Host-adaptation in *Legionellales* is 2.4 Gya, coincident with eukaryogenesis

Eric Hugoson[1,2], Tea Ammunét[1] †, and Lionel Guy[1]*

[1] Department of Medical Biochemistry and Microbiology, Science for Life Laboratories, Uppsala University, Box 582, 75123 Uppsala, Sweden

[2] Department of Microbial Population Biology, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology, D-24306 Plön, Germany

† current address: Medical Bioinformatics Centre, Turku Bioscience, University of Turku, Tykistökatu 6A, 20520 Turku, Finland

* corresponding author

Abstract

Events of host-adaptation involving bacteria is at the origin of the most salient events in the evolution of Eukaryotes: the seminal fusion with an archaeon [1,2], and the emergence of both the mitochondrion and the chloroplast [3]. Understanding how bacteria contributed to these events is difficult, due to the scarcity of ancient clades containing host-adapted bacteria only. One such clade is the deep-branching gammaproteobacterial order *Legionellales* – containing among others *Coxiella* and *Legionella* – of which all known members grow inside eukaryotic cells [4]. Here, by analyzing 35 novel *Legionellales* genomes mainly acquired through metagenomics, we show that this order is much more diverse than previously thought, and that key host-adaptation events took place very early in its evolution. Crucial virulence factors like the Type IVB secretion (Dot/Icm) system and two shared effector proteins were gained in the last *Legionellales* common ancestor (LLCA), while many metabolic gene families where lost in LLCA and its immediate descendants. We estimate that the LLCA is circa 2.4 billion years old, predating the last eukaryotic common ancestor by at least 0.5 Ga [5]. This strongly indicates that host-adaptation occurred only once, and early, in the whole order and suggests that *Legionellales* started exploiting and manipulating eukaryotic cells with advanced molecular machines during early eukaryogenesis.

Main text

The recent discovery of Asgard archaea and their placement on the tree of life [1,2] shed a bright light on early eukaryogenesis, confirming that Eukaryotes evolved from a fusion between an archaeon and a bacterium. However, many questions pertaining to eukaryogenesis remain unanswered. In particular, the timing and the nature of the fusion is vigorously debated [6–9]. Mito-late scenarios [8,10,11] imply that endosymbiosis of the mitochondrion occurred in a proto-eukaryote, likely capable of phagocytosis, while mito-early scenarios [12] imply that mitochondrial endosymbiosis triggered eukaryogenesis. Phagocytosis is a key component of eukaryogenesis, because it is regarded by many to be a pre-requisite for mitochondrion endosymbiosis [7]. Despite uncertainties as to exactly when eukaryotes became able to phagocytose bacteria, it most certainly happened prior to the last eukaryotic common ancestor (LECA) [6,7].

The intracellular space of eukaryotic cells is a very rich environment, and many bacteria have adapted to exploit this niche, by resisting being digested by their hosts. Although host-adaptation occurred many times in many different taxonomic groups [13], only a few very large groups encompass only host-adapted members, including *Legionellales*, *Chlamydiales*, *Rickettsiales* and *Mycobacteriaceae*. Hallmarks of adaptation to the intracellular space include smaller population sizes, genome reduction and degradation, and pseudogenization [13]. *Legionellales* is a large and diverse group of the *Gammaproteobacteria* [4,14], encompassing so far only intracellular organisms, including well-studied accidental human pathogens, *Coxiella burnetii* and *Legionella* spp. *Legionellales* show great variation in lifestyle [4], ranging from facultative intracellular (*Legionella* spp.) to the highly reduced, vertically inherited *Coxiella* symbionts of ticks [15,16]. Due to their fastidious nature and their rarity, they are underrepresented in genomic databases, with only 7 genera with sequenced

representatives, out of an estimated >450 genera [14]. The Type IVB secretion system (T4BSS)

has a key role in interacting with hosts in *Coxiella* [17] and *Legionella* [18,19], injecting a wide

diversity of protein effectors into the host cell. Effectors alter the hosts behavior, preventing

the bacterium to be digested and helping benefiting from the host resources. In the *Legionella*

genus, with over 50 species, the number of effectors reaches several thousand, up to 18 000,

but with only eight conserved throughout the genus [20,21].

To better understand the evolutionary history of *Legionellales* and their relationships

with their early hosts, we gathered 35 genomic sequences from novel *Legionellales*, through

whole-genome shotgun, binning of metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) and database

mining. Bayesian and maximum-likelihood phylogenies on two different datasets confirm that

both families in the order, *Legionellaceae* and *Coxiellaceae* (hereafter jointly referred as

Legionellales sensu stricto) are monophyletic, sister clades, and diverged rapidly after the

LLCA (**Fig. 1**). Two other groups, *Berkiella* spp. and *Piscirickettsia* spp. could not be placed

with equally high confidence (**Supp. Table 1**). However, Bayesian phylogenies

(**Supplementary Figure 1 and 2**) inferred with the CAT model consistently placed *Berkiella*

as sister-clade to *Legionellales* sensu stricto (ss). The two latter groups are hereafter

collectively referred to as *Legionellales* sensu lato (sl). The placement of *Piscirickettsia* is not

as consistent, and future studies will firmly establish whether it groups with *Legionellales* or

with *Francisella*.

The phylogeny shows a comprehensive, previously unknown diversity among

Legionellales (**Fig. 1**). The *Coxiellaceae* is divided in two large clades, one including the

known genera *Coxiella*, *Rickettsiella* and *Diplorickettsia*, and another ("Aquicella"), about

which very little is known, includes the amoebal pathogen *Aquicella* and environmental

MAGs. Strikingly, both MAGs branching as sister groups to the *Legionella* genus have small

genomes (2.2 and 1.9 Mb, respectively, see **Supplementary Figure 3**), whereas genomes in

the *Legionella* genus (except for *Ca.* L. polyplacis, an obligate louse endosymbiont [22]) range

from 2.3 to 4.9 Mb [21]. This suggests that *Legionellaceae* last common ancestor that had a

smaller genome than the extant *Legionella* species, although it cannot be excluded that

genome reduction occurred independently in these two MAGs.

Figure 1 | Bayesian phylogeny of the order *Legionellales*. Based on a concatenated amino-

acid alignment of 109 single-copy orthologs (Bact109), the tree was inferred with PhyloBayes

using a GTR+CAT model. The tree encompasses 93 *Legionellales* genomes (Legio93) and is

rooted with an outgroup of 4 genomes from *Proteobacteria* other than *Gammaproteobacteria*.

Numbers on branches show posterior probabilities (pp). Branches without number indicate pp

= 1. Terminal nodes in bold indicate taxa recovered in this study. Green branches indicate

clades where no genomic data was available prior to this study. The scale shows the average

number of substitutions per site. The colored groups and Roman numerals in the

Legionellaceae correspond to the groupings in [20] and [21], respectively. The full tree is available

in **Supplementary Figure 1**.

To better understand the evolution of host-adaptation in the order, we reconstructed

the gene flow within the *Legionellales*. The phylogenetic birth-and-death model implemented

in Count [23] was used on the same set of genomes (Legio93) as the tree in **Fig. 1**. The

reconstructed gene flow reveals a total of 553 gene family losses, from the last free-living

ancestor to LLCA sl, (**Fig. 2, Supplementary Figure 3, Supp. Table 2**). These 553 losses

can be compared to the 781 on the branch leading to *Fangia*/*Francisella*, another intracellular

group. A subsequent large gain in gene families in the last common ancestors of

Legionellaceae and *Berkiella* spp. (588 and 556, respectively) but not in the ancestors of

Coxiellaceae (*Coxiella/Aquicella*) suggests that LLCA had a genome size comparable to that

of the latter group (average: 1.8 Mb), compatible with genome sizes of gammaproteobacterial

intracellular bacteria [13].

Figure 2 | Ancestral reconstruction of the *Legionellales* genomes, particularly on the

T4BSS system and the *Legionella*-conserved effectors. The ancestral reconstruction is

based on the Bayesian tree in **Fig. 1**. At the left of each node, barplots depict the number of

gene families (blue, ranging from 0 to 2295) inferred in that ancestor, as well as the number

of family gains (green, from 0 to 589) and losses (red, from 0 to 781) occurring on the branch

leading to that ancestor. On the right panel, squares represent proteins included in the T4BSS

A crucial feature for the success of many host-adapted bacteria is their ability to secrete proteins into their hosts cytoplasm, typically through secretion systems. Among the proteobacterial VirB4-family of ssDNA conjugation systems (Type IV Secretion Systems, T4SS), the T4BSS, also referred to as MPF_I or I-type T4SS, is probably the earliest diverging [24]. It is present in almost all extant *Legionellales*, with the exception of the extremely reduced endosymbionts of the group (**Supplementary Figure 3**), where it is either missing completely or pseudogenized. It is partly missing in several of the small, novel *Coxiellaceae* MAGs, indicative of an increased dependence on their host, although metagenomics binning artefacts cannot be excluded. T4BSS in *Legionellales* appear largely collinear (**Fig. 3, Supplementary Figure 4**). Ancestral reconstruction of the order also revealed that the T4BSS, as it is found in extant *Legionellales*, was shaped between the last free-living ancestor and the LLCA, with the additions of several proteins (**Fig. 2, Supplementary discussion**). A homologous T4BSS system is present in *Fangia hongkongensis*, but mostly absent in the free-living *Gammaproteobacteria* and in *Francisella*, a sister clade to *Fangia*. It is likely that the T4BSS in *Fangia* has been acquired by horizontal gene transfer, possibly from *Piscirickettsia* (**Supplementary Figure 5** and **6**).

Figure 3 | Collinearity of the T4BSS in *Legionellales*. Genes are colored as they appear in *Legionella pneumophila* Philadelphia. Areas between the rows display similarities between the sequences, as identified by tblastx.

Contrarily to the T4BSS itself, which is highly conserved throughout the order, effectors are much more versatile. Of the eight effectors conserved in the *Legionella* genus [21], only two are found outside of the *Legionellaceae* family (**Fig. 2**): RavC (lpg0107) is found in most *Legionellales* sensu stricto, LegA3/AnkH/AnkW (lpg2300) in the latter as well as in *Berkiella*. MavN (lpg2815), which is conserved in *Legionella* spp. and was found in *Rickettsiella* [20], is found only in a few *Coxiellaceae* species (**Fig. 2**; **Supplementary Figure 3**), and is unlikely to have been acquired in the LLCA. Although the function of these effectors is not precisely known, LegA3/AnkH contains ankyrin repeats, and has been suggested to play a role in process involved in modulation of phagosome biogenesis [25].

Figure 4 | Bayesian estimation of the appearance of the LLCA. *Chromatiaceae* are highlighted in red, with okenone-producing species in bold. *Legionellales* are highlighted in green. A red bar is drawn at 1.64 Gy, the age of the Barney Creek Formation which contains okenane, and gives the lower estimate for the divergence of *Chromatiaceae*. Colored areas at the nodes represent the highest posterior density interval (95%). The red area is located at the last common ancestor of all okenone-producing *Chromatiaceae*, the green ones are ancestors of the *Legionellales*, with, from top to bottom, the last common ancestor of *Legionellaceae*, *Legionellales* ss, *Coxiellaceae*, and *Legionellales* ls (LLCA). The underlying tree is shown on **Supplementary Figure 2**.

Absolute dating of bacterial trees is often inconclusive, because very few reliable biomarkers can be unambiguously attributed to a bacterial clade and thus be used as calibration points [26]. One of these is okenone, a pigment whose degradation product okenane has been found in the 1.64-Ga-old Barney Creek Formation [27], and is, to the best of our knowledge, exclusively produced by a subset of *Chromatiaceae*. *Chromatiaceae* (purple

sulfur bacteria) and *Legionellales* are phylogenetically close to each other, both belonging to

the basal *Gammaproteobacteria* [28]. We reasoned that the last common ancestor of all

okenone-producing *Chromatiaceae* had to be at least as old as the earliest known trace of

okenone, i.e. ≥1.64 Ga (**Fig. 4**). Using this constraint and a relaxed molecular clock model,

we were able to calibrate a Bayesian tree, based on a dataset including genomes from 105

Gammaproteobacteria (of which 22 belong *Legionellales* and 19 to *Chromatiaceae*) and 5

outgroups (Gamma105 dataset). We estimate that LLCA existed ca. 2.43 Ga ago (**Fig. 4**),

with a 95% high posterior density ranging from 2.70 to 2.26 Ga (see **Supp. Table 4**).

Legionellales' common ability to invade eukaryotic cells combined with the presence

and high conservation of the crucial host-adaptation genes, namely a complete T4BSS and

two effectors, strongly suggest that LLCA was already infecting other cells. It is also likely

that, like extant *Legionellales*, LLCA depended on phagocytosis, a mechanism exclusively

found in Eukaryotes. This implies that LLCA came after the division of Archaea and the first

eukaryotic common ancestor, FECA, thus placing an upper bound for the existence of

phagocytosis and for FECA at 2.26 Ga. Given an estimate of the age of LECA of 1.0-1.6 Ga

[5], the time for eukaryogenesis, defined as the time elapsed between FECA and LECA [6],

would be at least of 660 Ma, and up to over 1.5 Ga.

Four of the most prominent hypotheses describing eukaryogenesis (reviewed e.g. in [9])

make different assumptions about the timing of the mitochondrial endosymbiosis. In the

hydrogen hypothesis [12], the mitochondria arrived early ('mito-early'), with the mitochondrial

endosymbiosis event itself triggering eukaryogenesis. In the phagocytosing archaeon model

(PhAT) [29], the syntrophy hypothesis [10] and the serial endosymbiosis model [8], the

mitochondrion arrive late ('mito-late'). In the PhAT model, the existence of the phagocytosis

machinery is a pre-requisite for the fusion of the mitochondrial progenitor and the Asgard

archaeon. The very early emergence of phagocytosis thus favors a mito-late scenario. The

recently proposed ability of Heimdallarchaeota, the closest relatives of the first eukaryotic

common ancestor (FECA), to metabolize organic substrates [11] is consistent with early

eukaryotes being able to profit from phagocytosed prokaryotes.

In conclusion, we show that *Legionellales* are a very diverse group, which evolved an

intracellular lifestyle only once, mainly owing to a T4BSS resembling the one found in extant

Legionellales. This single event of host-adaptation took place circa 2.43 Ga ago, and is

consistent with a scenario where very early eukaryotes developed phagocytic properties,

possibly preying on prokaryotes. Some of these prokaryotes, among them the LLCA, acquired

the ability of resisting digestion by their hosts, and became able to exploit this novel, rich

ecological niche.

Material and Methods

Bacterial strains

203   Two species of *Aquicella* (*A. lusitana*, DSM 16500 and *A. siphonis*, DSM 17428) [30] were

204   ordered from the DSMZ strain culture. They were grown at 37°C for 5 days on Buffered

205   Charcoal Yeast Extract (BCYE) agar plates with Legionella BCYE supplement (OXOID).

DNA Extraction and sequencing, assembly and annotation

DNA was extracted from pure culture isolates (*Aquicella* spp.) using the Genomic Tip 100

DNA extraction kit (QIAGEN), following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA extracted

from pure cultures was sequenced by PacBio, using one SMRT cell for each isolate. Reads

were assembled using the HGAP3 pipeline, resulting in one unitig per replicon. The assembly

was confirmed by performing cumulative GC skews on each replicon [31]. All replicons had

repetitive sequences at the beginning and the end of the sequences, suggesting that they were

circular. One unitig in *A. lusitana* had multiple repeats of the same sequences and was edited

manually. All chromosomes were rotated to have the origin of replication, as determined by

cumulative GC skews, at the beginning of the sequence. The final sequences were confirmed

by mapping ~500k shorter reads (2x300 bp, obtained from an Illumina MiSeq run) to the

sequences obtained from PacBio. No SNP could be found in any of the replicons. All

sequencing was performed at NGI, SciLifeLab, Uppsala and Stockholm, Sweden.

The sequences were then annotated with prokka 1.12-beta [32], using prodigal 2.6.3 [33] to

call protein-coding genes, Aragorn 1.2 [34] to predict tRNAs and barrnap 0.7 [35] to predict

rRNAs. Default functional annotation was performed by prokka. Both genomes are submitted

at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under study number PRJEB29684.

Protein marker sets

A set of 139 PFAM protein domains was used as a starting point both to perform quality

control of MAGs and for phylogenomic reconstructions. The original set was used by Rinke

et al (Supplementary Table 13 in [36]) to estimate the completeness of their MAGs. We used the

same set (referred to as Bact139) to estimate MAG completeness. A large subset of the 139

protein domains are very frequently located in the same protein in a vast majority of

Proteobacteria. This was evidenced by investigating 1083 genomes, using phyloSkeleton [37] to

select one representative per proteobacterial genus and to identify proteins containing the

Bact139 domain set. Of these domains which often co-localized in the same protein, only the

most widespread one was retained. In total, only 109 domains were used to identify proteins

suitable for phylogenomics analysis. This set is referred to as Bact109 and is available in

phyloSkeleton [37].

Metagenome assembly

The 86 metagenomes with the highest fraction of reads belonging to *Legionellales* were

selected (Supp. Table 5). Metagenomes sequenced only with 454 (Roche), or that were too

complex to be assembled on the computational cluster at our disposition (512 Gb RAM) were

not included. Raw reads were downloaded from the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) and

trimmed using Trim Galore (v0.4.2) [38] to remove standard adapter sequences. Additionally,

reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic (v0.36) [39] with a sliding window (4:15) to only

discard low-quality bases without losing whole reads. Trimmed reads were then assembled

using SPAdes (St. Petersburg genome assembler) (v3.7.1) [40], using the --meta option and

kmer sizes 21, 33, and 55 for metagenome sizes that did not require running on a HPCC

(High Performance Computer Cluster). More complex metagenomes requiring a HPCC were

assembled on UPPMAX with a single kmer, 31. Contigs smaller than 500 bp were then

discarded.

Identification of MAGs belonging to *Legionellales*

To identify MAGs belonging to *Legionellales*, the ggkBase (https://ggkbase.berkeley.edu/)

and NCBI Genome databases were screened for MAGs attributed to Gammaproteobacteria.

MAGs obtained through metagenomic binning from a previous published study [41] and from a

prepublication [42] that branched close to *Legionella pneumophila* were also included.

The selected MAGs and the assembled metagenomes were screened using the same

ribosomal protein (r-protein) pipeline as described in [2], hereafter referred to as RP15. Briefly,

this pipeline uses first PSI-BLAST to search the given metagenomes and MAGs for 15

ribosomal proteins, which are universally located in a single chromosomal locus. Contigs

containing at least 8 of the 15 r-proteins are then retained, and referred to as r-contigs.

A backbone of known organisms (a set of organisms with complete genome and

known taxonomy) was used to help identifying to which known clades the r-contigs belong.

The package phyloSkeleton [37] was used to select one representative genome in each family

within Gammaproteobacteria, one in each order of the Beta- and Alphaproteobacteria, as well

as *Mariprofundus* and *Acidithiobacillus*. PhyloSkeleton also identified orthologs of 15

ribosomal proteins in the gathered genomes.

The RP15 orthologs gathered from both the metagenomic sources and the backbone

were aligned with MAFFT L-INS-I v7.273 [43], and the alignments concatenated through the

RP15 pipeline. The resulting concatenated alignment was used to infer a rough phylogenetic

tree using FastTree 2.1.8 [44], using the WAG model. R-contigs that were clearly unrelated to

Legionellales were removed from the dataset, while the remaining proteins were realigned

and concatenated, to generate a new tree. This process was iterated until all remaining MAGs

and r-contigs were deemed belonging to *Legionellales*. A final phylogeny was inferred with

RAxML 8.2.8 [45] using the PROTGAMMA model which allowed automatic selection of best

substitution matrix to infer a more robust phylogeny.

273 Metagenomic binning and quality control

Metagenomes containing r-contigs belonging to *Legionellales* were then binned with ESOM

[46,47]. An ESOM map was generated from contigs over 2 kb with a 10 kb sliding window, and

visualized using the ESOM analyzer, highlighting the location of the r-contig and selecting

the corresponding bin. The completeness and redundancy of all MAGs were then controlled

using miComplete [48]

Some MAGs were very similar to each other, due to different versions of the same bin

being present in databases. The most complete MAG was then selected for each set, provided

they were not more redundant than another genome within the same set. If equal in

completeness the NCBI- submitted MAG was preferred.

Phylogenomics

Two sets of genomes were used for phylogenomics in this contribution. Both sets consist of

part or all of the novel *Legionellales* MAGs and genomes, supplemented with varying subsets

of outgroups. The initial selection of the representative organisms and identification of

markers was done with phyloSkeleton v1.1 [37], initially choosing one representative per class

in the *Betaproteobacteria*, the *Zetaproteobacteria* and the *Acidithiobacillia*; one per genus in

the Gammaproteobacteria, except in the *Piscirickettsiaceae* and the *Francisellaceae* (one per

species). That initial selection of genomes was then trimmed down to reduce the

computational burden of tree inference.

For both sets, protein markers from the Bact109 set were identified with

phyloSkeleton v1.1 [37]. Each marker was aligned separately with mafft-linsi v7.273 [43]. The

resulting alignments were trimmed with BMGE v1.12 [49], using the BLOSUM30 matrix and

the stationary-based trimming algorithm. The alignments were then concatenated. From these

alignments, maximum-likelihood trees were reconstructed with FastTreeMP v2.1.10 [44] using

the WAG substitution matrix. Upon visual inspection of the resulting trees, the genome

selection was reduced to remove closely related outgroups, and the phylogenomic procedure

repeated. Once the genome dataset was final, a maximum-likelihood tree was inferred with

IQ-TREE v1.6.5 [50], using the LG [51] substitution matrix, empirical codon frequencies, four

gamma categories, the C60 mixture model [52] and PMSF approximation [53]; 1000 ultrafast

bootstraps were drawn [54].

The first set of genomes, referred to as Gamma105 was used to correctly place the

Legionellales in the *Gammaproteobacteria*, in particular with respect to the *Chromatiaceae*. It

encompasses 110 genomes, of which 105 are *Gammaproteobacteria*, one is a

Zetaproteobacterium, 3 are *Betaproteobacteria*, and one is an *Acidithiobacillia*. The selected

Gammaproteobacteria include representatives from *Legionellales* (22), *Chromatiaceae* (19),

Francisellaceae (17) and *Piscirickettsiaceae* (4) (**Supp. Table 6**).

The second set, referred to as Legio93, is focused on the *Legionellales* itself and

encompasses 113 genomes, of which 93 belong to *Legionellales* and the rest to

Gammaproteobacteria (16 genomes), Betaproteobacteria (2 genomes), Acidithiobacillia (1

genome) and Zetaproteobacteria (1 genome) (**Supp. Table 7**).

For both sets, single-gene maximum-likelihood trees were inferred for each marker.

The BMGE-trimmed alignments were used to infer a tree using IQ-TREE 1.6.5, using the

automatic model finder [55], limiting the matrices to be tested to the LG matrix and the C10 and

C20 mixture models. Single-gene trees were visually inspected for the presence of very long

branches resulting from distant paralogs being chosen by phyloSkeleton.

For both sets, a Bayesian phylogeny was inferred with phylobayes MPI 1.5a [56] from

the concatenated BMGE-trimmed alignments, using a CAT+GTR model. Four parallel chains

were run for 9500 generations (Gamma105 set) and 3500 generations (Legio93 set),

respectively. The chains did not converge in either set. For Legio93, all four chains yielded

the same topology for all deep nodes in and around *Legionellales*. For Gamma105, 3 out of 4

chains yielded the same overall topology, while the last one had the *Piscirickettsia* and the

Berkiella clades inverted (**Supp. Table 1**). The majority-rule tree obtained from the Bayesian

trees for Legio93 was subsequently used for the ancestral reconstruction (see below), while

the one for Gamma105 was used as input tree to estimate the time of divergence of the LLCA

(see below).

Identification of okenone-producing *Chromatiaceae*

To relate the earliest documented trace of okenone [27,57] to a specific ancestor, we took two approaches. First, we compared a list of okenone-producing *Chromatiaceae* [58] with the genomes available in Genbank and at the JGI Genome Portal [59]. We found five okenone-producing *Chromatiaceae* genomes. Second, we used homologs of the proteins encoded by the genes *crtU* and *crtY*, which are considered essential to synthesize okenone [60], to screen the nr database. We used the proteins from *Thiodictyon syntrophicum* str. Cad16 (accession number: CrtU, AEO72326.1; CrtY, AEO72327.1) as queries in a PSI-BLAST search [61] restricted to the order *Chromatiales*, keeping only proteins with at least 50% similarity. Gathered homologs (n=7 in both cases) were aligned with MAFFT L-INS-I v7.273 [43] and the alignment was pressed into a hidden Markov model (HMM) with HMMer 3.1b2 [62]. Using phyloSkeleton [37], we collected all *Chromatiales* genomes from NCBI (n=130) and queried these, together with the Gamma105 set with both HMMs. A significant similarity (E-value < 10^{-10}) for CrtU and CrtY was found in 42 and 25 genomes, respectively. A hit for both proteins was found in 8 genomes only, of which 6 belong to the *Chromatiaceae*. Of these 6, 4 were already identified by the first method listed above; one was a MAG that was too incomplete to include in further phylogenomics analysis; the last one was another MAG that was included in later analysis. The other two genome that had proteins similar to CrtU and CrtY are *Kushneria aurantia* DSM 21353, a member of the *Halomonadaceae* and *Immundisolibacter cernigliae* TR3-2, which branches very close to the root of the *Gammaproteobacteria*: these two might represent cases of horizontal gene transfer.

Time-constrained tree

To estimate the time of divergence of LLCA, we used BEAST 2 [63], using a strict and a relaxed clock model [64]. We also attempted to use a random local clock, but it was not

computationally tractable. To reduce computational load, we used a fixed input tree

(Bayesian, Gamma105; see above). The following parameters were used: the concatenated

alignment (104 proteins) was used as a single partition; for site model, a LG matrix with 4

gamma categories and 10% invariant was used, estimating all parameters; for the relaxed

clock model, an uncorrelated log-normal model was used, estimating the clock rate. The

following prior distributions were used: shape of the gamma distributions for the site model:

exponential; mutation rate: 1/x; proportion of invariants: uniform; ucld mean: 1/X, offset: 0,

ucld std: gamma. To calibrate the clock, it was assumed that the last common ancestor of all

okenone-producing *Chromatiaceae* was at least as old as the rocks where the earliest traces of

okenane (a degradation product of okenone) was found, 1.640 ± 0.03 Gy old [27,57,65]. A prior

was thus set for that the divergence time of the monophyletic group comprising these

organisms: the divergence time was drawn from an exponential distribution of mean 0.1 Gy

with an offset of 1.64 Gy. Although it cannot be completely excluded, no evidence suggests

that other organisms had produced okenone earlier than the last common ancestor of

Chromatiaceae: the only mentions of okenone in the scientific literature are linked to the

presence of *Chromatiaceae*.

The four chains run for the strict clock model (10 million generations each) converged

but the strict clock assumption seemed unrealistic when examining the variation of mutation

rates obtained from the relaxed clock model. For the relaxed clock model, five chains were

run, one for 55.7 million generations, and four for 10 million generations each. Traces were

examined with Tracer 1.6.0 [66]. Most of the parameters achieved an ESS score > 100. The

mutation rate and the mean of the ucld parameter did not reach very high ESS scores but were

negatively correlated with each other. Trees were extracted with TreeAnnotator 2.4.7 (part of

BEAST), deciding the burn-in fraction after visual examination of the traces (see **Supp.**

Table D). Despite not fully converging, the five trees from the five chains yielded similar

results.

To estimate how the chosen priors affected the runs, a run with 10 million generations

was performed, drawing from the priors. Parameters were compared in Tracer. All parameters

displayed very different distributions, suggesting that the choice of the priors had very little

effect on the obtained values.

Protein family clustering and annotation

All 113 proteomes from the Legio93 set were clustered into protein families using OrthoMCL

[67]. All proteins were aligned to each other with the blastp variant of DIAMOND v0.9.8.109 [68],

with the --more-sensitive option, enabling masking of low-complexity regions (--masking 1),

retrieving at most 10^5 target sequences (-k 100000), with a E-value threshold of 10^{-5} (-e 1e-5),

and a pre-calculated database size (--dbsize 98280075). In the clustering step, mcl 14-137 [69]

was called with the inflation parameter equal to 1.5 (-I 1.5), as recommended by OrthoMCL.

Annotation of the protein families obtained by OrthoMCL was performed by

searching for protein accession number in a list of reference genomes (see below). If any

protein in a family was present in the first genome, the family was attributed this annotation;

else, the second genome was searched for any of the accession numbers, and so on. The

reference list was as follow (Genbank accession numbers between parentheses): *Legionella*

pneumophila subsp. *pneumophila* strain Philadelphia 1 (AE017354), *Legionella longbeachae*

NSW150 (FN650140), *Legionella oakridgensis* ATCC 33761 (CP004006), *Legionella*

fallonii LLAP-10 (LN614827), *Legionella hackeliae* (LN681225), *Tatlockia micdadei*

ATCC33218 (LN614830), *Coxiella burnetii* RSA 493 (AE016828), *Coxiella* endosymbiont

of *Amblyomma americanum* (CP007541), *Escherichia coli* str. K-12 substr. MG1655

(U00096), *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* (AJ749949), *Piscirickettsia salmonis* LF-

89 = ATCC VR-1361 (CP011849), *Acidithiobacillus ferrivorans* SS3 (CP011849), *Neisseria*

meningitidis MC58 (AE002098), *Rickettsiella grylli* (NZ_AAQJ00000000.2). *Legionella*

effector orthologous groups were identified by comparing protein accession numbers with the

list provided by [20].

Ancestral reconstruction

The flow of genes in the order *Legionellales* was analyzed by reconstructing the genomes of

the ancestors. The ancestral reconstruction was performed with Count [23], which implements a

maximum-likelihood phylogenetic birth- and death-model. The Bayesian input tree was

obtained from the Legio93 dataset (see above) and the OrthoMCL families as described

above. The rates (gain, loss, and duplication) were first optimized on the OrthoMCL protein

families, to allow different rates on all branches. The family size distribution at the root was

set to be Poisson. All rates and the edge length were drawn from a single Gamma category,

allowing 100 rounds of optimization, with a 0.1 likelihood threshold. The reconstruction of

the gene flow was then performed by using posterior probabilities.

Analysis of the Type IVB secretion system

Homologs of the Type IVB secretion system were identified with MacSyFinder v1.0.3 [70]. We

used the pT4SSi profile, corresponding to the Type IV Secretion System encoded by the IncI

R64 plasmid and *Legionella pneumophila* (T4BSS; also referred to as MPF_I) [71], as query to

search all proteomes in the Gamma105 and the Legio93 datasets. This profile includes models

for the 17 proteins of the IncI R64 plasmid that have a homolog in *L. pneumophila*. The

profile does not cover 6 of the Dot/Icm proteins shared among *L. pneumophila* and *C. burnetii*

(IcmFHNQSW). MacSyFinder was run in "ordered_replicon" mode, and using the following

HMMer options: --coverage-profile 0.33, --i-evalue-select 0.001. A T4BSS was identified if,

within 30 neighboring genes: (i) a IcmB/DotU/VirB4 homolog was present, (ii) at least 6 out

of the other 16 were also present, (ii) no relaxase (MOBB) were identified. Since the Icm/Dot

system is often split in several operons, not all proteins could be identified by MacSyFinder in

"ordered_replicon" mode, but most were since the operon which contains IcmB/DotU/VirB4

is the largest.

To inspect the gene organization further in a selection of organisms, a subset of 12

representative genomes were aligned with tblastx 2.2.30 [72], with an E-value threshold of 0.01,

not filtering the sequences for low complexity regions, and splitting the genomes in smaller

pieces when reaching the maximum number of hits between two segments. Results were first

visualized in ACT [73], and a final version of the comparison was drawn with genoPlotR [74]. In

the cases where MacSyFinder failed to detect a T4BSS, a similar visual inspection of the

comparison of the genome with both *L. pneumophila* and *C. burnetii* was performed.

For the genomes where the T4BSS was detected by MacSyFinder, twelve proteins

(IcmBCDEGKLOPT, DotAC) were retrieved, aligned with mafft-linsi v7.273 [43], trimmed

with trimAl v1.4.rev15 [75], using the -automated1 setting, and concatenated. The concatenated

alignment was used to infer a maximum-likelihood tree with IQ-TREE v1.6.8 [50], forcing the

use of the LG [51] substitution matrix, but letting ModelFinder [55] select the across-site

categories, which resulted in the C60 mixture model [52]; 1000 ultrafast bootstraps were drawn

[54]. For the genomes not belonging to *Legionellales lato sensu* (R64, *Fangia hongkongensis*,

Acidithiobacillus ferrivorans, *Acidiferrobacter thiooxydans* and *Alteromonas stellipolaris*),

only a few proteins were retrieved by the automated approach. A preliminary tree displayed

very long branches, and the procedure above was repeated without these four genomes. For

the 12 genomes for which the T4BSS was manually annotated, a tree based on the alignment

of 26 proteins belonging to the T4BSS was performed as above, resulting in a LG+F+I+G4

model being selected.

Data availability

The raw and assembled data for the *Aquicella* genomes is deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under study accession PRJEB29684. The assemblies for *A. lusitana* and *A. siphonis* have the accessions GCA_902459475 (replicons LR699114.1-LR699118.1) and GCA_902459485 (replicons LR699119.1- LR699120.1).

MAGs, associated proteomes and alignments underlying the trees presented in this contribution are available at Zenodo, with DOI 10.5281/zenodo.3543579

References

1. Spang, A. *et al.* Complex archaea that bridge the gap between prokaryotes and eukaryotes. *Nature* **521**, 173--179 (2015).

2. Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka, K. *et al.* Asgard archaea illuminate the origin of eukaryotic cellular complexity. *Nature* **541**, 353–358 (2017).

3. Sagan, L. On the origin of mitosing cells. *J. Theor. Biol.* **14**, 225-IN6 (1967).

4. Duron, O., Doublet, P., Vavre, F. & Bouchon, D. The Importance of Revisiting Legionellales Diversity. *Trends Parasitol.* **34**, 1027–1037 (2018).

5. Eme, L., Sharpe, S. C., Brown, M. W. & Roger, A. J. On the age of eukaryotes: evaluating evidence from fossils and molecular clocks. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **6**, (2014).

6. Eme, L., Spang, A., Lombard, J., Stairs, C. W. & Ettema, T. J. G. Archaea and the origin of eukaryotes. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **15**, 711–723 (2017).

7. Poole, A. M. & Gribaldo, S. Eukaryotic Origins: How and When Was the Mitochondrion Acquired? *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **6**, a015990 (2014).

8. Pittis, A. A. & Gabaldón, T. Late acquisition of mitochondria by a host with chimaeric

prokaryotic ancestry. *Nature* **531**, 101–104 (2016).

9. López-García, P., Eme, L. & Moreira, D. Symbiosis in eukaryotic evolution. *J. Theor.*

Biol. **434**, 20–33 (2017).

10. López-García, P. & Moreira, D. Selective forces for the origin of the eukaryotic nucleus.

BioEssays **28**, 525–533 (2006).

11. Spang, A. *et al.* Proposal of the reverse flow model for the origin of the eukaryotic cell

based on comparative analyses of Asgard archaeal metabolism. *Nat. Microbiol.* **4**, 1138–

1148 (2019).

12. Martin, W. & Müller, M. The hydrogen hypothesis for the first eukaryote. *Nature* **392**,

37–41 (1998).

13. Toft, C. & Andersson, S. G. E. Evolutionary microbial genomics: insights into bacterial

host adaptation. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **11**, 465–475 (2010).

14. Graells, T., Ishak, H., Larsson, M. & Guy, L. The all-intracellular order Legionellales is

unexpectedly diverse, globally distributed and lowly abundant. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*

94, (2018).

15. Guizzo, M. G. *et al.* A Coxiella mutualist symbiont is essential to the development of

Rhipicephalus microplus. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 17554 (2017).

16. Gottlieb, Y., Lalzar, I. & Klasson, L. Distinctive Genome Reduction Rates Revealed by

Genomic Analyses of Two Coxiella-Like Endosymbionts in Ticks. *Genome Biol Evol* **7**,

1779–96 (2015).

17. van Schaik, E. J., Chen, C., Mertens, K., Weber, M. M. & Samuel, J. E. Molecular

pathogenesis of the obligate intracellular bacterium *Coxiella burnetii*. *Nat. Rev.*

Microbiol. **11**, 561–73 (2013).

18. Isberg, R. R., O'Connor, T. J. & Heidtman, M. The Legionella pneumophila replication

vacuole: making a cosy niche inside host cells. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **7**, 12–24 (2009).

19. Segal, G., Feldman, M. & Zusman, T. The Icm/Dot type-IV secretion systems of

Legionella pneumophila and Coxiella burnetii. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **29**, 65–81 (2005).

20. Burstein, D. *et al.* Genomic analysis of 38 Legionella species identifies large and diverse

effector repertoires. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 167–75 (2016).

21. Gomez-Valero, L. *et al.* More than 18,000 effectors in the Legionella genus genome

provide multiple, independent combinations for replication in human cells. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. **116**, 2265–2273 (2019).

22. Rihova, J., Novakova, E., Husnik, F. & Hypsa, V. Legionella Becoming a Mutualist:

Adaptive Processes Shaping the Genome of Symbiont in the Louse Polyplax serrata.

Genome Biol Evol **9**, 2946–2957 (2017).

23. Csuros, M. Count: evolutionary analysis of phylogenetic profiles with parsimony and

likelihood. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 1910–2 (2010).

24. Guglielmini, J., de la Cruz, F. & Rocha, E. P. Evolution of conjugation and type IV

secretion systems. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 315–31 (2013).

25. Habyarimana, F. *et al.* Role for the Ankyrin eukaryotic-like genes of Legionella

pneumophila in parasitism of protozoan hosts and human macrophages. *Environ.*

Microbiol. **10**, 1460–1474 (2008).

26. Brocks, J. J. & Pearson, A. Building the Biomarker Tree of Life. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.*

59, 233–258 (2005).

27. Brocks, J. J. & Schaeffer, P. Okenane, a biomarker for purple sulfur bacteria

(Chromatiaceae), and other new carotenoid derivatives from the 1640 Ma Barney Creek

Formation. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **72**, 1396–1414 (2008).

28. Williams, K. P. *et al.* Phylogeny of gammaproteobacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* **192**, 2305–14

(2010).

29. Martijn, J. & Ettema, T. J. From archaeon to eukaryote: the evolutionary dark ages of the

eukaryotic cell. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **41**, 451–7 (2013).

30. Santos, P. *et al.* Gamma-proteobacteria Aquicella lusitana gen. nov., sp. nov., and

Aquicella siphonis sp. nov. infect protozoa and require activated charcoal for growth in

laboratory media. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **69**, 6533–40 (2003).

31. Guy, L., Karamata, D., Moreillon, P. & Roten, C.-A. H. Genometrics as an essential tool

for the assembly of whole genome sequences: The example of the chromosome of

Bifidobacterium longum NCC2705. *BMC Microbiol.* **5**, (2005).

32. Seemann, T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2068–9

(2014).

33. Hyatt, D. *et al.* Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site

identification. *BMC Bioinformatics* **11**, 119 (2010).

34. Laslett, D. & Canback, B. ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA

genes in nucleotide sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* **32**, 11–6 (2004).

35. Seemann, T. *Barrnap: BAsic Rapid Ribosomal RNA Predictor*. (2013).

36. Rinke, C. *et al.* Insights into the phylogeny and coding potential of microbial dark matter.

Nature **499**, 431–437 (2013).

37. Guy, L. phyloSkeleton: taxon selection, data retrieval and marker identification for

phylogenomics. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 1230–1232 (2017).

38. Krueger, F. *Trim Galore!* (Babraham Bioinformatics, 2017).

39. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–20 (2014).

40. Bankevich, A. *et al.* SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to

single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* **19**, 455–77 (2012).

41. Martijn, J., Vosseberg, J., Guy, L., Offre, P. & Ettema, T. J. G. Deep mitochondrial origin

outside the sampled alphaproteobacteria. *Nature* **557**, 101–105 (2018).

42. Delmont, T. O. *et al.* Nitrogen-Fixing Populations Of Planctomycetes And Proteobacteria

Are Abundant In The Surface Ocean. *bioRxiv* (2017).

43. Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–80 (2013).

44. Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. & Arkin, A. P. FastTree 2--approximately maximum-likelihood

trees for large alignments. *PloS One* **5**, e9490 (2010).

45. Stamatakis, A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of

large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1312–3 (2014).

46. Dick, G. J. *et al.* Community-wide analysis of microbial genome sequence signatures.

Genome Biol. **10**, R85 (2009).

47. Ultsch, A. & Moerchen, F. *ESOM-Maps: tools for clustering, visualization, and*

classification with Emergent SOM. (2005).

48. Hugoson, E., Lam, W. T. & Guy, L. miComplete: weighted quality evaluation of

assembled microbial genomes. *Bioinformatics* doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz664.

49. Criscuolo, A. & Gribaldo, S. BMGE (Block Mapping and Gathering with Entropy): a new

software for selection of phylogenetic informative regions from multiple sequence

alignments. *BMC Evol Biol* **10**, 210 (2010).

50. Nguyen, L. T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: a fast and

effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol.*

Evol. **32**, 268–74 (2015).

51. Le, S. Q. & Gascuel, O. An improved general amino acid replacement matrix. *Mol. Biol.*

Evol. **25**, 1307–20 (2008).

52. Quang le, S., Gascuel, O. & Lartillot, N. Empirical profile mixture models for

phylogenetic reconstruction. *Bioinformatics* **24**, 2317–23 (2008).

53. Wang, H. C., Minh, B. Q., Susko, E. & Roger, A. J. Modeling Site Heterogeneity with

Posterior Mean Site Frequency Profiles Accelerates Accurate Phylogenomic Estimation.

Syst Biol **67**, 216–235 (2018).

54. Hoang, D. T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B. Q. & Vinh, L. S. UFBoot2:

Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**, 518–522 (2018).

55. Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., von Haeseler, A. & Jermiin, L. S.

ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat Methods* **14**,

587–589 (2017).

56. Rodrigue, N. & Lartillot, N. Site-heterogeneous mutation-selection models within the

PhyloBayes-MPI package. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1020–1 (2014).

57. Brocks, J. J. *et al.* Biomarker evidence for green and purple sulphur bacteria in a stratified

Palaeoproterozoic sea. *Nature* **437**, 866–870 (2005).

58. Takaichi, S. Distribution and Biosynthesis of Carotenoids. in *The Purple Phototrophic*

Bacteria (eds. Hunter, C. N., Daldal, F., Thurnauer, M. C. & Beatty, J. T.) 97–117

(Springer Netherlands, 2009).

59. Nordberg, H. *et al.* The genome portal of the Department of Energy Joint Genome

Institute: 2014 updates. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, D26-31 (2014).

60. Vogl, K. & Bryant, D. A. Biosynthesis of the biomarker okenone: chi-ring formation.

Geobiology **10**, 205–15 (2012).

61. Altschul, S. F. *et al.* Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein

database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**, 3389–3402 (1997).

62. Mistry, J., Finn, R. D., Eddy, S. R., Bateman, A. & Punta, M. Challenges in homology

search: HMMER3 and convergent evolution of coiled-coil regions. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**,

e121 (2013).

63. Bouckaert, R. *et al.* BEAST 2: a software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis.

PLoS Comput Biol **10**, e1003537 (2014).

64. Drummond, A. J., Ho, S. Y., Phillips, M. J. & Rambaut, A. Relaxed phylogenetics and

dating with confidence. *PLoS Biol* **4**, e88 (2006).

65. Page, R. W. & Sweet, I. P. Geochronology of basin phases in the western Mt Isa Inlier,

and correlation with the McArthur Basin. *Aust. J. Earth Sci.* **45**, 219–232 (1998).

66. Rambaut, A., Drummond, A. J., Xie, D., Baele, G. & Suchard, M. A. Posterior

Summarization in Bayesian Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. *Syst Biol* **67**, 901–904

(2018).

67. Li, L., Stoeckert, C. J. & Roos, D. S. OrthoMCL: Identification of Ortholog Groups for

Eukaryotic Genomes. *Genome Res.* **13**, 2178–2189 (2003).

68. Buchfink, B., Xie, C. & Huson, D. H. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using

DIAMOND. *Nat Methods* **12**, 59–60 (2015).

69. Enright, A. J., Van Dongen, S. & Ouzounis, C. A. An efficient algorithm for large-scale

detection of protein families. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30**, 1575–1584 (2002).

70. Abby, S. S., Neron, B., Menager, H., Touchon, M. & Rocha, E. P. MacSyFinder: a

program to mine genomes for molecular systems with an application to CRISPR-Cas

systems. *PloS One* **9**, e110726 (2014).

71. Guglielmini, J. *et al.* Key components of the eight classes of type IV secretion systems

involved in bacterial conjugation or protein secretion. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, 5715–27

(2014).

72. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local alignment

search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **215**, 403–10. (1990).

73. Carver, T. J. *et al.* ACT: the Artemis comparison tool. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 3422–3423

(2005).

74. Guy, L., Kultima, J. R. & Andersson, S. G. E. genoPlotR: Comparative gene and genome

visualization in R. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2334–2335 (2010).

75. Capella-Gutierrez, S., Silla-Martinez, J. M. & Gabaldon, T. trimAl: a tool for automated

alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1972–3

(2009).

76. Gast, R. J., Moran, D. M., Dennett, M. R., Wurtsbaugh, W. A. & Amaral-Zettler, L. A.

Amoebae and Legionella pneumophila in saline environments. *J. Water Health* **9**, 37–52

(2011).

77. Giovannoni, S. J. SAR11 Bacteria: The Most Abundant Plankton in the Oceans. *Annu.*

Rev. Mar. Sci. **9**, 231–255 (2017).

78. Kwak, M.-J. *et al.* Architecture of the type IV coupling protein complex of *Legionella*

pneumophila. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2**, 17114 (2017).

79. Sutherland, M. C., Nguyen, T. L., Tseng, V. & Vogel, J. P. The Legionella IcmSW

Complex Directly Interacts with DotL to Mediate Translocation of Adaptor-Dependent

Substrates. *PLOS Pathog.* **8**, e1002910 (2012).

80. Ghosal, D. *et al.* Molecular architecture, polar targeting and biogenesis of the Legionella

Dot/Icm T4SS. *Nat. Microbiol.* **4**, 1173–1182 (2019).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council [2017-03709 to L.G.], Science for

Life Laboratory [SciLifeLab National Project 2015 to L.G.], and the Carl Tryggers

Foundation [CTS 15:184, CTS 17:178 to L.G.].

We would like to thank Thijs Ettema and Lisa Klasson for constructive discussion;

Jennah Dharamshi and Lina Juzokaite for help with the 16S amplicon protocol; Laura Eme

for help with dating issues; Joran Martijn and Julian Vos for the help with the Tara Ocean

binning. We would also like to thank the Ag1000G project for releasing early their data.

Author contributions

646   L.G. conceived the study and drafted the manuscript. E.H. performed database screening and

647   metagenomics; T.A. analyzed the T4BSS and effectors; E.H. and L.G. performed

648   phylogenomics. All authors contributed to writing the final manuscript and approve it.

Supplementary material

Supplementary discussion

MAGs in *Legionellaceae*

The phylogeny shows a comprehensive, previously unknown diversity among *Legionellales* (Fig. 1). The *Coxiellaceae* is divided in two large clades, one including the known genera *Coxiella*, *Rickettsiella* and *Diplorickettsia*, and another ("Aquicella"), about which very little is known, includes the amoebal pathogen *Aquicella* and environmental MAGs. Interestingly, two MAGs recovered from the TARA Ocean sampling campaign are included in the *Legionellaceae*, one as a sister clade to the *Legionella* genus, and one clustering within the "green group", having *L. birminghamensis* and *L. quinlivanii* as closest relatives (Fig. 1). The discovery of two *Legionella* genomes in a marine environment supports rising evidence that *Legionella* and other genera of the *Legionellales* can colonize saline waters [14,76]. Strikingly, both MAGs branching as sister groups to the *Legionella* genus have small genomes (2.2 and 1.9 Mb, respectively), whereas genomes in the *Legionella* genus (except for *Ca.* L. polyplacis, which is an obligate endosymbiont of a louse [22]) range from 2.3 to 4.9 Mb [21]. This argues in favor of a *Legionellaceae* last common ancestor that had a smaller genome than the extant *Legionella* species. Incidentally, both MAGs contained inside the *Legionella* clade III [21] also display smaller genomes than their closest relatives: 1.9 and 2.3 Mb, whereas clade III *Legionella* spp. range from 3.1 to 3.9 Mb. The same is also true, albeit to a lesser extent, with the MAG branching in clade IV (Legionella_sp_40_6: 3.1 Mb; rest of the clade: 3.4-4.9 Mb). The reduced genome size in these MAGs, associated with the longer branches leading to them, might indicate shifts in lifestyles, either towards streamlining as in the *Pelagibacterales* [77] or towards an increased dependency on their host [13], similarly to *Ca.* Legionella polyplacis [22].

Evolution of the T4BSS

IcmW and IcmS (two small acidic cytoplasmic proteins, part of the coupling protein subcomplex [78,79]), IcmC/DotE, IcmD/DotP and IcmV (three small integral inner membrane proteins, of uncharacterized functions) were gained in the LLCA sensu lato. IcmQ, a cytoplasmic protein and IcmN/DotK, an outer membrane lipoprotein [80], were acquired in the LLCA sensu stricto, after the divergence with *Berkiella*. The limited amount of characterized functions for these proteins prevents us to infer exactly how their gain allowed the LLCA to infect eukaryotic cells. However, except for IcmN/DotK, all these proteins are located either in the inner membrane or in the cytoplasm, suggesting that the specific role of the *Legionellales*-gained proteins is related to the coupling protein complex rather than in the core complex.

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1: Bayesian phylogeny of the order *Legionellales*. Based on a

concatenated amino-acid alignment of 105 single-copy orthologs, the tree was inferred with

PhyloBayes using a GTR+CAT model. The tree encompasses 93 *Legionellales* genomes and

is rooted with an outgroup of 4 genomes from *Proteobacteria* other than

Gammaproteobacteria. Numbers on branches show posterior probabilities (pp). Branches

without number indicate pp = 1. Terminal nodes in bold indicate taxa recovered in this study.

Green branches indicate clades where no genomic data was available prior to this study. The

scale shows the average number of substitutions per site. The colored groups and Roman

numerals in the *Legionellaceae* correspond to the groupings in [20] and [21], respectively.

Supplementary Figure 2: Bayesian estimation of the phylogenetic relationships of 105

Gammaproteobacteria. The tree is inferred from the Gamma105 dataset, which encompasses

110 genomes, including 105 *Gammaproteobacteria* and 5 other *Proteobacteria.* The selected

Gammaproteobacteria include 22 *Legionellales*, 19 *Chromatiaceae*, 17 *Francisellaceae* and 4

Piscirickettsiaceae. Four phylobayes chains were run from the concatenated BMGE-trimmed

alignments of 104 protein markers, using a CAT+GTR model. Numbers on the branches

represent the posterior probability. The tree was rooted with the five outgroup genomes. This

tree was used as an input for the time-constrained tree show on **Fig. 4.**

Supplementary Figure 3: Gene flow analysis in the *Legionellales* and other

***Gammaproteobacteria* genomes, with focus on the T4BSS system and the *Legionella*-**

conserved effectors. At the left of each node, barplots depict the number of gene families

(blue, ranging from 0 to 3322) inferred in that ancestor, as well as the number of family gains

(green, from 0 to 613) and losses (red, from 0 to 781) occurring on the branch leading to that

ancestor. To the right of each terminal node, a circle depicts the size of the corresponding

genome (proportional to the diameter of the circle) and its G+C content (color of the circle).

On the right panel, squares represent proteins included in the T4BSS (separated according to

the three operons present in R64 [19]) and the eight effectors conserved in *Legionella*. The

colors represent the number of homologs of each gene in the genome: red, absent; light blue,

one copy; dark blue, several copies.

Supplementary Figure 4: Collinearity of the T4BSS in *Legionellales*. Genes are colored as

they appear in *Legionella pneumophila* Philadelphia (LegPhi). Areas between the rows

display similarities between the sequences, as identified by tblastx. The sequences displayed

here in addition are the IncI plasmid R64 from *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium

(R64), *Legionella longbeacheae* (LegLon), a putative Legionellales TARA121 (Tara121),

Berkiella aquae (BerAqu)*, Rickettsiella isopodorum* (RicIso), *Coxiella burnetii* RSA93

(CoxRSA93), *Piscirickettsia salmonis* (PisSal), *Fangia hongkongensis* (FanHon) and

Acidithiobacillus ferrivorans (AciFer).

Supplementary Figure 5: Maximum-likelihood phylogeny of the T4BSS in the

Legionellales. The phylogeny is based on 12 genes of the T4BSS automatically detected by

MacSyFinder. Number on branches represent the percent of bootstrap support. Branches

without number indicate 100% bootstrap support. The scale represents the number of

substitutions per site. Taxa in bold were selected for manual curation and are represented in

Supplementary Figure 6 and **4**.

Supplementary Figure 6: Maximum-likelihood phylogeny of the T4BSS. The phylogeny is

based on the concatenation of the alignments of the 25 genes displayed on **Fig. 2** and

Supplementary Figure 4, manually curated. Numbers on branches indicate the percentage of

bootstrap support. The scale represents the number of substitutions per site.

Supplementary Figure 7: Maximum-likelihood tree of 105 *Gammaproteobacteria*. The

underlying alignment is the same as in **Supplementary Figure 2**. The tree was inferred with

IQ-tree, using the LG substitution matrix, empirical codon frequencies, four gamma

categories, the C60 mixture model and PMSF approximation. A thousand UltraFast bootstrap

were drawn. The numbers on the branches represent the percentage of bootstrap tree

supporting that branch. The scale represents the average number of substitutions per site.

Supplementary Figure 8: Maximum-likelihood tree of the *Legionellales*. The underlying

alignment is the same as in **Supplementary Figure 1**. The tree was inferred with IQ-tree,

using the LG substitution matrix, empirical codon frequencies, four gamma categories, the

C60 mixture model and PMSF approximation. A thousand UltraFast bootstrap were drawn.

The numbers on the branches represent the percentage of bootstrap tree supporting that

branch. The scale represents the average number of substitutions per site.

Supplementary tables

Supplementary Table 1: Summary of the phylogenetic placement of *Berkiella* and *Piscirickettsia* according to different methods.

Supplementary Table 2: Summary of the age of LLCA in different BEAST runs.

Supplementary Table 3: Ancestral reconstruction of T4BSS and conserved effectors.

Supplementary Table 4: Ancestral reconstruction, probabilities of families present/gained/lost at each node.

Supplementary Table 5: Metagenomes containing high fractions of reads mapping to *Legionellales* 16S rDNA.

Supplementary Table 6: Gamma105 dataset, genomes used to place *Legionellales* in the *Gammaproteobacteria*.

Supplementary Table 7: Legio93 dataset, genomes used to reconstruct the *Legionellales* genomic tree.