1

Male accessory gland factors increased sex pheromone titres in the glands of

***Spodoptera litura* female moths by inhibiting calling behavior**

Jin Xu[1], Min-Rui Shi[1,2], Da-Ying Fu[1], Hong Yu[1], Peng Chen[2,*]

[1]Yunnan Academy of Biodiversity, Southwest Forestry University, Kunming 650224,

China

[2]Yunnan Academy of Forestry, Kunming 650201, China

*Correspondence: Peng Chen, e-mail: pengchenn@126.com

Abstract

Moths are the most widely studied example of pheromones in animals. However,

little is known about the mechanism of intrasexual and mating-related intersexual

regulation of pheromone production and release in female moths. Our previous

studies in *Spodoptera litura* found that mating induced a higher sex pheromone titre in

the pheromone gland (PG) and mating or male accessory gland (MAG) extract

suppressed female calling behavior. We therefore hypothesize that the inhibition of

female calling behavior by mating or MAG factors likely suppresses the release of sex

pheromones and thus results in a higher pheromone titre in the PG. To test this

hypothesis, in the present study, we introduced an artificial calling behavior

suppression treatment by gently knocking on and shaking the testing boxes contained

moths once every 10 minutes. Results show that this treatment significantly increased

pheromone titres in virgin or saline injected virgin females, and the increase rates are

similar to those of mating and MAG extract treated ones. These results have

suggested that the increase of sex pheromone titer in the female PG after mating in *S.*

litura is due to the inhibition of female calling behavior by MAG factors. Moreover,

results of this study also suggest that female calling behavior is positively correlated

to pheromone release and likewise, the calling behavior and sex pheromone release in

S. litura females are directly under the neural control, and modulated by molecular

and environmental factors.

Key words: Sex pheromone; Mating; MAG secretions; Calling behavior; Sex

pheromone release; Neural control

INTRODUCTION

To date, the sex pheromones for more than 2000 insects species have been identified, including more than 600 moth species (Allison & Cardé, 2016, The Pherobase, 2019). Accordingly, great progress has been achieved in recent years on the internal mechanisms of sex pheromone biosynthesis and release in insects (Jurenka, 2017). Based on these findings, sex pheromone-based mass trapping and mating disruption have been successfully used in forecasting and controlling many pest insects (Srinivasan et al., 2015, Allison & Cardé, 2016).

In moths, sex pheromones usually are produced in a pheromone gland (PG) associated with the ovipositor in females, and pheromone components are emitted during a specific calling behavior (Percy-Cunningham & MacDonald, 1987). Previous studies have indicated that calling behavior and pheromone release are modulated by neural, hormonal or a combination of both (reviewed in Solari et al., 2007), but these mechanisms are not unequivocally demonstrated in Lepidopterans.

Previous studies in more than twenty insect species (most are moths) generally found that mating can induce the termination of sex pheromone production and a lower sex pheromone titre in the PG (reviewed in Lu et al., 2017). Kingan et al. (1995) identified a male accessory gland (MAG) produced pheromonostatic peptide (PSP) in *Helicoverpa zea*, which produced a short-term (few hours) effect in depleting females of pheromones. Other studies also demonstrated that the MAG-produced sex-peptide (SP) and its receptor (SPR) in females, which were found in *Drosophila* and function in the regulation of postmating behavior (Chen et al., 1988, Yapici et al., 2008), may also exist in moths and can inhibit female calling behavior and pheromone synthesis as well (Nagalakshimi et al., 2007, Li et al., 2014). Moreover, some studies also suggested that the presence of sperm in the spermatheca may trigger the release of a

signal, via the ventral nerve cord (VNC), to suppress pheromone production in female

moths (Giebultowicz et al., 1991, Delisle et al., 2000). Molecular studies revealed that

sex pheromone production in female moths is regulated by the Pheromone

Biosynthesis Activating Neuropeptide (PBAN) (Raina & Kempe, 1990). Mating

seems will not affect the the mRNA expression of *PBAN* (Fodor et al., 2017), while

may inhibit the release of PBAN to the hemolymph and will result in a low

pheromone titre in the PG (Nagalakshimi et al., 2007). Nevertheless, the possible

links between MAG factors and PBAN are still unclear.

Interestingly, our recent study (Lu et al., 2017) has found an opposite result in

Spodoptera litura where mating resulted in a higher sex pheromone titre in the PG.

Our other previous studies in *S. litura* also showed that sex pheromone production in

females is also regulated by PBAN (Lu et al., 2015), and mating, injection of MAG

extract and RNA interference of *SPR* significantly suppressed female calling behavior

and receptive to males (Li et al., 2014, Yu et al., 2014). Therefore, we hypothesize that

the suppression of female calling behavior by mating or MAG factors likely inhibits

the release of sex pheromones and thus will result in a higher sex pheromone titre in

the PG (Lu et al., 2017).

Above studies have implied that the mechanism of intrasexual and

mating-related intersexual regulation of pheromone production and release still a

challenging but fascinating mystery. In the present study, therefore, we set up and

conducted a series of treatments and experiments in *S. litura* to test above developed

two hypotheses: (1) mating (with sperm and MAG factors), as well as MAG factors

(without sperm), will induce an increase of sex pheromone titre in the PG, and (2)

mating induced sex pheromone titre increase in the PG is due to the suppression of

calling behavior (which inhibits pheromone release) by MAG factors.

The common cutworm moth, *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is a

key agricultural pest worldwide. Four sex pheromone components have been

identified for *S. litura* (Tamaki Y, 1973, Tamaki et al., 1976): Z9,E11-14:Ac (A),

Z9,E12-14:Ac (B), Z9-14:Ac (C) and E11-14:Ac (D), with a strict 100:27:20:27

(A:B:C:D) ratio in PG.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insects

Spodoptera litura larvae were reared on an artificial diet (Li et al., 1998), and

adult moths were fed a 10% honey solution under a 14:10 h light:dark photoperiod, at

26°C and 60–80% relative humidity. Only 1-d-old virgin moths with an average body

weight (within Mean±1SD) (Lu et al., 2017) were used in this study.

Effect of MAG extract, mating and calling behavior suppressing on sex

pheromone titre in the PG

Eight female treatments were set up: (1) virgin females were injected with 6μl

MAG extract (equivalent to one male MAG tissue) (MG), (2) virgin females were

injected with 6μl MAG extract, and then their calling behavior were artificially

suppressed by gently knocking on and shaking the testing boxes contained moths once

every 10min (our previous observation found that *S. litura* females are quite sensitive

and this treatment can effectively prevent female calling) (MG+S), (3) virgin females

were injected with 6μl saline (Sl), and (4) virgin females were injected with 6μl saline,

and then their calling behavior were artificially suppressed as above (Sl+S), (5) virgin

females (Vr), (6) virgin females with their calling behavior were artificially

suppressed as above (Vr+S), (7) mated females (Mt), and (8) mated females with their

calling behavior were artificially suppressed as above (Mt+S). MAG extract

preparation and injections followed the methods outlined in Yu et al. (2014).

Injections were conducted and matings were allowed during 4-5h of the second

scotophase after emergence. After injections and matings, females were kept

individually in plastic boxes (18cm long, 10cm wide, 6cm high) and the artificial

calling behavior suppressing were conducted or not according to the treatments as

mentioned above until the time of sampling. The PGs were sampled (four PGs were

used as a replicate) at 16 and 24h after treatment (injections or matings) and the sex

pheromone titres (n = 6) were measured by GC using the same protocol outlined in

(Lu et al., 2017).

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using an ANOVA followed by Fisher's LSD test. All

analyses were conducted using SPSS 16.0. The rejection level was set at $\alpha < 0.05$. All

values reported are Means±SE.

RESULTS

ANOVA revealed that sex pheromone titres in the PGs were significantly

different between treatments both at 16h ($F_{7,40}$=4.99, $P<0.0001$ for compound A;

$F_{7,40}$=4.94, $P<0.0001$ for compound B; $F_{7,40}$=3.96, $P<0.001$ for compound C; and

$F_{7,40}$=9.14, $P<0.0001$ for compound D) and 24h ($F_{7,40}$=6.56, $P<0.0001$ for compound

A; $F_{7,40}$=2.45, $P<0.05$ for compound B, $F_{7,40}$=4.72, $P<0.001$ for compound C; and

$F_{7,40}$=3.42, $P<0.001$ for compound D) after treatment (Fig. 1).

Post hoc LSD test showed that females of treatment MG, MG+S, Sl+S, Vr+S, Mt

and Mt+S have significantly higher sex pheromone titres than that of treatment Vr and

Sl ($P < 0.05$) in most cases (Fig. 1 a-e, g) except for compound B and D at 24h after

treatment (Fig. 1 f & h).

Fig. 1 Effect of MAG extract, mating and calling behavior suppressing on sex pheromone titres in

the PGs of *S. litura* females. (a) to (d) were measured at 16h after treatment while (e) to (h) were

measured at 24h after treatment. For each compound of 16 or 24h after treatment, the bars with

different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

DISCUSSION

In our previous study (Lu et al., 2017), we compared sex pheromone titres in the

PG between mated and virgin *S. litura* females at 4, 8, 16 and 24h after mating and

found mating significantly increased pheromone titres at 16 and 24h after mating. In

the present study, we further found that either mating or MAG extract (without sperm)

significantly increased sex pheromone titres in the PG of *S. litura* females in most

cases at 16 and 24h after mating or MAG extract injection (Fig. 1 a-e, g). It is still

unknown whether sperm also has any effect on sex pheromone production and release

(Giebultowicz et al., 1991, Delisle et al., 2000) in *S. litura*, but the result of the

present study (Fig. 1) has suggested that MAG factors should be the main trigger for

the increase of sex pheromone titre in mated females.

Based on the evidence that MAG extract injection or RNA interference of the

SPR gene can suppress female calling behaviors and matings in *S. litura* (Li et al.,

2014, Yu et al., 2014), we hypothesize that the suppression of female calling behavior

by MAG factors is likely to shut off the release of sex pheromone and thus will induce

a higher sex pheromone titre in the PG. To test this hypothesis, we introduced an

artificial calling behavior suppression treatment try to inhibit sex pheromone release

in *S. litura* females. Results show that this treatment significantly promoted

pheromone titres in virgin or saline injected virgin females, and the increase rates are

similar to that of mating and MAG extract treated females (Fig. 1). These results

suggest that the mating induced increase of sex pheromone titre in the PG of female *S.*

litura is due to the suppression of sex pheromone release by MAG factors. Moreover,

there is no significant difference was found between treatment Mt and Mt+S, as well

as between MG and MG+S, suggesting that the artificial pheromone release

suppression treatment had no stress on these females in terms of sex pheromone titres.

Calling behavior are believed to under the control of the ventral nerve cord

(VNC) (Tang et al., 1987) and the caudalmost terminal abdominal ganglion (TAG)

(Itagaki & Conner, 1987), and hormonal (such as juvenile hormone) and

environmental (such as light) factors (Delisle & McNeil, 1987, Liang & Schal, 1994).

During calling, the abdomen of female *S. litura* protrudes between the wings with the

tip everted, and the PG exposed and expanded (visible at this time) (Li et al., 2012). In

S. litura, female calling behavior only occur at night, not during the daytime (Li et al.,

2012). Our previous observation also found that *S. litura* females are quite sensitive

and very light vibration will interrupt their calling behavior, i.e. the abdomen returned

to the normal post and the PG retracted (invisible at this time) (J. Xu, unpubl. data);

the behavior of ceasing calling and pheromone release when facing risks may have

evolved in *S. litura* to evade the attack of natural enemies as its sex pheromone may

also attract parasitoids and predators as well (e.g. Powell et al., 1993). In the present

study, we successfully suppressed female calling behavior (no calling behavior was

observed) and sex pheromone release in treatment Sl+S and Vr+S (Fig. 1) artificially

by gently knocking on and shaking the boxes contained the testing moths once every

10 minutes, suggesting that the calling behavior and sex pheromone release also are

under the neural control.

However, the molecules and mechanisms between mating and the suppression of

pheromone release still are unclear. Based on the results of the present study and other

studies on PBAN, PSP, SP and SPR (reviewed in Lu et al., 2017), we propose that the

suppression of female calling behavior and sex pheromone release after mating may

be due to the effect of the SP-like peptide in MAG fluids, which warrants further

study.

Acknowledgement

Research reported here was supported by projects from the Joint Special Project of

Yunnan Province for Basic Agricultural Research (2018FG001-002), and the National

REFERENCES

Allison, J.D. and Cardé, R.T. 2016. Pheromone communication in moths: evolution,

203   behavior and application, University of Caifornia Press, Oakland, California.

204   Chen, P.S., Stummzollinger, E., Aigaki, T., Balmer, J., Bienz, M., et al. 1988. A male

205   accessory gland peptide that regulates reproductive behavior of female

206   *Drosophila melanogaster*. Cell*,* 54, 291-298.

207   Delisle, J. and Mcneil, J.N. 1987. Calling behaviour and pheromone titre of the true

208   armyworm *Pseudaletia unipuncta* (Haw.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) under

209   different temperature and photoperiodic conditions. J. Insect. Physiol.*,* 33,

210   315-324.

211   Delisle, J., Picimbon, J. and Simard, J. 2000. Regulation of pheromone inhibition in

212   mated females of *Choristoneura fumiferana* and *C. rosaceana*. J. Insect.

213   Physiol.*,* 46, 913-921.

214   Fodor, J., Koblos, G., Kakai, A., Karpati, Z., Molnar, B.P., et al. 2017. Molecular

215   cloning, mRNA expression and biological activity of the pheromone

216   biosynthesis activating neuropeptide (PBAN) from the European corn borer,

217   *Ostrinia nubilalis*. Insect Mol. Biol.*,* 26, 616-632.

218   Giebultowicz, J.M., Raina, A.K., Uebel, E.C. and Ridgway, R.L. 1991. Two-step

219   regulation of sex-pheromone decline in mated gypsy moth females. Arch.

220   Insect. Biochem.*,* 16, 95-105.

221   Itagaki, H. and Conner, W.E. 1987. Neural control of rhythmic pheromone gland

222   exposure in *Utetheisa ornatrix* (Lepidoptera: Arctiidae). J. Insect. Physiol.*,* 33,

223   177-181.

224   Jurenka, R. 2017. Regulation of pheromone biosynthesis in moths. Curr. Opin. Insect
225   Sci.*,* 24, 29-35.

226   Kingan, T.G., Bodnar, W.M., Raina, A.K., Shabanowitz, J. and Hunt, D.F. 1995. The
227   loss of female sex pheromone after mating in the corn earworm moth
228   *Helicoverpa zea*: Identification of a male pheromonostatic peptide. P. Natl.
229   Acad. Sci. USA.*,* 92, 5082-5086.

230   Li, C., Yu, J.-F., Lu, Q., Xu, J., Liu, J.-H., et al. 2014. Molecular characterization and
231   functional analysis of a putative sex-peptide receptor in the tobacco cutworm
232   *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius, 1775) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Aust. Entomol.*,*
233   53, 424-431.

234   Li, C., Yu, J.-F., Xu, J., Liu, J.-H. and Ye, H. 2012. Reproductive rhythms of the
235   tobacco cutworm, *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). GSTF J.
236   BioSci.*,* 2, 25-29.

237   Li, G., Chen, Q. and Pang, Y. 1998. Studies of artificial diets for the beet armyworm,
238   *Spodoptera exigua*. Acta Sci. Nat. Univ. Sunyatseni*,* 4, 1-5.

239   Liang, D. and Schal, C. 1994. Neural and hormonal regulation of calling behavior in
240   *Blattella germanica* females. J. Insect. Physiol.*,* 40, 251-258.

241   Lu, Q., Huang, L.-Y., Liu, F.-T., Wang, Xia-Fei, Chen, P., Xu, J., et al. 2017. Sex
242   pheromone titre in the glands of *Spodoptera litura* females: circadian rhythm
243   and the effects of age and mating. Physiol. Entomol.*,* 42, 156–162.

244   Lu, Q., Huang, L.Y., Chen, P., Yu, J.F., Xu, J., et al. 2015. Identification and RNA
245   interference of the Pheromone Biosynthesis Activating Neuropeptide (PBAN)
246   in the common cutworm moth *Spodoptera litura*. J. Econ. Entomol.*,* 108,
247   1344-1353.

Nagalakshimi, V.K., Applebaum, S.W., Azrielli, A. and Rafaeli, A. 2007. Female sex

pheromone suppression and the fate of sex-peptide-like peptides in mated

moths of *Helicoverpa armigera*. Arch. Insect. Biochem.*, 64, 142-155.

Percy-Cunningham, J.E. and Macdonald, J.A. 1987. Biology and ultrastructure of sex

pheromone-producing glands, in: G.D. Prestwich & G.J. Blomquist (Eds.)

Pheromone biochemistry. Academic Press, Inc, Orlando, Fl.

Powell, W., Hardie, J., Hick, A.J., Holler, C., Mann, J., et al. 1993. Responses of the

parasitoid *Praon volucre* (Hymenoptera, Braconidae) to aphid sex-pheromone

lures in cereal fields in autumn - implications for parasitoid manipulation. Eur.

J. Entomol.*, 90, 435-438.

Raina, A.K. and Kempe, T.G. 1990. A pentapeptide of the C-terminal sequence of

PBAN with pheromonotropic activity. Insect. Biochem.*, 20, 849-851.

Solari, P., Crnjar, R., Spiga, S., Sollai, G., Loy, F., et al. 2007. Release mechanism of

sex pheromone in the female gypsy moth *Lymantria dispar*: a

morpho-functional approach. J. Comp. Physiol. A*, 193, 775-785.

Srinivasan, R., Lin, M.-Y., Su, F.-C., Yule, S., Khumsuwan, C., et al. 2015. Use of

insect pheromones in vegetable pest management: successes and struggles, pp.

231-237. in: A.K. Chakravarthy (Ed.) New Horizons in Insect Science:

Towards Sustainable Pest Management. Springer, New Delhi.

Tamaki Y, N.H., Yushima T 1973. Sex pheromone of *Spodoptera litura* (F.)

(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): isolation, identification and synthesis. Appl.

Entomol. Zool.*, 8, 200-203.

Tamaki, Y., Osawa, T., Yushima, T. and Noguchi, H. 1976. Sex pheromone and related

compounds secreted by the virgin female of *Spodoptera litura* (F.) Jpn. J. Appl.

Entomol. Z*, 20, 81-86.

Tang, J.D., Charlton, R.E., Cardé, R.T. and Yin, C.-M. 1987. Effect of allatectomy and

ventral nerve cord transection on calling, pheromone emission and pheromone

production in *Lymantria dispar*. J. Insect. Physiol.*,* 33, 469-476.

The Pherobase 2019. The Pherobase: Database of insect pheromones and

semiochemicals [Internet].

Yapici, N., Kim, Y.J., Ribeiro, C. and Dickson, B.J. 2008. A receptor that mediates the

post-mating switch in *Drosophila* reproductive behaviour. Nature*,* 451, 33-37.

Yu, J.F., Li, C., Xu, J., Liu, J.H. and Ye, H. 2014. Male accessory gland secretions

modulate female post-mating behavior in the moth *Spodoptera litura*. J. Insect.

Behav.*,* 27, 105-116.