1

Same Equilibrium. Different Kinetics. Protein Functional Consequences.

Sonja Schmid*[1,2], Thorsten Hugel*[1,3]

[1]*Institute of Physical Chemistry, University of Freiburg, Germany*

[2]*Present address: Department of Bionanoscience, Kavli Institute of Nanoscience Delft,*

Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

[3]*Signalling research centers BIOSS and CIBSS, Albert Ludwigs University, Freiburg, Germany*

Abstract

In a living cell, protein function is regulated in several ways, including post-translational modifications (PTMs), protein-protein interaction, or by the global environment (e.g. crowding or phase separation). While site-specific PTMs act very locally on the protein, specific protein interactions typically affect larger (sub-)domains, and global changes affect the whole protein in non-specific ways.

Herein, we directly observe protein regulation in three different degrees of localization, and present the effects on the Hsp90 chaperone system at the levels of conformational equilibria, kinetics and protein function. Interestingly using single-molecule FRET, we find that similar functional and conformational steady-states are caused by completely different underlying kinetics. Solving the complete kinetic rate model allows us to disentangle specific and non-specific effects controlling Hsp90's ATPase function, which has remained a puzzle up to this day. Lastly, we introduce a new mechanistic concept: functional stimulation through conformational confinement. Our results highlight how cellular protein regulation works by fine-tuning the conformational state space of proteins.

Significance

Proteins are perceived more and more as dynamic systems whose function depends critically on local and global flexibility. While 3D structures of proteins are frequently available today, our models often lack the time component, namely rate constants that determine protein function and regulation.

Here we used single-molecule FRET to elucidate how the chaperone protein Hsp90 is regulated on various levels, locally and globally. We find that ATPase stimulation occurs not only through specific interactions, but also non-specifically by reducing non-productive conformational flexibility; i.e. by changing kinetics rather than thermodynamics. Our work introduces 'stimulation through conformational confinement' as a general mechanistic concept. We anticipate that this concept plays an important role in protein regulation, phase separation, and in dynamic protein systems in general.

Maintext

Protein function is essential for life as we know it. It is largely encoded in a protein's amino-acid chain that dictates not only the specific 3D structure, but also the conformational flexibility and dynamics of a protein in a given environment. Precise regulation of protein function is vital for every living cell to cope with an ever-changing environment, and occurs on many levels pre- and post-translationally (1,2). After translation by the ribosome, protein function depends strongly on post-translational modifications (PTMs) (3), but also on binding of nucleotides (4), cofactors (5), various protein-protein interactions (PPIs) (6), and global effects, such as temperature (7), macro-molecular crowding and phase separation (8), redox conditions (9), osmolarity (10) etc. Importantly, this regulation occurs on very diverse levels of localization. Global effects affect the whole protein non-specifically, PPIs act at a given interface and site-specific modifications are very localized. Nevertheless, all of them influence the molecular properties that determine the 3D conformation,

the conformational dynamics, and thereby also the function of a protein (11-15). The chaperone

protein Hsp90 (16) is an excellent test system to investigate diverse regulation mechanisms (17). It

was recently discussed that a single PTM can functionally mimic a specific co-chaperone interac-

tion in human Hsp90 (18). Here we take a next step and disentangle how a PTM-related point mu-

tation, a co-chaperone interaction, and macro-molecular crowding affect the function, kinetics, and

thermodynamics of this multi-domain protein.

Fig. 1: Protein regulation uses different degrees of localization. *Mutations or PTMs act most locally, protein-protein interactions (PPIs) act on the protein domain level, and changes in the global environment, such as crowding or phase separation, act non-specifically and globally on the protein. Each of them affect conformational thermodynamics and kinetics to fine-tune the protein conformational state space and thereby protein function.*

Hsp90 is an important metabolic hub. Assisted by about twenty known cochaperones, yeast Hsp90

is involved in the maturation of 20% of the entire proteome (19). Among its substrates (referred to

as 'clients') are many kinases involved in signal transduction, hormone receptors, the guardian of

the genome p53 (20), but also cytoskeletal proteins, e.g. actin, tubulin, and many more (21,22).

Cancer cells were found to be 'addicted' to Hsp90 (23), which is therefor also a prominent drug

target in cancer research. Hsp90 is a homo-dimer where each monomer consists of three domains

(24): the N-terminal domain (N) with a slow ATPase function, the middle domain (M) believed to be

the primary client interaction site (25), and the C-terminal domain providing the main dimerization

contacts. Apart from closed conformations, where the three domains align in parallel, Hsp90 exists

primarily in v-shaped, open conformations with dissociated N-terminal and middle domains (26,27).

Both global arrangements are semi-stable at room temperature. As a consequence, Hsp90 alter-

nates constantly between open and closed conformations - even in the absence of the chemical

energy source, ATP (28-30). Surprisingly, the characteristic conformational changes of Hsp90 are

only little affected by e.g. anti-cancer drug candidates (31) or natural nucleotides (29). In addition,

to the stress-induced isoform discussed herein (Hsp82), there is also a cognate isoform (Hsc82) in

yeast, which differs in unfolding stability, client range etc. despite 97% sequence identity (32).

Here we present three orthogonal ways to modulate Hsp90's conformational state space, illustrat-

ed in **Fig. 1**. The investigated point mutation A577I is located in the C-terminal hinge region of

Hsp90. Residue A577 is the equivalent of a post-translational S-nitrosylation site in human Hsp90

(33). While nitrosylation of that residue has a two-fold inhibitory effect – on the ATPase function

and the client stimulation by human Hsp90 – the A577I mutation caused a nearly 4-fold amplifica-

tion of the ATPase rate (34). The fact that the point mutation is located far away from the ATP

binding site indicates a long-range communication from the C-domain all the way to the N-terminal

ATPase site, offering valuable, mechanistic insight in Hsp90's intra-molecular plasticity. Second,

we consider the protein-protein interactions between Hsp90 and the activating co-chaperone Aha1,

which is a well-known stimulator of Hsp90's inherently slow ATPase activity. It makes contacts to

the middle and N-terminal domain, which rearranges the ATP lid (35), and the catalytic loop (in-

cluding Arg380) (36) in a favorable way for ATP hydrolysis. The affinity of Aha1 for Hsp90 itself is

also markedly enhanced by PTMs (37). The third way of modulation mimics the crowding encoun-

tered in the cell, which is full of proteins, nucleic acids, vesicles and organelles. We mimic cellular

macro-molecular crowding using the common crowding agent Ficoll400, i.e. branched polymeric

sucrose. In contrast to the previous two modulations, crowding represents a completely non-

specific, physical interference.

At first sight, all three modulations provoke a similar steady-state behavior in Hsp90. But our sin-

gle-molecule experiments allow us to disentangle the different underlying causes thereof.

Results

Mutation, cochaperone and crowding show similar thermodynamics

First we follow yeast Hsp90's conformational kinetics in real-time using single-molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (smFRET) measured on a total-internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscope (**Fig. 2a**). The FRET pair configuration displayed in **Fig. 2a** (top) results in low FRET efficiency (little acceptor fluorescence) for v-shaped, open conformations of Hsp90, and high FRET efficiency (intense acceptor fluorescence) for more compact, closed conformations. This allows us to obtain steady-state information, like the population of closed conformation, and also the kinetics of conformational changes (29,38-40). Example traces obtained from three Hsp90 molecules under different conditions are displayed in **Fig. 2b**: for the point mutant A577I, in the presence of the cochaperon Aha1, or under macro-molecular crowding by Ficoll400. The observed transitions between the low- and high-FRET states reflect global opening or closing. **Fig. 2c** shows the steady-state population of open and closed conformations. In all three cases, a shift towards closed conformations is observed with respect to the corresponding reference distribution obtained under equivalent experimental conditions (see Methods for details).

The A577I mutation increased the closed population from 16% to 47%. This is a large change considering that this hydrophobic-to-hydrophobic mutation is not a drastic change to the overall charge distribution. In particular, as the slightly bulkier isoleucine side chain points outward in the crystal structure of Hsp90's closed conformation (24). In addition to the A577I homodimer, already the A577I/wild type (wt) hetero-dimer shows a considerably larger population of closed conformations, especially in the presence of ATP (**Fig. S1** left). Under ADP conditions the additive effect is also observed, but weaker (**Fig. S1** right). Under both conditions, the second A577I in the homodimer leads to a further shift towards closed conformations. The slight but consistent shift of the corresponding low-FRET peak in **Fig. 2c** (left) could be explained by a sterical hindrance of the farthest opening in the A577I homodimer. Furthermore, very fast transitions at the temporal resolution limit (200ms) occurred more frequently, which can be seen by the increased overlap between the two populations. Both, sterical hindrance and faster transitions, can be interpreted as a global stiffening of Hsp90's structural core formed by the C- and middle domain. The interaction with Aha1 (**Fig. 2c**, center) forms inter-domain (N-M) and inter-monomer contacts. The latter increase the affinity for N-

Fig. 2: Mutation, cochaperone interaction, and crowding show similar thermodynamic effects. *(a) Illustration of the single-molecule FRET experiment using an objective-type TIRF microscope (bottom): cross section through the objective, and flow chamber (both gray) and the dichroic mirror (black) separating the laser excitation (green) from the collected fluorescence (yellow). The zoom view (top) shows the fluorescently labeled Hsp90 (FRET donor, orange; acceptor red), which is immobilized on a PEG-passivated (dark blue) coverslip (light blue) using biotin-neutravidin coupling (black and gray). (b) Example time traces obtained from individual Hsp90 molecules for the point mutant A577I (top), in the presence of 3.5µM cochaperone Aha1 (center), or under macro-molecular crowding by 20wt% Ficoll400: FRET efficiency E (black), fluorescence of the FRET donor (green), acceptor (red), directly excited acceptor (gray). White and colored overlays denote low- and high-FRET dwells, respectively, as obtained using a hidden Markov model and the Viterbi algorithm. (c) FRET histograms compiled from many single-molecule trajectories as indicated, and normalized to unity (wt: wild type). Reference data (black) was measured under the specific conditions of each of the three experiment series (see Methods). The number of individual molecules included per histogram are: A577I, 181; wt, 163; +Aha1, 122; -Aha1, 231; +crowding, 50; -crowding, 81.*

N binding, and thus cause Hsp90 to shift from 29% closed to 46% closed population, which is in

line with previous qualitative reports (41,42). Lastly, macro-molecular crowding increased the

closed population from 14% to 52%, in agreement with previous ensemble findings (43). In con-

trast, to the A577I mutant, crowding slowed down fast fluctuation at the resolution limit, which cre-

ates well-separated populations in **Fig. 2c**). The induced shift towards closed conformations ap-

pears in a concentration-dependent manner, as can be seen in **Fig. S2**. In contrast to polymeric,

branched sucrose (Ficoll400), monomeric sucrose had only negligible effect on the population dis-

tribution. This proves that macro-molecular crowding is the cause of the observed population shift,

and a biochemical glucose-associated reason can be dismissed.

In all three cases, a clear shift towards closed conformations is observed, although to slightly dif-

ferent extents. Importantly, based on these distributions alone, the *energetic* origin of the popula-

tion shift remains unclear. I.e. whether the observations arise from a stabilization of closed confor-

mations, or a destabilization of the open conformations, or even a combination of both. To answer

these questions, we solved the full kinetic rate model, presented below.

Same thermodynamics, different conformational kinetics

Fig. 3a shows the kinetic rate models describing Hsp90's global opening and closing dynamics.

The significant changes caused by each type of modulation are highlighted in red and green as

indicated. The corresponding quantitative rate changes and confidence intervals are displayed in

Fig. 3b. We used the Single-Molecule Analysis of Complex Kinetic Sequences (SMACKS (29)) to

quantify rate constants and uncertainties directly from the smFRET raw data. For Hsp90's global

conformational changes, we consistently infer 4-state models (29,31,44): two low-FRET states

(open conformations) and two high-FRET states (closed conformations). Although only two differ-

ent FRET efficiencies can be resolved, at least four kinetic states are needed to describe the ob-

served kinetic heterogeneity. Based on recent results (27), we expect an entire ensemble of open

sub-conformations that - on the timescale of the experiment - are sufficiently well described by two

kinetically different low-FRET states. The two closed states, one short-lived (state 2) and one

longer-lived (state 3), likely differ in local conformational elements. The well-known N-terminal be-

ta-sheet with or without its cross-monomer contacts (as observed in the closed crystal structure

(24)) could explain the additional stabilization of state 3 with respect to state 2.

Fig. 3: Different conformational kinetics cause similar thermodynamics. *(a) Kinetic rate models observed for the point mutant A577I, the cochaperone Aha1, the macro-molecular crowding agent Ficoll400 - each compared to the reference data: wild-type, no Aha1, no crowding, respectively. Significant differences to the reference are highlighted in red and green. Conformational kinetics are described by four states: states 0,1 represent open conformations, and 2,3 are closed conformations. Large and small arrows and circles indicate the size of rates and populations, respectively. For crowding, only 6 links are found, as discussed previously for experiments in the absence of ATP (29,44). (b) The relative rate change under the three conditions in (a) with respect to the reference. Gray boxes show the 95% confidence interval of the reference data. Transition names and color code as in (a). All values are listed in **Table S1.** The molecule counts are the same as for **Fig. 2**.*

In the case of cochaperon Aha1, the shift in the FRET efficiency histogram originates from oppos-

ing changes of the fast rates between states 1 and 2 (**Fig. S3**). This is in contrast to the effect of

the C-terminal point mutation A577I, which collectively accelerates the 3-step pathway out of state

0 to state 3. Note that a kinetic model with only three links - similar to the model for crowding in

Fig. 3a) **right** - is statistically sufficient (according to likelihood ratio testing detailed in Ref (29) SI

point 1.2) to describe the observed kinetics of the A577I homodimer, implying less kinetically het-

erogeneous fluctuations (**Fig. S4**). This is further evidence in support of an overall stiffened struc-

ture of the A577I homodimer with a smoothened (less rough) energy surface, leading to relatively

streamlined conformational transitions rather than extensive random walks. Under macro-

molecular crowding, changes of the conformational dynamics are visible already from the station-

ary distributions: as shown in **Fig. 2a**, the low and high FRET populations are most separated in

this case. This is indicative of fast fluctuations, at or below the timescale of the sampling rate (5Hz)

that are slowed down at higher viscosity. Still, transitions between open and closed conformations

are regularly observed in the experiment (**Fig. S5**). For the fully resolved kinetics, the main differ-

ence is observed for the rates between the closed states 2 and 3. This agrees with the increase of

the closed population under macro-molecular – but not small molecular – crowding. Altogether,

Fig. 3 shows three completely different kinetic effects that underlie seemingly analogous ensemble

behavior.

Based on the complete kinetic rate models, we can now deduce the impact on free energies along

a specific spatial reaction coordinate, here the N-terminal extension (**Fig. 4**). The point mutation

A577I causes an asymmetric destabilization of open conformations. Aha1 leads to simultaneous

destabilization of open conformations and stabilization of closed conformations, whereas macro-

molecular crowding only stabilizes closed conformations. Importantly, this information is not acces-

sible from the steady-state distributions in **Fig. 2a**.

Fig. 4: Three contrasting effects on Hsp90's conformational energy land-scape. *(a) the open conformation is destabilized by the A577I mutation. (b) Aha1 inversely affects both equilibria. Whereas macro-molecular crowding (c) stabilizes the closed conformation. The dashed black line indicates the reference. This mechanistic information was obtained from all six rate models represented in **Fig. 3**, it is not accessible from **Fig. 2c** alone.*

188   **ATPase stimulation – to varying degrees**

189   The collective shift towards closed conformations is accompanied by an overall increase in

190   ATPase activity under all three conditions (**Fig. 5**): 7-fold for A577I, 17-fold for Aha1, 4-fold for

191   crowding, respectively. Thus, the increase in ATP hydrolysis rate does not reflect the increase in

192   the closed population observed in **Fig. 2c**. This is a first indication of causalities that involve more

Fig. 5: ATPase stimulation by local and global modulations: *by the point mutation A577I (34), in the presence of 3µM Aha1 (46), or 20wt% Ficoll400, each normalized by the activity of the wild type, without Aha1, without crowding, respectively.*

than just the occurrence of closed conformations. In the following, we dissect the molecular origins

of the increased ATPase activity. The effect of macro-molecular crowding can serve as an esti-

mate of the ATPase stimulation caused exclusively by the relative stabilization of closed confor-

mations, because a biochemical interaction of sucrose was excluded in control experiments (see

above). Remarkably, the entirely non-specific interaction leads already to a considerable ATPase

acceleration of a factor 4. This supports the wide-spread notion that the closed conformation rep-

resents Hsp90's active state (45). But, in comparison to the biochemical effect of the cochaperon

Aha1, the stimulation by crowding is still modest, despite the much larger closed population. Spe-

cifically, the 3.7-fold increased closed population, comes with a 4-fold increased ATPase activity,

whereas in the presence of Aha1 already a 1.6-fold increased closed population is accompanied

by a 17-fold ATPase stimulation. The fact that Aha1 induces the smallest increase in closed popu-

lation, but under the same conditions the largest ATPase stimulation, highlights the functional im-

portance of *specific* contacts between Aha1 and Hsp90, which are responsible for 88% of the

ATPase stimulation by Aha1.

In the case of the A577I mutant a 2.9-fold increased closed population comes with a 7-fold ATPase

amplification. This could result from the mentioned hindrance of extremely open states indicating a

conformational stiffening, restricting Hsp90's native flexibility. In other words, not only changes in

the equilibrium, but also changes in the kinetics affect the ATPase activity as discussed below.

Discussion

Herein we compare three types of Hsp90 modulations, spanning a wide range from a site-specific point mutation, via cochaperon binding, to completely non-specific macro-molecular crowding. All three modulations provoke a similar steady-state behavior, namely an increase in Hsp90's closed conformation and in Hsp90's ATPase rate. But significant differences in their kinetics, which could be revealed by single-molecule FRET. This can be rationalized by the emerging picture of yeast Hsp90, as a very flexible dimer that relies critically on external assistance (e.g. by cochaperones) to control this non-productive flexibility. For example, Hsp90's ATPase function requires the concerted action of the N-terminal nucleotide binding pocket with the ATP lid and distant elements such as the catalytic loop of the middle domain and parts of the opposite N-domain (the N-terminal β1-α1 segment). These elements – also called *the catalytic unit (45)* - however, are very flexible, such as the entire multi-domain dimer. Consequently, anything that constrains this flexibility and confines Hsp90 in a more compact conformation, has a high potential to increase the combined probability for such a concerted action - be it by specific or even non-specific interaction.

Fig. 6 shows that this can be understood as a direct result of combinatorics: in a flexible protein such as Hsp90, the catalytically active elements have many translational and rotational degrees of freedom. Thus, the probability for a certain hydrolysis-competent conformation is very small. It is however increased dramatically by conditions that constrain these degrees of freedom – even non-specifically – and localize the catalytically active elements. This notion can be further extended to cochaperone binding, and it also implies mutual effects upon client interaction. We conclude, while Hsp90's flexibility may facilitate its numerous interactions with diverse clients and cochaperones, the flexibility itself has substantial off-state character regarding the ATPase function of Hsp90.

A closer look at the regulation of Hsp90's conformational energy landscape by single-molecule

FRET shows the many ways to reach similar ensemble results. The point mutation A557I destabi-

lizes the open conformation, most probably by preventing access to a subset of the conformational

ensemble of open states. Macro-molecular crowding stabilizes the closed conformation by simple,

sterical confinement. The cochaperone Aha1 combines both mechanisms with additional specific

rearrangements. In theory, all three modulations can lead to the exactly same thermodynamic ob-

servation, and in fact we observe very similar steady-state distributions. Nevertheless, the kinetics

may still vary significantly – as experimentally demonstrated herein. This is an important mathe-

matical fact that holds true for all protein systems. Moreover, our findings indicate that regulation

by cochaperones - and protein-protein interactions in general - can have far-reaching thermody-

namic, kinetic, and functional consequences. Some of them can possibly be mimicked by individu-

al point mutations, but other consequences might be missed. Lastly, as shown in this work, already

non-specific, purely physical macro-molecular crowding has strong effects on thermodynamics,

kinetics and function, therefore caution is advised when relating *in vitro* findings to *in vivo* function.

As demonstrated herein, in many *in vitro* experiments macro-molecular crowding could easily be

included.

Fig. 6: Stimulation of protein function by conformational confinement - a result of combinatorics. In Hsp90 the hydrolysis-competent state consists of multiple flexible elements (illustrated as tetrahedrons) with many degrees of freedom (double-headed arrows), which all have to adopt a specific 3D configuration. The probability $P_{specific}$ for all elements with p_i to be in this specific configuration is tiny (center), compared to the combined probability for all other accessible configurations (left). Any specific or non-specific confinement (right) decreases the degrees of freedom of the individual elements, thus leading to a relative stabilization of the hydrolysis-competent state. (For clarity, arrows indicating degrees of freedom were omitted on the right.)

In conclusion, we demonstrated herein three ways of protein regulation, ranging from site-specific

localized to global modulations. All three show very similar thermodynamic observations, which

are, however, caused by clearly different conformational kinetics. This is direct evidence for the

importance of kinetics, and of a *dynamic* structure-function relationship in proteins. The reduction

of non-productive structural flexibility stimulates Hsp90's ATPase function – even by entirely non-

specific means. Our findings demonstrate that functional stimulation as a result of conformational

combinatorics plays an important role in protein regulation. We anticipate that such conformational

confinement - by localized *or* global modulations - is an important mechanistic concept with wide-

spread implications for protein function in diverse systems.

References

1. Vogel C & Marcotte EM (2012) Insights into the regulation of protein abundance from proteo-
mic and transcriptomic analyses. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 13(4):227-232.

2. Hirano A, Fu Y, & Ptáček LJ (2016) The intricate dance of post-translational modifications in
the rhythm of life. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* 23:1053 EP -.

3. Deribe YL, Pawson T, & Dikic I (2010) Post-translational modifications in signal integration.
Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 17(6):666-672.

4. Hodge RG & Ridley AJ (2016) Regulating Rho GTPases and their regulators. *Nature Reviews*
Molecular Cell Biology 17:496 EP -.

5. Weikum ER, Knuesel MT, Ortlund EA, & Yamamoto KR (2017) Glucocorticoid receptor control
of transcription: precision and plasticity via allostery. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*
18:159 EP -.

6. Scott DE, Bayly AR, Abell C, & Skidmore J (2016) Small molecules, big targets: drug discovery
faces the protein-protein interaction challenge. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery* 15:533 EP -.

7. Danielsson J et al. (2015) Thermodynamics of protein destabilization in live cells. *Proceedings*
of the National Academy of Sciences 112(40):12402-12407.

8. Uversky VN (2017) Intrinsically disordered proteins in overcrowded milieu: Membrane-less or-
ganelles, phase separation, and intrinsic disorder. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* 44:18 -
30.

9. Levin M, Pezzulo G, & Finkelstein JM (2017) Endogenous Bioelectric Signaling Networks: Ex-
ploiting Voltage Gradients for Control of Growth and Form. *Annual Review of Biomedical Engi-*
neering 19(1):353-387.

10. Nadal E & Posas F (2015) Osmostress-induced gene expression - a model to understand how
stress-activated protein kinases (SAPKs) regulate transcription. *FEBS Journal* 282(17):3275-
3285.

11. Schwenkert S, Hugel T, & Cox MB (2014) The Hsp90 ensemble: coordinated Hsp90-
cochaperone complexes regulate diverse cellular processes. *Nature Structural & Molecular Bi-*
ology 21:1017 EP -.

12. Lewandowski JR, Halse ME, Blackledge M, & Emsley L (2015) Direct observation of hierar-
chical protein dynamics. *Science* 348(6234):578-581.

13. Schummel PH, Haag A, Kremer W, Kalbitzer HR, & Winter R (2016) Cosolvent and Crowding
Effects on the Temperature and Pressure Dependent Conformational Dynamics and Stability
of Globular Actin. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 120(27):6575-6586.

14. Wei G, Xi W, Nussinov R, & Ma B (2016) Protein Ensembles: How Does Nature Harness
Thermodynamic Fluctuations for Life? The Diverse Functional Roles of Conformational En-
sembles in the Cell. *Chemical Reviews* 116(11):6516-6551.

15. Csizmok V & Forman-Kay JD (2018) Complex regulatory mechanisms mediated by the inter-
play of multiple post-translational modifications. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* 48:58 -
67.

16. Schopf FH, Biebl MM, & Buchner J (2017) The HSP90 chaperone machinery. *Nature Reviews*
Molecular Cell Biology 18:345 EP -.

17. Stetz G, Tse A, & Verkhivker GM (2018) Dissecting Structure-Encoded Determinants of Allo-
steric Cross-Talk between Post-Translational Modification Sites in the Hsp90 Chaperones.
Scientific Reports 8(1):6899.

18. Zuehlke AD et al. (2017) An Hsp90 co-chaperone protein in yeast is functionally replaced by
site-specific posttranslational modification in humans. *Nature Communications* 8(1):15328.

19. Taipale M, Jarosz DF, & Lindquist S (2010) HSP90 at the hub of protein homeostasis: emerg-
ing mechanistic insights. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 11(7):515-528.

20. Lane DP (1992) p53, guardian of the genome. *Nature* 358(6381):15-16.

21. Pearl LH & Prodromou C (2006) Structure and mechanism of the Hsp90 molecular chaperone
machinery. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* 75:271-294.

22. Khandelwal A, Crowley VM, & Blagg BSJ (2016) Natural Product Inspired N-Terminal Hsp90
Inhibitors: From Bench to Bedside?. *Medicinal Research Reviews* 36(1):92-118.

23. Trepel J, Mollapour M, Giaccone G, & Neckers L (2010) Targeting the dynamic HSP90 com-
plex in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 10(8):537-549.

24. Ali M et al. (2006) Crystal structure of an Hsp90-nucleotide-p23/Sba1 closed chaperone com-
plex. *Nature* 440(7087):1013-1017.

25. Verba KA et al. (2016) Atomic structure of Hsp90-Cdc37-Cdk4 reveals that Hsp90 traps and
stabilizes an unfolded kinase. *Science* 352(6293):1542-1547.

26. Krukenberg KA, Foerster F, Rice LM, Sali A, & Agard DA (2008) Multiple Conformations of E.
coli Hsp90 in Solution: Insights into the Conformational Dynamics of Hsp90. *Structure*
16(5):755-765.

27. Hellenkamp B, Wortmann P, Kandzia F, Zacharias M, & Hugel T (2017) Multidomain structure
and correlated dynamics determined by self-consistent FRET networks. *Nat Meth* 14(2):174-
180.

28. Mickler M, Hessling M, Ratzke C, Buchner J, & Hugel T (2009) The large conformational
changes of Hsp90 are only weakly coupled to ATP hydrolysis. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 16(3):281-
286.

29. Schmid S, Götz M, & Hugel T (2016) Single-Molecule Analysis beyond Dwell Times: Demon-
stration and Assessment in and out of Equilibrium. *Biophysical Journal* 111(7):1375 - 1384.

30. Lee BL et al. (2019) The Hsp90 Chaperone: 1H and 19F Dynamic Nuclear Magnetic Reso-
nance Spectroscopy Reveals a Perfect Enzyme. *Biochemistry* 58(14):1869-1877.

31. Schmid S, Götz M, & Hugel T (2018) Effects of Inhibitors on Hsp90's Conformational Dynam-
ics, Cochaperone and Client Interactions. *ChemPhysChem* 19(14):1716-1721.

32. Girstmair H et al. (2019) The Hsp90 isoforms from S. cerevisiae differ in structure, function and
client range. *Nature Communications* 10(1):3626.

33. Martinez-Ruiz A et al. (2005) S-nitrosylation of Hsp90 promotes the inhibition of its ATPase
and endothelial nitric oxide synthase regulatory activities. *Proceedings of the National Acade-
my of Sciences of the United States of America* 102(24):8525-8530.

34. Retzlaff M et al. (2009) Hsp90 is regulated by a switch point in the C-terminal domain. *EMBO
Reports* 10(10):1147-1153.

35. Schulze A et al. (2016) Cooperation of local motions in the Hsp90 molecular chaperone
ATPase mechanism. *Nature Chemical Biology* 12:628 EP -.

36. Pearl LH (2016) Review: The HSP90 molecular chaperone-an enigmatic ATPase. *Biopolymers*
105(8):594-607.

37. Xu W et al. (2019) Hsp90 middle domain phosphorylation initiates a complex conformational
program to recruit the ATPase-stimulating cochaperone Aha1. *Nature Communications*
10(1):2574.

38. Roy R, Hohng S, & Ha T (2008) A practical guide to single-molecule FRET. *Nat Meth* 5(6):507-
516.

39. Lerner E et al. (2018) Toward dynamic structural biology: Two decades of single-molecule
Förster resonance energy transfer. *Science* 359(6373):.

40. Mazal H & Haran G (2019) Single-molecule FRET methods to study the dynamics of proteins
at work. *Current Opinion in Biomedical Engineering* 12:8 - 17.

41. Hessling M, Richter K, & Buchner J (2009) Dissection of the ATP-induced conformational cycle
of the molecular chaperone Hsp90. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 16(3):287-293.

42. Wortmann P, Götz M, & Hugel T (2017) Cooperative Nucleotide Binding in Hsp90 and Its Reg-
ulation by Aha1. *Biophysical Journal* 113(8):1711-1718.

43. Halpin JC, Huang B, Sun M, & Street TO (2016) Crowding Activates Heat Shock Protein 90.
Journal of Biological Chemistry 291(12):6447-6455.

44. Schmid S & Hugel T (2018) Efficient use of single molecule time traces to resolve kinetic rates,
models and uncertainties. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 148(12):123312.

45. Prodromou C (2012) The `active life' of Hsp90 complexes. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta
(BBA) - Molecular Cell Research* 1823(3):614 - 623.

46. Jahn M et al. (2014) The charged linker of the molecular chaperone Hsp90 modulates domain
contacts and biological function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 111(50):17881-17886.

47. Lee NK et al. (2005) Accurate FRET Measurements within Single Diffusing Biomolecules Us-
ing Alternating-Laser Excitation. *Biophysical journal* 88(4):2939-2953.

48. Hellenkamp B et al. (2018) Precision and accuracy of single-molecule FRET measurements-a
multi-laboratory benchmark study. *Nature Methods* 15(9):669-676.

49. Li J, Richter K, Reinstein J, & Buchner J (2013) Integration of the accelerator Aha1 in the
Hsp90 co-chaperone cycle. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 20(3):326-331.

50. Panaretou B et al. (2002) Activation of the ATPase Activity of Hsp90 by the Stress-Regulated
Cochaperone Aha1. *Molecular Cell* 10(6):1307-1318.

51. Tamura JK & Gellert M (1990) Characterization of the ATP binding site on Escherichia coli
DNA gyrase. Affinity labeling of Lys-103 and Lys-110 of the B subunit by pyridoxal 5'-
diphospho-5'-adenosine. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 265(34):21342-9.

Methods

Protein construct preparation:

Yeast Hsp90 dimers (UniProtKB: P02829) with a C-terminal coiled-coil motif (kinesin neck region of *D. melanogaster*) were used to avoid dissociation at low concentrations (28). Previously published cysteine positions (41) allowed for specific labeling with donor (61C) or acceptor (385C) fluorophores (see below). Point mutation A577I was introduced using QuikChange Lightning Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies). The constructs were cloned into a pET28b vector (Novagen, Merck Biosciences, Billerica, MA). They include an N-terminal His-tag followed by a SUMO-domain for later tag cleavage. The QuickChange Lightning kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) was used to insert an Avitag for specific *in vivo* biotinylation at the C-terminus of the acceptor construct. *Escherichia coli* BL21star cells (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) were cotransformed with pET28b and pBirAcm (Avidity Nanomedicines, La Jolla, CA) by electroporation (Peqlab, Erlangen, Germany) and expressed according to Avidity's *in vivo* biotinylation protocol. The donor construct was expressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3)cod+ (Stratagene, San Diego, CA) for 3 h at 37°C after induction with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at $OD_{600} = 0.7$ in LB_{Kana}. A cell disruptor (Constant Systems, Daventry, United Kingdom) was used for lysis in both cases. Proteins were purified as published (46) (Ni-NTA, tag cleavage, anion exchange, size exclusion chromatography). 95% purity was confirmed by SDS-PAGE. Fluorescent labels (Atto550- and Atto647N-maleimide) were purchased from Atto-tec (Siegen, Germany) and coupled to cysteins according to the supplied protocol. If not stated differently, all chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

Single-molecule FRET measurements

smFRET was measured as previously detailed using a home built TIRF setup (29). Hetero-dimers (acceptor + donor) were obtained by 20 min incubation of 1 μM donor and 0.1 μM biotinylated acceptor homodimers in measurement buffer (40 mM Hepes, 150 mM KCl, and 10 mM $MgCl_2$, pH7.5) at 47°C. This favors biotinylated heterodimers to bind to the polyethylene glycol (PEG, Rapp Polymere, Tuebingen, Germany) passivated and neutravidin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) coated fluid chamber. Residual homodimers are recognized using alternating laser excitation (ALEX) of donor and acceptor dyes (47,48) and excluded from analysis. For optimal interac-

tion affinity with Aha1, measurements were performed in low salt buffer (40mM Hepes, 20mM KCl,

5mM $MgCl_2$, pH 7.5 with 3.5μM Aha1 and 2mM ATP). For comparison, data without Aha1 was

measured accordingly. Notably, significant binding was previously found for Aha1 with labeled

Hsp90-385C at much lower concentration of 0.3μM (49), which is exactly the dissociation constant

reported for unlabeled Hsp90 (50). This implies that, although not directly detectable in the experi-

ment, Hsp90 exists predominantly in complex with Aha1 under the used conditions. A577I/wt con-

structs were created through monomer exchange (see above). They are distinguished from both

kinds of homodimers through the fluorescence signal (donor+acceptor). Measurements were per-

formed in measurement buffer plus 2mM ATP if not stated differently. Macro-molecular crowding

was mimicked by 20wt% polymeric sucrose, known as Ficoll 400 (Sigma Aldrich) in measurement

buffer if not stated differently.

Activity Assay

ATPase activity was measured at 37°C coupled to NADH oxidation, which was followed as a de-

crease in absorption at 340nm using an ATP regenerative assay similar to (51): 0.2mM NADH Di-

Na, Roche; 2mM phosphoenol pyruvate K-salt, Bachem; 2 U/ml pyruvate kinase, Roche; 10 U/ml

lactate dehydrogenase, Roche; in 40mM Hepes, 150mM KCl, 10mM $MgCl_2$, pH 7.5).

Acknowledgements

The cochaperone Aha1 was a kind gift of Dr. Markus Jahn. This work has been funded in part by

the European Research Council through ERC grant agreement no. 681891 and the Deutsche For-

schungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 403222702 – SFB 1381.

SS acknowledges the Postdoc.Mobility fellowship no. P400PB_180889 by the Swiss National Sci-

ence Foundation.