Transit-amplifying cells coordinate changes in intestinal epithelial cell-type composition

Laura E. Sanman[1]†, Ina W. Chen[1]†, Jake M. Bieber[1,2], Veronica Steri[3,4], Byron Hann[3,4], Lani F. Wu[1]*, Steven J. Altschuler[1]*

[1]Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

[2]Graduate Program in Bioengineering, University of California Berkeley, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco CA 94158, USA

[3]Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA.

[4]Preclinical Therapeutics Core, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA.

*Correspondence to: lani.wu@ucsf.edu, steven.altschuler@ucsf.edu

†Equal contributions

Abstract

Renewing tissues have the remarkable ability to continually produce both proliferative progenitor and specialized differentiated cell-types. How are complex milieus of microenvironmental signals interpreted to coordinate tissue cell-type composition? Here, we develop a high-throughput approach that combines organoid technology and quantitative imaging to address this question in the context of the intestinal epithelium. Using this approach, we comprehensively survey enteroid responses to individual and paired perturbations to eight epithelial signaling pathways. We uncover culture conditions that enrich for specific cell-types, including Lgr5$^+$ stem and enteroendocrine cells. We analyze interactions between perturbations and dissect mechanisms underlying an unexpected mutual antagonism between EGFR and IL-4 signals. Finally, we show that, across diverse perturbations, modulating proliferation of transit-amplifying cells also consistently changes the composition of differentiated secretory and absorptive cell-types. This property is conserved *in vivo* and can arise from differential amplification of secretory and absorptive progenitor cells. Taken together, the observations highlight an underappreciated role for transit-amplifying cells in which proliferation of these short-lived progenitors provides a lineage-based mechanism for tuning differentiated cell-type composition.

Introduction

A central question in the study of complex tissues is how diverse signals are integrated to regulate cell-type composition. Dissection of mechanisms underlying the mapping from signals to tissue composition is complicated by the heterogeneous makeup of interconnected cell-types, which exert influences upon one another through the lineage structure and cell-cell interactions. Further, most studies focus on the effects of individual signals on individual cell-types, due to a lack of methods that enable systematic identification of signal integration mechanisms. What are the tissue-wide effects of common microenvironmental signals on cell-type composition? How do multiple signals modify each other's effects? Finally, are there intrinsic tissue properties that shape response to diverse signals?

Here, we address these questions in the context of the intestinal epithelium, a model renewing tissue (Beumer & Clevers, 2016; Cheng & Leblond, 1974b; A. Tian et al., 2016). The intestinal epithelium is particularly remarkable in that it maintains a stereotypic tissue composition despite a rapid 3- to 5-day turnover. During renewal of the intestinal epithelium, $Lgr5^+$ crypt-base stem cells differentiate into proliferating transit-amplifying (TA) progenitors, which in turn adopt absorptive (enterocyte) or secretory (Paneth, goblet, enteroendocrine (EE)) cell fates (Cheng & Leblond, 1974b) (Figure 1A). The confluence of proliferating and differentiation decision processes establishes tissue composition, which guides overall tissue function. Though much progress has been made in defining the 'parts list' of factors that guide intestinal epithelial renewal (Clevers, 2013; Flier et al., 2009; Yin et al., 2014a), it is unclear how these factors are integrated by the tissue during maintenance and in response to perturbations.

To quantitatively measure intestinal epithelial cell-type composition and study its changes in

response to microenvironmental signals, we utilized a recently established enteroid monolayer

culture system that recapitulates key features of the intestinal epithelium (Thorne et al., 2018).

Enteroid monolayer cultures maintain characteristics of intestinal epithelial architecture, including

organization into crypt- and villus-like compartments and apical-basolateral polarization.

Importantly, these cultures also preserve core tissue processes *ex vivo*, generating all major

intestinal epithelial cell-types (Lgr5$^+$ stem, TA, and differentiated secretory and absorptive cells)

with a turn-over rate similar to the *in vivo* renewal rate. Crucially, due to their 2D nature, enteroid

monolayer cultures are amenable to high-throughput screening of perturbations in microwell

format. In this work, enteroid monolayers are used as a primary platform for hypothesis generation

with key observations further evaluated in 3D organoids and *in vivo*.

Here, we present a systems approach for investigating signal integration and lineage processes in

the intestinal epithelium. We first expanded the capabilities of the enteroid monolayer platform to

monitor and quantify major intestinal epithelial cell-types, such as stem, TA, and differentiated

cell-types, in a robust and automated manner. This enabled confirmation of relevant stem and TA

functions, such as proliferation and production of differentiated cell-types, in enteroid monolayer

cultures. We then combined enteroid culture with high-throughput combinatorial perturbations to

profile responses to a diverse set of treatment conditions. Analysis of tissue response profiles

identified conditions that enrich for specific intestinal epithelial cell-types and revealed

interactions in the integration of perturbations. Finally, studies using enteroid monolayers, 3D

organoids, and mice uncovered a global trend in how intestinal epithelial cell-type composition

changes in response to perturbations; specifically, a link between TA proliferation and secretory

cell composition. Based on experimental observations and mathematical modeling, we propose a

model of intestinal epithelial lineage control in which differential amplification of TA progenitors

allows the balance of secretory to absorptive cell lineages to be regulated through TA proliferation.

Results

A quantitative microscopy platform to study regulation of intestinal cell-type composition

As an experimental model for the study of intestinal epithelial cell-type composition in high-

throughput, enteroid monolayers should be quantifiable, reproducible, and faithfully recapitulate

relevant *in vivo* tissue properties. Here, we develop and test these features of the enteroid

monolayer platform.

To measure intestinal epithelial composition in high-throughput, we established a computational

pipeline to automatically quantify major intestinal cell-types from images of enteroid monolayers

(Figure 1B). Specifically, we developed algorithms to detect cells expressing markers for stem

($Lgr5^+$), proliferating (EdU^+), Paneth (Lyz^+), goblet ($Muc2^+$), and enteroendocrine (EE; $ChgA^+$)

cells as well as identifying cell nuclei (Hoechst) (Figure 1-Supplement 1, Materials and Methods).

Enterocytes were not directly quantified because we were not able to identify a reliable antibody

to specifically label this population in immunofluorescence assays. Thus, our studies of

differentiated cell-types were limited to the secretory lineage. When evaluated against expert

manual counting, the algorithms exhibited high quantification accuracy across the cell-types

measured (Table 1).

To evaluate reproducibility, cell-type measurements were quantified across replicate wells. A crypt

seeding density (10-20% initial confluency) was identified where there was no relationship

between initial seeding density and cell-type composition after 48 hours of culture. In this regime,

there was also relatively low inter-replicate variability in cell-type numbers. (Figure 1-Supplement

2).

To assess recapitulation of relevant *in vivo* intestinal epithelial properties, we examined cell-type

composition and progenitor cell functions including proliferation and differentiation. We first

observed that jejunal enteroid monolayers exhibited cell-type composition comparable to the

composition of *in vivo* jejunal epithelium (Table 2). We then confirmed that enteroid monolayers

recapitulate progenitor proliferation and production of differentiated cell-types. By labeling

cycling cells with EdU upon initial plating, we observed that the initial population of ~25%

proliferative (EdU$^+$) cells produce the vast majority (~80%) of cells in enteroid monolayers after

48 hours of culture (Figure 1C). This indicated that the majority of quantified cells after 48 hours

of culture were produced by initial progenitors. Further, we observed EdU$^+$ cells that colocalized

with markers of Paneth (Lyz), goblet (Muc2), and EE (ChgA) cells, indicating that progenitor cells

produce major differentiated cell-types over the 48-hour culture period (Figure 1D).

Taken together, enteroid monolayers preserve important characteristics of the intestinal epithelium

ex vivo, such as cell-type composition and production of differentiated cell-types. Enteroid

monolayers also demonstrate reproducible outgrowth and low replicate variance. Thus, enteroid

monolayers constitute a robust platform for capturing tissue-wide responses to perturbations and

for generating hypotheses on control of intestinal epithelial cell-type composition.

Systematic survey of cell-type composition changes in response to single and pairwise

signaling modulators

To map a wide range of tissue composition phenotypes, 13 epithelial-intrinsic and

microenvironmental modulators that target eight core intestinal epithelial signaling pathways

(Wnt, BMP, Notch, HDAC, JAK, p38MAPK, TGF-beta, EGFR (Basak et al., 2017a; Batlle et al.,

2002; Mathieu Houde et al., 2001; Richmond et al., 2018a; Rodríguez-Colman et al., 2017; van

der Flier & Clevers, 2009; Yin et al., 2014b)) and are known to have diverse effects on tissue cell-

type composition were selected (Table 3). In previous reports, combinations of perturbations have

been shown to be more effective than single perturbations in enriching particular cell-types (Basak

et al., 2017a; Yin et al., 2014b). Thus, to survey a broad range of tissue states, modulators were

applied to enteroid monolayers individually (13 conditions) and in all possible pairwise

combinations (78 conditions) (workflow: Figure 2-Supplement 1A). Perturbation concentrations

were guided by literature reports and confirmed with dose-response experiments (Table 3, Figure

2-Supplement 1B) (Basak et al., 2017a; von Moltke et al., 2015; Yin et al., 2014b).

We then sought to combine cell-type number measurements into readouts that reflect changes in

important aspects of intestinal epithelial cell-type composition. Changes in overall tissue

composition can be attributed to a number of changes in underlying cell-type populations. For

instance, an overall decrease in the fraction of a specific cell-type, such as goblet cells, may be

caused by an increase in the number of progenitors (or other cell-types), a decrease in the secretory

fraction in the differentiated cell population, or a decrease in goblet cells within the secretory cell

lineage. To distinguish between these different possibilities, we designed features that measured

the size of the progenitor population as well as the relative composition of cells in the differentiated

population, as follows.

To quantify changes in the progenitor cell populations, we measured the absolute numbers of

proliferating stem ($EdU^+ Lgr5^+$) and TA ($EdU^+ Lgr5^-$) cells (Figure 2A, Figure 2-Supplement 2A).

Since specific TA markers are lacking, TA cells were defined as non-stem proliferating cells. To

quantify changes in the relative makeup of the differentiated population, we designed fractional

readouts that reflect changes in two differentiation branching points: the secretory-absorptive

branch point, and the secretory cell-type branch point. To capture secretory vs. absorptive lineage

biases, we computed the fraction of differentiated (Lgr5$^-$ EdU$^-$) cells that express secretory

(Paneth+goblet+EE) markers (#secretory/#diff) (Figure 2A, Figure 2-Supplement 2B). The sum

of Paneth, goblet, and EE cells is a reasonable approximation for the number of secretory cells as

these cell-types make up the majority of secretory cells (Cheng & Leblond, 1974b; Haber et al.,

2017). Finally, to capture biases towards different cell-types within the secretory lineages, we

computed the specific fractions of all secretory cells positive for Paneth (Lyz), goblet (Muc2), and

EE (ChgA) cell markers (#Paneth/#secretory, #goblet/#secretory, #EE/#secretory) (Figure 2A,

Figure 2-Supplement 2C).

After treatment with established modulators of cell-type composition (GSK3-i+HDAC-i, Notch-i,

IL-4, EGFR-i, PORCN-i), changes in enteroid monolayer cell-type readouts were in agreement

with previous 3D organoid and *in vivo* studies (Basak et al., 2017a; Beumer & Clevers, 2016; Qi

et al., 2017; van Es et al., 2005; von Moltke et al., 2015; Yin et al., 2014b) (Figure 2-Supplement

3A, Table 3). Changes in the prevalence of each cell-type were also confirmed at the RNA level

using quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR; Figure 2-Supplement 3B).

Identification of conditions that enrich for Lgr5$^+$ stem and EE cells

Single and pairwise perturbation effects on intestinal epithelial cell-type composition (546

measurements = 91 conditions x 6 cell-type readouts) were measured (Figure 2B). The vast

majority of measurements were previously uncharacterized, with a number of conditions strongly

modulating different aspects of tissue composition (Table 4). Below, we highlight conditions that

were previously unknown to enrich for stem and EE cells.

Pairwise combinations of inhibitors of GSK3, p38MAPK, BMPR, HDAC, and JAK1/2 increased

the number of Lgr5$^+$ stem cells (Figure 2B, bottom callout 1). Notably, these conditions caused

similar, if not increased, enrichment for Lgr5$^+$ stem cells compared to the current benchmark

condition (GSK3 inhibitor + HDAC inhibitor (Yin et al., 2014a)). Lgr5$^+$ stem cell enrichment was

also observed at the RNA level, as indicated by qRT-PCR analysis of Lgr5 RNA (Figure 2C).

Since JAK1/2 had not been connected with stemness in the mammalian intestinal epithelium in

the absence of inflammation (Richmond et al., 2018b), we repeated the GSK3-i and JAK1/2-i

treatment in 3D organoids and again observed expansion of the Lgr5$^+$ stem cell population (Figure

2D).

Conditions were also identified that selectively enrich for EE cells relative to other secretory cell-

types (Table 4). The condition that most strongly enriched for EE cells was the combination of

TGF-beta and PORCN inhibitor (Figure 2B, bottom callout 2). TGF-beta and PORCN inhibitor

treatment of enteroid monolayers also caused an increase in RNA levels of the EE marker ChgA

relative to RNA levels of markers of other secretory cell-types (Figure 2E). Since a connection

between TGF-beta signaling and EE cell regulation had not been previously established, we

applied the treatment to 3D organoids and again observed EE enrichment (Figure 2F).

Interaction mapping reveals mutual antagonism between IL-4 and EGFR-i

After analyzing perturbations effects individually, we next examined how pairs of perturbations

interact to modulate cell-type composition. A commonly used framework for identifying

perturbation interactions is a multiplicative model, where the combined effect of two non-

interacting perturbations is expected to be the product of the effects of individual perturbations

(Dixon et al., 2009). For each cell-type composition readout, we analytically defined an interaction

between any pair of perturbations as a combinatorial perturbation effect that deviated strongly

(effect size>5) from the expectation of the multiplicative model. (The multiplicative model was

chosen due to its interpretability and fit to the data; Figure 3-Supplement 1, Materials and

Methods). Biologically, interactions were interpreted as direct or indirect connections between the

perturbed signaling pathways (Coster et al., 2017; Papin et al., 2005). The majority of perturbation

pairs showed little deviation from the multiplicative model expectation (Figure 3-Supplement 1A).

Interestingly, perturbation interactions were predominantly observed in the regulation of EdU$^+$

stem and TA cell numbers, as compared to the regulation of secretory, goblet, Paneth, or EE cell

prevalence (Figure 3A).

In some cases, identified interactions reflected known pathway biology, such as interactions

between perturbations that modulate the same signaling pathway (e.g. the synergistic effect of

Wnt3a and GSK3-i, Figure 3-Supplement 2). Other cases were less expected and suggest novel

interactions in the regulation of specific cell-types. One particularly unexpected example is the

interaction between IL-4 and EGFR-i in regulating TA cells. IL-4 and EGFR-i are not known to

interact or utilize a shared signaling pathway and, interestingly, appeared mutually antagonistic in

combination. When either IL-4 or EGFR-i was applied singly, the number of TA cells was reduced

by ~4 and ~6 log-fold relative to control, respectively. However, when IL-4 and EGFR-i were

applied in combination, the number of TA cells was reduced by only ~1.5 log-fold relative to

control (Figure 3B).

We investigated potential mechanisms for the observed mutual antagonism of IL-4 and EGFR-i.

EGFR-i treatment decreases proliferation by reducing MEK-Erk activity (Basak et al., 2017a).

However, IL-4 treatment resulted in Erk activation (as measured by phospho-Erk nuclear

translocation) even in the context of EGFR inhibition (Figure 3C). This suggested that IL-4

antagonized the effects of EGFR-i by bypassing EGFR-i to activate Erk. When IL-4 and EGFR-i

were applied in the context of MEK inhibition (which is upstream of Erk), IL-4 did not antagonize

the effects of EGFR-i on TA cell numbers (Figure 3-Supplement 3A) or induce phospho-Erk

nuclear translocation (Figure 3-Supplement 3B). This indicated that IL-4 activates MEK-Erk

signaling upstream of MEK. In the other direction, IL-4 reduced proliferation in both enteroid

monolayers and 3D organoids by increasing BMP2 (the epithelial paralog of mesenchymal BMP4

(Goldman et al., 2009)) production by the tissue (Figure 3D). The addition of a BMP receptor

inhibitor, BMPR-i, rescued the IL-4-induced decrease in transit-amplifying cell numbers further

confirming BMP secretion as the mechanism by which IL-4 dampens proliferation (Figure 3-

Supplement 4A,B). EGFR-i reduces BMP2 production induced by IL-4 treatment, suggesting a

mechanism by which EGFR-i antagonizes the effects of IL-4 (Figure 3E).

In summary, analysis of perturbation interactions, which occurred predominantly in progenitor

cells, revealed a surprising mutual antagonism between IL-4 and EGFR signaling in the regulation

of TA cells. Mechanistic investigation revealed that the mutual antagonism arises from IL-4

bypassing EGFR-i-induced blockade of MEK-Erk-driven cell cycle progression and EGFR-i

blocking IL-4-induced production of antiproliferative BMP2.

Modulating transit-amplifying cell proliferation alters secretory cell-type composition

In addition to gaining insights into the signaling regulation of tissue composition, we applied this

dataset to survey global trends in how intestinal epithelial cell-type composition changes in

response to perturbations. In particular, the observation of increased interaction in regulation of

the progenitor populations led us to investigate how changes in progenitor cell numbers relate to

changes in the composition of differentiated cell-types. Despite the diversity of perturbation

mechanisms, we observed a surprising trend: the numbers of transit-amplifying cells negatively

correlated with the fraction of differentiated cells that express secretory markers (Figure 4A) as

well as with the fraction of secretory cells that express the EE marker (Figure 4-Supplement 1A).

In contrast, little-to-no correlation was observed between the number of proliferating Lgr5$^+$ stem

cells and differentiated cell-type composition (Figure 4A, Figure 4-Supplement 1A), indicating

that the trend was specific for TA cells. To assess whether the cell-type correlations were driven

by any individual perturbation, perturbations were dropped one at a time from the dataset

(dropping all single and pairwise conditions containing the perturbation) and correlations were re-

calculated for each data subset. We found that dropping EGFR-i from the dataset largely abrogated

the anticorrelation between TA number and EE fraction (Figure 4-Supplement 1B, red arrowhead),

which is consistent with recent work suggesting that EE cell production can be triggered by EGFR-

i-induced stem cell quiescence (Basak et al., 2017a). However, the anticorrelation between TA

number and secretory fraction is not driven by a specific perturbation (Figure 4B), possibly

indicating a tissue-intrinsic property.

We further investigated the anticorrelation between TA numbers and secretory cell fraction in

enteroid monolayers, 3D organoids, and *in vivo*. To test whether the correlation is driven by TA

proliferation, we directly modulated proliferation in enteroid monolayers using Cdk and Aurora

kinase inhibitors and found that inhibiting proliferation, which decreases TA numbers, caused an

increase in secretory cell fractions (Figure 4C). Further, CDK4/6 inhibition increased the secretory

cell fraction (and decreased TA cell numbers) in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 4-Supplement

2). We then used 3D organoids to demonstrate that the correlation was specific to TA, and not

stem cells. 3D organoid cultures were first enriched for either stem (treatment with GSK3-

i+HDAC-i (Yin et al., 2014b)) or TA (treatment with PORCN-i) cells. qRT-PCR analysis

confirmed enrichment for Lgr5 RNA over Ki67 RNA in GSK3-i+HDAC-i-treated 3D organoids,

and Ki67 RNA over Lgr5 RNA in PORCN-i-treated 3D organoids (Figure 4-Supplement 3). IF

staining also showed almost complete conversion to Lgr5$^+$ stem cells in GSK3-i+HDAC-i-treated

3D organoids, while PORCN-i-treated 3D organoids retained proliferating (EdU$^+$) cells but lost

Lgr5$^+$ stem cells (Figure 4D, images). Organoids were then removed from enrichment media and

treated with the CDK4/6 inhibitor palbociclib, which significantly impairs proliferation in 3D

intestinal organoids (Basak et al., 2017b). Atoh1 and Hes1 RNA levels were measured by qRT-

PCR to quantify changes in secretory and absorptive cell fractions, respectively. Strikingly,

CDK4/6 inhibition increased the ratio of Atoh1/Hes1 RNA in 3D organoids enriched for TA cells.

However, CDK4/6 inhibition had a reduced effect on 3D organoids enriched for Lgr5$^+$ stem cells

(Figure 4D, graphs). These data indicate that proliferation of TA cells drives the observed changes

in secretory prevalence. Lastly, we validated the correlation between TA proliferation and

secretory fraction *in vivo*. Mice (n=8 per group) were treated with the CDK4/6 inhibitor palbociclib

or vehicle. After 50 hours of treatment, intestinal crypts were harvested and gene expression was

measured by qRT-PCR. CDK4/6-i treatment decreased Ki67 expression, indicating the treatment

effectively decreased proliferation (Figure 4-Supplement 4A). Importantly, CDK4/6-i treatment

increased the Atoh1/Hes1 ratio (Figure 4E) and, to a lesser extent, the Dll/Notch ratio (Figure 4-

Supplement 4B), demonstrating that proliferation is anti-correlated with secretory fraction *in vivo*.

Differential amplification as a model for proliferation-based control of tissue composition

How does altering TA cell proliferation affect the abundance of secretory cells relative to other

differentiated (absorptive) cell-types? Previous studies suggest that secretory progenitors are less

proliferative compared to absorptive progenitors. In particular, commitment to a secretory fate

(specifically expression of the Notch ligand Dll1) has been observed to coincide with cell cycle

exit (Stamataki et al., 2011), and lineage tracing experiments found that secretory cell clones are

on average smaller than absorptive cell clones (Bjerknes & Cheng, 1999). To experimentally

confirm that secretory progenitors indeed undergo fewer divisions than absorptive progenitors, an

EdU dilution experiment was conducted. A pulse of EdU was administered for the first 9 hours of

culture (less than one TA cell cycle length (Matsu-Ura et al., 2016)), followed by a chase of 39

hours (Figure 5A). EdU is initially incorporated into proliferating cells and then subsequently

diluted with each cell division. Thus, EdU intensity in differentiated cells serves as a proxy for

division number. Cells were fixed and stained for secretory cell markers Lyz, Muc2, and ChgA as

well as EdU. EdU intensity distribution for all labeled cells was bimodal, indicating presence of

two distinct subpopulations with one population of cells dividing fewer times (higher EdU

intensity) than the other population (lower EdU intensity, Figure 5A). The EdU intensity

distributions for Lyz$^+$ Paneth, Muc2$^+$ goblet, and ChgA$^+$ EE cells were clearly shifted right

(towards higher EdU intensity) compared to all epithelial cells, indicating that secretory cells

divided fewer times compared to the overall population of intestinal epithelial cells (Figure 5A).

To mathematically evaluate whether differential amplification of secretory and absorptive

progenitors could explain the observed anti-correlation between TA proliferation and secretory

cell abundance, a simple exponential growth model was established. We computed the expected

number of differentiated progeny cells from progenitor (TA) cells committed to either secretory

fates or absorptive fates. The ratio of the expected output from secretory progenitors to that from

absorptive progenitors captures the relative prevalence of secretory to absorptive cells in the

differentiated population (Materials and Methods). To test how the relative difference in secretory

and absorptive progenitor division numbers impacts the relationship between proliferation rate and

tissue composition, we scanned through different division rates—and thus division numbers—for

secretory and absorptive progenitors. We found that only parameter regimes where secretory

progenitors divide fewer times than absorptive progenitors recapitulated the observed anti-

correlation between proliferation and the ratio of secretory to absorptive cells (Figure 5B-C, Figure

5-Supplement 1). Thus, differential amplification of TA progenitors in the intestinal epithelium

could provide a mechanism for controlling secretory-absorptive bias through proliferation.

Discussion

How the intestinal epithelium globally integrates microenvironmental signals to regulate tissue

composition has been challenging to study due to a lack of quantitative, high-throughput methods.

Here, we developed a platform utilizing enteroid monolayers to comprehensively survey changes

in intestinal epithelial cell-type composition to diverse perturbations. This enabled the

identification of new culture conditions that enrich for specific cell-types, the dissection of

perturbations that have unexpected combined effects on tissue growth, and the discovery that

differential amplification of progenitor cells in different lineages can control differentiated cell-

type composition. Importantly, recapitulation of perturbation effects and the proliferation-

secretory link in both 3D organoids and *in vivo* lends support to the hypothesis generation ability

of enteroid monolayers.

In terms of specific culture conditions, it was observed that pairwise combinations of GSK3,

JAK1/2, HDAC, BMPR, and p38 MAPK inhibitors enriched for Lgr5$^+$ stem cells. JAK1/2

inhibition has not been connected with regulation of stem cells under non-inflammatory

conditions. Intriguingly, during inflammation JAK1/2 is essential for reserve stem cell activation

(Richmond et al., 2018a). Since JAK1/2 inhibition increased Lgr5$^+$ stem cell numbers, this could

indicate a difference in the regulation of homeostatic (Lgr5$^+$) and reserve stem cells. In addition,

it was observed that combined TGF-beta stimulation and PORCN inhibition increases the fraction

of enteroendocrine cells in the secretory lineage. TGF-beta signaling increases and Wnt signaling

decreases as cells migrate up the crypt-villus axis (Barnard et al., 1989; Farin et al., 2016).

Therefore, these data suggest a connection between spatial locations on the crypt-villus axis and

differentiated cell-type composition.

By analyzing combinations of microenvironmental factors, we found more interactions amongst

signals regulating progenitor (stem and TA) cell numbers than prevalence of differentiated cell-

types. Possible reasons for the increased number of interactions include that either more signals

control progenitor proliferation, or that signals act redundantly upon a smaller number of shared

pathways. By examining specific pathway interactions, we found an unexpected mutual

antagonism between IL-4 and EGFR-i that regulated the TA cell population. It was previously

unknown that IL-4 induces BMP production, which has implications for its effects on the intestinal

epithelium during type 2 immune responses such as worm infection (von Moltke et al., 2015).

Further, it is surprising that IL-4 can bypass EGFR blockade, given recent data suggesting that

EGFR is a gatekeeper of MEK-Erk-driven cell cycling in the intestinal epithelium (Basak et al.,

2017a).

Lastly, our finding that perturbing TA cell proliferation altered the balance of secretory and

absorptive differentiated cell-types underpins a new perspective on the role of the intestinal lineage

structure in regulating cell-type composition of tissues. Lineage structures have been modeled in

the past, such as the branching of progenitors in enabling robust feedback control (Lander et al.,

2009). Here, we find that a differential amplification model of transit-amplifying progenitors in

different lineages allows for control of tissue cell-type composition through modulation of

proliferation. This model can be contrasted with a probabilistic stem cell decision model, in which

cell-type composition is determined by stochastic decision-making in stem cells (Balázsi et al.,

2011). We do not rule out that probabilistic fate decisions may still play an important role.

However, the current study provides evidence that differential amplification of progenitors makes

a significant contribution in shaping the tissue composition.

The link between TA proliferation and differentiated cell-type composition, as well as the

observation of increased perturbation interactions in regulation of TA cells, point to a crucial and

currently overlooked role for these short-lived progenitor cells in guiding tissue function. The

intestinal epithelium, like many actively renewing tissues, is generated by stem cells that

differentiate into short-lived progenitors, such as TA cells, and then assume differentiated cell

fates. Intriguingly, many tissues, including skin and the hematopoietic system, feature transit-

amplifying cells as intermediates between the stem and differentiated cell populations. By

separately processing signals, these short-lived progenitor cell populations may broadly serve as a

buffer that enables coordinated tissue responses to changing microenvironments (e.g. worm

infections (Birchenough et al., 2016)) while insulating the stem cell population from extreme,

transient changes.

Materials and Methods

<u>Mice</u>

All animal care and experimentation was conducted under protocol AN-179937 agreed upon by the Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care at the University of California, San Francisco. All our animal studies are performed in full accordance with UCSF Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). 5- to 6-week-old male C57BL/6 mice (C57BL/6NHsd) were purchased from Harlan and housed with ad libitum food and water on a 12hr light cycle at the UCSF Preclinical Therapeutics Core vivarium.

<u>Media</u>

Organoid basal media (OBM) consists of Advanced DMEM/F12 with non-essential amino acids and sodium pyruvate (Fisher Scientific #12634-028) containing 1x N-2 (Fisher Scientific #17502-048), 1x B-27 (Invitrogen #17504-044), 10 mM HEPES (Invitrogen #15630080), 1x GlutaMAX (Invitrogen #35050-061), 1 μM N-acetylcysteine (Sigma Aldrich #A9165), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (Corning #30-002).

For initial seeding, enteroid monolayers were maintained in OBM supplemented with 3 μM CHIR-99021 (Sigma Aldrich #SML1046), 50 ng/mL murine EGF (Invitrogen #PMG8043), 1 μM LDN-193189 (Sigma Aldrich #SML0559), 500 ng/mL murine R-spondin-1 (Peprotech #315-32), and 10 μM Y-27632 (Selleck Chemicals #S1049).

4 hours after initial seeding, media was changed into OBM supplemented with 50 ng/mL murine EGF, 100 ng/mL murine Noggin, and 500 ng/mL murine R-spondin-1. Perturbations applied in the studies described here were all applied in the background of this medium.

<u>Enteroid monolayer cultures</u>

Enteroid monolayers were derived as previously described (Thorne et al., 2018). Briefly, jejunum was isolated from male mice between 6-12 weeks of age. Mice used were either from the C57BL/6 strain or, when indicated, the Lgr5[eGFP-DTR] strain (H. Tian et al., 2011) (kind gift of Frederic de Sauvage, Genentech via Ophir Klein under MTA #OM-216813). Epithelium was released from jejunal tissue by incubation in ice-cold PBS with 3 mM EDTA in PBS (Ambion #9260). Released epithelial tissue was washed 3x with OBM, after which crypts were separated from villus material using 100 and 70 μm cell strainers (BD Falcon) in succession. Crypts were

resuspended in seeding media and plated on Matrigel (Thermo Fisher #CB-40234C)-coated 96-

well optical bottom plates (BD Biosciences #353219 and Greiner #655090). Typically, 300

crypts were seeded per well. We identified this seeding density because, at this density, we did

not observe an effect of variations in initial confluency on cell outgrowth (#cells) or cell-type

composition (Figure 1-Supplement 2). Four hours after seeding, cells were washed with OBM

and incubated in control media containing other perturbations of interest.

3D organoid cultures

3D organoids were cultured as previously described (Sato et al., 2009). For imaging experiments,

3D organoids were seeded in 10 μL of Matrigel in 96-well optical bottom plates.

CDK4/6-i administration to mice and tissue harvest

To test the effects of cell cycle inhibition on the secretory:absorptive ratio, palbociclib (LC

Laboratories #P-7744) at 150 mg/kg in 50 mM sodium lactate buffer pH 4.4 was administered to

mice by oral gavage every 24 hours for 48 hours (at 0 hours, 24 hours, and 48 hours). At 50

hours, the small intestine was harvested and intestinal crypts were harvested as described in the

enteroid monolayer culture section above. Crypts were lysed in Buffer RLT (RNEasy Kit,

Qiagen) for subsequent RNA purification.

Growth factors and chemical compounds

All growth factors and chemical compounds were purchased from suppliers and used as

designated without further purification. Unless otherwise indicated, perturbations were used as

follows:

Perturbation	Vendor and Catalog #	Concentration
DAPT (Notch-i)	Stemgent #04-0041	10 μM
CHIR-99021 (GSK3-i)	Sigma Aldrich #1046	3 μM
Valproic acid (HDAC-i)	Sigma Aldrich #P4543	1 mM
IWP-2 (PORCN-i)	Selleck Chemicals #S7085	2 μM

Wnt3a	R&D Systems #5036-WN-500	200 ng/mL
TGF-β	PeproTech #100-21C	4 ng/mL
EW-7197 (TGF-βR-i)	Selleck Chemicals #S7530	1 μM
BMP4	R&D Systems #314-BP-010	200 ng/mL
LDN-193189 (BMPR-i)	Sigma Aldrich #SML0559	1 μM
Baricitinib (JAK1/2-i)	Selleck Chemicals #S2851	2 μM
SB202190 (p38MAPK-i)	Sigma Aldrich #S7067	10 μM
IL-4	PeproTech #214-14	20 ng/mL
Erlotinib HCl (EGFR-i)	Selleck Chemicals #S1023	2.5 μM
PD0325901 (MEK-i)	Selleck Chemicals #S1036	1 μM
Palbociclib (PD-0332991) (CDK4/6-i)	Selleck Chemicals #S1116 (organoid studies) or LC Laboratories #-7744 (*in vivo* studies)	10 μM
Flavopiridol (CDK-i)	Selleck Chemicals #S2679	0.3 μM
AT9283 (AuroraK-i)	Selleck Chemicals #S1134	1 μM

Immunofluorescence assay

Enteroid monolayers: Enteroid monolayers were washed 1x with warm D-PBS and then fixed

with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15min at room temperature. Cells were then washed with

PBS and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton-X-100 in PBS at room temperature for 10min. Cells

were washed, blocked with 3% BSA in PBS for 30min, and then incubated in primary antibody

in antibody buffer (PBS with 0.3% Triton-X-100, 1% BSA) overnight at 4C. The next day, cells

were washed and incubated with secondary antibodies and Hoechst 33342 (5 μg/mL; Invitrogen

#H3570) in antibody buffer for 2 hours at room temperature. After this, cells were washed with

PBS and imaged in TBS-T (0.1% Tween in 1x TBS pH 7.4).

3D organoids: Media was carefully aspirated from around Matrigel domes containing 3D

organoids using a P100 pipet. 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS was immediately added for 15min at

room temperature. Cells were then washed 2x with PBS and permeabilized using 0.5% Triton-X-

100 in PBS for 20min at room temperature. Cells were then rinsed 3x10min with 100 mM

glycine in PBS with gentle agitation. Cells were blocked in 3% BSA in PBS for 40min and then

incubated with primary antibody in antibody buffer overnight at room temperature. The next day,

cells were washed 3x20min in antibody buffer and then incubated with fluorescent secondary

antibodies and Hoechst in antibody solution for 1hr at room temperature. Cells were then rinsed

in PBS and stored and imaged in TBS-T.

Antibodies

All antibodies were purchased from suppliers and used as designated without further

purification. Unless otherwise indicated, antibodies were used as follows:

Epitope	Vendor and Catalog #	Dilution
Lysozyme (Lyz)	Dako	1:2000
Mucin-2 (Muc2)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology #15334	1:100
Chromogranin A (ChgA)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology #393941	1:100
GFP	Abcam #5450	1:2000
Dclk1	Abcam #31704	1:1000
Erk1 (pT202/pY204) + Erk2 (pT185/pY187) (pErk)	Abcam #50011	1:200
Cyclin D1	Life Technologies #MA5-14512	1:200

<u>EdU pulse and visualization</u>

To visualize proliferating cells (specifically, those in S phase), enteroid monolayers were

incubated with 10 μM EdU (Thermo Fisher #A10044) in media (containing indicated

perturbations or vehicle) for 2 hrs prior to fixation. After immunofluorescence staining, EdU$^+$

cells were visualized using Click chemistry as previously described (Salic & Mitchison, 2008).

Briefly, cells were incubated with a reaction mixture containing 1 mM CuSO4 (VWR

International #470300-880), 5 μM sulfo-Cyanine5 azide (Lumiprobe #B3330) or 5 μM BDP-FL

azide (Lumiprobe #11430), and 100 mM sodium ascorbate (Sigma Aldrich #A4034) in PBS for

30min at room temperature.

<u>Automated brightfield microscopy</u>

Upon initial plating, enteroid monolayers were imaged in the brightfield channel using the 10x

objective of a Nikon TE200-E epifluorescence microscope. These data were used as a control to

determine whether enteroid monolayers were seeded at an optimal and consistent confluency.

<u>Quantifying % confluency</u>

% Confluency (percent of image which is occupied by enteroid monolayer cultures) was

quantified from brightfield images using a previously reported algorithm

(CellularRegionsFromBrightField function in Supplementary Software 1 from reference

(Ramirez et al., 2016)).

<u>Automated confocal microscopy</u>

Enteroid monolayers were imaged on the 10x objective of a Nikon A1 confocal with Ti2-E

microscope. The area of each well was covered by 24 individual scans. In each field of view, 4-8

z planes were collected at 1024x1024 resolution. Importantly, the nuclear stain was used to

autofocus in each new field of view.

<u>Data availability</u>

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its

supplementary information files)

482 <u>Immunofluorescence image segmentation and analysis</u>

483 *General information:* Image segmentation was performed using a custom Python analysis

484 pipeline. All code and documentation can be found on GitHub

485 (https://github.com/AltschulerWu-Lab/MAGS). Starting with maximum intensity projections of

486 Hoechst, EdU, Lgr5-GFP, Muc2, Lyz, and ChgA fluorescent images, we segmented and then

487 quantified numbers of nuclei, EdU$^+$ cells, stem cells, goblet cells, Paneth cells, and

488 enteroendocrine cells, respectively. The general segmentation process for each object type

489 consisted of two major steps: a thresholding step to identify image foreground, and a

490 segmentation step to generate location and boundary of objects. Specific details are as follows:

492 *Segmenting nuclei:* Hoechst stain images were smoothed through convolution with a bilateral

493 filter. The foreground was identified using a modified Otsu threshold method. Nuclei in enteroid

494 cultures are highly heterogeneous with small, tightly packed nuclei in the crypt regions and large,

495 sparsely distributed nuclei in the villus regions. Therefore, to obtain an accurate segmentation in

496 these different regions, we conducted a two-step segmentation approach. First, sparse nuclei

497 were identified using a multi-scale Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) detector to generate markers of

498 object locations. A watershed algorithm was then used to generate object boundaries. Clumps

499 were detected in the sparse segmentation using object size and shape irregularity cutoffs. These

500 clumps contained mis-segmented dense nuclei and were subsequently segmented using

501 differently parameterized LoG detector to identify object seeds and watershed to generate object

502 boundaries. The dense and sparse segmentations were then merged for the final result (also see

503 Figure 1-Supplement 1C).

505 *Segmenting EdU$^+$ nuclei:* The same process as nuclear segmentation was used because nuclear

506 objects in EdU stain images had similar properties as those in Hoechst stain images.

507 *Segmenting stem cells (Figure 1-Supplement 1D):* GFP immunofluorescence in enteroid

508 monolayers derived from Lgr5-eGFP-DTR mice (H. Tian et al., 2011) revealed both crypt

509 regions, with multi-cell membrane GFP staining, and single GFP$^+$ cells outside crypt regions.

510 The single GFP$^+$ cells appeared morphologically similar to tuft cells and co-stained for Dclk-1

511 (Figure 1-Supplement 1E), indicating that these cells are likely a subpopulation of tuft cells

512 rather than stem cells. Therefore, we only identified stem cells within crypt regions. Crypt

regions were defined as clusters of Lgr5$^+$ stem and Paneth cells, corresponding to crypt bases *in*

vivo. Lgr5-GFP stain images were processed to remove tissue background and thresholded to

identify foreground. Holes and gaps in crypt regions were filled using morphological operations

and small objects (typically, Lgr5$^+$/Dclk1$^+$ cells, see Figure 1-Supplement 1E) were dropped. The

remaining objects were labeled as crypts. We then counted nuclei within crypt regions as stem

cells. Importantly, we removed any nucleus in a crypt region that was associated with a Paneth

cell from the stem cell count (Figure 1-Supplement 1A).

Identifying Paneth cells: Lysozyme (Lyz) immunofluorescence images were smoothed through

convolution with a bilateral filter then a tophat filter. Foreground was identified using the Otsu-

thresholded Lyz immunofluorescence image. A LoG detector was then used to generate markers

of Paneth object locations.

Segmenting goblet cells: Mucin-2 (Muc2) stain images were smoothed by convolution with a

median filter. Foreground was identified using a convex hull of objects in each Otsu-thresholded

Muc2 immunofluorescence image. Goblet cells were segmented using a LoG detector to

generate markers of goblet object locations followed by watershed to create object boundaries.

Identifying enteroendocrine (EE) cells: ChgA stain images were processed using the same steps

as Paneth cell identification, only with different parameters.

Evaluation of Image Segmentation: Each cell-type object (e.g., each nucleus, each goblet cell,

each Paneth cell) was identified in raw immunofluorescence images by hand by an expert and, in

parallel, using the customized algorithms described above. The expert-generated segmented

images (where each mask represents an individual object) were compared to algorithm-generated

segmented images to determine algorithm performance. 'Precision' was quantified by dividing

the number of true positives (expert-identified objects also identified by the algorithm) by the

number of total positives (all algorithm-identified objects). 'Recall' was quantified by dividing

the number of true positives by the total number of expert-identified objects. F1 scores were

calculated as the harmonic mean of precision and recall. See Table 1 for results.

Data Analysis

Extracting numbers of each cell-type: Due to limitations of conventional fluorescence

microscopy, all desired cell-types were quantified across two replicate immunofluorescent stain

sets: a crypt stain set (Hoechst, EdU, Lgr5-GFP, Lyz) and a differentiated cell stain set (Hoechst,

EdU, Muc2, ChgA). We were able to quantify the numbers of some cell-types directly from a

single stain set, including the number of each directly measured cell-type (EdU$^+$, stem, goblet,

Paneth, EE) as well the number of proliferating (EdU$^+$) stem cells (combining information from

EdU and Lgr5-GFP segmentation), transit-amplifying cells (TA; #EdU$^+$ cells minus #stem cells),

and differentiated cells (# cells minus stem and TA cells).

Replicates and Error Estimation: In-plate replicate control wells (2-6 wells per plate) were used

to estimate mean and error. For replicate plates, mean and error were pooled. For across stain-set

readouts, error was propagated.

Fold Change: We calculated fold-change effects relative to in-plate controls for readouts within

each stain set (#EdU$^+$ stem cell, #TA cells). Fold-changes for readouts calculated across both

stain sets (#secretory/#diff, #goblet/#secretory, #Paneth/#secretory, #EE/#secretory) were

calculated to a pooled control baseline measurement.

Statistical testing

In comparing enteroid samples, two-sided Welch's t-tests were performed and p values were

reported. In comparing *in vivo* samples, two-sided Student's t tests were performed and p values

were reported. Degrees of freedom is taken as n-1. Measurements were taken from distinct

samples.

Perturbation effect visualization

For Figure 2B, double perturbation phenotypes were sorted into similar phenotypes using

hierarchical clustering (clustermap function in seaborn) with a euclidean distance metric. Single

perturbation phenotypes were sorted based on the number of EdU$^+$ stem cells in each row.

Population growth model

We established a simple population growth model to compute the changes in secretory and

absorptive populations in response to cell cycle perturbation. In this model, we assumed that the

initial ratio of absorptive to secretory TA progenitors produced by stem cells is equal. We further

assumed that the progenitors are locked into either secretory or absorptive fates after the initial

commitment with no switching occurring between fates (Stamataki et al., 2011; van Es et al.,

2012). The model describes the theoretical output of differentiated cells from populations of

initial secretory or absorptive progenitors.

Under normal growth (control condition), we describe the amplification of absorptive and

secretory TA cells as follows:

585
$$A = A_0(2)^{\frac{t}{\tau_a}}$$

586
$$S = S_0(2)^{\frac{t}{\tau_s}}$$

where A_0 and S_0 are the numbers of initial absorptive and secretory TA progenitors, respectively.

A and S are the numbers of final absorptive and secretory differentiated cells, respectively. t is

the total model time, set to be 48 (hours). τ_a and τ_s are the cell cycle lengths of absorptive and

secretory progenitors, respectively. Absorptive progenitors are generally found to divide 4-5

times while secretory progenitors only divide 1-2 times (Potten, 1998; Stamataki et al., 2011; van

Es et al., 2012). We set τ_a and τ_s to be 12 and 48 (hours) in control conditions. Thus, secretory

progenitors divide once while absorptive progenitors divide four times before fully

differentiating, consistent with previous observations. Progenitors that divide fewer times are not

considered differentiated cells.

We model the perturbation effect with the parameter p, where p is the probability of cell cycle

progression for any TA cell (alternatively $1 - p$ is the probability of quiescence). The expected

numbers of differentiated absorptive and secretory cells, as averaged over an ensemble of initial

progenitor populations, are as follows:

600
$$E(A) = A_0(2p)^{\frac{t}{\tau_a}}$$

601
$$E(S) = S_0(2p)^{\frac{t}{\tau_s}}$$

where $0 < p < 1$ in the case of cell cycle inhibitors and $p = 1$ in the untreated case.

Scanning through different values of τ_a and τ_s, we observe that as long as τ_a is less than τ_s,

decreasing proliferation (increasing p) corresponds to an increase in secretory prevalence. The

expectation follows from the observation that p modifies the expected number of progeny per

generation to be $2p$. More explicitly, the expectation of progeny number (X_i) for progenitor

generation i can be calculated as follows:

$$E(X_0) = \sum(E(X_{1j})) = 2p(E(X_1)) \ldots = (2p)^{\#divisions} = (2p)^{\frac{t}{\tau}}$$

We note that we can take a different parametrization for the cell-cycle inhibitor effect where the

length of cell cycling is varied under perturbation. The equations for this parametrization are as

follows:

$$A = A_0(2)^{\frac{t}{p\prime\tau_a}}$$

$$S = S_0(2)^{\frac{t}{p\prime\tau_s}}$$

where we take p' to be a number > 1 in the case of cell cycle inhibitors and p = 1 in the untreated

case and t is 48 as before. In this approach, we do not lose progenitors to quiescence and all

progenitors are considered differentiated at the end of the experiment time t. Since we can

convert between p' and p by letting $p = 2^{\frac{1}{p\prime}-1}$, we would observe a similar outcome as the first

parametrization approach where increasing perturbation effect via increased cell cycle inhibition

corresponds to an increase in secretory prevalence.

<u>Identifying perturbation interactions</u>

Multiplicative model: To identify perturbation interactions, we first established a model for how

perturbation effects should combine if there is no interaction. A simple model commonly used in

genetic and drug interaction studies is the multiplicative model (Mani et al., 2008; van Hasselt &

Iyengar, 2019). Under the multiplicative model, perturbations that do not interact should

combine as the product of their respective fold-change effects. This is equivalent to log-

additivity, which can be calculated as follows:

$\log_2 \text{fc}(AB_{predicted}) = \log_2 \text{fc}(A_{obs}) + \log_2 \text{fc}(B_{obs})$

Features with 0 values had a pseudocount of 1 instead. Perturbation combination effects that

deviate from the multiplicative model indicate a potential interaction, which we define

biologically as the due to utilization of a shared pathway or redundant pathways (Dixon et al.,

2009).

For each perturbation pair, we evaluated how a multiplicative model fits the observed double

perturbation effects for each cell-type readout (e.g., #TA cells). To evaluate the multiplicative

model across each perturbation and readout, we plotted the effects of perturbation combinations

(e.g, the effects of Wnt3a in combination with all other perturbations on #TA cells) against the

single perturbations (e.g., all perturbations except Wnt3a) in log scale. The multiplicative model

prediction is a line offset on the y-axis by the effect of the perturbation of interest (Figure 3-

Supplement 1B). Combinations of perturbations that do not exhibit an interaction will have an

effect that lies on this line. We compared linear and multiplicative functions for each single

perturbation and observed that some single perturbations fit well with either multiplicative or

linear fit models, while others deviated significantly from both (e.g., Wnt3a fits well with either

model while EGFR-i deviates significantly from both, see Figure 3-Supplement 1B-C). We

computed the residuals for perturbation combination fit across all readouts. The two models had

similar distributions of residual values with peaks close to zero (Figure 3-Supplement 1A),

indicating that the majority of perturbations in our experiment combined in a manner that is well

described by the multiplicative model.

Effect size: The deviation of each combinatorial perturbation from the prediction of the

multiplicative model is represented as effect size, which was quantified in this case as absolute

Cohen's d, or the standardized deviation of the combinatorial phenotype from multiplicative

model prediction.

Effect size = $| \mu_{obs} - \mu_{pred} | / \sigma_{pooled}$

where μ_{obs} and μ_{pred} are the observed and predicted means for the combination effect and σ_{pooled} is

the pooled standard deviation. In Figure 3A, the cut-off for the effect size was 5.

<u>BMP2 ELISA</u>

Supernatant levels of BMP2 were quantified using a BMP-2 Quantikine ELISA kit (R&D

Systems #DBP200), without significant deviations from manufacturer's instructions.

<u>qRT-PCR</u>

RNA was harvested from enteroid monolayers using an RNEasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen #74136).

Reverse transcription was performed using iScript Reverse Transcription kit (Bio-Rad

#1708841). Quantitative PCR was performed using SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green

Supermix (Bio-Rad #1725272) on a BioRad CFXConnect. Test gene values were normalized to

beta-actin values. To quantify Atoh1/Hes1 ratio, both Atoh1 and Hes1 fold-changes relative to

control were calculated and then Atoh1 fold-change was divided by Hes1 fold-change. RNA

levels were determined using the following primers:

Target mRNA	Forward Primer	Reverse Primer
Atoh1	5'-TCCCCTTCCTCCTACCTTCTCC-3'	5'-CAACACGCAAGGATGAACTCCC-3'
Hes1	5'-AGAAGAGGCGAAGGGCAAGAAT-3'	5'-TGGAATGCCGGGAGCTATCTTT-3'
Lyz	5'-AAGAATGCCTGTGGGATCAA-3'	5'-CGGTTTTGACATTGTGTTCG-3'
Muc2	5'-ACCCCAAGCCCTTCTCCTACTA-3'	5'-AGTGGATTGAGAGGTCACAGGC-3'
ChgA	5'-GCAACACAGCAGCTTTGAGGAT-3'	5'-GTTAGGCTCTGGAAAGGCCTGA-3'
Bmp2	5'-GCTTCTTAGACGGACTGCGG-3'	5'-GCAACACTAGAAGACAGCGGGT-3'
beta-actin	5'-CGCCACCAGTTCGCCATGGA-3'	5'-TACAGCCCGGGGAGCATCGT-3'

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Zev Gartner, Ophir Klein, Curtis Thorne, and members of the Altschuler-Wu

lab for their feedback. We additionally thank Ophir Klein and Frederic de Sauvage for mouse

resources. This work was supported by NIH GM112690 (S.J.A.), NCI-NIH RO1 CA184984

(L.F.W.), the UCSF Program for Breakthrough Biomedical Research which is partly funded by

the Sandler Foundation (L.F.W.), NIH NRSA fellowship F32DK120102 (L.E.S.), and NSF

GRFP fellowship 1650113 (I.W.C.).

Author contributions

I.W.C. and L.E.S. conceptualized study, developed computational methods, designed and

executed experiments, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript. J.M.B. and V.S. designed and

executed *in vivo* experiments and analyzed data. B.H. supervised the *in vivo* study. L.F.W. and

S.J.A. conceptualized and supervised the overall study and wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests

Authors declare no competing interests.

References

Balázsi, G., van Oudenaarden, A., & Collins, J. J. (2011). Cellular decision making and biological noise:

from microbes to mammals. *Cell*, *144*(6), 910–925.

Barnard, J. A., Beauchamp, R. D., Coffey, R. J., & Moses, H. L. (1989). Regulation of intestinal epithelial

cell growth by transforming growth factor type beta. In *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences (Vol. 86, Issue 5, pp. 1578–1582). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.86.5.1578

Basak, O., Beumer, J., Wiebrands, K., Seno, H., van Oudenaarden, A., & Clevers, H. (2017a). Induced

Quiescence of Lgr5+ Stem Cells in Intestinal Organoids Enables Differentiation of Hormone-

Producing Enteroendocrine Cells. *Cell Stem Cell*, *20*(2), 177–190.e4.

Batlle, E., Henderson, J. T., Beghtel, H., van den Born, M. M. W., Sancho, E., Huls, G., Meeldijk, J.,

Robertson, J., van de Wetering, M., Pawson, T., & Clevers, H. (2002). Beta-catenin and TCF

mediate cell positioning in the intestinal epithelium by controlling the expression of EphB/ephrinB.

Cell, *111*(2), 251–263.

Beumer, J., & Clevers, H. (2016). Regulation and plasticity of intestinal stem cells during homeostasis

and regeneration. *Development* , *143*(20), 3639–3649.

Birchenough, G. M. H., Nyström, E. E. L., Johansson, M. E. V., & Hansson, G. C. (2016). A sentinel

goblet cell guards the colonic crypt by triggering Nlrp6-dependent Muc2 secretion. *Science*,

352(6293), 1535–1542.

Bjerknes, M., & Cheng, H. (1999). Clonal analysis of mouse intestinal epithelial progenitors.

Gastroenterology, *116*(1), 7–14.

Cheng, H., & Leblond, C. P. (1974a). Origin, differentiation and renewal of the four main epithelial cell

types in the mouse small intestine. I. Columnar cell. *The American Journal of Anatomy*, *141*(4),

461–479.

Cheng, H., & Leblond, C. P. (1974b). Origin, differentiation and renewal of the four main epithelial cell

types in the mouse small intestine. V. Unitarian Theory of the origin of the four epithelial cell types.

The American Journal of Anatomy, *141*(4), 537–561.

Clevers, H. (2013). The intestinal crypt, a prototype stem cell compartment. *Cell*, *154*(2), 274–284.

Coster, A. D., Thorne, C. A., Wu, L. F., & Altschuler, S. J. (2017). Examining Crosstalk among

Transforming Growth Factor β, Bone Morphogenetic Protein, and Wnt Pathways. *The Journal of*

Biological Chemistry, *292*(1), 244–250.

Dames, P., Bergann, T., Fromm, A., Bücker, R., Barmeyer, C., Krug, S. M., Fromm, M., & Schulzke, J.-

D. (2015). Interleukin-13 affects the epithelial sodium channel in the intestine by coordinated

modulation of STAT6 and p38 MAPK activity. *The Journal of Physiology*, *593*(24), 5269–5282.

Dixon, S. J., Costanzo, M., Baryshnikova, A., Andrews, B., & Boone, C. (2009). Systematic mapping of

genetic interaction networks. *Annual Review of Genetics*, *43*, 601–625.

Farin, H. F., Jordens, I., Mosa, M. H., Basak, O., Korving, J., Tauriello, D. V. F., de Punder, K., Angers,

S., Peters, P. J., Maurice, M. M., & Clevers, H. (2016). Visualization of a short-range Wnt gradient

in the intestinal stem-cell niche. *Nature*, *530*(7590), 340–343.

Flentjar, N., Chu, P.-Y., Ng, A. Y.-N., Johnstone, C. N., Heath, J. K., Ernst, M., Hertzog, P. J., &

Pritchard, M. A. (2007). TGF-betaRII rescues development of small intestinal epithelial cells in

Elf3-deficient mice. *Gastroenterology*, *132*(4), 1410–1419.

Flier, L. G. van der, van der Flier, L. G., & Clevers, H. (2009). Stem Cells, Self-Renewal, and

Differentiation in the Intestinal Epithelium. In *Annual Review of Physiology* (Vol. 71, Issue 1, pp.

241–260). https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.010908.163145

Goldman, D. C., Donley, N., & Christian, J. L. (2009). Genetic interaction between Bmp2 and Bmp4

reveals shared functions during multiple aspects of mouse organogenesis. *Mechanisms of*

Development, *126*(3-4), 117–127.

Haber, A. L., Biton, M., Rogel, N., Herbst, R. H., Shekhar, K., Smillie, C., Burgin, G., Delorey, T. M.,

Howitt, M. R., Katz, Y., Tirosh, I., Beyaz, S., Dionne, D., Zhang, M., Raychowdhury, R., Garrett,

W. S., Rozenblatt-Rosen, O., Shi, H. N., Yilmaz, O., … Regev, A. (2017). A single-cell survey of

the small intestinal epithelium. *Nature*, *551*(7680), 333–339.

Han, L., Diehl, A., Nguyen, N. K., Korangath, P., Teo, W., Cho, S., Kominsky, S., Huso, D. L., Feigenbaum, L., Rein, A., Argani, P., Landberg, G., Gessler, M., & Sukumar, S. (2014). The Notch pathway inhibits TGFβ signaling in breast cancer through HEYL-mediated crosstalk. *Cancer Research*, *74*(22), 6509–6518.

Hong, S. P., Song, S., Cho, S. W., Lee, S., Koh, B. I., Bae, H., Kim, K. H., Park, J.-S., Do, H.-S., Im, I., Heo, H. J., Ko, T. H., Park, J.-H., Youm, J. B., Kim, S.-J., Kim, I., Han, J., Han, Y.-M., & Koh, G. Y. (2017). Generation of PDGFRα Cardioblasts from Pluripotent Stem Cells. *Scientific Reports*, *7*, 41840.

Houde, M., Laprise, P., Jean, D., Blais, M., Asselin, C., & Rivard, N. (2001). Intestinal epithelial cell differentiation involves activation of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase that regulates the homeobox transcription factor CDX2. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *276*(24), 21885–21894.

Lander, A. D., Gokoffski, K. K., Wan, F. Y. M., Nie, Q., & Calof, A. L. (2009). Cell lineages and the logic of proliferative control. *PLoS Biology*, *7*(1), e15.

Li, Y., Liu, Y., Liu, B., Wang, J., Wei, S., Qi, Z., Wang, S., Fu, W., & Chen, Y.-G. (2018). A growth factor-free culture system underscores the coordination between Wnt and BMP signaling in Lgr5 intestinal stem cell maintenance. *Cell Discovery*, *4*, 49.

Mani, R., St Onge, R. P., Hartman, J. L., 4th, Giaever, G., & Roth, F. P. (2008). Defining genetic interaction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *105*(9), 3461–3466.

Matsu-Ura, T., Dovzhenok, A., Aihara, E., Rood, J., Le, H., Ren, Y., Rosselot, A. E., Zhang, T., Lee, C., Obrietan, K., Montrose, M. H., Lim, S., Moore, S. R., & Hong, C. I. (2016). Intercellular Coupling of the Cell Cycle and Circadian Clock in Adult Stem Cell Culture. *Molecular Cell*, *64*(5), 900–912.

Papin, J. A., Hunter, T., Palsson, B. O., & Subramaniam, S. (2005). Reconstruction of cellular signalling networks and analysis of their properties. *Nature Reviews. Molecular Cell Biology*, *6*(2), 99–111.

Potten, C. S. (1998). Stem cells in gastrointestinal epithelium: numbers, characteristics and death. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*,

761 *353*(1370), 821–830.

762 Qi, Z., Li, Y., Zhao, B., Xu, C., Liu, Y., Li, H., Zhang, B., Wang, X., Yang, X., Xie, W., Li, B., Han, J.-

763  D. J., & Chen, Y.-G. (2017). BMP restricts stemness of intestinal Lgr5 stem cells by directly

764  suppressing their signature genes. *Nature Communications*, *8*, 13824.

765 Ramirez, M., Rajaram, S., Steininger, R. J., Osipchuk, D., Roth, M. A., Morinishi, L. S., Evans, L., Ji, W.,

766  Hsu, C.-H., Thurley, K., Wei, S., Zhou, A., Koduru, P. R., Posner, B. A., Wu, L. F., & Altschuler, S.

767  J. (2016). Diverse drug-resistance mechanisms can emerge from drug-tolerant cancer persister cells.

768  *Nature Communications*, *7*, 10690.

769 Richmond, C. A., Rickner, H., Shah, M. S., Ediger, T., Deary, L., Zhou, F., Tovaglieri, A., Carlone, D. L.,

770  & Breault, D. T. (2018a). JAK/STAT-1 Signaling Is Required for Reserve Intestinal Stem Cell

771  Activation during Intestinal Regeneration Following Acute Inflammation. *Stem Cell Reports*, *10*(1),

772  17–26.

773 Rodríguez-Colman, M. J., Schewe, M., Meerlo, M., Stigter, E., Gerrits, J., Pras-Raves, M., Sacchetti, A.,

774  Hornsveld, M., Oost, K. C., Snippert, H. J., Verhoeven-Duif, N., Fodde, R., & Burgering, B. M. T.

775  (2017). Interplay between metabolic identities in the intestinal crypt supports stem cell function.

776  *Nature*, *543*(7645), 424–427.

777 Salic, A., & Mitchison, T. J. (2008). A chemical method for fast and sensitive detection of DNA synthesis

778  in vivo. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *105*(7), 2415–2420.

779 Sato, T., Stange, D. E., Ferrante, M., Vries, R. G. J., Van Es, J. H., Van den Brink, S., Van Houdt, W. J.,

780  Pronk, A., Van Gorp, J., Siersema, P. D., & Clevers, H. (2011). Long-term expansion of epithelial

781  organoids from human colon, adenoma, adenocarcinoma, and Barrett's epithelium.

782  *Gastroenterology*, *141*(5), 1762–1772.

783 Sato, T., Vries, R. G., Snippert, H. J., van de Wetering, M., Barker, N., Stange, D. E., van Es, J. H., Abo,

784  A., Kujala, P., Peters, P. J., & Clevers, H. (2009). Single Lgr5 stem cells build crypt-villus structures

785  in vitro without a mesenchymal niche. *Nature*, *459*(7244), 262–265.

786 Stamataki, D., Holder, M., Hodgetts, C., Jeffery, R., Nye, E., Spencer-Dene, B., Winton, D. J., & Lewis,

J. (2011). Delta1 expression, cell cycle exit, and commitment to a specific secretory fate coincide

within a few hours in the mouse intestinal stem cell system. *PloS One*, *6*(9), e24484.

Thorne, C. A., Chen, I. W., Sanman, L. E., Cobb, M. H., Wu, L. F., & Altschuler, S. J. (2018). Enteroid

Monolayers Reveal an Autonomous WNT and BMP Circuit Controlling Intestinal Epithelial Growth

and Organization. *Developmental Cell*, *44*(5), 624–633.e4.

Tian, A., Benchabane, H., Wang, Z., & Ahmed, Y. (2016). Regulation of Stem Cell Proliferation and Cell

Fate Specification by Wingless/Wnt Signaling Gradients Enriched at Adult Intestinal Compartment

Boundaries. *PLoS Genetics*, *12*(2), e1005822.

Tian, H., Biehs, B., Warming, S., Leong, K. G., Rangell, L., Klein, O. D., & de Sauvage, F. J. (2011). A

reserve stem cell population in small intestine renders Lgr5-positive cells dispensable. *Nature*,

478(7368), 255–259.

van der Flier, L. G., & Clevers, H. (2009). Stem cells, self-renewal, and differentiation in the intestinal

epithelium. *Annual Review of Physiology*, *71*, 241–260.

van der Flier, L. G., Haegebarth, A., Stange, D. E., van de Wetering, M., & Clevers, H. (2009). OLFM4 is

a robust marker for stem cells in human intestine and marks a subset of colorectal cancer cells.

Gastroenterology, *137*(1), 15–17.

VanDussen, K. L., Carulli, A. J., Keeley, T. M., Patel, S. R., Puthoff, B. J., Magness, S. T., Tran, I. T.,

Maillard, I., Siebel, C., Kolterud, Å., Grosse, A. S., Gumucio, D. L., Ernst, S. A., Tsai, Y.-H.,

Dempsey, P. J., & Samuelson, L. C. (2012). Notch signaling modulates proliferation and

differentiation of intestinal crypt base columnar stem cells. *Development* , *139*(3), 488–497.

van Es, J. H., Jay, P., Gregorieff, A., van Gijn, M. E., Jonkheer, S., Hatzis, P., Thiele, A., van den Born,

M., Begthel, H., Brabletz, T., Taketo, M. M., & Clevers, H. (2005). Wnt signalling induces

maturation of Paneth cells in intestinal crypts. *Nature Cell Biology*, *7*(4), 381–386.

van Es, J. H., Sato, T., van de Wetering, M., Lyubimova, A., Nee, A. N. Y., Gregorieff, A., Sasaki, N.,

Zeinstra, L., van den Born, M., Korving, J., Martens, A. C. M., Barker, N., van Oudenaarden, A., &

Clevers, H. (2012). Dll1+ secretory progenitor cells revert to stem cells upon crypt damage. *Nature*

Cell Biology, *14*(10), 1099–1104.

van Hasselt, J. G. C., & Iyengar, R. (2019). Systems Pharmacology: Defining the Interactions of Drug

Combinations. *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology*, *59*, 21–40.

von Moltke, J., Ji, M., Liang, H.-E., & Locksley, R. M. (2015). Tuft-cell-derived IL-25 regulates an

intestinal ILC2–epithelial response circuit. *Nature*, *529*(7585), 221–225.

Yin, X., Farin, H. F., van Es, J. H., Clevers, H., Langer, R., & Karp, J. M. (2014a). Niche-independent

high-purity cultures of Lgr5+ intestinal stem cells and their progeny. *Nature Methods*, *11*(1), 106–

112.

Figures

Figure 1. A quantitative microscopy platform to study regulation of intestinal cell-type

composition. (**A**) Intestinal epithelial cell lineage diagram. (**B**) Workflow for characterization of

perturbation effects on cell-type composition. Intestinal crypts are cultured as enteroid

monolayers. Cell-type numbers are measured from immunofluorescence (IF) images of enteroid

monolayers. (**C**) ~80% of cells in 48 hours of enteroid culture are produced by initial

progenitors. Enteroid monolayers were seeded and either EdU was added for 2 hours and

enteroids were then fixed (cyan), EdU was pulsed for 2 hours and removed for 46 hours before

fixation (black), or enteroids were cultured for 46 hours and EdU added for the 2 hours prior to

fixation (magenta). ~20-30% of cells are proliferating (EdU$^+$) upon initial seeding (cyan) and

after 48 hours of culture (magenta), and ~80% of cells are produced by initially proliferating

progenitors (EdU$^+$) in the 48-hour culture period (black). n=3 wells. (**D**) Initial progenitors

produce secretory cell-types during 48 hours of culture. Enteroids were pulsed with EdU for 2

hours upon initial seeding. EdU was removed and cells were fixed after 46 hours of culture. EdU

and cell-type marker (Lyz; Paneth, Muc2; goblet, and ChgA; EE) staining patterns were then

visualized. Arrowheads indicate cells that co-stain for EdU and the indicated cell-type markers.

Error bars mean +/- sem.

Figure 1-Supplement 1. Quantification of intestinal epithelial cell-types in

immunofluorescence images of enteroid monolayers. (**A**) Example of crypt segmentation with

pseudocolor overlay for each cell-type readout. Scale bar 10μm. (**B**) Example of segmentation of

proliferating, Paneth, goblet, and enteroendocrine (EE) cells. Top is raw immunofluorescence

image for indicated marker and bottom includes pseudocolor overlay of each identified cell

object. Scale bar 10μm. (**C**) Schematic of nuclear segmentation steps. Thresholded Hoechst stain

images were segmented in two passes. The first pass segmented sparse nuclei and the second

pass segmented clumped nuclei. Sparse and clumped segmentation were merged into the final

nuclear segmentation. Yellow dots indicate identified markers of nuclear object locations, multi-

pseudocolor overlay depicts individual nuclei segmented using a watershed algorithm. Scale bar

10μm. (**D**) Schematic of stem cell segmentation. The Lgr5-GFP stain was first corrected for

tissue noise and then thresholded. Size filtering was used to separate multi-cell membrane GFP

regions (crypt regions) from single Lgr5-GFP+ cells. All nuclei in crypt regions (nuclei

identified from Hoechst image segmentation of same region), with the exception of Paneth cell-

associated nuclei, were counted as stem cells. Scale bar 10μm. (**E**) Single Lgr5-GFP+ cells are

also Dclk1+ in enteroid monolayers (top; scale bar 10μm) and 3D organoids (bottom; scale bar

15μm) and are thus excluded from stem cell counts.

Figure 1-Supplement 2. Enteroid monolayers exhibit reproducible cell-type composition

across replicate wells when crypts are seeded at 10-30% initial confluency. Percent initial

confluency was measured from brightfield images taken after crypt seeding and 48hr cell-type

composition was quantified from immunofluorescence images. Y axis log_2fc was computed

relative to the average of all wells. No relationship is observed between initial confluency and

cell frequencies.

A

progenitor proliferation
#EdU+ stem
#TA

secretory-absorptive
#secretory/#differentiated

secretory cell-types
#goblet/#secretory
#EE/#secretory
#Paneth/#secretory

identified cell-types

B

single perturbations | pairwise perturbations

#EdU⁺ stem
TA
#secretory / #diff.
#Paneth / #secretory
#goblet / #secretory
#EE / #secretory

BMP4
IL-4
EGFR-i
PORCN-i
TGF-β
TGF-βR-i
Wnt3a
HDAC-i
JAK1/2-i
Notch-i
BMPR-i
GSK3-i
p38 MAPK-i

log₂fc

C

Lgr5 RNA (log₂fc)

vehicle
GSK3-i+HDAC-i
BMPR-i+HDAC-i
JAK1/2-i+BMPR-i
GSK3-i+p38MAPK-i
GSK3-i+JAK-i
BMPR-i+p38MAPK-i

D

3D organoid

vehicle

GSK3-i+ JAK1/2-i

Hoechst
Lgr5 EdU

E

EE/secretory RNA (log₂fc)

vehicle
TGF-β+PORCN-i

F

3D organoid

vehicle

TGF-β+ PORCN-i

Hoechst
ChgA

Figure 2. Systematic characterization of perturbation effects on intestinal epithelial cell-type composition reveals cell-type-specific regulators. (**A**), Cell-type counts are combined to quantify progenitor proliferation (top), overall secretory cell prevalence (middle), and representation of each secretory cell-type within that lineage (bottom). (**B**) Combinatorial perturbations induce diverse phenotypes. Single (left) and pairwise (right) perturbation effects are shown. Top colored heatmaps: Perturbation effects are represented as $\log_2$ fold-change (fc) relative to vehicle-treated wells. Bottom black-and-white heatmap: Black boxes indicate perturbations in each column. Single perturbations are sorted by #EdU$^+$ stem cells; combinatorial perturbations are clustered based on similarity of tissue-wide effects. Callouts (1) and (2) referred to in text. n=2-28 wells. (**C-D**) Recapitulation of Lgr5$^+$ stem cell-inducing conditions by qRT-PCR in enteroid monolayers and IF in 3D organoids. (**C**) Enteroid monolayers were treated as indicated for 48 hours. RNA levels were measured by qRT-PCR. n=3 wells. (**D**) Lgr5-GFP-DTR 3D organoids were treated as indicated for 48 hours. EdU was added for 2 hours prior to fixation. (**E-F**) Recapitulation of EE cell inducing condition by qRT-PCR in enteroid monolayers and IF in 3D organoids. (**E**) Enteroid monolayers were treated as indicated for 48 hours. Changes in EE cell RNA (ChgA) relative to average of secretory cell RNA (ChgA+Lyz+Muc2) was measured by qRT-PCR. n=3 wells. (**F**) 3D organoids were treated as indicated for 24 hours and increased EE (ChgA$^+$) cell numbers were observed. Error bars mean +/- sem.

Figure 2-Supplement 1. Optimization of perturbation dose. (**A**) Schematic of experimental

procedure for investigating tissue responses to perturbation. (**B**) Quantification of cell numbers

and prevalence after perturbation with increasing doses of Wnt3a, BMP4, EGFR inhibitor, GSK3

inhibitor, TGF-beta, IL-4, or Notch inhibitor. All data are represented as $\log_2$ transform of the

fold-change effect relative to control. Fraction of goblet cells was calculated as a percentage of

all cells for comparison with previous studies. n=2-6 wells. Error bars mean +/- sem.

Figure 2-Supplement 2. Combining cell-type numbers into readouts of progenitor

proliferation and differentiated cell composition. (**A**) Numbers of proliferating progenitors

were quantified as the #EdU⁺ cells, which was further divided into proliferating stem and transit-

amplifying (TA) cells based on Lgr5 staining. (**B**) The secretory-absorptive bias was quantified

as the fraction of differentiated cells (EdU⁻ and Lgr5⁻) that express secretory markers (the total

number of cells that express Paneth (Lyz), goblet (Muc2), or enteroendocrine (EE) cell (ChgA)

markers). (**C**) Composition of the secretory lineage was quantified as the fraction of secretory

cells that express either goblet, Paneth, or EE cell markers.

Figure 2-Supplement 3. Benchmarking enteroid monolayer responses to well-characterized

perturbations. (**A**) Enteroid monolayers respond as expected to modulators of stemness (GSK3-

i+HDAC-i) and secretory cell prevalence (Notch-i, IL-4, EGFR-i, PORCN-i). Both

quantification of replicate wells (top, n=2-6 wells) and representative images (bottom) are

shown. Scale bars 10μm. (**B**) Similar changes in cell-type composition are observed at the RNA

level. Enteroid monolayers were treated as indicated for 48 hours. For secretory:absorptive RNA,

the Atoh1/Hes1 ratio was measured. Paneth RNA=Lyz, goblet RNA=Muc2, EE RNA=ChgA,

secretory RNA=Lyz+Muc2+ChgA. n=3 wells. Error bars mean +/- sem. Veh=vehicle.

Figure 3. Interaction mapping reveals mutual antagonism between EGFR-i and IL-4. (**A**) Perturbation interactions are predominantly observed in the regulation of EdU+ stem and TA cell numbers. The frequency of perturbation pairs that exhibit significant deviation from the multiplicative expectation was quantified for each readout of cell-type composition. (**B**) EGFR-i and IL-4 antagonize each other's effects on TA numbers. Enteroid monolayers were treated as indicated for 48 hours. Images (top) and quantification of TA cell numbers (bottom) are shown. n=2-6 wells. (**C**) IL-4 induces phospho-Erk nuclear translocation in the context of EGFR inhibition. Enteroid monolayers were treated as indicated for 48 hours and then stained for phospho-Erk. Nuclear phospho-Erk is observed in all conditions except EGFR-i alone. Red arrowheads indicate example cells with nuclear phospho-Erk. (**D**) IL-4 induces BMP2 production. Enteroid monolayers were treated as indicated and BMP2 RNA levels measured by qRT-PCR. n=3 wells. (**E**) EGFR-i abrogates the IL-4-induced increase in BMP2 production. Enteroid monolayers were treated as indicated for 48 hours and levels of BMP2 in the media measured by ELISA. n=3 wells. Error bars mean +/- sem. Fc=fold-change.

Figure 3-Supplement 1. Evaluation of the multiplicative model. (**A**) Perturbation effects are

generally similar to the predictions of the multiplicative model. Distribution of residual between

predicted and observed values across all cell-type readouts is shown. (**B**) Individual perturbations

are either predicted by the multiplicative model or diverge significantly. Examples of well-

predicted (Wnt3a) and divergent (EGFR-i) perturbations are shown. Effects shown are on #TA

cells. The multiplicative model predicts an interaction between an inhibitor of PORCN, an

enzyme required to process epithelial-intrinsic Wnt3a, and Wnt3a treatment (left).

Figure 3-Supplement 2. Example of perturbation interaction between Wnt3a and GSK3-i.

The combination effect of Wnt3a and GSK3-i is much higher than would be expected under the

multiplicative model. This synergism can be explained by the mechanisms of Wnt3a and GSK3-i

as both perturbations impact components of the Wnt pathway.

Figure 3-Supplement 3. Regulation of MEK-Erk activity by EGFR and IL-4. (A)

Antagonistic effect of IL-4 on EGFR-i is dependent on MEK activity. Enteroid monolayers were

treated as indicated for 48 hours and the number of TA cells quantified. n=2-6 wells. (**B**) MEK

inhibition reduces Erk activation (nuclear translocation of phospho-Erk) in enteroid monolayers

even in the context of IL-4 treatment. Enteroid monolayers were treated with the indicated

compounds for 24hr and then stained for phospho-Erk1/2. Red arrowheads indicate example

cells with nuclear phospho-Erk. Scale bar 7.5μm.

A

B

vehicle   BMPR-i   IL-4   IL-4+BMPR-i

Hoechst EdU Lgr5   3D organoid

Figure 3-Supplement 4. Regulation of BMP signaling by IL-4. (**A**) BMP receptor inhibition

blocks IL-4-induced downregulation of TA cell numbers and stem cell numbers. Enteroid

monolayers were treated as indicated for 48 hours and both EdU$^+$ stem and TA cell numbers

quantified. n=2-6 wells. (**B**) BMP receptor inhibition blocks IL-4-induced downregulation of

proliferation and stemness in 3D organoids. 3D organoids were treated with vehicle or IL-4 in

the presence and absence of BMP receptor inhibitor for 48hrs and then stained for proliferating

cells (EdU$^+$) and stem cells (Lgr5$^+$). Scale bar 20μm.

Figure 4. Inhibiting proliferation increases secretory cell prevalence in enteroid

monolayers, in 3D organoids, and *in vivo*. (A) Numbers of transit-amplifying (TA) cells, but

not EdU+ stem cells, correlate with secretory cell fractions. Perturbation effects ($\log_2$fc) are

plotted pairwise for each feature. (**B**) The TA-secretory correlation is not driven by a specific

perturbation. Each of 13 perturbations was sequentially dropped from the dataset and r value

calculated. (**C**) Inhibiting cell cycle progression increases secretory cell fractions. Enteroids were

treated as indicated for 48hrs, after which #TA cells, and #secretory/#differentiated cells were

quantified. n = 3 wells. (**D**) TA cells are sufficient to alter secretory fractions in response to cell

cycle inhibitors. 3D organoids were perturbed into stem or TA cell-rich states using PORCN-i

(TA) or GSK3-i+HDAC-i (stem), respectively. These factors were removed and then a CDK4/6

inhibitor (palbociclib) was applied. The secretory:absorptive ratio was measured at 2 days post-

treatment by qRT-PCR for the Atoh1/Hes1 ratio in TA-enriched (p=0.0002) or stem-enriched

(p=0.01) 3D organoids. n = 3 wells. (**E**) Impairing proliferation increases the

secretory:absorptive ratio *in vivo*. Mice were treated with CDK4/6-i (palbociclib) or vehicle

every 24hr for 48hrs. At 50hrs, intestinal crypts were harvested and gene expression was

measured by qRT-PCR. CDK4/6-i increases the Atoh1:Hes1 ratio (p=0.00005). n=8 mice/group.

Error bars mean +/- sem.

Figure 4-Supplement 1. The correlation between TA cell numbers and EE cell prevalence is

driven by EGFR-i. (**A**) Numbers of transit-amplifying (TA) cells correlate with EE cell

fractions. Perturbation effects ($\log_2$fc) are plotted pairwise for each feature. (**B**) Each of 13

perturbations was sequentially dropped from the dataset and correlation coefficient (r value)

calculated. Red arrowhead indicates loss of correlation between #TA cells and #EE/#secretory

after dropping EGFR-i.

Figure 4-Supplement 2. Dose-dependent increase in secretory prevalence upon CDK4/6

inhibition. Increasing amounts of CDK4/6 inhibitor were applied (concentrations indicated by

color bar) to enteroid monolayers for 48 hours. Changes in the TA cells (#TA cells $\log_2$fc) and

secretory cell prevalence amongst differentiated cells (#secretory/#differentiated $\log_2$fc) were

quantified. The color of each point indicates the concentration of CDK4/6 inhibitor applied. n=3

wells.

Figure 4-Supplement 3. Confirming enrichment of stem versus proliferating cells in 3D

organoids treated with GSK3-i+HDAC-i or PORCN-i. 3D organoids were treated with

GSK3-i+HDAC-i for 2 days or PORCN-i for 1 day and relative abundance of stem (Lgr5) and

proliferating (Ki67) cell RNA was measured by qRT-PCR. GSK3-i+HDAC-i increases stemness

relative to proliferation and PORCN-i decreases stemness relative to proliferation. n=3 wells.

Figure 4-Supplement 4. Quantifying changes in proliferation and secretory prevalence in

CDK4/6-i-treated mice. Small intestinal epithelial crypts were harvested from mice treated with

vehicle or the CDK4/6-i palbociclib. (**A**) To quantify changes in proliferation, Ki67 RNA was

measured by qRT-PCR. CDK4/6-i decreases Ki67 expression (p=0.0003). (**B**) To quantify

changes in the ratio of secretory:absorptive cell-types, Dll (Dll1+Dll4) RNA and Notch

(Notch1+Notch2) RNA was measured by qRT-PCR. CDK4/6-i increases the Dll/Notch ratio,

indicating an increase in secretory cell-types (p=0.039). n=8 mice/group.

Figure 5. Differential amplification of secretory progenitors connects proliferation with differentiated cell-type composition. (**A**) Secretory progenitors divide fewer times than other progenitors. Enteroids were pulsed with EdU for 9 hours. After 39 further hours of culture enteroids were fixed and stained. Mean EdU signal intensity was quantified in all EdU^{+} cells and in EdU^{+} cells that also stained positive for markers of Paneth (Lyz), goblet (Muc2) and EE (ChgA) cells. Distribution of EdU intensities is represented as a kde plot. (**B**) If secretory progenitors divide fewer times than absorptive progenitors, inhibiting the cell cycle increases the secretory:absorptive ratio. Exponential growth model parameters are shown where secretory cell

progenitors divide once (τ_s=48hr) and absorptive cell progenitors divide four times (τ_a=12hr)

over a 48hr model time. (**C**) If secretory progenitors divide the same number of times as

absorptive progenitors, inhibiting the cell cycle will not affect the secretory:absorptive ratio.

Exponential growth model parameters are shown where both secretory and absorptive

progenitors divide four times (τ_a=τ_s=12hr). For other model parameterizations see Figure 5-

Supplement 1.

A

control   perturbation

p = 1   p < 1

p: probability of cell cycle progression

1 - p: probability of quiescence

B

Secretory progenitor cell cycle length τ_a (h)

$\tau_s = 12$ $\tau_s = 24$ $\tau_s = 48$

Absorptive progenitor cell cycle length τ_s (h)

$\tau_a = 6$

$\tau_a = 12$

$\tau_a = 24$

Log2 fold-change in secretory/absorptive ratio

probability of cell cycle progression (p)

Figure 5-Supplement 1. Cell cycle modulators affect the secretory:absorptive ratio due to

differential amplification of secretory and absorptive progenitors. (**A**) Diagram of the effect

of altering p, a parameter setting the probability of progenitor cell cycle progression. (**B**)

Exponential growth model supports the hypothesis that secretory progenitors divide fewer times

than absorptive progenitors. The parameters τ_a and τ_s describe how often secretory and

absorptive progenitors divide. Only when secretory progenitors have longer cell cycle lengths

($\tau_s > \tau_a$) and thus undergo fewer divisions than absorptive progenitors during the 48-hour

simulation period does decreasing the probability of cell cycle progression increase the

secretory:absorptive ratio, and vice versa.

Tables

Cell-type	Marker	Precision	Recall	F1 Score
nuclei	Hoechst	0.9965	0.9936	0.9951
goblet cells	Muc2	1	0.8998	0.9473
EE cells	ChgA	0.8551	0.9248	0.8886
Paneth cells	Lyz	0.9018	0.9642	0.9319
EdU+ cells	EdU	1	0.9557	0.9774
crypt regions	Lgr5-GFP	0.9816	0.9877	0.9846
stem cells	Lgr5-GFP	0.9724	0.9756	0.9740
Lgr5+/Dclk1+ cells	Lgr5-GFP	0.8208	0.9087	0.8625

1024 **Table 1.** Evaluation of the performance of cell-type identification algorithms.

Cell Type	In Vivo (%)	Enteroid Monolayer (%)
Paneth	7.5% of crypt cells(Cheng & Leblond, 1974a)	3.1% of all cells
Goblet	4-6(Cheng & Leblond, 1974a)	3.8
EE	0.6(Cheng & Leblond, 1974a)	0.4

Table 2. Comparison of enteroid monolayer cell-type composition with literature reports of small intestine cell-type composition.

Pathway	Perturbation	Target	Designation	Pathway Effect	Published Effect(s) on Intestinal Epithelium	Dose Ref.
EGFR	erlotinib	EGFR	EGFR-i	inhibit	Reduced proliferation, increased EE cell (Basak et al., 2017a)	(Basak et al., 2017a)
Wnt	Wnt3a	Frizzled	Wnt3a	activate	Increased proliferation (Clevers, 2013)	(Thorne et al., 2018)
	IWP-2	PORCN	PORCN-i	inhibit	Decreased proliferation, stem cells, Paneth cells (Basak et al., 2017a; Farin et al., 2016; Rodríguez-Colman et al., 2017; van Es et al., 2005)	(Yin et al., 2014b)
	CHIR99021	GSK3	GSK3-i	activate	Increased proliferation, stem cells (Yin et al., 2014b)	(Yin et al., 2014b)
BMP	BMP4	BMPR	BMP4	activate	Decreased proliferation and stem cells (Qi et al., 2017)	(Thorne et al., 2018)
	LDN-193189	ALK2/3	BMPR-i	inhibit	Increased proliferation and stem cells (Li et al., 2018)	(Thorne et al., 2018)
TGF-β	TGF-β	TGF-βR	TGF-β	activate	Important for differentiation in vivo (Flentjar et al., 2007; Li et al., 2018; van der Flier et al., 2009)	(Han et al., 2014)
	EW-7197	ALK4/5	TGF-βR-i	inhibit	?	(Hong et al., 2017)
Notch	DAPT	γ-secretase	Notch-i	inhibit	Increased secretory cell-types (VanDussen et al., 2012)	(Yin et al., 2014b)
IL-4-JAK	IL-4	IL-4R	IL-4	activate	Increased goblet cells (von Moltke et al., 2015)	(von Moltke et al., 2015)
	baricitinib	JAK1/2	JAK1/2-i	inhibit	Altered stem cell numbers (Batlle et al., 2002; Richmond et al., 2018b)	(Dames et al., 2015)
HDAC	valproic acid	HDAC1/2	HDAC-i	inhibit	Increased stem cells (von Moltke et al., 2015; Yin et al., 2014b)	(Yin et al., 2014b)
p38 MAPK	SB202190	p38 MAPK	p38 MAPK-i	inhibit	Increased proliferation (M. Houde et al., 2001; Sato et al., 2011)	(Sato et al., 2011)

1027 **Table 3.** Selection of cell-type perturbations for study. For each perturbation, the specific

1028 pathway and target are indicated, as is the expected effect on the pathway and tissue. Designation

1029 is the code with which the perturbation is referred to in figures and text.

	Downregulating		Upregulating	
	Perturbation	Log₂fc	Perturbation	Log₂fc
EdU+ stem number	BMP4 + JAK1/2-i	-11.380	GSK3-i + p38 MAPK-i	2.602
	BMP4	-11.080	GSK3-i + JAK1/2-i	2.405
	BMP4 + Wnt3a	-10.380	BMPR-i + p38 MAPK-i	2.363
TA number	EGFR-i + HDAC-i	-9.476	GSK3-i + Wnt3a	1.541
	IL-4 + PORCN-i	-9.437	GSK3-i + JAK1/2-i	1.415
	EGFR-i + TGF-βR-i	-8.512	BMPR-i + Wnt3a	1.240
Secretory fraction (of differentiated cells)	TGFβ + Wnt3a	-1.544	GSK3-i + EGFR-i	1.109
	BMP4 + TGFβ	-1.509	EGFR-i + BMPR-i	1.096
	TGFβ + HDAC-i	-1.452	EGFR-i + JAK1/2-i	1.062
Paneth fraction (of secretory cells)	IL-4 + TGF-β	-1.651	BMPR-i + HDAC-i	0.307
	PORCN-i + TGF-β	-1.466	GSK3-i + HDAC-i	0.305
	IL-4 + p38 MAPK-i	-1.417	EGFR-i + TGF-β	0.270
Goblet fraction (of secretory cells)	EGFR-i + TGF-β	-1.209	IL-4 + p38 MAPK-i	0.724
	Notch-i + EGFR-i	-0.880	TGF-βR-i + Wnt3a	0.611
	EGFR-i + PORCN-i	-0.558	IL-4 + TGF-β	0.604
EE fraction (of secretory cells)	TGF-βR-i + Wnt3a	-1.525	PORCN-i + TGF-β	2.885
	IL-4 + HDAC-i	-1.419	Notch-i + TGF-β	2.542
	GSK3-i + HDAC-i	-1.098	TGF-β	2.282

Table 4. Top perturbations in modulating cell-type composition.