Title Long-term warming effects on the microbiome and nitrogen

fixation associated with the moss *Racomitrium lanuginosum* in a

subarctic alpine heathland

Running title Shifts in a moss microbiome after 20 years warming

Ingeborg J. Klarenberg[1,2], Christoph Keuschnig[3], Ana J. Russi Colmenares[2], Anne D. Jungblut[4],

Ingibjörg S. Jónsdóttir[2], Oddur Vilhelmsson[1,5,6]

[1]Natural Resource Sciences, University of Akureyri, Borgir i Nordurslod, 600 Akureyri, Iceland

[2]Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Iceland, Sturlugata 7, 101 Reykjavík,

Iceland

[3]Environmental Microbial Genomics, Laboratoire Ampère, CNRS, École Centrale de Lyon,

Écully, France

[4]Life Sciences Department, The Natural History Museum, London, United Kingdom

[5]BioMedical Center, University of Iceland, Reykjavík, Iceland

[6]School of Biological Sciences, University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom

Corresponding author:

Ingeborg J. Klarenberg

Borgir i Nordurslod, 600 Akureyri, Iceland

ingeborg.klarenberg@gmail.com

Abstract

Bacterial communities form the basis of biogeochemical processes and determine plant growth and health. Mosses, an abundant plant group in many Arctic ecosystems, harbour diverse bacterial communities that are for instance involved in nitrogen fixation. Global climate change is causing changes in aboveground plant biomass and shifting species composition in the Arctic, but little is known about the response of the moss microbiome. Here, we study the bacterial community associated with the moss *Racomitrium lanuginosum*, a common species in the Arctic, in a 20-year *in situ* warming experiment in an Icelandic heathland. We evaluate changes in bacterial community composition and diversity. Further, we assess the consequences of warming for *nifH* gene copy numbers and nitrogen fixation rates. Our findings indicate an increase in the relative abundance of Proteobacteria and a decrease in the relative abundance of Cyanobacteria and Acidobacteria with warming. The *nifH* gene copy number decreases, while the rate of nitrogen fixation is not affected. This contradiction could be explained by a shift in the nitrogen fixing bacterial community. Although climate warming might not change the contribution of *R. lanuginosum* to nitrogen input in nitrogen-limited ecosystems, the microbial community resilience and the nitrogen fixing taxa may shift.

Introduction

Temperature in high-latitude regions is rising twice as fast as elsewhere [1], which has large impacts on Arctic ecosystems, for instance by altering species distributions and interactions among species [2, 3]. One such interaction that might be affected by warming is the interaction between mosses and their associated bacterial communities and related ecosystem processes.

Mosses comprise a large component of the vegetation in many high-latitude ecosystems and play important roles in biogeochemical cycles by forming a carbon (C) sink via their slow decomposition rates, by accounting for up to 7% of terrestrial net primary productivity and half of the terrestrial nitrogen (N_2) fixation [4–8]. Most mosses consist of a upper living segment with photosynthetic tissue and a lower decaying dead segment and thus link above-ground and belowground processes [9]. They provide a habitat for a range of microbiota, micro- and mesofauna, which together with the alive and dead moss tissue have been defined as the 'bryosphere' [10]. Moss-associated microorganisms are involved in the decomposition of dead moss tissue and responsible for N_2 fixation. N_2 fixation by Cyanobacteria associated with mosses directly increases moss growth rates [11] and thereby controls C sequestration in moss tissues. These Cyanobacteria are also an important source of new available N in boreal and Arctic ecosystems [12, 13]. In order to understand the implications of climate change for the role of mosses in ecosystem C and N cycling, we need to understand how moss-associated microbial communities react to elevated temperatures.

The bacterial community composition of mosses is species specific and influenced by environmental factors such as pH and nutrient availability [14–16]. Bacterial associates can increase mosses survival and growth under extreme conditions [17], for instance by

protecting moss tissue from freeze damage [18]. Commonly found phyla associated with

mosses are Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes,

Armatimonadetes, Verrucomicrobia, Planctomycetes and Cyanobacteria [16, 19]. While

Cyanobacteria have received most of the attention for their N_2-fixing capability [11, 20–

26], mosses harbour many other putative N_2-fixing (diazotrophic) taxa such as members

of the Alphaproteobacteria [14, 27–29]. The bacterial community composition of mosses

has primarily been studied for peat and feather mosses, but we know little about the

bacterial communities of other moss species.

N_2 fixation rates of moss-associated diazotrophic bacteria can be expected to

increase with temperature, as metabolic processes in microorganisms increase with

temperature and the enzyme nitrogenase is more active at higher temperatures [30].

Temperature induced drought however, can inhibit N_2 fixation rates, especially

cyanobacterial N_2 fixation [9, 24, 31–36]. Temperature effects on N_2 fixation rates might

also be influenced by physiological adaptation of diazotrophic communities, or shifts to a

species composition better suited to the new conditions [31, 37, 38]. Despite the

importance of microbial communities for plant functioning and ecosystem processes, the

effect of warming on moss microbial communities has received little attention. Two studies

describing warming related changes in peat moss bacterial community composition

reported a decrease in overall bacterial and diazotrophic diversity with higher temperatures

in situ and under laboratory conditions [39, 40]. Whether this warming induced decrease

in diversity also holds for bacterial communities associated with other moss species in high

latitudes is unknown. Moreover, long-term warming effects on moss-associated bacterial

communities have yet to be explored.

In this study we investigated how two decades of experimental warming with open

top chambers impact the bacterial community and N_2 fixation rates associated with the

moss *Racomitrium lanuginosum* (Hedw.) Brid in a subarctic-alpine dwarf shrub heath in

northern Iceland. *R. lanuginosum* has a wide distribution at high altitudes in temperate

regions of the Northern and Southern Hemisphere and at low altitudes in the Arctic [41].

Projected temperature increases for Iceland as a whole are estimated between 2.1 to 4.0

degrees °C by 2100 [42].

We assessed the bacterial community composition by 16S rRNA gene amplicon

sequencing and we hypothesised (1) that long-term warming would reduce the bacterial

richness and diversity and affect community composition as compared to non-warmed

control plots. N_2 fixation rates were measured with acetylene reduction assays (ARA) and

N_2 fixation potential was quantified by quantitative PCR (qPCR) of nitrogenase (*nifH*)

genes. We hypothesised (2) that N_2 fixation would be either positively or negatively

influenced by long-term warming depending on the warming-induced changes in the

abundance of potential N_2-fixing taxa.

Methods

Field site

The sampling was conducted in permanent plots of a long-term warming simulation

experiment, part of the International Tundra Experiment (ITEX), in northwest Iceland [43].

According to Köppen's climate definitions, the sampling site, called Auðkúluheiði

(65°16'N, 20°15'W, 480 m above sea level) is situated in the lower Arctic. The vegetation

has been characterized as a relatively species-rich dwarf shrub heath, with *Betula nana*

being the most dominant vascular species and the moss *R. lanuginosum* and the lichen

Cetraria islandica as the dominating cryptogam species [44, 45]. The area has been fenced

off since 1996 to prevent sheep from disturbing the experiment.

Ten open top plexiglass chambers (OTCs) were set up to simulate a warmer

summer climate in August 1996 and 1997 [44, 46]. They raise the mean daily temperature

during summer by 1-2 °C, and minimize secondary experimental effects such as

differences in atmospheric gas concentration and reduction in ambient precipitation.

Control plots were established next to the OTCs, but without any treatment, thus exposed

to ambient temperatures.

Acetylene reduction assays

For N_2 fixation rate measurements, we collected three moss shoots of 5 cm length

per control plot and OTC in June and August 2014. Acetylene reduction was used as a

proxy for N_2 fixation [47]. Moss shoots were acclimated in a growth chamber for 24 h at

10 °C and 200 μmol m-2 s-1 PAR. 10% of the headspace was replaced by acetylene. After

an additional 72 h in the growth chamber under the same conditions, acetylene reduction

and ethylene production were measured by gas chromatography.

RNA and DNA extraction and sequencing

For RNA and DNA extraction we collected moss shoots in June 2017. Per warmed

(OTC) and control plot, five shoots were collected with sterile tweezers. The samples were

immediately soaked in RNAlater (Ambion) to prevent RNA degradation and kept cool

until storage at -80 °C. Prior to extraction, the samples were rinsed with RNase free water

to remove soil particles and RNAlater and ground for six minutes using a Mini-Beadbeater

and two sterile steel beads. RNA and DNA were extracted simultaneously using the

RNeasy PowerSoil Total RNA Kit (Qiagen) and the RNeasy PowerSoil DNA Elution Kit

(Qiagen), following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA and RNA concentrations were

determined with a Qubit Fluorometer (Life Technologies) and quality was assessed with a

nanodrop and bioanalyzer. cDNA was synthesized using the High-Capacity cDNA

Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermofisher) following the manufacturer's instructions and

quantified on a Qubit Fluorometer. 48 DNA samples (24 from each treatment) and 48

cDNA samples (24 from each treatment) were sequenced. Library preparation and

sequencing of the V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene on an Illumina MiSeq platform

was performed by Macrogen, Seoul, using the standard Illumina protocol and 16S rRNA

gene V3-V4 primers.

Sequence processing

In order to obtain high-resolution data and to better discriminate ecological

patterns, we processed the raw sequences using the DADA2 pipeline [48, 49], which does

not cluster sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs), but uses exact sequences

or amplicon sequence variants (ASVs). Forward reads were truncated at 260 bp and reverse

reads at 250 bp. Assembled ASVs were assigned taxonomy using the Ribosomal Database

Project (RDP) naïve Bayesian classifier [50] in DADA2 and the SILVA_132 database

[51]. We removed samples with less than 10.000 non chimeric sequences and we removed

ASVs assigned to chloroplasts and mitochondria, singletons and ASVs abundant in only 1

sample. In total, for 85 samples, 3 598 ASVs remained. To account for uneven sequencing

depths, the data were normalised using cumulative-sum scaling (CSS) [52]. The 16S rDNA

based community is hereafter sometimes referred to as the 'total bacterial community' and

the 16S rRNA (cDNA) based community is hereafter referred to as the 'potentially

metabolically active bacterial community', acknowledging that 16S rRNA is not a direct

indicator of activity but rather protein synthesis potential [53].

Quantitative real-time PCR of nifH and 16S rRNA genes

We used all DNA extractions (50 replicates per treatment) for quantification of *nifH* and 16S rRNA genes, which was performed by quantitative PCR (Corbett Rotor-Gene) using the primer set PolF/PolR and 341F/534R respectively [54]. The specificity of the *nifH* primers for our samples was confirmed by SANGER sequencing of 10 clone fragments. Standards for *nifH* reactions were obtained by amplifying one cloned *nifH* sequence with flanking regions of the plasmid vector (TOPO TA cloning Kit, Invitrogen). Standard curves were obtained by serial dilutions (E = 0.9 – 1.1, R^2 = > 0.99 for all reactions). Each reaction had a volume of 20 µL, containing 1x QuantiFast SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Qiagen), 0.2 µL of each primer (10 µM), 0.8 µL BSA (5 µg/µL), 6.8 µL RNase free water and 2 µL template. The cycling program was 5 min at 95 °C, 30 cycles of 10 s at 95 °C and 30 s at 60 °C.

Statistical analysis

Richness (number of ASVs) and Shannon diversity were calculated with the R packages 'vegan' [55] and 'phyloseq' [56]. Differences in N_2 fixation rates, 16S rRNA and *nifH* gene abundance, ASV richness and Shannon diversity were assessed with MCMC generalised linear models using the R package 'MCMCglmm'[57] with treatment as a fixed and plot as a random factor. The relative abundances between the warmed and the control samples were tested using Wilcoxon rank sum tests on plot averages.

Distances between the community composition of the control and OTC samples were based on Bray-Curtis distances. The warming effect of the OTCs on the bacterial community composition was tested by permutational-MANOVA (PERMANOVA) [58] analysis of the Bray-Curtis distance matrices using the *adonis* function in the R package 'vegan' with plot as a random factor. Principal coordinate analysis was used to ordinate

the Bray-Curtis distance matrices and to visualise the relationships between samples from

OTC and control plots.

Two methods were used to determine taxa sensitive to warming. First, differential

abundance of bacterial genera between warmed and control samples was assessed using

the DESeq2 procedure [59] on the non-CSS normalised datasets with the R package

'DESeq2' [59]. The adjusted *p*-value cut-off was 0.1 [59]. Differential abundance analysis

only uses ASVs present in both the OTC and control samples. The second method we used

to find taxa sensitive to warming, was the indicator species analysis. To find bacterial taxa

indicative for the warming or the control treatment, correlation-based indicator species

analysis was done with all possible site combinations using the function *multipatt* of the R

package 'indicSpecies' [60] based on 10^3 permutations. The indicator species analysis

takes into account ASVs present in both OTC and control samples, but also ASVs present

in only one of the treatments. We combined results of the DESeq2 and indicator species

analysis for a final list of ASVs sensitive to warming.

Results

Results

Treatment effect on bacterial richness and diversity

The richness and Shannon diversity of the DNA and cDNA based bacterial

communitues did not differ significantly between control and OTC samples (Figure 1).

However, the ASV abundance the phylum Acidobacteria and the class

Gammaproteobacteria showed significant differences between the control and OTC

samples (Figure 1). The Shannon diversity of Gammaproteobacteria (which include the

order Betaproteobacteriales) was higher in the warmed samples for both the DNA (p =

0.072) and cDNA based bacterial communities. The richness of Acidobacteria was

significantly lower in the warmed samples for both the cDNA based bacterial communities.

The Shannon diversity of Acidobacteria was lower (p = 0.096) in the warmed samples of

the cDNA based bacterial communities.

Treatment effects on bacterial community composition

No strong treatment specific clustering of the bacterial community composition

could be observed in PCoA plots, although they showed some divergence between the

control and the warmed samples (Figure S1). This divergence was reflected in the

MANOVA permutation test of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity: treatments significantly

influenced the DNA and the cDNA based community compositions of the moss (DNA: R^2

= 0.05 and P < 0.001 and cDNA: R^2 = 0.04 and P < 0.001).

When looking only at the potential diazotrophic community composition, the

control and warmed communities were significantly different, both for the DNA and the

cDNA. The treatment explained more variation of the DNA derived diazotrophic

communities than the cDNA derived diazotrophic community and the total cDNA and

DNA derived bacterial communities (PERMANOVA: DNA: R^2 = 0.08 and P < 0.001 and

cDNA: R^2 = 0.04 and P = 0.002).

Taxonomic composition of control and OTC communities

In the control samples, where bacterial communities were under ambient

environmental conditions, the most abundant phyla made up 97% of the total abundance

of ASVs and included Proteobacteria (44% average relative abundance across all control

DNA samples), followed by Acidobacteria (29%), Actinobacteria (8%), Cyanobacteria

(7%), Planctomycetes (4%), Bacteroidetes (4%), Verrucomicrobia (2%) and

Armatimonadetes (2%) (Figure 2A). The most abundant Proteobacterial class were

Alphaproteobacteria (29%) (Figure 2B). Acetobacterales (15%), Myxococcales (12%),

Caulobacterales (6%) and Rhizobiales (6%) were the most common orders of the

Proteobacteria (Figure S2). The order Acetobacterales was dominated by the genus

Acidiphilium (5%), the order Myxococcales was dominated by the genus *Haliangium*

(4%). The order Rhizobiales was mainly represented by the genera *Nitrobacter* (0.08%)

and *1174-901-12* (2%). The Acidobacteria were dominated by the orders Acidobacteriales

(17%) and Solibacterales (11%) (Figure S2). The Acidobacteriales were dominated by the

genus *Granulicella* (11%). The Solibacterales were dominated by the genera *Bryobacter*

(5%) and *Ca*. *Solibacter* (6%). Actinobacteria mainly comprised the orders

Solirubrobacterales (5%) and Frankiales (2%) (Figure S2). The genera *Jatrophihabitans*

(1%), *Acidothermus* (0.05%) and *Nakamurella* (0.02%) accounted for the largest share of

the order Frankiales. Planctomycetes were dominated by the orders Isosphaerales (1%) and

Tepidisphaerales (2%) (Figure S2). The orders Fimbriimonadales (0.03%) and

Chthonomonadales (1%) dominated the phylum Armatimonadetes (Figure S2). The

phylum Bacteroidetes was dominated by the orders Chitinophagales (3%) and

Sphingobacteriales (0.7%) (Figure S2). Chthoniobacterales (2%) was the most abundant

order within the phylum Verrucomicrobia. Cyanobacteria were dominated by the genera

Nostoc (5%) and *Stigonema* (1%).

We compared the relative abundances of taxa on phylum, class and order level in

the control with the warmed samples from the OTC (Figure 2 and Figure S2).

Acidobacteria, Gemmatimonadetes and Cyanobacteria decreased in relative abundance,

while Proteobacteria increased in relative abundance in the DNA based bacterial

communities (Figure 2A). No significant changes were detected in the cDNA based

bacterial communities on phylum level. On class level, Acidobacteriia,

Gemmatimonadetes and Oxyphotobacteria decreased relative abundance in the DNA

based bacterial communities and Gammaproteobacteria increased in relative abundance in

the DNA and the cDNA based bacterial communities (Figure 2B). On order level

Betaproteobacteriales and Micrococcales were higher in relative abundance for the

warmed DNA and cDNA based bacterial communities (Figure S2). Acidobacteriales had

a lower relative abundance in the warmed DNA and cDNA based bacterial communities

(Figure S2). In addition, in the DNA based bacterial communities, Sphingobacterales and

Cytophagales increased in relative abundance under warming, while Nostocales decreased

under warming. In the cDNA based bacterial communities, the orders Sphingomonadales

and Rhizobiales increased in relative abundance under warming, while Acetobacterales

decreased in relative abundance under warming (Figure S2).

The relative abundance of potential diazotrophic Proteobacteria was higher in the

warmed plots than in the control plots for both the DNA and cDNA based bacterial

communities (Figure 2C). Cyanobacteria in the DNA based bacterial communities had a

lower relative abundance in the warmed plots (Figure 2C).

Treatment related shifts in the relative abundance of ASVs

The DESeq2 differential abundance analysis showed that 33 ASVs were

differentially abundant in the DNA based moss bacterial communities, of which 28 ASVs

were significantly enriched in the control samples and 5 ASVs were significantly enriched

in the warmed samples (Table S1). The indicator species analysis revealed 122 ASVs

indicative for the control plots (Table S1). 23 ASVs were found indicative for the warmed

plots (Table S1). The strongest indicator species for the control plots corresponded to the

taxa that were less abundant in the warmed plots according the Deseq2 analysis. DESeq2

and indicator species analysis combined revealed 23 ASVs higher in relative abundance

under warming and 122 ASVs with higher relative abundance in the controls (Table S1).

For the bacterial communities in the DNA based analysis, ASVs with increased

relative abundance in the warmed samples belonged to the genera *Allorhizobium-*

Neorhizobium-Pararhizobium-Rhizobium, *Nitrobacter* (Alphaproteobacteria) and

Galbitalea (Actinobacteria). ASVs with increased relative abundance in the controls

belonged to the genera *Acidipila*, *Bryocella*, *Bryobacter*, *Candiatus Solibacter,*

Granulicella (Acidobacteria), *Acidiphilium*, *Endobacter* and *Bradyrhizobium*

(Alphaproteobacteria), *Nostoc* (Cyanobacteria) and *Conexibacter* (Actinobacteria) (Figure

3 and Table 1).

For the bacterial communities in cDNA based analysis, indicator species analysis

revealed 51 potentially active ASVs indicative for the control plots and 14 potentially

active ASVs indicative for the warmed plots (Figure 3, Table S2). DESeq2 detected 3

ASVs with a higher relative abundance in the control plots. ASVs more abundant in the

control plots belonged to the genera *Acidipila*, *Bryocella*, *Granulicella* (Acidobacteria),

Nostoc (Cyanobacteria) and *Acidiphilium* (Alphaproteobacteria). ASVs more abundant

under warming belonged to the genera *Allorhizobium-Neorhizobium-Pararhizobium-*

Nitrobacter, *Sphingomonas* (Alphaproteobacteria), *Galbitalea* (Actinobacteria) and

Rhizobacter (Gammaproteobacteria) (Figure 3, Table S2).

Treatment effect on 16S rRNA gene and nifH gene copy numbers and nitrogen fixation

rates

We did not find any differences between N_2 fixation in the control and warmed

plots in June or August 2014 (Figure 4A), but N_2 fixation in August was significantly lower

(Wilcoxon rank sum test, $p = < 0.0002$) than in June (Figure 4A). No significant difference

was found in the 16S rRNA gene copy number per ng of DNA between the control and

warmed samples (Figure 4B). The *nifH* gene copy number per ng DNA was similar in the

warmed and control samples (Figure 4C). However, *nifH* copy numbers in the warmed

samples were significantly lower when expressing the *nifH* gene copy number per 16S

rRNA gene copy (Figure 4D).

Discussion

Mosses form an important C and N sink in high latitudes, and their associated

bacterial communities are to a large extent responsible for N inputs and organic matter

decomposition in these environments. Elucidation of the effect of climate change on moss-

associated bacterial communities will help to predict the C and N cycling driven by the

bacterial component of the bryosphere in high latitude ecosystems. We assessed the effect

of long-term (20 years) warming by open-top chambers (OTCs) on bacterial communities

associated with the moss *R. lanuginosum* by comparing richness and diversity, community

structure, taxonomic composition, N_2 fixation rates *nifH* gene abundance, in control and

warmed moss samples. Overall our results suggest that moss-associated bacterial

communities are sensitive to long-term experimental warming.

Effect of warming on the moss associated bacterial community structure

While the overall ASV richness and Shannon diversity of the total community was

not significantly affected by the 20 years of warming as compared to the non-warmed

controls, when assessed for individual phyla and classes, significant differences emerged

for both the total and potentially metabolically active bacterial communities. Specifically,

richness and diversity increased under warming for Gammaproteobacteria (including

Betaproteobacteriales), whereas both richness and diversity of Acidobacteria decreased.

These results contrast with our hypothesis and with trends of decreasing richness and

diversity in *Sphagnum* moss observed by Carrell et al. (2017) and by Kolton et al. (2019).

R. lanuginosum has a much lower water holding capacity than *Sphagnum* and grows in

heathlands and therefore *R. lanuginosum* might react differently to warming. In addition,

while our study describes the effect of 20 years warming *in situ*, those previous studies on

Sphagnum were much shorter such as a four week laboratory [39] and one year *in situ*

experimental warming study [40]. Long-term warming studies on soils have yielded

various results ranging from a change in bacterial community structure in temperate forest

soils [61], no effect on the microbial community structure in a sub-arctic peatland [62] to

changes in the microbial community composition of soils in a subarctic heath and an arctic

soil [63, 64]. In our study, the effect of warming on the moss bacterial community

characterized by shifts in selected taxa.

Warming correlates with an increase in vascular plant canopy height and litter

abundance and a decrease in bryophyte abundance [44]. In fact, in the OTC treatment,

moss layer thickness was lower and soil organic matter content was higher, and a trend

towards lower soil moisture was found compared to the controls [44, 65]. Temperature-

induced changes in the amount of litter, surrounding plant species composition, moss traits

such as leaf nutrient content, soil organic matter content and soil moisture are

environmental factors that could potentially influence the moss bacterial community

composition [66–68]. The large amount of unexplained variation in the bacterial

community structure might thus be due to small-scale variation in moisture content,

nutrient availability, temperature and shading of vascular plants.

Furthermore, bacterial communities and thereby their potential functions can be

controlled by top-down factors such as predation which might change with the effects of

long-term warming [69–72]. For instance, in a sub-Arctic peatland, year-round warming

led to an increase in richness of the decomposing and fungivory oribatid mites, as well as

a decrease in abundance of the predatory mesostigmatid mites [73]. While these mite taxa

not necessarily feed on bacteria, it illustrates that foodwebs within the bryosphere are

affected by warming. Micro- and mesofauna might also act as a source and distribution

mechanism of bryophyte-associated bacteria [74]. The candidate genus *Xiphinematobacter*

in our study is for instance likely to be nematode-born [75], but was not affected in relative

abundance by warming in our study. Further studies could elucidate whether top-down

controls via trophic cascades affect the moss bacterial community structure and whether

warming induced changes at higher trophic levels could influence for example N_2 fixation

rates in *R. lanuginosum*, as has already been demonstrated for *Sphagnum* [69].

Effect of warming on moss-associated bacterial taxa

We analysed changes in relative abundances in several ways to better understand

the warming response of the moss bacterial community. This revealed changes in the

relative abundances of taxa on all taxonomic levels. Shifts in individual taxa can affect

microbe-microbe and microbe-host interactions and possibly alter functionality or stability

of the moss-associated bacterial communities and affect host health and ecosystem

functioning [76–78]. Plants might be able to shape their microbial communities [79, 80] to

increase their tolerance to stress like drought [81] or nitrogen limitation [82, 83]. Many of

the taxa responding to warming in our study are potentially plant-growth promoting, such

as Rhizobiales.

The response of bacterial taxa to disturbances has been proposed to be due to

specific traits or their life-histories. Several life strategy conceptual frameworks have been

proposed for microbial organisms, such as the r-K-spectrum, where *r* strategists or

copiotrophs have high growth rates and low substrate affinities and oligotrophs or *K*

strategists low growth rates and high resource use efficiency [84, 85]. As the warming

treatment was been in place for 20 years at the time of sampling, it is a press or long-term

disturbance leading slowly to a different system with more nutrients available. The average

relative abundance of Proteobacteria increased with warming and most proteobacterial

indicator species were indicative for warming. Alphaproteobacteria showed multiple types

of responses to warming. The positive effect of warming on Alphaproteobacteria has been

linked to a copiotrophic growth strategy and dynamic response of this phylum to increasing

substrate concentrations [84, 86–88]. The N_2 fixing order Rhizobiales was more abundant

under warming in our study, which has also been found in soils [61, 89], but not in peat

mosses [40]. Negative responses of Alphaproteobacteria to warming might be due to

certain taxa having a more oligotrophic lifestyle, such as members of the Caulobacteraceae

that were more often indicative for ambient conditions in the controls than for warming.

The Gammaproteobacteria (which include the order Betaproteobacteriales) increased

relative abundance and in richness, but only one ASV indicative of warming was detected,

an ASV that was classified as *Rhizobacter*. The phylum Acidobacteria decreased in relative

abundance under warming. Acidobacteria are adapted to a wide range of temperatures in

tundra soils [90] and its members have shown either negative or positive responses in

warming experiments. Negative responses of Acidobacteria to increasing temperatures

have been linked to their more oligotrophic lifestyle and inability to compete with more

copiothrophic species in nutrient richer conditions resulting from the warming field

conditions [84, 87, 88, 91].

K strategists have been hypothesized to be more resistant but less resilient to

climate change related pulse disturbance than *r* strategists [85], if on the long-term

resources may become limited [92]. Our results show an increase in copiotrophic taxa or *r*

strategists and decrease in oligotrophic taxa or K strategists, probably because resources

are not limited in the warmed conditions but rather more diverse due to an increase in

vascular plant biomass and litter types. This also suggests that the bacterial communities

under warming that are characterised by a decrease in K strategists, are less resistant and

more resilient to short-term or pulse disturbances such as drought. In other words, a future

short-term disturbance will potentially have a bigger impact on the bacterial community

under warming, but the warmed bacterial community has more potential return to its

original state before the disturbance than the control bacterial community.

Implications of warming for the nitrogen-fixing moss microbiome in the Arctic

The second hypothesis we tested was that N_2-fixing communities differ in species

composition in the warmed plots compared to the control plots. Indeed, overall the relative

abundance of Cyanobacteria decreased, and in particular the genus *Nostoc*. In a study on

the effect of warming on peat moss microbiomes by Carell et al. [40], the relative

abundance of Cyanobacteria decreased as well, but the relative abundance of *Nostoc*

increased, potentially because peat mosses and the *R. lanuginosum* are typical for very

different ecosystems (moist peat bogs versus dry tundra heath), and compared to our study

they used up to 9 °C of warming. The other Cyanobacterial genus in our study, *Stigonema*,

was not affected by warming. Patova et al. [94] found *Nostoc* in biological soil crusts in

wet habitats and *Stigonema* in biological soil crusts in drier habitats. A difference in

drought tolerance might thus explain the different response to warming between *Stigonema*

and *Nostoc* in our study, since the OTCs generally decrease soil moisture [65]. Moss-

associated *Stigonema* was also found to be more abundant than *Nostoc* in older sites with

more developed soils, dwarf shrubs and forests during primary succession [94], so the

decrease in *Nostoc* in our study could also be due to the richer conditions.

Stigonema has been suggested to be a more efficient N_2-fixer than *Nostoc*

associated with boreal forest feathermosses, because it was responsible for most of the N_2

fixation while being less abundant than *Nostoc* [22]. Interestingly, while N_2 fixation rates

were not affected by the warming, the *nifH* gene abundance was lower under warming.

While we cannot rule out yearly variations in the difference between control and warmed

N_2 fixation rates or diazotrophic communities, this suggests that *Nostoc* might not add

much to N_2 fixation and that *Stigonema* or other non-cyanobacterial diazotrophs are

responsible for the N_2 fixation. The decrease in *nifH* gene copy numbers could also be due

to a difference in *nifH* gene copies in the genomes of the taxa present in the control plots

and the warmed plots, or because these taxa possess multiple genomes [95]. An overlooked

nitrogenase is the 'alternative' nitrogenase that uses vanadium (V) instead of molybdenum

(Mo) as the most common nitrogenase (*nifH*) does [96]. Plant and lichen cyanobionts often

possess this V-nitrogenase gene (*vnf*) [96, 97] and it has been suggested that it performs

better at lower temperatures [98]. Our qPCR did not target the *vnf* gene, but it is possible

that the presence of this gene influenced the acetylene reduction assays. Our results suggest

that long-term warming can shift nitrogen fixing taxa with potential effects for N_2 fixation

rates.

Our study is among the first to assess the effect of long-term (20 years)

experimental warming with OTCs on the bacterial part of a moss microbiome. Here we

focussed on the moss *R. lanuginosum*, in a sub-Arctic-alpine dwarf shrub heath in Iceland.

We observed an increase in the relative abundance of Proteobacteria, and a decrease in the

relative abundance of Acidobacteria, probably due to their different life strategies

(copiotrophic versus oligotrophic) that reflect warming-induced changes in nutrient

availability. Our results also show that long-term warming leads to a shift in cyanobacterial

genera, from *Nostoc* to *Stigonema* dominated and a decrease in the relative abundance of

Cyanobacteria. At the same time, the *nifH* gene abundance tended to be lower under

warming, while the N_2 fixation rates did not differ. The bacterial community of the moss

R. lanuginosum might thus be sensitive to future warming, with potential implications for

moss growth, C sequestration, and N_2 fixation rates.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Ólafur S. Andrésson, Dr. Marie-Charlotte Nilsson and Dr. Matthias Zielke for help and advice on the RNA and DNA extractions and the ARAs. We would also like to thank Quentin J.B. Horta-Lacueva and Dr. Denis Warshan for advice on the statistical analysis. This work was funded by the MicroArctic Innovative Training Network grant supported by the European Commissions's Horizon 2020 Marie Sklodowska-Curie Actions program under project number 675546.

Competing Interests

None.

References

1. IPCC. Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate (SROCC). 2019.

2. Wookey PA, Aerts R, Bardgett RD, Baptist F, Bråthen KA, Cornelissen JHC, et al. Ecosystem feedbacks and cascade processes: understanding their role in the responses of Arctic and alpine ecosystems to environmental change. *Glob Change Biol* 2009; **15**: 1153–1172.

3. Van der Putten WH. Climate Change, Aboveground-Belowground Interactions, and Species' Range Shifts. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* 2012; **43**: 365–383.

4. Cornelissen JHC, Lang SI, Soudzilovskaia NA, During HJ. Comparative Cryptogam Ecology: A Review of Bryophyte and Lichen Traits that Drive Biogeochemistry. *Ann Bot* 2007; **99**: 987–1001.

5. Turetsky MR. The Role of Bryophytes in Carbon and Nitrogen Cycling. *The Bryologist* 2003; **106**: 395–409.

6. Turetsky MR, Bond-Lamberty B, Euskirchen E, Talbot J, Frolking S, McGuire AD, et al.
The resilience and functional role of moss in boreal and arctic ecosystems: Tansley review.
New Phytol 2012; **196**: 49–67.

7. Elbert W, Weber B, Burrows S, Steinkamp J, Büdel B, Andreae MO, et al. Contribution of
cryptogamic covers to the global cycles of carbon and nitrogen. *Nat Geosci* 2012; **5**: 459–
462.

8. Porada P, Weber B, Elbert W, Pöschl U, Kleidon A. Estimating global carbon uptake by
lichens and bryophytes with a process-based model. *Biogeosciences* 2013; **10**: 6989–7033.

9. Whiteley JA, Gonzalez A. Biotic nitrogen fixation in the bryosphere is inhibited more by
drought than warming. *Oecologia* 2016; **181**: 1243–1258.

10. Lindo Z, Gonzalez A. The Bryosphere: An Integral and Influential Component of the
Earth's Biosphere. *Ecosystems* 2010; **13**: 612–627.

11. Berg A, Danielsson Å, Svensson BH. Transfer of fixed-N from N2-fixing cyanobacteria
associated with the moss Sphagnum riparium results in enhanced growth of the moss. *Plant*
Soil 2013; **362**: 271–278.

12. DeLuca TH, Zackrisson O, Nilsson M-C, Sellstedt A. Quantifying nitrogen-fixation in
feather moss carpets of boreal forests. *Nature* 2002; **419**: 917–920.

13. Rousk K, Sorensen PL, Michelsen A. Nitrogen fixation in the High Arctic: a source of
'new' nitrogen? *Biogeochemistry* 2017; **136**: 213–222.

14. Holland-Moritz H, Stuart J, Lewis LR, Miller S, Mack MC, McDaniel SF, et al. Novel
bacterial lineages associated with boreal moss species. *Environ Microbiol* 2018; **20**: 2625–
2638.

15. Bragina A, Berg C, Cardinale M, Shcherbakov A, Chebotar V, Berg G. Sphagnum mosses
harbour highly specific bacterial diversity during their whole lifecycle. *ISME J* 2012; **6**:
802–813.

16. Tang JY, Ma J, Li XD, Li YH. Illumina sequencing-based community analysis of bacteria
associated with different bryophytes collected from Tibet, China. *BMC Microbiol* 2016; **16**.

17.   Bragina A, Oberauner-Wappis L, Zachow C, Halwachs B, Thallinger GG, Müller H, et al.

495   The Sphagnum microbiome supports bog ecosystem functioning under extreme conditions.

496   *Mol Ecol* 2014; **23**: 4498–4510.

497   18.   Raymond JA. Dependence on epiphytic bacteria for freezing protection in an Antarctic

498   moss, *Bryum argenteum*: Epiphytic bacteria on an Antarctic moss. *Environ Microbiol Rep*

499   2016; **8**: 14–19.

500   19.   Kostka JE, Weston DJ, Glass JB, Lilleskov EA, Shaw AJ, Turetsky MR. The *Sphagnum*

501   microbiome: new insights from an ancient plant lineage. *New Phytol* 2016; **211**: 57–64.

502   20.   Lindo Z, Nilsson M-C, Gundale MJ. Bryophyte-cyanobacteria associations as regulators of

503   the northern latitude carbon balance in response to global change. *Glob Change Biol* 2013;

504   **19**: 2022–2035.

505   21.   Gentili F. Physiological and molecular diversity of feather moss associative N2-fixing

506   cyanobacteria. *J Exp Bot* 2005; **56**: 3121–3127.

507   22.   Warshan D, Bay G, Nahar N, Wardle DA, Nilsson M-C, Rasmussen U. Seasonal variation

508   in nifH abundance and expression of cyanobacterial communities associated with boreal

509   feather mosses. *ISME J* 2016; **10**: 2198.

510   23.   Warshan D, Espinoza JL, Stuart RK, Richter RA, Kim S-Y, Shapiro N, et al. Feathermoss

511   and epiphytic Nostoc cooperate differently: expanding the spectrum of plant–cyanobacteria

512   symbiosis. *ISME J* 2017; **11**: 2821–2833.

513   24.   Stewart KJ, Lamb EG, Coxson DS, Siciliano SD. Bryophyte-cyanobacterial associations as

514   a key factor in N2-fixation across the Canadian Arctic. *Plant Soil* 2011; **344**: 335–346.

515   25.   Ininbergs K, Bay G, Rasmussen U, Wardle DA, Nilsson M-C. Composition and diversity of

516   nifH genes of nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria associated with boreal forest feather mosses.

517   *New Phytol* 2011; **192**: 507–517.

518   26.   Rousk K, Jones DL, DeLuca TH. Moss-cyanobacteria associations as biogenic sources of

519   nitrogen in boreal forest ecosystems. *Front Microbiol* 2013; **4**.

27.   Bragina A, Maier S, Berg C, Müller H, Chobot V, Hadacek F, et al. Similar Diversity of

Alphaproteobacteria and Nitrogenase Gene Amplicons on Two Related Sphagnum Mosses.

Front Microbiol 2012; **2**.

28.   Leppänen SM, Rissanen AJ, Tiirola M. Nitrogen fixation in Sphagnum mosses is affected

by moss species and water table level. *Plant Soil* 2015; **389**: 185–196.

29.   Warren MJ, Lin X, Gaby JC, Kretz CB, Kolton M, Morton PL, et al. Molybdenum-Based

Diazotrophy in a Sphagnum Peatland in Northern Minnesota. *Appl Environ Microbiol*

2017; **83**: e01174-17.

30.   Houlton BZ, Wang Y-P, Vitousek PM, Field CB. A unifying framework for dinitrogen

fixation in the terrestrial biosphere. *Nature* 2008; **454**: 327–330.

31.   Rousk K, Sorensen PL, Michelsen A. What drives biological nitrogen fixation in high arctic

tundra: Moisture or temperature? *Ecosphere* 2018; **9**: e02117.

32.   Stewart KJ, Coxson D, Grogan P. Nitrogen Inputs by Associative Cyanobacteria across a

Low Arctic Tundra Landscape. *Arct Antarct Alp Res* 2011; **43**: 267–278.

33.   Stewart KJ, Grogan P, Coxson DS, Siciliano SD. Topography as a key factor driving

atmospheric nitrogen exchanges in arctic terrestrial ecosystems. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2014;

70: 96–112.

34.   Zielke M, Solheim B, Spjelkavik S, Olsen RA. Nitrogen fixation in the high arctic: role of

vegetation and environmental conditions. *Arct Antarct Alp Res* 2005; **37**: 372–378.

35.   Rousk K, Jones DL, DeLuca TH. The resilience of nitrogen fixation in feather moss

(Pleurozium schreberi)-cyanobacteria associations after a drying and rewetting cycle. *Plant*

Soil 2014; **377**: 159–167.

36.   Rousk K, Sorensen PL, Lett S, Michelsen A. Across-Habitat Comparison of Diazotroph

Activity in the Subarctic. *Microb Ecol* 2015; **69**: 778–787.

37.   Deslippe JR, Egger KN, Henry GHR. Impacts of warming and fertilization on nitrogen-

fixing microbial communities in the Canadian High Arctic. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2005; **53**:

41–50.

38.   Rousk K, Michelsen A. Ecosystem nitrogen fixation throughout the snow-free period in

subarctic tundra: effects of willow and birch litter addition and warming. *Glob Change Biol*

2017; **23**: 1552–1563.

39.   Kolton M, Marks A, Wilson RM, Chanton JP, Kostka JE. Impact of Warming on

Greenhouse Gas Production and Microbial Diversity in Anoxic Peat From a Sphagnum-

Dominated Bog (Grand Rapids, Minnesota, United States). *Front Microbiol* 2019; **10**.

40.   Carrell AA, Kolton M, Glass JB, Pelletier DA, Warren MJ, Kostka JE, et al. Experimental

warming alters the community composition, diversity, and N $_2$ fixation activity of peat moss

(*Sphagnum fallax*) microbiomes. *Glob Change Biol* 2019; **25**: 2993–3004.

41.   Tallis JH. Climate and Erosion Signals in British Blanket Peats: The Significance of

Racomitrium Lanuginosum Remains. *J Ecol* 1995; **83**: 1021–1030.

42.   Gosseling M. CORDEX climate trends for Iceland in the 21st century. 2017. Icelandic

Meteorological Office, Reykjavík.

43.   Henry GHR, Molau U. Tundra plants and climate change: the International Tundra

Experiment (ITEX). *Glob Change Biol* 1997; **3**: 1–9.

44.   Jonsdottir IS, Magnusson B, Gudmundsson J, Elmarsdottir A, Hjartarson H. Variable

sensitivity of plant communities in Iceland to experimental warming. *Glob Change Biol*

2005; **11**: 553–563.

45.   Arnalds O. The Soils of Iceland. 2015. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.

46.   Hollister RD, Webber PJ. Biotic validation of small open-top chambers in a tundra

ecosystem. *Glob Change Biol* 2000; **6**: 835–842.

47.   Hardy RW, Holsten RD, Jackson EK, Burns RC. The acetylene-ethylene assay for N2

fixation: laboratory and field evaluation. *Plant Physiol* 1968; **43**: 1185–1207.

48.   Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2:

High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat Methods* 2016; **13**:

581–583.

49.   Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Holmes SP. Exact sequence variants should replace operational

taxonomic units in marker-gene data analysis. *ISME J* 2017; **11**: 2639–2643.

50.   Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment

of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2007; **73**:

5261–5267.

51.   Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal

RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids*

Res 2013; **41**: D590–D596.

52.   Paulson JN, Stine OC, Bravo HC, Pop M. Differential abundance analysis for microbial

marker-gene surveys. *Nat Methods* 2013; **10**: 1200–1202.

53.   Blazewicz SJ, Barnard RL, Daly RA, Firestone MK. Evaluating rRNA as an indicator of

microbial activity in environmental communities: limitations and uses. *ISME J* 2013; **7**:

2061–2068.

54.   Poly F, Monrozier LJ, Bally R. Improvement in the RFLP procedure for studying the

diversity of nifH genes in communities of nitrogen fixers in soil. *Res Microbiol* 2001; **152**:

95–103.

55.   Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'hara RB, et al. Package

'vegan'. *Community Ecol Package Version* 2013; **2**: 296.

56.   McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis

and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLOS ONE* 2013; **8**: e61217.

57.   Hadfield JD. MCMC Methods for Multi-Response Generalized Linear Mixed Models: The

MCMCglmm R Package. *J Stat Softw* 2010; **33**: 1–22.

58.   Anderson MJ. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral*

Ecol 2001; **26**: 32–46.

59.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 2014; **15**.

60.   De Caceres M, Legendre P. Associations between species and groups of sites: indices and

statistical inference. *Ecology* 2009; **90**: 3566–3574.

61.   DeAngelis KM, Pold G, Topçuoğlu BD, van Diepen LTA, Varney RM, Blanchard JL, et al.

Long-term forest soil warming alters microbial communities in temperate forest soils. *Front*

Microbiol 2015; **6**.

62.   T. Weedon J, A. Kowalchuk G, Aerts R, van Hal J, van Logtestijn R, Taş N, et al. Summer

warming accelerates sub-arctic peatland nitrogen cycling without changing enzyme pools or

microbial community structure. *Glob Change Biol* 2012; **18**: 138–150.

63.   Rinnan R, Michelsen A, Bååth E, Jonasson S. Fifteen years of climate change

manipulations alter soil microbial communities in a subarctic heath ecosystem. *Glob*

Change Biol 2007; **13**: 28–39.

64.   Deslippe JR, Hartmann M, Simard SW, Mohn WW. Long-term warming alters the

composition of Arctic soil microbial communities. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2012; **82**: 303–

315.

65.   Björnsdóttir K. Decomposition responses to climate warming and sheep grazing in the high

and sub-Arctic. 2018. University of Iceland, Reykjavík.

66.   Vandenkoornhuyse P, Quaiser A, Duhamel M, Van AL, Dufresne A. The importance of the

microbiome of the plant holobiont. *New Phytol* 2015; **206**: 1196–1206.

67.   Koyama A, Steinweg JM, Haddix ML, Dukes JS, Wallenstein MD. Soil bacterial

community responses to altered precipitation and temperature regimes in an old field

grassland are mediated by plants. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2018; **94**: fix156.

68.   Sayer EJ, Oliver AE, Fridley JD, Askew AP, Mills RTE, Grime JP. Links between soil

microbial communities and plant traits in a species-rich grassland under long-term climate

change. *Ecol Evol* 2017; **7**: 855–862.

69.   Kardol P, Spitzer CM, Gundale MJ, Nilsson M-C, Wardle DA. Trophic cascades in the

bryosphere: the impact of global change factors on top-down control of cyanobacterial N_2-

fixation. *Ecol Lett* 2016; **19**: 967–976.

70.   Jiang Y, Liu M, Zhang J, Chen Y, Chen X, Chen L, et al. Nematode grazing promotes

bacterial community dynamics in soil at the aggregate level. *ISME J* 2017; **11**: 2705–2717.

71.   Jassey VE, Chiapusio G, Binet P, Buttler A, Laggoun-Défarge F, Delarue F, et al. Above-

and belowground linkages in Sphagnum peatland: climate warming affects plant-microbial

interactions. *Glob Change Biol* 2013; **19**: 811–823.

72.   Petchey OL, McPhearson PT, Casey TM, Morin PJ. Environmental warming alters food-

web structure and ecosystem function. *Nature* 1999; **402**: 69–72.

73.   Markkula I, Cornelissen JHC, Aerts R. Sixteen years of simulated summer and winter

warming have contrasting effects on soil mite communities in a sub-Arctic peat bog. *Polar*

Biol 2019; **42**: 581–591.

74.   Frank AC, Saldierna Guzmán JP, Shay JE. Transmission of Bacterial Endophytes.

Microorganisms 2017; **5(4)**.

75.   Vandekerckhove TT, Willems A, Gillis M, Coomans A. Occurrence of novel

verrucomicrobial species, endosymbiotic and associated with parthenogenesis in

Xiphinema americanum-group species (Nematoda, Longidoridae). *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*

2000; **50**: 2197–2205.

76.   Aydogan EL, Moser G, Müller C, Kämpfer P, Glaeser SP. Long-Term Warming Shifts the

Composition of Bacterial Communities in the Phyllosphere of Galium album in a

Permanent Grassland Field-Experiment. *Front Microbiol* 2018; **9**.

77.   van der Heijden MGA, Hartmann M. Networking in the Plant Microbiome. *PLOS Biol*

2016; **14**: e1002378.

78.   Agler MT, Ruhe J, Kroll S, Morhenn C, Kim S-T, Weigel D, et al. Microbial Hub Taxa

Link Host and Abiotic Factors to Plant Microbiome Variation. *PLOS Biol* 2016; **14**:

e1002352.

79.   Vorholt JA. Microbial life in the phyllosphere. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2012; **10**: 828–840.

80.   Lebeis SL. The potential for give and take in plant–microbiome relationships. *Front Plant*

Sci 2014; **5**.

81.   Compant S, Van Der Heijden MGA, Sessitsch A. Climate change effects on beneficial

plant–microorganism interactions. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2010; **73**: 197–214.

82.   Vries FT de, Bardgett RD. Plant–microbial linkages and ecosystem nitrogen retention:

lessons for sustainable agriculture. *Front Ecol Environ* 2012; **10**: 425–432.

83.   Bay G, Nahar N, Oubre M, Whitehouse MJ, Wardle DA, Zackrisson O, et al. Boreal feather

mosses secrete chemical signals to gain nitrogen. *New Phytol* 2013; **200**: 54–60.

84.   Fierer N, Bradford MA, Jackson RB. TOWARD AN ECOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATION

OF SOIL BACTERIA. *Ecology* 2007; **88**: 1354–1364.

85.   De Vries FT, Shade A. Controls on soil microbial community stability under climate

change. *Front Microbiol* 2013; **4**.

86.   Yergeau E, Bokhorst S, Kang S, Zhou J, Greer CW, Aerts R, et al. Shifts in soil

microorganisms in response to warming are consistent across a range of Antarctic

environments. *ISME J* 2012; **6**: 692–702.

87.   Xiong J, Sun H, Peng F, Zhang H, Xue X, Gibbons SM, et al. Characterizing changes in

soil bacterial community structure in response to short-term warming. *FEMS Microbiol*

Ecol 2014; **89**: 281–292.

88.   Stark S, Männistö MK, Ganzert L, Tiirola M, Häggblom MM. Grazing intensity in

subarctic tundra affects the temperature adaptation of soil microbial communities. *Soil Biol*

Biochem 2015; **84**: 147–157.

89.   Oliverio AM, Bradford MA, Fierer N. Identifying the microbial taxa that consistently

respond to soil warming across time and space. *Glob Change Biol* 2017; **23**: 2117–2129.

90.   Männistö MK, Kurhela E, Tiirola M, Häggblom MM. Acidobacteria dominate the active

bacterial communities of Arctic tundra with widely divergent winter-time snow

accumulation and soil temperatures. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2013; **84**: 47–59.

91.   Kielak AM, Barreto CC, Kowalchuk GA, van Veen JA, Kuramae EE. The Ecology of

Acidobacteria: Moving beyond Genes and Genomes. *Front Microbiol* 2016; **7**.

92. Shade A, Peter H, Allison SD, Baho DL, Berga M, Bürgmann H, et al. Fundamentals of

Microbial Community Resistance and Resilience. *Front Microbiol* 2012; **3**.

93. Patova E, Sivkov M, Patova A. Nitrogen fixation activity in biological soil crusts

dominated by cyanobacteria in the Subpolar Urals (European North-East Russia). *FEMS*

Microbiol Ecol 2016; **92**: fiw131.

94. Arróniz-Crespo M, Pérez-Ortega S, De los Ríos A, Green TGA, Ochoa-Hueso R,

Casermeiro MÁ, et al. Bryophyte-Cyanobacteria Associations during Primary Succession

in Recently Deglaciated Areas of Tierra del Fuego (Chile). *PLoS ONE* 2014; **9**: e96081.

95. Griese M, Lange C, Soppa J. Ploidy in cyanobacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2011; **323**:

124–131.

96. Darnajoux R, Zhang X, McRose DL, Miadlikowska J, Lutzoni F, Kraepiel AML, et al.

Biological nitrogen fixation by alternative nitrogenases in boreal cyanolichens: importance

of molybdenum availability and implications for current biological nitrogen fixation

estimates. *New Phytol* 2016; **213**: 680–689.

97. Nelson JM, Hauser DA, Gudiño JA, Guadalupe YA, Meeks JC, Salazar Allen N, et al.

Complete Genomes of Symbiotic Cyanobacteria Clarify the Evolution of Vanadium-

Nitrogenase. *Genome Biol Evol* 2019; **11**: 1959–1964.

98. Miller RW, Eady RR. Molybdenum and vanadium nitrogenases of Azotobacter

chroococcum. Low temperature favours N2 reduction by vanadium nitrogenase. *Biochem J*

1988; **256**: 429–432.

Figure legends

Figure 1 Bacterial ASV (amplicon sequence variant) richness (Observed) and Shannon
diversity of DNA and RNA derived bacterial communities associated with the moss *R.*
lanuginosum, with control samples in white and OTC or warmed samples in red. Total
richness and diversity as well as phyla and classes with significant differences between the
treatments are shown. Boxplots represent minimum values, first quartiles, medians, third
quartiles and maximum values. Significance levels: * < 0.05, ** < 0.01.

Figure 2 Boxplots of the relative abundances of (A) Phyla, (B) Classes and (C) potential
nitrogen fixing taxa on phylum level in DNA and cDNA based bacterial communities
associated with the moss *R. lanuginosum*. Controls are shown in white and OTC (warmed)

samples are shown in red. Boxplots represent minimum values, first quartiles, medians, third quartiles and maximum values. Significance levels (* < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001) are based on Wilcoxon rank sum tests.

Figure 3 Number of ASVs (amplicon sequence variants) per genus sensitive to warming for DNA and CDNA based bacterial communities associated with the moss *R. lanuginosum*. Sensitivity was determined by differential abundance analysis (DESeq2) and indicator species analysis. ASVs not assigned to genus level are called 'NA'. The number of ASVs indicative for the OTC (warmed) treatment is indicated in red and the number of ASVs indicative for the control treatment in indicated in white. Note that a division was made between non N2-fixing and N2-fixing taxa.

Figure 4 Boxplots of (A) acetylene reduction rates (μmol C_2H_2 g^{-1} day^{-1}) in June and August 2014, (B) 16S rRNA gene copy numers per ng DNA, (C) nifH gene copy number per ng DNA and (D) nifH gene copy number relative to 16S rRNA gene copy number in *R. lanuginosum* samples from control (in white) and warmed (in red) treatments. Boxplots represent minimum values, first quartiles, medians, third quartiles and maximum values.

Supplementary Figure 1 Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of weighted Bray-Burtis distances between warmed and control 16S rDNA (A) and 16S rRNA based relative OTU abundance matrices (B) of the moss *R. lanuginosum*. Warmed samples are represented as black circles and control samples as grey circles.

Supplementary Figure 2 Boxplots of the relative abundances of orders in DNA and cDNA based bacterial communities. Controls are shown in white and OTC (warmed) samples are shown in red. Boxplots represent minimum values, first quartiles, medians, third quartiles and maximum values. Significance levels (* < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001) are based on Wilcoxon rank sum tests.

Tables
Supplementary Table 1 List of DESeq2 and indicator species for the warmed and the control conditions of the DNA based bacterial communities, .xlsx

Supplementary Table 2 List of DESeq2 and indicator species for the warmed and the control conditions of the cDNA based bacterial communities, .xlsx

Figures