Structural basis of peptidoglycan endopeptidase regulation

Jung-Ho Shin[1*], Alan G. Sulpizio[1*], Aaron Kelley[2*], Laura Alvarez[3], Shannon G. Murphy[1,4],

Felipe Cava[3], Yuxin Mao[1], Mark A. Saper[2] and Tobias Dörr[1,4,5#]

[1] Weill Institute for Cell and Molecular Biology, Cornell, University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

[2] Department of Biological Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-5606, USA.

[3]The Laboratory for Molecular Infection Medicine Sweden (MIMS), Department of Molecular Biology. Umeå

University, Umeå, Sweden.

[4] Department of Microbiology, Cornell University, Ithaca NY 14853, USA

[5] Cornell Institute of Host-Microbe Interactions and Disease, Cornell University, Ithaca NY 14853, USA

* Contributed equally

tdoerr@cornell.edu

Keywords: Peptidoglycan, autolysin, endopeptidase, M23, LysM

Abstract

Most bacteria surround themselves with a cell wall, a strong meshwork consisting

primarily of the polymerized aminosugar peptidoglycan (PG). PG is essential for structural

maintenance of bacterial cells, and thus for viability. PG is also constantly synthesized

and turned over, the latter process is mediated by PG cleavage enzymes, for example

the endopeptidases (EPs). EPs themselves are essential for growth, but also promote

lethal cell wall degradation after exposure to antibiotics that inhibit PG synthases (*e.g.*, β-

lactams). Thus, EPs are attractive targets for novel antibiotics and their adjuvants. However, we have a poor understanding of how these enzymes are regulated *in vivo*, depriving us of novel pathways for the development of such antibiotics. Here, we have solved crystal structures of the LysM/M23 family peptidase ShyA, the primary EP of the cholera pathogen *Vibrio cholerae.* Our data suggest that ShyA assumes two drastically different conformations; a more open form that allows for substrate binding, and a closed form, which we predicted to be catalytically inactive. Mutations expected to promote the open conformation caused enhanced activity *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and these results were recapitulated in EPs from the divergent pathogens *Neisseria gonorrheae* and *Escherichia coli*. Our results suggest that LysM/M23 EPs are regulated via release of the inhibitory Domain1 from the M23 active site, likely through conformational re-arrangement *in vivo.*

Significance

Bacteria digest their cell wall following exposure to antibiotics like penicillin. The endopeptidases (EPs) are among the proteins that catalyze cell wall digestion processes after antibiotic exposure, but we do not understand how these enzymes are regulated during normal growth. Herein, we present the structure of the major EP from the diarrheal pathogen *Vibrio cholerae.* Surprisingly, we find that EPs from this and other pathogens appear to be produced as a largely inactive precursor that undergoes a conformational shift exposing the active site to engage in cell wall digestion. These results enhance our understanding of how EPs are regulated and could open the door for the development of novel antibiotics that overactivate cell wall digestion processes.

Introduction

The bacterial cell wall is essential for growth, shape maintenance, and survival of most free-living bacteria. Due to its vital role in bacterial structural integrity, the cell wall is a time-tested target for our most effective antibiotics and continues to be at the center of drug discovery efforts (1). The major constituent of the bacterial cell wall is peptidoglycan (PG), a complex macromolecule composed of polysaccharide strands that are crosslinked via short oligopeptide sidestems to form a mesh-like structure. PG is assembled outside of the cytoplasmic membrane from a lipid-linked disaccharide-pentapeptide precursor called lipid II. During cell wall assembly, lipid II is polymerized via glycosyltransferase activities of class A penicillin-binding proteins (aPBPs) and **s**hape, **e**longation, **d**ivision and **s**porulation (SEDS) proteins RodA and FtsW. The resulting PG strands are then crosslinked with one another via a transpeptidation reaction, catalyzed by the bifunctional aPBPs and by class B PBPs (bPBPs) that associate with SEDS proteins (2-7).

Due to its importance to maintain structural integrity in the face of changing environmental conditions that impose variable osmotic pressures, PG (in Gram-negative bacteria in conjunction with the outer membrane (8)) must form a tight and robust cage around the bacterial cell. While maintaining the cell wall's vital structural role, however, the cell must also be able to expand (beyond the limits of what stretching of PG could accomplish (9, 10)), divide, and insert macromolecular protein complexes into its cell envelope. Thus, cell wall synthesis alone is insufficient; PG must also be constantly modified, degraded and resynthesized. These turnover processes are mediated by a group of enzymes often collectively referred to as "autolysins". Autolysins cleave a variety

of bonds in the PG meshwork and in doing so fulfill important physiological functions such

as shape generation (endopeptidases, EPs (11, 12)) daughter cell separation (amidases

and lytic transglycosylases, LTGs (13-19)), insertion of trans-membrane protein

complexes (LTGs, EPs (20-22)), and cell elongation (EPs (23-26)).

Because they can cleave bonds within the PG meshwork, autolysins are potentially

as dangerous as they are important, since unchecked cell wall degradation can in

principle result in cell lysis and death. Amidases (which cut between polysaccharide

backbone and peptide sidestem), LTGs (which cleave the PG polysaccharide backbone)

and EPs (which cleave the bond between peptide sidestems), for example, degrade PG

following exposure to β-lactam antibiotics (15, 27-30). This results in cell lysis or the

formation of non-dividing, cell wall-deficient spheroplasts (27, 31, 32). Endopeptidases

play a particularly dominant role during antibiotic-induced spheroplast formation, at least

in the cholera pathogen *Vibrio cholerae* (27). Autolysin genes are also often highly

abundant. *V. cholerae*, for example, encodes nine predicted endopeptidases, of which

only ShyA and ShyC are collectively essential for cell elongation (23). Similarly, the model

organism *E. coli* encodes at least 8 EPs (24, 33, 34). Due to this inherently perilous

potential, endopeptidases must be tightly regulated under normal growth conditions to

ensure proper PG turnover without compromising structural integrity.

We currently have an incomplete understanding of how endopeptidases are

regulated in bacteria. The Gram-positive bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* (and likely other

Gram-positive species as well) regulates EP activity via interactions with FtsEX and the

PG synthesis elongation machinery (35-37) and additionally encodes a post-translational

negative regulator, IseA (YoeB) (38), which presumably serves to tone down EP activity

during cell wall stress conditions (38, 39). Much less is known about EP regulation in

Gram-negative bacteria, where EPs appear to be kept in check as part of multiprotein

complexes (40, 41), at the transcriptional level (42, 43) or via proteolytic degradation (44,

45). Proteolytic turnover appears to be the major mode of regulation of growth-promoting

EPs (44, 45); however, phenotypes associated with the accumulation of EPs have

surprisingly mild phenotypes under normal growth conditions, suggesting an additional,

unexplored layer of regulation.

Here, we present two crystal structures of the major EP ShyA of *V. cholerae*. The

ShyA structure yielded two drastically different conformations, of which only one appears

to be active. We thus propose that EPs are produced in a predominantly inactive form

and regulated by stabilizing their active conformation *in vivo.* Importantly, the domain

organization and mechanism of regulation via conformational switching appears to be

conserved among diverse Gram-negative pathogens. These results expose a new

mechanism of endopeptidase regulation in Gram-negative pathogens that could open up

new avenues for the development of novel antibiotics that activate EPs to the detriment

of bacterial viability.

Results

Genetic evidence suggests that LysM/M23 endopeptidases are produced in an inactive form

The ability of EPs to efficiently degrade peptidoglycan poses an exceptional danger to

cells. Indeed, purified ShyA almost completely digests purified PG sacculi *in vitro* (23)

through its D,D-endopeptidase activity (42) and the EPs play a crucial role in cell wall

degradation as a downstream consequence of exposure to β-lactam antibiotics (27, 30).

Interestingly, we found that overproduction of *V. cholerae's* two principal LysM/M23 EPs

did not cause any obvious adverse growth phenotypes (**Fig. 1A).** Functional expression

of both EPs was verified either by Western blot (ShyA only, **Fig. S1A**) or via the ability to

complement an EP depletion mutant (ShyA and ShyC, (42))**.** Thus, EP-mediated PG

cleavage activity is either tightly regulated *in vivo* or always outpaced by a highly effective

cell wall synthesis machinery. However, we also noticed during depletion experiments of

IPTG-inducible ShyA in a background deleted in all other M23 and P60 family

endopeptidases (Δ6 endo, i.e. *ΔshyABCΔvc1537ΔtagE1,2* P$_{IPTG}$:*shyA*) that viability

declined and morphological defects (compared to a control culture that contained inducer)

materialized rapidly (within 1 hour) upon subculturing into medium without inducer (**Fig.**

1B,C). Western Blot analysis revealed that at these early time points, ShyA protein levels

were still clearly detectable (**Fig. 1D**). Based on these results, we speculated that ShyA

might be produced in a predominantly inactive form *in vivo.* We also estimated native

ShyA levels using semi-quantitative Western Blot with purified protein of known

concentration as a calibration standard. We estimate that under exponential growth

conditions in rich medium, ShyA is present at a level of ~1500 molecules/cell (**Fig. S1B**).

The ShyA crystal structure reveals a putative switch from an inactive to an active

conformation

Since our genetic data hinted towards the existence of an inactive form of ShyA *in vivo,*

we used a structural approach to probe the mechanistic reason for ShyA putative

inactivity. We purified and crystallized two constructs, an N-terminally tagged version

(replacing the native signal sequence with a 6xHis-tag) and one tagged at its C-terminus

(likewise with a deleted signal sequence). These two constructs serendipitously yielded

two different structures, as detailed below.

Structure of ShyA^{CLOSED}

The tertiary structure of ShyA obtained from the monoclinic crystals grown from

the N-terminal His-tagged protein was solved by molecular replacement using the

previously determined ShyB structure [PDB entry 2GUI (46)] to a resolution of 2.11 Å with

good crystallographic statistics (**Table 1**). The solved structure revealed three domains

common in other M23 family endopeptidases (46-48) (**Fig. 2A**). Domain 1 contains a

LysM PG binding domain (49). Domain 2 appears to serve as a hinge domain between

Domain 1 and 3, and further engages in interactions with a C-terminal α-helix. Finally,

Domain 3 contains the M23 domain responsible for cleavage of the peptide bond crosslink

(D-Ala–diaminopimelic acid [DAP]) in peptidoglycan. Domain 1 and Domain 3 have both

hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions bringing the two domains into close proximity.

We will henceforth refer to this structure as ShyA^{CLOSED} (**Fig. 2B**).

At the interface of the LysM and M23 domains, Domain 1 is predominantly

negatively charged and Domain 3 is positively charged. At the center of the interface are

van der Waals interactions between L109 and L344 in Domain 1 and 3, respectively.

Additionally, the carboxyl group of D112 hydrogen-bonds with the hydroxyl group of Y330.

Furthermore, Domain 1 residue E103 forms an electrostatic interaction with R371,

present on the loop adjacent to the M23 catalytic groove. This combination of electrostatic

and van der Waals interactions presumably serve to enhance interaction between the two

domains and further appear to occlude the catalytic groove of the M23 domain. At the

end of Domain 3, the ShyA polypeptide forms an amphipathic C-terminal α-helix where

hydrophobic residues pack against the β-sheet of Domain 2 and hydrophilic residues are

solvent exposed. A similar helix is also found in the Domain 3 of *Neisseria* structures for

the EP NGO_1686 [PDB entries 6MUK and 3SLU; (47)], but not observed in the ShyB

crystal structure because the protein construct did not include residues at the C-terminus.

The presence of this helix in multiple structures may indicate a role in the orientation of

Domain 2. The active site residues of ShyA's M23 domain are similar to other M23

endopeptidases, in particular to ShyB and NGO_1686 (46, 47). The catalytic Zn^{2+} ion is

ligated directly by H297, D301 and H378, and through water molecules to H376 and H345

(**Fig 2E**). Most of these residues are positioned on an antiparallel beta sheet in Domain

3. However, residue H297 is on an extended loop between two antiparallel beta strands.

Structure of ShyA^{OPEN}

Unexpectedly, the tertiary structure of ShyA obtained from the monoclinic crystals

grown from the C-terminal His-tagged protein was drastically different from the

ShyA^{CLOSED} structure (**Fig. 2B,C**). For reasons outlined below, we will refer to this

structure as ShyA^{OPEN}. Although each domain adopts a very similar conformation as the

corresponding domain in ShyA^{CLOSED} (Cα r.m.s.d. range from 0.6 to 1.0 Å), the molecule

is extended such that there are no interactions between individual domains within one

molecule, except for the C-terminal helix, which still packs against Domain 2 as it does in

ShyA^{CLOSED}. Although diffraction data anisotropy resulted in an electron density map

significantly less well-resolved than ShyA^{CLOSED} , the electron density for the interdomain

linkers in ShyA^{OPEN} was clearly resolved in the initial molecular replacement map and

subsequent composite, simulated annealing omit maps of the entire structure (**Fig.**

S2B,C). Because the interdomain linkages are likely flexible, an open form in solution

could adopt many conformations and not only the overall shape observed in the crystal.

In order to transition between closed and open forms, the ShyA molecule must

undergo a 138° rotation of Domain 2 around an axis passing through the Domain 2 –

Domain 3 linker (near residue 265) (**Figure 2D**). Most of the changes occur in the

interdomain linkages. Because of the rotation of Domain 2, the linker between Domain 3

and the C-terminal helix (~396–400) also must change significantly (**Fig. S2C**). The major

consequence of the observed conformational change is that Domain 1 has moved

significantly away from Domain 3, making the presumed substrate binding site in Domain

3 completely solvent accessible.

We hypothesized how a minimal model of the D-Ala–DAP dipeptide crosslink (a

part of the natural substrate for EPs) containing the scissile bond might be positioned in

the putative substrate binding region of ShyA^{OPEN} (**Figure S2D).** Although not shown in

the figure, the scissile amide bond carbonyl points towards the catalytic Zn [as proposed

for the catalytic mechanism of the M23 peptidase LytM with transition state analog (50)].

In ShyA^{CLOSED}, Domain 1 completely obscures the binding site region to the "right" of the

Zn atom when viewed in the orientation of **Fig. S2E**. This modeling approach allowed us

to test predictions about catalytic residues that are expected requirements for EP function.

We created mutations in such residues and tested the resulting mutant protein's activity

by its ability to complement (or not) growth of a Δ6 endo strain. Specifically, we tested

H345 (predicted to ligate the catalytic water), H297 (coordination of the catalytic zinc),

R286 and D301 (predicted to be important based on its proximity to the active site zinc)

(**Fig. 2E**). Consistent with important roles in zinc and water coordination, mutating R286,

H297 or H345 to an alanine completely abrogated ShyA activity *in vivo* (**Fig. 2F**), while

expression levels for these mutants were not affected (**Fig. S1C**). We had previously

found that an H376A mutation rendered the protein non-functional as well (23), also

consistent with its role in hydrogen-bonding to the Zn-bound catalytic water molecule.

Thus, consistent with our structural prediction, residues D301, H345, H378, R286, H297

and H376 are crucial for ShyA's EP function, and thus serve to functionally validate our

structure's *in vivo* significance.

In summary, our structural data suggest that ShyA likely assumes two

conformations in equilibrium. The closed form is inactive and only the open form is

predicted to be active due to PG substrate accessibility. We hypothesize that the *in vitro*

activity we observe with purified ShyA is the result of conformational switching of a

subfraction of ShyA molecules in solution. Indeed, we previously noticed that the C-

terminally tagged construct that yielded the open conformation was highly active on its

PG substrate (reaction times 30 min – 3 h) (23), while the N-terminally tagged construct

(as well as untagged ShyA) required much longer reaction times of 12–16 hours (42) to

complete PG digestion.

Mutations predicted to favor the open conformation increase ShyA toxicity in vivo

Based on our structural predictions that allowed us to assign a putative inhibitory

role for Domain 1, we created mutations that we expected to reduce interactions between

Domain 1 and Domain 3 (**Fig. 3A**). We started by making targeted domain deletions and

assessed their toxicity and function. We first created a truncated protein that lacks

Domain 1 (Dom1) altogether, by fusing a standard periplasmic signal sequence (of DsbA

(51)) with ShyA residues 161–430. To control for protein secretion effects, we also

constructed a full-length ShyA version that had its native signal sequence (residues 1 -

35) replaced with that of DsbA (DsbA$_{ss}$-ShyA). Overexpressing ShyA$^{\Delta Dom1}$ construct in a

wild-type background yielded no reduction in plating efficiency; however, colonies were

generally smaller than the controls (**Fig. 3B**). Upon visualization of cells overexpressing

ShyA$^{\Delta Dom1}$, however, we noticed striking morphological defects. Most notably, we

observed a high degree of sphere formation, indicative of increased PG cleavage activity

(**Fig. 3C**). Overexpression of wild-type ShyA, an active site mutant (ShyA^{H376A}), DsbA$_{ss}$-

ShyA or a Dom1+Dom2 truncation (residues 266–430) did not cause any growth or

morphological defects (**Fig. 3B,C**). Thus, Domain 1 plays an important role in suppressing

ShyA overexpression toxicity, consistent with a role in active site inhibition.

We next focused our attention on the hydrophobic interactions between L109 and

L344, the salt bridges between D112/Y330 and E103/R371, and the general acidic-basic

interaction of the entire domain interface (**Fig. 3A**) to create additional mutants that might

favor an open conformation. We predicted that mutating L109 to a basic residue would

strongly repel the basic patch in Domain 3 and also sterically clash with L344 in Domain

3, opening the structure. Remarkably, and consistent with this hypothesis, overexpression

of the ShyA^{L109K} mutant caused a near 100,000-fold plating defect and resulted in

population-wide sphere formation when expressed in liquid medium (**Fig. 3B,C**). To

further probe the L109-L344 interaction's involvement in Domain 1 – 3 interactions, we

reasoned that a negative charge addition in the opposing L344 residue would

electrostatically interact with the K109 residue to promote the closed conformation and

reduce ShyA^{L109K} toxicity. Consistent with a functional interaction between L109 and

L344, mutating L344 to an aspartic acid (L344D) in the L109K background restored wild-

type growth on a plate and normal morphology (**Fig. 3B,C**).

We next turned to the predicted salt bridge between E103 and R371. To potentially

interrupt this interaction and consequently destabilize Domain 1 – Domain 3 interactions,

we introduced an alanine substitution in E103. The resulting ShyA^{E103A} mutant caused

loss of rod shape and sphere formation, yet no plating defect, similar to the ΔDom1 mutant

(**Fig. 3C**). Western Blot analysis revealed that L109K and E103A were expressed at

levels similar to wild-type ShyA (**Fig. S1**), suggesting that mutant toxicity was not simply

the result of enhanced protein accumulation. Lastly, we also tested mutations in D112

(creating ShyA^{D112E} and ShyA^{D112A}). D112 is predicted to hydrogen bond with Y330 in

Domain 3. Again, consistent with disruption of this stabilizing interaction, both ShyA^{D112E}

and ShyA^{D112A} caused morphological defects upon overexpression as well as a mild

plating defect (small colonies) (**Fig. S3**). Taking all *in vivo* results together, we conclude

that mutations that are expected to disrupt interactions between Domains 1 and 3 activate

the protein to varying degrees.

Mutations predicted to favor the open conformation increase ShyA activity in vitro

While predicted open conformation mutants exhibited higher toxicity *in vivo,* we sought to

confirm that this was indeed due to higher PG cleavage activity. We purified N-terminally

tagged ShyA$^{67\text{-}426}$ as well as its derivatives ShyA^{L109K} and ShyA$^{L109K/L344D}$ and measured

their activity by assaying digestions of purified whole PG sacculi. With ShyA$^{67\text{-}426}$, we

observed slow digestions proceeding to completion after 20 hours, but comparatively little

activity after short (10 min – 3hours) incubation periods (**Fig. 4A, Fig. S4**). Thus, this

version of ShyA (retaining domains in the ShyA^{CLOSED} structure) is active at a low level. In

contrast, the ShyA^{L109K} derivative of this construct almost completely digested purified

sacculi within 10 minutes with no further activity after 20 hours of incubation (**Fig. 4B**).

Thus, the L109K mutation enhances PG cleavage activity *in vitro*. ShyA$^{L109K/L344D}$

exhibited significantly reduced activity compared to ShyA^{L109K} at the earliest time point

(**Fig. 4B)**, though activity was still considerably higher than that of wild-type ShyA and

remained at ShyA^{L109K} levels for the remainder of the time course. Thus, establishing an

ionic bridge between Domains1 and 3, while drastically reducing toxicity *in vivo*, still

results in a considerably faster reaction rate than the wild-type protein. We speculate that

the difference between *in vivo* and *in vitro* results reflects that the geometry of the

engineered ionic bridge is not ideal and the two residues may still sterically clash *in vitro*.

The specific periplasmic environment might reduce the conformational consequences of

this steric clash, for example through molecular crowding, a condition not readily

reproducible *in vitro*. Another observation lent support to the idea that the ShyA$^{L109K/L344D}$

has reduced activity *in vivo*; we found that this variant only partially complemented a Δ6

endo strain (**Fig. S5**). However, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, the L344D mutation reduced

activity of ShyA^{L109K}, providing additional evidence that enhancing Domain1 – Domain3

interactions renders ShyA less active than reducing such interactions.

Conformational regulation may be widespread among divergent M23 endopeptidases

We asked whether M23 EPs from other bacteria might exhibit a mode of regulation similar to the one we are proposing for ShyA. Indeed, published structures of EPs from divergent pathogens, namely Csd3 (*Helicobacter pylori*) and NGO_1686 (*Neisseria gonorrheae*) appeared to assume a domain organization remarkably similar to that of ShyA (**Fig. 5A**, (47, 48)). In addition, a homology model was created for MepM (*E. coli*) using the ShyA^{CLOSED} structure. We created mutations in NGO_1686 of *Neisseria gonorrheae* and MepM of *E. coli* to test predictions about enzyme activation. For NGO_1686, we identified a residue (E132) that we implicated in inter-domain connections (via a salt-bridge between E132 and R293) (**Fig. S6**) and tested a mutation (E132R) that we predicted to destabilize this interaction, analogous to E103A in ShyA. The MepM model does not have a clearly identifiable acidic-basic Domain 1 – Domain 3 interface, and we thus simply created a ΔDomain1 variant that should relieve catalytic inhibition by Dom1.

We expressed NGO_1686 and its E132R variant heterologously in *E. coli.* While neither the mutant variant nor the wild-type protein caused a strong plating defect upon induction (although colonies were smaller for the mutant form, **Fig. 5B**), expression of NGO1686^{E132R} caused a dramatic morphological defect, including sphere formation (**Fig. 5C**). A slight defect was visible even in the wild-type protein, but this was exacerbated in the mutant. To assess enhanced NGO_1686 toxicity more quantitatively, we also performed a mecillinam/moenomycin sensitivity assay. In *E. coli*, increased EP activity imparts mecillinam resistance, likely due to overactivation of aPBPs (52). We predicted that such aPBP overactivation should also render these cells more susceptible to

moenomycin, an aPBP inhibitor (53). We thus performed a Kirby-Bauer zone of inhibition

assay (54) on *E. coli* overexpressing an inactive version of ShyA (ShyA^{H376A}, negative

control), NGO_1686 or its E132R derivative. Overexpression of the NGO1686^{E132R}, but

not the wild-type protein or the inactive ShyA^{H376A}, drastically increased resistance

against mecillinam and sensitivity to moenomycin (**Fig. 5D**), again suggesting that indeed

the E132R mutation enhances NGO_1686 activity.

Overexpressing DsbA$_{ss}$-MepM$^{\Delta Dom1}$ in its native host *E. coli* dramatically reduced

plating efficiency compared to the wild-type version of the protein (**Fig. S7**). Interestingly,

DsbA$_{ss}$-MepM (the true parental to MepM$^{\Delta Dom1}$) exhibited slightly enhanced toxicity

(manifesting as small colonies) compared to the wild-type protein, suggesting that soluble

MepM by itself is more active than its predicted membrane-bound form. In cumulation,

we demonstrate that ShyA's domain organization is conserved across divergent Gram-

negative pathogens and suggest that the inhibitory role of Domain 1 constitutes a

widespread mechanism of regulation.

Discussion

The mechanism of regulation of endopeptidases during cell elongation is poorly

understood for Gram-negative bacteria. Data from *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* point towards

proteolytic turnover (44, 45); however, in *E. coli* this mechanism of regulation appears to

be more dominant during stationary phase remodeling and its role in *P. aeruginosa* is

unclear. Notably, deleting EP-specific proteases only causes minor phenotypes under

laboratory growth conditions and does not seem to affect cell elongation in exponential

phase unless severe osmotic conditions are applied (44, 45). Here, we present data

suggesting a conformational switch mechanism of activation for LysM/M23 EPs to

effectively regulate cell wall remodeling during cellular expansion. Interestingly, ShyA

mutants that we predicted to favor active conformations differed in the severity of their

toxicity. For example, only the L109K mutation caused a strong plating defect upon

induction. The ΔDom1, E103A and D112E mutations caused sphere formation similar to

the L109K mutation; however, these former mutants were able to ultimately form colonies

on a plate. The open conformation observed in the crystal structure likely represents an

extreme (possibly induced by high protein concentrations that promoted intermolecular

Dom1-Dom3 interactions, stabilizing the wide-open conformation), while the situation *in*

vivo is likely more dynamic, with various degrees of opening up of the ShyA^{CLOSED}

structure. It is possible that the L109K change simply results in the strongest repellent

effect between Dom1 and Dom3, while E103A/D112E present as a more dynamic form

with an open-closed equilibrium that is just pushed slightly towards the open state.

Our data raise the possibility that bacteria maintain a large pool of inactive EP

precursors that are actuated only when or where needed. This strategy, as opposed to

transcriptional regulation, would allow for quick responses to environmental conditions

that may require enhanced cleavage activity. A large, inactive pool of EPs would also

explain an apparent oddity. A modeling approach has suggested that less than 100

"dislocation events" (*i.e.*, PG cleavage events) per cell are sufficient for growth (55), and

previous biochemical work demonstrated that a similarly low number of cell wall synthesis

complexes is active per generation (56). Compared to these estimates, EP numbers have

been determined to be much higher using ribosome profiling in the model organism *E.*

coli (between ~300 [MepM] and ~4000 [spr] (57)). Our estimate for the number of ShyA

molecules/cell (~1500) is also within this range. Thus, the number of EP molecules in the

cell vastly exceeds the number of active molecules theoretically required to sustain

growth. It is tempting to speculate that these surplus molecules indeed provide a reservoir

for changing growth conditions.

While we have not identified the signal promoting this conformational switch, we

hypothesize that this might be an interaction with cell wall synthases or the protein

complexes they are embedded in, to enable tight coordination between synthesis and

degradation. Importantly, however, the aPBPs themselves are not likely activators of

conformational switching – data in *E. coli* suggests that EPs are limiting for aPBP activity

(52); if aPBPs were necessary to activate EPs, this relationship would be reversed. In *E.*

coli, the lipoprotein NlpI was recently identified as a multifunctional regulator of EP activity

and coordinator of hydrolysis with PG synthesis functions (40). NlpI was shown to inhibit

the activity of the ShyA homolog MepM, and its *V. cholerae* homolog is thus likely not the

ShyA activator. However, it is possible that similar protein-protein interactions may induce

the observed conformational switch in EPs, analogous to activation of amidases by their

EP-like regulators (14, 29, 58). Alternatively, EPs might recognize intrinsic substrate cues

in PG, *e.g.*, tension state, in line with Arthur Koch's "smart autolysin" hypothesis (59).

ShyA possesses a LysM carbohydrate binding domain (49) in Domain 1, and in the smart

autolysin scenario, an interaction of this domain with PG might enhance the switch to an

active form under specific (e.g., osmotic challenge) conditions. From a broader

perspective, our data add to the emerging theme of a multifaceted regulatory system for

EPs (transcriptional regulation, post-translational degradation, conformational change).

This complex system likely reflects the necessity of tightly controlling cell wall degradation

during cell elongation in rapidly changing environments.

Materials and Methods

Media, Chemicals and Growth conditions

Strains were grown in LB medium (Fisher Scientific, cat # BP1426-2) at 37˚C, shaking at

200 rpm, unless otherwise indicated. Antibiotics were used at the following

concentrations: streptomycin (200 µg/mL), chloramphenicol (20 µg/mL), kanamycin (50

µg/mL). Inducers were used at 0.2 % (arabinose) or 300 µM (IPTG), respectively.

Bacterial Strains and Plasmids

Bacterial strains and oligos are summarized in **Tables S1** and **S2**. All *V. cholerae* strains

are derivatives of N16961 (60). Subcloning was conducted in *E. coli* SM10 lambda pir.

Isothermal assembly (ITA, Gibson Assembly (61)), was used for all cloning procedures.

ShyA and ShyC were cloned into pBADmob (a pBAD33 derivative containing the mobility

region from pCVD442 (62)) using primer pairs TDP484/1302 (ShyA) or TDP486/779

(ShyC).

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the NEB Q5 site-directed mutagenesis

kit (NEB, Ipswitch, MA, cat #E0554S) using mutagenesis primers summarized in **Table**

S2 with pBADmob*shyA* as a template.

pBADmob*NGO_1686* was constructed by amplifying the *ngo1686* orf from heat-lysed

Neisseria gonorrhea ATCC 49226 with primers TDP1365/1367, followed by cloning into

Sma1-digested pBADmob via ITA. This plasmid was then used as a template for

construction of E132R using the NEB Q5 site-directed mutagenesis kit.

Expression and Purification of Recombinant ShyA with N-terminal His-tag

After predicting the periplasmic signal sequence of ShyA with SignalP 4.1, the coding

region (residues 36–430 for t-ShyA or residues 67–426 for a shorter truncated s-ShyA)

of the ShyA gene was amplified from *V. cholerae* N16961 genomic DNA using mutagenic

primers TD-JHS062 and TD-JHS063 (for t-ShyA) and TD-JHS323 and TD-JHS324 (for

s-ShyA). The PCR products were digested with NdeI and BamHI and inserted into the

pET-15b plasmid (Novagen) digested with the same enzymes. To create ShyA mutant

proteins, site-specific mutagenesis primers (L109K and L109K/L344D, designed with the

NEBaseChanger mutagenesis tool) were used with the NEB Q5 site-directed

mutagenesis kit (New England Biolabs).

For the purification of 6xHis+ShyA proteins, *E. coli* BL21(DE3) (Novagen) was

transformed with the resulting recombinant plasmids [pET15bt-ShyA, pET15bs-ShyA,

pET15bs-ShyA(L109K), pET15bs-ShyA(L109KL344D)]. An overnight culture from a

single colony was used to inoculate 1 liter of Luria-Bertani medium. Cells were grown with

vigorous shaking (220 rpm) at 37°C to an optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of 0.5 and

were induced with 1 mM (final concentration) isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG,

Gold Bio, cat# I2481C25) for 12 h at 30°C. Harvested cells were re-suspended in binding

buffer JHS1 [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 0.5 M NaCl, and 25 mM imidazole] and lysed by

sonication. N-terminally tagged ShyA and its variants proved insoluble, so inclusion

bodies were isolated after centrifugation at 21,002 rcf for 45 min. For resolubilization of

insoluble ShyA from inclusion bodies, pellets were re-suspended in solubilization buffer

JHS4 [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 0.5 M NaCl, 25 mM imidazole, and 3 M urea] and

incubated overnight on a rotator at 4°C. Supernatant containing resolubilized ShyA was

centrifuged at 21,002 rcf for 45 min and loaded onto HisPur™ Cobalt column(Thermo

Scientific, cat# 89964), which was then washed with 6 volumes of solubilization buffer

JHS4 followed by 6 volumes of washing buffer JHS5 [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 0.5 M

NaCl, 50 mM imidazole, and 3 M urea]. ShyA protein was eluted with 10 volumes of

elution buffer JHS6 [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 0.5 M NaCl, and 3 M urea] containing a

linear imidazole gradient from 100 to 500 mM. Fractions containing ShyA protein were

pooled and dialyzed against JHS-D1 buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 250 mM NaCl, 10

% (v/v) glycerol, 2 mM EDTA, and 0.5 M urea] to remove imidazole and cobalt ions, then

dialyzed against buffer JHS-D2 [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 150 mM NaCl, 20 % glycerol,

and 0.5 mM ZnSO$_4$] to remove urea and add back zinc, and finally buffer JHS-D3 [20 mM

Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 150 mM NaCl, and 30 % glycerol].

Crystallization and structure solution of ShyA with N-terminal His6 tag

6xHis+t-ShyA was purified as above and dialyzed in JHS-FPLC buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl

(pH 7.9) and 150 mM NaCl], concentrated by centrifugal filter devices (Millipore, 10,000

MW CO), and then further purified by FPLC size exclusion chromatography using a

HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 prep grade column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Pure

6xHis+t-ShyA fractions were collected and concentrated up to 11.4 mg/ml. Protein

concentration was measured via UV absorbance at 280 nm, and calculated using ShyA's

molar extinction coefficient (ε_{280} = 37550 M^{-1} cm^{-1}, http://expasy.org/cgi-bin/protparam).

Protein purity was confirmed by SDS-PAGE with Coomassie blue staining.

For crystallization of ShyA, the concentration of FPLC-purified ShyA was adjusted to 2.7

mg/mL.   Concentrated protein was combined with purified peptidoglycan sacculi

(prepared as described previously (23)) and crystallization conditions were screened at

room temperature using a Crystal Phoenix liquid handling robot (Art Robbins

Instruments). Crystals optimally grew by mixing protein and precipitant (0.2 M malonic

acid pH 6.0 and 16% PEG 3350) and incubating by hanging drop vapor diffusion.

Rectangular cuboid crystals formed from these conditions after 3 days at room

temperature. Crystals were then transferred to cryoprotectant consisting of precipitant

containing 25% (v/v) glycerol. Crystals were frozen with a liquid nitrogen stream at

MacCHESS beamline F1 at the Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source. The diffraction

data were indexed and scaled using the HKL2000 software (63). The crystal structure

was solved by molecular replacement using the AMoRe Suite (64) package in CCP4

(Collaborative Computational Project, 1994) with ShyB (PDB entry 2GU1) as a search

model. The ShyA^{CLOSED} model was built using iterative cycles of model building with

COOT software (65) and Refmac5 (66) for refinement. Data and refinement statistics are

shown in **Table 1**. ShyA^{CLOSED} was deposited in the PDB under entry 6UE4.

Purification, crystallization, and structure solution of ShyA with C-terminal His-tag

Competent *E. coli* Rosetta-gami 2 (DE3) (Novagen) cells were transformed with pET28-

VcShyA(36-430)-His6 (23) in the presence of chloramphenicol (Cm, 100 µg/ml) and

kanamycin (Kan, 40 μg/ml). Two 2.8-L Fernbach flasks each containing 450-ml Terrific

Broth (Research Products International) were inoculated 1:100 with an overnight culture

and grown in the presence of Cm and Kan at 37° with shaking. When the cultures reached

an optical density (at 600 nm) of 1.0 (~7 hours), flasks were transferred to a precooled

22°C incubator for one hour before inducing each with 0.4 mM IPTG and shaking for

another 17 hr at 22°C. After centrifugation, the cell pellet from each flask was suspended

in 30 ml lysis buffer (300 mM NaCl, 20 mM Na phosphate pH 7.5) containing one-half of

a Roche cOmplete™ Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (EDTA-free) tablet and frozen at –80°C.

After thawing the cell suspension from one flask, the other half of the protease inhibitor

tablet was added to the suspension along with 5 μg/ml DNase I. The suspension was

passed three times through an Emulsiflex-C3 homogenizer at 4°C (air pressure ~20,000

PSI), and then centrifuged in a Sorvall SS-34 rotor at 20,000 rpm (48,000g) for 30

minutes. Finally, the lysate was filtered through a 0.45 μ filter.

A 6.5 ml Tricorn column (GE Healthcare) was packed with HisPur™ Cobalt Resin

(Thermo Fisher) and preequilibrated with buffer A (300 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH

7.5). The lysate was loaded onto the column at 1 ml/min, washed at 2 ml/min with buffer

A, and then washed with 2% and 4% buffer B (300 mM NaCl, 300 mM imidazole, 50 mM

Tris-HCl pH 7.5) until absorbance at 280 nm reached base line. The column was eluted

with 60% buffer B until A_{280} reached base line. Protein was concentrated to 20 mg/ml,

and 10% (v/v) glycerol was added. Aliquots of 0.5 ml were frozen in liquid nitrogen and

stored at –80°C.

Before setup of crystallization experiments, a frozen protein aliquot was thawed and loaded onto a Superdex HR 75 10/300 (GE Healthcare) size exclusion column and eluted with 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6 at 0.5 ml/min. The protein peak was analyzed with SDS-PAGE and the purest fractions were pooled and concentrated to 17.5 mg/ml with an Amicon Ultra-4 30K MWCO concentrator (EMD-Millipore). Protein was screened for crystallization with the Qiagen commercial kits PEG Suite and Classics in 96-well Intelli-Plates (Art Robbins). Sitting drops containing 0.5 µl protein and 0.5 µl precipitant, were equilibrated against 100 µl precipitant in the reservoir. The crystal chosen for data collection grew in precipitant containing 0.25 M sodium citrate, 10% polyethylene glycol 3350, 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 6.0. Crystals were transferred to a cryosolvent consisting of 10% (w/v) ethylene glycol in the precipitant, and frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Diffraction data from monoclinic crystals obtained with protein containing the C-terminal His6 tag were measured at the LS-CAT beamline 21-ID-D, Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory, equipped with a Dectris Eiger 9M detector running in continuous mode. Eighteen hundred images, 0.2° oscillation angle each, were processed with autoPROC (Global Phasing Limited) (67). Data collection statistics are summarized in **Table 1**. Due to significant anisotropy in diffraction limits, an ellipsoidal region of reciprocal surface was calculated by STARANISO in autoPROC and used as a cutoff. Only reflections within this ellipsoid were used for the structure refinement. Anisotropic Debye-Waller corrections were also applied.

Molecular replacement calculations with Phaser (68) failed to produce a solution when

either ShyB [PDB id 2GU1 (46); 50% sequence identity to ShyA] or the ShyA^{CLOSED}

structure was used as a search model. Only after dividing the latter model into individual

domains as search models was a significant solution obtained with a log likelihood gain

of 1,840 and translation function Z-score of 41.5. The electron density map was

interpretable with the aid of the individual domain structures from the ShyA^{CLOSED} model.

Interdomain linkers were clearly resolved confirming the unique structure observed

(**Figure S2**). Electron density for the C-terminal α-helix could be interpreted with the help

of the ShyA^{CLOSED} structure. The model was built with Coot (65) and refined with Phenix

(69) with data to 2.3-Å resolution to a R_{work}/R_{free} of 0.25/0.29 with excellent geometry. The

structure, referred to here as ShyA^{OPEN}, was deposited in the PDB as entry 6U2A.

Western Blotting

Whole cell extracts (30 μg) were resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE and the proteins were

transferred to a membrane by using a semi-drying transfer system (iBlot 2, Invitrogen).

The membrane was then blocked with blocking solution containing 4% milk (dry skim milk

dissolved in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8), 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100) overnight. The

membrane was incubated with anti-ShyA polyclonal antibody (1:5,000, produced by

Pocono Rabbit Farm & Laboratory, PA) or monoclonal anti-αRpol antibody (1:15,000,

BioLegend cat# 663104) for two hours and then washed with TBST (20 mM Tris-HCl

(pH7.8), 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100). The washed membranes were further

incubated with anti-rabbit (1:15,000, Li-Cor cat# 926-32211) or anti-mouse secondary

antibodies (1:15,000, Li-Cor cat# 926-32210) for 1 hour. All blots were washed three

times with TBST, scanned on an Odyssey CLx imaging device (LI-COR Biosciences),

and visualized using Image Studio™ Lite (Li-Cor) software.

Endopeptidase activity assays

In vitro assays to test the degrading activity of the different ShyA variants were performed

on stationary phase *Vibrio cholerae* sacculi (70). Ten micrograms of substrate were

incubated with 10 µg of every enzyme (or H_2O in the negative control) in 50 mM TrisHCl

pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl buffer (total reaction volume 50 µl) during a time course experiment

(10 min, 30 min, 1 h, 3 h, 6 h and 20 h). Prior to the muramidase digestion, the individual

enzymatic reactions were heat-inactivated for 10 min at 100 °C. Soluble (released

muropeptides and fragments) and pellet (intact sacculi) fractions were separated by

centrifugation at 22,000 x g for 15 min. Pellet fractions were resuspended in 40 µl of water.

Two microliters of muramidase (1 mg/ml) were added to both fractions, and samples were

further incubated at 37 ºC for 16 h. Reactions were heat inactivated for 10 min at 100 °C,

soluble products reduced with sodium borohydride, and their pH adjusted.

The samples were injected into a Waters UPLC system (Waters, Massachusetts, USA)

equipped with an ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 Column, 130 Å, 1.7 µm, 2.1 mm × 150 mm

(Waters) and a dual wavelength absorbance detector. Eluted fragments were separated

at 45°C using a linear gradient from buffer A [formic acid 0.1% (v/v)] to buffer B [formic

acid 0.1% (v/v), acetonitrile 40% (v/v)] in an 18 min run with a 0.250 ml/min flow, and

detected at 204 nm. Identification of muropeptides was performed by mass spectrometry

following the same separation methods described above on a UPLC system coupled to

a Xevo G2-XS QTof quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Waters MS

Technologies). Relative activity was calculated as the percent of muropeptides released

compared to the ShyA WT activity at 20 h and the decrease in substrate amounts, over

a time course experiment performed in triplicates. Statistical analysis was performed

using multi-t-test in Prism Software (Graphpad Software, San Diego, CA).

Acknowledgements

We thank David Erickson (Cornell University) for providing us with *Neisseria gonorrhea*.

Research in the Dörr laboratory is supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants

R01AI143704 and R01GM130971. Research in the Saper laboratory was funded by the

NIH (R01GM130971) and the Department of Biological Chemistry, University of Michigan.

Research in the Cava lab is supported by MIMS, the Knut and Alice Wallenberg

Foundation (KAW), the Swedish Research Council and the Kempe Foundation. Work in

the Mao laboratory was supported by NIH grant 5R01GM116964. This work is partially

based upon research conducted at the Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source

(CHESS), which is supported by the National Science Foundation and the National

Institutes of Health/National Institute of General Medical Sciences under NSF

award DMR-1829070, using the Macromolecular Diffraction at CHESS (MacCHESS)

facility, which is supported by award GM-124166 from the National Institutes of Health,

through its National Institute of General Medical Sciences. This research used resources

of the Advanced Photon Source, a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science

User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory

under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. Use of the LS-CAT Sector 21 was supported

by the Michigan Economic Development Corporation and the Michigan Technology Tri-

Corridor (Grant 085P1000817).

References

602   1.   Schneider T & Sahl HG (2010) An oldie but a goodie - cell wall biosynthesis as
603   antibiotic target pathway. *Int J Med Microbiol* 300(2-3):161-169.
604   2.   Emami K*, et al.* (2017) RodA as the missing glycosyltransferase in Bacillus subtilis
605   and antibiotic discovery for the peptidoglycan polymerase pathway. *Nat Microbiol*
606   2:16253.
607   3.   Banzhaf M*, et al.* (2012) Cooperativity of peptidoglycan synthases active in
608   bacterial cell elongation. *Mol Microbiol* 85(1):179-194.
609   4.   Sauvage E, Kerff F, Terrak M, Ayala JA, & Charlier P (2008) The penicillin-binding
610   proteins: structure and role in peptidoglycan biosynthesis. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*
611   32(2):234-258.
612   5.   Zhao H, Patel V, Helmann JD, & Dorr T (2017) Don't let sleeping dogmas lie: new
613   views of peptidoglycan synthesis and its regulation. *Mol Microbiol* 106(6):847-860.
614   6.   Taguchi A*, et al.* (2019) FtsW is a peptidoglycan polymerase that is functional only
615   in complex with its cognate penicillin-binding protein. *Nat Microbiol* 4(4):587-594.
616   7.   Meeske AJ*, et al.* (2016) SEDS proteins are a widespread family of bacterial cell
617   wall polymerases. *Nature* 537(7622):634-638.
618   8.   Rojas ER*, et al.* (2018) The outer membrane is an essential load-bearing element
619   in Gram-negative bacteria. *Nature* 559(7715):617-621.
620   9.   Gumbart JC, Beeby M, Jensen GJ, & Roux B (2014) Escherichia coli
621   peptidoglycan structure and mechanics as predicted by atomic-scale simulations.
622   *PLoS Comput Biol* 10(2):e1003475.
623   10.   Nguyen LT, Gumbart JC, Beeby M, & Jensen GJ (2015) Coarse-grained
624   simulations of bacterial cell wall growth reveal that local coordination alone can be
625   sufficient to maintain rod shape. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112(28):E3689-3698.
626   11.   Sycuro LK*, et al.* (2010) Peptidoglycan crosslinking relaxation promotes
627   Helicobacter pylori's helical shape and stomach colonization. *Cell* 141(5):822-833.
628   12.   Yang DC, Blair KM, & Salama NR (2016) Staying in Shape: the Impact of Cell
629   Shape on Bacterial Survival in Diverse Environments. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*
630   80(1):187-203.

13. Uehara T, Parzych KR, Dinh T, & Bernhardt TG (2010) Daughter cell separation is
controlled by cytokinetic ring-activated cell wall hydrolysis. *EMBO J* 29(8):1412-
1422.
14. Peters NT, Dinh T, & Bernhardt TG (2011) A fail-safe mechanism in the septal ring
assembly pathway generated by the sequential recruitment of cell separation
amidases and their activators. *J Bacteriol* 193(18):4973-4983.
15. Heidrich C, Ursinus A, Berger J, Schwarz H, & Holtje JV (2002) Effects of multiple
deletions of murein hydrolases on viability, septum cleavage, and sensitivity to
large toxic molecules in Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* 184(22):6093-6099.
16. Weaver AI*, et al.* (2019) Lytic transglycosylases RlpA and MltC assist in Vibrio
cholerae daughter cell separation. *Mol Microbiol.*
17. Priyadarshini R, de Pedro MA, & Young KD (2007) Role of peptidoglycan
amidases in the development and morphology of the division septum in
Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* 189(14):5334-5347.
18. Priyadarshini R, Popham DL, & Young KD (2006) Daughter cell separation by
penicillin-binding proteins and peptidoglycan amidases in Escherichia coli. *J
Bacteriol* 188(15):5345-5355.
19. Moll A*, et al.* (2014) Cell separation in Vibrio cholerae is mediated by a single
amidase whose action is modulated by two nonredundant activators. *J Bacteriol*
196(22):3937-3948.
20. Scheurwater E, Reid CW, & Clarke AJ (2008) Lytic transglycosylases: bacterial
space-making autolysins. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 40(4):586-591.
21. Scheurwater EM & Burrows LL (2011) Maintaining network security: how
macromolecular structures cross the peptidoglycan layer. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*
318(1):1-9.
22. Herlihey FA & Clarke AJ (2017) Controlling Autolysis During Flagella Insertion in
Gram-Negative Bacteria. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 925:41-56.
23. Dorr T, Cava F, Lam H, Davis BM, & Waldor MK (2013) Substrate specificity of an
elongation-specific peptidoglycan endopeptidase and its implications for cell wall
architecture and growth of Vibrio cholerae. *Mol Microbiol* 89(5):949-962.
24. Singh SK, SaiSree L, Amrutha RN, & Reddy M (2012) Three redundant murein
endopeptidases catalyse an essential cleavage step in peptidoglycan synthesis of
Escherichia coli K12. *Mol Microbiol* 86(5):1036-1051.
25. Hashimoto M*, et al.* (2018) Digestion of peptidoglycan near the cross-link is
necessary for the growth of Bacillus subtilis. *Microbiology* 164(3):299-307.
26. Hashimoto M, Ooiwa S, & Sekiguchi J (2012) Synthetic lethality of the lytE cwlO
genotype in Bacillus subtilis is caused by lack of D,L-endopeptidase activity at the
lateral cell wall. *J Bacteriol* 194(4):796-803.
27. Dorr T, Davis BM, & Waldor MK (2015) Endopeptidase-mediated beta lactam
tolerance. *PLoS Pathog* 11(4):e1004850.
28. Cho H, Uehara T, & Bernhardt TG (2014) Beta-lactam antibiotics induce a lethal
malfunctioning of the bacterial cell wall synthesis machinery. *Cell* 159(6):1300-
1311.
29. Uehara T, Dinh T, & Bernhardt TG (2009) LytM-domain factors are required for
daughter cell separation and rapid ampicillin-induced lysis in Escherichia coli. *J
Bacteriol* 191(16):5094-5107.

30. Kitano K, Tuomanen E, & Tomasz A (1986) Transglycosylase and endopeptidase
participate in the degradation of murein during autolysis of Escherichia coli. *J*
Bacteriol 167(3):759-765.
31. Monahan LG*, et al.* (2014) Rapid conversion of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to a
spherical cell morphotype facilitates tolerance to carbapenems and penicillins but
increases susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*
58(4):1956-1962.
32. Cross T*, et al.* (2019) Spheroplast-mediated carbapenem tolerance in Gram-
negative pathogens. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*.
33. Chodisetti PK & Reddy M (2019) Peptidoglycan hydrolase of an unusual cross-link
cleavage specificity contributes to bacterial cell wall synthesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
U S A 116(16):7825-7830.
34. van Heijenoort J (2011) Peptidoglycan hydrolases of Escherichia coli. *Microbiol*
Mol Biol Rev 75(4):636-663.
35. Meisner J*, et al.* (2013) FtsEX is required for CwlO peptidoglycan hydrolase activity
during cell wall elongation in Bacillus subtilis. *Mol Microbiol* 89(6):1069-1083.
36. Dominguez-Cuevas P, Porcelli I, Daniel RA, & Errington J (2013) Differentiated
roles for MreB-actin isologues and autolytic enzymes in Bacillus subtilis
morphogenesis. *Mol Microbiol* 89(6):1084-1098.
37. Brunet YR, Wang X, & Rudner DZ (2019) SweC and SweD are essential co-factors
of the FtsEX-CwlO cell wall hydrolase complex in Bacillus subtilis. *PLoS Genet*
15(8):e1008296.
38. Salzberg LI & Helmann JD (2007) An antibiotic-inducible cell wall-associated
protein that protects Bacillus subtilis from autolysis. *J Bacteriol* 189(13):4671-
4680.
39. Bisicchia P*, et al.* (2007) The essential YycFG two-component system controls cell
wall metabolism in Bacillus subtilis. *Mol Microbiol* 65(1):180-200.
40. Manuel Banzhaf HCLY, Jolanda Verheul, Adam Lodge, George Kritikos, André
Mateus, Ann Kristin Hov, Frank Stein, Morgane Wartel, Manuel Pazos, Alexandra
S. Solovyova, Mikhail M Savitski, Tanneke den Blaauwen, Athanasios Typas,
Waldemar Vollmer (2019) The outer membrane lipoprotein NlpI nucleates
hydrolases within peptidoglycan multi-enzyme complexes in Escherichia coli.
bioRxiv.
41. Yang DC*, et al.* (2019) A Genome-Wide Helicobacter pylori Morphology Screen
Uncovers a Membrane-Spanning Helical Cell Shape Complex. *J Bacteriol* 201(14).
42. Murphy SG*, et al.* (2019) Endopeptidase Regulation as a Novel Function of the
Zur-Dependent Zinc Starvation Response. *MBio* 10(1).
43. Lonergan ZR*, et al.* (2019) An Acinetobacter baumannii, Zinc-Regulated Peptidase
Maintains Cell Wall Integrity during Immune-Mediated Nutrient Sequestration. *Cell*
Rep 26(8):2009-2018 e2006.
44. Srivastava D*, et al.* (2018) A Proteolytic Complex Targets Multiple Cell Wall
Hydrolases in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *MBio* 9(4).
45. Singh SK, Parveen S, SaiSree L, & Reddy M (2015) Regulated proteolysis of a
cross-link-specific peptidoglycan hydrolase contributes to bacterial
morphogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112(35):10956-10961.

46.   Ragumani S, Kumaran D, Burley SK, & Swaminathan S (2008) Crystal structure
723   of a putative lysostaphin peptidase from Vibrio cholerae. *Proteins* 72(3):1096-
724   1103.
725   47.   Wang X*, et al.* (2011) Crystal structure of outer membrane protein NMB0315 from
726   Neisseria meningitidis. *PLoS One* 6(10):e26845.
727   48.   An DR*, et al.* (2015) Structure of Csd3 from Helicobacter pylori, a cell shape-
728   determining metallopeptidase. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 71(Pt 3):675-
729   686.
730   49.   Buist G, Steen A, Kok J, & Kuipers OP (2008) LysM, a widely distributed protein
731   motif for binding to (peptido)glycans. *Mol Microbiol* 68(4):838-847.
732   50.   Grabowska M, Jagielska E, Czapinska H, Bochtler M, & Sabala I (2015) High
733   resolution structure of an M23 peptidase with a substrate analogue. *Sci Rep*
734   5:14833.
735   51.   Schierle CF*, et al.* (2003) The DsbA signal sequence directs efficient,
736   cotranslational export of passenger proteins to the Escherichia coli periplasm via
737   the signal recognition particle pathway. *J Bacteriol* 185(19):5706-5713.
738   52.   Lai GC, Cho H, & Bernhardt TG (2017) The mecillinam resistome reveals a role
739   for peptidoglycan endopeptidases in stimulating cell wall synthesis in Escherichia
740   coli. *PLoS Genet* 13(7):e1006934.
741   53.   Ostash B & Walker S (2010) Moenomycin family antibiotics: chemical synthesis,
742   biosynthesis, and biological activity. *Nat Prod Rep* 27(11):1594-1617.
743   54.   Bauer AW, Perry DM, & Kirby WM (1959) Single-disk antibiotic-sensitivity testing
744   of staphylococci; an analysis of technique and results. *AMA Arch Intern Med*
745   104(2):208-216.
746   55.   Amir A & Nelson DR (2012) Dislocation-mediated growth of bacterial cell walls.
747   *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109(25):9833-9838.
748   56.   Burman LG & Park JT (1984) Molecular model for elongation of the murein
749   sacculus of Escherichia coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 81(6):1844-1848.
750   57.   Li GW, Burkhardt D, Gross C, & Weissman JS (2014) Quantifying absolute protein
751   synthesis rates reveals principles underlying allocation of cellular resources. *Cell*
752   157(3):624-635.
753   58.   Yang DC, Tan K, Joachimiak A, & Bernhardt TG (2012) A conformational switch
754   controls cell wall-remodelling enzymes required for bacterial cell division. *Mol*
755   *Microbiol* 85(4):768-781.
756   59.   Koch AL (1990) Additional arguments for the key role of "smart" autolysins in the
757   enlargement of the wall of gram-negative bacteria. *Res Microbiol* 141(5):529-541.
758   60.   Heidelberg JF*, et al.* (2000) DNA sequence of both chromosomes of the cholera
759   pathogen Vibrio cholerae. *Nature* 406(6795):477-483.
760   61.   Gibson DG*, et al.* (2009) Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several
761   hundred kilobases. *Nat Methods* 6(5):343-345.
762   62.   Donnenberg MS & Kaper JB (1991) Construction of an eae deletion mutant of
763   enteropathogenic Escherichia coli by using a positive-selection suicide vector.
764   *Infect Immun* 59(12):4310-4317.
765   63.   Otwinowski Z & Minor W (1997) Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in
766   oscillation mode. *Methods Enzymol* 276:307-326.

767   64.   Trapani S & Navaza J (2008) AMoRe: classical and modern. *Acta Crystallogr D*
768   *Biol Crystallogr* 64(Pt 1):11-16.
769   65.   Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, & Cowtan K (2010) Features and development
770   of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 66(Pt 4):486-501.
771   66.   Murshudov GN*, et al.* (2011) REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular
772   crystal structures. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 67(Pt 4):355-367.
773   67.   Vonrhein C*, et al.* (2011) Data processing and analysis with the autoPROC
774   toolbox. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 67(Pt 4):293-302.
775   68.   McCoy AJ*, et al.* (2007) Phaser crystallographic software. *J Appl Crystallogr* 40(Pt
776   4):658-674.
777   69.   Adams PD*, et al.* (2010) PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for
778   macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 66(Pt
779   2):213-221.
780   70.   Alvarez L, Hernandez SB, de Pedro MA, & Cava F (2016) Ultra-Sensitive, High-
781   Resolution Liquid Chromatography Methods for the High-Throughput Quantitative
782   Analysis of Bacterial Cell Wall Chemistry and Structure. *Methods Mol Biol* 1440:11-
783   27.
784   71.   Waterhouse A*, et al.* (2018) SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein
785   structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46(W1):W296-W303.
786

800 Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics.

	ShyA^{CLOSED}, PDB ID 6UE4	ShyA^{OPEN}, PDB ID 6U2A
Wavelength (Å)	0.9775	1.078
Space group	$P\,2_1$	$C\,2$
Unit cell (Å, °)	61.12 87.25 74.97 90 100.37 90	75.27 82.49 82.52 90 102.69 90
Resolution range for data processing (Å)	49.55–2.08 (2.12–2.08)	54.9–2.03 (2.28–2.03)
Resolution cutoffs from anisotropic analysis (Å): 0.78 a^* – 0.63 c^* b^* 0.49 a^* + 0.87 c^*		2.32 3.02 1.98
Total reflections measured	309,587 (15,147)	121,494 (5,375)
Unique reflections	46,207 (2,295)	17,391 (870)
Multiplicity	6.7 (6.6)	7.0 (6.18)
Completeness (%) spherical ellipsoidal		54.1 (9.2) 89.8 (66.7)
Mean I/sigma(I)	12.45 (2.00)	9.8 (1.61)
Wilson B-factor	25.4	60.31
R_{merge}	0.119 (0.647)	0.055 (1.13)
R_{meas}	0.129 (0.702)	0.059 (1.23)
R_{pim}	0.049 (0.270)	0.022 (0.487)
CC1/2	n.a. (0.815)	0.998 (0.549)
Resolution range for refinement (Å)	49.55–2.08 (2.13–2.08)	36.58–2.3 (2.38–2.3)
Reflections used in refinement	43,887 (3,223)	16,347 (665)
Completeness (%)	99.4 (99.3)	74.38 (30.42)
Reflections used for R-free	2,297 (156)	842 (45)
R-work	0.178 (0.226)	0.253 (0.371)

R-free	0.233 (0.276)	0.295 (0.425)
Number of non-hydrogen atoms	5,868	2,878
macromolecules	5,747	2,867
ligands	8	1
solvent	113	10
Protein residues	705	360
RMS(bonds)	0.014	0.003
RMS(angles)	2.007	0.57
Ramachandran favored (%)	98.0	96.1
Ramachandran allowed (%)	2.0	3.9
Ramachandran outliers (%)	0.00	0.00
Rotamer outliers (%)	2.00	0.00
Clash score	5.0	5.40
Average B-factor	27.6	77.4
macromolecules	27	77.5
ligands	33.6	79.8
solvent	30.5	55.9

801   Statistics for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses.
802
803

Figure 1. ShyA overexpression and depletion phenotypes

(A) EP overexpression does not affect growth behavior. Strains carrying either empty

pBAD or EPs under control of an arabinose-inducible promoter were grown in a Bioscreen

growth curve analyzer. **(B)** and **(C)** Defects associated with EP depletion materialize early

in the depletion timecourse. A Δ6 endo strain (Δ*shyABC* Δ*vc1537* Δ*tagE1/2* P$_{IPTG}$:*shyA*)

was grown overnight in the presence of 200 µM IPTG, washed twice and resuspended

either in the absence ("endo -") or presence ("endo +") of inducer. At designated time

points, cells were then either plated on LB agar containing IPTG **(B)**, or imaged on an

agarose pad **(C)**. Data in **(B)** are averages of 3 independent experiments, error bars

represent standard deviation. **(D)** Western Blot analysis of *in vivo* ShyA levels during early

depletion time points. A Δ6 endo/Flag strain (P$_{IPTG}$:*shyA-flag*^{sw}) was treated as described

under **(B)**. At designated time points, ShyA was visualized via Western Blot using anti-

Flag primary antibody. ShyA::Flag is *shyA-flag* expressed from its native locus, +endo is

the Δ6 endo/Flag strain grown for 3 h in the presence of IPTG.

Figure 2. Comparison of the two observed conformations of ShyA. (A) Diagram of

predicted ShyA domains. The signal sequence (S), LysM domain and M23 catalytic

domain are indicated (**B)** Cartoon diagram of ShyA^{CLOSED} (PDB ID 6UE4) determined

from crystals grown from protein containing an amino terminal 6xHis-tag. Each domain is

numbered and highlighted in a different color. **(C)** Cartoon diagram of ShyA^{OPEN} (PDB ID

6U2A) from crystals grown from ShyA protein containing a carboxyl terminal 6xHis-tag.

Domain 3 is oriented exactly like Domain 3 in part **(B)**. **(D)** Domain 3 of each structure are

superposed. Closed form in cyan, open form in orange. The grey solid circle is an end-on

view of the 138° rotation axis that relates Domain 2 of the two different structures, Note

that the C-terminal helix packing against Domain 2 is also related by this same

transformation. **(E)** Close-up view of the predicted ShyA active site showing residues

putatively required for catalytic function. Red spheres represent water molecules, the grey

sphere represents the catalytic zinc atom **(F)** Validation of predicted active site residues

in a Δ6 endo background (Δ*shyABC* Δ*vc1537* Δ*tagE1/2* P$_{IPTG}$:*shyA*) where ShyA is under

IPTG control. The indicated mutants under control of an arabinose-inducible promoter

were plated in the absence of IPTG, but in the presence of arabinose to test for

functionality of variants when expressed as the sole EP.

Figure 3. ShyA activity is enhanced by mutating Domain 1 – Domain 3 interface residues. (A) Overall domain structure of ShyA with zoomed-in view of residues predicted to mediate Domain 1 – Domain 3 interactions (insert). Domain colors are as in **Fig. 2B-D**. **(B)** Wild-type *V. cholerae* carrying the indicated mutant construct (arabinose-inducible) was diluted and spot-plated on plates containing either no inducer (–) or 0.2 % arabinose (+). **(C)** Wild-type *V. cholerae* carrying the indicated plasmid construct was grown to exponential phase (OD$_{600}$~0.4) in LB/chloramphenicol (20 μg/mL) and induced for 3 h with 0.2 % arabinose, followed by imaging on an agarose pad.

Figure 4. *In vitro* toxicity of hyperactive ShyA variants. **(A)** Overview of reaction.
Purified (insoluble) PG sacculi are digested with ShyA and the solubilized material is
quantified via UPLC. **(B)** Purified (N-terminally His- tagged) ShyA and its derivatives were
mixed with purified PG sacculi and incubated at 37 ˚C. At the indicated time points,
samples were withdrawn, reactions stopped by boiling, and digested with muramidase,
followed by analysis on UPLC. Activity was calculated as area under the curve of both
solubilized PG material and remaining pellet (see Methods for details). Data are averages
of three independent reactions; error bars represent standard deviation. **(C)** Enhanced
(first 30 min reaction time) view of the graph in **(B)** to illustrate significant difference

between ShyA^{L109K} and ShyA$^{L109K/L344D}$. ** indicates statistical significance (t-test,

p<0.001). The control is purified PG without addition of EPs.

Figure 5. ShyA functional domain organization is conserved in divergent Gram-

negative pathogens. (A) Domain organization of LysM/M23 EPs. Structures were

obtained either from published sources (CSD3 and NGO1686, PDB entries 4RNY and

6MUK, respectively) or modeled onto our ShyA structure using the Swiss Model server

(71) (MepM). Polypeptides are rainbow colored from blue at N-terminus to red at C-

terminus **(B)** Overexpression toxicity of NGO1686^{E132R}. Strains carrying arabinose-

inducible EPs were plated in the absence (uninduced) or presence (induced) of 0.2 %

arabinose. **(C)** MG1655 carrying pBAD33 or its derivatives containing wild-type NGO1686

or NGO1686^{E132R} were grown to mid-exponential phase (OD$_{600}$ ~ 0.4) and induced with

0.2 % arabinose, followed by imaging on agarose pads. **(D)** MG1655 carrying the

indicated plasmids was plated evenly (~10^8 cfu) on LB agar containing 0.2 % arabinose

and chloramphenicol (20 µg/mL). A filter disk containing mecillinam (10 µL of 20 mg/ml

stock) or moenomycin (10 µL of 5 mg/mL stock) was then placed in the center of the plate,

followed by incubation for 24 hours at 37 ˚C. Numbers below the images indicate zone of

inhibition in mm +/– standard deviation. Control = ShyA^{H376A}, Eco, *E. coli,* Ngo, *Neisseria*

gonorrheae.

 Supplementary Table 1 Strains and plasmids used in this study.

Strain /plasmid		Relevant description	Reference /source
E. coli strains			
DH5α λpir	DJ-ED1	F- endA1 glnV44 thi-1 recA1 relA1 gyrA96 deoR nupG Φ80d*lacZ*ΔM15 Δ(*lacZYA-argF*)U169, hsdR17(rK- mK+), λpir	Lab stock
	DJ-ED2	pET15b	This study
	DJ-ED100	pET15bt-ShyA	This study
	DJ-ED101	pET15bt-ShyA(H375A)	This study
	DJ-ED102	pET15bs-ShyA	This study
	DJ-ED103	pET15bs-ShyA(H375A)	This study
	DJ-ED104	pET15bs-ShyA(L109K)	This study
	DJ-ED105	pET15bs-ShyA(L109KL344D)	This study
	DJ-ED106	pET15bs-ShyA(Q193CN337C)	This study
	DJ-ED107	pET15bs-ShyA(S262CA398C)	This study
	DJ-ED108	pET28a6xHisSumo+s-ShyA	This study
	DJ-ED109	pET28a6xHisSumo+s-ShyA(H375A)	This study
	DJ-ED110	pET28a6xHisSumo+s-ShyA(L109K)	This study
	DJ-ED111	pET28a6xHisSumo+s-ShyA(L109KL344D)	This study
	DJ-ED112	pET28a6xHisSumo+s-ShyA(Q193CN337C)	This study
	DJ-ED113	pET28a6xHisSumo+s-ShyA(S262CA398C)	This study
BL21(DE3/pLysS)	DJ-EB1	*B F– ompT gal dcm lon hsdSB(rB–mB–) λ(DE3 [lacI lacUV5-T7p07 ind1 sam7 nin5]) [malB+]K-12(λS) pLysS[T7p20 orip15A](CmR)*	Lab stock
	DJ-EB2	pET15b	This study
	DJ-EB100	pET15bt-ShyA	This study
	DJ-EB101	pET15bt-ShyA(H375A)	This study
	DJ-EB102	pET15bs-ShyA	This study

	DJ-EB103	pET15bs-ShyA(H375A)	This study
	DJ-EB104	pET15bs-ShyA(L109K)	This study
	DJ-EB105	pET15bs-ShyA(L109KL344D)	This study
	DJ-EB106	pET15bs-ShyA(Q193CN337C)	This study
	DJ-EB107	pET15bs-ShyA(S262CA398C)	This study
BL21(DE3) Rosettagami 2	DJ-ER200	Δ(*ara-leu*)*7697* Δ*lacX74* Δ*phoA Pvu*II *phoR araD139 ahpC galE galK rpsL*(DE3) F'[*lac+ lacI9 pro*] *gor522*::Tn*10 trxB* pRARE2 (Cam[R], Str[R], Tet[R])	Lab stock
	DJ-ER201	pET28a6xHisSumo	This study
	DJ-ER202	pET28a6xHisSumo+s-ShyA	This study
	DJ-ER203	pET28a6xHisSumo+s-ShyA(H375A)	This study
	DJ-ER204	pET28a6xHisSumo+s-ShyA(L109K)	This study
	DJ-ER205	pET28a6xHisSumo+s-ShyA(L109KL344D)	This study
	DJ-ER206	pET28a6xHisSumo+s-ShyA(Q193CN337C)	This study
	DJ-ER207	pET28a6xHisSumo+s-ShyA(S262CA398C)	This study
MG1655	EP17	MG1655 pBADmob*ngo_1686*	This study
	EP18	MG1655 pBADmob*ngo_1686 E131R*	This study
	EP19	MG1655 pBADmob*mepM*	This study
	EP20	MG1655 pBADmob*dsbAss-mepM*	This study
	EP21	MG1655 pBADmob*dsbAss-mepM ΔDom1*	This study
Plasmids			
pET15b	pDJ1	Over expression vector in *E.coli*	Novagen
pET28a6xHisSumo	pDJ2	Over expression vector in *E.coli*	Novagen
***V. cholerae* strains**			
N16961	wild type	smR	Lab stock
	EP1	N16961 pBADmob*shyA*	This study
	EP2	N16961 pBADmob*shyC*	This study

	EP3	Δ6 endo (N16961 Δ*shyABC* Δ*vc1537* Δ*vca1043* Δ*vc0843* *lacZ*::Piptg:*shyA)*	This study
	EP4	Δ6 endo Δ*ldtA* Δ*ldtB*	This study
	EP5	N16961 pBADmob*shyA* Δdom 1	This study
	EP6	N16961 pBADmob*shyA L109K*	This study
	EP7	N16961 pBADmob*shyA E103A*	This study
	EP8	N16961 pBADmob*shyA D112A*	This study
	EP9	N16961 pBADmob*shyA L109K L344D*	This study
	EP10	EP3 pBADmob*shyA*	This study
	EP11	EP3 pBADmob*shyA L109K L344D*	This study
	EP12	EP3 pBADmob*shyA R286A*	This study
	EP13	EP3 pBADmob*shyA H297A*	This study
	EP14	EP3 pBADmob*shyA D301A*	This study
	EP15	EP3 pBADmob*shyA H345A*	This study
	EP16	EP3 pBADmob*shyA H378A*	This study

Supplementary Table 2 Oligonucleotides used in this study.

		Primer sequence (5' to 3')	Description
Protein purification			
	TD-JHS062	GAAGGAGATACATATGCTAAACAGTCCCACG CGGCAACAACG	For-NdeI Truncated ShyA
	TD-JHS063	GTGGTGGTGGGGATCCTCATTATTGCGCTGC TAGCATGCTTTCTTGGCG	Rev-BamHI Truncated ShyA
	TD-JHS323	TCAGCAGAACATACTGTAGAACATATGAAAGT GGGCCATCCGGATTATGA	tShyA 67V to M
	TD-JHS324	CTTTGTTAGCAGCCGGATCCTCATTATTGTTA TTATAGCATGCTTTCTTG	tShyA 427A to STOP
	TD-JHS325	CTGGTGCCGCGCGGCAGCGGATCCAAAGTG GGCCATCCGGATTATGAAT	SUMO F BamHI
	TD-JHS326	AGCAGCCGGATCCTCACTCGAGTTATTATAG CATGCTTTCTTGGCGAGC	SUMO R XhoI
Mutant construction			
	TDP1267	CTTAAACTACAAGGCTCTTGATACGTTAC	L109Krev2
	TDP1268	TCAGTTTCCATGACTTTC	L109fw2
	TDP1311	GACGCGCTATGATCACTTGAGCAAG	L344Dfw
	TDP1312	ATGTAAGTGTTACCGTGC	L344Drev
	TDP1491	GAAAGTCATGGCAACTGACTTAAAC	E103A_fw
	TDP1492	ATTAGCTCGGTATAAGCAAAAC	E103A_rev
	TDP1473	CCTCGCTCTTGAAACGTTACGGC	D112E_fw
	TDP1474	TAGTTTAAGTCAGTTTCCATGACTTTCATTAG	D112E_rev
	TDP1475	GCGCTATCTGGCCTTGAGCAAGATTTTG	H345A_fw
	TDP1476	GTCATGTAAGTGTTACCG	H345A_rev
	TDP1483	TGACCCGAGAGCTCTGCATCCAGTG	R286A_fw
	TDP1484	AAGTTTGATGAAATACGCC	R286A_rev
	TDP1485	CGTAGCGCCGGCTAACGGTACAG	H297A_fw
	TDP1486	CGCTTGGTCACTGGATGC	H297A_rev
	TDP1528	TAACGGTACAGCCTTTGCGATGCCAATTGG	ShyA_D301A_fw
	TDP1529	TGCGGCGCTACGCGCTTG	ShyA_D301_rev
	TDP1309	GACCGGTCCTGCTCTGCACTATGAGTTAATC	H376Afw
	TDP1310	ACACGGCCGGTATTACCC	H376Arev
	TDP1528a	TCCTCATCTGGCCTATGAGTTAATCGTGCGT GGTCG	H378A_fw
	TDP1529a	CCGGTCACACGGCCGGTA	H378A_rev
	TDP1341	TTTTGGGCTAGCGAATTCGAGCTCGGTACCC AGGAGGCTGACTGAATGAAAAAGCTGTTTGC ACTGGTTGCAACTCTGATGTTAAGCGTGTCA GCCTATGCGGCTCAACAAGGAGAGTGGGTTA ACAATCTGCT	yebAfwDomII+IIIpBAD
	TDP1342	TTTGGGCTAGCGAATTCGAGCTCGGTACCCA GGAGGCTGACTGAGTGCAACAGATAGCCCG CTC	yebAfwRBSpBAD
	TDP1345	CTTGCATGCCTGCAGGTCGACTCTAGAGGAT CCCCTTAATCAAACCGTAGCTGCGGCA	yebArevpBAD

TDP1339	TTTTGGGCTAGCGAATTCGAGCTCGGTACCC AGGAGGCTGACTGAATGAAAAAGCTGTTTGC ACTGGTTGCAACTCTGATGTTAAGCGTGTCA GCCTATGCGGCTCAAGTGCAACAGATAGCCC GCTCT	yebAfwDsbAsspBAD
TDP1365	TTTTGGGCTAGCGAATTCGAGCTCGGTACCC AGGAGGCTGACTGAATGGCTGTCTTCCCACT TTCG	NGO1686fwpBAD
TDP1366	CAGGAAACAGACCATGGAATTCGAGCTCGGT ACCCAGGAGGCTGACTGAATGGCTGTCTTCC CACTTTCG	NGO1686fwpHL
TDP1367	CTTGCATGCCTGCAGGTCGACTCTAGAGGAT CCCCTCAATCCGATTGCGACACGGTA	NGO1686revpBAD
TDP1368	CGAAGACGGCCGGCGCAATCTGG	NGO_E132R_Fw
TDP1369	TCGGTAAAAAACTGCACTTC	NGO_E132R_rev
TDP1271	TTTTGGGCTAGCGAATTCGAGCTCGGTACCC AGGAGGCTGACTGAATGAAAAAGCTGTTTGC ACTGGTTGCAACTCTGATGTTAAGCGTGTCA GCCTATGCGGCTCAAAGCTACGAATTTGAAG AGCGTAAAATT	domainII_DsbASSfwpBAD-2
TDP1090	TTTTGGGCTAGCGAATTCGAGCTCGGTACCC AGGAGGCTGACTGAATGAAAAAGCTGTTTGC ACTGGTTGCAACTCTGATGTTAAGCGTGTCA GCCTATGCGGCTCAACTAAACAGTCCCACGC GGC	domainI_DsbASSfwpBAD-B
TDP1086	TTTTGGGCTAGCGAATTCGAGCTCGGTACCC AGGAGGCTGACTGAATGAAAAAGCTGTTTGC ACTGGTTGCAACTCTGATGTTAAGCGTGTCA GCCTATGCGGCTCAAGCTTTCCAACGTTATC CGGTG	domainIII_DsbASSfwpBAD
TDP484	TTTGGGCTAGCGAATTCGAGCTCGGTACCCA GGAGGCTGACTGAGTGATATCTAAATCTATTA TTTTGCGATTTTCTGAG	shyAfwpBADRBS
TDP1302	CTTGCATGCCTGCAGGTCGACTCTAGAGGAT CCCCCGGGGCTTTGTACTCATACTCGAT	shyArevpBAD
TDP486	TTTGGGCTAGCGAATTCGAGCTCGGTACCCA GGAGGCTGACTGAATGCTTTCTCTTTTCAATC GTCTTCC	shyCfwpBADRBS
TDP779	CTTGCATGCCTGCAGGTCGACTCTAGAGGAT CCCCTTATTGATTGGCATACAGTAACTGGCT GTA	VC0630revpBAD

A

B

C

Figure S1. ShyA *in vivo* protein levels. (A) Cell lysates of Δ*shyA* strains carrying the

respective ShyA variants under control of an arabinose-inducible promoter were

subjected to Western Blot either before (–) or 2 hours after (+) addition of arabinose using

polyclonal ShyA antibody. An RpoA antibody was used as loading control. **(B)** Estimation

of native ShyA levels. Cell lysate (lane "WT") and purified ShyA with known quantities

(0.01 – 100 ng in the center gel, 0.02 – 200 ng in the right gel) were subjected to Western

908   Blotting. The number of ShyA molecules/cell was estimated by comparing band

909   intensities (numbers below gel image, normalized to wild-type levels) between known

910   ShyA concentrations and the cell lysate obtained from a known number of cells. **(C)**

911   Expression levels of catalytically inactive ShyA mutants. Cells were treated as described

912   in **(A).** C = empty plasmid control.

Figure S2. ShyA^{OPEN} interdomain linker polypeptides are clearly resolved in simulated annealing, composite omit electron density maps and take different paths compared to those in ShyA^{CLOSED}. **(A)** Cartoon diagram of ShyA^{OPEN} (with domains labeled) with box outlining area of the omit maps depicted in **(B)** and **(C)**. **(B)** Main-chain (green carbons) of ShyA^{OPEN} superposed on a composite omit difference electron density map. The dark grey cartoon (with italic labels) is the ShyA^{CLOSED} structure with Domain 2 superposed on Domain 2 of ShyA^{OPEN} showing how the folds diverge after L263. **(C)** The main-chain with green carbons represents the ShyA^{OPEN} polypeptide between I394 (end of Domain 3) and S400 (beginning of C-terminal helix). The path of the ShyA^{CLOSED} structure is shown in dark grey. **(D)** and **(E)** Putative substrate binding site on Domain 3 of ShyA. **(D)** Domain 3 of ShyA^{OPEN} with a solvent accessible surface

colored by atom type: yellow, carbon; blue, nitrogen; red, oxygen. The zinc atom is a grey

sphere. The green stick represents a hypothetical model of a peptidoglycan 4,3 crosslink:

(from left-to-right) a D-Ala residue forming an amide bond to the N6 (sidechain Nε) of the

meso-diaminopimelic acid. The position of the molecule is analogous to the substrate

analog tetraglycine phosphinate co-crystallized with the M23 peptidase LytM (44). The

scissile bond carbonyl (not shown) is pointed towards the Zn atom. (**E**) Solvent accessible

surface of Domain 3 of ShyA^{CLOSED} structure. Green surface represents those atoms

occluded by interactions with Domain 1 of the closed structure.

Figure S3. *In vivo* toxicity of ShyA[D112] mutants. (A) ShyA or its D112E/A derivatives

were overexpressed (0.2 % arabinose) in wild-type *V. cholerae* for 2 h, followed by

visualization on an agarose pad**. (B)** Cells carrying arabinose-inducible ShyA or its

D112E/A derivatives were plated on LB agar without (uninduced) or with (induced) 0.2 %

arabinose.

Fig. S4. Chromatograms of ShyA activity experiments. Purified sacculi were digested as described in Methods. The supernatant of each reaction (*i.e.,* PG material solubilized by ShyA) was digested with muramidase (M) and then subjected to UPLC separation and detection by A_{204}. Upper panel shows expected identity of peaks. M4, [*N*-acetylglucosamine (NAG)-*N*-acetylmuramic acid (NAM)]-tetrapeptide; M2, NAG-NAM-dipeptide; M0-M4, [NAG-NAM]-NAG-NAM-tetrapeptide; M4-M4N, [NAG-NAM]-tetrapeptide-[NAG-NAM]-tetrapeptide; N denotes termination in an anhydro-residue.

Figure S5. ShyA^{L109K/L344D} is partially functional. The Δ6 endo strain (ΔshyABC

Δvc1537 ΔtagE1/2 P_{IPTG}:shyA) carrying plasmids expressing arabinose-inducible ShyA,

its L109K/L344D or its H376A (inactive) derivatives were plated on growth medium

containing 200 µM IPTG (inducing the chromosomal ShyA copy), 0.2 % arabinose

(inducing plasmid-borne ShyA, ShyA$^{L109K/L344D}$or ShyA^{H376A}), 0.4% arabinose, or no

inducer.

Figure S6. Residue E132 mediates putative Domain 1 – Domain 3 interactions in

***Neisseria gonorrhea* NGO1686.** Closeup view of the NGO1686 crystal structure [PDB

6MUK] with cartoon representation of putative Domain 1 (green) – Domain 3 (cyan)

interface showing salt bridge between residues E132 (red circle) and R293 (black circle).

Hydrogen bonding is represented with black dashed line and the grey sphere denotes the

active site zinc.

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010 **Figure S7.** Overexpression toxicity of MepM$^{\Delta Dom1}$. *E. coli* MG1655 carrying MepM or its

1011 DsbAss/ΔDomain 1 derivatives was diluted and spot-plated on LB agar containing either

1012 no inducer ("uninduced") or 0.2 % arabinose ("induced").