Mosaic metabolic ageing: Basal and standard metabolic rate age in opposite directions

and independent of lifespan in a passerine

Michael Briga[1,2] & Simon Verhulst[1]

[1] Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences, University of Groningen, 9747 AG

Groningen, The Netherlands.

[2] Present address: Department of Biology, University of Turku, Turku, Finland.

Abstract

1. Crucial to our understanding of the ageing process is identifying how traits change with
age, which variables alter their ageing process and whether these traits associate with
lifespan.

2. We here investigated metabolic ageing in zebra finches. We longitudinally monitored 407
individuals during six years and collected 3213 measurements of two independent mass-
adjusted metabolic traits: basal metabolic rate (BMR_m) at thermoneutral temperatures
and standard metabolic rate (SMR_m), which is the same as BMR_m but at ambient
temperatures below thermoneutrality.

3. BMR_m *decreased* linearly with age, consistent with earlier reports. In contrast, SMR_m
increased linearly with age. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first quantification of
SMR_m aging, and thereby of the contrast between SMR_m and BMR_m aging.

4. Neither metabolic trait or individual aging pattern was associated with individual lifespan.
Moreover, experimental manipulations of environmental quality that decreased BMR_m
and SMR_m and shortened lifespan with 6 months (12%) did not affect the aging of either
metabolic trait. Females lived 2 months (4%) shorter than males, but none of the
metabolic traits showed sex-specific differences at any age.

5. Our finding that aging patterns of metabolic rate vary depending on the ambient
temperature illustrates the importance of studying aging in an ecologically realistic
setting.

6. Our results add to the mounting evidence that within an organism ageing is an
asynchronous process.

1. Introduction

Senescence, the decline in fitness due to declining organismal functioning with age, is common in humans, model organisms and in the wild (Nussey et al. 2013; Belsky et al. 2015; Fontana and Partridge 2015). Ageing is the change in traits with age, irrespective of their association with residual reproductive value; for example, human hair may turn grey with age but this by itself does not affect remaining lifespan. Major challenges in ageing research are to identify how organisms change with age, the factors affecting those changes and in particular how those changes affect fitness and remaining lifespan (Christensen et al. 2010; Nussey et al. 2013; Gaillard and Lemaitre 2017; Partridge and Deelen 2018) .

Energy metabolism is an essential resource underlying organismal functioning, reproduction and survival and is therefore a key variable in physiology and ecology, including in the study of ageing (Burton et al. 2011; Norin and Metcalfe 2019). Energy metabolism can be quantified in several ways. A tractable component of energy turnover that is often quantified is basal metabolic rate (BMR), i.e. the minimum energy expenditure of a post-absorptive adult animal measured during the rest phase at thermoneutral temperature (IUPS Thermal Commission, 2001; McNab, 1997). How BMR changes with age within indiviuals has been quantified in a variety of species and environments, including in humans, and all studies consistently reported a decline with age (Elliott et al. 2015). Thus BMR, an individual's minimum energy expenditure at thermoneutrality, declines with age.

While BMR is measured in the thermoneutral zone, most endotherms spend much of their lives at ambient temperatures that are below thermoneutrality. Standard metabolic rate (SMR), which is the same as BMR, except that Ta is below thermoneutrality, may therefore be a metabolic trait with higher ecological relevance, in the sense that it better reflects metabolic rate in natural conditions. It is often implicitly assumed that BMR and SMR vary in parallel across individuals, but we previously showed that BMR and SMR in the zebra finch *Taeniopygia guttata* correlated poorly both between and within-individuals, despite substantial repeatabilities (Briga and Verhulst 2017). How SMR changes with age in endotherms has to our best knowledge not previously been investigated, but our earlier findings show that we cannot assume that it changes with age in a similar way as BMR.

We monitored the ageing of BMR and SMR in a captive population of a small passerine, the zebra finch. The birds used in this study lived in captivity, which may alter metabolism and possibly its association with age compared with to that of free-living animals (Wiersma and Verhulst 2005; Auer et al. 2016; Briga and Verhulst 2017). Indeed, an essential difference between captive and free-living individuals is that free-living animals generally face foraging costs, which can be a key parameter in ageing (Briga and Verhulst 2015*a*; Speakman et al. 2015). Indeed, foraging costs

could drive or reinforce many age associated declines in organismal performance in the wild,

although this was rarely studied (reviewed in: Clay et al. 2018). Hence, to broaden the range of

environments and increase the ecological relevance of our study, we housed the birds in outdoor

aviaries, and permanently exposed half of our population to high foraging costs using a

manipulation of flight cost per food reward (Koetsier and Verhulst, 2011). We applied a 2x2

design, independently manipulating foraging costs during development and in adulthood. This

manipulation shortened lifespan and decreased body mass, BMR_m and SMR_m in our experimental

population (Briga et al., 2017; Briga and Verhulst, 2017; Briga et al. 2019). In our experiment,

individuals experiencing high foraging costs during development and in adulthood (HH group)

lived on average six months (12%) shorter than all other groups. Given this finding, it was of

interest to investigate whether lifespan variation between and within experimental treatment

groups was associated with ageing rate. If we assume that factors that shorten lifespan also

accelerate ageing, a common assumption in ageing research (Williams 1999), we predict that the

shortest living experimental group shows the highest rate of metabolic ageing.

2. Material & methods

2.1 Experimental setup

Birds were reared in either experimentally small broods (with 2 or 3 chicks) or large broods (between 5 and 8 chicks). These brood sizes are within the range observed in wild (Zann 1996). Growing up in large broods increases costly begging beg more, receive less food and have impaired growth (Briga, 2016; Briga et al., 2017). After nutritional independence and before the start of the foraging cost manipulation, i.e. between 35 days till approximately 120 days, young were housed in larger indoor cages with up to 40 other young of the same sex and two male and two female adults. Once adult, birds were subject to a long-term foraging experiment, (Koetsier and Verhulst 2011). Briefly, birds were housed in eight single sex outdoor aviaries (LxHxW 310x210x150 cm) located in Groningen, the Netherlands (53° 13' 0" N / 6° 33' 0" E). Food (tropical seed mixture) water, grit and cuttlebone were provided *ad libitum* and the birds received fortified canary food ("egg food", by Bogena, Hedel, the Netherlands) in weighed portions, which is consistent with the conditions in other facilities (Griffith et al. 2017). Each aviary contained an approximately equal number of birds and to keep densities within aviaries within a limited range, new birds were added regularly to replace those that died. The first batch was 3-24 months old when the experiment started and birds added later were 3 to 4 months old.

2.2 Data collection

Between December 2007 and April 2013 we collected a total of 3213 respirometry measurements on 407 individuals. Of those, 1233 measurements on 386 individuals are BMR measurements (Fig. S1). In the thermoneutral zone an organism does not increase its metabolic rate in order to maintain body temperature and for the zebra finch this zone occurs at ambient temperatures between 32°C and 39°C (Briga and Verhulst, 2017; Calder, 1964). The other 1980 measurements on 372 individuals are of standard metabolic rate (Fig. S1), which we measured in the same way as BMR, except that the ambient temperature was below thermoneutrality, between 5°C and 32°C. The vast majority of these measurements were at temperatures between 23and 29 (Fig. S2). BMR and SMR data were collected over an age range from 0.4 months till 7.2 years (Fig. S2), in the same seasons, i.e. mostly in spring and autumn (Fig. S3) and measurements were randomized across sex and experimental treatments.

Metabolic rate was measured overnight using an open flow respirometer situated in a dark acclimatized room. Metabolic rate measurements started close to sunset (mean = 18:10 h; SD 01:17). Up to sixteen individuals were taken from the aviaries and randomly transferred to one of sixteen 1.5 L metabolic chambers. Neither food nor water was available for birds during the metabolic measurements. Birds were weighed before and after each measurement and we used

the mean value to capture mass corrected BMR or SMR. Technical details about the equipment

can be found in Bouwhuis et al. (2011). In brief, the air-flow through the metabolic chambers was

controlled at 25 l h^{-1} by mass-flow controllers (5850S; Brooks, Rijswijk, the Netherlands)

calibrated with a bubble flow meter. Air was dried using a molecular sieve (3 Å; Merck, Darmstadt,

Germany) and analyzed by a paramagnetic oxygen analyzer (Servomex Xentra 4100,

Crowborough, UK). During measurements each metabolic chamber or reference outdoor air was

sampled every 8 min for 60s to stabilize measurement levels (Bouwhuis et al. 2011). In each

sampling, we measured O_2 and CO_2 concentration and oxygen consumption was calculated using

Eq. (6) of Hill (1972). An energy equivalent of 19.7 kJ l^{-1} oxygen consumed was used to calculate

energy expenditure in watt (W). Metabolic rate was taken to be the minimum value of a 30-min

running average, which included 3–6 measurement per individual. The first measurement hour

was excluded to minimize potential effects of handling stress and incomplete mixture of air in the

metabolic chamber. Body mass for the metabolic rate measurements was calculated as the

average of the before and after measurement values.

2.3 Statistical analyses

Data for all traits were collected throughout the year. To avoid confounding age patterns with

seasonal effects, we corrected for daily and seasonal variation. To this end, we first investigated

for each trait which variables best characterized the effect of seasonal variation in trait values

following Briga and Verhulst (2017). We quantified the effects of daily and seasonal variation with

and tested the effects of: (i) daylength, (ii) photoperiod, quantified as a dichotomous variable for

increasing vs. decreasing daylength, and (iii) the minimum ambient temperature (MinT).

Temperature data were collected at the weather station of Eelde, approximately 7 km from the

aviaries (http://www.knmi.nl/klimatologie/), where temperature was recorded 1.5 m above

ground, every hour with accuracy of 0.1 °C. Temperature data at the weather station reflect well

the climate at the aviaries as shown from aviary temperature data collected over the course of the

eight years of observation (Briga, 2016). For BMR$_m$, model selection approach (see below)

identified that the photoperiod was the most important covariate (ΔAICc=-54, Table S1A). The

next best fitting covariate was daylength, should be included as it worsened the model fit within

the reasonable range of 4 AICc (ΔAICc=+3.1, Table S1A; Burnham et al. 2011). There was no

support for the other tested covariates (ΔAICc≥+8.9, Table S1A). For SMR$_m$, we found that both

photoperiod and MinT were important (ΔAICc=-32 and ΔAICc=-8.7 respectively, Table S1B). The

effect of MinT on trait values can last over a range of timescales (van de Pol and Cockburn 2011;

van de Pol et al. 2016). We therefore weighed MinT over a time window as we had found earlier

to affect lifespan in our study population (Briga and Verhulst, 2015a). This weighing function

included for 77% the MinT within 24 hours before measurement and reached 100% within 5 days.

A weighted approach provided a slightly better model fit than using MinT of the day before

measurement (ΔAICc=-1.5). Overall, our results show that both BMR_m and SMR_m increased with

shorter and colder days and used the variables that best captured this effect to correct for daily

and seasonal variation in both metabolic traits.

Population level associations between trait values and age can be composed of two processes: (i)

a within individual change in trait value with age and (ii) a between individual change due to

selective mortality of individuals with certain trait values. We distinguished the contributions of

these two processes using a within subjects centering approach (van de Pol and Verhulst, 2006;

van de Pol and Wright, 2009). In this approach the within individual changes are captured in a

Δage term, which is the age at measurement mean centered per individual. Within individual

changes can also show terminal changes before death. We therefore added a terminal term as a

separate variable, coded as a binomial factor for whether or not an individual died within the year

following the measurement. The between individual change is captured by the term lifespan,

mean centered across our population. In this formulation, selective disappearance occurs when

the coefficients differ within and between individuals. Whether this difference is significant can

be tested with a model including age and lifespan (van de Pol and Verhulst, 2006). For censored

birds (N=71, see below), lifespan is unknown and hence these received the mean-centered

lifespan of zero. These birds thus contribute only to within and not to between individual trait

change. Finally, to test whether within or between individual change is environment-specific we

included the interaction between the age terms, and our experimental manipulations. Tests for

context-dependent developmental effects were done using three-way interactions (e.g.

Δage*development*adult).

All analyses were done using a general linear mixed modeling approach with the function 'lmer'

of the package 'lme4' (Bates et al. 2015) in R version 3.5.3 (R Core Team 2019). All analyses

included individual as a random intercept and their age term nested within individuals as a

random slope. The random slope quantifies the variation within individual in ageing and is

required for the correct estimation of confidence intervals when investigating within individual

changes (Schielzeth and Forstmeier 2009). Such models require considerable sample sizes to

accurately estimate fixed and random effects (van de Pol 2012) and our data fulfilled those

requirements (Fig. S1). We did not have sufficient power to add other random terms to the model

(e.g. nesting individual identity in aviary). In all analyses, we found the model best supported by

the data, and hence the 'significant' predictors, using Burnham and Anderson's model selection

approach (Burnham and Anderson, 2002; Burnham et al., 2011) based on second order Akaike

Information Criterion (AICc) with the function 'dredge' of the package 'MuMIn' (Barton 2019). In

brief, this is a hypothesis-based approach that generates, given a global model, subset models that

best fit the data. Model fitting should be considered as a continuum for which alternative models

within 4 ΔAICc are plausible and become increasingly equivocal up to 14 ΔAICc, after which they

become implausible (Burnham and Anderson, 2002; Burnham et al., 2011). For models within 4

ΔAICc, we report coefficients which are the result of model averaging from the function

'model.avg' of the package 'MuMIn'. Confidence intervals of model parameters were estimated

with the Wald approximation in the function 'confint'. Residuals of all final models were normal

distributed and without influential data points or outliers.

To investigate the association between mass and survival we used Cox Proportional Hazard

analyses (CPH) using the function 'coxme' of the package 'coxme' (Therneau 2019). To avoid

pseudo-replication by repeated measurements, we used the first measurement of each individual.

These BMR and SMR values were corrected for mass, temporal and seasonal covariates. We then

used the residuals of these values for the CPH analyses. In the CPH models we included sex and

the four experimental groups as covariates, as these are known to affect lifespan (Briga et al. 2017,

Briga et al. 2019). In all these analyses, aviary was included as a random intercept to correct for

the joint housing of birds. Of the 407 individuals for which we have a metabolic rate measurement,

we monitored 336 till natural death and right censored (i) 48 individuals that were still alive after

8 years of monitoring and (ii) 23 individuals that died by accident or were euthanized for welfare

considerations. These 8 years of monitoring are consistent with the study on body mass ageing in

Briga et al. (2019). CPH analyses require predictors to be proportional which was the case as

indicated by the 'cox.zph' function (X^2=2.49, p>0.11).

3. Results

3.1 Basal metabolic rate

We first investigated the age trajectory of BMR_m within individuals. We tested for changes with age that were either linear, quadratic terminal or a combination of linear and terminal. The best fitting shape was a linear decline with age ($\Delta AICc < -15.4$; Fig. 1A; Table S4 A-C). Individuals lost on average 0.0025 W/Yr ($-0.0035 < 95CI < -0.0015$). The variation between individuals in within-individual change with age (i.e. random slopes) was small compared to the variation between individuals in BMR_m (i.e. random intercept), with respectively a variance explained of 0.8% vs. 27%. Thus, BMR_m decreased linearly with age.

We then investigated whether the environmental manipulations that shortened lifespan, also accelerated BMR_m decline. High foraging costs during adulthood, but not during development decreased BMR_m (development: $\Delta AICc = +9.7$; adulthood: $\Delta AICc = -12.6$; Table S2). There was no evidence for different age trajectories or for a difference in the magnitude of the linear decline between experimental groups (Δage * manipulation: $\Delta AICc > +13.8$; terminal year * manipulation: $\Delta AICc > +21.4$; Fig. 3B; Table S5). Testing the HH group against all other experimental groups gave supported this conclusion ($\Delta AICc > +13.5$). Females lived on average two months shorter than males (4%; Briga et al., 2019). However, sexes did not differ in their BMR_m ($\Delta AICc = +4.7$; Table S2), in their BMR_m response to the environmental manipulations ($\Delta AICc > +11.6$; Table S2) or in their BMR_m ageing ($\Delta AICc > +19.2$; Table S7). Thus, BMR_m decreased linearly with age and this decrease was independent of factors that affected lifespan.

We then investigated the association between BMR_m and lifespan. In the best fitting general linear model, the gradual decline in BMR_m with age was indistinguishable between and within individuals (slopes: -0.0019 W/Yr vs. -0.0025 W/Yr respectively), indicating no selective disappearance in any of the experimental groups (Fig. 3; Table S5). This is further confirmed with CPH models showing neither a linear nor quadratic association with lifespan (e.g. when individuals with high and low BMR_m have short lifespans; Fig. 2; AICc>1.05; Table S9A). Thus, there was no association between BMR_m and individual variation in lifespan.

3.2 Standard metabolic rate

We first investigated the shape of the age trajectory, testing for linear, quadratic and/or terminal changes. In contrast to BMR_m, which *decreased* linearly with age, SMR_m *increased* linearly with age ($\Delta AICc < -4.3$; Fig. 1B & 1C; Table S4 D-F). This coefficient seemed to increase with lower ambient temperature Ta at measurement, but this interaction did not improve the model (AICc=+11). The variation between individuals in within-individual change with age was small compared to the variation between individuals in SMR_m with respectively a variance explained of 1.5% vs. 20%.

We then investigated whether the manipulations that affected lifespan also affected SMR_m ageing.
High foraging costs during adulthood, but not during development decreased SMR_m
(development: $\Delta AICc=+10.5$; adulthood: $\Delta AICc=-67.6$; Table S2B). There was no evidence for
different age trajectories or in the magnitude of the increase between experimental groups (Δage
* manipulation: $\Delta AICc>+8.1$; terminal year * manipulation: $\Delta AICc>+16.1$; Fig. 4B; Table S6A & B).
Testing the HH group against all other experimental groups gave supported this conclusion
($\Delta AICc>+11.8$). Just as for BMR_m, sexes did not differ in their SMR_m ($\Delta AICc=+11.8$; Table S3), in
their SMR_m response to the environmental manipulations ($\Delta AICc>+21.9$; Table S3), in their age
trajectories or in their rate of SMR_m ageing ($\Delta AICc>+22.1$; Table S8). Thus, SMR_m increased
linearly with age and this increase was independent of factors that affected lifespan.

We then investigated the association between SMR_m and individual variation in lifespan. In a GLM,
the gradual increase in SMR_m with age differed somewhat between and within individuals (0.0022
W/Yr vs. 0.0043 W/Yr respectively), suggesting there could be some selective disappearance with
respect to SMR_m (Fig. 4, Table S6). However, CPH analyses showed there was no association
between SMR_m and lifespan either linear or quadratic (Fig. 2; $\Delta AICc>+1.7$; Table S9B). Thus, we
found no evidence for an association between SMR_m and lifespan.

4. Discussion

Metabolic rate is a key physiological trait in ecology, life history and the biology of ageing. As such it is common to quantify the minimum energy expenditure at thermoneutral temperatures or BMR_m. We found that BMR_m *decreased* with age, a result consistent with that in many other endotherms, including in zebra finches (Moe et al. 2009; Rønning et al. 2014; Elliott et al. 2015). However, in contrast to BMR_m, SMR_m *increased* with age, at a rate twice the absolute value of BMR_m loss. To the best of our knowledge, this is a new result and the discrepancy in ageing trajectory between these two energetic traits is important because it indicates that these age independently. Indeed, the correlations between BMR_m and SMR_m within individual were low ($0.04<r<0.22$; Briga and Verhulst, 2017) and these traits' independent ageing is one reason for this low correlation. An experimental manipulation of increased foraging costs that decreased lifespan also decreased BMR_m and SMR_m, a result consistent with that of earlier studies in birds and laboratory rodents (Wiersma and Verhulst 2005; Vaanholt et al. 2007; Schubert et al. 2008, 2009). However metabolic rate or metabolic ageing were not associated with lifespan. We here discuss these results in the light of other studies on metabolism and ageing.

4.1 Metabolic ageing

The mechanism underlying the change in BMR_m with age is likely reductions in the size of metabolic expensive organs, such as heart, liver, kidneys and muscle, as was shown for humans and laboratory rodents (Roberts and Rosenberg 2006). There is also evidence for a small age associated decline in metabolism per unit of tissue (Roberts and Rosenberg 2006) and for reductions in body temperature (Florez-Duquet and McDonald 1998; Weinert 2010; Blatteis 2012). The difference in age trajectory between BMR_m and SMR_m indicates that there is also ageing of thermoregulation. We suggest two explanations for the increase in SMR_m with age. One possibility is that birds need more energy to maintain body temperature as they age, e.g. due to poorer insulation or reduced metabolic efficiency. Secondly, birds might have lower tolerance for low body temperature as they age. This might occur because the ability to warm up decreases with age, at least in laboratory rodents (Florez-Duquet and McDonald 1998). Note however that birds differ from laboratory rodents in that thermoregulatory responses are regulated by skeletal muscles while mammals use brown adipose tissue (Dawson and O'Connor 1996; Mezentseva et al. 2008). Thus, we propose that the mechanisms underlying the different age trajectories between BMR_m and SMR_m reflect changes in insulation and efficiency of heat production.

4.2 Mosaic ageing and the association with lifespan

Williams (1957) and Maynard-Smith (1962), suggested that traits would evolve to age in synchrony, because "*natural selection will always be in greatest opposition to the decline of the most*

senescence-prone system". Our findings run counter to this prediction because mass, BMR_m and
SMR_m showed different age trajectories. Moreover, we previously showed mass (Briga et al. 2019)
and bill colour (Simons et al. 2012; Simons et al. 2016) in the same population to follow ageing
trajectories that differed from both metabolic traits. The age trajectory of mass varied with
foraging costs in females, but not in males, and bill color, a sexual signal, remained constant
through life until a decline in the terminal year. Our combined results thus show that traits age
asynchronously in zebra finches, a phenomenon coined *´mosaic ageing'* (Cevenini et al. 2008;
Walker and Herndon 2010) which has also been observed in other systems (Herndon et al. 2002;
Bansal et al. 2015; Belsky et al. 2015; Hayward et al. 2015), but remains to be explained by
evolutionary theory. The association between trait value and lifetime reproductive success or
'fitness' is a good candidate, with traits with larger fitness effects showing smaller changes with
age due to preferential resource allocation to such traits, a phenomenon called canalisation
(Boonekamp et al. 2018; Kok et al. 2019).

We previously found that high foraging costs during develop and adulthood shortened life in zebra
finches with 6 months or 12% (Briga et al. 2019). The results here indicate that this effect did not
occur via metabolic rate and hence other physiological pathways mediate the effect of
environmental conditions on lifespan. Some results point that glucose metabolism, the insulin
pathway and the associated glucocorticoid levels are excellent although neither one of them
provides a complete picture yet (Jimeno et al. 2017, 2018; Montoya et al. 2018; Regan et al. 2019).
Hence other physiological mechanisms and oxidative stress and the immune system warrant
further study (De Coster et al. 2011; Simons et al. 2014; Speakman et al. 2015).

It is often implicitly assumed that factors changing lifespan will consistently alter ageing rate. To
what extent and for what kind of traits this is the case however remains to be identified (Bansal
et al., 2015; Christensen et al., 2009; Williams, 1999). Indeed, in our study system we found little
evidence for such association: the environmental factors affecting lifespan did not affect the
ageing of metabolic traits or body mass (Briga et al. 2019), and neither were rates of ageing higher
in shorter lived individuals. Apparently, (environmental) factors affecting lifespan can be distinct
from factors affecting ageing rate, which was also suggested for genetic factors (Burger and
Promislow 2006). Predicting when or whether an environmental variable that alters lifespan will
also affect the ageing of some traits is therefore a current challenge.

References

Auer, S. K., R. D. Bassar, K. Salin, and N. B. Metcalfe. 2016. Repeatability of metabolic rate is lower for animals living under field versus laboratory conditions. Journal of Experimental Biology 219:631–634.

Bansal, A., L. J. Zhu, K. Yen, and H. A. Tissenbaum. 2015. Uncoupling lifespan and healthspan in *Caenorhabditis elegans* longevity mutants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112:E277–E286.

Barton, K. 2019. Package MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference. Available at: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn.

Bates, D., M. Maechler, B. Bolker, and S. Walker. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software 67:1–48.

Belsky, D. W., A. Caspi, R. Houts, H. J. Cohen, D. L. Corcoran, A. Danese, H. Harrington, et al. 2015. Quantification of biological aging in young adults. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112:E4104–E4110.

Blatteis, C. M. 2012. Age-dependent changes in temperature regulation - A mini review. Gerontology 58:289–295.

Bouwhuis, S., B. C. Sheldon, and S. Verhulst. 2011. Basal metabolic rate and the rate of senescence in the great tit. Functional Ecology 25:829–838.

Boonekamp, J. J., E. Mulder, and S. Verhulst. 2018. Canalisation in the wild: effects of developmental conditions on physiological traits are inversely linked to their association with fitness. Ecology Letters 21:857–864.

Briga, M. 2016. *Growing up and growing old: A longitudinal study on aging in zebra finches*. University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.

Briga, M., B. Jimeno, and S. Verhulst. 2019. Coupling lifespan and aging? The age at onset of body mass decline associates positively with sex-specific lifespan but negatively with environment-specific lifespan. Experimental Gerontology 119:111–119.

Briga, M., E. Koetsier, J. Boonekamp, B. Jimeno, and S. Verhulst. 2017. Food availability affects adult survival trajectories depending on early developmental conditions. Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences 284:20162287.

Briga, M., and S. Verhulst. 2015*a*. What can long-lived mutants tell us about mechanisms causing aging and lifespan variation in natural environments? Experimental Gerontology. Elsevier Inc.

Briga, M., and S. Verhulst. 2015*b*. Large diurnal temperature range increases bird sensitivity to

climate change. Scientific Reports 5:16600.

Briga, M., and S. Verhulst. 2017. Individual variation in metabolic reaction norms over ambient
temperature causes low correlation between basal and standard metabolic rate. Journal of
Experimental Biology 220:3280–3289.

Burger, J. M. S., and D. E. L. Promislow. 2006. Are functional and demographic senescence
genetically independent? Experimental Gerontology 41:1108–1116.

Burnham, K., and D. Anderson. 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference: A practical
information-theoretic approach (2nd ed.). Springer-Verlag, New York.

Burnham, K. P., D. R. Anderson, and K. P. Huyvaert. 2011. AIC model selection and multimodel
inference in behavioral ecology: Some background, observations, and comparisons. Behavioral
Ecology and Sociobiology 65:23–35.

Burton, T., S. S. Killen, J. D. Armstrong, and N. B. Metcalfe. 2011. What causes intraspecific
variation in resting metabolic rate and what are its ecological consequences? Proceedings of the
Royal Society B Biological Sciences 278:3465–3473.

Calder, W. 1964. Gaseous metabolism and water relations of the zebra finch Taeniopygia
castanotis. Physiological Zoology 37:400–413.

Cevenini, E., L. Invidia, F. Lescai, S. Salvioli, P. Tieri, G. Castellani, and C. Franceschi. 2008. Human
models of aging and longevity. Expert Opinion on Biological Therapy 8:1393–1405.

Christensen, K., G. Doblhammer, R. Rau, and J. W. Vaupel. 2010. Ageing populations : the
challenges ahead. The Lancet 374:1196–1208.

Clay, T. A., E. J. Pearmain, R. A. R. McGill, A. Manica, and R. A. Phillips. 2018. Age-related variation
in non- breeding foraging behaviour and over effects on fitness in an extremely long-- lived bird.
Functional Ecology 32:1832–1846.

Dawson, W., and T. O'Connor. 1996. Energetic features of avian thermoregulatory responses.
Pages 85–124 *in* C. Carey, ed. Avian energetics and nutritional ecology. Chapman & Hall, New
York, NY.

De Coster, G., S. Verhulst, E. Koetsier, L. De Neve, M. Briga, and L. Lens. 2011. Effects of early
developmental conditions on innate immunity are only evident under favourable adult
conditions in zebra finches. Naturwissenschaften 98:1049–1056.

Elliott, K. H., J. F. Hare, M. Le Vaillant, A. J. Gaston, Y. Ropert-Coudert, and W. G. Anderson. 2015.
Ageing gracefully: Physiology but not behaviour declines with age in a diving seabird. Functional
Ecology 29:219–228.

Florez-Duquet, M., and R. B. McDonald. 1998. Cold-induced thermoregulation and biological
aging. Physiological Reviews 78:339–358.

Fontana, L., and L. Partridge. 2015. Promoting health and longevity through diet: From model
organisms to humans. Cell 161:106–118.

Gaillard, J.-M., and J.-F. Lemaitre. 2017. The Williams' legacy: A critical reappraisal of his nine
predictions about the evolution of senescence. Evolution 71:2768–2785.

Griffith, S. C., O. L. Crino, S. C. Andrew, F. Y. Nomano, E. Adkins-Regan, C. Alonso-Alvarez, I. E.
Bailey, et al. 2017. Variation in reproductive success across captive populations: methodological
differences, potential biases and opportunities. Ethology 123:1–29.

Hayward, A. D., J. Moorad, C. E. Regan, C. Berenos, J. G. Pilkington, J. M. Pemberton, and D. H.
Nussey. 2015. Asynchrony of senescence among phenotypic traits in a wild mammal population.
Experimental Gerontology 71:56–68.

Herndon, L. A., P. J. Schmeissner, J. M. Dudaronek, P. A. Brown, K. M. Listner, Y. Sakano, M. C.
Paupard, et al. 2002. Stochastic and genetic factors influence tissue-specific decline in ageing C .
elegans. Nature 419:808–814.

Hill, R. W. 1972. Determination of oxygen consumption by use of the paramagnetic oxygen
analyzer. Journal of Applied Physiology 33:261–263.

Jimeno, B., M. Briga, M. Hau, and S. Verhulst. 2018. Male but not female zebra finches with high
stress-induced corticosterone have lower survival. Functional Ecology 32:713–721.

Jimeno, B., M. Briga, S. Verhulst, and M. Hau. 2017. Effects of developmental conditions on
glucocorticoid concentrations in adulthood depend on sex and foraging conditions. Hormones
and Behavior 93:175–183.

Koetsier, E., and S. Verhulst. 2011. A simple technique to manipulate foraging costs in seed-
eating birds. Journal of Experimental Biology 214:1225–1229.

Kok, E.M.A., J. B. Burant, A. Dekinga, P. Manche, D. Saintonge, T. Piersma, and K. J. Mathot. 2019.
Within-individual canalization contributes to age-related increases in trait repeatability: a
longitudinal experiment in red knots. American Naturalist 194:455-469.

Maynard-Smith, J. 1962. Review lectures on senescence. I. The causes of ageing. Proceedings of
the Royal Society of London, Series B Biological Sciences B157:115–127.

Mezentseva, N. V, J. S. Kumaratilake, and S. a Newman. 2008. The brown adipocyte
differentiation pathway in birds: an evolutionary road not taken. BMC Biology 6:17.

Moe, B., B. Rønning, S. Verhulst, and C. Bech. 2009. Metabolic ageing in individual zebra finches.

Biology Letters 5:86–89.

Montoya, B., M. Briga, B. Jimeno, S. Moonen, and S. Verhulst. 2018. Baseline glucose level is an

individual trait that is negatively associated with lifespan and increases due to adverse

environmental conditions during development and adulthood. Journal of Comparative

Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology 188:517–526.

Norin, T., and N. B. Metcalfe. 2019. Ecological and evolutionary consequences of metabolic rate

plasticity in response to environmental change. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society

B 374:20180180.

Nussey, D. H., H. Froy, J. F. Lemaitre, J. M. Gaillard, and S. N. Austad. 2013. Senescence in natural

populations of animals: Widespread evidence and its implications for bio-gerontology. Ageing

Research Reviews 12:214–225.

Partridge, L., and J. Deelen. 2018. Facing up to the global challenges of ageing. Nature 561:45–56.

R Core Team. 2019. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

Regan, J. C., H. Froy, C. A. Walling, J. P. Moatt, and D. H. Nussey. 2019. Dietary restriction and

insulin-like signalling pathways as adaptive plasticity: A synthesis and re-evaluation. Functional

Ecology, In Press.

Roberts, S. B., and I. Rosenberg. 2006. Nutrition and aging: changes in the regulation of energy

metabolism with aging. Physiological Reviews 86:651–667.

Rønning, B., B. Moe, H. H. Berntsen, E. Noreen and C. Bech 2014. Is the rate of metabolic ageing

and survival determined by basal metabolic rate in the zebra finch? Plos ONE 9:e108675.

Schielzeth, H., and W. Forstmeier. 2009. Conclusions beyond support: overconfident estimates in

mixed models. Behavioral Ecology 20:416–420.

Schubert, K. A., G. de Vries, L. M. Vaanholt, H. A. J. Meijer, S. Daan, and S. Verhulst. 2009. Maternal

energy allocation to offspring increases with environmental quality in house mice. American

Naturalist 173:831–840.

Schubert, K. A., L. M. Vaanholt, F. Stavasius, G. E. Demas, S. Daan, and G. H. Visser. 2008. Female

mice respond differently to costly foraging versus food restriction. Journal of Experimental

Biology 211:2214–2223.

Simons M.J.P., Briga M., Koetsier E., Folkertsma R., Wubs M.D., Dijkstra C., Verhulst S. 2012. Bill

redness is positively associated with reproduction and survival in male and female zebra

finches. PLoS ONE 7: e40721.

Simons, M. J. P., M. Briga, B. Leenknegt, and S. Verhulst. 2014. Context-dependent effects of

carotenoid supplementation on reproduction in zebra finches. Behavioral Ecology 25:945–950.

Simons, M. J. P., M. Briga, and S. Verhulst. 2016. Stabilizing survival selection on presenescent

expression of a sexual ornament followed by a terminal decline. Journal of Evolutionary Biology

29:1368–1378.

Speakman, J. R., J. D. Blount, A. M. Bronikowski, R. Buffenstein, C. Isaksson, T. B. L. Kirkwood, P.

Monaghan, et al. 2015. Oxidative stress and life histories: Unresolved issues and current needs.

Ecology and Evolution 5:5745–5757.

Therneau, T. 2019. coxme: Mixed Effects Cox Models. Available at: https://CRAN.R-

project.org/package=coxme.

Vaanholt, L. M., B. De Jong, T. Garland, S. Daan, and G. H. Visser. 2007. Behavioural and

physiological responses to increased foraging effort in male mice. Journal of Experimental

Biology 210:2013–2024.

van de Pol, M. 2012. Quantifying individual variation in reaction norms: how study design affects

the accuracy, precision and power of random regression models. Methods in Ecology and

Evolution 3:268–280.

van de Pol, M., L. D. Bailey, N. McLean, L. Rijsdijk, and C. R. Lawson. 2016. Identifying the best

climatic predictors in ecology and evolution. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 7:1246–1257.

van de Pol, M., and A. Cockburn. 2011. Identifying the critical climatic time window that affects

trait expression. The American Naturalist 177:698–707.

van de Pol, M., and S. Verhulst. 2006. Age-dependent traits: a new statistical model to separate

within- and between-individual effects. The American Naturalist 167:766–773.

van de Pol, M., and J. Wright. 2009. A simple method for distinguishing within- versus between-

subject effects using mixed models. Animal Behaviour 77:753–758.

Verhulst, S., M.-J. Holveck, and K. Riebel. 2006. Long-term effects of manipulated natal brood size

on metabolic rate in zebra finches. Biology Letters 2:478–480.

Walker, L. C., and J. G. Herndon. 2010. Mosaic aging. Medical hypotheses 74:1048–1051.

Weinert, D. 2010. Circadian temperature variation and ageing. Ageing Research Reviews 9:51–

60.

Wiersma, P., and S. Verhulst. 2005. Effects of intake rate on energy expenditure, somatic repair

and reproduction of zebra finches. Journal of Experimental Biology 208:4091–4098.

Williams, G. C. 1957. Pleiotropy, natural-selection and the evolution of senescence. Evolution

11:398–411.

Williams, G. C. 1999. The tithonus error in modern gerontology. The Quarterly Review of Biology
74:405–415.

Zann, R. A. 1996. The Zebra Finch: a synthesis of field and laboratory studies. Oxford University
Press, Oxford.

Fig. 1. Within individuals (A) BMR_m decreased with age, while SMR_m increased with age, as shown
here at ambient temperatures of (B) 26°C and (C) 12°C. Shown are boxplots with medians,
quartiles and 95% CI per year. Horizontal lines show model fit. Residuals are corrected for mass,
seasonal variation, measurement temperature and manipulation effects.

Fig. 2. There was no association between BMR_m or SMR_m with lifespan. To exclude any within-

individual age effects, we only used individual's first measurement and for SMR_m excluding those

individuals whose first measurement fell within the terminal year.

Fig. 3. BMR$_m$ declines with age are independent of experimental treatment. Shown are model coefficients ± 95%CI. Within individuals, BMR declines gradually with age, including in the last year of life (Terminal). Age trajectories are consistent across groups, except perhaps for the within individual change for the BH group, but the difference with other groups is not significant. Note that there is no evidence for selective disappearance because the coefficient of between individual change was similar to that of Δage. For a data plot of the age trajectory, see fig. S8A.

Fig. 4. Between and within individual changes with age in SMR_m are independent of experimental treatment. Shown here are model coefficients ± 95%CI. Within individuals, SMR increased gradually with age, but not in the last year of life (Terminal). Note that there is no selective disappearance because the coefficient of between individual change was similar to that of Δage. For a data plot of the age trajectory, see fig. S8B.