1 **VelA and LaeA are key regulators of the *Epichloë***
2 ***festucae* transcriptomic response during symbiosis with**
3 **perennial ryegrass**

6 **Authors**

7 M. Rahnama*[a,b,d], P. Maclean[a], D.J. Fleetwood[a,c], and R.D. Johnson*[a]
8

9 [a]AgResearch, Grasslands Research Centre, Palmerston North, New Zealand
10 [b]School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, New Zealand
11 [c]Biotelliga Ltd, Auckland, New Zealand
12 [d]Current position: Department of Plant Pathology, University of Kentucky, USA
13 *Corresponding author: richard.johnson@agresearch.co.nz, mostafa.rahnama@uky.edu
14

Abstract

VelA (or VeA) is a key global regulator in fungal secondary metabolism and development which we previously showed is required during the symbiotic interaction of *Epichloë festucae* with perennial ryegrass. In this study, comparative transcriptomics analyses of Δ*velA* mutant compared to wild type *E. festucae,* under three different conditions (in culture, infected seedlings and infected mature plants) were performed to investigate the impact VelA on the *E. festucae* transcriptome. These comparative transcriptomics studies showed that VelA regulates the expression of genes encoding proteins involved in membrane transport, fungal cell wall biosynthesis, host cell wall degradation and secondary metabolism, along with a number of small secreted proteins and a large number of proteins with no predictable functions. In addition, these results were compared with previous transcriptomics experiments studying the impact of LaeA, another key global regulator of secondary metabolism and development that we have shown is important for the *E. festucae*- perennial ryegrass interaction. The results showed that although VelA and LaeA regulate a sub-set of *E. festucae* genes in a similar manner, they also regulated many other genes independently of each other suggesting specialised roles.

Background

Epichloë endophytes are symbiotic fungi that systematically colonize intracellular spaces of cool-season grasses of the sub-family Pooideae [1-3]. Most of these symbiotic interactions are mutually beneficial with the plant providing the endophyte with nutrients, and the endophyte protecting host plants from a range of biotic and abiotic stresses [4, 5]. The bioprotective effects of *Epichloë* endophytes are mediated by *in planta* production of four different well characterised classes of alkaloids: indole-diterpenes, ergot alkaloids, lolines and peramine [6].

During this mutualistic interaction, the fungus colonises most of the upper ground parts of the plant in a tightly regulated and synchronized manner [7]. Hyphae only grow in the intercellular spaces, from the meristem to the inflorescence, except for vascular bundles [2, 7]. Also, fungal hyphae continue to grow during host cell growth but cease growth when the host stops growing, although hyphae remain

metabolically active [8]. This results in a seldom-branched intercellular network of
hyphae, parallel to the leaf axis with hyphae tightly linked with the walls of
neighbouring plant cells [7]. A recent study has suggested that this hyphal network
is a result of coordinated hyphal growth and death [9].

Although the molecular mechanisms that regulate the mutualistic interaction
between *E. festucae* and perennial ryegrass is still largely unknown a number of
studies have shown the importance of genes required for hyphal anastomosis (soft
gene [10]), fungal biology and development (*velA* gene [11] and *laeA* gene [12]),
localised production of reactive oxygen species (required to maintain hyphal
polarity [13-16]) and iron homeostasis (*sidN* gene [17, 18]). Although it is not clear
how *Epichloë* suppresses or avoids plant responses to establish and maintain a
compatible interaction, recent studies have suggested that reducing the chitin
inducible host defence response, by altering fungal cell wall chitin, is a possible
mechanism [19, 20].

Transcriptomics has also been used to investigate the *Epichloë* interaction
with grasses. These studies mostly focused on comparing endophyte free grasses
with infected grasses [21-23]. In 2010, the interaction of *Epichloë festucae*/*Lolium*
perenne was investigated by comparative transcriptomics of wild type infected
plants versus a mutant deleted in the stress-activated MAP kinase gene, Δ*sakA*, -
infected plants by Illumina mRNA sequencing. In this study, around 11% of *E.*
festucae genes were differentially expressed, with around 75% of these genes up-
regulated in Δ*sakA*-infected plants compared with wild type infected plants[24]. In
2015, the same group investigated the *Epichloë festucae*/*Lolium perenne* interaction
by using three fungal mutants that cause incompatible interactions in *L. perenne*:
Δ*proA,* which encodes a C6-Zn transcription factor that is essential for sexual
fruiting body maturation in *Sordaria macrospora*, Δ*noxA*, encoding the NADPH
oxidase A, and Δ*sakA*. Three comparative transcriptomics analyses of infected
perennial ryegrasse with each of these mutants compared to wild type *E. festucae*
infected plants was performed by RNA-seq. 182 genes were found that were
differentially expressed in all three comparisons and these were proposed as the core
fungal gene set distinguishing mutualistic from antagonistic symbiotic states [20].

The velvet gene, *veA* or *velA,* is a member of the velvet family of genes that
normally include four members, *velA*, *velB*, *velC* and *vosA*. VelA is an important
conserved fungal regulator of a variety of growth and developmental characteristics
[25]. In *E. festucae*, we showed that *velA* is required for fungal biology and
development and establishing and maintaining the mutualistic interaction of the
fungus with its host perennial ryegrass [11].

Although, based on a crystal structure and yeast one-hybrid experiments
with the VosA protein, it appears that the velvet domain mediates DNA binding the
biochemical mechanism through which VelA exerts control over gene expression is
not fully described [26]. Comparative transcriptomics has been used to elucidate the
regulatory effects of different genes on fungal transcriptome profiles. Studies of
Δ*velA* differential expression compared with wild type fungi in different conditions
have mostly used microarrays. These studies showed that VelA regulates genes
involved in different fungal developmental and metabolism processes, coordinating
with functional analysis of the mutants. These processes include secondary
metabolism and morphogenesis in *Penicillium chrysogenum* [27], aflatoxin
biosynthetic genes in *Aspergillus flavus* [28] and fungal development and secondary
metabolism in *Fusarium fujikuroi* [29]. In *A. fumigatus* and *Aspergillus nidulans*
VelA is a global regulator of secondary metabolism [30, 31]. In *A. flavus* it was
shown that VelA regulates a broad range of genes, especially secondary metabolism,
with 28 of 56 predicted secondary metabolite gene clusters differentially expressed
[32]. In *Fusarium graminearum* VelA is involved in regulating various cellular
processes [33]. In *Botrytis cinerea* a comparative transcriptomics analysis revealed
VelA regulatory effects on fungal genes in a pathogenic interaction with its host
plant *Phaseolus vulgaris* including transporters, glycoside hydrolases and proteases
[34]. A further study of this fungus growing on solid medium showed that VelA was
involved in the regulation of genes encoding SM-related enzymes, carbohydrate-
active enzymes, and proteases [35].

LaeA is a global fungal regulator and a predicted interaction partner of VelA
[36] which we recently reported is required for *E. festucae* metabolism and
development and for establishing and maintaining a successful symbiotic interaction
with perennial ryegrass [12]. In addition, a comparative transcriptomics analyses
during the early stages of interaction of inoculated perennial ryegrass seedlings with

the Δ*laeA* mutant and wild type *E. festucae* suggested a regulatory role for LaeA on

the expression of genes for plant cell wall degradation, fungal cell wall composition,

secondary metabolism and small-secreted proteins [12, 37].

Based on the knowledge of regulatory roles of VelA on different fungal

transcriptome profiles and our findings of VelA importance in *E. festucae*

metabolism and development and successful symbiosis [11], we hypothesised that

VelA may be involved in regulating the *E. festucae* transcriptome. In this study, a

set of comparative transcriptomics analyses of Δ*velA* mutants versus wild type *E.*

festucae in culture, in infected seedlings and in infected mature plants was

performed. In addition, these results were also compared to the transcriptomics

analysis of Δ*laeA* versus wild type *E. festucae* in seedlings [12, 37] and in culture.

We identified a specific set of VelA regulated genes that define possible processes

required for this symbiotic interaction.

Results

Choosing the conditions for transcriptomics studies

The regulatory effects of VelA on *E. festucae* were determined using

comparative transcriptomics analysis of wild type and Δ*velA* mutant strains grown

under three different conditions; in culture, during the early stage of infection (in

seedlings) and in mature infected plants (Table 1). These results were also compared

to the transcriptomics analysis of Δ*laeA* versus wild type *E. festucae* in seedlings

[12, 37] and in culture.

In order to choose the best time for the transcriptomics study, *velA*

expression was examined in wild type *E. festucae* under the three different

conditions (in culture, in seedlings and in mature infected plants) employed.

As we showed previously, the highest *velA* expression in culture is under

nutrient deprivation (water agar plates) and the lowest expression is in nutrient

replete medium (PDA) [11]. Similar results were observed for *laeA* expression in

different media [12]. Because for artificially infecting ryegrass plants with *Epichloë*,

normally fungi that is growing for two weeks in PDA medium use as inoculum, for

in culture transcriptomics study also same fungi in PDA medium was used.

For the seedling study, expression of the *velA* gene was examined after

inoculating seedlings with wild type *E. festucae*, growing in both the light and dark,

at four time points post-inoculation (24 HPI, 2.5 DPI, 6 DPI and 14 DPI). Expression

of *velA* increased over time and showed the highest expression 14 DPI. At all-time

points, higher expression was detected from seedlings growing under light compared

to the dark (Fig1a). As we showed before, the highest *laeA* expression was also at

14 DPI although it was not a gradual increase as was the case with *velA* [12]. In

addition to *velA* and *laeA* having the highest expression at 14 DPI, this time point

also correlated with when Δ*velA* and Δ*laeA* mutant inoculated seedlings began to die

[11, 12]. Because of these reasons, 14 DPI was chosen to study the requirement of

velA and *laeA* on the expression profile of *E. festucae* during infection of perennial

ryegrass.

In mature plants, as we showed before, old blades have the highest *velA*

expression compared to other tissues [11] so this was also used in the current

transcriptomics study.

The relative expression of *velA* and *laeA* in culture, in seedlings and in

mature plants was assessed using qRT-PCR. *velA* showed higher expression in

seedlings compared to mature plants. This is opposite to the expression of *laeA* under

the same conditions suggesting that *velA* and *laeA* exert different effects on the early

stage of infection (Fig. 1b).

General description of RNA-sequencing results

Higher numbers of reads were generated from in culture samples compared

to seedlings and mature plants (Fig. S1a and Table S2). Although similar numbers

of reads were generated for both seedling and mature plant samples (Fig S1a) only

1.84% of total reads from mature plants mapped to the *E. festucae* genome compared

to 6.28% from seedlings (Table S2) which is indicative of the higher fungal biomass

(relative to plant tissue) in seedlings.

Multidimensional scaling (MDS) analysis of the 1,000 most highly

expressed genes shows that all in planta replicate samples clustered very close to

each other but were separated from in culture samples (Fig. S1b).

Genes with equal or greater than two times fold changes and a FDR (false

discovery rate) equal or less than 0.05 were counted as differentially expressed genes

(DEGs) (Fig 2a). The percentages of DEGs observed in the S Δ*velA*-WT comparison

was higher than other *velA* and *laeA* related comparisons. In S Δ*velA*-WT

comparison 5.1 and 1.3 times more DEGs compared to IC Δ*velA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-

WT comparisons were observed which indicates a significant impact of the growth

conditions on the number of DEGs in the Δ*velA* mutant. Only 10% and 23.5% of

DEGs (29 and 69 genes) in the S Δ*velA*-WT comparison was common with DEGs

in the IC Δ*velA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT comparisons, respectively (Fig 2b).

In the IC Δ*laeA*-WT comparison there was almost 2 times more DEGs

compared to IC Δ*velA*-WT and only 15.38% (22 genes) of them are common (Fig.

2a and b). DEGs in S Δ*laeA*-WT are around 26% less than S Δ*velA*-WT but similar

to IP Δ*velA*-WT (Fig. 2a). More than 69% of the DEGs in S Δ*laeA*-WT are

downregulated but in both S Δ*velA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT a similar percentage of

DEGs are up and down-regulated (Fig. 2a). DEGs in S Δ*laeA*-WT comparison had

52% and 31% (112 and 67) in common with S Δ*velA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT

comparisons, respectively, which indicates different regulatory effects of LaeA and

VelA on the fungal transcriptome during interaction with its host (Fig. 2b).

There are 182 DEGs common in transcriptomes of three *E. festucae* mutants

(Δ*proA*, Δ*noxA*, and Δ*sakA*) which were proposed to form a core set of *Epichloë*

genes that distinguished mutualistic from antagonistic symbiotic states [20]. Our

results for the S Δ*velA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT comparisons showed that only 46 and

49 genes were in common with the proposed core set from Eaton *et al*, respectively

(Fig. 2b). Thus, not all the core genes identified in the Eaton *et al.* (2015) study are

required for distinguishing mutualistic from antagonistic symbiotic states.

IP Δ*velA*-WT fold change range (524.7 to -99.8) was 13 and 7 times greater

compared to IC Δ*velA*-WT (22.3 to -11.4) and S Δ*velA*-WT (13.2 to -66.2)

comparisons, respectively (Fig. 2c and Fig. S1c). Interestingly, IC Δ*laeA*-WT (33.7

to -16.0) and S Δ*laeA*-WT (12.6 to -56.3) showed similar fold change ranges with

IC Δ*velA*-WT and S Δ*velA*-WT, respectively (Fig. 2c and Fig. S1c).

Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis on DEGs

DEGs were classified based on their primary functions into 'Molecular

Function' and 'Biological Process' GeneOntology (GO) categories (Fig. S2 and

Table S3). 55-72% of DEGs in different comparisons were not aligned with any GO

category so it was decided that GO was not a good method to study the functions of

DEGs in this study.

Functional annotations of differentially expressed *E. festucae* genes

To further investigate the DEGs functions, BLAST analyses were performed

against different databases including Uniprot, Swissprot, InterProScan and KEGG

and their putative functions were manually determined. In 36.8% (21), 46.1% (135),

and 46.2% (104) of DEGs in IC Δ*velA*-WT, S Δ*velA*-WT, and IP Δ*velA*-WT

comparisons, respectively, no significant BLAST hits (p-value ≤ E-20) were found.

This suggests that many of the genes regulated by VelA are likely to be unique to *E.*

festucae. Similar numbers of DEGs genes were found with no significant hits in IC

Δ*laeA*-WT and S Δ*laeA*-WT with 35.2% (38) and 22.2% (48), respectively.

Changes in expression of genes encoding orthologues of velvet family

members

We previously reported orthologues of velvet family members in *E.*

festucae: VelA (EfM3.049680), VelB (EfM3.023360), VelC (EfM3.009960), VosA

or VelD (EfM3.010530) and LaeA (EfM3.069170) [11, 12]. Differential expression

of these genes in different comparisons showed that *laeA* expression in IC Δ*velA*-

WT and S Δ*velA*-WT comparisons was up regulated. However, *velA* expression did

not change significantly in Δ*laeA* mutant related comparisons (Table S4) which

suggests that whilst VelA negatively regulates the expression of the *laeA* gene, LaeA

does not have any significant influence on the expression of *velA*. The expression of

other members of the velvet family did not changed significantly in any of the Δ*velA*

or Δ*laeA* mutants (Table S4).

DEGs in different functional categories

Further analysis focussed on five areas of fungal cellular function and

metabolism: fungal cell membrane transporters, fungal cell wall biosynthesis, host

cell wall degradation, secondary metabolism and small-secreted proteins. These

five areas include most of the DEGs that were previously reported as important in

the *E. festucae*/*L. perenne* interaction [20].

1. Changes in expression of genes encoding membrane transporters

DEGs that encode transporters are summarised in table 2. For the in culture

comparison, there were a small number of DEGs (4) with transporter activity but it

significantly increased (11-15) in S Δ*velA*-WT, S Δ*laeA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT

comparisons. This indicates a greater regulatory effect of VelA and LaeA on the

expression of *E. festucae* genes encoding membrane transporters during fungal

interaction with ryegrass. Higher numbers of DEGs with transporter activity was

observed in both *velA* related comparisons (13 and 15 for S Δ*velA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-

WT comparisons) with greater fold changes (2.1-12.5) than S Δ*laeA*-WT

comparison (11 DEGs with 2 - 4.8 fold change range). This suggests stronger

regulatory effects of VelA compared to LaeA on the expression of *E. festucae*

membrane transporters (Table 2).

The genes with the highest fold changes (more than four times) were

involved in transporting different compounds such as nitrogen, peptides, hydrogen

ion and carboxylic acid. There are two genes, EfM3.012390 and EfM3.039020,

involved in nitrogen transport. EfM3.012390, which was only differentially

expressed in the IP Δ*velA*-WT comparison (4.9-fold up-regulated), is a homologue

of a nitrate transporter, CrnA, from *Emericella nidulans* and has been shown to be

involved in nitrogen metabolite repression [38]. EfM3.039020, which was up-

regulated in both S Δ*velA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT comparisons is a homologue of an

ammonium   transporter,   Amt1,   from   *Schizosaccharomyces   pombe*   [39].

EfM3.027570 was up-regulated in both S Δ*velA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT comparisons

and is a homologue of a peptide transporter, PTR2, from *Stagonospora nodorum*

[40].

These results suggest that Δ*velA* and Δ*laeA* mutants may be nutrient starved

during interaction with seedlings or mature plants.

2.   Changes in expression of genes encoding enzymes with host cell wall
269   **degrading activity**

Fundamentally, plant cell walls are made of embedded cellulose microfibrils
in a matrix of pectin, hemicellulose and cell wall associated proteins [41]. To look
specifically at the enzymes with plant cell wall degrading characteristics, the Fungal
PCWDE (Plant Cell Wall-degrading Enzymes) Database [42], was utilised. In this
database there are 22 known fungal gene families which degrade the plant cell wall
[42]. Searching against this database showed that 25 genes in 13 PCWDE families
are present in *E. festucae* (Table S5).

In addition, the larger Carbohydrate-Active enZYmes (CAZyme) database
[43], which includes the families of enzymes that assemble, modify, or breakdown
oligo- and polysaccharides was checked [43]. The presence of 310 genes in *E.*
festucae that are homologues with CAZyme families [20] were analysed for DEGs
across the different comparisons (Fig. S3). The number of DEGs with homologues
to CAZyme families was almost ten times and two times increased in S Δ*velA*-WT
compared to IP Δ*velA*-WT and IC Δ*velA*-WT, respectively, and two times increased
in S Δ*laeA*-WT compared to IC Δ*laeA*-WT (Fig. S3). These results indicate the
importance of VelA and LaeA on the expression of CAZyme genes during the *E.*
festucae interaction with its host plant.

All DEGs with plant cell wall degrading activity are summarised in table 3.
No DEGs belonging to cellulases, xylanases, cutinases or pectinases were detected
for the in-culture comparisons. No DEGs with cellulose degradation activity was
detected for S Δ*velA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT comparisons but two DEGs with
cellulose activity were detected in the S Δ*laeA*-WT.

Three DEGs, EfM3.040190, EfM3.005420 and EfM3.037040, with
hemicellulose activity were detected (Table 3). Two of them, EfM3.037040 and
EfM3.040190, were up-regulated in all S Δ*laeA*-WT, S Δ*velA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT
comparisons, with the IP Δ*velA*-WT comparison showing the highest fold change of
all DEGs with plant cell wall degradation activity (Table 3). EfM3.037040 is a
homologue of xylanase C (XynC) from *Cellvibrio japonicus* which hydrolyses
hemicellulose (Kellett et al., 1990). This gene was 4.3, 4.3 and 69.6 fold up-regulated
in Δ*laeA*-WT, S Δ*velA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT comparisons, respectively.

EfM3.040190 is a homologue of endo-1,4-beta-xylanase 2 (*xyl2*) from *Claviceps*
purpurea [44] and was 3.3, 3.9 and 83.5 fold up-regulated in S Δ*laeA*-WT, S Δ*velA*-
WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT comparisons, respectively. In addition to these two genes
another DEG was observed with hemicellulose activity, EfM3.005420, that is a
homologue of exo-1,4-beta-xylosidase (*bxlB*) from *Aspergillus flavus* and which was
2.3-fold up-regulated in S Δ*velA*-WT.

One DEG, EfM3.008730, 2-fold was up-regulated in the S Δ*velA*-WT
comparison and encodes a pectinase with homology to pectin methyl esterase (*pme1*)
in *Aspergillus aculeatus*. It has been shown that Pme1 in *A. aculeatus* degrades the
host plants cell wall [45].

A down-regulated DEG, EfM3.005300, identified from both seedlings and
in planta comparison which is a homologue of the cuticle degrading protease from
the insect pathogen *Metarhizium anisopliae* [46].

Based on these data it appears VelA regulates *E. festucae* genes that encode
proteins with a range of plant cell wall degrading functions during the fungal
interaction with its host. Of the surviving plants infected with the Δ*velA* mutant, there
was significantly greater hemicellulose degradation activity which may contribute
to the observed phenotypes of mutant infected plants [11].

3. Changes in expression of genes encoding proteins involved in fungal
cell wall composition

Chitin, glycoproteins and glucan are the main components of the fungal cell
wall and these can function as elicitors of plant defence responses [47, 48]. Fungi
use a range of enzymes to break down, synthesise or remodel their cell wall [49]. All
detected DEGs that encode proteins related to the fungal cell wall are summarised
in table 4.

Enzymes for the synthesis and breakdown of chitin are chitin synthases and
chitinases, respectively [49]. EfM3.000810 is a homologue of a chitinase
(BDCG_06828) from *Blastomyces dermatitidis* and was 3, 3.3 and 3.1 fold down-
regulated in S Δ*laeA*-WT, S Δ*velA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT comparisons, respectively.
EfM3.024310 is a homologue of Endochitinase B (*chiB1*) from *Neosartorya*

fumigata [50] and was 2.1-fold up-regulated in the IP Δ*velA*-WT comparison.
EfM3.049120 is a homologue of chitin synthase 1 (*chs-1*) from *Neurospora crassa*
and was 2-fold up-regulated in the IC Δ*velA*-WT comparison. This gene has been
shown to play a major role in cell wall biogenesis in this fungus [51].

No DEGs that encode β-1,3-glucan synthase enzymes, responsible for
synthesis of fungal cell wall glucan, were found in *E. festucae* but there were two
genes, EfM3.056450 and EfM3.056810 that are possibly engaged in breaking down
glucan. EfM3.056450 is a homologue of EPD1 from the dimorphic yeast *Candida*
maltosa. In this fungus, it was shown that EPD1 is necessary for fungal transition to
the pseudohyphal growth form. Deleting this gene leads to a reduction of both alkali-
soluble and alkali-insoluble β-glucan levels [52]. EfM3.056450 also has a
glucanosyl transferase domain that in some cases has been shown to remodel chains
of β-1,3-glucan in the fungal cell wall [53]. This gene is up-regulated 2.1-fold in the
IP Δ*velA*-WT comparison. EfM3.056810 is a homologue of glucan endo-1,3-beta-
glucosidase from *Cellulosimicrobium cellulans* and was 2.1-fold down-regulated in
the IP Δ*velA*-WT comparison. This enzyme was shown to be involved in breaking
down fungal cell walls by hydrolysis of cell wall β-1,3-glucosidic linkages [54].

Glycoproteins are another constituent of the fungal cell wall that are made
of modified proteins by *N*- and *O*-linked carbohydrates that in lots of examples are
glycosyl phosphatidyl inositol (GPI) anchors [49]. There are three genes,
EfM3.078790, EfM3.054000 and EfM3.034340, with possible activity towards
glycoproteins (Table 4). EfM3.078790 is a homologue of a cell wall glycoprotein
sed1 from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* that was differentially expressed in seedling
comparisons (2.5 fold down-regulated in S Δ*velA*-WT comparison). In *S. cerevisiae*
Sed1 is the most abundant cell wall- associated protein in the stationary phase and is
necessary for fungal resistance to lytic enzymes [55]. EfM3.054000 is a homologue
of a putative cell wall glycoprotein (CPUR_07530) from *Claviceps purpurea* that
was 2.3-fold up-regulated in S Δ*velA*-WT comparison. EfM3.034340 is a homologue
of the collagen α-2(IV) chain in the nematode *Ascaris suum* and was 3.4 and 3.7-
fold down-regulated in the S Δ*laeA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT comparison. Although
collagen is best understood in animals it is also detected in fungal fimbriae, long (1-
20 μm) and narrow (7 nm) flexuous appendages on the fungal surface, which affect

cellular functions such as mating and pathogenesis [56]. This gene is also a
homologue of a putative cell wall protein (XP_001270917) in *Aspergillus clavatus*.

One of the fungal phenotypes observed in mature plants infected with the
mutant Δ*velA* was formation of intrahyphal hyphae [11]. It has been shown that
triggering activation of woronin bodies, important organelles that block the septal
pore in response to wounding, increases the formation of intrahyphal hyphae [57].
EfM3.049350 is a homologue of hex protein, a major woronin body protein in
Neurospora crassa which was up-regulated 2.9 times in the IP Δv*elA*-WT
comparison.

These results demonstrate that VelA is a regulator of *E. festucae* cell wall
composition during the fungal interaction with ryegrass.

4. ***velA* is required for secondary metabolite gene expression and production**

In order to investigate the regulatory effects of VelA on secondary
metabolism in *E. festucae*, differential expression of genes involved in known
alkaloid gene clusters (ergot alkaloids, indole-diterpenes and peramine) were
examined across all comparisons. In the in culture comparison, the *lpsB* gene from
the ergovaline pathway was up regulated (more than 9 folds) in both Δ*velA* and
Δ*laeA* mutants. Another gene from this pathway, *easA,* was also up regulated (2 fold)
in Δ*laeA* mutant (Table S6).

Of the 11 genes involved in ergovaline production (*EAS* cluster genes) [58]
only 1 gene, *lpsB*, was up-regulated in the IP Δ*velA*-WT comparisons whereas all
genes were down-regulated in the S Δ*velA*-WT comparisons, which was similar to
the S Δ*laeA*-WT comparison (Table S6, Fig 3a).

Of the ten known genes for indole-diterpene biosynthesis, four genes were
significantly down-regulated in the IP Δ*velA*-WT comparison. In the S Δ*velA*-WT
comparison none of the genes were differentially expressed, which is in stark
contrast to the S Δ*laeA*-WT comparison where eight genes were down-regulated.
This indicates very different regulatory roles of *velA* and *laeA* genes on fungal
secondary metabolite regulation in *E. festucae* (Table S6, Fig. 3a).

The expression of *perA,* the sole gene required for peramine biosynthesis,
was not differentially expressed in any comparison (Table S6, Fig. 3a).

The transcriptomics results were validated by qRT-PCR of *dmaW*, *ltmG* and
perA, the first gene from the ergovaline, lolitrem B, and peramine pathways,
respectively, in all three different conditions using wild type, mutant and
complemented strains (Fig. 3b). Results validated the transcriptomics results (Fig.
3b).

To correlate alkaloid pathway gene expression results, different alkaloids
concentrations were also measured in infected three-months-old ryegrass plants
infected with wild type and Δ*velA* mutant *E. festucae* (Fig. 4a). Of the seven ergot
alkaloid compounds that were measured two of them, lysergic acid and
elymoclavine, were not detected. For the remaining five compounds, the mean
concentrations in the wild type-infected plants were higher than Δ*velA*-mutant
infected plants but only ergine was statistically significant (Fig. 4a). Of the 23
indole-diterpene alkaloid compounds that were measured, the mean concentrations
of the Δ*velA*-mutant infected plants were higher with 17 of them being statistically
significant (Fig. 4a). For peramine the mean concentration in Δ*velA* mutant infected
plants was also higher but it was not statistically significant (Fig. 4a).

We previously reported alkaloid production in three-month-old ryegrass
plants infected with wild type and Δ*laeA* mutant *E. festucae* [12] (Fig. S7). The
differences of mean concertation of wild type infected plants to Δ*velA* mutant
infected plants were compared to the mean concentration differences of wild type
infected plants to Δ*laeA* mutant infected plants (Fig. 4b). Results show lower
concentrations of most of indole-diterpene alkaloids in the Δ*laeA* mutant infected
plants which is opposite to that seen for Δ*velA* mutant infected plants (Fig. 4b).

In addition to these 3 alkaloid clusters, 29 other clusters, 191 genes, which
putatively encoding secondary metabolites in *E. festucae* Fl1 [6] also were examined
(Table S6). Heat maps generated for all 32 clusters in *E. festucae* showed that most
of them are down regulated in Δ*velA* mutant infected plants (Fig. 5a), showing that
VelA positively regulates secondary metabolite gene expression in *E. festucae*. Of
the 32 clusters 12 contained at least one gene differentially expressed in one of the

Δ*velA* related comparisons, nine in IP Δ*velA*-WT, ten in S Δ*velA*-WT and three in IC

Δ*velA*-WT have DEGs (Fig. 5b, left panel). In the Δ*laeA* related comparisons, also

12 clusters contained at least one gene differentially expressed in one of the

comparison, eleven in S Δ*laeA*-WT and five in in IC Δ*laeA*-WT (Fig. 5b, left panel),

but these clusters are not all identical to the ones in the Δ*velA* related comparisons.

Clusters 20, 29 and 38 are unique to Δ*velA* related comparisons and clusters 10, 35

and 50 are unique to Δ*laeA* related comparisons (Fig. 5b).

Our results show that VelA, similar to LaeA, is a key regulator for secondary

metabolites gene expression and production in *E. festucae.* However, it seems VelA

and LaeA exert different regulatory effects.

5. Changes in expression of genes encoding putative small-secreted proteins

Microbes during infection of host plants produce effector proteins that are

of key interest during plant-microbe interactions. Via a range of different molecular

mechanisms these secreted proteins suppress or interfere with host immune

responses or alter the host cell physiology, generating a favourable environment for

infection and growth [59, 60]. Recently, 141 genes in *E. festucae* were reported as

putative effectors [61]. Of these, 49 were differentially expressed in at least one of

the comparisons described here (Fig. 6 and Table S7). Although the S Δ*vel*A-WT

comparison had the highest number of SSPs (Fig. 6a) the IP Δ*vel*A-WT comparison

had a much broader range of fold changes (72.4 and -99.8) (Fig. 6b). *velA* and *laeA*

showed different regulatory effects on SSPs across the different conditions (Fig. 6c)

with some being unique to particular conditions (Fig. 6d).

Most of the predicted gene products have no homologues with annotated

molecular function except four genes EfM3.001305, EfM3.001310, EfM3.055320

and EfM3.079420 (Table S7). EfM3.001305 and EfM3.001310 are homologues with

a killer toxin gene, *kp4*, from *Metarhizium spp* that is a lethal protein via inhibition

of calcium channels [62]. EfM3.055320 was only expressed in the in planta

comparisons and is a homologue of Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase from *Claviceps*

purpurea [6] which are involved in reducing superoxide radicals generated by host

plant defence mechanisms. EfM3.079420 is a homologue of a fungal hydrophobin

domain-containing protein in *Pochonia chlamydosporia* [63].

Three putative effectors, which are some of the core symbiosis genes [20]
showed the highest differential gene expression and were down-regulated.
EfM3.016770, EfM3.062700 and EfM3.014350 called *sspM*, *sspN* and *sspO*,
respectively, were functionally studied in Hassing et al (2019) but no clear function
was identified presumably due to redundancy functions of effectors. These 3 effector
candidates were also down regulated in all S Δ*velA*-WT, IP Δ*velA*-WT and S Δ*laeA*-
WT comparisons (Table S7).

Although only 27% (49 genes) of the Eaton et al symbiosis core genes are
common with DEGs in the mature infected plants with Δ*velA*, 16% (8 genes) of these
are SSPs, which are 57% (8/14) of the total SSP genes in the Eaton et al core set.

Discussion

The *velA* gene is a well-known regulatory gene required for different fungal
cellular and developmental functions, including secondary metabolism,
pathogenicity, sexual and asexual development and fungal morphology and growth
[25, 64]. In addition, we recently showed that it is required for the symbiotic
interaction of *E. festucae* with perennial ryegrass [11]. Here, by using comparative
transcriptomics, VelA regulatory effects on the *E. festucae* transcriptome profile
growing in different conditions (in culture, inoculated seedlings and mature infected
plants) were tested and the results compared with similar experiments, previously
reported, on the other fungal global regulator LaeA [12, 37].

The numbers of DEGs in S Δ*vel*A-WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT comparisons were
5.1 and 3.9 times, higher than IC Δ*velA*-WT, respectively. This suggests that VelA
has a stronger regulatory effect when growing in seedlings and mature plants under
likely nutrient limited conditions, compared to PDA culture, a nutrient rich
condition. This also correlates with our previous report that showed much higher
expression of *velA* under nutrient limited medium (water agar) compared to nutrient
rich medium (PDA) [11], which is similar to LaeA [12].

The small proportion of DEGs common between IC Δ*velA*-WT, S Δ*velA*-
WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT comparisons suggests condition dependent regulatory roles
of VelA on *E. festucae* gene expression, similar to LaeA. It is possible that VelA
forms different protein complexes in different conditions as was shown in *A.*

nidulans [25]. Other possibilities are different post-translational modifications or
localisation under different conditions.

Compared to the core symbiotic fungal gene set identified by Eaton *et al.*
(2015) only a small proportion of DEGs from both IP Δ*velA*-WT and S Δ*velA*-WT
comparisons were in common. This may partly be a result of different tissue samples
used for the transcriptomics analyses between the two studies. However, based on
the difference in hyphal in planta characteristics between mutant *velA* and *proA*,
noxA and *sakA* mutants, it is most likely VelA, like LaeA, regulates separate
mechanisms important in mutualistic interactions than ProA, NoxA and SakA. One
of the distinct differences between in planta fungal growth of *velA* and *laeA* mutants,
compared to *proA*, *noxA* and *sakA* mutants was chitin distribution. In *velA* and *laeA*
mutants, chitin distribution was very similar to the wild type interaction [11, 12],
whereas for *proA*, *noxA* and *sakA* mutants, chitin distribution was increased [20].

Comparative transcriptomics studies of Δ*velA* mutants in different fungi
show conserved regulatory roles of VelA on secondary metabolism, CAZyme
biosynthesis, morphogenesis, development and cellular metabolism [27-33, 35], that
are quite similar with some reported roles of LaeA [35, 65-67]. In the fungal
transcriptomics analysis of S Δ*velA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT and S Δ*laeA*-WT
comparisons, up regulation was observed in the expression of genes that encode
putative nutrient transporters, host cell wall degrading enzymes and small secreted
proteins. In these three functional categories, DEGs in the IP Δ*velA*-WT comparison
showed higher differential expression with more genes involved, compared with
seedling comparisons, although total DEGs in this comparison was not higher than
others. This suggests that VelA has stronger regulatory influence on fungal gene
expression for these three functional categories during interaction with mature plants
compared to the early stages of infection.

Fungal transporters of nitrate, ammonium, peptides and carboxylic acid
were up-regulated in mature plants infected with the Δ*velA* mutant, while in
seedlings inoculated with Δ*velA* and Δ*laeA* mutants peptide and ammonium
transporters were up-regulated. This may suggest that in these associations, fungi are
under starvation conditions, especially in mature infected plants as was previously
suggested for *sakA*, *noxA* and *proA* mutants interactions [20]. The observed

abnormal in planta hyphal growth of ΔvelA mutant fungi and invasion of vascular

bundles are a sign of starvation and these hyphae may be acting as a sink to absorb

nutrients from host phloem [11]. Our *in vitro* analyses also show that ΔvelA and

ΔlaeA mutants under starvation conditions grow abnormally but under rich

conditions mostly grow normally [11, 12]. Also *in vitro* analyses of *velA* expression

showed highest levels of their transcripts under starvation conditions [11]. Based on

these observations, we speculated that in *E. festucae* during in planta growth, high

expression of *velA* suppresses transporters and other starvation-response genes so

that the fungus stops growing, leading to the growth restriction observed in wild type

associations. Deleting *velA* in *E. festucae* changes its mutualistic interaction with

ryegrass to a more antagonistic one and mutant fungi caused death in most inoculated

plants. It has been shown that nitrogen metabolism generally plays a key role in

pathogenicity [68, 69]. However, other than nitrogen transporters no predicted genes

involved in nitrogen metabolism were found to be differentially expressed in this

study. Possibly, changes in nitrogen transport may contribute to the host death, with

increased fungal growth and insufficient nutrition for the seedling. This is supported

by a comparative transcriptomics study of the *Botrytis cinerea* interaction with

Phaseolus vulgaris in which it was suggested that up regulation of 2% of DEGs that

encode sugar, amino acid and ammonium transporters and glycoside hydrolases is

the reason for the virulence disability of the ΔvelA mutant fungus [34]. It was also

suggested that the transcriptomics pattern in this study is a sign of the ΔvelA mutant

response to nutrient starvation conditions [34].

In different transcriptomics analyses, VelA regulatory effects on secondary

metabolite gene clusters were shown in different fungi [27-31, 33, 35]. In this study,

we showed VelA positively regulates 48.4% of the gene clusters for secondary

metabolism in *E. festucae* including the two well-known clusters for ergot alkaloids

and indole diterpenes. The influence of VelA on ergot alkaloid gene expression in

mature plants was much lower than in seedlings inoculated with ΔvelA and in fact in

mature plants only one gene is differentially expressed, being up-regulated compared

with seedlings where most genes are down-regulated. VelA regulates indole

diterpene gene expression only in infected mature plants, exerting a much weaker

influence than seedlings inoculated with the ΔlaeA mutant. Similar to VelA, NoxA

and ProA were shown to have weak regulatory effects on ergot alkaloid and indole

diterpene gene expression in infected mature plants, although SakA has a stronger

positive regulatory effect [20]. The chemical concentration of ergot alkaloids in

mature plants infected with the ΔvelA mutant showed no difference to the wild type,

correlating with the weak regulatory effect of VelA on their gene expression. The

chemical concentration of most indole diterpenes was significantly greater in ΔvelA

mutant infected plants but this did not correlate with greater gene expression. It is

likely that the higher biomass of ΔvelA mutant *E. festucae* in infected plants, even

led to the higher alkaloid levels. However, there is not always a strong correlation

of gene expression with alkaloid production, as also observed in Δ*sakA*, Δ*noxA* and

Δ*proA* mutant associations [20].

Most ergot alkaloids were detected at lower concentrations in Δ*laeA* mutant

infected plants and this was less significant for Δ*velA* mutant infected plants

compared to wild type. Despite this, this difference was greater for most of the

indole-diterpene alkaloids. Therefore, VelA and LaeA exert different influences on

alkaloid production in the *E. festucae*- perennial ryegrass association.

Of all the putative SSPs in *E. festucae*, 3.4%, 30% and 16.7% were

differentially expressed in IC Δ*velA*-WT, S Δ*velA*-WT and IP Δ*velA*-WT

comparisons, respectively. It seems VelA is a key regulator of SSPs expression in *E.*

festucae during its interaction with ryegrass. The greater numbers of differentially

expressed SSPs in infected seedlings and mature plants inoculated with the Δ*velA*

mutant, compared to in culture conditions, demonstrates their importance during the

infection process and survival. This has also been shown in other pathogenic or

symbiotic fungi (Reviewed in Presti et al 2015). Although there are more

differentially expressed SSPs in seedlings inoculated with the mutant compared to

mature infected plants a much broader range of fold changes were observed in

mature plants. This suggests condition-dependent regulatory roles of VelA for SSPs'

gene expression that possibly is a result of different mechanisms involved during the

fungal interaction under these two conditions. Possibly, VelA by regulating different

SSPs during the infection process and in mature infected plants positively regulates

SSPs that are required for establishing and maintaining symbiotic interaction, or

negatively regulates SSPs that lead to incompatible interactions. On the other hand,

6.2% and 16% of SSPs were differentially expressed in IC Δ*laeA*-WT and S Δ*laeA*-

WT, respectively. Compared to the *velA* related comparisons, it seems LaeA has

higher regulatory effects in culture and lower in seedlings compared to VelA. This

suggests different regulatory effects for these two related global regulators.

In conclusion, this study provides a significant understanding on the strong

regulatory effects of VelA on the *E. festucae* transcriptome profile during compatible

and incompatible interactions with ryegrass. These regulatory effects could explain

some of the observed phenotypes resulting from deleting *velA* in this fungus [11]. It

seems that during the interaction of *E. festucae* with ryegrass, VelA controls fungal

gene expression to supress or activate genes involved in either inducing or reducing

the hostplant response.

Methods

Sample preparation

Total RNA was extracted from samples comprising fungus grown in culture,

perennial ryegrass seedlings and mature perennial ryegrass plants (Table 1). For

culture conditions, *E. festucae* wild type and Δ*velA* mutant strains were grown for

two weeks on cellophane covered PDA medium in full darkness prior to harvest.

Seedlings were grown and harvested as previously described [37]. 7-10 d old

endophyte-free seedlings of perennial ryegrass (*L. perenne* 'Nui') were inoculated

with wild type and Δ*velA* mutant strains *E. festucae* (Generated previously [11, 70]),

which were grown for two weeks on PDA medium. Inoculated seedlings, grown for

two weeks under 16 h of 650 W/m^2 light and 8 h dark and after freezing, samples

from 4 cm upwards and 0.5 cm downwards from the meristem were collected for

RNA extraction and around 100 seedlings for each sample were pooled in three

replicates for each treatment.

For the mature plants, the top 4 cm of the newest mature blade of infected

plants with different strains were collected in three replicates (three mature plants

infected with the same strain) for each treatment.

RNA quality and quantity were determined using an Agilent 2100

Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies), Nanodrop Lite spectrophotometer (Thermo

scientific) and gel electrophoresis using a 1% agarose gel. RNA samples on dry ice

were sent to the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI, Hong Kong) for sequencing and

2 μg of RNA sample used to prepare libraries by BGI standard method

(http://www.bgi.com/services/genomics/rna-seqtranscriptome/#tab-id-2). Samples

were sequenced in two lanes of an Illumina HiSeq4000 (paired end, 100-bp reads).

RNA extraction and quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis

RNA extraction and complementary DNA (cDNA) were synthesised from 2

μg of RNA and Real time qPCR was performed with 1 μl of cDNA as described

previously [12] using primers that amplified target genes (Table S1). RNA samples

alone (no reverse transcription) and water-only (no template) controls were used to

detect gDNA and experimental contamination. Comparative ΔCt normalized to

gamma actin and 60S ribosomal protein L35 was used to calculate transcription

levels by using the geNorm algorithm automated in CFX manager software (Bio-

Rad).

HiSeq results analysis

HiSeq results analysis were done as described in Rahnama *et al.* (2019) [37] by

using a combination RNA-star version 2.5.0c [71], for mapping the HiSeq reads against

the genome dataset of *E. festucae* Fl1, with EdgeR package version 3.10.5 [72], for

counting the mapped genes. Fold changes and p-values were generated using Exact Tests

for differences between two groups of Negative-Binomial Counts.

Gene ontology (GO) and functional annotation analysis of differentially

expressed genes were performed as described in Rahnama *et al.* (2019) [37].

General bioinformatics analyses

Venn diagrams were drawn using BioVenn online software [73]. Volcano

plots were drawn in R statistical software environment version 3.2.1 [74].

Acknowledgment

We thank C.R. Voisey, W.R. Simpson, W. Mace and A. deBonth for technical

assistance (Forage Improvement, AgResearch Grasslands), and Biotelliga Ltd for

providing laboratory space.

Authors' contributions

Funding

This research was funded by a grant from the Royal Society of New Zealand Marsden Fund, contract AGR1002 and the New Zealand Strategic Science Investment Fund, contract A20067.

Availability of data and materials

HiSeq Illumina sequencing included 36 raw sequence data sets that have been deposited into the NCBI SRA database with the BioProject ID PRJNA578737.

Reference:

1. Leuchtmann A, Schardl CL, Siegel MR: **Sexual compatibility and taxonomy of a new species of Epichloë symbiotic with fine fescue grasses**. *Mycologia* 1994, **86**(6):802-812.
2. Christensen MJ, Bennett RJ, Schmid J: **Growth of Epichloë / Neotyphodium and p-endophytes in leaves of Lolium and Festuca grasses**. *Mycological Research* 2002, **106**(1):93-106.
3. Schardl CL: **The Epichloae, Symbionts of the Grass Subfamily Poöideae**. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 2010, **97**:646-665.
4. Johnson LJ, de Bonth ACM, Briggs LR, Caradus JR, Finch SC, Fleetwood DJ, Fletcher LR, Hume DE, Johnson RD, Popay AJ *et al*: **The exploitation of epichloae endophytes for agricultural benefit**. *Fungal Diversity* 2013, **60**(1):171-188.
5. Arachevaleta M, Bacon CW, Hoveland CS, Radcliffe DE: **Effect of the Tall Fescue Endophyte on Plant Response to Environmental Stress**. 1989, **81**(1):83-90.
6. Schardl CL, Young CA, Hesse U, Amyotte SG, Andreeva K, Calie PJ, Fleetwood DJ, Haws DC, Moore N, Oeser B *et al*: **Plant-Symbiotic Fungi as Chemical Engineers: Multi-Genome Analysis of the Clavicipitaceae Reveals Dynamics of Alkaloid Loci**. *PLOS Genetics* 2013, **9**(2):e1003323.
7. Christensen MJ, Bennett RJ, Ansari HA, Koga H, Johnson RD, Bryan GT, Simpson WR, Koolaard JP, Nickless EM, Voisey CR: **Epichloë endophytes grow by intercalary hyphal extension in elongating grass leaves**. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* 2008, **45**(2):84-93.
8. Tan YY, Spiering MJ, Scott V, Lane GA, Christensen MJ, Schmid J: **In planta regulation of extension of an endophytic fungus and maintenance of high metabolic rates in its mycelium in the absence of apical extension**. *Applied and environmental microbiology* 2001, **67**(12):5377-5383.
9. Schmid J, Day R, Zhang N, Dupont P-Y, Cox MP, Schardl CL, Minards N, Truglio M, Moore N, Harris DR *et al*: **Host Tissue Environment Directs Activities of an Epichloë Endophyte, While It Induces Systemic Hormone and Defense Responses in Its Native Perennial Ryegrass Host**. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 2016, **30**(2):138-149.
10. Charlton ND, Shoji J-Y, Ghimire SR, Nakashima J, Craven KD: **Deletion of the fungal gene soft disrupts mutualistic symbiosis between the grass endophyte Epichloë festucae and the host plant**. *Eukaryotic Cell* 2012, **11**(12):1463.
11. Rahnama M, Johnson RD, Voisey CR, Simpson WR, Fleetwood DJ: **The Global Regulatory Protein VelA Is Required for Symbiosis Between the Endophytic Fungus Epichloë festucae and Lolium perenne**. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 2018, **31**(6):591-604.
12. Rahnama M, Maclean P, Fleetwood DJ, Johnson RD: **The LaeA orthologue in Epichloë festucae is required for symbiotic interaction with Lolium perenne**. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* 2019, **129**:74-85.
13. Tanaka A, Christensen MJ, Takemoto D, Park P, Scott B: **Reactive Oxygen Species Play a Role in Regulating a Fungus–Perennial Ryegrass Mutualistic Interaction**. *The Plant Cell* 2006, **18**(4):1052.
14. Tanaka A, Takemoto D, Hyon G-S, Park P, Scott B: **NoxA activation by the small GTPase RacA is required to maintain a mutualistic symbiotic**

association between Epichloë festucae and perennial ryegrass.
Molecular Microbiology 2008, **68**(5):1165-1178.

15.   Takemoto D, Kamakura S, Saikia S, Becker Y, Wrenn R, Tanaka A, Sumimoto
H, Scott B: **Polarity proteins Bem1 and Cdc24 are components of the**
filamentous fungal NADPH oxidase complex. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences 2011, **108**(7):2861.

16.   Tanaka A, Cartwright GM, Saikia S, Kayano Y, Takemoto D, Kato M, Tsuge T,
Scott B: **ProA, a transcriptional regulator of fungal fruiting body**
development, regulates leaf hyphal network development in the
Epichloë festucae–Lolium perenne symbiosis. *Molecular Microbiology*
2013, **90**(3):551-568.

17.   Johnson LJ, Koulman A, Christensen M, Lane GA, Fraser K, Forester N,
Johnson RD, Bryan GT, Rasmussen S: **An Extracellular Siderophore Is**
Required to Maintain the Mutualistic Interaction of Epichloë festucae
with Lolium perenne. *PLOS Pathogens* 2013, **9**(5):e1003332.

18.   Forester NT, Lane GA, Steringa M, Lamont IL, Johnson LJ: **Contrasting**
roles of fungal siderophores in maintaining iron homeostasis in
Epichloë festucae. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* 2018, **111**:60-72.

19.   Becker Y, Eaton CJ, Brasell E, May KJ, Becker M, Hassing B, Cartwright GM,
Reinhold L, Scott B: **The Fungal Cell-Wall Integrity MAPK Cascade Is**
Crucial for Hyphal Network Formation and Maintenance of
Restrictive Growth of Epichloë festucae in Symbiosis With Lolium
perenne. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 2015, **28**(1):69-85.

20.   Eaton CJ, Dupont P-Y, Solomon P, Clayton W, Scott B, Cox MP: **A Core Gene**
Set Describes the Molecular Basis of Mutualism and Antagonism in
Epichloë spp. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 2015, **28**(3):218-231.

21.   Johnson LJ, Johnson RD, Schardl CL, Panaccione DG: **Identification of**
differentially expressed genes in the mutualistic association of tall
fescue with Neotyphodium coenophialum. *Physiological and Molecular*
Plant Pathology 2003, **63**(6):305-317.

22.   Khan A, Bassett S, Voisey C, Gaborit C, Johnson L, Christensen M, McCulloch
A, Bryan G, Johnson R: **Gene expression profiling of the endophytic**
fungus Neotyphodium lolii in association with its host plant perennial
ryegrass. *Australasian Plant Pathology* 2010, **39**(5):467-476.

23.   Dupont P-Y, Eaton CJ, Wargent JJ, Fechtner S, Solomon P, Schmid J, Day RC,
Scott B, Cox MP: **Fungal endophyte infection of ryegrass reprograms**
host metabolism and alters development. *New Phytologist* 2015,
208(4):1227-1240.

24.   Eaton CJ, Cox MP, Ambrose B, Becker M, Hesse U, Schardl CL, Scott B:
Disruption of Signaling in a Fungal-Grass Symbiosis Leads to
Pathogenesis. *Plant Physiology* 2010, **153**(4):1780.

25.   Bayram Ö, Braus GH: **Coordination of secondary metabolism and**
development in fungi: the velvet family of regulatory proteins. *FEMS*
Microbiology Reviews 2012, **36**(1):1-24.

26.   Ahmed YL, Gerke J, Park H-S, Bayram Ö, Neumann P, Ni M, Dickmanns A,
Kim SC, Yu J-H, Braus GH *et al*: **The Velvet Family of Fungal Regulators**
Contains a DNA-Binding Domain Structurally Similar to NF-κB. *PLOS*
Biology 2013, **11**(12):e1001750.

27.   Hoff B, Kamerewerd J, Sigl C, Mitterbauer R, Zadra I, Kürnsteiner H, Kück U:
Two components of a velvet-like complex control hyphal
morphogenesis, conidiophore development, and penicillin
biosynthesis in Penicillium chrysogenum. *Eukaryotic cell* 2010,
9(8):1236-1250.

28.   Cary JW, GR OB, Nielsen DM, Nierman W, Harris-Coward P, Yu J, Bhatnagar
782   D, Cleveland TE, Payne GA, Calvo AM: **Elucidation of veA-dependent**
783   **genes associated with aflatoxin and sclerotial production in**
784   **Aspergillus flavus by functional genomics**. *Applied microbiology and*
785   *biotechnology* 2007, **76**(5):1107-1118.
786   29.   Wiemann P, Brown DW, Kleigrewe K, Bok JW, Keller NP, Humpf H-U,
787   Tudzynski B: **FfVel1 and FfLae1, components of a velvet-like complex**
788   **in Fusarium fujikuroi, affect differentiation, secondary metabolism**
789   **and virulence**. *Molecular microbiology* 2010, **77**(4):972-994.
790   30.   Dhingra S, Lind AL, Lin H-C, Tang Y, Rokas A, Calvo AM: **The Fumagillin**
791   **Gene Cluster, an Example of Hundreds of Genes under veA Control in**
792   **Aspergillus fumigatus**. *PLOS ONE* 2013, **8**(10):e77147.
793   31.   Lind AL, Wisecaver JH, Smith TD, Feng X, Calvo AM, Rokas A: **Examining**
794   **the Evolution of the Regulatory Circuit Controlling Secondary**
795   **Metabolism and Development in the Fungal Genus Aspergillus**. *PLOS*
796   *Genetics* 2015, **11**(3):e1005096.
797   32.   Cary JW, Han Z, Yin Y, Lohmar JM, Shantappa S, Harris-Coward PY, Mack B,
798   Ehrlich KC, Wei Q, Arroyo-Manzanares N *et al*: **Transcriptome Analysis of**
799   **Aspergillus flavus Reveals veA-Dependent Regulation of Secondary**
800   **Metabolite Gene Clusters, Including the Novel Aflavarin Cluster**.
801   *Eukaryotic cell* 2015, **14**(10):983-997.
802   33.   Jiang J, Liu X, Yin Y, Ma Z: **Involvement of a velvet protein FgVeA in the**
803   **regulation of asexual development, lipid and secondary metabolisms**
804   **and virulence in Fusarium graminearum**. *PloS one* 2011, **6**(11):e28291-
805   e28291.
806   34.   Schumacher J, Pradier J-M, Simon A, Traeger S, Moraga J, Collado IG, Viaud
807   M, Tudzynski B: **Natural variation in the VELVET gene bcvel1 affects**
808   **virulence and light-dependent differentiation in Botrytis cinerea**. *PloS*
809   *one* 2012, **7**(10):e47840-e47840.
810   35.   Schumacher J, Simon A, Cohrs KC, Traeger S, Porquier A, Dalmais B, Viaud
811   M, Tudzynski B: **The VELVET Complex in the Gray Mold Fungus Botrytis**
812   **cinerea: Impact of BcLAE1 on Differentiation, Secondary Metabolism,**
813   **and Virulence**. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 2015, **28**(6):659-674.
814   36.   Bayram Ö, Krappmann S, Ni M, Bok JW, Helmstaedt K, Valerius O, Braus-
815   Stromeyer S, Kwon N-J, Keller NP, Yu J-H *et al*: **VelB/VeA/LaeA Complex**
816   **Coordinates Light Signal with Fungal Development and Secondary**
817   **Metabolism**. *Science* 2008, **320**(5882):1504.
818   37.   Rahnama M, Maclean P, Fleetwood DJ, Johnson RD: **Comparative**
819   **transcriptomics analysis of compatible wild type and incompatible**
820   **ΔlaeA mutant strains of Epichloë festucae in association with**
821   **perennial ryegrass**. *Data in Brief* 2019, **24**:103843.
822   38.   Unkles SE, Hawker KL, Grieve C, Campbell EI, Montague P, Kinghorn JR:
823   **crnA encodes a nitrate transporter in Aspergillus nidulans**. 1991,
824   **88**(1):204-208.
825   39.   Mitsuzawa H: **Ammonium transporter genes in the fission yeast**
826   **Schizosaccharomyces pombe: role in ammonium uptake and a**
827   **morphological transition**. *Genes to Cells* 2006, **11**(10):1183-1195.
828   40.   Solomon PS, Thomas SW, Spanu P, Oliver RP: **The utilisation of**
829   **di/tripeptides by Stagonospora nodorum is dispensable for wheat**
830   **infection**. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* 2003, **63**(4):191-
831   199.

41. Kubicek CP, Starr TL, Glass NL: **Plant Cell Wall–Degrading Enzymes and**
Their Secretion in Plant-Pathogenic Fungi. *Annual Review of*
Phytopathology 2014, **52**(1):427-451.
42. Choi J, Kim K-T, Jeon J, Lee Y-H: **Fungal plant cell wall-degrading enzyme**
database: a platform for comparative and evolutionary genomics in
fungi and Oomycetes. *BMC Genomics* 2013, **14 Suppl 5**(Suppl 5):S7-S7.
43. Lombard V, Golaconda Ramulu H, Drula E, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B: **The**
carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. *Nucleic Acids*
Res 2014, **42**(Database issue):D490-D495.
44. Giesbert S, Lepping H-B, Tenberge KB, Tudzynski P: **The Xylanolytic**
System of Claviceps purpurea: Cytological Evidence for Secretion of
Xylanases in Infected Rye Tissue and Molecular Characterization of
Two Xylanase Genes. *Phytopathology* 1998, **88**(10):1020-1030.
45. Christgau S, Kofod LV, Halkier T, Andersen LN, Hockauf M, Dörreich K,
Dalbøge H, Kauppinen S: **Pectin methyl esterase from Aspergillus**
aculeatus: expression cloning in yeast and characterization of the
recombinant enzyme. *Biochem J* 1996, **319 (Pt 3)**(Pt 3):705-712.
46. Leger RJS, Charnley AK, Cooper RM: **Characterization of cuticle-**
degrading proteases produced by the entomopathogen Metarhizium
anisopliae. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* 1987, **253**(1):221-232.
47. Monaghan J, Zipfel C: **Plant pattern recognition receptor complexes at**
the plasma membrane. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 2012, **15**(4):349-
357.
48. Segonzac C, Zipfel C: **Activation of plant pattern-recognition receptors**
by bacteria. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* 2011, **14**(1):54-61.
49. Bowman SM, Free SJ: **The structure and synthesis of the fungal cell wall**.
BioEssays 2006, **28**(8):799-808.
50. Jaques AK, Fukamizo T, Hall D, Barton RC, Escott GM, Parkinson T,
Hitchcock CA, Adams DJ: **Disruption of the gene encoding the ChiB1**
chitinase of Aspergillus fumigatus and characterization of a
recombinant gene product. *Microbiology* 2003, **149**(10):2931-2939.
51. Yarden O, Yanofsky C: **Chitin synthase 1 plays a major role in cell wall**
biogenesis in Neurospora crassa. *Genes Dev* 1991, **5**(12b):2420-2430.
52. Nakazawa T, Horiuchi H, Ohta A, Takagi M: **Isolation and**
characterization of EPD1, an essential gene for Pseudohyphal growth
of a Dimorphic yeast, Candida maltosa. *Journal of Bacteriology* 1998,
180(8):2079.
53. Koch MR, Pillus L: **The glucanosyltransferase Gas1 functions in**
transcriptional silencing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
2009, **106**(27):11224.
54. Tanabe Y, Oda M: **Molecular characterization of endo-1,3-β-glucanase**
from Cellulosimicrobium cellulans: Effects of carbohydrate-binding
module on enzymatic function and stability. *Biochimica et Biophysica*
Acta (BBA) - Proteins and Proteomics 2011, **1814**(12):1713-1719.
55. Shimoi H, Kitagaki H, Ohmori H, Iimura Y, Ito K: **Sed1p is a major cell wall**
protein of Saccharomyces cerevisiae in the stationary phase and is
involved in lytic enzyme resistance. *Journal of bacteriology* 1998,
180(13):3381-3387.
56. Celerin M, Ray JM, Schisler NJ, Day AW, Stetler-Stevenson WG, Laudenbach
DE: **Fungal fimbriae are composed of collagen**. *EMBO J* 1996,
15(17):4445-4453.
57. Lai J, Koh CH, Tjota M, Pieuchot L, Raman V, Chandrababu KB, Yang D, Wong
L, Jedd G: **Intrinsically disordered proteins aggregate at fungal cell-to-**

885    **cell channels and regulate intercellular connectivity**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
886    *U S A* 2012, **109**(39):15781-15786.

887 58. Fleetwood DJ, Scott B, Lane GA, Tanaka A, Johnson RD: **A Complex**
888    **Ergovaline Gene Cluster in Epichloë Endophytes of Grasses**. *Applied*
889    *and Environmental Microbiology* 2007, **73**(8):2571.

890 59. Lo Presti L, Lanver D, Schweizer G, Tanaka S, Liang L, Tollot M, Zuccaro A,
891    Reissmann S, Kahmann R: **Fungal Effectors and Plant Susceptibility**.
892    *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 2015, **66**(1):513-545.

893 60. Rahnama M, Fields KA: **Transformation of Chlamydia: current**
894    **approaches and impact on our understanding of chlamydial infection**
895    **biology**. *Microbes and Infection* 2018, **20**(7):445-450.

896 61. Hassing B, Winter D, Becker Y, Mesarich CH, Eaton CJ, Scott B: **Analysis of**
897    **Epichloë festucae small secreted proteins in the interaction with**
898    **Lolium perenne**. *PLOS ONE* 2019, **14**(2):e0209463.

899 62. Hu X, Xiao G, Zheng P, Shang Y, Su Y, Zhang X, Liu X, Zhan S, St Leger RJ,
900    Wang C: **Trajectory and genomic determinants of fungal-pathogen**
901    **speciation and host adaptation**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2014,
902    **111**(47):16796-16801.

903 63. Wang G, Liu Z, Lin R, Li E, Mao Z, Ling J, Yang Y, Yin W-B, Xie B: **Biosynthesis**
904    **of Antibiotic Leucinostatins in Bio-control Fungus Purpureocillium**
905    **lilacinum and Their Inhibition on Phytophthora Revealed by Genome**
906    **Mining**. *PLoS pathogens* 2016, **12**(7):e1005685-e1005685.

907 64. Calvo AM, Cary JW: **Association of fungal secondary metabolism and**
908    **sclerotial biology**. *Front Microbiol* 2015, **6**:62-62.

909 65. Butchko RAE, Brown DW, Busman M, Tudzynski B, Wiemann P: **Lae1**
910    **regulates expression of multiple secondary metabolite gene clusters**
911    **in Fusarium verticillioides**. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* 2012, **49**(8):602-
912    612.

913 66. Perrin RM, Fedorova ND, Bok JW, Cramer RA, Jr., Wortman JR, Kim HS,
914    Nierman WC, Keller NP: **Transcriptional Regulation of Chemical**
915    **Diversity in Aspergillus fumigatus by LaeA**. *PLOS Pathogens* 2007,
916    **3**(4):e50.

917 67. Seiboth B, Karimi RA, Phatale PA, Linke R, Hartl L, Sauer DG, Smith KM,
918    Baker SE, Freitag M, Kubicek CP: **The putative protein**
919    **methyltransferase LAE1 controls cellulase gene expression in**
920    **Trichoderma reesei**. *Molecular microbiology* 2012, **84**(6):1150-1164.

921 68. Krappmann S, Braus GH: **Nitrogen metabolism of Aspergillus and its**
922    **role in pathogenicity**. *Medical Mycology* 2005, **43**(Supplement_1):S31-
923    S40.

924 69. Pellier A-L, Laugé R, Veneault-Fourrey C, Langin T: **CLNR1, the**
925    **AREA/NIT2-like global nitrogen regulator of the plant fungal**
926    **pathogen Colletotrichum lindemuthianum is required for the**
927    **infection cycle**. *Molecular Microbiology* 2003, **48**(3):639-655.

928 70. Rahnama M, Forester N, Ariyawansa KGSU, Voisey CR, Johnson LJ, Johnson
929    RD, Fleetwood DJ: **Efficient targeted mutagenesis in Epichloë festucae**
930    **using a split marker system**. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 2017,
931    **134**:62-65.

932 71. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P,
933    Chaisson M, Gingeras TR: **STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner**.
934    *Bioinformatics* 2013, **29**(1):15-21.

935 72. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK: **edgeR: a Bioconductor package**
936    **for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data**.
937    *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 2010, **26**(1):139-140.

938   73.   Hulsen T, de Vlieg J, Alkema W: **BioVenn - a web application for the**
939   **comparison and visualization of biological lists using area-**
940   **proportional Venn diagrams**. *BMC Genomics* 2008, **9**:488-488.
941   74.   **R: A language and environment for statistical computing**. Vienna,
942   Austria; 2013.

Figure legends:

Fig. 1- Relative expression of *velA* and *laeA* genes measured by qRT-PCR. a) Relative

expression of *velA* in wild type *E. festucae* at different times after inoculating seedlings (HPI:

hours post inoculation; DPI: days post inoculation). b) Relative expression of laeA from some

chosen conditions in vitro, in culture (PDA- D: potato dextrose agar under dark), seedlings

(14 DPI- L: days post inoculation under light) and mature plants (old blade). Expression

values were normalised to that of the gamma actin and 60S ribosomal protein L35. Primers

used in the analysis are listed in Table S1. Bars represent standard error of the mean

calculated from three biological replicates. Results were analysed using the MANOVA

Tukey's test and statistically significant results are indicated with different letters.

Fig. 2- Percentage and distribution of differentially expressed genes in different comparisons

and different conditions. a) The bar chart shows the percentage of DEGs that up or down-

regulated in different comparisons. b) Venn diagrams show the common DEGs genes

between different comparisons. c) Volcano plots show distribution of $\log_2$ of fold changes

(logFC) and significantly ($-\log_{10}$ of FDR) in different comparisons. Black dots: FDR>0.05,

Red dots: FDR<0.05, orange dots: logFC>1, green dots: FDR<0.05 & logFC>1.

Fig. 3- Expression changes of *E. festucae* alkaloid biosynthesis genes in different conditions.

a) Expression changes of *E. festucae* alkaloid biosynthesis genes in different comparisons

including S Δ*laeA*-WT, S Δ*velA*-WT, and IP Δ*velA*-WT. Dashed bars: fold changes that are

statistically significant (FDR≤0.05), non-dashed bars: fold changes that are statistically non-

significant (FDR≥0.05).   b) Relative expression levels of three representative genes of

ergovaline, lolitrem B and peramine biosynthetic genes in wild type, Δ*velA* and *ΔvelA/velA*

in three different conditions. Results were analysed using the MANOVA Tukey's and the

independent sample T-test and statistically significant results are indicated with same letter

are not significantly different.

Fig. 4- VelA regulates alkaloid production of *E. festucae* in ryegrasses plants. a) Alkaloids'

concentration in three months old ryegrasses infected with wild type and Δ*velA* mutant *E.*

festucae. Results were analysed using the independent sample T-test and statistically

significant results are indicated (***$p<0.001$, ** $0.05>p>0.001$, * $0.1>p>0.05$). b) The

differences of mean concertation of the wild type infected plants to the Δ*velA* mutant infected

plants and the mean concertation differences of wild type infected plants to Δ*laeA* mutant

infected plants.

Fig. 5- Transcriptomics profile of all secondary metabolism clusters in *Epichloë festucae*.

a) Heatmap of all genes in each secondary metabolism cluster. Grey colour cells showing

that in that condition none of the mutant or wild type are expressed. b) Percentage of DEGs

in the clusters with at least one DEGs.

Fig. 6- DEGs with putative SSP function. a) Number of DEGs, down or up regulated, in

each comparison with putative SSPs functions. b) Distribution of SSPs fold change in

different conditions, each point representing one SSP. c) Heat map showing the different

ranges of regulatory effects of VelA and LaeA on the expression of putative SSPs in

different conditions. d) Venn diagram shows the common SSPs between different

comparisons which significantly differentially expressed.

1000

1001

1002

Table 1- Different conditions and comparisons that used for the transcriptomics analysis. WT, wild type; D, dark; L, light; PRG, perennial ryegrass.

Conditions	In culture (IC)	In seedling (S)	In planta (IP)
Host	PDA medium covered by cellophane	PRG seedlings	Mature infected PRG
Light condition	D	8h L&16h D	8h L&16h D
Time	14 d	14 dpi	3 month post inoculation
***E. festucae* strains and comparisons**	WT ΔvelA	WT ΔvelA	WT ΔvelA

Table 2- DEGs with transporter activity in all different comparisons

		Fold change					
Model	**Predicted function**	**IC Δ*laeA*-WT**	**S Δ*laeA*-WT**	**IC Δ*velA*-WT**	**S Δ*velA*-WT**	**IP Δ*velA*-WT**	**Presence in core set**
EfM3.003420	Phospholipid transporter	-1.1	-1.2	-1.1	-1.1	**2.5**	No
EfM3.007320	Copper transport	1.4	1.6	1.2	**2.8**	1.9	No
EfM3.009680	ABC transporter	-1.9	-1.8	-1.9	-1.7	1.2	No
EfM3.009730	ABC transporter	-2.0	**-2.2**	-1.7	-1.8	**-2.1**	No
EfM3.012390	Nitrate transporter	1.6	1.0	1.3	1.0	**4.9**	No
EfM3.012760	Malic acid transporter	1.5	-1.7	-1.0	**-3.0**	-1.4	**Yes**
EfM3.014790	ABC transporter	1.2	-1.8	**-2.1**	-1.9	-1.4	No
EfM3.018210	Proline-specific permease	-1.1	1.1	-1.0	1.2	**-2.2**	No
EfM3.020140	Sulfate transporter	1.1	1.4	1.2	**2.5**	-1.7	No
EfM3.025050	Amino acid permease	1.4	1.3	1.1	**2.2**	1.1	No
EfM3.025350	Transporter	-1.3	-1.3	-1.7	1.2	-1.1	No
EfM3.027520	ABC transporter	1.1	1.7	1.2	**3.1**	**2.8**	No
EfM3.027540	ABC transporter	1.3	1.4	1.4	**2.5**	**2.8**	No
EfM3.027570	Peptide transporter	**2.6**	**3.1**	**3.0**	**9.9**	**12.5**	**Yes**
EfM3.032550	ABC transporter	1.2	1.3	1.1	-1.2	1.2	No
EfM3.035410	Carboxylic acid transporter	1.4	2.0	-1.1	-1.0	**5.1**	**Yes**
EfM3.039020	Ammonium transporter	1.0	**2.0**	1.0	**3.0**	**4.5**	No
EfM3.040210	phospholipid-translocating ATPase	-1.2	-1.1	-1.1	-1.1	**2.4**	No
EfM3.045520	hydrogen ion transmembrane transporter	3.4	**-2.4**	8.6	**-8.4**	**-4.0**	No
EfM3.047210	Purine permease	-1.1	1.2	-1.1	1.3	**2.1**	No
EfM3.055090	ABC multidrug transporter	1.1	-1.2	-1.1	**-2.7**	1.3	No

EfM3.056220	ABC multidrug transporter	1.2	**-4.8**	-1.1	**-4.2**	**-3.4**	**Yes**
EfM3.058970	Na+/H+ antiporter	1.0	-1.1	-1.1	-1.1	**-2.1**	No
EfM3.066900	xanthine/uracil permease	1.1	-1.6	1.4	**-2.9**	**-6.1**	**Yes**
EfM3.074200	transmembrane transporter	2.0	-1.3	**2.8**	-2.6	-1.6	No
EfM3.075680	transmembrane transporter	-1.8	-2.6	**-2.1**	-2.4	-2.4	No
EfM3.000930	Zinc ion transporter	1.1	**-2.8**	-1.1	-1.4	1.1	No
EfM3.005950	Amino-acid permease	1.4	**2.4**	-1.0	1.5	1.4	No
EfM3.029800	ABC multidrug transporter	**2.4**	1.1	1.1	-1.5	-1.1	No
EfM3.064820	Sugar transporter	-1.7	**-2.4**	-1.2	1.2	-1.2	No
EfM3.077030	Inositol transporter	1.3	**2.2**	1.2	1.4	1.1	No
EfM3.080140	Calcium transporter	-1.1	**-2.4**	-1.0	-1.6	1.8	No
EfM3.158840	Metal ion transporter	1.1	**2.0**	1.0	**2.0**	1.1	No

Table 3- DEGs with host cell wall degradation activity. Fold changes showed in bold are statistically significant (FDR≤0.05).

Model	CAZyme class	Predicted function	Target cell wall component	Fold change				
				IC Δ*laeA*-WT	S Δ*laeA*-WT	IC Δ*velA*-WT	S Δ*velA*-WT	IP Δ*velA*-WT
EfM3.049570	GH13	Alpha- glucosidase	Cellulose	1.0	**-2.1**	-1.2	-1.5	-1.2
EfM3.053990	GH5	Endoglucanase	Cellulose	1.5	**2.1**	-1.1	1.1	1.3
EfM3.005420	N/A	Exo-1,4-beta-xylosidase	Hemicellulose	1.1	**1.9**	-1.0	**2.3**	1.5
EfM3.040190	GH10	Endo-1,4-beta-xylanase	Hemicellulose	1.7	**3.3**	1.1	**3.9**	**83.5**
EfM3.037040	GH62	Glycosyl hydrolase	Hemicellulose	1.1	**4.3**	1.0	**4.3**	**69.6**
EfM3.008730	CE8	Pectin methylesterase	Pectin	-1.3	1.7	1.0	**2.0**	1.4
EfM3.008610	CE5	Cutinase	Cutin	**2.5**	2.9	1.2	-2.0	2.9
EfM3.005300	N/A	Cuticle-degrading protease	Cuticle	1.0	**-2.8**	1.0	**-5.1**	**-4.1**

Table 4- Differentially expressed genes engage in fungal cell wall composition. Fold changes showed in bold are statistically significant (FDR≤0.05).

Model	CAZyme class	Predicted function	Fold change				
			IC Δ*laeA*-WT	S Δ*laeA*-WT	IC Δ*velA*-WT	S Δ*velA*-WT	IP Δ*velA*-WT
EfM3.000810	CBM18	Chitinase	1.0	**-3.0**	1.0	**-3.3**	**-3.1**
EfM3.024310	GH18	Endochitinase B1	1.4	1.6	-1.1	**1.9**	**2.1**
EfM3.049120	GT2	Chitin synthase	-1.3	-1.4	**2.0**	1.3	-1.4
EfM3.056450	CBM43	Glucanosyl transferase	-1.2	-1.2	-1.0	-1.2	**2.1**
EfM3.056810	GH64	Glucan endo-1,3-beta-glucosidase	1.1	1.1	-1.1	1.0	**-2.2**
EfM3.078790	N/A	Cell wall protein SED1	1.1	**-3.4**	1.1	**-2.5**	-1.8
EfM3.054000	N/A	Related to cell wall glycoprotein	-1.7	1.1	1.1	**2.3**	1.9
EfM3.034340	N/A	Cell wall glycoprotein	-1.2	**-3.4**	1.4	-1.9	**-3.7**

Fig. 1_ Rahnama et al.

Fig. 2_ Rahnama et al.

Fig. 3_ Rahnama et al.

Fig. 4_ Rahnama et al.

Fig. 5_ Rahnama et al.

Fig. 6_ Rahnama et al.