Genomic Background Governs Opposing Responses to Nalidixic Acid Upon Megaplasmid Acquisition in *Pseudomonas*

David A. Baltrus[1,2*]

Caitlin Smith[1]

MacKenzie Derrick[1]

Courtney Leligdon[1]

Zoe Rosenthal[1]

Madison Mollico[1]

Andrew Moore[1]

Meara Clark[1]

[1]School of Plant Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ , USA

[2]School of Animal and Comparative Biomedical Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ , USA

*baltrus@email.arizona.edu

Abstract

Horizontal Gene Transfer is a significant driver of evolutionary dynamics across

microbial populations . Although the benefits of the acquisition of new genetic material are often

quite clear, it has also been demonstrated across various systems that gene transfer events can

cause significant phenotypic changes and entail fitness costs depending on the environmental

context. Here we test for the generality of one of these costs, sensitization of cells to the

antibiotic nalidixic acid after acquisition of a ~1Mb megaplasmid across Pseudomonas strains

and species. Overall, we find that the presence of this megaplasmid sensitizes many different

strains to nalidixic acid, but that this same horizontal gene transfer event actually increases

resistance of *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 to this antibiotic. These results are not easily

explained away as secondary consequences of overall fitness effects, and the effects do not

appear to be correlated with sensitization to ciprofloxacin. Lastly, we draw parallels between

these reported results and the phenomenon of sign epistasis for *de novo* mutations and explore

how context dependence of effects of plasmid acquisition could impact overall evolutionary

dynamics and the evolution of antimicrobial resistance.

Introduction

Horizontal Gene Transfer (HGT), a catch all term for the transfer of DNA between individuals, is one of the main drivers of evolutionary dynamics across microbial populations (1–3). Although there are countless examples where HGT has been shown to increase microbial fitness or enable colonization of new niches, it is increasingly appreciated that HGT brings with it a variety of costs that arise as byproducts of the incorporation of foreign DNA into established physiological and genetic systems (4–6). Recent efforts have begun to categorize and characterize phenotypic effects of HGT across systems, and have clearly demonstrated that acquisition of the same genes can lead to different outcomes across genomic backgrounds (7). Such differences in phenotypic outcomes following HGT are highly related to the concept of sign epistasis in the context of de novo mutations, whereby the fitness effects of a mutation change sign (e.g. from positive to negative) under specific genetic combinations (8–10). Much like sign epistasis, we currently lack a general understanding of the underlying causes of differences in phenotypic outcomes following HGT, and as a consequence, there is no clear model for predicting if and when acquisition of DNA could be detrimental in some genomic backgrounds but beneficial in others.

We have previously reported on numerous phenotypic changes that occur as a consequence of acquisition of a ~1Mb megplasmid, pMPPla107, by *Pseudomonas* strains (11, 12). In addition to fitness costs correlated with slower growth, acquisition of pMPPla107 alters a variety of other phenotypes in some strains including: increased motility, decreased biofilm formation, decreased thermal tolerance, increased sensitivity to an unidentified compound

produced by Pseudomonads, and increased sensitivity to quinolone antibiotics like nalidixic acid

and ciprofloxacin. In the particular context of antibiotic sensitivity, it is important to note that

current annotations of megaplasmid pMPPla107 contain no known antibiotic resistance genes

(13) and that, at present, the mechanistic basis and overall strain specificity of these phenotypic

changes remains unknown.

Here, we test for how frequently sensitivity to nalidixic acid is observed across

Pseudomonas strains after acquisition of megaplasmid pMPPla107. We show that, although the

presence of this megaplasmid increases sensitivity to nalidixic acid in many strain backgrounds,

one strain in particular - *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 - displays increased resistance to nalidixic

acid after this HGT event. We further demonstrate that resistance to nalidixic acid upon

megaplasmid acquisition is not correlated with increased resistance to ciprofloxacin, even though

both antibiotics affect the same cellular target. Therefore, our report provides an additional

example whereby the precise phenotypic effects of HGT are dependent on strain background, but

also suggests that that antibiotic resistance can be increased by megaplasmid pMPPla107 even in

the absence of identifiable resistance genes in the region acquired by HGT. These results, and

specifically strain-dependent changes in sign of phenotypic effects of plasmid acquisition,

provide new a new viewpoint for considering conditions that promote plasmid maintenance and

highlight the influence of genomic and environmental contexts when considering amelioration of

fitness costs after HGT events.

Methods

<u>Bacterial strains and culture conditions</u>

All strains and plasmids used in the study are listed in Table 1. Strain propagation of all

cultures largely took place at 27°C in King's B medium supplemented with rifampicin unless

otherwise specified. Antibiotics were supplemented into media at the following concentrations when

appropriate and unless otherwise specified: 50 µg/ml rifampin, 10 µg/ml tetracycline, and 20 µg/ml

kanamycin. Xgal was supplemented at 40 µg/ml. Antibiotic discs used in the diffusion assays

contained antibiotics at concentrations of 30 µg/ml for nalidixic acid and 5 µg/ml for ciprofloxacin.

<u>Kirby-Bauer Disc Diffusion Assays</u>

Strains of interest for each assay were streaked from frozen stocks and incubated on KB

media at 27°C for 2 or 3 days. At this point a small clump of cells was picked to liquid KB medium

and grown for 48 hours at 27°C with shaking. Cells from each culture where then pelleted in a

centrifuge, the supernatant was poured out, and pelleted cells were resuspended in 10Mm $MgCl_2$ for

measurement of OD_{600}. Each culture was then diluted to 0.01 OD_{600} in 10Mm $MgCl_2$ and 300uL of

each culture was added to 3mL of 0.4% water agar (which was previously melted and allowed to

briefly cool so that it was warm to the touch). This bacteria/agar mixture was then poured onto a

plain KB agar plate (1.5% agar) and allowed to solidify. Lastly, a disc containing the antibiotic of

interest was added to the center of the plate and each was placed in an incubator at 27°C. Pairs of

megaplasmid +/- strains were always evaluated together within the same assay.

After 3 days of growth, each plate was taken out of the incubator and scanned. This scanned

image was imported into ImageJ (14), and the area of the inhibition zone was measured. An average

area was calculated for the megaplasmid- strain within each assay, and individual data points for

each pair of megaplasmid + and - strains in each assay were divided by this value in order to

normalize the data, Therefore, the average inhibition zone for each megaplasmid- strain within each

assay was normalized to a value of 1.

<u>Competitive Fitness Assays</u>

Strains of interest for each assay were streaked from frozen stocks for 2 or 3 days (on media

containing kanamycin for megaplasmid+ strains) after which point a small clump of cells was picked

to liquid KB medium and grown for 24 hours at 27°C with shaking. Cells from each culture where

then pelleted in a centrifuge, the supernatant was poured out, and pelleted cells were resuspended in

10Mm $MgCl_2$ for measurement of OD_{600}. Each culture was then diluted to 1.0 OD_{600} in 10Mm

$MgCl_2$.

A 10mL master culture was prepared for each set of comparative fitness assays. To prepare

this master culture, 5uL of each 1.0 OD_{600} dilution of the two comparison strains within each assay

was added to the 10mL master culture. At this point, 2mL samples of this master culture were added

to 4 different test tubes, and the test tubes were placed in a shaking incubator and grown for 24 hours

at 27°C. For day 0 measurements the master mix culture was diluted 1:100 by adding 10μL into

990uL10Mm MgCl2, which was followed by an additional 1:10 dilution. 3 replicate dilution series

were made from each master mix culture, and 150μL of each 1:100/1:1000 dilution was plated on LB

media containing 40μg/ml Xgal and placed 27°C. After 24 hours of growth each of the 4 replicate

cultures was diluted 1:10,000,000 and 1:100,000,000, with 150μL of these dilutions plated on LB

media containing 40μg/ml Xgal and placed at 27°C.

White and blue colonies were independently counted for each of the plated day 0 dilutions,

the 3 values were averaged together, and the log10 value of this average taken as the day 0 colony

forming unit count. White and blue colonies were independently counted for each of the day 1

replicate cultures and $\log_{10}$ transformed. Relative fitness was calculated as the ratio of the difference

between $\log_{10}$ transformed white and blue colony counts for each replicate culture at day 1 over the

difference of the $\log_{10}$ transformed average white and blue colony counts at day 0. Two assays were

carried out with each pair of strains (DBL305/DBL1604 and DBL305/DBL1620), with four replicate

cultures per assay.

Relative fitness was measured in the same way in cultures supplemented with nalidixic acid,

except that 5µL samples of each strain were independently added to separate master mix cultures for

each antibiotic concentration. Additionally, given that cultures grew more slowly in the presence of

nalidixic acid, cultures were allowed to grow in liquid media at 27°C for 48 hours.

Statistical Methods

All statistical tests were performed in R version 3.3.0 (15). Scripts for these tests and all underlying
145
146 data can be found at **https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.10257704.v1**
147

Results

A Modified Kirby-Bauer Disc Diffusion Assay Replicates Previous Results For Nalidixic Acid

Sensitivity Upon Megaplasmid Acquisition

Our previously published experiments thoroughly explored how acquisition of

pMPPla107 increases nalidixic acid sensitivity in *Pseudomonas stutzeri* 28a84 (12), and here we

demonstrate that this effect is replicated by a simple modified assay based on Kirby-Bauer disc

diffusion assays ((16), Figure 1A). Since antibiotics diffuse out from the disc into the media

forming a concentration gradient, size of the inhibition halo is positively correlated with

sensitivity to nalidixic acid. We screened for nalidixic acid sensitivity in two different

backgrounds of *P. stutzeri* strain 28a24, DBL332 and DBL386. Derivative strains of these

(DBL453 and DBL408, respectively, which contain megaplasmid pMPPla107) have a larger

halo of inhibition around the antibiotic disc containing nalidixic acid than their immediate

progenitor strains which lack megaplasmid pMPPla107 (Figure 1B). There is an overall

significant effect of megaplasmid on nalidixic acid sensitivity ($F_{1,36}$=132.143, p<0.0001), and

there are clear statistical differences between the areas of the inhibition halos for each pair of

megaplasmid -/+ strains measured separately (DBL332/DBL453, t-test p<0.001;

DBL386/DBL408, t-test p<0.001). Therefore the acquisition of this megaplasmid sensitizes these

strains to this antibiotic.

<u>Acquisition of pMPPla107 Sensitizes Most, But Not All, Strains to Nalidixic Acid</u>

Extending the Kirby-Bauer diffusion assay design, we tested for sensitivity to nalidixic

acid across a variety of other *Pseudomonas stutzeri* strains as well as a *P. syringae* pv.

lachrymans 8003. The *P. syringae* strains are relatively closely related to the strain in which this

megaplasmid was originally found, *P. syringae* pv. *lachrymans* 107 (17). Overall, we find that

megaplasmid acquisition increases sensitivity to nalidixic acid across all of these strains as

reflected by increased halo size in these Kirby-Bauer assays (Figure 2). However, we also find

that the magnitude of this difference appears to be smaller in *P. syringae* than across all of the *P.*

stutzeri strains. All comparisons between megaplasmid- and megaplasmid+ strains are

significantly different (t-test, p<0.001 for each).

<u>Phenotypic Responses to Nalidixic acid and Ciprofloxacin Differ in P. putida in the Presence of</u>

<u>Megaplasmid pMPPla107</u>

Using the same diffusion assays as above, we find that acquisition of megaplasmid

pMPPla107 by *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 repeatedly increased resistance of this strain to

nalidixic acid (as reflected by a smaller halo in megaplasmid+ strains in Figure 3). This effect

was replicated in an independently created pair of *P. putida* strains originally derived from *P.*

putida KT2442, where megaplasmid pMPPla107 was tagged with a different marker (Figure 3).

Nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin are both quinolone antibiotics that have the same

mechanism of action and cause bacterial cell death by inhibiting the ability of gyrase to

covalently connect DNA strands after unwinding. Using the same Kirby-Bauer diffusion assays

as above, but instead replacing nalidixic acid discs with ciprofloxacin discs, we find that

acquisition of megaplasmid pMPPla107 does not increase resistance to this antibiotic (Figure 3).

Although the results are subtle, strains are overall sensitized by the megaplasmid to ciprofloxacin

($F_{1,80}$=12.99, p<0.001). However, only one of the megaplasmid+/- strain pairs is significantly

different when evaluated individually (DBL305/DBL759 t-test, p<0.001; DBL1604/DBL1620 t-

test, p=0.29).

<u>Megaplasmid Dependent Resistance to Nalidixic Acid in P. putida Is Not Positively Correlated</u>

<u>with Other Fitness Costs</u>

We have previously shown that acquisition of pMPPla107 by *P. stutzeri* 28a84 leads to

dramatic fitness costs when measured by growth rate and by competitive fitness assay, and have

demonstrated that growth in the presence of nalidixic acid increases this fitness cost (11, 12). We

therefore carried out competitive fitness assays of differentially marked *P. putida* strains in order

to test whether megaplasmid acquisition also affected competitive growth in this strain

background, and likewise how competitive fitness might change in the presence of nalidixic acid.

As one can see in Fig. 4A, there is no measurable difference in competitive fitness between the

lacZ+ background (KT2442) and the wild type background (KT2440) (t-test compared to value

of 1, p=0.14). However, in media without any antibiotic supplementation, megaplasmid

acquisition leads to a ~10% loss in competitive fitness over one growth cycle (Figure 4A), and

this difference is significantly different from the control comparison ($F_{2,17}$=264.82, p<0.0001).

Therefore, increased nalidixic acid resistance as seen in the assays above occurs even though

megaplasmid acquisition significantly lowers strain growth rates in the absence of antibiotic.

Supplementation of Nalidixic Acid Shifts The Results of Competitive Fitness Assays In *P.*

putida

Since megaplasmid acquisition by *P. putida* appears to increase nalidixic acid resistance

according to Kirby-Bauer diffusion assays, we tested whether supplementation of media with

nalidixic acid could shift the outcomes of competitive fitness assays between wild type and a

megaplasmid containing strain. In the absence of any antibiotic supplementation, we are able to

independently recapitulate the fitness costs of megaplasmid acquisition reported above, and

again find a significant cost of megaplasmid acquisition when measured by competitive fitness

(Figure 4B). However, fitness relationships between strain pairs that lack or contain the

megaplasmid shift when nalidixic acid is supplemented into media. At 20µg/ml, a ~10% fitness

cost of the megaplasmid changes to a slight benefit for carriage of the plasmid. Moreover, we see

increasing fitness according to these competitive assays for the megaplasmid strain at even

higher levels of nalidixic acid (40μg/ml), although the exact magnitude of this effect appears to

be quite variable (Figure 4B). Competitive Fitness measurements are significantly different

between each concentration of antibiotics (TukeysHSD, $p<0.02$). Therefore, megaplasmid

acquisition by *P. putida* significantly increases resistance to nalidixic acid as measured by both

Kirby-Bauer diffusion assays as well as by competitive fitness assays.

Discussion

There is a growing interest in predicting the evolutionary dynamics within microbial

populations, as a means to drive evolution within populations and communities towards

beneficial outcomes as well as a way to control or limit change within extant populations (18).

Horizontal Gene Transfer (HGT) is well recognized as a powerful driver of evolutionary

dynamics within microbial communities (1–3), and as such, should be incorporated into

scenarios for predicting future evolutionary dynamics in natural communities. Plasmid

conjugation and acquisition is a major contributor to HGT in bacterial populations and

communities, and plasmids are well known to provide large-scale evolutionary benefits like

enabling antibiotic resistance (2, 3, 19). However, plasmid carriage can also entail a variety of

fitness costs, which can be manifest as lowered fitness or other phenotypic changes for plasmid

bearing strains, in specific environments (4–6). The presence of costs associated with plasmid

carriage has led to speculation about the conditions that enable plasmid maintenance within

populations through time, with one likely scenario being that costs associated with plasmid

carriage are ameliorated over time through subsequent and potentially rapid compensatory

adaptation (20, 21). Although not all HGT events entail fitness costs, rapid amelioration of

fitness costs associated with plasmid acquisition could lead to genetic and phenotypic tradeoffs

that fundamentally shift future evolutionary trajectories for the affected populations. As such,

and with a lofty goal of ultimately being able to predict evolution across bacterial populations, it

is therefore critical to understand how costs of plasmid carriage are affected by genetic, genomic,

and environmental contexts.

Here we demonstrate that the direction of one previously observed phenotype associated

with acquisition of megaplasmid pMPPla107, sensitivity to nalidixic acid in *Pseudomonas (12)*,

depends upon the interactions between this megaplasmid and genomic background of the strain

of interest. Across almost all surveyed strains, acquisition of pMPPla107 sensitizes cells to

nalidixic acid but in *P. putida* KT2440 this same horizontal transfer event increases resistance to

this antibiotic. These contrasting phenotypic effects occur in the absence on this megaplasmid of

identifiable genes known to influence antibiotic sensitivity and resistance. Thus, this is not

simply a scenario where a common antibiotic resistance gene found on the megaplasmid is active

in one background compared to the others, but rather a situation where the phenotypic effects of

genes present on a megaplasmid are polar opposites under certain environments in ways that

depend on the chromosomal background. Also important, these same phenotypic effects are not

seen when strains are challenged with ciprofloxacin, an antibiotic that is structurally different

than but which has the same mechanism of action as nalidixic acid as *P. putida* KT2440 displays

either no change or a slight sensitivity to ciprofloxacin after acquisition of megaplasmid

pMPPla107. Therefore, these results imply that the mechanism underlying changes in sensitivity

to nalidixic acid and/or ciprofloxacin are not simply due to alterations in gyrase and

topoisomerase activity within a cell due to the presence of an additional 1Mb of DNA. As we

have demonstrated previously in *P. stutzeri,* we further show that acquisition of megaplasmid

pMPPla107 is associated with a large (~10%) fitness cost in *P. putida* in conditions without

antibiotic supplementation. Therefore, differing responses to nalidixic acid cannot simply be

explained as a secondary consequence of overall growth defects due to acquisition of

pMPPla107 and there must be some other inherent systems level effect that creates opposing

phenotypic responses across strain backgrounds upon acquisition of this megaplasmid.

Quinolone antibiotics have been used as a means of curing a variety of plasmids from

many different bacterial strains, which implies that plasmid driven sensitivity to quinolones

could be a widespread phenomenon (22). These previously reported effects are thought to be

mediated by stress at the level of gyrase and topoisomerase function and should therefore likely

be affected by either nalidixic acid or ciprofloxacin (22). At present there are no clear

mechanistic explanations for differing phenotypic responses of *Pseudomonas* strains to

acquisition of pMPPla107 and any potential scenario must involve interactions between genes on

both the chromosome and megaplasmid with one outcome of this interaction being differential

sensitivity to nalidixic acid but not ciprofloxacin. While annotations of pMPPla107 do not point

to any genes directly implicated in nalidixic acid resistance (13), these same annotations do

suggest that the megaplasmid contains multiple efflux pumps. While the substrates for these

pumps are currently unknown, it is plausible that one could differentially transport nalidixic acid

and not ciprofloxacin outside of the cell. Indeed, there is precedence for efflux pumps in

Pseudomonas aeruginosa and other bacteria to differentially transport quinolone antibiotics (23,

24). Following this line of thought, it would then be possible that either the particular regulation

or activity of this pump for nalidixic acid differs based on chromosomal background. There may

also be a mechanism to differentially detoxify nalidixic acid that resides on the chromosome

(whether through efflux pumps or other means), but where the activity of which is differentially

affected by megaplasmid encoded pathways. Perhaps there is a global regulator which is

similarly affected by megaplasmid presence, but where the downstream phenotypic effects differ

across strain backgrounds. For example, megaplasmid presence could uniformly lead to

upregulation of AmrZ across Pseudomonads, but upregulation of AmrZ may trigger opposing

phenotypic effects in the context of nalidixic acid resistance in different strain backgrounds (25).

It is also possible that phenotypic outcomes of increased sensitivity and resistance to nalidixic

acid in the presence of pMPPla107 are due to mechanistically different effects. Under this case,

the default condition might be that plasmid acquisition causes cells to become more sensitive to

certain antibiotics, but that in some backgrounds (in this case *P. putida*) there is an additional

pathway or efflux pump that is differentially affected by megaplasmid presence and which

enables cells to overcome sensitization found in other *Pseudomonas* species.

Specific evolutionary responses to selective pressures are driven by the availability of

adaptive mutations within the context of an adaptive landscape (26). Costs associated with

plasmid acquisition can rapidly be ameliorated by new mutations that occur on either the plasmid

or throughout the rest of the genome, with the speed of compensation correlated with selection

pressures acting on the plasmid bearing population (20, 21). Extrapolation from work focused on

the molecular and theoretical basis of adaptation predicts that the target size or number of

potential compensatory mutations should increase with the cost of plasmid carriage (27). Given

that results presented here as well as those reported in other recent papers strongly suggest that

costs of plasmid carriage change depending on the environment, it is therefore likely that both

the speed and molecular basis of amelioration of plasmid costs will greatly differ across

environments. Likewise, since the costs and phenotypic consequences of plasmid carriage differ

dramatically across genomic backgrounds, there will likely be substantial variation in both the

evolutionary paths and molecular basis of compensatory mutations for the exact same plasmid

within different strains. Likewise, the phenotypic effects reported here suggest that plasmids

could be differentially maintained or lost across bacterial populations in ways that depend on

genotype by environment interactions rather than on simply based on the presence or absence of

beneficial genes. Results presented here therefore also strongly bear on our understanding and

predicting the evolutionary forces that enable plasmid sharing across microbial communities.

The phenomenon reported here also suggests that plasmid acquisition could

fundamentally change evolutionary dynamics of antibiotic resistance in a strain dependent way.

For instance, there will likely be a different suite of resistance mutations available towards

nalidixic acid in *P. putida* KT2440 depending on whether this genomic background contains

pMPPla107 or not. This is very much in the vein of sign epistasis when discussing phenotypic

effects of *de novo* mutation and evolutionary pathways of adaptation (8, 9, 28, 29). It is also

currently unknown how phenotypic effects of particular resistance mutations are altered in the

presence of this megaplasmid. Given that there will likely be tradeoffs in gyrase activity with

mutations towards quinolone resistance, one could envision scenarios where acquisition of this

megaplasmid simply adds to the level of resistance to nalidixic acid, has no phenotypic effect in

a background that already contains nalidixic acid resistance mutations, or even sensitizes cells to

nalidixic acid. For example, if a mutation arises in *P. putida* KT2440 that provides resistance to

nalidixic acid at 100ng/uL, it is possible that further acquisition of pMPPla107 may either

provide no benefit (MIC+pMPPla107=100ng/uL), an additive increase to resistance

(MIC+pMPPla107>100ng/uL), or sensitizes this strain (MIC+pMPPla107<100ng/uL). Future

experiments will be necessary to differentiate between these possibilities.

In conclusion, we report here that acquisition of megaplasmid pMPPla107 leads to

opposing phenotypic effects of strains in the presence of nalidixic acid but not ciprofloxacin. In

most *Pseudomonas* strains, there is a cost to acquisition of pMPPla107 in that cells containing

this megaplasmid are sensitized to this antibiotic. However, at least in *P. putida,* acquisition of

pMPPla107 increases resistance to nalidixic acid in the absence of identifiable genes involved in

antimicrobial resistance. We highlight that these results of HGT are analogous to the

phenomenon of sign epistasis when discussing phenotypic effects of *de novo* mutations within a

genome. Therefore, as with sign epistasis, it is therefore likely that some HGT events will lead to

fundamental shifts in the adaptive landscape in ways that depend on both the genomic

background and environment. Depending on how widespread such results are, context

dependence of phenotypic effects will potentially increase uncertainty in models and predictions

for the evolutionary dynamics of microbial adaptation after horizontal gene transfer events as

well as antimicrobial resistance.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Brian Smith, Kevin Dougherty, and Kevin Hockett for helpful insights

that led to this paper.

366
367

References

1.   Soucy SM, Huang J, Gogarten JP. 2015. Horizontal gene transfer: building the web of life. Nat Rev Genet 16:472–482.

2.   Hall JPJ, Brockhurst MA, Harrison E. 2017. Sampling the mobile gene pool: innovation via horizontal gene transfer in bacteria. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 372.

3.   Brockhurst MA, Harrison E, Hall JPJ, Richards T, McNally A, MacLean C. 2019. The Ecology and Evolution of Pangenomes. Current Biology.

4.   Baltrus DA. 2013. Exploring the costs of horizontal gene transfer. Trends Ecol Evol 28:489–495.

5.   Carroll AC, Wong A. 2018. Plasmid persistence: costs, benefits, and the plasmid paradox. Can J Microbiol 64:293–304.

6.   San Millan A, MacLean RC. 2017. Fitness Costs of Plasmids: a Limit to Plasmid Transmission. Microbiol Spectr 5.

7.   Kottara A, Hall JPJ, Harrison E, Brockhurst MA. 2018. Variable plasmid fitness effects and mobile genetic element dynamics across Pseudomonas species. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 94.

8.   Silva RF, Mendonça SCM, Carvalho LM, Reis AM, Gordo I, Trindade S, Dionisio F. 2011. Pervasive sign epistasis between conjugative plasmids and drug-resistance chromosomal mutations. PLoS Genet 7:e1002181.

9.   Weinreich DM, Watson RA, Chao L. 2005. Perspective: Sign epistasis and genetic constraint on evolutionary trajectories. Evolution 59:1165–1174.

10. de Visser JAGM, Cooper TF, Elena SF. 2011. The causes of epistasis. Proc Biol Sci

278:3617–3624.

11. Romanchuk A, Jones CD, Karkare K, Moore A, Smith BA, Jones C, Dougherty K, Baltrus

DA. 2014. Bigger is not always better: transmission and fitness burden of 1 MB

Pseudomonas syringae megaplasmid pMPPla107. Plasmid 73:16–25.

12. Dougherty K, Smith BA, Moore AF, Maitland S, Fanger C, Murillo R, Baltrus DA. 2014.

Multiple Phenotypic Changes Associated with Large-Scale Horizontal Gene Transfer. PLoS

ONE.

13. Smith BA, Leligdon C, Baltrus D. 2018. Just the Two of Us? A Family of Pseudomonas

Megaplasmids Offers a Rare Glimpse Into the Evolution of Large Mobile Elements.

bioRxiv.

14. Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image

analysis. Nat Methods 9:671–675.

15. R Core Team. 2016. R: A language and environment for statistical. R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, Viena, Austria, Vienna, Austria.

16. Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC, Turck M. 1966. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a

standardized single disk method. Am J Clin Pathol 45:493–496.

17. Baltrus DA, Nishimura MT, Romanchuk A, Chang JH, Mukhtar MS, Cherkis K, Roach J,

Grant SR, Jones CD, Dangl JL. 2011. Dynamic evolution of pathogenicity revealed by

sequencing and comparative genomics of 19 Pseudomonas syringae isolates. PLoS Pathog

7:e1002132.

18. Lässig M, Mustonen V, Walczak AM. 2017. Predicting evolution. Nat Ecol Evol 1:77.

19. Heuer H, Smalla K. 2012. Plasmids foster diversification and adaptation of bacterial

populations in soil. FEMS Microbiol Rev 36:1083–1104.

20. Zwanzig M, Harrison E, Brockhurst MA, Hall JPJ, Berendonk TU, Berger U. 2019. Mobile

Compensatory Mutations Promote Plasmid Survival. mSystems 4.

21. Hall JPJ, Wright RCT, Guymer D, Harrison E, Brockhurst MA. 2019. Extremely fast

amelioration of plasmid fitness costs by multiple functionally diverse pathways.

Microbiology.

22. Buckner MMC, Ciusa ML, Piddock LJV. 2018. Strategies to combat antimicrobial

resistance: anti-plasmid and plasmid curing. FEMS Microbiol Rev 42:781–804.

23. Piddock LJV, Jin YF, Webber MA, Everett MJ. 2002. Novel ciprofloxacin-resistant,

nalidixic acid-susceptible mutant of Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother

46:2276–2278.

24. Köhler T, Michea-Hamzehpour M, Plesiat P, Kahr AL, Pechere JC. 1997. Differential

selection of multidrug efflux systems by quinolones in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother 41:2540–2543.

25. Baltrus DA, Dougherty K, Diaz B, Murillo R. 2018. Evolutionary Plasticity of AmrZ

Regulation in Pseudomonas. mSphere 3.

26. Orr HA. 2005. The genetic theory of adaptation: a brief history. Nat Rev Genet 6:119–127.

27. Orr HA. 2010. The population genetics of beneficial mutations. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B

Biol Sci 365:1195–1201.

28. Chiotti KE, Kvitek DJ, Schmidt KH, Koniges G, Schwartz K, Donckels EA, Rosenzweig F,

Sherlock G. 2014. The Valley-of-Death: reciprocal sign epistasis constrains adaptive

trajectories in a constant, nutrient limiting environment. Genomics 104:431–437.

29. Wu NC, Dai L, Olson CA, Lloyd-Smith JO, Sun R. 2016. Adaptation in protein fitness

landscapes is facilitated by indirect paths. Elife 5.

Figure 1. Kirby-Bauer Diffusion Assays Recapitulate A Nalidixic Acid Sensitivity Phenotypes in _Pseudomonas stutzeri_. A) Representative scans from a disc diffusion assay from using (from left to right) a strain that either lack (DBL386) or contain (DBL408) megaplasmid pMPPla107. Image areas covered by each of these two representative pictures (grey), the sensitivity halo around the disc containing nalidixic acid and strain DBL386 (black) or strain DBL408 (white) are overlaid onto each other at the far right of this image. B) Data from disc diffusion overlay assays comparing _P. stutzeri_ strains DBL332 and DBL386 with derivatives which have acquired megaplasmid pMPPla107. Each strain was assayed at least three independent times, with at least 2 (but usually 6) replicates per strain per assay. Halo size was normalized to the relevant "wild type" strain within each assay (DBL332 for DBL453, DBL386 for DBL408) and plotted on the Y-axis. Individual data points are shown for all assays, with box hinges corresponding to first and third quartiles and mean plotted as horizontal lines in the center of the boxes. Strains containing the megaplasmid are more sensitive to inhibition by nalidixic acid ($F_{1,36}$=132.143, p<0.0001). Additionally, there are clear statistical differences between the areas of the inhibition halos for each pair of megaplasmid -/+ strains (DBL332/ DBL453, t-test p<.001; DBL386/DBL408, t-test p<0.001).

Figure 2. Acquisition of Megaplasmid pMPPla107 Sensitizes Numerous Pseudomonas strains to Nalidixic Acid. Data is shown for disc diffusion overlay assays comparing *P. stutzeri* strains DBL880, DAB282, DBL883, and DBL885 with derivatives which have acquired megaplasmid pMPPla107 (DBL907, DBL287, DBL910, DBL912 respectively). Data is also shown for derivatives of *P. syringae* pv. *lachrymans* strain 8003 which either lack (DBL462) or contain (DBL895) megaplasmid pMPPla107. Strain names of those lacking the megaplasmid are shown in grey boxes. Each strain was assayed at least three independent times, with at least 4 (but usually 6) replicates per strain per assay. Two additional assays were performed for *P. syringae* strains. Halo size was normalized to the relevant "wild type" strain within each assay and plotted on the Y-axis. Individual data points are shown for all assays, with box hinges corresponding to first and third quartiles and mean plotted as horizontal lines in the center of the boxes. In each case, presence of megaplasmid pMPPla107 sensitizes strains to nalidixic acid as shown by increased halos of inhibition. All intrastrain comparisons between parent strains that lack the megaplasmid and derivative strains containing the megaplasmid are significantly different (p<0.001) by t-test.

Figure 3. Acquisition of Megaplasmid pMPPla107 by *P. putida* Increases Resistance to Nalidixic Acid but Not Ciprofloxacin. Data is shown for disc diffusion overlay assays comparing *P. putida* strains DBL305 (KT2440) and DBL1604 (KT2442) with derivatives which have acquired megaplasmid pMPPla107 (DBL759 and DBL1620 respectively). Each strain was assayed at least three independent times for each antibiotic, with 6 replicates per strain per assay. Halo size was normalized to the relevant "wild type" strain within each assay and plotted on the Y-axis. Individual data points are shown for all assays, with box hinges corresponding to first and third quartiles and mean plotted as horizontal lines in the center of the boxes. A) Presence of megaplasmid pMPPla107 increases resistance of *P. putida* strains to nalidixic acid as shown by decreased halos of inhibition ($F_{1,85}$=147.57, p<0.0001). Moreover, there are clear statistical differences between the areas of the inhibition halos for each pair of megaplasmid -/+ strains (DBL305/DBL759, ttest p<.001; DBL1604/DBL1620, ttest p<0.001) B) Presence of megaplasmid pMPPla107 appears to sensitize *P. putida* strains to ciprofloxacin as shown by increased halos of inhibition ($F_{1,80}$=12.99, p<0.001). However, although ciprofloxacin halo inhibition areas statistically differ between strains DBL305 and DBL759 (ttest, p<0.001), there is no clear difference in the inhibition halos between strains DBL1604 and DBL1620 (ttest, p=0.29).

Figure 4. Acquisition of Megaplasmid pMPPla107 in *P. putida* Increases Relative Fitness in the Presence of Nalidixic Acid. Competitive fitness assays in liquid KB media were carried out between strain DBL305 (*P. putida* KT2440) and *lacZ+* strains that either lack (DBL1604) or contain (DBL1620) megaplasmid pMPPla107. At least two competitive fitness assays were carried out for each pairing with 4 replicates per assay in all but one case (one assay at Nal40 only had 3 replicates) Individual data points are shown for all assays, with box hinges corresponding to first and third quartiles and mean plotted as horizontal lines in the center of the boxes. A) Although there is no measurable difference in competitive fitness due to the *lacZ+* marker (assays between DBL305 and DBL1604), presence of the megaplasmid leads to a ~10% fitness cost during growth in KB medium. B) Although the ~10% fitness cost of the megaplasmid again apparent during competition between DBL305 and DBL1620 in unsupplemented KB medium, strain 1620 (containing megaplasmid pMPPla107) display increasingly higher relative fitness compared to strain DBL305 when media is supplemented with nalidixic acid at either 20 or 40 ng/uL. Comparisons between all strains within each sub-figure are significantly different according to Tukey's HSD at p<0.05.