Microbe-metabolite associations linked to the rebounding murine gut microbiome

post-colonization with vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus faecium*

Andre Mu[a,b,c], Glen P. Carter[a], Lucy Li[a], Nicole S. Isles[a,c], Alison F. Vrbanac[d,e], James T.

Morton[f], David P. De Souza[g], Vinod K. Narayana[g], Komal Kanojia[h], Brunda Nijagal[g],

Malcolm J. McConville[g,i], Rob Knight[d,e,j,k], Benjamin P. Howden[a,b,c] and Timothy P.

Stinear[a,b]#

[a]Department of Microbiology and Immunology at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and

Immunity, University of Melbourne, Australia

[b]Doherty Applied Microbial Genomics, Department of Microbiology and Immunology at the

Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Australia

[c]Microbiological Diagnostic Unit Public Health Laboratory, at the Peter Doherty Institute for

Infection and Immunity, University of Melbourne, Australia

[d]Department of Pediatrics, University of California San Diego, USA

[e]Department of Computer Science & Engineering, University of California San Diego, USA

[f]Flatiron Institute, Centre for Computational Biology, USA

[g]Metabolomics Australia, Bio21 Institute of Molecular Science and Biotechnology, University

of Melbourne, Australia

[h]Centre for Biostatistics and Clinical Trials, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Victoria,

Australia

[i]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Melbourne, Australia

[j]Department of Bioengineering, University of California San Diego, USA

[k]Center for Microbiome Innovation, University of California San Diego, USA

#Address correspondence to Professor Timothy P. Stinear, tstinear@unimelb.edu.au

B.P.H and T.P.S contributed equally to this work.

Word count: 2,473

Keywords

Microbiome, multi-omics, metagenomics, metabolomics, gut microbiome, vancomycin

resistant *Enterococci*, colonization, antimicrobial resistance

Abstract

Vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (VREfm) is an emerging antibiotic resistant

pathogen. Strain-level investigations are beginning to reveal the molecular mechanisms used

by VREfm to colonize regions of the human bowel. However, the role of commensal bacteria

during VREfm colonization, in particular following antibiotic treatment, remains largely

unknown. We employed amplicon 16S rRNA gene sequencing and metabolomics in a murine

model system to try and investigate functional roles of the gut microbiome during VREfm

colonization. First-order taxonomic shifts in gut microbial community composition were

detected both in response to pretreatment using ceftriaxone, and to subsequent VREfm

challenge. Using neural networking approaches to find co-occurrence profiles of bacteria and

metabolites, we detected key metabolome features during and after VREfm colonization. These

metabolite features were associated with *Bacteroides,* indicative of a transition towards a pre-

antibiotic naïve microbiome. This study shows the impacts of antibiotics on the gut ecosystem,

and the progression of the microbiome in response to colonisation with VREfm. Our results

offer insights towards identifying potential non-antibiotic alternatives to eliminate VREfm

through metabolic re-engineering to preferentially select for *Bacteroides*.

Importance

This study demonstrates the importance and power of linking bacterial composition profiling

with metabolomics to find the interactions between commensal gut bacteria and a specific

pathogen. Knowledge from this research will inform gut microbiome engineering strategies,

with the aim of translating observations from animal models to human-relevant therapeutic

applications.

Introduction

Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (VREfm) is a significant healthcare-associated

pathogen. VREfm infections can be difficult to treat due to their intrinsic and acquired

resistance to nearly all classes of antibiotics (1). The World Health Organisation categorizes

VREfm as a "High Priority" bacterial pathogen, advocating research to stop the global increase

in antibiotic resistance (2). Recent studies highlight the importance of the gut microbiota in

modulating the growth and virulence of VRE*fm* in the gastrointestinal ecosystem. For instance,

the depletion of normal gut flora using antibiotics exacerbates the severity of VREfm infection

(3), whereas transplant of commensal species including a consortium of *Clostridium bolteae*,

Blautia producta, *Blautia sartorii*, and *Parabacteroides distasonis* (4, 5) can drive established

VREfm colonisation to below levels of culture detection. Specifically, *B. producta* – a

colonizer of the colon – reduces VREfm growth *in vivo* by secreting a lantibiotic (6). These

observations raise the intriguing possibility that metabolic traits act in concert between

pathogen and select gut commensals to confer mutual benefits during pathogen persistence.

These findings also highlight the greater risk posed to immunocompromised patients when

colonized with VREfm. For instance, allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation patients

have gastrointestinal tracts that are dominated by VREfm as a result of losing a large portion

of the intestinal commensal microbiota upon receiving broad-spectrum antibiotics as pre-

treatment(7). Hildebrand et al., (2018) discovered long-term ecological impacts to the gut

microbiome, with strong bacterial species turnover, after ceftriaxone treatment in humans (8).

Further, mice receiving broad spectrum antibiotics (combination of metronidazole, neomycin,

and vancomycin) showed markedly increased VREfm colonization of the caecum and colon.

The compromised intestinal innate immune defenses in these animals allowed proliferation of

VREfm caused by the antibiotic exposure and subsequently reduced the expression of

antimicrobial molecules produced by bacteria in the intestinal mucosa(9).

The problem with VREfm is further complicated by the fact that *Enterococci* are

members of the gastrointestinal tract microbiota; a key reservoir of antimicrobial resistance

(AMR) genes, and potentially facilitating gene transfer within the gut microbiome(10). For

example, the *vanB* resistance gene was detected in human faecal specimens that did not contain

culturable VRE; and instead, demonstrated that isolates carrying the resistance transposon are

anaerobic commensal bacteria, *Eggerthella lenta* and *Clostridium innocuum*(11). Colonisation

of, and persistence in, the gastrointestinal tract therefore presents as a key mechanism for *de*

novo VRE and may lead to severe invasive disease.

This current study aimed to understand the impact of antibiotics on the murine gut microbiota,

and the subsequent colonisation pattern of VREfm. To this extent, we designed a murine model

time-series study that consisted of two main perturbative phases: (1) antibiotic pre-treatment

with ceftriaxone, and (2) VREfm challenge. Our 16S rRNA profiling analyses highlighted a

first-order shift in bacterial biodiversity composition across time, a second-order clustering of

samples associated with the experimental phases, and the transition of the post-VREfm

colonisation gut microbiota and its metabolome towards resembling an asymptomatic carriage-

like microbiome phenotype. This research provides support for engineering the metabolic

potential of the gut microbiome using, for example, prebiotics as a non-antibiotic alternative

for treating multi-drug resistant bacterial infections.

Results

Experimental design

The following experimental design was developed to address the hypothesis that there are

specific murine gut microbiome factors that facilitates VREfm colonization; three groups of

three C57BL/6 mice (co-caged wildtype males) were monitored, and fecal samples collected,

over a fourteen-day period with two intervention time-points; including (1) a two-day

ceftriaxone treatment administered at 0.5g/L in drinking water, and (2) colonisation (via oral-

gavage) with 1 x 10^6 VREfm ST796 per mice post-antibiotic treatment. Mice were housed in

groups of three to represent three technical replicates per cage; herein, each group of three co-

housed mice will be referred to as Group A, Group B, and Group C. Table 1 highlights samples

and datasets collected.

Amplicon 16S rRNA gene sequencing revealed first order shifts in bacterial community

composition.

Amplicon 16S rRNA gene sequencing was performed to capture the bacterial community

composition in an effort to track changes in response to antibiotic pre-treatment and VREfm

colonization. Bacterial community profiles were assessed in fecal samples from nine mice

before, during and after the two interventions (Table 1). A total of 71.32% of reads (10,519,073

reads) passed quality control, with 321,955 reads on average per sample and a total of 3574

exact variant sequence types (i.e., features), with an average of 118 features per sample, and

an upper bound of 246 features (when rarefied to 20,000 reads). Alpha rarefaction analysis

demonstrated sufficient sequencing depth to capture microbial diversity to saturation

(Supplementary Figure 1).

The biodiversity profiles of each sample were compared and showed that key sOTUs

were differentially abundant throughout the course of the experiment. Of note, there was a shift

in the dominance of Bacteroidia (Bacteroidetes; light green coloured bars) during the naïve

phase of the experiment to Mollicutes (Tenericutes; pink coloured bars) in response to

ceftriaxone treatment, with a return to the predominance of Bacteroidia during the late-phase

of the experiment, post-VREfm colonisation (i.e., days 12 – 14).

The murine gut microbiota responds to antibiotics and microbial community richness begins

to rebound three-days post-VRE colonisation

Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of the unweighted UniFrac(12) distances was used to

assess clustering of fecal samples based on bacterial composition. This assessment showed that

the fecal microbiota from samples collected from each phase clustered together but were

clearly separated between phases; after exposure to ceftriaxone, and challenge with VREfm

post-antibiotic treatment (Figure 2A). Permutation-based statistical testing demonstrates the

groups are significantly different from one another (Supplementary Figure 2). Temporal

tracking of the changing microbiomes against each mouse on the PCoA sample-space

demonstrated a clear, unidirectional trajectory that followed the chronology of the experiment

(animation as a supplementary file). Procrustes analyses of weighted and unweighted UniFrac

distances showed that the same general patterns on the sample space were preserved, meaning

that there is congruency in global spatial patterns between qualitative and quantitative measures

of community dissimilarity (Supplementary Figure 3).

Analysis of community diversity (Faith's Phylogenetic Diversity Index) revealed a

stable and rich microbial community during the naïve phase preceding a sharp decrease

following antibiotic treatment, and a further decrease immediately following VREfm

colonisation (Figure 2B). Of note is the responsiveness of the microbiota (within 24 hours) to

the removal of antibiotics at the end of day 7. Community richness began to rebound at

approximately three-days post-VREfm colonisation (i.e., day 12), with Group A demonstrating

a faster rate of rebound compared to Group B and C. Calculating the distances of dissimilarity

(unweighted UniFrac distances) of each mouse microbiota timepoint relative to Day 0 (a proxy

for the naive bacterial community phenotype) revealed a small dissimilarity distance for

samples collected during the naïve phase, and an increasing dissimilarity distance following

antibiotic treatment (day 6) and VREfm colonisation (day 9; Figure 2C). There was a

downward trajectory in distance scores at three-days post-VREfm colonisation (i.e., day 12);

Group A followed a sharper return to a microbiota resembling day 0. These observations

suggest that mice were transitioning towards a persistent carrier-like state, and that the

rebounding community richness towards levels representative of the naïve phase was by a

microbial community structure that resembled the naïve phase. Additional studies, where the

time-frame post-VREfm challenge extends beyond one-week of monitoring, are needed.

Multinomial regression identifies sOTUs most positively associated with VREfm colonisation

Multinomial regression using *Songbird* was employed to identify sOTUs that were most

positively, and negatively, associated with the post-VREfm colonisation phase (Figure 3). The

five most positively associated sOTUs were *Enterococcus*, *Bacteroides*, *Erysipelotrichaceae*,

Catabacter, and *Lachnospiraceae*; while the five most negatively associated were

Clostridiales, Adlercreutzia, *Mollicutes, Peptostreptococcaceae*, and *Clostridiales*. Temporal

tracking of exact sequence variants (ESV) demonstrated that the ESV feature classified as

Enterococcus - and identified as the most positively associated with the post-VREfm

colonisation phase - was most abundant on days of challenge; confirming that this ESV likely

was the ST796 VREfm colonization challenge organisms. There were a further eight ESV

features classified as *Enterococcus*, however, they were absent during the days representing

VREfm colonisation, and lacked positive associations with the post-VREfm colonisation

phase, suggesting that these features represent murine gut commensal Enterococci.

Molecular networking identifies differential metabolome profiles

Duplicate fecal samples from key timepoints throughout the experiment (i.e., days 0, 5, 6, 7, 8,

9, and 14) were analysed by data dependent tandem mass spectrometry (MSMS) performed on

a liquid-chromatography quadrupole time-of-flight (LC-QTOF) to monitor changes in the

murine gut metabolome (Table 1). Mass spectrometry in both positive and negative polarity

identified 627 spectra with library hits through the Global Natural Products Social Molecular

Networking (GNPS;(13)). Analysis of the global metabolome profile of each sample was

measured based on their overlapping molecules, and a PCoA plot using a binary Jaccard

distance metric; a qualitative measure of sample dissimilarity. A separation of metabolite

profiles along PCoA1 was observed (57.34% Figure 4A). Metabolomes from the naïve, and

late-VREfm colonisation phase tended to cluster together, while samples from the post-

antibiotic phases including the early-VREfm colonisation phase clustered together

(Supplementary Figure 4 with supporting pairwise PERMANOVA testing).

Random forest analysis of spectra profiles from LC-MSMS was used to predict

experimental phase and rank the importance of metabolite association with each experimental

phase. The top metabolite features for each experimental phase are highlighted in Figure 4B.

Unique profiles of metabolite features were observed for each phase of the experiment.

Importantly, the late-VREfm colonisation phase captures an unknown metabolite with a mass-

to-charge ratio (m/z) of 173.067 and retention time (RT) of 18.392; this metabolite is exclusively present during what represents the *transition* towards resembling the naïve microbiome.

Bacteroidales-associated metabolites implicated in late-phase post-VREfm colonisation

A distinct profile shift in microbe and metabolite abundances (as calculated by multinomial regression) was observed, particularly during late-phase VREfm colonisation (Supplementary Figure 5). Shallow neural networking analysis with *mmvec* was used to predict microbe-metabolite interactions through their co-occurrence probabilities (Figure 5). Sequential biplots captured the shift in experimental phases and highlighted the co-occurrences of microbiota and metabolomic datasets (Fig. 5A,B). There was a strong *Enterococcus* effect as indicated by the magnitude of the corresponding arrow, and the rebounding species during the late-phase VREfm colonisation are predominantly *Bacteroidales* sOTUs (Figure 5C); with the co-occurring metabolite features m/z 173.067 RT 18.392, and m/z 167.083 RT 25.277. Metabolite feature mz 245.055 RT 7.945 was ranked as being highly associated with the post-VRE colonisation phase. These results integrate microbial and metabolite datasets to suggest which microbes may be responsible for a particular metabolite. In this instance, the metabolite exclusively present during what a *transition* towards the original naïve microbiome resembles (m/z 173.067 and RT 18.392; Figure 5B) is linked with *Bacteroidales*.

Discussion

In this study of the murine gut ecosystem, we employed a mouse model of gastrointestinal tract colonisation that replicates the shift in bacterial composition when patients enter the healthcare system, develop an imbalance in microbiome as a result of pre-treatment (e.g., antibiotic treatment), and are subsequently colonised with a hospital superbug (14). The resolution of

current studies describes a consortium of commensal microbes that can, for example, reduce

the magnitude of VREfm colonisation (4, 6); however, understanding the key metabolic shifts

relative to the gut microbiota remains challenging (15). Here, we employed amplicon 16S

rRNA gene sequencing and high-resolution mass spectrometry metabolomics in an effort

towards determining microbiota-metabolome interactions during VREfm colonisation. We

demonstrated clear changes in the gut microbiome in response to ceftriaxone and VREfm

challenge.

Conceptual and statistical advances in analysis of amplicon 16S rRNA gene data (16, 17)

whereby OTUs are clustered at a 99% nucleotide similarity threshold allows for the

identification of exact sequence variants (ESV). Query against an error-corrected database

(17)can detect multiple ESVs that may be classified to the same taxonomic rank. For example,

our analyses identified multiple ESVs classified as *Enterococci*; however, when the relative

abundances were tracked across the chronology of the experiment, only one *Enterococci*-ESV

was dominant in relative abundances and most positively associated with the days of post-

VREfm challenge. This highlights the resolving power to differentiate between commensal and

pathogenic strains of *Enterococci* when the composition of the microbial community is

considered. The fact that this was achievable at the level of amplicon 16S rRNA gene

sequencing alludes to the possibility of implementing microbiota screenings as routine

diagnostics for patients entering healthcare systems. Further, first-order level shifts in

microbial community composition was observed in response to ceftriaxone and subsequent

VREfm challenge (Figure 1). At three days post-VREfm colonisation (i.e., day 12), the

microbiome richness begins to rebound; suggesting that mice are transitioning towards a

persistent carrier-like state. Interestingly, Group A cohort exhibited a faster rate of rebound

that may be facilitated by their initially higher microbial community richness (Figure 2B); this

observation supports the need to prescreen "baseline" microbiota profiles of patients upon

admission into hospitals. We can begin to assess patients from across different wards (e.g.,

intensive care unit, oncology, neurology, and healthy cohorts), and build a database of

microbiome profiles that can be used as biomarkers to predict: (1) the susceptibility of patients

to develop persistent bacterial colonisation, and (2) propensity to clear the pathogen once

colonized. The clinical implication is that new patients are screened and identified (via beta-

diversity meta-analyses) by these biomarkers and placed in bedding cohorts accordingly;

thereby improving infectious disease management and isolation precautions within health-care

associated ecosystems.

The shortlist of microbes ranked as most negatively associated with the colonisation phase

(*Clostridiales*, *Adlercreutzia*, *Mollicutes*, *Peptostreptococcaceae*, and *Clostridiales*) are

hypothesized to play a role in maintaining the health of the animals. Indeed, amongst the

microbes identified, are known short chain fatty acid (e.g., butyric acid) producers (18, 19) that

supports, and expands upon those previously identified by Caballero et al., (2017). Further, the

use of Deblur to identify ESVs facilitates the temporal tracking of their relative abundances to

inform selection of primary fecal samples that will provide the best probability (i.e., highest

relative abundance) of culturing target taxa for downstream screening of probiotic potential.

However, translating animal-derived observations from experimental animal models to human

clinical situations remains challenging; particularly where the key microbes are rodent-

specific. One solution may be to integrate metabolomics to reveal shared metabolic capacity

amongst taxonomically divergent microbes. Our supervised classifying approaches suggests

an altered metabolome composition during the late-phase of VREfm challenge that may

facilitate the apparent "suppression" of VREfm to levels below the limit-of-detection by

culture. Despite the caveat of poor resolution in current databases to link metabolite features

to associated chemical structures, microbe-metabolite vector analysis linked one metabolite to *Bacteroides* (m/z 173.067); permitting strain-level omics in an effort to comprehensively annotate the metabolome. Further research to comprehensively characterize interactions between microbe and metabolome will be critical to address the gaps in our understanding of the biochemical parameters of the microbiome.

The resolution of our results provides the basis in which to begin to identify non-antibiotic alternatives to engineer the gut microbiome and translating animal studies to human-relevant therapeutic applications. Here, achieving integrative omics to link microbe-metabolite associations, adds support to the incorporation of this approach to microbiome profiling into routine clinical microbiology, particularly in the context of monitoring the impacts of antibiotic use.

Methods

Mouse gastrointestinal colonization model

Wild type C57BL/6 male mice were used to establish an animal model of gastrointestinal colonisation with VREfm. Mice were pretreated with 0.5 g/L ceftriaxone in drinking water for two-days followed by an antibiotic wean period of 24hrs. Mice were then colonized with 1 x 10^6 cfu VREfm ST796.

Genomic DNA extraction and sequencing

Whole community gDNA was extracted from mouse faecal samples using the Qiagen PowerSoil DNA Extraction Kit (formerly MoBio) following the manufacturer's protocol. A preprocessing step of mechanical lysis was incorporated using a Bertin Technologies Precellysis 24 machine for one round of a 40 second-cycle at 6000 rpm. The V4 region of the

bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified using small subunit 515 forward Golay-barcoded, and SSU806 reverse primers following the Earth Microbiome Project protocol (www.earthmicrobiome.org;(20)), and sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq platform (V2, 300 cycles; Illumina Inc., San Diego, USA). Sample metadata and sequence data for the current study were deposited into *QIITA* (21) - an open-source management platform for research studies with multiple 'omic datasets - under the project title *Mouse-hospital VRE colonization with ceftriaxone treatment* (Study ID 11737). Raw sequence data are also available through the NCBI SRA, BioProject number: PRJNA590613

Amplicon 16S rRNA gene profiling analyses

Sequence data were processed within the *QIITA* framework for quality control (split libraries), demultiplexing, trimming sequence reads to a length of 150 nt, and picking sub-operational taxonomic units (sOTUs) using *Deblur* to resolve single-nucleotide community sequencing patterns (*i.e*. feature identification of sOTUs;(17)). The output BIOM files were further processed using *QIIME2* for downstream statistical analyses(22). Alpha rarefaction curves were generated to determine if each sample had been sequenced to saturation; the feature table was subsequently rarefied to 20,000 reads per sample. Taxonomy was assigned using the *sklearn* classifier (23) and *Greengenes 13.8 99% OTUs from 515F/806R region of sequences* classifier available from https://docs.qiime2.org/2018.4/data-resources/. Furthermore, relative abundances of each taxa were visualized as bar plots using the QIIME2 *taxa* plugin. A phylogenetic tree was constructed using fragment-insertion (*QIIME fragment-insertion sepp;* (24)) to guide phylogenetic-aware statistical analyses generated using the *QIIME2* plugin, *q2-diversity core-metrics-phylogenetic*; key metrics computed by default include both alpha-diversity (e.g., Shannon's diversity index, Faith's phylogenetic diversity, and Evenness), and beta-diversity (e.g., Bray-Curtis distance, and unweighted UniFrac distances) metrics. The

unweighted UniFrac distance matrix (12) was used to compute first distances and calculate

distances relative to Day 0 as baseline between sequential states (*QIIIME longitudinal first-*

distances); *ggplot2* (R, https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org) was used to visualize the distance scores

as line plots. *Emperor* was used to visualize principal coordinate analysis plots of unweighted

UniFrac distances. Permutation-based statistical testing (PERMANOVA) on unweighted

UniFrac distances was used to determine if samples grouped by *phase of experiment* were

significantly different from one another (*q2-beta-group-signifcance*). *Songbird*

(https://github.com/mortonjt/songbird) was employed to determine the importance (*i.e.,* fold

change) of each sOTU in relation to a given metadata variable (e.g., VREfm-colonisation).

Microbial features from all samples were split into training and test sets for supervised learning

classifier analyses; 20% of input samples were allocated to train the Random Forest Classifier

within QIIME2, the estimator method used for sample prediction. The different experimental

phases were the response variables, while the 16S rRNA gene data (and metabolomic spectra)

were the features.

Metabolite extraction and liquid chromatography tandem mass-spectrometry analysis

Duplicate fecal samples, as outlined in Table 1, were processed for polar metabolite extraction

and analysis (days 0, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 14). Feces were metabolically arrested by immediate

collection into dry ice, and stored at -80°C until further processing. Metabolite extraction from

the fecal samples was undertaken by the addition of 500 μL per sample of methanol:water

solution (3:1 v/v) containing 2μM ^{13}C-sorbitol and 8μM ^{13}C,^{15}N-valine, and 2μM ^{13}C-leucine

as internal standards. Fecal samples were homogenized at 1200 rpm for 30 minutes in a

thermomixer maintained at 4°C, and mechanically disrupted and incubated for a further 15

minutes in the thermomixer. Samples were randomized for metabolite extraction.

Metabolite analysis of the extracted samples and 13 mixtures of authentic standards

mixes was performed by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS), using

hydrophilic interaction column (ZIC-pHILIC) and high-resolution Agilent 6545 series

quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (QTOF MS) as described previously (25). Ions

were analyzed in positive mode with full scan range of 85 to 1200 m/z and in data-dependent

tandem MS mode to facilitate downstream metabolite identification. Mass spectrometry data

were deposited into *QIITA* (21) under the project title *Mouse-hospital VRE colonization with*

ceftriaxone treatment (Study ID 11737).

Metabolomic analyses

The data-dependent tandem MS data was processed using *MZmine2* (26) to generate tabular

matrices of metabolite features. Metabolomic features were further analysed within the *Global*

Natural Products Social Networking (GNPS; (13)*)* framework (UCSD, CA, USA). Tandem

MS data were processed for identification, dereplication and quantification, including spectral

library searches. For example, MS2 spectra of the unknown metabolites are compared with a

library of MSMS spectra generated from structurally characterized metabolites. Further

information on the GNPS workflow, and molecular networking can be found in Ming *et al.,*

2016 (13).

Neural networking to predict microbe-metabolite interactions

Microbiota and metabolome feature tables were analyzed using *MMVEC*

((27)https://github.com/biocore/mmvec) to identify microbe-metabolite interactions through

their co-occurrence probabilities as predicted by neural networking. Conditional biplots were

generated using *Emperor*. Further, microbe abundances and metabolite log centered abundance

heatmaps were generated using primary derived data from multinomial regression analyses

using *Songbird* (https://github.com/mortonjt/songbird).

Acknowledgements

We thank the team at Metabolomics Australia (Bio21) mass spectrometry services. We thank

Dr. Daniel Petras (UCSD) for helpful discussions.

Funding

The project was supported by an Endeavour Research Fellowship (Australia Awards) for

collaborative research in the Department of Pediatrics at UCSD, CA, USA (ERF PDR

6735_2018) (AM). The project was also supported by the National Medical Research Council

of Australia, GNT1105525 (TPS) and GNT 1026656 (BPH). The funders had no role in study

design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

References

1. Arias CA, Murray BE. 2012. The rise of the Enterococcus: beyond vancomycin resistance.

Nat Rev Microbiol 10:266–278.

2. Panel G. 2017. Global priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria to guide research,

discovery, and development of new antibiotics. World Health Organization.

3. Ubeda C, Taur Y, Jenq RR, Equinda MJ, Son T, Samstein M, Viale A, Socci ND, van den

Brink M, Kamboj M, Pamer EG. 2010. Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus domination of

intestinal microbiota is enabled by antibiotic treatment in mice and precedes bloodstream

invasion in humans. J Clin Invest 120:4332–4341.

4. Caballero S, Kim S, Carter RA, Leiner IM, Sušac B, Miller L, Kim GJ, Ling L, Pamer EG.

2017. Cooperating Commensals Restore Colonization Resistance to Vancomycin-Resistant

Enterococcus faecium. Cell Host Microbe 21:592-602.e4.

5. Ubeda C, Bucci V, Caballero S, Djukovic A, Toussaint NC, Equinda M, Lipuma L, Ling

L, Gobourne A, No D, Taur Y, Jenq RR, van den Brink MR, Xavier JB, Pamer EG. 2013.

Intestinal Microbiota Containing Barnesiella Species Cures Vancomycin-Resistant

Enterococcus faecium Colonization. Infect Immun 81:965–973.

6. Kim SG, Becattini S, Moody TU, Shliaha PV, Littmann ER, Seok R, Gjonbalaj M, Eaton

V, Fontana E, Amoretti L, Wright R, Caballero S, Wang Z-MX, Jung H-J, Morjaria SM,

Leiner IM, Qin W, Ramos RJ, Cross JR, Narushima S, Honda K, Peled JU, Hendrickson RC,

Taur Y, van den Brink MR, Pamer EG. 2019. Microbiota-derived lantibiotic restores

resistance against vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus. Nature 572:665–669.

7. Taur Y, Xavier JB, Lipuma L, Ubeda C, Goldberg J, Gobourne A, Lee Y, Dubin KA,

Socci ND, Viale A, Perales M-A, Jenq RR, van den Brink MR, Pamer EG. 2012. Intestinal

Domination and the Risk of Bacteremia in Patients Undergoing Allogeneic Hematopoietic

Stem Cell Transplantation. Clin Infect Dis 55:905–914.

8. Hildebrand F, Moitinho-Silva L, Blasche S, Jahn MT, Gossmann T, Huerta-Cepas J,

Hercog R, Luetge M, Bahram M, Pryszlak A, Alves RJ, Waszak SM, Zhu A, Ye L, Costea P,

Aalvink S, Belzer C, Forslund SK, Sunagawa S, Hentschel U, Merten C, Patil K, Benes V,

Bork P. 2019. Antibiotics-induced monodominance of a novel gut bacterial order. Gut

68:1781.

9. Brandl K, Plitas G, Mihu CN, Ubeda C, Jia T, Fleisher M, Schnabl B, DeMatteo RP,

Pamer EG. 2008. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci exploit antibiotic-induced innate immune

deficits. Nature 455:804–807.

10. Mundt OJ. 1963. Occurrence of Enterococci in animals in a wild environment. Appl

Microbiol 11.

11. Stinear TP, Olden DC, Johnson PD, Davies JK, Grayson LM. 2001. Enterococcal vanB

resistance locus in anaerobic bacteria in human faeces. Lancet 357:855–856.

12. Lozupone C, Knight R. 2005. UniFrac: a New Phylogenetic Method for Comparing

Microbial Communities. Appl Environ Microb 71:8228–8235.

13. Wang M, Carver JJ, Phelan VV, nchez L, Garg N, Peng Y, Nguyen D, Watrous J,

Kapono CA, Luzzatto-Knaan T, Porto C, Bouslimani A, Melnik AV, Meehan MJ, Liu W-T,

Crüsemann M, Boudreau PD, Esquenazi E, Sandoval-Calderón M, Kersten RD, Pace LA,

Quinn RA, Duncan KR, Hsu C-C, Floros DJ, Gavilan RG, Kleigrewe K, Northen T, Dutton

RJ, Parrot D, Carlson EE, Aigle B, Michelsen CF, Jelsbak L, Sohlenkamp C, Pevzner P,

Edlund A, McLean J, Piel J, Murphy BT, Gerwick L, Liaw C-C, Yang Y-L, Humpf H-U,

Maansson M, Keyzers RA, Sims AC, Johnson AR, debottom A, Sedio BE, Klitgaard A,

Larson CB, Cristopher BA, Torres-Mendoza D, Gonzalez DJ, Silva DB, Marques LM,

Demarque DP, Pociute E, O'Neill EC, Briand E, Helfrich EJ, Granatosky EA, Glukhov E,

Ryffel F, Houson H, Mohimani H, Kharbush JJ, Zeng Y, Vorholt JA, Kurita KL, Charusanti

P, McPhail KL, Nielsen K, Vuong L, Elfeki M, Traxler MF, Engene N, Koyama N, Vining

OB, Baric R, Silva RR, Mascuch SJ, Tomasi S, Jenkins S, Macherla V, Hoffman T, Agarwal

V, Williams PG, Dai J, Neupane R, Gurr J, Rodríguez AM, Lamsa A, Zhang C, Dorrestein K,

ggan B, Almaliti J, Allard P-M, Phapale P, Nothias L-F, Alexandrov T, Litaudon M,

Wolfender J-L, Kyle JE, Metz TO, Peryea T, Nguyen D-T, VanLeer D, Shinn P, Jadhav A,

Müller R, Waters KM, Shi W, Liu X, Zhang L, Knight R, Jensen PR, Palsson B, Pogliano K,

Linington RG, Gutiérrez M, Lopes NP, Gerwick WH, Moore BS, Dorrestein PC, Bandeira N.

2016. Sharing and community curation of mass spectrometry data with Global Natural

Products Social Molecular Networking. Nat Biotechnol 34:828–837.

14. Karki S, Houston L, Land G, Bass P, Kehoe R, Borrell S, Watson K, Spelman D, Kennon

J, Harrington G, Cheng AC. 2012. Prevalence and risk factors for VRE colonisation in a

tertiary hospital in Melbourne, Australia: a cross sectional study. Antimicrob Resist In 1:31.

15. Dubin K, Pamer EG. 2017. Enterococci and Their Interactions with the Intestinal

Microbiome. Microbiol Spectr 5.

16. Morton JT, Marotz C, Washburne A, Silverman J, Zaramela LS, Edlund A, Zengler K,

Knight R. 2019. Establishing microbial composition measurement standards with reference

frames. Nat Commun 10:2719.

17. Amir A, nald D, Navas-Molina JA, Kopylova E, Morton JT, Xu Z, Kightley EP,

Thompson LR, Hyde ER, Gonzalez A, Knight R. 2017. Deblur Rapidly Resolves Single-

Nucleotide Community Sequence Patterns. Msystems 2:e00191-16.

18. Morrison DJ, Preston T. 2016. Formation of short chain fatty acids by the gut microbiota

and their impact on human metabolism. Gut Microbes 7:189–200.

19. Dubin KA, Mathur D, McKenney PT, Taylor BP, Littmann ER, Peled JU, van den Brink

MR, Taur Y, Pamer EG, Xavier JB. 2019. Diversification and Evolution of Vancomycin-

Resistant Enterococcus faecium during Intestinal Domination. Infect Immun 87.

20. Thompson LR, Sanders JG, nald D, Amir A, Ladau J, Locey KJ, Prill RJ, Tripathi A,

Gibbons SM, Ackermann G, Navas-Molina JA, Janssen S, Kopylova E, Vázquez-Baeza Y,

González A, Morton JT, Mirarab S, Xu Z, Jiang L, Haroon MF, Kanbar J, Zhu Q, Song S,

Kosciolek T, Bokulich NA, Lefler J, Brislawn CJ, Humphrey G, Owens SM, Hampton-

Marcell J, Berg-Lyons D, McKenzie V, Fierer N, Fuhrman JA, Clauset A, Stevens RL, Shade

A, Pollard KS, Goodwin KD, Jansson JK, Gilbert JA, Knight R, Rivera JL, Al-Moosawi L,

Alverdy J, Amato KR, Andras J, Angenent LT, Antonopoulos DA, Apprill A, Armitage D,

Ballantine K, Bárta J, Baum JK, Berry A, Bhatnagar A, Bhatnagar M, Biddle JF, Bittner L,

Boldgiv B, Bottos E, Boyer DM, Braun J, Brazelton W, Brearley FQ, Campbell AH,

Caporaso GJ, Cardona C, Carroll J, Cary CS, Casper BB, Charles TC, Chu H, Claar DC,

Clark RG, Clayton JB, Clemente JC, Cochran A, Coleman ML, Collins G, Colwell RR,

Contreras M, Crary BB, Creer S, Cristol DA, Crump BC, Cui D, Daly SE, Davalos L,

Dawson RD, Defazio J, Delsuc F, Dionisi HM, Dominguez-Bello M, Dowell R, Dubinsky

EA, Dunn PO, Ercolini D, Espinoza RE, Ezenwa V, Fenner N, Findlay HS, Fleming ID,

Fogliano V, Forsman A, Freeman C, Friedman ES, Galindo G, Garcia L, Garcia-Amado M,

Garshelis D, Gasser RB, Gerdts G, Gibson MK, Gifford I, Gill RT, Giray T, Gittel A,

Golyshin P, Gong D, Grossart H-P, Guyton K, Haig S-J, Hale V, Hall R, Hallam SJ, Handley

KM, Hasan NA, Haydon SR, Hickman JE, Hidalgo G, Hofmockel KS, Hooker J, Hulth S,

Hultman J, Hyde E, Ibáñez-Álamo J, Jastrow JD, Jex AR, Johnson SL, Johnston ER, Joseph

S, Jurburg SD, Jurelevicius D, Karlsson A, Karlsson R, Kauppinen S, Kellogg CT, Kennedy

SJ, Kerkhof LJ, King GM, Kling GW, Koehler AV, Krezalek M, Kueneman J, Lamendella

R, Landon EM, Lane-deGraaf K, LaRoche J, Larsen P, Laverock B, Lax S, Lentino M, Levin

II, Liancourt P, Liang W, Linz AM, Lipson DA, Liu Y, Lladser ME, Lozada M, Spirito CM,

MacCormack WP, MacRae-Crerar A, Magris M, Martín-Platero AM, Martín-Vivaldi M,

Martínez ML, Martínez-Bueno M, Marzinelli EM, Mason OU, Mayer GD, vitt-Irwin JM,

nald JE, McGuire KL, McMahon KD, McMinds R, Medina M, Mendelson JR, Metcalf JL,

Meyer F, Michelangeli F, Miller K, Mills DA, Minich J, Mocali S, Moitinho-Silva L, Moore

A, Morgan-Kiss RM, Munroe P, Myrold D, Neufeld JD, Ni Y, Nicol GW, Nielsen S,

Nissimov JI, Niu K, Nolan MJ, Noyce K, O'Brien SL, Okamoto N, Orlando L, Castellano Y,

Osuolale O, Oswald W, Parnell J, Peralta-Sánchez JM, Petraitis P, Pfister C, Pilon-Smits E,

Piombino P, Pointing SB, Pollock JF, Potter C, Prithiviraj B, Quince C, Rani A, Ranjan R,

Rao S, Rees AP, Richardson M, Riebesell U, Robinson C, Rockne KJ, Rodriguezl S, Rohwer

F, Roundstone W, Safran RJ, Sangwan N, Sanz V, Schrenk M, Schrenzel MD, Scott NM,

Seger RL, Seguin-Orlando A, Seldin L, Seyler LM, Shakhsheer B, Sheets GM, Shen C, Shi

Y, Shin H, Shogan BD, Shutler D, Siegel J, Simmons S, Sjöling S, Smith DP, Soler JJ,

Sperling M, Steinberg PD, Stephens B, Stevens MA, Taghavi S, Tai V, Tait K, Tan CL, Tas,

N, Taylor LD, Thomas T, Timling I, Turner BL, Urich T, Ursell LK, van der Lelie D,

Treuren W, van Zwieten L, Vargas-Robles D, Thurber R, Vitaglione P, Walker DA, Walters

WA, Wang S, Wang T, Weaver T, Webster NS, Wehrle B, Weisenhorn P, Weiss S, Werner

JJ, West K, Whitehead A, Whitehead SR, Whittingham LA, Willerslev E, Williams AE,

Wood SA, Woodhams DC, Yang Y, Zaneveld J, Zarraonaindia I, Zhang Q, Zhao H. 2017. A

communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. Nature 551:457–463.

21. Gonzalez A, Navas-Molina JA, Kosciolek T, nald D, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Ackermann G,

DeReus J, Janssen S, Swafford AD, Orchanian SB, Sanders JG, Shorenstein J, Holste H,

Petrus S, Robbins-Pianka A, Brislawn CJ, Wang M, Rideout J, Bolyen E, Dillon M,

Caporaso GJ, Dorrestein PC, Knight R. 2018. Qiita: rapid, web-enabled microbiome meta-

analysis. Nat Methods 15:796–798.

22. Bolyen E, Rideout J, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, Alexander H,

Alm EJ, Arumugam M, Asnicar F, Bai Y, Bisanz JE, Bittinger K, Brejnrod A, Brislawn CJ,

Brown TC, Callahan BJ, Caraballo-Rodríguez A, Chase J, Cope EK, Silva R, Diener C,

Dorrestein PC, Douglas GM, Durall DM, Duvallet C, Edwardson CF, Ernst M, Estaki M,

Fouquier J, Gauglitz JM, Gibbons SM, Gibson DL, Gonzalez A, Gorlick K, Guo J, Hillmann

B, Holmes S, Holste H, Huttenhower C, Huttley GA, Janssen S, Jarmusch AK, Jiang L,

Kaehler BD, Kang K, Keefe CR, Keim P, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koester I, Kosciolek T,

Kreps J, Langille MG, Lee J, Ley R, Liu Y-X, Loftfield E, Lozupone C, Maher M, Marotz C,

Martin BD, nald D, McIver LJ, Melnik AV, Metcalf JL, Morgan SC, Morton JT, Naimey A,

Navas-Molina JA, Nothias L, Orchanian SB, Pearson T, Peoples SL, Petras D, Preuss M,

Pruesse E, Rasmussen L, Rivers A, Robeson MS, Rosenthal P, Segata N, Shaffer M, Shiffer

A, Sinha R, Song S, Spear JR, Swafford AD, Thompson LR, Torres PJ, Trinh P, Tripathi A,

Turnbaugh PJ, Ul-Hasan S, van der Hooft JJ, Vargas F, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Vogtmann E, von

Hippel M, Walters W, Wan Y, Wang M, Warren J, Weber KC, Williamson CH, Willis AD,

Xu Z, Zaneveld JR, Zhang Y, Zhu Q, Knight R, Caporaso GJ. 2019. Reproducible,

interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol

37:852–857.

23. Pedregosa F, Varoquaux G, Gramfort A, Michel V, Thirion B, Grisel O, Blondel M,

Müller A, Nothman J, Louppe G, Prettenhofer P, Weiss R, Dubourg V, Vanderplas J, Passos

A, Cournapeau D, Brucher M, Perrot M, Duchesnay É. 2012. Scikit-learn: Machine Learning

in Python.

24. Janssen S, nald D, Gonzalez A, Navas-Molina JA, Jiang L, Xu Z, Winker K, Kado DM,

Orwoll E, Manary M, Mirarab S, Knight R. 2018. Phylogenetic Placement of Exact

Amplicon Sequences Improves Associations with Clinical Information. Msystems 3:e00021-

18.

25. Masukagami Y, Nijagal B, Mahdizadeh S, Tseng C-W, Dayalan S, Tivendale KA,

Markham PF, Browning GF, Sansom FM. 2019. A combined metabolomic and bioinformatic

approach to investigate the function of transport proteins of the important pathogen

Mycoplasma bovis. Vet Microbiol 234:8–16.

26. Pluskal T, Castillo S, Villar-Briones A, Orešič M. 2010. MZmine 2: Modular framework

for processing, visualizing, and analyzing mass spectrometry-based molecular profile data.

Bmc Bioinformatics 11:395.

27. Morton JT, Aksenov AA, Nothias L, Foulds JR, Quinn RA, Badri MH, Swenson TL,

Goethem MW, Northen TR, Vazquez-Baeza Y, Wang M, Bokulich NA, Watters A, Song S,

Bonneau R, Dorrestein PC, Knight R. 2019. Learning representations of microbe–metabolite

interactions. Nat Methods 1–9.

Day of experiment	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Phase of experiment*	N	N	N	N	N	N	Abx-Tx	Abx-Tx	Abx-Wn	VRE-E	VRE-E	VRE-E	VRE-E	VRE-L	VRE-L
Amplicon 16S rRNA gene data	✓	✓	✓	-	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	✓	✓	✓
Metabolomics	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	✓
Avg observed sOTUs#	210	220	220	-	-	225	112	84	93	30	-	-	22	34	53

Table 1: Summary of samples analyzed in this study

Notes: *The key phases of the experiment where, N represents Naïve; Abx-Tx represents Antibiotic treatment; Abx-Wn represents Antibiotic weaning;

VRE-E represents Early-phase post-VREfm colonisation; and VRE-L represents Late-phase post-VREfm colonization; #The average number of sOTUs

observed across all mice for each day of the experiment; '✓' Sample processed; '-' Data unavailable

Figures

Fig. 1

Figure 1 Biodiversity plot of sOTUs as relative frequencies at the taxonomic level of Class.

First-order shifts in microbial community composition, as revealed by 16S rRNA community

profiling, from a predominance of Bacteroidetes to Tenericutes and return to Bacteroidetes was

observed. Each column displays the relative bacterial community composition in a mouse

faecal sample collected at daily intervals, and sorted by the chronology of the experiment (i.e.,

Day of experiment; Table 1). 'M-A1' notation refers to the box and mouse number; for

example, M-A1 is mouse 1 in Group A, while M-B2 is mouse 2 in Group B. The colors

represent different bacterial classes, including Bacteroidia (green), Clostridia (grey)

Tenericutes (Mollicutes; pink), Bacilli (brown), and gamma-Proteobacteria (yellow).

     Fig. 2

Figur   ed UniFrac

distan   re-VREfm

colon   function to

highlight the separation of experimental phases and do not indicate statistical confidence

intervals. Principal coordinate axis 1 explains 41.66% of the variation observed between the

naïve microbiota and those from the post-VREfm colonisation phase. (B) Community richness

of the murine gut microbiome, as measured by Faith's Phylogenetic Diversity, in response to

ceftriaxone treatment and challenge with VREfm; (C) community dissimilarity distances, as

calculated by unweighted UniFrac, of each time point relative to day 0 (naïve phase).

Fig. 3

Posit	
Enter	
Bacte	
Erysip	
Catab	
Lachn	
Neg	
Clostr	
Adler	
Mollicutes	-3.8392
Peptostreptococcaceae	-3.7357
Clostridiales	-3.5084

609   **Figure 3 Multinomial regression.** Multinomial regression identified an *Enterococci* exact

610   sequence variant as the most positively associated with the colonisation phase (log-fold change

611   score of 1.6693). Read counts for the *Enterococci*-ESV tracked daily across the experiment

612   showing high abundance during days of VREfm challenge.

Fig. 4

Figure 4 Metabolon... ...or plot displaying principal coordinate analysis of binary Jaccard distan... ...files. Samples represent (colour coded) the naïve (orange), antibiotic-t... ...-weaning (blue), early-VRE colonisation (green), and late-VREfm colonisation (purple) phases. (B) Random Forest classifier identifying metabolite features (spectra) for each phase of the experiment. Heatmap is colour-coded from low ranking score (white; i.e., lowest importance) to high ranking score (dark blue; highest importance). Metabolite features are labelled by their mass-charge ratios and retention times for the reason that current databases do not capture their chemical structure and/or identifications.

 Fig. 5

Figure 5 Microbe-metabolite vector biplots. Sequential biplots highlighting the changing

metabolite differentials across each key phase of the experiment; where *abx tx* corresponds to

the *antibiotic treatment* phase, and *abx wean* corresponds to the period where antibiotics were

removed for a 24-hour period prior to colonisation with VREfm. Each point on the sample

space represents metabolites, and arrows represent microbes. Microbe and metabolite features

are fixed upon the sample space, with gradient coloring of metabolites indicating the transition

across key phases of the experiment. The distance between each point is indicative of

metabolite co-occurrence frequency, and the angle between arrows indicate microbial co-

occurrence. The directionality of the arrows describes the variation in the metabolites explained

the microbes represented by the arrows. For example, metabolite mz 173.067 RT 18.392 is

demonstrated to co-occur with *Bacteroides*.

Supplementary figures

Supplementary Figure 1 Alpha rarefaction plot of all samples rarefied to 20,000 reads per

sample.

Supplementary Figure 2 PERMANOVA testing (999 permutations) on unweighted UniFrac

distances relative to samples from the naïve phase. P-values for pairwise PERMANOVA

testing given in parentheses for the following phases of the experiment: naïve − antibiotic

treatment (0.001); naïve − antibiotic treatment (0.001); naïve − infection (0.001); antibiotic

treatment − antibiotic wean (0.025).

Supplementary Figure 3 Emperor plot of procrustes analysis of unweighted (blue) and weighted (red) UniFrac distance metrices.

Supplementary Figure 4 Pairwise PERMANOVA testing (999 permutations) on binary Jaccard distances relative to samples from the naïve phase. While naïve and late-VRE samples are significantly different, late-VRE has a lower distance to naïve compared to Abx txt, Abx wean and early-VRE samples.

Supplementary Figure 5 Feature abundances. (A) Microbe abundances and (B) metabolite log centered abundances across each phase of the experiment.