Genetic Association Study of Childhood Aggression across raters, instruments and age

Authors & Addresses:

Ip, Hill F.[1], van der Laan, Camiel M.[1,2], Krapohl, Eva M. L.[3], Brikell, Isabell[4], Sánchez-Mora, Cristina[5,6,7], Nolte, Ilja M.[8], St Pourcain, Beate[9,10,11], Bolhuis, Koen[12], Palviainen, Teemu[13], Zafarmand, Hadi[14,15], Colodro-Conde, Lucía[16], Gordon, Scott[16], Zayats, Tetyana[17,18,19], Aliev, Fazil[20], Jiang, Chang[21,22], Wang, Carol A.[23], Saunders, Gretchen[24], Karhunen, Ville[25], Hammerschlag, Anke R.[26,1,27], Adkins, Daniel E.[28,29], Border, Richard[30,31,32], Peterson, Roseann E.[33], Prinz, Joseph A.[34], Thiering, Elisabeth[35,36], Seppälä, Ilkka[37], Vilor-Tejedor, Natàlia[38-42], Ahluwalia, Tarunveer S.[43,44], Day, Felix R.[45], Hottenga, Jouke-Jan[1], Allegrini, Andrea G.[3], Rimfeld, Kaili[3], Chen, Qi[4], Lu, Yi[4], Martin, Joanna[4,46], Soler Artigas, María[5,6,7], Rovira, Paula[5,6,7], Bosch, Rosa[5,6,47], Español, Gemma[5], Ramos Quiroga, Josep Antoni[5,6,7,47], Neumann, Alexander[12,48], Ensink, Judith[49,50], Grasby, Katrina[16], Morosoli, José J.[16], Tong, Xiaoran[21,22], Marrington, Shelby[51], Middeldorp, Christel[26,1,52], Scott, James G.[51,53,54], Vinkhuyzen, Anna[55], Shabalin, Andrey A.[29], Corley, Robin[30,56], Evans, Luke M.[30,56], Sugden, Karen[57,34], Alemany, Silvia[38,39,40], Sass, Lærke[43], Vinding, Rebecca[43], Ruth, Kate[58], Tyrrell, Jess[58], Davies, Gareth E.[59], Ehli, Erik A.[59], Hagenbeek, Fiona A.[1], De Zeeuw, Eveline[1], Van Beijsterveldt, Toos C.E.M.[1], Larsson, Henrik[4,60], Snieder, Harold[8], Verhulst, Frank C.[12,61], Amin, Najaf[62], Whipp, Alyce M.[13], Korhonen, Tellervo[13], Vuoksimaa, Eero[13], Rose, Richard J.[63], Uitterlinden, André G.[62,64,65], Heath, Andrew C.[66], Madden, Pamela[66], Haavik, Jan[17,67], Harris, Jennifer R.[68], Helgeland, Øyvind[69], Johansson, Stefan[17,70], Knudsen, Gun Peggy S.[68], Njolstad, Pal Rasmus[71], Lu, Qing[21,22], Rodriguez, Alina[25,72], Henders, Anjali K.[55], Mamun, Abdullah[73], Najman, Jackob M.[51], Brown, Sandy[74], Hopfer, Christian[75], Krauter, Kenneth[76], Reynolds, Chandra[77], Smolen, Andrew[30], Stallings, Michael[30,31], Wadsworth, Sally[30], Wall, Tamara[74], Silberg, Judy L.[78,33], Miller, Allison[79], Keltikangas-Järvinen, Liisa[80], Hakulinen, Christian[80], Pulkki-Råback, Laura[80], Havdahl, Alexandra[81,82], Magnus, Per[83], Raitakari, Olli T.[84,85,86], Perry, John R.B.[45], Llop, Sabrina[87,88], Lopez-Espinosa, Maria-Jose[87,88,89], Bønnelykke, Klaus[43], Bisgaard, Hans[43], Sunyer, Jordi[38,39,40,90], Lehtimäki, Terho[37], Arseneault, Louise[91], Standl, Marie[35], Heinrich, Joachim[35,92,93], Boden, Joseph[94], Pearson, John[95], Horwood, John[94], Kennedy, Martin[96], Poulton, Richie[97], Eaves, Lindon J.[78,33], Maes, Hermine H.[78,33,98], Hewitt, John[30,31], Copeland, William E.[99], Costello, Elizabeth J.[100], Williams, Gail M.[51], Wray, Naomi[55,101], Järvelin, Marjo-Riitta[25,102], McGue, Matt[24], Iacono, William[24], Caspi, Avshalom[57,91,103,34], Moffitt, Terrie E.[57,91,103,34], Whitehouse, Andrew[104], Pennell, Craig E.[23], Klump, Kelly L.[105], Burt, S. Alexandra[105], Dick, Danielle M.[20,106,107], Reichborn-Kjennerud, Ted[82,108], Martin, Nicholas G.[16], Medland, Sarah E.[16], Vrijkotte, Tanja[14], Kaprio, Jaakko[109,13], Tiemeier, Henning[12,110], Davey Smith, George[9,111], Hartman, Catharina A.[112], Oldehinkel, Albertine J.[112], Casas, Miquel[5,6,7,47], Ribasés, Marta[5,6,7], Lichtenstein, Paul[4], Lundström, Sebastian[113,114], Plomin, Robert[3], Bartels, Meike[1,27,*], Nivard, Michel G.[1,*], Boomsma, Dorret I.[1,27,*]

[1] Department of Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

[2] Netherlands Institute for the Study of Crime and Law Enforcement

[3] Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, United Kingdom

[4] Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

[5] Department of Psychiatry, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain

[6] Biomedical Network Research Centre on Mental Health (CIBERSAM), Instituto de Salud Carlos III,
Barcelona, Spain

[7] Psychiatric Genetics Unit, Group of Psychiatry, Mental Health and Addiction, Vall d'Hebron Research
Institute (VHIR), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

[8] Department of Epidemiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen,
Groningen, The Netherlands

[9] MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

[10] Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, The Netherlands

[11] Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University, The Netherlands

[12] Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry/Psychology, Erasmus University Medical Center,
Rotterdam, Netherlands

[13] Institute for Molecular Medicine FIMM, HiLife, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

[14] Department of Public Health, Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam UMC, location
Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

[15] Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Amsterdam Public Health
Research Institute, Amsterdam UMC, location Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam,
Amsterdam, The Netherlands

[16] QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia

[17] Department of Biomedicine, University of Bergen, Norway

[18] Analytic and Translational Genetics Unit, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital
and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

[19] Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge,
Massachusetts, USA

[20] Department of Psychology, Virginia Commonwealth University, USA

[21] Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, USA

[22] Department of Biostatistics, University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

[23] School of Medicine and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Newcastle

[24] Department of Psychology, University of Minnesota, USA

[25] Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health, School of
Public Health, Imperial College London, London, W2 1PG, United Kingdom

[26] Child Health Research Centre, the University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

[27] Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

[28] Department of Sociology, College of Social and Behavioral Science, University of Utah

[29] Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, University of Utah

[30] Institute for Behavioral Genetics, University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado, USA

[31] Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado, USA

[32] Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado, USA

[33] Department of Psychiatry, Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics, Virginia
Commonwealth University

[34] Center for Genomic and Computational Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

[35] Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München - German Research Center for Environmental
Health, Neuherberg, Germany

[36] Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich, Dr. von Hauner Children's Hospital, Division of Metabolic
Diseases and Nutritional Medicine, Munich, Germany

[37] Department of Clinical Chemistry, Fimlab Laboratories, and Finnish Cardiovascular Research Center -
Tampere, Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University, Tampere 33520, Finland

[38] ISGlobal, Barcelona Institute for Global Health, Barcelona, Spain

[39] Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain

[40] CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Spain

[41] Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG),The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona,
Spain

[42] BarcelonaBeta Brain Research Center, Pasqual Maragall Foundation (FPM), Barcelona, Spain

[43] COPSAC, Copenhagen Prospective Studies on Asthma in Childhood, Herlev and Gentofte Hospital,
University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

[44] Steno Diabetes Center Copenhagen, Gentofte, Denmark

[45] MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Institute of Metabolic
Science, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge, UK

[46] MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Division of Psychological Medicine and
Clinical Neurosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

[47] Department of Psychiatry and Legal Medicine, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

[48] Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Qc, Canada

[49] Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The
Netherlands

[50] De Bascule, Academic centre for Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

[51] School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Herston 4006, Australia

[52] Children's Health Queensland Hospital and Health Service, Child and Youth Mental Health Service,
Brisbane, QLD, Australia

[53] Metro North Mental Health, University of Queensland, QLD, Australia

[54] Queensland Centre for Mental Health Research, QLD, Australia

[55] Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland, QLD, Australia

[56] Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado, USA

[57] Department of Psychology and Neuroscience and Center for Genomic and Computational Biology,
Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

[58] Genetics of Complex Traits, University of Exeter Medical School, Royal Devon & Exeter Hospital,
Exeter, EX2 5DW, UK

[59] Avera Institute for Human Genetics, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, USA

[60] School of Medical Sciences, Orebro University, Orebro, Sweden

[61] Child and Adolescent Mental Health Centre, Mental Health Services Capital Region, Research Unit,
Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

[62] Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

[63] Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA

[64] Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

[65] Netherlands Genomics Initiative (NGI)-sponsored Netherlands Consortium for Healthy Aging (NCHA),

Leiden, The Netherlands

[66] Washington University, St Louis MO, USA

[67] Division of Psychiatry, Haukeland University Hospital, Norway

[68] Division of Health Data and Digitalisation, The Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

[69] Department of Genetics and Bioinformatics, Division of Health Data and Digitalization, The Norwegian

Institute of Public Health

[70] K.G. Jebsen Centre for Neuropsychiatric Disorders, Department of Clinical Science, University of

Bergen, Norway

[71] Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Norway

[72] School of Psychology, University of Lincoln, Lincolnshire, LN5 7AY, United Kingdom

[73] Institute for Social Science Research, University of Queensland, Long Pocket 4068, Australia

[74] Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego

[75] University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, Colorado, USA

[76] Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, USA

[77] Department of Psychology, University of California Riverside, Riverside, CA, USA

[78] Department of Human & Molecular Genetics, Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral

Genetics, Virginia Commonwealth University

[79] Department of Pathology and Biomedical Science, and Carney Centre for Pharmacogenomics,

University of Otago Christchurch, New Zealand

[80] Department of Psychology and Logopedics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Finland

[81] Nic Waals Institute, Lovisenberg Diaconal Hospital, Oslo, Norway

[82] Department of Mental Disorders, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

[83] Centre for Fertility and Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

[84] Centre for Population Health Research, University of Turku and Turku University Hospital

[85] Research Centre of Applied and Preventive Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Turku

[86] Department of Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland

[87] Epidemiology and Environmental Health Joint Research Unit, FISABIO-Universitat Jaume I-Universitat

de València, Valencia, Spain

[88] Spanish Consortium for Research on Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain

[89] Faculty of Nursing and Chiropody, Universitat de València, Valencia, Spain

[90] IMIM (Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute), Barcelona, Spain

[91] Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC,

USA

[92] Institute and Outpatient Clinic for Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine, University of

Munich Medical Center, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany

[93] Allergy and Lung Health Unit, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of

Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

[94] Christchurch Health and Development Study, Department of Psychological Medicine, University of
Otago Christchurch, New Zealand
[95] Biostatistics and Computational Biology Unit, Department of Pathology and Biomedical Science,
University of Otago Christchurch, New Zealand
[96] Department of Pathology and Biomedical Science, and Carney Centre for Pharmacogenomics,
University of Otago Christchurch, New Zealand
[97] Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Research Unit, University of Otago, Dunedin, New
Zealand
[98] Massey Cancer Center, Virginia Commonwealth University
[99] Department of Psychiatry, College of Medicine, University of Vermont
[100] Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Duke University
[101] Queensland Brain Institute, Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland, St Lucia
4072, Australia
[102] Center for Life Course Health Research, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oulu, PO Box 8000, FI-
90014 Oulun yliopisto, Finland
[103] Social, Genetic, and Developmental Psychiatry Research Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology,
and Neuroscience, King's College London, UK
[104] Telethon Kids Institute, The University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia, Australia
[105] Department of Psychology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, USA
[106] Department of Human and Molecular Genetics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA,
USA
[107] College Behavioral and Emotional Health Institute, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA,
USA
[108] Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
[109] Department of Public Health, Medical Faculty, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
[110] Department of Social and Behavioral Science, Harvard TH Chand School of Public Health, Boston, USA
[111] Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
[112] Department of Psychiatry, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen,
The Netherlands
[113] Gillberg Neuropsychiatry Centre, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden
[114] Sweden Centre for Ethics, Law and Mental Health, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden
* These authors jointly supervised this work
195

196 Corresponding authors: HF Ip (h.f.ip.vu@gmail.com), DI Boomsma (di.boomsma@vu.nl)

Abstract

Background

Human aggressive behavior (AGG) has a substantial genetic component. Here we present a large genome-wide association meta-analysis (GWAMA) of childhood AGG.

Methods

We analyzed assessments of AGG for a total of 328,935 observations from 87,485 children (aged 1.5 – 18 years), from multiple assessors, instruments, and ages, while accounting for sample overlap. We performed an overall analysis and meta-analyzed subsets of the data within rater, instrument, and age.

Results

Heritability based on the overall meta-analysis (AGG$_{all}$) that could be attributed to Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) was 3.31% (SE=0.0038). No single SNP reached genome-wide significance, but gene-based analysis returned three significant genes: *ST3GAL3* (*P*=1.6E-06), *PCDH7* (*P*=2.0E-06) and *IPO13* (*P*=2.5E-06). All three genes have previously been associated with educational traits. Polygenic scores based on our GWAMA significantly predicted aggression in a holdout sample of children and in retrospectively assessed childhood aggression. We obtained moderate-to-strong genetic correlations (r_g's) with selected phenotypes from multiple domains, but hardly with any of the classical biomarkers thought to be associated with AGG. Significant genetic correlations were observed with most psychiatric and psychological traits (range $|r_g|$: 0.19 – 1.00), except for obsessive-compulsive disorder. Aggression had a negative genetic correlation (r_g=~-0.5) with cognitive traits and age at first birth. Aggression was strongly genetically correlated with smoking phenotypes (range $|r_g|$: 0.46 – 0.60). Genetic correlations between AGG and psychiatric disorders were strongest for mother- and self-reported AGG.

Conclusions

The current GWAMA of childhood aggression provides a powerful tool to interrogate the genetic etiology of AGG by creating individual polygenic scores and genetic correlations with psychiatric traits.

Introduction

Phenotypic definitions of aggressive behavior (AGG) range from broadly defined externalizing problems to narrow conceptualizations like chronic physical aggression (1). Generally, any action performed with the intention to harm another organism can be viewed as AGG (2,3). AGG is considered a common human behavior (4), with people varying in the degree of AGG they exhibit (5). AGG is part of numerous disorders (6), including oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) and conduct disorder (CD)(7). In its extreme forms, AGG may be considered a disorder by itself – inflicting a huge personal and financial burden on the individual, their close ones, and society as a whole (8). In general population studies, AGG is commonly treated as a quantitative trait where pathological AGG lies at the extreme end of the continuum (9–11).

AGG typically is displayed early in life, after which symptoms tend to diminish (12,13) – although in some individuals, AGG persists into adulthood (14). Childhood AGG co-occurs with many other behavioral, emotional, and social problems (15,16); and is associated with increased risk of developing negative outcomes later in life, including cannabis abuse (17), criminal convictions (18), anxiety disorder (19), and antisocial personality disorder (20). Not all associated outcomes are harmful (21). For example, children who learn to control their impulses and apply aggressive acts as a well-timed coercion strategy are generally more liked by their peers and score higher on social dominance (22).

Despite a heritability of ~50% (5,23), genome-wide association studies (GWASs) on AGG(-related traits) have not identified genome-wide significant loci that replicated (1). Childhood cohorts often have rich longitudinal data and assessments from multiple informants. In AGG, twin studies have reported moderate-to-high genetic correlations (r_g's) among instruments, raters, and age (24–26); and the power to detect genomic loci for AGG might increase via a multivariate genome-wide association meta-analysis (GWAMA) (27,28). Childhood behavior is context-dependent. At home, parents share part of their genome with their offspring and, most often, a household. Parental genomes can influence the home

environment, and it is predominantly within this context that parents observe the child's behavior. At

school, teachers are typically unrelated to the child; and observe the child in the context of a structured

classroom, where they can judge the child's behavior against that of other pupils. These considerations

support an approach in which all AGG data are simultaneously analyzed, while retaining the ability to

analyze the data by rater or age. We developed an approach that allowed inclusion of repeated

observations on the same subject – e.g. from multiple raters at multiple ages – and resolved issues of

sample overlap at the meta-analysis level. By doing so, we make full use of all available data and

maximize statistical power for gene discovery. By aggregating data at level of the meta-analysis,

however, we simultaneously retain the flexibility to explore the genetic architecture of specific aspects

of AGG.

Data on AGG from parent-, teacher-, and self-report in boys and girls aged 1.5 to 18 years were

collected in 29 cohorts from Europe, USA, Australia, and New-Zealand; resulting in 328,935 observations

from 87,485 participants. First, we combined all data to produce the largest GWAMA on childhood

aggressive behavior to date. We computed polygenic scores (PGSs) to test out-of-sample prediction of

AGG and explore the usefulness of the GWAMA results in future research (29). To assess genetic

pleiotropy between AGG and associated traits, we estimated r_g's between our GWAMA and a

preselected set of phenotypes from multiple domains. Second, meta-analyses were done by rater,

instrument, and age. We subsequently estimated r_g's across these assessments of AGG. To identify

context-specific genetic overlap with other phenotypes, r_g's were also estimated between rater-specific

assessments of AGG and these phenotypes.

Methodology

Data description

Extended description of the cohorts and phenotypes is supplied in the Supplemental Text and

Supplementary Tables 1–9. Cohorts with assessment of AGG in genotyped children and adolescents took

part in the meta-analysis. AGG was assessed on continuous scales, with higher scores indicating higher

levels of AGG. Within cohort, samples were stratified by (a) rater, (b) instrument, and (c) age,

maintaining at least 450 observations in each stratum. Cohorts ran a univariate GWAS for each stratum

within each cohort. To account for dependence within cohort during the meta-analysis (see

Supplementary Text), each cohort supplied the phenotypic covariance matrix between the AGG

measures (Supplementary Table 10) and the degree of sample overlap (Supplementary Table 11)

between the different strata. We assumed no sample overlap across cohorts, and set phenotypic

correlations among cohorts to zero. Phenotypic correlations of zero within cohort correspond to

independent sub-samples. Supplementary Figure 1 shows the distribution of phenotypic correlations

across all AGG measures, with phenotypic correlations of zero omitted from the figure. Overall,

phenotypic correlations ranged between 0.1 and 0.4, with a median value of 0.29. When stratified by

rater, phenotypic correlations were more heavily centered around 0.4. The maximum number of

correlations within a specific age is three based on four raters, with the largest number of observations

within age-bin around age 12 years. Within this age group, phenotypic correlations among raters ranged

between 0.22 and 0.65, with a median of 0.34. The lowest phenotypic correlations were observed

between teachers and parents. We restricted analyses to individuals of European ancestry, since limited

data were available on individuals of non-European ancestry.

In total, 29 cohorts contributed 163 univariate GWASs, based on 328,935 observations from

87,485 unique individuals (Supplementary Table 2). Children were 1.5 to 18 years old at assessment, or

retrospectively assessed at within these ages. Cohorts supplied between 1 and 26 GWASs.

Approximately 50% of the subjects was male. Most GWASs were based on maternal- (52.4%) and self-

assessment (25.1%), with the remainder based on teacher (12.4%) and paternal report (10.1%). After

quality control on the univariate GWASs (see Supplementary Text), between 3.47M SNPs and 7.28M

SNPs were available for meta-analysis (see Supplementary Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 9).

Meta-analysis

Within cohort, measures of AGG may be dependent due to including repeated measures of AGG over

age and assessments from multiple raters. To account for the effect of sample overlap, we applied a

modified version of the multivariate meta-analysis approach developed by Baselmans *et al* (28) (see

Table 1). Instead of directly estimating the dependence among GWAS test statistics using the cross-trait-

intercept (CTI) with Linkage Disequilibrium SCore regression (LDSC)(30,31), the expected pairwise CTI

value was calculated (Table 1) using the observed sample overlap and phenotypic covariance. Effective

sample sizes (N_{eff}) were approximated using the third formula in Table 1. When there is no sample

overlap (or phenotypic correlation equal to zero) between all GWASs – i.e. CTI is an identity matrix – N_{eff}

is equal to the sum of sample sizes.

First, we meta-analyzed all available GWAS results (AGG_{all}). Second, we meta-analyzed the

available data within rater (rater-specific GWAMAs). Third, rater-specific age-bins were created for

mother- and self-reported AGG based on the mean ages of the subjects in each GWAS (age-specific

GWAMA; see Supplementary Text and Supplementary Table 12). To ensure that the age-specific

GWAMAs would have sufficient power for subsequent analyses, age-bins were created such that the

univariate number of observations (N_{obs}) exceeded 15,000. For father- and teacher-reported AGG there

were insufficient data to run age-specific GWAMAs. Fourth, we performed instrument-specific GWAMAs

for (a) the ASEBA scales and (b) for the SDQ, because for these two instruments the total *univariate* N_{obs}

exceeded 15,000.

SNPs that had MAF<0.01, N_{eff}<15,000, or were observed in only one cohort were removed from

further analyses. SNP-heritabilities (h^2_{SNP}) and r_g's were estimated using LDSC (30,31). To ensure

sufficient power, r_g's across stratified assessments of AGG were only calculated if the Z-score of the

h^2_{SNP} for the corresponding GWAMA was 4 or higher (30).

Gene-based tests

For AGG$_{all}$ a gene-based analysis was performed in MAGMA (32). The gene-based test combined P-

values from multiple SNPs to obtain a test statistic for each gene, while accounting for LD between the

SNPs. From the MAGMA website (see URLs) we obtained (a) a list of 18,087 genes and their start- and

end-positions, and (b) pre-formatted European genotypes from 1,000 Genomes phase 3 or the

reference LD. We applied a Bonferroni correction for multiple testing at α=0.05/18,087. A lookup for

significant results was performed in GWAS Catalog and PhenoScanner (see URLs).

Polygenic scores

All data were meta-analyzed twice more, once omitting all data from the Netherlands Twin Register

(NTR) and once omitting all Australian data (Queensland Institute for Medical Research [QIMR] and

Mater-University of Queensland Study of Pregnancy [MUSP]). For the target sample in the NTR we

considered mother-reported AGG at age 7 (N=4,491), which represents the largest NTR univariate

dataset. In the QIMR participants (N=10,706), we tested whether a childhood AGG PGS predicted adult

retrospective assessment of their own CD behavior during adolescence. Polygenic score analyses were

carried out by each cohort (see Supplementary Text). Briefly, in the NTR, 16 sets of clumped PGSs were

created based on P-value thresholds between 1 and 1.0E-05. To account for relatedness within the

target sample, prediction was performed using generalized equation estimation (GEE)(33). Age, age^2,

sex, dummy variables for genotyping array, first five ancestry-based principal components, and

interaction terms between sex and age, and sex and age^2 were included as fixed effects. To correct for

multiple testing, we applied a False Discovery Rate (FDR) correction at α=0.05 for 16 tests. QIMR

calculated different PGSs for seven *P*-value thresholds. Linear mixed models were fitted, which

controlled for relatedness using a genetic relatedness matrix; and sex, age, two dummy variables for the

GWAS array used, and first five ancestry-based principal components as covariates.

Genetic correlation with external phenotypes

We computed r_g's between AGG$_{all}$ and a set of preselected outcomes (*N*=46; collectively referred to as

"external phenotypes"; Supplementary Table 14). Phenotypes were selected based on established

hypotheses with AGG and the availability of sufficiently powered GWAS summary statistics. We

restricted r_g's to phenotypes for which the Z-scores of the LDSC-based $h^2_{SNP} \geq 4$ (30). Next, we

estimated r_g's for all rater-specific assessments of AGG (except for father-reported AGG). Genomic

Structural Equation Modelling (Genomic SEM)(34) was applied to test if r_g's were significantly different

across raters. Specifically, for every phenotype, we tested whether (a) all three r_g's between phenotype

and rater-specific assessment of AGG – i.e. mother, teacher, and self-ratings – could be constrained at

zero, and (b) whether the r_g's could be constrained to be equal across raters. A χ^2 difference test was

applied to assess whether imposing the constraints resulted in a significant worse model fit compared to

a model where the r_g's between the phenotype and three rater-specific assessment of AGG were

allowed to differ. We applied a FDR correction at α=0.05 over two models for 46 phenotypes, for a total

of 92 tests. A FDR correction for 4 x 4 = 184 tests was applied to correct for multiple testing of whether

the genetic correlations were significantly different from zero.

<u>**Results**</u>

GWAMA of all GWAS results (AGG$_{all}$)

We first meta-analyzed the effect of each SNP across all available univariate GWASs. Assuming a N$_{eff}$ of

151,741, the h^2_{SNP} of AGG$_{all}$ was estimated at 3.31% (SE=0.0038). The mean χ^2-statistic was 1.12 along

with an LDSC-intercept of 1.02 (SE=0.01). This indicated that a small, but significant, part of the inflation

in test statistic might have been due to confounding biases, which can either reflect population

stratification or subtle misspecification of sample overlap within cohorts. No genome-wide significant

hits were found for AGG_{all} (Figure 1). The list of suggestive associations ($P<1.0E-05$) is provided in

Supplementary Table 15. SNPs were annotated with SNPnexus (see URLs). The strongest association, in

terms of significance, was located on chromosome 2 (rs2570485; $P=2.0E-07$). The SNP is located inside a

gene desert, without any gene in 400Kbp in any direction. The second strongest independent

association was found with rs113599846 ($P=4.3E-07$), which is located inside an intronic region of

TNRC18 on chromosome 7. None of the suggestive associations have previously been reported for AGG

or AGG-related traits (1).

378   We performed a lookup of previously reported genome-wide significant hits for AGG and AGG-

related traits in AGG_{all}. We restricted lookup to associations with autosomal SNPs that were reported in

samples of European ancestry, resulting in three loci (1). One genome-wide significant hit has previously

been reported for adult antisocial personality disorder (rs4714329; OR=0.63[1]; $P=1.64E-09$)(35). The

same SNP, however, had an opposite direction of effect in AGG_{all} ($\beta=0.0022$; $P=0.41$). Two genome-wide

significant hits have also been reported for antisocial behavior (36), one on chromosome 1 (rs2764450)

and one on chromosome 11 (rs11215217). While both SNPs have the same direction of effect, neither

SNP is associated with AGG_{all} (both $P>0.5$).

Gene-based analysis

After correction for multiple testing, the gene-based analysis returned three significant results

(Supplementary Table 16): *ST3GAL3* (ST3 beta-galactoside alpha-2,3-sialyltransferase3; $P=1.6E-06$),

PCDH7 (protocadherin 7; $P=2.0E-06$) and *IPO13* (importin 13; $P=2.5E-06$). *ST3GAL3* codes for a type II

---

[1] Odds ratio was signed to the other allele in the original study

membrane protein that is involved in catalyzing the transfer of sialic acid from CMP-sialic acid to

galactose-containing substrates. *ST3GAL3* has been implicated in 107 GWASs, most notably on

intelligence and educational attainment. The top SNP in *ST3GAL3* (rs2485997; *P*=2.48E-06) is in strong

LD (r^2>0.8) with several other SNPs inside the gene body of *ST3GAL3* and in moderate LD (r^2>0.6) with

SNPs in several neighboring genes (Supplementary Figure 3). *PCDH7* codes for a protein that is

hypothesized to function in cell-cell recognition and adhesion. *PCDH7* has been implicated in 196

previous GWASs, including educational attainment and adventurousness. The top SNP for *PCDH7*

(rs13138213; *P*=1.44E-06) is in strong LD (r^2>0.8) with a small number of other closely located SNPs and

the signal for the gene-based test appears to be driven by two independent loci (Supplementary Figure

4). *IPO13* codes for a nuclear transport protein. *IPO13* has been implicated in the UKB GWASs on

whether a person holds a college or university degree and intelligence. The top SNP (rs3791116;

P=1.19E-05) is in moderate to strong LD with multiple SNPs (Supplementary Figure 5), including SNPs in

the neighboring *ST3GAL3* gene.

Polygenic prediction

After correction for multiple testing, 11 out of 16 PGSs were significantly correlated with mother-

reported AGG in 7-year-olds (Figure 2A). The scores explained between 0.036% and 0.44% of the

phenotypic variance. The significant correlations consistently emerged when scores including SNPs with

P>0.002 in the discovery GWAMA were considered. In the retrospective assessments of adolescent CD,

the PGSs calculated at various thresholds explained up to 0.2% of the variance in symptom sum scores

(Figure 2B). Generally, CD is significantly predicted at most thresholds, although, as we would expect

based on the SNP-heritability of AGG_{all}, the proportion of explained variance is small.

Genetic correlation with other phenotypes

415 Genetic correlations between AGG$_{all}$ and a set of preselected phenotypes are shown in Figure 3 and

416 Supplementary Table 17. These phenotypes can broadly be grouped into psychiatric and psychological

417 traits, substance use, cognitive ability, anthropometric traits, classic biomarkers of AGG, reproductive

418 traits, and sleeping behavior. We included childhood phenotypes (e.g. birth weight and childhood IQ)

419 and disorders (e.g. ADHD and autism spectrum disorder [ASD]), but the majority of phenotypes were

420 adult characteristics or characteristics measured in adult samples. After correction for multiple testing,

421 36 phenotypes showed a significant r_g with AGG$_{all}$ (P<0.02). Generally, the strongest positive r_g's were

422 seen with psychiatric traits – most notably ADHD, ASD, and major depressive disorder (MDD). The

423 strongest negative r_g's were found for age at smoking initiation, childhood IQ, and age at first birth.

424 Surprisingly, very small r_g's were observed between AGG$_{all}$ and classical biomarkers of AGG (i.e. lipid

425 levels, heart rate, heart rate variability, and testosterone levels), with in many cases the sign of the

426 genetic correlation opposite to what was expected based on the literature on biomarkers of AGG.

428 **Stratified assessment of childhood aggressive behavior**

429 Separate meta-analyses were performed for raters, instruments, and age. None of these GWAMAs

430 returned genome-wide significant hits. Manhattan plots for the four rater-specific GWAMAs are shown

431 in Supplementary Figure 6. Estimates of h^2_{SNP} for rater-specific assessment of AGG are shown in

432 Supplementary Table 18. The lowest and highest h^2_{SNP} was observed for father-reported AGG

433 (h^2_{SNP}=0.04; SE=0.03) and teacher-reported AGG (h^2_{SNP}=0.08; SE=0.02), respectively. We estimated r_g's

434 between all rater-specific assessments of AGG, except for father-reported AGG, because of its non-

435 significant h^2_{SNP}. Genetic correlations were 0.67 (SE=0.10) between AGG$_{Mother}$ and AGG$_{Self}$, and 0.81

436 (SE=0.11) between AGG$_{Mother}$ and AGG$_{Teacher}$; and in both cases were significantly lower than 1. A

437 moderate r_g was observed between AGG$_{Self}$ and AGG$_{Teacher}$ (r_g=0.46; SE=0.13).

We further performed two separate GWAMAs across all GWASs with commonly used rating

scales – i.e. any ASEBA scale (AGG$_{ASEBA}$) and the SDQ (AGG$_{SDQ}$). SNP-heritabilities for AGG$_{ASEBA}$ and AGG$_{SDQ}$

were 0.031 (SE=0.0099) and 0.026 (SE=0.0086), respectively. The GWAMAs were insufficiently powered

to estimate r_g across instrument-specific assessment of AGG.

Age-specific GWAMAs were performed for mother- and self-reported AGG, which made up

77.5% of the data. The mother- and self-reported data were split into respectively seven and three age-

bins (Supplementary Table 12). Estimates of the SNP-heritabilities for each age-specific GWAMA are

shown in Supplementary Table 19. For mother-reported AGG, h^2_{SNP} ranged between 0.012 and 0.078.

For self-reported AGG, the highest h^2_{SNP} was seen for the retrospective data (h^2_{SNP}=0.12; SE=0.03),

which also showed a significantly inflated intercept (1.05; SE=0.01). Genetic correlations could be

estimated between AGG$_{M17}$, AGG$_{S13}$ and AGG$_{SR}$ (Supplementary Table 20).

Genetic correlation between rater-specific assessment of AGG and other phenotypes

We estimated rater-specific r_g's with other phenotypes, except for father-reported AGG, and tested if

these r_g's could be constrained to be equal across mother-, teacher-, and self-reported AGG. For ADHD,

ASD, MDD, schizophrenia, wellbeing, and self-reported health, constraining the r_g's to be equal across

rater resulted in significantly worse model fit (Supplementary Table 21). For all these phenotypes, r_g's

with teacher-reported AGG were consistently lower compared to mother- and self-reported AGG

(Supplementary Figure 7 and Supplementary Table 17). For lifetime cannabis use, genetic correlations

also could not be constrained to be equal across raters. Here, a relatively strong r_g was found with self-

reported AGG (r_g=0.36; SE=0.08) compared to teacher- (r_g=0.13; SE=0.07) and mother-reported AGG

(r_g=0.08; SE=0.08).

Discussion

We present the largest genome-wide association meta-analysis of childhood aggressive behavior to date. Gene-based analysis implicated three genes – *PCDH7*, *ST3GAL3* and *IPO13* – based on the overall meta-analysis (AGG$_{all}$), which did not return genome-wide significant SNPs. Lead SNPs in these genes have previously been associated with educational outcomes. However, as these SNPs did not reach genome-wide significance levels, further evidence is needed before considering them as risk variants for AGG. Polygenic scores significantly predicted childhood AGG and retrospectively assessed adolescent CD. Stratified analyses within AGG generally returned moderate to strong genetic correlations across raters. We found substantial genetic correlations between AGG$_{all}$ and a list of preselected external phenotypes from various domains – including psychiatry and psychology, cognition, and anthropometric and reproductive traits. Most notably was the perfect r_g between AGG$_{all}$ and ADHD (r_g=1.00; SE=0.07). This is in line with the moderate-to-strong phenotypic correlations that have consistently been found across sex-, rater-, age- and instrument-specific assessment of AGG with attention problems and hyperactivity (15). Significant genetic correlations were further observed with other psychiatric and psychological traits (range $|r_g|$: 0.19 – 0.55). Negative genetic correlations (r_g=~-0.5) were found with all three traits from the cognitive domain. Genetic correlations were positive with smoking initiation (r_g=0.55; SE=0.04) and smoking quantity (r_g=0.46; SE=0.06), and negative with age at smoking initiation (r_g=-0.60; SE=0.09).

We examined r_g's with classical biomarkers of AGG. Higher levels of aggression have been associated with lower levels of LDL (37) and lower resting heart rate (38,39). We reported a positive, albeit weak, r_g between AGG$_{all}$ and LDL levels (r_g=0.15; SE=0.07), which has an opposite direction than what was expected based on the literature. More broadly, except for HDL (r_g=-0.13; SE=0.07), all measures of lipid levels returned significant positive r_g's with AGG$_{all}$, albeit weakly (r_g<0.2). No heart rate measure showed a significant r_g with AGG$_{all}$. The relationship between testosterone levels and

(childhood) AGG in the literature is, at best, unclear. A positive association between AGG and

testosterone is often assumed, but both positive and negative phenotypic correlations have been

reported and seem context-dependent (40,41). We found significant negative r_g's between AGG$_{all}$ and

testosterone levels in males and females ($|r_g|$<0.15). These r_g's should be interpreted with some caution

due to the design of the GWA studies: AGG was measured in children and young adolescents whereas

testosterone levels were measured in adults in the UK Biobank (42), and genetic stability of testosterone

levels might be low, at least for males (43). Genetic correlations with reproductive traits showed a

positive relation with having more children (r_g=0.27; SE=0.08) and having offspring earlier in life (r_g=-

0.60; SE=0.06), tending to confirm that not all associated outcomes are harmful.

The stratified design of our study also allowed for examination of the genetic etiology of AGG in

subsets of the data and examination of genetic correlations among raters. The r_g between AGG$_{Mother}$ and

AGG$_{Teacher}$ (r_g=0.81; SE=0.11) was high, but less than unity, and is in line with previous findings of rater-

specific additive genetic effects in childhood AGG (44). Most external phenotypes showed comparable

r_g's with mother-, self-, and teacher-reported AGG. For ADHD, ASD, MDD, schizophrenia, wellbeing, and

self-reported health, r_g's differed significantly across raters. Weaker r_g's were consistently found in

teacher-reported AGG compared to mother- and self-reported AGG. These findings indicated the

presence of rater-specific effects when considering the genetic correlation of AGG with other outcomes.

r_g's are generally stronger in the psychopathology and psychological domains. A lack of power, however,

seems insufficient to explain why we found weaker r_g's between AGG$_{Teacher}$ and phenotypes from these

two domains. Other phenotypes, like smoking behavior, educational attainment, or age at first birth,

are, like psychopathological phenotypes, highly genetically correlated with AGG$_{all}$, but, unlike

psychopathologies, have near identical r_g's across raters. The rater-specific effects on r_g's between

childhood AGG and external phenotypes might be limited to psychopathologies, and future research

into the genetics of childhood psychopathology should consider these nuances in effects of assessment

of childhood AGG from various sources, be that multiple raters, instruments, or ages.

Despite the considerable sample sizes, we were still underpowered to compute genetic

correlations with other phenotypes while stratifying AGG over age or instrument. Age-stratified GWASs

in larger samples across development are a desirable target for future research. Because genetic

correlations can be computed between phenotypes for which a well-powered GWAS is available, age-

stratified GWAS of many developmental phenotypes, behavioral, cognitive, and neuroscientific can be

leveraged to better understand development of childhood traits.

We note that multivariate results should be interpreted with some caution. While combining

data from correlated traits can indeed improve power to identify genome-wide associations,

interpreting the phenotype may become less straightforward. In the current GWAMA, we have referred

to "aggressive behavior" and interpreted the results accordingly. Aggressive behavior, however, is an

umbrella term that has been used to identify a wide range of distinct – though correlated – traits and

behaviors (1).

Genome-wide association studies are increasingly successful in identifying genomic loci for

complex human traits and also in psychiatry, genetic biomarkers are increasingly thought of as

promising for both research and treatment (45). Genetic risk prediction holds promise for adult

psychiatric disorders (29) and it seems reasonable to expect the same for childhood disorders. Here we

found that polygenic scores explain up to 0.44% of the phenotypic variance in AGG in 7-year-olds and

0.2% of the variance in retrospectively reported adolescent CD. Future studies may explore the utility of

these PGSs in illuminating pleiotropy between AGG_{all} and other traits. A limiting factor in this regard was

the relatively low SNP-heritability, which puts an upper bound on the predictive accuracy of PGSs.

Sample sizes for AGG and other developmental phenotypes may need to increase by several orders of

magnitude before PGS become useful for individual patients.

Even in the absence of genome-wide significant SNPs, GWASs aid in clarifying the biology behind

complex traits. Our results show that, even without genome-wide significant hits, a GWAS can be

powerful enough to illuminate the genetic etiology of a trait in the form of r_g's with other complex

traits. Non-significant associations are expected to capture part of the polygenicity of a trait (31) and

various follow up-analyses have been developed for GWASs that do not require, but are aided by,

genome-wide significant hits (46). Polygenic scores aggregate SNP effects into a weighted sum that

indicates a person's genetic liability to develop a disorder. While their clinical application is still limited

in psychiatric disorders, they can already aid in understanding the pleiotropy among psychiatric and

other traits. Similarly, summary statistics-based genetic correlations (r_g) provide insight into the genetic

overlap between complex traits (30,47).

URLs

MAGMA: https://ctg.cncr.nl/software/magma

SNPnexus: https://www.snp-nexus.org/index.html (accessed on 28-8-2019)

GWAS Catalog: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/ (accessed on 29-8-2019)

PhenoScanner: http://www.phenoscanner.medschl.cam.ac.uk/ (accessed on 29-8-2019)

Acknowledgements

We very warmly thank all participants, their parents and teachers for making this study possible. The

project was supported by the "Aggression in Children: Unraveling gene-environment interplay to inform

Treatment and InterventiON strategies" project (ACTION). ACTION received funding from the European

Union Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no 602768. Cohort specific

acknowledgements and funding information may be found in Supplemental Text.

Author contributions may be found in Supplemental Text

Conflict of interests

Miquel Casas has received travel grants and research support from Eli Lilly and Co., Janssen-Cilag, Shire

and Lundbeck and served as consultant for Eli Lilly and Co., Janssen-Cilag, Shire and Lundbeck.

Josep Antoni Ramos Quiroga was on the speakers' bureau and/or acted as consultant Eli-Lilly, Janssen-

Cilag, Novartis, Shire, Lundbeck, Almirall, Braingaze, Sincrolab, Medicine, Exeltis and Rubió in the last 5

years. He also received travel awards (air tickets + hotel) for taking part in psychiatric meetings from

Janssen-Cilag, Rubió, Shire, Medice and Eli-Lilly. The Department of Psychiatry chaired by him received

unrestricted educational and research support from the following companies in the last 5 years: Eli-Lilly,

Lundbeck, Janssen-Cilag, Actelion, Shire, Ferrer, Oryzon, Roche, Psious, and Rubió. All other authors

report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

<u>References</u>

1. Odintsova V V., Roetman PJ, Ip HF, Pool R, Van der Laan CM, Tona KD, *et al.* (2019): Genomics of

human aggression: current state of genome-wide studies and an automated systematic review

tool. *Psychiatr Genet* 29: 170–190.

2. Baron RA, Richardson DR (1994): *Human Aggression*, 2nd ed. New York.

3. Anderson CA, Bushman BJ (2002): Human aggression. *Annu Rev Psychol* 53: 27–51.

4. Veroude K, Zhang-James Y, Fernàndez-Castillo N, Bakker MJ, Cormand B, Faraone S V. (2016):

Genetics of aggressive behavior: An overview. *Am J Med Genet Part B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 171:

3–43.

5. Tuvblad C, Baker LA (2011): Human aggression across the lifespan: genetic propensities and

environmental moderators. *Adv Genet* 75: 171–214.

6. Zhang-James Y, Faraone S V. (2016): Genetic architecture for human aggression: A study of gene-

phenotype relationship in OMIM. *Am J Med Genet Part B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 171: 641–649.

7. American Psychiatric Association (2013): *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth*

Edition. Arlington: American Psychiatric Association.

8. Foster EM, Jones DE, The Conduct Problems Prevention Research Group (2005): The high costs of

aggression: public expenditures resulting from conduct disorder. *Am J Public Health* 95: 1767–72.

9. Walton KE, Ormel J, Krueger RF (2011): The dimensional nature of externalizing behaviors in

adolescence: Evidence from a direct comparison of categorical, dimensional, and hybrid models. *J*

Abnorm Child Psychol 39: 553–561.

10. Walters GD, Ruscio J (2013): Trajectories of youthful antisocial behavior: Categories or continua? *J*

Abnorm Child Psychol 41: 653–666.

11. Barry TD, Marcus DK, Barry CT, Coccaro EF (2013): The latent structure of oppositional defiant

disorder in children andadults. *J Psychiatr Res* 47: 1932–1939.

12. Bongers IL, Koot HM, van der Ende J, Verhulst FC (2004): Developmental Trajectories of Externalizing

Behaviors in Childhood and Adolescence. *Child Dev* 75: 1523–1537.

13. Tremblay RE, Vitaro F, Côté SM (2018): Developmental Origins of Chronic Physical Aggression: A Bio-

Psycho-Social Model for the Next Generation of Preventive Interventions. *Annu Rev Psychol* 69:

383–407.

14. Huesmann LR, Dubow EF, Boxer P (2009): Continuity of aggression from childhood to early

adulthood as a predictor of life outcomes: Implications for the adolescent-limited and life-course-

persistent models. *Aggress Behav* 35: 136–149.

15. Bartels M, Hendriks A, Mauri M, Krapohl E, Whipp A, Bolhuis K, *et al.* (2018): Childhood aggression

and the co-occurrence of behavioural and emotional problems: results across ages 3–16 years from

multiple raters in six cohorts in the EU-ACTION project. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 27: 1105–

1121.

16. Whipp AM, Vuoksimaa E, Bolhuis K, de Zeeuw EL, Korhonen T, Mauri M, *et al.* (2019): Teacher-rated

aggression and co-occurring problems and behaviors among schoolchildren: A comparison of four

population-based European cohorts. *medRxiv* 19002576.

17. Heron J, Barker ED, Joinson C, Lewis G, Hickman M, Munafò M, Macleod J (2013): Childhood conduct

disorder trajectories, prior risk factors and cannabis use at age 16: birth cohort study. *Addiction*

108: 2129–2138.

18. Rivenbark JG, Odgers CL, Caspi A, Harrington H, Hogan S, Houts RM, *et al.* (2018): The high societal

costs of childhood conduct problems: evidence from administrative records up to age 38 in a

longitudinal birth cohort. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 59: 703–710.

19. Odgers CL, Moffitt TE, Broadbent JM, Dickson N, Hancox RJ, Harrington H, *et al.* (2008): Female and

male antisocial trajectories: From childhood origins to adult outcomes. *Dev Psychopathol* 20: 673–

716.

20. Whipp AM, Korhonen T, Raevuori A, Heikkilä K, Pulkkinen L, Rose RJ, *et al.* (2019): Early adolescent

aggression predicts antisocial personality disorder in young adults: a population-based study. *Eur*

Child Adolesc Psychiatry 28: 341–350.

21. Hawley PH, Little TD, Rodkin PC (2007): *Aggression and Adaptaion: The Bright Side to Bad Behavior*,

1st ed. New York: Routledge. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203936900

22. Hawley PH (2007): Social Dominance in Childhood and Adolescence: Why Social Competence and

Aggression May Go Hand in Hand. In: Hawley PH, Little TD, Rodkin PC, editors. *Aggression and*

Adaptation: The Bright Side to Bad Behavior, 1st ed. New York: Routledge, pp 1–22.

23. Waltes R, Chiocchetti AG, Freitag CM (2016): The neurobiological basis of human aggression: A

review on genetic and epigenetic mechanisms. *Am J Med Genet Part B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 171:

650–675.

24. van Beijsterveldt CEM, Bartels M, Hudziak JJ, Boomsma DI (2003): Causes of Stability of Aggression

from Early Childhood to Adolescence: A Longitudinal Genetic Analysis in Dutch Twins. *Behav Genet*

33: 591–605.

25. Porsch RM, Middeldorp CM, Cherny SS, Krapohl E, van Beijsterveldt CEM, Loukola A, *et al.* (2016):

Longitudinal heritability of childhood aggression. *Am J Med Genet Part B Neuropsychiatr Genet*

171: 697–707.

26. Lubke GH, McArtor DB, Boomsma DI, Bartels M (2018): Genetic and environmental contributions to

the development of childhood aggression. *Dev Psychol* 54: 39–50.

27. Turley P, Walters RK, Maghzian O, Okbay A, Lee JJ, Fontana MA, *et al.* (2018): Multi-trait analysis of

genome-wide association summary statistics using MTAG. *Nat Genet* 1.

28. Baselmans BML, Jansen R, Ip HF, van Dongen J, Abdellaoui A, van de Weijer MP, *et al.* (2019):

Multivariate genome-wide analyses of the well-being spectrum. *Nat Genet* 1.

29. Martin AR, Daly MJ, Robinson EB, Hyman SE, Neale BM (2019): Predicting Polygenic Risk of

Psychiatric Disorders. *Biol Psychiatry* 86: 97–109.

30. Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Anttila V, Gusev A, Day FR, Loh P-R, *et al.* (2015): An atlas of genetic

correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nat Genet* 47: 1236–1241.

31. Bulik-Sullivan BK, Loh P-R, Finucane HK, Ripke S, Yang J, Schizophrenia Working Group of the

Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, *et al.* (2015): LD Score regression distinguishes confounding

from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* 47: 291–295.

32. de Leeuw CA, Mooij JM, Heskes T, Posthuma D (2015): MAGMA: Generalized Gene-Set Analysis of

GWAS Data ((H. Tang, editor)). *PLOS Comput Biol* 11: e1004219.

33. Rogers P, Stoner J (2015): Modification of the Sandwich Estimator in Generalized Estimating

Equations with Correlated Binary Outcomes in Rare Event and Small Sample Settings. *Am J Appl*

Math Stat 3: 243–251.

34. Grotzinger AD, Rhemtulla M, de Vlaming R, Ritchie SJ, Mallard TT, Hill WD, *et al.* (2019): Genomic

structural equation modelling provides insights into the multivariate genetic architecture of

complex traits. *Nat Hum Behav* 1.

35. Rautiainen M-R, Paunio T, Repo-Tiihonen E, Virkkunen M, Ollila HM, Sulkava S, *et al.* (2016):

Genome-wide association study of antisocial personality disorder. *Transl Psychiatry* 6: e883–e883.

36. Tielbeek JJ, Johansson A, Polderman TJC, Rautiainen M-R, Jansen P, Taylor M, *et al.* (2017): Genome-

Wide Association Studies of a Broad Spectrum of Antisocial Behavior. *JAMA Psychiatry* 74: 1242.

37. Hagenbeek FA, Dongen J van, Kluft C, Hankemeier T, Ligthart L, Willemsen G, *et al.* (2018): Adult

aggressive behavior in humans and biomarkers: a focus on lipids and methylation. *J Pediatr*

Neonatal Individ Med 7: e070204.

38. Raine A, Fung ALC, Portnoy J, Choy O, Spring VL (2014): Low heart rate as a risk factor for child and

adolescent proactive aggressive and impulsive psychopathic behavior. *Aggress Behav* 40: 290–299.

39. Latvala A, Kuja-Halkola R, Rück C, D'Onofrio BM, Jernberg T, Almqvist C, *et al.* (2016): Association of

Resting Heart Rate and Blood Pressure in Late Adolescence With Subsequent Mental Disorders.

JAMA Psychiatry 73: 1268.

40. Brain PF, Susman EJ (1997): Hormonal aspects of aggression and violence. *Handbook of Antisocial*

Behavior. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons Inc., pp 314–323.

41. Ramirez JM (2003): Hormones and aggression in childhood and adolescence. *Aggress Violent Behav*

8: 621–644.

42. Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, Band G, Elliott LT, Sharp K, *et al.* (2018): The UK Biobank resource

with deep phenotyping and genomic data. *Nature* 562: 203–209.

43. Harris JA, Vernon PA, Boomsma DI (1998): The Heritability of Testosterone: A Study of Dutch

Adolescent Twins and Their Parents. *Behav Genet* 28: 165–171.

44. Hudziak JJ, van Beijsterveldt CEM, Bartels M, Rietveld MJH, Rettew DC, Derks EM, Boomsma DI

(2003): Individual Differences in Aggression: Genetic Analyses by Age, Gender, and Informant in 3-,

7-, and 10-Year-Old Dutch Twins. *Behav Genet* 33: 575–589.

45. Visscher PM, Wray NR, Zhang Q, Sklar P, McCarthy MI, Brown MA, Yang J (2017): 10 years of GWAS

discovery: biology, function, and translation. *Am J Hum Genet* 101: 5–22.

46. Pasaniuc B, Price AL (2017): Dissecting the genetics of complex traits using summary association

statistics. *Nat Rev Genet* 18: 117–127.

47. Watanabe K, Stringer S, Frei O, Umićević Mirkov M, de Leeuw C, Polderman TJC, *et al.* (2019): A

global overview of pleiotropy and genetic architecture in complex traits. *Nat Genet* 1–10.