1 **Title:** Maternal care and early rearing environment influence puppy behaviour
2 and cognition
3

4 **Authors:** Emily E. Bray[a,b,c,d*], Laura E.L.C. Douglas[d], Kerinne M. Levy[d], Gitanjali E. Gnanadesikan[c,e,f], Daniel
5 J. Horschler[c,e], Brenda S. Kennedy[d], and Evan L. MacLean[a,b,c]
6

Affiliations:
[a] College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA
[b] Department of Psychology, College of Science, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA
[c] Cognitive Science Program, College of Science, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA
[d] Canine Companions for Independence, National Headquarters, Santa Rosa, CA, USA
[e] Department of Anthropology, School of Social & Behavioral Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ,
USA
[f] Department of Anthropology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, U.S.A.
15
16 *Correspondence: **ebray@arizona.edu** (E.E. Bray)
17 ORCID: 0000-0002-3230-0636

Highlights

20 • We tracked early maternal interactions across 59 litters
21 • Some traits measured pre-pregnancy were associated with subsequent maternal care
22 • Rearing location was associated with some puppy behaviour but not maternal care
23 • Maternal care was associated with some aspects of puppy behaviour at 8 weeks
24 • Maternal care was associated with reports of puppy behaviour through 16 months

47 **Abstract**
48
Relatively little is known about the influence of maternal care on dog behaviour, despite the
prominence of dogs in our lives and the established importance of early experiences in other
species. While recent observational research has begun to document associations between
canine maternal behaviour and later offspring outcomes, there is still much to learn—particularly
regarding what factors impact maternal behaviour and the enduring effects of maternal care on
puppy behavioural development. Understanding how early experiences shape future behaviour
is of practical importance for companion and working dogs. We characterized the early rearing
environment of 235 individual puppies from 59 litters bred by a service dog provider and
explored whether offspring cognitive and behavioural traits through 16 months of age were
associated with early mothering behaviour. We also investigated whether maternal behaviour
could be predicted by pre-pregnancy dam behaviour and how the rearing location (private home
or professional breeding centre) influenced maternal behaviour and/or puppy behaviour. We
identified dam behavioural characteristics measured pre-pregnancy that were related to
subsequent maternal care. While time of year was associated with maternal behaviour scores,
parity, litter size, breed composition, and rearing location were not. We found that rearing
location was related to puppy performance on the Dog Cognitive Development Battery (DCDB)
at 8 weeks of age. Finally, maternal behaviour was associated with certain puppy cognitive and
behavioural measures, assessed via the DCDB at 8 weeks of age and via questionnaires at 6,
10, 12 and 16 months of age. Our results indicate that experiences within the first few weeks of
life, particularly maternal care and rearing location, may influence several aspects of dog
behaviour relevant to both working and companion animals.
89 **Keywords:** Behaviour, canine, cognition, development, maternal care, temperament,
90 assistance dogs
91

Introduction

92
Early life experiences are crucial across many animal species, shaping development by
programming biological systems and laying the foundation for long-term impacts. In mammals
particularly, the mother plays a prominent role in these early life interactions, with cascading
effects. The rodent and primate literature are replete with examples of how early maternal care
impacts offspring far into the future (Maestripieri, 2018). For example, in rodent models, early-
life stressors ranging from maternal separation to altered maternal behaviour caused by limited
nesting material have been shown to induce lasting changes in progeny behaviour, stress
reactivity, and neurodevelopment (Kaffman & Meaney, 2007; Walker et al., 2017).

However, in comparison and despite their prominence in our lives, we know relatively
little about the impact of mothering behaviours on offspring behaviour in dogs. (Li, 2024)
Understanding the early environmental factors that influence dog behaviour later in life is of
practical importance for both working dogs and companion dogs. For example, identifying
maternal behaviours that contribute to desirable behaviour in offspring could inform breeding
plans, thereby conserving resources and serving more clients at working dog organizations
(Bray, Otto, et al., 2021; Foyer et al., 2016; Li, 2024). Optimizing the early environment to
support desirable companion dog behaviours could potentially result in fewer pet dogs being
relinquished for behavioural problems (Czerwinski et al., 2016; Li, 2024; Santos, Beck, &
Fontbonne, 2020). Furthermore, dogs share our environment and experience many of the same
health conditions; thus, studying them during the relatively short postpartum period may help us
gain insight into the reproductive experience, parental behaviour, and health conditions of
humans as well (Li, 2024).

Within the past decade, observational studies have begun to describe and characterize
variation in early maternal behaviour in dogs and then explore association with later offspring
phenotypes (Baqueiro-Espinosa et al., 2022; Baqueiro-Espinosa et al., 2025; Bray et al., 2017b;
Foyer et al., 2016; Guardini et al., 2017; Guardini et al., 2016; Montgomery et al., 2025). These
studies focus on maternal care during the neonatal and transition periods—the first 21 days
following birth—when puppies are still extremely dependent on their mother and maternal care
may be particularly influential to their subsequent development (Santos, Beck, & Fontbonne,
2020).

Maternal care has most often been characterized by behavioural measures of proximity
(amount of time spent near or in contact with puppies), oronasal interactions (time spent licking,
sniffing, or grooming), and feeding (time spent nursing) (Baqueiro-Espinosa et al., 2024;
Baqueiro-Espinosa et al., 2022; Bray et al., 2017a; Foyer et al., 2016; Guardini et al., 2017;
Guardini et al., 2015; Guardini et al., 2016; Santos, Beck, Blondel, et al., 2020). Our previous
research (Bray et al., 2017a, 2017b) included vigilance behaviours (orienting out of the whelping
area) as a component of maternal care as well.

Thus far, research in this area has revealed some consistent patterns related to
maternal care. For example, the overall level of maternal behaviour decreases over time during
the first three weeks as puppies gain independence (Baqueiro-Espinosa et al., 2024; Bray et al.,
2017a; Foyer et al., 2016; Guardini et al., 2017; Guardini et al., 2015; Guardini et al., 2016;
Montgomery et al., 2025; Santos, Beck, Blondel, et al., 2020). The only tracked behaviour that
does not decrease over time is vertical nursing, which starts out relatively rare and increases as
the puppies get older (Baqueiro-Espinosa et al., 2024; Bray et al., 2017a; Montgomery et al.,

2025). Despite a decrease in the amount of overall maternal care as puppies age, a dam's
maternal behaviour remains relatively stable across weeks, and there are distinct variations in
the style and quantity of maternal care between dams (Bray et al., 2017a; Foyer et al., 2016;
Montgomery et al., 2025).
Variation in maternal care might be partially explained by demographic factors, including
breed, litter size, and parity. For example, our research found that Labrador retrievers had
significantly higher maternal behaviour scores compared to German shepherds, indicating they
were more often present and interactive with their puppies, and specifically displayed more
contact per puppy (Bray et al., 2017a). Baqueiro-Espinosa et al. (2022) compared maternal
behaviour by breed size, finding that small-breed dams spent more time in contact with and
nursing puppies than medium- and large-breed dogs. Differences in care by breed size may be
partially mediated by litter size, as smaller breed dogs tend to have smaller litters (Borge et al.,
2011), and there is evidence that litter size might influence maternal care (Baqueiro-Espinosa et
al., 2024; Bray et al., 2017a; Foyer et al., 2016; Foyer et al., 2013). Existing research with large
breed dogs has also found that dams with smaller litters have higher maternal behaviour scores
and spend more time in contact per puppy (Bray et al., 2017a), spend more time in the whelping
box (Baqueiro-Espinosa et al., 2024) and have higher mother-puppy interaction scores (Foyer et
al., 2016). Although in contrast, Baqueiro-Espinosa et al. (2024) found dams spent more total
time nursing as the litter size increased, and Montgomery et al. (2025) found no effect of litter
size on maternal behaviour. Finally, the effect of parity on maternal care has been inconsistent
and needs further exploration (Santos, Beck, & Fontbonne, 2020). For example, Guardini et al.
(2015) and Bray et al. (2017a) reported that less experienced mothers exhibited higher levels of
maternal care, while Foyer et al. (2016) found no effect of parity on maternal care, and
Montgomery et al. (2025) reported an interaction between parity and delivery method on
maternal care (2024).
While maternal care may be affected by breed, litter, and parity, we have limited control
over these variables, and they do not account for all the behavioural variation amongst dams.
Thus, one worthwhile question is whether there are individual factors that we can reliably
measure to predict what kind of mother a dam will be. Only two studies to date have explored
whether a dam's maternal behaviour can be predicted by her behaviour pre-pregnancy
(Baqueiro-Espinosa et al., 2024; Montgomery et al., 2025). Baqueiro-Espinosa et al. (2024)
evaluated dams on a behaviour assessment during the third week of gestation and before an
enrichment protocol began. The only maternal behaviour that was related to the prenatal
behaviour assessment was average time spent licking puppies. Specifically, dams who were
scored on a behavioural test as more sociable, less fearful and reactive, and more willing to
interact with novel stimuli spent less time licking their puppies. Using C-BARQ scores,
Montgomery et al. (2025) found that dams who displayed more human-oriented separation-
related behaviours exhibited lower levels of maternal care, and dams rated as more excitable
spent more time in a vertical nursing position. Another set of environmental factors that might
impact maternal behaviour are attributes of the physical location where the dam whelps and
rears her offspring, but to our knowledge no studies have directly observed and compared the
maternal behaviour of dams across different whelping environments. For working dog
organizations and companion dog breeders, examining these attributes may provide additional

insight into where and how to invest resources toward the physical spaces where dams whelp
and rear their litters.

What about the impact of aspects of the early environment on puppy behaviour?
Research comparing the effects of the early rearing environment on puppy behaviour exists, but
is sparse, and has found some evidence for behavioural differences between dogs reared in a
domestic home environment and those reared in a kennel environment during the first weeks of
life (Appleby et al., 2002; Lenkei et al., 2019; Majecka et al., 2020; Wright, 1983).

There has been only slightly more research regarding how maternal behaviour impacts
the behaviour of progeny as they develop. In particular, three studies have looked at the impact
of early maternal care on puppy behaviour at 8 weeks of age: two studies found higher maternal
care was linked to more confident (Guardini et al., 2016) and sociable (Baqueiro-Espinosa et al.,
2025) behaviour in puppies reared in a commercial breeding kennel, while a third study found
differing results in that high maternal care was linked to less willingness to engage along with
more stress behaviours in puppies reared in private homes (Guardini et al., 2017). Montgomery
et al. (2025) assessed puppies in a detection dog program at 12 weeks of age and found no
effect of maternal care on scores on a behavioural test assessing reward motivation, detection
ability, and environmental soundness. The differences between these studies raise questions
about how the relationship between maternal care and offspring behaviour potentially differs
between populations of dogs, as well as how it combines with other aspects of the early
environment to affect later puppy characteristics.

Additional research suggests maternal care may have even longer-lasting effects on
puppies' behaviour and temperament. In a questionnaire-based study of dog owners, fearful
and anxious behaviours in adult dogs—including noise sensitivity and separation anxiety—were
associated with reported poor maternal care and being reared by a dam who spent less time
with the puppies (Tiira & Lohi, 2015). In a study of military working dogs, higher levels of
maternal care were associated with higher levels of behaviours categorized as Social
Engagement, Physical Engagement, and Aggression, measured when dogs were 15-18 months
old (Foyer et al., 2016). Finally, in our own research with guide dogs, we similarly found
maternal care was related to the behaviour of offspring evaluated after one year of age, and
was associated with some undesirable behaviours, and ultimately failure to succeed as a guide
dog (Bray et al., 2017b). Specifically, dogs who experienced high levels of maternal care
demonstrated higher activity levels while in isolation, a shorter latency to vocalize when
introduced to a novel object, and less competence during problem-solving tasks. Similarly,
recent research (Montgomery et al., 2025) found dogs who experienced more maternal care
were also less likely to be selected as detection dogs.

Together, the existing research suggests that maternal care may have long-lasting
effects on puppy development, but more research is needed to clarify the specific impact.
Effects may vary by breed, rearing environment (in-home or professional facility), and puppy
age at evaluation, among other variables. The existing research on maternal care and working
dogs suggests that a higher level of maternal care is not always better, and that the optimal
amount of maternal care may be relative to the population (Bray et al., 2017b; Montgomery et
al., 2025). Thus, studying maternal care in additional populations of working dogs will help to
elucidate which levels are optimal for different working dog roles.

By characterizing canine maternal care and measuring subsequent puppy outcomes,
here we aim to address several gaps and supplement the existing literature on associations
between maternal care and puppy development. Most previously published observations of
maternal behaviour have been conducted in a professional kennel environment, either at a
working dog (Bray et al., 2017a, 2017b; Foyer et al., 2016; Montgomery et al., 2025; Santos,
Beck, Blondel, et al., 2020) or commercial breeding (Baqueiro-Espinosa et al., 2024; Baqueiro-
Espinosa et al., 2022; Guardini et al., 2016) facility, and no research to our knowledge has
directly compared maternal behaviour between professional facilities and private home rearing
environments within a population of dogs. Studies have typically enrolled 30 dams or fewer (with
the exception of Santos, Beck, Blondel, et al., 2020), and several studies recorded maternal
behaviour during the morning only (Guardini et al., 2017; Guardini et al., 2015; Guardini et al.,
2016). Importantly, with the exception of Guardini et al. (2017; 2015; 2016), all previous studies
have been unable to distinguish between individual puppies, instead assigning all littermates the
same maternal care score. Finally, puppy behaviour has typically been evaluated using only a
single type of evaluation in any given study: either a questionnaire (Tiira & Lohi, 2015) or a
behaviour test, such as an arena or isolation test (Guardini et al., 2017; Guardini et al., 2015;
Guardini et al., 2016) or a battery of cognitive and/or temperament tasks (Bray et al., 2017a,
2017b; Foyer et al., 2016; Montgomery et al., 2025).
In the current study, we enrolled 235 individual puppies from 59 litters, split evenly
between those who were whelped and reared in a private home and those who were whelped
and reared in a professional centre. We observed the dogs over the first three weeks post-
whelp and characterized the maternal behaviour provided by dams. We then explored if
cognitive and behavioural traits were associated with early environmental features using both
behavioural questionnaires and a test battery with cognitive and temperament tasks.
Specifically, we asked if pre-pregnancy dam behavioural characteristics predicted the type of
maternal behaviour she would ultimately display, if rearing location was related to maternal
behaviour and/or puppy behaviour, and if early maternal behaviour was associated with later
puppy behaviour and cognition.
250

General Methods
Ethical Note
All procedures were approved and adhered to regulations set forth by the Institutional
Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Arizona (IACUC No. 16-175). The
behavioural tasks in which dams and puppies participated were designed to be non-invasive.
Dogs ate their usual kibble over the course of the testing session, ensuring all participants were
fed their usual amount. We incorporated plenty of play and bathroom breaks to ensure the
testing experience was a positive one, and every task involved food rewards, play, and/or
praise. We also adhered to strict abort criteria that allowed dogs to opt out of a task if they were
no longer engaged.
261

Timeline and Study Design
All data was collected from dogs at Canine Companions, the largest service dog
provider in the United States. Our overall study design is described in Figure 1. Prospective
dams first participated in cognitive and temperament testing—the Dog Cognitive Development

Battery (DCDB; Bray et al., 2020)—when they were in oestrus, prior to becoming pregnant with
the study litter (with the exception of six dams, who were tested after weaning). In-season
females are boarded at Canine Companions headquarters for the duration of their oestrus cycle,
which allowed us to collect these measures. We also opportunistically collected behavioural
information reported by these dogs' puppy raisers when they were approximately 1-year old,
prior to returning for professional training and ultimately being selected as breeders.
After the two-month gestation period, dams whelped and video observations began. We
recruited dams who would be rearing their puppies in one of two different environments: half of
the dams were with their puppies in a volunteer breeder caretaker home ("BC dams"), while the
other half of the dams were with their puppies at the Canine Early Development Center, a
professional facility at Canine Companions ("CEDC dams"). Our goal was to enrol dams equally
across the two different locations to allow for comparison of early environments. To avoid
introducing biases, we enrolled BC and CEDC litters at roughly the same rate. The first study
litter whelped in January 2018 and litters were enrolled through February 2021. Each litter was
video recorded and the maternal behaviour of the dam toward her litter was observed over the
first three weeks post-whelp while the litter was still contained in the whelping pool or box. We
also collected biological samples from the puppies at two separate timepoints between 6 and
7.5 weeks of age to examine basal plasma oxytocin and faecal cortisol concentrations. Although
outside the scope of the current paper, the hormone data is initially analysed and reported in
Gnanadesikan et al. (2024).
Finally, when puppies were approximately 2 months old (mean start age = 55 days,
range = 51-61 days), they participated in the DCDB over a series of three days. Puppy testing
was conducted between March 2018 and April 2021. Throughout development, volunteers who
raised the puppies in their homes from approximately 2-20 months of age, known as puppy
raisers, also completed multiple behavioural surveys when the puppies were 6, 10, 12, and 16
months of age.
292
Subjects
We recruited and filmed 67 litters over the study period. Of those, we were unable to test
puppies from 5 litters on the DCDB due to COVID-19 restrictions, so these litters were dropped
from the study. Of the remaining 62 litters from whom we collected video observations and
puppy cognition data, we dropped 1 for accidental data loss due to hard drive malfunction and 2
for methodological inconsistencies (one litter whelped in a BC home but then spent several
weeks at the CEDC prior to weaning, and the other litter was removed from the whelping pool a
week earlier than all other litters in the study). In total, we completed video observations on 59
dams with litters that were included in the study (Table 1).
Throughout the recruitment process, we ensured that the dams in both the CEDC and
BC environments were balanced on breed and parity, and that we sampled as equally as
possible from among the range of options in these categories. This balancing was necessary to
ensure that any differences between the two populations could be attributed to their whelping
and rearing environment as opposed to other demographic factors, since past research shows
that breed and parity may affect maternal style (e.g., Bray et al., 2017a). In our final sample, the
average parity of CEDC dams was 2.62 and the average parity of BC dams was 2.67
(Supplementary Table S1). All dams were either full Labrador retriever, full golden retriever, or a

cross between the two breeds, and so we calculated the percentage Labrador of each dam
(ranging from 0—i.e., full golden—to 100—i.e., full Labrador). The average percentage Labrador
for CEDC dams was 72% and the average percentage Labrador for BC dams was 66%
(Supplementary Table S2). Finally, past research has also shown that litter size can affect
maternal behaviour (e.g., Bray et al., 2017a). While we were unable to control this variable
during the recruitment phase, once all litters were recruited, we found that this variable was also
well balanced: the average size of CEDC litters was 7.4 pups per litter, while the average size of
BC litters was 7.6 pups per litter (Supplementary Figure S1).
While the 59 filmed litters consisted of 439 total puppies, we subsequently selected 2-5
puppies from each litter (mean = 3.98 puppies per litter), for a total of 235 puppies, to contribute
follow-up measures, including cognitive testing and raiser-completed behavioural questionnaires
(Table 1). In selecting these puppies, we sought to balance sex (n = 120 F / 115 M) and
prioritize puppies who would return to the Northwest and Southwest Training Centers for Canine
Companions (collectively 77% of our sample) so that we could collect adult behavioural
measures on as many of the dogs as possible.
325

326   **Figure 1. Data collection study design. DCDB = Dog Cognitive Development Battery, C-BARQ = Canine**
327   **Behavioral Assessment & Research Questionnaire, Dog-ADHD = adapted human attention deficit**
328   **hyperactivity disorder questionnaire, DIAS = Dog Impulsivity Assessment Scale.**

329
330

Table 1. Summary of data collection for participants included in the study.

Category	subject	measure	n
Rearing location			
	dam	Private home (BC)/professional centre (CEDC)	30/29
	puppy	Private home (BC)/professional centre (CEDC)	118/117
Maternal behaviour			
observations	dam	maternal behaviour score (litter level)	59
	puppy	maternal behaviour score (individual level)	235

Cognitive testing			
	dam	adult DCDB scores	58[a,b]
	puppy	8-week DCDB scores	235
Raiser-completed behavioural assessments			
	dam	12-month C-BARQ	33
	puppy	6-month C-BARQ	218
	puppy	10-month distractibility & impulsivity	203
	puppy	12-month C-BARQ	222
	puppy	16-month distractibility & impulsivity	201

331 [a] All dams were tested, but n = 58 because one dam participated as a BC dam with one litter and a
332 CEDC dam with a subsequent litter
333 [b] For logistical reasons, 6 dams (2 BC and 4 CEDC) participated in cognition testing after the study litter
334 was weaned
335

Rearing environment
Puppies were either whelped in private homes of local volunteer breeder caretakers
(BC) or at the Canine Early Development Center (CEDC), a state-of-the-art facility with full-time
staff dedicated to monitoring and caring for the puppies and their mothers. In both
environments, puppies were kept in a whelping pool or box – to which the mother had constant
access – for the first 3 weeks, and then over the 4th week transitioned to living and sleeping
together in a larger enclosed area. Puppies in both environments were provided with
enrichment, including diverse toys, textures, and sounds. All puppies began weaning at four
weeks of age, at which point a puppy kibble diet was introduced and provided three times per
day. Between 7-9 weeks of age, all puppies spent at least 3 days at the CEDC and underwent
veterinary exams prior to being sent to their volunteer puppy raisers, where they resided for the
next ~14-18 months. All puppies remained socially housed with their littermates up until the time
they went to their individual volunteer puppy raisers.
Puppies whelped and reared with volunteer breeder caretakers lived in home
environments and were cared for by the breeder caretaker(s). Depending on the home, these
puppies may have been exposed to and handled by a variety of people, including children and
adults, who socialized the puppies and performed basic husbandry, such as nail trims. Some
puppies were also exposed to other pets, such as dogs and cats. To keep the puppies safe and
healthy, Canine Companions provided husbandry and disinfection guidelines for the whelping
pool or box and policies on dog interactions to minimize exposure to pathogens. Typically,
puppies were closely monitored, with a human in the home at all times, for the first two weeks
and then left alone with their mother for periods of time during the subsequent weeks. Breeder
caretakers had access to a Canine Companions staff member via a 24/7 phone line in the event
of questions or concerns about the puppies' health, and if necessary, puppies were brought to
campus to be examined by a staff veterinarian. All puppies were seen during a telemedicine
appointment with Canine Companions veterinary staff at approximately 5 weeks of age. Breeder
caretakers were encouraged to do early neurological stimulation (ENS) with the puppies daily
from days 3-16. Puppies reared at a breeder caretaker home remained with their mother until 7-
8 weeks old, when they travelled as a litter to the CEDC.

Puppies whelped and reared at the CEDC lived in a kennel environment specifically
designed for dams and puppies and were cared for by CEDC staff members. The CEDC was
staffed 24/7 by three shifts of employees, with a minimum of two staff members monitoring the
puppies overnight and several staff members during the day. CEDC caretakers monitored the
puppies both in person and via a closed-circuit video feed which transmitted and recorded
footage 24/7. The CEDC has strict husbandry and disinfection policies to prevent illness,
including requiring all staff to wear clean scrubs and shoes, wash their hands prior to entering
the kennel area, and wear disposable gloves as appropriate. Puppies reared at the CEDC did
not interact with other dogs or animals outside of their litter, although they did see and hear
other litters and dams in nearby kennels. These puppies typically only interacted with CEDC
caregivers and research staff, who were all adult and predominantly female. CEDC staff
members socialized the puppies, performed basic husbandry such as nail trims, and did ENS
exercises with the puppies daily from days 3-16. Puppies reared at the CEDC remained with
their mothers until approximately 6 weeks old when they finished weaning and the dam returned
to the home of her volunteer breeder caretaker. These puppies then remained with their litter
until they travelled to their individual puppy raisers at 7-8 weeks old.
381
382 *Maternal behaviour observations*
383
Protocol. For maternal observations in the BC environment, we helped the caretaker set
up and position a provided Samsung SmartCam before the pups were two days old. This
camera was zoomed in on the whelping pool and recorded continuously onto an SD card during
specified periods over the first three weeks post-whelp. We later extracted 10-minute recordings
of interest (without sound, described below). For maternal behaviour observations at the CEDC,
we had access to dedicated camera systems from which we could automate 10-minute
recordings on study litters throughout the day via Blue Iris software.
391
Scoring. All litters were video recorded in 10-minute increments for a total of 12 hours
over the first three weeks—from this footage, we coded 2 hours (40 min/week) of the videos for
maternal behaviour and summed the amount of time they experienced each type of behaviour.
The 10-minute segments that we coded typically occurred at 4:30am, 11:00am, 4:30pm, and
11:00pm on day 3 (week 1), day 9 (week 2), and day 15 (week 3) post-birth. Specifically, we
tracked proximity (defined as a dam having all four feet in the whelping pool; the only measure
that, by definition, was always identical for all littermates), licking/grooming (defined as a
puppy's fur and/or anogenital region being licked, nudged, or physically contacted by the dam's
snout), nursing (defined as a puppy suckling or attempting to suckle), and physical contact
(defined as a puppy touching the dam's body and/or face, excluding grooming and nursing).
Although not further discussed in the current paper, each litter was also video recorded for an
additional 24 hours each week, for a total of 72 hours over the course of the study, and this
footage was specifically coded for time spent vertical nursing, a rarer behaviour defined as
puppies nursing while the dam was sitting, standing, or laying on her back. Puppies wore
individually identifiable collars (by colour and markings that can be differentiated in the dark via
infrared light) and were marked in unique locations with small dots of paint, allowing for both
daytime and nighttime observations.

409
410   *Cognitive testing*
411
Protocol. All 235 puppies and 58 dams in our study participated in the Dog Cognitive
Development Battery (DCDB). At the time of testing, puppies ranged from 7.3 – 8.7 weeks
(mean = 7.88 weeks) and dams ranged from 1.65 – 5.18 years (mean = 3.16 years). The DCDB
consists of 14 tasks presented to puppies over the course of three sessions and, with minor
modifications, to adult dogs over the course of two sessions (Bray et al., 2020; Bray, Gruen, et
al., 2021). The full procedures and scoring protocol for each task are detailed in Gnanadesikan
et al. (2023). For the purposes of the current study, our analyses included puppy performance
on all DCDB tasks and adult performance on our abbreviated DCDB battery, which includes five
tasks (i.e., novel object, surprising events, human interest, the unsolvable task, and the cylinder
task) relating to temperament, social tendencies, and problem solving. In the current study, 94%
of puppy participants completed every single task in the DCDB, and 100% of dam participants
successfully completed the five tasks of interest. However, in our subsequent analyses looking
at the association between pre-pregnancy dam behaviour and maternal behaviour, we excluded
six dams who were supplemented during the postnatal period with substances intended to
impact mothering style (Lezama-García et al., 2019; Lyu et al., 2025; Santos, Beck, Blondel, et
al., 2020)—specifically, oxytocin nasal spray and/or an ADAPTIL pheromone collar. The final
sample size for each measure is reported in Table 2.
429

Table 2. Overview of behavioural (cognitive and temperament) phenotypes and sample sizes used in subsequent analyses. OC = Object Choice; PC = Principal Component; C1 = Component 1; E = Experimenter, DV = dependent variable. Because we analysed the cylinder, unsolvable, human interest, novel object, and surprising events variables in our adult dam sample as well, those sample sizes are reported as (puppy/adult). Table adapted with permission from Gnanadesikan et al. (2024).

Measure	*n* (puppy/adult)	Description
Retrieval Score	235	Structured game of fetch, scored on touching the ball, picking it up, and number of retrievals. DV: average score (1–6) across two trials; higher score reflects more engagement.
Cylinder – Inhibitory Control C1	232/52	After learning path to retrieve food from side of opaque cylinder, apparatus is replaced with transparent cylinder. DV: PC of number of test trials the dog retrieves the food without touching the cylinder and latency to solve (equal loadings); higher score reflects greater accuracy and a quicker latency to solve (see Supplementary Figure S2 for puppy loadings and Supplementary Figure S3 for adult loadings).
Cylinder –	231/52	After inhibitory control test trials, the preferred side is

Detour C1		blocked, and ability to access through the other side (detour) is assessed. DV: PC of score, latency, and approach path; higher score reflects quicker, more accurate responses (see Supplementary Figure S2 for puppy loadings and Supplementary Figure S3 for adult loadings).
Laterality – Index C1	235	Dog repeatedly steps up onto a step and then down off of a step, and the first paw used is recorded. $[R - L]/[R + L] \times 100$. DV: PC of up and down (equal loadings); positive score reflects a greater preference to start with the right paw.
Laterality – Strength C1	235	Absolute value of laterality index. DV: PC of up and down (equal loadings); higher score reflects higher laterality, regardless of direction of preference.
Warm-ups – C1	214	OC: food visibly placed under one of two cups. Dog allowed to search immediately. DV: PC of number of trials to pass criterion across 3 iterations; sign flipped such that positive score reflects quicker to learn (fewer trials needed to pass).
Spatial Working Memory – C1	225	OC: food visibly placed under one of two cups. Dog allowed to search after time delay. DV: PC of % correct choices in 5s & 10s delay trials (equal loadings); higher score reflects greater search accuracy.
Gesture – Marker	229	OC: food placed (unseen) under one of two cups. E uses ostensive cues and places a wooden block next to the baited cup. DV: % correct choices; higher score reflects greater search accuracy.
Gesture – Pointing	226	OC: food placed (unseen) under one of two cups. E uses ostensive cues and points to baited cup using a static, contralateral pointing gesture with gaze cue. DV: % correct choices; higher score reflects greater search accuracy.
Auditory Discrimination	235	OC: single piece of food dropped audibly into one of two bowls. DV: % correct choices; higher score reflects greater search accuracy.
Visual Discrimination	235	OC: five pieces of food placed on one plate; dog is shown both the baited plate and an empty plate before choosing. DV: % correct choices; higher score reflects greater search accuracy.
Odor Discrimination – C1	232	OC: two small rubber tubes, one baited. Dog is allowed to sniff both tubes before approaching and interacting

		for up to 20s. DV: PC of first & last choice, time in proximity to each tube, and total interaction with each tube; higher score reflects higher accuracy and persistence (see Supplementary Figure S4 for puppy loadings).
Unsolvable – Looking	235/52	Dog learns to access food from container, then lid is locked on and dog has 30 s to attempt to access food or seek help from E. DV: average time dog looks at E's face across four trials; higher score reflects more looking.
Unsolvable – Independent problem solving	235/52	Dog learns to access food from container, then lid is locked on and dog has 30 s to attempt to access food or seek help from E. DV: average time dog interacts with container across four trials; higher score reflects more attempted independent problem solving.
Human Interest – Looking	235/52	E looks at dog and recites script using dog-directed speech. DV: average time dog looks at E's face across three trials; higher score reflects more looking.
Human Interest – Interaction	235/52	After dog-directed speech, E steps into the experimental arena, pets the dog when within reach. DV: average time dog interacts with E across three trials; higher score reflects more proximity.
Novel Object – C1	235/52	Dog is left alone in the experimental area with a robotic cat for 2 min, after which E encourages the dog to approach the cat and rewards with food. DV: PC of ethogram coded from video (see Supplementary Figure S5 for puppy loadings and Supplementary Figure S6 for adult loadings); Higher score reflects more bold behaviour.
Surprising Events – C1	235/52	Dog is exposed to a series of events: sudden appearance (falling trash bag), looming object (opening umbrella), and loud noise (shaking metal sheet). After each event, E encourages the subject to approach the object and rewards them with food. PC of ethogram including initial reaction, solo-approach, experimenter-encouraged approach and re-approach, and vocalizations. DV: PC of ethogram coded from video (see Supplementary Figure S7 for puppy loadings and Supplementary Figure S8 for adult loadings); higher score reflects more bold behaviour.

Scoring. For DCDB tasks, the number of raw outcome measures varied by task, ranging
from single scores reflecting overall task performance (e.g., percent correct in object choice
tasks) to many behavioural measures scored using an ethogram (e.g., novel object and
surprising events tasks). For theoretical reasons and consistent with past literature (Bray,
Gnanadesikan, et al., 2021), we were interested in social looking as a standalone measure, so
for human interest and unsolvable we kept both outcome measures as distinct raw variables.
We similarly considered the two aspects of the cylinder task – inhibitory control and reversal
learning – as two separate constructs that we represented with two distinct principal
components. Finally, also consistent with past literature, we considered strength and direction of
laterality preference separately (Tomkins et al., 2010). For all other tasks that included more
than one dependent measure, we conducted principal components analysis with all measures
and retained scores on the first principal component as our outcome measure for that task.
These principal components analyses were conducted on a larger dataset (puppy n = 468; adult
n = 1089) associated with our ongoing work on the development of dog cognition. Component
loadings are provided in the supplemental materials (Figures S2-S8) and briefly summarized in
Table 2.
447
448   *Reliability.* All behavioural tasks were video recorded for reliability assessment. We
449   obtained strong inter-rater reliability on both the puppy and dam DCDB measures, which is
450   summarized in the supplemental materials (Supplementary Tables S3-S8).
451
452   *Raiser-completed behavioural assessments.*
453
C-BARQ protocol. Canine Companions sends an email (and up to 3 reminders, if
necessary) to the raiser of every puppy, when the puppy turns 6 months and again when they
are 12 months old, asking them to complete the Canine Behavioral Assessment & Research
Questionnaire (C-BARQ, www.cbarq.org) (Serpell & Hsu, 2001). This survey consists of 101
questions related to the dog's behaviour in ordinary settings which measure the presence and
severity of broader problems like fear, aggression, and anxiety, as well as some positive traits
like trainability. It takes approximately 10-15 minutes to complete. Of the 235 study puppy
raisers who were sent the 6-month C-BARQ, 218 completed it, yielding a 93% response rate.
When the same raisers were sent the 12-month C-BARQ, 222 completed it, yielding a 94%
response rate. Additionally, 33 of the 58 dams who went on to participate in the study had a C-
BARQ filled out for them when they were approximately 12 months of age, yielding a 57%
response rate. Of those respondents, we excluded any dams who had been given oxytocin
nasal spray and/or an ADAPTIL pheromone collar post-whelp as mentioned above, and so our
sample size for analysis was 29.
468
C-BARQ scoring. For the C-BARQ measures, we used scores on the 14 primary factors
(which are created by averaging scores on items in related categories), rather than raw items.
Categories assessed included trainability, energy, excitability, chasing, attachment & attention
seeking, separation-related problems, touch sensitivity, fear (stranger-directed, dog-directed,
non-social), and aggression (stranger-directed, owner-directed, dog-directed, and familiar dog
aggression). For the dams, we used scores on 11 of the 14 primary factors, excluding the
following three categories from analyses due to a lack of variation: owner-directed aggression,

dog-directed aggression, and familiar dog aggression. For the puppies, C-BARQ scores
obtained at 6 months and 12 months were modelled separately.
478
ADHD & DIAS protocol. As part of this study, we asked raisers to provide information on
participating puppies through additional surveys. At 10 months of age, we emailed puppy raisers
prompting them to fill out two validated questionnaires regarding their puppy's distractibility
(Dog-ADHD questionniare; Vas et al., 2007) and impulsivity (DIAS questionnaire; Wright et al.,
2011), along with demographic details. The Dog-ADHD questionnaire includes 13 questions
assessing attention skills, impulsivity, and motor activity in dogs, adapted from a validated
survey evaluating ADHD-related symptoms in children. The Dog Impulsivity Assessment Scale
(DIAS) is an 18-item validated questionnaire assessing impulsive tendencies in dogs. The two
psychometric tools were combined into one electronic survey for simplicity. Of the 235 puppies
who were sent the 10-month questionnaires, 203 completed them, yielding an 86% response
rate. At 16 months of age, puppy raisers were again prompted to fill out the ADHD and DIAS
questionnaires, along with demographic details. 201 raisers filled out the 16-month
questionnaire, again yielding an 86% response rate.
492
ADHD & DIAS scoring. In the ADHD questionnaire, each question prompts the puppy
raiser to rate the frequency of a behaviour from never (0) to very often (3). The answers to six
items were then averaged to form an 'inattention' score, while the answers to the remaining
seven items were averaged to form an 'activity-impulsivity' score for each dog, as was done in
the original paper (Vas et al., 2007). Dogs who scored highly on the 'inattention' score tended to
be described as likely to lose interest quickly, easily distracted, having difficulty concentrating
and listening, and slow to learn, especially when given complex tasks. Dogs who scored highly
on the 'activity-impulsivity' score tended to be described as active, fidgety, and always on the
move, as well as lacking self-control. In the DIAS questionnaire, the original paper found their
data was best described by a three-factor solution: Behavioural Regulation,
Aggression/Response to Novelty and Responsiveness (Wright et al., 2011). We found our data
was best described by four components, where Aggression and Response to Novelty were
distinct (Supplementary Table S9). Specifically, our four components were interpreted as
Behavioural Regulation (dogs who scored high on this component were impulsive, excitable,
impatient), Aggression (dogs who scored high on this component acted aggressively when
excited or frustrated), Response to Novelty (dogs who scored high on this component were
hesitant of and disinterested in novel things and situations), and Responsiveness (dogs who
scored high on this component were easy to train, interested in new things, and agreeable). For
both instruments, scores obtained at 10 and 16 months were modelled separately.
512
Statistical Models
All statistical analyses were carried out in R v.4.5.1 (R Development Core Team, 2024).
We used a Bayesian approach to statistical analysis. All models were fit using the brms R
package (Bürkner, 2017) using an identity link function and Gaussian response distribution.
Outcome and (continuous) predictor variables were processed using a rank-based inverse
normal distribution and scaled and centred to have a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1 to
better meet the assumptions of linear modelling. For each model we ran 4 independent
sampling chains which were merged for the posterior distribution. We used weakly regularizing

priors for the beta coefficients (a normal distribution with a mean of 0 and standard deviation of
1), which is more conservative than frequentist approaches, particularly in the context of
multiple hypothesis testing (Gelman & Tuerlinckx, 2000). For all models we considered the
central 90% quantile range of the posterior distribution as the credible interval (CI) for a
parameter, given the data and model (Kruschke, 2014).
To assess associations between maternal behaviour and offspring phenotypes, we fit
multilevel models predicting the outcome variable as a function of our maternal behaviour
principal component scores (hereafter, maternal behaviour scores) at a given week in
development (week 1, week 2, week 3) and a set of control variables. In all models we included
a random intercept for litter ID and fixed effects for dog sex, rearing location, and breed
composition (percent Labrador retriever ancestry: [0,25]; (25,50]; (50,75]; (75,100]). For DCDB
measures, we also included an additional covariate for age (weeks) at evaluation. Initially we
attempted to also control for relatedness among subjects using an "animal model" (Wilson et al.,
2010) but encountered convergence issues when also including the random intercept for litter
ID. We therefore eliminated the relatedness term but retained the random effect for litter to
account for non-independence of maternal effects among dogs from the same litter. To explore
demographic and environmental predictors of maternal behaviour, we modelled principal
components characterizing dam maternal behaviour at each week as a function of breed
composition, birth season, parity, and whelping location.
540
541 **Results**
542
Characterizing Maternal Behaviour
As has been reported in past literature (Baqueiro-Espinosa et al., 2024; Bray et al.,
2017a; Foyer et al., 2016; Guardini et al., 2017; Guardini et al., 2015; Guardini et al., 2016;
Montgomery et al., 2025; Santos, Beck, Blondel, et al., 2020), we found that most mother-puppy
interactions (i.e., time that the mother spends in proximity, nursing, contacting, and
licking/grooming her puppies) decreased across weeks, with only vertical nursing increasing
with time (Figure 2).
550
551 Figure 2. General patterns of maternal behaviour over the first three weeks post-whelp during 2400
552 seconds of recordings each week (raw data).

553
Scoring maternal behaviour at the individual level: Along with Guardini et al. (2017;
2016), this study is among the first to individually identify the puppies and assign different
scores to puppies within the same litter, based on their unique interactions with the dam. To
develop summary measures for the extent of maternal interaction to which individual puppies
were exposed, we performed principal component analyses. Parallel analysis of maternal
behaviours directed toward individual puppies (contact, grooming, proximity, vertical nursing &
lay nursing) suggested retention of a single component, and the scree plot similarly showed
inflexions that were consistent with retaining a one-component solution. The presence of a
single maternal behaviour component is consistent with past studies (e.g., Bray et al., 2017a;
Foyer et al., 2016; Guardini et al., 2017; Guardini et al., 2016). This component explained 39%
of variation in maternal care observations and was loaded positively by all measures. Variable
loadings were strong for contact (0.64), grooming (0.50), proximity (0.89), and lay nursing
(0.72), with a considerably weaker loading by vertical nursing (0.09), which was a rarely
observed behaviour. Thus, puppies who scored high on this maternal behaviour component had
mothers who were often in close proximity and who frequently interacted with their puppies via
physical contact, licking, grooming, and lay nursing. These scores were used in all individual-
level analyses (Figure 1).
571
Scoring maternal behaviour at the litter level: For analyses of predictors of maternal
behaviour, which pertain to the dam rather than the puppy as a unit of measure, we additionally
generated litter-level component scores for each dam by averaging the component scores for
the observed puppies from the same litter. To ensure this was an accurate representation of a
dam's behaviour, we also calculated another dam-specific maternal behaviour score by coding
duration of a dam's maternal behaviours (physical contact, licking, grooming, lay nursing, and
proximity) toward all puppies in her litter (i.e., not just the study puppies) and created a second
principal component score based on this data. When we compared the two dam scores (one
based on averaged behaviour toward individual study pups and the other based on behaviour
toward her entire litter), the correlation was extremely high (r = 0.95).

Can we predict the maternal behaviour that a dam will display towards her pups?

To determine if dam behavioural characteristics, measured pre-pregnancy, predict
subsequent maternal care, we fit separate models for each behaviour, while controlling for
breed composition, birth season, parity, and rearing location (Figure 3, Supplementary Table
S10). Our only finding from our DCDB predictors was that dams who exhibited the most
cognitive flexibility in the cylinder detour task (i.e., achieved high accuracy and were quick to
solve) showed higher levels of maternal behaviour at week 1 post-whelp ($\beta_{Week\ 1}$= 0.18; 90% CI
= 0.061, 0.29) and, although the credible interval did include zero, a similar pattern was
observed in week 3 ($\beta_{Week\ 3}$= 0.18; 90% CI = -0.006, 0.36). No associations were found between
dams' responses on the other tasks (unsolvable, human interest, surprising events, and novel
object) and their later maternal behaviour.

Figure 3. Dam DCDB measures predicting maternal behaviour.

596
597
598

In contrast, we found several associations between the 12-month C-BARQ scores for
dams, provided by puppy raisers prior to the dog being chosen as a breeder, and their
subsequent maternal behaviour as observed in the study (Figure 4, Supplementary Table S11).
Specifically, dams who were rated lower on the excitability factor ("Displaying strong reactions
to potentially exciting or arousing events…and has difficulty settling down after such events")
displayed higher levels of maternal behaviour in the first (β_{Week1} = -0.17; 90% CI = -0.33, -0.01)
and second weeks (β_{Week2} = -0.28; 90% CI = -0.55, -0.002) post-whelp. Dams who were rated
lower on chasing also displayed higher levels of maternal behaviour in the second week (β_{Week2}
= -0.46; 90% CI = -0.74, -0.17) post-whelp. Finally, dams who were rated higher on trainability
displayed higher levels of maternal behaviour in the second week (β_{Week2} = 0.33; 90% CI = 0.04,
0.61) post-whelp.

Figure 4. Dam 12-month C-BARQ scores predicting subsequent maternal behaviour.

612
613

Does early rearing environment affect maternal behaviour?

To assess demographic and environmental factors that might affect maternal behaviour, we fit a
linear mixed model predicting maternal behaviour scores as a function of fixed effects for week
of life (1-3), litter size (3-11), dam parity (1-5), breed composition (0-25% Labrador, 26-50%
Labrador, 51-75% Labrador, 76-100% Labrador), birth season (January-March, April-June, July-
September, October-December), and rearing location (BC or CEDC). As we saw with the raw
score patterns, maternal behaviour decreased across the three-week period following
parturition, with the largest decrease between weeks 1 and 2 ($\beta_{week\ 2}$ = -1.03; 90% CI = -1.22, -
0.85; $\beta_{week\ 3}$ = -1.55; 90% CI = -1.73, -1.36). Neither parity, litter size, breed composition, nor
rearing location was associated with maternal behaviour scores (Supplementary Table S12).
However, maternal behaviour scores did vary by season, with the highest scores observed
during the fall and winter months and the lowest during the late spring and summer (estimates
relative to April-June: $\beta_{January-March}$ = 0.39; 90% CI = 0.05, 0.73; $\beta_{July-September}$ = 0.19; 90% CI = -
0.14, 0.54; $\beta_{October-December}$ = 0.47; 90% CI = 0.14, 0.81). The intraclass-correlation coefficient for
this model was 0.35, suggesting moderate week-to-week consistency of individual differences in
maternal behaviour.

Does early rearing location affect puppy behaviour?

To determine if early rearing location affected puppy behaviour, we first measured behavioural
outcomes of puppies via puppy behavioural testing around 8 weeks of age. The descriptive
statistics of measures collected during these testing sessions for all puppies are summarized in
Table 3. As reported in our previous research (Bray et al., 2020), puppies performed above

chance on all tasks where they were presented with two choices (Supplementary Table S13);
this suggests that—on average—puppies at this age are cognitively capable of using a variety
of cues to make informed choices. The only exception was the odour control task, where
puppies performed at chance, just like adult dogs (Bray, Gruen, et al., 2021), indicating that they
were unable to solve the problem based on odour cues and strengthening the evidence that
puppies are successfully using the provided cues in the other object choice tasks.
644

Table 3. Puppy performance on the DCDB: Descriptive statistics

variable	n	mean	sd	minimum	maximum	standard error
age at testing (days)	235	55.13	2.50	51.00	61	0.16
parity	235	2.64	1.45	1.00	5	0.10
birth order	235	4.63	2.44	1.00	11	0.16
warmups 1: trials to criterion	214	7.85	4.87	4.00	25	0.33
warmups 2: trials to criterion	231	6.57	4.25	4.00	23	0.28
warmups 3: trials to criterion	229	6.14	3.64	4.00	26	0.24
retrieval: average score	235	3.60	1.11	1.00	5	0.07
retrieval: tally	235	3.74	3.86	0.00	19	0.25
laterality: bias strength	235	44.39	29.20	0.00	100	1.90
laterality: laterality index	235	-5.19	52.96	-100.00	100	3.45
human interest: avg look time	235	5.93	4.11	0.00	19.64	0.27
human interest: avg interaction time	235	18.73	8.13	0.00	27.86	0.53
cylinder: familiarization score	232	8.06	3.52	4.00	23	0.23
cylinder: inhibitory control score	232	39.60	22.44	0.00	100	1.47
cylinder: reversal score	231	19.81	20.85	0.00	87.5	1.37
unsolvable task: avg time looking at human (s)	235	1.07	1.22	0.00	7.34	0.08
arm pointing	226	64.12	18.39	8.33	100	1.22
communicative marker	229	69.87	19.12	8.33	100	1.26
odour control trials	224	49.16	16.82	0.00	87.5	1.12
memory (5s)	227	75.33	19.73	16.67	100	1.31
memory (10s)	225	67.93	20.76	0.00	100	1.38
memory (15s)	146	65.75	20.51	16.67	100	1.70
memory (20s)	85	63.53	22.05	16.67	100	2.39
visual discrimination	234	91.88	12.07	50.00	100	0.79

auditory discrimination	234	61.06	17.79	12.50	100	1.16
odour discrimination: first choice	232	56.82	19.19	0.00	100	1.26
odour discrimination: final choice	232	70.76	19.95	16.67	100	1.31

Whereas maternal behaviour did not differ by rearing location, we did find differences in puppy
performance on certain DCDB tasks based on whether the puppies were whelped and reared in
a volunteer breeder caretaker home or the CEDC (Figure 5). Specifically, CEDC puppies
generally interacted more during the play breaks of the human interest task (β = 0.63; 90% CI =
0.36, 0.90), were more accurate and quicker to solve during the cylinder inhibitory control trials
(β = 0.34; 90% CI = 0.08, 0.59), achieved higher accuracy on the visual discrimination task (β =
0.29; 90% CI = 0.05, 0.53), and were more strongly lateralized when stepping up and down (β =
0.27; 90% CI = 0.03, 0.51). CEDC puppies were also less accurate on the pointing task (β = -
0.40; 90% CI = -0.68, -0.11) and demonstrated less cognitive flexibility and were slower to solve
during the cylinder detour trials (β = -0.25; 90% CI = -0.48, -0.02). During the surprising events
task, CEDC puppies were less bold, more reactive and slower to recover, and more vocal (β = -
0.37; 90% CI = -0.64, -0.10). Finally, although the credible interval did include zero, a similar
pattern towards being less bold and more vocal was observed in the CEDC puppies on the
novel object task as well (β = -0.21; 90% CI = -0.43, 0.007).Thus, across DCDB measures,
some aspects of puppy performance was associated with rearing location, but in different
directions across tasks. These findings raise the question of which traits are ultimately most
important for training outcomes, along with the possibility of whether there might be
interventions that can be implemented in either BC homes or the CEDC environment to address
shortcomings.

Figure 5. Association between rearing location and DCDB measures. The reference level is BC-reared
667   **puppies, and the plot shows the effects for CEDC-reared puppies.**

668
669

670   *How is maternal behaviour associated with puppy behaviour?*

672   *Behaviour assessed via DCDB.* We observed seven associations between maternal
behaviour and offspring performance on the DCDB at ~8 weeks of age for which the credible
interval did not include 0 (Figure 6; Supplementary Table S14). Most of these associations
related to maternal behaviour appeared during week 2 (five associated measures), with fewer
associations during week 1 (two associated measures), and no associations during week 3.
Specifically, exposure to higher levels of maternal behaviour during the first week of life was
associated with better performance on the cylinder task (β = 0.17; 90% CI = 0.04, 0.29), a
measure of executive function, but with worse performance on the auditory discrimination task
(β = -0.14; 90% CI = -0.27, -0.01). Exposure to higher levels of maternal behaviour in the
second week of life was associated with poorer outcomes on several DCDB measures,
including a higher number of trials required to meet criterion in a warm-up procedure (β = -0.16;
90% CI = -0.29, -0.03), less accurate responses during the communicative marker task (β = -
0.13; 90% CI = -0.26, -0.002), and worse performance in both the olfactory (β = -0.13; 90% CI =
-0.25, -0.01) and auditory discrimination (β = -0.16; 90% CI = -0.28, -0.04) tasks. We also
observed a negative association between maternal care in week 2 and a right limb bias on the
motor laterality task (β = -0.16; 90% CI = -0.28, -0.04); in other words, as maternal care
increased, puppies were more left-biased. In sum, the maternal style experienced by puppies
during the second week of life had the most associations with DCDB performance at 8 weeks of
age.

691
692 **Figure 6. Associations between maternal behaviour and 8-week-old puppy DCDB performance.**
693 **Associations for which the credible interval does not include 0 are shown in black.**
694

695
Behaviour assessed via C-BARQ. Maternal care was associated with several
behavioural measures from the C-BARQ at 6 and 12 months of age (Figure 7, Supplementary
Table S15). Dogs who experienced higher levels of maternal behaviour during the first week of
life exhibited more problematic behaviours related to non-social fear (at 6 months: β = 0.14;
90% CI = 0.004, 0.27) and chasing (at 12 months: β = 0.14; 90% CI = 0.002, 0.28). Increased
maternal behaviour in week 2 was associated with more problematic separation-related
behaviour (at both 6 months: β = 0.14; 90% CI = 0.02, 0.26; and 12 months: β = 0.13; 90% CI =
0.003, 0.25) and dog-directed fear (6 months: β = 0.14; 90% CI = 0.009, 0.27), but also with
fewer problems involving stranger-directed fear (6 months: β = -0.15; 90% CI = -0.27, -0.03).
Lastly, dogs who experienced more maternal behaviour during week 3 exhibited more problems
with owner-directed aggression (at 6 months: β = 0.14; 90% CI = 0.03, 0.26), lower energy
levels (at 12 months: β = -0.12; 90% CI = -0.25, -0.009), and, although the credible interval did
include zero, a similar pattern of more problematic separation-related behaviour (at 12 months:
β = 0.12; 90% CI = -0.005, 0.24).Although we found associations for each week, maternal care
experienced by puppies in the second week of life again seems especially important, as it
exhibited the most associations, and especially an enduring association with separation-related
behaviour at both 6 and 12 months.
713
714

715 **Figure 7. Associations between maternal behaviour and offspring C-BARQ scores at 6 months**
716 **and 12 months of age. Associations for which the credible interval does not span across zero are**
717 **shown in black.**

718
719

Behaviour assessed via the Dog Impulsivity Assessment Scale (DIAS) & the Dog-ADHD
Rating Scale. Finally, we found several associations between maternal care and behavioural
measures from the DIAS and Dog-ADHD surveys at 10 and 16 months of age (Figure 8,
Supplementary Table S16). In fact, the activity & impulsivity measure was the only one with no
association to maternal behaviour. Specifically, dogs exposed to more maternal care during the
first week of life scored lower on responses to novelty (DIAS) at 10 months (β = -0.14; 90% CI =
-0.27, -0.01) and 16 months (β = -0.17; 90% CI = -0.30, -0.04) of age, meaning they were more
comfortable around and interested in novel things and situations. Maternal care in week 2 was
positively associated with higher aggression (DIAS) at 10 months of age (β = 0.14; 90% CI =
0.02, 0.26) and poorer behavioural regulation (DIAS) at 16 months of age (β = 0.13; 90% CI =
0.00, 0.25). Lastly, dogs exposed to higher levels of maternal care during week 3 scored higher
on inattention (Dog-ADHD) at 10 months of age (β = 0.14; 90% CI = 0.01, 0.26), and lower on
responsiveness (DIAS) at 16 months of age (β = -0.19; 90% CI = -0.32, -0.07).

Figure 8. Associations between maternal behaviour and offspring DIAS and Dog-ADHD Rating Scale response scores at 10 and 16 months of age. Associations for which the credible interval does not span across zero are shown in black.

Discussion

In this study, we collected cognitive and behavioural data from a sample of 59 dams and 235 puppies to explore the predictors and downstream effects of variation in maternal care and the early rearing environment. Our characterization of maternal care was largely consistent with previous literature in that we video recorded and tracked typical maternal behaviours – proximity, contact, nursing, and licking/grooming – to describe the maternal care displayed by each dam (Santos, Beck, & Fontbonne, 2020). Using our data, we addressed three orienting questions: First, can dam behaviour assessed pre-pregnancy predict subsequent maternal care? Second, how does early rearing environment affect maternal care and subsequent puppy characteristics? And third, what are the effects of maternal care on puppy cognitive and behavioural phenotypes, from 8 weeks through 16 months? Below, we address key findings with respect to each of these questions.

The potential to identify a dam's proclivity to display certain levels of maternal care is beneficial to working dog organizations and pet dog breeders alike. Past research in guide (Bray et al., 2017b) and detection (Montgomery et al., 2025) dogs has demonstrated a link between maternal behaviour and subsequent puppy outcome in those respective programs; thus, knowing what to look for in a potential breeding dog could pay dividends in bolstering success rates within working dog programs. Likewise, as we continue to learn more about how maternal care fosters various behavioural phenotypes, private pet dog breeders could be more selective by choosing breeding dams who will produce fewer puppies displaying the types of behavioural problems that often lead to relinquishment (Bray, Otto, et al., 2021; Czerwinski et al., 2016).

To assess potential behavioural predictors of maternal care in the current study, we looked
at dams' pre-pregnancy responses to our abbreviated DCDB tasks. In general, we found those
tasks were not useful predictors of subsequent maternal behaviour. The only association we
found was between quick and accurate performance on the cylinder detour task (indicating
cognitive flexibility) and higher levels of maternal care in the first week post-whelp (Figure 3).
We also looked at the C-BARQ scores of dams whose puppy raisers had completed the
questionnaire when they were approximately 12 months old, which only applied to
approximately half of our sample (n = 29). We found that dogs who were reported to display
less excitability, more trainability, and lower levels of chasing behaviour tended to provide more
maternal care (Figure 4). It seems reasonable that more excitable dams may be more likely to
move around rather than lie with their puppies, as reflected in lower levels of care and a
tendency to engage in more vertical nursing. Similarly, since dams are often confined to a
separate area with their neonates, those dogs who engaged in more human-oriented separation
behaviours pre-pregnancy may be more prone to similarly display those behaviours while
separated from their human caregivers with their litters, possibly resulting in distraction from
mothering behaviours. Montgomery et al. (2025) also recently looked at associations between
C-BARQ scores and maternal behaviour and found dams who scored high on excitability spent
more time nursing in the vertical position. In the sense that vertical nursing has been found to be
inversely related to other aspects of maternal care (e.g., as reported in the current study, overall
levels of maternal care decline across the first three weeks while vertical nursing increases), this
result is consistent with our own. Furthermore, Montgomery et al. (2025) report that higher
scores for human-oriented separation-related behaviour predict lower maternal care. We
observed this same pattern across all three weeks in our data as well, although all the credible
intervals included zero (Figure 4). Together with Montgomery et al. (2025), our results suggest
that the C-BARQ could be a helpful tool in evaluating future dams, and it appears to be more
predictive of future maternal behaviour than the DCDB. From a practical standpoint, the C-
BARQ is quite feasible for working dog organizations to implement, allowing for the assessment
of hundreds of dogs while requiring minimal investment of staff time and resources (Hare et al.,
2024).
We found a strong effect of time post-whelp on maternal behaviour: specifically, the
amount of maternal care steadily decreased over time, as has been consistently reported in the
literature (Santos, Beck, & Fontbonne, 2020). We also found that dams who whelped their litter
in warmer months (April through June) displayed less maternal behaviour than dams who
whelped in colder months (October to March). One possible reason for this difference is that the
ambient temperature in the room during October through March might be colder, leading the
dam and puppies to spend more time close to each other in the whelping box. The daylight
hours are also shorter in those months, affecting both temperature and light in ways that might
lead to dogs and caretakers spending more time inside and with the puppies. Other research
(Baqueiro-Espinosa et al., 2022; Foyer et al., 2016) has not found birth season to influence
maternal care; however, Foyer et al. (2016; 2013) did find an effect of birth season on puppy
behaviour during a temperament test and Baqueiro-Espinosa et al. (2022) found that dams
experienced more difficult whelps during the winter.
No other demographic factors were associated with variation in maternal care, and
specifically, we did not find an effect of litter size. While two previous studies (Baqueiro-

Espinosa et al., 2024; Bray et al., 2017a) reported that aspects of maternal behaviour
decreased with increasing litter size, two other recent studies (Montgomery et al., 2025; Santos,
Beck, Blondel, et al., 2020) did not find an effect of litter size on maternal care. It is possible that
the different findings between the studies are related to breed composition. Like Montgomery et
al. (2025), the population of working dogs in our study consisted of only retrievers. Surprisingly,
we also found that parity did not make a difference. While this finding mirrors that of Foyer et al.
(2016), it differs from our past study in guide dogs that found that the more experienced the
mother, the less maternal behaviour she displayed (Bray et al., 2017a). While we also found no
effect of breed composition, this finding can likely be attributed to the fact that the breeds used
were all retrievers and thus highly similar.
We did not observe a difference in maternal care displayed by dams whelping and
rearing their puppies in a professional breeding facility (the CEDC) as compared to those in a
home environment (Figure 2). To our knowledge, our study is the first to directly compare
maternal behaviour across different whelping and rearing locations. The two other studies that
tangentially address this topic did find differences in behaviour by rearing environment but also
used different methodologies. Tiira & Lohi (2015) reported that dogs who whelped at their
permanent homes took better care of their offspring compared to dogs who travelled to another
location to whelp and rear their litters. However, rather than directly observing the dogs, this
study queried owners via questionnaires, relying on their memories and perceptions of the
dam's maternal care when visiting the breeder and/or following up with the breeder. Baquiero-
Espinosa and colleagues (2022) compared the behaviour of dams who had been reared in the
breeding kennel to dams who had been born elsewhere and arrived at the breeding kennel later
in life. Dams who were born and reared in the breeding kennel had significantly easier whelps
and spent more time nursing their puppies during the first 24 hours postpartum compared to
dams who were born elsewhere. Our finding that maternal behaviour in this population does not
appear to be related to whelping and rearing location may be of practical importance for working
dog organizations who utilize one or both types of locations for their breeding programs.
Next, we looked at associations between behaviour and the early rearing location that
puppies inhabited during early life. We found an association between rearing location and
several aspects of puppy behaviour measured with the DCDB at 8 weeks of age, consistent with
prior research documenting the influence of rearing environment on puppy behaviour
development (Figure 5; Appleby et al., 2002; Lenkei et al., 2019; Majecka et al., 2020). For
example, compared to BC puppies, CEDC puppies showed better performance on the cylinder
task test trials, meant to measure motor inhibition, but inferior performance on the cylinder task
detour trials, meant to measure cognitive flexibility.
Our strongest findings were that puppies born and reared at the CEDC spent more time
in proximity to the experimenter during the play breaks of the human interest task and were less
skilled at following a communicative pointing gesture. It is possible these results are related to
the differing types of human interaction and exposure that these puppies experienced, leading
to differences in social and communicative behaviour. Though all puppies in the study had daily
interaction with people, puppies reared in a BC home may have spent more time interacting with
the same human(s), whereas puppies reared at the CEDC likely interacted with a wider variety
of humans and spent less time interacting with any given person. Lenkei et al. (2019) also found
differences in human social interaction in 8-week-old puppies raised in a home environment

compared to those raised in a kennel. Puppies raised in a home environment maintained gaze
with the experimenter longer than those raised in a kennel. Compared to puppies raised in a
home, puppies raised in a kennel spent more time close to an experimenter sitting silently in the
pen, though they spent less time interacting with the experimenter during a recall test. While
there is not a clear pattern of behaviour related to human social interaction, as Lenkei and
colleagues (2019) note, these findings suggest that developmental differences related to social
and communicative interactions with humans may emerge at an early age in puppies and
reinforce the significance of early socialization with humans.

We also found that puppies raised at the CEDC were less confident and more likely to
vocalize during a surprising events temperament task (Figure 3). This difference might be
attributed to the environmental surroundings in the CEDC compared to a home environment.
Though diligent care is taken to expose the puppies in the CEDC to a variety of stimuli, a home
environment may have a greater variety of sights, sounds, and smells and would have been
more unpredictable than the CEDC environment. These early differences in experiences may
have influenced the puppies' confidence levels when exposed to novel objects and surprising
events during the temperament tasks. Majecka et al. (2020) found that puppies reared indoors
in a home were more likely to receive scores characterizing them as confident, lacking
aggressive tendencies, and able to cope with novelty than puppies raised in an outdoor kennel.
Appleby et al. (2002) found that a home-based early rearing environment was associated with a
reduced probability of avoidance behaviour and aggression toward unfamiliar people, and
reduced aggression during a veterinary exam later in life. Our findings contribute to the literature
on the effect of early rearing environment on puppy behaviour development and suggest that
working dog organizations and breeders who house puppies in a kennel environment may want
to take thoughtful care to socialize the puppies to humans and expose them to a broad variety
of stimuli. It is important to note that all DCDB puppy testing was completed at the CEDC the
week of the scheduled veterinary checks, prior to going to puppy raiser homes. As a result, BC
puppies travelled to be tested and at the time of testing were being temporarily housed in an
environment which was novel to them. Thus, we cannot confidently dissociate effects related to
early rearing location from experiences more proximate to DCDB testing.

Next, separate from rearing location, we found that the maternal care experienced by the
puppies was related to their later behaviour as measured by the DCDB, an experimental
behavioural assay, and as reported through caretaker questionnaires. We found seven
associations between maternal care and performance on the DCDB, with Week 2 emerging as
the timepoint with the most associations. Most of those associations indicated that more
maternal care was generally related to less desirable outcomes (See Figure 6). Intriguingly,
associations between pre-pregnancy dam behaviour and subsequent maternal behaviour were
also most evident in Week 2, therefore making it the week that was most predictable for
maternal behaviour as well as most predictive of offspring behaviour on the DCDB. The
maternal behaviour rodent literature similarly finds there is a critical time window—specifically,
the first week post-birth—where maternal interactions are particularly important for shaping
later-life offspring outcomes (Caldji et al., 1998; Liu et al., 1997; Weaver et al., 2004). Based on
the current study and others (Bray et al., 2017b), the critical period in dogs appears to begin
about one week later, which is likely reflective of the reality that the postnatal development of
dogs progresses more slowly than that of rodents.

Past literature has found associations between levels of maternal care and performance
on arena, isolation, and novel object tests in 8-week-old puppies (Baqueiro-Espinosa et al.,
2025; Guardini et al., 2016, 2017). Though we did not find associations in the current study
between maternal behaviour and the DCDB temperament tasks, including novel object and
surprising events, considered together with previous research our results indicate that the level
of maternal care in the first three weeks has a measurable impact on 8-week-old puppy
behaviour as evaluated by behaviour tests. It remains to be seen if performance at 8 weeks on
certain DCDB tasks is indicative of future adult behaviour and success in the program, and if so,
which traits are most important. For example, on the DCDB, we found that dogs who
experienced less maternal care showed a right limb bias. This finding is consistent with past
literature, which separately reports that both a right paw preference (Tomkins et al., 2012) and
lower levels of maternal care (Bray et al., 2017b) are linked to greater guide dog success.
Our study also provides evidence that the association between maternal care on puppy
behaviour endures beyond the first 8 weeks of life. Specifically, we found several associations
between maternal care and behaviours reported on caretaker questionnaires at 6, 10, 12 and 16
months of age.
Dogs who experienced more maternal care displayed less inhibition around humans, as
measured by an increase in owner-directed aggression and a decrease in stranger-directed fear
reported on the C-BARQ at 6 months of age. Similarly, the DIAS results also revealed a positive
link between maternal behaviour and offspring aggression before 12 months of age, although
this association was not observed at later ages. These findings are consistent with research by
Foyer et al. (2016) who found that German shepherd military working dogs who experienced
higher levels of maternal care were more likely to engage in social behaviour with humans and
had higher scores on aggression at age 15-18 months.
Higher levels of maternal care were also associated with human-oriented separation-
related behaviours reported on the C-BARQ at 6 and 12 months of age. Considered together
with our finding that maternal care is negatively associated with some aspects of inhibition
around humans and the findings of Foyer et al. (2016), it seems possible that the dam-puppy
relationship dynamic may exert an influence on the later puppy-human attachment dynamic.
Guardini and colleagues (2017) also found a relationship between maternal care and interest in
an unfamiliar person and human-oriented separation-related behaviours in 8-week old puppies.
They suggest that this could be related to the attachment bond between the dam and her
offspring and that seeking security from humans is a valuable trait for dogs who are separated
from their litters early in life. It is possible that for puppies who experience a higher level of
maternal care, separation from the mother may be more difficult. These puppies may benefit by
being less inhibited and more comfortable around humans, allowing them to more readily seek
support and build relationships with human partners.
Interestingly, the behavioural disinhibition appears specific to social situations with
humans, as puppies who experienced more maternal care scored higher on C-BARQ measures
of non-social fear and dog-directed fear at 6 months of age (though these associations
disappeared by the 12-month evaluation point). It has been suggested that the quantity of
maternal care may help to mediate stress responses and help puppies to adapt to their
environments (Guardini et al., 2015), which has been demonstrated in rats (Czerwinski et al.,
2016). Tiira and Lohi (2015) found that fearful behaviour and anxieties were linked to poor

maternal care during puppyhood using a questionnaire administered to dog owners. In contrast,
other research (Bray et al., 2017b; Guardini et al., 2017) has found a positive association
between maternal care and stress-related behaviours. Evidence points to a systemic effect of
maternal care on fear and anxiety-related behaviours in dogs; however, the direction of the
effect requires further research and may be based on the type of instrument used to measure
the behaviour, the age at evaluation, and the range of variation present.
In addition to the relationships with aggression, fear, and separation-related behaviours,
we found associations between maternal care and other DIAS measures potentially considered
undesirable for service dogs. Dams providing more maternal care produced puppies who
scored higher on inattention at 10 months of age, higher on behavioural regulation (i.e., were
more impulsive, excitable and impatient) at 16 months of age, and lower on responsiveness
(i.e., were harder to train) at 16 months of age.
Our prior work within guide dogs also found high levels of maternal behaviour were
associated with some potentially unsuitable behaviours and undesirable outcomes in young
adulthood. Specifically, puppies who received more maternal care displayed higher activity
levels while in isolation, were quicker to vocalize at a novel object, had more difficulty with
problem-solving tasks, and were less likely to graduate as guide dogs (Bray et al., 2017b).
While there are similarities in how maternal behaviour appears to be impacting later offspring
behaviour between the two datasets, it remains to be seen how maternal care will impact
eventual program outcomes within the current population of service dogs. Successful service
and guide dogs need to display some common behavioural traits, such as trainability,
confidence, and a lack of body sensitivity (Amirhosseini et al., 2025; Bray, Otto, et al., 2021;
Duffy & Serpell, 2012). Yet they are distinct roles and the literature suggests there are also
unique qualities required for success in each role (Bray, Otto, et al., 2021). Thus, a future
direction of our research is to examine how maternal behaviour relates to offspring adult
behaviour, measured via the DCDB, and eventual outcome in the service dog program
specifically.
Given our limited knowledge about the causes and consequences of variation in material
care, we estimated associations between maternal behaviour and a wide range of variables
related to the dam, her rearing environment, and characteristics of her progeny. Given the
highly exploratory nature of this work, testing the robustness of these associations, through
replication in independent samples, presents an important priority of future research.
Our results provide insight into potential early environmental influences on several
aspects of dog behaviour, which are relevant to both working and companion animals. Some of
the effects seem to go in opposite directions in terms of desirability; thus, whether these traits
are deemed desirable or undesirable may depend on the working role and the way the traits are
managed. For example, dogs that experienced higher levels of maternal care exhibited an
increased interest in human interaction and were also more susceptible to separation-related
behavioural problems as young adults, perhaps stemming from attachment dynamics during the
first weeks of life (Dietz et al., 2019). Additionally, a higher level of maternal care was
associated with less inhibition around humans. Though these traits may be undesirable at the
extremes, they may ultimately be qualities of a successful service dog in that they represent the
strong desire to form a relationship with a human. In contrast, behaviours not appropriate for
service work may be appropriate for other roles. For example, Foyer et al. (2016)found that

increased maternal care was associated with aggression in young adult dogs, which is a
behaviour considered desirable for military working dogs performing detection and protection
roles (Bray, Otto, et al., 2021). Furthermore, several of the behavioural associations with higher
levels of maternal care that we report, including measures of aggression, fear, and inattention,
were not observed beyond 12 months of age on the current assessments. Thus, our future work
will explore whether these behaviours persist into adulthood and ultimately affect success as a
service dog.
Whereas environmental influences on common dog behaviour problems are widely
recognized, few studies have considered the consequences of experiences during the first
weeks of life. Our results suggest that this period may contribute importantly to the aetiology of
diverse behavioural outcomes and be an important consideration when choosing breeding dams
for working roles (Czerwinski et al., 2016; Foyer et al., 2016).
Collectively, our work builds on existing knowledge regarding the formative roles of early
experiences. A deeper understanding of the predictors and effects of maternal behaviour will
allow for more effective selection of breeders in dog populations, both working and companion,
leading to optimal early rearing conditions that enhance dog welfare and support successful
human-animal relationships.
1003

1004 **Data Availability**
1005 Data are available as electronic supplementary material.
1006
Acknowledgments
We thank Erika Albrecht, Kacie Bauer, Jason Belluci-King, Ashtyn Bernard, Theadora Block,
Gen Borrino, Carter Bradshaw, Cooper Bradshaw, Molly Byrne, Averill Cantwell, Elizabeth
Carranza, Richard Cawood, Amanda Chira, Alexzia Clark, Zachary Cohen, Victoria Coon,
Jamie Dunaisky, Abbey Earle, Jaime Finkelstein, Abby Flyer, Chandler From, Jen Geary,
Allyson Gonyo, Kyla Guinon, Erin Hardin, Stephanie Hargrave, Theresa Hatcher, Victoria
Holden, Emily Humphrey, Minho Lee, Jessica Nelson, Camden Olson, Facundo Ortega,
Alessandra Ostheimer, Erin Rich, Camila Riseuno-Pena, Amber Robello, Ashley Ryan, Rachel
Shaberman, Holland Smith, Paige Smith, Ellenor Spencer, Noah Stetson, Lorelei Switzer, Ricky
Walther, Sandra Walter, and Mia Wesselkamper for help with data collection and video coding.
We are also extremely grateful to the staff of Canine Companions, including the Canine Early
Development Center technicians, instructor assistants, and trainers, as well as their dedicated
volunteers, including volunteer breeder caretakers and puppy raisers.
1020
Author Contributions
All authors contributed to Writing – Review and Editing. EEB: Conceptualization,
Methodology, Investigation, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Supervision, Visualization, Project
Administration, Funding Acquisition, and Writing – Original Draft. LELCD: Methodology,
Investigation, Data Curation, Project Administration, and Writing – Original Draft. KML:
Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Data Curation, and Project Administration. GEG:
Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, and Visualization. DJH: Conceptualization,
Methodology, and Investigation. BSK: Project Administration and Resources. ELM:
Conceptualization, Methodology, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Funding Acquisition,
Supervision, and Visualization.
1031
1032 **Funding**

Declaration of Interest

None.

References

Amirhosseini, M. H., Serpell, J. A., Bray, E. E., Block, T. A., Douglas, L. E., Kennedy, B. S., Evans, K. M., Freeberg, K., & Pettigrew, P. (2025). Prediction of Assistance Dog Training Outcomes Using Machine Learning and Deep Learning Models. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, *287*, 106632. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2025.106632

Appleby, D., Bradshaw, J., & Casey, R. (2002). Relationship between aggressive and avoidance behaviour by dogs and their experience in the first six months of life. *Veterinary Record*, *150*(14), 434-438.

Baqueiro-Espinosa, U., Donnelly, P., McEvoy, V., & Arnott, G. (2024). The links between positive human interaction and maternal behaviour and welfare of commercial breeding dams (Canis familiaris). *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, *270*, 106147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2023.106147

Baqueiro-Espinosa, U., McEvoy, V., & Arnott, G. (2022). Factors influencing ease of whelping and its relationship with maternal behaviour and puppy perinatal mortality in commercially bred dogs. *Scientific reports*, *12*(1), 6680. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-10707-w

Baqueiro-Espinosa, U., McEvoy, V., Donnelly, P., & Arnott, G. (2025). Gestational enrichment of commercial breeding dams (Canis familiaris) influences offspring behaviour. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, *292*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2025.106779

Borge, K. S., Tønnessen, R., Nødtvedt, A., & Indrebø, A. (2011). Litter size at birth in purebred dogs—A retrospective study of 224 breeds. *Theriogenology*, *75*(5), 911-919. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.THERIOGENOLOGY.2010.10.034

Bray, E. E., Gnanadesikan, G. E., Horschler, D. J., Levy, K. M., Kennedy, B. S., Famula, T. R., & MacLean, E. L. (2021). Early-emerging and highly heritable sensitivity to human communication in dogs. *Current Biology*, *31*(14), 3132-3136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.04.055

Bray, E. E., Gruen, M., Gnanadesikan, G. E., Horschler, D. J., Levy, K., Kennedy, B. S., Hare, B., & MacLean, E. (2020). Cognitive characteristics of 8- to 10-week-old assistance dog puppies. *Animal Behaviour*, *166C*, 193-206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2020.05.019

Bray, E. E., Gruen, M., Gnanadesikan, G. E., Horschler, D. J., Levy, K., Kennedy, B. S., Hare, B., & MacLean, E. (2021). Dog cognitive development: a longitudinal study across the first 2 years of life. *Animal Cognition*, *24*, 311-328. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10071-020-01443-7

Bray, E. E., Otto, C. M., Udell, M. A. R., Hall, N. J., Johnston, A. M., & MacLean, E. L. (2021). Enhancing the Selection and Performance of Working Dogs. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, *8*, 644431. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.644431

Bray, E. E., Sammel, M. D., Cheney, D. L., Serpell, J. A., & Seyfarth, R. M. (2017a). Characterizing early maternal style in a population of guide dogs. *Frontiers in psychology*, *8*, 175. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00175

Bray, E. E., Sammel, M. D., Cheney, D. L., Serpell, J. A., & Seyfarth, R. M. (2017b). The effects
of maternal investment, temperament, and cognition on guide dog success. *Proceedings*
of the National Academy of Sciences USA, *114*(34), 9128–9133.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1704303114
Bürkner, P.-C. (2017). brms: An R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. *Journal*
of Statistical Software, *80*(1), 1-28.
Caldji, C., Tannenbaum, B., Sharma, S., Francis, D., Plotsky, P. M., & Meaney, M. J. (1998).
Maternal care during infancy regulates the development of neural systems mediating the
expression of fearfulness in the rat. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
USA, *95*(9), 5335-5340.
Czerwinski, V. H., Smith, B. P., Hynd, P. I., & Hazel, S. J. (2016). The influence of maternal care
on stress-related behaviors in domestic dogs: What can we learn from the rodent
literature? *Journal of Veterinary Behavior: Clinical Applications and Research*, *14*, 52-59.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2016.05.003
Duffy, D. L., & Serpell, J. A. (2012). Predictive validity of a method for evaluating temperament
in young guide and service dogs. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*, *138*(1), 99-109.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2012.02.011
Foyer, P., Wilsson, E., & Jensen, P. (2016). Levels of maternal care in dogs affect adult
offspring temperament. *Scientific reports*, *6*(1), 19253. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19253
Foyer, P., Wilsson, E., Wright, D., & Jensen, P. (2013). Early experiences modulate stress
coping in a population of German shepherd dogs. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*,
146(1-4), 79-87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2013.03.013
Gelman, A., & Tuerlinckx, F. (2000). Type S error rates for classical and Bayesian single and
multiple comparison procedures. *Computational statistics*, *15*(3), 373-390.
Gnanadesikan, G., Bray, E., Levy, K., Horschler, D., Gruen, M., Kennedy, B., Hare, B., &
MacLean, E. (2023). *Dog Cognitive Development Battery Methods.* OSF.
https://osf.io/csk3a/
Gnanadesikan, G. E., Bray, E. E., Cook, E. N., Levy, K. M., Douglas, L. E., Kennedy, B. S.,
Tecot, S. R., & MacLean, E. L. (2024). Basal plasma oxytocin & fecal cortisol
concentrations are highly heritable and associated with individual differences in behavior
& cognition in dog puppies. *Hormones and Behavior*, *165*, 105612.
Guardini, G., Bowen, J., Mariti, C., Fatjó, J., Sighieri, C., & Gazzano, A. (2017). Influence of
Maternal Care on Behavioural Development of Domestic Dogs (Canis Familiaris) Living
in a Home Environment. *Animals*, *7*(12), 93.
Guardini, G., Bowen, J., Raviglione, S., Farina, R., & Gazzano, A. (2015). Maternal behaviour in
domestic dogs: a comparison between primiparous and multiparous dogs. *Dog*
Behavior, *1*(1), 23-33. https://doi.org/10.4454/DOGB.V1I1.004
Guardini, G., Mariti, C., Bowen, J., Fatjó, J., Ruzzante, S., Martorell, A., Sighieri, C., & Gazzano,
A. (2016). Influence of morning maternal care on the behavioural responses of 8-week-
old Beagle puppies to new environmental and social stimuli. *Applied Animal Behaviour*
Science, *181*, 137-144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2016.05.006
Hare, E., Essler, J. L., Otto, C. M., Ebbecke, D., & Serpell, J. A. (2024). Development of a
modified C-BARQ for evaluating behavior in working dogs. *Frontiers in Veterinary*
Science, *11*, 1371630.
Kaffman, A., & Meaney, M. J. (2007). Neurodevelopmental sequelae of postnatal maternal care
in rodents: Clinical and research implications of molecular insights. *Journal of Child*
Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines, *48*(3-4), 224-244.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01730.x
Kruschke, J. (2014). Doing Bayesian data analysis: A tutorial with R, JAGS, and Stan.

Lenkei, R., Pogány, Á., & Fugazza, C. (2019). Social behavior in dog puppies: Breed
differences and the effect of rearing conditions. *Biologia Futura*, *70*(2), 134-142.
https://doi.org/10.1556/019.70.2019.17

Lezama-García, K., Mariti, C., Mota-Rojas, D., Martínez-Burnes, J., Barrios-García, H., &
Gazzano, A. (2019). Maternal behaviour in domestic dogs. *International journal of*
veterinary science and medicine, *7*(1), 20-30.

Li, M. (2024). Roadmap for maternal behavior research in domestic dogs: lessons from decades
of laboratory rodent work. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, *11*, 1394201.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2024.1394201

Liu, D., Diorio, J., Tannenbaum, B., Caldji, C., Francis, D., Freedman, A., Sharma, S., Pearson,
D., Plotsky, P. M., & Meaney, M. J. (1997). Maternal care, hippocampal glucocorticoid
receptors, and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal responses to stress. *Science*, *277*(5332),
1659-1662.

Lyu, W., Li, Y., Yao, A., Tan, Q. Q., Zhang, R., Zhao, J. P., Guo, K., Jiang, Y. H., Tian, R., &
Zhang, Y. Q. (2025). Oxytocin improves maternal licking behavior deficits in autism-
associated Shank3 mutant dogs. *Translational Psychiatry*, *15*(1), 76.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-025-03296-5

Maestripieri, D. (2018). Maternal influences on primate social development. *Behavioral Ecology*
and Sociobiology, *72*(8), 130. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-018-2547-x

Majecka, K., Pąsiek, M., Pietraszewski, D., & Smith, C. (2020). Behavioural outcomes of
housing for domestic dog puppies (Canis lupus familiaris). *Applied Animal Behaviour*
Science, *222*, 104899. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2019.104899

Montgomery, L. I., Krichbaum, S., Smith, J. G., Haney, P., & Lazarowski, L. (2025). Effects of
maternal care on detection dog behavioral outcomes. *Applied Animal Behaviour*
Science, *292*, 106818. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2025.106818

R Development Core Team. (2024). *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. In
http://www.R-project.org

Santos, N. R., Beck, A., Blondel, T., Maenhoudt, C., & Fontbonne, A. (2020). Influence of dog-
appeasing pheromone on canine maternal behaviour during the peripartum and neonatal
periods. *Veterinary Record*, *186*(14), 449-449. https://doi.org/10.1136/vetrec-2019-
105603

Santos, N. R., Beck, A., & Fontbonne, A. (2020). A review of maternal behaviour in dogs and
potential areas for further research. *Journal of Small Animal Practice*, *61*(2), 85-92.
https://doi.org/10.1111/jsap.13085

Tiira, K., & Lohi, H. (2015). Early life experiences and exercise associate with canine anxieties.
PloS one, *10*(11), e0141907. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0141907

Tomkins, L. M., Thomson, P. C., & McGreevy, P. D. (2010). First-stepping Test as a measure of
motor laterality in dogs (*Canis familiaris*). *Journal of Veterinary Behavior*, *5*(5), 247-255.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2010.03.001

Tomkins, L. M., Thomson, P. C., & McGreevy, P. D. (2012). Associations between motor,
sensory and structural lateralisation and guide dog success. *The Veterinary Journal*,
192(3), 359-367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2011.09.010

Vas, J., Topál, J., Péch, É., & Miklósi, Á. (2007). Measuring attention deficit and activity in dogs:
a new application and validation of a human ADHD questionnaire. *Applied Animal*
Behaviour Science, *103*(1), 105-117.

Walker, C. D., Bath, K. G., Joels, M., Korosi, A., Larauche, M., Lucassen, P. J., Morris, M. J.,
Raineki, C., Roth, T. L., Sullivan, R. M., Taché, Y., & Baram, T. Z. (2017). Chronic early
life stress induced by limited bedding and nesting (LBN) material in rodents: critical
considerations of methodology, outcomes and translational potential. *Stress*, *20*(5), 421-
448. https://doi.org/10.1080/10253890.2017.1343296

Weaver, I. C., Cervoni, N., Champagne, F. A., D'Alessio, A. C., Sharma, S., Seckl, J. R.,
Dymov, S., Szyf, M., & Meaney, M. J. (2004). Epigenetic programming by maternal
behavior. *Nature neuroscience*, *7*(8), 847-854.
Wilson, A. J., Reale, D., Clements, M. N., Morrissey, M. M., Postma, E., Walling, C. A., Kruuk,
L. E., & Nussey, D. H. (2010). An ecologist's guide to the animal model. *Journal of*
Animal Ecology, *79*(1), 13-26.
Wright, H. F., Mills, D. S., & Pollux, P. M. J. (2011). Development and validation of a
psychometric tool for assessing impulsivity in the domestic dog (*Canis familiaris*).
International Journal of Comparative Psychology, *24*(2), 210-225.
Wright, J. C. (1983). The effects of differential rearing on exploratory behavior in puppies.
Applied Animal Ethology, *10*(1–2), 27-34. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3762(83)90109-8
1194
1195