Selection of HIV-1 for Resistance to Fifth Generation Protease Inhibitors Reveals Two Independent Pathways to High-Level Resistance

Ean Spielvogel[1,2], Sook-Kyung Lee[2], Shuntai Zhou[2], Gordon J. Lockbaum[3+], Mina Henes[3#], Amy Sondegroth[1,2], Klajdi Kosovrasti[3], Ellen A. Nalivaika[3], Akbar Ali[3], Nese Kurt Yilmaz[3], Celia A. Schiffer[3*], Ronald Swanstrom[2,4,*]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599, USA

[2]Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599, USA

[3]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biotechnology, University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School, Worcester, MA 01605, USA

[4]Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599, USA

ORCID:

Swanstrom: 0000-0001-7777-0773

Lockbaum: 0000-0003-2720-6984

Henes: 0000-0001-8667-541X

Kosovrasti: 0000-0001-7200-4068

Nalivaika: 0000-0002-2654-1513

Ali: 0000-0003-3491-791X

Kurt Yilmaz: 0000-0002-5036-676X

Schiffer: 0000-0003-2270-6613

*Corresponding Authors

Ronald Swanstrom: Phone: +1 919 966 5710; ron_swanstrom@med.unc.edu

Celia A. Schiffer: Phone: +1 508 856 8008; Celia.Schiffer@umassmed.edu

[+]GJL – present address: Accent Therapeutics, 65 Hayden Ave, Lexington, MA 02421

[#]MH – present address: Emory University School of Medicine,100 Woodruff Circle, Atlanta,

GA 30322 USA

Summary

Darunavir (DRV) is exceptional among potent HIV-1 protease inhibitors (PIs) in the high

drug concentrations that are achived *in vivo*. Little is known about the *de novo* resistance pathway

for DRV. We have selected for resistance to high drug concentrations against ten PIs and their

structural precursor DRV. Mutations accumulated through two pathways (anchored by protease

mutations I50V or I84V). Small chemical changes in the inhibitor P1' side chain led to preferential

use of one pathway over the other. Changes in the inhbitor P2' side chain determined differences

in potency that were retained in the resistant viruses. Viral variants from the two pathways showed

differential selection of compensatory mutations in Gag cleavage sites. These results reveal the

high level of selective pressure that is attainable with fourth generation PIs, and how features of

the inhibitor affect both the resistance pathway and the residual potency in the face of resistance.

Keywords

HIV-1, protease, inhibitor, resistance, selection, evolution, infectivity, mutations, enzyme,

compensatory

Introduction

Highly active antiretroviral therapy against HIV-1 with combinations of drugs effectively block viral replication and preclude the evolution of drug resistance. Three major factors interplay to define the emergence of resistance *in vivo*: i) the active drug concentration relative to its inhibitory activity; ii) the level of resistance conferred by one or more mutations; and iii) the fitness cost of the resistance mutations. For protease inhibitors (PIs), the number of mutations selected increases with increasing drug concentration (Watkins et al., 2003). Thus the concentration of this drug class *in vivo* is an important parameter in determining the genetic barrier to resistance.

The early identification of the retroviral protease as a member of the aspartyl proteinase family and the determination of a number of cleavage site sequences led to the development of first generation PIs (PIs) that validated the HIV-1 protease as a drug target (Katoh et al., 1987; Richards et al., 1989; Seelmeier et al., 1988). A second generation of PIs was quickly developed for use in humans, becoming the third drug in a three-drug regimen that achieved sustained suppression of viral load with no evolution of resistance (Gulick et al., 1997). The third generation of PIs had improved properties with regard to side effects and efficacy. These properties have been further enhanced with a fourth-generation PI, darunavir (DRV), which achieves a drug level in plasma (>1 μM) that is 1,000 fold greater than its inhibitory activity in cell culture (Ali et al., 2010; Nalam et al., 2013; Kurt Yilmaz et al., 2009). The potential efficacy of a fourth-generation PI such as DRV has led to attempts to use this drug in monotherapy (Antinori et al., 2015; Arribas et al., 2012; Paton et al., 2015; Valantin et al., 2012). Although the initial trials of monotherapy showed a modest increase in the loss of viral suppression relative to standard ART, in the cases of virologic failure there was no significant resistance to DRV detected in the rebound virus

(Antinori et al., 2015; Arribas et al., 2012; Paton et al., 2015; Valantin et al., 2012). Thus the

observed virologic failure is most easily attributed to issues of low drug exposure in specific

participants. While therapy has not yet moved to single agents, potent two drug therapies are

being explored. In this regard, several studies have shown that a PI can be part of a successful

two drug therapy regimen (Casado et al., 2020; Di Cristo et al., 2020; Hawkins et al., 2019; Huang

et al., 2019; Vizcarra et al., 2020).

Drug resistance to DRV is incompletely understood. The appearance of DRV-resistant

viruses during therapy failure has occurred in people who had previously experienced virologic

failure with other PIs (de Meyer et al., 2008; Descamps et al., 2009; Pellegrin et al., 2008;

Tremblay, 2008). In these cases the pathway to DRV resistance was likely influenced by the pre-

existing resistance to the previous PI. DRV resistance has been selected in cell culture (Aoki et

al., 2018; de Meyer et al., 2008; Koh et al., 2010). High level resistance was selected starting with

either a mixture of highly resistant variants that were allowed to recombine, or the selection was

started with a single PI-resistant strain; in both cases an I84V resistance pathway in the protease

was observed. However, there are no reports of selection of high level resistance starting with an

unmutated "wild type" virus. Thus there is little information on what a *do novo* pathway for

resistance to DRV would be.

An important concept in HIV-1 PI design is to avoid chemical moieties that extend outside

of the substrate envelope, the shared volume in the protease active site that is occupied by natural

protease substrates (King et al., 2004; Prabu-Jeyabalan et al., 2002). We have previously designed

a series of highly potent PIs based on DRV, UMASS-1 through -10, that still fit within the

substrate envelope (Nalam et al., 2013). The designed inhibitors have modified chemical moieties

that relative to DRV further fill the substrate envelope at the equivalent of the P1' and P2'

positions. All bind tightly to the wild type HIV-1 protease with a Ki of less than 5 pM. These

inhibitors retained robust binding to many multi-drug resistant protease variants and viral strains.

In an effort to define the *de novo* pathway to resistance for DRV and determine the effects

of these chemical changes in the inhibitors, we examined the evolutionary path used to attain high

level resistance to DRV and the UMASS-1 through -10 panel of PIs. Under continuous and

escalating selective pressure, representing between 50-95 passages, the virus evolved to

accumulate multiple mutations. In most cases, selection was carried out until the the final

concentration approximated that achieved by DRV *in vivo*. Relatively minor modifications in

inhibitor structure favored selection of one of two pathways to resistance, anchored by protease

mutation I50V or I84V. In addition, one side chain of the inhibitor increased the residual potency

against highly resistant strains. These results reveal the extremely high genetic barrier to

resistance to fourth-generation PIs at inhibitor concentrations that can be achieved *in vivo*, and

the complex evolutionary pathways required to achieve resistance. In addition, these results reveal

features of inhibitor design that both affect the selected pathway and determine residual potency

against resistant variants.

Results

Panel of highly potent and analogous HIV-1 PIs

HIV-1 PIs were designed by modifications to DRV to increase favorable interactions with the protease within the substrate envelope thereby increasing potency while minimizing evolution of resistance (Nalam et al., 2013). A panel of ten DRV analogues were chosen with enzymatic inhibition constants (K_i) in the single or double-digit picomolar range to wild-type NL4-3 protease and the I84V and I50V/A71V drug resistant variants, respectively (Table 1) (Lockbaum et al., 2019; Mittal et al., 2013). These PIs contained modified P1' positions with (S)-2-methylbutyl or 2-ethyl-n-butyl moieties (R1-1 and R1-2, respectively) in combination with five diverse P2′ phenyl-sulfonamides (R2-1 to R2-5), with the inhibitors named UMASS- 1 through -10 (Table 1). These inhibitors and DRV were also tested in a cell culture-based viral inhibition assay. The EC_{50} values (the effective concentration needed at the time of virus production to reduce infectivity by 50%) for DRV and the UMASS analogues ranged from 2.4 to 9.1 nM, significantly more potent than the second and third generation PIs tested (Fig. S1).

There are several patterns worth noting in the K_i and EC_{50} values. First, all inhibitors had a K_i of less than 5 pM (limit of detection) against the wild type enzyme, precluding a comparison of potency among them without using partially resistant mutant proteases (I84V and I50V/A71V). Second, the single P1'/R1 position change to the larger 2-ethyl-n-butyl moiety (R1-2, UMASS-6) increased potency against the I84V mutant protease relative to DRV while the intermediate sized R1-1 moiety did not (UMASS-1). Third, four of the inhibitors with the R2 moieties R2-2 or R2-5 were significantly more potent (UMASS-2, -5, -7, -10) than with the other R2 moieties. This trend was most pronounced for activity against the I50V/A71V mutant enzyme in both the R1-1 and R1-2 backgrounds, and for the I84V mutant enzyme in the R1-1 background (Fig. S2). The

135   significant potency of the R2-2 and R2-5 containing inhibitors against the I50V/A71V mutant

136   enzyme while maintaining good potency against the I84V enzyme indicates that both the R1 and

137   R2 constituents contribute to potency, and to enhancing potency over DRV. This potency was

138   also seen in inhibition of viral infectivity as the EC_{50} values of the R2-2 and R2-5 containing

139   inhibitors were among the lowest measured (Table 1).

141 **Table 1. Structures, Ki Constants, and EC50 Values of the UMass Series of HIV-1 PIs**

Inhibitor	Structure	Ki (pM)			EC50 (nM)
		WT	I84V	I50V/A71V	WT
DRV		$< 5.0^{\psi}$	25.6 ± 5.6^{a}	74.5 ± 5.6^{a}	7.7
UMASS-1		$< 5.0^{\psi}$	26.1 ± 3.7^{a}	110.3 ± 8.8^{a}	5.9
UMASS-2		< 5.0	< 5.0	15.0 ± 2.7	2.4
UMASS-3		< 5.0	9.9 ± 2.7	79.9 ± 5.9	9.1
UMASS-4		< 5.0	10.5 ± 1.8	32.9 ± 3.0	3.2
UMASS-5		< 5.0	7.0 ± 1.7	7.8 ± 0.9	4.0
UMASS-6		$< 5.0^{\psi}$	12.8 ± 3.1^{a}	100.0 ± 9.9^{a}	5.2
UMASS-7		< 5.0	12.1 ± 4.5	18.2 ± 3.0	3.1
UMASS-8		< 5.0	< 5.0	55.4 ± 4.0	4.2
UMASS-9		< 5.0	7.6 ± 1.6	42.3 ± 2.6	6.4
UMASS-10		< 5.0	14.3 ± 9.3	5.8 ± 1.1	4.1

142 [a]Previously reported in Lockbaum et al (ACS Infect Dis. 2019 Feb 8; 5(2): 316–325.)

Selection for high level resistance during passage in cell culture follows two pathways

   To evaluate the potential of each inhibitor to select for mutations that would confer high-level resistance and to compare these mutations across the inhibitor series, we grew HIV-1 under conditions of escalating inhibitor concentration in cell culture through a lengthy period of selection (50-95 passages). The selection experiments were performed under two separate starting conditions: first when the starting virus was generated from the NL4-3 clone (this clone closely approximates the clade B consensus for the protease amino acid sequence and will be referred to as "wild type"), then again when the starting virus was a mixture of 26 isogenic viruses each with a single mutation associated with drug resistance in the NL4-3 background. Notably, in the latter case only about one-half of the mutations that appeared in the culture during selections were in the mixture of starting mutations, indicating that even in the selections that were seeded with the pool of single resistance mutations there was sufficient evolutionary capacity to explore mutations at positions that started as the wild type sequence. The initial inhibitor concentration started at low nanomolar concentrations and increased by a factor of 1.5 with each subsequent viral passage (the inhibitor concentration was increased only after the virus spread efficiently through the culture). All of the selections starting with wild type virus reached at least 5 µM of inhibitor concentration (approximating the therapeutic concentration reached by DRV in the blood and in the range of a 1,000 fold increase in the starting EC_{50}). For technical reasons, only 5 of the selections starting with the mixture of mutants reached an inhibitor concentration as high as 400 nM and are reported here (Fig. S3).

   Resistance mutations selected in the protease coding domain during the escalating selective pressure of increasing PI concentration were examined at various time-points using a

next generation sequencing (NGS) protocol that included Primer ID with the MiSeq platform

(Zhou et al., 2015). We first examined the sequence of the most abundant variant in each of the

two selection schemes present at the highest inhibitor concentration reached (Fig. 1A). For those

selections that reached 5 µM, 8 to 14 mutations were present in the most abundant variant.

Typically the next two most abundant variants in the population differed by a single amino acid,

and on average the three most abundant variants in the 5 µM cultures accounted for 88% of the

total viral population (Fig. S4). Evolution of resistance followed two pathways, one based on

I50V and one based on I84V. In three cultures, V2wt, V5wt, and V2mut (which reached either 4

or 5 µM final drug concentration; V2wt indicates the virus pool selected with UMASS-2 starting

with wild type virus), these two mutations, I84V and I50V, became linked on the same genome

(Fig. 1A) showing they are not mutually exclusive.

In addition to the sequence of the terminally selected virus, we validated that selection

occurred over the entire course of the selection protocol. First, as can be seen in Fig. 1B, the

number of mutations present at 100 nM drug concentration was approximately half the number

present after selection to greater than 1 µM. In comparing the number of mutations present in

cultures using the I84V versus the I50V pathway, we noted that on average there were more

mutations in the I50V viruses at the intermediate drug concentration compared to the I84V viruses

(100 nM, P=0.03), and this trend continued at the higher drug concentration. Next we compared

the EC_{50} of five virus cultures representing the I84V or the I50V pathways (highlighted in yellow

in Fig. 1), and including one that had both mutations linked, testing the level of resistance to all

of the UMASS-1 through -10 inhibitors after selection to 100 nM drug concentration or to 5 µM.

As can be seen in Fig. 1C, resistance (measured as an increase in the EC_{50}) was apparent after

selection to the 100 nM inhibitor concentration, and in each case the EC_{50} increased an average

of 100-fold after selection to the final inhibitor concentration of 5 μM.

We were also interested in the fitness cost of these mutations. To measure this effect we

compared the infectivity of the virus in 10 culture supernatants (5 selections at each of two levels

of selection), normalizing infectivity on a reporter cell line for a given amount of the virion p24

CA protein in the culture supernatant. This was compared to the unmutated NL4-3 parent (given

a value of 100%). As can be seen in Fig. 1D, selection to 100 nM inhibitor concentration resulted

in a reduction in fitness/relative infectivity by between 100 and 1000-fold. Those cultures with

the biggest decrease in fitness rebounded to around 1% relative infectivity after selection to an

inhibitor level of 5 μM. This suggests that these high levels of resistance are linked to maintaining

a residual level of relative infectivity around 1% (as defined under these culture conditions).

We used another approach to explore the interplay between resistance and fitness; we

examined the effect of resistance on catalytic efficiency of the protease by measuring K_i and the

catalytic efficiency (kcat/Km) for a set of mutant proteases against the entire panel of UMASS

inhibitors and DRV. The average K_i value of each mutant protease (sequences shown in Table

S1) is plotted against the catalytic efficiency (kcat/K_m) for that enzyme in Fig. 1E. As can be seen,

there is a strong relationship between higher K_i values (i.e. resistance) and dramatic reductions in

catalytic efficiency, consistent with the loss of fitness associated with these viral populations.

Fig. 1. Features of Viruses Selected To High Level Resistance Against DRV and the UMASS-1 Through -10 Inhibitor Series. Infected cultures were put under drug selection starting with either wild type virus or a pool of mutant viruses. **A)** Most abundant variants present at final timepoint. Resistance mutations in the viruses selected starting with the wild type virus are in blue, and the selection starting with the pool of mutant viruses in in red. The culture name indicates a virus pool (V), the UMASS PI number (V1) and whether the selection started with wild type or mutants (V1wt). PR sequences that were used to generate purified recombinant protease are indicated (e.g. PR-3wt). The final PI concentration reached and the R1 and R2 moieties are indicated along with the PI. **B)** Number of mutations present in the 3 most abundant variants of each selection at two different PI concentrations. Cultures containing I84V resistance mutation are in black (n=4), I50V-containing cultures are in white (n=7), and cultures with I50V+I84V linked are shown with hatched box (n=3). Unpaired t-test was used to compare the

number of mutations in the 84V vs the 50V cultures. **C)** EC_{50} values for highlighted selections in

panel A reaching 100 nM (square) and 5000 nM (triangle). **D)** Relative infectivity values for the

same selections shown relative to the wild type virus (circle). Relative infectivity was measured

with normalized input amounts of HIV-1 p24 CA protein. **E)** Enzyme inhibition constants (K_i) of

end-point PR variants versus catalytic efficiency ($kcat/K_m$). Open circle represents wildtype NL4-

3. Trendline is for visualization purposes only. * $p <0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Features of the selection process assessed by next generation sequencing (NGS) of

longitudinal samples

Each of the viral cultures started with wild type virus showed an accumulation of protease

mutations with increasing selective pressure. NGS analysis revealed very few fixed variants in

the cultures started with wild type virus until the inhibitor concentration reached approximately

3-5 nM (approaching the EC_{50} value for the wild type virus, Table 1); in contrast the cultures that

were started with the mutant library selected for the outgrowth of a subset of those mutants by 1

nM inhibitor concentration (Fig. 2A). In all cultures, the transition through the EC_{50} concentration

provided significant selective pressure in fixing mutations. Multiple unfixed mutations were

observed in each culture after the drug concentration exceeded the EC_{50} values above 3 nM,

highlighting the high genetic diversity in the culture. Additional mutations became linked on each

viral genome at higher drug concentrations.

Deep sequencing revealed that mutations accumulated in complex patterns. We assessed

the sequence complexity of each culture by calculating the Shannon Entropy to allow comparison

of changes in the diversity in each culture over time and as a function of increasing selective

pressure (Fig. 2A). In the cultures that showed the early appearance of the I84V mutation, this

was associated with a peak in entropy, reflecting high genetic diversity, followed by a decrease

in entropy when the I84V mutation became fixed (Fig. S5A). The introduction of the I50V

mutation was generally not associated with a drop in entropy, rather these populations maintained

high genetic diversity even at higher drug concentrations (Fig. S5B). We interpret these patterns

as indicative of I84V conferring some level of resistance without a dramatic loss in fitness,

allowing a more homogeneous culture (i.e. less entropy). In contrast, I50V may confer a higher

level of resistance but at a greater fitness cost thus supporting greater diversity in the culture either

as compensatory mutations or as other combinations of mutations with lesser resistance but higher

fitness. In this regard, we previously showed I50V significantly reduces the fitness of the virus

relative to the fitness loss of a virus with I84V as single mutations (Henderson et al., 2012).

When we examined the sequence diversity as assessed by Shannon Entropy for all

selections that reached at least 1 µM in inhibitor concentration, we found that cultures starting

with the mixture of resistant viruses averaged a nearly two-fold higher entropy value compared

to the cultures where the selection started with just the virus generated from the NL4-3/wild type

clone (3.0 vs 1.6, P<0.0001 Mann-Whitney test; Fig. 2B, C, D). This was unexpected, as both

sets of selections passed through many genetic bottlenecks. This result is most easily explained if

the rates of recombination were fairly high throughout the culture period so that most sequence

variants were maintained at least at a low level.

Fig. 2. Evaluation of Sequence Diversity In the Viral Population During Increasing Selective Pressure With PI Inhibitors. A) Shannon entropy was calculated using sequence diversity in the protease region. Abundant mutations are shown and fixed mutations are underlined. Selections from wild type are in orange (n=6) and from the mutant pool (n=11) in blue. Two selections from wild type virus are shown in orange (UMASS-9) and red (UMASS-10). **B)** Compiled Shannon entropy values from selections starting with wild type virus that reached concentrations of >1000 nM. **C)** Compiled Shannon entropy values from selections starting with the pool of mutant viruses that reached concentrations of at least 400 nM. **D)** Selections starting

with the pool of 26 mutants showed higher entropy when averaged over all analyzed drug

concentrations (p <0.001 using the unpaired t-test).

The chemical nature of R1, but not R2, determines the resistance pathway

We next examined if the inhibitor structure influenced the resistance pathway chosen. We

found that the R1 group, i.e. the (S)-2-methylbutyl (R1-1) or the 2-ethyl-n-butyl (R1-2), largely

defined the resistance pathway observed; for these inhibitors the R1 group takes the position of

P1' in the protease substrate analog, occupying the S1' subsite. With the UMASS-1 through -5

series (the smaller R1-1 group), the I84V mutation appeared first in 6 of 7 cultures. In contrast,

the UMASS-6 through -10 series with the larger R1-2 group, the I50V mutation appeared first in

8 of 9 cultures (P=0.009, Fisher's Exact Test; in this analysis we included two cultures that did

not reach at least 400 nM inhibitor concentration but did fix an initial set of mutations to increase

our sample size, and we did not include two cultures where both I84V and I50V were initially

fixed together [data not shown]). We considered the possibility that the starting mixture of mutant

viruses in the first selection might skew the pathway selected, especially since the mutant pool

included I84V but not I50V. However, in only 1 of the 8 cultures with sufficient data from both

selections was there a switch from the I84V pathway to the I50V pathway between the first and

second selections (cultures of UMASS-6 with an R1-2 group). Thus, we conclude that the P1'

side chain of the inhibitor is a strong determinant of the resistance pathway selected. It is notable

that one inhibitor could select for different pathways in two separate selections (also seen with

DRV, see below) even when both major mutations are maintained in the viral population (Fig.

S6). This suggests that either pathway can provide some level of resistance to most if not all of

these inhibitors, and that the chance addition of the initial compensatory mutations may determine

which pathway becomes the major resistant population.

To examine the potential for linked mutations and to infer the order in which mutations

accumulated in the protease gene to confer high level resistance, the abundance data from multiple

selections that ended in one or the other pathway were pooled and compared. In this analysis,

shown in Fig. 3, summary data for the selections resulting in the I84V pathway point up, with

I84V reaching 100% penetrance by definition. Similarly, the summary data for those selections

that fixed I50V are shown pointing down, with I50V reaching 100% penetrance. Several

mutations are uniquely linked or at least strongly favored in each pathway, with I84V being linked

to V32I, and I50V being linked to I47V, F53L, and I13V. A number of mutations appear in both

pathways, although not with equal frequency: L10F, L33F, M46I, I54L, A71V, and V82I. Finally,

other mutations appear less frequently making it difficult to assign them to one of these categories.

These results show that while some mutations are largely linked to one pathway, other mutations

are often shared between the two pathways. Also, the variation in frequency of appearance of

shared mutations in the two pathways suggests different levels of impact on resistance and/or

fitness in the I84V vs the I50V background for these mutations (e.g. L10F, M46I and A71V). In

particular, the larger impact of I50V on fitness compared to I84V mutations (Henderson et al.,

2012) is consistent with the earlier appearance and greater levels of fixation of the shared

compensatory mutations L10F, L33F, M46I and A71V in the cultures that followed the I50V

pathway. We next considered the possibility that the R2 constituent would provide additional

selective pressure in the form of additional resistance mutations; however, similar analyses did

not reveal a significant pattern of sequence selection based on the potency associated with the R2

group (data not shown).

Fig. 3. Patterns of Accumulation of Amino Acid Substitutions Associated with Resistance During Selection. Abundance data from selections for each indicated amino acid substitution were pooled and examined sequentially at different levels of drug concentration. Selections that reached the maximum concentration of at least 3000 nM were assessed longitudinally to allow for all timepoints to be averaged equally. Mutations from selections resulting in the I84V pathway (V1wt, V4wt, V6mut, and DRV3mut) point up, with I84V reaching 100% penetrance by definition. Similarly, those selections that fixed I50V only (V3wt, V6-10wt) are shown pointing downward, with I50V reaching 100% penetrance.

The chemical nature of R1 and R2 determine residual potency among the resistant variants

We considered several variables in examining the nature of the interaction between inhibitors and the resistant proteases: the extent to which the inhibitor structure (either R1 or R2) affected residual potency; and the extent to which the pathway (I84V or I50V) conferred the

333 greatest resistance. As shown in Fig. 4A, the inhibitors with the smaller R1-1 group showed a

334 trend to be less potent than the inhibitors with the larger R1-2 group when tested against all of the

335 resistant proteases. Inhibitors with the R2-2 group showed a trend toward greater residual potency

336 against the mutant enzymes compared to the other inhibitors (Fig. 4B). In looking at the individual

337 pathways, the highly resistant enzymes with the I84V mutation remained more sensitive to the

338 entire group of inhibitors than the enzymes with the I50V mutation (Fig. 4C).

339   We next linked the two pathways to the specific structural features of the inhibitors. The

340 resistant proteases with I50V had similar K_i values to both the R1-1 and R1-2 inhibitors, while

341 the proteases with I84V were more sensitive (lower K_i) to the larger R1-2 inhibitors (Fig. 4D).

342 Similarly, the increased potency of the R2-2 inhibitors over the rest of the inhibitors was seen

343 against the enzymes carrying I84V but not those with I50V (Fig. 4E). These results are consistent

344 with the smaller R1-1 inhibitors selecting for the I84V pathway and the larger R1-2 inhibitors

345 selecting for the I50V pathway, and with the R2-2 inhibitors adding additional potency that is

346 retained even after selection for resistance, most apparent with the I84V pathway.

Fig. 4. Analysis of Ki Values For Mutant Enzymes. Ki values were determined against all UMASS inhibitors (Table 1). Brackets above the bars represent significant p-values between the two groups using the unpaired t-test. Data were pooled in different ways for the following analyses: **A)** The Ki values against the inhibitors with the larger R1-2 moiety (n=34) were more potent against resistant proteases compared to the inhibitors with the smaller R1-1 moiety (n=35) **B)** Ki values for the inhibitors with the R2-2 group (n=10) showed a trend toward being more potent against the highly mutated proteases compared to the other R2 groups (n=40). **C)** Ki values for enzymes with the I50V mutation (n=33) showed greater resistance to the inhibitors compared to enzymes with the I84V mutation (n=22). **D)** The R1-2 moiety provided increased potency to enzymes with the I84V mutation (n=20) but not the I50V mutation (n=20). **E)** The R2-2 moiety was more potent against the enzymes with I84V mutation (n=10) compared to the enzymes with the I50V mutation (n=10). The unpaired t-test was used to assess differences in K_i values.

Residual potency dependence of inhibitor structure could also be seen in the EC_{50} values

of the resistant virus pools. When the EC_{50} values against the virus pools using the I84V or the

I50V pathway were compared for all of the inhibitors, the inhibitors with the larger R1-2 group

were more potent, retaining on average lower EC_{50} values compared to the EC_{50} values for the

inhibitors with the smaller R1-1 group (Fig. 5A). Inhibitors with the R2-2 group showed a trend

toward greater potency compared to the other R2 groups (Fig. 5B), consistent with what was

observed in enzymatic assays. When we considered the effects based on the selection pathway

we observed that viruses in the I50V pathway had a higher level of resistance to these inhibitors

than the viruses in the I84V pathway (Fig. 5C). The higher level of resistance for the viruses using

the I50V pathway was due to the fact that these viruses were similarly resistant to the inhibitors

with either the R1-1 or the R1-2 groups; in contrast, the viruses using the I84V pathway conferred

a greater level of resistance to the inhibitors with the smaller R1-1 group while the inhibitors with

the larger R1-2 group retained a higher level of potency (Fig. 5D). Finally, there was a trend for

viruses in either pathway to be less resistant to the inhibitors with the R2-2 group (Fig. 5E).

Fig. 5. Analysis of EC$_{50}$ Values For Mutant Virus Cultures. EC$_{50}$ values were determined for a subset of the selected virus cultures against a panel of inhibitors (n=50). For **(A-E),** the EC$_{50}$ data were pooled using the same methods as in Fig. 4. The highest level of resistance recorded was 100 μM. The Mann-Whitney rank-sum test was used to assess differences in EC$_{50}$ values.

DRV favors the I84V pathway

DRV has a butyl group at the R1 position, smaller than the R1-1 methylbutyl in the UMASS-1 through -5 series. We carried out five selections with DRV where the final inhibitor concentration reached greater than 1 μM. One DRV selection was carried out in parallel with each of the two different selections with the UMASS inhibitors (Fig. 1A); both of these selections resulted in the appearance of the I84V mutation as defining the resistance pathway. Three additional selections were done in parallel with DRV and starting with the mixture of the 26

isogenic mutants; all three selections reached the level of 5 µM as the highest drug concentration.

Two of these selections used the I84V pathway to resistance, while one of the selections used the

I50V pathway to resistance (data not shown). Thus four of five independent selection with DRV

favored the resistance pathway I84V, associated with a smaller R1 constituent. These results add

further evidence for the size of the R1 moiety strongly influencing the resistance pathway.

Discussion/Structural Interpretation

DRV is a notable PI both for its tight binding to the HIV-1 protease and for its ability,

with boosting doses of ritonavir, to reach micromolar levels of drug concentration in the blood.

These features are important as resistance to PIs typically require multiple mutations affecting

both resistance and the rescue of enzyme activity/fitness lost with primary resistance mutations

(Arribas et al., 2012; Clemente et al., 2004; Henes et al., 2019; Mahalingam et al., 2002;

Muzammil et al., 2003; Ragland et al., 2014; Wensing et al., 2010). While we were successful in

selecting for resistance to DRV in cell culture, the spectrum of mutations observed largely overlap

those seen in previous studies based on testing individual mutations for their effect on DRV

sensitivity (de Meyer et al., 2008; King et al., 2004; Rhee et al., 2003). In this work, we have

explored chemical modifications to the DRV scaffold. We found that modification of the P1'/R1

chemical moiety to be a larger aliphatic group favored the use of the I50V resistance pathway

compared to the smaller DRV moiety or an intermediate-sized moiety, forcing the virus to use

the more deleterious I50V mutation compared to the I84V mutation used with the smaller P1'

moieties. Conversely, we identified a subset of the P2'/R2 groups that contributed to residual

potency even in the resistant proteases but did not select for additional resistance mutations. Thus

chemical modifications at both of these inhibitor positions yielded improvements over DRV.

P1'/R1 Modification. DRV has a relatively small butyl group at this position that most

often selected for an I84V mutation. When we extended this moiety by a single methyl group to

(S)-2-methylbutyl we obtained a similar resistance selection profile. However, by extending it

with an additional methyl group to (S)-2-methylbutyl or 2-ethyl-n-butyl created an inhibitor that

switched the preferred selection to the I50V pathway. In Fig. 6A-C are representations of

structures of a subset of the inhibitors with the different P1'/R1 groups with the wild type protease,

the I84V mutant protease, and the I50V mutant protease. As we have previously discussed

(Lockbaum et al., 2019), in these structures it is apparent that the P1'/R1-1 group is directed at

the protease I84 sidechain, consistent with the shortening of this sidechain as a major resistance

mechanism. Conversely, the longer P1'/R1-2 group is oriented into the space between I84 and

I50, thus drawing in I50V as the pathway to resistance. As noted in the longitudinal analysis of

the selection pathway, the I50V resistance pathway presents additional challenges to the virus in

the more rapid accumulation of compensatory mutations (Fig. 3). Under the circumstances of

rapidly declining viral load during the initiation of therapy this need for additional mutations

would represent an enhanced genetic barrier.

PR2'/R2 Modification. The inhibitors with two of the R2 modifications (R2-2 and R2-5)

showed greater potency against the mildly resistant proteases (Fig. S2) and against the wild type

virus (Table 1). This effect was also evident as a trend for inhibitors with the R2-2 group against

the highly resistant proteases (Fig. 4E) and the highly resistant viruses (Fig. 5E). When we

examined the interactions between protease and inhibitor there is a clear structural explanation

(Fig. 6D-F). The less potent inhibitors interact with the protease side chains and backbone at D29

and D30 through a network of water molecules. In contrast, with the more potent R2-2 group the

water molecules are replaced with direct interactions between inhibitor and protease in the S2'

subsite (Fig. 6E). This direct interaction more closely mimics the interaction made by the

glutamine P2' side chain in the optimized protease cleavage site at the SP1/NC boundary (Fig.

6F). Thus the ability to replace the water-mediated interactions with a more rigid framework that

is interacting with the protease backbone deep in the S2' pocket appears to be a unique feature of

the inhibitors with R2-2. Improved potency in another series of PIs was also reported for this R2-

2 P2' moiety (Zhu et al., 2020).

Fig. 6. Structural Interpretation Of Protease Inhibitor Resistance and Of Residual Inhibitor

Potency. (A-C) Hydrophobic packing in the S1' subsite in complex with R1 structural groups;

darunavir is shown in cyan, UMASS-1 in magenta, and UMASS-6 in salmon.**A)** The two forms

of R1 and DRV are shown packing against wild type protease at I84 and I50 (DRV, UMASS-1,

UMASS-6 in wild type protease [PDB: 6DGX (cyan), 6DGY (magenta), 6DGZ (salmon)]. **B)**

Those same inhibitors packing against the I84V mutant [PDB: 6DH0 (cyan), 6DH1 (magenta),

6DH2 (salmon)]. **C)** Those same inhibitors packing against the I50V protease variant [PDB: ,

6DH6 (salmon), 6DH7 (magneta), 6DH8 (salmon)]. **(D-F)** Binding interactions with Asp29 and

Asp30 of the protease S2' subsite in complex with R2 structural groups. Inhibitor/enzyme

interactions are shown with black dashed lines representing hydrogen bonds (<3.0 Å). **D)**

UMASS-1, UMASS-3, UMASS-4, and UMASS-5 in WT protease [PDB: 3O99 (salmon), 3O9B

(purple), 3O9C (orange), 3O9D (slate blue)]. Water molecules are shown as red dots. **E)** UMASS-

2 in WT protease (PDB: 3O9A). **F)** SP1/NC S2' subsite representation of peptide substrate

complexed with an inactive form of wild type protease (PDB: 1KJ7) showing an interaction

between the P2' glutamine and the protein backbone at Asp29 and Asp30.

Protease Mutation Networks In the Resistant Pathways. In most of the selections

either the I84V or the I50V mutation was largely fixed by the time the inhibitor concentration

reached 10 nM in the culture (Fig. 2, Fig. S6). The viral cultures went through cyclical changes

in population diversity as subsequent mutations were added (Fig. 2A). Because of the large

number of selections it was possible to pool data and see trends in the way mutations were added

in these two pathways. Resistance mutations that were detected in multiple selections summed to

at least 16 positions (Fig. 1A). While these mutations are well known, their relationships to each

other, and as a function of selective pressure, are less well understood. The data in Fig. 3 show

strong linkage between I84V and V32I, while I50V shows linkage with I47V. Other mutations

appeared often in both pathways but could be found earlier and/or more fequently in one pathway:

in the I84V pathway I54L and V82I were favored; in the I50V pathway L10F, I13V, L33F, M46I,

L63P, and A71V were favored. While the role of some of these changes may be in restoring

fitness (Wensing et al., 2010), with a bigger fitness loss due to I50V (Henderson et al., 2012), we

have demonstrate in previous studies that the mutations outside the active site have play a key

role in conferring high levels of resistance (Henes et al., 2019; Ragland et al., 2017; Matthew et

al., 2021; Leidner et al., 2021).

In summary, using a number of lengthy selections for resistance to a series of structurally

related PIs we have been able to identify the size of the hydrophobic side chain at the P1' position

of the inhibitor as the major determinant for selection of the I84V pathway with a smaller P1' side

chain, including for DRV, versus a larger side chain driving selection of the I50V pathway. Also,

one of the P2' groups tested gave a higher level of potency even in the face of high level resistance

by creating a direct interaction with the protease backbone. These changes increase the genetic

barrier for the evolution of resistance and emphasize the potential utility of what a fifth generation

HIV-1 PI could add to regimens with reduced drug complexity.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and viruses

CEMx174 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium with 10% fetal calf serum and penicillin-

streptomycin. TZM-bl and 293T cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle-H medium

supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and penicillin-streptomycin. A wild-type virus stock

NL4-3 was prepared by transfection of the pNL4-3 plasmid (purified using the Qiagen Plasmid

Maxikit) into HeLa cells. For the mixture of isogenic mutant viruses the following NL4-3 variants

were created, each with a single mutation in the protease with this mixture forming the virus pool

for the initiation of selection with mutant viruses: L10I, K20R, K20I, L24I, D30N, V32I, M36I,

M46I, M46L, I47V, G48V, F53L, I54V, I62V, L63P, A71T, A71V, G73S, V77I, V82A, V82T,

I84V, N88D, N88S, L90M, I93L (Henderson et al., 2012).

Selections

An aliquot of 3×10^6 CEMx174 cells was incubated at 37°C for 2 to 3 h with 250 µl of a virus

stock generated from the HIV-1 infectious molecular clone pNL4-3. The culture volume was then

brought to 10 ml with RPMI medium. Each flask received one of the following inhibitors at

escalating concentrations: UMass1, UMass2, UMass3, UMass4, UMass5, UMass6, UMass7,

UMass8, UMass9, UMass10, DRV and no drug (ND). After 48 h and every 48 h after, the cells

were pelleted by centrifugation and 10ml of fresh medium and inhibitors were added. When the

culture had undergone extensive cytopathic effect (CPE) indicative of viral replication, the

supernatant medium and the cells were harvested separately and stored at -80°C. The virus-

containing supernatant was used to start the next round of infection, and after several rounds at

the initial concentration, the inhibitor concentration was increased 1.5-fold at each subsequent

round of virus passage. The level of resistance (50% inhibitory concentration [EC50]) of the

single inhibitor-selected virus pools was determined by a TZM infection assay in which the PI is

added to productively infected cells and the titers of supernatant virus made in the presence of the

inhibitor are determined.

TZM Infection Assay

PI dilutions were prepared by taking 10 µM stocked and performing a 5-fold serial dilution using

RPMI media (final drug concentration is 100 µM). One dilution of drug was added to each well

of a 24 well plate and repeated so each virus would have a full set of dilutions. Viruses for the

assay were made by seeding 3 x 10^6 CEM cells in a 24 well plate and incubating with 250 µl of

virus at 37°C for 2 to 3 h before bringing the culture to 10ml with RPMI media. After 48 h the

medium was changed and repeated every 48 h after until the culture had undergone CPE. Infected

CEM cells were collected and diluted so that 1ml of cells could be plated in each well containing

a unique drug dilution. Then 24 hours later the virus supernatant was collected from each well

followed by filtering through a 0.45 µM filter then placed in -80°C. Viruses were thawed and

added to 96 well plates in triplicate. TZM-bl cells were collected and diluted to a concentration

of $2x10^5$ cells/ml, 100 µl were added on top of the pre-plated viruses. Plates were kept in 37°C,

5% CO_2 in an incubator for 48 hours. After 48 hours, the cells in the plates were lysed by removing

the medium, washing two times with 100 µl PBS and then lysed with 1x lysis buffer (made from

5x Promega Firefly Lysis Buffer). Plates were frozen for at least 24 hours and then thawed for 2

hours before analyzing with Promega Firefly Luciferase Kit on a luminometer.

DNA preparation and amplification of the protease-coding region

Total cellular DNA was isolated from infected cell pellets by using the QIAamp blood kit

(Qiagen). The protease-coding domain of viral DNA was amplified by nested PCR. The PCR

conditions are available on request. PCR products were purified by using QIAquick PCR

purification kit (Qiagen) and directly sequenced or cloned into the pT7Blue vector (Novagen) and

sequenced.

Primer-ID Deep Sequencing of viral RNA

We used the PID protocol to prepare MiSeq PID libraries with multiplexed primers. Viral RNA

was extracted from plasma samples using the QIAamp viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden,

Germany). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized using a cDNA primer mixture

targeting protease (PR) with a block of random nucleotides in each cDNA primer serving as the

PID, and SuperScript III RT (ThermoFisher). After 2 rounds of bead purification of the cDNA,

we amplified the cDNA using a mixture of a forward primer that targeted the upstream coding

region, followed by a second round of PCR to incorporate the Illumina adaptor sequences. Gel-

purified libraries were pooled and sequenced using the MiSeq 300 base paired-end sequencing

protocol (Illumina). The sequencing covered the HIV-1 PR region (HXB2 2648–2914, 3001–

3257).

We used the Illumina bcl2fastq pipeline for the initial processing and constructed template

consensus sequences (TCSs) with TCS pipeline version 1.33

(https://github.com/SwanstromLab/PID). We then aligned TCSs to an HXB2 reference to remove

sequences not at the targeted region or that had large deletions.

Protease expression and purification

The highly mutated, resistant, protease variant genes were purchased on a pET11a plasmid with

codon optimization for protein expression in *E. coli* (Genewiz). A Q7K mutation was included to

prevent autoproteolysis (Rose et al., 1993). The expression, isolation, and purification of WT and

mutant HIV-1 proteases used for enzymatic assays were carried out as previously described

(Henes et al., 2019; King et al., 2002; Ozen et al., 2014). Briefly, the gene encoding the desired

HIV-1 protease was subcloned into the heat-inducible pXC35 expression vector (ATCC) and

transformed into *E. coli* TAP-106 cells. Cells grown in 6 L of Terrific Broth were lysed with a

cell disruptor twice, and the protein was purified from inclusion bodies (Hui et al., 1993).

Inclusion bodies, isolated as a pellet after centrifugation, were dissolved in 50% acetic acid

followed by another round of centrifugation at 19,000 rpm for 30 minutes to remove insoluble

impurities. Size exclusion chromatography was carried out on a 2.1-L Sephadex G-75 Superfine

(Sigma Chemical) column equilibrated with 50% acetic acid to separate high molecular weight

proteins from the desired protease. Pure fractions of HIV-1 protease were refolded using a 10-

fold dilution of refolding buffer (0.05 M sodium acetate at pH 5.5, 5% ethylene glycol, 10%

glycerol, and 5 µM DTT). Folded protein was concentrated to 0.5–3 mg/ml and stored. The stored

protease was used in K_M and K_i assays.

Enzymatic assays

K_m Assay. K_m values were determined as previously described (Henes et al., 2019; Lockbaum et

al., 2019; Matayoshi et al., 1990; Windsor and Raines, 2015). Briefly, a 10-amino acid substrate

containing the natural MA/CA cleavage site with an EDANS/DABCYL FRET pair was dissolved

in 8% DMSO at 40 nM and 6% DMSO at 30 nM. The 30 nM substrate was 4/5 serially diluted

from 30 nM to 6 nM. HIV-1 protease was diluted to 120 nM and, and 5 µl were added to the 96-

well plate to obtain a final concentration of 10 nM. Fluorescence was observed using a

PerkinElmer Envision plate reader with an excitation at 340 nm and emission at 492 nm, and

monitored for 200 counts. A FRET inner filter effect correction was applied as previously

described (Liu et al., 1999). Data corrected for the inner filter effect was analyzed with Prism7.

K_i Assay. Enzyme inhibition constants (K_i values) were determined as previously described

(Henes et al., 2019; Lockbaum et al., 2019; Matayoshi et al., 1990; Windsor and Raines, 2015).

Briefly, in a 96-well plate, inhibitors were serially diluted down from 2000-10,000 nM depending

on protease resistance. All samples were incubated with 5 nM protein for 1 hour. A 10-amino

acid substrate containing an optimized protease cleavage site (Windsor and Raines, 2015),

purchased from Bachem, with an EDANS/DABCYL FRET pair was dissolved in 4% DMSO at

120 µM. Using a PerkinElmer Envision plate reader, 5 µl of the 120 µM substrate were added to

the 96-well plate to a final concentration of 10 µM. Fluorescence was observed with an excitation

at 340 nm and emission at 492 nm and monitored for 200 counts. Data was analyzed with Prism7.

Data will be submitted to the NIH short read archive.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from NIGMS (P01-GM109767, R01-GM135919) and NIAID (R01-AI140970) of the NIH. This research received infrastructure support from the UNC CFAR (P30-AI050410), and the UNC Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center (P30-CA016086). We also acknowledge receipt of reagents from the NIH HIV Reagent Program: TZM-bl cells (J. Kappes and X. Wu contributors) and CEMx174 cells (P. Cresswell contributor). The support of the UNC High Throughput Sequencing Facility is also acknowledged.

Author Contributions

ES, S-KL, SZ, GJL, MH, EAN, AS, and KK carried out the experiments. ES, GJL, AA, NKY, CAS, and RS analyzed the data. ES, NKY, CAS, and RS wrote the manuscript.

Declaration of Interests

UNC Chapel Hill is pursuing IP protection of Primer ID technology, for which RS in included as a co-inventor and has received nominal royalties. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

References

Ali, A., Bandaranayake, R.M., Cai, Y., King, N.M., Kolli, M., Mittal, S., Murzycki, J.F., Nalam,

M.N., Nalivaika, E.A., Ozen, A., *et al.* (2010). Molecular Basis for Drug Resistance in HIV-1

Protease. Viruses *2*, 2509-2535.

Antinori, A., Clarke, A., Svedhem-Johansson, V., Arribas, J.R., Arenas-Pinto, A., Fehr, J.,

Gerstoft, J., Horban, A., Clotet, B., Ripamonti, D., *et al.* (2015). Week 48 efficacy and central

nervous system analysis of darunavir/ritonavir monotherapy versus darunavir/ritonavir with two

nucleoside analogues. AIDS *29*, 1811-1820.

Aoki, M., Das, D., Hayashi, H., Aoki-Ogata, H., Takamatsu, Y., Ghosh, A.K., and Mitsuya, H.

(2018). Mechanism of Darunavir (DRV)'s High Genetic Barrier to HIV-1 Resistance: A Key V32I

Substitution in Protease Rarely Occurs, but Once It Occurs, It Predisposes HIV-1 To Develop

DRV Resistance. mBio *9 e02425-17*.

Arribas, J.R., Clumeck, N., Nelson, M., Hill, A., van Delft, Y., and Moecklinghoff, C. (2012).

The MONET trial: week 144 analysis of the efficacy of darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r) monotherapy

versus DRV/r plus two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, for patients with viral load <

50 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL at baseline. HIV Med *13*, 398-405.

Casado, J.L., Vizcarra, P., Blanco, J.L., Montejano, R., Negredo, E., Espinosa, N., Montero, M.,

Mena, A., Palacios, R., Lopez, J.C., *et al.* (2020). Maintenance of virologic suppression and

improvement in comorbidities after simplification to raltegravir plus boosted darunavir among

treatment-experienced HIV-infected patients. Int J STD AIDS *31*, 467-473.

Clemente, J.C., Moose, R.E., Hemrajani, R., Whitford, L.R., Govindasamy, L., Reutzel, R.,

McKenna, R., Agbandje-McKenna, M., Goodenow, M.M., and Dunn, B.M. (2004). Comparing

the accumulation of active- and nonactive-site mutations in the HIV-1 protease. Biochemistry *43*,
12141-12151.

de Meyer, S., Vangeneugden, T., van Baelen, B., de Paepe, E., van Marck, H., Picchio, G.,
Lefebvre, E., and de Bethune, M.P. (2008). Resistance profile of darunavir: combined 24-week
results from the POWER trials. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses *24*, 379-388.

Descamps, D., Lambert-Niclot, S., Marcelin, A.G., Peytavin, G., Roquebert, B., Katlama, C.,
Yeni, P., Felices, M., Calvez, V., and Brun-Vezinet, F. (2009). Mutations associated with
virological response to darunavir/ritonavir in HIV-1-infected PI-experienced patients. J
Antimicrob Chemother *63*, 585-592.

Di Cristo, V., Adorni, F., Maserati, R., Annovazzi Lodi, M., Bruno, G., Maggi, P., Volpe, A.,
Vitiello, P., Abeli, C., Bonora, S*., et al.* (2020). 96-week results of a dual therapy with
darunavir/ritonavir plus rilpivirine once a day vs triple therapy in patients with suppressed
viraemia: virological success and non-HIV related morbidity evaluation. HIV Res Clin Pract *21*,
34-43.

Gulick, R.M., Mellors, J.W., Havlir, D., Eron, J.J., Gonzalez, C., McMahon, D., Richman, D.D.,
Valentine, F.T., Jonas, L., Meibohm, A*., et al.* (1997). Treatment with indinavir, zidovudine, and
lamivudine in adults with human immunodeficiency virus infection and prior antiretroviral
therapy. N Engl J Med *337*, 734-739.

Hawkins, K.L., Montague, B.T., Rowan, S.E., Beum, R., McLees, M.P., Johnson, S., and
Gardner, E.M. (2019). Boosted darunavir and dolutegravir dual therapy among a cohort of highly
treatment-experienced individuals. Antivir Ther *24*, 513-519.

Henderson, G.J., Lee, S.K., Irlbeck, D.M., Harris, J., Kline, M., Pollom, E., Parkin, N., and

Swanstrom, R. (2012). Interplay between single resistance-associated mutations in the HIV-1

protease and viral infectivity, protease activity, and inhibitor sensitivity. Antimicrob Agents

Chemother *56*, 623-633.

Henes, M., Lockbaum, G.J., Kosovrasti, K., Leidner, F., Nachum, G.S., Nalivaika, E.A., Lee,

S.K., Spielvogel, E., Zhou, S., Swanstrom, R.*, et al.* (2019). Picomolar to Micromolar:

Elucidating the Role of Distal Mutations in HIV-1 Protease in Conferring Drug Resistance. ACS

Chem Biol *14*, 2441-2452.

Huang, Y., Huang, X., Chen, H., Wu, H., and Chen, Y. (2019). Efficacy and Safety of Raltegravir-

Based Dual Therapy in AIDS Patients: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Front

Pharmacol *10*, 1225.

Hui, J.O., Tomasselli, A.G., Reardon, I.M., Lull, J.M., Brunner, D.P., Tomich, C.S., and

Heinrikson, R.L. (1993). Large scale purification and refolding of HIV-1 protease from

Escherichia coli inclusion bodies. J Protein Chem *12*, 323-327.

Katoh, I., Yasunaga, T., Ikawa, Y., and Yoshinaka, Y. (1987). Inhibition of retroviral protease

activity by an aspartyl proteinase inhibitor. Nature *329*, 654-656.

King, N.M., Melnick, L., Prabu-Jeyabalan, M., Nalivaika, E.A., Yang, S.S., Gao, Y., Nie, X.,

Zepp, C., Heefner, D.L., and Schiffer, C.A. (2002). Lack of synergy for inhibitors targeting a

multi-drug-resistant HIV-1 protease. Protein Sci *11*, 418-429.

King, N.M., Prabu-Jeyabalan, M., Nalivaika, E.A., and Schiffer, C.A. (2004). Combating

susceptibility to drug resistance: lessons from HIV-1 protease. Chem Biol *11*, 1333–1338.

Koh, Y., Amano, M., Towata, T., Danish, M., Leshchenko-Yashchuk, S., Das, D., Nakayama,

M., Tojo, Y., Ghosh, A.K., and Mitsuya, H. (2010). In vitro selection of highly darunavir-resistant

and replication-competent HIV-1 variants by using a mixture of clinical HIV-1 isolates resistant

to multiple conventional PIs. J Virol *84*, 11961-11969.

Kurt Yilmaz, N., Izadkhashti, A., Price, R.W., Mallon, P.W., De Meulder, M., Timmerman, P.,

and Gisslen, M. (2009). Darunavir concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid and blood in HIV-1-

infected individuals. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses *25*, 457-461.

Leidner, F., Kurt Yilmaz, N., & Schiffer, C. A. (2021). Deciphering Complex Mechanisms of

Resistance and Loss of Potency through Coupled Molecular Dynamics and Machine Learning. J

Chem Theory Comput *17*, 2054–2064.

Liu, Y., Kati, W., Chen, C.M., Tripathi, R., Molla, A., and Kohlbrenner, W. (1999). Use of a

fluorescence plate reader for measuring kinetic parameters with inner filter effect correction. Anal

Biochem *267*, 331-335.

Lockbaum, G.J., Leidner, F., Rusere, L.N., Henes, M., Kosovrasti, K., Nachum, G.S., Nalivaika,

E.A., Ali, A., Kurt Yilmaz, N., and Schiffer, C.A. (2019). Structural Adaptation of Darunavir

Analogues against Primary Mutations in HIV-1 Protease. ACS Infect Dis *5*, 316-325.

Mahalingam, B., Boross, P., Wang, Y.F., Louis, J.M., Fischer, C.C., Tozser, J., Harrison, R.W.,

and Weber, I.T. (2002). Combining mutations in HIV-1 protease to understand mechanisms of

resistance. Proteins *48*, 107-116.

Matayoshi, E.D., Wang, G.T., Krafft, G.A., and Erickson, J. (1990). Novel fluorogenic substrates

for assaying retroviral proteases by resonance energy transfer. Science *247*, 954-958.

Matthew, A. N., Leidner, F., Lockbaum, G. J., Henes, M., Zephyr, J., Hou, S., Rao, D. N., Timm,

J., Rusere, L. N., Ragland, D. A., Paulsen, J. L., Prachanronarong, K., Soumana, D. I., Nalivaika,

E. A., Kurt Yilmaz, N., Ali, A., & Schiffer, C. A. (2021). Drug Design Strategies to Avoid

Resistance in Direct-Acting Antivirals and Beyond. Chemical reviews *121*, 3238–3270.

Mittal, S., Bandaranayake, R.M., King, N.M., Prabu-Jeyabalan, M., Nalam, M.N., Nalivaika,

E.A., Kurt Yilmaz, N., and Schiffer, C.A. (2013). Structural and thermodynamic basis of

amprenavir/darunavir and atazanavir resistance in HIV-1 protease with mutations at residue 50. J

Virol *87*, 4176-4184.

Muzammil, S., Ross, P., and Freire, E. (2003). A major role for a set of non-active site mutations

in the development of HIV-1 protease drug resistance. Biochemistry *42*, 631-638.

Nalam, M.N., Ali, A., Reddy, G.S., Cao, H., Anjum, S.G., Altman, M.D., Kurt Yilmaz, N., Tidor,

B., Rana, T.M., and Schiffer, C.A. (2013). Substrate envelope-designed potent HIV-1 PIs to avoid

drug resistance. Chem Biol *20*, 1116–1124.

Ozen, A., Lin, K.H., Kurt Yilmaz, N., and Schiffer, C.A. (2014). Structural basis and distal effects

of Gag substrate coevolution in drug resistance to HIV-1 protease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *111*,

15993-15998.

Paton, N.I., Stohr, W., Arenas-Pinto, A., Fisher, M., Williams, I., Johnson, M., Orkin, C., Chen,

F., Lee, V., Winston, A.*, et al.* (2015). PI monotherapy for long-term management of HIV

infection: a randomised, controlled, open-label, non-inferiority trial. Lancet HIV *2*, e417-426.

Pellegrin, I., Wittkop, L., Joubert, L.M., Neau, D., Bollens, D., Bonarek, M., Girard, P.M., Fleury,

H., Winters, B., Saux, M.C.*, et al.* (2008). Virological response to darunavir/ritonavir-based

regimens in antiretroviral-experienced patients (PREDIZISTA study). Antivir Ther *13*, 271-279.

Pettit, S.C., Henderson, G.J., Schiffer, C.A., and Swanstrom, R. (2002). Replacement of the P1

amino acid of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 Gag processing sites can inhibit or enhance

the rate of cleavage by the viral protease. J Virol *76*, 10226-10233.

Prabu-Jeyabalan, M., Nalivaika, E.A., and Schiffer, C.A. (2002). Substrate shape determines

specificity of recognition for HIV-1 protease: analysis of crystal structures of six substrate

complexes. Structure *10*, 369-381.

Ragland, D.A., Nalivaika, E.A., Nalam, M.N., Prachanronarong, K.L., Cao, H., Bandaranayake,

R.M., Cai, Y., Kurt Yilmaz, N., and Schiffer, C.A. (2014). Drug resistance conferred by mutations

outside the active site through alterations in the dynamic and structural ensemble of HIV-1

protease. J Am Chem Soc *136*, 11956-11963.

Ragland, D. A., Whitfield, T. W., Lee, S. K., Swanstrom, R., Zeldovich, K. B., Kurt Yilmaz, N.,

& Schiffer, C. A. (2017). Elucidating the Interdependence of Drug Resistance from Combinations

of Mutations. J Chem Theory Comput., *13*, 5671–5682.

Rhee, S.Y., Gonzales, M.J., Kantor, R., Betts, B.J., Ravela, J., and Shafer, R.W. (2003). Human

immunodeficiency virus reverse transcriptase and protease sequence database. Nucleic Acids Res

31, 298-303.

Richards, A.D., Roberts, R., Dunn, B.M., Graves, M.C., and Kay, J. (1989). Effective blocking

of HIV-1 proteinase activity by characteristic inhibitors of aspartic proteinases. FEBS Lett *247*,

113-117.

Rose, J.R., Salto, R., and Craik, C.S. (1993). Regulation of autoproteolysis of the HIV-1 and HIV-

2 proteases with engineered amino acid substitutions. J Biol Chem *268*, 11939-11945.

Seelmeier, S., Schmidt, H., Turk, V., and von der Helm, K. (1988). Human immunodeficiency

virus has an aspartic-type protease that can be inhibited by pepstatin A. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S

A *85*, 6612-6616.

Tremblay, C.L. (2008). Combating HIV resistance - focus on darunavir. Ther Clin Risk Manag

4, 759-766.

Valantin, M.A., Lambert-Niclot, S., Flandre, P., Morand-Joubert, L., Cabie, A., Meynard, J.L.,

Ponscarme, D., Ajana, F., Slama, L., Curjol, A.*, et al.* (2012). Long-term efficacy of

darunavir/ritonavir monotherapy in patients with HIV-1 viral suppression: week 96 results from

the MONOI ANRS 136 study. J Antimicrob Chemother *67*, 691-695.

Vizcarra, P., Blanco, J.L., Montejano, R., Negredo, E., Espinosa, N., Casado, J.L., and group,

B.I.s. (2020). Lack of impact of PI resistance-associated mutations on the outcome of HIV-1-

infected patients switching to darunavir-based dual therapy. Infect Dis (Lond) *52*, 202-206.

Watkins, T., Resch, W., Irlbeck, D., and Swanstrom, R. (2003). Selection of high-level resistance

to human immunodeficiency virus type 1 PIs. Antimicrob Agents Chemother *47*, 759-769.

Wensing, A.M., van Maarseveen, N.M., and Nijhuis, M. (2010). Fifteen years of HIV PIs: raising

the barrier to resistance. Antiviral Res *85*, 59-74.

Windsor, I.W., and Raines, R.T. (2015). Fluorogenic Assay for Inhibitors of HIV-1 Protease with

Sub-picomolar Affinity. Sci Rep *5*, 11286.

Zhou, S., Jones, C., Mieczkowski, P., and Swanstrom, R. (2015). Primer ID Validates Template

Sampling Depth and Greatly Reduces the Error Rate of Next-Generation Sequencing of HIV-1

Genomic RNA Populations. J Virol *89*, 8540-8555.

Zhu, M., Dou, Y., Ma, L., Dong, B., Zhang, F., Zhang, G., Wang, J., Zhou, J., Cen, S., and Wang,

Y. (2020). Novel HIV-1 PIs with Morpholine as the P2 Ligand to Enhance Activity against DRV-

Resistant Variants. ACS Med Chem Lett *11*, 1196-1204.

Fig. S1. EC50 Inhibition curves for **A)** old and new protease inhibitors and **B)** fourth generation

analogs of DRV (UMass 1 – UMass 10).

Fig S2. Enzymatic inhibition constant (Ki) values for UMASS-1-10 in the presence of pro with

I84V or I50V/A71V mutations. UMASS-1, -3, -6, -8 show less potency towards these variants

and is shaded in a red tint matching R2 groups. UMASS-2, -5, -7, -10 show increased potency

towards these variants and is shaded in a green tint matching R2 groups

Selection with 26 Mutants   Selection with Wild-type

Fig. S3. **(A-B)** Viral selections with DRV and UMASS-1-10 have increasing inhibitor

concentrations during passaging. Passages are increased when extensive CPE is observed during

PI selection. Virus generated from the **A)** 26 single mutants or **B)** NL4-3 molecular clone was

passaged in the presence of increasing inhibitor concentration as described in the text. The period

of time (in days) until the virus-infected culture displayed maximal CPE (massive syncytia) was

4-7 days on average.

Passage #	Drug Conc. (nM)	R1	R2	PIs	Wild-type Selection: Most Abundant Variants Detected at the Last Time Point	Abundance
75	5000	1	1	UMASS-1	10I 16E 32I 33F 46I 54L 71V 76V 82I 84V	55.4%
					10I 32I 33F 46I 54L 71V 76V 82I 84V	21.9%
					10I 16E 32I 33F 46I 54L 71V 76V 82I 84V 91S	6.2%
82	5000	1	2	UMASS-2	10F 33F 46I 47V 50V 71V 82I 84V	37.5%
					28S 32I 33F 46I 47V 50V 71V 82I 84V	33.5%
					33F 46I 47V 50V 71V 82I 84V	4.2%
93	5000	1	3	UMASS-3	10F 33F 46I 47V 50V 53L 63P 71V 76S 82I 85V 89I*	85.7%
					10F 33F 46I 47V 50V 53L 63P 71V 76S 82I 85V 89I* 91S	1.1%
					10F 33F 46I 47V 50V 53L 63P 71V 76S 82I	0.9%
81	5000	1	4	UMASS-4	10F 11I 13V 32I 33F 43T 46L 54L 71V 82I 84V 89M 91S 92R	87.3%
					10F 11I 32I 33F 43T 46L 54L 71V 82I 84V 89M 91S	1.3%
					10F 11I 32I 33F 46L 54L 71V 82I 84V 89M 91S	1.1%
77	5000	1	5	UMASS-5	10F 15V 46I 47V 50V 53L 71V 82I 84V 89T	67.9%
					10F 46I 47V 50V 53L 71V 82I 84V 89T	10.9%
					10F 46I 47V 50V 53L 63P 71V 82I 84V 89M	8.8%
46	5000	2	1	UMASS-6	10F 13V 33F 46I 47A 50V 53L 71V	45.1%
					10F 13V 33F 46I 47A 50V 53L 63P 71V	42.3%
					10F 13V 16E 33F 46I 47A 50V 53L 71V	2.2%
76	5000	2	2	UMASS-7	10F 13V 33F 46I 47V 50V 53L 54L 71V 82I	94.5%
					10F 13V 33F 46I 47V 50V 53L 54L 71V 82I 87K	0.2%
					10F 13V 33F 46I 47V 50V 53L 54L 67Y 71V 82I	0.2%
67	5000	2	3	UMASS-8	10F 12K 33F 46I 47V 50V 53L 54L 63P 71V 82I 85V	55.4%
					10F 33F 46I 47V 50V 53L 54L 63P 71V 82I 85V	41.9%
					10F 12K 33F 46I 47V 50V 53L 54L 63P 71V 82I 85V 87K	0.1%
78	5000	2	4	UMASS-9	10F 13V 33F 45R 46I 47V 50V 53L 54L 66F 71V 74A 76S 82I	92.3%
					10F 13V 33F 45R 46I 47V 50V 53L 54L 66F 71V 74A 76S 82I	0.7%
					10F 13V 33F 41K 45R 46I 47V 50V 53L 54L 66F 71V 74A 76S 82I 87K	0.4%
77	5000	2	5	UMASS-10	10F 13V 33F 43T 46I 47V 50V 54L 71V 82L 88S	91.2%
					10F 13V 33F 43T 46I 47V 50V 54L 71V 77I 82L 88S	1.6%
					10F 13V 33F 43T 46I 47V 50V 54L 71V 82L 87K 88S	0.2%
43	1350	-	1	DRV	32I 41I 46I 47V 82I 84V 85V	62.8%
					10I 32I 41I 46I 47V 82I 84V 85V	10.3%
					32I 41I 46I 47V 82L 84V 85V	10.1%
75	0			ND		WT 82.9%
					36I	1.2%
					41K	1.0%

Passage #	Drug Conc. (nM)	R1	R2	PIs	Mutant Selection: Most Abundant Variants Detected at the Last Time Point	Abundance
53	4000	1	2	UMASS-2	10I 32I 33F 45I 46I 50V 71V 82I 84V	34.8%
					10I 28S 32I 33F 46I 71V 82I 84V	29.2%
					10I 28S 32I 33F 45I 46I 50V 71V 82I 84V	2.7%
45	1275	1	4	UMASS-4	10F 28S 46I 84V	9.4%
					10F 32I 46I 54M 71V 82I 84V 89M	8.2%
					10F 32I 46I 54M 84V	7.0%
53	5000	2	2	UMASS-6	13V 16E 32I 33F 45I 46I 54L 71V 76V 82F 84V	62.8%
					13V 16E 32I 33F 45I 46I 54L 82F 84V	16.9%
					13V 16E 32I 33F 45I 46I 71V 76V 82F 84V	6.1%
58	400	2	2	UMASS-7	10F 46I 50V 63P 85V	21.0%
					28S 46I 63P 85V	18.9%
					28S 46I 63P 85V	16.3%
61	1300	2	2	UMASS-8	10F 46I 47V 50V 53L 63P 72V 73S 82I 85V	70.0%
					10F 41K 46I 47V 50V 53L 63P 72V 73S 82I 85V	19.3%
					10F 46I 47V 50V 63P 72V 73S 82I 85V	1.4%
39	3000	-	1	DRV-3	10F 32I 33F 37D 46I 63P 74S 76V 84V 89M	51.1%
					10F 32I 33F 46I 63P 74S 76V 84V 89M	22.9%
					10F 32I 33F 46I 63P 76V 84V 89M	8.6%
61				ND	93L	36.7%
					36I 93L	12.6%
					WT 12.3%

Fig. S4. (A-B) Selection cultures for fourth generation analogs of DRV (UMASS-1 – UMASS-10), DRV and no inhibitor controls. Top 3 most abundant viral variants present at the final timepoint of each selection. **A)** Mutations arising from selections beginning with wild-type virus are shown in blue while **B)** mutations occurring in selections starting from 26-mutants are shown in red. Abundance of these variants is labeled as a percentage on the right.

Fig. S5. (A-B) Shannon's entropy of viral cultures that utilize either **A)** I84V or **B)** I50V as their resistance pathway. When I84V or I50V arise in the selection cultures above the poisson distribution, that incident is labeled above the graph. I84V mutations are associated with increases/decreases in entropy while I50V mutations are not associated with major entropy differences.

Fig. S6. Abundance of I50V and I84V mutations at each drug concentration of all UMASS

inhibitors that reached at least 1000 nM concentrations in culture. Abundance limit of detection

cutoffs are shown as black lines on each timepoint to resemble our TCS poisson distribution

calculation as shown in Zhou et al, 2015.

Fig. S7. (A-B) Protease Cleavage Site Mutations Observed After Selection For High Level

Resistance. **A)** Culture names, final inhibitor concentration, and resistance pathway are shown.

Changes in amino acid sequence are shown for the NC/SP2 and SP2/p6 cleavage sites. **B)** P2

substitution in the NC/SP2 cleavage site with the I84V mutation modeled. Subsite structure was

modeled using the original structure (PDB: 1KJH) and mutating the P2 position in the subsite

pocket from Alanine to Valine. **C)** P1' change in the SP2/p6 cleavage site with either the I84V

mutation or the I50V mutations modeled. Subsite structure was modeled using the original

structure (PDB: 1KJF) and mutating the P1' position from Leucine to Phenylalanine.