Bacterial quorum sensing allows graded and bimodal cellular responses to variations in population density

Jennifer B. Rattray[1,2], Stephen A. Thomas[1,2,3], Yifei Wang[1,2,4], Evgeniya Molotkova[1], James Gurney[1,2], John J. Varga[1,2], Sam P. Brown[1,2]

[1] School of Biological Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, 30332 GA, USA
[2] Center for Microbial Dynamics and Infection, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, 30332 GA, USA
[3] Graduate Program in Quantitative Biosciences (QBioS), Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, 30332 GA, USA
[4] The Institute for Data Engineering and Science (IDEaS), Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, 30332 GA, USA

Corresponding author: Sam P. Brown

Email: sam.brown@biology.gatech.edu

ORCIDs. Jennifer B. Rattray https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3478-2242; Stephen A. Thomas http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0773-0236; Yifei Wang http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7510-7959, James Gurney http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8364-3380, John J. Varga https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4868-1971

Keywords

Bacterial communication, quorum sensing, sociomicrobiology, reaction norm

Author Contributions

J.B.R and S.P.B. conceived the idea and designed the experiment; J.B.R., E.M., J.G., and J.J.V. performed the experiments; J.B.R analyzed the data; and J.B.R., S.A.T, Y.W., J.G., J.J.V., and S.P.B. wrote the paper.

Abstract

Quorum sensing (QS) is a mechanism of cell–cell communication that connects gene expression

to environmental conditions (e.g. density) in many bacterial species, mediated by diffusible signal

molecules. Current functional studies focus on a dichotomy of QS on/off (or, quorate / sub-

quorate) states, overlooking the potential for intermediate, graded responses to shifts in the

environment. Here, we track QS regulated protease (*lasB*) expression and show that

Pseudomonas aeruginosa can deliver a graded behavioral response to fine-scale variation in

population density, on both the population and single-cell scales. On the population scale, we see

a graded response to variation in environmental population density. On the single-cell scale, we

see significant bimodality at higher densities, with separate OFF and ON sub-populations that

respond differentially to changes in density; static OFF cells and increasing intensity of

expression among ON cells. Together these results indicate that QS can tune gene expression to

graded environmental change, with no critical cell mass or 'quorum' at which behavioral

responses are activated on either the individual cell or population scale. In an infection context,

our results indicate there is not a hard threshold separating sub-quorate 'stealth' mode and a

quorate 'attack' mode.

Main Text

Introduction

Many species of bacteria are capable of a form of cell-cell communication via diffusible signal

molecules, generally referred to as quorum sensing (QS). The study of QS has largely focused on

the intracellular gene regulatory scale, leading to a detailed understanding of the regulatory

mechanisms shaping the production of and response to signal molecules in model organisms

such as *Vibrio cholerae*, *Bacillus cereus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [1–3]. We now

understand that QS is mediated by multiple diffusible signals that together control a diverse array

of responses, including swarming, luminescence, competence and the production of diverse

secreted factors [4,5]

While the molecular mechanisms of QS have been described for model organisms in remarkable

detail, the functional and evolutionary context of QS continues to be disputed. In other words,

while we now have a better understanding of *how* QS works, we still have limited understanding

of *why* bacteria use this system to control behavior. What are the functions of QS? How do these

QS functions help bacteria to survive and grow? The standard answer is that bacteria use QS to

sense when they are at sufficient density ('quorate') to efficiently turn on cooperative behaviors

such as secretion of toxins and enzymes in order to collectively modify their environment [6].

Other researchers have argued that QS is an asocial sensing apparatus, where individual cells
produce and monitor signal levels in order to infer their physical environment (am I in an open or
enclosed space?) [7]. More recently, integration of molecular and evolutionary approaches has
increased the menu of potential functions to include sensing multiple aspects of both the social
and physical environment [6,8–10] and coordinating complex social strategies that limit the
profitability of non-cooperating 'cheat' strains [11–18].
42
A critical step in assessing the various adaptive hypotheses is establishing the functional
capacities and limits of QS. Previous studies have demonstrated 'density sensing' functions –
populations can use QS to sense when they exceed a density threshold [6,19,20]. In addition,
Darch et al. (2012) demonstrated that responding with increased QS controlled cooperative
activity at high density can provide a fitness benefit [6]. Other studies have demonstrated
'diffusion sensing' functions [7] – QS systems can functionally respond to variation in physical
containment, so that even a single cell can become 'quorate' (turn on a QS controlled reporter
gene) if isolated in a sufficiently small contained space [9]. More recently, some studies have
demonstrated 'genotype sensing' functions – QS can respond to variation in the genotypic
composition of a population, restricting QS-controlled responses to populations that are enriched
with wildtypes [11,14,21,22].
54
The functional studies outlined above largely focus on a dichotomy of QS on/off (or, quorate /
sub-quorate) states, overlooking the potential for intermediate, graded responses (Fig 1A). The
threshold quorate/non-quorate concept is ingrained in the QS literature following the use of the
legal 'quorum' analogy [20], and is also supported by mathematical models of QS signal
dynamics that highlight how sufficiently strong positive feedback control of signal production can
produce a sharp threshold response to changes in environmental parameters such as density or
diffusion [23,24]. However, these same mathematical models indicate that graded responses are
also possible, dependent on the model parameterization. More generally, Fig 1A highlights that
the phenotypic response of QS bacteria to differing environmental conditions can be viewed as a
'reaction norm' [25–28] that can in principle take differing shapes. Reaction norms describe
phenotypic responses of a single genotype (y-axis, Fig 1A) to varying environmental inputs (x-
axis, Fig 1A). Incorporating a reaction norm framework provides a menu of quantitative metrics to
define QS responses to environmental variation (e.g., slope, intercept, and variances). With this
reaction norm framework, it is important to emphasize that in our study the x-axis is not time, but
instead captures a gradient of environmental conditions. Whether responses are graded or
thresholded during the growth towards high density is a separate line of inquiry [29]. Describing
the reaction-norms of QS cells and populations to contrasting environments is an important step

towards understanding the capacities of QS systems to differentially respond to novel
environments.

Figure 1. Schematic of potential population and single cell responses to variation in cell density. A)
Population response (y-axis) across discrete carrying capacity environments (N, x-axis), given a threshold
(left) or graded response (right). In (B) and (C) we outline alternative cell-scale responses (intensity of green
cells) that are consistent with discrete population scale behaviors (yellow arrows). (B) threshold (ON/OFF)
cellular responses can produce a threshold or graded responses on population scale. (C) graded individual
responses can produce threshold or graded responses on a population scale.

Whether the population scale reaction norm to environmental variation is threshold-like or graded
(Fig 1A), a separate question is how collective population-level responses are constructed out of
individual cellular contributions (Fig 1B,C). Studies of QS on a single-cell scale have revealed
substantial heterogeneity in response to QS signals [9,30–36], highlighting that cell-cell
communication does not necessarily result in tight synchronization of individual cell activity (Fig
1B,C). In some systems, heterogeneity can be quenched by the addition of extra signal [31,33],
implying a lack of receptor saturation. However, this is not a universal result [30], indicating that
other molecular processes can drive cellular variation in response. Regardless of the molecular
details, we currently lack a behavioral understanding of how individual cellular responses vary
with changes in the environment.
91
In the current study we address the canonical 'density sensing' function of QS, using the
environmental generalist and opportunistic pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and an
unprecedented scale of environmental resolution (13 discrete limiting carbon levels conducted in

triplicate, generating 39 density environments). QS in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is heavily

studied in a high-density context, revealing a complex mechanism of multi-signal control [37–41].

Our first challenge is to map the population scale resolving power of QS to quantitatively

discriminate graded differences in population density (Fig 1A). Does *P. aeruginosa* respond in a

purely threshold manner, collapsing quantitative differences in population density into a simple

low / high qualitative output, or can QS allow *P. aeruginosa* to deliver a graded response to

distinct environmental densities? Our second challenge is to understand how collective

responses are partitioned across individual cells. Are changes in collective responses governed

primarily by changes in the proportion of cells in an on state (Fig 1B) or changes in the individual

cell intensity of response (Fig 1C), or both?

Results

Collective level of response to density is graded and linear. Our first challenge is to map out

the population scale reaction norm of the collective QS-controlled protease (*lasB*) response to

variation in population densities. To provide a detailed picture of the QS response reaction norm

to varying density, we grew a QS reporter strain (PAO1 pMHLAS containing the *PlasB::gfp(ASV)*

reporter construct for QS regulated protease expression [42]) under 13 conditions of carbon

limitation in triplicate and measured average fluorescence output per cell as the populations

reach carrying capacity (Fig 2). Dead cells with compromised membranes were identified with a

propidium iodide stain and excluded from analysis. The range of cell densities generated from

this method is from 1×10^8 cells/ml to 2×10^9 cells/ml. Figure 2 shows that QS response is linear

with increasing culture density, providing intermediate levels of average per-capita response to

intermediate densities. To confirm the lack of threshold behavior we assessed alternate statistical

models including threshold functions, and found that a linear fit model supports the data better

than a step-function fit (AIC linear: 89, AIC step-function: 190; relative likelihood that the linear

model is the best fit compared to step-function $> 10^9$, see [43]), supporting a graded population

response as outlined in Figure 1. This agrees with literature that QS induction at lower population

densities is possible [6,9,19], but differs in that there is no observable population density at which

populations 'switch', or reach quorum, into a responsive state.

Figure 2. Population response to increasing cell density is linear and graded. 13 distinct culture
carrying capacities were generated by manipulating the concentration of casein digest as the limiting
resource (Fig S1). Cells were grown to carrying capacity in triplicate and immediately assayed for QS
response via fluorescence microscopy imaging. Response is determined by a fusion of the quorum sensing
controlled *lasB* promoter and an unstable green fluorescent protein (PAO1 pMHLAS containing
PlasB::gfp(ASV)). Individual cell pixel intensity is a measure of cellular quorum sensing response and
average pixel intensity is calculated across all cells in the population as a proxy for total population
expression. Microscopy averages are congruent with population scale plate reader results (Figure S2). A
quorum sensing signal knockout (Δ*lasl*Δ*rhll*), yellow star, shows background response with no signal in the
environment. Average population investment in QS increases as culture density increases with no
observable density threshold (AIC linear: 89, AIC step-function: 190).

Individual response to density is bimodal at high densities. Figure 2 establishes that on a
collective population scale, the response to environmental variation (in density) is smoothly
graded. Next, we ask how this collective response is built from individual cell contributions. Is the
graded increase due to more cells turning on at higher densities (Figure 1B), cells turning on to a
greater extent (Figure 1C), or both? To address this question, we take the same data presented

143   in Figure 2 and now present the distribution of individual cellular responses rather than simply the
144   mean response (Figure 3).

146
Figure 3. Individual response is heterogenous and bimodal at higher densities. Ridgeline density plot
(bandwidth = 0.435) of single-cell *lasB* reporter response data showing the distribution of individual cell QS
expression across the population. For brevity and plotting purposes, carrying capacities were averaged
across 3 replicates for each of the 13 carbon environments before plotting. A full plot of each independent
replicate environment can be found in Figure S3. Each line summarizes 18,000 to 30,000 individual cell
measurements, scaled to a unit height. Asterisks indicate significant bimodality (Hartingan's Dip Test [44],
Figure S4). The quorum sensing signal knockout (*ΔlasIΔrhlI*) is denoted with yellow boxes. A total of
345,000 individual cell measurements were analyzed.

As expected from prior studies in other QS systems [9,30–36], plotting all individual responses
within a population shows cell-to-cell variation in QS response within a single population despite
isogenic and homogenous culture conditions (Figure 3). In addition, at higher densities we see
significant bimodality (defined by Hartigan's Dip Test, Figure S4), with the population segregating
into an unresponsive, sub-quorate, OFF state and a responsive, quorate, ON state.

In light of this bimodality, we fit a two-component finite mixture model to the data (Figure 4A, see
Figures S10-S13 and Table S2 for extended analysis), which allows us to define the average
intensity of the ON state (Figure 4B) and the proportion of cells in the OFF or ON states (Figure
4C).

166
Figure 4. Proportion of cells responding and level of response varies with density. In light of the
bimodal responses in Figure 3, we course-grain the single-cell *lasB* response data into discrete ON/OFF
states. A) Method summary. We quantify distinct ON/OFF states by fitting a two-component finite mixture
model at each measured optical density, where the OFF state is fixed to the OFF state of the highest density
environment. The histogram shows the distribution of cellular expression levels at a single density treatment
(0.76 OD_{600}), the grey line is the fitted OFF state and the green dashed line is the fitted ON state. B) The
mean intensity of the ON (green circle) and OFF (grey triangle) states is determined from the means of
mixture model component fits (green and grey lines in panel A). The mean intensity of the ON state
distribution increases as culture density increases, while the mean of the OFF state remains constant. C)
The proportion of cells ON in the population is determined from the relative mass of cells in the model
component fits. The proportion ON increases with culture density but does not reach 100%.
178
Figure 4B illustrates a graded linear increase in the intensity of the ON state with increasing
environmental density, and a density-invariant off state. Figure 4C illustrates that the proportion of
cells that are ON plateaus at around 85% at densities with consistent support for bimodality
(above 0.36 OD_{600}). At lower densities, the intensity of the ON state (Figure 4B) declines to a
point where the OFF and ON states are no longer significantly different and the dip test fails to
reject uni-modality (Figure S4). In supplemental materials, we present alternate statistical

analyses of this data, and of other related datasets. Across other experiments, we find consistent

support for the graded and bimodal response pattern on the single-cell scale across multiple

assay time-points (Figure S5) and across two reporter strain constructs (Figure S6) and support

for the graded and linear response pattern on the population scale across fluorescent and lux

reporters (Figure S2, Figure S7). We find further support for the graded reaction norm on the

population scale across two additional QS controlled genes (*pqsA, rhlI;* Figure S7).

Discussion

Our results show that populations of *P. aeruginosa* can respond in a smoothly graded manner to

variation in environmental density (Figure 2), that populations exhibit significant bimodality at

higher densities (Figure 3), and that this population scale graded response can be described by

the number of responsive 'ON' cells and the intensity of the 'ON' state (Figure 4). The ability to

achieve a graded population scale response implies in principle that *P. aeruginosa* can tune

collective responses (such as the secreted elastase virulence factor produced by our *lasB*

reporter gene) to graded environmental changes, rather than simply course-graining into a simple

'high / low' dichotomy. A similar population scale graded response to continuous environmental

variation is visible in the data from Allen et al., which looked at variation in the genotypic

composition of mixed populations grown to the same total density [11]. As the proportion of

wildtype (PAO1 versus Δ*lasR* 'cheats') increased, the wildtype per-capita investment in

cooperative LasB secretions also increased, providing a simple behavioral mechanism to protect

cooperative investments from exploitation by cheats [11,22].

The existence of graded population scale responses across two continuously varying

environmental inputs (density, genotypic composition) raises the question of why use a graded

response? Is there an evolutionary rationale for a graded response, or is a graded increase

simply the 'best approximation' of a threshold response, given a simple system working under

genetic constraints? Existing evolutionary theory suggest that graded investment reaction norms

can be adaptive, under a range of distinct scenarios [45,46] (34, 35). In the specific context of

quorum-sensing bacteria, evolutionary theory suggests that population scale responses to

increasing density should depend critically on the shape of the cost and benefit functions of

increasing cooperative investments. Specifically, a graded response is predicted to be the optimal

strategy if the benefit function is decelerating and costs are linear with increasing investment [47].

To further consider the functional context of the graded reaction norms, we turn to the single cell

scale data, which reveals how the graded population response is built from the contributions of

individual cells. In agreement with previous work in multiple quorum-sensing organisms [9,30–

36,48,49], we find cell-scale heterogeneity. In addition, our results illustrate how cellular

heterogeneity changes with the environment, demonstrating the onset of ON/OFF bimodality at
intermediate densities, with both the proportion of cells ON and the intensity of the cellular ON
states increasing with increases in culture carrying capacity (Figures 3 & 4).

The presence of a bimodal QS response is in contrast with the common view of QS as a
mechanism of cell synchronization yet can be viewed as a striking example of widely observed
cellular heterogeneity under QS control. Indeed, bimodal responses are implicit in some of the
previous single-cell QS literature [31,32,49], for example Darch et al. (2018) report distinct
populations of QS-responsive and non-responsive cells within single experimental runs [49]. The
degree of heterogeneity in any cellular trait can be interpreted as the interplay of biochemical
properties of molecules and the architecture of gene-regulatory networks [50]. Given that
regulatory networks are subject to mutation and selection, this implies that the degree of
heterogeneity is an evolvable trait [51]. In the context of QS, positive feedback loops (signal auto-
regulation [52]) and the presence of cooperative transcription factor binding [53] provides
recognized regulatory ingredients for bimodal expression [54]. Recently, the presence of
heterogeneous QS response at the single-cell scale has been ascribed to a potential bet-hedge
against mis-directed QS induction [48], suggesting that our OFF cells are poised to more quickly
resume growth in the event of a rapid return to a growth-friendly environment.

We made a number of specific observational choices in order to conduct our experiment that
could have shaped our results in ways that are not generalizable to other contexts. In the
supplementary we detail a number of additional experiments (and alternate statistical analysis
approaches) that collectively illustrate the robustness of our findings. In brief, we found that our
single cell results are not sensitive to the time the population was sampled (Figure S5), the
presence of a potentially leaky P*lac::lasR* on the pMHLAS construct (Figure S6), or the plasmid
nature of the pMHLAS construct (Figure S6). Additionally, we recognize that *lasB* is only one
gene out of hundreds that are controlled by QS [3], and is often co-regulated by other factors [55–
57]. We chose to initially focus on *lasB* as it is a traditionally studied QS-controlled trait [58–60], it
is under multi-signal control [10,61] and has clinical significance as a virulence factor [62,63]. To
begin to address the generality of our results across genes in *P. aeruginosa*, we show that two
other QS regulated genes with complex promoters, *pqsA* and *rhlI*, also support a graded
population response (Figure S7). It remains to be seen whether the graded responses we report
here are consistent across all QS controlled genes in *P. aeruginosa*, and across QS systems in
other species,

A recent transcriptomic analysis of clinical versus *in vitro* gene expression in *P. aeruginosa* called
into question the clinical relevance of *in vitro* models of QS, reporting that QS activity (including

lasB expression) was systematically higher in *in vitro* models [64]. Our results provide a simple

interpretation of this difference: *in vitro* models are typically conducted under higher experimental

densities, resulting in higher levels of average QS gene expression (Figure 2). Consistent with

this graded response interpretation, Cornforth et al. (2018) also reported higher levels of relative

expression in *in vitro* biofilm models (close-packed cells, the highest local density achievable)

compared to *in vitro* planktonic models.

In summary, our results provide a finely resolved mapping of the QS reaction norm to

environmental density in PAO1, on both the collective and single-cell scale. On the population

scale we see a graded linear response across a range of cellular densities (1×10^8 cells/ml to

2×10^9 cells/ml) and significant individual-scale bimodality at higher densities. We further resolve

this linear population response (Figure 2) into a combination of the likelihood of being responsive

and the intensity of response (Figure 4). In an infection context, our results indicate that there is

no hard threshold separating sub-quorate 'stealth' mode and a quorate 'attack' mode [65]. One

implication is that attempts to control virulence and biofilm expression in medicine and industry

via QS inhibition could have impacts across a wider spectrum of population densities. In this

applied context, it is important to assess the generality of our results and ask, how do QS

reaction-norms vary across strains and species of QS bacteria? How do they vary across

environments? More broadly, our work undermines the threshold concept of a 'quorum', instead

placing QS bacteria in the graded world of reaction norms.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions. The two main bacterial strains used in this study are

P. aeruginosa NPAO1 (Nottingham-PAO1) containing the *PlasB::gfp(ASV)* quorum sensing

reporter pMHLAS [42] and a double signal synthase mutant incapable of producing QS signal

molecules, *P. aeruginosa* NPAO1 *ΔlasI/ΔrhlI* containing the same *PlasB::gfp(ASV)* quorum

sensing reporter pMHLAS. A complete table of strains used in the main text and supplemental

figures can be found in Supplemental Table 1. Overnight cultures were grown in lysogeny broth

(LB), supplemented with 50 ug/ml gentamicin to maintain the pMHLAS plasmid, with shaking at

37 °C. Experiments were conducted in lightly buffered (50 mM MOPS) M9 minimal defined media

composed of an autoclaved basal salts solution (Na_2HPO_4, 6.8 gL^{-1}; KH_2PO_4, 3.0 gL^{-1}; NaCl, 0.5

gL^{-1}), and filter-sterilized 1 mM $MgSO_4$, 100 uM $CaCl_2$, and 1X Hutner's Trace Elements with

casein digest, as the sole carbon source (ThermoFisher Difco^{TM} Casein Digest CAT 211610).

Controlling Culture Carrying Capacity. We manipulated density by controlling the limiting

resource in the media, carbon, allowing us to tune the carrying capacity of each treatment (Figure

S1). To cover a variety of densities, we generated a carbon range between 0.05% and 0.25% via

dilutions of a 0.5% carbon minimal media stock for a total of 13 different carrying capacities with

three replicates each. This produced a range of densities environments from 1.18×10^8 cells/ml to

2.02×10^9 cells/ml. Overnight cultures were grown in LB gentamicin 50 ug/ml and centrifuged at

8,500 x g for 2 minutes. The cells were then washed twice with carbonless minimal media and

then each carbon treatment was adjusted to OD_{600} = 0.05. Then, 200 uL of each sample was

added to a 96-well microplate. Plates were incubated with shaking at 37 °C in a Cytation/BioSpa

plate reader and growth curves were generated by absorbance (OD_{600}) readings taken at 30-min

intervals.

Measuring Population QS Response. To measure population response, we performed growth-

curve experiments as previously described using PAO1 *PlasB::gfp(ASV)*, additionally taking

fluorescence readings at 30-min intervals. Fluorescence, population level response, was

recorded when populations reached the end of their exponential growth phase, before they

entered stationary phase. Background fluorescence of the reporter was determined with the QS

signal deficient mutant PAO1 *ΔlasI/ΔrhlI PlasB::gfp(ASV).* The population microplate data (Figure

S2) and averaged microscope data (Figure 2) agreed, so the latter is provided in the primary text.

Measuring Individual QS Response. To measure individual response, we performed growth-

curve experiments as previously described, but removed samples for microscopy once cells

reached end exponential phase. Since we control carrying capacity with the amount of carbon,

the exact time that cells reach the end of exponential growth differs across treatments by 2-3

hours. To robustly sample cultures at this specific point, the slope of the two most recent time

points on the growth curve was monitored and samples were taken as the slope approached 0.

Replicate wells were kept growing to confirm that the treatment entered stationary phase right

after the sampling time point. We also determined that our results are generalizable even when

sampling at a pre-determined hour across concentrations (Figure S5). Samples were stained with

propidium iodide to differentiate between life and dead cells and a small aliquot (5 ul) was added

to a 0.01% poly-l-lysine coated slide to immobilize cells and immediately imaged to avoid

changes in expression between sample acquisition and imaging in the dark on a Nikon Eclipse TI

inverted microscope at 20x magnification. Live cell fluorescence microscopy was used for this

study as fluorophores can be sensitive to fixation/permeabilization. These techniques can result

in a decrease in fluorescence and therefore decrease in the observable dynamic range. Bright

field, green fluorescence (20% Lumencor light engine power, 200ms exposure, and 64x gain-

sufficient for imaging of low fluorescent cells without saturating pixel intensity), and red

fluorescence (20% Lumencor light engine power, 800ms exposure, and 64x gain) channels were

captured. Between 5,000 and 15,000 individual cells were captured for each sample. Aliquots

were diluted immediately before imaging with carbonless minimal media when required to ensure
an even distribution of cells.

Single cell image analysis. A custom macro in ImageJ was written to analyze the image data,
outlined in Figure S8. The macro uses ImageJ's "analyze particles" command to identify single
cells on the bright field image. This then generates a ROI (region of interest) for each individual
cell and these ROIs were then overlaid onto the corresponding fluorescent image. The red
fluorescence channel was used to identify dead cells with compromised membranes, which were
excluded from further analysis. The green fluorescence channel reflected the QS reporter and
pixel intensity was measured as a proxy for level of QS response. This tabulated live cell
expression data was then analyzed using *Stata Statistical Software: Release 17* from StataCorp
LLC. In order to improve the fit of the mixed models, the lowest pixel intensity measurement in the
highest carbon PAO1 *ΔlasI/ΔrhlI PlasB::gfp(ASV)* treatment was subtracted from all pixel
intensities so that expression started at 0.

Statistical analysis summary. The analysis was done using *Stata Statistical Software: Release
17* from StataCorp LLC and the additional third party resources: [66–70]. Each of the 39
populations was fit to a finite mixture model of two Gamma distributions. The latent classes in the
mixture model correspond to OFF and ON cells. Gamma distributions are preferred to Normal
distributions as gene expression is strictly non-negative and necessarily right-skewed. The
models provide maximum likelihood estimates of the proportion of cells in each latent class and
the shape and scale parameters of the component Gamma distributions. Mean expression level
for each distribution is the product of shape and scale parameters. Information criteria for
aggregate mean expression level was also calculated using Stata.

Acknowledgments

We thank Steve Diggle, Marvin Whitely, Kathleen O'Connor and members of the Center for
Microbial Dynamics and Infection (CMDI) for valuable comments and discussion on this work. We
thank Dr. Kasper Norskov Kragh for the *PlasB::gfp(ASV)* reporter construct. This research was
supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program under
Grant No. DGE-1650044, The Simons Foundation Grant No. 396001, and National Institute of
Health Grants 1R21AI143296 and 1R21AI156817.

References

1. Rutherford ST, Bassler BL. Bacterial quorum sensing: Its role in virulence and possibilities
for its control. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine. Cold Spring Harbor
Laboratory Press; 2012. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a012427

2. Abisado RG, Benomar S, Klaus JR, Dandekar AA, Chandler JR. Bacterial Quorum
Sensing and Microbial Community Interactions. Garsin DA, editor. MBio. 2018;9: e02331-
17. doi:10.1128/mBio.02331-17

3. Whiteley M, Diggle SP, Greenberg EP. Progress in and promise of bacterial quorum
sensing research. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2017. pp. 313–320.
doi:10.1038/nature24624

4. Miller MB, Bassler BL. Quorum Sensing in Bacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2001;55: 165–
199. doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.55.1.165

5. Popat R, Cornforth DM, McNally L, Brown SP. Collective sensing and collective responses
in quorum-sensing bacteria. J R Soc Interface. 2015;12. doi:10.1098/rsif.2014.0882

6. Darch SE, West SA, Winzer K, Diggle SP. Density-dependent fitness benefits in quorum-
sensing bacterial populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2012;109: 8259–8263.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1118131109

7. Redfield RJ. Is quorum sensing a side effect of diffusion sensing? Trends Microbiol.
2002;10: 365–70. doi:10.1016/s0966-842x(02)02400-9

8. Hense BA, Kuttler C, Müller J, Rothballer M, Hartmann A, Kreft JU. Does efficiency
sensing unify diffusion and quorum sensing? Nat Rev Microbiol. 2007;5: 230–239.
doi:10.1038/nrmicro1600

9. Boedicker JQ, Vincent ME, Ismagilov RF. Microfluidic Confinement of Single Cells of
Bacteria in Small Volumes Initiates High-Density Behavior of Quorum Sensing and Growth
and Reveals Its Variability. Angew Chemie Int Ed. 2009;48: 5908–5911.
doi:10.1002/anie.200901550

10. Cornforth DM, Popat R, McNally L, Gurney J, Scott-Phillips TC, Ivens A, et al.
Combinatorial quorum sensing allows bacteria to resolve their social and physical
environment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111: 4280–4284.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1319175111

11. Allen RC, McNally L, Popat R, Brown SP. Quorum sensing protects bacterial co-operation
from exploitation by cheats. ISME J. 2016;10: 1706–1716. doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.232

12. Eldar A. Social conflict drives the evolutionary divergence of quorum sensing. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108: 13635–13640. doi:10.1073/pnas.1102923108

13. Smalley NE, An D, Parsek MR, Chandler JR, Dandekar AA. Quorum Sensing Protects
Pseudomonas aeruginosa against Cheating by Other Species in a Laboratory Coculture
Model. Silhavy TJ, editor. J Bacteriol. 2015;197: 3154 LP – 3159. doi:10.1128/JB.00482-
15

14. Even-Tov E, Omer Bendori S, Valastyan J, Ke X, Pollak S, Bareia T, et al. Social
Evolution Selects for Redundancy in Bacterial Quorum Sensing. Gore J, editor. PLOS
Biol. 2016;14: e1002386. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002386

15. Granato ET, Kümmerli R. The path to re-evolve cooperation is constrained in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. BMC Evol Biol. 2017;17: 214. doi:10.1186/s12862-017-1060-6

16. Özkaya Ö, Balbontín R, Gordo I, Xavier KB. Cheating on Cheaters Stabilizes Cooperation
in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Curr Biol. 2018;28: 2070-2080.e6.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.04.093

17. Chen R, Déziel E, Groleau MC, Schaefer AL, Greenberg EP. Social cheating in a

Pseudomonas aeruginosa quorum-sensing variant. Proceedings of the National Academy
of Sciences of the United States of America. National Academy of Sciences; 2019. pp.
7021–7026. doi:10.1073/pnas.1819801116

18.   Gurney J, Azimi S, Brown SP, Diggle SP. Combinatorial quorum sensing in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa allows for novel cheating strategies. Microbiology. 2020; micro000941.
doi:10.1099/mic.0.000941

19.   Connell JL, Wessel AK, Parsek MR, Ellington AD, Whiteley M, Shear JB. Probing
prokaryotic social behaviors with bacterial "lobster traps." MBio. 2010;1.
doi:10.1128/mBio.00202-10

20.   Fuqua WC, Winans SC, Greenberg EP. Quorum sensing in bacteria: The LuxR-LuxI
family of cell density- responsive transcriptional regulators. Journal of Bacteriology.
American Society for Microbiology; 1994. pp. 269–275. doi:10.1128/jb.176.2.269-
275.1994

21.   Schluter J, Schoech AP, Foster KR, Mitri S. The Evolution of Quorum Sensing as a
Mechanism to Infer Kinship. Locke JCW, editor. PLOS Comput Biol. 2016;12: e1004848.
doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004848

22.   Wang Y, Rattray JB, Thomas SA, Gurney J, Brown SP. In silico bacteria evolve robust
cooperaion via complex quorum-sensing strategies. Sci Rep. 2020;10: 1–10.
doi:10.1038/s41598-020-65076-z

23.   Goryachev AB. Understanding Bacterial Cell−Cell Communication with Computational
Modeling. Chem Rev. 2011;111: 238–250. doi:10.1021/cr100286z

24.   James S, Nilsson P, James G, Kjelleberg S, Fagerström T. Luminescence control in the
marine bacterium Vibrio fischeri: An analysis of the dynamics of lux regulation. J Mol Biol.
2000;296: 1127–1137. doi:10.1006/jmbi.1999.3484

25.   Woltereck. Weitere experimentelle Untersuchungen uber Artveranderung, speziel uber
das Wesen quantitativer Artuntershiede bei Daphniden. Science (80-). 1910;32: 344–345.
doi:10.1126/science.32.819.344

26.   Waddington CH. Canalization of development and the inheritance of acquired characters.
Nature. 1942;150: 563–565. doi:10.1038/150563a0

27.   Schlichting C, Pigliucci M. Phenotypic Evolution: A Reaction Norm Perspective. 1998.

28.   Paaby AB, Testa ND. Developmental Plasticity and Evolution. Evolutionary
Developmental Biology. Springer International Publishing; 2018. pp. 1–14.
doi:10.1007/978-3-319-33038-9_110-1

29.   Scholz RL, Peter Greenberg E. Positive autoregulation of an Acyl- homoserine lactone
quorum-sensing circuit synchronizes the population response. MBio. 2017;8.
doi:10.1128/MBIO.01079-17

30.   Pradhan BB, Chatterjee S. Reversible non-genetic phenotypic heterogeneity in bacterial
quorum sensing. Mol Microbiol. 2014;92: 557–569. doi:10.1111/mmi.12575

31.   Anetzberger C, Pirch T, Jung K. Heterogeneity in quorum sensing-regulated
bioluminescence of *Vibrio harveyi*. Mol Microbiol. 2009;73: 267–277. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
2958.2009.06768.x

32.   Pérez PD, Hagen SJ. Heterogeneous response to a quorum-sensing signal in the
luminescence of individual vibrio fischeri. PLoS One. 2010;5.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015473

33.   Grote J, Krysciak D, Streit WR. Phenotypic heterogeneity, a phenomenon that may
explain why quorum sensing does not always result in truly homogenous cell behavior.
Applied and Environmental Microbiology. American Society for Microbiology; 2015. pp.
5280–5289. doi:10.1128/AEM.00900-15

34.   Garmyn D, Gal L, Briandet R, Guilbaud M, Lemaître JP, Hartmann A, et al. Evidence of
autoinduction heterogeneity via expression of the agr system of Listeria monocytogenes at
the single-cell level. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011;77: 6286–6289.
doi:10.1128/AEM.02891-10

35.   Haseltine EL, Arnold FH. Implications of rewiring bacterial quorum sensing. Appl Environ
Microbiol. 2008;74: 437–445. doi:10.1128/AEM.01688-07

36.   Plener L, Lorenz N, Reiger M, Ramalho T, Gerland U, Jung K. The Phosphorylation Flow
of the Vibrio harveyi Quorum-Sensing Cascade Determines Levels of Phenotypic
Heterogeneity in the Population. J Bacteriol. 2015;197: 1747. doi:10.1128/JB.02544-14

37.   PC S, L P, BH I. Activation of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa lasI gene by LasR and the
Pseudomonas autoinducer PAI: an autoinduction regulatory hierarchy. J Bacteriol.
1995;177: 654–659. doi:10.1128/JB.177.3.654-659.1995

38.   Papenfort K, Bassler BL. Quorum sensing signal–response systems in Gram-negative
bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 2016 149. 2016;14: 576–588. doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2016.89

39.   Dekimpe V, Déziel E. Revisiting the quorum-sensing hierarchy in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa: the transcriptional regulator RhlR regulates LasR-specific factors.
Microbiology. 2009;155: 712–723. doi:10.1099/MIC.0.022764-0

40.   Feltner JB, Wolter DJ, Pope CE, Groleau MC, Smalley NE, Greenberg EP, et al. LasR
variant cystic fibrosis isolates reveal an adaptable quorum-sensing hierarchy in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. MBio. 2016;7. doi:10.1128/mBio.01513-16

41.   Almudi I, Vizueta J, Wyatt CDR, de Mendoza A, Marlétaz F, Firbas PN, et al. Genomic
adaptations to aquatic and aerial life in mayflies and the origin of insect wings. Nat
Commun 2020 111. 2020;11: 1–11. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-16284-8

42.   Hentzer M, Riedel K, Rasmussen TB, Heydorn A, Andersen JB, Parsek MR, et al.
Inhibition of quorum sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm bacteria by a
halogenated furanone compound. Microbiology. 2002;148: 87–102.
doi:10.1099/00221287-148-1-87

43.   Burnham KP, Anderson DR, Huyvaert KP. AIC model selection and multimodel inference
in behavioral ecology: some background, observations, and comparisons. Behav Ecol
Sociobiol 2010 651. 2010;65: 23–35. doi:10.1007/S00265-010-1029-6

44.   Hartigan JA, Hartigan PM. The Dip Test of Unimodality.
https://doi.org/101214/aos/1176346577. 1985;13: 70–84. doi:10.1214/AOS/1176346577

45.   The evolutionary consequences of plasticity in host–pathogen interactions -
ScienceDirect. [cited 10 Nov 2019]. Available:
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040580905001644?via%3Dihub

46.   Via S, Gomulkiewicz R, De Jong G, Scheiner SM, Schlichting CD, Van Tienderen PH.
Adaptive phenotypic plasticity: consensus and controversy. Trends in Ecology &
Evolution. 1995. pp. 212–217. doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(00)89061-8

47.   Heilmann S, Krishna S, Kerr B. Why do bacteria regulate public goods by quorum
sensing?-How the shapes of cost and benefit functions determine the form of optimal
regulation. Front Microbiol. 2015;6. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00767

48.   Jayakumar P, Thomas SA, Brown SP, Kümmerli R. Pseudomonas aeruginosa reaches
collective decisions via transient segregation of quorum sensing activities across cells.
bioRxiv. 2021; 2021.03.22.436499. doi:10.1101/2021.03.22.436499

49.   Darch SE, Simoska O, Fitzpatrick M, Barraza JP, Stevenson KJ, Bonnecaze RT, et al.
Spatial determinants of quorum signaling in a Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection model.
Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2018;115: 4779–4784. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1719317115

50.   Fujimoto K, Sawai S. A Design Principle of Group-level Decision Making in Cell
Populations. PLOS Comput Biol. 2013;9: e1003110.
doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PCBI.1003110

51.   Ackermann M. A functional perspective on phenotypic heterogeneity in microorganisms.
Nat Rev Microbiol 2015 138. 2015;13: 497–508. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3491

52.   Cherry JL, Adler FR. How to make a Biological Switch. J Theor Biol. 2000;203: 117–133.
doi:10.1006/JTBI.2000.1068

53.   Schuster M, Urbanowski ML, Greenberg EP. Promoter specificity in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa quorum sensing revealed by DNA binding of purified LasR. Proc Natl Acad
Sci. 2004;101: 15833–15839. doi:10.1073/PNAS.0407229101

54.   Ochab-Marcinek A, Tabaka M. Bimodal gene expression in noncooperative regulatory
systems. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2010;107: 22096–22101. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1008965107

55.   Mellbye B, Schuster M. Physiological framework for the regulation of quorum sensing-
dependent public goods in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol. 2014;196: 1155–1164.
doi:10.1128/JB.01223-13

56.   Diggle SP, Winzer K, Lazdunski A, Williams P, Cámara M. Advancing the quorum in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa: MvaT and the regulation of N-acylhomoserine lactone
production and virulence gene expression. J Bacteriol. 2002;184: 2576–2586.
doi:10.1128/JB.184.10.2576-2586.2002

57.   Chugani SA, Whiteley M, Lee KM, D'Argenio D, Manoil C, Greenberg EP. QscR, a
modulator of quorum-sensing signal synthesis and virulence in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98: 2752–2757. doi:10.1073/pnas.051624298

58.   Gambello MJ, Iglewski BH. Cloning and characterization of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa
lasR gene, a transcriptional activator of elastase expression. J Bacteriol. 1991;173: 3000.
doi:10.1128/JB.173.9.3000-3009.1991

59.   Pearson JP, Passador L, Iglewski BH, Greenberg EP. A second N-acylhomoserine
lactone signal produced by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 1995;92:
1490–1494. doi:10.1073/PNAS.92.5.1490

60.   Brint JM, Ohman DE. Synthesis of multiple exoproducts in Pseudomonas aeruginosa is
under the control of RhlR-RhlI, another set of regulators in strain PAO1 with homology to
the autoinducer-responsive LuxR-LuxI family. J Bacteriol. 1995;177: 7155–7163.
doi:10.1128/JB.177.24.7155-7163.1995

61.   Seed P, Passador L, Iglewski BH. Activation of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa lasI gene by
LasR and the Pseudomonas autoinducer PAI: an autoinduction regulatory hierarchy. J
Bacteriol. 1995;177: 654–659. doi:10.1128/JB.177.3.654-659.1995

62. Casilag F, Lorenz A, Krueger J, Klawonn F, Weiss S, Häussler S. The LasB Elastase of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa Acts in Concert with Alkaline Protease AprA To Prevent
Flagellin-Mediated Immune Recognition. Infect Immun. 2015;84: 162–171.
doi:10.1128/IAI.00939-15

63. Cigana C, Castandet J, Sprynski N, Melessike M, Beyria L, Ranucci S, et al.
Pseudomonas aeruginosa Elastase Contributes to the Establishment of Chronic Lung
Colonization and Modulates the Immune Response in a Murine Model. Front Microbiol.
2021;0: 3443. doi:10.3389/FMICB.2020.620819

64. Cornforth DM, Dees JL, Ibberson CB, Huse HK, Mathiesen IH, Kirketerp-Møller K, et al.
Pseudomonas aeruginosa transcriptome during human infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci.
2018;115: E5125–E5134. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1717525115

65. Winzer K, Williams P. Quorum sensing and the regulation of virulence gene expression in
pathogenic bacteria. Int J Med Microbiol. 2001;291: 131–143. doi:10.1078/1438-4221-
00110

66. Cox NJ. DIPTEST: Stata module to compute dip statistic to test for unimodality. Stat Softw
Components. 2016 [cited 8 Nov 2021]. Available:
https://ideas.repec.org/c/boc/bocode/s456998.html

67. Cox NJ, Jenkins SP. GAMMAFIT: Stata module to fit a two-parameter gamma distribution.
Stat Softw Components. 2011 [cited 8 Nov 2021]. Available:
https://ideas.repec.org/c/boc/bocode/s435301.html

68. Deb P. FMM: Stata module to estimate finite mixture models. Stat Softw Components.
2012 [cited 8 Nov 2021]. Available: https://ideas.repec.org/c/boc/bocode/s456895.html

69. Jann B. PALETTES: Stata module to provide color palettes, symbol palettes, and line
pattern palettes. Stat Softw Components. 2020 [cited 8 Nov 2021]. Available:
https://ideas.repec.org/c/boc/bocode/s458444.html

70. Jann B. COLRSPACE: Stata module providing a class-based color management system
in Mata. Stat Softw Components. 2020 [cited 8 Nov 2021]. Available:
https://ideas.repec.org/c/boc/bocode/s458597.html

71. Meisner J, Goldberg JB. The Escherichia coli rhaSR-PrhaBAD inducible promoter system
allows tightly controlled gene expression over a wide range in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.
Appl Environ Microbiol. 2016;82: 6715–6727. doi:10.1128/AEM.02041-16

72. Winson MK, Swift S, Fish L, Throup JP, Jørgensen F, Chhabra SR, et al. Construction
and analysis of luxCDABE -based plasmid sensors for investigating N -acyl homoserine
lactone-mediated quorum sensing . FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1998;163: 185–192.
doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.1998.tb13044.x

73. Fletcher M, Diggle SP, Crusz SA, Chhabra SR, Cámara M, Williams P. A dual biosensor
for 2-alkyl-4-quinolone quorum-sensing signal molecules. Environ Microbiol. 2007;9:
2683–2693. doi:10.1111/J.1462-2920.2007.01380.X

74. Hoang TT, Kutchma AJ, Becher A, Schweizer HP. Integration-proficient plasmids for
Pseudomonas aeruginosa: site-specific integration and use for engineering of reporter and
expression strains. Plasmid. 2000;43: 59–72. doi:10.1006/PLAS.1999.1441

75. Friedman N, Cai L, Xie XS. Linking Stochastic Dynamics to Population Distribution: An
Analytical Framework of Gene Expression. Phys Rev Lett. 2006;97: 168302.
doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.168302

76.   Dempster AP, Laird NM, Rubin DB. Maximum Likelihood from Incomplete Data via the EM
Algorithm. J R Stat Soc Ser B. 1977;39: 1–38. Available:
http://www.jstor.org/stable/2984875

77.   Bailey TL, Elkan C. Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs
in biopolymers. Proceedings Int Conf Intell Syst Mol Biol. 1994;2: 28–36.

78.   Abrevaya J, Jiang W. A Nonparametric Approach to Measuring and Testing Curvature. J
Bus Econ Stat. 2005;23: 1–19. Available: http://www.jstor.org/stable/27638790

Supplementary Information for *Bacterial quorum sensing allows graded and bimodal cellular responses to variations in population density*

Jennifer B. Rattray[1,2], Stephen A. Thomas[1,2,3], Yifei Wang[1,2,4], Evgeniya Molotkova[1], James Gurney[1,2], John J. Varga[1,2], Sam P. Brown[1,2]

[1] School of Biological Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, 30332 GA, USA
[2] Center for Microbial Dynamics and Infection, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, 30332 GA, USA
[3] Graduate Program in Quantitative Biosciences (QBioS), Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, 30332 GA, USA
[4] The Institute for Data Engineering and Science (IDEaS), Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, 30332 GA, USA

Corresponding author: Sam P. Brown

Email: sam.brown@biology.gatech.edu

Data Supplement

- **Figure S1.** Growth curves across different carbon limiting environments
- **Figure S2.** Microplate population per capita data is graded and linear
- **Figure S3.** Ridgeline density plot of all single cell *lasB* reporter response data
- **Figure S4.** Hartigan's Dip Test Statistic
- **Figure S5.** Single cell results are not sensitive to the exact time sampled
- **Figure S6.** Presence/absence of *Plac::lasR* and plasmid nature of the reporter do not impact graded QS response
- **Figure S7.** Population response to density using chromosomal lux-based QS reporters
- **Figure S8.** Visual summary of single-cell microscopy analysis pipeline
- **Table S1.** Strains used in this manuscript

Analysis Supplement

- **Figure S9.** Comparison of pixel intensity distribution for Δ*lasI*Δ*rhlI* and wildtype strains
- **Figure S10.** Two-component Gamma mixture model of expression level distributions overlaid on observation histograms
- **Figure S11.** Comparing model predictions with observations for optical density values that demonstration statistically significant bimodality
- **Figure S12.** Comparing model predictions at moderate optical densities
- **Figure S13.** Comparing a model of a single Gamma distribution to the two-component mixture
- **Table S2.** Information criteria for single Gamma and two-component Gamma mixture models at low optical densities

620 **Figure S1. Growth curves for PAO1 pMHLAS across different carbon limiting environments.** Discrete
621 environmental densities can be generated by varying carbon availability, therefore manipulating the carrying
622 capacity of the culture.
623

Figure S2. Microplate population per capita data is graded and linear. PAO1 pMHLAS was grown as
mentioned in the methods and fluorescence and OD_{600} were measured on a Cytation Sense plate reader.
Microplate results agree with microscopy results that that population response to increasing cell density is
linear and graded. OD_{600} of 0.25 is 5.5×10^8 cells/ml, OD_{600} of 0.50 is 1.3×10^9 cells/ml, and OD_{600} of 0.75 is
2.05×10^9 cells/ml.

Figure S3. Ridgeline density plot (bandwidth = 0.529) of single-cell *lasB* reporter response data
showing the distribution of individual cell QS expression across the population. All 39 replicates are plotted
separately. Asterisks indicate significant bimodality (Hartingan's Dip Test [44], Figure S4).

638
639 **Figure S4. Hartigan's Dip Test.** A) Results of Hartigan's dip test [44] for assessing bimodality of the
640 expression level distributions at each carbon level for data shown in Figure 4. B) Results of Hartigan's dip
641 test for assessing bimodality of the expression level distributions at each OD level for data shown in Figure
642 S3. Statistical significance in the form of p-value is plotted in yellow on the left axis, and the dip metric is
643 plotted in green on the right axis. The most conservative p-value is shown.
644

645
646 **Figure S5. Single cell results are not sensitive to the exact time sampled.** In order to test the generality
647 of our results and how sensitive they are to the specific time sampled, we repeated our main experiment
648 with PAO1 pMHLAS, but took samples from five different time points instead of just entry into stationary
649 phase. We observed the same bimodal response and shifts in proportion responding and level of response
650 across all timepoints, concluding that our results are not sensitive to the exact measurement time.
651

Figure S6. Presence/absence of *Plac::lasR* and plasmid nature of the reporter do not impact graded QS response. The plasmid hosting our reporter, pMHLAS, also contains P*lac::lasR.* While the lac operon is typically repressed, some strains of *Pseudomonas* are capable of leaky, non-induced expression [71]. To confirm our single cell data, we repeated the experiment using a strain with the P*lasB::gfp(ASV)* reporter inserted with the mini-Tn5 method. This strain does not contain P*lac::lasR* and expresses WT levels of *lasR*. We found the same bimodal response to density environments in both strains. This indicates that neither the presence of P*lac::lasR* nor the plasmid-based nature of our reporter are responsible for the graded QS response. This could mean either there is not an appreciable amount of *lasR* being made from the P*lac::lasR* or that the increase of *lasR* does not impact the observed response. These results confirm that the observed response across density is not sensitive to plasmid copy number or the presence of potentially leaky P*lac::lasR* with this specific reporter.

Figure S7. Population response to increasing cell density using chromosomally inserted lux based

QS reporters. A) strain P NPAO1 mini-CTX PlasB::lux, B) NPAO1 mini-CTX PpqsA::lux, and C) NPAO1

mini-CTX PrhlI::lux. Bacteria were grown following the same methods outlined in the main text methods and

monitored in a plate reader. Per capita expression (RLU/OD$_{600}$) was calculated by taking the maximum

expression (RLU) divided by the carrying capacity of the culture (OD$_{600}$). With all three QS reporters, a linear

fit model supports the data more than a step-function fit (lasB, AIC linear: 0, AIC step-function: 281.55.

pqsA, AIC linear: 1.22, AIC step-function: 283.43. rhlI, AIC linear: 40.68, AIC step-function: 351.86).

Figure S8. Visual summary of single-cell microscopy analysis pipeline. A) Phase contrast image of

individual cells immobilized on 0.01% poly-l-lysine coated glass slides. B) ImageJ's "background subtraction"

command was used to increase contrast between the cells and the background. C) A mask of the phase

contrast channel was then created using ImageJ's default auto threshold, a variation of the IsoData

algorithm. D) ImageJ's "analyze particles" command was then used to identify the features (cells) from the

image, this generates a set of regions of interest (ROIs), shown in yellow superimposed. E) Unaltered

fluorescence channel image of the same cells. F) ROIs generated from the phase contrast image are then

be superimposed onto the unedited fluorescence channel image, either red fluorescence for dead cell

identification via propidium iodide or green florescence for the QS reporter, and ImageJ's "measure"

command was used to find the average pixel intensity within each ROI. Any ROIs with red fluorescence

were identified as compromised cells and removed from further analysis.

Strain/Plasmid	Relevant Characteristics	Figure	Source or Reference
NPAO1 pMHLAS	QS reporter *PlasB::gfp(ASV), Plac::lasR*	2, 3, 4, S1, S2, S4, S5	Hentzer et al., 2002 [42]
NPAO1 *ΔlasIΔrhlI* pMHLAS	Double signal synthase mutant, QS reporter *PlasB::gfp(ASV)*	2, 3, 4	This paper
PAO1 MH340 mini-Tn5 *PlasB::gfp(ASV)*	QS reporter *PlasB::gfp(ASV)* inserted via the mini-Tn5 method	S5	Kasper Nørskov Kragh
NPAO1 mini-CTX P*lasB::lux*	luxCDABE based [72] QS reporter [73] inserted at the *attB* site [74]	S6	This paper
NPAO1 mini-CTX P*pqsA::lux*	luxCDABE based [72] QS reporter [73] inserted at the *attB* site [74]	S6	This paper
NPAO1 mini-CTX P*rhlI::lux*	luxCDABE based [72] QS reporter [73] inserted at the *attB* site [74]	S6	This paper

687 **Table S1. Strains used in this manuscript.**

Figure S9. Comparison of pixel intensity distribution for Δ*lasI*Δ*rhlI* and wildtype strains. Figure S1
shows the observed pixel intensity measurements for Δ*lasI*Δ*rhlI* cells in comparison to those for wildtype
cells at the lowest measured optical density (OD). As the Δ*lasI*Δ*rhlI* strain is incapable of synthesizing auto-
inducers, it should exhibit minimal *lasB* expression. The standard deviation is for expression in the wildtype
is1.23. In contrast, pixel intensity for the Δ*lasI*Δ*rhlI* strain is confined to a very narrow range; its standard
deviation is 0.06. These results are consistent with a mutant strain that does not express *lasB* suggesting
that the mutant's pixel intensity is near the measurement sensitivity of the experiment. Indeed, the minimum
pixel intensity observed in the mutant is 1.955, a value consistent with the overall minimum observed
intensity of 1.952. Treating this value as the measurement limit, we subtract it from all measured intensities
so that an intensity of 0 corresponds to no expression. The main text figures use *adjusted expression level*
to indicate the measured pixel intensity after subtracting this minimum value.

Figure S10. Two-component Gamma mixture model of expression level distributions overlaid on observation histograms. After having identified two sub-populations of cells (Figure S3, Figure S4) we model the observed *lasB* expression levels as a two-component finite mixture model. The sub-populations serve as a latent classes in the model. As gene expression level is non-negative and necessarily right-skewed, we use the Gamma distribution to model expression levels of each class [75,76]. We use expectation-maximization [77,78] to find maximum likelihood estimates for the latent class probabilities and Gamma distribution parameters at each density. Our initial model places no constraints on the parameters other than those required by the model structure (i.e. probabilities between 0 and 1, shape and scale positive). Three parameters from the mixture model characterize the sub-populations of cells: the proportion of cells in the ON sub-population and the mean *lasB* expression levels of the ON and OFF sub-populations. To improve the model's discriminatory power at low densities, we constrain the OFF sub-population parameters to be those values from the higher densities and update the estimates solely for the ON sub-population. The highest optical density values demonstrate statistically significant bimodality. As expected, the mixture model accurately captures the two sub-populations that make up these distributions. Figure S11 compares these models with the observations.

Figure S11. Comparing model predictions with observations for optical density values that demonstration statistically significant bimodality. In addition to the complete model (shown in blue), the plots also show the individual sub-populations of OFF cells (yellow) and ON cells (red). At medium optical density values, the mixture model predictions continue to show close agreement with the observations. Figure S12 highlights this agreement. OD values that don't demonstrate statistically significant bimodality still show the effects of a mixture of two sub-populations.

Figure S12. Comparing model predictions at moderate optical densities. As above, the plots show the individual sub-populations of OFF cells (yellow) and ON cells (red). At the lowest optical densities, the benefits of a mixture model are less clear. Figure S13 illustrates the difficulty by comparing the mixture model with a maximum likelihood fit to a single Gamma distribution. As Table S2 indicates, both Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) and Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC) suggest that the mixture model is superior, but the visual evidence is less compelling.

Figure S13. Comparing a model of a single Gamma distribution to the two-component mixture. Plots
on the right show a single Gamma distribution with maximum likelihood estimates for shape and scale
parameters. Plots on the right show the two-component mixture model. Mixture model plots include
individual sub-populations of OFF cells (yellow) and ON cells (red). As the figure and table both show, the
observations at optical density 0.11 are especially problematic. At that OD, the statistical mode of *lasB*
expression in the ON sub-population is lower than that in the OFF sub-population. As required by the model,
however, the mean expression level of the ON sub-population is higher than that of the OFF sub-population.

OD	AIC_1	AIC_2	ΔAIC	BIC_1	BIC_2	ΔBIC	LR $\chi^2(3)$	p-value
0.06	55741	55472	-268	55757	55512	-245	274	0
0.10	33499	33289	-210	33514	33326	-188	216	0
0.11	20994	20972	-22	21008	21007	-1	28	3.5×10^{-6}

Table S2. Information criteria for single Gamma (subscript 1) and two-component Gamma mixture (subscript 2) models at low optical densities. The Δ columns show change in associated information criteria in two-component model. Final two columns are likelihood ratio and p-value.