Beta 1 Integrin Signaling Mediates Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma Resistance to MEK Inhibition

Arthur Brannon III[1*], Donovan Drouillard[2*], Nina Steele[3*], Shadae Sutherland[2], Howard C. Crawford[4,5] and

Marina Pasca di Magliano[2,3,6]

[1] Medical Scientist Training Program, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, United States

[2] Department of Surgery, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, United States

[3] Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, United States

[4] Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, United States

[5] Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, United States

[6] Rogel Cancer Center, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, United States

*these authors equally contributed to this work

Correspondence:

Marina Pasca di Magliano Ph.D.

University of Michigan Cancer Center, Department of Surgery, 1500 East Medical Center Drive, Cancer

Center Room 6110. Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-0944, United States

Tel: (734) 763-3950. Marinapa@umich.edu

Short title: Beta-1 Integrin and MEK in Pancreatic Cancer

Abstract

Pancreatic cancer, one of the deadliest human malignancies, has a dismal 5-year survival rate of 9%. The

high mortality rate can be attributed to multiple factors, including late diagnosis and lack of effective

therapies. *KRAS* is the most commonly mutated gene in pancreatic cancer, but clinical agents that

directly target mutant KRAS are not available. Several effector pathways are activated downstream of

oncogenic Kras, including MAPK signaling. MAPK signaling can be inhibited by targeting MEK1/2;

unfortunately, this approach has been largely ineffective in pancreatic cancer. Here, we set out to

identify mechanisms of MEK inhibitor resistance in pancreatic cancer using primary mouse and human

3D organoid cultures. We optimized the culture of pancreatic tumor organoids that utilized Matrigel as a

basement membrane mimetic, facilitating polarized growth. Pancreatic tumor organoids recapitulated

mutant KRAS dependency and recalcitrance to MEK inhibition. Treatment of the organoids with

trametinib, a MEK inhibitor, had only a modest effect on these cultures. We observed that cells adjacent

to the basement membrane mimetic Matrigel survived MEK inhibition, while the cells in the interior

layers underwent apoptosis. Our findings suggested that basement membrane attachment provided

survival signals. We thus targeted integrin β1, a mediator of extracellular matrix contact, and found that

combined MEK and integrin β1 inhibition bypassed trametinib resistance. Our data support exploring

integrin signaling inhibition as a component of combination therapy in pancreatic cancer.

Introduction

Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma (PDAC), accounting for 90% of pancreatic neoplasms, is projected to

become the 2nd leading cause of cancer death in the US by 2030 [1,2]. Almost 95% of PDAC cases

express a mutated form of the GTPase KRAS [3]. Activating mutations in *KRAS* (the most prevalent being

KRAS^{G12D}), lead to constitutive, aberrant activation of KRAS and subsequent neoplasia [4]. The Mitogen-

activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway is a downstream effector of oncogenic KRAS and its activation

promotes cell growth, survival, and proliferation [5]. While KRAS inhibitors are currently not available,

the MAPK signaling pathway can be targeted by multiple FDA-approved agents, many of which target

the key kinases MEK1/2 [6, 7]. Inhibition of MAPK signaling blocks the onset of carcinogenesis [8],

possibly by interfering with the dedifferentiation of acinar cells to duct-like cells that are susceptible to

transformation, a process known as acinar-ductal metaplasia (ADM).   MEK inhibition has been tested in

pancreatic cancer as a single-agent therapy, as well as in combination with Phosphoinositide Kinase-3

(PI3K) pathway inhibition (targeting another downstream effector of KRAS [9, 10]). Unfortunately, these

efforts have failed to demonstrate clinical benefit [11].

MEK inhibition using trametinib is tolerated in the PDAC patient population [10]. We set out to

understand mechanisms of resistance to trametinib with the goal to identify potential new combination

approaches for pancreatic cancer therapy. Since the resistance to trametinib is observed in tumor cells

in isolation, we focused here on the cell-autonomous mechanisms of resistance, using a three

dimensional (3D) *in vitro* model of PDAC. In this study, we found that cells adjacent to the basement

membrane exhibit a survival advantage over cells lacking ECM signaling when administered a MEK

inhibitor. Furthermore, KRAS effector signaling is reduced to only ECM-adjacent cells when given an β1

integrin neutralizing antibody. Lastly, dual blockade of both MEK and β1 integrin significantly increased

PDAC cell apoptosis compared to singular inhibition of MEK or β1 integrin. These results indicate that β1

integrin plays an important role in mediating PDAC resistance to MEK inhibition.

Results

Establishing a 3D culture model of pancreatic cancer.

The iKras*;p53* mouse model of pancreatic cancer mimics the progression of the human disease [12]. In

this model, oncogenic Kras^{G12D} (Kras*) expression is regulated by a tet-response element, while mutant

p53^{R172H} is constitutively expressed in the pancreas, allowing for inducible and reversible expression of

Kras* upon administration or removal of doxycycline (DOX), respectively (Fig. 1a). The generation of cell

lines from primary tumors formed in iKras*;p53* pancreata was previously described [13].

Subsequently, iKras*;p53* PDAC cells were passaged and maintained in two-dimensional culture in

presence of DOX to maintain expression of oncogenic Kras (Fig. 1b).

Growth in 3D was achieved by trypsinizing and resuspending PDAC cells in single-cell-suspension with

cell culture medium that contained DOX and solubilized Growth Factor Reduced (GFR) Matrigel, a

basement membrane mimetic comprised of extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins: laminins, Type IV

collagen (Col4), and entactin. Matrigel facilitates 3D proliferation and adhesion of cells, interactions that

may regulate crucial aspects of cancer molecular pathogenesis *in vivo* [14]. Subsequently, PDAC cells in

suspension were plated atop a layer of solidified GFR Matrigel. Approximately 6 days following plating,

PDAC cells organized into 3D, discrete clusters that were fixed for histochemical analysis (Fig. 1c-e). To

determine whether cells in this 3D assay recapitulated oncogenic Kras* dependency observed in vivo,

cells were randomized into two experimental groups: DOX was either withheld or administered to the

media at the time of plating to inactivate or activate oncogenic Kras* expression, respectively.

Brightfield microscopy was used to monitor cell growth and ImageJ was used to measure PDAC cluster

area (Supplementary Fig. 1). DOX administration induced an approximate 4-fold increase in average

cluster area (Fig. 1f, g, Supplementary Fig. 2), indicating that oncogenic Kras* expression was sufficient

to facilitate tumor cell growth and proliferation in this model. These results are consistent with previous

in vivo and *in vitro* findings [13].

In 2D, oncogenic Kras had a limited effect on cell viability (Supplementary Fig. 3). Conversely, #D growth

was dependent on the expression of oncogenic Kras (Supplementary Fig. 2), mimicking the requirement

for oncogenic Kras *in vivo*. We used the 3D system to study the effect of inhibiting Kras* downstream

effector pathways, specifically MAPK and PI3K, in the tumor cells. First, we performed immunostaining

on iKras*p53* PDAC clusters grown in the presence of DOX for 6 days. Similar to human PDAC and tissue

from the primary iKras*p53* tumor (Fig. 2 a, b), iKras*p53* PDAC cells in 3D culture upregulated

phosphorylated ERK (pERK) (Fig. 2a) and phosphorylated S6 (pS6) (Fig. 2b), indicating activation of the

MAPK and PI3K pathway, respectively. Furthermore, we observed expression of membrane proteins E-

Cadherin and Claudin-18 (Fig. 2c-j), both epithelial cell markers. Taken together, these data suggest two

implications for our system. First, iKras*p53* PDAC cells in our 3D culture system recapitulate common

biological characteristics of human PDAC and other murine *in vivo* models. Second, it can be used to

study the effect of signaling pathways in a system that mimics the spatial relationships of tumor cells,

while allowing us to dissect signaling, in absence of the complexity of the microenvironment.

MEK inhibition inhibits PDAC growth in 3D and induces apoptotic lumen formation

To inhibit MAPK signaling, clusters were grown for 6 days and then administered PD325901 (MEK

inhibitor, abbreviated MEKi-P) for 4 days; DOX was present in the media at all points, so that oncogenic

Kras* was constitutively expressed. Administration of the inhibitor was sufficient to abrogate Kras*-

mediated cluster growth (Fig. 3a-e). Upon histologic analysis we found that MEK inhibition decreased

the expression of pERK, suggesting successful inactivation of the MAPK signaling pathway (Fig. 3f-i).

However, similar to the in vivo situation, cultures survived, indicating resistance to MEK inhibition. The

MEK-resistant cells formed single-layer clusters, while the lumen (occupying >75% of cross-section area)

contained apoptotic debris. This finding was dose-dependent (Fig. 3j), as increasing concentration of

MEKi led to increased prevalence of single-layered clusters with large lumens. These results suggested

that cells at the periphery of the cluster, and thus in contact with the basement membrane, were

uniquely resistant to MEK inhibition. Intriguingly, this finding recapitulated what we and others

observed in vivo upon inactivation of oncogenic Kras [13, 15].

To confirm this initial finding with a different MEK inhibitor, PDAC cells were grown for 1 week and

administered a clinically available MEK inhibitor, trametinib (MEKi-T) for 4 days. To visualize any

morphologic changes induced by MEK inhibition, PDAC clusters were fixed, sectioned, and stained for

hematoxylin and eosin. Similar to clusters treated with MEKi-P, MEKi-T treated clusters were found to

have an apoptotic lumen-- evidenced by unorganized hyaline aggregation as well hyperchromatic debris,

which indicates nuclear fragmentation, features consistent with cells that undergo programmed cell

death (Fig. 4c). The prevalence of clusters with apoptotic debris that occupied >75% of a single lumen

was found to be significantly increased approximately 7-fold following MEK-T administration (Fig. 4d). To

establish that the cells had indeed undergone apoptosis, we stained sections of PDAC clusters for

cleaved caspase-3 (CC3) (Fig. 4a, b). Thus, using two different MEK inhibitors, we showed that cancer

cells vary in their sensitivity to MEK inhibition.

PDAC cells adjacent to Matrigel display a survival advantage

The prevalence of single-layer cluster morphology suggests that cells in contact with the Matrigel

basement membrane mimetic have a survival advantage. In this 3D system, Matrigel coats the entire

outside of the cluster *in vitro*, so following fixation and sectioning, the outermost layer of cells in cluster

cross-sections are considered adjacent to the Matrigel (Supplementary Fig. 1). This can be visualized by

staining for Type IV collagen (Col4), a primary component of Matrigel and the basement membrane *in*

vivo. Staining reveals Col4 enrichment on the outer edge of clusters treated with either vehicle (DMSO)

or MEKi-T, suggesting that MEK inhibition does not affect organization of basement membrane (Fig. 5a).

Upon quantification of cells adjacent or nonadjacent to Matrigel, the MEK-T treated clusters showed an

increased prevalence of cells adjacent to the Matrigel, suggesting that these cells have a survival

advantage over cells that lack ECM attachment (Fig. 5b). The phenomenon of anoikis, a form of

programmed cell death in response to loss of ECM signaling, is well described in other systems. [16]. We

hypothesized that Matrigel-adjacent cells were able to resist anoikis due to their ability to interact and

exchange signals with ECM components.

Human and murine PDAC cells have been shown to engage in complex signaling with the surrounding

microenvironment, yet the implications of this signaling and their effects on disease pathogenesis are

currently poorly understood. In multiple systems, the transmembrane, bidirectional signaling molecule

β1 integrin has been implicated in coordinating cell-to-cell and cell-to-ECM interactions [17]. And in the

normal pancreas, β1 integrin expression is necessary for acinar maintenance [18]. In our 3D system, we

found that iKras*p53* PDAC cells expressed β1 integrin (Supplementary Fig. 4), and this expression was

not affected by administration of MEKi-T (Supplementary Fig. 4). Given that Matrigel-adjacent PDAC

cells show a survival advantage and express β1 integrin, we hypothesized that β1 integrin signaling

mediated survival in this population.

Beta-1 integrin inhibition disrupted cell:cell organization and decreased Kras effector signaling

The function of β1 integrin is highly dependent on cell type as well as the cell's immediate

microenvironment [19, 20]. To determine the functional importance of β1 integrin signaling in our 3D

culture model, PDAC clusters were grown for 7 days and treated with either solubilized rat

immunoglobulin G (IgG) or a β1 integrin neutralizing antibody [Supplementary Table 1] for 4 days.

Because antibodies are targeted to an extracellular epitope, and are unable to cross the cell membrane,

the β1 integrin neutralizing antibody specifically blocks β1 integrin outside-in signaling. Following

administration of either IgG or β1 integrin neutralizing antibody, cells were fixed, sectioned

histologically, and analyzed.

After 4 days of treatment with control IgG, brightfield microscopy of PDAC clusters showed distinct

colonies with smooth and ordered interactions with the surrounding Matrigel (Fig. 6a). In contrast, β1

integrin blockade induced structural disorder of PDAC clusters, disrupting their interaction with

surrounding Matrigel as well as induced some disintegration of clusters, as evidenced by an increase in

scattered, single cells (Fig. 6b). Upon histological analysis, PDAC clusters treated with IgG formed

organized colonies with 1 or multiple lumen (Fig. 6 c-f); conversely, β1 integrin blockade abrogated

lumen formation (Fig. 6 g-j), suggesting that β1 integrin outside-in signaling is necessary for PDAC

cell:cell adhesion and formation of higher ordered 3D structure. Moreover, β1 integrin blockade

affected E-cadherin (ECAD) expression at the cell membrane. PDAC clusters in the treated group showed

punctate, noncontiguous staining, indicating disruption of ECAD localization to cell membranes (Fig 6d-f,

h-j).

We then evaluated the effects of β1 integrin blockade on Kras* effector signaling. Following

administration of control IgG, PDAC clusters demonstrated activation of MAPK and PI3K signaling in

Matrigel-adjacent and non-Matrigel-adjacent cells indicated by positive staining of pERK and pS6 (Fig. 7

b, l, Supplementary fig. 4). Strikingly, following β1 integrin blockade, Kras* effector signaling was

180 restricted to Matrigel-adjacent cells (Fig. 7 g, q). Though β1-integrin-blocked PDAC clusters showed

181 some localization of β1 integrin to the ECM (Fig. 7 h, r), colocalization of β1 integrin and pERK or pS6

182 signals was rare (Fig. 7 i, s). These results suggest that β1 integrin signaling is necessary for PDAC

183 upregulation of Kras* downstream signaling in the absence of ECM signaling in our system.

184 Furthermore, β1 integrin outside-in signaling is dispensable for Kras* effector signaling, if cells are

185 physically adjacent to the ECM.

187 **Dual blockade of MEK and β1 integrin synergize to induce cancer cell death**

188 Prior studies have demonstrated that epithelial cell lumen formation is an active process that requires

189 both cell:cell adhesion and cell:ECM signaling. Since lumen formation appears to be protective for PDAC

190 cells in the context of MEK inhibition (Fig. 4d, 5b) and β1 integrin was necessary for lumen formation in

191 our model (Fig. 6g-j), we hypothesized β1 integrin signaling blockade would prevent lumen formation

192 and potentiate the ability of MEK inhibition to induce apoptosis. To test this, PDAC cells were grown in

193 3D for 7 days and treated for 4 days with vehicle (DMSO+IgG), MEK-T, an anti-β1 integrin neutralizing

194 antibody, or a combination of the compounds. Subsequently, PDAC clusters were fixed, sectioned and

195 prepared for immunofluorescence to examine CC3 or TUNEL staining. Singular administration of either

196 MEK-T or anti-β1 integrin neutralizing antibody increased apoptosis, as indicated by increased CC3

197 staining, compared to the vehicle group (Fig. 8a-p, Supplementary Fig. 6). Furthermore, dual inhibition

198 led to significantly increased apoptosis when compared to singular blockade of either pathway (Fig. 8q).

199 TUNEL staining demonstrated similar findings: singular blockade of either MEK or β1 integrin

200 significantly increased cell death, while clusters in the dual blockade group showed significantly

201 increased death when compared to singular blockade of either pathway (Figure 8r, s). These results,

202 taken together, suggest that β1 integrin signaling mediates PDAC resistance to MEK inhibition.

Discussion

Our results show that MEK inhibition induced lumen formation in iKras*p53*-mouse-derived PDAC cells

in vitro. Furthermore, the presence of Cleaved Caspase 3 and TUNEL positive cells in the lumen

suggested that MEK inhibition sensitized PDAC cells to anoikis, programmed cell death in response to

detachment from the ECM [24]. Cell:cell adhesion and ECM:cell are key signaling networks that may

regulate PDAC progression [21-23]. Elucidation of these pathways may provide insight into mechanisms

that regulate disease persistence, which would ideally lead to practical, effective molecular targeting

and reduction of PDAC burden. Given that ECM-adjacent PDAC cells displayed a survival advantage in

the context of MAPK inhibition, we sought to characterize the role of ECM:cell signaling in our system.

We hypothesized that β1 integrin played an important role in the survival of ECM-adjacent PDAC cells.

The integrin family of cell adhesion receptors mediates a multitude of cellular functions crucial to the

initiation, progression and metastasis of solid tumors [25]. In human breast cancer, overexpression of β1

integrin is correlated with poor prognosis [26, 27], and in vitro β1 blockade induced apoptosis of

malignant cells [28]. In pancreatic cancer, overexpression of β1 is correlated with poorer disease-free

survival [29]. In a murine model of pancreatic β cell cancer, genetic ablation of β1 integrin led to

reduced tumor cell proliferation and senescence [30]. Pancreatic cancer cell lines overexpress integrin α

subunits 1-6 and β subunits; moreover, the β1 subunit is found to be constitutively active, mediating

adhesion and invasiveness in some PDAC lines [31]. In our study, we found that lumen formation as well

as PDAC cell survival in the context of MEK inhibition were significantly decreased following β1 integrin

neutralizing antibody administration, suggesting that PDAC resistance to MEK inhibition is mediated in

part by β1 integrin signaling.

Strikingly, singular blockade of β1 integrin decreased Kras* effector signaling in cells lacking ECM

attachment but failed to decrease MAPK or PI3K signaling pathway activation in Matrigel-adjacent PDAC

cells. These data suggest two novel findings in relation to iKras*p53* PDAC cell biology in vitro. First,

cells lacking ECM interaction require β1 integrin to upregulate downstream effectors of Kras*. This is

consistent with previous findings that β1 integrin is involved in ERK signaling in PDAC [33] and inhibition

promotes central necrosis [34]. The combination therapy likely exacerbated apoptosis due to β1

integrin's ability to activate PI3K signaling in PDAC [35]. Furthermore, β1 integrin has be shown to be

necessary to activate PI3K when MEK is inhibited [36] and PI3K inhibition produces central necrosis in a

pattern similar to our data [37]. The second conclusion drawn from our data suggest that ECM-adjacent

cells upregulate Kras*-effector signaling in a β1 integrin-signaling-independent manner. One likely

mechanism to explain this is β5 integrin signaling (Figure 7u). β5 integrin is present in PDAC [35] and can

activate MEK signaling in breast cancer [38]. Upon β5 integrin inhibition in breast cancer, proliferation is

decreased [38]. Lastly, suppression of a specific heterodimer containing β5 integrin (α5β5) in colon

cancer increases the function of a heterodimer containing β1 [34]. This crosstalk between β1 and β5

integrins suggest that β5 integrin could upregulate Kras-effector signaling in ECM-adjacent cells when β1

integrin is inhibited. However, future tests will need to be done to examine the role of β5 integrin in

PDAC as well characterizing how subunit-specific signaling may modulate different characteristics of

PDAC interaction with the microenvironment, subsequently regulating PDAC cell survival.

Methods

Murine PDAC model and establishment of primary cell cultures

PDAC tumor cell lines from iKras*p53* mice were established as previously described [12]. p48-Cre

(Ptf1a-Cre) mice were crossed with TetO-KrasG12D, Rosa26rtTa/rtTa and p53R172H/+ mice to generate

p48Cre [38]; TetO-KrasG12D [38, 39]; Rosa26rtTa/+ [38-40]; p53R172H/+ [38-41] (iKras*p53*) mice. In

adult mice, DOX was administered through the drinking water, at a concentration of 0.2g/L in a solution

of 5% sucrose, and replaced every 3–4 days. Three days following DOX administration, pancreatitis was

induced through two series of eight hourly intraperitoneal injections of caerulein (Sigma C9026), at a

concentration of 75 µg/kg, over a 48-hour period, as previously described. Following endogenous tumor

formation, tissue was harvested from the primary tumor, minced, and digested with 1 mg/ml

collagenase V (Sigma) at 37°C for 15 minutes. RPMI-1640 (Gibco) +10% Fetal Bovine Serum +1%

penicillin/streptomycin was used to halt digestion and cells were isolated by filtration through a 100 um

cell strainer and plated in complete medium containing DOX (Sigma) at 1 µg/mL [40].

Cell culture

iKras*p53* PDAC cells were maintained and passaged in 2D culture in IMDM media +10%FBS +DOX

1µg/mL. The 3D assay used was adapted from multiple models [14, 42, 43]. Four-well chamber slides

(Corning 354104) were coated with 50-100µL Growth-Factor-Reduced (GFR) Matrigel. Afterward, the

slides were incubated for 10 minutes at 37°C to induce solidification of GFR Matrigel. PDAC cells were

trypsinized and centrifuged. Pellets were resuspended in single-cell-suspension in Waymouth's media

(Gibco 11220-035) +10% Fetal Bovine Serum (Gibco 10438-036) + 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco

15140-122) and the solution was added atop the GFR Matrigel surface. Every 2-3 days, media was

changed. In experiments using small molecule inhibitors, inhibitors were solubilized in DMSO or 1x PBS,

and vehicle or drug treatment groups were administered in either technical duplicate or triplicate.

Representative experiments of at least 3 biological replicates has been shown unless otherwise noted.

Fixation and staining

PDAC clusters were fixed in formalin at 22°C for at least 2 hours. After fixation, chambers from chamber

slides were removed, and PDAC clusters in GFR Matrigel were collected and placed in a cryomold

(Sakura 4557) with Histogel (Thermo Scientific HG-4000-012) [44]. These samples were then processed,

embedded in paraffin, and cut into 5μm-thick sections. The primary antibodies were added and then

antigen retrieval was accomplished using the respective antibodies and concentrations seen in

Supplementary Table 1. Histology and immunofluorescence analysis were performed as described

below.

Brightfield and Cleaved-Caspase 3 Imaging and Quantification

Brightfield and fluorescent images were acquired with an Olympus BX-51 microscope DP71 digital

camera/software as well as Pannoramic SCAN II slide scanner and software. Brightfield, low-

magnification images of clusters growing in chamber slides were used to quantify PDAC cluster area.

Pictures of at least 5 non-overlapping areas were taken and a blinded observer used ImageJ to trace and

measure cluster area. Results indicate the average area of at least 100 unique clusters per treatment

group. To quantify cleaved-caspase 3 positivity, a blinded observer analyzed cross sections of fixed,

sectioned, and stained PDAC clusters. The number of nuclei as well as positive and negative staining was

recorded in at least 50 cross sections of unique PDAC cell clusters from 3 biological replicate

experiments.

TUNEL Staining and Quantification

The sections from two biological replicate experiments were stained using a Terminal deoxynucleotidyl

transferase (TdT) dUTP Nick-End Labeling (TUNEL) assay (Millipore S7165), according to the

manufacturer's instructions. The slides were deparaffinized, pretreated, and antigen retrieval was done

by first using a TdT enzyme and then a rhodamine antibody solution. DAPI was used as a nuclear

counterstain. Images of the fluorescently labelled sections were obtained using a Leica SP5X Upright

Two-Photon Confocal Microscope. To quantify TUNEL positivity, the number of TUNEL positive nuclei

were obtained as well as total number of nuclei per cell cluster.

Acknowledgments. We thank Carlos Espinoza and Daniel Long for technical supports. We thank all the

members of the PanTErA (Pancreatic Tumor Eradication Association) at the University of Michigan for

constructive suggestions and feedback. We thank Drs Benjamin L Allen, Elizabeth Lawlor and Ron Koenig

for serving of Dr Brannon's thesis committee and for sharing their expertise and input on this project.

Funding: This work was supported by the Cancer Moonshot Initiative (U01CA-224145) to MPM and HCC.

This project was also supported by NIH/NCI grants R01CA151588, R01CA198074, the University of

Michigan Cancer Center Support Grant (NCI P30CA046592) and the American Cancer Society to MPM

and F31CA203563 to ABIII. This project was also supported by 3-P30-CA-046592-28-S2 (Administrative

Supplement to the Cancer Center Core Grant) to HCC and MPdM. NS is a recipient of the American

Cancer Society Postdoctoral Award. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and

analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. This work was made possible by the

Tissue and Molecular Pathology Shared resource at the Rogel Cancer Center.

Competing Interests

Funding was obtained from the following grants: American Cancer Society, NIH P30CA46592, NIH

R01CA151588, NIH R01CA198074, NIH U01CA224145.

References

1 Adamska A, Domenichini A, Falasca M. Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma: Current and Evolving

Therapies. Int J Mol Sci 2017; 18.

2 Rahib L, Smith BD, Aizenberg R, Rosenzweig AB, Fleshman JM, Matrisian LM. Projecting cancer

incidence and deaths to 2030: the unexpected burden of thyroid, liver, and pancreas cancers in the

United States. Cancer Res 2014; 74: 2913–2921.

3 Biankin AV, Waddell N, Kassahn KS, Gingras M-C, Muthuswamy LB, Johns AL et al. Pancreatic cancer

genomes reveal aberrations in axon guidance pathway genes. Nature 2012; 491: 399–405.

4 Bournet B, Muscari F, Buscail C, Assenat E, Barthet M, Hammel P et al. KRAS G12D Mutation

Subtype Is A Prognostic Factor for Advanced Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. Clin Transl Gastroenterol

2016; 7: e157.

5 McCubrey JA, Steelman LS, Chappell WH, Abrams SL, Wong EWT, Chang F et al. Roles of the

Raf/MEK/ERK pathway in cell growth, malignant transformation and drug resistance. Biochim

Biophys Acta 2007; 1773: 1263–1284.

6 Cox AD, Fesik SW, Kimmelman AC, Luo J, Der CJ. Drugging the undruggable RAS: Mission possible?

Nat Rev Drug Discov 2014; 13: 828–851.

7 Liu F, Yang X, Geng M, Huang M. Targeting ERK, an Achilles' Heel of the MAPK pathway, in cancer

therapy. Acta Pharm Sin B 2018; 8: 552–562.

8 Collins MA, Yan W, Sebolt-Leopold JS, Pasca di Magliano M. MAPK signaling is required for

dedifferentiation of acinar cells and development of pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia in mice.

Gastroenterology 2014; 146: 822–834.e7.

9   Alagesan B, Contino G, Guimaraes AR, Corcoran RB, Deshpande V, Wojtkiewicz GR et al. Combined

MEK and PI3K inhibition in a mouse model of pancreatic cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2015; 21: 396–404.

10   Infante JR, Somer BG, Park JO, Li C-P, Scheulen ME, Kasubhai SM et al. A randomised, double-blind,

placebo-controlled trial of trametinib, an oral MEK inhibitor, in combination with gemcitabine for

patients with untreated metastatic adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. Eur J Cancer 2014; 50: 2072–

2081.

11   Junttila MR, Devasthali V, Cheng JH, Castillo J, Metcalfe C, Clermont AC et al. Modeling targeted

inhibition of MEK and PI3 kinase in human pancreatic cancer. Mol Cancer Ther 2015; 14: 40–47.

12   Collins MA, Bednar F, Zhang Y, Brisset J-C, Galbán S, Galbán CJ et al. Oncogenic Kras is required for

both the initiation and maintenance of pancreatic cancer in mice. J Clin Invest 2012; 122: 639–653.

13   Collins MA, Brisset J-C, Zhang Y, Bednar F, Pierre J, Heist KA et al. Metastatic pancreatic cancer is

dependent on oncogenic Kras in mice. PLoS One 2012; 7: e49707.

14   Lee GY, Kenny PA, Lee EH, Bissell MJ. Three-dimensional culture models of normal and malignant

breast epithelial cells. Nat Methods 2007; 4: 359–365.

15   Viale A, Pettazzoni P, Lyssiotis CA, Ying H, Sánchez N, Marchesini M et al. Oncogene ablation-

resistant pancreatic cancer cells depend on mitochondrial function. Nature 2014; 514: 628–632.

16   Paoli P, Giannoni E, Chiarugi P. Anoikis molecular pathways and its role in cancer progression.

Biochim Biophys Acta 2013; 1833: 3481–3498.

17   Cooper J, Giancotti FG. Integrin Signaling in Cancer: Mechanotransduction, Stemness, Epithelial

357   Plasticity, and Therapeutic Resistance. Cancer Cell 2019; 35: 347–367.

358   18   Bombardelli L, Carpenter ES, Wu AP, Alston N, DelGiorno KE, Crawford HC. Pancreas-specific

359   ablation of beta1 integrin induces tissue degeneration by disrupting acinar cell polarity.

360   Gastroenterology 2010; 138: 2531–40, 2540.e1–4.

361   19   Anderson LR, Owens TW, Naylor MJ. Structural and mechanical functions of integrins. Biophys Rev

362   2014; 6: 203–213.

363   20   Schiller HB, Hermann M-R, Polleux J, Vignaud T, Zanivan S, Friedel CC et al. β1- and αv-class

364   integrins cooperate to regulate myosin II during rigidity sensing of fibronectin-based

365   microenvironments. Nat Cell Biol 2013; 15: 625–636.

366   21   Senthebane DA, Rowe A, Thomford NE, Shipanga H, Munro D, Al Mazeedi MAM et al. The Role of

367   Tumor Microenvironment in Chemoresistance: To Survive, Keep Your Enemies Closer. International

368   Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2017; 18: 1586.

369   22   Venning FA, Wullkopf L, Erler JT. Targeting ECM Disrupts Cancer Progression. Front Oncol 2015; 5:

370   224.

371   23   Lu P, Weaver VM, Werb Z. The extracellular matrix: a dynamic niche in cancer progression. J Cell

372   Biol 2012; 196: 395–406.

373   24   Taddei ML, Giannoni E, Fiaschi T, Chiarugi P. Anoikis: an emerging hallmark in health and diseases. J

374   Pathol 2012; 226: 380–393.

375   25   Desgrosellier JS, Cheresh DA. Integrins in cancer: biological implications and therapeutic

376   opportunities. Nat Rev Cancer 2010; 10: 9–22.

26   dos Santos PB, Zanetti JS, Ribeiro-Silva A, Beltrão EIC. Beta 1 integrin predicts survival in breast

cancer: a clinicopathological and immunohistochemical study. Diagn Pathol 2012; 7: 104.

27   Gonzalez MA, Pinder SE, Wencyk PM, Bell JA, Elston CW, Nicholson RI et al. An

immunohistochemical examination of the expression of E-cadherin, α-and β/γ-catenins, and α2-and

β1-integrins in invasive breast cancer. J Pathol 1999; 187: 523–529.

28   Park CC, Zhang H, Pallavicini M, Gray JW, Baehner F, Park CJ et al. β1Integrin Inhibitory Antibody

Induces Apoptosis of Breast Cancer Cells, Inhibits Growth, and Distinguishes Malignant from

Normal Phenotype in Three Dimensional Cultures andIn vivo. Cancer Research. 2006; 66: 1526–

1535.

29   Sun Q, Zhou C, Ma R, Guo Q, Huang H, Hao J et al. Prognostic value of increased integrin-beta 1

expression in solid cancers: a meta-analysis. Onco Targets Ther 2018; 11: 1787–1799.

30   Kren A, Baeriswyl V, Lehembre F, Wunderlin C, Strittmatter K, Antoniadis H et al. Increased tumor

cell dissemination and cellular senescence in the absence of β1-integrin function. The EMBO

Journal. 2007; 26: 2832–2842.

31   Arao S, Masumoto A, Otsuki M. β1 Integrins Play an Essential Role in Adhesion and Invasion of

Pancreatic Carcinoma Cells. Pancreas. 2000; 20: 129–137.

32   Sawai H, Okada Y, Funahashi H, et al. Activation of focal adhesion kinase enhances the adhesion

and invasion of pancreatic cancer cells via extracellular signal-regulated kinase-1/2 signaling

pathway activation. Mol Cancer. 2005;4:37.

33   Grzesiak JJ, Cao HST, Burton DW, Kaushal S, Vargas F, Clopton P et al. Knockdown of the β1 integrin

subunit reduces primary tumor growth and inhibits pancreatic cancer metastasis. Int J Cancer 2011;

129: 2905–2915.

34   Grzesiak JJ, Ho JC, Moossa AR, Bouvet M. The Integrin-Extracellular Matrix Axis in Pancreatic

Cancer. Pancreas 2007; 35(4): 293-301.

35   Choi C, Kwon J, Lim S, Helfman DM. Integrin β1, myosin light chain kinase and myosin IIA are

required for activation of PI3K-AKT signaling following MEK inhibition in metastatic triple negative

breast cancer. Oncotarget. 2016;7(39):63466–63487.

36   Muranen T, Selfors LM, Worster DT, et al. Inhibition of PI3K/mTOR leads to adaptive resistance in

matrix-attached cancer cells. Cancer Cell 2012; 21(2): 227-239.

37   Bianchi-Smiraglia A, Paesante S, Bakin AV. Integrin β5 contributes to the tumorigenic potential of

breast cancer cells through the Src-FAK and MEK-ERK signaling pathways. Oncogene.

2013;32(25):3049–3058.

38   Kawaguchi Y, Cooper B, Gannon M, Ray M, MacDonald RJ, Wright CVE. The role of the

transcriptional regulator Ptf1a in converting intestinal to pancreatic progenitors. Nat Genet 2002;

32: 128–134.

39   Fisher GH, Wellen SL, Klimstra D, Lenczowski JM, Tichelaar JW, Lizak MJ et al. Induction and

apoptotic regression of lung adenocarcinomas by regulation of a K-Ras transgene in the presence

and absence of tumor suppressor genes. Genes Dev 2001; 15: 3249–3262.

40   Belteki G, Haigh J, Kabacs N, Haigh K, Sison K, Costantini F et al. Conditional and inducible transgene

expression in mice through the combinatorial use of Cre-mediated recombination and tetracycline

induction. Nucleic Acids Res 2005; 33: e51.

41   Olive KP, Tuveson DA, Ruhe ZC, Yin B, Willis NA, Bronson RT et al. Mutant p53 gain of function in

two mouse models of Li-Fraumeni syndrome. Cell 2004; 119: 847–860.

42   Debnath J, Muthuswamy SK, Brugge JS. Morphogenesis and oncogenesis of MCF-10A mammary

epithelial acini grown in three-dimensional basement membrane cultures. Methods 2003; 30: 256–

268.

43   Pinto MP, Jacobsen BM, Horwitz KB. An immunohistochemical method to study breast cancer cell

subpopulations and their growth regulation by hormones in three-dimensional cultures. Front

Endocrinol 2011; 2: 15.

44   Pal A, Kleer CG. Three dimensional cultures: a tool to study normal acinar architecture vs.

malignant transformation of breast cells. J Vis Exp 2014.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1 In a 3D culture system, iKras*;p53* cells recapitulate morphologic characteristics of the primary

tumor. **a** Schematic describing the genetic model of the iKras*;p53* mouse, wherein administration of

doxycycline (DOX) leads to pancreatic-epithelial-cell-specific expression of oncogenic Kras^{G12D}

(dominant-negative p53^{R172H} is also constitutively expressed in the pancreatic epithelium). PDA were

isolated from endogenous tumors arising. **b** Brief description of endogenous primary tumor formation;

In adult mice, DOX was administered through the drinking water. Three days following DOX

administration, pancreatitis was induced through two series of intraperitoneal injections of caerulein.

Following endogenous tumor formation, tissue was harvested from the primary tumor and the cells

were isolated and placed in medium containing DOX. **c** Hematoxylin/eosin stain of primary iKras*p53*

PDAC tumors. **d** Brightfield images of PDAC cell lines in 2D culture, maintained in doxycycline (1µg/mL)

(Kras* on). **e** Hematoxylin/eosin stain of iKras*p53* PDAC cell cross sections, 6 days following plating in

the "on-top" 3D system (cells were also maintained in doxycycline (1µg/mL). **f** Brightfield images of

iKras*p53* cells plated in 3D in the absence or presence of doxycycline (1µg/mL) (Kras* on or off,

respectively), 6 days following plating of cells. **g** Quantification of cluster area size, 6 days following

plating thin the absence (black bars) or presence (yellow bars) of doxycycline (1µg/mL). In

quantification, at least 100 clusters were traced and quantified in combined duplicate treatment wells.

Bars represent average cluster area ± SD. *$p < 0.01$ in Student's t test analysis. Scale bars = 50µm; 500µm

(low magnification)

Fig. 2 iKras*p53* PDAC cells recapitulate oncogenic Kras* effector pathway activation and expression of

membrane proteins in vitro. **a** Nuclear visualization with DAPI along with staining for phosphorylated

ERK (pERK) species, indicating MAPK activity in primary tumors and iKras*p53* PDAC cells grown in 3D

culture for six days. Scale bar: 50μm. **b** Nuclear visualization along with staining for phosphorylated S6

ribosomal protein (pS6) in primary tumors and PDAC cells in 3D culture. **c-j** DAPI staining along with

visualization of membrane proteins e-cadherin and claudin-18 in primary tumor and PDAC cells in 3D

culture.

Fig. 3 Kras* effector blockade abrogates Kras*-mediated growth in 3D. **a-b** Brightfield images of

iKras*p53* cells plated in 3D given either DMSO or a MEK inhibitor for seven days. Quantification of

cluster area, 4 days post treatment; at least 100 clusters per treatment group were analyzed. Bars

represent cluster area mean ± SEM. ANOVA statistical analysis; * indicates $p<0.01$ of the cluster area. **c**

Quantification of cluster cross sections with a single layer of epithelial cells adjacent to the Matrigel as

well as lumen that accounts for >75% of the cluster area. Over 50 cluster cross sections per treatment

group were analyzed. Bars represent single layer cluster prevalence per technical replicate, mean ± SEM.

ANOVA statistical analysis; * indicates $p<0.01$. **d, e** Quantification of cluster cross sections of human

UM5 cell lines and 4668 cell lines. **f, g** Hematoxylin/eosin stains of representative cluster cross sections

of of iKras*p53* cells plated in 3D given either DMSO or a MEK inhibitor for seven days. **h, i**

Immunohistochemical staining of phosphorylated ERK at Thr202 and Tyr204 (pERK); brown dye indicates

positive staining. Scale bars: 50μm.

Fig. 4 MEK inhibition induces apoptotic lumen formation. iKras*p53* PDAC cells (9805) were grown in

3D culture for 6 days and then treated with the MEK inhibitor trametinib (MEK-T) for 4 days (Kras*on

the entire experiment). **a, b** Immunofluorescence staining of cleaved caspase 3 (CC3) in red, indicating

apoptosis. Scale bar: 100μm. **c** Hematoxylin/eosin staining of representative PDAC cluster cross sections

from corresponding treatment groups. Scale bar: 50μm. **d** Quantification of prevalence of PDAC cluster

cross sections wherein the lumen accounts for >75% of the cluster and contains CC3- positive debris.

Bars represent mean prevalence ±SEM of over 50 cluster cross sections in 3 grouped biological repeat

studies. Statistics: student's t test; * indicates p<0.001.

Fig. 5 Matrigel-adjacent PDA cells display a survival advantage. iKras*p53* PDAC cells (9805) were

grown in 3D culture for 6 days and then treated with the MEK inhibitor trametinib (MEK-T) for 4 days

(Kras*on the entire experiment). **a** Representative PDAC cluster cross sections, immunofluorescence

staining of type IV collagen (Col4) in red. Scale bar: 50μm. **b** Quantification of the prevalence of non-

matrigel-adjacent versus matrigel-adjacent, DAPI-positive nuclei in striped and black bars, respectively.

Bars represent mean prevalence ±SEM of over 50 cluster cross sections in 3 grouped biological replicate

studies. Statistics: student's t test; * indicates p<0.001.

Fig. 6 Beta 1 integrin blockade disrupts membrane dynamics. iKras*p53* 9805 PDAC cells were grown in

3D for 7 days and subsequently treated for 4 days with either vehicle IgG or anti-β1 neutralizing

antibody (10μg/mL). **a, b** Brightfield, low-magnification microscopy of 9805 PDAC clusters in vitro. Scale

bar: 250μm **c, g** Hematoxylin/eosin staining of PDAC clusters cross sections, treated with either control

(IgG) or anti-β1 integrin blocking antibody Scale bar: 50μm. **d-f, h-j** Immunofluorescence of PDAC cluster

cross sections. Scale bar: 50μm. **d, h** Nuclear visualization with DAPI; **e, i** Stain indicating e-cadherin

(ECAD) localization; **f, j** Overlay of DAPI and ECAD channels.

Fig. 7 β1 integrin blockade decreases Kras* effector signaling and restricts activation to Matrigel-

adjacent cells. iKras*p53* 9805 PDAC cells were grown in 3D for 7 days and subsequently treated for 4

days with either vehicle IgG or anti-β1 neutralizing antibody (10μg/mL). **a-e, k-o** Pictured are

representative PDAC cluster cross sections of control treated or anti-β1 integrin-treated cells. Scale bar:

50μm. **b, g** Clusters were stained for phosphorylated ERK (pERK) species, indicating MAPK activity. **l, q**

Staining for phosphorylated S6 ribosomal protein (pS6). **c, h, m, r** Staining for β1 integrin. **d, i, n, s**

Overlay of red and green channels. **e, j, o, t** Overlay of DAPI, red, and green channels. **u** Schematic

outlining the potential signaling pathways involved in β1 and β5 integrin signaling that are responsible

for PDAC cell survival and proliferation.

Fig. 8 β1 integrin blockade sensitizes PDA cells to apoptosis. iKras*p53* 9805 PDAC cells were grown in

3D for 7 days and subsequently treated for 4 days with vehicle DMSO, trametinib, an anti-β1 neutralizing

antibody (10μg/mL), or dual trametinib and anti-β1 neutralizing antibody. **a-d** Hematoxylin/eosin stains

of representative cluster cross sections. Scale bar: 50μm. **e-h** Nuclear visualization with DAPI. **i-l**

Immunofluorescence staining of cleaved caspase 3 (CC3) in red, indicating apoptosis. **m-p** Overlay of

DAPI and CC3 channels. **q** Quantification of CC3-positive nuclei. Bars represent mean prevalence of CC3

positivity per defined nucleus ± SEM. At least 50 unique cluster cross sections from 3 biological replicate

experiments were analyzed. Statistical analysis: student's t test. n.s.=$p > 0.05$; * indicates $p < 0.001$. **r**

Single channel and merged channel images of TUNEL immunofluorescent staining of representative

cluster cross sections receiving vehicle, single, or dual treatment. **s** Quantification of TUNEL-positive

nuclei. Every dot represents a cluster indicating the percentage of TUNEL positive nuclei within the

cluster, whereas the horizontal lines are the median percentages of TUNEL positive nuclei throughout

multiple clusters. Statistical analysis: One-way anova with post-hoc analysis. * indicates $p < 0.005$, **

indicates $p < 0.0005$. **t** Diagram depicting cluster phenotype upon response to treatment in 3D cell

culture. Clusters receiving no treatment will form solid, spheroids. With trametinib administration, cells

in the middle of the spheroid will undergo apoptosis leaving a hollow 3D cluster with the remaining

living cells attached to the ECM. Clusters receiving the anti-β1 neutralizing antibody will form

disorganized clusters with similar preference for ECM attachment. There is an increase in apoptosis in

these clusters compared to the control. Clusters receiving dual treatment of trametinib and the anti-β1

neutralizing antibody will again form disorganized clusters with a high percentage of the cells

undergoing apoptosis.

A.

Ptf1a — Cre

p53 — p53^R172H

Rosa 26 — rtTa EGFP

Transgene — TetO Kras^G12D

Pancreas-Specific Expression

p53^R172H

rtTa EGFP

rtTa

-DOX

TetO Kras^G12D

+DOX

TetO Kras^G12D

B.

1) PDAC tumors form endogenously in pancreata of iKras*;p53* mice

2) PDAC cells are expanded in 2D

3) PDA Cells are plated in single-cell suspension atop Matrigel, subsequently forming clusters

Primary Tumor

2D *in vitro*

3D histology

iKras*p53*-3

C. 50µm

D.

E.

F.

-DOX 6d

+DOX 6d

500µm

G.

iKras*p53*-3 Cluster Size

Area (µm²)

5×10^3

4×10^3

3×10^3

2×10^3

1×10^3

-Dox   +Dox

*

A.

50µm

DMSO

B.

MEKi-P, 50nM

C. **iKras*p53*-3 Cluster Size**

Area (µm)

7.5×10³

5.0×10³

2.5×10³

0.0

*

DMSO   50nM   500nM

MEKi-P

D. **UM5 Human Cell Line Cluster Size**

Colony Area (µm²)

5×10³

4×10³

3×10³

2×10³

1×10³

*

DMSO   5nM   50nM   500nM   5uM

MEKi-P

E. **4668 Cluster Size**

Area (µm²)

1×10⁴

8×10³

6×10³

4×10³

2×10³

*

DMSO   MEKi

50nM

H&E   **pERK**

DMSO

F. 50µm **H.**

MEKi-P, 50nM

G. **I.**

J. **Prevalence of clusters with Single-Layered Lumen**

Percent Positive

*

DMSO   50nM   500nM

MEKi-P

A. DMSO — DAPI / CC3 — 100µm

B. MEKi-T, 1µM — DAPI / CC3

C. DMSO   MEKi-T, 1µM — 50 µm

D. Prevalence of PDAC clusters with CC3+ Lumen

Percent Positive

DMSO   MEKi 1µM

*

A

	DAPI	Col4	DAPI/Col4

DMSO

50μm

MEKi, 100nM

B

Prevalence of Basement-Membrane-Adjacent PDAC cells in each cluster

	IgG	β1 integrin neutralizing Ab (10µg/mL)

	H&E	DAPI	ECAD	DAPI/ECAD

	H&E	DAPI	CC3	DAPI/ CC3
Vehicle	A. 50μm	E. 50μm	I.	M.
MEKi-T	B.	F.	J.	N.
Anti-β1 integrin	C.	G.	K.	O.
Combined blockade	D.	H.	L.	P.

Q Prevalence of CC3+ Nuclei per Cluster

Percent Positive vs. DMSO, MEKi 100 nM, α-β1 Int., 10µg/mL, MEKi + α-β1 Int.

n.s. * *

R

	DAPI	TUNEL	DAPI/TUNEL
Vehicle			
MEKi-T			
Anti-β1 integrin			
Combined blockade			

S % TUNEL+ per total nuclei vs. DMSO, MEKi 100nM, α-β1 Int., 10µg/mL, MEKi + α-β1 Int.

T Control   MEKi   Anti B1   MEKi and Anti B1