Large scale genome-wide association study reveals that drought induced lodging in grain sorghum is associated with plant height and traits linked to carbon remobilisation

Xuemin Wang[1], Emma Mace[1,2], Yongfu Tao[1], Alan Cruickshank[2], Colleen Hunt[1,2], Graeme Hammer[3], David Jordan[1*]

[1]Queensland Alliance for Agriculture and Food Innovation, The University of Queensland, Warwick, QLD 4370, Australia.

[2]Agri-Science Queensland, Department of Agriculture & Fisheries (DAF), Warwick, QLD 4370, Australia.

[3]The University of Queensland, Queensland Alliance for Agriculture and Food Innovation, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia.

[*]Corresponding author:

D.J. – david.jordan@uq.edu.au

ORCiD:

X.W. – 0000-0002-2038-8829

E.M. - 0000-0002-5337-8168

Y.T. - 0000-0001-9096-7407

D.J. - 0000-0002-8128-1304

Declarations

Funding

X.W. was financially supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship and a Centennial Scholarship from The University of Queensland (UQ). This study was supported by the Department of Agriculture and

Fisheries in Queensland (DAF), UQ and the Grains Research and Development

Corporation (GRDC) sorghum pre-breeding project (UQ00070).

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Availability of data and material

The data and material used in this study are availability upon request to the

corresponding author.

Code availability

Not Applicable.

Author contributions

X.W., D.J., and G.H. conceived the project. X.W., D.J., E.M., A.C., and Y. T.

contributed to the development of the analytical framework and the synthesis of the

results. X.W. and C.H. conducted the statistical analyses. X.W. conducted GWAS

analysis. X.W. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and revised the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the sorghum pre-breeding team at Hermitage

Research Facility for support of the field trials.

Abstract

Sorghum is generally grown in water limited conditions and often lodges under post-anthesis drought, which reduces yield and quality. Due to its complexity, our understanding on the genetic control of lodging is very limited. We dissected the genetic architecture of lodging in grain sorghum through genome-wide association study (GWAS) on 2308 unique hybrids grown in 17 Australian sorghum trials over 3 years. The GWAS detected 213 QTL, the majority of which showed a significant association with leaf senescence and plant height (72% and 71% respectively). Only 16 lodging QTL were not associated with either leaf senescence or plant height. The high incidence of multi-trait association for the lodging QTL indicates that lodging in grain sorghum is mainly associated with plant height and traits linked to carbohydrate remobilisation. This result supported the selection for stay-green (delayed leaf senescence) to reduce lodging susceptibility, rather than selection for short stature and lodging resistance *per se*, which likely reduces yield. Additionally, our data suggested a protective effect of stay-green on weakening the association between lodging susceptibility and plant height. Our study also showed that lodging resistance might be improved by selection for stem composition but was unlikely to be improved by selection for classical resistance to stalk rots.

Keywords

GWAS, lignin biosynthesis, lodging, plant height, sorghum, stay-green

Key message

We detected 213 lodging QTL and demonstrated that drought induced stem lodging in grain sorghum is substantially associated with stay-green and plant height, suggesting a critical role of carbon remobilisation.

Introduction

Water availability is a primary constraint to crop production worldwide. Among many cereal crops, sorghum is renowned for its tolerance to drought and heat (Hadebe et al. 2017). However, sorghum is often exposed to various types of water stress with drought during grain filling being common (Chapman et al. 2000; Kholová et al. 2013). One of the impacts of water stress during grain filling in sorghum is lodging. Lodging is the permanent displacement of crop stems from their vertical position. It can be caused either by buckling at a basal internode (stem lodging) or by root rotation in the soil (root lodging) (Pinthus 1974). Stem lodging is the major type of lodging induced by drought. When water stress occurs during grain filling, sorghum plants senesce and subsequently lodge due to stem collapse or breakage at the basal internodes (Henzell et al. 1984). This form of lodging is often observed in grain sorghum hybrids grown in Australia (Henzell et al. 1984), the USA (Rosenow 1977), and Argentina (Frezzi and Teyssandier 1980).

The occurrence and severity of lodging depends on the growth environment and the growth stage of the crop. Yield losses due to lodging in grain sorghum in production conditions are not well documented; however, it is believed that lodging, which occurs following water stress during the grain filling stage, causes the most grain loss world-wide, especially in regions where grains are harvested mechanically (Johnson et al. 1997).

The causes of lodging are not well understood. However, many factors may be associated with lodging, including stay-green, plant height, root and stalk rots, and stem characteristics.

Stay-green is an important trait providing tolerance to drought in many cereal crops including sorghum, wheat, maize, and rice (Cha et al. 2002; Christopher et al. 2008; Borrell et al. 2014a, b; Zhang et al. 2019). The stay-green phenotype is expressed as the delayed leaf senescence during grain filling. It is affected by many factors that influence the source-sink relationships within the plant (Borrell and Hammer 2000; Borrell et al. 2001), such as restricted tiller number and reduced upper leaf size resulting in reduced canopy size and pre-anthesis water demand (Borrell et al. 2014b), reduced grain number (Borrell and Hammer 2000), and possibly increased water uptake through narrow nodal root angle (Mace et al. 2012). Stay-green can

increase water availability during post-anthesis water stress (Borrell et al. 2014a),

which reduces the level of carbohydrate remobilisation by ensuring that

photosynthesis continues, and consequently reduces the intensity of carbon

remobilisation from the stems and leaves (Borrell and Douglas 1996).

Unlike stay-green, plant height not only affects carbohydrate supply/demand but also

can have direct physical effects on lodging. Tall sorghum plants produce a higher

stem biomass per grain than short crops (George-Jaeggli et al. 2011). This indicates

that tall sorghum plants have more stem reserves available for translocation to the

grains during post-anthesis water stress than short sorghum plants, which could

potentially lead to less lodging in tall plants than in short plants. On the other hand,

tall sorghum plants generally have higher yield than short sorghum plants (Jordan et

al. 2003), and higher yielding sorghums are more susceptible to terminal drought

stress than are lower yielding sorghums (Rosenow et al. 1983). Additionally the

higher centre of gravity and greater leverage force in tall sorghums means that tall,

high yielding genotypes are more prone to lodging under drought conditions after

flowering.

This already complex interaction of factors is further complicated by the presence of

soil borne root and stalk rotting pathogens, such as *Macrophomina phaseolina* and

Fusarium spp., which may accelerate lodging. These fungi are necrotrophic in nature;

while they may invade healthy plants, they are typically unable to colonise healthy

tissue (Dodd 1980). However, when the stem begins to senesce under water stress

during grain filling, they attack the dying roots and stalks (Srinivasa Reddy et al.

2008). The fungi secrete cell-wall-degrading enzymes (CWDE) to upregulate CWDE-

encoding genes, degrading the integrity of the pith and rind in the basal internodes,

which weakens the stalk and further exacerbates lodging (Bandara et al. 2018).

Lodging is also affected by stem mechanical properties. Associations between stem

lodging and stem strength, rigidity, and chemical composition including lignin density

have been observed (Bashford et al. 1976; Esechie et al. 1977; Murray et al. 2008;

Gomez et al. 2017, 2018). Lignin is one of the key biomolecules in vascular plants

and its functions include structural support and water transport (Boerjan et al. 2003;

Higuchi 2006). Reduced lignin content has been shown to lead to increased lodging

susceptibility in maize (Sun et al. 2018).

Because of its complex nature, limited progress has been made in understanding the

genetic architecture of lodging in sorghum. To date, three studies (Kebede et al.

2001; Murray et al. 2008; Srinivasa Reddy et al. 2008) detected seven QTL

associated with lodging in sorghum with no common QTL being identified across

these studies. Given the complex set of traits known to affect lodging, the lack of

QTL overlap is unsurprising and it is likely that the number of QTL affecting this trait

is large.

The current study aims to dissect the genetic architecture of lodging and the

pleiotropic effect of lodging QTL on stay-green and plant height in large-scale multi-

environment field trials in Australia's northern grain belt. We further investigate the

co-localisation of lodging QTL with other traits including QTL for stalk rot disease

resistance and genes in the lignin biosynthesis pathway.

Materials and Methods

Breeding Trials

This study made use of data from 17 trials of the Australia sorghum pre-breeding program run by the University of Queensland, the Department of Agriculture and Fisheries in Queensland, and the Grains Research and Development Corporation (UQ/DAF/GRDC). The trials were grown in a three year period from 2015-2017 and sampled the major sorghum growing region of Australia from northern New South Wales to central Queensland (from 22.295°S to 31.268°S; from 147.517°E to 152.105°E; Table 1). The 17 trials were selected from a larger set of 38 trials grown by the UQ/DAF/GRDC pre-breeding program during this period on the basis that lodging was recorded in the trial. Lodging is a critical trait for sorghum in Australia and is almost always scored whenever it occurs.

The trials analysed in this study formed part of the advanced yield testing (AYT) component of the pre-breeding program and contained hybrids that had already been subjected to some degree of selection for performance in preliminary trials. The 17 trials comprised 11 different sowing dates extending from 23 September to 18 February. Typical practice within the breeding program is for two trials to be grown at each site; one AYTM trial consisted of F_1 hybrids derived from crosses between a large number of male parent lines and three female testers (i.e. B010054, B963676, and B986604), while the other AYTF trial consisted of F_1 hybrids derived from crosses between a large number of female parent lines and two male testers (i.e. R986087-2-4-1 and R993396). The five testers varied in levels of stay-green (measured by delayed leaf senescence), plant height, and lodging (Table 2). Although the aim within each trial was to have the same number of hybrids between test lines and the respective testers, the numbers varied because of seed availability. Similarly, it was intended that each AYTM or AYTF trial series contained the same hybrids at each site in a particular year but this was also not achieved due to seed constraints. Since the trials were part of an active pre-breeding program, hybrids were added and dropped from the trial series between seasons. As a result, only a proportion of hybrids were in common between each year within the greatest coincidence being 195 in common between consecutive years.

The total number of hybrids grown per trial varied from 408 to 925 depending on
season and location (Table 1), with entries of the trials including both the test hybrids
detailed above and a range of commercial hybrids. All trials were arranged in a
partially replicated row column trial design; on average between 20-30% of hybrids
were replicated at least twice per trial, while the remaining hybrids were only grown
once. All plots consisted of two rows of 5-metre length. Trials were managed
according to local management practices and all were planted using a solid row
configuration (i.e. no use of skip row systems). Row spacing was 0.76 or 1 metre
depending on the dominant agronomic practice of the region. The trial identifiers
used were a combination of trial type ("AYTM" or "AYTF"), year (such as "15" for
2015), and location (e.g. "CAP" for "Capella").

Phenotypes

Lodging was visually scored as the percentage of plants lodged in a plot. Leaf
senescence, as a measure of stay-green, was visually rated from 1 to 9, with 1
indicating less than 10% senescent leaves and 9 indicating over 90% senescent
leaves in a plot. As stay-green (i.e. delayed leaf senescence) was only expressed in
trials suffering from water stress after flowering (Jordan et al. 2012), leaf senescence
ratings were recorded in only 9 of the 17 trials. Plant height was measured in
centimetres from the base of the plant to the tip of the panicle after maturity and was
measured in 11 of the 17 trials. Only 12 of the 17 trials were harvested; the other 5
trials could not be harvested due to severe lodging just before harvest. Grains were
harvested for individual plots with a small plot harvester with integrated load cells;
grain yield of each plot was later converted to tonnes per hectare.

Statistical analysis

Phenotypes were analysed using linear mixed models implemented in the asreml-R
package (Butler et al. 2009) using the R software version 3.3.2 (R Core Team 2016).
Genotype was included as a random effect and Best Linear Unbiased Predictors
(BLUPs) for lodging, leaf senescence and plant height were predicted for all test
hybrids in individual trials after accounting for spatial variation and randomisation
processes used in the design. The concurrence of hybrids between locations and
seasons allowed the trials to be included in multi-environment trials (MET) analysis.
As there were no genotypes in common between AYTM and AYTF, MET analyses

were conducted separately for the two types of trials. Factor analytic (FA) models

were implemented in the MET analyses. The FA models accounted for spatial

variation in individual trials and used between-trial correlations as environmental

loadings through a variance matrix across trials (Smith et al. 2001). An overall BLUP

for each genotype across trials was subsequently predicted.

Broad-sense heritability for each trait in individual trials was estimated according to

the formula developed by Cullis et al. (2006) to account for spatial variability.

Genotyping

DNA from mixed leaf blade tissue of two-week-old seedlings of each line was

extracted using procedures described by Diversity Arrays Technology

(http://www.diversityarrays.com). Samples were genotyped with the DArTseq^{TM}

platform, which represents a combination of DArT complexity reduction methods

based on methyl filtration and next-generation sequencing platforms (Kilian et al.

2012). A total of 24,135 SNPs were identified for all female and male lines across

three years, of which 11,435 SNPs were polymorphic. Missing data were imputed

with Beagle version 4.1 (Browning and Browning 2016), with an accuracy of 94%,

determined through multiple rounds of randomly masking genotypes, imputing

genotype calls and correlating with genotype calls before masking. Following

imputation and removal of SNPs with a minor allele count in less than 5 homozygous

samples, the final marker data sets consisted of between 3,661 and 7,577 SNPs for

the individual AYTM trials and between 3,290 and 3,622 SNPs for the individual

ATYF trails.

Genome-wide association study (GWAS)

GWAS for lodging was conducted separately on parent lines within each tester/trial

combination, in order to avoid the confounding effect of the differences in the genetic

background of the testers and the differences in environments, using FarmCPU (Liu

et al. 2016). As no population structure was observed for hybrids in combination with

each tester, population structure was not included as a covariate in GWAS.

Parameter method.bin was set to "optimum" with the default settings of bin.size and

bin.selection to optimise the possible QTN (quantitative trait nucleotide) window size

and the number of possible QTNs selected into the FarmCPU model. Thirty-nine

separate GWAS were conducted for the thirty-four tester/trial combinations and

hybrids in combination with each of the five testers across trials (five tester

populations). To integrate the GWAS output across the 39 separate analyses, a

comparable method to the mpQTL approach described previously (Mace et al. 2013)

was employed to calculate an integrated probability statistic, to identify regions of the

genome that have a significant contribution to the variation of lodging in the

tester/trial combinations studied. Specifically, the most significant marker for each

tester/trial combination was selected from a sliding window of length 2 cM around

each marker, based on the genetic distances calculated using the sorghum genetic

linkage consensus map (Mace et al. 2009). This process was performed on each

tester/trial combination separately to generate a series of probability values centred

on each marker along each chromosome. The sum of these probability values

across all trial combinations for each tester was then calculated, not including values

where $p > 0.05$ and plotted across the genome for each tester (Supplemental Fig. S1),

with a threshold of $sum(-log10(p)) >= 5.2$ used to identify significance. This threshold

is equivalent to a minimum of 4 trials with significance for lodging at $p < 0.05$ for each

tester, and is more stringent than the criteria of using the Bonferroni corrected p

value (0.05/Number of SNPs) for each single tester/trial combination. The peak

position above the defined significance threshold for each genomic region across

each tester was identified and the results combined across the five testers. Peaks

within 2 cM of each other were considered as the same QTL across testers, with the

peak position considered as the position with the highest $sum(-log10(p))$ within a 2

cM window. The confidence interval (CI) of a QTL was set to its peak position ± 1 cM.

All QTL identified across the five tester populations were projected onto the sorghum

consensus map (Mace et al. 2009) and visualised with MapChart v2.32 (Voorrips

2002).

QTL for lodging identified in the current study were identified as "QLDGX", followed

by chromosome number, a full-stop, and a number suffix indicating the instance of

QTL occurrence on each chromosome, i.e. "QLDGX1.3" refers to the third QTL for

lodging detected on chromosome 1.

QTL haplotype identification

SNPs within the QTL confidence interval of each QTL identified were used for

haplotype identification within all male lines crossed to all three female testers and all

female lines crossed to the two male testers separately. There was only one or no

SNP within the 2 cM confidence interval of 5 QTL for female lines and of 2 QTL for

male lines; hence, these regions were extended to 4 cM to include a minimum of two

SNPs. The final number of SNPs within individual QTL ranged from 2 to 93

depending on the QTL and tester population.

Given the co-ancestry of the breeding populations, the haplotypes represent regions

that are identical-by-descent. Haplotypes were identified for both male and female

lines using SNPs within a QTL using k-means clustering, with the number of clusters

estimated by optimum average Silhouette width implemented in the "pamk" function

of the "fpc" R package (Hennig 2018). Haplotype information based on all male or

female lines were then extracted for each tester population.

Mean values of overall BLUPs for lodging score, leaf senescence, and plant height

of each haplotype of each QTL × tester population were subsequently calculated.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the difference among the means of each trait for

the haplotypes within each QTL/tester population was performed to test the effects of

lodging QTL on leaf senescence and plant height. Haplotypes whose sample sizes

were less than the number of haplotypes plus one were removed to reduce false

positives. In order to determine the directions of the effects of lodging QTL on leaf

senescence and plant height, mean values of leaf senescence and plant height of

the two extreme haplotypes, which had the maximum and minimum average lodging

scores, were calculated for each QTL/tester population. Differences in the mean leaf

senescence and plant height of the two extreme haplotypes were compared to the

corresponding difference in the mean lodging score for each QTL/tester population.

Results

Phenotypic evaluation

Mean lodging in the 17 trials varied from 2.5% to 37.3%, with heritability varying from 33.3% to 89.4% with a mean of 66.0% (Table 3). Genetic correlations of lodging between trials varied from -0.24 to 0.79, with a mean of 0.29 for AYTM and 0.39 for AYTF trials (Supplemental Table S1).

Visual ratings of leaf senescence were recorded in 9 of the 17 trials and varied in both mean and severity of leaf senescence. Across the nine trials, the range of the leaf senescence ratings varied from 1 to 9, with mean ratings varying from 4.2 to 7.8; difference between the ratings of the most and least senescent genotypes within individual trials varied from 4 to 7 (Table 3). Heritability of the trait was generally high, varying from 45.3% to 75.4% with a mean of 65.5%. Genetic correlations of leaf senescence between trials ranged from 0.30 to 0.92, with a mean of 0.53 for AYTM and 0.39 for AYTF trials (Supplemental Table S1).

Plant height was measured in 11 of the 17 trials, with the range varying from 76 to 210 cm, and with mean plant height varying from 109 to 132.4 cm. Differences between the tallest and shortest plants within individual trials varied from 56 to 112 cm (Table 3). Heritability of plant height was very high, varying from 84.9% to 94.2%, with a mean of 88.7%. Genetic correlations of plant height between trials were also very high, varying from 0.76 to 0.97, with a mean of 0.88 for AYTM and 0.86 for AYTF trials (Supplemental Table S1).

Twelve of the 17 trials were harvested and they varied in both the range and mean of grain yield. Across the 12 trials, the range of grain yield varied from 0 to 11.33 t ha^{-1}, with mean yield varying from 2.59 to 7.25 t ha^{-1} (Table 3). Heritability of grain yield varied from 34.9% to 77.1%, with a mean of 56.5%. Genetic correlations of yield between trials varied from -0.07 to 0.71, with a mean of 0.20 for AYTM and 0.11 for AYTF trials (Supplemental Table S1).

Weak to moderate correlations between overall BLUPs for lodging and leaf senescence, and between overall BLUPs for lodging and plant height, were observed, ranging from 0.22 to 0.58, ranged from 0.25 to 0.42 (Fig. 1). In individual trials, correlations between lodging and leaf senescence, between lodging and plant

height were also weakly to moderately positive for all five tester populations (Supplemental Fig. S2).

Between-trait correlations of hybrids varied between the testers (Table 2; Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S2). Comparisons could only be made within female or male testers but could not be made between male and female testers because even though they were grown at the same locations, the male and female tester hybrids were grown in different trials and there were differences in the genetic variance of the traits in the different parental pools. However, the same general trends were observed. Testers with low breeding values for leaf senescence in both female and male trials showed low correlations between leaf senescence and lodging (Table 2; Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S2). The female tester with the lowest breeding value for height showed the lowest correlation between hybrid height and lodging. In contrast, within the male testers the line with the higher breeding value for height (R986087-2-4-1) displayed a lower association between height and lodging.

QTL identification

Across the 39 tester/trial combinations, a total of 213 unique QTL for lodging were detected. These QTL were distributed across all 10 chromosomes. Each individual QTL was detected in multiple tester/trial combinations, with 75% of the QTL identified in at least five tester/trial combinations (Supplemental Table S2).

The number of QTL identified per tester population differed largely, with the least number of QTL (i.e. 59 and 64 respectively) detected for the R986087-2-4-1 and R993396 hybrids of the AYTF trials and the most number of QTL identified for the B963676 hybrids in the AYTM trials (176 QTL). The majority of the lodging QTL were in common across tester populations, with 61% (i.e. 129) displaying significant effects in at least two tester populations (Supplemental Table S2). Of the lodging QTL which were identified in only one population, the majority (i.e. 60/84) were identified in the B963676 hybrid trials.

Association of leaf senescence and plant height with lodging QTL

The QTL that affected lodging showed consistent directions of effect for both plant height and leaf senescence. In both the male and female populations, the QTL haplotypes with high lodging tended to have high leaf senescence. Similarly, the

QTL haplotypes with high lodging tended to be taller. The 213 QTL by 5 testers

produced 1065 instances where the difference between the extreme lodging

haplotypes could be compared for the other two traits. For leaf senescence in 808 of

1065 instances, the high lodging haplotype corresponded with the high senescence

haplotype. For plant height in 799 of 1065 instances, the high lodging haplotype

corresponded with the tall haplotype. The direction and significance of these

haplotype differences are shown in Supplemental Table S2.

Only 16 lodging QTL were not significantly associated with either plant height or leaf

senescence in at least one tester. In addition, 6 lodging QTL were associated with

leaf senescence or plant height but showed the opposite haplotype effects (i.e. high

lodging haplotypes had lower leaf senescence or were shorter). These 22 QTL were

defined as non-pleiotropic effect QTL (npQTL) (Fig. 2; Supplemental Table S2).

Co-localisation with previously identified QTL for lodging and stalk rots

Six of the seven previously identified QTL contributing to lodging resistance (Kebede

et al. 2001; Murray et al. 2008; Srinivasa Reddy et al. 2008) were able to be

projected onto the sorghum genetic linkage consensus map and all 6 overlapped

with lodging QTL identified in this study (Fig. 2). These six QTL were identified in

mapping populations of between 93 and 176 individuals and hence had large

confidence intervals.

Two previous studies detected 34 unique QTL for resistance to charcoal rot and/or

Fusarium stalk rot (Srinivasa Reddy et al. 2008; Adeyanju et al. 2015), of which 12

overlapped with lodging QTL detected in this study (Fig 2). Eleven of these 12

overlapping lodging QTL were associated with leaf senescence and/or plant height,

with only one of the charcoal rot QTL co-locating with the npQTL for lodging.

Co-localisation with genes for stem composition

A limited number of genes for stem composition have been identified and functionally

validated in sorghum. Based on phylogenetic relationships with functionally

confirmed genes in sorghum and other species, predicted translational protein

functions, enzymatic activity assay, and gene expression patterns, 21 candidate

genes in the lignin biosynthesis pathways have been identified in sorghum (Bout and

Vermerris 2003; Saballos et al. 2009, 2012, Sattler et al. 2009, 2017, Walker et al.

2013, 2016, Jun et al. 2017, 2018; Wang et al. 2017). Additionally, the major

morphological *D* gene, which changes the structure of the sorghum pith, was

recently cloned (Zhang et al. 2018). Each of these genes was compared to the

location of the QTL for lodging identified in this study.

Twelve of the 21 genes in the lignin biosynthesis pathway in sorghum were located

within the lodging QTL identified in this study, representing a significant enrichment

(χ^2 test, p value = 0.008) (Fig. 2). To confirm this enrichment, comparison was made

to multiple sets of 21 randomly selected sorghum house-keeping genes identified

from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Scheideler et al. 2002) and these were found not to be

significantly enriched within the lodging QTL identified in this study (χ^2 test, p value =

0.07, average of 20 independent random samplings).

The recently cloned *Dry* gene controls the stem juice content and the composition

and structure of the stem pith, and influences the water content of the stem (Zhang

et al. 2018). For this reason, the gene is likely to affect stem strength. It was found to

be co-located with one of the QTL associated with leaf senescence, QLDGX6.9.

Discussion

Sorghum crops experiencing drought stress during grain filling often lodge, resulting in substantial yield losses. Lodging can be affected by many factors such as plant stature, stay-green and stalk rots. Plant height may further influence lodging by changing the mechanical stress experienced by the stem. If stalk rotting pathogens are present and environmental circumstances are favourable, lodging is further exacerbated by the degradation of the stem. Direct selection for resistance to stalk rots has not proven to be effective in reducing lodging susceptibility. To date, selection for lodging resistance has been based on selection against lodging susceptibility and to some extent selection for stay-green (Jordan et al. 2012). A major challenge to this approach is that genotypes that are more susceptible to lodging also tend to have higher yield potential and hence are more likely to suffer carbohydrate shortages when carbon supply is restricted by drought. As a result, selection for lodging resistance constrains genetic gain for grain yield and vice versa. Little is known about the genetic architecture of the trait due to its complexity. Here, with a view to improving the efficiency of selection for lodging resistance, we present the largest investigation of the genetic architecture of lodging in sorghum to date. The results of this study have revealed the complexity of the genetic architecture of the trait with over 200 QTL identified. Of these QTL, over 90% were also associated with differences in plant height and leaf senescence.

Lodging is under complex genetic control

In this study, we conducted GWAS across 39 separate tester/trial combinations involving 2308 unique elite F_1 hybrids in 17 pre-breeding trials across 9 locations in 3 growing seasons. The populations explored variation in both the male and female parental pools. We identified 213 QTL for lodging, which was equivalent to an average of 5.6 QTL per tester/trial combination. Three quarters of the QTL were detected in at least five and 91 QTL in more than 10 tester/trial combinations (Supplemental Table S2). The large number of QTL identified in this study demonstrates that lodging is a highly quantitative trait, and is one of the most complex traits reported to date.

All previous QTL mapping studies for lodging resistance in sorghum were conducted in small bi-parental populations (93-176 individuals) in a limited number of

environments (1-3). These studies have identified a total of 7 QTL, on average 2.3

QTL/study, none of which overlapped between studies (Kebede et al. 2001; Murray

et al. 2008; Srinivasa Reddy et al. 2008). However, 6 of the 7 QTL projected onto the

sorghum consensus map (Mace et al. 2009) overlapped with QTL identified in the

current study (Fig. 2). It was very likely that large population sizes, diverse genetic

background of breeding lines, and the large number of growth environments

contributed to the identification of more QTL for lodging in this study.

Carbohydrate remobilisation and plant height are the major drivers of lodging

Leaf senescence rated at maturity in sorghum subjected to water stress during grain

filling provides a measure of the degree of remobilisation required to fill the

developing grain (Borrell and Hammer 2000). The degree of senescence is related to

the imbalance between the demand and supply of carbohydrate within the plant.

Remobilisation of carbohydrate reserves from the stem and leaves ultimately results

in tissue death and predisposes the plant to lodging. The degree of lodging can be

further modified by constitutive factors of the stem and by pathogen invasion

(Henzell et al. 1984). In this study, we found that the lodging score was positively

correlated ($p < 0.001$) with leaf senescence, across environments and genetic

background of testers (Table 2; Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S2A). Sixty-nine percent of

the 213 lodging QTL identified showed a significant positive association between

lodging score and leaf senescence (Supplemental Table S2). This clearly suggests

an important role of carbohydrate remobilisation in lodging. This is in line with the

earlier studies (Rosenow 1980; Henzell et al. 1984; Rosenow and Clark 1995) that

showed increased lodging was associated with increased leaf senescence.

This and other studies led to the adoption of the stay-green trait (delayed leaf

senescence) as an additional protective trait in Australia and the USA, where it has

led to significant increases in grain yield as well as reduced lodging (Henzell et al.

1992; Henzell and Hare 1996; Rosenow et al. 1997; Jordan et al. 2012). The results

from both our study and previous studies indicate that the stay-green trait, a delayed

leaf senescence, is a very useful trait in reducing stalk lodging.

This study also detected a significant positive association between lodging score and

plant height regardless of the environments and genetic background of testers (Fig.

1; Supplemental Fig. S2B). A positive association between lodging and plant height

has also been reported by earlier studies (Esechie et al. 1977; Henzell et al. 1984;
Srinivasa Reddy et al. 2008; Gomez et al. 2017, 2018). In agreement with the
phenotypic association between plant height and lodging score, the haplotype
ANOVA results revealed that 66% of the 213 lodging QTL identified in this study
significantly affected lodging susceptibility with taller plants being more susceptible to
lodging (Supplemental Table S2). However, similar to stay-green, differences in the
association between plant height and lodging were observed amongst the testers
(Table 2; Fig. 1). Testers with more stay-green were less susceptible to negative
effects of increased plant height on lodging. In fact, the male tester with a lower leaf
senescence value (R986087-2-4-1) produced hybrids with higher average height but
showed a lower association between height and lodging. Similar protective effects of
stay-green were observed when the female testers were compared. Compared to
B010054, the less leaf senescent female tester B963676 that also produced taller
hybrids on average showed a lower association between height and lodging.

We also investigated the impact of yield potential on lodging because high yielding
genotypes tend to remobilise more stem reserves for grain filling, which potentially
causes more lodging. Our data suggest that yield potential is an important factor in
lodging. Overall BLUPs for lodging were predicted to get a measure of lodging
propensity for each hybrid and single trial BLUPs for grain yield were predicted for
each hybrid in individual trials. We calculated the correlation between overall BLUPs
for lodging and single trial BLUPs for yield for trials where lodging did not occur and
observed significant, positive correlations in 24 of the 45 tester/trial combinations
and significant, negative correlations in only 4 combinations (Supplemental Table
S3).

The impact of stalk rotting pathogens

Stalk rots can also accelerate lodging of infected plants. Very few studies have
identified QTL for resistance to stalk rot in sorghum to date. Twelve of the 34 unique
stalk rot resistance QTL previously detected (Srinivasa Reddy et al. 2008; Adeyanju
et al. 2015) overlapped with lodging QTL in this study, 11 of which were associated
with leaf senescence and/or plant height (Fig. 2). Given that *Fusarium* spp. and
Macrophomina phaseolina are necrotrophs (Dodd 1980) and the observation of the
substantial association with remobilisation and/or plant height, it seems likely that

classical resistance genes are of limited importance in the selection for enhanced
lodging resistance.

506 The impact of stem composition

Twelve of the 21 genes involved in lignin biosynthesis (Bout and Vermerris 2003;
Saballos et al. 2009, 2012, Sattler et al. 2009, 2017, Walker et al. 2013, 2016, Jun et
al. 2017, 2018; Wang et al. 2017) were located within genomic regions associated
with lodging in this study (Fig. 2), representing a significant enrichment (χ^2 test, p
value = 0.008). Lodging resistant sorghum generally have more lignification, both in
the number of cells with lignified walls and in the degree of lignification in the
epidermis, sub-epidermis, and vascular bundles (Schertz and Rosenow 1977).
Similar results have been previously observed in maize, with reduced lignin content
in the stem resulting in increased stalk lodging (Sun et al. 2018). More recently,
Zhang et al. (2020) reported that downregulation of a maize gene, *stiff1*, resulted in
thicker stalk cell walls with increased cellulose and lignin, which improved stalk
strength and reduced lodging.

Previous studies have reported that sorghum lines with both increased (e.g.
SbMyb60 overexpression plants) and reduced lignin content (e.g. *bmr* lines) can
provide the same level of or higher resistance to *Fusarium* spp*.* and *Macrophomina*
phaseolina than sorghum lines with normal lignin content (Funnell-Harris et al. 2010,
2014, 2017, 2018, 2019). This might be due to the inhibition the infection of stalk
rotting pathogens by cell-wall-bound phenolic compounds from monolignol
biosynthesis that can induce defensible pathways (Funnell-Harris et al. 2017).
Therefore, the role of lignin in lodging resistance may act in two ways, either by
providing mechanical support to the stem (Bashford et al. 1976) or by restricting the
infection of stalk rotting pathogens.

The recently cloned *Dry* gene controls the stem juice content and the composition
and structure of the stem pith, and influences the water content of the stem (Zhang
et al. 2018). We found that the *Dry* gene co-located with one of the QTL associated
with leaf senescence (QLDGX6.9). Using markers within the *Dry* gene, four
haplotypes were identified and they differed in lodging severity (data not presented).
For the above reasons, we believe that the *Dry* gene is likely to affect stem strength
and thus lodging, which may or may not be associated with stalk rots as reported by

Funnell-Harris et al. (2016) that both susceptible and resistant sweet sorghum lines

to *F.* spp. and *M. phaseolina* had been identified (Funnell-Harris et al. 2016).

These two co-localisations with lodging QTL suggest stem composition plays an

important role in lodging, and could be a useful target for selection.

540 Implications for breeding and future research

The identification of 213 QTL in this study demonstrates that lodging is a highly

quantitative trait with multiple QTL each of small effect.

Lodging is affected by many traits with confounding effects. However, one primary

cause of lodging is the imbalance between the supply and demand of carbohydrate

during grain filling. A breeding program selecting for lodging resistance *per se* is

likely to be of limited effectiveness because of the trade-off with yield. Our study

showed that there is a strong association between grain yield potential and lodging

susceptibility (Supplemental Table S3). This suggests that sorghum breeders must

be cautious when selecting directly for lodging resistance as it is likely to significantly

constrain genetic gain for yield. The results support the current practice of direct

selection for increased yield and indirect selection for lodging resistance by selecting

for delayed leaf senescence (stay-green). In addition to its role in reducing lodging,

the integrating stay-green phenotype has been shown to be associated with

increased yield in most Australian sorghum environments (Henzell et al. 1992;

Henzell and Hare 1996; Borrell et al. 2000, 2014b; Jordan et al. 2012) and the USA

(Rosenow et al. 1997).

Our study confirmed the undocumented observation by Australian sorghum industry

personnel that increased plant height is associated with increased propensity to

lodging (Table 2; Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S2B). However, as with yield, sorghum

breeders need to be cautious when selecting for lodging resistance through the

selection for short plants because taller plants tend to have higher potential grain

yield (Jordan et al. 2003). Our research indicates that the traits associated with the

integrating stay-green phenotype provides protective effects, weakening the

association between plant height and lodging (Table 2; Fig. 1). Therefore, there

would appear to be opportunities to increase genetic yield gain by increasing height

while reducing lodging susceptibility through selection for traits associated with non-

senescence.

Although stalk rots can promote the development and the severity of lodging,

previous studies suggest stalk rot susceptibility is affected by source-sink

relationships and considered to be a problem under post-anthesis stresses (Dodd

1980; Henzell et al. 1984; Rosenow 1984; Rosenow and Clark 1995). Consistent

with these early studies, we found that 12 of the 34 QTL for stalk rot resistance co-

located with lodging QTL in this study, 11 of which were related to leaf senescence

and/or plant height (Fig. 2). Direct selection for resistance to stalk rots has not been

proven to be effective in reducing lodging susceptibility. Our data indicates that

classical resistance genes to stalk rots are likely to be of limited importance in

breeding for increased lodging resistance.

Co-localisations of the genes for stem composition with lodging QTL in the study

highlight the importance of stem strength and composition in lodging resistance.

More research is required to investigate the relationship between morphological,

mechanical, and composite traits of the stem and lodging resistance. The

identification of traits related to physical stem strength that can improve resistance to

lodging may prove to be a more effective and efficient way by reducing the

dependency of screening lodging in drought conditions during grain filling.

Conclusions

The detection of 213 QTL clearly demonstrates that water stress induced lodging is a

complex trait in grain sorghum. The majority of these QTL were associated with leaf

senescence and/or plant height, which suggests that lodging in grain sorghum in

Australia is mainly driven by the source-sink relationship of carbohydrate and plant

height. Sorghum breeders need to be cautious when selecting for both lodging

resistance *per se* and short plants because of the likely negative consequences on

grain yield. Instead, our study supports selecting for stay-green (delayed leaf

senescence) to reduce lodging susceptibility. Additionally, our data indicated that the

stay-green phenotype displayed a protective effect, weakening the positive

association between lodging susceptibility and plant height. Therefore, the

combination of selection for both delayed leaf senescence and increased plant

height could allow for both enhancing genetic yield improvement and reducing

susceptibility to lodging. Additionally whilst our study indicated that resistance to

lodging is unlikely to benefit from the selection for classical resistant genes to stalk

rots, selection for stem composition could play an important role in enhancing

lodging resistance.

References

Adeyanju A, Little C, Yu J, Tesso T (2015) Genome-Wide Association Study on Resistance to Stalk Rot Diseases in Grain Sorghum. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics 5:1165–1175. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.114.016394

Bandara YMAY, Weerasooriya DK, Liu S, Little CR (2018) The Necrotrophic Fungus Macrophomina phaseolina Promotes Charcoal Rot Susceptibility in Grain Sorghum Through Induced Host Cell-Wall-Degrading Enzymes. Phytopathology 108:948–956. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-12-17-0404-R

Bashford LL, Maranville JW, Weeks SA, Campbell R (1976) Mechanical Properties Affecting Lodging of Sorghum. Trans ASAE 19:962–966

Boerjan W, Ralph J, Baucher M (2003) LIGNIN BIOSYNTHESIS. Annu Rev Plant Biol 54:519–546. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.54.031902.134938

Borrell A, Hammer G, Van Oosterom E (2001) Stay-green: A consequence of the balance between supply and demand for nitrogen during grain filling? Ann Appl Biol 138:91–95. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.2001.tb00088.x

Borrell AK, Douglas ACL (1996) Maintaining green leaf area in grain sorghum increases yield in a water-limited environment. In: Proceedings of the third Australian sorghum conference. Tamworth, NSW, pp 315–322

Borrell AK, Hammer GL (2000) Nitrogen dynamics and the physiological basis of stay-green in Sorghum. Crop Sci 40:1295–1307. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2000.4051295x

Borrell AK, Hammer GL, Douglas ACL (2000) Does maintaining green leaf area in sorghum improve yield under drought? II. Dry Matter Production and Yield. Crop Sci 40:1026–1037. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2000.4041037x

Borrell AK, Mullet JE, George-Jaeggli B, et al (2014a) Drought adaptation of stay-green sorghum is associated with canopy development, leaf anatomy, root growth, and water uptake. J Exp Bot 65:6251–6263. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru232

Borrell AK, van Oosterom EJ, Mullet JE, et al (2014b) Stay-green alleles individually enhance grain yield in sorghum under drought by modifying canopy

development and water uptake patterns. New Phytol 203:817–830.

https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12869

Bout S, Vermerris W (2003) A candidate-gene approach to clone the sorghum Brown

midrib gene encoding caffeic acid O-methyltransferase. Mol Genet Genomics

269:205–214. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-003-0824-4

Browning BL, Browning SR (2016) Genotype imputation with millions of reference

samples. Am J Hum Genet 98:116–126

Butler DG, Cullis BR, Gilmour AR, Gogel BJ (2009) ASReml-R reference manual

Cha KW, Lee YJ, Koh HJ, et al (2002) Isolation, characterization, and mapping of the

stay green mutant in rice. Theor Appl Genet 104:526–532.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220100750

Chapman SC, Cooper M, Hammer GL, Butler DG (2000) Genotype by environment

interactions affecting grain sorghum. II. Frequencies of different seasonal

patterns of drought stress are related to location effects on hybrid yields. Aust J

Agric Res 51:209–221. https://doi.org/10.1071/AR99021

Christopher JT, Manschadi AM, Hammer GL, Borrell AK (2008) Developmental and

physiological traits associated with high yield and stay-green phenotype in

wheat. Aust J Agric Res 59:354–364. https://doi.org/10.1071/AR07193

Cullis BR, Smith AB, Coombes NE (2006) On the Design of Early Generation Variety.

J Agric Biol Environ Stat 11:381–393.

https://doi.org/10.1198/108571106X154443

Dodd JL (1980) The photosynthetic stress-translocation balance concept of sorghum

stalk rots. In: In Sorghum diseases, a world review: Proceedings of the

international workshop on sorghum diseases, sponsored jointly by Texas A&M

University, USA, and ICRISAT, Patancheru, A.P. 502324, India. pp 300–305

Esechie HA, Maranville JW, Ross WM (1977) Relationship of Stalk Morphology and

Chemical Composition to Lodging Resistance in Sorghum. Crop Sci 17:609–612

Frezzi M, Teyssandier EE (1980) Summay and historical review of sorghum in

Argentina. In: Sorghum Diseases - A World Review. Proceedings of The

International Workshop on Sorghum Diseases. pp 11–14

Funnell-Harris DL, Gfbru U, States U (2014) Response of Fusarium thapsinum to Sorghum brown midrib Lines and to Phenolic Metabolites. Plant Dis 98:1300–1308

Funnell-Harris DL, Neill PMO, Gfbru U, States U (2016) Response of Sweet Sorghum Lines to Stalk Pathogens Fusarium thapsinum and Macrophomina phaseolina. Plant Dis 100:896–903

Funnell-Harris DL, Neill PMO, Sattler SE (2018) Field response of near-isogenic brown midrib sorghum lines to fusarium stalk rot, and response of wildtype lines to controlled water deficit. Plant Pathol 67:1474–1482. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.12863

Funnell-Harris DL, Neill PMO, Sattler SE, et al (2017) Response of sorghum stalk pathogens to brown midrib plants and soluble phenolic extracts from near isogenic lines. Eur J Plant Pathol 148:941–953. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-017-1148-2

Funnell-Harris DL, Pedersen JF, Sattler SE (2010) Alteration in Lignin Biosynthesis Restricts Growth of Fusarium spp. in Brown Midrib Sorghum. Phytopathology 100:671–681

Funnell-Harris DL, Sattler SE, Neill PMO, et al (2019) Response of Sorghum Enhanced in Monolignol Biosynthesis to Stalk Rot Pathogens. Plant Dis 103:2277–2287. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-09-18-1622-RE

George-Jaeggli B, Jordan DR, van Oosterom EJ, Hammer GL (2011) Decrease in sorghum grain yield due to the dw3 dwarfing gene is caused by reduction in shoot biomass. F Crop Res 124:231–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2011.07.005

Gomez FE, Muliana AH, Niklas KJ, Rooney WL (2017) Identifying Morphological and Mechanical Traits Associated with Stem Lodging in Bioenergy Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor). Bioenergy Res 10:635–647. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12155-017-9826-7

Gomez FE, Muliana AH, Rooney WL (2018) Predicting stem strength in diverse bioenergy sorghum genotypes. Crop Sci 58:739–751.

https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2017.09.0588

Hadebe ST, Modi AT, Mabhaudhi T (2017) Drought Tolerance and Water Use of Cereal Crops: A Focus on Sorghum as a Food Security Crop in Sub-Saharan Africa. J Agron Crop Sci 203:177–191. https://doi.org/10.1111/jac.12191

Hennig C (2018) fpc: Flexible Procedures for Clustering. R package version 2.1-11.1

Henzell R, Brengman RL, Fletcher DS, McCosker AN (1992) Relationship between yield and staygreen in some grain sorghum hybrids grown under terminal drought stress. In: Proceedings of the Second Australian Sorghum Conference. pp 355–359

Henzell RG, Dodman RL, Done AA, et al (1984) Lodging, Stalk Rot, and Root Rot in Sorghum in Australia. In: Mughogho L, Rosenberg G (eds) Sorghum Root and Stalk Rots, A Critical Reivew: Proceedings of the Consultative Group Discussion on Research Needs and Strategies for Control of Sorghum Root and Stalk Rot Diseases, 27 Nov - 2 Dec 1983, Bellagio, Italy. Patancheru, A.P. 502324, India: ICR. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Bellagio, Italy, pp 225–236

Henzell RG, Hare BW (1996) Sorghum Breeding in Austrlaia - Public and Private Endeavours. In: Proceedings of the Third Australian Conference. pp 159–171

Higuchi T (2006) Look back over the studies of lignin biochemistry. J Wood Sci 52:2–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10086-005-0790-z

Johnson JW, Stegmeier WD, Andrews DJ, et al (1997) Genetic Resistance to Lodging. In: Proceedings of The International Conference on Genetic Improvement of Sorghum and Pearl Millet. Lubbock, Texas, pp 481–489

Jordan DR, Hunt CH, Cruickshank AW, et al (2012) The Relationship Between the Stay-Green Trait and Grain Yield in Elite Sorghum Hybrids Grown in a Range of Environments. Crop Sci 52:1153–1161. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2011.06.0326

Jordan DR, Tao Y, Godwin ID, et al (2003) Prediction of hybrid performance in grain sorghum using RFLP markers. Theor Appl Genet 106:559–567. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-002-1144-5

Jun S-Y, Sattler SA, Cortez GS, et al (2018) Biochemical and Structural Analysis of Substrate Specificity of a Phenylalanine Ammonia-Lyase. Plant Physiol 176:1452–1468. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.17.01608

Jun S-Y, Walker AM, Kim H, et al (2017) The Enzyme Activity and Substrate Specificity of Two Major Cinnamyl Alcohol Dehydrogenases in Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor), SbCAD2 and SbCAD4. Plant Physiol 174:2128–2145. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.17.00576

Kebede H, Subudhi PK, Rosenow DT, Nguyen HT (2001) Quantitative trait loci influencing drought tolerance in grain sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench). Theor Appl Genet 103:266–276. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220100541

Kholová J, Mclean G, Vadez V, et al (2013) Drought stress characterization of post-rainy season (rabi) sorghum in India. F Crop Res 141:38–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2012.10.020

Kilian A, Wenzl P, Huttner E, et al (2012) Diversity Arrays Technology: A Generic Genome Profiling Technology on Open Platforms. In: Pompanon F, Bonin A (eds) Data Production and Analysis in Population Genomics. Methods in Molecular Biology (Methods and Protocols), vol 888. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, pp 67–89

Liu X, Huang M, Fan B, et al (2016) Iterative Usage of Fixed and Random Effect Models for Powerful and Efficient Genome-Wide Association Studies. PLoS Genet 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-13-100

Mace ES, Hunt CH, Jordan DR (2013) Supermodels: sorghum and maize provide mutual insight into the genetics of flowering time. Theor Appl Genet 126:1377–1395. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-013-2059-z

Mace ES, Rami J-FF, Bouchet S, et al (2009) A consensus genetic map of sorghum that integrates multiple component maps and high-throughput Diversity Array Technology (DArT) markers. BMC Plant Biol 9:13. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-9-13

Mace ES, Singh V, Van Oosterom EJ, et al (2012) QTL for nodal root angle in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) co-locate with QTL for traits associated

with drought adaptation. Theor Appl Genet 124:97–109

Murray SC, Rooney WL, Mitchell SE, et al (2008) Genetic improvement of sorghum
as a biofuel feedstock: II. QTL for stem and leaf structural carbohydrates. Crop
Sci 48:2180–2193

Pinthus MJ (1974) Lodging in wheat, barley, and oats: The phenomenom, its causes,
and preventive measures. Adv Agron 25:209–263

R Core Team (2016) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-
project.org/.

Rosenow DT (1980) Stalk rot resistance breeding in Texas. In: ICRISAT
(International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics) (ed) Sorghum
Diseases, A Wrold Review: Proceedings of the International Workshop on
Sorghum Diseases. Hyderabad, India, pp 306–314

Rosenow DT (1984) Breeding for resistance to root and stalk rots in Texas. In:
Interanational Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ed) Sorghum
Root and Stalk Rots, A Critical Reivew: Proceedings of the Consultative Group
Discussion on Research Needs and Strategies for Control of Sorghum Root and
Stalk Rot Diseases, 27 Nov - 2 Dec 1983, Bellagio, Italy. Patancheru, A.P.
502324, India: ICR. pp 209–218

Rosenow DT (1977) Breeding for lodging resistance in sorghum. In: Proceedings of
the 32nd Annual Corn and Sorghum Research Conference. Dec. 6-8, Chicago,
IL, pp 171–185

Rosenow DT, Clark LE (1995) Drought and lodging resistance for a quality sorghum
crop. In: Fiftieth annual corn and sorghum industry research conference,
December 6-7, 1995. American Seed Trade Association, Chicago, IL, pp 82–97

Rosenow DT, Ejeta G, Clark LE, et al (1997) Breeding for Pre- and Post-Flowering
Drought Stress Resistance in Sorghum. In: Proceedings of The International
Conference on Genetic Improvement of Sorghum and Pearl Millet. pp 400–411

Rosenow DT, Quisenberry JE, Wendt CW, Clark LE (1983) Drought tolerant
sorghum and cotton germplasm. Agric Water Manag 7:207–222.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-3774(83)90089-6

Saballos A, Ejeta G, Sanchez E, et al (2009) A Genomewide Analysis of the

Cinnamyl Alcohol Dehydrogenase Family in Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.)

Moench] Identifies SbCAD2 as the Brown midrib6 Gene. Genetics 181:783–795.

https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.108.098996

Saballos A, Sattler SE, Sanchez E, et al (2012) Brown midrib2 (Bmr2) encodes the

major 4-coumarate□: coenzyme A ligase involved in lignin biosynthesis in

sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench). Plant J 70:818–830.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2012.04933.x

Sattler SA, Walker AM, Vermerris W, et al (2017) Structural and Biochemical

Characterization of Cinnamoyl-CoA Reductases. Plant Physiol 173:1031–1044.

https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.01671

Sattler SE, Saathoff AJ, Haas EJ, et al (2009) A Nonsense Mutation in a Cinnamyl

Alcohol Dehydrogenase Gene Is Responsible for the Sorghum brown midrib6

Phenotype. Plant Physiol 150:584–595. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.109.136408

Scheideler M, Schlaich NL, Fellenberg K, et al (2002) Monitoring the switch from

housekeeping to pathogen defense metabolism in Arabidopsis thaliana using

cDNA arrays. J Biol Chem 277:10555–10561.

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M104863200

Schertz KF, Rosenow DT (1977) Anatomical Variation in Stalk Internodes of

Sorghum. Crop Sci 17:628–631

Smith A, Cullis B, Thompson R (2001) Analyzing Variety by Environment Data Using

Multiplicative Mixed Models and Adjustments. Biometrics 57:1138–1147.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0006-341X.2001.01138.x

Srinivasa Reddy P, Fakrudin B, Rajkumar, et al (2008) Molecular mapping of

genomic regions harboring QTLs for stalk rot resistance in sorghum. Euphytica

159:191–198. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-007-9472-9

Sun Q, Liu X, Yang J, et al (2018) microRNA528 Affects Lodging Resistance of

Maize by Regulating Lignin Biosynthesis under Nitrogen-Luxury Conditions. Mol

Plant 11:806–814. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2018.03.013

Voorrips RE (2002) MapChart: Software for the Graphical Presentation of Linkage

813   Maps and QTLs. J Hered 93:77–78. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/93.1.77

814 Walker AM, Hayes RP, Youn B, et al (2013) Elucidation of the Structure and

815   Reaction Mechanism of Sorghum Hydroxycinnamoyltransferase and Its

816   Structural Relationship to Other Coenzyme A-Dependent Transferases and

817   Synthases. Plant Physiol 162:640–651. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.217836

818 Walker AM, Sattler SA, Regner M, et al (2016) The Structure and Catalytic

819   Mechanism of *Sorghum bicolor* Caffeoyl-CoA *O* -Methyltransferase. Plant

820   Physiol 172:78–92. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.00845

821 Wang J, Feng J, Jia W, et al (2017) Genome-Wide Identification of Sorghum bicolor

822   Laccases Reveals Potential Targets for Lignin Modification. Front Plant Sci 8:.

823   https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00714

824 Zhang J, Fengler KA, Van Hemert JL, et al (2019) Identification and characterization

825   of a novel stay-green QTL that increases yield in maize. Plant Biotechnol J

826   17:2272–2285. https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13139

827 Zhang L-M, Leng C-Y, Luo H, et al (2018) Sweet Sorghum Originated through

828   Selection of *Dry* , a Plant-Specific NAC Transcription Factor Gene. Plant Cell

829   30:2286–2307. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.18.00313

830 Zhang Z, Zhang X, Lin Z, et al (2020) A Large Transposon Insertion in the stiff1

831   Promoter Increases Stalk Strength in Maize. Plant Cell 32:152–165.

832   https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.19.00486

Figure Legends

Fig. 1 Correlations between overall BLUPs for leaf senescence, plant height, and lodging by tester.

B010054, B963676, B986604, R986087-2-4-1, and R993396 are the five tester parents of the hybrids grown in the 17 yield testing trials.

Fig. 2 Projection of the 213 lodging QTL identified across testers onto the sorghum consensus genetic map and their co-localisation with previously identified QTL for lodging and stalk rots and genes in lignin biosynthesis pathway.

The 213 lodging QTL identified as horizontal segments on each chromosome and colour-coded as follows: lodging QTL that significantly affect leaf senescence (green), lodging QTL that significantly affect plant height (pink), lodging QTL that significantly affect both leaf senescence and plant height (black), QTL did not affect either leaf senescence or plant height (red). Brown vertical bars to the right of each chromosome marked as "LDG" represent the locations of QTL previously detected for lodging (Kebede *et al.*, 2001; Murray *et al.*, 2008; Srinivasa Reddy *et al.*, 2008); the black bars indicate the location of a QTL previously reported for charcoal rot and/or Fusarium stalk rot (Srinivasa Reddy et al. 2008; Adeyanju et al. 2015). The location of genes in the lignin biosynthesis pathways that co-located with lodging QTL detected in this study are highlighted in green to the right of each chromosome, and those that did not co-locate with lodging QTL are highlighted in blue (Bout and Vermerris 2003; Saballos et al. 2009, 2012, Sattler et al. 2009, 2017, Walker et al. 2013, 2016, Jun et al. 2017, 2018; Wang et al. 2017). The location of the recently cloned *Dry* gene is highlighted in red (Zhang et al. 2018).

Table 1 Description of the 17 field trials in the 2015-17 growing seasons.

Trial code	Trial	Sowing date	Location	GPS coordinates (Lat; Long)	Altitude (m asl)	No. of unique hybrids[a]	No. of test hybrid derived from				
							B010054	B963676	B986604	R986087-2-4-1	R993396
1	AYTM15CUR	28/10/2014	Curlewis	-31.268 150.222	294	580	222	292	-	-	-
2	AYTM15WAR	3/12/2014	Warwick	-28.214 152.105	465	582	217	296	-	-	-
3	AYTM15JIM	17/12/2014	Jimbour	-27.029 151.198	347	575	214	296	-	-	-
4	AYTM15CAP	11/01/2015	Capella	-23.222 148.114	235	590	216	303	-	-	-
5	AYTM15EME	12/01/2015	Emerald	-23.529 148.213	161	611	228	309	-	-	-
6	AYTM16CRO	23/09/2015	Croppa Creek	-29.046 150.332	277	710	-	397	293	-	-
7	AYTF16CRO	23/09/2015	Croppa Creek	-29.046 150.332	277	493	-	-	-	253	220
8	AYTM16WAR	21/12/2015	Warwick	-28.211 152.104	459	925	-	457	447	-	-
9	AYTF16WAR	21/12/2015	Warwick	-28.211 152.104	459	532	-	-	-	269	240
10	AYTM16ORI	15/02/2016	Orion	-24.231 148.411	234	706	-	393	289	-	-
11	AYTF16ORI	15/02/2016	Orion	-24.231 148.411	234	467	-	-	-	236	206
12	AYTM16EME	16/02/2016	Emerald	-23.529 148.213	161	835	-	418	393	-	-
13	AYTF16EME	16/02/2016	Emerald	-23.529 148.213	161	478	-	-	-	245	208
14	AYTM16KIL	18/02/2016	Kilcummin	-22.295 147.517	280	527	-	289	214	-	-
15	AYTF16KIL	18/02/2016	Kilcummin	-22.295 147.517	280	408	-	-	-	203	180
16	AYTM17DAL	13/10/2016	Dalby Box	-27.350 151.176	343	543	-	277	240	-	-
17	AYTF17DAL	13/10/2016	Dalby Box	-27.350 151.176	343	881	-	-	-	360	503

9   [a]No. of unique hybrids included both the test hybrids and a range of commercial hybrids.

860 Table 2 Overall Best Linear Unbiased Predictors (BLUPs) for lodging score (%), leaf senescence rating (1-9), plant height (cm), and

861 grain yield (t ha^{-1}), and the between trait correlations of the female and male testers across multiple trials.

Tester	Tester type	Lodging score (%)	Leaf senescence rating	Plant height (cm)	Yield (t ha^{-1})	Correlation coefficient between lodging and leaf senescence rating	Correlation coefficient between lodging and plant height
B010054	Female	9.9	6.4	120.1	5.13	0.57	0.42
B963676	Female	7.7	6.0	121.3	5.56	0.34	0.37
B986604	Female	7.0	6.2	116.2	5.53	0.22	0.32
R986087-2-4-1	Male	10.1	6.4	125.2	5.22	0.57	0.25
R993396	Male	10.8	6.7	122.0	5.07	0.58	0.28

Table 3 Trait characteristics of hybrids grown in the 17 advanced yield testing (AYT) trials for males (AYTM) and females (AYTF) lines.

Trial code	Trial	Sowing date	Lodging (%)			Leaf senescence			Height (cm)			Yield (t ha^{-1})		
			Range	Mean	H^2(%)	Range	Mean	H^2(%)	Range	Mean	H^2(%)	Range	Mean	H^2(%)
4	AYTM15CAP	11/01/2015	0 - 75	2.5	84.4	5 - 9	7.4	67.1	84 - 182	121.8	88.7	1.08 - 4.57	3.01	34.9
3	AYTM15JIM	17/12/2014	0 - 80	5.4	89.4	3 - 9	6.3	75.3	-	-	-	1.47 - 7.03	4.33	69.7
11	AYTF16ORI	15/02/2016	2 - 50	9.0	36.5	-	-	-	76 - 146	115.7	84.9	0.84 - 5.80	2.86	36.1
12	AYTM16EME	16/02/2016	0 - 95	10.7	60.1	1 - 8	4.2	52.0	80 - 164	109.3	85.1	0.00 - 8.07	2.63	54.2
8	AYTM16WAR	21/12/2015	10 - 70	12.6	33.3	3 - 9	6.0	74.1	92 - 200	123.5	90.3	2.64 - 11.33	7.25	63.6
10	AYTM16ORI	15/02/2016	2 - 90	14.7	62.5	-	-	-	76 - 160	109.0	90.2	0.07 - 6.39	2.90	56.9
5	AYTM15EME	12/01/2015	0 - 80	15.6	85.4	4 - 9	7.4	72.3	96 - 168	121.0	88.8	1.00 - 6.11	3.13	68.0
15	AYTF16KIL	18/02/2016	0 - 95	17.4	57.0	-	-	-	92 - 148	124.9	91.4	0.00 - 6.22	2.59	61.1
6	AYTM16CRO	23/09/2015	10 - 80	19.0	53.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.18 - 7.76	5.78	37.4
2	AYTM15WAR	3/12/2014	10 - 90	23.4	79.3	-	-	-	98 - 210	132.4	86.2	3.05 - 10.22	7.00	77.1
7	AYTF16CRO	23/09/2015	10 - 80	25.3	67.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.50 - 7.84	5.40	44.5
9	AYTF16WAR	21/12/2015	10 - 90	25.9	57.9	5 - 9	7.8	75.4	100 - 164	129.7	87.7	3.13 - 10.64	6.66	74.6
16	AYTM17DAL	13/10/2016	10 - 90	28.2	68.4	4 - 9	6.3	65.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	AYTM16KIL	18/02/2016	0 - 100	31.3	64.0	-	-	-	88 - 172	117.3	94.2	-	-	-
13	AYTF16EME	16/02/2016	0 - 100	32.2	67.2	2 - 8	6.0	45.3	84 - 144	121.6	87.8	-	-	-
17	AYTF17DAL	13/10/2016	10 - 90	33.1	72.9	3 - 9	6.0	62.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	AYTM15CUR	28/10/2014	10 - 90	37.3	82.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Supplemental Information

Fig. S1 Diagram of the method to integrate the GWAS output across the 39 separate analyses, a comparable method to the mpQTL approach describe by Mace *et al.* (2013).

To identify genomic regions that have a significant contribution to the variation of lodging, an integrated probability statistic was calculated by summing the -log10(p) of the p values (5th to 10th columns) of the most significant SNP for each tester (B010054 in this example) and trial combination from a sliding window of length 2 cM around each SNPs (A). The process was performed on each tester/trial combination separately to generate a series of probability values centred on each SNP along each chromosome. The sum of these probability values across all trial combinations for each tester was then calculated, excluding values where p>0.05 and plotted across the genome for each tester (B). The position of the SNP in the centred of the 2cM sliding window (shaded in grey) is highlighted in orange and the corresponding sum(-log10(p)) within the window highlighted in green; values highlighted in yellow indicates the most significant p values for the corresponding tester/trial combination within the 2cM window, whereas blue highlighted values indicates p values that are < 0.05 but not included in the calculation of sum(-log10(p)).

Fig. S2 Correlations between BLUPs for lodging and leaf senescence (A), lodging and plant height (B) in individual trials by tester.

Table S1 Between-trial genetic correlations of lodging, leaf senescence, plant height, and grain yield.

Table S2 Details of lodging QTL detected across tester populations.

Table S3 Correlation between overall BLUPs for lodging score and single trial BLUPs for grain yield in all 38 trials by tester. Mean lodging score, mean leaf senescence rating, and mean yield of the tester/trial combinations are also presented. Five trials, AYTM15CUR, AYTM16KIL, AYTM17DAL, AYTF16EME, and AYTF17DAL, were not harvested due to lodging; therefore, yield BLUPs in these trials could not be predicted and correlations in these trials could not be calculated.