Microbe-metabolite associations linked to the rebounding murine gut microbiome

post-colonization with vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus faecium*

Andre Mu[a,b,c,#], Glen P. Carter[a], Lucy Li[a], Nicole S. Isles[a,c], Alison F. Vrbanac[d,e], James T.

Morton[f], Alan K. Jarmusch[g,h], David P. De Souza[i], Vinod K. Narayana[i], Komal Kanojia[j],

Brunda Nijagal[k], Malcolm J. McConville[i,k], Rob Knight[d,e,l,m], Benjamin P. Howden[a,b,c] and

Timothy P. Stinear[a,b]

[a]Department of Microbiology and Immunology at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and

Immunity, University of Melbourne, Australia

[b]Doherty Applied Microbial Genomics, Department of Microbiology and Immunology at the

Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Australia

[c]Microbiological Diagnostic Unit Public Health Laboratory, at the Peter Doherty Institute for

Infection and Immunity, University of Melbourne, Australia

[d]Department of Pediatrics, University of California San Diego, USA

[e]Department of Computer Science & Engineering, University of California San Diego, USA

[f]Flatiron Institute, Centre for Computational Biology, USA

[g]Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California San Diego,

USA

[h]Collaborative Mass Spectrometry Innovation Center, Skaggs School of Pharmacy and

Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California San Diego, USA

[i]Metabolomics Australia, Bio21 Institute of Molecular Science and Biotechnology, University

of Melbourne, Australia

[j]Centre for Biostatistics and Clinical Trials, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Victoria,

Australia

^kDepartment of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Melbourne, Australia

^lDepartment of Bioengineering, University of California San Diego, USA

^mCenter for Microbiome Innovation, University of California San Diego, USA

Running head: Gut microbiome and colonization with VRE

#Address correspondence to Dr. Andre Mu, andre.mu@unimelb.edu.au

B.P.H and T.P.S contributed equally to this work.

Word count: 2,850

mSystems Host-Microbe Biology

Keywords

Abstract

Vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (VREfm) is an emerging antibiotic resistant

pathogen. Strain-level investigations are beginning to reveal the molecular mechanisms used

by VREfm to colonize regions of the human bowel. However, the role of commensal bacteria

during VREfm colonization, in particular following antibiotic treatment, remains largely

unknown. We employed amplicon 16S rRNA gene sequencing and metabolomics in a murine

model system to try and investigate functional roles of the gut microbiome during VREfm

colonization. First-order taxonomic shifts between Bacteroidetes and Tenerricutes within the

gut microbial community composition were detected both in response to pretreatment using

ceftriaxone, and to subsequent VREfm challenge. Using neural networking approaches to find

co-occurrence profiles of bacteria and metabolites, we detected key metabolome features

associated with butyric acid during and after VREfm colonization. These metabolite features

were associated with *Bacteroides*, indicative of a transition towards a pre-antibiotic naïve

microbiome. This study shows the impacts of antibiotics on the gut ecosystem, and the

progression of the microbiome in response to colonisation with VREfm. Our results offer

insights towards identifying potential non-antibiotic alternatives to eliminate VREfm through

metabolic re-engineering to preferentially select for *Bacteroides*.

Importance

This study demonstrates the importance and power of linking bacterial composition profiling

with metabolomics to find the interactions between commensal gut bacteria and a specific

pathogen. Knowledge from this research will inform gut microbiome engineering strategies,

with the aim of translating observations from animal models to human-relevant therapeutic

applications.

Introduction

Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (VREfm) is a significant healthcare-associated

pathogen. VREfm infections can be difficult to treat due to their intrinsic and acquired

resistance to nearly all classes of antibiotics (1). The World Health Organisation categorizes

VREfm as a "High Priority" bacterial pathogen, advocating research to stop the global increase

in antibiotic resistance (2). Recent studies highlight the importance of the gut microbiota in

modulating the growth and virulence of VRE*fm* in the gastrointestinal ecosystem. For instance,

the depletion of normal gut flora using antibiotics exacerbates the severity of VREfm infection

(3), whereas transplant of commensal species including a consortium of *Clostridium bolteae*,

Blautia producta, *Blautia sartorii*, and *Parabacteroides distasonis* (4, 5) can drive established

VREfm colonisation to below levels of culture detection. Specifically, *B. producta* – a

colonizer of the colon – reduces VREfm growth *in vivo* by secreting a lantibiotic (6). These

observations raise the intriguing possibility that metabolic traits act in concert between

pathogen and select gut commensals to confer mutual benefits during pathogen persistence.

These findings also highlight the greater risk posed to immunocompromised patients when

colonized with VREfm. For instance, allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation patients

have gastrointestinal tracts that are dominated by VREfm as a result of losing a large portion

of the intestinal commensal microbiota upon receiving broad-spectrum antibiotics as pre-

treatment(7). Hildebrand et al., (2018) discovered long-term ecological impacts to the gut

microbiome, with strong bacterial species turnover, after ceftriaxone treatment in humans (8).

Further, mice receiving broad spectrum antibiotics (combination of metronidazole, neomycin,

and vancomycin) showed markedly increased VREfm colonization of the caecum and colon.

The compromised intestinal innate immune defenses in these animals allowed proliferation of

VREfm caused by the antibiotic exposure and subsequently reduced the expression of

antimicrobial molecules produced by bacteria in the intestinal mucosa (9).

The problem with VREfm is further complicated by the fact that *Enterococci* are

members of the gastrointestinal tract microbiota; a key reservoir of antimicrobial resistance

(AMR) genes, and potentially facilitating gene transfer within the gut microbiome (10). For

example, the *vanB* resistance gene was detected in human faecal specimens that did not contain

culturable VRE; and instead, demonstrated that isolates carrying the resistance transposon are

anaerobic commensal bacteria, *Eggerthella lenta* and *Clostridium innocuum*(11). Colonisation

of, and persistence in, the gastrointestinal tract therefore presents as a key mechanism for *de*

novo VRE and may lead to severe invasive disease.

This current study aimed to understand the impact of antibiotics on the murine gut microbiota,

and the subsequent colonisation pattern of VREfm. To this extent, we designed a murine model

time-series study that consisted of two main perturbative phases: (1) antibiotic pre-treatment

with ceftriaxone, and (2) VREfm challenge. Our 16S rRNA gene profiling analyses

highlighted a first-order shift in bacterial biodiversity composition across time, a second-order

clustering of samples associated with the experimental phases, and the transition of the post-

VREfm colonisation gut microbiota and its metabolome towards resembling an asymptomatic

carriage-like microbiome phenotype. This research provides support for engineering the

metabolic potential of the gut microbiome using, for example, prebiotics as a non-antibiotic

alternative for treating multi-drug resistant bacterial infections.

Results

Experimental design.

The following experimental design was developed to address the hypothesis that there are

specific murine gut microbiome factors that facilitates VREfm colonization; three groups of

three C57BL/6 mice (co-caged wildtype males) were monitored, and fecal samples collected,

over a fourteen-day period with two intervention time-points; including (1) ceftriaxone

treatment administered at 0.5g/L in drinking water across a two-day period, and (2)

colonisation (via oral-gavage) with 1 x 10^6 VREfm ST796 per mice post-antibiotic treatment

at a single timepoint. Mice were housed in groups of five and samples collected from the same

three mice to represent technical replicates per cage; herein, each group of co-housed mice will

be referred to as Group A, Group B, and Group C. The remaining two mice per group were

reserved for microbiological assays. Table 1 highlights samples and datasets collected.

Amplicon 16S rRNA gene sequencing revealed first order shifts in bacterial community composition.

Amplicon 16S rRNA gene sequencing was performed to capture the bacterial community composition in an effort to track changes in response to antibiotic pre-treatment and VREfm colonization. Bacterial community profiles were assessed in fecal samples from nine mice before, during and after the two interventions (Table 1). A total of 71.32% of reads (10,519,073 reads) passed quality control, with 321,955 reads on average per sample and a total of 3574 exact variant sequence types (i.e., features), with an average of 118 features per sample, and an upper bound of 246 features (when rarefied to 20,000 reads). Alpha rarefaction analysis demonstrated sufficient sequencing depth to capture microbial diversity to saturation (Supplementary Figure 1).

The biodiversity profiles of each sample were compared and showed that key sOTUs were differentially abundant throughout the course of the experiment (Figure 1). There was a shift in the dominance of Bacteroidia (Bacteroidetes; light green coloured bars) during the naïve phase of the experiment to Mollicutes (Tenericutes; fuschia coloured bars) in response to ceftriaxone treatment, with a return to the predominance of Bacteroidia during the late-phase of the experiment, post-VREfm colonisation (i.e., days 12 – 14). Of note is the predominance of Lactobacillales in Mouse 1 – 3 from Group A (Figure 1).

The murine gut microbiota responds to antibiotics and microbial community richness begins to rebound three-days post-VRE colonisation.

Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of the unweighted UniFrac (12) distances was used to assess clustering of fecal samples based on bacterial composition. This assessment showed that the fecal microbiota from samples collected from each phase clustered together but were clearly separated between phases; after exposure to ceftriaxone, and challenge with VREfm

post-antibiotic treatment (Figure 2A). Permutation-based statistical testing demonstrates the

groups are significantly different from one another (Supplementary Figure 2). Temporal

tracking of the changing microbiomes against each mouse on the PCoA sample-space

demonstrated a clear, unidirectional trajectory that followed the chronology of the experiment

(animation as a supplementary file). Procrustes analyses of weighted and unweighted UniFrac

distances showed that the same general patterns on the sample space were preserved, meaning

that there is congruency in global spatial patterns between qualitative and quantitative measures

of community dissimilarity (Supplementary Figure 3).

Analysis of community diversity (Faith's Phylogenetic Diversity Index) revealed a

stable and rich microbial community during the naïve phase preceding a sharp decrease

following antibiotic treatment, and a further decrease immediately following VREfm

colonisation (Figure 2B). Of note is the responsiveness of the microbiota (within 24 h) to the

removal of antibiotics at the end of day 7. Community richness began to rebound at

approximately three-days post-VREfm colonisation (i.e., day 12), with Group A demonstrating

a faster rate of rebound compared to Group B and C. Calculating the distances of dissimilarity

(unweighted UniFrac distances) of each mouse microbiota timepoint relative to Day 0 (a proxy

for the naive bacterial community phenotype) revealed a small dissimilarity distance for

samples collected during the naïve phase, and an increasing dissimilarity distance following

antibiotic treatment (day 6) and VREfm colonisation (day 9; Figure 2C). There was a

downward trajectory in distance scores at three-days post-VREfm colonisation (i.e., day 12);

Group A followed a sharper return to a microbiota resembling day 0. These observations

suggest that mice were transitioning towards a persistent carrier-like state, and that the

rebounding community richness towards levels representative of the naïve phase was by a

microbial community structure that resembled the naïve phase. Additional studies where the

time-frame of post-VREfm challenge extends beyond one-week of monitoring are needed to

understand if the perturbed microbiome will return to resemble an absolute naïve state or arrive

at a new, altered state.

Multinomial regression identifies sOTUs most positively associated with VREfm colonisation.

Multinomial regression using *Songbird* was employed to identify sOTUs that were most

positively, and negatively, associated with the post-VREfm colonisation phase (Figure 3). The

five most positively associated sOTUs were *Enterococcus*, *Bacteroides*, *Erysipelotrichaceae*,

Catabacter, and *Lachnospiraceae*; while the five most negatively associated were

Clostridiales, *Adlercreutzia*, *Mollicutes*, *Peptostreptococcaceae*, and *Clostridiales*. Temporal

tracking of exact sequence variants (ESV) demonstrated that the ESV feature classified as

Enterococcus - and identified as the most positively associated with the post-VREfm

colonisation phase - was most abundant on days of challenge; confirming that this ESV likely

was the ST796 VREfm colonization challenge organisms (Figure 3). There were a further eight

ESV features classified as *Enterococcus*, however, they were absent during the days

representing VREfm colonisation, and lacked positive associations with the post-VREfm

colonisation phase, suggesting that these features represent murine gut commensal

Enterococci.

Molecular networking identifies differential metabolome profiles.

Duplicate fecal samples from key timepoints throughout the experiment (i.e., days 0, 5, 6, 7, 8,

9, and 14) were analysed by data dependent tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) performed

on a liquid-chromatography quadrupole time-of-flight (LC-QTOF) to monitor changes in the

murine gut metabolome (Table 1). Polar metabolite analysis was preferred in an effort to

broadly capture primary metabolites which play a key role in "metabolic hand-offs" that define

interspecies interactions. Analysis of the global metabolome profile of each sample was

measured based on their overlapping molecules, and a PCoA plot using a binary Jaccard

distance metric through the Global Natural Products Social Molecular Networking (GNPS)

platform (13). A separation of metabolite profiles along PCoA1 was observed (57.34% Figure

4A). Metabolomes from the naïve, and late-VREfm colonisation phase tended to cluster

together, while samples from the post-antibiotic phases including the early-VREfm

colonisation phase clustered together. Supporting pairwise PERMANOVA testing

(Supplementary Figure 4) highlights naïve and early-VRE samples are significantly different,

while late-VRE has a lower distance to naïve compared to antibiotic treated, antibiotic wean

and early-VRE samples.

Random forest analysis of spectra profiles from LC-MS/MS was used to predict experimental

phase and rank the importance of metabolite association with each experimental phase. The

top metabolite features for each experimental phase are highlighted in Figure 4B. Unique

profiles of metabolite features were observed for each phase of the experiment. Importantly,

the late-VREfm colonisation phase captures an unknown metabolite (feature 6325) with a

mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of 173.067 and retention time (RT) of 18.392; this metabolite is

exclusively present during what represents the *transition* towards resembling the naïve

microbiome. Manual curation of feature 6325 in positive ion mode predicts a molecular

formula $C_5H_8N_4O_3$ with $\geq$ 10 ppm in mass error. The major peaks in the MS/MS spectrum for

feature 6325 are: 173.07 (precursor ion, [M+H]+ assumed), 155.06 (precursor ion, 18(H_2O),

113.05 (155.06 product ion, 42.01 (likely C_2H_2O), 43.03 ([C_2H_2O+H] + product ion further

supporting neutral loss of C_2H_2O); given the summation of results, the chemical structure of

feature 6325 is likely to contain a N-acetylated hydroxyl group. Peak quantification values

indicate its presence during the late phase of VRE colonisation (Figure 4C). Further manual

curation of MS/MS data identified ceftriaxone as feature 3901 with an m/z = 555.0537 and RT

= 13.30, and mostly abundant during days of antibiotic exposure (Figure 4D).

Bacteroidales-associated metabolites implicated in late-phase post-VREfm colonisation.

A distinct profile shift in microbe and metabolite abundances (as calculated by multinomial regression) was observed, particularly during late-phase VREfm colonisation (Supplementary Figure 6). Shallow neural networking analysis with *mmvec* was used to predict microbe-metabolite interactions through their co-occurrence probabilities (Figure 5). Sequential biplots captured the shift in experimental phases and highlighted the co-occurrences of microbiota and metabolomic datasets (Fig. 5A,B,C). There was a strong *Enterococcus* effect as indicated by the magnitude of the corresponding arrow, and the rebounding species during the late-phase VREfm colonisation are predominantly *Bacteroidales* sOTUs (Figure 5C) with co-occurring metabolite features m/z 173.067 RT 18.392, and m/z 167.083 RT 25.277. Metabolite feature mz 245.055 RT 7.945 was ranked as being highly associated with the post-VRE colonisation phase. These results integrate microbial and metabolite datasets to reveal which microbes may be responsible for detected metabolites. In this instance, the metabolite present during the phase representing a *transition* towards a microbiome approximating the naïve state (feature 6325 m/z 173.067 and RT 18.392; Figure 4B) is linked with *Bacteroidales* (Figure 5A).

Discussion

In this study of the murine gut ecosystem, we employed a mouse model of gastrointestinal tract colonisation that replicates the shift in bacterial composition when patients enter the healthcare system, develop an imbalance in microbiome as a result of pre-treatment (e.g., antibiotic treatment), and are subsequently colonised with a hospital superbug (14). The resolution of current studies describes a consortium of commensal microbes that can, for example, reduce the magnitude of VREfm colonisation (4, 6); however, understanding the key metabolic shifts relative to the gut microbiota remains challenging (15). Here, we employed amplicon 16S

rRNA gene sequencing and high-resolution mass spectrometry metabolomics in an effort towards determining microbiota-metabolome interactions during VREfm colonisation. We demonstrated clear changes in the gut microbiome in response to ceftriaxone and VREfm challenge.

Conceptual and statistical advances in analysis of amplicon 16S rRNA gene data (16, 17) whereby OTUs are clustered at a 99% nucleotide similarity threshold allows for the identification of exact sequence variants (ESV). Query against an error-corrected database (17) can detect multiple ESVs that may be classified to the same taxonomic rank. For example, our analyses identified multiple ESVs classified as *Enterococci*; however, when the relative abundances were tracked across the chronology of the experiment, only one *Enterococci*-ESV was dominant in relative abundances and most positively associated with the days of post-VREfm challenge (Figure 3). This highlights the resolving power to differentiate between commensal and pathogenic strains of *Enterococci* when the composition of the microbial community is considered. The fact that this was achievable at the level of amplicon 16S rRNA gene sequencing alludes to the possibility of implementing microbiota screenings as routine diagnostics for patients entering healthcare systems. Further, first-order level shifts in microbial community composition was observed in response to ceftriaxone and subsequent VREfm challenge (Figure 1). At three days post-VREfm colonisation (i.e., day 12), the microbiome richness begins to rebound; suggesting that mice are transitioning towards a persistent carrier-like state. Interestingly, Group A cohort exhibited a faster rate of rebound that may be facilitated by their initially higher microbial community richness and predominance of Lactobacillales during day of VREfm challenge (Figure 2B); this observation supports the need to prescreen "baseline" microbiota profiles of patients upon admission into hospital for the reason that it is not necessarily which microbial populations are removed post-

perturbation (e.g., antibiotic pre-treatment) but instead, which populations persist that drives

the responding phenotype. We can begin to assess patients from across different wards (e.g.,

intensive care unit, oncology, neurology, and healthy cohorts), and build a database of

microbiome profiles that can be used as biomarkers to predict: (1) the susceptibility of patients

to develop persistent bacterial colonisation, and (2) propensity to clear the pathogen once

colonized. The clinical implication is that new patients are screened and identified (via beta-

diversity meta-analyses) by these biomarkers and placed in bedding cohorts accordingly;

thereby improving infectious disease management and isolation precautions within health-care

associated ecosystems.

The shortlist of microbes ranked as most negatively associated with the colonisation phase

(*Clostridiales*, *Adlercreutzia*, *Mollicutes*, *Peptostreptococcaceae*, and *Clostridiales*; Figure 3)

are hypothesized to play a role in maintaining the health of the animals. Indeed, amongst the

microbes identified, are known short chain fatty acid (e.g., butyric acid) producers (18, 19) that

supports, and expands upon those previously identified by Caballero et al., (2017). Further, the

use of Deblur to identify ESVs facilitates the temporal tracking of their relative abundances to

inform selection of primary fecal samples that will provide the best probability (i.e., highest

relative abundance) of culturing target taxa for downstream screening of probiotic potential.

However, translating animal-derived observations from experimental animal models to human

clinical situations remains challenging; particularly where the key microbes are rodent-

specific. One solution may be to integrate metabolomics to reveal shared metabolic capacity

amongst taxonomically divergent microbes. Our supervised classifying approaches suggests

an altered metabolome composition during the late-phase of VREfm challenge that may

facilitate the apparent "suppression" of VREfm to levels below the limit-of-detection by

culture. Despite the caveat of poor resolution in current databases to link metabolite features

to associated chemical structures, microbe-metabolite vector analysis linked metabolite feature

6325 (m/z = 173.067 RT = 18.392) to *Bacteroides* (Figure 5). Our efforts towards manually

identifying feature 6325 suggests a chemical formula of $C_5H_8N_4O_3$ and a structure likely to

contain a N-acetylated hydroxyl group; a putative annotation (through *pubchem* search) is 3-

hydroxy-4-(nitrosocyanamido) butyramide. Butyramide is the amide group of butyric acid, a

short-chain fatty acid that has been shown to play a key role in colonisation resistance against

intestinal pathogens (20–23). Further research to comprehensively characterize interactions

between microbe and metabolites will be critical to address the gaps in our understanding of

the biochemical parameters that define interspecies microbiome interactions during antibiotic

pre-treatment and persistent infections.

The resolution of our results provides the basis in which to begin to identify non-antibiotic

alternatives to engineer the gut microbiome through prebiotic interventions (e.g., butyric acid),

and translating animal studies to human-relevant therapeutic applications by delineating

taxonomically diverse microbes with shared metabolic capacity. Here, achieving integrative

omics to link microbe-metabolite associations, our findings adds support to the incorporation

a microbiome profiling approaches into routine clinical microbiology, particularly in the

context of monitoring the impacts of antibiotic use.

Methods

Mouse gastrointestinal colonization model.

Six-week old wild type C57BL/6 male mice were used to establish an animal model of

gastrointestinal colonisation with VREfm. Mice were co-housed, they have free access to food

(ordinary chow) and water and have environmental enrichment (e.g., fun tunnels, chew blocks,

and tissue paper). The light/dark cycle was 12 h light/ 12 h dark, and cages were changed

weekly. Mice were pretreated with 0.5 g/L ceftriaxone in drinking water for two-days followed

by an antibiotic wean period of 24 h. Mice were then challenged with 1×10^6 cfu VREfm

ST796.

Genomic DNA extraction and sequencing.

Whole community gDNA was extracted from mouse faecal samples using the Qiagen

PowerSoil DNA Extraction Kit (formerly MoBio) following the manufacturer's protocol. A

preprocessing step of mechanical lysis was incorporated using a Bertin Technologies

Precellysis 24 machine for one round of a 40 second-cycle at 6000 rpm. The V4 region of the

bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified using small subunit 515 forward Golay-barcoded, and

SSU806 reverse primers following the Earth Microbiome Project protocol

(www.earthmicrobiome.org;(24)), and sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq platform (V2, 300

cycles; Illumina Inc., San Diego, USA). Sample metadata and sequence data for the current

study were deposited into *QIITA* (25) - an open-source management platform for research

studies with multiple 'omic datasets - under the project title *Mouse-hospital VRE colonization*

with ceftriaxone treatment (Study ID 11737). Further, primary derived data (e.g., BIOM tables)

used to produce results can be found within QIITA study ID 11737. Raw sequence data are

also available through the NCBI SRA, BioProject number: PRJNA590613

Amplicon 16S rRNA gene profiling analyses.

Sequence data were processed within the *QIITA* (v0.1.0) framework for quality control (split

libraries v. q2.1.9.1), demultiplexing, trimming sequence reads to a length of 150 nt, and

picking sub-operational taxonomic units (sOTUs) using *Deblur* (v1.1.0) to resolve single-

nucleotide community sequencing patterns (*i.e*. feature identification of sOTUs;(17)). The

output BIOM files were further processed using *QIIME2* (v2019.7) for downstream statistical

analyses(26). Alpha rarefaction curves were generated to determine if each sample had been

sequenced to saturation; the feature table was subsequently rarefied to 20,000 reads per sample.

Taxonomy was assigned using the *sklearn* classifier (27) and *Greengenes 13.8 99% OTUs from*

515F/806R region of sequences classifier available from https://docs.qiime2.org/2018.4/data-

resources/. Furthermore, relative abundances of each taxa were visualized as bar plots using

the QIIME2 *taxa* plugin. A phylogenetic tree was constructed using fragment-insertion

(*QIIME fragment-insertion sepp;* (28)) to guide phylogenetic-aware statistical analyses

generated using the *QIIME2* plugin, *q2-diversity core-metrics-phylogenetic*; key metrics

computed by default include both alpha-diversity (e.g., Shannon's diversity index, Faith's

phylogenetic diversity, and Evenness), and beta-diversity (e.g., Bray-Curtis distance, and

unweighted UniFrac distances) metrics. The unweighted UniFrac distance matrix (12) was

used to compute first distances and calculate distances relative to Day 0 as baseline between

sequential states (*QIIIME longitudinal first-distances*); *ggplot2* (R v3.6.0,

https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org) was used to visualize the distance scores as line plots. *Emperor*

was used to visualize principal coordinate analysis plots of unweighted UniFrac distances.

Permutation-based statistical testing (PERMANOVA) on unweighted UniFrac distances was

used to determine if samples grouped by *phase of experiment* were significantly different from

one another (*q2-beta-group-signifcance*). *Songbird* (https://github.com/mortonjt/songbird)

was employed to determine the importance (*i.e.,* fold change) of each sOTU in relation to a

given metadata variable (e.g., VREfm-colonisation). Microbial features from all samples were

split into training and test sets for supervised learning classifier analyses; 20% of input samples

were allocated to train the Random Forest Classifier within QIIME2, the estimator method

used for sample prediction. The different experimental phases were the response variables,

while the 16S rRNA gene data were the features.

Metabolite extraction and liquid chromatography tandem mass-spectrometry analysis.

Duplicate fecal samples, as outlined in Table 1, were processed for polar metabolite extraction and analysis (days 0, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 14). Feces were metabolically arrested by immediate collection into dry ice, and stored at -80°C until further processing. Metabolite extraction from the fecal samples was undertaken by the addition of 500 μL per sample of methanol:water solution (3:1 v/v) containing 2μM ^{13}C-sorbitol and 8μM ^{13}C,^{15}N-valine, and 2μM ^{13}C-leucine as internal standards. Fecal samples were homogenized at 1200 rpm for 30 minutes in a thermomixer maintained at 4°C, and mechanically disrupted and incubated for a further 15 minutes in the thermomixer. Samples were randomized for metabolite extraction.

Metabolite analysis of the extracted samples, pooled biological quality control (PBQC) samples, and 13 mixtures of authentic standards mixes was performed by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS) using hydrophilic interaction column (ZIC-pHILIC) and high-resolution Agilent 6545 series quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (QTOF MS) as described previously (29). PCoA of binary-Jaccard distances of test, standard mixes, and PBQC samples are presented in Supplementary Figure 5. Ions were analyzed in positive mode with full scan range of 85 to 1200 m/z and in data-dependent tandem MS mode to facilitate downstream metabolite identification. Mass spectrometry data were deposited into *QIITA* (25) under the project title *Mouse-hospital VRE colonization with ceftriaxone treatment* (Study ID 11737).

Metabolomic analyses.

The data-dependent tandem MS data was processed using *MZmine2* (v2.39) (30) to generate tabular matrices of metabolite features (i.e., m/z and retention time). Masses were detected, and chromatograms built using *Peak Detection* methods within *MZmine2*. Chromatograms were deconvoluted, and isotopic peaks grouped; grouped peaks were aligned using *join aligner*.

Peak list rows filter method was applied to the aligned peaks, and gaps filled using *peak finder*.

The following MZmine2 settings were applied for spectral processing; MS1 mass detection:

1E3; MS2 mass detection: 1E2; time span: 0.02; minimum height: 3E3; m/z tolerance: 10 ppm;

pairing m/z range for MS2: 0.1; RT range for MS2 scan: 2 min; minimum peak height: 7E3;

peak duration: 0.02 – 5.00, baseline: 5E3;0.001, or maximum chance: 2; Join Aligner: 75%

25% ratio split; intensity tolerance: 10%; and m/z tolerance: 5 ppm. Feature finding produced

a data matrix of MS1 features and associated peak areas. Feature-based molecular networking

outputs *(quant.csv)* were generated from *MZmine2* using the *export to GNPS* module, which

contains MS1 feature information and a corresponding *mgf* file containing MS2 information

linked to MS1 features. Metabolomic features were further analysed within the *Global Natural*

Products Social Networking (GNPS v1.2.5*; (13))* framework (UCSD, CA, USA). Tandem MS

data were processed for identification, dereplication and quantification, including spectral

library searches. For example, MS2 spectra of the unknown metabolites are compared with a

library of MS/MS spectra generated from structurally characterized metabolites. Further

information on the GNPS workflow, and molecular networking can be found in Ming *et al.,*

2016 (13). Further, manual interpretation – including, for example, determining molecular

formula of the chemical in neutral charge structure, determining theoretical monoisotopic

mass, and determining likely adduct - of MS/MS data was applied to identify unknown

features.

Neural networking to predict microbe-metabolite interactions.

Microbiota   and   metabolome   feature   tables   were   analyzed   using   *MMVEC*

((31)https://github.com/biocore/mmvec) to identify microbe-metabolite interactions through

their co-occurrence probabilities as predicted by neural networking. Conditional biplots were

generated using *Emperor*. Further, microbe abundances and metabolite log centered abundance

heatmaps were generated using primary derived data from multinomial regression analyses

using *Songbird* (https://github.com/mortonjt/songbird).

Acknowledgements

We thank the team at Metabolomics Australia (Bio21) mass spectrometry services. We thank

Dr. Daniel Petras (UCSD) for helpful discussions.

Funding

The project was supported by an Endeavour Research Fellowship (Australia Awards) for

collaborative research in the Department of Pediatrics at UCSD, CA, USA (ERF PDR

6735_2018) (AM). The project was also supported by the National Medical Research Council

of Australia, GNT1105525 (TPS) and GNT 1026656 (BPH). The funders had no role in study

design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

References

1. Arias CA, Murray BE. 2012. The rise of the *Enterococcus*: beyond vancomycin resistance.

Nat Rev Microbiol 10:266–278.

2. Panel GPPL. 2017. Global priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria to guide research,

discovery, and development of new antibiotics. World Health Organization.

3. Ubeda C, Taur Y, Jenq RR, Equinda MJ, Son T, Samstein M, Viale A, Socci ND, van den

Brink MR, Kamboj M, Pamer EG. 2010. Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* domination of

intestinal microbiota is enabled by antibiotic treatment in mice and precedes bloodstream

invasion in humans. J Clin Invest 120:4332–4341.

4. Caballero S, Kim S, Carter RA, Leiner IM, Sušac B, Miller L, Kim GJ, Ling L, Pamer EG.

2017. Cooperating commensals restore colonization resistance to Vancomycin-Resistant

Enterococcus faecium. Cell Host Microbe 21:592-602.e4.

5. Ubeda C, Bucci V, Caballero S, Djukovic A, Toussaint NC, Equinda M, Lipuma L, Ling L,

Gobourne A, No D, Taur Y, Jenq RR, van den Brink MR, Xavier JB, Pamer EG. 2013.

Intestinal microbiota containing *Barnesiella* species cures Vancomycin-Resistant

Enterococcus faecium colonization. Infect Immun 81:965–973.

6. Kim SG, Becattini S, Moody TU, Shliaha PV, Littmann ER, Seok R, Gjonbalaj M, Eaton V,

Fontana E, Amoretti L, Wright R, Caballero S, Wang Z-MX, Jung H-J, Morjaria SM, Leiner

IM, Qin W, Ramos RJJF, Cross JR, Narushima S, Honda K, Peled JU, Hendrickson RC, Taur

Y, Brink MRM van den, Pamer EG. 2019. Microbiota-derived lantibiotic restores resistance

against vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus*. Nature 572:665–669.

7. Taur Y, Xavier JB, Lipuma L, Ubeda C, Goldberg J, Gobourne A, Lee YJ, Dubin KA, Socci

ND, Viale A, Perales M-A, Jenq RR, van den Brink MR, Pamer EG. 2012. Intestinal

domination and the risk of bacteremia in patients undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic stem

Cell Transplantation. Clin Infect Dis 55:905–914.

8. Hildebrand F, Moitinho-Silva L, Blasche S, Jahn MT, Gossmann TI, Huerta-Cepas J, Hercog

R, Luetge M, Bahram M, Pryszlak A, Alves RJ, Waszak SM, Zhu A, Ye L, Costea PI, Aalvink

S, Belzer C, Forslund SK, Sunagawa S, Hentschel U, Merten C, Patil KR, Benes V, Bork P.

2019. Antibiotics-induced monodominance of a novel gut bacterial order. Gut 68:1781.

9. Brandl K, Plitas G, Mihu CN, Ubeda C, Jia T, Fleisher M, Schnabl B, DeMatteo RP, Pamer

EG. 2008. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci exploit antibiotic-induced innate immune

deficits. Nature 455:804–807.

10. Mundt JO. 1963. Occurrence of enterococci in animals in a wild environment. Appl

Microbiol 11.

11. Stinear TP, Olden DC, Johnson PD, Davies JK, Grayson ML. 2001. Enterococcal vanB

resistance locus in anaerobic bacteria in human faeces. Lancet 357:855–856.

12. Lozupone C, Knight R. 2005. UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing

microbial communities. Appl Environ Microb 71:8228–8235.

13. Wang M, Carver JJ, Phelan VV, Sanchez LM, Garg N, Peng Y, Nguyen DD, Watrous J,

Kapono CA, Luzzatto-Knaan T, Porto C, Bouslimani A, Melnik AV, Meehan MJ, Liu W-T,

Crüsemann M, Boudreau PD, Esquenazi E, Sandoval-Calderón M, Kersten RD, Pace LA,

Quinn RA, Duncan KR, Hsu C-C, Floros DJ, Gavilan RG, Kleigrewe K, Northen T, Dutton

RJ, Parrot D, Carlson EE, Aigle B, Michelsen CF, Jelsbak L, Sohlenkamp C, Pevzner P, Edlund

A, McLean J, Piel J, Murphy BT, Gerwick L, Liaw C-C, Yang Y-L, Humpf H-U, Maansson

M, Keyzers RA, Sims AC, Johnson AR, Sidebottom AM, Sedio BE, Klitgaard A, Larson CB,

P CAB, Torres-Mendoza D, Gonzalez DJ, Silva DB, Marques LM, Demarque DP, Pociute E,

O'Neill EC, Briand E, Helfrich EJN, Granatosky EA, Glukhov E, Ryffel F, Houson H,

Mohimani H, Kharbush JJ, Zeng Y, Vorholt JA, Kurita KL, Charusanti P, McPhail KL, Nielsen

KF, Vuong L, Elfeki M, Traxler MF, Engene N, Koyama N, Vining OB, Baric R, Silva RR,

Mascuch SJ, Tomasi S, Jenkins S, Macherla V, Hoffman T, Agarwal V, Williams PG, Dai J,

Neupane R, Gurr J, Rodríguez AMC, Lamsa A, Zhang C, Dorrestein K, Duggan BM, Almaliti

J, Allard P-M, Phapale P, Nothias L-F, Alexandrov T, Litaudon M, Wolfender J-L, Kyle JE,

Metz TO, Peryea T, Nguyen D-T, VanLeer D, Shinn P, Jadhav A, Müller R, Waters KM, Shi

W, Liu X, Zhang L, Knight R, Jensen PR, Palsson BØ, Pogliano K, Linington RG, Gutiérrez

M, Lopes NP, Gerwick WH, Moore BS, Dorrestein PC, Bandeira N. 2016. Sharing and

community curation of mass spectrometry data with Global Natural Products Social Molecular Networking. Nat Biotechnol 34:828–837.

14. Karki S, Houston L, Land G, Bass P, Kehoe R, Borrell S, Watson K, Spelman D, Kennon J, Harrington G, Cheng AC. 2012. Prevalence and risk factors for VRE colonisation in a tertiary hospital in Melbourne, Australia: a cross sectional study. Antimicrob Resist In 1:31.

15. Dubin K, Pamer EG. 2017. Enterococci and their interactions with the intestinal microbiome. Microbiol Spectr 5.

16. Morton JT, Marotz C, Washburne A, Silverman J, Zaramela LS, Edlund A, Zengler K, Knight R. 2019. Establishing microbial composition measurement standards with reference frames. Nat Commun 10:2719.

17. Amir A, McDonald D, Navas-Molina JA, Kopylova E, Morton JT, Xu ZZ, Kightley EP, Thompson LR, Hyde ER, Gonzalez A, Knight R. 2017. Deblur rapidly resolves single-nucleotide community sequence patterns. mSystems 2:e00191-16.

18. Morrison DJ, Preston T. 2016. Formation of short chain fatty acids by the gut microbiota and their impact on human metabolism. Gut Microbes 7:189–200.

19. Dubin KA, Mathur D, McKenney PT, Taylor BP, Littmann ER, Peled JU, van den Brink MR, Taur Y, Pamer EG, Xavier JB. 2019. Diversification and evolution of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* during intestinal domination. Infect Immun 87.

20. Buffie CG, Pamer EG. 2013. Microbiota-mediated colonization resistance against intestinal pathogens. Nat Rev Immunol 13:790–801.

21. Sorbara MT, Dubin K, Littmann ER, Moody TU, Fontana E, Seok R, Leiner IM, Taur Y,

Peled JU, Brink MRM van den, Litvak Y, Bäumler AJ, Chaubard J-L, Pickard AJ, Cross JR,

Pamer EG. 2018. Inhibiting antibiotic-resistant Enterobacteriaceae by microbiota-mediated

intracellular acidification. J Exp Medicine 216:84–98.

22. Lawhon SD, Maurer R, Suyemoto M, Altier C. 2002. Intestinal short-chain fatty acids alter

Salmonella typhimurium invasion gene expression and virulence through BarA/SirA. Mol

Microbiol 46:1451–1464.

23. Gantois I, Ducatelle R, Pasmans F, Haesebrouck F, Hautefort I, Thompson A, Hinton JC,

Immerseel FV. 2006. Butyrate specifically down-regulates *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 1

gene expression. Appl Environ Microb 72:946–949.

24. Thompson LR, Sanders JG, McDonald D, Amir A, Ladau J, Locey KJ, Prill RJ, Tripathi

A, Gibbons SM, Ackermann G, Navas-Molina JA, Janssen S, Kopylova E, Vázquez-Baeza Y,

González A, Morton JT, Mirarab S, Xu ZZ, Jiang L, Haroon MF, Kanbar J, Zhu Q, Song SJ,

Kosciolek T, Bokulich NA, Lefler J, Brislawn CJ, Humphrey G, Owens SM, Hampton-Marcell

J, Berg-Lyons D, McKenzie V, Fierer N, Fuhrman JA, Clauset A, Stevens RL, Shade A,

Pollard KS, Goodwin KD, Jansson JK, Gilbert JA, Knight R, Rivera JLA, Al-Moosawi L,

Alverdy J, Amato KR, Andras J, Angenent LT, Antonopoulos DA, Apprill A, Armitage D,

Ballantine K, Bárta J, Baum JK, Berry A, Bhatnagar A, Bhatnagar M, Biddle JF, Bittner L,

Boldgiv B, Bottos E, Boyer DM, Braun J, Brazelton W, Brearley FQ, Campbell AH, Caporaso

JG, Cardona C, Carroll J, Cary SC, Casper BB, Charles TC, Chu H, Claar DC, Clark RG,

Clayton JB, Clemente JC, Cochran A, Coleman ML, Collins G, Colwell RR, Contreras M,

Crary BB, Creer S, Cristol DA, Crump BC, Cui D, Daly SE, Davalos L, Dawson RD, Defazio

J, Delsuc F, Dionisi HM, Dominguez-Bello MG, Dowell R, Dubinsky EA, Dunn PO, Ercolini

D, Espinoza RE, Ezenwa V, Fenner N, Findlay HS, Fleming ID, Fogliano V, Forsman A,

Freeman C, Friedman ES, Galindo G, Garcia L, Garcia-Amado MA, Garshelis D, Gasser RB,

Gerdts G, Gibson MK, Gifford I, Gill RT, Giray T, Gittel A, Golyshin P, Gong D, Grossart H-

P, Guyton K, Haig S-J, Hale V, Hall RS, Hallam SJ, Handley KM, Hasan NA, Haydon SR,

Hickman JE, Hidalgo G, Hofmockel KS, Hooker J, Hulth S, Hultman J, Hyde E, Ibáñez-Álamo

JD, Jastrow JD, Jex AR, Johnson LS, Johnston ER, Joseph S, Jurburg SD, Jurelevicius D,

Karlsson A, Karlsson R, Kauppinen S, Kellogg CTE, Kennedy SJ, Kerkhof LJ, King GM,

Kling GW, Koehler AV, Krezalek M, Kueneman J, Lamendella R, Landon EM, Lane-deGraaf

K, LaRoche J, Larsen P, Laverock B, Lax S, Lentino M, Levin II, Liancourt P, Liang W, Linz

AM, Lipson DA, Liu Y, Lladser ME, Lozada M, Spirito CM, MacCormack WP, MacRae-

Crerar A, Magris M, Martín-Platero AM, Martín-Vivaldi M, Martínez LM, Martínez-Bueno

M, Marzinelli EM, Mason OU, Mayer GD, McDevitt-Irwin JM, McDonald JE, McGuire KL,

McMahon KD, McMinds R, Medina M, Mendelson JR, Metcalf JL, Meyer F, Michelangeli F,

Miller K, Mills DA, Minich J, Mocali S, Moitinho-Silva L, Moore A, Morgan-Kiss RM,

Munroe P, Myrold D, Neufeld JD, Ni Y, Nicol GW, Nielsen S, Nissimov JI, Niu K, Nolan MJ,

Noyce K, O'Brien SL, Okamoto N, Orlando L, Castellano YO, Osuolale O, Oswald W, Parnell

J, Peralta-Sánchez JM, Petraitis P, Pfister C, Pilon-Smits E, Piombino P, Pointing SB, Pollock

FJ, Potter C, Prithiviraj B, Quince C, Rani A, Ranjan R, Rao S, Rees AP, Richardson M,

Riebesell U, Robinson C, Rockne KJ, Rodriguezl SM, Rohwer F, Roundstone W, Safran RJ,

Sangwan N, Sanz V, Schrenk M, Schrenzel MD, Scott NM, Seger RL, Seguin-Orlando A,

Seldin L, Seyler LM, Shakhsheer B, Sheets GM, Shen C, Shi Y, Shin H, Shogan BD, Shutler

D, Siegel J, Simmons S, Sjöling S, Smith DP, Soler JJ, Sperling M, Steinberg PD, Stephens B,

Stevens MA, Taghavi S, Tai V, Tait K, Tan CL, Taṣ N, Taylor DL, Thomas T, Timling I,

Turner BL, Urich T, Ursell LK, Lelie D van der, Treuren WV, Zwieten L van, Vargas-Robles

D, Thurber RV, Vitaglione P, Walker DA, Walters WA, Wang S, Wang T, Weaver T, Webster

NS, Wehrle B, Weisenhorn P, Weiss S, Werner JJ, West K, Whitehead A, Whitehead SR,

Whittingham LA, Willerslev E, Williams AE, Wood SA, Woodhams DC, Yang Y, Zaneveld

J, Zarraonaindia I, Zhang Q, Zhao H. 2017. A communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale

microbial diversity. Nature 551:457–463.

25. Gonzalez A, Navas-Molina JA, Kosciolek T, McDonald D, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Ackermann

G, DeReus J, Janssen S, Swafford AD, Orchanian SB, Sanders JG, Shorenstein J, Holste H,

Petrus S, Robbins-Pianka A, Brislawn CJ, Wang M, Rideout JR, Bolyen E, Dillon M, Caporaso

JG, Dorrestein PC, Knight R. 2018. Qiita: rapid, web-enabled microbiome meta-analysis. Nat

Methods 15:796–798.

26. Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, Alexander H,

Alm EJ, Arumugam M, Asnicar F, Bai Y, Bisanz JE, Bittinger K, Brejnrod A, Brislawn CJ,

Brown CT, Callahan BJ, Caraballo-Rodríguez AM, Chase J, Cope EK, Silva RD, Diener C,

Dorrestein PC, Douglas GM, Durall DM, Duvallet C, Edwardson CF, Ernst M, Estaki M,

Fouquier J, Gauglitz JM, Gibbons SM, Gibson DL, Gonzalez A, Gorlick K, Guo J, Hillmann

B, Holmes S, Holste H, Huttenhower C, Huttley GA, Janssen S, Jarmusch AK, Jiang L, Kaehler

BD, Kang KB, Keefe CR, Keim P, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koester I, Kosciolek T, Kreps J,

Langille MGI, Lee J, Ley R, Liu Y-X, Loftfield E, Lozupone C, Maher M, Marotz C, Martin

BD, McDonald D, McIver LJ, Melnik AV, Metcalf JL, Morgan SC, Morton JT, Naimey AT,

Navas-Molina JA, Nothias LF, Orchanian SB, Pearson T, Peoples SL, Petras D, Preuss ML,

Pruesse E, Rasmussen LB, Rivers A, Robeson MS, Rosenthal P, Segata N, Shaffer M, Shiffer

A, Sinha R, Song SJ, Spear JR, Swafford AD, Thompson LR, Torres PJ, Trinh P, Tripathi A,

Turnbaugh PJ, Ul-Hasan S, Hooft JJJ van der, Vargas F, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Vogtmann E,

Hippel M von, Walters W, Wan Y, Wang M, Warren J, Weber KC, Williamson CHD, Willis

AD, Xu ZZ, Zaneveld JR, Zhang Y, Zhu Q, Knight R, Caporaso JG. 2019. Reproducible,

interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol

37:852–857.

27. Pedregosa F, Varoquaux G, Gramfort A, Michel V, Thirion B, Grisel O, Blondel M, Müller

A, Nothman J, Louppe G, Prettenhofer P, Weiss R, Dubourg V, Vanderplas J, Passos A,

Cournapeau D, Brucher M, Perrot M, Duchesnay É. 2012. Scikit-learn: machine learning in

python.

28. Janssen S, McDonald D, Gonzalez A, Navas-Molina JA, Jiang L, Xu ZZ, Winker K, Kado

DM, Orwoll E, Manary M, Mirarab S, Knight R. 2018. Phylogenetic placement of exact

amplicon sequences improves associations with clinical information. mSystems 3:e00021-18.

29. Masukagami Y, Nijagal B, Mahdizadeh S, Tseng C-W, Dayalan S, Tivendale KA,

Markham PF, Browning GF, Sansom FM. 2019. A combined metabolomic and bioinformatic

approach to investigate the function of transport proteins of the important pathogen

Mycoplasma bovis. Vet Microbiol 234:8–16.

30. Pluskal T, Castillo S, Villar-Briones A, Orešič M. 2010. MZmine 2: Modular framework

for processing, visualizing, and analyzing mass spectrometry-based molecular profile data.

BMC Bioinformatics 11:395.

31. Morton JT, Aksenov AA, Nothias LF, Foulds JR, Quinn RA, Badri MH, Swenson TL,

Goethem MWV, Northen TR, Vazquez-Baeza Y, Wang M, Bokulich NA, Watters A, Song SJ,

Bonneau R, Dorrestein PC, Knight R. 2019. Learning representations of microbe–metabolite

interactions. Nat Methods 1–9.

Day of experiment	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Phase of experiment*	N	N	N	N	N	N	Abx-Tx	Abx-Tx	Abx-Wn	VRE-E	VRE-E	VRE-E	VRE-E	VRE-L	VRE-L
Amplicon 16S rRNA gene data	✓	✓	✓	-	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	✓	✓	✓
Metabolomics	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	✓
Avg observed sOTUs#	210	220	220	-	-	225	112	84	93	30	-	-	22	34	53

Table 1: Summary of samples analyzed in this study

Notes: *The key phases of the experiment where, N represents Naïve; Abx-Tx represents Antibiotic treatment; Abx-Wn represents Antibiotic weaning; VRE-E represents Early-phase post-VREfm colonisation; and VRE-L represents Late-phase post-VREfm colonization; #The average number of sOTUs observed across all mice for each day of the experiment; '✓' Sample processed; '-' Data unavailable

Figures

Figure 1 Biodiversity plot of sOTUs as relative frequencies at the taxonomic level of Class.
First-order shifts in microbial community composition, as revealed by 16S rRNA gene
community profiling, from a predominance of Bacteroidetes to Tenericutes and return to
Bacteroidetes was observed. Each column displays the relative bacterial community
composition in a mouse faecal sample collected daily and sorted by the chronology of the
experiment (i.e., Day of experiment; Table 1). The columns are further sorted by group (i.e.,
Group A, Group B, and Group C) and individual mice within each group (mouse 1, mouse 2,
and mouse 3). Stacked bars are presented as relative frequencies at the taxonomical level of
Class, however, annotations of key taxa are at the phyla level (Bacteroidetes, green; Firmicutes,
grey; and Tenericutes, fuschia) or Order level (Lactobacillales, yellow).

A
Axis 2 (14.95%)

Antibiotic treated

Post-VRE colonisation

Naïve

Axis 1 (41.66%)

C

Group A
Group B
Group C

Group A
Group B
Group C

Fig ed UniFrac

dist re-VREfm

col function to

hig confidence

intervals. Principal coordinate axis 1 explains 41.66% of the variation observed between the

naïve microbiota and those from the post-VREfm colonisation phase. **(B)** Community richness

of the murine gut microbiome, as measured by Faith's Phylogenetic Diversity, in response to

ceftriaxone treatment and challenge with VREfm; **(C)** community dissimilarity distances, as

calculated by unweighted UniFrac, of each time point relative to day 0 (naïve phase).

Posit	
Enter	
Bacte	
Erysip	
Catab	
Lachn	
Nega	
Clostr	
Adler	
Mollicutes	-3.8392
Peptostreptococcaceae	-3.7357
Clostridiales	-3.5084

Figure 3 Multinomial regression. Multinomial regression identified an *Enterococci* exact sequence variant as the most positively associated with the colonisation phase (log-fold change score of 1.6693). Read counts for the *Enterococci*-ESV tracked daily across the experiment showing high abundance during days of VREfm challenge.

Figure 4 Metabolomic analyses. (A) Emperor plot displaying principal coordinate analysis of

binary Jaccard distances of metabolomic profiles. Samples represent (colour coded) the naïve

(orange), antibiotic-treatment (red), antibiotic-weaning (blue), early-VRE colonisation (green),

and late-VREfm colonisation (purple) phases. **(B)** Random Forest classifier identifying

metabolite features (spectra) for each phase of the experiment. Heatmap is colour-coded from

low ranking score (white; i.e., lowest importance) to high ranking score (dark blue; highest

importance). Metabolite features are labelled by their mass-charge ratios and retention times for the reason that current databases do not capture their chemical structure and/or identifications. **(C)** Peak quantification values for feature 6325 (m/z= 172.0671 RT = 18.39) present in abundance during *VRE colonisation late* (phase 4). **(D)** Peak quantification values for ceftriaxone (m/z = 555.0537 RT = 13.30) tracked across the experiment. Ceftriaxone values are highest during *antibiotic treatment* (phase 2) and begins to wane during *antibiotic wean* (phase 3).

Figure 5 Microbe-metabolite vector biplots. Sequential biplots highlighting the changing

metabolite differentials across each key phase of the experiment; where *abx tx* corresponds to

the *antibiotic treatment* phase, and *abx wean* corresponds to the period where antibiotics were

removed for a 24-hour period prior to colonisation with VREfm. Each point on the sample

space represents metabolites, and arrows represent microbes. Microbe and metabolite features

are fixed upon the sample space, with gradient coloring of metabolites indicating the transition

across key phases of the experiment. The distance between each point is indicative of

metabolite co-occurrence frequency, and the angle between arrows indicate microbial co-

occurrence. The directionality of the arrows describes the variation in the metabolites explained

the microbes represented by the arrows. For example, metabolite feature 6325 (m/z 173.067

RT 18.392) is demonstrated to co-occur with *Bacteroides*. Information about the abundances

of these co-occurring features are provided as heatmaps in Supplementary Figure 6.

Supplementary figures

Supplementary Figure 1 Alpha rarefaction plot of all samples rarefied to 20,000 reads per

sample.

725 **Supplementary Figure 2** PERMANOVA testing (999 permutations) on unweighted UniFrac

726 distances (16S rRNA gene data) relative to samples from the naïve phase. P-values for pairwise

727 PERMANOVA testing given in parentheses for the following phases of the experiment: naïve

728 – antibiotic treatment (0.001); naïve – antibiotic treatment (0.001); naïve – infection (0.001);

729 antibiotic treatment – antibiotic wean (0.025).

743 **Supplementary Figure 3** Emperor plot of procrustes analysis of unweighted (blue) and

744 weighted (red) UniFrac distance metrices.

760 **Supplementary Figure 4** Pairwise PERMANOVA testing (999 permutations) on binary

761 Jaccard distances (metabolome data) relative to samples from the naïve phase. While naïve and

762 late-VRE samples are significantly different, late-VRE has a lower distance to naïve compared

763 to Abx txt, Abx wean and early-VRE samples.

779 **Supplementary Figure 5 Emperor visualization displaying samples assayed for**

780 **metabolomics.** Principal coordinate analysis plot of distances between each sample based on

781 their overlapping molecules as measured by Binary Jaccard. Samples assayed include pooled

782 biological quality control samples (purple), and samples of known standard metabolite

783 mixtures (green). The general metabolome profile of test samples is retained.

Supplementary Figure 6 Paired feature abundance heatmaps. (A) Microbe abundances

and **(B)** metabolite log centered abundances across each phase of the experiment. Heatmaps

are aligned along the x-axis (phase of experiment); y-axis displays microbe and metabolite

abundances.