C-terminal RRM/ACT domain is crucial for fine-tuning the activation of 'long' RelA-SpoT Homolog enzymes by ribosomal complexes

Hiraku Takada[1,2,*], Mohammad Roghanian[1,2], Ievgen Dzhygyr[1,2], Rikinori Murayama[3], Genki Akanuma[4], Gemma C. Atkinson[1], Abel Garcia-Pino[5,6], Vasili Hauryliuk[1,2,7*]

[1]Department of Molecular Biology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

[2]Laboratory for Molecular Infection Medicine Sweden (MIMS), Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

[3]Akita Prefectural Research Center for Public Health and Environment, 6-6 Senshu-Kubotamachi, Akita, 010-0874, Japan

[4]Department of Life Science, Graduate School of Science, Gakushuin University, Tokyo, Japan

[5]Cellular and Molecular Microbiology, Faculté des Sciences, Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Building BC, Room 1C4 203, Boulevard du Triomphe, 1050, Brussels, Belgium

[6]WELBIO, Avenue Hippocrate 75, 1200 Brussels, Belgium

[7]University of Tartu, Institute of Technology, 50411 Tartu, Estonia

* Correspondence:
Hiraku Takada
hiraku.takada@umu.se
Vasili Hauryliuk
vasili.hauryliuk@umu.se

Keywords: ppGpp$_1$, Rel$_2$, ACT$_3$, RRM$_4$, stringent response$_5$, ribosome$_6$

Abstract

The (p)ppGpp-mediated stringent response is a bacterial stress response implicated in virulence and antibiotic tolerance. Both synthesis and degradation of the (p)ppGpp alarmone nucleotide are mediated by RelA-SpoT Homolog (RSH) enzymes which can be broadly divided in two classes: single-domain 'short' RSHs and multi-domain 'long' RSHs enzymes. The regulatory ACT (Aspartokinase, Chorismate mutase and TyrA) / RRM (RNA Recognition Motif) domain is a near-universal C-terminal domain of long RSHs. Deletion of RRM in both monofunctional (synthesis-only) RelA as well as bifunctional (i.e. capable of both degrading and synthesising the alarmone) Rel renders the long RSH cytotoxic due to overproduction of (p)ppGpp. To probe the molecular mechanism underlying this effect we characterised *Escherichia coli* RelA and *Bacillus subtilis* Rel RSHs lacking RRM. We demonstrate that, first, the cytotoxicity caused by the removal of RRM is counteracted by secondary mutations that disrupt the interaction of the RSH with the starved ribosomal complex – the ultimate inducer of (p)ppGpp production by RelA and Rel – and, second, that the hydrolytic activity of Rel is not abrogated in the truncated mutant. Therefore, we conclude that the overproduction of (p)ppGpp by RSHs lacking the RRM domain is not explained by lack of auto-inhibition in the absence of RRM or/and a defect in (p)ppGpp hydrolysis. Instead, we argue that it is driven by misregulation of the RSH activation by the ribosome.

1   Introduction

Bacteria employ diverse mechanisms to sense and respond to stress. One such mechanism is the stringent response – a near-universal stress response orchestrated by hyper-phosphorylated derivatives of housekeeping nucleotides GDP and GTP: guanosine tetraphosphate (ppGpp) and guanosine pentaphosphate (pppGpp), collectively referred to as (p)ppGpp (Hauryliuk et al., 2015;Liu et al., 2015;Steinchen and Bange, 2016). Since the stringent response and (p)ppGpp-mediated signalling are implicated in virulence, antibiotic resistance and tolerance (Dalebroux et al., 2010;Dalebroux and Swanson, 2012;Hauryliuk et al., 2015), this stress signalling system has been recently targeted for development of new anti-infective compounds (Kushwaha et al., 2019).

Both synthesis and degradation of (p)ppGpp is mediated by RelA/SpoT Homolog (RSH) enzymes. RSHs can be broadly divided into two classes: 'long' multi-domain and 'short' single-domain factors (Atkinson et al., 2011;Jimmy et al., 2019). In the majority of bacteria, including model Gram-positive bacterial species *Bacillus subtilis*, the long multi-domain RSHs are represented by one bifunctional enzyme, Rel (Mittenhuber, 2001;Atkinson et al., 2011). Beta- and gammaproteobacteria, such as *Escherichia coli*, encode two long RSH factors – RelA and SpoT – which are the products of gene duplication and diversification of the ancestral *rel* stringent factor (Mittenhuber, 2001;Atkinson et al., 2011;Hauryliuk et al., 2015). *E. coli* RelA is the most well-studied long RSH. RelA is a dedicated sensor of amino acid starvation with strong (p)ppGpp synthesis activity that is induced by ribosomal complexes harbouring cognate deacylated tRNA in the A-site, so-called 'starved' ribosomal complexes (Haseltine and Block, 1973). Unlike RelA, which lacks (p)ppGpp hydrolysis activity (Shyp et al., 2012), Rel and SpoT can both synthesise and degrade (p)ppGpp (Xiao et al., 1991;Avarbock et al., 2000). Similarly to RelA, (p)ppGpp synthesis by Rel is strongly activated by starved ribosomes (Avarbock et al., 2000). In addition to long RSHs, bacteria often encode single domain RSH enzymes: Small Alarmone Synthetases (SAS) and Small Alarmone Hydrolases (SAS) (Atkinson et al., 2011;Jimmy et al., 2019), such as RelQ and RelP in the Firmicute bacterium *B. subtilis* (Nanamiya et al., 2008).

Long RSHs are universally comprised of two functional regions: the catalytic N-terminal domains (NTD) and the regulatory C-terminal domains (CTD) (**Figure 1A**) (Atkinson et al., 2011). The NTD region comprises the (p)ppGpp hydrolase domain (HD; enzymatically inactive in RelA) and the (p)ppGpp synthetase domain (SYNTH) linked by an α-helical region that regulates the allosteric crosstalk between both domains (Tamman et al., 2019). The CTD encompasses four domains: the Thr-tRNA synthetase, GTPase and SpoT domain (TGS), the Helical domain, the Zing Finger Domain (ZFD) (equivalent to Ribosome-InterSubunit domain, RIS, as per (Loveland et al., 2016), or Conserved Cysteine, CC, as per (Atkinson et al., 2011)), and, finally, the RNA Recognition Motif domain (RRM) (equivalent to Aspartokinase, Chorismate mutase and TyrA, ACT, as per (Atkinson et al., 2011)). When RelA/Rel is bound to a starved ribosomal complex, the TGS domain inspects the deacylated tRNA in the A-site and the TGS domain interacts directly with the 3' CCA end of the A-site tRNA (Arenz et al., 2016;Brown et al., 2016;Loveland et al., 2016). The conserved histidine 432 residue of *E. coli* RelA mediating this interaction is crucial for activation of RelA's enzymatic activity by the 3' CCA (Winther et al., 2018). Both ZFD and RRM interact with the A-site finger (ASF) of the 23S ribosomal RNA (Arenz et al., 2016;Brown et al., 2016;Loveland et al., 2016), and in *E. coli* RelA this contact is crucial for efficient recruitment to and activation by starved ribosomal complexes (Kudrin et al., 2018).

While the NTD is responsible for the enzymatic function of RSHs, the CTD senses the starved ribosomal complex and transmits the signal to activate NTD-mediated (p)ppGpp synthesis by Rel /

RelA (Agirrezabala et al., 2013;Arenz et al., 2016;Brown et al., 2016;Loveland et al., 2016). Since removal of the CTD increases the rate of (p)ppGpp production by Rel/RelA in the absence of ribosomes or starved complexes, the CTD was proposed to mediate the auto-inhibition of the NTD synthetase activity, thus precluding uncontrolled production of cytotoxic (p)ppGpp (Schreiber et al., 1991;Gropp et al., 2001;Mechold et al., 2002;Avarbock et al., 2005;Jiang et al., 2007;Yang et al., 2019).

The specific focus of this study is the C-terminal RRM / ACT domain of ribosome-associated RSH RelA and Rel. The RRM is absent in RelA enzymes from *Methylotenera mobilitas, Elusimicrobium minutum, Francisella philomiraga* and *Francisella tularensis* (Atkinson et al., 2011). The only experimentally characterised representative amongst these is *F. tularensis* RelA (Wilkinson et al., 2015). In a reconstituted biochemical system, the factor behaves similarly to *E. coli* RelA, i.e. it has very low synthesis activity by itself – which suggests that the factor is capable of efficient auto-inhibition mechanism – and is potently activated by the ribosome. Conversely, deletion of the RRM domain in factors that naturally possess it leads to over-production of (p)ppGpp in the cell, as shown for *Caulobacter crescentus* (Ronneau et al., 2018) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (Gratani et al., 2018) Rel, as well as *E. coli* RelA (Turnbull et al., 2019). The exact molecular mechanism of misregulation remains unclear, and as a possible explanation it was suggested that the ΔRRM factors overproduce (p)ppGpp due to a lack of auto-inhibition or/and – in the case of *C. crescentus* Rel (Ronneau et al., 2018) – reduced hydrolysis activity.

In this report, we inspected the possible role of the ribosome in hyper-activation of ΔRRM variants of long RSHs in the cell. By characterising ΔRRM versions of *E. coli* RelA and *B. subtilis* Rel, we demonstrate that the cytotoxicity of the mutant protein is strictly dependent on the interaction with the ribosome and deacylated tRNA and, therefore, cannot be explained by a lack of autoinhibition alone.

2   Materials and Methods

2.1   Construction of bacterial strains and plasmids

The strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in **Supplementary Tables 1-3**. Oligonucleotides used in this study are provided in **Supplementary Table 4**. A detailed description of strain construction is provided in the *Supplementary Material*.

2.2   *E. coli* growth assays

E. coli BW25113 cells were transformed with expression constructs based on a low copy IPTG inducible vector pNDM220: pNDM220::*relA*, pNDM220::*relA^{ΔRRM}*, pNDM220::*relA$^{ΔRRM-H432E}$*, pNDM220::*relA$^{ΔRRM-R629E}$* or pNDM220::*relA$^{ΔRRM-C612A/C613A}$*. For solid medium growth assays, ten-fold serial dilutions of overnight LB cultures were spotted onto LB agar supplemented with 30 μg/mL ampicillin and 1 mM IPTG. For liquid medium growth assays, thousand-fold dilutions of the of overnight LB cultures were made in liquid LB supplemented with 30 μg/mL ampicillin and 1 mM IPTG, seeded on a 100-well honeycomb plate (Oy Growth Curves AB Ltd, Helsinki, Finland), and plates incubated in a Bioscreen C (Labsystems, Helsinki, Finland) at 37 °C with continuous medium shaking.

2.3   Preparation of polyclonal anti-Rel antiserum

The entire coding region of the *B. subtilis rel* gene was amplified by PCR using the synthetic oligonucleotide pQErelA_F and pQErelA_R containing a BamHI site and *B. subtilis* genomic DNA as a template. The resulting PCR fragment was cut with BamHI and then inserted into the BamHI sites of pQE60 (Qiagen), yielding plasmid pQErelA. pOErelA was transformed into *E. coli* M15 (pREP4) (Qiagen), fresh transformants were inoculated into LB liquid culture (1000 mL) with 100 μg/mL ampicillin and grown at 37 °C with vigorous shaking. At OD_{600} of 0.8 expression of Rel induced with 1 mM IPTG (final concentration). After 3 hours of expression the cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in buffer A (500 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0) supplemented with 2 mM PMSF and lysed by sonication. Rel-His_6 inclusion bodies were collected by centrifugation, resuspended in buffer A supplemented with 8 M guanidine hydrochloride (= buffer B) and loaded onto an Ni-NTA agarose column (QIAGEN) pre-equilibrated in the same buffer. The column was washed with buffer B supplemented with 10 mM imidazole, and the protein was eluted with a 100-400 mM imidazole gradient in buffer B. The fractions containing Rel-His_6 was dialyzed against buffer A at 4 °C overnight. Aggregated Rel-His_6 protein was collected by centrifugation, resuspended in buffer A supplemented with 6 M urea and used for rabbit immunization. Rabbit serum was used as a polyclonal anti-Rel antibody.

2.4 Sucrose gradient fractionation and Western blotting

B. subtilis strains were pre-grown on LB plates overnight at 30 °C. Fresh individual colonies were used to inoculate 200 mL LB cultures that were grown at 37 °C. At OD_{600} of 0.2 amino acid starvation was induced by addition of isoleucyl tRNA synthetase inhibitor mupirocin (dissolved in DMSO, AppliChem) to final concentration of 700 nM for 20 minutes. As a mock control, a separate culture was treated with the same amount of DMSO. After 20 minutes the cells were collected by centrifugation (8,000 rpm, 5 minutes, JLA-16.25 Beckman Coulter rotor), dissolved in 0.5 mL of HEPES:Polymix buffer (5 mM $Mg(OAc)_2$) supplemented with 2 mM PMSF, lysed using FastPrep homogenizer (MP Biomedicals) by four 20 seconds pulses at speed 6.0 mp/sec with chilling on ice for 1 minutes between the cycles), and clarified by ultracentrifugation (14,800 rpm for 20 minutes, Microfuge 22R centrifuge Beckman Coulter, F241.5P rotor).

For Western blotting 0.5 mL fractions were supplemented with 1.5 mL of 99.5% ethanol, precipitated overnight at –20 °C. After centrifugation at 14,800 rpm for 30 minutes at 4 °C the supernatants were discarded and the samples were dried. The pellets were resuspended in 40 μL of 2xSDS loading buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 4% SDS (w/v) 0.02% Bromophenol blue, 20% glycerol (w/v) 4% β-mercaptoethanol), resolved on the 12% SDS PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Trans-Blot Turbo Midi Nitrocellulose Transfer Pack, Bio-Rad , 0.2 μm pore size) with the use of a Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer Starter System (Bio-Rad) (10 minutes, 2.5A, 25V). Membrane blocking was done for one hour in PBS-T (1xPBS 0.05% Tween-20) with 5% w/v nonfat dry milk at room temperature. Rel was detected using either anti-Rel primary combined with goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP secondary antibodies or anti-L3 primary antibodies (a gift from Fujio Kawamura (Murina et al., 2018b)) combined with goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP secondary antibodies, respectively. All antibodies were used at 1:10,000 dilution. ECL detection was performed using WesternBright^{TM} Quantum (K-12042-D10, Advansta) Western blotting substrate and an ImageQuant LAS 4000 (GE Healthcare) imaging system.

2.5 Expression and purification of *E. coli* RelA and *B. subtilis* Rel

Wild type and H432E mutant variants of *E. coli* RelA were expressed and purified as described earlier (Turnbull et al., 2019).

Wild type and mutant variants of *B. subtilis* Rel were overexpressed in freshly transformed *E. coli* BL21 DE3 Rosetta (Novagen). Fresh transformants were inoculated to final OD_{600} of 0.05 in the LB medium (800 mL) supplemented with 100 µg/mL kanamycin. The cultures were grown at 37 °C until an OD_{600} of 0.5, induced with 1 mM IPTG (final concentration) and grown for additional 1.5 hour at 30 °C. The cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in buffer A (750 mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 40 µM $MnCl_2$, 40 µM $Zn(OAc)_2$, 1 mM mellitic acid (Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co., Ltd.), 20 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, 4 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 25 mM HEPES:KOH pH 8) supplemented with 0.1 mM PMSF and 1 U/mL of DNase I. Cells were lysed by one passage through a high-pressure cell disrupter (Stansted Fluid Power, 150 MPa), cell debris was removed by centrifugation (25,000 rpm for 40 min, JA-25.50 Beckman Coulter rotor) and clarified lysate was taken for protein purification.

To prevent possible substitution of Zn^{2+} ions in Rel's Zn-finger domain for Ni^{2+} during purification on an Ni-NTA metal affinity chromatography column (Block et al., 2009), a 5 mL HisTrap HP column was stripped from Ni^{2+} in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations, washed with 5 column volumes (CV) of 100 mM $Zn(OAc)_2$ pH 5.0 followed by 5 CV of deionized water. Clarified cell lysate was filtered through a 0.2 µm syringe filter and loaded onto the Zn^{2+}-charged HisTrap 5 mL HP column pre-equilibrated in buffer A. The column was washed with 5 CV of buffer A, and the protein was eluted with a linear gradient (6 CV, 0-100% buffer B) of buffer B (750 mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 40 µM $MnCl_2$, 40 µM $Zn(OAc)_2$, 1 mM mellitic acid, 500 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, 4 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 25 mM HEPES:KOH pH 8). Mellitic acid forms highly ordered molecular networks when dissolved in water (Inabe, 2005) and it was shown to promote the stability of *Thermus thermophilus* Rel (Van Nerom et al., 2019). Fractions most enriched in Rel (≈25-50% buffer B) were pooled, totalling approximately 5 mL. The sample was loaded on a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg column pre-equilibrated with a high salt buffer (buffer C; 2 M NaCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 10% glycerol, 4 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 25 mM HEPES:KOH pH 8). The fractions containing Rel were pooled and applied on HiPrep 10/26 desalting column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with storage buffer (buffer D; 720 mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 50 mM arginine, 50 mM glutamic acid, 10% glycerol, 4 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 25 mM HEPES:KOH pH 8). Arginine and glutamic acid were added to improve protein solubility and long-term stability (Golovanov et al., 2004). The fractions containing Rel were collected and concentrated in an Amicon Ultra (Millipore) centrifugal filter device (cut-off 50 kDa). To cleave off the His_{10}-SUMO tag, 35 µg of His_6-Ulp1 per 1 mg of Rel were added and the reaction mixture was incubated at room temperature for 15 min. After the His_{10}-SUMO tag was cleaved off, the protein was passed though 5 mL Zn^{2+}-charged HisTrap HP pre-equilibrated with buffer D. Fractions containing Rel in the flow-through were collected and concentrated on Amicon Ultra (Millipore) centrifugal filter device with 50 kDa cut-off. The purity of protein preparations was assessed by SDS-PAGE and spectrophotometrically (OD_{260}/OD_{280} ratio below 0.8 corresponding to less than 5% RNA contamination (Layne, 1957)). Protein preparations were aliquoted, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C. Individual single-use aliquots were discarded after the experiment. Chromatography profiles and the corresponding SDS-PAGE gels for wild type Rel purification as well as gel filtration profiles for ΔRRM mutant Rel variant are presented on (**Figure 2**).

2.6 Preparation of 10X Polymix buffer base

The 10X Polymix base was prepared as per (Antoun et al., 2004), with minor modifications. For preparation of the putrescine solution, 100 g of putrescine (1,4-diaminobutane) was dissolved in 600 mL of ddH_2O at 90 °C, and the pH adjusted with acetic acid to 8.0 (approximately 100 mL of 100% acetic acid). After cooling to room temperature, the pH was adjusted further to 7.6 and the volume

was adjusted to the final of 2 L by addition of 1.134 L of ddH$_2$O. One 100 mL cup of activated charcoal was added and the slurry was stirred under the hood for 30 minutes. The slurry was filtered through, first, Whatman paper and then through a 0.45 μm BA85 membrane. The final solution was stored at 4 °C in a bottle wrapped in foil since putrescine is photosensitive. The preparation of 2 L of 10X Polymix buffer base used 141.66 g KCl, 5.35 g NH$_4$Cl, 21.44 g Mg(OAc)$_2$•4H$_2$O, 1.47 g CaCl$_2$•2H$_2$O, 5.092 g spermidine, and 160 ml of putrescine solution (described above). The salts were dissolved in ddH$_2$O (≈1,500 mL), then the putrescine solution was added and mixed well. Spermidine was dissolved in a small volume of ddH$_2$O and added to the mixture. The pH was adjusted to 7.5 with concentrated acetic acid or 5 M KOH, and after that the volume was adjusted by adding ddH$_2$O to 2 L. The buffer was filtered through 0.2 μm nitrocellulose filter (2-3 filters are needed). The resulting 10X Polymix buffer base was aliquoted and stored at –20 °C. The final working HEPES:Polymix buffer was made using the 10X Polymix buffer base, 1M DDT and 1 M HEPES:KOH pH 7.5 and contains 20 mM HEPES:KOH pH 7.5, 2 mM DTT, 5 mM MgOAc$_2$, 95 mM KCl, 5 mM NH$_4$Cl, 0.5 mM CaCl$_2$, 8 mM putrescine, 1 mM spermidine.

2.7   Purification of *B. subtilis* 70S ribosomes

B. subtilis strain RIK2508 (*trpC2 Δhpf*) strain (Akanuma et al., 2016;Brodiazhenko et al., 2018) was pre-grown on LB plates overnight at 30 °C. Fresh individual colonies were used to inoculate LB liquid cultures (25 × 400 mL) to OD$_{600}$ of 0.05 and grown at 37 °C with vigorous shaking. At OD$_{600}$ 1.2 the cells were pelleted at 4 °C (TLA10.500 (Beckman), 15 min at 5,000-8,000 rcf), resuspended with ice-cold PBS buffer, pelleted again in 50 mL Falcon tubes, frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C. Approximately 20 g of frozen *B. subtilis* cells were resuspended in 50 mL of cell opening buffer (100 mM NH$_4$Cl, 15 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$, 0.5 mM EDTA, 3 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 20 mM Tris:HCl pH 7.5) supplemented with 1 mU Turbo DNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.1 mM PMSF and 35 μg/mL lysozyme, incubated on ice for one hour, and opened by three passages on a high-pressure cell disrupter (Stansted Fluid Power) at 220 MPa. Lysed cells were clarified by centrifugation for 40 min at 40,000 rpm (Ti 45 rotor, Beckman), NH$_4$Cl concentration was adjusted to 400 mM, and the mixture was filtered through 0.45 μm syringe filters. The filtrated lysate was loaded onto a pre-equilibrated 80 mL CIMmultus QA-80 column (BIA Separations, quaternary amine advanced composite column) at a flow rate of 20 mL/min, and the column washed with 5 CV (CV = 80 mL) of low salt buffer (400 mM NH$_4$Cl, 15 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$, 3 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 20 mM Tris:HCl pH 7.5). Ribosomes were then eluted in 45 mL fractions by a step gradient to 77% high salt buffer (900 mM NH$_4$Cl, 15 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$, 3 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 20 mM Tris:HCl pH 7.5) for 5 CV, followed by 100% high salt buffer for 1 CV. The fractions containing ribosomes were pooled, the concentration of NH$_4$Cl was adjusted to 100 mM, and the ribosomes were treated with puromycin added to a final concentration of 10 μM. The resultant crude 70S preparation was resolved on a 10-40% sucrose gradient in overlay buffer (60 mM NH$_4$Cl, 15 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$, 0.25 mM EDTA, 3 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 20 mM Tris:HCl pH 7.5) in a zonal rotor (Ti 15, Beckman, 17 hours at 21,000 rpm). The peak containing pure 70S ribosomes was pelleted by centrifugation (20 hours at 35,000 rpm), and the final ribosomal preparation was dissolved in HEPES:Polymix buffer (20 mM HEPES:KOH pH 7.5, 2 mM DTT, 5 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$, 95 mM KCl, 5 mM NH$_4$Cl, 0.5 mM CaCl$_2$, 8 mM putrescine, 1 mM spermidine (Antoun et al., 2004)). 70S concentration was measured spectrophotometrically (1 OD$_{260}$ corresponds to 23 nM of 70S) and ribosomes were aliquoted (50-100 μL per aliquot), snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C.

2.8   Preparation of 70S initiation complexes (70S IC)

Initiation complexes were prepared by as per (Murina et al., 2018a), with minor modifications. The

reaction mix containing *B. subtilis* 70S ribosomes (final concentration of 6 μM) with *E. coli* IF1 (4 μM), IF2 (5 μM), IF3 (4 μM), ^{3}H-fMet-tRNA$_i^{fMet}$ (8 μM), mRNA MVFStop (8 μM, 5'-GG**CAAGGAGGA**GAUAAGA<u>AUGGUUUUCUAA</u>UA-3'; Shine-Dalgarno sequence is highlighted in bold, ORF is underlined), 1 mM GTP and 2 mM DTT in 1×HEPES:Polymix buffer (20 mM HEPES:KOH pH 7.5, 95 mM KCl, 5 mM NH$_4$Cl, 5 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$, 0.5 mM CaCl$_2$, 8 mM putrescine, 1 mM spermidine, 1 mM DTT (Antoun et al., 2004)) was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. Then the ribosomes were pelleted through a sucrose cushion (1.1 M sucrose in HEPES:Polymix buffer with 15 mM Mg^{2+}) at 50,000 rpm for two hours (TLS-55, Beckman), the pellet was dissolved in HEPES:Polymix buffer (5 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$), aliquoted, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C.

2.9 Preparation of ^{3}H-labelled pppGpp

3 μM *E. fecalis* RelQ (Beljantseva et al., 2017) were incubated in reaction buffer (18 mM MgCl$_2$, 20 mM DTT, 20 M Tris-HCl pH 8.0) together with 8 mM ATP and 5 mM ^{3}H-GTP (SA: 100 cpm/pmol) for 2 hours at 37 °C to produce ^{3}H-pppGpp. The resultant mixture was loaded on strong anion-exchange column (MonoQ 5/50 GL; GE Healthcare), and nucleotides were resolved by a 0.5-1,000 mM LiCl gradient. Peak fractions containing ^{3}H-pppGpp were pooled and precipitated by addition of lithium chloride to a final concentration of 1 M followed by addition of four volumes of ethanol. The suspension was incubated at −80 °C overnight and centrifuged (14,800 rpm, 30 min, 4 °C). The resulting pellets were washed with absolute ethanol, dried, dissolved in 20 mM HEPES-KOH buffer (pH 7.5) and stored at −80 °C.

2.10 ^{3}H-pppGpp hydrolysis assay

The reaction mixtures contained 140-250 nM Rel, 300 μM ^{3}H-pppGpp, 1 mM MnCl$_2$, an essential cofactor for Rel's hydrolysis activity (Avarbock et al., 2000;Mechold et al., 2002;Tamman et al., 2019), all in HEPES:Polymix buffer (5 mM Mg^{2+} final concentration). After preincubation at 37 °C for 3 minutes, the reaction was started by the addition of prewarmed Rel and 5 μL aliquots were taken throughout the time course of the reaction and quenched with 4 μL 70% formic acid supplemented with a cold nucleotide standard (4 mM GDP and 4 mM GTP) for UV-shadowing.

2.11 ^{3}H-pppGpp synthesis assay

Assays with *E. coli* RelA were performed as described earlier (Kudrin et al., 2018). In the case of *B. subtilis* RelA, the reaction mixtures typically contained 500 nM *B. subtilis* 70S IC(MVF), 140 nM Rel, guanosine nucleoside substrate (300 μM ^{3}H-GTP, PerkinElmer), 100 μM pppGpp, 2 μM *E. coli* tRNA^{Val} (Chemical Block), all in HEPES:Polymix buffer (5 mM Mg^{2+} final concentration). After preincubation at 37 °C for 3 minutes, the reaction was started by the addition of prewarmed ATP to the final concentration of 1 mM, and 5 μL aliquots were taken throughout the time course of the reaction and quenched with 4 μL 70% formic acid supplemented with a cold nucleotide standard (4 mM GDP and 4 mM GTP) for UV-shadowing. Individual quenched timepoints were spotted PEI-TLC plates (Macherey-Nagel) and nucleotides were resolved in 0.5 KH$_2$PO$_4$ pH 3.5 buffer. The TLC plates were dried, cut into sections as guided by UV-shadowing, and ^{3}H radioactivity was quantified by scintillation counting in EcoLite™ Liquid Scintillation Cocktail (MP Biomedicals).

3 Results

3.1 Toxicity of *E. coli* ΔRRM RelA is countered by mutations compromising the interaction with starved ribosomes

To test whether the toxicity of RelA$^{\Delta RRM}$ in *E. coli* is dependent on the interaction with starved complexes, we used our system for low-level ectopic expression of RelA from a low copy number mini R1 plasmid (pNDM220, present in one to two copies per chromosome (Molin et al., 1979)) under the control of $P_{A1/O4/O3}$ promoter (Turnbull et al., 2019). Guided by the recent cryo-EM reconstructions of RelA (Arenz et al., 2016;Brown et al., 2016;Loveland et al., 2016), we designed a set of mutations that will specifically disrupt RelA's interaction with starved ribosomal complexes.

To disrupt the interaction between RelA and the tRNA, we adopted the H432E mutation in the TGS domain that was earlier shown to specifically abrogate the recognition of the 3' CCA end of the A/R tRNA (Winther et al., 2018). This conserved histidine residue stacks between the two cytosine bases and hydrogen-bonds the phosphodiester backbone (**Figure 1B**). Replacing it with glutamic acid introduces a charge repulsion effect as well as a steric clash. To disrupt the interaction with the ribosome we used mutations in the ZFD: R629E as well as a double substitution C602A/C603A; both mutants are expected to compromise the recognition of the 23S rRNA ASF element that is crucial for RelA recruitment (Kudrin et al., 2018). The conserved double motif docks the ZFD α-helix into the major groove of the ASF; replacement by alanine is expected to abrogate this interaction (**Figure 1C**). The conserved arginine 629 residue is in close proximity to A886-A887 and A885-A886 phosphodiester bonds (3.5Å and 5Å, respectively), and, therefore, the R629E substitution is expected to cause electrostatic repulsion.

In good agreement with our previous results (Turnbull et al., 2019), low-level expression of full-length RelA has a minor, but detectable growth inhibitory effect both when tested on solid Agar media (**Figure 3A**) and in liquid LB cultures (growth rate decreases from 60 (vector) to 89 min) (**Figure 3B**). Deletion of the RRM renders RelA significantly more toxic (growth rate decreases to 148 min). The effect is countered by TGS H432E, ZFD R629E and even more so by the C612A/C613A mutations (**Figure 3AB**). We directly confirm the lack of activation by deacylated tRNA in the case of H432E *E. coli* RelA using biochemical assays (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

Taken together, our results suggest that RelA$^{\Delta RRM}$ toxicity in *E. coli* could be dependent on the interaction with starved ribosomes and is not due to a defect in auto-inhibition alone. To test the generality of this hypothesis, we next characterised *B. subtilis* Rel lacking the RRM domain.

3.2 Toxicity of *B. subtilis* ΔRRM Rel is mediated by (p)ppGpp synthesis and is countered by mutations compromising the interaction with starved ribosomes

We expressed ΔRRM Rel under the control of an IPTG-inducible P$_{hy\text{-}spank}$ promotor (Britton et al., 2002) in ppGpp^0 ($\Delta rel\Delta relP\Delta relQ$) (Nanamiya et al., 2008) or Δrel *B. subtilis* strains (**Figure 4A**). In the ppGpp^0 background, inhibition of *B. subtilis* growth on LB plates is a marker of toxic (p)ppGpp overproduction. In the Δrel background (p)ppGpp is overproduced by a SAS in the absence of Rel's hydrolytic activity, causing a growth defect in *B. subtilis* (Nanamiya et al., 2008) and *S. aureus* (Geiger et al., 2014). Therefore, this experiment tests the complementation of hydrolase function of Rel that manifests in improved growth.

Unlike the full-length Rel, the Rel$^{\Delta RRM}$ truncation is toxic in the ppGpp^0 and Δrel backgrounds, both when the growth is followed on plates (**Figure 4A**) and in liquid culture (**Figure 4BC**). To probe the role of the interactions with starved ribosomes in Rel$^{\Delta RRM}$ toxicity, we used a set of substitutions in *B. subtilis* RelA corresponding to those used to study *E. coli* RelA (**Figures 1** and **3**). The toxicity of

the Rel$^{\Delta RRM}$ mutant is efficiently countered by the H420E substitution in the TGS as well as the
R619E and C602A/C603A substitutions in the ZFD (**Figure 4D**). This strongly suggests that the
intact interaction with tRNA and starved ribosomes is essential for the toxicity of Rel$^{\Delta RRM}$. For
comparison, we tested, full-length Rel, Rel$^{\Delta RRM\Delta ZDF}$ C-terminal truncation, as well as the NTD
domain region alone. The Rel$^{\Delta RRM\Delta ZDF}$ mutant is only slightly toxic in the ppGpp^0 background and its
expression promotes growth in the Δrel background (**Figure 4A**). NTD-alone construct displays no
toxicity in the ppGpp^0 background and does not promote the growth in the Δrel background,
suggesting weak – or absent – synthetase activity.

To separate the effects of (p)ppGpp production from the effects of (p)ppGpp degradation, we tested
synthesis-deficient SYNTH D264G mutants (Nanamiya et al., 2008) of C-terminally truncated Rel
variants (**Figure 4A**). The toxicity of the ΔRRM variant is abolished by the D264G mutation
demonstrating that it is, indeed, mediated by (p)ppGpp production and not, for example, through
inhibition of protein synthesis via competitive binding to ribosomal A-site (the latter non-enzymatic
mechanism of toxicity was shown for *E. coli* RelA^{CTD} (Turnbull et al., 2019)). Both ΔRRM D264G
and ΔZFDΔRRM D264G variants promote growth in the Δrel strain suggesting that neither deletion
of ΔRRM alone – or both ΔZFD and ΔRRM – abrogates the hydrolysis activity of *B. subtilis* Rel
(**Figure 4A**, bottom panel). At the same time expression of the synthesis-inactive D264G Rel^{NTD} has
no effect, suggesting that the NTD does not efficiently hydrolyse (p)ppGpp. To test if further
truncations of the NTD-only Rel (Rel$^{1-373}$) would induce hydrolytic activity, we tested several
additional constructs of *B. subtilis* Rel – Rel$^{1-336}$, Rel$^{1-196}$ and Rel^{155} – but neither of them could
rescue the growth effect of Δrel *B. subtilis* (**Supplementary Figure S2**).

Taken together, our results demonstrate that i) Rel$^{\Delta RRM}$ is toxic in *B. subtilis,* ii) the toxicity is
mediated by (p)ppGpp synthesis and iii) it is abrogated by mutations disrupting the interaction with
tRNA and starved ribosomes. This suggests that the misactivation of the Rel$^{\Delta RRM}$ mutant by the
ribosome is the underlying cause of the toxicity.

3.3 Expression of Rel$^{\Delta RRM}$, Rel$^{\Delta RRM\Delta ZFD}$ and Rel^{NTD} but not Rel^{H420E} TGS mutant suppresses amino acid auxotrophy of ppGpp^0 *B. subtilis*

To test the low-level, non-toxic, synthesis activity of Rel mutants and to validate the effects of point
mutations disrupting the interaction of Rel with starved ribosomal complexes, we took advantage of
the amino acid auxotrophy phenotype of the ppGpp^0 *B. subtilis* ($\Delta rel\Delta relP\Delta relQ$) (Nanamiya et al.,
2008)).

When ppGpp^0 *B. subtilis* is grown on Spizizen minimum medium (Spizizen, 1958) in the absence of
casamino acids, neither of the D264G Rel mutants – either full-length or C-terminal truncations –
promote growth, both whether or not expression is induced by 1 mM IPTG (**Figure 4E**, right panels).
Full induction of NTD expression with 1 mM IPTG near-completely supressed the auxotrophy
phenotype (**Figure 4E**, top right panel), while the leaky expression in the absence of IPTG results in
weak, but detectable suppression (**Figure 4E**, bottom right panel). This demonstrates that *B. subtilis*
NTD has a weak net-synthesis activity. The Rel$^{\Delta RRM}$ is, as expected, highly toxic when expression is
induced by IPTG; conversely, low-level leakage expression efficiently supresses the amino acid
auxotrophy phenotype. Removal of both RRM and ZFD domains renders the protein non-toxic. It is
not trivial to reconcile this effect with the idea that removal of the RRM renders the protein toxic due
to lack of auto-inhibition: one would expect that the additional removal of the ZFD domain would
further compromise the CTD-mediated negative control in Rel$^{\Delta RRM}$.

We next used the auxotrophy assay to test the effects of the H420E TGS and C602A/C603A ZFD substitutions on the activity of Rel expressed from the native genomic locus under the control of the native promotor (**Figure 4F**). As a positive control we used a strain lacking the genomic copy of *relC* encoding ribosomal protein L11. This ribosomal element is essential for *E. coli* RelA activation by starved ribosomal complexes (Parker et al., 1976;Wendrich et al., 2002;Shyp et al., 2012) as well as for cellular functionality of *C. crescentus* Rel (Boutte and Crosson, 2011). The ppGpp^0 strain expressing H420E Rel fails to growth on the minimum media, reinforcing the crucial role of that this residue, while the C602A/C603A can sustain the growth, suggesting that this substitution does not completely abrogate the activity.

3.4 RRM deletion and ZFD mutations destabilise *B. subtilis* Rel binding to starved ribosomal complexes

Next, we probed the ribosomal association of ΔRRM and full-length Rel expressed in the ppGpp^0 background using a centrifugation sucrose gradient followed by Western blotting using antiserum against native, untagged *B. subtilis* Rel (**Figure 5A**). Since deacylated tRNA promotes ribosomal recruitment of *E. coli* RelA (Agirrezabala et al., 2013;Kudrin et al., 2017), we probed the association of wild type and mutant Rel variants with the ribosome both under exponential growth and upon acute isoleucine starvation induced by the isoleucyl tRNA synthetase inhibitor antibiotic mupirocin (pseudomonic acid) (Thomas et al., 2010). In good agreement with the cryo-EM structures detailing multiple contacts between the RRM and the starved complex and therefore suggesting an importance of this element in ribosomal recruitment (Arenz et al., 2016;Brown et al., 2016;Loveland et al., 2016), we do not detect a stable association of ΔRRM Rel with the ribosome upon a mupirocin challenge. This suggests that the interaction with the ribosome is significantly destabilised in Rel^{ΔRRM} and the protein dissociates during centrifugation. It is noteworthy that, despite an unstable association of Rel^{ΔRRM} with starved ribosomes, the expression of this protein strongly induces the accumulation of 100S ribosomal dimers, which is indicative of (p)ppGpp overproduction (Tagami et al., 2012).

Our microbiological experiments demonstrate that both the C602A and C603A double substitution and the R619E point substitution render Rel^{ΔRRM} non-toxic, which we attribute to further destabilisation of Rel's interaction with starved ribosomal complexes (**Figure 4D**). To directly probe the effects of these mutations, we used centrifugation experiments with full-length Rel carrying the substitutions. As expected, both the C603A double mutant and R619E full-length variants are compromised in recruitment to the ribosome upon a mupirocin challenge (**Figure 5A**).

Taken together, these results demonstrate that Rel^{ΔRRM} is significantly more toxic (i.e. more active in (p)ppGpp production in the cell) than the full-length protein and this toxicity is dependent on the interaction with starved ribosomes, which is, in turn, destabilised in this truncation. As a next step, we set out to test the effects of RRM deletion – either alone or in combination with mutations further compromising the interactions with starved ribosomes – on Rel's enzymatic activity in a reconstituted *B. subtilis* biochemical system.

3.5 Purification of RNA-free untagged *B. subtilis* Rel requires size-exclusion chromatography

To purify untagged *B. subtilis* Rel we combined our protocols used for purification of *E. coli* RelA (Turnbull et al., 2019) and *T. thermophilus* Rel (Van Nerom et al., 2019). (**Figure 2**). Importantly, during all of the chromatography steps we followed both absorbance at 260 and 280 nm complemented with SDS PAGE analysis of fractions. This is essential in order to identify and specifically pool the fractions containing Rel free from RNA contamination. After the initial capture

using immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) in high ionic strength conditions (750 mM KCl) using HisTrap HP column charged with Zn^{2+} in order to avoid possible replacement of the Zn^{2+} in the ZFD domain by Ni^{2+} ions (Block et al., 2009), His_{10}-SUMO-Rel was applied on size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) on HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg column (**Figure 2D**). Both in the case of the full length (**Figure 2B**) and ΔRRM Rel (**Figure 2C**), the RNA-free fractions constitute the minority of the protein that elute considerably later than the bulk of the RNA-contaminated Rel which elutes considerably. While the SEC step is essential for generating RNA-free Rel preparations, the majority of the protein prep is lost at this stage. After the SEC step, the buffer was exchanged to storage buffer containing arginine and glutamic acid that improve protein solubility and long-term stability (Golovanov et al., 2004) (**Figure 2D**), His_{10}-SUMO tag was cleaved off and removed by passing the protein via second IMAC (**Figure 2E**). The quality of the final preparations was assessed by SDS-PAGE (**Figure 2F**) as well as spectrophotometrically: OD_{260}/OD_{280} ratio below 0.8 corresponding to less than 5% RNA contamination (Layne, 1957).

3.6 The RRM deletion does not abrogate the hydrolysis activity of *B. subtilis* Rel

It was recently proposed that the RRM domain has a stimulatory effect on the hydrolysis activity of *C. crescentus* Rel, and the loss of this regulatory mechanism explains the toxicity of the ΔRRM mutant (Ronneau et al., 2018). This hypothesis does not explain the toxicity of the ΔRRM variant of the synthesis-only RSH RelA (Turnbull et al., 2019) and our microbiological experiments showing that the synthesis-defective ΔRRM D264G variant of *B. subtilis* Rel remains active as a (p)ppGpp hydrolase (**Figure 4A**). Enzymatic assays following ^{3}H-pppGpp degradation by full-length and $Rel^{ΔRRM}$ show that the latter is approximately twice less active (**Figure 5B**). While the defect is detectable, it is in good agreement with our microbiological experiments, relatively mild. Importantly, the enzyme characterised by Ronneau and colleagues (*C. crescentus* $Rel^{Δ668-719}$) was not completely lacking the RRM, and it is possible that the remaining beta-strand alpha-helix turn structural element was interfering with the hydrolysis activity of the construct (Ronneau et al., 2018).

3.7 The R619E ZFD substitution compromises activation of *B. subtilis* $Rel^{ΔRRM}$ by starved ribosomal complexes

We tested the ^{3}H-pppGpp synthesis by full-length Rel as well as $Rel^{ΔRRM}$, either alone or activated by the ribosomes or starved complexes in a reconstituted system (**Figure 5C**). When the protein is tested by itself, the $Rel^{ΔRRM}$ mutant is less active than the full-length, suggesting that deletion of the RRM domain does not lead to the loss of auto-inhibition. While $Rel^{ΔRRM}$ remains less active than the full-length when activated by initiation complexes (about two-fold), in the presence of starved ribosomal complexes the two proteins are equally active. This could be explained by tRNA stabilising Rel on the ribosome and overriding the defect caused by the removal of the RRM domain.

The R619E mutation compromises activation of the full-length Rel by the initiation complexes (more than four-fold), and the effect is less pronounced in the presence of deacylated $tRNA^{Val}$ (less than two-fold decrease in activity). Just as in the case of the RRM deletion, a possible explanation is that the deacylated tRNA strongly stimulates the binding of Rel to the ribosome and offsets the effect of the mutation R619E. When the R619E substitution is introduced into ΔRRM Rel, the combination of the two mutations destabilising Rel binding to the ribosome results in compromised activation both by the initiation (five-fold) and starved (seven-fold) ribosomal complex. Despite several attempts we failed to generate sufficiently pure and soluble C602/C603 $Rel^{ΔRRM}$, which precluded direct biochemical characterisation of this mutant.

Taken together, our biochemical results demonstrate that while RRM is important in Rel recruitment
to the ribosome, this domain is not absolutely essential for the activation of its (p)ppGpp synthesis
activity by starved ribosomal complexes, which is consistent with the ribosome-dependent nature of
the Rel$^{\Delta RRM}$ toxicity in live cells.

4   Discussion

Taken together, our results demonstrate that i) deletion of the RRM domain renders *B. subtilis* Rel
and *E. coli* RelA toxic due to (p)ppGpp overproduction in a ribosome and tRNA-dependent manner,
ii) RRM deletion does not abrogate the (p)ppGpp hydrolysis activity of *B. subtilis* Rel iii) RRM
deletion destabilises the interaction of *B. subtilis* Rel with starved ribosomal complexes, and iv) this
destabilisation renders the mutant enzyme more sensitive than the full length Rel to deactivation by
additional substitutions further compromising its association with starved ribosomes. Our
biochemical results do not explain why exactly ΔRRM Rel/RelA is toxic: if anything, the protein
behaves as a poorer binder (**Figure 3D**) and is less active (**Figure 3E**) as compared to the full-length
Rel. A dedicated follow-up study is necessary to clarify this question.

Our report expands the mutation toolbox for dissecting the molecular mechanisms of long RSH
enzymes. We confirm that, as was shown for H432E *E. coli* RelA mutant (Winther et al., 2018), the
corresponding H420E mutation in *B. subtilis* Rel is a useful tool for specifically abrogating activation
of Rel by starved ribosomal complexes. Additionally, we demonstrate the utility of two novel
mutations in the ZFD domain: R619E (R629E in *E. coli* RelA) as well as a double C602A/C603A
substitution (C612A/C613A in *E. coli* RelA). While these mutations do not completely abrogate the
activation, acting in epistasis they can be employed to reveal weaker phenotypes / effects, as it was
shown in the current work when the mutations were combined with RRM / ACT deletion.

Finally, we would like to draw the attention of the research community working on long RSH
enzymes to technical aspects of protein purification. It is common to purify Rel/RelA for biochemical
experiments using a single-step Ni-NTA purification (for example (Gratani et al., 2018;Wood et al.,
2019)). However, both RelA (Turnbull et al., 2019) and Rel have a strong tendency for RNA
contamination and multiple additional steps are necessary to remove this contaminant. Therefore,
reporting the 260/280 absorbance ratio of the final preparations is essential. RNA-free protein
preparations typically have a 260/280 absorbance ratio of 0.57, but this parameter can vary
depending on the amino acid composition, specifically the abundance of tryptophan and
phenylalanine (Layne, 1957). Without extra steps to remove RNA contamination, single-step
preparations are likely to be heavily RNA-contaminated. This contamination can potentially explain
the surprising observation that Ni-NTA purified *S. aureus* Rel inhibits the DEAD-box RNA helicase
CshA (Wood et al., 2019): the RNA contamination may be acting as a competitor in the CshA
helicase assay. RNA contamination also interferes with the estimate of the oligomerisation state of
Rel: since the RNA-bound protein elutes much earlier than the RNA-free (**Figure 2BC**). For
example, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Rel elutes as several peaks from SEC (Avarbock et al., 2005),
with the 'trimer' (i.e. RNA-contaminated) fraction having lower enzymatic activity. This is likely
because the RNA interferes with 'trimer' Rel activation by starved ribosomal complexes.

5   References

Agirrezabala, X., Fernandez, I.S., Kelley, A.C., Carton, D.G., Ramakrishnan, V., and Valle, M.
(2013). The ribosome triggers the stringent response by RelA via a highly distorted tRNA.
EMBO Rep 14**,** 811-816.

Akanuma, G., Kazo, Y., Tagami, K., Hiraoka, H., Yano, K., Suzuki, S., Hanai, R., Nanamiya, H.,
Kato-Yamada, Y., and Kawamura, F. (2016). Ribosome dimerization is essential for the
efficient regrowth of Bacillus subtilis. *Microbiology* 162**,** 448-458.

Antoun, A., Pavlov, M.Y., Tenson, T., and Ehrenberg, M.M. (2004). Ribosome formation from
subunits studied by stopped-flow and Rayleigh light scattering. *Biol Proced Online* 6**,** 35-54.

Arenz, S., Abdelshahid, M., Sohmen, D., Payoe, R., Starosta, A.L., Berninghausen, O., Hauryliuk,
V., Beckmann, R., and Wilson, D.N. (2016). The stringent factor RelA adopts an open
conformation on the ribosome to stimulate ppGpp synthesis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44**,** 6471-
6481.

Atkinson, G.C., Tenson, T., and Hauryliuk, V. (2011). The RelA/SpoT homolog (RSH) superfamily:
distribution and functional evolution of ppGpp synthetases and hydrolases across the tree of
life. *PLoS One* 6**,** e23479.

Avarbock, A., Avarbock, D., Teh, J.S., Buckstein, M., Wang, Z.M., and Rubin, H. (2005). Functional
regulation of the opposing (p)ppGpp synthetase/hydrolase activities of RelMtb from
Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Biochemistry* 44**,** 9913-9923.

Avarbock, D., Avarbock, A., and Rubin, H. (2000). Differential regulation of opposing RelMtb
activities by the aminoacylation state of a tRNA.ribosome.mRNA.RelMtb complex.
Biochemistry 39**,** 11640-11648.

Beljantseva, J., Kudrin, P., Andresen, L., Shingler, V., Atkinson, G.C., Tenson, T., and Hauryliuk, V.
(2017). Negative allosteric regulation of Enterococcus faecalis small alarmone synthetase
RelQ by single-stranded RNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114**,** 3726-3731.

Block, H., Maertens, B., Spriestersbach, A., Brinker, N., Kubicek, J., Fabis, R., Labahn, J., and
Schafer, F. (2009). Immobilized-metal affinity chromatography (IMAC): a review. *Methods*
Enzymol 463**,** 439-473.

Boutte, C.C., and Crosson, S. (2011). The complex logic of stringent response regulation in
Caulobacter crescentus: starvation signalling in an oligotrophic environment. *Mol Microbiol*
80**,** 695-714.

Britton, R.A., Eichenberger, P., Gonzalez-Pastor, J.E., Fawcett, P., Monson, R., Losick, R., and
Grossman, A.D. (2002). Genome-wide analysis of the stationary-phase sigma factor (sigma-
H) regulon of Bacillus subtilis. *J Bacteriol* 184**,** 4881-4890.

Brodiazhenko, T., Johansson, M.J.O., Takada, H., Nissan, T., Hauryliuk, V., and Murina, V. (2018).
Elimination of Ribosome Inactivating Factors Improves the Efficiency of Bacillus subtilis and
Saccharomyces cerevisiae Cell-Free Translation Systems. *Front Microbiol* 9**,** 3041.

Brown, A., Fernandez, I.S., Gordiyenko, Y., and Ramakrishnan, V. (2016). Ribosome-dependent
activation of stringent control. *Nature* 534**,** 277-280.

Dalebroux, Z.D., Svensson, S.L., Gaynor, E.C., and Swanson, M.S. (2010). ppGpp conjures bacterial
virulence. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 74**,** 171-199.

Dalebroux, Z.D., and Swanson, M.S. (2012). ppGpp: magic beyond RNA polymerase. *Nat Rev*
Microbiol 10**,** 203-212.

Geiger, T., Kastle, B., Gratani, F.L., Goerke, C., and Wolz, C. (2014). Two small (p)ppGpp
synthases in Staphylococcus aureus mediate tolerance against cell envelope stress conditions.
J Bacteriol 196**,** 894-902.

Golovanov, A.P., Hautbergue, G.M., Wilson, S.A., and Lian, L.Y. (2004). A simple method for
improving protein solubility and long-term stability. *J Am Chem Soc* 126**,** 8933-8939.

Gratani, F.L., Horvatek, P., Geiger, T., Borisova, M., Mayer, C., Grin, I., Wagner, S., Steinchen, W.,
Bange, G., Velic, A., Macek, B., and Wolz, C. (2018). Regulation of the opposing (p)ppGpp
synthetase and hydrolase activities in a bifunctional RelA/SpoT homologue from
Staphylococcus aureus. *PLoS Genet* 14**,** e1007514.

Gropp, M., Strausz, Y., Gross, M., and Glaser, G. (2001). Regulation of Escherichia coli RelA
requires oligomerization of the C-terminal domain. *J Bacteriol* 183**,** 570-579.

Haseltine, W.A., and Block, R. (1973). Synthesis of guanosine tetra- and pentaphosphate requires the
presence of a codon-specific, uncharged transfer ribonucleic acid in the acceptor site of
ribosomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 70**,** 1564-1568.

Hauryliuk, V., Atkinson, G.C., Murakami, K.S., Tenson, T., and Gerdes, K. (2015). Recent
functional insights into the role of (p)ppGpp in bacterial physiology. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 13**,**
298-309.

Inabe, T. (2005). Mellitate anions: unique anionic component with supramolecular self-organizing
properties. *Journal of Materials Chemistry* 15**,** 1317-1328.

Jiang, M., Sullivan, S.M., Wout, P.K., and Maddock, J.R. (2007). G-protein control of the ribosome-
associated stress response protein SpoT. *J Bacteriol* 189**,** 6140-6147.

Jimmy, S., Saha, C.K., Stavropoulos, C., Garcia-Pino, A., Hauryliuk, V., and Atkinson, G.C. (2019).
Discovery of small alarmone synthetases and their inhibitors as toxin-antitoxin loci. *bioXriv*
*[Preprint]***,** DOI: 10.1101/575399.

Kudrin, P., Dzhygyr, I., Ishiguro, K., Beljantseva, J., Maksimova, E., Oliveira, S.R.A., Varik, V.,
Payoe, R., Konevega, A.L., Tenson, T., Suzuki, T., and Hauryliuk, V. (2018). The ribosomal
A-site finger is crucial for binding and activation of the stringent factor RelA. *Nucleic Acids*
Res 46**,** 1973-1983.

Kudrin, P., Varik, V., Oliveira, S.R., Beljantseva, J., Del Peso Santos, T., Dzhygyr, I., Rejman, D.,
Cava, F., Tenson, T., and Hauryliuk, V. (2017). Subinhibitory Concentrations of
Bacteriostatic Antibiotics Induce relA-Dependent and relA-Independent Tolerance to beta-
Lactams. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 61.

Kushwaha, G.S., Bange, G., and Bhavesh, N.S. (2019). Interaction studies on bacterial stringent
response protein RelA with uncharged tRNA provide evidence for its prerequisite complex
for ribosome binding. *Curr Genet*.

Layne, E. (1957). Spectrophotometric and Turbidimetric Methods for Measuring Proteins. *Methods*
in Enzymology 3**,** 447-454.

Liu, K., Bittner, A.N., and Wang, J.D. (2015). Diversity in (p)ppGpp metabolism and effectors. *Curr*
Opin Microbiol 24**,** 72-79.

Loveland, A.B., Bah, E., Madireddy, R., Zhang, Y., Brilot, A.F., Grigorieff, N., and Korostelev, A.A.
(2016). Ribosome*RelA structures reveal the mechanism of stringent response activation.
Elife 5.

Mechold, U., Murphy, H., Brown, L., and Cashel, M. (2002). Intramolecular regulation of the
opposing (p)ppGpp catalytic activities of Rel(Seq), the Rel/Spo enzyme from Streptococcus
equisimilis. *J Bacteriol* 184**,** 2878-2888.

Mittenhuber, G. (2001). Comparative genomics and evolution of genes encoding bacterial (p)ppGpp
synthetases/hydrolases (the Rel, RelA and SpoT proteins). *J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol* 3,
585-600.

Molin, S., Stougaard, P., Uhlin, B.E., Gustafsson, P., and Nordstrom, K. (1979). Clustering of genes
involved in replication, copy number control, incompatibility, and stable maintenance of the
resistance plasmid R1drd-19. *J Bacteriol* 138, 70-79.

Murina, V., Kasari, M., Hauryliuk, V., and Atkinson, G.C. (2018a). Antibiotic resistance ABCF
proteins reset the peptidyl transferase centre of the ribosome to counter translational arrest.
Nucleic Acids Res 46, 3753-3763.

Murina, V., Kasari, M., Takada, H., Hinnu, M., Saha, C.K., Grimshaw, J.W., Seki, T., Reith, M.,
Putrins, M., Tenson, T., Strahl, H., Hauryliuk, V., and Atkinson, G.C. (2018b). ABCF
ATPases Involved in Protein Synthesis, Ribosome Assembly and Antibiotic Resistance:
Structural and Functional Diversification across the Tree of Life. *J Mol Biol*.

Nanamiya, H., Kasai, K., Nozawa, A., Yun, C.S., Narisawa, T., Murakami, K., Natori, Y.,
Kawamura, F., and Tozawa, Y. (2008). Identification and functional analysis of novel
(p)ppGpp synthetase genes in Bacillus subtilis. *Mol Microbiol* 67, 291-304.

Parker, J., Watson, R.J., and Friesen, J.D. (1976). A relaxed mutant with an altered ribosomal protein
L11. *Mol Gen Genet* 144, 111-114.

Ronneau, S., Caballero-Montes, J., Coppine, J., Mayard, A., Garcia-Pino, A., and Hallez, R. (2018).
Regulation of (p)ppGpp hydrolysis by a conserved archetypal regulatory domain. *Nucleic
Acids Res*.

Schreiber, G., Metzger, S., Aizenman, E., Roza, S., Cashel, M., and Glaser, G. (1991).
Overexpression of the relA gene in Escherichia coli. *J Biol Chem* 266, 3760-3767.

Shyp, V., Tankov, S., Ermakov, A., Kudrin, P., English, B.P., Ehrenberg, M., Tenson, T., Elf, J., and
Hauryliuk, V. (2012). Positive allosteric feedback regulation of the stringent response enzyme
RelA by its product. *EMBO Rep* 13, 835-839.

Spizizen, J. (1958). Transformation of Biochemically Deficient Strains of Bacillus Subtilis by
Deoxyribonucleate. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 44, 1072-1078.

Steinchen, W., and Bange, G. (2016). The magic dance of the alarmones (p)ppGpp. *Mol Microbiol*
101, 531-544.

Tagami, K., Nanamiya, H., Kazo, Y., Maehashi, M., Suzuki, S., Namba, E., Hoshiya, M., Hanai, R.,
Tozawa, Y., Morimoto, T., Ogasawara, N., Kageyama, Y., Ara, K., Ozaki, K., Yoshida, M.,
Kuroiwa, H., Kuroiwa, T., Ohashi, Y., and Kawamura, F. (2012). Expression of a small
(p)ppGpp synthetase, YwaC, in the (p)ppGpp(0) mutant of Bacillus subtilis triggers YvyD-
dependent dimerization of ribosome. *Microbiologyopen* 1, 115-134.

Tamman, H., Van Nerom, K., Takada, H., Vandenberk, S., Polikanov, Y., Hofkens, J., Talavera, A.,
Hauryliuk, V., Hendrix, J., and Garcia-Pino, A. (2019). Nucleotide-mediated allosteric
regulation of bifunctional Rel enzymes *bioRxiv*

Thomas, C.M., Hothersall, J., Willis, C.L., and Simpson, T.J. (2010). Resistance to and synthesis of
the antibiotic mupirocin. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 8, 281-289.

Turnbull, K.J., Dzhygyr, I., Lindemose, S., Hauryliuk, V., and Roghanian, M. (2019). Intramolecular
Interactions Dominate the Autoregulation of Escherichia coli Stringent Factor RelA. *Front
Microbiol* 10, 1966.

Van Nerom, K., Tamman, H., Takada, H., Hauryliuk, V., and Garcia-Pino, A. (2019). The Rel stringent factor from Thermus thermophilus: crystallization and X-ray analysis. *Acta Crystallogr F Struct Biol Commun* 75, 561-569.

Wendrich, T.M., Blaha, G., Wilson, D.N., Marahiel, M.A., and Nierhaus, K.H. (2002). Dissection of the mechanism for the stringent factor RelA. *Mol Cell* 10, 779-788.

Wilkinson, R.C., Batten, L.E., Wells, N.J., Oyston, P.C., and Roach, P.L. (2015). Biochemical studies on Francisella tularensis RelA in (p)ppGpp biosynthesis. *Biosci Rep* 35.

Winther, K.S., Roghanian, M., and Gerdes, K. (2018). Activation of the Stringent Response by Loading of RelA-tRNA Complexes at the Ribosomal A-Site. *Mol Cell* 70, 95-105 e104.

Wood, A., Irving, S.E., Bennison, D.J., and Corrigan, R.M. (2019). The (p)ppGpp-binding GTPase Era promotes rRNA processing and cold adaptation in Staphylococcus aureus. *PLoS Genet* 15, e1008346.

Xiao, H., Kalman, M., Ikehara, K., Zemel, S., Glaser, G., and Cashel, M. (1991). Residual guanosine 3',5'-bispyrophosphate synthetic activity of relA null mutants can be eliminated by spoT null mutations. *J Biol Chem* 266, 5980-5990.

Yang, N., Xie, S., Tang, N.Y., Choi, M.Y., Wang, Y., and Watt, R.M. (2019). The Ps and Qs of alarmone synthesis in Staphylococcus aureus. *PLoS One* 14, e0213630.

6 Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

7 Author Contributions

HT conceived the study, HT, MR and VH designed experiments, HT performed experiments with *B. subtilis* Rel, ID and MR performed experiments with *E. coli* RelA, RM and GA contributed tools and reagents, GCA and AG-P performed structure and sequence analyses, HT and VH drafted and revised the manuscript with contributions from MR, AG-P and GCA. All authors have read and approved the final version of this manuscript.

8 Funding

This work was supported by the European Regional Development Fund through the Centre of Excellence for Molecular Cell Technology (VH), the Molecular Infection Medicine Sweden (MIMS) (VH), Swedish Research council (grant 2017-03783 to VH and grant 2015-04746 to GCA), Ragnar Söderberg foundation (VH), Umeå Centre for Microbial Research (UCMR) (postdoctoral grant 2017 to HT), MIMS Excellence by Choice Postdoctoral Fellowship Programme (MR), the Fonds National de Recherche Scientifique (grants FRFS-WELBIO CR-2017S-03, FNRS CDR J.0068.19 and FNRS-PDR T.0066.18 to AG-P) and the Fonds Jean Brachet and the Fondation Van Buuren (AG-P).

9 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Protein Expertise Platform (PEP) at Umeå University and Mikael Lindberg for constructing pET24d::*His10-SUMO*-based expression constructs and purifying His6-Ulp1, and Julien Caballero-Montes for comments on the manuscript.

Figure 1. Domain structure of 'long' ribosome-associated RSHs Rel and RelA. (**A**) The NTD region contains (p)ppGpp hydrolysis (HD) and (p)ppGpp synthesis (SYNTH) NTD domains. TGS (ThrRS, GTPase and SpoT), Helical, ZFD (Zinc Finger Domain) and RRM (RNA Recognition Motif) domains comprise the regulatory CTD region. Mutations and truncations of *B. subilis* Rel and *E. coli* RelA used in this study are indicated above and below the domain schematics, respectively. (**B**) Conservation and structural environment of mutations in the TGS domain used in the current study. (**C**) Conservation and structural environment of mutations in the RRM domain used in the current study. The 3D structures are as per from Loveland and colleagues (Loveland et al., 2016), RDB accession number 5KPX.

Figure 2. Purification of RNA-free untagged *B. subtilis* Rel. N-terminally His$_{10}$-SUMO tagged RelA was overexpressed and purified as described in detail in *Materials and Methods*. (**A**) Cells were lysed and subjected to immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) using Zn^{2+}-charged HisTrap 5 mL HP column. The fraction corresponding the Rel with the lowest contamination of nucleic acids (highlighted in yellow) was carried forward. Size-exclusion chromatography on HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg was used to further separate the RNA-free Rel fractions (**B**: full-length wild type Rel; **C**: ΔRRM Rel). Following the buffer exchange on HiPrep 10/26 desalting column (**D**), the boxed-out fractions were pooled and the His$_{10}$-SUMO tag was cleaved off by His$_6$-Ulp1 protease. (**E**) His$_6$-Ulp1 and the His$_{10}$-SUMO tag was then purified from native untagged Rel by the second round of IMAC. Highlighted fractions were pooled, concentrated, aliquoted, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored –80 °C. (**F**) SDS-PAGE analysis of purified native untagged *B. subtilis* Rel.

Figure 3. Toxicity of ΔRRM *E. coli* RelA is mitigated by mutations compromising interactions with tRNA and the ribosome. (**A**) *E. coli* BW25113 cells were transformed with low copy IPTG inducible vector pNDM220 (vector) or pNDM220-based constructs expressing wild-type and mutant versions of *E. coli* RelA as indicated on the figure. Ten-fold serial dilutions of overnight LB cultures were made and spotted onto LB agar (LA) supplemented with 30 μg/mL ampicillin and 1 mM IPTG. (**B**) Thousand-fold dilution of the same overnights were made in LB supplemented with 30 μg/mL ampicillin and 1 mM IPTG, and growth was at 37 °C was monitored using Bioscreen C growth curve analysis system. Doubling time (Td) was calculated from three independent measurements and the error bars represent standard errors.

Figure 4. Deletion of the regulatory RRM domain leads to *B. subtilis* Rel toxicity due to ribosome-dependent (p)ppGpp overproduction. (**A**) Full-length (VHB155 and VHB183) as well as C-terminally truncated Rel variants [synthesis-competent ΔRRM (VHB159 and VHB184), ΔRRMΔZFD (VHB160 and VHB185) and Rel^{NTD} (VHB161 and VHB186), and the corresponding synthesis-inactive D264G mutants VHB162-164; VHB187-190] were expressed in either ppGpp^0 (test for toxicity mediated by (p)ppGpp accumulation) or Δ*rel* (test for HD functionality) *B. subtilis* growing on solid LB medium. Expression of Rel^{ΔRRM} causes growth defect in liquid culture. Either wild-type *rel* (VHB183) (**B**) or *rel*^{ΔRRM} mutant (VHB184) (**C**) were expressed in ppGpp^0 background grown in liquid LB medium at 37 °C. Protein expression was induced by IPTG added to final concentration of 1 mM to exponentially growing bacterial cultures at OD$_{600}$ 0.2. Protein expression was monitored by Western blotting using anti-Rel antibodies. (**D**) Toxicity of mutant versions of Rel^{ΔRRM} tested in ppGpp^0 *B. subtilis* growing on solid LB medium: wild type Rel^{ΔRRM} (VHB184), H420E (VHB231) defective in recognition of tRNA's 3' CCA end, and ZFD mutants C602AC603A (VHB233) and R619E (VHB281) defective in 70S binding. LB plates were scored after 18 hour incubation at 37 °C. (**E**) Synthesis activity of Rel mutants probed by amino acid auxotrophy assays. *B. subtilis* strains were constructed using either *relP*$^+$ *relQ*$^+$ wild type 168 (upper row) or Δ*relP* Δ*relP* (lower row) background. The strains either expressed indicated *rel* mutants (H420E (VHB68) and C602A C603A (VHB148), upper row, and H420E (VHB60), C602A C603A (VHB62), lower row) or contained additional Δ*rplK* gene disruption (VHB47, *relP*$^+$ *relQ*$^+$ and VHB49, Δ*relP* Δ*relP*). The ppGpp^0 mutant strain (Δ*relP* Δ*relP* Δ*rel*, VHB63) was used as a control (highlighted with grey box). The strains were grown on either solid Spizizen minimal medium (right panel) or solid minimal medium supplemented with 0.025% casamino acids (left panel). (**F**) C-terminally truncated Rel variants (either synthesis-competent (VHB155, VHB159-161) or synthesis-inactive D264G mutant

737 versions (VHB156, VHB162-164)) were expressed in ppGpp^0 *B. subtilis* growing on either solid
738 minimal medium or solid minimal medium supplemented with 0.025% casamino acids. Plates were
739 scored after 36 hours incubation at 37 °C. Importantly, prior to experiment all strains were pre-
740 cultured on solid minimal medium supplemented with 0.025% casamino acids. This was done in
741 order avoid the effects caused by the decreased fitness of the inoculum.

Figure 5. Deletion of the regulatory RRM domain destabilises Rel binding to starved ribosomal complexes and does not abrogate the hydrolysis activity. (**A**) Polysome profile and immunoblot analyses of Rel variants expressed either ectopically under the control of IPTG-inducible $P_{hy\text{-}spnak}$ promotor [ΔRRM Rel in ppGpp^0 *B. subtilis* (VHB184), R619E Rel in Δrel *B. subtilis* (VHB282)] or from the native chromosomal locus [C602A C603A mutant (VHB144)]. Expression Rel$^{\Delta RRM}$ was induced by 1 mM IPTG for 10 minutes followed by a 10 minute challenge with 700 nM mupirocin. To drive the expression of RelR619E Rel the strain as grown in LB supplemented with 1 mM IPTG. In the case of R619E and C602AC603A Rel the culture was treated with mupirocin for 20 minutes. (**B**) Effect of the RRM deletion on Rel pppGpp-hydrolysis activity. (**C**) Effects of RRM deletion and R619E mutation on Rel synthetic activity either alone or in the presence of either initiation and starved ribosomal complexes. The error bars represent standard deviations of the turnover estimates by linear regression.