Molecular epidemiology of *Escherichia coli* producing CTX-M and plasmid

AmpC-type β-lactamases from dairy farms identifies a dominant plasmid

encoding CTX-M-32 but no evidence for transmission to humans in the same

geographical region

Jacqueline FINDLAY[1], Oliver MOUNSEY[1], Winnie W.Y. LEE[1], Nerissa NEWBOLD[1,2],

Katy MORLEY[2], Hannah SCHUBERT[2], Virginia C. GOULD[1,2], Tristan A. COGAN[2],

Kristen K. REYHER[2], Matthew B. AVISON[1]*

[1]School of Cellular & Molecular Medicine, Biomedical Sciences Building, University

of Bristol, University Walk, Bristol, UK.

[2]Bristol Veterinary School, University of Bristol, Langford, UK.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: bimba@bris.ac.uk.

Running heading: Cefotaxime-resistant *E. coli* from dairy farms

Abstract

Third-generation cephalosporin resistance (3GC-R) in *Escherichia coli* is a rising problem in human and farmed animal populations. We conducted whole genome sequencing analysis of 138 representative 3GC-R isolates previously collected from dairy farms in South West England and confirmed by PCR to carry acquired 3GC-R genes. This analysis identified bla_{CTX-M} (131 isolates: encoding CTX-M-1, -14, -15, -32 and the novel variant, CTX-M-214), bla_{CMY-2} (6 isolates) and bla_{DHA-1} (one isolate). A highly conserved plasmid was identified in 73 isolates, representing 27 *E. coli* sequence types. This novel ~220 kb IncHI2 plasmid carrying $bla_{CTX-M-32}$ was sequenced to closure and designated pMOO-32. It was found experimentally to be stable in cattle and human transconjugant *E. coli* even in the absence of selective pressure and was found by multiplex PCR to be present on 26 study farms representing a remarkable range of transmission over 1500 square kilometres. However, the plasmid was not found amongst human urinary *E. coli* we have recently characterised from people living in the same geographical location, collected in parallel with farm sampling. There were close relatives of two bla_{CTX-M} plasmids circulating amongst eight human and two cattle isolates, and a closely related bla_{CMY-2} plasmid found in one cattle and one human isolate. However, phylogenetic evidence of recent sharing of 3GC-R strains between farms and humans in the same region was not found.

Importance

Third-generation cephalosporins (3GCs) are critically important antibacterials and 3GC-resistance (3GC-R) threatens human health, particularly in the context of opportunistic pathogens such as *Escherichia coli*. There is some evidence for zoonotic transmission of 3GC-R *E. coli* through food, but little work has been done

examining possible transmission (e.g. via interaction of people with the local near-

farm environment). We characterised acquired 3GC-R *E. coli* found on dairy farms in

a geographically restricted region of the United Kingdom and compared these with *E.*

coli from people living in the same region, collected in parallel. Whilst there is strong

evidence for recent farm-to-farm transmission of 3GC-R strains and plasmids –

including one epidemic plasmid that has a remarkable capacity to transmit – there

was no evidence that 3GC-R found on study farms had a significant impact on

circulating 3GC-R *E. coli* strains or plasmids in the local human population.

Introduction

Third-generation cephalosporin-resistant (3GC-R) *Escherichia coli* have been increasingly reported in both animal and human populations and are considered pathogens of major concern for humans (1,2). 3GCs such as cefotaxime and ceftazidime have been listed by the World Health Organisation (WHO) as "highest-priority critically important antimicrobials" (HP-CIAs) because of their importance for human health (3). Resistance to 3GCs in *E. coli* can be caused by several mechanisms but is primarily attributed to the acquisition of Extended Spectrum β-Lactamases (ESBLs) or plasmid-mediated AmpC β-lactamases (pAmpCs) (4). Plasmids encoding ESBLs and pAmpCs frequently harbour additional resistance genes and so can present a significant therapeutic challenge (5). In recent years, the promotion and implementation of the 'One Health' approach in antimicrobial resistance by the WHO has emphasised the importance of surveillance in both animal and human populations and has highlighted gaps in this knowledge (6). In humans it has been well established in numerous global studies that certain *E. coli* lineages (e.g. bla_{CTX-M}-encoding ST131) play a major role in the dissemination of ESBL genes, however such a depth of information does not exist for isolates from animal populations (2). Human-associated pandemic lineages have been reported in animal populations albeit to a much lesser extent than in human populations (7).

In humans, bla_{CTX-M} variants are the globally dominant ESBL type with some variants exhibiting geographical associations (e.g. $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ in Europe and North America and $bla_{CTX-M-14}$ in Asia) (2). Transmission of ESBLs occurs largely through horizontal gene transfer, with conjugative IncF plasmids being reported as the dominant vehicles for bla_{CTX-M} genes (8,9). Previous studies using typing methodologies including WGS have suggested transmission of both strains and ESBL plasmids

across animal and human populations (10,11). Epidemic plasmids have been

reported across different host populations and in multiple countries (12). For

example, one epidemic plasmid type – pCT, encoding $bla_{CTX-M-14}$ – was identified in

cattle and human *E. coli* isolates in England and found to exist in human isolates

from several countries across 3 continents (12).

Antimicrobial use in food animals may provide selective pressure for resistance

genes/plasmids which could theoretically be spread to humans (13). However,

recent reports suggest that such transmission is limited, at least in the UK (14). In

dairy farming, antibiotics are used both therapeutically in the treatment of common

infections such as mastitis, and preventatively. For example, in so-called dry cow

therapy, an antibacterial preparation inserted into a cow's udder between lactations

to prevent mastitis (15). A survey of dairy farms in England and Wales in 2012

revealed that the fourth-generation cephalosporin (4GC) cefquinome (another HP-

CIA) was the most used dry cow therapy treatment (16). By 2017, however, only

5.3% of total dry cow therapy active ingredients were HP-CIAs. Indeed, there has

been a significant decline in the use of HP-CIAs on dairy farms in the UK in recent

years (17).

Recently, we reported a survey of 53 dairy farms located in South West England

where we investigated the prevalence of 3GC-R *E. coli* (18). From 1226 such

isolates, PCR analysis confirmed that 648/1226 (52.7%) carried bla_{CTX-M} and

13/1226 (1.1%) carried a pAmpC gene. The remaining 566/1226 (46.2%) isolates did

not carry any putative acquired 3GC-R gene, and a subsequent analysis confirmed

that hyper-production of the chromosomally encoded AmpC β-lactamase was the

mechanism of 3GC-R in these isolates (19).

The study reported here aimed to characterise, using WGS, representative bla_{CTX-M}

and pAmpC-positive *E. coli* isolates collected on dairy farms during our earlier

surveillance study (18). Furthermore, our aim was to compare these isolates at strain

and plasmid-encoded 3GC-R gene level with bla_{CTX-M} and pAmpC positive urinary *E.*

coli isolates (20) collected from humans living in the same 50 x 50 km region that

was the location of the majority of dairy farms under study.

Results and Discussion

WGS analysis of *E. coli* carrying acquired 3GC-R genes from dairy farms

One hundred and thirty-eight representative isolates, PCR-positive for bla_{CTX-M} or

pAmpC genes (18) and chosen to give coverage of all 42 farms positive for acquired

3GC-R genes, were subjected to WGS (**Table 1**). $bla_{CTX-M-32}$, encoding a group 1

enzyme first described in a human clinical isolate in 2004 (21), was the most

common 3GC-R gene identified and was found in 79/138 sequenced isolates

encompassing 27 *E. coli* sequence types (STs) from 25 farms Other 3GC-R genes

identified were: $bla_{CTX-M-14}$ (18 isolates, 6 STs from 9 farms), $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ (16 isolates, 8

STs from 6 farms), $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ (16 isolates, 5 STs from 10 farms), bla_{CMY-2} (6 isolates,

3 STs from 3 farms), $bla_{CTX-M-214}$ (3 isolates, 2 STs from 3 farms) plus one isolate

harbouring bla_{DHA-1} and one isolate having both $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ and $bla_{CTX-M-14}$. CTX-M-214

(GenBank Accession No. MH121688) is a novel CTX-M-9 variant, first identified in

this study, which differs from CTX-M-9 by a single amino acid, Ala112Thr. In all three

isolates encoding $bla_{CTX-M-214}$, the gene was identified on a contig which also

encoded an IncI-ST26 plasmid replicon as well as *aadA2*, *sul1*, and *dfrA16*.

Identification and characterisation of pMOO-32

Following observations of the high prevalence of $bla_{CTX-M-32}$, a search for common plasmid replicon types was conducted which revealed an IncHI2-ST2 replicon in almost all the sequenced $bla_{CTX-M-32}$-positive isolates. Transconjugations were attempted into *E. coli* DH5α using $bla_{CTX-M-32}$-positive farm isolate DK as donor (**Table 2**). One successful transconjugant was sent for WGS employing both long and short read methodologies to sequence the plasmid to closure. pMOO-32 is a 226,022-bp conjugative plasmid belonging to the ST2-IncHI2 incompatibility group, harbouring *repHI2* and *repHI2A* replication genes. It contains 245 putative ORFs and has a GC content of 45.5% (**Figure 1**). pMOO-32 encodes the following antimicrobial resistance genes: $bla_{CTX-M-32}$, *strA*, *strB*, *aph(6)-Ic*, *aph(3')-IIa* and *tetB* as well as genes encoding resistance to the heavy metal compound, tellurite (*terABCDEFWXYZ*) and a HipAB type II toxin-antitoxin system along with a second partial system (*higB* toxin gene). $bla_{CTX-M-32}$ is encoded downstream of an IS*ECp1* element within which there is an IS*Kpn26* insertion encoded in the opposite orientation (**Figure 2**). This same genetic environment was also observed in 4 $bla_{CTX-M-32}$-positive but IncHI2 plasmid-negative ST10 isolates collected from 2 farms. There were 2 additional IncHI2 plasmid-negative ST765 isolates, both from the same farm, that carried $bla_{CTX-M-32}$ where the immediate genetic environment differed by a truncation in IS*Ecp1*.

Transconjugation attempts using the pMOO-32-positive farm isolate DK as donor into a 3GC-susceptible (3GC-S) cattle ST88 *E. coli* isolated from one of the study farms (18) as well as into a 3GC-S human urinary ST1193 *E. coli* isolate (20) were both successful (**Table 2**). ST1193 was selected as a recently described fluoroquinolone-resistant global clone, often implicated as a cause of human

infections (22), whilst ST88 was selected as a particularly prevalent ST among cattle

isolates (23). Antimicrobial disc testing showed that the pMOO-32-carrying donor

was, as expected from the genotype, resistant to ampicillin, cefotaxime, cefepime,

aztreonam, streptomycin, neomycin, and tetracycline. The cattle ST88 and human

ST1193 transconjugants were, additional to their starting wild-type resistance profile,

resistant to cefotaxime, cefepime and aztreonam. These results (**Table 2**) are

indicative of the functionality of the $bla_{CTX-M-32}$ gene harboured by pMOO-32.

Epidemiology of pMOO-32-like plasmids on farms

The complete nucleotide sequence of pMOO-32 was submitted to GenBank under

accession number MK169211. Using this sequence as a reference, sequencing

reads from all 73 isolates positive for $bla_{CTX-M-32}$ and the IncHI2 replicon were

mapped; this indicated that the plasmids exhibited 94-100% identity to the reference

sequence. Any differences could be attributed to a loss or gain of mobile genetic

elements, but no major rearrangements were observed to the plasmid backbone or

changes to resistance gene content. These 73 isolates carrying pMOO-32-like

plasmids comprised 27 *E. coli* STs. On 10 farms, pMOO-32-like plasmids were found

in isolates of more than one ST. The most frequently identified STs were ST69 and

ST10, found in 18 isolates from 7 farms, and 6 isolates from 4 farms, respectively.

Therefore, we conclude that pMOO-32-like plasmids are dominant in this study area,

largely a result of horizontal rather than clonal transmission.

We subsequently designed a multiplex PCR, based on the pMOO-32 sequence, and

used to it screen all group 1 bla_{CTX-M}-PCR-positive isolates from our study farms (18)

not previously subjected to WGS for the presence of pMOO-32-like plasmids. It was

found that 26/53 (49.1%) farms within our study area tested positive for the presence of a pMOO-32-like plasmid using this test. The origins and geographical reach of pMOO-32 remain to be established, but all positive farms were located in a 40 x 40 km sub-region of the wider study area, where 26/33 farms were positive, suggesting that the plasmid remained geographically confined at the time of sample collection.

We hypothesised that the observed dominance of pMOO-32-like plasmids could be a consequence of the HipAB-type II toxin-antitoxin system found on the plasmid, leading to persistence even in the absence of antibacterial selective pressure. Growth curve assays indicated a 12-40% fitness cost (reduction in OD_{600}) of pMOO-32 carriage in *E. coli* DH5α at the end of the exponential growth phase in M9 minimal medium (data not shown). However, despite this cost in growth terms, pMOO-32 was stably maintained over 10 days of passaging in the absence of antibiotic pressure in the farm isolates, their respective DH5α transconjugants, and the human and cattle *E. coli* isolate transconjugants tested.

No evidence for recent sharing of 3GC-R *E. coli* and limited evidence for recent sharing of 3GC-R *E. coli* plasmids between humans and dairy farms

The ability of pMOO-32 to readily transfer into human urinary *E. coli* ST1193 (**Table 2**) and be maintained in the absence of antimicrobial pressure indicates the zoonotic potential of this plasmid. We next aimed to see if there was evidence of recent sharing of 3GC-R isolates or plasmids, including pMOO-32, between dairy farms and humans living in the same geographical region as the farms. To do this, we compared WGS data from farm isolates described above with data from human urinary *E. coli* collected in parallel within the same 50 x 50 km geographical region

(20). Since 10 dairy farms under study here lie outside the 50 x 50 km region (18),

isolates from these farms were excluded.

Core genome phylogenetic analysis of 324 sequenced (112 dairy farm and 212

human) *E. coli* isolates carrying acquired 3GC-R genes collected within the target

region (**Figure 3**) revealed only four STs including examples of both farm and human

isolates. In no case was the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) difference

between any pair of human and farm isolate core genomes suggestive of recent

transmission. ST10 was the closest (≥205 SNPs different between human and cattle

isolates; **Figure 3** insert); the others were ST540 (929 SNPs different), ST58 (≥1388

SNPs different) and ST69 (≥831 SNPs different). In contrast, there was clear

evidence of recent farm-to-farm transmission of isolates from multiple STs (e.g.

ST10 and ST69 where, in both cases, there was a 3 SNP minimum distance

between pairs of isolates representing two farms) (**Figure 3**). AmpC hyper-producing

E. coli isolates from these same farms showed a similar pattern: no evidence of

strain sharing between dairy farms and humans but strong evidence for recent farm-

to-farm transmission (19). We conclude therefore that if humans encounter 3GC-R

isolates from farms, such isolates do not readily go on to colonise them and/or cause

UTI.

We next considered transmission of plasmid-mediated 3GC-R between farm and

human *E. coli* isolates (i.e. those that that have caused UTI). We found that 37/107

bla_{CTX-M}-positive *E. coli* isolates from farm samples within our 50 x 50 km study

region carried bla_{CTX-M} variants also seen amongst 189 bla_{CTX-M}-positive urinary *E.*

coli cultured from people living in the same region during the same time period (20).

None of the human isolates carried $bla_{CTX-M-32}$ or any plasmid related to pMOO-32.

By filtering sequenced isolates by their bla_{CTX-M} variants and plasmid replicon types,

plasmids that shared high degrees of sequence identity in farm and human isolates

were identified. One IncI1-ST3 plasmid, found in an ST345 farm isolate, harboured

$bla_{CTX-M-1}$. Mapping of sequencing reads showed that this plasmid exhibited 100%

coverage and 97.5% sequence identity to an unpublished ~106 kb IncI1-ST3

plasmid (pTC_N40607; GenBank Accession No. CP007651) found in *E. coli*

obtained from meat/cattle isolates in the USA. Six human urinary *E. coli* isolates

representing STs 23, 127, 131, 141 and 2015 harboured $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ on a plasmid that

exhibited 99.4-100% coverage and 96.4-98.7% identity when sequencing reads were

mapped to pTC_N40607.

Another plasmid type - again obtained from a single farm isolate, in this case of

ST58 - exhibited 100% coverage and 98.5% identity by read mapping to a published

IncK plasmid, pCT (GenBank Accession No. NC_014477). pCT is ~94 kb and

harbours $bla_{CTX-M-14}$. pCT-like plasmids have been reported in both human and

veterinary *E. coli* isolates across three continents (12, 23). Amongst human urinary

E. coli isolates found in this study, two also carried pCT-like plasmids. Both isolates

were the pandemic clone ST131, and their pCT-like plasmids exhibited 96.4 or

97.2% identity and 100% coverage to pCT.

In terms of plasmids encoding pAmpC genes, we identified a bla_{CMY-2} encoding

plasmid, p96 (GenBank Accession no. CP023370). This is a ~96 kb IncI1 plasmid

derived from an *E. coli* that caused urinary tract infections in dogs in Scotland (24).

Mapping analyses showed that one out of seven bla_{CMY-2}-carrying human urinary

isolates (ST 80) showed 100% coverage and 99.9% identity to p96. An almost

identical plasmid was found in one farm isolate (ST1480) that showed 99.6%

coverage and 98.9% identity to p96. As with the two bla_{CTX-M} plasmids, this bla_{CMY-2}

encoding plasmid appears to be globally widespread. Blast analysis found bla_{CMY-2}

plasmids with >95% coverage and >98% identity to p96 in *E. coli* isolates from

humans in Taiwan (25) and chicken meat in the USA (GenBank Accession no.

CP048295).

Concluding remarks

Overall, this analysis finds no evidence of recent, direct sharing of *E. coli* between

farms and the local human population that have resulted in UTI. However, three farm

E. coli isolates carried a bla_{CTX-M} or bla_{CMY-2} plasmid almost identical to one of three

plasmids found in urinary *E. coli* in the local human population. However, these three

plasmids are known to be widely disseminated in humans and animals across

several continents. Furthermore, no human/farm plasmid pair shared 100% identity.

So, whilst there is some general evidence of shared circulating plasmids, as reported

in a number of recent studies (14,26,27), the overall level of overlap between UK

dairy farm and human 3GC-R *E. coli* identified in this study was very small and not

suggestive of any novel or recent zoonotic transmission events. In contrast, both

recent and sustained farm-to-farm transmission of 3GC-R *E. coli*, and particularly of

a newly identified epidemic plasmid pMOO-32 across many different *E. coli* STs and

dairy farms, was clearly seen. Identifying the vectors for this transmission will inform

interventions that might facilitate a more rapid reduction in 3GC-R *E. coli* on dairy

farms.

Experimental

Bacterial isolates, identification, and susceptibility testing

Details of farm sample collection and microbiological analysis has recently been

reported (18). In brief, samples of faecally contaminated sites were collected using

sterile overshoes on 53 dairy farms located in South West England between January

2017 and December 2018. Samples were plated onto TBX agar (Sigma-Aldrich,

Poole, UK) containing 16 mg.L^{-1} cephalexin. Up to 5 *E. coli* colonies per cefalexin

plate were re-plated onto TBX agar containing 2 mg.L^{-1} cefotaxime to confirm

resistance. Presence of acquired 3GC-R genes (bla_{CTX-M}, bla_{SHV}, bla_{CMY-2} and bla_{DHA-1}

$_1$) was confirmed by PCR. Disc susceptibility testing was performed and interpreted

according to EUCAST guidelines (28).

Transconjugations

Transconjugations were performed using rifampicin-resistant (Rif-R) *E. coli* DH5α

with both human and cattle *E. coli* isolates as the recipients (**Table 3**). Briefly, 1 mL

each of overnight broth cultures of donor and recipient cells were mixed in a 3:1 ratio

before centrifugation and resuspension in 50 µL of PBS. Five microlitre aliquots were

spotted onto LB agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) plates and incubated at 37°C for 6 h.

Growth was collected and resuspended in 100 µL of PBS before being plated on

MacConkey agar (Oxoid) plates containing either 32 mg.L^{-1} rifampicin (for Rif-R *E.*

coli DH5α) or 0.5 mg.L^{-1} ciprofloxacin (for strains HC4 and HG), and 2 mg.L^{-1}

cefotaxime. Transconjugant colonies were screened by PCR.

WGS and analyses and pMOO-32 PCR

Representative isolates were selected for WGS based on resistance phenotype, β-

lactamase gene carriage and farm of isolation, as defined previously (18). WGS was

performed by MicrobesNG (https://microbesng.uk/) on a HiSeq 2500 instrument (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) using 2x250 bp paired end reads. Reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic (29) and assembled into contigs using SPAdes 3.13.0 (30) (http://cab.spbu.ru/software/spades/). Resistance genes, plasmid replicon types and sequence types (according to the Achtman scheme [31]) were assigned using the ResFinder (32), PlasmidFinder (33), and MLST 2.0 on the Center for Genomic Epidemiology (http://www.genomicepidemiology.org/) platform. Enhanced genome sequencing (combining Illumina and MinION reads) was performed by MicrobesNG on one transconjugant and reads were assembled using Unicycler (34). Contigs were annotated using Prokka 1.2 (35).

Reads and assembled contigs were aligned to reference sequences using the progressive Mauve alignment software (36), CLC Genomics Workbench 12 (Qiagen, Manchester, UK), or BWA (37) and SAMtools (38), with variant positions being called using BCFtools (39). pMOO-32 was visualised using the CGView server (40) (http://stothard.afns.ualberta.ca/cgview_server/).

A multiplex PCR, targeting five size-distinguishable regions of pMOO-32, was designed to indicate the presence of pMOO-32-like plasmids (**Table 4**).

Plasmid stability assay

Three representative pMOO-32 PCR-positive isolates, obtained from different farms, and their transconjugant counterparts were subjected to 10 days of serial passaging on non-selective LB agar. After 10 days, colonies were screened for the presence of pMOO-32 by PCR.

Fitness cost assay

321 Fitness cost was assessed by a growth curve assay using M9 minimal medium

322 (Sigma-Aldrich). Rif-R *E. coli* DH5α and the pMOO-32 transconjugant strain were

323 grown with shaking at 37°C and OD_{600} measurements were taken at hourly intervals.

324 Assays were performed on three biological replicates.

Phylogenetic analysis

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis was carried out using the Bioconda

environment (41) on the Cloud Infrastructure for Microbial Bioinformatics (CLIMB)

(42). The reference sequence was *E. coli* ST131 isolate EC958 complete genome

(accession: HG941718). Sequences were first aligned to a closed reference

sequence and analysed for SNP differences, whilst omitting insertion and deletion

elements, using the Snippy alignment program. Alignment was then focused on

regions of the genome found across all isolates, the core genome, using the Snippy-

core program, thus eliminating the complicating factors of insertions and deletions

(https://github.com/tseemann/snippy). Aligned sequences were then used to

construct a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree using RAxML, utilising the

GTRCAT model of rate heterogeneity and the software's autoMR and rapid

bootstrap to find the best-scoring maximum likelihood tree and including tree branch

lengths, defined as the number of base substitutions per site compared (43,44).

Finally, phylogenetic trees were illustrated using the web-based Microreact program

(45).

Acknowledgements

Genome sequencing was provided by MicrobesNG (http://www.microbesng.uk). We

wish to thank all the farmers who participated in this study.

Funding

This work was funded by grant NE/N01961X/1 to M.B.A., K.K.R. and T.A.C. and

grant BB/T004592/1 to K.K.R and M.B.A. from the Antimicrobial Resistance Cross

Council Initiative supported by the seven United Kingdom research councils. WL is

supported by a scholarship from the Medical Research Foundation National PhD

Training Programme in Antimicrobial Resistance Research (MRF-145-0004-TPG-

AVISO)

Transparency declaration

The authors declare no conflict of interests. Farming businesses who permitted

access to collect the isolates studied here were not involved in the design of this

study or in data analysis and were not involved in drafting the manuscript for

publication.

Author Contributions

Conceived the Study: K.K.R., M.B.A.

Collection of Data: J.F., N.N., O.M., K.M., supervised by T.A.C., M.B.A.

Cleaning and Analysis of Data: J.F., O.M., W.L., H.S., V.C.G., supervised by K.K.R.,

M.B.A.

Initial Drafting of Manuscript: J.F., M.B.A.

Corrected and Approved Manuscript: All Authors

References

1.   Department of Health. ESBLs – A threat to human and animal health? Report

by the Joint Working Group of DARC and ARHAI. 2012.

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/21518

0/dh_132534.pdf.

2.   Bevan ER, Jones AM, Hawkey PM. 2017. Global epidemiology of CTX-M

beta-lactamases: temporal and geographical shifts in genotype. J Antimicrob

Chemother 72: 2145-2155.

3.   World Health Organisation. Critically important antimicrobials for human

medicine: Ranking of medically important antimicrobials for risk management of

antimicrobial resistance due to non-human use. 5th Revision 2016.

http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/255027/9789241512220-

eng.pdf;jsessionid=7E5054076A3F8ED22FA8C41A51687950?sequence=1.

4.   Carattoli A. 2009. Resistance plasmid families in Enterobacteriaceae.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:2227-2238.

5.   Gupta K, Bhadelia N. 2014. Management of urinary tract infections from

multidrug-resistant organisms. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 28:49-59.

6.   World Health Organisation. Antimicrobial Resistance. A manual for developing

nations action plans. Version 1; February 2016.

http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/204470/9789241549530_eng.pdf;jses

sionid=3B5EA17EC2A21038D896E2937CA7FAA9?sequence=1.

7.   Platell JL, Johnson JR, Cobbold RN, Trott DJ. 2011. Multidrug-resistant

extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* of sequence type ST131 in animals and

foods. Vet Microbiol. 153:99-108.

8.   Marcadé G, Deschamps C, Boyd A, Gautier V, Picard B, Branger C, Denamur

E, Arlet G. 2009. Replicon typing of plasmids in *Escherichia coli* producing extended-

spectrum beta-lactamases. J Antimicrob Chemother. 63:67-71.

9.   Lee MY, Ko KS, Kang CI, Chung DR, Peck KR, Song JH. 2011. High

prevalence of CTX-M-15-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates in Asian

countries: diverse clones and clonal dissemination. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 38:160-

163.

10.   de Been M, Lanza VF, de Toro M, Scharringa J, Dohmen W, Du Y, Hu J, Lei

Y, Li N, Tooming-Klunderud A, Heederik DJ, Fluit AC, Bonten MJ, Willems RJ, de la

Cruz F, van Schaik W. 2014. Dissemination of cephalosporin resistance genes

between *Escherichia coli* strains from farm animals and humans by specific plasmid

lineages. PLoS Genet. 10:e1004776.

11.   Lazarus B, Paterson DL, Mollinger JL, Rogers BA. 2015. Do human

extraintestinal *Escherichia coli* infections resistant to expanded-spectrum

cephalosporins originate from food-producing animals? A systematic review. Clin

Infect Dis. 60:439-452.

12.   Cottell JL, Webber MA, Coldham NG, Taylor DL, Cerdeño-Tárraga AM,

Hauser H, Thomson NR, Woodward MJ, Piddock LJ. 2011. Complete sequence and

molecular epidemiology of IncK epidemic plasmid encoding $bla_{CTX-M-14}$. Emerg Infect

Dis. 17:645-652..

13.   Robinson TP, Wertheim HF, Kakkar M, Kariuki S, Bu D, Price LB. 2016.

Animal production and antimicrobial resistance in the clinic. Lancet. 387:e1-3.

14.   Ludden C, Raven KE, Jamrozy D, Gouliouris T, Blane B, Coll F, de Goffau M,

Naydenova P, Horner C, Hernandez-Garcia J, Wood P, Hadjirin N, Radakovic M,

Brown NM, Holmes M, Parkhill J, Peacock SJ. 2019. One Health Genomic

Surveillance of *Escherichia coli* Demonstrates Distinct Lineages and Mobile Genetic

Elements in Isolates from Humans versus Livestock. mBio. 10:e02693-18.

15.   Higham LE, Deakin A, Tivey E, Porteus V, Ridgway S, Rayner AC. 2018. A

survey of dairy cow farmers in the United Kingdom: knowledge, attitudes and

practices surrounding antimicrobial use and resistance. Vet Rec. 183:746.

16.   Brunton LA, Duncan D, Coldham NG, Snow LC, Jones JR. 2012. A survey of

antimicrobial usage on dairy farms and waste milk feeding practices in England and

Wales. Vet Rec. 171:296.

17.   Veterinary Medicines Directorate. UK Veterinary Antibiotic Resistance and

Sales   Surveillance   Report   UK-VARSS   2017.

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attach

ment_data/file/750811/_1473963-v1-UK-VARSS_2017_Report_FINAL.pdf. 2017.

18.   Schubert H, Morley K, Puddy EF, Arbon R, Findlay J, Mounsey O, Gould VC,

Vass L, Evans M, Rees GM, Barrett DC, Turner KM, Cogan TA, Avison MB, Reyher

KK. 2020. Reduced antibacterial drug resistance and bla_{CTX-M} β-lactamase gene

carriage in cattle-associated *Escherichia coli* at low temperatures, at sites dominated by older animals and on pastureland: implications for surveillance. BioRxiv doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/778407. Appl Environ Microbiol (Submitted).

19.   Alzayn M, Findlay J, Schubert H, Mounsey O, Gould VC, Heesom, KJ, Turner KM, Barrett DC, Reyher KK, Avison MB. 2020. Characterisation of AmpC Hyper-Producing *Escherichia coli* from Humans and Dairy Farms Collected in Parallel in the Same Geographical Region. J Antimicrob Chemother (In Press) doi: 10.1093/jac/dkaa207.

20.   Findlay J, Gould VC, North P, Bowker KE, Williams MO, MacGowan AP, Avison MB. 2020. Characterization of Cefotaxime-Resistant Urinary *Escherichia coli* From Primary Care in South-West England 2017-18. J Antimicrob Chemother. 75:65-71.

21.   Cartelle M, del Mar Tomas M, Molina F, Moure R, Villanueva R, Bou G. 2004. High-level resistance to ceftazidime conferred by a novel enzyme, CTX-M-32, derived from CTX-M-1 through a single Asp240-Gly substitution. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 48:2308-2313.

22.   Johnson TJ, Elnekave E, Miller EA, Munoz-Aguayo J, Flores Figueroa C, Johnston B, Nielson DW, Logue CM, Johnson JR. 2018. Phylogenomic Analysis of Extraintestinal Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* Sequence Type 1193, an Emerging Multidrug-Resistant Clonal Group. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 63:e01913-18.

23.   Day MJ, Rodríguez I, van Essen-Zandbergen A, Dierikx C, Kadlec K, Schink AK, Wu G, Chattaway MA, DoNascimento V, Wain J, Helmuth R, Guerra B, Schwarz S, Threlfall J, Woodward MJ, Coldham N, Mevius D, Woodford N. 2016. Diversity of STs, plasmids and ESBL genes among *Escherichia coli* from humans, animals and

food in Germany, the Netherlands and the UK. J Antimicrob Chemother. 71:1178-1182.

24.   Wagner S, Lupolova N, Gally DL, Argyle SA. 2017. Convergence of Plasmid Architectures Drives Emergence of Multi-Drug Resistance in a Clonally Diverse *Escherichia coli* Population From a Veterinary Clinical Care Setting. Vet Microbiol 211:6-14.

25.   Lin YT, Pan YJ, Lin TL, Fung CP, Wang JT. 2015. Transfer of CMY-2 Cephalosporinase From *Escherichia coli* to Virulent *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Causing a Recurrent Liver Abscess. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:5000-5002.

26.   Pietsch M, Irrgang A, Roschanski N, Brenner Michael G, Hamprecht A, Rieber H, Käsbohrer A, Schwarz S, Rösler U, Kreienbrock L, Pfeifer Y, Fuchs S, Werner G; RESET Study Group. 2018. Whole genome analyses of CMY-2-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates from humans, animals and food in Germany. BMC Genomics. 19:601.

27.   Valcek A, Roer L, Overballe-Petersen S, Hansen F, Bortolaia V, Leekitcharoenphon P, Korsgaard HB, Seyfarth AM, Hendriksen RS, Hasman H, Hammerum AM. 2019. IncI1 ST3 and IncI1 ST7 plasmids from CTX-M-1-producing *Escherichia coli* obtained from patients with bloodstream infections are closely related to plasmids from *E. coli* of animal origin. J Antimicrob Chemother. 74:2171-2175.

28.   EUCAST. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 8.1.

http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/v_8.1_Breakpoint_Tables.pdf.

29.	Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for
Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics. 30:2114-2120.

30.	Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin
VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler
G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm
and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol. 19:455-477.

31.	Wirth T, Falush D, Lan R, Colles F, Mensa P, Wieler LH, Karch H, Reeves
PR, Maiden MC, Ochman H, Achtman M. 2006. Sex and virulence in *Escherichia*
coli: an evolutionary perspective. Mol Microbiol. 60:1136-1151

32.	Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, Vestergaard M, Rasmussen S, Lund O,
Aarestrup FM, Larsen MV. 2012. Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance
genes. J Antimicrob Chemother. 67:2640-2644.

33.	Carattoli A, Zankari E, García-Fernández A, Voldby Larsen M, Lund O, Villa L,
Møller Aarestrup F, Hasman H. 2014. In silico detection and typing of plasmids using
PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. Antimicrob Agents
Chemother. 58:3895-3903.

34.	Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE.2017. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial
genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. PLoS Comput Biol.
13:e1005595.

35.	Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation.
Bioinformatics. 30:2068-2069.

36.	Darling AE, Mau B, Perna NT. 2010. progressiveMauve: multiple genome
alignment with gene gain, loss and rearrangement. PLoS One. 5:e11147.

37.   Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-
Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics. 25:1754-1760.

38.   Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G,
Abecasis G, Durbin R. 2009. The sequence alignment/Map format and SAMtools.
Bioinformatics. 25:2978-2079.

39.   Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G,
Abecasis G, Durbin R. 2009. The sequence alignment/Map format and SAMtools.
Bioinformatics. 25:2978-2079.

40.   Grant JR, Stothard P. 2008. The CGView Server: a comparative genomics
tool for circular genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008 36:W181-4.

41.   Grüning B, Dale R, Sjödin A, Chapman BA, Rowe J, Tomkins-Tinch CH,
Valieris R, Köster J; Bioconda Team. 2018. Bioconda: sustainable and
comprehensive software distribution for the life sciences. Nat Methods. 15:475-476.

42.   Connor TR, Loman NJ, Thompson S, Smith A, Southgate J, Poplawski R, Bull
MJ, Richardson E, Ismail M, Thompson SE, Kitchen C, Guest M, Bakke M,
Sheppard SK, Pallen MJ. 2016. CLIMB (the Cloud Infrastructure for Microbial
Bioinformatics): an online resource for the medical microbiology community. Microb
Genom. 2:e000086.

43.   Stamatakis A, Ludwig T, Meier H. 2005. RAxML-III: a fast program for
maximum likelihood-based inference of large phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics.
21:456-463.

44.   Stamatakis A. 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic
analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics. 22:2688-2690.

45.   Argimón S, Abudahab K, Goater RJE, Fedosejev A, Bhai J, Glasner C, Feil EJ,

Holden MTG, Yeats CA, Grundmann H, Spratt BG, Aanensen DM. 2016. Microreact:

visualizing and sharing data for genomic epidemiology and phylogeography. Microb Genom.

2:e000093.

Abundance of acquired 3GC-R mechanisms identified by WGS and number of STs/farms involved														
Total isolates/ farms	$bla_{CTX-M-32}$	STs/ farms	$bla_{CTX-M-1}$	STs/ farms	$bla_{CTX-M-14}$	STs/ farms	$bla_{CTX-M-15}$	STs/ farms	$bla_{CTX-M-214}$	STs/ farms	bla_{DHA-1}	STs/ farms	bla_{CMY-2}	STs/ farms
138/42	79	27/25	16[a]	8/6	18[a]	6/9	16	5/10	3	2/3	1	1/1	6	3/3

Table 1. Characteristics of 3GC-R 138 isolates subjected WGS.

[a]One isolate harboured both $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ and $bla_{CTX-M-14}$.

	Zone Diameters (mm)													
Antimicrobial Agent	*E. coli* DK	S/I/R	*E. coli* DH5α	S/I/R	*E. coli* DH5α TR	S/I/R	*E. coli* HG	S/I/R	*E. coli* HG TR	S/I/R	*E. coli* HC4	S/I/R	*E. coli* HC4 TR	S/I/R
AMP	<6	R	30	S	<6	R	<6	R	<6	R	20	S	<6	R
CTX	10	R	45	S	18	I	35	S	10	R	34	S	13	R
CAZ	20	I	45	S	30	S	34	S	20	I	32	S	23	S
FEP	19	R	45	S	28	S	28	S	18	R	35	S	24	I
ETP	30	S	45	S	44	S	34	S	33	S	36	S	36	S
ATM	15	R	45	S	24	I	34	S	15	R	35	S	19	R
STR[a]	<6	R	25	S	10	R	<6	R	<6	R	13	R	<6	R
TOB	17	S	28	S	26	S	<6	R	<6	R	18	S	19	S
NEO[a]	8	R	20	S	12	R	<6	R	<6	R	14	I	8	R
TET[a]	<6	R	36	S	<6	R	<6	R	<6	R	30	S	<6	R

Table 2. Disc susceptibility testing of *E. coli* DK and pMOO-32 transconjugants of various *E. coli* recipient strains.

AMP, ampicillin; CTX, cefotaxime; CAZ, ceftazidime; FEP, cefepime; ETP, ertapenem; ATM, aztreonam; STR, streptomycin; TOB, tobramycin; NEO, neomycin; TET, tetracycline; TR, pMOO-32 transconjugant.

[a]Streptomycin and neomycin sensitivities were determined using tobramycin EUCAST interpretation guidelines, and tetracycline according to guidelines for *Yersinia enterocolitica*.

Isolate	Source/Host	ST	Resistance Genes	Use
DK	Cattle	155	*strA*, *strB*, *aph(6)-Ic*, *aph(3')-IIa*, *tet(B)*, *bla*$_{CTX-M-32}$	Donor
HC4	Human	1193	*aadA5*, *dfrA17*, *mdf(A)*, *sul1*	Recipient
HG	Cattle	88	*aph(6)-Id*, *ant(2'')-Ia*, *aph(3')-Ia*, *aadA24*, *aph(3'')-Ib*, *sul1*, *sul2*, *tet(A)*, *tet(B)*, *bla*$_{TEM-1}$, *bla*$_{OXA-1}$, *catA1*, *floR*	Recipient

Table 3. Characteristics of *E. coli* strains used in transconjugation experiments.

Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Product Size (bp)	Target
aph(3')-lla_F	TGGCTACCCGTGATATTGCT	642	*aph(3')-lla*/*aph(6)-lc* junction
aph(6)-lc_R	CTGGCGGACGGGAAGTATC		
HI2A_F	AGCCTTTCTCACGGTAGCAT	526	HI2 *repA*
HI2A_R	TTCAATTGTCGGTGAGCGTC		
TraI_F	CGGGAAAAGCTGCACTCAAT	396	*traI*
TraI_R	AAGACTTTGTGAGCTTGGCG		
TetB_F	TTCAGCGCAATTGATAGGCC	285	*tetB*
TetB_R	ATCCCACCACCAGCCAATAA		
CTX-M-32_F	TTAGGAAGTGTGCCGCTGTA	180	*bla*CTX-M-32
CTX-M-32_R	CACGGCCATCACTTTACTGG		

Table 4. Primers used for the pMOO-32 multiplex PCR.

Figure 1. Plasmid pMOO-32 created using CGView (40).

Figure 2. The genetic environment of $bla_{CTX-M-32}$ in pMOO-32 and other IncHI2 positive, $bla_{CTX-M-32}$-harbouring isolates.

Figure 3. Phylogenetic analysis of *E. coli* from dairy farms and human UTI collected in parallel in a 50 x 50 km region.

Human isolates are noted in orange; cattle isolates are noted in blue. The reference ST131 isolate is noted in black. Certain key STs are highlighted, particularly STs with representatives from human and cattle isolates: ST21 (ST540), ST69, ST58 and ST10. The insert shows a more detailed analysis of ST10 isolates which represents the closest relationship between a human and a cattle isolate: 205 SNPs different across the core genome.