Wang et al

1 Defining Essential Enhancer for Pluripotent stem cells using Features Oriented

2 CRISPR-Cas9 Screen

- 3 Hao Fei Wang^{1,2,8}, Tushar Warrier^{1,2,8}, Chadi EL Farran¹, Zheng Zihao¹, Qiao Rui
- 4 Xing^{1,3}, Melissa J Fullwood^{3,4}, Li-Feng Zhang³, Hu Li⁵, Jian Xu^{2*}, Tit-Meng Lim^{2*},

5 Yuin-Han Loh^{1,2,6,7*}

- 6 ¹Epigenetics and Cell Fates Laboratory, Programme in Stem Cell, Regenerative
- 7 Medicine and Aging, A*STAR Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, Singapore
 8 138673, Singapore
- ²Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, 117543,
 Singapore
- 10 Singapore
- ³School of Biological Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, 637551,
 Singapore
- ⁴Cancer Science Institute of Singapore, National University of Singapore, Singapore.
- ⁵Center for Individualized Medicine, Department of Molecular Pharmacology &
- 15 Experimental Therapeutics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota 55905, USA
- ⁶NUS Graduate School for Integrative Sciences and Engineering, National University
- 17 of Singapore, 28 Medical Drive, 117456, Singapore
- 18 ⁷Department of Physiology, NUS Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, 2 Medical
- 19 Drive, MD9, 117593, Singapore
- 20 ⁸These authors contributed equally to this work
- 21
- 22 *Correspondence:
- 23 Yuin-Han Loh, Epigenetics and Cell Fates Laboratory, A*STAR, Institute of
- Molecular and Cell Biology, 61 Biopolis Drive Proteos, 138673, Singapore; e-mail:
- 25 yhloh@imcb.a-star.edu.sg
- 26 <u>Tit-Meng Lim</u>, Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore,
- 27 117543, Singapore; e-mail: <u>dbsltm@nus.edu.sg</u>
- 28 Jian Xu, Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore,
- 29 117543, Singapore; e-mail: dbsxj@nus.edu.sg
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36

Wang et al

3	7
-	-

38

39 ABSTRACT

40 Cis Regulatory Elements (CREs) regulate the expression of the genes in their 41 genomic neighborhoods and influence cellular processes such as cell-fate 42 maintenance and differentiation. To date, there remain major gaps in the functional 43 characterization of CREs and the identification of its target genes in the cellular native 44 environment. In this study, we performed a Features Oriented CRISPR Utilized 45 Systematic (FOCUS) screen of OCT4-bound CREs using CRISPR/Cas9 to identify 46 functional enhancers important for pluripotency maintenance in mouse ES cells. From 47 the initial 235 candidates tested, 16 CREs were identified to be essential stem cell 48 enhancers. Using RNA-seq and genomic 4C-seq, we further uncovered a complex 49 network of candidate CREs and their downstream target genes, which supports the 50 growth and self-renewal of mESCs. Notably, an essential enhancer, CRE111, and its 51 target, *Lrrc31*, form the important switch to modulate the LIF-JAK1-STAT3 signaling 52 pathway.

53

54 KEY WORDS

55 CRISPR screen, OCT4-Bound, Essential cis-regulatory elements, LRRC31,
56 JAK-STAT3, Super-enhancer, Pluripotency

57

58 INTRODUCTION

59 Cis-regulatory elements(CREs) are regions of non-coding DNA that regulate the

Wang et al

60 expression of their target genes. 11% of the mouse genome was predicted to be 61 non-redundant cis-regulatory elements (Shen et al., 2012). CREs were found to play 62 roles in governing cell identity by regulating cell-type specific transcriptomic profiles 63 (Buecker et al., 2014; Shen et al., 2012). Over the years, detailed identification and 64 characterization of cell-type specific CREs were made possible through collaborative 65 efforts such ENCODE and the Roadmap epigenomic project. Its hallmark includes the 66 ability to regulate gene expression independent of their orientation and distance away from the target genes. Furthermore, a single CRE may regulate the expression of 67 68 several genes at any one time or target different downstream genes in different cell 69 types (Shlyueva et al., 2014). Notably, the major gaps in our knowledge of the 70 cis-regulatory elements are the functional characterizations and the identifications of 71 their target genes in the cell type of interest. 72 Many genetic approaches, such as reporter assays and STARR-seq (Arnold et al., 2013), were developed to address this. However, these methods relied heavily on the 73 74 functional readout of the enhancer fragment outside of their native genomic

75 architecture, which led to inaccurate representations of their endogenous activity. To

fully address the contribution of cis-regulatory elements to biological systems within
their genomic environment, it is imperative to disrupt their activities *in situ* within
their genomic environment.

Pluripotency is the ability of stem cells to differentiate into all other cell types thatconstitute the entire organism. In the past few decades, many studies have defined the

Wang et al

81	essential genes involved in maintaining pluripotency. Among them, Oct4 was
82	identified as a master transcription factor for the regulation of pluripotency and
83	self-renewal in embryonic stem cells. The genomic binding profile of OCT4 protein
84	in both the mESCs and hESCs has been elucidated (Chen et al., 2008; Loh et al.,
85	2006; Boyer et al., 2005). Interestingly 30% of OCT4 bound sites were mapped to the
86	distal regions (10-100kb) of the nearest gene, or to the gene deserts (>100kb to the
87	nearest gene) (Loh et al., 2006). Recently several studies have been published on the
88	characterization of CREs centered around the genomic regions of the Oct4 gene (Diao
89	et al., 2016; Diao et al., 2017).
00	
90	Here, we describe a Features Oriented CRISPR Utilized Systematic (FOCUS) screen

91 for essential OCT4-bound sites in mouse ES cells. From the screen, we identified 92 seventeen high-confidence cis-regulatory elements which are critical for the 93 maintenance of ES cells. Using a system approach, integrating genomic and 94 functional analyses, such as ChIP-seq, ATAC-Seq, RNA-Seq, HiC-Seq, 4C-Seq, 95 genetic knock-down, proteomics and rescue experiments, we further defined the target 96 genes for these CREs and uncovered several novel regulators including the 97 LRRC31-JAK-STAT3 axis, which plays a critical role in governing the proper signal 98 transduction in ES cells.

99

100

Wang et al

101

102

103 RESULTS

104 FOCUS identified essential cis-regulatory elements for pluripotency 105 maintenance

106 In order to functionally dissect the Oct4 bound cis-regulatory elements (CREs) that 107 are essential for pluripotency maintenance in mouse ES cells, a Features Oriented 108 CRISPR Utilized Systematic (FOCUS) screen of OCT4-bound CREs was conducted. 109 To build the FOCUS library (Figure 1A, Figure S1A), we first collated mESC 110 ATAC-seq data to ascertain the accessible chromatin regions(Li et al., 2017). Out of 111 27,513 sites identified, 6,392 demonstrated high confidence OCT4-binding based on 112 published ChIP-Seq datasets (Table S1) (Chen et al, 2008). Using FIMO (Grant et al., 113 2011), we next determined intergenic OCT4-bound CREs, which contain the Oct4 114 motif. Finally, we shorted listed putative targets for the primary screen after taking 115 into account the presence of PAM motif (NGG) in or near the CREs.

sgRNAs were designed for 235 CREs based on their targetability by the
CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing system, as assessed by the CRISPR sgRNA designer
tool (Table S2) (Doench et al, 2016). Two non-targeting sgRNAs (NT1 and NT2)
were used as negative controls in the FOCUS screen, whereas sgRNA against the

120	Oct4 distal enhancer (DE) was used as a positive control (Figure 1B). Two
121	independent read-outs, namely the Oct4 immunofluorescence signal and the Oct4-DE
122	mCherry reporter signal, were used. We validated the specificity of the Oct4-DE
123	mCherry reporter by comparing its activities in E14 mESCs, differentiated E14 and
124	MEF cells (Figure S1B, S1C and S1D). To eliminate the bias introduced by the
125	number of cells in our screens, we first assessed the correlation between cell number
126	and OCT4 immunofluorescence signal in mESCs (Figure S1E). To this end, the
127	correlation was used to normalize OCT4 immunofluorescence signal derived from
128	both the primary and secondary screens. For each of the 235 cis-regulatory elements,
129	z-score was calculated from 4 biological replicates (Figure 1C). Based on a targeted
130	error rate of 0.05, a cut-off threshold of absolute value >2 SD from the mean of the
131	non-targeting controls was used to determine candidate CREs which resulted in the
132	reduction of OCT4 signal when they were knocked out (KO). Expectedly, the control
133	sgRNA targeting the Oct4 DE was the top hit from the screen with an average z-score
134	of -4 (Figure 1C, Table S3). The observation that the average z-scores of both
135	non-targeting sgRNAs fell within -2 to +2 further increased the confidence of our
136	FOCUS screen (Figure 1C). To exclude the error introduced during the imaging
137	analysis, random images of hits were extracted to confirm the reduction of OCT4
138	immunofluorescence signal (Figure 1D). To further test the robustness of our screen,
139	the result was independently normalized to the two non-targeting sgRNAs, and the
140	correlation between these two sets of analyses was calculated. We observed a Pearson

Wang et al

141	correlation coefficient of 0.9 (Figure S1F). We next examined the Oct4 DE mCherry
142	signal for the KO CREs. We detected good correlation between OCT4
143	immunofluorescence and Oct4 DE mCherry signal for both the hit and non-hit CREs
144	(Figure 1E). To confirm their knock-out, the targeting efficiency of 10 randomly
145	picked hit CRE sgRNAs were examined using the SURVEYOR assay (Figure S1G).
146	For three CREs, we evaluated the percentage of mutated Oct4 motif in the
147	sgRNA-transfected mESCs using DNA sequencing. Around 38% to 47% of the
148	sgRNA-transfected mESCs showed DNA deletion at the targeted Oct4 motif (Figure
149	S1H). Further, to exclude off-target effects of the sgRNAs, a secondary screen was
150	performed using independent sgRNAs targeting the 19 hit CREs identified from the
151	primary screen. Of note, 16 candidate CREs showed consistent phenotypes from the
152	two batches of sgRNA KO (Figure 1F).

153 Knockout of candidate CRE hits affects both the maintenance and establishment

154 of pluripotent stem cells

A detailed evaluation of the deleterious effects of knocking out the candidate CREs was then undertaken. First, to examine the consequence of our CREs on self-renewal of mESCs, we assayed colony formation in cells in which candidate CREs were knocked out. For seven CREs, a marked decrease in the ability of the cells to form ES-like colonies was observed, based on a final colony count after alkaline phosphatase (AP) staining (**Figure 2A**). We detected similar phenotypic outcome

Wang et al

161	when CREs were knocked out in ES-D3 cells using a different set of sgRNAs (Figure
162	S2A). Next, the expression of a set of pluripotency and differentiation genes was
163	determined by qRT-PCR upon knockout of candidate CREs in ES-E14 cells. As
164	expected, the Oct4 expression level decreased significantly when candidate CREs
165	were knocked out (Figure 2B). Interestingly, we did not observe comparable
166	downregulation of Nanog and Sox2 across the different CREs KO. For most CREs, a
167	universal increase in the expression of differentiation markers was detected with no
168	tendency towards any particular lineage. Notably, we observed a specific upregulation
169	of Gata6 for KO CRE 4, suggesting that individual CREs may regulate pluripotency
170	through varied pathways. We then induced differentiation towards the ectoderm
171	lineage in ES-E14 cells by treatment with retinoic acid, followed by the measurement
172	of the expression of several marker genes (Pax6, Gbx2, Foxj3, Mcm7 and Sox1)
173	(Zhang et al., 2015). Notably, knockout of the candidate CREs led to an increase in
174	the expression of ectoderm markers, suggesting that the loss of function of our
175	candidate CREs could destabilize the pluripotency state of ES cells and prime the
176	mES cells for directed differentiation (Figure 2C, S2B).

Next, a somatic cell reprogramming assay was performed by infecting MEFs with the
sgRNAs to knock out candidate CREs, before reprogramming them to induced
pluripotency via the OSKM retroviral system (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006).
Decreased reprogramming efficiency was seen for most candidate CREs as compared
to the non-targeting control, when assessed by colony counting based on AP staining

Wang et al

182 10 days post-infection (d.p.i). (Figure 2D, S2C). In order to ascertain that the final 183 phenotypic effects were independent of any proliferation defects, a cell proliferation 184 assay was performed for these CREs KO MEFs. Only slight increase in cell proliferation rate was observed in most of the CREs KO MEFs compared to the KO 185 186 NT. This suggests that the reduced reprogramming efficiency was indeed specific and 187 not due to changes in cell proliferation (Figure S2D). We then examined the 188 chromatin state of candidate CREs based on ATAC-seq datasets (Fang et al., 2018). 189 For the hit CREs which affected the reprogramming process, we observed a gradual 190 opening of its chromatin regions from Day 0 to Day 12 of reprogramming (Figure 191 2E, S2E and S2F). Together, the data suggests that the candidate CREs identified 192 from the FOCUS screen play important roles in both the maintenance and 193 establishment of pluripotent stem cells.

194 Candidate CREs display enhancer activity in mESCs

We next investigated the profile of histone markers (H3K4me, H3K4me3, H3K27ac,
H3K9ac, H3K9me3 and H3K27me3) on our hit CREs using published ENCODE
datasets(ENCODE Project Consortium, 2012). A significant enrichment of active
enhancer histone marks (H3K4me1 and H3K27ac) was detected in our candidate
CREs (Figure 3A, 3B, S3A, S3D and S3E). Apart from histone modifications, a
significant enrichment was also observed for pluripotency-associated transcription
factors (OCT4, SOX2 and NANOG) and active enhancer-related transcription factors

Wang et al

202	(MED1 and P300) (Figure 3C, S3B, S3D and S3E) (Chen et al., 2008). The
203	enrichment of transcription factors' motifs was further compared between the hit
204	CREs and non-hit CREs. Notably, a specific enrichment of pluripotency-related
205	transcription factor motifs (Brn1, Zic3, Sf1 and Arnt) was detected in the hit CREs
206	which reinforced the significances of multiple transcription factors co-binding on
207	functional enhancers (Figure 3D, S3C) (Forristal et al., 2010; Fuellen and
208	Struckmann, 2010; Gu et al., 2005; Lim et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2018). Of note, when
209	Zic3 or Brn1 motif were mutated, the enhancer activity of CRE132 was further
210	depleted, indicating the importance of these identified motifs (Figure 3E).

211 We next examined active enhancer histone marks, namely H3K4me and H3K27ac, in 212 four candidate CREs disrupted by sgRNAs (Figure 3F). We observed that the 213 enrichment of these histone marks, as well as OCT4 binding (Figure S3H), was 214 significantly reduced. This suggests that the binding of OCT4 is essential for the 215 maintenance of the active histone marks on enhancer regions. Notably the decrease 216 was specific to the locus where individual CREs were knocked out (Figure 3F, S3F 217 and S3G). Furthermore, we functionally assessed the enhancer activity of the CREs 218 using a luciferase reporter assay. Consistent with the enrichment of active histone 219 marks and transcription factors, our hit CREs displayed a significantly elevated 220 luciferase signal in E14 mES cells as compared to the control NIH3T3 cells. 221 Remarkably, the luciferase activities decreased when ES-E14 cells were induced to 222 differentiate via the introduction of retinoic acid. This strongly suggests that the

Wang et al

223	enhancer activities of our candidate CREs are specific to the pluripotent mESCs
224	(Figure 3G, S3I). Taken together, our data indicates that a majority of the CRE hits
225	have important functional roles in pluripotent cells as active enhancers.

226 Knockout of candidate CREs elicited overlapping and distinct transcriptomic

- 227 effects
- 228 To further evaluate the function of candidate CREs in ES cells, RNA-seq libraries 229 were generated for each CRE knockout in ES-E14. All the RNA-seq libraries were of 230 good quality (Figure S4A, S4B). As expected, Oct4 expression was downregulated in 231 all CREs KO RNA-seq libraries (Figure S4C). Gene ontology (GO) analysis was 232 performed on both the up-regulated and down-regulated genes when candidate CREs 233 were knocked out. Genes involved in cell differentiation, endodermal cell lineage and 234 multicellular organism development were enriched in the up-regulated genes. We also 235 detected enrichment of genes implicated in the activation of MAPK activity and 236 positive regulation of ERK1 and ERK2 cascade, supporting the notion that the 237 knockout of hit CREs could prime ES cells for differentiation process (Figure 4A) 238 (Lanner and Rossant, 2010). Amongst the down-regulated genes, a significant 239 enrichment for genes involved in stem cell population maintenance was observed 240 (Figure 4A). Apart from that, we also detected an enrichment for the WNT signalling 241 pathway which has been reported to be involved in the maintenance of pluripotency in 242 mESCs (Miyabayashi et al., 2007; Sokol et al., 2011). Using CTEN analysis, we

Wang et al

uncovered the enrichment of different lineage-associated genes when candidate CREswere knocked out (Figure 4B).

245	Next, we performed Pearson correlation analysis and principal component analysis
246	(PCA) on these RNA-seq libraries (Figure 4C, 4D, S4E). Surprisingly, CRE111 and
247	CRE 210 appeared as two distinct clusters compared to the other CREs. KEGG
248	pathway analysis of the genes downregulated in CRE111 and CRE210 showed an
249	enrichment for signalling pathways involved in pluripotency maintenance (Figure
250	S4D). To test the similarity between CRE111, CRE210 and Oct4 DE, dysregulated
251	genes were compared between each KO CRE RNA-seq library and the KO Oct4 DE
252	RNA-seq library (Figure 4E, S4F). Indeed, KO CRE210 and CRE111 seemed to
253	elicit transcriptomic profiles more similar to the KO Oct4 DE. Through combinatorial
254	knockout of CRE111 and CRE210, we observed a further reduction in Oct4
255	expression and increase in differentiation gene expression compared to single
256	knockout, suggesting these two CREs could affect pluripotency through different
257	mechanism (Figure 4F, S4H). When we compared CRE111 KO RNA-seq library with
258	the Oct4 KD microarray library, a significant overlap was also observed (Figure S4G)
259	(Loh et al., 2006).

260 Candidate CREs regulate novel and known pluripotency genes

261 Next, to investigate the 3D genomic regions interacting with the hit CREs, we262 performed 4C-seq on seven CREs which showed the strongest phenotype in our

263	functional assay (Figure 2). Out of these obtained high quality 4C-seq libraries for 4
264	CREs, we managed to get 4C-seq libraries with good quality (Figure 5A, S5A, S5B
265	and Table S5), we then integrated the 4C-seq targets with KO CRE RNA-seq
266	libraries to validate the functional enhancer-promoter interactions (Figure 5B, Figure
267	S5E). For two CREs, CRE111 and CRE132, their interaction to putative target genes
268	based on 4C-seq were validated using a detailed 3C assay (Figure S5D). Of the four
269	CREs we examined for 3D interactions, most of the interacting regions localized
270	between 5kb and 50kb to the nearest transcription start site (TSS) (Figure S5C).
271	For the remaining CREs, we performed an integrative analysis based on the published
272	Hi-C dataset (Bonev et al., 2017) and our KO CRE RNA-seq libraries to predict the
273	interacting gene targets (Figure 5C, S5F and S5G). Consistent with previous reports
274	that topologically associating domains (TADs) are the boundaries for
275	enhancer-promoter interactions, most of the interactions of candidate CREs happened
276	within a TAD (Figure 5A, 5C and S5F) (Dixon et al., 2012). Interestingly, for
277	CRE210, we identified Zfp322a (Ma et al., 2014), a known pluripotent gene, as its
278	putative target. This further support the notion that our candidate hit CREs are critical
279	to pluripotency maintenance (Figure 5C, 5D and S5E). Interestingly, potential
280	interacting target genes of our candidate CREs formed a tight protein-protein network
281	with known pluripotency regulators (Figure 5E). Furthermore, when we knocked
282	down the target genes using siRNA, we observed significant decrease in the
283	expression of pluripotent markers such as Oct4 and Sox2, and a differentiation

Wang et al

284 morphology as compared to siNT control (Figure 5E, 5F).

285 Lrrc31 regulates the maintenance of pluripotency in mES cells through the

286 JAK-STAT3 signaling pathway

We next focused on one of the hit CREs, CRE 111, to further elucidate its detailed underlying mechanism in pluripotency maintenance. Firstly, to understand the functional significance of the interaction between CRE111 and its putative target gene, *Lrrc31*, we examined its over expression upon CRE111 knockout. Indeed, we observed partial rescue of the CRE111 KO dysregulated genes (**Figure 5H**). Hence, CRE111 may function through its activation of *Lrrc31* to govern stem cell pluripotency.

294 To address the role by which *Lrrc31* effects pluripotency in mESCs, we first knocked 295 down Lrrc31 using either siRNA or shRNA. Both assays lead to a differentiation 296 morphology when Lrrc31 was depleted (Figure 6A). As seen in the CRE 111 297 knock-out mESCs, Oct4 expression was similarly decreased in Lrrc31 depleted cells 298 (Figure S6A), while the expression of differentiation genes was markedly 299 upregulated (Figure 6B). Apart from knocking down Lrrc31, we also generated 300 Lrrc31 KO clones using CRISPR (Figure S6D). Compared to NT clone, Lrrc31 KO 301 leads to a significant reduction of pluripotent genes' expression and self-renewal 302 capacity of mESCs (Figure 6C, S6E). We next evaluated the role played by Lrrc31 in 303 mouse somatic cell reprogramming. Using siRNA, we depleted Lrrc31 at different

Wang et al

304	time points during reprogramming and observed a specific reduction of
305	reprogramming efficiency when Lrrc31 was knocked down at day 9 post viral
306	infection (Figure 6D, S6C). Conversely, we observed drastic upregulation of Lrrc31
307	in successfully reprogrammed cells compared to non-reprogrammed cells from our
308	previously published RNA-seq datasets (Figure S6F) (Fang et al, 2018). Taken
309	together, this data suggests that Lrrc31 is an important effector for the acquisition of
310	pluripotency at the late stage of reprogramming.

To further elucidate its mechanistic role, we performed RNA-seq on *Lrrc31* knock-down mESCs. GO analysis on the upregulated genes showed enrichment for receptor binding function (**Figure S6I**). Consistent with qRT-PCR (**Figure 6B**), genes related to cell differentiation function were also enriched. A significant overlap between the *Lrrc31* knockdown and CRE111 knockout RNA-seq libraries was similarly observed, further supporting the notion that CRE111 controls pluripotency by regulating downstream *Lrrc31* (**Figure S6G**).

LRRC31 contains nine leucine-rich repeat domains, which was previously reported to
function as a protein recognition motif (Kobe et al, 2001). We next overexpressed
LRRC31-FLAG in mESCs and performed a mass spectrometry analysis to detect its
binding partners (Figure 6E). A cytoplasmic localization was observed for LRRC31
in mESCs (Figure S6B). 18 proteins were identified as high confidence hits from two
biologically independent samples performed at different proteomics facilities (Table

Wang et al

324	S6). Among them, JAK1 was one of the top hits. Of interest, JAK1 has previously
325	been reported to phosphorylate STAT3 in mESCs (Do et al., 2013; Onishi and
326	Zandstra, 2015). Hence, we investigated the level of STAT3 phosphorylation upon
327	Lrrc31 knock down. A marked reduction in phosphorylated STAT3 was observed
328	when Lrrc31 was depleted (Figure 6F). To further assess the effect of Lrrc31 on
329	STAT3 function, STAT3 ChIP was performed on the Lrrc31 knockdown cells. Lrrc31
330	knockdown resulted in a significantly decreased binding of STAT3 on its target genes,
331	including pluripotency factors such as Oct4 and Esrrb (Figure 6H, S6J, S6K).
332	Consequentially, decreased expression of STAT3 target genes in Lrrc31 knockdown
333	ES-E14 was observed (Figure S6A). Furthermore, a rescue of both the STAT3
334	binding and the expression of its target gene, Esrrb, was revealed when a dominant
335	active STAT3 mutant was expressed in Lrrc31 depleted ES-E14 (Figure 6H, 6I).
336	Similar rescue was also observed for the Lrrc31 KD-specific differentially expressed
337	genes when dominant active STAT3 was overexpressed in Lrrc31 KD mESC (Figure
338	S6L). Interestingly, CRE111 was also bound by phospho-STAT3 and the binding was
339	reduced in Lrrc31 knockdown, suggesting a positive feedback regulation of Lrrc31
340	expression (Figure 6G). This was further validated using luciferase assay of CRE111
341	when <i>Lrrc31</i> or <i>Stat3</i> was depleted in ES-E14 (Figure 6J).

342 Next, we hypothesized that the down-regulation of *Lrrc31* in F9 mouse embryonal

343 carcinoma and hESCs, where LIF/JAK1/STAT3 signalling pathway is not essential,

344 would not affect their respective cell state (Dahéron et al., 2004; Kawazoe et al.,

Wang et al

345	2009). As expected, both knock down of <i>Lrrc31</i> and knock out of CRE111 in F9 cells
346	did not affect the expression of pluripotency genes, such as Oct4 (Figure S6M and
347	S6N). Similarly, knock down of Lrrc31 in hESCs elicited the same effects (Figure
348	S6O). Taken together, our data suggests that <i>Lrrc31</i> functions as a novel regulator for
349	pluripotency maintenance in mESCs by effecting the phosphorylation of STAT3 in
350	mESCs.

351 *Lrrc31* regulated the binding of STAT3 to the super-enhancers

352 To further elucidate the regulatory relations between Lrrc31 and STAT3, we 353 performed STAT3 ChIP-seq in mESCs when Lrrc31 was knocked down by shRNAs. 354 An enrichment of STAT3 motif was detected indicating the good quality of our 355 STAT3 ChIP-seq libraries (Figure 7A). By overlapping the differential STAT3 356 binding peaks of two Lrrc31 shRNA constructs, 4267 binding sites were chosen as the 357 bona fide Lrrc31-regulated STAT3 binding sites (Figure 7B). GO analysis revealed that pluripotency-related signaling, such as TGF-beta and Wnt pathways, were 358 359 regulated by *Lrrc31*(Figure 7C).

Next, we clustered STAT3 ChIP-seq libraries with published transcription factors and
histone modification ChIP-seq libraries (Figure 7D, 7E). Out of the four clusters,
cluster 2 and cluster 4 showed quite a similar pattern with the decreased STAT3
binding and enrichment of active histone modifications, H3K4me3 and H3K27ac,
SMC1 and OCT4 (Figure 7E). For both clusters, we detected the enrichment of

Wang et al

365	pluripotent related genes. Interestingly, for cluster 3, we observed a strong enrichment
366	for CTCF and the deprivation of active histone modifications, which is confirmed by
367	the motif enrichment analysis (Figure S7A). To test whether the binding of STAT3 is
368	essential for the binding of CTCF at the cluster 3 sites, we performed CTCF
369	ChIP-qRT-PCR after the knock-down of Lrrc31, Stat3 or control NT in mESCs.
370	Interestingly, a reduction of the CTCF's binding of cluster 3 sites was detected when
371	either Stat3 or Lrrc31 was knocked down (Figure 7F).
372	Apart from CTCF, we also observed an enrichment of both MED1 and H3K27ac,
373	markers for super-enhancer, on the cluster 2 and cluster 4 sites (Figure 7E). Using
374	published super-enhancer dataset, we detected an enrichment of STAT3 on the
375	super-enhancers of mESCs and the enrichment was reduced when Lrrc31 was

- knocked down (Figure 7G, 7H, S7B and S7D). GO analysis on the STAT3-bound
- 377 super-enhancers' target genes revealed an enrichment of genes associated with stem
- 378 cell population maintenance (Figure S7C). Moreover, these target genes' expression
- 379 was significantly reduced in *Lrrc31* knock-down mESCs (Figure 7I). Together, our
- data suggested that, *Lrrc31* actuates the binding of STAT3 on the super-enhancers of
- 381 mESCs through its regulation of STAT3 phosphorylation (Figure 7J).

382 **DISCUSSION**

383 Several recently-published studies sought to characterize and identify important CREs384 which may be involved in biological processes of interest (Diao et al., 2017; Fulco et

Wang et al

385 al., 2016; Korkmaz et al., 2016). These screens utilized a pooled strategy involving 386 the application of large number of CRE specific sgRNAs. Nonetheless, pooled 387 screens have been reported to result in high false discovery rates, in part due to the 388 introduction of biases at different stages of the screen. Whereas, our FOCUS screen 389 pre-selected 235 CREs based on ATAC-seq and OCT4 ChIP-seq data in mESCs. 390 Furthermore, each CRE was knocked out individually to assess its function in 391 pluripotency. As a result, of the 19 CREs identified as putative hits from our primary 392 screen, 84% could be validated in the secondary screen. This indicates the robustness 393 and reliability of our method. To date, our study is the first systematic CRISPR screen 394 to identify novel CREs, localized to the intergenic genomic regions, involved in the 395 regulation of pluripotency.

396 Of note, we detected a large number of the candidate CREs which displayed enhancer 397 activity in mESCs. This further highlights the key role that enhancer elements play in 398 the maintenance of cell identity. Lending support to the observation was the 399 enrichment of pluripotency transcription factors and proteins relating to enhancer 400 function (eg. P300 and MED1) at our essential stem cell CREs. It is noteworthy that 401 from the FOCUS screen, 5 candidate CREs did not exhibit characteristics typical of 402 active enhancers, suggesting that they might regulate pluripotency in a different 403 manner.

404 CRE 111, an essential stem cell enhancer was found to target and regulate *Lrrc31*.

19

Wang et al

405	Using protein mass spectrometry and ChIP, we further observed that Lrrc31 functions
406	through JAK1 to modulate the phosphorylation of STAT3. It is worth noting that the
407	expression level of LRRC31 is maintained at basal level in mESCs and the
408	overexpression of LRRC31 shows strong cytotoxicity in mESCs (data not shown).
409	This suggests that LRRC31 expression in mESCs is fine-tuned within an optimal
410	range for its normal cellular function.

411 As with all screens, our study has its drawbacks, including the limited number of 412 targetable CREs. In our strategy, CRE KO is achieved by mutating the Oct4 motif 413 inside the CRE using CRISPR Cas9. Based on published literature (Cong et al., 414 2013), Cas9 usually will generate indel from 1bp to 20bp through NHEJ. Thus, 415 making the sgRNAs targeting within the 14bp Oct4 Motif is extremely important for 416 the efficiency of CRE KO. But, due to the PAM domain restriction, a large number of 417 CRE (364 CREs) with Oct4 motif do not have a targetable site within the motif. Thus, 418 by using modulated Cas9 fusion proteins, such as dCas9-LSD1 or dCas9-KRAB, we 419 can mitigate the restrictions and to increase the coverage of FOCUS screen. Similarly, 420 use of Cas variants with alternative PAM domain, would enable the targeting of more 421 CREs with less sequence restriction (Kearns et al., 2015).

422

In summary, the FOCUS screen described in our study represents the first systematic
dissection of functional OCT4-bound CREs which are essential for pluripotency
maintenance. It illuminates a previously undescribed layer of regulatory mechanisms,

Wang et al

426 one of which includes CREs and its target genes, in the overall pluripotency circuit
--

427 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

- 428 We thank Yu Tao, Fang Haitong, Nickolas Teo, Aloysi Aloysius Jun-Hui Quek and
- 429 Samantha Seah for technical assistance and editorial suggestions. Y-H-L is supported
- 430 by the [NRF Investigatorship Award NRF12018-02], [JCO Development
- 431 Programme Grant 1534n00153)] and the [National Medical Research Council
- 432 NMRC/CBRG/0092/2015].
- 433

434 **REFERENCE**

- 435 Arnold, C.D., Gerlach, D., Stelzer, C., Boryn, L.M., Rath, M., and Stark, A. (2013).
- 436 Genome-Wide Quantitative Enhancer Activity Maps Identified by STARR-seq.
- **437** Science *339*, 1074–1077.
- 438 Buecker, C., Srinivasan, R., Wu, Z., Calo, E., Acampora, D., Faial, T., Simeone, A.,
- 439 Tan, M., Swigut, T., and Wysocka, J. (2014). Reorganization of Enhancer Patterns in
- 440 Transition from Naive to Primed Pluripotency. Cell Stem Cell *14*, 838–853.
- 441 Bonev, B., Mendelson Cohen, N., Szabo, Q., Fritsch, L., Papadopoulos, G.L.,
- 442 Lubling, Y., Xu, X., Lv, X., Hugnot, J.-P., Tanay, A., et al. (2017). Multiscale 3D
- 443 Genome Rewiring during Mouse Neural Development. Cell 171, 557–572.e24.
- 444 Boyer, L. A., Lee, T. I., Cole, M. F., Johnstone, S. E., Levine, S. S., Zucker, J. P., ...
- 445 & Gifford, D. K. (2005). Core transcriptional regulatory circuitry in human
- 446 embryonic stem cells. Cell, 122(6), 947-956.
- 447 Chen, X., Xu, H., Yuan, P., Fang, F., Huss, M., Vega, V.B., Wong, E., Orlov, Y.L.,
- 448 Zhang, W., Jiang, J., et al. (2008). Integration of External Signaling Pathways with
- the Core Transcriptional Network in Embryonic Stem Cells. Cell *133*, 1106–1117.
- 450 Cong, L., Ran, F.A., Cox, D., Lin, S., Barretto, R., Habib, N., Hsu, P.D., Wu, X.,
- 451 Jiang, W., Marraffini, L.A., et al. (2013). Multiplex Genome Engineering Using
- 452 CRISPR/Cas Systems. Science 339, 819–823.

453 454 455	Dahéron, L., Opitz, S.L., Zaehres, H., Lensch, M.W., Lensch, W.M., Andrews, P.W., Itskovitz-Eldor, J., and Daley, G.Q. (2004). LIF/STAT3 signaling fails to maintain self-renewal of human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells 22, 770–778.
456 457 458	Diao, Y., Fang, R., Li, B., Meng, Z., Yu, J., Qiu, Y., Lin, K.C., Huang, H., Liu, T., Marina, R.J., et al. (2017). A tiling-deletion-based genetic screen for cis-regulatory element identification in mammalian cells. Nature Methods <i>14</i> , 629–635.
459 460 461	Diao, Y., Li, B., Meng, Z., Jung, I., Lee, A.Y., Dixon, J., Maliskova, L., Guan, KL., Shen, Y., and Ren, B. (2016). A new class of temporarily phenotypic enhancers identified by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genetic screening. Genome Res. <i>26</i> , 397–405.
462 463 464	Dixon, J.R., Selvaraj, S., Yue, F., Kim, A., Li, Y., Shen, Y., Hu, M., Liu, J.S., and Ren, B. (2012). Topological domains in mammalian genomes identified by analysis of chromatin interactions. Nature <i>485</i> , 376–380.
465 466 467 468	Do, D.V., Ueda, J., Messerschmidt, D.M., Lorthongpanich, C., Zhou, Y., Feng, B., Guo, G., Lin, P.J., Hossain, M.Z., Zhang, W., et al. (2013). A genetic and developmental pathway from STAT3 to the OCT4-NANOG circuit is essential for maintenance of ICM lineages in vivo. Genes Dev. <i>27</i> , 1378–1390.
469 470	ENCODE Project Consortium (2012). An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. Nature 489, 57–74.
471 472 473	Fang, H.T., EL Farran, C.A., Xing, Q.R., Zhang, LF., Li, H., Lim, B., and Loh, YH. (2018). Global H3.3 dynamic deposition defines its bimodal role in cell fate transition. Nature Communications <i>9</i> , 1537.
474 475 476	Forristal, C.E., Wright, K.L., Hanley, N.A., Oreffo, R.O.C., and Houghton, F.D. (2010). Hypoxia inducible factors regulate pluripotency and proliferation in human embryonic stem cells cultured at reduced oxygen tensions. Reproduction <i>139</i> , 85–97.
477 478	Fuellen, G., and Struckmann, S. (2010). Evolution of gene regulation of pluripotencythe case for wiki tracks at genome browsers. Biol. Direct <i>5</i> , 67.
479 480 481 482	Fulco, C.P., Munschauer, M., Anyoha, R., Munson, G., Grossman, S.R., Perez, E.M., Kane, M., Cleary, B., Lander, E.S., and Engreitz, J.M. (2016). Systematic mapping of functional enhancer–promoter connections with CRISPR interference. Science <i>354</i> , 769–773.
483 484	Grant, C.E., Bailey, T.L., and Noble, W.S. (2011). FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given motif. Bioinformatics 27, 1017–1018.
485 486	Gu, P., Goodwin, B., Chung, A.C.K., Xu, X., Wheeler, D.A., Price, R.R., Galardi, C., Peng, L., Latour, A.M., Koller, B.H., et al. (2005). Orphan Nuclear Receptor LRH-1
	20

- 487 Is Required To Maintain Oct4 Expression at the Epiblast Stage of Embryonic
- 488 Development. Molecular and Cellular Biology 25, 3492–3505.
- 489 Kawazoe, S., Ikeda, N., Miki, K., Shibuya, M., Morikawa, K., Nakano, S., Oshimura,
- 490 M., Hisatome, I., and Shirayoshi, Y. (2009). Extrinsic factors derived from mouse
- 491 embryonal carcinoma cell lines maintain pluripotency of mouse embryonic stem cells
- through a novel signal pathway. Dev. Growth Differ. *51*, 81–93.
- 493 Kearns, N.A., Pham, H., Tabak, B., Genga, R.M., Silverstein, N.J., Garber, M., and
- 494 Maehr, R. (2015). Functional annotation of native enhancers with a Cas9–histone
- demethylase fusion. Nature Methods *12*, 401–403.
- 496 Kim, H.-S., Tan, Y., Ma, W., Merkurjev, D., Destici, E., Ma, Q., Suter, T., Ohgi, K.,
- 497 Friedman, M., Skowronska-Krawczyk, D., et al. (2018). Pluripotency factors
- 498 functionally premark cell-type-restricted enhancers in ES cells. Nature 556, 510–514.
- Kobe, B., Kajava A. (2001) The leucine-rich repeat as a protein recognition motif.
 Current Opinion in Structural Biology *11*.725-732.
- 501 Korkmaz, G., Lopes, R., Ugalde, A.P., Nevedomskaya, E., Han, R., Myacheva, K.,
- 502 Zwart, W., Elkon, R., and Agami, R. (2016). Functional genetic screens for enhancer
- elements in the human genome using CRISPR-Cas9. Nat. Biotechnol. *34*, 192–198.
- Lanner, F., and Rossant, J. (2010). The role of FGF/Erk signaling in pluripotent cells.
 Development *137*, 3351–3360.
- Li, D., Liu, J., Yang, X., Zhou, C., Guo, J., Wu, C., Qin, Y., Guo, L., He, J., Yu, S., et
 al. (2017). Chromatin Accessibility Dynamics during iPSC Reprogramming. Cell
- 508 Stem Cell 21, 819–833.e6.
- 509 Lim, L.S., Loh, Y.-H., Zhang, W., Li, Y., Chen, X., Wang, Y., Bakre, M., Ng, H.-H.,
- and Stanton, L.W. (2007). Zic3 is required for maintenance of pluripotency in
- 511 embryonic stem cells. Molecular Biology of the Cell *18*, 1348–1358.
- 512 Loh, Y.-H., Wu, Q., Chew, J.-L., Vega, V.B., Zhang, W., Chen, X., Bourque, G.,
- 513 George, J., Leong, B., Liu, J., et al. (2006). The Oct4 and Nanog transcription
- network regulates pluripotency in mouse embryonic stem cells. Nat. Genet. 38, 431–
 440.
- 516 Ma, H., Ng, H.M., Teh, X., Li, H., Lee, Y.H., Chong, Y.M., Loh, Y.-H., Collins, J.J.,
- 517 Feng, B., Yang, H., et al. (2014). Zfp322a Regulates mouse ES cell pluripotency and
- 518 enhances reprogramming efficiency. PLoS Genet. 10, e1004038.
- 519 Miyabayashi, T., Teo, J.-L., Yamamoto, M., McMillan, M., Nguyen, C., and Kahn,
- 520 M. (2007). Wnt/beta-catenin/CBP signaling maintains long-term murine embryonic

521 522	stem cell pluripotency. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104, 5668–5673.
523 524	Onishi, K., and Zandstra, P.W. (2015). LIF signaling in stem cells and development. Development 142, 2230–2236.
525 526 527	Shen, Y., Yue, F., McCleary, D.F., Ye, Z., Edsall, L., Kuan, S., Wagner, U., Dixon, J., Lee, L., Lobanenkov, V.V., et al. (2012). A map of the cis-regulatory sequences in the mouse genome. Nature 488, 116–120.
528 529	Shlyueva, D., Stampfel, G., and Stark, A. (2014). Transcriptional enhancers: from properties to genome-wide predictions. Nat Rev Genet <i>15</i> , 272–286.
530 531	Sokol, S.Y. (2011). Maintaining embryonic stem cell pluripotency with Wnt signaling. Development <i>138</i> , 4341–4350.
532 533 534	Takahashi, K., and Yamanaka, S. (2006). Induction of Pluripotent Stem Cells from Mouse Embryonic and Adult Fibroblast Cultures by Defined Factors. Cell <i>126</i> , 663– 676.
535 536 537	Zhang, J., Gao, Y., Yu, M., Wu, H., Ai, Z., Wu, Y., Liu, H., Du, J., Guo, Z., and Zhang, Y. (2015). Retinoic Acid Induces Embryonic Stem Cell Differentiation by Altering Both Encoding RNA and microRNA Expression. PLoS ONE <i>10</i> , e0132566.
538	
539	
540	
541	
542	
543	
544	
545	
546	
547	
548	
549	

585 586 587	biological replicates.
588	
589	
590	
591	
592	
593	
594	
595	
596	
597	
598	
599	
600	
601	
602	
603	
604	
605	Figure 2. Knockout of candidate CRE hits affects both the maintenance and
606	establishment of pluripotent stem cells.
607	
608	(A)Colony formation assay showing that the knock-out of candidate CREs
609	compromised self-renewal of mESCs. Left: Schematic of colony formation assay.
610	Middle: Normalized AP+ colony number of CREs (black) knockout. KO NTs
611	(grey) were used as negative controls, while KO Oct4 DE and KO Sox2 Enh (red)
612 613	were used as positive controls. The red dotted line shows the level of normalized $A_{\rm PL}$ colory number of KO NT2. The her obert shows mean + SD of 2 biological
614	AP+ colony number of KO NT2. The bar chart shows mean ±SD of 3 biological
615	replicates. Right: Representative images of each candidate CRE knockout. (B) qRT-PCR showing decreased <i>Oct4</i> expression and increased lineage-specific gene
616	expression at Day 3 post knockout of candidate CREs. Each row represents the
617	expression of a gene. Each column represents the knockout of an individual
618	candidate CRE. Data shown was normalized to E14 transfected with KO NT
619	sgRNA.
620	(C) qRT-PCR showing increased expression of differentiation genes when candidate
621	hit CREs were knocked out during RA-induced differentiation. Cells transfected
622	with KO NT sgRNA was used as control (grey) and all data was normalized to it.
623	The bar chart shows mean \pm SD of 3 replicates. Student's t-test was used for
624	statistical analysis. * represents p-value <0.05.
625	(D)Knockout of candidate CREs affected the establishment of pluripotency during
626	somatic cell reprogramming. Schematic of the experiment (top). Reprogramming

627	efficiency was assayed at 10 d.p.i by AP staining (bottom). KO NT (grey) was
628	used as a negative control, while KO Oct4 DE (red) was used as a positive control.
629	The bar chart shows mean \pm SD of 3 biological replicates.
630	(E) Average enrichment plot of ATAC-seq signal of candidate CREs during somatic
631	cell reprogramming. Different time points are shown in different colours.
632	
633	
634	
635	
636	
637	
638	
639	
640	
641	
642	
643	
644	
645	
646	
647	Figure 3. Candidate CREs display typical enhancer activity in mESCs.
648	
649	(A) Average enrichment plot of histone marks on hit CREs. Different histone marks
650	are indicated in different colours.
651	(B) Enrichment of H3K4me1 (left) and H3K27ac (right) on hit CREs (black)
652	compared to a negative control region (grey) by ChIP-qPCR. Oct4 distal enhancer
653	was used as positive control (dark red). The red dotted line shows the background
654	enrichment of the negative control region. Data are representative of at least 3
655	independent experiments. The bar chart shows mean \pm SD.
656	(C) Average enrichment plot of pluripotency transcription factors on hit CREs.
657	Different transcription factors are indicated in different colours.
658	(D) Transcription factor motifs enriched at candidate CRE sites.
659	(E) Luciferase activity of reporter plasmids containing CRE132 with mutated Zic3
660	and $Brn1$ motif. The bar chart shows mean \pm SD of 3 independent experiments.
661	(F) Knockout of Oct4 motif decreased the enrichment of H3K4me1 (left) and
662	H3K27ac (right) active histone marks on candidate CREs. Each row represents
663	the relative enrichment of the histone modifications on five candidate CREs when
664	one CRE was knocked out using sgRNA. The relative enrichment of histone
665	marks is shown in a color scale ranging from blue (decreased binding) to red (no
666	change as compared to control).
667	(G)Luciferase activity of reporter plasmids containing a fragment of candidate CREs
668	in E14 (black), RA treated E14 (dark grey) and 3T3 (light grey) (right). Schematic

669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682		of the experiment was shown on the left. The bar chart shows mean \pm SD of 3 independent experiments.
683		
684		
685		
686		
687		
688		
689	Fig	gure 4. Knockout of candidate CREs elicited overlapping and distinct
690	tra	unscriptomic effects.
691		
692	A)	Gene Ontology analysis of differentially expressed genes in the CRE KO libraries.
693		X-axis represents the -Log10(p-Value) and Y-axis represents GO term.
694	B)	Lineage enrichment analysis of the CREs KO RNA-seq libraries. Each row
695		represents a single lineage. Each column represents individual CRE KO RNA-seq
696		libraryLog10 (Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted P) is represented as colour from
697	~	red (enriched) to yellow (most enriched)
698	C)	Pearson correlation analysis shows that CREs 111 and 210 clustered distinctly.
699 700		The correlation score is represented as colour from yellow (low) to red (high)
700 701	D)	Principal component analysis (PCA) of the CRE KO RNA-seq libraries. CRE111
701		clustered distinctively from the other CREs. KO NTs are shown in grey, CREs are shown in blue.
		SHOWH HEDHIE
70.3	E)	
703 704	E)	Stacked column plot showing the percentage of overlapped genes which were
704	,	Stacked column plot showing the percentage of overlapped genes which were differentially expressed between CRE KO and <i>Oct4</i> DE RNA-seq libraries.
704 705	,	Stacked column plot showing the percentage of overlapped genes which were differentially expressed between CRE KO and <i>Oct4</i> DE RNA-seq libraries. Combinatorial KO of CRE111 and CRE210 further decreased the expression of
704 705 706	,	Stacked column plot showing the percentage of overlapped genes which were differentially expressed between CRE KO and <i>Oct4</i> DE RNA-seq libraries. Combinatorial KO of CRE111 and CRE210 further decreased the expression of <i>Oct4</i> compared to single KO. Cells transfected with KO NT sgRNA was used as
704 705 706 707	,	Stacked column plot showing the percentage of overlapped genes which were differentially expressed between CRE KO and <i>Oct4</i> DE RNA-seq libraries. Combinatorial KO of CRE111 and CRE210 further decreased the expression of <i>Oct4</i> compared to single KO. Cells transfected with KO NT sgRNA was used as control (grey) and all data was normalized to it. The bar chart shows mean ± SD
704 705 706	,	Stacked column plot showing the percentage of overlapped genes which were differentially expressed between CRE KO and <i>Oct4</i> DE RNA-seq libraries. Combinatorial KO of CRE111 and CRE210 further decreased the expression of <i>Oct4</i> compared to single KO. Cells transfected with KO NT sgRNA was used as

7	1	1	
7	1	1	

715 716	Fig	gure 5. Candidate CREs regulate novel and known pluripotency genes.
717 718 719 720 721 722	A)	Integrative genomic view of Hi-C, ChIP-seq and RNA-seq data of CRE 111. Top panel: Hi-C interactions within the same TAD of CRE111. Middle panel: ChIP-seq UCSC browser of pluripotency and architectural proteins, as well as active enhancer marks. Bottom panel: RNA-seq UCSC browser of KO CRE111 and KO NT. The location of CRE111 is highlighted using red line. Putative target of CRE111 is indicated using grey shades.
723	B)	The bar chart showing the expression change of genes located within the same
724		TAD as CRE111 when CRE111 was knocked out. The y-axis represents the
725		-Log2(Fold change). The genes with decreased expression are shown as the blue
726	\mathbf{C}	bars. The genes with increased expression are shown as the red bars.
727 728	C)	Integrative genomic view of Hi-C, ChIP-seq and RNA-seq data of CRE 210. Top panel: Hi-C interactions within the same TAD of CRE210. Middle panel:
729		ChIP-seq UCSC browser of pluripotency and architectural proteins, as well as
730		active enhancer marks. Bottom panel: RNA-seq UCSC browser of KO CRE210
731		and KO NT. The location of CRE210 is highlighted using red line. Putative target
732		of CRE210 is indicated using grey shades.
733	D)	The bar chart showing the expression change of genes located within the same
734		TAD as CRE210when CRE210 was knocked out. The y-axis represents the
735		-Log2(Fold change). The genes with decreased expression are shown as the blue
736		bars. The genes with increased expression are shown as the red bars.
737	E)	Target genes of CREs form a tight network with known pluripotency regulators.
738		Target genes are shown as red circles. Known pluripotency regulators are shown
739	_	as grey circles.
740	F)	qRT-PCR showing that knockdown of CRE target genes (black) decreased the
741		expression of <i>Oct4</i> (top panel) and <i>Sox2</i> (bottom panel) significantly as compared
742 742	\mathbf{C}	to siNT control (grey). The bar chart shows mean \pm SD of 3 replicates.
743 744	G)	Brightfield images showing morphology changes in E14 cells induced by knockdown of CRE target genes.
745	H)	The interaction of CRE 111 and <i>Lrrc31</i> in E14 cells was confirmed by the 3C
746	11)	assay. X-axis shows 3C fragments corresponding to actual genomic locations.
747		Y-axis shows relative interaction frequency between E14 and the BAC control.
748		The corresponding location of each fragment in the genome is indicated using red
749		dotted line. The bar chart shows mean \pm SD of 3 replicates.
750	I)	qRT-PCR showing gene expression in the rescue of the expression of upregulated
751		(red) or downregulated (blue) genes in CRE 111 KO, by the overexpression of
752		LRRC31 in E14 cells. Red dotted line represents the relative expression of genes
753		in WT E14 mESCs. The bar chart shows mean \pm SD of 3 replicates.
754		

Wang et al

Figure 6. *Lrrc31* regulates the maintenance of pluripotency in mES cells through the JAK-STAT3 signaling pathway.

- 757
- A) Brightfield images showing the effects of knocking down *Lrrc31* using both
 siRNA and shRNA. Note the drastic change in morphology of the E14 cells.
- B) qRT-PCR showing that *Lrrc31* knock-down resulted in reduced expression of pluripotency genes and corresponding increase in expression of differentiation genes. Two constructs of *Lrrc31* shRNA are shown as dark and light blue bars, while the vector control is shown in grey. The bar chart shows mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments.
- 765 C) qRT-PCR showing that knockout of Lrrc31using sgRNA reduced the expression 766 of pluripotency genes. Two clones of *Lrrc31-/-* are shown as orange and yellow 767 bars, while the *Lrrc31* +/+ is shown in grey. The bar chart shows mean \pm SD of 3 768 independent experiments.
- D) Knockdown of *Lrrc31* at different time points of somatic cell reprogramming process. Top panel: Schematic of the *Lrrc31* knockdown during somatic cell reprogramming. Middle panel: Reprogramming efficiency (AP+ colony numbers) was assayed at 12 d.p.i. *Lrrc31* knockdown (black) at 9 d.p.i gave rise to reduced reprogramming efficiency as compared to the siNT control (grey). The bar chart shows mean ±SD of 3 biological replicates. Bottom panel: Representative images of each treatment group.
- E) Identification of LRRC31 interacting partners. Schematic of mass spectrometry
 experiment using LRRC31-FLAG pull down (left). Potential interacting partners
 of LRRC31 (right). Differentially enriched bands were highlighted with black
 arrows. The 75 KDa band represents the bait, LRRC31-FLAG protein. The
 100KDa band represents potential interacting partners of LRRC31. The protein ID
 is listed in the box. High confidence hits are highlighted in red.
- F) Western blot shows that *Lrrc31* knockdown caused the corresponding decrease in
 STAT3 phosphorylation. Band intensity was quantified and normalized to ACTIN.
- G) ChIP qRT-PCR showing that the knockdown of *Lrrc31* decreased the binding of phospho-STAT3 on its targets in E14 cells. The shRNA of *Lrrc31* is shown as black bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as control (light grey). shRNA targeting *Stat3* was used as positive control (dark red). The bar chart shows mean ±SD of 3 independent experiments.
- H) Rescue of STAT3 binding on its target genomic regions by STAT3 dominant active mutant overexpression in *Lrrc31* knockdown ES-E14. The STAT3 dominant active mutant overexpression in *Lrrc31* knockdown ES-E14 is shown as black bars. The *Lrrc31* knockdown only is shown as white bars. The empty vector of pSUPER with STAT3 dominant active mutant overexpression was used as control (light grey). The bar chart shows mean ± SD of 3 replicates.
- 795 I) Rescue of *Esrrb* expression by STAT3 dominant active mutant overexpression in
 796 *Lrrc31* knockdown ES-E14. The bar chart shows mean ± SD of 3 replicates.

797 798 799 800	J)	Relative luciferase activity of CRE111 reporter plasmids in sh <i>Lrrc31</i> transfected E14 (black), sh <i>Stat3</i> transfected E14 (red) and shEV transfected E14 (grey). The bar chart shows mean \pm SD of 3 replicates.
801		
802		
803		
804		
805		
806		
807		
808		
809		
810		
811		
812		
813		
814		
815		
816		
817		
818		
819		
820		
821		
822		

Wang et al

 A) Transcription factor motifs enriched at STAT3 binding peaks. B) The Venn diagram showing the overlap of differentially STAT3 binding sits between two Lrrc31 shRNA constructs. C) Gene ontology analysis of differentially STAT3 binding sites when Lrrc31 w knocked down using shRNA. X-axis represents the -Log10(p-Value) and Y-ax represents GO term. D) Pearson correlation analysis shows the correlation of STAT3 binding per between sh<i>Lrrc31</i> and sh<i>STAT3</i>. The correlation score is represented as colo from yellow (low) to red (high) E) Enrichment of several histone marks and transcription factors at the genom regions of STAT3 binding sites. The heatmaps are clustered according to the enrichment profile of all the ChIP-seq libraries indicated ChiP-seq library. F) ChIP qRT-PCR showing that both <i>Lrrc31</i> knock-down and <i>Stat3</i> knock-dow reduced the binding of CTCF on the cluster 3 STAT3 binding sites. The shRNA <i>Lrrc31</i> is shown as red bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as contra (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart show mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the super-enhancer when <i>Lrrc31</i> was knocked down. The y-axis represents average
 B) The Venn diagram showing the overlap of differentially STAT3 binding sitt between two Lrrc31 shRNA constructs. C) Gene ontology analysis of differentially STAT3 binding sites when Lrrc31 w knocked down using shRNA. X-axis represents the -Log10(p-Value) and Y-ax represents GO term. D) Pearson correlation analysis shows the correlation of STAT3 binding pears between sh<i>Lrrc31</i> and sh<i>STAT3</i>. The correlation score is represented as colo from yellow (low) to red (high) E) Enrichment of several histone marks and transcription factors at the genome regions of STAT3 binding sites. The heatmaps are clustered according to the enrichment profile of all the ChIP-seq libraries indicated in the figure. The two panel represent the average enrichment plot of the indicated ChiP-seq library. F) ChIP qRT-PCR showing that both <i>Lrrc31</i> knock-down and <i>Stat3</i> knock-dow reduced the binding of CTCF on the cluster 3 STAT3 binding sites. The shRNA <i>Lrrc31</i> is shown as red bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as contra (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart show mean ±SD of 3 independent experiments. G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the stata showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the showing the reduced binding
 C) Gene ontology analysis of differentially STAT3 binding sites when Lrrc31 w knocked down using shRNA. X-axis represents the -Log10(p-Value) and Y-ax represents GO term. D) Pearson correlation analysis shows the correlation of STAT3 binding peabetween sh<i>Lrrc31</i> and sh<i>STAT3</i>. The correlation score is represented as colo from yellow (low) to red (high) E) Enrichment of several histone marks and transcription factors at the genom regions of STAT3 binding sites. The heatmaps are clustered according to the enrichment profile of all the ChIP-seq libraries indicated in the figure. The two panel represent the average enrichment plot of the indicated ChiP-seq library. F) ChIP qRT-PCR showing that both <i>Lrrc31</i> knock-down and <i>Stat3</i> knock-dow reduced the binding of CTCF on the cluster 3 STAT3 binding sites. The shRNA <i>Lrrc31</i> is shown as red bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as contra (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart show mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the state of th
 knocked down using shRNA. X-axis represents the -Log10(p-Value) and Y-ax represents GO term. D) Pearson correlation analysis shows the correlation of STAT3 binding pea between sh<i>Lrrc31</i> and sh<i>STAT3</i>. The correlation score is represented as colo from yellow (low) to red (high) E) Enrichment of several histone marks and transcription factors at the genom regions of STAT3 binding sites. The heatmaps are clustered according to the enrichment profile of all the ChIP-seq libraries indicated in the figure. The to panel represent the average enrichment plot of the indicated ChiP-seq library. F) ChIP qRT-PCR showing that both <i>Lrrc31</i> knock-down and <i>Stat3</i> knock-down reduced the binding of CTCF on the cluster 3 STAT3 binding sites. The shRNA <i>Lrrc31</i> is shown as red bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as contr (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart show mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments.
 knocked down using shRNA. X-axis represents the -Log10(p-Value) and Y-ax represents GO term. D) Pearson correlation analysis shows the correlation of STAT3 binding pea between sh<i>Lrrc31</i> and sh<i>STAT3</i>. The correlation score is represented as colo from yellow (low) to red (high) E) Enrichment of several histone marks and transcription factors at the genom regions of STAT3 binding sites. The heatmaps are clustered according to the enrichment profile of all the ChIP-seq libraries indicated in the figure. The to panel represent the average enrichment plot of the indicated ChiP-seq library. F) ChIP qRT-PCR showing that both <i>Lrrc31</i> knock-down and <i>Stat3</i> knock-down reduced the binding of CTCF on the cluster 3 STAT3 binding sites. The shRNA <i>Lrrc31</i> is shown as red bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as control (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart shown and ± SD of 3 independent experiments.
 830 represents GO term. B31 D) Pearson correlation analysis shows the correlation of STAT3 binding pearson correlation analysis shows the correlation of STAT3 binding pearson correlation and shSTAT3. The correlation score is represented as colors from yellow (low) to red (high) B34 E) Enrichment of several histone marks and transcription factors at the genome regions of STAT3 binding sites. The heatmaps are clustered according to the enrichment profile of all the ChIP-seq libraries indicated in the figure. The term panel represent the average enrichment plot of the indicated ChiP-seq library. F) ChIP qRT-PCR showing that both <i>Lrrc31</i> knock-down and <i>Stat3</i> knock-down reduced the binding of CTCF on the cluster 3 STAT3 binding sites. The shRNA <i>Lrrc31</i> is shown as red bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as contra (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart show mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the state of the sta
 B31 D) Pearson correlation analysis shows the correlation of STAT3 binding pearson between sh<i>Lrrc31</i> and sh<i>STAT3</i>. The correlation score is represented as colo from yellow (low) to red (high) B34 E) Enrichment of several histone marks and transcription factors at the genome regions of STAT3 binding sites. The heatmaps are clustered according to the enrichment profile of all the ChIP-seq libraries indicated in the figure. The two panel represent the average enrichment plot of the indicated ChiP-seq library. F) ChIP qRT-PCR showing that both <i>Lrrc31</i> knock-down and <i>Stat3</i> knock-down reduced the binding of CTCF on the cluster 3 STAT3 binding sites. The shRNA <i>Lrrc31</i> is shown as red bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as contra (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart shown mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the state of the state
 between sh<i>Lrrc31</i> and sh<i>STAT3</i>. The correlation score is represented as colo from yellow (low) to red (high) E) Enrichment of several histone marks and transcription factors at the genom regions of STAT3 binding sites. The heatmaps are clustered according to the enrichment profile of all the ChIP-seq libraries indicated in the figure. The term panel represent the average enrichment plot of the indicated ChiP-seq library. F) ChIP qRT-PCR showing that both <i>Lrrc31</i> knock-down and <i>Stat3</i> knock-down reduced the binding of CTCF on the cluster 3 STAT3 binding sites. The shRNA <i>Lrrc31</i> is shown as red bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as contra (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart show mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the state of the sta
 from yellow (low) to red (high) E) Enrichment of several histone marks and transcription factors at the genome regions of STAT3 binding sites. The heatmaps are clustered according to the enrichment profile of all the ChIP-seq libraries indicated in the figure. The term panel represent the average enrichment plot of the indicated ChiP-seq library. F) ChIP qRT-PCR showing that both <i>Lrrc31</i> knock-down and <i>Stat3</i> knock-down reduced the binding of CTCF on the cluster 3 STAT3 binding sites. The shRNA <i>Lrrc31</i> is shown as red bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as contra (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart show mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the showing the showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the showing the showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the showing the showin
 E) Enrichment of several histone marks and transcription factors at the genome regions of STAT3 binding sites. The heatmaps are clustered according to the enrichment profile of all the ChIP-seq libraries indicated in the figure. The term panel represent the average enrichment plot of the indicated ChiP-seq library. F) ChIP qRT-PCR showing that both <i>Lrrc31</i> knock-down and <i>Stat3</i> knock-down reduced the binding of CTCF on the cluster 3 STAT3 binding sites. The shRNA <i>Lrrc31</i> is shown as red bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as contrast (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart shown mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the shown as the shown as the shown of the showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the shown of the shown of the showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the shown of the shown of the showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the shown of the shown of the shown of the showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the shown of the sho
 regions of STAT3 binding sites. The heatmaps are clustered according to the enrichment profile of all the ChIP-seq libraries indicated in the figure. The term panel represent the average enrichment plot of the indicated ChiP-seq library. F) ChIP qRT-PCR showing that both <i>Lrrc31</i> knock-down and <i>Stat3</i> knock-down reduced the binding of CTCF on the cluster 3 STAT3 binding sites. The shRNA <i>Lrrc31</i> is shown as red bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as contra (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart shown mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the shown as black bars. The bar chart shown mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments.
 enrichment profile of all the ChIP-seq libraries indicated in the figure. The to panel represent the average enrichment plot of the indicated ChiP-seq library. F) ChIP qRT-PCR showing that both <i>Lrrc31</i> knock-down and <i>Stat3</i> knock-down reduced the binding of CTCF on the cluster 3 STAT3 binding sites. The shRNA <i>Lrrc31</i> is shown as red bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as contrast (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart shown mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the shown as the shown ashown as the shown as the shown as
 panel represent the average enrichment plot of the indicated ChiP-seq library. F) ChIP qRT-PCR showing that both <i>Lrrc31</i> knock-down and <i>Stat3</i> knock-down reduced the binding of CTCF on the cluster 3 STAT3 binding sites. The shRNA <i>Lrrc31</i> is shown as red bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as contrast (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart shown mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the shown as t
 F) ChIP qRT-PCR showing that both <i>Lrrc31</i> knock-down and <i>Stat3</i> knock-down and <i></i>
 reduced the binding of CTCF on the cluster 3 STAT3 binding sites. The shRNA <i>Lrrc31</i> is shown as red bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as contr (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart show mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the
 <i>Lrrc31</i> is shown as red bars. The empty vector of pSUPER was used as control (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart show mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the
 841 (light grey). shRNA targeting <i>Stat3</i> was shown as black bars. The bar chart show 842 mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. 843 G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the
 842 mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. 843 G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the statement plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the statement plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the statement plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the statement plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the statement plot showing the statement plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the statement plot showing the statement plot showin
843 G) Average enrichment plot showing the reduced binding of STAT3 on the
$- \sqrt{-1}$ subdividual of which $Lii (Ji)$ was knocked down. The v-axis follosofils average
845 normalized number of fragments at the corresponding genomics regions indicate
846 in he x-axis.
847 H) UCSC screenshot of binding profile of STAT3 on Sox2 super-enhancer (indicate
848 by the binding of MED1 and H3K27ac) when <i>Stat3</i> or <i>Lrrc31</i> was knocked down
849 I) Box plot showing the expression level of super-enhancer target genes who
850 <i>Lrrc31</i> was knocked down by shRNA. Student's t-test was used for statistic
analysis. **** represents p-value <0.0001.
852 K) In WT mESCs (top), OCT4 binds onto CRE 111 to maintain the expression
853 Lrrc31. LRRC31 interacts with JAK1 to phosphorylate STAT3 upon the bindin
of LIF on LIFR/Gp130. Phosphorylated STAT3 is then translocated into the
855 nucleus and binds onto both pluripotent genes' promoter, such as <i>Oct4</i> and <i>Esrr</i>
and super-enhancers to activate their expression. Knocking out CRE111 usin
857 CRISPR (bottom) decreases the expression of Lrrc31. The loss of LRRC31 affect
858 the phosphorylation of STAT3 through JAK1. Decreased level of phosphorylate
859 STAT3 further diminishes the expression of downstream pluripotency genes.
860
961

861













