*FUS* gene is dual-coding with both proteins united in molecular

hallmarks of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis

Marie A. Brunet[1, 2,*], Jean-Francois Jacques[1,2], Sonya Nassari[3], Giulia E. Tyzack[4,5], Philip

McGoldrick[6], Lorne Zinman[7], Steve Jean[3], Janice Robertson[6], Rickie Patani[4,5], Xavier Roucou[1, 2,*]

[1] Department of Biochemistry, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada;

[2] PROTEO, Quebec Network for Research on Protein Function, Structure, and Engineering;

[3] Anatomy and Cell Biology Department, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada;

[4] The Francis Crick Institute, 1 Midland Road, London, UK;

[5] Department of Neuromuscular Diseases, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, Queen

Square, London, UK;

[6] Tanz Centre for Research in Neurodegenerative Diseases, University of Toronto, Toronto,

Canada;

[7] Division of Neurology, Department of Medicine, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, University

of Toronto, Toronto, Canada.

[*]Corresponding authors:

Xavier Roucou, Department of Biochemistry, Université de Sherbrooke, 3201 Jean Mignault,

Sherbrooke, Québec J1E 4K8, Canada, Tel. (819) 821-8000x72240; E-Mail:

xavier.roucou@usherbrooke.ca

Marie A. Brunet, Department of Biochemistry, Université de Sherbrooke, 3201 Jean Mignault,

Sherbrooke,    Québec    J1E    4K8,    Canada,    Tel.    (819)    821-8000x72189;    E-Mail:

marie.brunet@usherbrooke.ca

**ABSTRACT:**

Novel functional coding sequences (altORFs) are camouflaged within annotated ones (CDS) in a

different reading frame. We discovered an altORF nested in the FUS CDS encoding a conserved

protein, altFUS. We thus demonstrate the dual-coding nature of the Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis

(ALS)-associated *FUS* gene. AltFUS is endogenously expressed in human tissues, notably in the

motor cortex and motor neurons of healthy controls and ALS patients. AltFUS inhibits autophagy,

a pathological hallmark presently and incorrectly attributed to the FUS protein. AltFUS is pivotal

in two other pathological hallmarks: loss of mitochondrial membrane potential and accumulation

of FUS/TDP-43 cytoplasmic aggregates. Suppression of altFUS expression in a *FUS*-ALS *Drosophila*

model protects against neurodegeneration. Thus, wild-type altFUS is essential for ALS-like

phenotypes arising from mutated FUS. Some mutations found in ALS patients are overlooked

because of their synonymous effect on the FUS protein, yet we showed they exert a deleterious

effect via their missense consequence on the overlapping altFUS protein. These findings suggest

that both proteins, FUS and altFUS, are involved in the aetiology and pathological hallmarks of

ALS.

**MAIN**

Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) is a fatal motor neuron degenerative disease[1–3] characterized

by a progressive loss of motor neurons in the brain, brainstem and spinal cord[1]. Most cases are

sporadic (sALS), although up to 10 % are familial (fALS)[2]. The first gene associated with ALS (*SOD1*)

was described in 1993[4]. Since then, over 25 genes have been associated with fALS, sALS or both,

with the four most common being *C9ORF72*, *SOD1*, *FUS*, and *TARDBP* [2,5]. Notably, mutations in

*FUS* are linked to both fALS and sALS, and present some of the earliest and more rapidly

progressive forms of the disease[6–8]. *FUS* is also involved in other neurodegenerative diseases, such

as frontotemporal dementia (FUS-FTLD), all characterized by FUS cytoplasmic inclusions[9].

FUS is a nuclear RNA-binding protein, with a C-terminal nuclear localization signal (NLS)[9,10]. The

protein is involved in RNA processing, DNA repair and cellular proliferation, although its functions

are not precisely elucidated[9]. Most of the mutations associated with neurodegenerative diseases

alter FUS NLS[11–13]. More recently, mutations in *FUS* 3'UTR were described in ALS patients and

linked to an increased level of *FUS* mRNA and protein[14–16]. Surprisingly, over-expression of wild-

type FUS provokes an aggressive ALS phenotype in mice and fruit flies, in accordance with findings

in yeast and mammalian cells[17–21]. The mechanism of the wild-type or ALS-linked mutated FUS

toxicity remains unclear[3,9,22].

With currently non-annotated proteins being increasingly reported[23–26], we hypothesized that the

toxicity resulting from wild-type FUS over-expression may come from another, unseen, actor[26].

These novel proteins are coded by alternative open reading frames (altORFs) that are located

within "non-coding" RNAs (ncRNA), within the 5' or 3' "untranslated" regions (UTR) of mRNAs, or

overlapping a known coding sequence (CDS) within a different frame of an mRNA[25–27].

Serendipitous discoveries and ribosome profiling have recently highlighted the distribution of

altORFs throughout the human genome, and the consequences of their absence from current

databases[26]. For example, mass spectrometry-based proteomics has become the gold standard

for protein identification and has been extensively used in ALS studies[28,29]. However, if a protein

is not annotated, it is not included in the protein database (e.g. UniProtKB), and thus cannot be

detected by mass spectrometry. An estimated 50 % of mass spectra from a proteomics

experiment are unmatched at the end of the analysis[26,30].

Genome annotations must avoid spurious ORF annotations. Thus unless functional

characterization has been published, they rely upon 2 arbitrary criteria: a minimum length of 100

codons and a single ORF per transcript. Several groups developed tools to challenge such criteria,

such as the sORFs repository[31] and the OpenProt database[27], which offer a data-driven broader

view of eukaryotic proteomes. The OpenProt database is based on a polycistronic model of ORF

annotation[27] and reports any ORF longer than 30 codons within any frame of an mRNA or ncRNA.

It contains currently annotated proteins (RefProts), novel Isoforms, and novel alternative proteins

(altProts). Here, we used the OpenProt database (www.openprot.org) to ask whether *FUS* may

encode additional proteins that could explain the toxicity of the wild-type protein over-

expression, and bring novel insights concerning *FUS*-linked ALS pathogenicity[26,27].

**ALTFUS IS A NOVEL 170 AMINO ACID PROTEIN, ENDOGENOUSLY EXPRESSED IN CELL LINES AND TISSUES**

We began by querying OpenProt[27] predictions for *FUS* canonical mRNA (*ENST00000254108* or

*NM_004960*), which led to 8 predicted altORFs, either overlapping the coding sequence (CDS) or

within the 3'UTR (**Extended Data Table 1**). Amongst these, IP_243680 or altFUS, a 170 codon

altORF, presents convincing experimental evidence of expression (OpenProt v1.3). AltFUS

overlaps the FUS CDS in an open reading frame shifted by one nucleotide (**Fig. 1a**, **Extended Data**

**Fig. 1a**). *FUS* is a complex gene with 13 annotated transcripts resulting from alternative splicing.

Based on the GTEx expression data in brain tissues and nerves, five transcripts are more abundant

and represent 85 % of all transcripts (**Extended Data Fig. 1b**). Three of them (*FUS-206*, *FUS-211*,

*FUS-203*) are non-coding according to Ensembl, while only two (*FUS-211*, *FUS-203*) are non-coding

according to OpenProt (**Fig. 1b**). Ensembl[32] annotates two transcripts as coding (*FUS-201* and *FUS-*

*202*), either for the 526 amino acid FUS protein or its 525 amino acid isoform. From OpenProt

prediction, these two transcripts also encode altFUS (IP_243680), or its 169 amino acid isoform

(IP_243691) respectively. Moreover, the second most abundant transcript in brain tissues and

nerves (*FUS-206*), representing about 20 % of all transcripts, is non-coding according to Ensembl,

but OpenProt predicts it contains the altFUS CDS (**Fig. 1b**, **Extended Data Fig. 1c**). Thus, of the five

most abundant transcripts in brain tissues and nerves, two code for both FUS and altFUS proteins,

one codes for altFUS alone, and the remaining two are non-coding.

Published RIBO-seq data in Human, retrieved from the Gwips portal[33], revealed an accumulation

of initiating ribosomes around the altFUS initiating methionine, in association with an increase in

the density of elongating ribosomes over altFUS CDS (**Fig. 1c**). These results suggest that altFUS is

translated. Similar results were observed in Mouse (**Extended Data Fig. 1d**). Additionally, we

retrieved nucleotide conservation scores (PhyloP) for *FUS* transcripts over 100 vertebrates. PhyloP

scores range from -10 (highly variable) to 10 (highly conserved). PhyloP scores over the FUS CDS

are under a constraint at the altFUS CDS locus (average score of 2.6 instead of 4 elsewhere on the

FUS CDS), which is consistent with a selection pressure across 2 overlapping frames (**Extended**

**Data Fig. 1e**)[34]. We then retrieved altFUS protein sequences over 84 species and observed a strong

protein conservation across mammals, and primates notably (75 to 99.4 % of sequence identity -

**Extended Data Table 2**, **Extended Data Fig. 1f and Extended Data Alignment File**). Thus, AltFUS

is well conserved, with domains showing little to no sequence variations (**Fig. 1d**).

Based on the OpenProt database, AltFUS was identified in multiple proteomics datasets, with up

to 7 confident unique peptides, representing a 41 % sequence coverage (**Fig. 1e, Extended Data**

**Table 1**). To validate altFUS protein expression, we developed a custom antibody targeting two

unique altFUS peptides (**Extended Data Fig. 2a**) and tested it using three constructs: FUS, altFUS

and FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$. The latter is a monocistronic *FUS* version, where all altFUS methionines are mutated

for threonines in a manner synonymous for FUS (**Extended Data Fig. 2b-d**). Thus, the FUS protein

sequence is unchanged, but the altFUS sequence does not contain any methionines. Transfection

of HEK293 cells revealed expression of both proteins, FUS and altFUS, from the FUS nucleotide

sequence (**Fig. 1f**). As expected, altFUS expression was lost with the monocistronic FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$

construct. HEK293 cells transfected with a siRNA targeting *FUS* mRNA showed a significant

knockdown of both proteins, FUS and altFUS; whereas altFUS endogenous expression was visible

in scrambled control siRNA and mock transfected cells (**Fig. 1g**). These results validate the

specificity of the custom antibody and demonstrate altFUS endogenous expression in HEK293

cultured cells.

AltFUS endogenous expression was visible in control human tissues, HEK293 and HeLa cell lines

(**Fig. 1h**). Since FUS gene is associated with ALS, which predominantly affects the motor cortex in

addition to the ventral spinal cord, we retrieved motor cortex lysates from 3 ALS patients with a

C9orf72 mutation (most common) and 3 sporadic ALS patients. AltFUS endogenous expression

was detected in all cases (**Fig. 1i**). Furthermore, as ALS is a motor neuron disease, we derived

functional ventral spinal motor neurons from induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs)[35,36] from

healthy controls and ALS patients carrying valosin-containing protein mutations (3 lines per

group). AltFUS endogenous expression was detected in all samples (**Fig. 1j**). We noticed that brain

(**Fig. 1h**) and motor cortex lysates (**Fig. 1i**), as well as iPSCs-derived motor neurons (**Fig. 1j**), from

healthy controls and ALS patients, presented a higher band detected with the custom altFUS

antibody. This band is not present in cultured cell lines or other tissues. It could come from a non-

specific signal or a post-translational modification on altFUS that is specific to the motor cortex

and spinal cord motor neurons (**Fig. 1h-j**). We could also observe a lower band in the line 2 of

controls motor neurons, which may correspond to a degradation product or an initiation at a

downstream methionine in altFUS sequence. This band has never been observed in other samples

so far. We demonstrate that the *FUS* gene encodes two proteins, FUS and altFUS, both

endogenously expressed in the motor cortex and spinal cord motor neurons, to the two

archetypal regions predominantly affected in ALS.

**ALTFUS IS A MITOCHONDRIAL PROTEIN, INVOLVED IN ALS-ASSOCIATED LOSS OF MITOCHONDRIAL MEMBRANE**

**POTENTIAL**

FLAG-tagged altFUS (altFUS-FLAG) displayed a strong co-localization with a common mitochondrial

marker, TOMM20 (**Fig. 2a**). Additionally, mitochondrial extracts showed an enrichment in altFUS-

FLAG (**Fig. 2b**). Cellular fractionation of cells over-expressing untagged altFUS further validated

altFUS mitochondrial localization (**Fig. 2c**). The endogenous altFUS protein was found in the

mitochondrial fraction, although it displayed a weak cytoplasmic signal as well (**Fig. 2d**), consistent

with the immunofluorescence data (**Fig. 2a**). Furthermore, cells over-expressing altFUS showed

an altered mitochondrial network, with a significant increase in fragmented mitochondria

(globular) compared to mock cells that displayed more tubular structures (**Fig. 2e, f**, **Extended**

**Data Fig. 3a**).

Mitochondrial fragmentation is observed in *FUS*-linked ALS models[37–39], and here we reproduced

a similar effect when over-expressing altFUS alone. Thus, we wondered whether altFUS played a

role in other mitochondrial dysfunctions observed in ALS. To this end, we reproduced an ALS-

linked FUS mutant: FUS-R495x[38,39]. This mutant leads to a premature stop codon before FUS NLS

and is linked to severe fALS and sALS cases[9]. In this construct, altFUS is still present and not

affected by the mutation. Similarly to FUS[(Ø)], we also generated the monocistronic construct

FUS[(Ø)]-R495x, which contains synonymous mutations for FUS-R495x (**Extended Data Fig. 3b)**, but

prevents altFUS expression (**Fig. 1f**). V5-FUS[(Ø-FLAG)] and V5-FUS[(Ø-FLAG)]-R495x did not express altFUS,

but only the FUS protein, wild-type or ALS-linked mutant R495x respectively (**Extended Data Fig.**

**3c**). We first investigated the effect of altFUS on the mitochondrial membrane potential using the

potential sensitive dye TMRE (**Fig. 2g, h, Extended Data Fig. 3d**). As previously described[38], over-

expression of bicistronic FUS or FUS-R495x led to a decrease in mitochondrial membrane

potential. The mitochondrial membrane potential remained normal when over-expressing

monocistronic FUS[(Ø)] or FUS[(Ø)]-R495x, underlining the role of altFUS. However, over-expression of

altFUS alone did not alter the mitochondrial membrane potential, which suggests both proteins

cooperate for this ALS pathological hallmark.

To further evaluate the possible implication of altFUS in ALS, we investigated its protein

interactors. Using stimulated emission depletion microscopy (STED), we observed that altFUS

localized in puncta following a cristae-like pattern inside the mitochondria, delimited using an

outer-membrane mitochondrial marker, TOMM20 (**Fig. 2i, j**). We then used size-exclusion

chromatography on mitochondrial extracts to isolate altFUS-FLAG macromolecular complexes (**Fig.**

**2k**). Following a FLAG affinity purification and mass spectrometry analysis, we identified 16

interacting proteins (**Fig. 2l**, **Extended Data Table 3**). A gene enrichment analysis to the Human

mitochondrial proteome identified three significantly enriched biological processes: autophagy-

related pathways, mitochondrial metabolism and cellular response to stress (**Fig. 2m**). Disruptions

within these are pathological hallmarks of ALS[3,21,39,40].

**ALTFUS INHIBITS AUTOPHAGY AND DRIVES THE ACCUMULATION OF FUS- AND TDP43-POSITIVE CYTOPLASMIC**

**AGGREGATES**

Following on these results, we hypothesized that the inhibition of autophagy observed with ALS-

linked FUS mutants may instead be attributed to altFUS. We used the mCherry-GFP-LC3 reporter

to track the autophagic flux by confocal microscopy (**Extended Data Fig. 4a**). Under basal

conditions, cells displayed red and yellow foci as expected (**Fig. 3a**). An accumulation of yellow

foci was observed when cells were treated with bafilomycin, an inhibitor of autophagy. Similarly,

cells over-expressing altFUS displayed a significant accumulation of yellow foci (**Fig. 3a**). Our

results were consistent with previously published data[11] as cells transfected with FUS or FUS-

R495x displayed a decreased autophagic flux (**Fig. 3a, Extended Data Fig. 4b**). This accumulation

of yellow foci was absent in cells that express monocistronic FUS constructs, thus lacking altFUS

expression (FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$ or FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x). We used bafilomycin followed by LC3 probing to further

validate the impact of altFUS on autophagy (**Fig. 3b, Extended Data Fig. 4c**). Similarly, an inhibition

of autophagy was observed only in cells over-expressing altFUS. Furthermore, in cells over-

expressing monocistronic FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x, the inhibition of autophagy could be restored by co-

transfecting altFUS (**Fig. 3b**). These results establish altFUS, rather than FUS, as the protein

responsible of the inhibition of autophagy.

Furthermore, altFUS interactome analysis suggested a role in the cellular stress response, which

is known to be altered in ALS with a TDP-43 cytoplasmic accumulation in 98 % of patients[41,42]. In

203 *FUS*-linked ALS and some sALS cases, FUS cytoplasmic aggregates or mislocalization are also

204 observed[43–45]. We demonstrated that cells over-expressing FUS-R495x displayed cytoplasmic

205 aggregates that were positive for both FUS-R495x and TDP-43 (**Fig. 3c**). In cells over-expressing

206 the monocistronic FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x construct, thus lacking altFUS expression, FUS-R495x displayed a

207 more diffuse cytoplasmic localization, and TDP-43 remained in the nucleus (**Fig. 3c**). FUS

208 cytoplasmic aggregates were significantly more numerous and larger when altFUS was co-

209 expressed (**Fig. 3d, e**). Accumulation of FUS-R495x and TDP-43 in cytoplasmic aggregates could

210 be reconstituted by co-transfecting altFUS and the monocistronic FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x construct (**Fig. 3c**).

211 These observations were repeated across all 7 ALS-linked FUS mutations tested (**Extended Data**

212 **Fig. 4d-f**). The cytoplasmic aggregates were also TIAR-positive as observed with ALS-linked FUS

213 mutants in previous work[41] (**Extended Data Fig. 5a, b-d**). These results suggest a strong interplay

214 between FUS and altFUS in ALS and that altFUS plays a pivotal role in the assembly of cytoplasmic

215 FUS/TDP-43 aggregates.

216 **A**LT**FUS** **PROTECTS AGAINST NEURODEGENERATION IN** **FUS-**ASSOCIATED **D**ROSOPHILA **MODELS**

217 In order to investigate the role of altFUS in ALS, we generated *Drosophila* models expressing either

218 the bicistronic, FUS and FUS-R495x constructs, or the monocistronic, FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$ and FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x,

219 constructs. We used the Elav-GeneSwitch-GAL4 Driver strain, as previously described[46], as it

220 allows for an inducible over-expression in motor neurons and avoids lethality at the larval stage

221 from FUS over-expression in the central nervous system[46,47]. First, we generated flies containing

222 the sequences for UASt-altFUS, UASt-FUS, UASt-FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$, UASt-FUS-R495x or UASt-FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x.

223 These flies were then crossed with the Elav-GeneSwitch-GAL4 driver strain (**Fig. 4a**). UASt-

224 mCherry flies were used as controls. Selected F1 individuals were then divided into 2 groups with

225 equal proportions of males/females. The first group received standard food, while the other

226 received RU-486 treated food. The treatment induces a conformational change in the Elav-

GeneSwitch driver, which allows activation of the UAS promoter and thus expression of the target

protein. We retrieved flies at selected time points to validate protein expression in the RU-486

treated population through time, while the controls showed no expression (**Fig. 4b**).

The motor neuron degeneration linked to ALS provokes a progressive locomotion loss measurable

with a well-described climbing assay[48]. The control populations did not show any significant

locomotion loss at day 1, 10 nor 20 (**Fig. 4c-e**); similarly to the RU-486 treated control group

(mCherry transgenic flies – **Fig. 4c**). AltFUS flies did not show any significant locomotion loss

through time (**Fig. 4c**). This result is consistent with the *in cellulo* data showing altFUS alone is not

sufficient to provoke pathological hallmarks. As previously shown with this model[19], the

bicistronic FUS flies, which express both FUS and altFUS proteins, displayed a significant

locomotion loss (**Fig. 4d**). Bicistronic ALS-linked FUS-R495x flies showed an even greater motor

neuron degeneration through time compared to FUS (**Fig. 4e**). Monocistronic FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$ (**Fig. 4d**) and

FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x (**Fig. 4e**) flies, which do not express altFUS, displayed both a delay in the onset of

motor neuron degeneration and a reduced drop in climbing success at 20 days post-induction

(40% vs. 60% for FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$, 30% vs. 70% for FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x). These results in *Drosophila* confirm a role

for altFUS in ALS-like neurodegeneration *in vivo*, are consistent with our *in cellulo* observations

and highlight the pathological cooperation between FUS and altFUS.

**ALS-LINKED MUTATIONS, SYNONYMOUS FOR FUS, ALTER AltFUS AND LEAD TO TDP-43 CYTOPLASMIC**

**AGGREGATES**

As of today, over 50 mutations in the *FUS* gene have been associated with ALS[9]. However, most

of these locate at the carboxyl end of the protein and as such have no effect on altFUS. We

wondered whether mutations altering altFUS may have been overlooked as non-consequential in

the FUS reading frame. We retrieved FUS synonymous mutations found in ALS patients, with an

allelic frequency below 0.01 %, from previous studies and the ALS Variant Server

(http://als.umassmed.edu/ - **Extended Data Table 4**). The retrieved mutations clustered on the

altFUS locus, with 60 % of FUS synonymous mutations found in sALS patients and 50 % of FUS

synonymous mutations found in fALS patients, which is significantly higher than expected by

chance (34 %) (**Extended Data Table 4**). We selected 4 mutations for further analysis based on

the residue conservation: altFUS-P31L, altFUS-A38V, altFUS-A46V and altFUS-R64P. We generated

them in GFP-FUS constructs: GFP-FUS$^{(P31L-FLAG)}$-S44=; GFP-FUS$^{(A38V-FLAG)}$-G51=; GFP-FUS$^{(A46V-FLAG)}$-G59=

and GFP-FUS$^{(R64P-FLAG)}$-S77= (**Fig. 5a**). All altFUS mutants still localized to the mitochondria (**Fig. 5b**).

To investigate whether these mutations may provoke an ALS phenotype, we quantified the

number of cells presenting TDP-43 aggregates. All 4 altFUS mutants showed a 1.8 to 2.4 fold

increase compared to wild-type altFUS (**Fig 5c, d**). This result indicates that altFUS mutations

potentiate TDP-43 cytoplasmic aggregation, a pathological hallmark in 98 % of ALS cases. Hence,

some *FUS* mutations, synonymous for the FUS protein, exert a deleterious effect through their

missense consequence on the altFUS protein.

**CONCLUSIONS: FUS AND ALTFUS, ENCODED BY THE SAME GENE, COOPERATE IN ALS-LINKED TOXIC**

**DYSFUNCTIONS**

Despite considerable advances in the field, current genome annotations still uphold arbitrary

assumptions, such as the monocistronic nature of eukaryotic genes[26]. Here, we demonstrate *FUS*

is a bicistronic gene. We discovered FUS CDS contains a second protein-coding sequence in a

shifted frame overlapping its prion-like intrinsically disordered domain, regions known to host

dual-coding events[49,50]. This novel protein, named altFUS, is not an isoform but an entirely new

sequence of 170 amino acids. AltFUS is endogenously expressed in human tissues and cultured

cell lines, as demonstrated by ribosome profiling, mass spectrometry and with a custom antibody.

AltFUS is notably expressed in the motor cortex and iPSCs-derived motor neurons of healthy

controls and ALS patients. Because altFUS is embedded within the FUS CDS, this discovery is of

crucial importance to the field. Indeed, over-expression studies on *FUS* actually implicate two

proteins: FUS and altFUS. Similarly FUS knockdown or knockout studies actually inhibit expression

of both proteins[51]. Moreover, previous work has shown that gene editing techniques targeting a

specific CDS do not necessarily result in knockout of the gene in case of dual-coding gene[52]. Our

discovery thus suggests that *FUS* edited cells or models, notably targeting its last exons[53–55], might

only impair FUS protein expression but not altFUS, thus not resulting in a true *FUS* knockout. Our

work provides an unprecedented and much needed reconsidered view of *FUS* coding potential to

better understand its role, notably in the context of ALS and other neurodegenerative diseases.

Following the discovery of altFUS, we developed tools in order to differentiate the specific roles

and phenotypes of FUS and altFUS. Our study demonstrates that altFUS is necessary for three ALS

pathological hallmarks: mitochondrial fragmentation and loss of membrane potential, inhibition

of autophagy, and cytoplasmic aggregation of FUS/TDP-43. The inhibition of autophagy was

observed when over-expressing altFUS alone, absent when over-expressing FUS alone (wild-type

or ALS-associated R495x mutant) and reconstituted when co-expressing FUS and altFUS. This

demonstrates that the inhibition of autophagy, previously described in FUS-linked ALS[11,21], has

been incorrectly associated to the FUS protein. AltFUS inhibits autophagy. AltFUS is necessary but

not sufficient for the mitochondrial membrane potential loss and cytoplasmic aggregation of FUS

and TDP-43. Although TDP-43 aggregates are not commonly seen with FUS mutations[45], this study

lends support for altFUS conspiring with FUS and TDP-43 to lead to this molecular hallmark of ALS.

Our data suggests the stoichiometry between FUS and altFUS may be important for the

development of cytoplasmic aggregates in ALS. Both proteins are required to observe the

phenotype, highlighting a functional alliance between FUS and altFUS. This pathological synergy

was also observed in the *Drosophila* model.

298 The physiological function of altFUS is still unclear, although our work provides evidence for its

299 role in mitochondrial dynamics and the cellular response to stress. One mechanism put forward

300 in ALS is that the disease originates from a sub-optimal resolution of cellular stresses, which can

301 come from environmental sources or mutated proteins[40,56]. We have shown that altFUS, not FUS,

302 inhibits autophagy, most likely via its interaction partners (**Extended Data Table 3**). Since an

303 inhibition of autophagy inhibits the dissociation of stress granules[40], we suggest altFUS

304 potentiates stress granule accumulation under stress conditions, and that FUS phase separation

305 properties then lead to the formation of solid and toxic aggregates[57]. Further work is needed to

306 fully understand the role of altFUS in physiological and pathological conditions, yet our study

307 shows this novel protein plays a crucial role in *FUS*-linked gain-of-toxic dysfunctions observed in

308 ALS. Hence, *FUS* should be recognized and annotated as a polycistronic gene.

309 Recent studies have addressed the toxicity resulting from over-expression of wild-type FUS.

310 Bogaert and colleagues used FUS domain truncation mutants to investigate wild-type FUS toxicity.

311 A FUS mutant lacking its N-terminal intrinsically disordered domain, thus lacking altFUS, displayed

312 reduced toxicity. This study corroborates our findings that the absence of altFUS reduces the

313 toxicity. Furthermore, Bogaert and colleagues concluded that FUS N-terminal synergizes with the

314 C-terminal domain to mediate toxicity in *Drosophila*[47]. Here, we showed that altFUS synergizes

315 with FUS to mediate ALS pathological features in cultured cells and toxicity in *Drosophila*. Despite

316 different laboratories and different techniques, our data are in agreement with theirs. Yet, we

317 point to an alternative (not necessarily mutually exclusive) explanation whereby altFUS, not the

318 FUS N-terminal domain, synergizes for toxicity. Additionally, this discussion shows that not being

319 aware of overlapping CDSs, especially in deletion studies, precludes alternative interpretations.

320 Current genome annotations guide the interpretation of data and the design of studies, however

321 they also affect the way we screen for pathological mutations[26]. Most of the ALS-linked *FUS*

mutations affect the carboxyl end of the protein, as shown with the example used in this study,

the R495x mutation[13]. These mutations do not alter the altFUS protein, which is embedded at the

beginning of the FUS CDS and span on exons 3 to 6. How could altFUS be important for the disease

if most pathological mutations do not alter it? To answer this question, one needs to grasp how

much genome annotations shape today's research. For example, when screening for pathological

mutations, those that are synonymous for FUS are discarded early in analyses as insignificant[58].

Yet, a synonymous mutation for FUS may not be for altFUS. Our work shows that FUS synonymous

mutations found in patients cluster on altFUS genomic locus. We tested 4 of these mutations,

synonymous for the FUS protein and missense for the altFUS protein, and we found that each of

them potentiated TDP-43 cytoplasmic aggregation, a pathological hallmark of ALS[42]. Thus, *FUS* is

a double-edge genetic source of ALS, with both of its proteins involved in the aetiology of the

disease.

This work demonstrates the importance of genome annotation in our quest to better understand

physiological and pathological mechanisms and serves as a proof-of-concept to acknowledge the

polycistronic coding potential of eukaryotic genes[26]. For example, taking the 16 genes associated

with ALS as reviewed in Taylor and colleagues, 56 % (9) of them display at least one currently non-

annotated protein that has been detected by mass spectrometry (44 %) or ribosome profiling (31

%) according to the OpenProt database (**Extended Data Table 5**)[3,27]. Several studies have

highlighted the biological function of currently non-annotated proteins, and intriguingly a

functional cooperation between proteins from the same gene is often observed[23,26,52,59–61].

Furthermore, a quantitative proteomic study looked at the relative abundance of two proteins

from the bicistronic *MIEF1* gene, MiD51 and altMiD51. The major product of *MIEF1* gene is the

novel protein, altMiD51 (now annotated as L0R8F8 in UniProtKB), as shown in cell lines and

healthy colon tissue[52]. AltFUS is the first example of an alternative protein linked to a pathology

but is unlikely to be the only one.

**METHODS**

**FUS constructs**

FUS and altFUS sequences were obtained from Bio Basic Gene Synthesis service. All FUS constructs

were subcloned into pcDNA3.1- (Invitrogen) using Gibson assembly (New England Biolabs,

E26115). FUS and altFUS wild-type sequences correspond to that of the Human FUS canonical

transcript (*ENST00000254108* or *NM_004960*). FUS and altFUS proteins were tagged with V5

(GKPIPNPLLGLDST) and 2 FLAG (DYKDDDDKDYKDDDDK) respectively. FUS was tagged on the N-

terminal, altFUS was tagged on the C-terminal. For immunofluorescence assays, N-terminal GFP-

tagged FUS was also cloned into pcDNA3.1- by Gibson assembly. The necessary gBlocks were

purchased from IDT. The monocistronic constructs FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$ and FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x were generated by

mutating all altFUS methionines (ATG) to threonines (ACG). These mutations are synonymous in

the FUS CDS (TAT > TAC, both coding for tyrosine). The altFUS mutated sequence was obtained

from Bio Basic Gene Synthesis service, and then subcloned in FUS sequences in pcDNA3.1- using

Gibson assembly. The bicistronic constructs are named as follows throughout the article: FUS,

FUS-R495x, or FUS$^{(FLAG)}$ and FUS$^{(FLAG)}$-R495x when altFUS is FLAG-tagged in the +2 reading frame. The

monocistronic constructs are named as follows throughout the article: FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$ or FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x to

indicate altFUS absence.

**Cell culture, transfections, western blots and immunofluorescence**

HEK293 and HeLa cells cultures tested negative for mycoplasma contamination (ATCC 30–1012K).

Transfections, immunofluorescence, confocal analyses and western blots were carried out as

previously described[60]. For FUS knock-down, 150 000 HEK293 cells in a 6-well plate were

transfected with 25 nM FUS SMARTpool: siGENOME siRNA (Dharmacon, Canada, L-009497-00-

0005) or ON-TARGET plus Nontargeting pool siRNAs (Dharmacon, D-001810-10-05) with

DharmaFECT one transfection reagent (Dharmacon, T-2001–02) according to the manufacturer's

protocol. Cell media was changed every 24 hrs and cells were processed 72 hrs after transfection.

For immunofluorescence, primary antibodies were diluted as follows: anti-Flag (Sigma, F1804) 1/

1000, anti-TOMM20 (Abcam, ab186734) 1/500, anti-V5 (Cell Signalling Technologies, #13202)

1/1000, anti-TDP-43 (ProteinTech, 10782-2-AP) 1/500, and anti-TIAR (Cell Signalling Technologies,

#8611) 1/1600. For western blots, primary antibodies were diluted as follows: anti-Flag (Sigma,

F1804) 1/8000, anti-V5 (Sigma, V8012) 1/8000, anti-actin (Sigma, A5441) 1/40000, anti-FUS

(Abcam, ab84078) 1/500, anti-altFUS (Abcam, custom antibody) 1/3000, anti-LC3 (Cell Signalling

Technologies, #2775) 1/1000, anti-Hsp70 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA3-028) 1/1000, anti-

Tubulin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, a11126) 1/2000 and anti-VDAC (Abcam, ab15895) 1/10000. The

altFUS antibody was generated by injection two rabbits, each with 2 unique altFUS peptide. The

purified antibody from rabbit 2 was used in this study at a 1/2000 dilution. Mitochondrial

morphology was evaluated using the microP tool[62]. A minimum of 100 cells per replicate were

counted across 3 independent experiments (n = 3, i.e. minimum 300 cells for each experimental

condition). Colocalization analyses were performed using the JACoP plugin (Just Another

Colocalization Plugin) implemented in Image J software, as previously described[23]. When

specified, images obtained by confocal microscopy on the Leica TCS SP8 STED 3X were

deconvolved using the Huygens software (Scientific Volume Imaging B.V., Hilversum,

Netherlands). The software uses a signal reassignment algorithm for deconvolution, identical

deconvolution parameters were applied to all images. The default parameters were used,

including the Classic Maximum Likelihood Estimation (CMLE) algorithm, signal to noise ratio, and

background estimation radius. The maximum iteration number was set at 30. Human tissue

lysates for altFUS endogenous expression were purchased from Zyagen Laboratories (San Diego,

California, USA).

**Rɪʙo-seq data and conservation analyses**

Global aggregate reads for initiating ribosomes and elongating ribosomes footprints across all

available studies were downloaded from the Gwips portal (https://gwips.ucc.ie/), for *Homo*

*sapiens* and for *Mus musculus*.  For altFUS protein conservation analysis, all FUS mRNAs with at

least EST evidence were retrieved across all available species from NCBI RefSeq. We performed

an *in silico* 3-frame translation and retrieved the best matching protein sequence per species that

displayed a minimum of 20 % sequence identity with the Human altFUS sequence over 25 % of

Human altFUS length. AltFUS homologous sequences were found in 83 species, and we manually

added that of *Drosophila melanogaster* that displayed a 37.5 % sequence identity over 19 % of

the Human altFUS length. All retrieved altFUS sequences were then aligned using Clustalω with

default parameters.

**Human induced pluripotent stem cell differentiation into motor neurons**

Directed differentiation to human iPSC-motor neurons was performed as previously reported[35].

Briefly, iPSCs were maintained on Geltrex (Life Technologies) with Essential 8 Medium media (Life

Technologies), and passaged using EDTA (Life Technologies, 0.5mM). All cell cultures were

maintained at 37°C and 5% carbon dioxide. For motor neuron differentiation, iPSCs were

differentiated to neuroepithelium by plating to 100% confluency in chemically defined medium

consisting of DMEM/F12 Glutamax, Neurobasal, L-Glutamine, N2 supplement, non-essential

amino acids, B27 supplement, β-mercaptoethanol (Life Technologies) and insulin (Sigma).

Treatment with the following small molecules from day 0-7: 1μM Dorsomorphin (Millipore), 2μM

SB431542 (Tocris Bioscience), and 3.3μM CHIR99021 (Miltenyi Biotec). At day 8, cells patterned

for 7 days with 0.5μM retinoic acid and 1μM Purmorphamine. At day 14 spinal cord motor neuron

precursors were treated with 0.1μM Purmorphamine for a further 4 days before being terminally

differentiated for >10 days in 0.1 μM Compound E (Enzo Life Sciences) to promote cell cycle exit.

Throughout the neural conversion and patterning phase (D0-18) the neuroepithelial layer was

enzymatically dissociated twice (at D4-5 and D10-12) using dispase (GIBCO, 1 mg ml-1).

**Preparation of tissue lysates of the motor cortex of ALS patients**

Approximately 100mg of motor cortex from 4 sporadic ALS and 4 C9orf72-ALS cases was lysed in

10x RIPA (50mM Tris HCl pH7.8, 150mM NaCl, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% NP40;

supplemented with protease inhibitors and EDTA) volume using TissueLyzer equipment (Qiagen).

Lysates were incubated on ice 20 minutes followed by centrifugation at 20,000xg for 20 minutes

at 4°C. Supernatant was taken as 'RIPA fraction' and pellets resuspended in RIPA and SDS (final

concentration of 2%). 3 sporadic ALS and 3 C9orf72-ALS samples were subsequently used as they

were sufficiently concentrated to load 100 ug of proteins onto SDS-page gels.

**Mitochondrial extracts and cellular fractionation**

Mitochondrial extracts were prepared as previously described[52]. Briefly, HEK293 cells grown up

to 80 % confluence, were rinsed twice with PBS and gathered using a cell scraper. Cells were

pelleted by centrifugation at 500 x g for 10 mins at 4 °C. Supernatant was discarded and cells

suspended in mitochondrial buffer (210 mM mannitol, 70 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM

HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.5, 0.5 mM PMSF and EDTA-free protease inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific)).

Cells were disrupted by 15 consecutive passages through a 25G1 0.5 x 25 needle syringe on ice,

followed by a 3 min centrifugation at 2 000 x g at 4 °C. Supernatant was collected and the pellet

suspended in mitochondrial buffer. The cell disruption was repeated four times and all retrieved

supernatants containing mitochondria were again passed through syringe needle in mitochondrial

buffer and cleared by centrifugation for 3 mins at 2000 × g at 4 °C. Supernatants were pooled and

centrifuged for 10 mins at 13 000 x g at 4 °C to pellet mitochondria. The pellet was suspended in

200 µL of mitochondrial buffer until further processing. Cellular fractionation was performed

using the Cell Fractionation Kit (#9038S, Cell Signaling Technology). Briefly, HEK293 cells were

grown up to 80 % confluence, washed twice with PBS and gathered using a cell scraper. Cells were

spun at 350 x g for 5 mins at 4 °C and 2.5 x $10^6$ cells were suspended in 500 µL of ice-cold PBS. An

aliquot of 100 µL was spun at 350 x g for 5 mins at 4 °C and resuspended in SDS buffer (4 % SDS,

Tris-HCl 100 mM pH 7.6) and kept as WCL (Whole Cell Lysate). The rest of the collected cells

(remaining 400 µL) were spun at 500 x g for 5 mins at 4 °C. Supernatant was discarded and pellet

resuspended in 500 µL of CIB (Cytoplasmic Isolation Buffer) from the kit, vortexed for 5 secs and

incubated on ice for 5 mins. After centrifugation at 500 x g for 5 mins at 4 °C, the supernatant was

collected as the cytosplasmic fraction. The pellet was resuspended in 500 µL of MIB buffer

(Membrane Isolation Buffer) from the kit, vortexed for 15 secs and incubated on ice for 5 mins.

After centrifugation at 8 000 x g for 5 mins at 4 °C, the supernatant was collected as the membrane

and organelles fraction. To each 100 µL of fraction was added 60 µL of loading buffer 1 x (from

ColdSpring Laemmli sample buffer: 50 mM Tris pH 6.8, 2 % SDS, 10 % Glycerol, 5 % β-

mercaptoethanol) before processing for western blot.

**Mitochondrial membrane potential measurements**

Mitochondrial membrane potential was measured by flow cytometry in HEK293 cells using TMRE

(Tetramethylrhodamine, Ethyl ester, Abcam, ab113852). FCCP was used as a positive control to

validate each independent experiment. Cells were grown up to 80 % confluence and washed twice

with PBS. The cells were then incubated for 5 mins at 37 °C, 5 % $CO_2$ with PBS/A (0.2 % BSA in PBS)

solution (experimental) or 3 µM FCCP in PBS/A solution (positive control). Then, 100 nM of TMRE

was added and cells were incubated 15 mins at 37 °C, 5 % $CO_2$. After incubation, cells were

trypsinized and centrifuged at 800 x g for 5 mins at 4 °C and resuspended in 500 µL of PBS and

kept on ice. Cells were immediately analysed by flow cytometry. A gate for living cells was set, as

well as a second gate to filter out cell doublets. TMRE fluorescence (PE-A) was recorded over a

minimum of 50 000 gated cells for each experimental condition. The mean TMRE fluorescence

intensity was measured over 3 independent experiments for each experimental condition.

**Stimulated Emission Depletion (STED) microscopy**

Samples were prepared as described above for confocal microscopy. A Leica TCS SP8 STED 3X was

used with a 100x objective lens and immersion oil for dual-color STED images. Images were

obtained by sequential scanning of a given area. The combination of Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo

Fisher Scientific, A-11017) and Alexa Fluor 568 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A-21069) dyes was

chosen for STED imaging. Alexa Fluor 488 dye was excited with a white light laser (WLL) at 488 nm

and was depleted using the 660 nm STED laser. Alexa Fluor 568 dye was excited with a WLL at

561 nm and was depleted using the 660 nm STED laser. The STED laser (660 nm) was applied at

80 % of maximum power.

**Fast Protein Liquid Chromatography (FPLC) and affinity-purification mass spectrometry (AP-MS)**

Mitochondrial extracts of HEK293 cells were centrifuged at 13 000 x g for 10 mins at 4 °C to

remove the supernatant and were resuspended in FPLC buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 150

mM NaCl, 1 % Triton X-100, pH 7.5, filtered with 0.2 μm filters) at 2 mg/mL for a total of 4 mg of

mitochondrial proteins. Samples were incubated on ice for 15 mins and then centrifuged at 10 000

x g for 5 mins at 4 °C and the supernatant was loaded in the injection syringe without disrupting

the pellet. The FPLC was performed on a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 pg column (GE Healthcare,

Chicago, USA) at 4 °C. The column was pre-equilibrated with the FPLC buffer for up to 0.2 CV

(column volume) and the sample was applied at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min with a pressure alarm

set at 0.5 MPa. The elution was performed over 72 fractions of 1.5 mL for a maximum of 1.1 CV.

For altFUS probing by western blot, proteins were precipitated from 150 μL of each 4 fractions in

technical duplicates. First, 600 μL of methanol was added to each tube and mixed gently, before

adding 150 μL of chloroform. Tubes were gently inverted 10 times before adding 450 μL of milliQ

$H_2O$ and vortexing briefly. After centrifugation at 12 000 x g for 3 mins, the upper phase was

discarded, and 400 µL of methanol was added. Tubes are centrifuged at 16 000 x g for 4 mins and

the pellet was resuspended in loading buffer. For interactome analysis by mass spectrometry,

fractions of interest (8 to 14) were pooled together and incubated at 4 °C overnight with magnetic

FLAG beads (Sigma, M8823) pre-conditioned with FPLC buffer. The beads were then washed 3

times with 5 mL of FPLC buffer, and 5 times with 5 mL of 20 mM $NH_4HCO_3$ (ABC). Proteins were

eluted and reduced from the beads using 10 mM DTT (15mins at 55 °C), and then treated with 20

mM IAA (1 hour at room temperature in the dark). Proteins were digested overnight by adding 1

µg of trypsin (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin) in 100 µL ABC at 37 °C overnight. Digestion was

quenched using 1 % formic acid and supernatant was collected. Beads were washed once with

acetonitrile/water/formic acid (1/1/0.01 v/v) and pooled with supernatant. Peptides were dried

with a speedvac, desalted using a C18 Zip-Tip (Millipore Sigma, Etobicoke, Ontario, Canada) and

resuspended into 30 µl of 1% formic acid in water prior to MS analysis.

**Mass-spectrometry analysis**

Peptides were separated in a PepMap C18 nano column (75 µm × 50 cm, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

The setup used a 0–35% gradient (0–215 min) of 90% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid at a flow rate

of 200 nL/min followed by acetonitrile wash and column re-equilibration for a total gradient

duration of 4 h with a RSLC Ultimate 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dionex). Peptides were

sprayed using an EASYSpray source (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 2 kV coupled to a quadrupole-

Orbitrap (QExactive, Thermo Fisher Scientific) mass spectrometer. Full-MS spectra within a m/z

350–1600 mass range at 70,000 resolution were acquired with an automatic gain control (AGC)

target of 1e6 and a maximum accumulation time (maximum IT) of 20 ms. Fragmentation (MS/MS)

of the top ten ions detected in the Full-MS scan at 17,500 resolution, AGC target of 5e5, a

maximum IT of 60 ms with a fixed first mass of 50 within a 3 m/z isolation window at a normalized

collision energy (NCE) of 25. Dynamic exclusion was set to 40 s. Mass spectrometry RAW files were

searched with Andromeda search engine implemented in MaxQuant 1.5.5.1. The digestion mode

was set at Trypsin/P with a maximum of two missed cleavages per peptides. Oxidation of

methionine and acetylation of N-terminal were set as variable modifications, and

carbamidomethylation of cysteine was set as fixed modification. Precursor and fragment

tolerances were set at 4.5 and 20 ppm respectively. Files were searched using a target-decoy

approach against UniprotKB (*Homo sapiens* 03/2017 release) with the addition of altFUS

sequence for a total of 92,949 entries. The false discovery rate (FDR) was set at 1% for peptide-

spectrum-match, peptide and protein levels. Protein interactions were then scored using the

SAINT algorithm, with Mock cells as control and the magnetic FLAG beads in HEK293 cells

crapome[63]. Proteins with a SAINT score above 0.99 were considered, as well as those presenting

a SAINT score above 0.88 with a minimum of two unique peptides.

**Biological processes and cellular compartment enrichment analysis**

Proteins identified in altFUS interactome were screened for cellular compartment and biological

processes enrichment using Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment. Proteins were queried against the

whole Human Proteome for cellular compartment and against the Human mitochondrial

proteome (MitoCarta 2.0) for biological processes. The statistical analysis used a Fisher's Exact

test with a FDR set at 1 %.

**Autophagic flux measurements**

The mCherry-GFP-LC3 was used to evaluate the autophagic vesicles within HeLa cells by confocal

microscopy. Before fusion with the lysosome, the LC3 molecules on the autophagosome display

a yellow fluorescence (combined mCherry and GFP fluorescence). After fusion, the GFP

fluorescence is quenched by the lysosomal pH, and as such the LC3 molecules display a red signal

(mCherry alone). This allows a visual representation of the autophagic flux in a given cell. Cells

treated with 50 nM Bafilomycin for 4 hours were used as a positive control to validate each

independent experiment. Observations were made across 2 technical duplicates for each

biological condition, across 3 independent experiments (n=3). Alternatively, the autophagic flux

was also evaluated by LC3 probing before and after bafilomycin treatment (50 nM for 4 hours).

The quantification corresponds to the treated / untreated ratio of LC3-II abundance.

**Cytoplasmic aggregates measurements**

Images of HeLa cells were taken by confocal microscopy and then processed using the Image J 3D

Objects Counter plugin. FUS cytoplasmic aggregates were then quantified in number and size

($\mu m^2$) for each cell. A total of 100 cells across two technical replicates were taken for each

independent experiment (n=3, i.e. a minimum of 300 cells per biological conditions).

**Transgenic *Drosophila* and climbing assay**

The bicistronic constructs, FUS and FUS-R495x, and the monocistronic constructs, altFUS, FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$

and FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x, were subcloned in the pUASTattB expression vector for site specific insertion

into attP2 on chromosome 3. Transgenic flies were generated by Best Gene (Best Gene Inc.,

California, USA). The Elav-GeneSwitch-GAL4 driver (stock number: 43642, genotype: y[1] w[*];

P{w[+mC]=elav-Switch.O}GSG301) and the UAS-mCherry flies (stock number: 35787, genotype:

y[1] sc[*] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=UAS-mCherry.VALIUM10}attP2) was purchased from

Bloomington (Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center, Indiana, USA). All stocks were in a *w$^{1118}$*

background and were cultured on standard medium at 25°C or room temperature. Transgenic

flies were crossed with the Elav-GeneSwitch-GAL4 driver strain. The F1 was equally divided in two

groups with equal proportion of males and females: one group will feed on standard food

supplemented with ethanol (0.2 % - control flies), the other on standard food supplemented with

RU-486 at 10 μM diluted in ethanol (induced flies). The climbing assay was performed as

previously described[48]. Briefly, flies were transferred into an empty vial and tapped to the bottom.

After 18 s, the number of flies at the top of the tube were considered successful. The assay was

done at day 1, 10 and 20 post-induction, across 4 independent F1. Five flies were taken at day 1,

10 and 20 post-induction to validate expression of the proteins of interest.

**Statistical analyses and representation**

Unless otherwise stated, the statistical analysis carried was a two-way ANOVA with Tukey's

multiple comparison correction. The box plots represent the mean with the 5 to 95 % percentile.

The bar graphs represent the mean, and error bars correspond to the standard deviation. When

using parametric tests, normality of data distribution was verified beforehand using the Shapiro-

Wilk test.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The OpenProt database is available at www.openprot.org. The GTEx portal is available via

www.gtexportal.org. The Gwips portal is available at www.gwips.ucc.ie. The proteomics data are

available on the PRIDE repository with the accession PXD------ (**Fig 2l-m**). Any other relevant data

are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by CIHR grants MOP-137056 and MOP-136962, by an ALS Canada

Project Grant, and by a Canada Research Chair in Functional Proteomics and Discovery of Novel

Proteins to X.R. X.R and S.J are members of the Fonds de Recherche du Québec Santé (FRQS)-

supported Centre de Recherche du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke. P.M. is

supported by the ALS Double Play Christopher Chiu Postdoctoral Fellowship. We thank J. Ule, M-

J. Boucher and D. Hunting for helpful discussions. The autopsy program is supported by the James

Hunter and Family ALS Initiative. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) Project was supported

**CONTRIBUTIONS**

**REFERENCES**

1. Morgan, S. & Orrell, R. W. Pathogenesis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Br. Med. Bull.* **119**,

87–98 (2016).

2. Mathis, S., Goizet, C., Soulages, A., Vallat, J.-M. & Masson, G. L. Genetics of amyotrophic

lateral sclerosis: A review. *J. Neurol. Sci.* **399**, 217–226 (2019).

3. Taylor, J. P., Brown Jr, R. H. & Cleveland, D. W. Decoding ALS: from genes to mechanism.

*Nature* **539**, 197–206 (2016).

4. Rosen, D. R. *et al.* Mutations in Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase gene are associated with familial

amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Nature* **362**, 59–62 (1993).

5. Nguyen, H. P., Broeckhoven, C. V. & Zee, J. van der. ALS Genes in the Genomic Era and their

Implications for FTD. *Trends Genet.* **0**, (2018).

6. Zou, Z.-Y., Liu, M.-S., Li, X.-G. & Cui, L.-Y. Mutations in FUS are the most frequent genetic

cause in juvenile sporadic ALS patients of Chinese origin. *Amyotroph. Lateral Scler. Front.*

*Degener.* **17**, 249–252 (2016).

7. Millecamps, S. *et al.* Phenotype difference between ALS patients with expanded repeats in

C9ORF72 and patients with mutations in other ALS-related genes. *J. Med. Genet.* **49**, 258–263

(2012).

8. Corcia, P. *et al.* A novel mutation of the C-terminal amino acid of FUS (Y526C) strengthens

FUS gene as the most frequent genetic factor in aggressive juvenile ALS. *Amyotroph. Lateral*

*Scler. Front. Degener.* 1–4 (2017) doi:10.1080/21678421.2016.1265564.

9. Deng, H., Gao, K. & Jankovic, J. The role of FUS gene variants in neurodegenerative diseases.

*Nat. Rev. Neurol.* **10**, 337–348 (2014).

10.    Vance, C. *et al.* Mutations in FUS, an RNA Processing Protein, Cause Familial

Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Type 6. *Science* **323**, 1208–1211 (2009).

11.    Marrone, L. *et al.* FUS pathology in ALS is linked to alterations in multiple ALS-associated

proteins and rescued by drugs stimulating autophagy. *Acta Neuropathol. (Berl.)* (2019)

doi:10.1007/s00401-019-01998-x.

12.    Dormann, D. *et al.* ALS-associated fused in sarcoma (FUS) mutations disrupt Transportin-

mediated nuclear import. *EMBO J.* **29**, 2841–2857 (2010).

13.    Naumann, M. *et al.* Impaired DNA damage response signaling by FUS-NLS mutations

leads to neurodegeneration and FUS aggregate formation. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 335 (2018).

14.    Zou, Z.-Y. *et al.* Screening of the FUS gene in familial and sporadic amyotrophic lateral

sclerosis patients of Chinese origin. *Eur. J. Neurol.* **19**, 977–983 (2012).

15.    Dini Modigliani, S., Morlando, M., Errichelli, L., Sabatelli, M. & Bozzoni, I. An ALS-

associated mutation in the FUS 3'-UTR disrupts a microRNA–FUS regulatory circuitry. *Nat.*

*Commun.* **5**, 4335 (2014).

16.    Sabatelli, M. *et al.* Mutations in the 3' untranslated region of FUS causing FUS

overexpression are associated with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **22**, 4748–

4755 (2013).

17.    Ajmone-Cat, M. A. *et al.* Increased FUS levels in astrocytes leads to astrocyte and

microglia activation and neuronal death. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 4572 (2019).

18.    Ju, S. *et al.* A Yeast Model of FUS/TLS-Dependent Cytotoxicity. *PLoS Biol.* **9**, (2011).

19.    Chen, Y. *et al.* Expression of human FUS protein in Drosophila leads to progressive

neurodegeneration. *Protein Cell* **2**, 477–486 (2011).

20.    Miguel, L. *et al.* Accumulation of insoluble forms of FUS protein correlates with toxicity

in Drosophila. *Neurobiol. Aging* **33**, 1008.e1–15 (2012).

21.    Ling, S.-C. *et al.* Overriding FUS autoregulation in mice triggers gain-of-toxic dysfunctions

in RNA metabolism and autophagy-lysosome axis. *eLife* **8**, e40811 (2019).

22.  Nolan, M., Talbot, K. & Ansorge, O. Pathogenesis of FUS-associated ALS and FTD: insights

from rodent models. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* **4**, (2016).

23.  Samandi, S. *et al.* Deep transcriptome annotation enables the discovery and functional

characterization of cryptic small proteins. *eLife* **6**, e27860 (2017).

24.  Saghatelian, A. & Couso, J. P. Discovery and characterization of smORF-encoded

bioactive polypeptides. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **11**, 909–916 (2015).

25.  Delcourt, V., Staskevicius, A., Salzet, M., Fournier, I. & Roucou, X. Small Proteins Encoded

by Unannotated ORFs are Rising Stars of the Proteome, Confirming Shortcomings in Genome

Annotations and Current Vision of an mRNA. *Proteomics* (2017)

doi:10.1002/pmic.201700058.

26.  Brunet, M. A., Levesque, S. A., Hunting, D. J., Cohen, A. A. & Roucou, X. Recognition of

the polycistronic nature of human genes is critical to understanding the genotype-phenotype

relationship. *Genome Res.* (2018) doi:10.1101/gr.230938.117.

27.  Brunet, M. A. *et al.* OpenProt: a more comprehensive guide to explore eukaryotic coding

potential and proteomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D403–D410 (2019).

28.  Umoh, M. E. *et al.* A proteomic network approach across the ALS-FTD disease spectrum

resolves clinical phenotypes and genetic vulnerability in human brain. *EMBO Mol. Med.*

e201708202 (2017) doi:10.15252/emmm.201708202.

29.  Collins, M. A., An, J., Hood, B. L., Conrads, T. P. & Bowser, R. P. Label-Free LC–MS/MS

Proteomic Analysis of Cerebrospinal Fluid Identifies Protein/Pathway Alterations and

Candidate Biomarkers for Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *J. Proteome Res.* **14**, 4486–4501

(2015).

30. Chick, J. M. *et al.* A mass-tolerant database search identifies a large proportion of

unassigned spectra in shotgun proteomics as modified peptides. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33**, 743–

749 (2015).

31. Olexiouk, V., Van Criekinge, W. & Menschaert, G. An update on sORFs.org: a repository

of small ORFs identified by ribosome profiling. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, D497–D502 (2018).

32. Zerbino, D. R. *et al.* Ensembl 2018. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, D754–D761 (2018).

33. Michel, A. M., Kiniry, S. J., O'Connor, P. B. F., Mullan, J. P. & Baranov, P. V. GWIPS-viz:

2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, D823–D830 (2018).

34. Pavesi, A. Asymmetric evolution in viral overlapping genes is a source of selective

protein adaptation. *Virology* **532**, 39–47 (2019).

35. Hall, C. E. *et al.* Progressive Motor Neuron Pathology and the Role of Astrocytes in a

Human Stem Cell Model of VCP-Related ALS. *Cell Rep.* **19**, 1739–1749 (2017).

36. Luisier, R. *et al.* Intron retention and nuclear loss of SFPQ are molecular hallmarks of

ALS. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1–15 (2018).

37. Carrì, M. T., D'Ambrosi, N. & Cozzolino, M. Pathways to mitochondrial dysfunction in ALS

pathogenesis. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **483**, 1187–1193 (2017).

38. Deng, J. *et al.* FUS Interacts with HSP60 to Promote Mitochondrial Damage. *PLOS Genet.*

**11**, e1005357 (2015).

39. Nakaya, T. & Maragkakis, M. Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis associated FUS mutation

shortens mitochondria and induces neurotoxicity. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 15575 (2018).

40. Monahan, Z., Shewmaker, F. & Pandey, U. B. Stress granules at the intersection of

autophagy and ALS. *Brain Res.* **1649**, 189–200 (2016).

41. Aulas, A. & Vande Velde, C. Alterations in stress granule dynamics driven by TDP-43 and

FUS: a link to pathological inclusions in ALS? *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **9**, (2015).

42.   Hergesheimer, R. C. *et al.* The debated toxic role of aggregated TDP-43 in amyotrophic

lateral sclerosis: a resolution in sight? *Brain* **142**, 1176–1194 (2019).

43.   Deng, H.-X. *et al.* FUS-immunoreactive inclusions are a common feature in sporadic and

non-SOD1 familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Ann. Neurol.* **67**, 739–748 (2010).

44.   Tyzack, G. E. *et al.* Widespread FUS mislocalization is a molecular hallmark of

amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain J. Neurol.* (2019) doi:10.1093/brain/awz217.

45.   Farrawell, N. E. *et al.* Distinct partitioning of ALS associated TDP-43, FUS and SOD1

mutants into cellular inclusions. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 13416 (2015).

46.   Lanson, N. A. *et al.* A Drosophila model of FUS-related neurodegeneration reveals

genetic interaction between FUS and TDP-43. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **20**, 2510–2523 (2011).

47.   Bogaert, E. *et al.* Molecular Dissection of FUS Points at Synergistic Effect of Low-

Complexity Domains in Toxicity. *Cell Rep.* **24**, 529-537.e4 (2018).

48.   Chambers, R. P. *et al.* Nicotine increases lifespan and rescues olfactory and motor

deficits in a Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease. *Behav. Brain Res.* **253**, 95–102 (2013).

49.   Kovacs, E., Tompa, P., Liliom, K. & Kalmar, L. Dual coding in alternative reading frames

correlates with intrinsic protein disorder. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 5429–5434 (2010).

50.   Pancsa, R. & Tompa, P. Coding Regions of Intrinsic Disorder Accommodate Parallel

Functions. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **41**, 898–906 (2016).

51.   Scekic-Zahirovic, J. *et al.* Toxic gain of function from mutant FUS protein is crucial to

trigger cell autonomous motor neuron loss. *EMBO J.* **35**, 1077–1097 (2016).

52.   Delcourt, V. *et al.* The Protein Coded by a Short Open Reading Frame, Not by the

Annotated Coding Sequence, Is the Main Gene Product of the Dual-Coding Gene MIEF1. *Mol.*

*Cell. Proteomics MCP* **17**, 2402–2411 (2018).

53.     Kino, Y. *et al.* FUS/TLS deficiency causes behavioral and pathological abnormalities

distinct from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* **3**, (2015).

54.     Hicks, G. G. *et al.* Fus deficiency in mice results in defective B-lymphocyte development

and activation, high levels of chromosomal instability and perinatal death. *Nat. Genet.* **24**,

175–179 (2000).

55.     An, H. *et al.* ALS-linked FUS mutations confer loss and gain of function in the nucleus by

promoting excessive formation of dysfunctional paraspeckles. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* **7**,

7 (2019).

56.     Al-Chalabi, A. & Hardiman, O. The epidemiology of ALS: a conspiracy of genes,

environment and time. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* **9**, nrneurol.2013.203 (2013).

57.     Qamar, S. *et al.* FUS Phase Separation Is Modulated by a Molecular Chaperone and

Methylation of Arginine Cation-π Interactions. *Cell* **173**, 720-734.e15 (2018).

58.     Richards, S. *et al.* Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a

joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics

and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet. Med. Off. J. Am. Coll. Med. Genet.* **17**,

405–424 (2015).

59.     Bergeron, D. *et al.* An out-of-frame overlapping reading frame in the ataxin-1 coding

sequence encodes a novel ataxin-1 interacting protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 21824–21835

(2013).

60.     Vanderperre, B. *et al.* An overlapping reading frame in the PRNP gene encodes a novel

polypeptide distinct from the prion protein. *FASEB J.* **25**, 2373–2386 (2011).

61.     Rathore, A. *et al.* MIEF1 Microprotein Regulates Mitochondrial Translation. *Biochemistry*

**57**, 5564–5575 (2018).

62.    Peng, J.-Y. *et al.* Automatic Morphological Subtyping Reveals New Roles of Caspases in

Mitochondrial Dynamics. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* **7**, e1002212 (2011).

63.    Choi, H. *et al.* SAINT: Probabilistic Scoring of Affinity Purification - Mass Spectrometry

Data. *Nat. Methods* **8**, 70–73 (2011).

**Figure 1: *FUS* is a bicistronic gene**

**a**, FUS gene bicistronic annotation, with the canonical FUS CDS (in blue, +1 frame) and altFUS CDS
(in green, +2 frame) represented on the FUS canonical transcript (*ENST00000254108* or
*NM_004960*). Sequence length proportions are respected, the scale bar corresponds to 300
nucleotides. **b**, *FUS* gene model, inspired from the GTEx portal, where exon combinations for the
five most abundant transcripts in the brain are represented below (sorted by decreasing
abundance). FUS CDS is coloured in blue, altFUS CDS is coloured in green, transcripts are identified
with Ensembl names (FUS-202: ENST00000380244; FUS-206: ENST00000487509; FUS-211:
ENST00000568901; FUS-203: ENST00000568901; FUS-201: ENST00000254108). **c**, RIBO-seq data
over the *FUS* gene (from the Gwips portal) with initiating ribosomes indicated in blue bars and
elongating ribosome footprints indicated with the blue curve. **d**, AltFUS protein conservation
across Human (*Homo sapiens*), Chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes*), Rat (*Rattus norvegicus*), Mouse
(*Mus musculus*) and Dog (*Canis lupus familiaris*). Residues are coloured based on their degree of
conservation from white (none) to red (in all species). **e**, Mass spectrometry-based evidence for
altFUS protein with detected peptides indicated in grey and numbered according to **Extended
Data Table 1**. The peptide corresponding to the displayed MS/MS spectra below is indicated in
red (from re-analysis of PMID 26186194 by OpenProt). **f**, Expression of both FUS and altFUS from
the *FUS* construct in HEK293 cells by western blot, and expression of FUS with the monocistronic
construct FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$ (representative image from n=3). The western blot shows a low exposition
(endogenous FUS and altFUS non visible). **g**, AltFUS endogenous expression in HEK293 cells using
a siRNA targeting *FUS* mRNA as negative control and over-expression of altFUS CDS as positive
control (representative image from n=3). **h**, AltFUS (arrow) endogenous expression in Human
tissues (spinal cord, brain, muscles and kidney – 100 ug), in HEK293 and HeLa cultured cells (100
ug) and using the over-expression of altFUS CDS in HEK293 cells (50 ug) as positive control
(representative image from n=3). The asterisk indicates a protein species detected with the anti-
altFUS antibody specifically in the brain. **i, j,** AltFUS (arrow) endogenous expression in the motor
cortex of three C9orf72 and three sporadic ALS patients (**i**) or in iPSC-derived motor neurons of
three lines from controls and from ALS patients (**j**) (representative image from n=3). The asterisk
indicates a protein species detected with the anti-altFUS antibody specifically in the brain.

**Figure 2: AltFUS is a mitochondrial protein involved in ALS-associated mitochondrial dysfunction**

**a**, Images by confocal microscopy of altFUS-FLAG (green) in HeLa cells, using TOMM20 (red) as a mitochondrial marker (representative image from n=3, Pearson's correlation r=0.92). The white scale bar corresponds to 10µm. **b**, AltFUS-FLAG enrichment in mitochondrial extracts from transfected HEK293 cells (representative image from n=3) with Hsp70 used as a mitochondrial marker (WCL = Whole Cell Lysate, Mito = Mitochondria). **c**, AltFUS mitochondrial expression in transfected HEK293 cells following fractionation (representative image from n=3), with Tubulin as a cytosolic fraction marker and VDAC as a mitochondrial fraction marker (WCL = Whole Cell Lysate, Cyto = Cytosol, Mito = mitochondria). **d**, Endogenous altFUS mitochondrial expression in HEK293 cells following fractionation (representative image from n=3), with Tubulin as a cytosolic fraction marker and VDAC as a mitochondrial fraction marker, and with si*FUS* transfected cells as a negative control and altFUS transfected cells as a positive control for altFUS expression (WCL = Whole Cell Lysate, Cyto = Cytosol, Mito = mitochondria). **e**, Representative images of the mitochondrial networks (TOMM20 in red) in mock and altFUS-FLAG (green) transfected HeLa cells (n=3). The white scale bar corresponds to 10µm. **f**, Proportion of tubules and globules in the mitochondrial network of mock and altFUS-FLAG transfected HeLa cells over a minimum of 100 cells across a technical duplicate per independent experiments (n=3, i.e. a minimum of 300 cells per biological conditions, p value < 0.001, Mann-Whitney U test). **g**, Representative traces of TMRE fluorescence measured by flow cytometry in mock cells and cells overexpressing the bicistronic FUS-R495x or the monocistronic FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x constructs (n=4, minimum of 50 000 live cells per independent replicates). Mean fluorescence intensity of mock cells treated with the decoupling agent, FCCP, is indicated with a grey dotted line. **h**, Mean TMRE fluorescence intensity measures in mock cells, cells over-expressing altFUS, FUS, FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$, FUS-R495x or FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x, or mock cells treated with FCCP across 3 independent experiments (n=4). Statistical significance is relative to the mock condition unless otherwise indicated (*** = p value < 0.001, **** = p value < 0.0001, ns = non-significant). **i**, Representative image by stimulated emission depletion microscopy (STED) of altFUS-FLAG (green) localization within mitochondria (TOMM20 marker in red). The white bar across the mitochondria represents the region of interest quantified in panel **j**. The white scale bar corresponds to 3µm. **j**, Relative fluorescence histogram for altFUS-FLAG and TOMM20 across the region of interest highlighted by a white line on panel **i**. **k**, AltFUS expression in fractions following size exclusion chromatography on HEK293 cells mitochondrial extracts (representative image from n=2). **l**, Predicted localisations of proteins identified in altFUS-FLAG affinity purification-mass spectrometry (AP-MS) from fractions 8 to 14 after size exclusion chromatography (**k**) on HEK293 cells mitochondrial extracts (n=2, confident identifications with a SAINT score > 0.85 across 2 independent experiments). **m**, Enrichment of biological processes in altFUS interacting proteins compared to the Human mitochondrial proteome (n=2, Fisher's Exact test with FDR < 0.1 %).

**Figure 3: AltFUS is necessary for ALS-associated inhibition of autophagy and accumulation of**
**FUS/TDP-43 cytoplasmic aggregates**

**a**, Images by confocal microscopy of mCherry-GFP-LC3 signal in HeLa cells across biological
conditions: untreated mock, bafilomycin treated mock, altFUS, FUS, FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$, FUS-R495x and FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-
R495x (representative images of n=3). The white scale bar corresponds to 10µm and the zoomed
in region (right panel) is delimited as a white box. **b**, LC3-II accumulation after bafilomycin
treatment from mock, altFUS, FUS, FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$, FUS-R495x, FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x transfected cells and FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-
R495x and altFUS co-transfected cells across 3 independent experiments (n=3). The quantification
corresponds to the treated/untreated ratio of LC3-II abundance. Statistical significance is relative
to the mock condition unless otherwise indicated (**** = p value < 0.0001, *** = p value < 0.001,
** = p value < 0.01, ns = non-significant). **c**, Images by confocal microscopy of altFUS (FLAG tagged-
white), FUS (GFP tagged - green) and TDP-43 (red) signals in HeLa cells transfected with the
bicistronic GFP-FUS$^{(FLAG)}$-R495x or the monocistronic GFP-FUS$^{(\emptyset-FLAG)}$-R4955x constructs, or co-
transfected with the monocistronic GFP-FUS$^{(\emptyset-FLAG)}$-R495x and altFUS-FLAG constructs
(representative images from n=3). The white scale bar corresponds to 10µm. **d-e**, Quantification
of FUS cytoplasmic granules, number (**d**) and area (µm$^2$) (**e**) in cells over-expressing the bicistronic
(+) or monocistronic (-) construct for FUS, FUS-G156E, FUS-R495x, FUS-K510E, FUS-Q519x, FUS-
Q519I-fs527x, FUS-R521C, and FUS-P525L. Statistical comparisons are made between bicistronic
and monocistronic versions of each construct (**** = p value < 0.0001, *** = p value < 0.001, **
= p value < 0.01, * = p value < 0.05, ns = non-significant, one-way ANOVA test with Sidak's multiple
comparison).

**b**

LC3-II accumulation
*(normalized to Mock)*

Mock | AllFUS | FUS | FUS-R495x | FUS(Ø) | FUS(Ø)-R495x | FUS(Ø)-R495x + AllFUS

**a** (left panels, top to bottom):
+ GFP-mCherry-LC3

Mock
Mock + Bafilomycin
AllFUS
FUS
FUS(Ø)
FUS-R495x
FUS(Ø)-R495x

**c**

| DAPI | AllFUS | FUS | TDP-43 | FUS / TDP-43 |

GFP-FUS[FLAG]-R495x
GFP-FUS(Ø-FLAG)-R495x
GFP-FUS(Ø-FLAG)-R495x + AllFUS-FLAG

**d**

Average cytoplasmic granules count (#)

WT | G156E | R495x | K510E | Q519x | Q519I | R521C | P525L
fs527x

**e**

Average cytoplasmic granules area (µm2)

WT | G156E | R495x | K510E | Q519x | Q519I | R521C | P525L
fs527x

**Figure 4: AltFUS expression is necessary for the full *FUS*-linked ALS phenotype in *Drosophila***

**a**, Cross-breeding strategy for *Drosophila* generation using the Elav-GeneSwitch-GAL driver as an
inducible expression system specific to the motoneurons. **b**, FUS and altFUS expression in
mCherry (control), altFUS, FUS, FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$, FUS-R495x or FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x expressing *Drosophila* from the
control F1 and the RU-486 treated F1 (**a**) at 1, 10 or 20 days post-induction (representative image
from n=2). **c-e**, Locomotion assay using percentage of climbing success in control and RU-486-
treated transgenic *Drosophila* expressing mCherry or altFUS (**c**), the bicistronic FUS or the
monocistronic FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$ (**d**), and the bicistronic FUS-R495x or the monocistronic FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x (**e**) at
day 1, 10 and 20 post-induction. Statistical comparison were made between each population
(n=4). Indicated significance are between the monocistronic and the bicistronic transgenic flies of
the RU-486-treated population (ns = non-significant, * = p value < 0.05, *** = p value < 0.001).

**Figure 5: FUS mutations, synonymous for FUS but missense for altFUS, potentiate TDP-43**
**cytoplasmic aggregation**

**a**, Graphical representation of *FUS* mutations found in ALS patients, that are synonymous (dark
blue) for FUS protein (blue) but missense (red) for altFUS protein (green), on the canonical FUS
mRNA (*ENST00000254108* or *NM_004960*). **b**, Images by confocal microscopy of altFUS (FLAG
tagged - green) and mitochondria (TOMM20 marker, red) in HeLa cells over-expressing altFUS-
FLAG, altFUS-P31L-FLAG, altFUS-A38V-FLAG, altFUS-A46V-FLAG or altFUS-R64P-FLAG constructs
(representative images from n=3). Deconvolution over a maximum of 30 iterations on the green
and red channels was performed for the zoomed in pictures (the zoomed in regions are
highlighted in white). The white scale bar corresponds to 10µm. **c**, Images by confocal microscopy
of TDP-43 (yellow), FUS (GFP tagged - green) and altFUS (FLAG tagged - red) in HeLa cells over-
expressing GFP-FUS$^{(FLAG)}$, GFP-FUS$^{(P31L-FLAG)}$-S44=, GFP-FUS$^{(A38V-FLAG)}$-G51=, GFP-FUS$^{(A46V-FLAG)}$-G59= or
GFP-FUS$^{(R64P-FLAG)}$-S77= constructs (representative images from n=3). The white scale bar
corresponds to 10µm. **d**, Quantification of cells with TDP-43 aggregates in HeLa cells from (**c**),
where the data are represented as the fold-change compared to the GFP-FUS$^{(FLAG)}$ expressing cells.
Statistical significance is indicated above the bars (n=3, **** = p value < 0.0001).

**a**

S44=  G59=
G51=  S77=

FUS synonymous mutations

5' ▭▭▭▭▭▭▭▭▭▭▭▭▭▭▭▭▭▭▭▭▶ 3'

P31L      A46V
A38V      R64P

AltFUS missense mutations

**b**

ALS-associated variants

WT | P31L | A38V | A46V | R64P

AltFUS

TOMM20

Merged

Zoomed

**d**

Cells with TDP-43 aggregates
(Fold change compare to altFUS WT)

AltFUS mutations in GFP-FUS(FLAG) backbone

P31L    A38V    A46V    R64P

**c**

ALS-associated variants

WT | P31L | A38V | A46V | R64P

AltFUS

FUS

TDP-43

Merged

 **Extended Data - Legend**

For: *FUS* gene is dual-coding with both proteins united in molecular hallmarks of amyotrophic
lateral sclerosis, Brunet MA, *et al*.

**Extended Data Table 1**

OpenProt search results for FUS transcripts *ENST00000254108* and *NM_004960.3*. The OpenProt
version 1.3 was used. Each protein prediction is identified with a unique protein accession
(starting with II_ for novel predicted isoform, and IP_ for predicted alternative proteins). All
predicted alternative proteins are coloured in light blue. The protein localization is relative to the
canonical FUS coding sequence (CDS). The furthered studied protein, named altFUS (IP_243680)
is highlighted in dark blue. The workbook also contains the list of peptides identified in re-analysis
of mass spectrometry experiments using the OpenProt database (version 1.3).

**Extended Data Table 2**

AltFUS conservation across vertebrates. The workbook contains the list of *FUS* transcripts
retrieved from NCBI RefSeq database (transcript accession and nucleotidic sequences); the list of
transcripts containing an altFUS sequence (transcript accession, species, and altFUS protein
sequence); and the matrix of altFUS protein sequence identity between each species.

**Extended Data Alignment File**

Alignment of altFUS protein sequences across all 82 species. The alignment was done using
Clustalω, and coloured based on conservation from white (highly variable residue) to dark blue
(highly conserved residue).

**Extended Data Table 3**

Proteins identified by mass spectrometry following size exclusion chromatography (FPLC) and
FLAG affinity purification on mitochondrial extracts from HEK293 cells over-expressing altFUS-
FLAG. The proteins are listed by their UniProtKB accession alongside their annotated function, the
number of unique peptides supporting the detection and the number of peptide spectrum
matches (PSMs) within each experimental condition. Confidence of the interaction was scored
using the SAINT algorithm. The SAINT score is also reported.

**Extended Data Table 4**

*FUS* mutations, synonymous for FUS but missense for altFUS. The first sheet contains mutations
identified from a manually curated literature review. The second sheet retrieves variants in sALS
cases from the ALS Variant Server (http://als.umassmed.edu/). The third sheet retrieves variants
in fALS cases from the ALS Variant Server.

**Extended Data Table 5**

16 ALS-associated genes with currently non-annotated proteins detected in OpenProt database.
The OpenProt version 1.3 was used. The workbook contains the list of novel predicted proteins
from these genes, which have already be detected by mass spectrometry or ribosome profiling.

 **Extended Data Figure 1**

**a**, AltFUS is encoded within an alternative open reading frame (ORF) overlapping the FUS
canonical CDS. When read in the +1 frame, the *FUS* mRNA (here *NM_004960.3*) codes for a 526
amino acid protein (highlighted in blue), named FUS. In the +2 frame, the *FUS* mRNA contains a
second ORF (highlighted in green) that codes for a novel 170 amino acid protein, named altFUS.
The two proteins are not isoforms. **b**, GTEx portal data on *FUS* mRNA expression in ALS-related
tissues are shown in blue colored scale. Transcripts are identified with Ensembl accessions (the
number after the dot corresponds to the version used in the analysis) and are quantified across
14 tissues. Five transcripts share 85 % of all mRNA expression level (framed in red). **c**, Table
compiling protein information relayed by Ensembl and OpenProt databases for the afore-
mentioned transcripts. The FUS protein is highlighted in blue. The altFUS protein is highlighted in
green. **d**, RIBO-seq data from the Gwips portal in *Mus musculus* shows an accumulation of initiating
ribosomes at the FUS methionine and the altFUS methionine (green bars). Elongating ribosomes
footprints density increase in the bicistronic region (light green curve). Data corresponds to global
aggregates across the studies supported by Gwips. **e**, PhyloP nucleotidic conservation scores are
represented in grey across the *FUS* mRNA (FUS-201), and in blue after noise reduction (Fast
Fourrier Transformation). The average PhyloP score on the bicistronic and the monocistronic
region are represented as a dotted red line. The FUS CDS is represented by a blue box, the altFUS
CDS is represented by the green box. **f**, Heatmap of altFUS protein sequences identity across 84
species. Primates, Rodents and Mammals in general display a strong protein conservation. The
sequence identity is colored from blue (0 %) to red (100 %).

**a**

```
>FUS – NM_004960.3
ACTTAAGCTTCAGACGCAGGAGGCGGGGCTGCTCAGTCCTCCAGGCGTCGGTACTCAGCGGTGTTGGAACTTCGTTGCTTGCTTG
CCTGTGCGCGCGCGTGCGCGGACATGGCCTCAAACGATTATACCCAACAAGCAACCCAAAGCTATGGGGTTCTACCCCACCCAGCCC
TATTCCCAGCAGAGCAGTCAGCCCTACGGACAGCAGAGTTACAGTGGTTATAGCCAGTCCACGGACACCTTCAGGC
TATGGCCAGAGCAGCTATTCTTCTTTATGGCCAGAGCCAGAACACAGGCTATGGAACTCAGTCAACTCCCCAGGGATATGGCTCGAC
TGGCGGCTATGGCAGTGGCAGTGGCTCCCAATCGTCTTACGGGCAGCAGTCCTCCTACCCTGGCTATGGCCAGCAGCCAGCTCC
CAGCAGCACCTCGGGAAGTTACGGTAGCAGTTCTCAGAGCAGCAGCTATGGGCAGCCCCAGAGTGGGAGCTACAGCCAGCAGC
CTAGCTATGGTGGACAGCAGCAAAGCTATGGACAGCAGCAAAGCTATAATCCCCCTCAGGGCTATGGACAGCAGAACCAGTACAA
CAGCAGCAGGTGGTGGTGGAGGGTGGAGGTGGAGGTGGAGGTGGAGGTAACTATGGCCAAGATCAATCCTCCATGAGTAGTGGTGGTGGCA
GTGGTGGCGGTTATGGCAATCAAGACCAGAGTGGTGGAGGTGGCAGCGGTGGCTATGGACAGCAGGACCGTGGAGGCCGCGG
GGAGGTGGCCGTGGAGGCAGAGGTGGCATGGGCGGAAGTGACCGTGGTGGCTTCAATAAATTTGGTGGCCCTCGGGACCAAG
GATCACAGTATGGTGATTACTTCAAGCAGATTGGTATTATTAAGACAAACAAGAAAACGGGACAGCCCATGATTAATTTGTACACAGACAGGGAA
ACTGGCAAGCTGAAGGGAGAGAGTCCCAATCGTCTCTTTTGATGACCCACCTTCAGCTAAAGCAGCTATTGACTGGTTTGATGGTAAAG
AATTCTCCGGAAATCCTATCAAGGTCTCATTTGCTACTCGCCGGGCAGACTTTAATCGGGGTGGTGGCAATGGTCGTGGAGGCCG
AGGGCGAGGAGGACCCATGGGCCGTGGAGGCTATGGAGGCTATGGTGGTGGCTATGGTGGCAGTGGCCGAGGAGGATTTCCCAGTGGA
GGTGGTGGCGGTGGAGGCAGCAGCGAGCTGGTGACTGGAAGTGTCCTAATCCCACCTGTGAGAATATGAACTTCTCTTGGAGG
AATGAATGCAACCAGTGTAAGGACCCCTAAACCAGATGGCCCCAGAGGGGACCAGGTGGTTCTCACATGGGGGTAACTACGGG
GATGATCGTCGTGGTGGCAGAGGAGGCTATGATCGAGGCGGCTACCGGGGCCGCGGCGGGGACCGTGGAGGCTTCCGAGGGAG
GCCGTATTAATTAGCCTGGCTCCCCAGGTTCTGGAACAGCTTTTT [….]GTAAGAA

Frame +1 = FUS protein
MASNDYTQQATQSYGAYPTQPGQGYSQQSSQPYGQQSYSGYSQSTDTSGYGQSSYSSYGQSQNTGYGTQSTPQGYGSTGGYGS
SQSSASSYGQQSSYPGYGQQPAPSSTSGSYGSSSQSSSYGQPQSGSYSQQPSGSYSQQPSYGQQQSYGQQQSYNPPQGYGQQNQYNSSS
GGGGGGGGGGGNYGQDQSSMSSGGGSGGGYGNQDQSGGGGSGGYGQQDRGGRGRRGGSGGGGGGGGGGYNRSSGGYEPRGR
GGRGGRGGMGGSDRGGFNKFGGPRDQGSRHDSEQDNSDNNTIFVQGLGENVTIESVADYFKQIGIIKTNKKTGQPMINLYTDRETG
KLKGEATVSFDDPPSAKAAIDWFDGKEFSGNPIKVSFATRRADFNRGGGNGRGGRGRGGPMRGGGYGGGGSGGGGRGGFPSGGG
GGGQQRAGDWKCPNPTCENMNFSWRNECNQCKAPKPDGPGGGPGGSHMGGNYGDDRRGGRGGYDRGGYRGRGGDRGGFR
GGRGGGDRGGFGPGKMDSRGEHRQDRRERPY

Frame +2 = altFUS protein
MGPTPPSPGRAIPSRAVSPTDSRVTVVIASPRTLQAMARAAILLMARARTQAMELSQLPRDMARLAAMAVARAPNRLTGSSPPTLAMAS
SQLPAAPREVTVAVLRAAAMGSPRVGATASSLAMVDSSKAMDSSKAIIPLRAMDSRTSTTAAVVVEVEVEVEVTMAKINPP
```

**b**

**c**

| Transcript | Ensembl Protein Information | OpenProt Protein Information |
|---|---|---|
| ENST00000380244 (FUS-202) | **ENSP00000369594** 525 amino acids (FUS isoform) | **ENSP00000369594** 525 amino acids (FUS isoform) **IP_243691** 169 amino acids (IP_243680 isoform) |
| ENST00000487509 (FUS-206) | **No Protein** | **IP_243680** 170 amino acids |
| ENST00000568901 (FUS-211) | **No Protein** | **No Protein** |
| ENST00000474990 (FUS-203) | **No Protein** | **No Protein** |
| ENST00000254108 (FUS-201) | **ENSP00000254108** 526 amino acids (FUS) | **ENSP00000254108** 526 amino acids (FUS) **IP_243680** 170 amino acids |

**d**

**e**

**f**

 **Extended Data Figure 2**

**a**, AltFUS custom antibody strategy, with epitopes highlighted in bold on altFUS sequence (green),
and ELISA test results on both bleeds from each rabbits. **b**, Nucleotidic mutations on *FUS* mRNA
(*NM_004960*) are highlighted in red and mutate all altFUS methionines to threonines (altFUS_Ø).
**c-d**, Protein alignment of altFUS (**c**) and FUS (**d**) proteins from FUS bicistronic construct and the
monocistronic (FUS$^{(Ø)}$) construct, residues are coloured based on sequence identity (dark blue for
identical residues).

# a

MGPT**PPSPGRAIPSRAVSPTDSR**VTVVIASPRTLQAMARAAILLMARARTQAMELSQLPRDMARLAAMAVA**RAPNRLTGSSPPTLA**MASSQLPAAPREVTVAVLRA
AAMGSPRVGATASSLAMVDSSKAMDSSKAIIPLRAMDSRTSTTAAVVVEVEVEVEVTMAKINPP

# b

**>Mutated_sequence**
**ACG**GGGCCTACCCCACCCAGCCCGGGCAGGGCTATTCCCAGCAGAGCAGTCAGCCCTACGGACAGCAGAGTTACAGTGGTTATAGC
CAGTCCACGGACACTTCAGGCT**ACG**GCCAGAGCAGCTATTCTTCTT**ACG**GCCAGAGCCAGAACACAGGCT**ACG**GAACTCAGTCAAC
TCCCCAGGGAT**ACG**GCTCGACTGGCGGCT**ACG**GCAGTAGCCAGAGCTCCCAATCGTCTTACGGGCAGCAGTCCTCCTACCCTGGCT**A**
**CG**GCCAGCAGCCAGCTCCCAGCAGCACCTCGGGAAGTTACGGTAGCAGTTCTCAGAGCAGCAGCT**ACG**GGCAGCCCCAGAGTGGG
AGCTACAGCCAGCAGCCTAGCT**ACG**GTGGACAGCAGCAAAGCT**ACG**GACAGCAGCAAAGCTATAATCCCCCTCAGGGCT**ACG**GACA
GCAGAACCAGTACAACAGCAGCAGTGGTGGTGGAGGTGGAGGTGGAGGTGGAGGTAACT**ACG**GCCAAGATCAATCCTCCATGA

**>altFUS_Ø**
**T**GPTPPSPGRAIPSRAVSPTDSRVTVVIASPRTLQA**T**ARAAILL**T**ARARTQA**T**ELSQLPRD**T**ARLAA**T**AVARAPNRLTGSSPPTLA**T**ASSQLP
AAPREVTVAVLRAAA**T**GSPRVGATASSLA**T**VDSSKA**T**DSSKAIIPLRA**T**DSRTSTTAAVVVEVEVEVEVT**T**AKINPP

# c

```
AltFUS     1  MGPTPPSPGRAIPSRAVSPTDSRVTVVIASPRTLQAMARAAILLMARARTQAMELSQLPR  60
AltFUS-Ø   1  TGPTPPSPGRAIPSRAVSPTDSRVTVVIASPRTLQATARAAILLTARARTQATELSQLPR  60

AltFUS    61  DMARLAAMAVARAPNRLTGSSPPTLAMASSQLPAAPREVTVAVLRAAAMGSPRVGATASS  120
AltFUS-Ø  61  DTARLAATAVARAPNRLTGSSPPTLATASSQLPAAPREVTVAVLRAAATGSPRVGATASS  120

AltFUS   121  LAMVDSSKAMDSSKAIIPLRAMDSRTSTTAAVVVEVEVEVEVTMAKINPP  170
AltFUS-Ø 121  LATVDSSKATDSSKAIIPLRATDSRTSTTAAVVVEVEVEVEVTTAKINPP  170
```

# d

```
FUS      1  MASNDYTQQATQSYGAYPTQPGQGYSQQSSQPYGQQSYSGYSQSTDTSGYGQSSYSSYGQ  60
FUS(Ø)   1  MASNDYTQQATQSYGAYPTQPGQGYSQQSSQPYGQQSYSGYSQSTDTSGYGQSSYSSYGQ  60

FUS     61  SQNTGYGTQSTPQGYGSTGGYGSSQSSQSSYGQQSSYPGYGQQPAPSSTSGSYGSSSQSS  120
FUS(Ø)  61  SQNTGYGTQSTPQGYGSTGGYGSSQSSQSSYGQQSSYPGYGQQPAPSSTSGSYGSSSQSS  120

FUS    121  SYGQPQSGSYSQQPSYGGQQQSYGQQQSYNPPQGYGQQNQYNSSSGGGGGGGGGGGNYGQD  180
FUS(Ø) 121  SYGQPQSGSYSQQPSYGGQQQSYGQQQSYNPPQGYGQQNQYNSSSGGGGGGGGGGGNYGQD  180

FUS    181  QSSMSSGGGSGGGYGNQDQSGGGGSGGYGQQDRGGRGRGGSGGGGGGGGGGGYNRSSGGYE  240
FUS(Ø) 181  QSSMSSGGGSGGGYGNQDQSGGGGSGGYGQQDRGGRGRGGSGGGGGGGGGGGYNRSSGGYE  240

FUS    241  PRGRGGGRGGRGGMGGSDRGGFNKFGGPRDQGSRHDSEQDNSDNNTIFVQGLGENVTIES  300
FUS(Ø) 241  PRGRGGGRGGRGGMGGSDRGGFNKFGGPRDQGSRHDSEQDNSDNNTIFVQGLGENVTIES  300

FUS    301  VADYFKQIGIIKTNKKTGQPMINLYTDRETGKLKGEATVSFDDPPSAKAAIDWFDGKEFS  360
FUS(Ø) 301  VADYFKQIGIIKTNKKTGQPMINLYTDRETGKLKGEATVSFDDPPSAKAAIDWFDGKEFS  360

FUS    361  GNPIKVSFATRRADFNRGGGNGRGGRGRGGPMRGGYGGGGSGGGGRGGFPSGGGGGGGQ  420
FUS(Ø) 361  GNPIKVSFATRRADFNRGGGNGRGGRGRGGPMRGGYGGGGSGGGGRGGFPSGGGGGGGQ  420

FUS    421  QRAGDWKCPNPTCENMNFSWRNECNQCKAPKPDGPGGGPGGSHMGGNYGDDRRGGRGGYD  480
FUS(Ø) 421  QRAGDWKCPNPTCENMNFSWRNECNQCKAPKPDGPGGGPGGSHMGGNYGDDRRGGRGGYD  480

FUS    481  RGGYRGRGGDRGGFRGGRGGGDRGGFGPGKMDSRGEHRQDRRERPY  526
FUS(Ø) 481  RGGYRGRGGDRGGFRGGRGGGDRGGFGPGKMDSRGEHRQDRRERPY  526
```

**Extended Data Figure 3**

**a**, Object image from MicroP processing of images of mock and altFUS transfected HeLa cells.
Tubules are coloured in purple, globules are coloured in green. **b**, Graphical representation of
bicistronic constructs FUS and FUS-R495x (mutation in red), and their monocistronic equivalent
FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$ and FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x. AltFUS CDS is highlighted in green when present, FUS CDS is highlighted
in blue. **c**, V5-FUS, V5-FUS-R495x and altFUS-F$_{LAG}$ expression from bicistronic or monocistronic
constructs in HEK293 cells (representative image from n=3). **d**, Representative traces of TMRE
fluorescence measured by flow cytometry in mock cells and cells overexpressing the bicistronic
constructs FUS or FUS-R495x (in red) in the top panels, and the monocistronic constructs, FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$
or FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x (in blue) in the bottom panels (n=3, minimum of 50 000 live cells per independent
replicates).

**a**

Mock | AltFUS-FLAG

Confocal Images

MicroP Objects

**c**

**b**

FUS

FUS$^{(\varnothing)}$

FUS-R495x

FUS$^{(\varnothing)}$-R495x

**d**

**Extended Data Figure 4**

**a**, Graphical representation of the mCherry-GFP-LC3 construct to study the autophagic flux. **b**,
Images by confocal microscopy of mCherry-GFP-LC3 and altFUS (F~LAG~, in blue) signal in HeLa cells
across biological conditions: untreated mock, bafilomycin treated mock, altFUS, FUS, FUS$^{(\emptyset\text{-}F_{LAG})}$,
FUS-R495x and FUS$^{(\emptyset\text{-}F_{LAG})}$-R495x (representative images of n=3). The white scale bar corresponds
to 10μm and the zoomed in region (right panel) is delimited as a white box. **c**, LC3-II expression
from untreated (-) or bafilomycin treated (+) cells transfected with mock, altFUS, FUS, FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$, FUS-
R495x, FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x, or co-transfected with FUS$^{(\emptyset)}$-R495x and altFUS. **d-f**, Images by confocal
microscopy of F~LAG~ (white), GFP (green) and TDP-43 (red) signals in HeLa cells transfected with
the bicistronic constructs (**d**), monocistronic constructs (**e**) or co-transfected with altFUS-F~LAG~ and
the monocistronic constructs (**f**) of 6 ALS-associated mutants:  FUS-G156E, FUS-K510E, FUS-
Q519x, FUS-Q519I-fs527x, FUS-R521C, and FUS-P525L (representative images from n=3). The
white scale bar corresponds to 10μm.

**Extended Data Figure 5**

**a**, Images by confocal microscopy of F<small>LAG</small> (white), GFP (green) and TIA-1 (red) signals in HeLa cells
transfected with the bicistronic GFP-FUS$^{(FLAG)}$-R495x or the monocistronic GFP-FUS$^{(Ø-FLAG)}$-R495x
constructs, or co-transfected with the monocistronic GFP-FUS$^{(Ø-FLAG)}$-R495x and altFUS-F<small>LAG</small>
constructs (representative images from n=3). The white scale bar corresponds to 10µm. **b-d**,
Images by confocal microscopy of F<small>LAG</small> (white), GFP (green) and TIA-1 (red) signals in HeLa cells
transfected with the bicistronic constructs (**b**), monocistronic constructs (**c**) or co-transfected with
altFUS-F<small>LAG</small> and the monocistronic constructs (**d**) of 6 ALS-associated mutants: FUS-G156E, FUS-
K510E, FUS-Q519x, FUS-Q519I-fs527x, FUS-R521C, and FUS-P525L (representative images from
n=3). The white scale bar corresponds to 10µm.