Rapid adaptation follows experimental assisted gene flow in subset of annual monkeyflower populations

Authors: Donna M. Hinrichs[1], Courtney M. Patterson[1], Andrea Turcu[1], Stacy D. Holt Jr.[1], Simon G. Innes[1], Nicholas J. Kooyers[1]*

Affiliations:
[1]Department of Biology, University of Louisiana, Lafayette; Lafayette, LA 70503 USA

[2]Corresponding author. Email: Nicholas.kooyers@louisiana.edu, Phone : +1(337)-482-1258, Address : 410 E. St. Mary Blvd.; Billeaud Hall, Rm 108; Lafayette, LA 70503 USA

ORCID
DMH: 0009-0009-9100-1204
CMP: 0000-0002-8304-5276
AT: 0000-0002-1899-4969
SDH: 0000-0001-7684-024X
SGI: 0000-0003-3859-1400
NJK: 0000-0003-3398-7377

Submission type : Research Report, Direct Submission

Preprint Server : bioRxiv (XXXXX), license : CC-BY-NC-ND

Classification : Major : Biological Sciences, Minor : Population Biology

Author Contributions
N.J.K. conceived and designed the experiments. DMH, CMP, AT, SDH, SGI, and NJK collected data and performed the experiments. DMH and NJK analyzed the data. DMH and NJK wrote the original draft of the manuscript, and all authors reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors approved of the final submission.

Data Availability Statement
Datasets containing field fitness data and phenotypic data from the resurrection experiment will be deposited on Dryad. Sequence data will be uploaded to NSBI SRA as .fastq files.

Conflict of Interest Statement
The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding Sources
U.S. National Science Foundation (DEB- 2045643; IOS-2222466)

Abstract

Assisted gene flow, the human-facilitated movement of species within its range, has the potential to increase genetic diversity and facilitate adaptation for climate-threatened populations. However, concerns regarding outbreeding depression and gene swamping have limited the application of assisted gene flow, and experimental tests have been largely confined to simulations and laboratories. We conducted a landscape-scale manipulation, planting seeds and seedlings from historically hotter and drier source populations into climate-threatened populations of the common yellow monkeyflower (*Mimulus guttatus*) and tracked change in genomes, phenotypes, and fitness. Within the first three generations, source alleles introgressed into half of the target populations. Assisted gene flow increased fitness in one experimental block of populations and slightly decreased fitness in a second block. Fitness increases were associated with flowering earlier and producing fewer trichomes. Greater fitness changes occurred in populations where seeds rather than seedlings were introduced. Both the amount of introgression and fitness changes were heterogeneous between years, suggesting that temporal fluctuations in climate likely impact initial introgression and success of assisted gene flow. Initial increases in ancestry from source populations was low across the genome, limiting concerns of gene swamping. Our results are generally consistent with theoretical models, and provide cautious optimism for an often-maligned conservation strategy.

Significance Statement

Scientists must validate potential management strategies for climate-threatened species. Assisted gene flow – human-assisted movement of individuals within a species range – is a controversial strategy that theoretically results in increased fitness in threatened populations. In a landscape-level test of assisted gene flow in an annual monkeyflower, we find evidence of both increased and decreased fitness due to introgression from source populations following assisted gene flow. The amount of genome-wide introgression depended on the type of germplasm introduced and declined over time. The direction of fitness changes was likely based on the differences in ecologically-important phenotypes in source vs. target populations. Our results suggest that assisted gene flow performs largely as predicted from theoretical models and is a viable conservation strategy.

Keywords: Evolutionary Rescue, Genetic Rescue, Introgression, Adaptation, Conservation Genomics, Restoration, Applied Management**,** Assisted Migration, *Mimulus guttatus* (syn. *Erythranthe guttata*)

Main Text

Main Text
Increasing patterns of maladaptation and declining population sizes indicate that there is a
substantial threat of climate change to many organisms (1–5). The potential risk of species
extinction (5–9) and loss of key ecosystem services (10–14) suggest that conservation action is
necessary with stipulation that actions will not further endanger species. An increasingly popular
intervention is assisted gene flow (AGF) - the facilitated movement of individuals within a
species range to increase the overall genetic diversity of a target population or facilitate
adaptation of a target population to changing conditions (15). Simulation and experimental
evolution studies suggest that AGF could be highly successful at increasing the long-term
fitness of populations (16, 17), with the caveat that AGF is largely untested in natural
populations (18). Based on these potential benefits, assisted gene flow has been conducted in
several plant and animal populations that are at risk of extirpation (18–21).
90
However, AGF is highly controversial within the conservation community due to both perceived
risks and lack of experimental data within natural populations. Two common perceived risks are
outbreeding depression and gene swamping (22–24). Introducing novel alleles into a population
via outcrossing and subsequent recombination could add potentially maladapted alleles into a
locally adapted population or break-up co-adaptive gene complexes (25, 25–32). Adding non-
local alleles that are much more adaptive than local alleles could cause the loss of local genetic
diversity (33, 34). Theoretical models of evolutionary rescue suggest that some maladaptation is
likely to occur following introduction of new variation (35–38), but evidence of outbreeding
depression is mixed with most research emphasizing that the effects of outbreeding are
temporary (30, 39, 40). Instead, simulation studies suggest that the benefits of AGF can be
rapid (<10 generations) when fitness traits are controlled by few large-effect loci (41).
Landscape-scale tests of AGF in natural populations are necessary to evaluate potential
benefits and risks.
104
Because AGF has historically been reserved for highly threatened species, there has been
limited opportunity to dissect the consequences of AGF through controlled experiments (but see
18, 42, 43). The impact of AGF should be proportional to the number of individual introduced
within natural populations and the life stage of the introduced organisms (21, 41). For instance,
in plant populations, it may be more useful to introduce seedlings rather than seeds, as
seedlings do not need to meet local germination requirements. Alternatively, introducing seeds
may buffer against extreme years and allow germination within more benign conditions (44).
The amount and location of introgression of non-local alleles into target population genomes is
key for assessing potential for gene swamping, outbreeding depression, and hybrid vigor.
Spatial heterogeneity between different target populations could create variation in the success
of AGF (43). Both the level of introgression and intensity of selection could fluctuate between
years. Non-local alleles also have the potential to move via gene flow to geographically
proximate populations (e.g. transgenes in wild populations; 45). Evaluating the uncertainty and
understanding the mechanisms underlying success or failure of AGF provides more confidence
(and less legal risk) for undertaking AGF for threatened or endangered species.
120
Here, we evaluate short-term fitness consequences after conducting a landscape-scale assisted
gene flow experiment in climate-threatened subalpine populations of the common yellow
monkeyflower, *Mimulus guttatus* (syn. *Erythranthe guttata*). While *M. guttatus* is widespread
across western North America and invasive in the U.K. and New Zealand (46, 47), annual
populations in the central Oregon Cascades are arguably climate-threatened (44, 48, 49).
Annual populations of *M. guttatus* are characterized by highly variable growing seasons that

depend on ephemeral water availability – growing seasons begin with winter/spring rain or
snowmelt and end with terminal droughts (50). Annual populations in the central Oregon
Cascades exhibit an adaptation lag, with populations from warmer and drier climates with earlier
growing seasons (i.e. populations from the foothills of the Sierra Nevada in California)
possessing higher fitness than native populations (48, 51). Short heatwaves in the last decade
have had severe demographic impacts on these populations (50.4% average mortality in one
heatwave), with some populations exhibiting near or complete mortality (44, 49).
134
We leverage twelve central Oregon Cascade populations with long-term demographic data to
conduct our landscape-scale AGF experiment (Fig. 1, Table S1). Populations were clustered
geographically into four blocks of three populations with all populations contained within a
25km^2 region. Baseline data collection began in 2018 with yearly surveys of phenotypes and
fecundity in each population. The assisted gene flow experiment began in 2021 with the
introduction of individuals from a cross between two maternal lines, one from a low elevation
population in the Sierra Nevada and one from a high elevation population in the Sierra Nevada.
These populations were chosen because they had high relative fitness in a nearby Oregon
common garden over multiple years (44, 48, 51). Within each of our experimental blocks, we
assigned populations to one of three experimental treatments: control, seeds, or seedlings. In
the control populations, we dispersed 200 seeds native to that population. These seeds were
pooled from as many different maternal lines as possible from the baseline collections (2018-
2020) described above. In the seed treatment populations, we dispersed 200 seeds of the F_1
source individuals uniformly across the population. In the seedling treatment populations, we
planted 19-20 seedlings of the F_1 source individuals along a transect extending across the
center of target populations. We use field surveys and collections before and after assisted
gene flow to evaluate the fitness consequences and underlying genetic and phenotypic
mechanisms of AGF.
153
Fitness consequences of assisted gene flow
Assisted gene flow was associated with modest differences in fitness across the target
populations, but the effects differed in direction and magnitude when evaluating different fitness
metrics and different life stages of the introduced individuals. Floral abundance increased by
20.4% in populations where CA seeds were introduced, and 8.5% in populations where CA
seedlings were introduced, relative to control populations (AGF:treatment, X^2 = 9.58, p = 0.008;
Fig. 2A, Table S2-3). Seed production did not change in target populations relative to control
populations after conducting assisted gene flow (AGF:treatment, X^2 = 3.0, p = 0.22). There were
moderate increases in seed production on average across all populations post-AGF (28-43%;
Fig. 2B). Introduction of novel alleles may also be expected to increase variation in fitness within
target populations. Standard deviation in floral abundance increased by 26.4% in populations
where CA seeds were dispersed and by 3.1% in populations where CA seedlings were planted
(Fig. S2A). The standard deviation in seed production decreased by 19.3% in populations where
CA seeds were dispersed and increased by 43.0% in populations where CA seedlings were
planted (Fig. S2B). These results suggest that assisted gene flow is either weakly effective
across all populations or only effective in some of the target populations.
170
Target populations exhibited substantial heterogeneity in their responses to AGF. Assisted gene
flow-related increases in flower abundance were primarily driven by two of the four experimental
blocks (HOV/HDM/FSM and SMG/RRM/OWC; Fig. 2C, Table S4-5). Conducting AGF via seeds
increased floral abundance by 24.8% (HDM) and 62.7% (RRM), and conducting AGF via

seedlings increased floral abundance by 23.7% (FSM) and 39.7% (OWC) (HOV/HDM/FSM:
AGF:treatment, X^2 = 8.4, p = 0.014; SMG/RRM/OWC: AGF:treatment, X^2 = 66.8, p = 3.1 x 10^{-}
^{15}). Similar trends were observed in these experimental blocks regarding seed production
(HOV/HDM/FSM: AGF:treatment, X^2 = 19.1, p = 6.8 x 10^{-5}; SMG/RRM/OWC: AGF:treatment, X^2
= 13.4, p = 0.001; Fig. 2D). However, AGF resulted in decreases in both floral abundance and
seed production in a third block relative to control populations (EVS/MTD/BMP; floral
abundance: AGF:treatment, X^2 = 11.2, p = 0.004, seed production: AGF:treatment, X^2 = 12.5, p
= 0.002). Differences in responses across populations likely depends both on stochastic (e.g.,
gene flow between plants within populations) and deterministic factors (e.g., seed inviability and
the magnitude of early season selection on hybrid individuals).
185
We observed changes in both the magnitude and direction of fitness responses to AGF in the
years following introduction (Fig. S3-S4, Table S6-7). There was a statistically significant
increase in floral abundance in AGF treatments in the year following AGF implementation (2022:
AGF:treatment, X^2 = 9.9, p = 0.007) but not in 2023. Seed production exhibited similar
heterogeneity between years with greater increases in populations receiving AGF in 2022 and
increases in control populations in 2023. There were also differences between populations in
the degree of temporal heterogeneity in fitness. For instance, introducing CA seeds increased
floral abundance in RRM most prominently in 2022, but introducing seedlings into the nearby
OWC populations increased floral abundance more prominently in 2023. These results highlight
that temporal heterogeneity in selection will have a strong impact on AGF results, but also that
some populations exhibit consistent increases in fitness across multiple years.
197
Genetic evidence for introgression into AGF-targeted populations.
To validate whether the fitness consequences above were consistent with introgression of
California alleles into target populations, we grew seeds from each year and population in the
UL-Lafayette greenhouse and sequenced whole genomes to an average of 10.9x coverage for
872 individuals. Each population and year combination was represented by 5-27 individuals
(Total N = 872; mean = 14.53; SD = 5.2; Table S7). We also sequenced 7 F$_1$ lines and 20 plants
from the low elevation source population. We examined two lines of evidence with this dataset
for evidence of introgression: introgression of private alleles from California and whole genome
shifts toward California-like ancestry.
207
We find evidence of introgression of private alleles into two of the four experimental blocks. In
the experimental block with the greatest fitness increases after conducting AGF
(SMG/RRM/OWC), we observed that AGF increased the number of CA private alleles that were
successfully incorporated relative to the control population (treatment: X^2 = 616.7, p = 2.2 x 10^{-}
^{16}; Fig. 3A, Table S8). Likewise, there is an increase in the number of CA private alleles in the
experimental block with the greatest fitness decreases after conducting assisted gene flow
(EVS/MTD/BMP: treatment: X^2 = 76.151, p = 2.2 x 10^{-16}). However, there is no evidence of
introgression from private alleles in the other two experiment blocks – including a block that had
increased fitness in AGF treatment relative to control populations. The lack of evidence for
genome-wide introgression does not necessarily mean that there were not source alleles
introduced, but does indicate that introgression was, at best, rare. In populations with evidence
of introgression from source populations, there was no obvious pattern in the distribution of
introgression in private alleles across the genome and all private alleles were found at relatively
low frequencies in both 2021 and 2022 (Fig. 3B, Fig. S5). Notably, introgression was higher in
2021 than in 2022 and different private alleles introgressed in 2021 and 2022 as only 5.86% of

loci have CA alleles present in both years (Table S9). These results confirm that introgression of
CA alleles did occur in multiple experimental blocks, and non-local alleles remain at relatively
low frequencies in the first years following introduction.
226
Introgression of CA alleles should also cause AGF target populations to become more
genetically similar to California parents and F_1 lines (Fig. S6). Genetic principal component
analysis conducted with 4-fold degenerate sites suggest that there is substantial genetic
divergence between source populations (CA parents/F_1) and native Oregon populations,
represented by PC1 (48.5% of variation). Only one experimental block (SMG/RRM/OWC) has
evidence of a shift toward CA/F_1 plants along PC1 (AGF:treatment, $X^2 = 18.3$, p = 0.0001). Both
introducing seeds and introducing seedlings were effective in increasing CA ancestry in this
block. However, the magnitude of the shift toward CA ancestry is small and all plants are much
closer to Oregon ancestry than California ancestry. This suggests that very low genome-wide
levels of introgression can create substantial fitness impacts.
237
Phenotype mechanisms underlying AGF fitness responses
Changes in fitness due to the introgression of California alleles suggests that there should be
some underlying change in phenotype that links genetic variation to population performance.
We conducted a greenhouse resurrection experiment growing maternal lines collected from all
years (2018-2022; Table S10) and populations to determine how phenological, morphological,
and physiological phenotypes changed in populations that received AGF relative to control
populations. Both flowering time and number of trichomes decreased across populations with
introduced CA F_1 individuals relative to control populations (flowering time: $X^2 = 6.74$, p = 0.03;
trichomes: $X^2 = 6.8$, p = 0.03; Fig. 4AB, Table S11). AGF-driven phenotypic differences were
stronger for populations receiving seeds rather than seedlings. Despite this general pattern,
there was heterogeneity in phenotype responses across the four experimental blocks. In the
population block with evidence of introgression and fitness increases (SMG/RRM/OWC),
flowering time decreased by 6.8 and 3.1 days on average in treatments introducing seeds and
seedlings compared to an increase of 0.88 days in the control treatment (Fig. 4C). Trichomes
decrease in a similar fashion (Fig. 4D). However, in the experimental block with evidence of
introgression and decreased fitness (EVS/MTD/BMP), flowering time increased by 4.3 and 0.8
days on average in treatments introducing seeds and seedlings (Fig. 4E). Trichomes were not
significantly altered in this block (Fig. 4F). Taken together, these results indicate that the
introgression of CA alleles altered flowering time and created variation in fitness following AGF.
257
Discussion
We find that assisted gene flow has the potential to increase fitness of target populations within
only a few generations. Theoretical models simulating genetic or evolutionary rescue indicate
that introduction of foreign alleles often lead to initial maladaptation due to outbreeding
depression followed by increases in fitness as adaptive alleles are selected and maladaptive
alleles purged from the population (36, 37). We find two blocks that had evidence of
introgression and that also exhibited changes in fitness post AGF. Both target populations in
one block with introgression exhibited slight evidence of maladaptation or outbreeding
depression relative to control populations in the first three generations, consistent with
theoretical expectations (Fig. 2). Surveys over the next decade will determine whether
introgression eventually increases population fitness. However, target populations in the other
experimental block with introgression had substantial fitness gains within these first generations
(Fig. 2). There are multiple non-mutually exclusive hypotheses explaining this rapid fitness

increase. One explanation for fitness gains is hybrid vigor or heterosis, where outbreeding leads
to increased heterozygosity and an increase in fitness (i.e. flour beetle). However, since allele
frequencies associated with the introgression of private alleles are low (Fig. 3), this is unlikely to
be the driving mechanism. Also unlikely is a scenario where the influx of genetic diversity
rescues the population from inbreeding or high genetic load as target populations have high
levels of genetic diversity (52). The most likely possibility is that target populations were poorly
adapted to their local conditions and AGF introduced novel genetic variants that shifted
phenotype means and allowed transgressive phenotypes or novel phenotypic combinations to
emerge. While our greenhouse work establishes post-AGF shifts toward earlier flowering and
lower trichomes relative to control populations, field common gardens with manipulations to key
climate variables are necessary to dissect the agents and targets of selection underlying fitness
gains.
283
Climatic variation impacts introgression and fitness gains associated with AGF
Our results provide key insights into the expectations for assisted gene flow within complex
environments. We find variation in the success of assisted gene flow across both space and
time (Fig. 2, Fig S4). Our finding that two experimental blocks had evidence of introgression
from assisted gene flow while two other blocks did not could be explained by both deterministic
and stochastic factors. Lack of introgression could stem from stochastic factors. For instance,
relatively few seeds or seedlings were introduced and introgression is dependent on pollination
between native and introduced individuals.
292
However, success of introgression and associated fitness differences were likely impacted by
the climatic differences between populations. While populations within each experimental block
were located at the same elevation, experimental blocks were located over an elevation
gradient of ~500m – creating substantial differences in growing season timing and both abiotic
and biotic conditions during the growing season. The lowest elevation experimental block was
the block with evidence of introgression and fitness gains associated with earlier flowering and
extended growth. These populations have an earlier growing season start date and a longer
growth season than higher elevation populations – conditions that are most similar to the
historical conditions with the source California populations (50). Notably, low elevation
populations also had more delayed times to flowering than high elevation populations at the
beginning of the experiment. An infusion of novel alleles promoting earlier flowering, such as
those from the early flowering California source plants, would be most likely to be effective in
these populations and could be detrimental in target populations that already flower earlier than
the source populations. Conditions for germination of seeds may also have differed between
populations and this is likely a key barrier for the success of assisted gene flow (53).
308
The difference in introgression and fitness in different years following AGF also suggests that
climate abnormalities in the initial years of AGF act as an important filter for AGF success.
Patterns of introgression differ substantially between years. Most introgression took place during
the year that seeds and seedling were introduced (2021). This should be expected as seeds
germinate readily in *M. guttatus* and we planted a number of seedlings that were ready to flower
(Fig. S1). However, there was also a substantial heat dome event during the 2021 growing
season (54) that had devastating consequences on many target populations (49) and prevented
many of the source seedlings from producing seed (Table S12). We also expected evidence of
introgression in subsequent years from seeds that did not germinate the first year and from
seeds which were generated as hybrids between source and target populations. There was far
more limited evidence of introgression of private alleles relative to control populations in 2022

(Fig. 3). It is notable that we judge levels of introgression relative to control populations as the
likely pollinators (bees) are capable of flying between control and treatment populations within
an experimental block (1-2km; 55). Indeed, alleles that we inferred as private to source
populations appear in every control population (Fig. 3). This is primarily due to our inference of
private alleles as we likely did not detect some rare alleles in Oregon in the sequencing of 2018-
20 Oregon populations. However, tracking source population alleles that escape treatment
populations is important for determining off-target impacts of AGF (56).
327
Limited introgression of private alleles genome-wide does not exclude the possibility that there
may be introgression in key genomic regions that could have impacted phenotypes. On the
contrary, some populations with relatively limited introgression of private alleles in 2022 had
strongly increased floral abundance relative to control populations in the same year (e.g. RRM).
Future studies scanning the genome for allele frequency change will detect the key regions
underlying shifts in fitness. However, temporal variance in relative fitness in AGF populations
relative to control populations likely indicates that temporal variation in climate plays a key role
in the outcome of AGF. High elevation *M. guttatus* populations in the central Oregon Cascades
are known for strong fluctuating selection maintaining high genetic and phenotypic diversity
within populations (52, 57–59). Notably, one of the key phenotypes undergoing fluctuating
selection is flowering time with year-to-year variation driven by differences in growing season
start date and duration (58). An important consideration for AGF is that introduced alleles may
be adaptive in some years but not in others. For instance, we worry that introduced alleles that
increase in frequency during the relatively benign years (i.e. 2022 growing season) may be
maladaptive and cause populations to be less resilient during future heatwaves. Moreover,
strong fluctuations during the first years after AGF could either enhance or prevent source
population alleles from incorporating into target populations depending on whether the
fluctuation makes the environment more similar to the historic source environment. The relative
impacts of fluctuating selection during AGF require additional theoretical work.
347
Assessing key choices for implementing AGF
Our results suggest that the logistics of assisted gene flow are key to the end result. Current
recommendations suggest introducing 5-20% of the target population census size from source
populations (21, 41). Our experiment introduced << 5% of the census size regardless of
whether we introduce seeds or seedlings. Population census sizes can differ dramatically
between years, with limited individuals flowering during years with early growing season start
dates and abnormally hot conditions (44, 49). This decision likely limited the initial amount of
introgression in target populations. Notably, even with a limited number of individuals
introduced, we observed both introgression and fitness impacts within half of our target
populations. Despite this success, we suggest introducing higher numbers of individuals to
ensure more rapid incorporation into the genome (i.e., 10-20% of census size).
359
Another key choice is deciding how to introduce source populations. Our experiment examines
a single introduction of seeds vs. seedlings. In experimental blocks with clear evidence of
introgression, both seed and seedling treatments had effects, but the introduction of seeds
increased introgression and led to larger magnitude fitness effects. The introduction of seeds
was likely more effective for three reasons: first, we introduced more seeds than planted
seedlings; second, 77% of seedlings died following introduction due to a substantial heatwave
and the rest produced limited fruits (1.17 ± 0.99 SD fruits); third, seeds may not have
germinated within the first year and could have provided a reservoir capable of introducing
alleles in multiple growing seasons. Thus, we do not observe the potential downside of

introducing seeds – that they must overcome seed dormancy requirements and novel
establishment conditions associated with non-native sites (53). Our results suggest that single
introductions are less likely to be effective than multiple introductions and that introducing seeds
may have longer lasting effects in unpredictable climates.
373
Our experiment also included a non-standard choice for applied assisted gene flow efforts. We
introduced germplasm resulting from a cross of two maternal lines sourced from two
populations. Our choice was justified by the success of these two lines and populations in a
nearby common garden experiment (44, 48), evidence of inbreeding depression in nearby
natural populations (60), and the need for a genetically-tractable system. Yet, most species with
the need for AGF will not have the large history of evolutionary ecology and genetics studies
that our study system possesses. Source populations for AGF are typically selected via climate
transfer zones or seedlot selection tools based on historical climates and contemporary
conditions (61). Experimental and simulation studies are needed to better assess how to choose
source populations – phenotypic and genomic data could provide rapid assessment of potential
source populations that could complement or even replace climate data. In the absence of data,
including both native and climate-adapted germplasm in introductions either via seed mixes or
through hybridization of populations can allow natural selection to incorporate ecologically-
important variants.
388
Conclusions
Our study provides cautious support for utilizing assisted gene flow to preserve natural
populations experiencing the detrimental effects of climate change, consistent with the growing
recommendations for conducting AGF in a variety of maladapted populations (16, 17, 62, 63).
We find evidence of the beginning stages of evolutionary rescue despite relatively limited
genome-wide patterns of introgression, limiting potential concerns regarding outbreeding
depression or gene swamping. However, attention to organismal biology of the threatened
species and logistical details is clearly key to the success or failure of AGF and we encourage
responsible evidence-based decision making.
398
Materials and Methods
We conducted a landscape-scale manipulative experiment involving 12 target populations within
a 25km^2 region of the Central Oregon Cascade Mountains. Populations were geographically
clustered into four groups of three populations ('blocks'). Elevations were similar within blocks
but varied between blocks (1087-1567 m; Table S1). We identified two source populations from
the foothills of the Sierra Nevada in central California (Fig. 1; Table S1). These populations were
chosen because they have higher fitness than the native populations in a nearby common
garden (48, 64). A single maternal line from two source populations (BEL, SHL) were crossed
together in bulk to produce the F_1 seeds used for AGF. In each block, populations were
designated as control, seed or seedling treatment. In the control treatment populations, 200
seeds previously collected from the native population were uniformly sown throughout the
population (20+ maternal lines). In the seed treatment populations, we dispersed 200 F_1 source
seeds uniformly across the population. In the seedling treatment populations, we planted 19-20
14-day old seedlings grown from the F_1 seeds along a transect extending across the center of
target populations. For seedling growth conditions prior to planting, see extended methods. We
recorded survival of translocated seedlings weekly, and counted number of fruits produced after
senescence in order to assess the potential for introgression of new alleles in these populations;
only 23% of seedlings survived to flower, and those individuals that produced an average of
1.17 ± 0.99 SD fruits (Table S11).

418
Evaluating AGF impacts on fitness within natural populations
We assessed fitness at the end of the growing season in each population in each year from
2018-2023 (previously reported in (44, 49). Plants were collected along two 7.5 m transects
spanning the population. From 2018-2020, one individual was collected every 15 cm along each
transect, totaling 100 individuals/population. From 2021-2023, one individual was collected
every 30 cm along each transect, totaling 50 individuals/population. We counted all flowers and
seeds for each individual as proxies of fitness.
426
We evaluated whether AGF increased fecundity of the target populations within a general linear
mixed model framework (LMM). We conducted univariate LMM to assess the effects of AGF
(pre/post), Introduction treatment (control/seeds/seedlings), and the interaction between
AGF:Introduction on both metrics of fitness (flower abundance and seed production). Year and
population were random effects in each model. Floral abundance and seed production were
log+1-transformed to better fit model assumptions. To determine whether assisted gene flow
increases the variance in fitness, we conduced similar univariate LMMs using the standard
deviation of floral abundance and seed production between individuals within a population as
response variables. Standard deviation of flower abundance was log+1-transformed, but
standard deviation of seed production was not log+1-transformed. Analyses were performed
using the *lme4* v 1.1-35.5 package in R v 4.4.1 (65). Statistical significance of LMM was
assessed via ANOVA with type III sum of squares using the Anova() function in the *car* v 3.1-2
package (66). We note that interpretation of models differed for each fitness variable as flower
abundances could logistically not be affected by AGF in 2021 as plants sampled along transects
were almost certainly native Oregon plants; thus, floral abundance in 2021 is considered pre-
AGF in models. However, seed production could have been impacted in 2021 through pollen
transfer from introduced CA seeds/seedlings and can be considered post-AGF in models.
444
To better dissect the impacts of AGF in the experimental manipulation, we evaluated variation
among population blocks and between post-AGF years. We examined differences among
different experimental blocks by subsetting the data into the four blocks and repeating the above
univariate LMMs for each block. Because each treatment was only represented by one
population using this method, population was removed as a random variable for these models.
We assessed heterogeneity in AGF-related fitness variation across post-AGF years by
subsetting data into each post-AGF year and retaining all baseline years. In these models, year
was removed as a random variable.
453
Validating AGF introgression via genomic analysis.
We germinated seeds from 24-30 maternal lines/population ~2 months after collection each
year in the UL-Lafayette Greenhouse (*Refresher Generation Growth Conditions* in extended
methods). We also included 7 individuals from one parental population (BEL) and 20 F1
individuals grown in the 2021 common garden. Seeds from the other parent line (SHL) did not
germinate. One individual from each maternal line had buds or leaves collected for genetics and
this individual was selfed for use in the resurrection experiment described below. DNA was
extracted using a modified CTAB extraction (67) and diluted to 3 ng/μL for library construction.
Libraries for whole genome sequencing were constructed using a customized dual-index
Nextera library construction protocol (68). Each population and year combination was
represented by 5-27 individuals (Total N = 872; mean = 14.53; SD = 5.2; Table S7). Poor fitness
in the field that limited collections as well as reduced germination led to the reduced sample size
of some populations in some years. Post-barcoding, we pooled either 48 or 96 individual

equimolar libraries and sequenced each pool on a single lane on either a HiSeqX or NovoseqX
Plus platforms (150bp paired end reads; Novogene, Davis, CA). Batch effects due to either
sequencing platform or lane were minimal.

We constructed a custom bioinformatics pipeline for our population genomics study
(https://github.com/donnasaurrus/AGF). Initial read quality was evaluated using fastQC (69).
High-quality reads were aligned a *Mimulus guttatus* reference genome from a nearby population
(IM62 v3: https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/info/Mguttatusvar_IM62_v3_1) utilizing bwa-mem
with default parameters (70) and converted to bam files using SAMtools (71). Read groups and
duplicates were marked using PicardTools, and SNPs were called using bcftools (72). We
filtered for variant quality, strand bias, and mapping quality using GATK [QD < 2.0 ll FS > 60.0 ll
MQ < 40.0 ll MQRankSum < -12.5] (73). We used VCFtools (74) to filter retaining biallelic SNPs
with minimum quality score of 500, and removing any SNPs with >25% missing data. This SNP
dataset included 18,118,076 SNPs. Mean coverage and error rates of our sequence data (.bam
files) were assessed using Qualimap (75, 76). Mean coverage depth was 10.9x (SD = 7.7), and
mean error rate was 2.7% (SD = 0.39%) (Table S13).

We first evaluated introgression via AGF into Oregon populations using private alleles. We
filtered the SNP dataset to only include sites where both reference and alternative alleles were
at a frequency > 0.3 in BEL and F1 individuals *and* were monomorphic in all populations in
Oregon prior to conducting AGF (2018-2020). We note that these alleles may not necessarily be
truly private as some rare alleles in Oregon populations may escape detection in the three
baseline years, either due to the presence of a seed bank or a finite sample size. This dataset
consisted of 15,691 SNPs distributed genome-wide. We evaluated whether there was
introgression in target populations that received AGF from source populations compared to
control populations. We transformed allele frequencies into a binomial variable, where loci with
a CA private allele frequency of 0 were coded as 0, and any non-zero allele frequencies were
coded as 1. We conducted a generalized linear mixed model with a binomial distribution and a
logit link function using the *glmmTMB* v 1.1.9 package in R v 4.4.1 (77), with treatment (levels:
Control, Seed, Seedling) included as a fixed factor, and with year and population included as
random variables. To assess differential responses between blocks, we repeated this model,
subsetting data into individual experimental blocks and removing population as a random
variable. Statistical significance was assessed as in above GLMs for fitness.

We evaluated the extent that introgression shifted genome-wide genetic diversity toward
California source populations using a principal component analysis that included Oregon
populations from all years as well as individuals from California parental and F_1 lines. We filtered
SNPs to include only 4-fold degenerate sites and only used individuals with < 20% missing data
(N = 803). This dataset included 1,056,971 SNPs. A PCA was conducted using --pca in *plink*
v1.9 (78). PC1 separated Oregon from California populations (Fig. S6). We examined shifts in
PC1 toward CA populations following AGF using univariate GLMs identical those used above
for fitness except with PC1 and PC2 as response variables. In these models, AGF (pre/post),
introduction treatment (control/seeds/seedlings), and the interaction between AGF:Introduction
were fixed effects while population and year were included as random effects.

Identifying phenotypic shifts following AGF
We conducted a greenhouse resurrection experiment to examine how phenotypes shift
following AGF. We germinated seed from 988 maternal lines stemming from every population
and year from 2018 - 2022 (mean ± SD: 17.0 ± 6.1 lines per population per year, Table S9).

These seeds were selfed lines from the refresher generation(s) described above. Germination
and growth conditions are described in extended methods (*Resurrection Experiment Growth*
Conditions). We surveyed for germination and flowering daily and calculated flowering time as
the number of days between germination and opening of the first flower. At flowering, we
identified the node of the first flower, counted the number of leaves and branches, and
measured plant height, corolla width, corolla length, corolla height, and stem diameter. We also
collected the larger single 2nd true leaf to measure leaf area, specific leaf area, number of
trichomes, and relative water content. Further details in phenotypes can be found in extended
methods. There were strong correlations among several of the phenotypes (Table S14) so we
choose to only include a subset of phenotypes in analyses including flowering time, plant height,
leaf number, corolla width and number of trichomes.
527
We used univariate GLMMs to evaluate potential shifts in phenotypes following AGF treatment.
As in above GLMMs, AGF (pre/post), introduction treatment (control/seeds/seedlings), and the
interaction between AGF:Introduction were fixed effects while population and year were
included as random effects. Distributions, links, and transformations were chosen to maximize
model fit. The GLMM for flowering time had a Poisson distribution with a log link. All other
variables were log-transformed and had Gaussian distributions with identity links. Statistical
significance of fixed factors was assessed via ANOVA with type III sum of squares using the
Anova() function in the *car* v3.1-2 R package (66). Changes in phenotype due to AGF would
create significant AGF:Introduction interactions with shifts likely toward CA phenotypic means.
To better examine how phenotypic change was occurring within experimental blocks, we subset
the dataset by block and ran identical models for each block without population as a random
factor.
540

Acknowledgements
The authors thank Josh FitzPatrick, Braden Doucet, Luke Rabalais, Elissa Harb, Jace Segura,
Gabbie Dietel, Lana Gaspard, Noah Richard, Emily Bollich, Haley St. Martin, Laura McDonald,
Anna Scharnagl, and Benjamin LeBlanc who helped with field, greenhouse and lab work
associated with this project. This work was logistically supported by H.J. Andrews Experimental
Forest and permitted through the Willamette Forest District of the United States Forest Service.
Funding for this research came from University of Louisiana, Lafayette and NSF grants DEB-
2045643 and IOS-2222466 to N.J.K.

References

1. M. Exposito-Alonso, *et al.*, Genetic diversity loss in the Anthropocene. *Science* **377**, 1431–1435 (2022).

2. K. Calvin, *et al.*, "Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change," First (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2023).

3. N. Kooyers, *et al.*, Responses to climate change – insights and limitations from herbaceous plant model systems. [Preprint] (2025). Available at: https://ecoevorxiv.org/repository/view/8826/ [Accessed 11 August 2025].

4. J. T. Anderson, *et al.*, Adaptation and gene flow are insufficient to rescue a montane plant under climate change. *Science* **388**, 525–531 (2025).

5. D. R. Campbell, Early snowmelt projected to cause population decline in a subalpine plant. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **116**, 12901–12906 (2019).

6. P. D. Krushelnycky, *et al.*, Climate-associated population declines reverse recovery and threaten future of an iconic high-elevation plant. *Global Change Biology* **19**, 911–922 (2013).

7. P. B. Reed, *et al.*, Climate warming threatens the persistence of a community of disturbance-adapted native annual plants. *Ecology* **102**, e03464 (2021).

8. S. N. Sheth, A. L. Angert, Demographic compensation does not rescue populations at a trailing range edge. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **115**, 2413–2418 (2018).

9. K. J. Iknayan, S. R. Beissinger, Collapse of a desert bird community over the past century driven by climate change. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **115**, 8597–8602 (2018).

10. M. D. Smith, *et al.*, Global environmental change and the nature of aboveground net primary productivity responses: insights from long-term experiments. *Oecologia* **177**, 935–947 (2015).

11. T. J. Bartley, *et al.*, Food web rewiring in a changing world. *Nat Ecol Evol* **3**, 345–354 (2019).

12. M. L. Vahsen, *et al.*, Complex eco-evolutionary responses of a foundational coastal marsh plant to global change. *New Phytologist* **240**, 2121–2136 (2023).

13. L. Zoller, J. Bennett, T. M. Knight, Plant–pollinator network change across a century in the subarctic. *Nat Ecol Evol* **7**, 102–112 (2023).

14. S. L. Raubenheimer, L. Zheng, A. Stefanski, P. B. Reich, Climate-change-driven shifts in C_3 and C_4 grass distributions and leaf traits could lead to changes in community-level flammability. *American J of Botany* e70081 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1002/ajb2.70081.

15. S. N. Aitken, M. C. Whitlock, Assisted Gene Flow to Facilitate Local Adaptation to Climate Change. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **44**, 367–388 (2013).

16. L. Browne, J. W. Wright, S. Fitz-Gibbon, P. F. Gugger, V. L. Sork, Adaptational lag to
temperature in valley oak (Quercus lobata) can be mitigated by genome-informed assisted
gene flow. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **116**, 25179–25185 (2019).

17. J. A. Grummer, *et al.*, The immediate costs and long-term benefits of assisted gene flow in
large populations. *Conservation Biology* **36**, e13911 (2022).

18. K. C. Pregler, *et al.*, Assisted gene flow from outcrossing shows the potential for genetic
rescue in an endangered salmon population. *Conservation Letters* **16**, e12934 (2023).

19. R. J. Fredrickson, P. Siminski, M. Woolf, P. W. Hedrick, Genetic rescue and inbreeding
depression in Mexican wolves. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*
274, 2365–2371 (2007).

20. M. Hagedorn, *et al.*, Assisted gene flow using cryopreserved sperm in critically endangered
coral. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **118**, e2110559118 (2021).

21. P. W. Hedrick, Gene Flow and Genetic Restoration: The Florida Panther as a Case Study.
Conservation Biology **9**, 996–1007 (1995).

22. A. R. Weeks, *et al.*, Assessing the benefits and risks of translocations in changing
environments: a genetic perspective: Translocations in changing environments.
Evolutionary Applications **4**, 709–725 (2011).

23. M. Todesco, *et al.*, Hybridization and extinction. *Evolutionary Applications* **9**, 892–908
(2016).

24. A. Ricciardi, D. Simberloff, Assisted colonization is not a viable conservation strategy.
Trends in Ecology & Evolution **24**, 248–253 (2009).

25. S. Edmands, Between a rock and a hard place: evaluating the relative risks of inbreeding
and outbreeding for conservation and management. *Molecular Ecology* **16**, 463–475
(2007).

26. A. Frank, *et al.*, Risk of genetic maladaptation due to climate change in three major
European tree species. *Global Change Biology* **23**, 5358–5371 (2017).

27. M. V. Price, N. M. Waser, Pollen dispersal and optimal outcrossing in Delphinium nelsoni.
Nature **277**, 294–297 (1979).

28. O. Ronce, M. Kirkpatrick, When Sources Become Sinks: Migrational Meltdown in
Heterogeneous Habitats. *Evolution* **55**, 1520–1531 (2001).

29. D. A. Tallmon, G. Luikart, R. S. Waples, The alluring simplicity and complex reality of
genetic rescue. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **19**, 489–496 (2004).

30. D. M. Waller, Genetic rescue: a safe or risky bet? *Molecular Ecology* **24**, 2595–2597
(2015).

31. N. M. Waser, M. V. Price, CROSSING-DISTANCE EFFECTS IN *DELPHINIUM NELSONII*:
OUTBREEDING AND INBREEDING DEPRESSION IN PROGENY FITNESS. *Evolution*
48, 842–852 (1994).

32. A. R. Weeks, *et al.*, Assessing the benefits and risks of translocations in changing
environments: a genetic perspective. *Evolutionary Applications* **4**, 709–725 (2011).

33. N. H. Barton, The effects of linkage and density-dependent regulation on gene flow.
Heredity **57**, 415–426 (1986).

34. T. Lenormand, Gene flow and the limits to natural selection. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*
17, 183–189 (2002).

35. R. Gomulkiewicz, R. D. Holt, When does evolution by natural selection prevent extinction?
Evolution **49**, 201–207 (1995).

36. H. A. Orr, R. L. Unckless, The population genetics of evolutionary rescue. *PLoS Genet* **10**,
e1004551 (2014).

37. S. M. Carlson, C. J. Cunningham, P. A. H. Westley, Evolutionary rescue in a changing
world. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **29**, 521–530 (2014).

38. G. Bell, Evolutionary Rescue. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **48**, 605–627 (2017).

39. R. Frankham, Genetic rescue of small inbred populations: meta-analysis reveals large and
consistent benefits of gene flow. *Molecular Ecology* **24**, 2610–2618 (2015).

40. R. Frankham, *et al.*, Predicting the Probability of Outbreeding Depression. *Conservation*
Biology **25**, 465–475 (2011).

41. J. A. Grummer, *et al.*, The immediate costs and long-term benefits of assisted gene flow in
large populations. *Conservation Biology* **36**, e13911 (2022).

42. R. Pain, "Evolutionary Impacts of Assisted Gene Flow: Fitness Consequences of
Hybridization Along a Geo-Climatic Gradient in an Annual Prairie Legume," University of
Minnesota, United States -- Minnesota. (2024).

43. S. M. Wadgymar, M. N. Cumming, A. E. Weis, The success of assisted colonization and
assisted gene flow depends on phenology. *Global Change Biology* **21**, 3786–3799 (2015).

44. L. M. McDonald, A. Scharnagl, A. K. Turcu, C. M. Patterson, N. J. Kooyers, Demographic
consequences of an extreme heat wave are mitigated by spatial heterogeneity in an
annual monkeyflower. *Ecology and Evolution* **13**, e10397 (2023).

45. C. N. Stewart, M. D. Halfhill, S. I. Warwick, Transgene introgression from genetically
modified crops to their wild relatives. *Nat Rev Genet* **4**, 806–817 (2003).

46. C. A. Wu, *et al.*, *Mimulus* is an emerging model system for the integration of ecological and
genomic studies. *Heredity* **100**, 220–230 (2008).

47.   M. Vallejo-Marín, *et al.*, Population genomic and historical analysis suggests a global
invasion by bridgehead processes in *Mimulus guttatus*. *Commun Biol* **4**, 327 (2021).

48.   N. J. Kooyers, *et al.*, Lagging Adaptation to Climate Supersedes Local Adaptation to
Herbivory in an Annual Monkeyflower. *The American Naturalist* **194**, 541–557 (2019).

49.   N. J. Kooyers, *et al.*, Heat waves decrease fitness and alter maternal provisioning in
natural populations of *Mimulus guttatus*. *American Journal of Botany* (2025).
https://doi.org/10.1002/ajb2.70087.

50.   N. J. Kooyers, A. B. Greenlee, J. M. Colicchio, M. Oh, B. K. Blackman, Replicate altitudinal
clines reveal that evolutionary flexibility underlies adaptation to drought stress in annual
Mimulus guttatus. *New Phytologist* **206**, 152–165 (2015).

51.   A. Scharnagl, M. A. Genung, L. M. Holeski, N. J. Kooyers, Life history and chemical
defense interact to drive patterns of local adaptation in an annual monkeyflower. *Evolution*
77, 370–383 (2023).

52.   J. R. Puzey, J. H. Willis, J. K. Kelly, Population structure and local selection yield high
genomic variation in Mimulus guttatus. *Molecular Ecology* **26**, 519–535 (2017).

53.   O. A. Kildisheva, *et al.*, Dormancy and germination: making every seed count in
restoration. *Restoration Ecology* **28** (2020).

54.   R. H. White, *et al.*, The unprecedented Pacific Northwest heatwave of June 2021. *Nat
Commun* **14**, 727 (2023).

55.   J. L. Osborne, *et al.*, Bumblebee flight distances in relation to the forage landscape.
Journal of Animal Ecology **77**, 406–415 (2008).

56.   R. S. Pasquet, *et al.*, Long-distance pollen flow assessment through evaluation of
pollinator foraging range suggests transgene escape distances. *Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences* **105**, 13456–13461 (2008).

57.   J. P. Mojica, Y. W. Lee, J. H. Willis, J. K. Kelly, Spatially and temporally varying selection
on intrapopulation quantitative trait loci for a life history trade-off in *Mimulus guttatus*.
Molecular Ecology **21**, 3718–3728 (2012).

58.   A. Troth, J. R. Puzey, R. S. Kim, J. H. Willis, J. K. Kelly, Selective trade-offs maintain
alleles underpinning complex trait variation in plants. *Science* **361**, 475–478 (2018).

59.   J. K. Kelly, The genomic scale of fluctuating selection in a natural plant population.
Evolution Letters **6**, 506–521 (2022).

60.   J. H. Willis, Effects of different levels of inbreeding on fitness components in *Mimulus
guttatus*. *Evolution* **47**, 864–876 (1993).

61.   J. B. St.Clair, *et al.*, Seedlot Selection Tool and Climate-Smart Restoration Tool: Web-
based tools for sourcing seed adapted to future climates. *Ecosphere* **13**, e4089 (2022).

62. S. N. Aitken, J. B. Bemmels, Time to get moving: assisted gene flow of forest trees.
Evolutionary Applications **9**, 271–290 (2016).

63. A. Pavlova, *et al.*, Severe consequences of habitat fragmentation on genetic diversity of an
endangered Australian freshwater fish: A call for assisted gene flow. *Evolutionary*
Applications **10**, 531–550 (2017).

64. L. M. McDonald, A. Scharnagl, A. K. Turcu, C. M. Patterson, N. J. Kooyers, Demographic
consequences of an extreme heat wave are mitigated by spatial heterogeneity in an
annual monkeyflower. *Ecology and Evolution* **13**, e10397 (2023).

65. D. Bates, M. Mächler, B. Bolker, S. Walker, Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models using
lme4. [Preprint] (2014). Available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.5823 [Accessed 12
September 2024].

66. J. Fox, M. Friendly, S. Weisberg, Hypothesis Tests for Multivariate Linear Models Using
the car Package. *The R Journal* **5**, 39 (2013).

67. A. J. Kelly, J. H. Willis, Polymorphic microsatellite loci in *Mimulus guttatus* and related
species. *Molecular Ecology* **7**, 769–774 (1998).

68. N. O. Therkildsen, S. R. Palumbi, Practical low-coverage genomewide sequencing of
hundreds of individually barcoded samples for population and evolutionary genomics in
nonmodel species. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **17**, 194–208 (2017).

69. S. Andrews, FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. (2010).

70. H. Li, R. Durbin, Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform l
Bioinformatics l Oxford Academic. (2009). Available at:
https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/25/14/1754/225615 [Accessed 8
September 2023].

71. H. Li, *et al.*, The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078–
2079 (2009).

72. H. Li, A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and
population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2987–
2993 (2011).

73. A. McKenna, *et al.*, The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing
next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* **20**, 1297–1303 (2010).

74. P. Danecek, *et al.*, The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2156–2158
(2011).

75. F. García-Alcalde, *et al.*, Qualimap: evaluating next-generation sequencing alignment data.
Bioinformatics **28**, 2678–2679 (2012).

76. K. Okonechnikov, A. Conesa, F. García-Alcalde, Qualimap 2: advanced multi-sample
quality control for high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 292–294 (2016).

77.   M. E. Brooks, *et al.*, glmmTMB balances speed and flexibility among packages for zero-
inflated generalized linear mixed modeling. *The R Journal* **9**, 378–400 (2017).

78.   S. Purcell, *et al.*, PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based
linkage analyses. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* **81**, 559–575 (2007).

Figures

Fig 1: Experimental design and variation in fitness from 2018-2023 across 12 central Oregon Populations. **A.** Map of study area and locations of source populations (BEL and SHL) and target populations for assisted gene flow. Raster depicts elevation with warmer value indicative of higher elevations. **B.** Experimental design for assisted gene flow manipulation into one of the four experimental blocks. Each block consists of three populations, one that receives seed from a cross between source populations (F_1 seed), one that receives seedlings grown from the same cross, and a third that receives seed from the native population. **C-D.** Variation in floral abundance and seed production, respectively, across populations and years included in the experiment. Colors represent different experiment blocks and shapes represent treatment (local seeds, source seeds, source seedlings). Assisted gene flow occurred in 2021.

Fig. 2: Assessing changes in fitness following assisted gene flow. **A-B**. Boxplots depict changes in floral abundance and seed production following assisted gene flow across treatments: control (native seed introduced), source seeds introduced (CA F_1), or source seedling introduced (CA F_1). Each point is an individual. Pre-AGF (green boxes) includes 2018-2021 growing seasons for floral abundance and 2018-2020 growing seasons for seed production. **C-D.** Changes in floral abundance and seed production parsed into the four experimental blocks. In each subpanel, left, center and right populations correspond to control, seedlings and seed population treatments, respectively. Border colors on the subpanels correspond to the location of the experimental block on the map in Fig. 1. Edges of boxes in boxplots correspond to the interquartile range, the line inside boxes to medians and the whiskers extend to the most extreme points within 1.5 × IQR from box hinges.

756
Fig. 3 Patterns of introgression into target populations following assisted gene flow. **A**.
Number of loci with evidence of introgression of CA private alleles for three experimental blocks.
In each subpanel, left, center and right populations correspond to control, seedling and seed
population treatments, respectively. Total number of potential loci with California private alleles
was 15,691. **B**. Allele frequencies of California private alleles across a representative
chromosome (chromosome 2 in the RRM population – a population with source seeds
introduced). Allele frequencies may be higher in 2022 because there was greater sample size
(27 vs. 14) in 2021. **C.** Variation in genetic principal component 1 before and after assisted gene
flow within three experimental blocks. California populations are distinct from Oregon
populations with greater values of PC1 (Fig. S6). Edges of boxes in boxplots correspond to the
interquartile range, the line inside boxes to medians and the whiskers extend to the most
extreme points within 1.5 × IQR from box hinges.

Fig. 4 Shifts in flowering time and number of trichomes accompany assisted gene flow.
A-B. Violin plots depict variation in flowering time and number of trichomes before (green) and
after (gold) assisted gene flow. Four populations are pooled within each treatment. **C-F.**
Variation in flowering time and number of trichomes from the two experimental blocks with
genomic evidence of introgression and fitness impacts of AGF. Fitness was elevated following
AFG in the SMG, OWC, RRM block, but lower in the EVS, BMP, MTD block (Fig. 2). Border
color of panels corresponds to experiment block color in Fig.1. Points and whiskers inside violin
plots correspond to mean and standard error, respectively.

Extended Data
Extended Methods

Fig. S1: Seedling transplant into a central Oregon Population (FIR) at different developmental stages.
Fig. S2: Changes in variation in fitness following assisted gene flow.
Fig. S3: Variation in floral abundance and seed production across six growing seasons and twelve populations
Fig. S4: Relative changes in floral abundance and seed production across years following assisted gene flow.
Fig. S5: Limited introgression of California private alleles within target populations.
Fig. S6: Populations structure across source and target monkeyflower populations.
Fig. S7: Variation between pre- and post-assisted gene flow in flowering time within a common garden by experimental block
Fig. S8: Variation between pre- and post-assisted gene flow in number of trichomes within a common garden by experimental block.

Supplemental Tables
Table S1: Population geographic locations and climatic data
Table S2: Model summaries of fitness effects of Assisted Gene Flow within Oregon populations
Table S3: Estimated marginal means and raw means for of fitness effects of assisted gene flow across Oregon populations
Table S4: ANOVA summary of fitness effects of assisted gene flow within each block
Table S5: Estimated marginal means and raw means for of fitness effects of assisted gene flow within individual blocks
Table S6: ANOVA summary of fitness effects in individual years following assisted gene flow
Table S7: Sampling summary for genomic analysis
Table S8: Generalized linear model summaries for private allele analysis
Table S9: Counts of private alleles across target Oregon populations and years
Table S10: Sampling summary from the resurrection experiment
Table S11: Generalized linear modeling results from the resurrection experiment
Table S12: Source seedling performance in target Oregon populations
Table S13: Population summary of coverage and mean depth for genomic analysis
Table S14: Phenotypic correlations in the resurrection experiment