Genomic patterns of introgression in interspecific populations created by crossing wheat

with its wild relative

Moses Nyine[1], Elina Adhikari[1], Marshall Clinesmith[2], Katherine W. Jordan[1,3], Allan K.

Fritz[2*], Eduard Akhunov[1*]

[1] Department of Plant Pathology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506

[2] Department of Agronomy, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506

[3] USDA-ARS, Hard Winter Wheat Genetics Research Unit, Manhattan, KS 66506

*Corresponding authors: Allan K. Fritz (akf@ksu.edu); Eduard Akhunov

(eakhunov@ksu.edu)

Key words: *Aegilops tauschii*, wild relative, introgression, hexaploid wheat, recombination,

tenacious glume

Abstract

Introgression from wild relatives is a valuable source of novel allelic diversity for breeding.

We investigated the genomic patterns of introgression from *Aegilops tauschii*, the diploid

ancestor of the wheat D genome, into winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cultivars. The

population of 351 $BC_1F_{3:5}$ lines was selected based on phenology from crosses between six

hexaploid wheat lines and 21 wheat-*Ae. tauschii* octoploids. SNP markers developed for this

population and a diverse panel of 116 *Ae. tauschii* accessions by complexity-reduced genome

sequencing were used to detect introgression based on the identity-by-descent analysis.

Overall, introgression frequency positively correlated with recombination rate, with a high

incidence of introgression at the ends of chromosomes and low in the pericentromeric

regions, and was negatively related to sequence divergence between the parental genomes.

Reduced introgression in the pericentromeric low-recombining regions spans nearly 2/3 of

each chromosome arm, suggestive of the polygenic nature of introgression barriers that could

be associated with multilocus negative epistasis between the alleles of wild and cultivated

wheat. On the contrary, negative selection against the wild allele of *Tg*, controlling free-

threshing trait and located in the high-recombining chromosomal region, led to reduced

introgression only within ~10 Mbp region around *Tg*. These results are consistent with the

effect of selection on linked variation described by the Hill-Robertson effect, and offer

insights into the introgression population development for crop imrpovement to ensure

retention of introgressed diversity across entire genome.

Introduction

Wild relatives of wheat show a broad range of adaptation to diverse climatic and

biotic stress factors, and for decades served as a source of beneficial allelic diversity in

breeding programs for improving drought tolerance (Peleg *et al.* 2005; Reynolds *et al.* 2007),

disease resistance (Huang *et al.* 2003; Periyannan *et al.* 2013; Saintenac, Zhang, *et al.* 2013),

grain quality (Uauy *et al.* 2006) and yield potential (Cooper *et al.* 2012; Reynolds *et al.*

2017). The availability of high-quality genome assemblies for a number of wild relatives

(Ling *et al.* 2013; Avni *et al.* 2017; Luo *et al.* 2017) combined with inexpensive next-

generation sequencing technologies allowing for quick genome-wide genotyping of large

populations stimulated substantial interest in introgression of the wild relatives' diversity as a

strategy for crop improvement. Allohexaploid bread wheat, *Triticum aestivum* (2n = 6x = 42,

genome formula AABBDD), resulted from hybridization between tetraploid *Triticum*

turgidum (2n = 4x = 28, genome formula AABB) and *Aegilops tauschii* (2n = 2x = 14,

genome formula DD) (Kihara 1944; Luo *et al.* 2007; Wang *et al.* 2013). These two wild

relatives serve as the primary sources of allelic diversity for introgression into common wheat

(Gill and Raupp 1987; Qi *et al.* 2007; Reynolds *et al.* 2007; Dreisigacker *et al.* 2008).

Introgression from these species, which share homologous genomes with wheat, could be

easily achieved by either direct crosses between wheat and wild relatives, or crosses between

wheat and synthetic wheat lines produced by hybridizing tetraploid wheat and *Ae. tauschii*

(Qi *et al.* 2007; Ogbonnaya *et al.* 2013). The wild diploid and tetraploid relatives of wheat

that carry homoeologous genomes, such as *T. monococcum* (A^m genome), *T. urartu* (A^u

genome), *T. timopheevii* (2n = 4x = 28, AAGG) or *Ae. speltoides* (2n = 2x = 14, SS) are

considered as secondary sources of genetic diversity for introgression. Effective introgression

from these species requires recombining the homoeologous chromosomes of common wheat

and wild relatives in the absence of *Ph1* gene that controls pairing between homoeologs

(Sears 1977).

The retention of wild relative introgression in the populations of cultivated crops

could be affected by selection for fitness or traits related to agronomic performance. There

was evidence for reduced historic introgression from wild relatives into wheat and maize

cultivars around genes controlling major domestication traits (Wang *et al.* 2017; He *et al.*

2019). For example, in wheat, the region of the genome harboring domestication gene *Q*

(Simons *et al.* 2006) showed reduced genetic diversity and no evidence of introgression from
sympatric populations of wild emmer wheat (He *et al.* 2019), consistent with selection
against alleles affecting the domestication phenotype. Interaction between introgressed alleles
and the genetic backgrounds of recipient lines could result in hybrid sterility, or influence the
general phenology or agronomic performance resulting in the loss or retention of introgressed
genome segments (Rieseberg *et al.* 1999; Jiang *et al.* 2000). In sunflower, variation in the
frequency and distribution of introgression across genome was attributed to reduced
introgression around the structurally re-arranged regions and alleles linked with pollen
sterility (Rieseberg *et al.* 1999). A multilocus epistatic interaction was proposed as a possible
explanation for restricted introgression in the interspecific populations of polyploid cotton
(Jiang *et al.* 2000). Selection on the introgressed variants is also expected to affect linked
variation, with the size of the affected region depending on the distribution of recombination
rate across genome (Hill and Robertson 1966; Martin *et al.* 2019). Therefore, from the
practical perspective, understanding the genomic patterns of wild relative introgression into
cultivated crops will be crucial for the effective deployment of novel diversity for crop
improvement.

Genotyping approaches based on next-generation sequencing of complexity-reduced
genomic libraries substantially accelerated analysis of genetic diversity in large crop genomes
(Elshire *et al.* 2011; Saintenac, Jiang, *et al.* 2011, 2013; Poland *et al.* 2012; Jordan *et al.*
2015, 2018). The high proportion of missing data in the low-coverage sequencing datasets
can be compensated by genotype imputation using the recently developed reference genome
(The International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (IWGSC) 2018). Imputation of
ungenotyped SNP markers from a reference panel into a target population takes advantage of
regions of identity-by-descent (IBD), thus allowing for the interpolation of SNPs into the
target population (Browning and Browning 2013). The power and resolution of association
studies have been shown to improve after imputation (Browning and Browning 2012; Jordan
et al. 2015; Nyine *et al.* 2019).

In this study, we developed a population of winter wheat lines carrying introgression
from a diverse set of *Ae. tauschii* accessions selected to represent both the broad genetic and
geographic diversity of the species. The boundaries of the introgressed segments in the wheat
genome were inferred using the IBD analyses based on the SNP datasets generated by
complexity-reduced sequencing of 378 samples including 21 *Ae. tauschii* accessions, 6
hexaploid wheat lines and 351 introgression lines. The ungenotyped D-genome SNPs in the
introgression population were imputed from a reference panel of 116 *Ae. tauschii* accessions

to improve IBD detection. The distribution of introgression across the genome was
investigated to assess its overall effect on genetic diversity, and to evaluate the impact of
recombination rate variation and early selection for uniform phenological and developmental
characteristics on the introgression frequency in different parts of the wheat genome. The
effect of phenotypic selection against a non-adaptive allele contributed by *Ae. tauschii* was
investigated around the *Tg* gene on chromosome arm 2DS, which controls tenacious glume
trait affecting grain threshability (Sood *et al.* 2009).

Materials and methods

The study population consisted of 351 $BC_1F_{3:5}$ *Ae. tauschii* introgression lines
developed by crossing synthetic *Ae. tauschii*-wheat octoploid lines with hexaploid wheat
recurrent parents. The octoploid lines were developed by crossing five hexaploid wheat
parents with 21 *Ae. tauschii* accessions (Supporting Information Table S1, File S1). The
resulting F_1 hybrid plants regenerated from rescued embryos were treated with colchicine to
produce the synthetic octoploids (Dale *et al.* 2017). The synthetic octoploids were
backcrossed once to the respective hexaploid wheat parents or to another wheat line. The
BC_1F_1 plants were self-pollinated and advanced by single seed descent to the BC_1F_3
generation. Seeds from individual BC_1F_3 plants were bulked and grown in single rows in the
field at the Kansas State University Ashland Research Farm near Manhattan, KS in the 2016-
17 growing season. A total of thirty-one families were represented in this experiment. The
number of lines per family ranged from 42 to 137, and resulted in a total of 2,861 lines that
were planted. The 351 lines used in our study were selected in 2017 from this set of lines.
Selection criteria included production of sufficient seed to allow yield testing, general fitness,
threshability to allow mechanical harvest and phenology similar to the elite hexaploid
parent(s). In addition, 116 diverse *Ae. tauschii* accessions representing *Ae. tauschii* ssp.
tauschii and *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* from different geographical locations were used as
the reference panel to impute ungenotyped SNPs in the study population to improve detection
of region identical-by-descent (IBD) (Supporting Information Table S2).

Sequencing complexity-reduced genomic libraries

DNA from the *Ae. tauschii* introgression population and the reference panel samples
was extracted using DNeasy 96 Plant DNA extraction kit (Qiagen) following the
manufacturer's protocol. The quality and concentration of the DNA was assessed using
PicoGreen® dsDNA assay kit (Life Technologies). Input DNA was normalized to 400 ng

(20ul of 20ng/ul) using Qiagility robot (Qiagen). Genotyping by sequencing (GBS) libraries

were constructed using the protocol described by Saintenac *et al.* (2013), and then subjected

to size selection using the Pippin Prep system (Sage Scientific) to enrich for 270-330 bp

fragments. In total, five libraries were produced, representing 80 barcoded accessions each.

Each library was sequenced on Illumina NextSeq 500 using a 1 x 75 bp kit for the

introgression lines and 1 x 100 bp kit for the reference panel following the Illumina protocol.

TASSEL 5.0 GBS v2 pipeline (Glaubitz *et al.* 2014) was used to generate SNPs from the

fastq files of the introgression lines and the reference panel. In brief, the raw GBS sequence

reads were aligned to the Chinese Spring reference sequence v1.0 (The International Wheat

Genome Sequencing Consortium (IWGSC) 2018) using Burrow's Wheeler Alignment

(BWA) software v0.7.17. TASSEL 5.0 GBS v2 default parameters were used in all steps

(Glaubitz *et al.* 2014), except the KmerLength for the introgression population was set at 35

to account for shorter read length.

SNP genotyping and imputation

SNPs for the reference *Ae. tauschii* panel with minor allele frequency (MAF) less than

0.02 and maximum missingness greater than 50% were filtered out using vcf-filter tools

v0.1.13. The missing SNPs were imputed using the program Beagle v.5.0 (Browning and

Browning 2013) with default parameters (File S2). SNPs from *Ae. tauschii* derived

introgression lines were filtered in two steps. First, SNPs from all sub-genomes (A, B and D)

with minor allele frequency (MAF) less than 0.05 and maximum missingness greater than

30% were filtered out using vcf-filter tools v0.1.13. The missing SNPs were imputed using

the program Beagle v.5.0 with default parameters. In the next step, all A and B genome SNPs,

and D genome SNPs with MAF less than 0.01 were excluded from the raw vcf file using vcf-

filter   tools   v0.1.13.   The   program   conform-gt

(https://faculty.washington.edu/browning/conform-gt.html)   was   used   to   check   the

concordance of D genome SNP positions between the introgression population and the

reference panel based on the Chinese Spring genome v1.0 coordinates (IWGSC, 2018).

Missing and ungenotyped SNPs in the D genome of the introgression population were

imputed from the reference panel using Beagle v.5.0 (File S3).

Principal component analysis (PCA)

The population structure of the diverse *Ae. tauschii* accessions and the introgression

population was analyzed using the 27,880 D genome SNPs segregating in both populations.

The SNP dataset was converted to the hapmap format and imported into TASSEL v.5.0,

which was used to calculate the principal components. The first two components were plotted

to show the distribution and clustering of the reference panel accessions in relation to the 21

parental *Ae. tauschii* accessions and the entire introgression population. In addition, a total of

13,970 SNPs (File S4), including 4,039, 4,255, 5,222 and 454 from A, B, D genomes and

unanchored scaffolds, respectively, were used to evaluate the distribution of *Ae. tauschii-*

derived introgression lines on the first two principal components using the wheat parents as

grouping factors.

Genetic diversity

To evaluate the effect of introgression on SNP diversity, the mean number of base

differences for each D genome SNP site in all pairwise comparisons (π) among *Ae. tauschii*

accessions, introgression lines, and hexaploid wheat lines were calculated using vcftools

v0.1.13 and summarized using basic R functions (R Development Core Team 2011). The π

values for each chromosome were interpolated by calculating the average of ten SNPs sliding

forward by two SNPs using a Perl script and plotted using R package 'ggplot2' v3.2.1. The

set of D genome SNPs used in this analysis was 5,222 that were retained after filtering out

SNPs with minor allele frequency less than 0.05 and maximum missingness greater than 30

% (File S4).

Recombination hotspots

The distribution of recombination hotspots was analyzed using the imputed D-genome

SNPs split into subsets based on families. A combination of custom Perl and R scripts (Nyine

et al. 2018), were used to convert the SNP alleles to 0, 1, and 2, of which, 0 is homozygous

major allele, 1 is heterozygous and 2 is homozygous minor allele. Regions containing

monomorphic SNPs were eliminated by the R script. A total of 16 families each having at

least 10 progenies plus the respective parents were used in this analysis. A separate custom

Perl script was used to count the number of allele phase transitions in each chromosome per

individual and recode the flanking SNP positions as break points (Jordan *et al.* 2018). The

number of recombination breakpoints (RBP) per 2 Mb sliding window with 1 Mb step size in

each chromosome per family was obtained using bedmap option from BEDOPS v2.4.35

(Neph *et al.* 2012). The total RBP per 2 Mbp window across the 16 families was determined

and the windows within the 95th percentile were considered as recombination hotspots. The

centromere position on each chromosome was based on the Chinese Spring reference genome

v1.0 (The International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (IWGSC) 2018; Su *et al.*

2019). Kruskal Wallis test was used to test for significant differences in the distribution of

recombination breakpoints in each family.

In order to investigate the effect of sequence divergence (SD) and structural re-

arrangements on recombination, we compared hexaploid wheat (Chinese Spring) and the

diploid relative, *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* (AL8/79) D genomes at the protein level. High

confidence D genome gene protein sequences from Chinese Spring v.1.0 and *Ae. tauschii*

v.4.0 (Luo *et al.* 2017) were used. The annotation of the *Ae. tauschii* genome was

downloaded from http://aegilops.wheat.ucdavis.edu/ATGSP/annotation/. Local protein

BLAST databases were created for each dataset using BLAST+ v2.7.1. Reciprocal blastp was

performed between the two species' genome proteins using default parameters. A Perl script

was used to filter out blast hits with percent identity less than 95 and gap opens greater than

0. A file consisting of species chromosome identity, gene name, gene start and end positions

was generated from the respective gff3 file. MCScanX software (Wang *et al.* 2012) was used

to generate the dot plot and dual synteny plot that were used to compare the structural

differences between the genome of *T. aesitvum* and *Ae. tauschii*.

The difference in recombination rate between lines carrying introgression from *Ae.*

tauschii ssp. *strangulata* or *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *tauschii* was assessed by comparing the

sequence divergence (SD) of the parents with introgression frequency (IF) and the total RBPs

estimated from the progenies in six introgression families. A total of 5,222 high stringency

filtered D genome SNPs were used to determine the RBP and SD while IBD was used to infer

introgression frequency in 5 Mbp chromosome windows. SD in our study corresponds to the

average number of polymorphic sites between *Ae. tauschii* and hexaploid wheat parents

within 5 Mbp windows. Chromosomes were divided into 2/3 pericentromeric and distal

regions. Mann-Whitney U test was used to determine if significant difference in SD, IF and

RBP existed between ssp. *strangulata* and ssp. *tauschii*-derived families.

Identity by Descent detection (IBD)

233   Introgression of *Ae. tauschii* genome in hexaploid wheat was inferred using IBD. The

234 D genome SNPs from each chromosome were separated and used as input genotype (gt) data

235 for IBD detection. The program Beagle v.4.1 was used to detect IBD segments between

236 introgression lines, hexaploid wheat and *Ae. tauschii* parents using default parameters. The

237 R-package ggplot2 v3.2.1 was used to generate a density plot of IBD segment start per

chromosome to show the distribution pattern. All chromosomes were scaled by dividing the IBD values by the individual chromosome length and then multiplied by 100.

The efficiency of introgression was estimated as the percentage of observed proportion of *Ae. tauschii* genome (introgression frequency) in the introgression lines as inferred by IBD to the expected proportion of *Ae. tauschii* in $BC_1F_{3:5}$. Assuming that recombination events between *Ae. tauschii* and hexaploid wheat D genomes occurred normally in each chromosome, the expected proportion of *Ae. tauschii* genome in the $BC_1F_{3:5}$ introgression lines was approximated at 25%. The observed proportion of introgression was obtained by dividing the total length of IBD segments from *Ae. tauschii* shared with each line by the genome size of *Ae. tauschii* (4.3 Gb) and multiplied by 100. The result was divided by 25 and multiplied by 100 to get the percentage introgression efficiency. The average, standard deviation, minimum and maximum IBD length shared between introgression lines, introgression lines and hexaploid wheat, introgression lines and *Ae. tauschii* parents were determined, and divided by the chromosome size.

The relationship between IBD and the *Tg* gene on chromosome arm 2DS was explored. The IBD count per 1 kb sliding window was used to compare the frequency of introgression in the *Tg* region. Genes within the *Tg* region (21.8 Mbp to 23.3 Mbp) and their functional annotation were extracted from the Chinese Spring reference gene annotation file. Introgression lines were phenotyped for the tenacious glume trait. The results were used to confirm the presence or absence of wild type alleles depending on whether the introgression segment spanned the *Tg* gene region or not. Genome-wide association analysis of tenacious glume trait with the 27,880 SNP markers was done using GAPIT function in R. A mixed linear model was used and the population structure was controlled using the first three principal components calculated from the markers. A Manhattan plot of negative $\log_{10}$ of false discovery rate (FDR) transformed P-values from the D chromosomes was generated in R using 'qqman' package.

Data availability

All supplemental material and relevant data are available at FigShare. Raw sequence reads were deposited at NCBI's Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProjectID PRJNA637316 and PRJNA637416 and will be accessible upon release through the links below.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/PRJNA637316

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/PRJNA637416

Results

Genotyping and SNP imputation

A total of ~315 million high-quality NGS reads with barcodes were generated with an average of ~2.8 million reads per sample from the diverse *Ae. tauschii* accessions (Supporting Information Table S2). Eighty-eight percent (88%) of the reads were aligned to the Chinese Spring reference sequence v.1.0 (The International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (IWGSC) 2018) with an average of ~2.4 million reads per sample. The number of SNP sites generated from the TASSEL v. 5.0 GBS v.2 pipeline was 148,430. After filtering out SNPs with MAF less than 0.02, and maximum missingness greater than 50%, the number of retained SNPs was 96,056.

Similarly, ~1.1 billion high quality reads with barcodes were generated from the introgression population, with an average of ~3.0 million reads per sample (Supporting Information Table S1). Ninety-six percent (96%) of the reads were aligned to the Chinese Spring reference v1.0 with an average of ~2.9 million reads per sample. Samples with less than 91,000 reads were excluded from downstream analysis. The number of unfiltered SNPs generated by the TASSEL v.5.0 GBS v.2 pipeline was 281,846. A total of 13,970 SNPs from the A, B, D genomes and unanchored scaffolds were retained after filtering out SNPs with MAF less than 0.05 and maximum missingness greater than 30%. The number of SNPs from the D genome was 37.4 % of the filtered SNP dataset. A second round of filtering was performed on the D genome SNPs to remove sites with MAF less than 0.01 resulting in 142,740 SNPs, out of which, 27,880 also segregated in the diverse set of *Ae. tauschii* accessions (henceforth, reference panel). Using the program Beagle v.5.0 (Browning and Browning 2013), 85,752 SNPs were imputed from the reference panel into the *Ae. tauschii*-derived introgression population.

Principle component analysis

We used the analysis of principal components to assign the *Ae. tauschii* accessions used as parents for introgression population development to the previously identified lineages (Wang *et al.* 2013). The 137 *Ae. tauschii* accessions (116 reference panel plus 21 parental accessions) formed three distinct clusters when the first two PCs calculated from 27,880 SNPs were plotted (Fig. S1), with 79.2 % of variance explained by the first two PCs. One of the three clusters included accessions known to belong to *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* or lineage 2 (L2) (Wang *et al.* 2013). The remaining two clusters included accessions that

belong to *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *tauschii* or lineage 1 (L1a and L1b). Cluster L1a was the most

heterogeneous one with accessions coming from Afghanistan (AFG), Turkmenistan (TKM),

Iran (IRN), Pakistan (PAK) and Tajikistan (TJK) (Table S3). Fifteen of the *Ae. tauschii*

parents used to generate the introgression population were assigned to this cluster. More than

two thirds of the accessions in cluster L1b were from Turkey (TUR) with only a few

accessions from Armenia (ARM), IRN, TJK and PAK. Three parents of the introgression

population were present in this cluster. Cluster L2 consisted of *Ae. tauschii* accessions mostly

collected from Iran (IRN), although a few accessions from Azerbaijan (AZE), Turkmenistan

(TKM), Syria (SYR) and TUR were present. Three parents of the introgression population

parents clustered in this group and two of them (TA1642, TA2378) are known to belong to

Ae. tauschii ssp. *strangulata* or lineage 2 (Wang *et al.* 2013; Singh *et al.* 2019).

When the introgression lines were plotted on the first two PCs together with *Ae.*

tauschii accessions and hexaploid wheat parents, clusters L1a and L1b were less

distinguishable from each other (Fig. S2). The total variance explained by the first two PCs

was 56.7%. The cluster including *Ae. tauschii* accessions from lineage L2 was located in

close proximity to cluster including hexaploid wheat parents (HW) consistent with earlier

studies demonstrating the origin of the wheat D genome from the *Ae. tauschii* ssp.

strangulata lineage (Dvorak *et al.* 1998; Wang *et al.* 2013). The introgression lines did not

form a clearly distinguishable cluster, and were scattered broadly, indicating a wide range of

variation in the proportion of the genome introgressed from the different lineages of *Ae.*

tauschii (Fig. S2). Many introgression lines clustered closer to the hexaploid wheat parents

indicating that the greater proportion of genome in the $BC_1F_{3:5}$ lines comes from hexaploid

wheat. This trend is likely associated with the loss of the introgressed segments as a result of

backcrossing to the hexaploid parents and selection during population development. When

the introgression lines were compared with the hexaploid wheat parents using 13,970 SNPs

from all three sub-genomes, clustering was consistent with the pedigree (Fig. 1), and co-

clustering of introgression lines from different families was observed because of the shared

Ae. tauschii parents.

Distribution of SNP diversity in the introgression population

To assess the effect of wild relative introgression on genetic diversity in wheat, we

estimated SNP diversity (π) in the populations of *Ae. tauschii*, hexaploid wheat parents, and

the introgression lines. The average per-site estimate of π for the diverse *Ae. tauschii*

populations was 0.304. Consistent with previous studies (Akhunov *et al.* 2010; Jordan *et al.* 2015; Balfourier *et al.* 2019; Pont *et al.* 2019), a cross-population diversity comparison showed a low average SNP diversity in the wheat D genome across all chromosomes (Table 1). The lowest diversity was found in the hexaploid wheat parents with the chromosome mean ranging from 0.003 to 0.012 as compared to *Ae. tauschii* parents that ranged from 0.118 to 0.131. For most chromosome regions, the levels of SNP diversity in the introgression population were intermediate between the levels of diversity in the parental populations of wheat and *Ae. tauschii*, but tended towards the *Ae. tauschii* with maximum mean π of 0.122 on chromosome 4D (Fig. 2 and Fig. S3). Analysis of variance showed significant differences in π values between *Ae. tauschii*, hexaploid wheat, and introgression lines, and between chromosomes (P < 0.001). The SNP diversity of the introgression lines for most regions of chromosome 4D and 5D were higher than those of *Ae. tauschii* parents (Fig. S3). Taken together, these results indicate that *Ae. tauschii* introgression substantially increased the SNP diversity of the recurrent hexaploid wheat parents.

Genomic patterns of introgression and recombination rate

One of the factors affecting the frequency of introgression across the genome are structural re-arrangements (Rieseberg *et al.* 1995, 1999). Comparative analysis of gene order along the chromosomes showed that more than 99% of the genes from *T. aestivum* are perfectly collinear to those of *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* suggesting a lack of major structural re-arrangements (Fig. 3). Only small-scale inversions were observed on chromosomes 2D, 4D and 6D in the regions near the centromeres, and four genes were found in non-syntenic positions between the wheat (1D and 5D) and *Ae. tauschii* (1D, 4D and 5D) chromosomes. Therefore, it is unlikely that structural rearrangements could have a significant impact on the genomic patterns of introgression in the wheat-*Ae. tauschii* crosses.

Interspecific introgression may also be influenced by the distribution of recombination rate along the chromosomes (Martin *et al.* 2019). Since strong depression of recombination in the pericentromeric regions creates steep recombination rate gradient along the centromere-telomere axis of large wheat chromosomes (Saintenac, Faure, *et al.* 2011; Jordan *et al.* 2018; Gardiner *et al.* 2019), we should expect distinct patterns of introgression in the pericentromeric and distal regions. To investigate the relationship between recombination and introgression, first, we calculated the number of recombination breakpoints (RBP) in 2 Mbp windows across the wheat genome across all families. Using the

95th percentile of window-based RBP estimates as a threshold, we identified 200 genomic

regions with a high recombination rate (Table 2, Table S4). <mark>To test whether the distribution</mark>

<mark>of these regions with elevated recombination is random or associated with specific</mark>

<mark>genomic regions, we compared their locations with the positions of high-recombining</mark>

<mark>regions previously detected in the nested association mapping (NAM) population</mark>

<mark>(Jordan *et al.* 2018) (Fig. 4a). We detected 43 overlapping regions with elevated</mark>

<mark>recombination between these two populations (Table S4), mostly located in the distal</mark>

<mark>chromosomal regions. This level of overlap was 6 times higher than the average overlap (7.2</mark>

<mark>RBPs) observed between the randomized datasets generated by permuting 2 Mbp windows in</mark>

<mark>both introgression and NAM populations (Fig. 4b and 4c), suggestive of the presence of</mark>

<mark>region-specific genomic features promoting recombination.</mark>

383   Second, we assessed the frequency of introgression along the wheat chromosomes.

The IBD analysis was used to infer the boundaries of segments introgressed from *Ae. tauschii*

into each line. A density plot of IBD segments along the chromosomes of the introgression

population showed a U-shaped distribution (Fig. 5). The frequency of IBD segments

positively correlated with the distribution of recombination rate (Jordan *et al.* 2018) and

increased from the centromeres towards the telomeric regions of the chromosomes except on

chromosome 1DL and 5DL in some families (Table S5). There was no chromosome

preference during introgression. Variation in the number of introgressed segments per line

was observed across chromosomes with the proportion of introgressed *Ae. tauschii* genome

per line ranging from 0.05% to 25.5% (Table S6), deviating from the expected 25% of *Ae.*

tauschii genome in the $BC_1F_{3:5}$ lines. Therefore, the efficiency of introgression in the

population ranged from 0.2% to 100%. Some lines had single introgression while others had

multiple introgressions per chromosome (Table S7). The IBD segments shared between the

introgression lines and wheat parents were on average 5.9-fold longer than those shared with

the *Ae. tauschii* parents (Table 3), and significantly different at the 95% confidence level

based on the *t*-test statistics (P < 2.2e-16). The average length of IBD segments, expressed as

a percent of the D genome size, shared between introgression lines and *Ae. tauschii* varied

from 2.08% to 3.42% with a minimum of 0.25% and a maximum of 57.19%. Similarly, the

average percent length of IBD segments shared between the chromosomes of introgression

lines and hexaploid wheat parents ranged between 8.59% and 25.74% with a minimum of

0.36% and a maximum of 97.06%.

Relationship between sequence divergence, recombination and introgression length and frequency

The low rate of introgression in the pericentromeric regions may be the consequence of negative multilocus epistasis (Jiang et al. 2000; Martin et al. 2019) associated with introgression of large chromosomal segments. We compared the distribution of introgression segment's length in the distal and pericentromeric regions of chromosomes between families derived from crosses with Ae. tauschii ssp. strangulata (direct donor of the wheat D genome), which shows a low level of divergence from the wheat D genome, and with Ae. tauschii ssp. tauschii, which shows higher levels of divergence from both the wheat D genome and Ae. tauschii ssp. strangulata (Figs. 4d and 4e) (Wang et al. 2013). No substantial differences in the length of introgressed segments were observed between the two subspecies in the distal regions. The mean length of introgressed segments was 8.8 Mbp (2.0 – 49.1 Mbp) for *Ae. tauschii ssp. strangulata* and 10.9 Mbp (1.6 – 59.1 Mbp) for *Ae. tauschii ssp. tauschii.* However, in the pericentromeric regions, we found an increase in the length of introgressed segments from *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* (2.8 – 150 Mbp) compared to that from *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *tauschii* (3.9 – 107 Mbp) (Fig. 4e). Even though the mean length of introgression was not significantly different (24.5 Mbp and 24.7 Mbp, respectively) between the subspecies, *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* showed bi-modal introgression size distribution, with a second density peak corresponding to introgressed segments with the mean of ~40 Mbp (Fig. 4e).

We also compared the parental sequence divergence (SD) in the 2/3 pericentromeric and telomeric regions with IF between three *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* derived families (FAM92, FAM93 and FAM96) and three *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *tauschii* derived families (FAM97, FAM98 and FAAM99) (Fig. S4). The mean SD was significantly different between the two subspecies with *ssp. strangulata* exhibiting a 4-fold lower SD (1.47 SNPs / 5 Mbp window) than *ssp. tauschii* (5.78 SNPs / 5 Mbp window) in the pericentromeric region with Mann-Whitney U test *p*-value < 2.2e-16. The low SD in the pericentromeric region of ssp. *strangulata* and hexaploid wheat parents was associated with a 2-fold increase in IF in the progenies. Similarly, SD and IF were significantly different in the telomeric regions of the two subspecies with Mann-Whitney U test *p*-value = 1.105e-15. The mean telomeric SD between hexaploid wheat and *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* parents (10.6 SNPs / 5 Mbp window) was ~2-folds lower than that between hexaploid wheat and *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *tauschii* parents (19.45 SNPs / 5 Mbp window). This resulted into a 1.3-fold increase in introgression frequency from ssp. *strangulata* (4.07) compared to that from ssp. *tauschii* (3.06). Taken

together, these results suggest that the lower levels of divergence between parental genomes
is linked with improved introgression efficiency, likely due to reduced negative multilocus
epistasis.

The sequence divergence between the parental genomes might influence the
frequency of homologous recombination (Opperman *et al.* 2004). In the pericentromeric
regions, the mean number of RBPs estimated from ssp. *strangulata* (0.89) was 4-times lower
than that estimated from ssp. *tauschii* derived families (3.44) (Fig. S4). Similarly, in the distal
chromosomal regions, the estimated mean number of RBPs was higher in ssp. *tauschii* (5.94)
than in ssp. *strangulata* (2.62) derived families. The high number of RBPs observed in ssp.
tauschii compared to ssp. *strangulata* derived families may be explained by biases resulting
from selection in the progenies of each family, and the low level of SNP diversity between
the wheat D genome and *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata*, which is considered to be the donor of
the wheat D genome (Dvorak *et al.* 1998), resulting in an underestimation of the total number
of crossovers in the ssp. *strangulata* derived families. It is also possible that the increase in
the levels of interhomolog polymorphism may stimulate recombination. In *Arabidopsis*, an
increase in crossovers was observed when heterozygous regions were juxtaposed with
homozygous regions (Ziolkowski *et al.* 2015), suggesting that the genomic distribution of
interhomolog divergence have substantial effect on distribution of recombination rate.

Patterns of introgression near the *Tg* locus
The free-threshing trait in wheat is controlled by the recessive variant of the *Tg* gene
and the dominant variant of the *Q* gene (Simons *et al.* 2006; Sood *et al.* 2009; Dvorak *et al.*
2012; Faris *et al.* 2014). To understand the effect of selection against the hulled trait
controlled by the dominant variant of *Tg* from *Ae. tauschii*, we investigated the patterns of
IBD around the *Tg* locus shared by the introgression population with the *Ae. tauschii* parental
genomes. We expected that the selection for mechanical seed threshing imposed during the
introgression population development should result in a deficiency of the dominant *Tg* allele
from *Ae. tauschii*, and increased frequency of the recessive *tg* allele contributed by the
hexaploid wheat parents. To test this hypothesis, we identified the boundaries of the *Tg-D1*
locus on chromosome 2D using two markers based on the expressed sequence tags (ESTs),
CA658378 and BE518031 (Dvorak *et al.* 2012). These markers mapped to the reference
wheat genome at positions 22,447,585 bp (BE518031) and 27,924,545 bp (CA658378).
Additionally, the sequences of microsatellite markers *Xgwm*455, *Xgwm*296, *Xgwm*261 and
*Xwmc*503 linked to *Tg* were aligned to the Chinese Spring reference v.1.0. Marker *Xwmc*503

closest to *Tg* gene mapped at 19.6 Mbp on 2DS (Table S8). Based on Sood *et al.* (2009)

genetic map, the *Tg* gene is located 2.2 cM away from marker *Xwmc*503, implying that the

Tg gene is located approximately at position 21.8 Mbp, which is consistent with the genomic

interval flanked by markers based on CA658378 and BE518031 sequences. A count of IBD

segments within 1-kbp sliding windows showed a steep decline in IBD between introgression

lines and *Ae. tauschii* parents within the *Tg* gene region (Fig. 6a). This trend was

accompanied by an increase in the proportion of IBD segments shared between the

introgression lines and the hexaploid wheat parents, indicating a selection pressure for free-

threshing trait during population development. The lowest decline in IBD segments count

was observed around 22.8 Mbp. There are 40 high confidence genes within the 21.8 Mbp to

23.3 Mbp interval (Table S9), including two transcription factors from the bZIP and GRAS

families.

To verify the impact of introgression on free-threshing, we phenotyped a subset of

introgression lines for tenacious glume trait and compared the results with the IBD map (Fig.

6a). Most lines that had introgression spanning the *Tg* gene region were positive for tenacious

glume trait (Table S10). Some lines that were shown to carry introgression at the *Tg* locus

were not available for phenotyping because they were removed during harvesting by

combine. As expected, lines showing no or small overlap of the introgressed segments with

the *Tg* region were negative for tenacious glume trait.

Genome-wide association mapping was used to identify markers associated with the

threshability trait. Using a mixed linear model while controlling for the population structure,

we observed that the majority of the significant SNPs associated with tenacious glume trait in

the introgression population were located on chromosome arm 2DS (Fig. 6b), which was

consistent with the results of the IBD analysis. At a threshold FDR q-value of 0.05, 84 SNPs

distributed along the *Tg* locus on 2DS showed a significant association with the trait,

consistent with the previously reported maps (Table S11).

Discussion

Loss of genetic diversity associated with domestication and improvement (Haudry *et*

al. 2007; Akhunov *et al.* 2010; Ozkan *et al.* 2011; Xu *et al.* 2012; Hufford *et al.* 2012) can

potentially reduce the adaptive potential of cultivated crops. Wild relatives found in regions

with diverse agroecological environments are a valuable source of adaptive diversity that can

improve resilience to biotic and abiotic stressors, or positively impact yield and quality traits

(Uauy *et al.* 2006; Reynolds *et al.* 2007; Sohail *et al.* 2011; Cooper *et al.* 2012; Saintenac,

Jiang, *et al.* 2013; Periyannan *et al.* 2013; Wulff and Moscou 2014; Chen *et al.* 2015).

However, effective deployment of wild relative diversity in breeding requires a better

understanding of the factors that represent a barrier for introgression into adapted germplasm.

In this study, we used the progenies of crosses between bread wheat and lines carrying the

genome of the diploid wild relative *Ae. tauschii* to investigate factors that have potential to

impact the genomic patterns of introgression in the D genome of wheat.

As expected, we observed an increase in the number of polymorphic sites after

introgression, with the increase being higher in the families derived from crosses involving

Ae. tauschii ssp. *tauschii* rather than in the families derived from crosses with *Ae. tauschii*

ssp. *strangulata*. The latter is consistent with the origin of the wheat D genome from *Ae.*

tauschii ssp. *strangulata* lineage (Dvorak *et al.* 2012; Wang *et al.* 2013). In the families

derived from both lineages of *Ae. tauschii*, we observed an uneven distribution of

introgressed diversity along the chromosomes. The frequency of IBD regions shared with *Ae.*

tauschii along all chromosomes showed a U-shaped distribution with lower incidence of

introgression in the pericentromeric regions, indicating that the pericentromeric regions of

chromosomes represent a more significant barrier to introgression than the distal

chromosomal regions.

The introgression frequency correlated negatively with the length of IBD regions,

sequence divergence between the parental genomes and positively with recombination rate,

suggesting that longer introgressed segments in the low-recombining pericentromeric regions

had a lower chance of being inherited in the progeny of crosses between *Ae. tauschii*-derived

octoploids and wheat. These chromosomal patterns of introgression efficiency and length

suggest that introgression was strongly affected by the distribution of recombination rate

along chromosomes. This conclusion is consistent with recent research on butterflies that

showed that the rate of inter-species introgression could be predicted by variation in

recombination rate (Martin *et al.* 2019). It is likely that selection applied at $BC_1F_{3:4}$

generation to maintain uniform phenology, threshability, flowering time, and developmental

characteristics inadvertently eliminated many lines carrying large introgressed regions in the

pericentromeric regions. Our results also suggest that introgression from less divergent wild

relative have lower probability of being eliminated from population that introgression from

more divergent wild relative. Negative selection against introgression was previously

observed in the interspecific crosses of polyploid cotton (Jiang *et al.* 2000), and attributed to

multilocus negative epistasis between introgressed alleles and the genetic backgrounds of

recipient lines. According to theory, introgressions that carry alleles having a negative impact

on the selected traits will be removed from the population, with the size of the affected region
defined by the recombination rate (Hill and Robertson 1966). It appears that a negative
interaction between alleles located within the large introgressions in the low-recombining
pericentromeric region and alleles of the adapted recurrent parent affected phenotypes
targeted by selection, resulting in a loss of certain genotypes during population development.
Thus, the limited number of recombination events at the BC_1F_2 generation, especially in the
large pericentromeric regions of wheat chromosomes, resulted in linkage drag that affected a
substantial proportion of the genome.

On the contrary, the terminal regions of the wheat chromosomes showed a high rate of
introgression consistent with the theoretical predictions of the effect of selection on linked
variation (Hill and Robertson 1966). In these regions, negatively selected alleles could be
separated from the introgressed segments, as was clearly demonstrated for the *Tg* locus
controlling free-threshing trait in wheat (Jantasuriyarat *et al.* 2004; Sood *et al.* 2009; Dvorak
et al. 2012; Faris *et al.* 2014). Since this gene is located in the high-recombining terminal
region of chromosome, we did not observe a substantial effect of selection against the wild-
type allele on the frequency of introgression from *Ae. tauschii* outside of the *Tg* locus. The
high recombination rate allowed for separating the negatively selected dominant *Tg* allele
from the introgression and mapping the *Tg* gene locus to a 1.5 Mbp genomic interval, which
was further confirmed by genome-wide association mapping.

Consistent with earlier studies in the field of speciation genomics (Martin and Jiggins
2017; Martin *et al.* 2019), barriers to introgression in wheat likely have polygenic nature with
multiple negatively selected alleles affecting agronomic performance traits distributed across
entire genome. As a result, the unintended consequence of selection applied at the early
generation of interspecific crosses is the low rate of introgression in the large low-
recombining regions of wheat chromosomes. This outcome suggests that multiple genes with
a strong combined effect on adaptive traits are present in these regions, and identification of
beneficial alleles in these regions will be complicated by linkage drag.

It is common practice for germplasm development programs to subject lines to
selection pressure from early stages in population development. This approach is consistent
with the goal of quickly identifying high performing lines to support commercial breeding,
and allows for rapid exploitation of beneficial alleles in the chromosomal regions with high
recombination. While this is a worthy objective, our results are a clear justification for a two-
tiered approach to germplasm development aimed to maximize the exploitation of genetic
diversity present in wild relatives. The first step is to ensure that maximum diversity is

maintained in the introgression population. This could be achieved by applying low selection
pressure and genotyping early generation populations to select subsets of lines carrying
introgressions covering the genome. The second step is to maintain introgressed segments in
the heterozygous state through random mating using approaches based on genetic male
sterility or chemical hybridizing agents (Qi and Gill 2001; Singh *et al.* 2015; Guttieri 2019),
that are warranted in self-pollinated species. This step would enhance effective recombination
and increase the probability of separating beneficial alleles from the influence of linked
negatively selected alleles in the regions of low recombination.

Recently, genetic factors controlling crossover rate across the genome and in the
pericentromeric regions of wheat chromosomes have been identified (Jordan *et al.* 2018;
Gardiner *et al.* 2019). The discovery of these genetic factors could also facilitate strategies to
further increase the efficiency of introgression, and selection for favorable introgressed
alleles in the low recombining regions. Failure to engage such strategies will result in the
substantial loss of introgressed diversity across large portions of the genome, reducing the
potential long-term impact of germplasm development programs.

Acknowledgements
This project was supported by the Agriculture and Food Research Initiative Competitive
Grant 2016-67013-24473 from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture. We
would like to thank Bliss Betzen for preparing genomic libraries, Alina Akhunova and KSU
Integrated Genomics Facility for sequencing genomic libraries, and Jon Raupp from Wheat
Genetics Resources Center for providing seeds of 21 accessions of *Aegilops tauschii* used for
developing introgression population.

References
Akhunov, E. D., A. R. Akhunova, O. D. Anderson, J. a Anderson, N. Blake *et al.*, 2010
Nucleotide diversity maps reveal variation in diversity among wheat genomes and
chromosomes. BMC Genomics 11: 702.
Avni, R., M. Nave, O. Barad, K. Baruch, S. O. Twardziok *et al.*, 2017 Wild emmer genome
architecture and diversity elucidate wheat evolution and domestication. Science 97: 93–
97.
Balfourier, F., S. Bouchet, S. Robert, R. DeOliveira, H. Rimbert *et al.*, 2019 Worldwide
phylogeography and history of wheat genetic diversity. Sci. Adv. 5:.
Browning, S. R., and B. L. Browning, 2012 Identity by descent between distant relatives:

detection and applications. Annu. Rev. Genet. 46: 617–33.

Browning, B. L., and S. R. Browning, 2013 Improving the accuracy and efficiency of

identity-by-descent detection in population data. Genetics 194: 459–71.

Chen, S., M. N. Rouse, W. Zhang, Y. Jin, E. Akhunov *et al.*, 2015 Fine mapping and

characterization of Sr21, a temperature-sensitive diploid wheat resistance gene effective

against the Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici Ug99 race group. Theor. Appl. Genet. 128:

645–56.

Cooper, J. K., a. M. H. Ibrahim, J. Rudd, S. Malla, D. B. Hays *et al.*, 2012 Increasing hard

winter wheat yield potential via synthetic wheat: I. path-coefficient analysis of yield and

its components. Crop Sci. 52: 2014–2022.

Dale, Z., H. Jie, H. Luyu, Z. Cancan, Z. Yun *et al.*, 2017 An advanced backcross population

through synthetic octaploid wheat as a "Bridge": Development and QTL detection for

seed dormancy. Front. Plant Sci. 8: 1–10.

Dreisigacker, S., M. Kishii, J. Lage, and M. Warburton, 2008 Use of synthetic hexaploid

wheat to increase diversity for CIMMYT bread wheat improvement. Aust. J. Agric. Res.

59: 413–420.

Dvorak, J., K. R. Deal, M.-C. Luo, F. M. You, K. von Borstel *et al.*, 2012 The origin of spelt

and free-threshing hexaploid wheat. J. Hered. 103: 426–41.

Dvorak, J., M. C. Luo, Z. L. Yang, and H. B. Zhang, 1998 The structure of the Aegilops

tauschii genepool and the evolution of hexaploid wheat. Theor. Appl. Genet. 97: 657–

670.

Elshire, R. J., J. C. Glaubitz, Q. Sun, J. a Poland, K. Kawamoto *et al.*, 2011 A robust, simple

genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approach for high diversity species. PLoS One 6:

e19379.

Faris, J. D., Q. Zhang, S. Chao, Z. Zhang, and S. S. Xu, 2014 Analysis of agronomic and

domestication traits in a durum × cultivated emmer wheat population using a high-

density single nucleotide polymorphism-based linkage map. Theor. Appl. Genet. 127:

2333–48.

Gardiner, L., L. U. Wingen, P. Bailey, R. Joynson, T. Brabbs *et al.*, 2019 Analysis of the

recombination landscape of hexaploid bread wheat reveals genes controlling

recombination and gene conversion frequency. Genome Biol. 20: 69.

Gill, B. S., and W. J. Raupp, 1987 Direct Genetic Transfers from Aegilops squarrosa L. to

Hexaploid Wheat. Crop Sci. 27: 445–450.

Glaubitz, J. C., T. M. Casstevens, F. Lu, J. Harriman, R. J. Elshire *et al.*, 2014 TASSEL-GBS:

A High Capacity Genotyping by Sequencing Analysis Pipeline. PLoS One 9: e90346.

Guttieri, M. J., 2019 Ms3 dominant genetic male sterility for wheat improvement with molecular breeding. Crop Sci. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2019.06.0378.

Haudry, a, a Cenci, C. Ravel, T. Bataillon, D. Brunel *et al.*, 2007 Grinding up wheat: a massive loss of nucleotide diversity since domestication. Mol. Biol. Evol. 24: 1506–17.

He, F., R. Pasam, F. Shi, S. Kant, G. Keeble-Gagnere *et al.*, 2019 Exome sequencing highlights the role of wild relative introgression in shaping the adaptive landscape of the wheat genome. Nat. Genet. 51: 896–904.

Hill, W., and Robertson, 1966 The effect of linkage on limits to artificial selection. Genet. Res. 8: 269–294.

Huang, L., S. A. Brooks, W. Li, J. P. Fellers, H. N. Trick *et al.*, 2003 Map-based cloning of leaf rust resistance gene Lr21 from the large and polyploid genome of bread wheat. Genetics 164: 655–64.

Hufford, M. B., X. Xu, J. van Heerwaarden, T. Pyhäjärvi, J.-M. Chia *et al.*, 2012 Comparative population genomics of maize domestication and improvement. Nat. Genet. 44: 808–11.

Jantasuriyarat, C., M. I. Vales, C. J. W. Watson, and O. Riera-Lizarazu, 2004 Identification and mapping of genetic loci affecting the free-threshing habit and spike compactness in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 108: 261–273.

Jiang, C. X., P. W. Chee, X. Draye, P. L. Morrell, C. W. Smith *et al.*, 2000 Multilocus interactions restrict gene introgression in interspecific populations of polyploid Gossypium (cotton). Evolution (N. Y). 54: 798–814.

Jordan, K. W., S. Wang, F. He, S. Chao, Y. Lun *et al.*, 2018 The genetic architecture of genome-wide recombination rate variation in allopolyploid wheat revealed by nested association mapping. Plant J. 95: 1039–1054.

Jordan, K., S. Wang, Y. Lun, L. Gardiner, R. MacLachlan *et al.*, 2015 A haplotype map of allohexaploid wheat reveals distinct patterns of selection on homoeologous genomes. Genome Biol. 16: 48.

Kihara, H., 1944 Discovery of the DD-analyser, one of the ancestors of Triticum vulgare. Agriculture Hortic. 19: 889–890.

Ling, H.-Q., S. Zhao, D. Liu, J. Wang, H. Sun *et al.*, 2013 Draft genome of the wheat A-genome progenitor Triticum urartu. Nature 496: 87–90.

Luo, M.-C., Y. Q. Gu, D. Puiu, H. Wang, S. O. Twardziok *et al.*, 2017 Genome sequence of the progenitor of the wheat D genome Aegilops tauschii. Nature 551: 498–502.

Luo, M.-C., Z.-L. Yang, F. M. You, T. Kawahara, J. G. Waines *et al.*, 2007 The structure of
wild and domesticated emmer wheat populations, gene flow between them, and the site
of emmer domestication. Theor. Appl. Genet. 114: 947–59.

Martin, S. H., J. W. Davey, C. Salazar, and C. D. Jiggins, 2019 Recombination rate variation
shapes barriers to introgression across butterfly genomes. PLoS Biol. 17: 1–28.

Martin, S. H., and C. D. Jiggins, 2017 Interpreting the genomic landscape of introgression.
Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 47: 69–74.

Neph, S., M. S. Kuehn, A. P. Reynolds, E. Haugen, R. E. Thurman *et al.*, 2012 BEDOPS:
High-performance genomic feature operations. Bioinformatics 28: 1919–1920.

Nyine, M., B. Uwimana, N. Blavet, E. Hřibová, H. Vanrespaille *et al.*, 2018 Genomic
Prediction in a Multiploid Crop: Genotype by Environment Interaction and Allele
Dosage Effects on Predictive Ability in Banana. Plant Genome 11:
10.3835/plantgenome2017.10.0090.

Nyine, M., S. Wang, K. Kiani, K. Jordan, S. Liu *et al.*, 2019 Genotype Imputation in Winter
Wheat Using First-Generation Haplotype Map SNPs Improves Genome-Wide
Association Mapping and Genomic Prediction of Traits. G3:
Genes|Genomes|Genetics 9: 125–133.

Ogbonnaya, F. C., O. Abdalla, A. Mujeeb-Kazi, A. G. Kazi, S. S. Xu *et al.*, 2013 Synthetic
Hexaploids: Harnessing Species of the Primary Gene Pool for Wheat Improvement.
Plant Breed. Rev. 35–122.

Opperman, R., E. Emmanuel, and A. A. Levy, 2004 The effect of sequence divergence on
recombination between direct repeats in arabidopsis. Genetics 168: 2207–2215.

Ozkan, H., G. Willcox, A. Graner, F. Salamini, and B. Kilian, 2011 Geographic distribution
and domestication of wild emmer wheat (Triticum dicoccoides). Genet. Resour. Crop
Evol. 58: 11–53.

Peleg, Z., T. Fahima, S. Abbo, T. Krugman, E. Nevo *et al.*, 2005 Genetic diversity for drought
resistance in wild emmer wheat and its ecogeographical associations. Plant, Cell
Environ. 28: 176–191.

Periyannan, S., J. Moore, M. Ayliffe, U. Bansal, X. Wang *et al.*, 2013 The Gene Sr33, an
Ortholog of Barley Mla Genes, Encodes Resistance to Wheat Stem Rust Race Ug99.
Science 341: 786–8.

Poland, J. A., P. J. Brown, M. E. Sorrells, and J.-L. Jannink, 2012 Development of high-
density genetic maps for barley and wheat using a novel two-enzyme genotyping-by-
sequencing approach. PLoS One 7: e32253.

Pont, C., T. Leroy, M. Seidel, A. Tondelli, W. Duchemin *et al.*, 2019 Tracing the ancestry of

modern bread wheats. Nat. Genet. 51: 905–911.

Qi, L., B. Friebe, P. Zhang, and B. S. Gill, 2007 Homoeologous recombination, chromosome

engineering and crop improvement. Chromosom. Res. 15: 3–19.

Qi, L. L., and B. S. Gill, 2001 High-density physical maps reveal that the dominant male-

sterile gene Ms3 is located in a genomic region of low recombination in wheat and is not

amenable to map-based cloning. Theor. Appl. Genet. 103: 998–1006.

R Development Core Team, R., 2011 R: A Language and Environment for Statistical

Computing (R. D. C. Team, Ed.). R Found. Stat. Comput. 1: 409.

Reynolds, M., F. Dreccer, and R. Trethowan, 2007 Drought-adaptive traits derived from

wheat wild relatives and landraces. J. Exp. Bot. 58: 177–86.

Reynolds, M. P., A. J. D. Pask, W. J. E. Hoppitt, K. Sonder, S. Sukumaran *et al.*, 2017

Strategic crossing of biomass and harvest index—source and sink—achieves genetic

gains in wheat. Euphytica 213: 213–257.

Rieseberg, L. H., C. R. Linder, and G. J. Seiler, 1995 Chromosomal and genic barriers to

introgression in helianthus. Genetics 141: 1163–1171.

Rieseberg, L. H., J. Whitton, and K. Gardner, 1999 Hybrid zones and the genetic architecture

of a barrier to gene flow between two sunflower species. Genetics 152: 713–727.

Saintenac, C., S. Faure, A. Remay, F. Choulet, C. Ravel *et al.*, 2011 Variation in crossover

rates across a 3-Mb contig of bread wheat (Triticum aestivum) reveals the presence of a

meiotic recombination hotspot. Chromosoma 120: 185–198.

Saintenac, C., D. Jiang, and E. D. Akhunov, 2011 Targeted analysis of nucleotide and copy

number variation by exon capture in allotetraploid wheat genome. Genome Biol. 12:

R88.

Saintenac, C., D. Jiang, S. Wang, and E. Akhunov, 2013 Sequence-based mapping of the

polyploid wheat genome. G3 (Bethesda). 3: 1105–14.

Saintenac, C., W. Zhang, A. Salcedo, M. N. Rouse, H. N. Trick *et al.*, 2013 Identification of

wheat gene Sr35 that confers resistance to Ug99 stem rust race group. Science 341: 783–

6.

Sears, E. R., 1977 AN INDUCED MUTANT WITH HOMOEOLOGOUS PAIRING IN

COMMON WHEAT. Can. J. Genet. Cytol. 19: 585–593.

Simons, K. J., J. P. Fellers, H. N. Trick, Z. Zhang, Y.-S. Tai *et al.*, 2006 Molecular

characterization of the major wheat domestication gene Q. Genetics 172: 547–55.

Singh, S., R. Srivastava, and J. Kumar, 2015 Male sterility systems in wheat and

opportunities for hybrid wheat development. Acta Physiol Plant. 37: 1–13.

Singh, N., S. Wu, V. Tiwari, S. Sehgal, J. Raupp *et al.*, 2019 Genomic analysis confirms

population structure and identifies inter-lineage hybrids in Aegilops tauschii. Front.

Plant Sci. 10: 1–13.

Sohail, Q., T. Inoue, H. Tanaka, A. E. Eltayeb, Y. Matsuoka *et al.*, 2011 Applicability of

Aegilops tauschii drought tolerance traits to breeding of hexaploid wheat. Breed. Sci.

61: 347–57.

Sood, S., V. Kuraparthy, G. Bai, and B. S. Gill, 2009 The major threshability genes soft

glume (sog) and tenacious glume (Tg), of diploid and polyploid wheat, trace their origin

to independent mutations at non-orthologous loci. Theor. Appl. Genet. 119: 341–51.

Su, H., Y. Liu, C. Liu, Q. Shi, Y. Huang *et al.*, 2019 Centromere Satellite Repeats Have

Undergone Rapid Changes in Polyploid Wheat Subgenomes. Plant Cell 31:

tpc.00133.2019.

The International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (IWGSC), 2018 Shifting the limits

in wheat research and breeding using a fully annotated reference genome. Science 361:

eaar7191.

Uauy, C., A. Distelfeld, T. Fahima, A. Blechl, and J. Dubcovsky, 2006 A NAC Gene

Regulating Senescence Improves Grain Protein, Zinc, and Iron Content in Wheat.

Science 314: 1298–1301.

Wang, L., T. M. Beissinger, A. Lorant, C. Ross-Ibarra, J. Ross-Ibarra *et al.*, 2017 The

interplay of demography and selection during maize domestication and expansion.

Genome Biol. 18: 1–13.

Wang, J., M.-C. Luo, Z. Chen, F. M. You, Y. Wei *et al.*, 2013 Aegilops tauschii single

nucleotide polymorphisms shed light on the origins of wheat D-genome genetic

diversity and pinpoint the geographic origin of hexaploid wheat. New Phytol. 198: 925–

937.

Wang, Y., H. Tang, J. D. Debarry, X. Tan, J. Li *et al.*, 2012 MCScanX: A toolkit for detection

and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. Nucleic Acids Res. 40: 1–14.

Wulff, B. B. H., and M. J. Moscou, 2014 Strategies for transferring resistance into wheat:

from wide crosses to GM cassettes. Front. Plant Sci. 5: 692.

Xu, X., X. Liu, S. Ge, J. D. Jensen, F. Hu *et al.*, 2012 Resequencing 50 accessions of

cultivated and wild rice yields markers for identifying agronomically important genes.

Nat. Biotechnol. 30: 105–11.

Ziolkowski, P. A., L. E. Berchowitz, C. Lambing, N. E. Yelina, X. Zhao *et al.*, 2015

Juxtaposition of heterozygous and homozygous regions causes reciprocal crossover

remodelling via interference during Arabidopsis meiosis. Elife 4: 1–29.

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Distribution of the introgression lines and the hexaploid wheat parents on the first two

principal components based on SNP markers from A, B, D genomes and unanchored

scaffolds.

Fig. 2. Variation in nucleotide diversity for chromosome 2D based on per estimates of π for

Ae. tauschii accessions (AT), hexaploid wheat (HW) lines and introgression lines (IL).

Fig. 3. Gene collinearity and structural variation between the *T. aestivum* and *Ae. tauschii*

chromosomes. Each dot on the dot-plot corresponds to a single gene and the big

colored dots off diagonal represent the non-syntenic genes between genomes of the

two species.

Fig. 4. Effect of chromosome physical location on recombination rate and introgression. (a) A

comparison of normalized recombination rate in 2 Mbp windows between nested

association mapping (NAM) (Jordan et al. 2018) and *Ae. tauschii* introgression (IL)

populations. The orange triangles show the overlapping windows with high

recombination rate in the two populations at 95th percentile. (b) A venn diagram

showing the total number of 2 Mbp windows with at least one recombination event

across the NAM and IL population while the intersection represents the overlaps at

95th percentile. (c) Distribution of 2 Mbp window recombination hotspots permutation

overlaps between the two populations. (d) Distribution of the introgressed segment's

length from *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* and *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *tauschii* in the distal

regions of the chromosomes. (e) Distribution of the introgressed segment's length

from *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* and *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *tauschii* in the 2/3

pericentromeric regions of the chromosomes.

Fig. 5. Density plots of identity by descent segments positions along the seven D genome

chromosomes of the introgression lines derived from hexploid wheat and *Ae. tauschii*

crosses. Chromosomes were scaled by dividing the IBD values by the individual

chromosome length and then multiplied by 100.

Fig. 6. Location of *Tg* locus on chromosome arm 2DS as inferred by identity by descent

(IBD) analysis and genome-wide association mapping. **a).** Shows the frequency of

introgression from *Ae. tauschii* into hexploid wheat as inferred by IBD for

chromosome arm 2DS region containing tenacious glume (*Tg*) gene. The IBD

813	segments were counted per 1-kb sliding window. Where IL_AT and IL_HW are the
814	trends of IBD frequency between introgression lines and *Ae. tauschii*, and hexaploid
815	wheat, respectively. The blue line shows the position of marker *Xmwc503* (Sood et al.
816	2009), gold and red lines indicate the positions of EST markers *XBE518031* and
817	*XCA658378*, respectively, flanking the *Tg-D1* locus. **b).** Manhattan plot showing the
818	position of significant SNPs on 2DS (red arrow) and the red horizontal line shows the
819	SNPs that are significant at an FDR q-value of 0.001.
820	
821	
822	
823	**Table 1.** A comparison of nucleotide diversity of *Ae. tauschii* derived introgression lines and
824	their parents.

Group	Min.	Mean	Max.
chr1D_AT	0.000	0.118	0.626
chr1D_HW	0.000	0.012	0.545
chr1D_IL	0.000	0.085	0.501
chr2D_AT	0.000	0.122	0.654
chr2D_HW	0.000	0.011	0.546
chr2D_IL	0.000	0.066	0.504
chr3D_AT	0.000	0.128	0.664
chr3D_HW	0.000	0.0101	0.545
chr3D_IL	0.000	0.048	0.500
chr4D_AT	0.000	0.129	0.595
chr4D_HW	0.000	0.003	0.545
chr4D_IL	0.000	0.122	0.606
chr5D_AT	0.000	0.119	0.659
chr5D_HW	0.000	0.007	0.545
chr5D_IL	0.000	0.118	0.614
chr6D_AT	0.000	0.131	0.627
chr6D_HW	0.000	0.011	0.545
chr6D_IL	0.000	0.095	0.501
chr7D_AT	0.000	0.127	0.652
chr7D_HW	0.000	0.011	0.545

| chr7D_IL | 0.000 | 0.081 | 0.501 |

825 AT are *Aegilops tauschii* parents, HW are hexaploid wheat parents and IL are the introgression lines

835 **Table 2.** Summary of 2 Mb windows recombination hotspots at 95th percentile of total
836 recombination breakpoints from 16 families of the introgression population.

Chromosome	No. of windows	Min recombination	Max recombination
chr1D	25	755	1968
chr2D	33	705	1441
chr3D	31	507	1136
chr4D	26	736	1499
chr5D	29	1052	1552
chr6D	24	899	1940
chr7D	32	869	1783

837
838

839 **Table 3.** Percentage length of identity by descent segments shared between
840 introgression lines, hexaploid wheat and *Ae. tauschii* accessions.

Chromosome	Mean (%)	SD (%)	Min (%)	Max (%)
chr1Da	3.03	5.15	0.30	57.19
chr1Db	12.77	12.70	0.80	57.19
chr1Dc	9.31	12.02	0.31	85.88
chr2Da	2.08	1.82	0.28	23.09
chr2Db	8.59	12.41	0.53	55.74
chr2Dc	9.17	13.88	0.25	90.78
chr3Da	2.10	1.80	0.31	19.71
chr3Db	23.84	28.01	0.77	97.06
chr3Dc	14.66	21.85	0.25	98.36
chr4Da	3.42	2.34	0.35	17.85
chr4Db	25.74	23.17	3.86	84.17
chr4Dc	11.65	13.67	0.30	100.00
chr5Da	2.27	1.63	0.33	17.47

chr5Db	11.08	7.16	1.86	49.01
chr5Dc	7.38	9.66	0.31	85.24
chr6Da	3.01	2.59	0.37	17.89
chr6Db	14.43	15.86	0.47	88.21
chr6Dc	9.94	13.66	0.00	95.94
chr7Da	2.32	2.21	0.25	15.71
chr7Db	11.72	18.33	0.36	73.30
chr7Dc	8.19	12.92	0.24	92.46

[a] Introgression lines x *Ae. tauschii*
[b] Introgression lines x Hexaploid wheat
[c] Introgression line x Introgression line

Supporting information

Supplemental tables

Table S1. Summary of GBS data for introgression lines, *Ae. tuaschii* and hexaploid wheat parents.

Table S2. Summary of GBS data for 116 *Ae. tauschii* accessions used as a reference panel.

Table S3. Origin of *Ae. tauschii* accessions used as reference panel, the source of 21 *Ae. tauschii* used as introgression parents and their grouping based on the first two principal components.

Table S4. Frequency of total recombination breakpoint from 16 introgression population families.

Table S5. Pearson's correlation coefficients between recombination rate and introgression frequency within 2 Mbp windows, and between recombination rate and the physical genomic distance from the centromere toward the telomeres on both chromosome arms in 16 *Ae. tauschii* introgression families.

Table S6. Efficiency of *Ae. tauschii* introgression in wheat as inferred by identity by descent.

Table S7. Location of introgressed genomic segments with the highest LOD values from *Ae. tauschii* in hexaploid wheat background as predicted by Beagle v4.1.

Table S8. Location of microsatellite and expressed sequence tags (EST) markers linked to tenacious glume (*Tg*) gene on the Chinese Spring reference v1.0.

Table S9. High confidence genes within chromosome arm 2DS interval known to control
tenacious glume trait.

Table S10. Tenacious glume scores for the introgression lines with and without introgression
from *Ae. tauschii* parents on chromosome arm 2DS where the *Tg* gene is located.

Table S11. SNPs on chromosome arm 2DS significantly associated with tenacious glume
trait.

Supplemental figures

Fig. S1. Distribution of 116 *Ae. tauschii* accessions (red, AT) used as reference panel and the
21 *Ae. tauschii* accessions (magenta, ILP_AT) used to generate the introgression lines
on the first two principal components. L1a and L1b accessions belong to *Ae. tauschii*
ssp. *tauschii* while L2 accessions belong to *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata*.

Fig. S2. Distribution of 116 *Ae. tauschii* accessions (AT) used as reference panel, the 21 *Ae.*
tauschii accessions (ILP_AT) used to generate the introgression lines, the 6 hexaploid
wheat parents (ILP_HW) and the 351 introgression lines (IL) on the first two principal
components. L1a and L1b accessions belong to *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *tauschii* while L2
accessions belong to *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata*.

Fig. S3. Variation in nucleotide diversity per chromosome based on π values for *Ae. tauschii*
(AT) accessions, hexaploid wheat (HW) and introgression lines (IL).

Fig. S4. Effect of parental sequence divergence (SD) in the 2/3 pericentromeric and telomeric
chromosomal regions on recombination rate (RBP) and introgression frequency (IF)
in *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* and *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *tauschii* derived introgression
families.

a

b