Temperature predicts the maximum tree-species richness and water and frost shape the residual variation

Ricardo A. Segovia[1]

[1] Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad (www.ieb-chile.cl), Santiago, Chile.

* segoviacortes@gmail.com

Abstract

The kinetic hypothesis of biodiversity proposes that temperature is the main driver of variation in species richness, given its exponential effect on biological activity and, potentially, on rates of diversification. However, limited support for this hypothesis has been found to date. I tested the fit of this model on the variation of tree-species richness along a continuous latitudinal gradient in the Americas. I found that the kinetic hypothesis accurately predicts the upper bound of the relationship between the inverse of mean annual temperature ($1/k$K) and the natural logarithm of species richness, at a broad scale. In addition, I found that water availability and the number of days with freezing temperatures organize a part of the residual variation of the upper bound model. The finding of the model fitting on the upper bound rather than on the mean values suggest that the kinetic hypothesis is modeling the variation of the potential maximum species richness per unit of temperature. Likewise, the distribution of the residuals of the upper bound model in function of the number of days with freezing temperatures suggest the importance of environmental thresholds rather than gradual variation driving the observable variation in species richness.

Introduction

While correlations between species richness and environmental variables
have been widely documented [Wright, 1983, Currie and Paquin,
1987, Adams and Woodward, 1989, Stephenson, 1990, O'Brien,
1993, O'Brien, 1998, Kreft and Jetz, 2007, Hawkins et al., 2003, Currie et al.,
2004, Jetz and Rahbek, 2002, Mutke and Barthlott, 2005, Mutke et al.,
2001, Francis and Currie, 2003, Field et al., 2005], a general law or even a
single, coherent explanation for these correlations is still elusive [Gaston,
2000, Rohde, 1992, Brown, 2014]. The most formal and testable hypothesis
to mechanistically relate species richness to environmental parameters is
the kinetic model, which forms part of the framework of the "Metabolic
Theory of Ecology" [Brown, 2014]. Under this hypothesis, temperature
would be the main driver of variation in species richness, given its effect on
the activation of enzymatic reactions, the rates of energy flux and thus,
potentially, on rates of ecological interaction and diversification [Rohde,
1992, Allen et al., 2002, Brown et al., 2004, Brown, 2014]. Therefore, a
predictive model derived from the exponential Boltzmann temperature
relationship has been proposed and tested across different taxa [Allen et al.,
2002, Brown et al., 2004]. However, subsequent studies at global [Kreft and
Jetz, 2007] and regional [Hawkins et al., 2007a, Algar et al., 2007] scales
show no support for two of the main predictions of the model: 1) a linear
relationship between species richness and temperature [Allen et al.,
2002, Allen et al., 2007, Brown et al., 2004], and 2) a negative slope of
~ 0.65 between the inverse of temperature (1.000/kK) and the natural
logarithm of species richness [Brown et al., 2004].

To predict species richness gradients, the kinetic model connects two
previously recognized relationships: the temperature dependence of the
metabolic rate [Gillooly et al., 2001] and the energetic-equivalence rule of
population energy use [Enquist et al., 1998]. Temperature controls
metabolism through its effects on rates of biochemical reactions. Reaction
kinetics vary exponentially with temperature according to the Boltzmann's
factor $e^{-Ei/kT}$, where T is the absolute temperature (in degrees K), E is the

activation energy, and k is Boltzmann's constant [Gillooly et al., 2001].   33
The increase in metabolic rate results in turn in higher energy use per   34
individual, but the population energy use should remain approximately   35
constant [Enquist et al., 1998]. Thus, fewer individuals per population are   36
expected towards warmer environments [Brown et al., 2004]. Considering   37
that the total number of individuals in a community is largely independent   38
of latitudinal gradients in temperature when no other environmental   39
constraints are influencing it (i.e., water deficit) [Enquist and Niklas,   40
2001, Currie et al., 2004], the result is higher species richness in   41
communities from warmer environments [Allen et al., 2002]. Moreover, the   42
kinetic model suggests that in addition of the direct effect of energy use   43
increasing, coevolutionary interactions associated with species coexistence   44
generate and maintain even higher biodiversity towards warmer   45
environments [Brown, 2014].   46

In addition to temperature, the variation in species richness has also   47
been related to water availability, often measured as mean annual   48
precipitation [Wright, 1983, Currie, 1991]. Therefore, the effect of water   49
availability could explain the failure of previous studies to support the   50
temperature dependence of species richness across different environmental   51
arrangements [Currie, 2007]. Currie (2007) [Currie, 2007] hypothesized   52
that the model proposed by Allen *et al.* (2002) [Allen et al., 2002] only   53
would fit on the upper bound of the relationship between species richness   54
and mean annual temperature (MAT) in plants, but cannot explain the   55
species richness variation on its mean values. Allen *et al.* (2007) [Allen   56
et al., 2007] agree with this, and suggest that the residual variation below   57
the proposed upper bound fit would be associated with variation in the   58
total number of individuals in a community due, mainly, to water deficit.   59
Indeed, statistical models show that forests can reach their maximum   60
species richness when high annual energy input is combined with high   61
water availability throughout the year [Kreft and Jetz, 2007]. However,   62
limits rather than mean temperature also could explain part of the residual   63
variation of the kinetic model. The metabolism-temperature dependence is   64
valid within the limited range of "biologically relevant" temperatures   65

between approximately 0° and 40°C. Near 0°C, metabolic reactions cease due to the phase transition associated with freezing water [Gillooly et al., 2001, Brown et al., 2004]. Thus, events of freezing temperatures can interrupt the general effect of environmental temperature on biochemical reactions and energy use, modifying the expected species richness beyond the effect of mean values (i.e., MAT).

The original proposal of the kinetic model and the posterior empirical approaches have only tested the central tendency of the variation in species richness [Allen et al., 2002, Brown et al., 2004, Hawkins et al., 2007a, Hawkins et al., 2007b, Algar et al., 2007, Kreft and Jetz, 2007], rather than the upper bound of the relationship. In order to evaluate the predictions of the kinetic model around the upper bound of the temperature-dependent variation in species richness, I analyzed 10,721 inventories of tree species across a broad, continuous latitudinal gradient in the Americas (between 49°N and 55°S in North, Central and South America). I tested the predictions of linearity and a negative slope (~ 0.65) using regression models throughout the upper quantiles of species richness variation. To test the linearity of the relationship between the inverse of temperature (1,000/kK) and the upper quantiles of the natural logarithm of species richness, I assessed if segmented models, that allow for a breakpoints in the slope at some point over the range of the explanatory variable, provided better statistical fits than linear models. Thus, I compared the slopes of linear versus segmented models above the mean of the relationship (55%, 65%, 75%, 85%, 95% quantiles). In addition, I explored the influence of the previously hypothesized environmental drivers (i.e., climatic water deficit and freezing temperatures) on the variation of the residuals of quantile regressions.

Results

As increasingly higher quantiles are examined (i.e. moving from 55% quantiles to 95% quantiles), the statistical relationship between temperature (1,000/kK) and the natural logarithm of species richness

becomes stronger (Table 1). Actually, the values for both linear and segmented models reach over R1 = 0.7 at 95% quantile (see R1 statistic, Table 1). Although segmented models are more robust in all of the quantiles analyzed (see SIC, Table 1), the slopes in the segmented models towards the upper bound are not significantly different (Table 1, p-values greater to 0.05 to the test of the null hypothesis, slope 1 = slope 2). Furthermore, towards the very upper bound (quantile 85% and 95%) both slopes are negatives, while in 55%, 65% and 75%, the first slope is positive. Therefore, I cannot reject a linear, negative relationship towards the upper bound, where the models fit the better (Table 1, Fig. 1).

Table 1 Quantile models across the upper bound of the relationship between the inverse of temperature (1,000/kK) and the natural logarithm of species richness. R1 statistic is provided as a measure of the goodness of fit of the different models and the Schwartz's Information Criteria (SIC) as a relative quality measurement for linear and segmented models (for details, see Material and Methods). Slope values for linear and segmented quantile regressions (slope 1 and slope 2) are also provided. Finally, the p-value column means the results of the t tests comparing if both slopes in the segmented models are significantly different (p-values < 0.05).

Quantile	R1 linear	R1 segemented	Linear Slope	Segmented Slope 1	Segmented Slope 2	p-value	SIC (linear)	SIC (segmented)
55%	0.24	0.01	-0.781	0.299	-1.444	0.041	29117.46	27895.73
65%	0.24	0.07	-0.789	0.306	-1.458	0.039	29206.52	27986.76
75%	0.31	0.2	-0.823	0.147	-1.312	0.072	29599.82	28520.47
85%	0.46	0.43	-0.808	-0.194	-1.011	0.207	30390.64	29279.77
95%	0.75	0.77	-0.661	-0.236	-1.008	0.22	32444.54	30946.64

I found that the negative linear slope of ~ 0.65, proposed by Brown *et al.* (2004) [Brown et al., 2004] is reached around the 95% quantile (Table 1, Fig. 1). Instead, those linear model in lower quantiles present more pronounced slope than expected by the kinetic model (Table 1, Fig. 1). On the other hand, segmented models are far from the predicted range of slopes put forth by Brown *et al.* (2004) (Table 1).

Fig.1 Effects of the inverse of the Mean Annual Temperature (MAT) on log-transformed species richness of trees in the Americas. Black lines represent the model fit at 95% quantile for a linear regression (continuous) and for a one-breakpoint segmented regression (dashed). The red lines represents the best supported model (linear or segmented) in 55%, 65%, 75% and 85% quantiles.

The temperature dependence of species richness at the upper bound analyzed by biomes shows that the linear slopes are mostly different than the expected by the model (Fig. 2). Slopes for the 95% quantile model from tropical biomes are farther away of the expected values than those from temperate biomes (Fig. 2). Likewise, dry biomes present the most different slopes according to the expected under the kinetic model. Actually, slopes in dry biomes tend to be positive rather than negative, i.e., they show a greater potential species richness towards relatively colder areas within "Tropical & Subtropical Grassland, Savannas Shrublands", "Tropical & Subtropical Dry Boroadleaf Forests" and "Mediterranean Forests, Woodlands and Scrub" (Fig. 2). The closest slopes to the expected by the kinetic model are found in "Temperate Grasslands, Savannas & Shrublands" and "Temperate Conifer Forests" (Fig. 2).

Fig.2 Quantile 95% model for the main biomes in the Americas.

In a general view, the spatial distribution of the residual variation in the upper bound model shows that sites with low species richness are farther away from the theoretical predictions than species-rich sites (Fig. 3). Sites with residuals lower than -1.5 tend to be concentrated preferentially in the northern and southern extratropics, subtropics and dry tropics (Fig. 3). In a biome-organized perspective, the residual variation in the 95% quantile model is more negative on average in temperate than in tropical biomes (Fig. 4). Likewise, sites from tropical wet biomes tend to be closer to the upper bound model than sites from tropical dry, subtropical and extratropical environments (Fig. 4).

Fig.3 Geographic distribution of the species richness variation and the residual variation of the quantile 95% model. A) Species richness across the 10,721 tree assemblages. Black dots show those assemblages with less than 100 species. Light-blue dots show those assemblages with a number of species between 101 and 200. And, blue dots show assemblages with more than 201 species. B) Residual variation from the upper bound model for the kinetic hypothesis. Black dots show those assemblages with more negative residual variation, and red dots those assemblages with a less negative residual variation.

Fig.4 Residual variation of the quantile 95% model across the main biomes in the Americas. Biome partition of the dataset is based on the ecoregions and biomes described by Olson *et al.* (2001) [Olson et al., 2001].

Water availability and presence of freezing temperatures affect the ₁₆₁ residual variation around the upper bound of the kinetic model for the ₁₆₂ temperature dependence of species richness (Fig. 5). Residual variation ₁₆₃ tends to increase (i.e. more negative residuals) towards environments with ₁₆₄ higher Climatic Water Deficit, indicated by more negative values (Fig. 5a), ₁₆₅ and with greater exposure to freezing temperatures (Fig. 5b). While the ₁₆₆ effect of water deficit shows a relatively gradual change, the effect of ₁₆₇ freezing temperatures suggests a threshold effect (Fig. 5). Those sites with ₁₆₈ more than $\sim 1,000$ days with freezing temperatures during the last 117 ₁₆₉ years (i.e., greater than ~ 10 days on average per year) tend to be farther ₁₇₀ away of the predicted model for the 95% quantile than those sites with less ₁₇₁ than 10 days per year subject to freezing temperatures (Fig. 5b). ₁₇₂

Fig.5 Distribution of the residuals according to the 95% quantile fit along two limiting species richness ₁₇₄ environmental variables: A) the Maximum Climatological Water Deficit, and B) the Number of Frost ₁₇₅ Days between 1901 and 2018. Black lines connect the points showing the mean values for each ordered ₁₇₆ category and gray lines connect the points for standard deviation. ₁₇₇

Discussion

These results show that the kinetic model to mechanistically relate the
variation in species richness with environmental temperature apply to the
upper bound of the relationship in a broad scale. Both of the central
predictions of the model (i.e., a slope a) linear of b) ~ -0.65) are found at
the 95% quantile of the regression (Table 1, Fig. 1). Two environmental
drivers impose constraints to the assemblages in reaching the potential
maximum species richness. The greater the water deficit and the number
of days with freezing temperatures in a year, the bigger the residual
variation of the upper bound model (Fig. 5).

The kinetic hypothesis [Allen et al., 2002, Brown et al., 2004] models
a limit for the maximum species richness per unit of temperature rather
than variation in the mean values. Although later testing of the kinetic
hypothesis focused on the temperature-dependent variation in species
richness across different environmental arrangements, the original proposal
specified that the model works only if other environmental variables (e.g.,
water availability) are accounted for [Allen et al., 2002, Allen et al., 2007].
In concordance with the original proposal, the results presented here
suggest that under the kinetic model, temperature determines an upper
bound of variation in species richness (Fig. 1), and that other variables
may prevent local assemblages to reach this potential diversity (Fig. 5). In
other words, temperature would determine an environmental carrying
capacities rather than to drive the observable variation in species richness,
and the kinetic hypothesis is actually modeling the variation of such limits.
Thus, under a bounded framework for diversity, the environmental
temperature affecting productivity or energy availability should be
interpreted as an ecological constraint placing limits to the potential
maximum species richness (see [Cornell, 2013, Brodie, 2019]).

Considering temperature as an ecological driver of variation in the
environmental carrying capacity should have implications on evolutionary
biology and other fields of ecology. For example, some broadly used
assumptions to estimate diversification rates should be reconsidered.

Usually, phylogenetic studies use the ratio between clade diversity and   210
clade age to estimate diversification rates and do not consider   211
environmental constraints to diversification (e.g., [Magallon and Sanderson,   212
2001, Nee, 2001, Phillimore et al., 2006]). However, if species richness is   213
environmentally constrained, this ratio will be misleading since diversity is   214
no longer dependent only on time and diversification rate [Cornell, 2013].   215
In other examples, community assemblage under a bounded or an   216
unbounded framework should have different rules. If diversity is bounded   217
by ecological constraints, or if ecological constraints are at least slowing   218
diversification, then asymptotic or slowing diversification should be   219
correlated with diminished niche space [Cornell, 2013]. Thus, a theoretical   220
trajectory to the niche space filling associated with an increasing in both   221
taxonomic and traits diversity should be possible to hypothesize.   222

The upper bound fit for the kinetic model works at global (i.e., a   223
complete latitudinal gradient in the Americas) but not at the level of   224
biomes. As the results presented here show, a biome-partitioned analysis of   225
the slopes at the upper bound do not fit with the theoretical predictions as   226
a generality (Fig. 2). Therefore, the expectations of a kinetic model   227
refocused in the upper bound should be tested across regions/biomes   228
scales; or, in regional scales, under gradients of temperature with other   229
variables being controlled, as the original proposal suggests [Allen et al.,   230
2002]. Biomes are evolutionary modules environmentally constrained, with   231
functional identities [Mucina, 2019]. Thus, different environmental   232
arrangements are expected to drive the observable variation in diversity   233
within biomes. Instead, the potential maximum species richness expected   234
per temperature is a general expectation and should be tested in broader   235
scales to avoid the regional effect of evolutionary associations between a   236
particular biota and the particular environmental arrangement   237
constraining the distribution of its diversity.   238

The slopes of temperate biomes fit better than tropical ones to the   239
predictions of the kinetic model for the upper bound (Fig.2). Indeed, the   240
variation of species richness at a global scale is not stationary [Hawkins   241
et al., 2003], and temperature is a better predictor towards high latitudes   242

(i.e., in temperate biomes) [Hawkins et al., 2003, Kreft and Jetz, $\quad$ 243
2007, Currie et al., 2004, Field et al., 2005]. Instead, the species richness $\quad$ 244
variation at low latitudes (i.e., in tropical biomes) would be best predicted $\quad$ 245
by water-availability variables (e.g., actual evapotranspiration and annual $\quad$ 246
rainfall) [Hawkins et al., 2003, Kreft and Jetz, 2007, Currie et al., 2004, Field $\quad$ 247
et al., 2005], or biotic factors [Ricklefs, 2004, Donoghue, 2008, Wiens, 2011]. $\quad$ 248
Under a refocused kinetic model as a predictor of the maximum species $\quad$ 249
richness, those biotic and abiotic factors driving the observable species $\quad$ 250
richness at low latitudes should be interpreted as constraints for the $\quad$ 251
assemblages to reach their potential diversity. A non-stationary pattern of $\quad$ 252
environmental drivers should also be expected to drive the observable $\quad$ 253
variation in low latitudes. For example, different variables should explain $\quad$ 254
the species richness variation along humidity or elevational gradients. In $\quad$ 255
temperate biomes, temperature would describe better the observable $\quad$ 256
variation in tree-species richness because less environmental arrangement $\quad$ 257
allow the existence of trees. For example, dry environments in high $\quad$ 258
latitudes prevent the existence of trees as a form of life. $\quad$ 259

$\quad$ Although the slopes of the upper bound kinetic model fit better in $\quad$ 260
temperate biomes (Fig. 2), the distribution of the residuals shows that $\quad$ 261
they are farther away of the model than tropical (wet and dry) ones (Figs. $\quad$ 262
3, 4). Therefore, the species richness in temperate biomes follow better the $\quad$ 263
theoretical slope predicted according the variation in environmental $\quad$ 264
temperature, but they are homogeneously constrained by other factor. In $\quad$ 265
other words, a climatic feature (beyond of the relative low environmental $\quad$ 266
temperature) of temperate biomes is preventing the assemblages in $\quad$ 267
reaching the maximum potential species richness. The presence of freezing $\quad$ 268
temperatures in a normal year is a feature of temperate biomes and its $\quad$ 269
effect on the variation of species richness has been largely recognized, even $\quad$ 270
by Alexander Von Humboldt [Hawkins, 2001]. As the results presented $\quad$ 271
here show, the distribution of the residual variation driven by the number $\quad$ 272
of days with freezing temperatures shows a pattern like a threshold rather $\quad$ 273
than a gradient (Fig. 5b). While assemblages subject to less than ~ 10 $\quad$ 274
days per year with freezing temperatures are close to the model, those $\quad$ 275

assemblages beyond that threshold are homogeneously farther away from ₂₇₆ the upper bound model (Fig. 5b). The effect of freezing temperature on ₂₇₇ biodiversity has been detected also in an evolutionary scale, since the best ₂₇₈ environmental predictor for the evolutionary turnover of tree lineages ₂₇₉ between tropical and extratropical biotas is the presence or absence of ₂₈₀ freezing temperatures in a normal year [Segovia et al., 2020]. Although a ₂₈₁ putative mechanism for freezing temperatures preventing the assemblages ₂₈₂ to reach the potential species richness expected by environmental ₂₈₃ temperature is the cessation of metabolic reactions due to the phase ₂₈₄ transition associated with freezing water [Gillooly et al., 2001, Brown et al., ₂₈₅ 2004], its effect on the evolutionary scale may be related with ₂₈₆ eco-evolutionary process associated to the conservative evolution of ₂₈₇ lineages to inhabit "harsh", non tropical (i.e., dry and/or freezing) ₂₈₈ environments [Currie et al., 2004, Wiens and Donoghue, 2004]. ₂₈₉

Freezing temperatures and water deficit have been considered among ₂₉₀ the primary forces shaping plant evolution by acting directly on hydraulic ₂₉₁ traits [Maherali et al., 2004, Zanne et al., 2014]. Likewise, both of these ₂₉₂ environmental conditions characterize "harsh", or difficult to colonize, ₂₉₃ environments, and thus, would explain a decrease in species ₂₉₄ richness [Wiens and Donoghue, 2004]. However, their influence on the ₂₉₅ variation of the observable species richness work in different ways. While ₂₉₆ freezing temperatures would cease the temperature-dependent metabolic ₂₉₇ rate, water deficit may constrain the potential species richness by drop the ₂₉₈ total number of individuals in a community [Gaston, 2000, Enquist and ₂₉₉ Niklas, 2001, Allen et al., 2002, Allen et al., 2007]. The results presented ₃₀₀ here show that the slopes in tropical dry biomes are positive rather than ₃₀₁ negative (Fig. 2). This trend of potential maximum species richness ₃₀₂ increasing to relatively colder sites within tropical dry environments is ₃₀₃ expectable because temperature and precipitation may interact in ₃₀₄ determining the species richness of water-limited biomes. Actually, the ₃₀₅ increment of water climatic deficit (i.e., the interaction between ₃₀₆ temperature and precipitation) drives an increase in the residual variation ₃₀₇ (Fig. 5). Therefore, future works should be careful in separating the ₃₀₈

variation in species richness associated with the total number of individuals ₃₀₉
per unit of area from those with no changes on this variable, despite ₃₁₀
evolutionary convergences, and generalizations such as ecological harshness. ₃₁₁

Changing the focus from the central tendency towards the limits ₃₁₂
allows for clarifying that the metabolic effect of environmental temperature ₃₁₃
works on the maximum species richness and, therefore, to conclude that ₃₁₄
the kinetic hypothesis described a model of the potential maximum species ₃₁₅
richness variation or the environmental carrying capacity. Instead, the ₃₁₆
observed variation of species richness is controlled by secondary ₃₁₇
environmental arrangements in different ways, suggesting that its effect can ₃₁₈
be spatial or geographically not stationary. The structure of wet-tropics ₃₁₉
species-rich versus dry-tropics and extratropics species-poor can be driven ₃₂₀
by processes such different as changes in abundances or evolutionary ₃₂₁
constraints. Therefore, the attempts to understand the variation of species ₃₂₂
richness under the framework of the latitudinal gradient should be ₃₂₃
revisited. A perspective that considers that temperature determines an ₃₂₄
upper bound and other variables can influence the observable species ₃₂₅
richness through different mechanism should allow a deeper understanding ₃₂₆
of the relationship between environments and biodiversity. ₃₂₇

Material and Methods ₃₂₈

Dataset ₃₂₉

The tree assemblages dataset was derived by combining the ₃₃₀
NeoTropTree (NTT; `http://neotroptree.info`) database [Oliveira-Filho, ₃₃₁
2014] and the Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) Program of the U.S. ₃₃₂
Forest Service [Burrill et al., 2018], accessed on March, 2019 via the BIEN ₃₃₃
package [Maitner et al., 2018] for the R Statistical Environment [R Core ₃₃₄
Team, 2018]. I excluded from the FIA database any plot that had less than ₃₃₅
two species. The inventories in the NTT database are defined by a single ₃₃₆
vegetation type within a circular area with a 5 km radius and contains ₃₃₇
records of tree and tree-like species, i.e., freestanding plants with stems ₃₃₈
that can reach over 3m in height (see www.neotroptree.info and Silva de ₃₃₉

Miranda *et al.* (2018) [Silva de Miranda et al., 2018] for details). Each FIA plot samples trees that are > 12.7 cm diameter at breast height (dbh) in four subplots (each being 168.3 m2) that are 36.6 m apart. I aggregated plots from the FIA dataset in a 10 km diameter space, to parallel the spatial structure of the NTT database. This procedure produced a total dataset of 10,721 tree assemblages distributed across major environmental and geographic gradients in the Americas. Taxonomic nomenclature was made consistent by querying species names against The Plant List (`http://www.theplantlist.org`). Mean annual temperature was extracted from the Worldclim dataset [Hijmans et al., 2005], the number of frost days per year was extracted from the Climatic Research Unit's (CRU) [Harris et al., 2020], and Maximum Climatological Water Deficit (CWD) from Chave *et al.* 2014 [Chave et al., 2014] for the coordinates assigned to each tree assemblage.

Statistical Analyses

Kinetic hypothesis testing: In order to explore the fit of the relationship between the inverse of temperature (1,000/kK) and the natural logarithm of species richness towards the upper bound, I used Quantile Regressions [Koenker and Bassett Jr, 1978] above the mean linear regression (50%). As quantile regression is insensitive to heteroscedastic errors and dependent variable outliers [Koenker and Bassett Jr, 1978], it is useful to explore the prediction of the kinetic hypothesis above the mean values. Indeed, quantile regressions have been previously described as particularly useful in exploratory and inferential analyses concerning limiting factors in ecology [Cade et al., 1999], which makes it useful to study the relationship of species richness and temperature towards the upper bound. Thus, I tested the two main predictions derived from the kinetic hypothesis: linearity and a negative slope of -0.65.

Linearity: I fitted linear Quantile Regression and one-breakpoint segmented Quantile Regression in 55%, 65%, 75%, 85% and 95% quantiles,

with "quantreg" [Koenker, 2018] and "segmented" [Muggeo, 2008] ³⁷⁰

packages in R [R Core Team, 2018]. I measured the goodness-of-fit (R1) of ³⁷¹
each quantile models by estimating the ratio between the sum of absolute ³⁷²
deviations in the fully parameterized models and the sum of absolute ³⁷³
deviations in the null (non-conditional) quantile model, following [Koenker ³⁷⁴
and Machado, 1999]. R1 constitutes a local measure of goodness of fit for a ³⁷⁵
particular quantile rather than a global measure of goodness of fit over the ³⁷⁶
entire conditional distribution, like R2 [Koenker and Machado, 1999]. This ³⁷⁷
estimation was done using the function *goodfit* from "WRTDStidal" R ³⁷⁸
package. ³⁷⁹

In order to define if a linear or a segmented fit is better in each quantile, I ³⁸⁰
used two approaches. First, I compared the models by the Schwartz's ³⁸¹
Information Criterion (SIC). Second, following Hawkins et al. ³⁸²
(2007a) [Hawkins et al., 2007a], I tested if both slopes in the segmented ³⁸³
model are different from each other using a t test. If the two slopes were ³⁸⁴
not significantly different ($P > 0.05$), the relationship between rescaled ³⁸⁵
temperature and ln-transformed richness was classified as being linear on ³⁸⁶
the quantile, whereas data sets with significantly different slopes were ³⁸⁷
classified as being nonlinear. ³⁸⁸

Slope: Brown *et al.* (2004) [Brown et al., 2004] and Allen *et al.* ³⁸⁹
(2007) [Allen et al., 2007] argued that slopes of richness–temperature ³⁹⁰
regressions should fall near ~ -0.65. This value is different than the ³⁹¹
proposed by Allen et al. 2002 [Allen et al., 2002] (-0.9) due to later ³⁹²
changes in the rescaling transformation. While Allen *et al.* (2002) used as ³⁹³
transformation 1000/K, where K is kelvins, Brown *et al.* (2004) and Allen ³⁹⁴
et al. (2007) used $1/(k\mathrm{K})$, where k is the Boltzman's constant. In order to ³⁹⁵
test this prediction towards the upper bound of the relationship, I simply ³⁹⁶
compared the slopes of all the linear Quantile Regressions, and each ³⁹⁷
segments in segmented Quantile Regressions. ³⁹⁸

Also, I tested the slopes for the predictions through biomes by splitting the ³⁹⁹
dataset according Olson *et al.* (2001) ecorregions and biomes [Olson et al., ⁴⁰⁰
2001]. I estimated the slopes for the 95% quantile to tests the predictied ⁴⁰¹

slope in an upper bound model to the kinetic hypothesis for each of the ²⁰² eight main biomes in the Americas. ⁴⁰³

Residuals Variation: As I found the 95% quantile regression is the best ⁴⁰⁴ model to fit the kinetic model for species richness, I explored the spatial ⁴⁰⁵ distribution and the environmental determinants of the residual variation ⁴⁰⁶ at this quantile. In order to show the geographic distribution of the ⁴⁰⁷ residual variation and its relationship with the species richnees, I grouped ⁴⁰⁸ the residuals in higher than -1.5 (i.e. small residual variation) and lower ⁴⁰⁹ than -1.5 (i.e. big residual variation). Also I grouped the assemblages by ⁴¹⁰ species richness: less than 100 species; between 101 and 200 species; and ⁴¹¹ more than 201 species. Likewise, in order to show the variation in the ⁴¹² distribution of residuals across biomes, I plotted the residual variation in a ⁴¹³ boxplot organized by biomes from Olson *et al.* (2001) [Olson et al., 2001]. ⁴¹⁴

Finally, I also tested the variation of the residuals with the variation of ⁴¹⁵ Maximum Climatological Water Deficit (CWD) from Chave et al. ⁴¹⁶ (2014) [Chave et al., 2014] because Allen *et al.* (2007) [Allen et al., 2007] ⁴¹⁷ hypothesized that the residual variation on an upper bound fit should be ⁴¹⁸ associated with water availability. Alternatively, I evaluated the effect of ⁴¹⁹ cumulative frost days from 1901 until 2018, from the Climatic Research ⁴²⁰ Unit's (CRU) [Harris et al., 2020] on the residual variation because the ⁴²¹ frost line has been proposed as an environmental threshold for taxonomic, ⁴²² functional, and evolutionary diversity on trees and, therefore, I ⁴²³ hypothesized that it could also affect the relationship between species ⁴²⁴ richness and mean annual temperature. In order to plot the distribution of ⁴²⁵ residuals across the continuous environmental variables, I use the function ⁴²⁶ discretize() in the "arules" package [Hahsler et al., 2019] with the "cluster" ⁴²⁷ method. Thus, I calculated a mean and a standard deviation across the ⁴²⁸ ordered categories in both of the variables. ⁴²⁹

Acknowledgments: CONICYT PIA APOYO CCTE AFB170008. R.A.S. is supported by a Newton International Fellowship from The Royal Society and by Conicyt PFCHA/Postdoctorado Becas Chile/2017 N° 3140189.

430
431
432

References

Adams and Woodward, 1989. Adams, J. and Woodward, F. (1989). Patterns in tree species richness as a test of the glacial extinction hypothesis. *Nature*, 339(6227):699.

Algar et al., 2007. Algar, A. C., Kerr, J. T., and Currie, D. J. (2007). A test of metabolic theory as the mechanism underlying broad-scale species-richness gradients. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 16(2):170–178.

Allen et al., 2002. Allen, A. P., Brown, J. H., and Gillooly, J. F. (2002). Global biodiversity, biochemical kinetics, and the energetic-equivalence rule. *Science*, 297(5586):1545–1548.

Allen et al., 2007. Allen, A. P., Gillooly, J. F., Brown, J. H., et al. (2007). Recasting the species-energy hypothesis: the different roles of kinetic and potential energy in regulating biodiversity. *Scaling biodiversity*, 1.

Brodie, 2019. Brodie, J. F. (2019). Environmental limits to mammal diversity vary with latitude and global temperature. *Ecology Letters*, 22(3):480–485.

Brown, 2014. Brown, J. H. (2014). Why are there so many species in the tropics? *Journal of Biogeography*, 41(1):8–22.

Brown et al., 2004. Brown, J. H., Gillooly, J. F., Allen, A. P., Savage, V. M., and West, G. B. (2004). Toward a metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology*, 85(7):1771–1789.

Burrill et al., 2018. Burrill, E., Wilson, A., Turner, J., Pugh, S., Menlove, J., Christiansen, G., Conkling, B., and David, W. (2018). *The Forest Inventory and Analysis Database: database description and user guide version 8.0 for Phase 2. U.S.* Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Available at web address: www.fia.fs.fed.us/library/database-documentation.

Cade et al., 1999. Cade, B. S., Terrell, J. W., and Schroeder, R. L. (1999). Estimating effects of limiting factors with regression quantiles. *Ecology*, 80(1):311–323.

Chave et al., 2014. Chave, J., Réjou-Méchain, M., Búrquez, A., Chidumayo, E., Colgan, M. S., Delitti, W. B., Duque, A., Eid, T., Fearnside, P. M., Goodman, R. C., et al. (2014). Improved allometric models to estimate the aboveground biomass of tropical trees. *Global change biology*, 20(10):3177–3190.

Cornell, 2013. Cornell, H. V. (2013). Is regional species diversity bounded or unbounded? *Biological Reviews*, 88(1):140–165.

Currie, 2007. Currie, D. (2007). Regional-to-global patterns of biodiversity, and what they have to say about mechanisms. *Scaling biodiversity*, pages 258–282.

Currie, 1991. Currie, D. J. (1991). Energy and large-scale patterns of animal-and plant-species richness. *The American Naturalist*, 137(1):27–49.

Currie et al., 2004. Currie, D. J., Mittelbach, G. G., Cornell, H. V., Field, R., Guégan, J.-F., Hawkins, B. A., Kaufman, D. M., Kerr, J. T., Oberdorff, T., O'Brien, E., et al. (2004). Predictions and tests of climate-based hypotheses of broad-scale variation in taxonomic richness. *Ecology letters*, 7(12):1121–1134.

Currie and Paquin, 1987. Currie, D. J. and Paquin, V. (1987). Large-scale biogeographical patterns of species richness of trees. *Nature*, 329(6137):326.

Donoghue, 2008. Donoghue, M. J. (2008). A phylogenetic perspective on the distribution of plant diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(Supplement 1):11549–11555.

Enquist et al., 1998. Enquist, B. J., Brown, J. H., and West, G. B. (1998). Allometric scaling of plant energetics and population density. *Nature*, 395(6698):163–165.

Enquist and Niklas, 2001. Enquist, B. J. and Niklas, K. J. (2001). Invariant scaling relations across tree-dominated communities. *Nature*, 410(6829):655.

Field et al., 2005. Field, R., O'Brien, E. M., and Whittaker, R. J. (2005). Global models for predicting woody plant richness from climate: development and evaluation. *Ecology*, 86(9):2263–2277.

Francis and Currie, 2003. Francis, A. P. and Currie, D. J. (2003). A globally consistent richness-climate relationship for angiosperms. *The American Naturalist*, 161(4):523–536.

Gaston, 2000. Gaston, K. J. (2000). Global patterns in biodiversity. *Nature*, 405(6783):220.

Gillooly et al., 2001. Gillooly, J. F., Brown, J. H., West, G. B., Savage, V. M., and Charnov, E. L. (2001). Effects of size and temperature on metabolic rate. *science*, 293(5538):2248–2251.

Hahsler et al., 2019. Hahsler, M., Buchta, C., Gruen, B., and Hornik, K. (2019). *arules: Mining Association Rules and Frequent Itemsets*. R package version 1.6-3.

Harris et al., 2020. Harris, I., Osborn, T. J., Jones, P., and Lister, D. (2020). Version 4 of the cru ts monthly high-resolution gridded multivariate climate dataset. *Scientific data*, 7(1):1–18.

Hawkins, 2001. Hawkins, B. A. (2001). Ecology's oldest pattern? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 16(8):470.

Hawkins et al., 2007a. Hawkins, B. A., Albuquerque, F. S., Araujo, M. B., Beck, J., Bini, L. M., Cabrero-Sañudo, F. J., Castro-Parga, I., Diniz-Filho, J. A. F., Ferrer-Castán, D., Field, R., et al.

(2007a). A global evaluation of metabolic theory as an explanation for terrestrial species richness   494
gradients. *Ecology*, 88(8):1877–1888.   495

Hawkins et al., 2007b. Hawkins, B. A., Diniz-Filho, J. A. F., Bini, L. M., Araújo, M. B., Field, R.,   496
Hortal, J., Kerr, J. T., Rahbek, C., Rodríguez, M. , and Sanders, N. J. (2007b). Metabolic theory   497
and diversity gradients: Where do we go from here? *Ecology*, 88(8):1898–1902.   498

Hawkins et al., 2003. Hawkins, B. A., Porter, E. E., and Felizola Diniz-Filho, J. A. (2003).   499
Productivity and history as predictors of the latitudinal diversity gradient of terrestrial birds.   500
Ecology, 84(6):1608–1623.   501

Hijmans et al., 2005. Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G., and Jarvis, A.   502
(2005). Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International*   503
Journal of Climatology: A Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 25(15):1965–1978.   504

Jetz and Rahbek, 2002. Jetz, W. and Rahbek, C. (2002). Geographic range size and determinants of   505
avian species richness. *Science*, 297(5586):1548–1551.   506

Koenker, 2018. Koenker, R. (2018). *quantreg: Quantile Regression*. R package version 5.38.   507

Koenker and Bassett Jr, 1978. Koenker, R. and Bassett Jr, G. (1978). Regression quantiles.   508
Econometrica: journal of the Econometric Society, pages 33–50.   509

Koenker and Machado, 1999. Koenker, R. and Machado, J. A. (1999). Goodness of fit and related   510
inference processes for quantile regression. *Journal of the american statistical association*,   511
94(448):1296–1310.   512

Kreft and Jetz, 2007. Kreft, H. and Jetz, W. (2007). Global patterns and determinants of vascular   513
plant diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104(14):5925–5930.   514

Magallon and Sanderson, 2001. Magallon, S. and Sanderson, M. J. (2001). Absolute diversification   515
rates in angiosperm clades. *Evolution*, 55(9):1762–1780.   516

Maherali et al., 2004. Maherali, H., Pockman, W. T., and Jackson, R. B. (2004). Adaptive variation   517
in the vulnerability of woody plants to xylem cavitation. *Ecology*, 85(8):2184–2199.   518

Maitner et al., 2018. Maitner, B. S., Boyle, B., Casler, N., Condit, R., Donoghue, J., Durán, S. M.,   519
Guaderrama, D., Hinchliff, C. E., Jørgensen, P. M., Kraft, N. J., et al. (2018). The bien r package: 520
A tool to access the botanical information and ecology network (bien) database. *Methods in*   521
Ecology and Evolution, 9(2):373–379.   522

Mucina, 2019. Mucina, L. (2019). Biome: evolution of a crucial ecological and biogeographical   523
concept. *New Phytologist*, 222(1):97–114.   524

Muggeo, 2008. Muggeo, V. M. (2008). segmented: an r package to fit regression models with broken-line relationships. *R News*, 8(1):20–25.

Mutke and Barthlott, 2005. Mutke, J. and Barthlott, W. (2005). Patterns of vascular plant diversity at continental to global scales. *Biologiske skrifter*, 55(4):521–531.

Mutke et al., 2001. Mutke, J., Kier, G., Braun, G., Schultz, C., and Barthlott, W. (2001). Patterns of african vascular plant diversity: A gis based analysis. *Systematics and Geography of Plants*, pages 1125–1136.

Nee, 2001. Nee, S. (2001). Inferring speciation rates from phylogenies. *Evolution*, 55(4):661–668.

O'Brien, 1998. O'Brien, E. (1998). Water-energy dynamics, climate, and prediction of woody plant species richness: an interim general model. *Journal of Biogeography*, 25(2):379–398.

O'Brien, 1993. O'Brien, E. M. (1993). Climatic gradients in woody plant species richness: towards an explanation based on an analysis of southern africa's woody flora. *Journal of Biogeography*, pages 181–198.

Oliveira-Filho, 2014. Oliveira-Filho, A. (2014). Neotroptree, flora arbórea da região neotropical: Um banco de dados envolvendo biogeografia, diversidade e conservação. *Belo Horizonte: Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais*.

Olson et al., 2001. Olson, D. M., Dinerstein, E., Wikramanayake, E. D., Burgess, N. D., Powell, G. V., Underwood, E. C., D'amico, J. A., Itoua, I., Strand, H. E., Morrison, J. C., et al. (2001). Terrestrial ecoregions of the world: A new map of life on eartha new global map of terrestrial ecoregions provides an innovative tool for conserving biodiversity. *BioScience*, 51(11):933–938.

Phillimore et al., 2006. Phillimore, A. B., Freckleton, R. P., Orme, C. D. L., and Owens, I. P. (2006). Ecology predicts large-scale patterns of phylogenetic diversification in birds. *The American Naturalist*, 168(2):220–229.

R Core Team, 2018. R Core Team (2018). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Ricklefs, 2004. Ricklefs, R. E. (2004). A comprehensive framework for global patterns in biodiversity. *Ecology letters*, 7(1):1–15.

Rohde, 1992. Rohde, K. (1992). Latitudinal gradients in species diversity: the search for the primary cause. *Oikos*, pages 514–527.

Segovia et al., 2020. Segovia, R. A., Pennington, R. T., Baker, T. R., De Souza, F. C., Neves, D. M., Davis, C. C., Armesto, J. J., Olivera-Filho, A. T., and Dexter, K. G. (2020). Freezing and water

availability structure the evolutionary diversity of trees across the americas. *Science Advances*, 6(19):eaaz5373.

Silva de Miranda et al., 2018. Silva de Miranda, P. L., Oliveira-Filho, A. T., Pennington, R. T., Neves, D. M., Baker, R., T., and Dexter, K. G. (2018). Using tree species inventories to map biomes and assess their climatic overlaps in lowland tropical South America. *Global ecology and biogeography*, 27(8):899–912.

Stephenson, 1990. Stephenson, N. L. (1990). Climatic control of vegetation distribution: the role of the water balance. *The American Naturalist*, 135(5):649–670.

Wiens and Donoghue, 2004. Wiens, J. and Donoghue, M. (2004). Historical biogeography, ecology and species richness. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 19(12):639–644.

Wiens, 2011. Wiens, J. J. (2011). The causes of species richness patterns across space, time, and clades and the role of "ecological limits". *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 86(2):75–96.

Wright, 1983. Wright, D. H. (1983). Species-energy theory: an extension of species-area theory. *Oikos*, pages 496–506.

Zanne et al., 2014. Zanne, A. E., Tank, D. C., Cornwell, W. K., Eastman, J. M., Smith, S. A., FitzJohn, R. G., McGlinn, D. J., O'Meara, B. C., Moles, A. T., Reich, P. B., Royer, D. L., Soltis, D. E., Stevens, P. F., Westoby, M., Wright, I. J., Aarssen, L., Bertin, R. I., Calaminus, A., Govaerts, R., Hemmings, F., Leishman, M. R., Oleksyn, J., Soltis, P. S., Swenson, N. G., Warman, L., and Beaulieu, J. M. (2014). Three keys to the radiation of angiosperms into freezing environments. *Nature*, 506(7486):89+.