107 Sensitizes Most, But Not All, Strains to Nalidixic Acid</u>

Extending the Kirby-Bauer diffusion assay design, we tested for sensitivity to nalidixic

acid across a variety of other *Pseudomonas stutzeri* strains as well as a *P. syringae* pv.

lachrymans 8003 and *P. fluorescens* Pf0-1. The *P. syringae* strains are relatively closely related

to the strain in which this megaplasmid was originally found, *P. syringae* pv. *lachrymans* 107

(18), and acquisition of the megaplasmid has been previously shown to affect growth of this

strain (12). Overall, we find that megaplasmid acquisition increases sensitivity to nalidixic acid

across all of these strains as reflected by increased halo size in these Kirby-Bauer assays

(Figure 2). However, we also find that the magnitude of this difference appears to be smaller in

P. syringae than across all of the *P. stutzeri* strains and even smaller for the *P.fluorescens* Pf0-1

comparisons. All comparisons between megaplasmid- and megaplasmid+ strains are

significantly different (t-test, p<0.001 for each with the exception of p<0.05 for the Pf0-1

comparison). We have also included representative scans from each of these comparisons in

Supplemental Figure 1 (found at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.10257704.v2)

Acquisition of Megaplasmid pMPPla107 by *P. putida* Increases Resistance to Nalidixic Acid

Using the same diffusion assays as above, we find that acquisition of megaplasmid

pMPPla107 by *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 repeatedly increased resistance of this strain to

nalidixic acid (as reflected by a smaller halo in megaplasmid+ strains in Figure 3). This effect

was replicated in an independently created pair of *P. putida* strains originally derived from *P.*

putida KT2442, where megaplasmid pMPPla107 was tagged with a different antibiotic

resistance marker (Figure 3A). Across both strain pairs, presence of megaplasmid pMPPla107

increases resistance of *P. putida* strains to nalidixic acid ($F_{1,85}$=147.57, p<0.0001) and there are

clear statistical differences between the areas of the inhibition halos for each pair of

megaplasmid -/+ strains (DBL305/DBL759, t-test p<.001; DBL1604/DBL1620, t-test p<0.001).

However the magnitude of this effect does appear to be strain specific as the DBL1604

background is to be more sensitive to nalidixic acid than the DBL305 background (Figure 3A).

Nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin are both quinolone antibiotics that have the same

mechanism of action and cause bacterial cell death by inhibiting the ability of gyrase to

covalently connect DNA strands after unwinding. Using the same Kirby-Bauer diffusion assays

as above, but instead replacing nalidixic acid discs with ciprofloxacin discs, we find that

acquisition of megaplasmid pMPPla107 does not clearly increase resistance to this antibiotic

(Figure 3B). Although the results are subtle, strains appear to be overall sensitized by the

megaplasmid to ciprofloxacin ($F_{1,80}$=12.99, p<0.001). However, only one of the megaplasmid+/-

strain pairs is significantly different when evaluated individually (DBL305/DBL759 t-test,

p<0.001; DBL1604/DBL1620 t-test, p=0.29).

A General Cost of Acquisition of Megaplasmid pMPPla107 by *P. putida*

We have previously shown that acquisition of pMPPla107 by *P. stutzeri* 28a84 leads to

dramatic fitness costs when measured by growth rate and by competitive fitness assay, and

have demonstrated that growth in the presence of nalidixic acid increases this fitness cost (12,

13). We therefore carried out competitive fitness assays of differentially marked *P. putida* strains

in order to test whether megaplasmid acquisition also affected competitive growth in this strain

background, and likewise how competitive fitness might change in the presence of nalidixic

acid. As one can see in Fig. 4A, there is no measurable difference in competitive fitness

between the *lacZ*+ background (KT2442) and the wild type background (KT2440) (t-test

compared to value of 1, p=0.14). However, in media without any antibiotic supplementation,

megaplasmid acquisition leads to a ~10% loss in competitive fitness over one growth cycle

(Figure 4A), and this difference is significantly different from the control comparison ($F_{1,20}$=600.3

p<0.0001). Therefore, increased nalidixic acid resistance as seen in the assays above occurs

even though megaplasmid acquisition significantly lowers strain growth rates in the absence of

antibiotics.

Supplementation with either Nalidixic Acid or Ciprofloxacin Shifts The Results of Competitive

Fitness Assays In *P. putida*

Since megaplasmid acquisition by *P. putida* appears to increase nalidixic acid resistance

according to Kirby-Bauer diffusion assays, we tested whether supplementation of media with

nalidixic acid could shift the outcomes of competitive fitness assays between wild type and a

megaplasmid containing strain. In the absence of any antibiotic supplementation, we are able to

independently recapitulate the fitness costs of megaplasmid acquisition reported above, and

again find a significant cost of megaplasmid acquisition when measured by competitive fitness

(Figure 4B). However, fitness relationships between strain pairs that lack or contain the

megaplasmid shift when nalidixic acid is supplemented into media ($F_{2,17}$=264.82 p<0.0001). At

20µg/ml, a ~10% fitness cost of the megaplasmid changes to a slight benefit for carriage of the

plasmid. Moreover, we see increasing fitness according to these competitive assays for the

megaplasmid strain at even higher levels of nalidixic acid (40µg/ml), although the exact

magnitude of this effect appears to be quite variable (Figure 4B). Competitive Fitness

measurements are significantly different between each concentration of antibiotics

(Tukey'sHSD, p<0.05). Therefore, megaplasmid acquisition by *P. putida* significantly increases

resistance to nalidixic acid as measured by both Kirby-Bauer diffusion assays as well as by

competitive fitness assays. Despite somewhat somewhat confounding results from disc diffusion

assays from these same strains with ciprofloxacin, but consistent with the results of competitive

assays supplemented with nalidixic acid, we find that acquisition of megaplasmid pMPPla107 by

P. putida increases the competitive ability of this strain background in the presence of 0.5ng/uL

ciprofloxacin (Figure 4C; $F_{1,18}$=178.89, p<0.0001).

Megaplasmid Dependent Resistance to Nalidixic Acid in P. putida Is Not Positively Correlated

with Temperature Sensitivity

Acquisition of megaplasmid pMPPla107 by *P. stutzeri* also renders this strain more

sensitive to relatively higher temperatures (35-37°C). To test for this effect in *P. putida,* we

carried out competitive fitness assays as above at both 27 and 37°C. Much like our previous

results in *P. stuzeri*, the cost of megaplasmid acquisition in *P. putida* is greater when strains

undergo competitive fitness assays at 37°C compared to 27°C ($F_{1,18}=319.38$ p<0.0001).

Discussion

There is a growing interest in predicting the evolutionary dynamics within microbial

populations, as a means to drive evolution within populations and communities towards

beneficial outcomes as well as a way to control or limit change within extant populations (19).

Horizontal Gene Transfer (HGT) is well recognized as a powerful driver of evolutionary

dynamics within microbial communities (1–3), and as such, should be incorporated into

scenarios for predicting future evolutionary dynamics. Plasmid conjugation and acquisition is a

major contributor to HGT in bacterial populations and communities, and plasmids are well

known to provide large-scale evolutionary benefits like enabling antibiotic resistance (2, 3, 20).

However, plasmid carriage can also entail a variety of fitness costs, which can be manifest as

lowered fitness or other phenotypic changes for plasmid bearing strains, in specific

environments (4–6). The presence of costs associated with plasmid carriage has led to

speculation about the conditions that enable plasmid maintenance within populations through

time, with one likely scenario being that costs associated with plasmid carriage are ameliorated

over time through subsequent and potentially rapid compensatory adaptation (21, 22). Although

not all HGT events entail fitness costs, rapid amelioration of fitness costs associated with

plasmid acquisition could lead to genetic and phenotypic tradeoffs that fundamentally shift future

evolutionary trajectories for the affected populations. As such, and with a lofty goal of ultimately

being able to predict evolution across bacterial populations, it is therefore critical to understand

how costs of plasmid carriage are affected by genetic, genomic, and environmental contexts.

Here we demonstrate that the direction of one previously observed phenotype

associated with acquisition of megaplasmid pMPPla107, sensitivity to nalidixic acid in

Pseudomonas (13), depends upon the interactions between this megaplasmid and genomic

background of the strain of interest. Across almost all surveyed strains, acquisition of

pMPPla107 sensitizes cells to nalidixic acid, but in *P. putida* KT2440 this same horizontal

transfer event increases resistance to this antibiotic. These contrasting phenotypic effects occur

in the absence of identifiable megaplasmid genes which could be hypothesized to influence

antibiotic sensitivity and resistance. Thus, this is not simply a scenario where a common

antibiotic resistance gene found on the megaplasmid is active in one background compared to

the others, but rather a situation where the phenotypic effects of genes present on a

megaplasmid are polar opposites under certain environments in ways that depend on the

chromosomal background. Also important, these same phenotypic benefits are seen when

megaplasmid + and - strains of *P. putida* are competed together in fitness assays. We also

demonstrate that these same competitive fitness effects can be seen when megaplasmid + and

- strains of *P. putida* are competed in the presence of ciprofloxaxin, an antibiotic which has the

same mechanism of action as nalidixic acid. These results suggest that the mechanism

underlying changes in sensitivity to nalidixic acid and/or ciprofloxacin could be due to alterations

in the activity or amounts of gyrase and topoisomerase activity within *P. putida* cells after

acquisision of an additional 1Mb of DNA.

Although we did not see a clear and similar benefit to megaplasmid acquisition by *P.*

putida using disc assays for ciprofloxacin, it is possible that discrepancies could arise because

of differences in the concentration of ciprofloxacin across both types of assay. In disc assays,

ciprofloxacin diffuses out into the plate from a disc seeded with 5ug/mL of this antibiotic

whereas competitive fitness assays took place at 0.5ng/mL concentrations. We also observed

that there was a sharp gradient at ciprofloxacin concentrations in competitive fitness assays

whereby above 0.5ng/mL strains were inhibited in growth (data not shown). It is possible that

there is a small window to dial in the benefits of megaplasmid pMPPla107 across

concentrations of ciprofloxacin and that the concentrations in the disc assay do not enable the

resolution to pick apart clear differences in strains. It is also possible that the benefits of

acquisition of pMPPla107 for ciprofloxacin resistance by *P. putida* are subtly dependent on the

precise type of assay and potentially on the exact strain background being assayed. For

instance, only one of the two strain pairings used for *P. putida* (DBL305 and DBL759) was

significantly different in the ciprofloxacin disc assays and it's possible that secondary mutations

occurred during construction of strain DBL759 are responsible for this result. Ultimately, and

regardless of confounding results between multiple types of assays for ciprofloxacin, the

consistency of the nalidixic acid results across assays and support from competitive fitness

assays, clearly demonstrate that the phenotypic consequences of acquisition of pMPPla107 by

P. putida are fundamentally different than that in *P. stutzeri.*

Quinolone antibiotics have been used as a means of curing a variety of plasmids from

many different bacterial strains, which implies that plasmid driven sensitivity to quinolones could

be a widespread phenomenon (23). These previously reported effects are thought to be

mediated by stress at the level of gyrase and topoisomerase function and should therefore likely

be affected by either nalidixic acid or ciprofloxacin (23). At present there are no clear

mechanistic explanations for differing phenotypic responses of *Pseudomonas* strains to

acquisition of pMPPla107 and any potential scenario must involve interactions between genes

on both the chromosome and megaplasmid with one outcome of this interaction being

differential sensitivity to nalidixic acid. While annotations of pMPPla107 do not point to any

genes directly implicated in nalidixic acid resistance (14), these same annotations do suggest

that the megaplasmid contains multiple efflux pumps. While the substrates for these pumps are

currently unknown, it is plausible that one could specifically transport quinolone antibiotics

outside of the cell in *P. putida*. Indeed, there is precedence for efflux pumps in *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa and other bacteria to differentially transport quinolone antibiotics (24, 25). Following

this line of thought, it would then be possible that either the particular regulation or activity of this

pump differs based on chromosomal background. There may also be a mechanism to

differentially detoxify nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin that resides on the chromosome (whether

through efflux pumps or other means), but where the activity of which is differentially affected by

megaplasmid encoded pathways. Perhaps there is a global regulator which is similarly affected

by megaplasmid presence, but where the downstream phenotypic effects differ across strain

backgrounds. For example, megaplasmid presence could uniformly lead to upregulation of

AmrZ across Pseudomonads, but upregulation of AmrZ may trigger opposing phenotypic effects

in the context of quinolone resistance in different strain backgrounds (26). It is also possible that

phenotypic outcomes of increased sensitivity and resistance to quinolone in the presence of

pMPPla107 are due to mechanistically different effects. Under this case, the default condition

might be that plasmid acquisition causes cells to become more sensitive to certain antibiotics,

but that in some backgrounds (in this case *P. putida*) there is an additional pathway or efflux

pump that is differentially affected by megaplasmid presence and which enables cells to

overcome sensitization found in other *Pseudomonas* species.

As we have demonstrated previously in *P. stutzeri,* we show that acquisition of

megaplasmid pMPPla107 is associated with a large (~10%) fitness cost in *P. putida* in

conditions without antibiotic supplementation. Therefore, differing responses to nalidixic acid

cannot simply be explained as a secondary consequence of overall growth defects due to

acquisition of pMPPla107 and there must be some other inherent systems level effect that

creates opposing phenotypic responses across strain backgrounds upon acquisition of this

megaplasmid. We further demonstrate that acquisition of pMPPla107 by *P. putida* also

increases sensitivity of this strain background to relatively higher temperatures. These

contrasting results of megaplasmid acquisition on fitness across multiple environments in *P.*

putida suggest that the multiple previously observed fitness costs associated with megaplasmid

pMPPla107 (sensitization to antibiotics and higher temperatures) have independent underlying

mechanistic bases, at least in *P. putida.*

Specific evolutionary responses to selective pressures are driven by the availability of

adaptive mutations within the context of an adaptive landscape (27). Costs associated with

plasmid acquisition can rapidly be ameliorated by new mutations that occur on either the

plasmid or throughout the rest of the genome, with the speed of compensation correlated with

selection pressures acting on the plasmid bearing population (21, 22). Extrapolation from work

focused on the molecular and theoretical basis of adaptation predicts that the target size or

number of potential compensatory mutations should increase with the cost of plasmid carriage

(28). Given that results presented here as well as those reported in other recent papers strongly

suggest that costs of plasmid carriage change depending on the environment (e.g. 29), it is

therefore likely that both the speed and molecular basis of amelioration of plasmid costs will

greatly differ across environments. Likewise, since the costs and phenotypic consequences of

plasmid carriage differ dramatically across genomic backgrounds, there will likely be substantial

variation in both the evolutionary paths and molecular basis of compensatory mutations for the

exact same plasmid within different strains. The phenotypic effects reported here suggest that

plasmids could be differentially maintained or lost across bacterial populations in ways that

depend on genotype by environment interactions rather than on simply based on the presence

or absence of beneficial genes. Results presented here therefore also strongly bear on our

understanding and predicting the evolutionary forces that enable plasmid sharing across

microbial communities.

The phenomenon reported here suggests that plasmid acquisition could fundamentally

change evolutionary dynamics of antibiotic resistance in a strain dependent way. For instance,

there will likely be a different suite of resistance mutations available towards nalidixic acid in *P.*

putida KT2440 depending on whether this genomic background contains pMPPla107 or not.

This is very much in the vein of sign epistasis when discussing phenotypic effects of *de novo*

mutation and evolutionary pathways of adaptation (9, 10, 30, 31). It is also currently unknown

how phenotypic effects of particular resistance mutations are altered in the presence of this

megaplasmid. Given that there will likely be tradeoffs in gyrase activity with mutations towards

quinolone resistance, one could envision scenarios where acquisition of this megaplasmid

simply adds to the level of resistance to nalidixic acid, has no phenotypic effect in a background

that already contains nalidixic acid resistance mutations, or even sensitizes cells to nalidixic

acid. For example, if a mutation arises in *P. putida* KT2440 that provides resistance to nalidixic

acid at 100ng/uL, it is possible that further acquisition of pMPPla107 may either provide no

benefit (Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)+pMPPla107=100ng/uL), an additive increase

to resistance (MIC+pMPPla107>100ng/uL), or sensitizes this strain

(MIC+pMPPla107<100ng/uL). Future experiments will be necessary to differentiate between

these possibilities.

In conclusion, we report here that acquisition of megaplasmid pMPPla107 leads to

opposing phenotypic effects of strains in the presence of nalidixic acid but not ciprofloxacin. In

most *Pseudomonas* strains, there is a cost to acquisition of pMPPla107 in that cells containing

this megaplasmid are sensitized to this antibiotic. However, at least in *P. putida,* acquisition of

pMPPla107 increases resistance to nalidixic acid in the absence of identifiable genes involved

in antimicrobial resistance. We highlight that these results of HGT are analogous to the

phenomenon of sign epistasis when discussing phenotypic effects of *de novo* mutations within a

genome. Therefore, as with sign epistasis, it is therefore likely that some HGT events will lead to

fundamental shifts in the adaptive landscape in ways that depend on both the genomic

background and environment. Depending on how widespread such results are, context

dependence of phenotypic effects will potentially increase uncertainty in models and predictions

for the evolutionary dynamics of microbial adaptation after horizontal gene transfer events as

well as antimicrobial resistance.

Data Availability

419   Scripts for recreating all figures and statistical tests using R, as well as all underlying data

420   for these scripts, can be found at **https:/doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4409341.** Additionally,

421   Supplemental Figure 1 can be found at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.10257704.v2.

423
Figure 1. Kirby-Bauer Diffusion Assays Recapitulate A Nalidixic Acid Sensitivity
Phenotypes in *Pseudomonas stutzeri*. We have previously demonstrated that acquisition of
megaplasmid pMPPla107 increases sensitivity to nalidixic acid in derivatives of *P. stutzeri*
28a24. Here we show that assays based on diffusion of nalidixic acid out of a disc recapitulate
this phenotype. A) Representative scans from a disc diffusion assay from using (from left to
right) a strain that either lack (DBL386) or contain (DBL408) megaplasmid pMPPla107. Image
areas covered by each of these two representative pictures (grey), the sensitivity halo around
the disc containing nalidixic acid and strain DBL386 (black) or strain DBL408 (white) are
overlaid onto each other at the far right of this image. The larger the inhibition halo, the greater
the sensitivity to nalidixic acid. B) Data from disc diffusion overlay assays comparing *P. stutzeri*
strains DBL332 and DBL386 with derivatives which have acquired megaplasmid pMPPla107.
Each strain was assayed at least three independent times, with at least 2 (but usually 6)
replicates per strain per assay. Halo size was normalized to the relevant "wild type" strain within
each assay (DBL332 for DBL453, DBL386 for DBL408) and plotted on the Y-axis. Individual
data points are shown for all assays, with box hinges corresponding to first and third quartiles
and mean plotted as horizontal lines in the center of the boxes. Strains containing the
megaplasmid are plotted in the grey boxes and are more sensitive to inhibition by nalidixic acid
($F_{1,36}=132.143$, $p<0.0001$) than paired parental strains lacking the megaplasmid (plotted in
white). Additionally, there are clear statistical differences between the areas of the inhibition
halos for each pair of megaplasmid -/+ strains (DBL332/DBL453, ttest p<.001; DBL386/DBL408,
ttest p<0.001)

446
Figure 2. Acquisition of Megaplasmid pMPPla107 Sensitizes Numerous Pseudomonas
strains to Nalidixic Acid. Data is shown for disc diffusion overlay assays comparing *P. stutzeri*
strains DBL880, DAB282, DBL883, and DBL885 with derivatives which have acquired
megaplasmid pMPPla107 (DBL907, DBL287, DBL910, DBL912 respectively). Data is also
shown for derivatives of *P. syringae* pv. *lachrymans* strain 8003 and *P. fluorescens* Pf0-1 which
either lack (DBL462 and DBL163, respectively) or contain (DBL895 and DBL877, respectively)
megaplasmid pMPPla107. Strain names of those lacking the megaplasmid are shown in grey
boxes. Data for "wt" strains are plotted in white boxes, while data for strains containing the
megaplasmid are plotted in grey boxes. Each strain was assayed at least three independent
times, with at least 4 (but usually 6) replicates per strain per assay. Two additional assays were
performed for *P. syringae* strains. Halo size was normalized to the relevant "wild type" strain
within each assay and plotted on the Y-axis. Individual data points are shown for all assays, with
box hinges corresponding to first and third quartiles and mean plotted as horizontal lines in the
center of the boxes. In each case, presence of megaplasmid pMPPla107 sensitizes strains to
nalidixic acid as shown by increased halos of inhibition. Asterisks indicate statistical significance
by t-test: >0.05=ns, *=<0.05, **=<0.01, ***=<0.001, ****=<0.0001. All intrastrain comparisons
between parent strains that lack the megaplasmid and derivative strains containing the
megaplasmid are significantly different (p<0.001) by t-test with the exception of the Pf0-1
comparison which was p<0.045 by t-test.

467
Figure 3. Acquisition of Megaplasmid pMPPla107 by *P. putida* Increases Resistance to
Nalidixic Acid but Not Ciprofloxacin in Disc Diffusion Assays. Data is shown for disc
diffusion overlay assays comparing *P. putida* strains DBL305 (KT2440) and DBL1604 (KT2442)
with derivatives which have acquired megaplasmid pMPPla107 (DBL759 and DBL1620
respectively). Each strain was assayed at least three independent times for each antibiotic, with
6 replicates per strain per assay. Halo size was normalized to the relevant "wild type" strain
within each assay and plotted on the Y-axis. Individual data points are shown for all assays, with
box hinges corresponding to first and third quartiles and mean plotted as horizontal lines in the
center of the boxes. Data for "wt" strains are plotted in white boxes, while data for strains
containing the megaplasmid are plotted in grey boxes. A) Presence of megaplasmid
pMPPla107 increases resistance of *P. putida* strains to nalidixic acid as shown by decreased
halos of inhibition ($F_{1,85}$=147.57, p<0.0001). Moreover, there are clear statistical differences
between the areas of the inhibition halos for each pair of megaplasmid -/+ strains
(DBL305/DBL759, t-test p<.001; DBL1604/DBL1620, t-test p<0.001) B) Presence of
megaplasmid pMPPla107 appears to sensitize *P. putida* strains to ciprofloxacin as shown by
increased halos of inhibition ($F_{1,80}$=12.99, p<0.001). However, although ciprofloxacin halo
inhibition areas statistically differ between strains DBL305 and DBL759 (ttest, p<0.001), there is
no clear difference in the inhibition halos between strains DBL1604 and DBL1620 (ttest,
p=0.29).
487

488
Figure 4. Contrasting Effects of Acquisition of Megaplasmid pMPPla107 in *P. putida*
Across Different Environments as Measured by Competitive Fitness Assays Competitive
fitness assays in liquid KB media were carried out between strain DBL305 (*P. putida* KT2440)
and *lacZ+* strains that either lack (DBL1604) or contain (DBL1620) megaplasmid pMPPla107. At
least two competitive fitness assays were carried out for each pairing with 4 replicates per assay
in all but one case (one assay at Nal40 only had 3 replicates). Individual data points are shown
for all assays, with box hinges corresponding to first and third quartiles and mean plotted as
horizontal lines in the center of the boxes. Asterisks indicate statistical significance by t-test:
>0.05=ns, *=<0.05, **=<0.01, ***=<0.001, ****=<0.0001. A) Although there is no measurable
difference in competitive fitness due to the *lacZ+* marker (assays between DBL305 and
DBL1604), presence of the megaplasmid leads to a ~10% fitness cost during growth in KB
medium ($F_{1,20}$=600.3 p<0.0001). B) Although the ~10% fitness cost of the megaplasmid is again
apparent during competition between DBL305 and DBL1620 in unsupplemented KB medium,
strain 1620 (containing megaplasmid pMPPla107) displays increasingly higher relative fitness
compared to strain DBL305 when media is supplemented with nalidixic acid at either 20 or 40
ng/uL (($F_{2,17}$=264.82 p<0.0001), all comparisons significant at p<0.05 by Tukey's HSD) or with
C) ciprofloxacin at 0.5ng/uL ($F_{1,18}$=178.89 p<0.0001). D) The fitness cost of megaplasmid
carriage greatly increases, to >50%, when competitions are carried out at higher temperatures
of 37°C ($F_{1,18}$=319.38 p<0.0001).

1. Soucy SM, Huang J, Gogarten JP. 2015. Horizontal gene transfer: building the web of life.
Nat Rev Genet 16:472–482.

2. Hall JPJ, Brockhurst MA, Harrison E. 2017. Sampling the mobile gene pool: innovation via
horizontal gene transfer in bacteria. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 372.

3. Brockhurst MA, Harrison E, Hall JPJ, Richards T, McNally A, MacLean C. 2019. The
Ecology and Evolution of Pangenomes. Current Biology.

4. Baltrus DA. 2013. Exploring the costs of horizontal gene transfer. Trends Ecol Evol 28:489–
495.

5. Carroll AC, Wong A. 2018. Plasmid persistence: costs, benefits, and the plasmid paradox.
Can J Microbiol 64:293–304.

6. San Millan A, MacLean RC. 2017. Fitness Costs of Plasmids: a Limit to Plasmid
Transmission. Microbiol Spectr 5.

7. Kottara A, Hall JPJ, Harrison E, Brockhurst MA. 2018. Variable plasmid fitness effects and
mobile genetic element dynamics across Pseudomonas species. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 94.

8. Alonso-del Valle A, León-Sampedro R. 2020. The distribution of plasmid fitness effects
explains plasmid persistence in bacterial communities. bioRxiv.

9. Silva RF, Mendonça SCM, Carvalho LM, Reis AM, Gordo I, Trindade S, Dionisio F. 2011.
Pervasive sign epistasis between conjugative plasmids and drug-resistance chromosomal
mutations. PLoS Genet 7:e1002181.

10. Weinreich DM, Watson RA, Chao L. 2005. Perspective: Sign epistasis and genetic
constraint on evolutionary trajectories. Evolution 59:1165–1174.

530 11. de Visser JAGM, Cooper TF, Elena SF. 2011. The causes of epistasis. Proc Biol Sci

531   278:3617–3624.

532 12. Romanchuk A, Jones CD, Karkare K, Moore A, Smith BA, Jones C, Dougherty K, Baltrus

533   DA. 2014. Bigger is not always better: transmission and fitness burden of 1 MB

534   Pseudomonas syringae megaplasmid pMPPla107. Plasmid 73:16–25.

535 13. Dougherty K, Smith BA, Moore AF, Maitland S, Fanger C, Murillo R, Baltrus DA. 2014.

536   Multiple Phenotypic Changes Associated with Large-Scale Horizontal Gene Transfer. PLoS

537   ONE.

538 14. Smith BA, Leligdon C, Baltrus D. 2018. Just the Two of Us? A Family of Pseudomonas

539   Megaplasmids Offers a Rare Glimpse Into the Evolution of Large Mobile Elements. bioRxiv.

540 15. Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image

541   analysis. Nat Methods 9:671–675.

542 16. R Core Team. 2016. R: A language and environment for statistical. R Foundation for

543   Statistical Computing, Viena, Austria, Vienna, Austria.

544 17. Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC, Turck M. 1966. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a

545   standardized single disk method. Am J Clin Pathol 45:493–496.

546 18. Baltrus DA, Nishimura MT, Romanchuk A, Chang JH, Mukhtar MS, Cherkis K, Roach J,

547   Grant SR, Jones CD, Dangl JL. 2011. Dynamic evolution of pathogenicity revealed by

548   sequencing and comparative genomics of 19 Pseudomonas syringae isolates. PLoS

549   Pathog 7:e1002132.

550 19. Lässig M, Mustonen V, Walczak AM. 2017. Predicting evolution. Nat Ecol Evol 1:77.

551 20. Heuer H, Smalla K. 2012. Plasmids foster diversification and adaptation of bacterial

populations in soil. FEMS Microbiol Rev 36:1083–1104.

21. Zwanzig M, Harrison E, Brockhurst MA, Hall JPJ, Berendonk TU, Berger U. 2019. Mobile

Compensatory Mutations Promote Plasmid Survival. mSystems 4.

22. Hall JPJ, Wright RCT, Guymer D, Harrison E, Brockhurst MA. 2019. Extremely fast

amelioration of plasmid fitness costs by multiple functionally diverse pathways.

Microbiology https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000862.

23. Buckner MMC, Ciusa ML, Piddock LJV. 2018. Strategies to combat antimicrobial

resistance: anti-plasmid and plasmid curing. FEMS Microbiol Rev 42:781–804.

24. Piddock LJV, Jin YF, Webber MA, Everett MJ. 2002. Novel ciprofloxacin-resistant, nalidixic

acid-susceptible mutant of Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother

46:2276–2278.

25. Köhler T, Michea-Hamzehpour M, Plesiat P, Kahr AL, Pechere JC. 1997. Differential

selection of multidrug efflux systems by quinolones in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother 41:2540–2543.

26. Baltrus DA, Dougherty K, Diaz B, Murillo R. 2018. Evolutionary Plasticity of AmrZ

Regulation in Pseudomonas. mSphere 3.

27. Orr HA. 2005. The genetic theory of adaptation: a brief history. Nat Rev Genet 6:119–127.

28. Orr HA. 2010. The population genetics of beneficial mutations. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B

Biol Sci 365:1195–1201.

29. San Millan A, Toll-Riera M, Qi Q, Betts A, Hopkinson RJ, McCullagh J, MacLean RC. 2018.

Integrative analysis of fitness and metabolic effects of plasmids in Pseudomonas

aeruginosa PAO1. ISME J 12:3014–3024.

30. Chiotti KE, Kvitek DJ, Schmidt KH, Koniges G, Schwartz K, Donckels EA, Rosenzweig F,

Sherlock G. 2014. The Valley-of-Death: reciprocal sign epistasis constrains adaptive

trajectories in a constant, nutrient limiting environment. Genomics 104:431–437.

31. Wu NC, Dai L, Olson CA, Lloyd-Smith JO, Sun R. 2016. Adaptation in protein fitness

landscapes is facilitated by indirect paths. Elife 5.

579